117TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

H. R. 8560

To direct the Director of National Intelligence to submit to Congress a report relating to analyses of the military will to fight and the national will to fight with respect to the Governments of Ukraine, Afghanistan, and Iraq, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 28, 2022

Mr. Crow (for himself and Mr. Meijer) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence

A BILL

- To direct the Director of National Intelligence to submit to Congress a report relating to analyses of the military will to fight and the national will to fight with respect to the Governments of Ukraine, Afghanistan, and Iraq, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
 - 4 This Act may be cited as the "Will to Fight Act of
 - 5 2022".
 - 6 SEC. 2. REPORT ON ASSESSING WILL TO FIGHT.
 - 7 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

- (1) According to a study by the RAND corporation, "will to fight" is poorly analyzed and the least understood aspect of war.
 - (2) In testimony before the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate in May 2022, top intelligence officials of the United States indicated that although the intelligence community accurately anticipated Russia's invasion of Ukraine, the intelligence community did not accurately assess the will of Ukrainian forces to fight in opposition to a Russian invasion or that the Ukrainian forces would succeed in averting a rapid Russian military occupation of Kyiv.
 - (3) According to the RAND corporation, the intelligence community estimated that the Afghan government's forces could hold out against the Taliban for as long as 2 years if all ground forces of the United States were withdrawn. This estimate was revised in June 2021 to reflect an intelligence community view that Afghanistan's military collapse could come in 6 to 12 months. In August 2021, the Afghan government fell within days after the ground forces of the United States were withdrawn.
 - (4) Similarly, the rapid advance of the Islamic State in Iraq and Syria and near-total collapse of

- the Iraqi Security Forces in 2014 appeared to take
 the policymakers of the United States by surprise.
- 3 (5) The apparent gaps in these analyses had 4 important implications for policy decisions of the 5 United States toward Russia and Afghanistan, and 6 suggest a need for further examination of how the 7 intelligence community assesses a foreign military's 8 will to fight.
- 9 (b) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date 10 of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence, acting through the National Intelligence Coun-11 12 cil, and in coordination with the heads of the elements of the intelligence community that the Director determines appropriate, shall submit to the appropriate congressional 14 15 committees a report examining the extent to which analyses of the military will to fight and the national will to 16 17 fight informed the all-source analyses of the intelligence 18 community regarding how the armed forces and Govern-19 ments of Ukraine, Afghanistan, and Iraq would perform 20 at key junctures.
- 21 (c) Elements.—The report under subsection (b) 22 shall include the following:
- 23 (1) The methodology of the intelligence commu-24 nity for measuring the military will to fight and the 25 national will to fight of a foreign country.

1	(2) The extent to which analysts of the intel-
2	ligence community applied such methodology when
3	assessing the military will to fight and the national
4	will to fight of—
5	(A) Afghanistan following the April 2021
6	announcement of the full withdrawal of the
7	United States Armed Forces;
8	(B) Iraq in the face of the rapid emergence
9	and advancement in 2014 of Islamic State in
10	Iraq and Syria; and
11	(C) Ukraine and Russia during the initial
12	phase of the invasion and march toward Kyiv
13	by Russia in February 2022.
14	(3) The extent to which—
15	(A) the assessments described in para-
16	graph (2) depended on the observations of per-
17	sonnel of the United States Armed Forces who
18	had trained Afghan, Iraqi, and Ukrainian
19	armed forces; and
20	(B) such observations reflected any stand-
21	ardized, objective methodology.
22	(4) Whether shortcomings in assessing the mili-
23	tary will to fight and the national will to fight may
24	have affected the capacity of the intelligence commu-

- nity to provide "early warning" about the collapse of
 government forces in Iraq and Afghanistan.
 - (5) The extent to which "red teaming" was used to test the assessments described in paragraph (2).
 - (6) The extent to which dissenting opinions of intelligence analysts were highlighted in final written products presented to senior policymakers of the United States.
 - (7) The extent to which analysts and supervisors adhered to the policies, procedures, directives, and best practices of the intelligence community.
 - (8) Recommendations for analyses by the intelligence community going forward to incorporate lessons learned and enhance the quality of future analytical products to more accurately reflect the military will to fight and the national will to fight and improve the capacity of the intelligence community to accurately predict the success or failure of the armed forces of a foreign country.
- 21 (d) Annex.—In submitting the report under sub-22 section (b) to the congressional intelligence committees, 23 the Director shall also include an accompanying annex,
- 24 which shall be classified, providing an inventory of the fol-
- 25 lowing:

1	(1) Collection gaps and challenges that may
2	have affected the analysis of the collapse of govern-
3	ment forces in Iraq and Afghanistan.
4	(2) Actions that the Director of National Intel-
5	ligence has taken to mitigate such gaps and chal-
6	lenges.
7	(e) FORM.—The report under subsection (b) may be
8	submitted in classified form, but if so submitted, shall in-
9	clude an unclassified summary of key findings, consistent
10	with the protection of intelligence sources and methods
11	(f) Definitions.—In this section:
12	(1) Appropriate congressional commit-
13	TEES.—The term "appropriate congressional com-
14	mittees" means the following:
15	(A) The congressional intelligence commit-
16	tees (as such term is defined in section 3 of the
17	National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C
18	3003)).
19	(B) The Committee on Foreign Affairs and
20	the Committee on Armed Services of the House
21	of Representatives.
22	(C) The Committee on Foreign Relations
23	and the Committee on Armed Services of the
24	Senate.

- 1 (2) INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.—The term 2 "intelligence community" has the meaning given 3 that term in section 3 of the National Security Act 4 of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).
 - (3) MILITARY WILL TO FIGHT.—The term "military will to fight" means, with respect to the military of a country, the disposition and decision to fight, act, or persevere as needed.
 - (4) NATIONAL WILL TO FIGHT.—The term "national will to fight" means, with respect to the government of a country, the resolve to conduct sustained military and other operations for an objective even when the expectation of success decreases or the need for significant political, economic, and military sacrifices increases.