

Theorem (cycle decomposition theorem)

If $\sigma \neq e$ is in S_n , then σ is the product of one or more disjoint cycles of length at least 2.

proof

We prove the existence of decomposition by induction on $n \geq 2$ for $\sigma \in S_n$. (Assume the uniqueness).

If $n = 2$ then each permutation has length 2 since $S_2 = \{e, (1, 2)\}$ and $\sigma \neq e$.

If $n > 2$ assume result true for S_{n-1} .

Let $\sigma \in S_n$. If σ fixes n then $\sigma(n) = n$ and so $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$. By induction hypothesis σ is the product of disjoint cycles of length at least 2.

Assume σ moves n and $\sigma(n) \neq n$. set $m = \sigma^{-1}(n)$ or $\sigma(m) = n$ with $m \neq n$.

Let $\gamma = (m \ n)$ where $\gamma^2 = e$.

Consider $\tau = \sigma\gamma$. Thus $\tau\gamma = \sigma\gamma^2 = \sigma$.

Moreover, $\tau(n) = \sigma\gamma(n) = \sigma(m) = n$.

Therefore $\tau \in S_{n-1}$ and so τ is the product of disjoint cycles in S_{n-1} of length at least 2 by induction hypothesis.

We consider 2 cases: CASE 1, $\tau(m) = m$ and CASE 2, $\tau(m) \neq m$

CASE 1: $\tau(m) = m$ and $\tau(n) = n$ by above so τ fixes both m and n and τ disjoint from γ .

$\therefore \sigma = \tau\gamma$ is as required.

CASE 2: $\tau(m) \neq m$. Then m is moved by exactly one factor in the decomposition of τ .

say $\tau = \mu(m \ k_1 \ k_2 \ \dots \ k_r)$ where μ is the product of cycles that do not move $m \ k_1 \ k_2 \ \dots \ k_r$ and n

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma = \tau\gamma &= \mu(m \ k_1 \ k_2 \ \dots \ k_r)(m \ n) \\ &= \mu(m \ . \ n \ \ k_1 \ \ k_2 \ \ \dots \ \ k_r)\end{aligned}$$

and σ has the required decomposition.

So by principle of induction, the statement is true $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$.

Example

$|S_4| = 24$. List of elements e ; $(1 \ 2)$; $(1 \ 2)(3 \ 4)$; $(1 \ 2 \ 3)$; $(1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4)$ etc These are the types of decomposition.