117TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 1905

To amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to allow the sponsor of a drug to use a non-animal test as an alternative to an animal test for purposes of demonstrating the safety and effectiveness of a drug if such approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 16, 2021

Mr. Brendan F. Boyle of Pennsylvania (for himself, Ms. Dean, Mr. Fitzpatrick, and Mr. Hastings) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce

A BILL

To amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to allow the sponsor of a drug to use a non-animal test as an alternative to an animal test for purposes of demonstrating the safety and effectiveness of a drug if such approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

1 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- 2 This Act may be cited as the "Alternatives to Ani-
- 3 mals for Regulatory Fairness Act of 2021" or the "AARF
- 4 Act of 2021".

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

5 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

- 6 The Congress finds that—
- 7 (1) the Food and Drug Administration (in this 8 section referred to as the "FDA") often requires 9 pharmaceutical companies to conduct or commission 10 testing on dogs and other animals to assess the safe-11 ty or effectiveness of new drugs, even though such 12 testing is inefficient, expensive, and ineffective;
 - (2) the National Institutes of Health states, "Approximately 30 percent of promising medications have failed in human clinical trials because they are found to be toxic despite promising preclinical studies in animal models. About 60 percent of candidate drugs fail due to lack of efficacy";
 - (3) current FDA nonbinding pharmaceutical testing guidelines support the use of alternatives to animal testing to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of drug development;
 - (4) current FDA drug testing guidance for the pharmaceutical industry states, "consideration should be given to use of new in vitro alternative methods for safety evaluation";

- - (6) the FDA writes that alternatives to animal testing, "may help bring FDA-regulated products to market faster, with improved efficacy, or prevent products with increased toxicological risk from reaching the market. Also critical is the potential for these advances to replace, reduce, and/or refine animal testing";
 - (7) pharmaceutical companies are reducing animal testing by investing in the development and use of alternative methods, which studies show are often more effective and efficient than traditional animal use;
 - (8) the FDA states, "FDA encourages sponsors to consult with us if they wish to use a non-animal testing method they believe is suitable, adequate, validated, and feasible"; and
 - (9) in some cases, drug manufacturers and sponsors have not been allowed by the FDA to use alternatives to animal testing to fulfill regulatory re-

- 1 quirements, despite the FDA's support for this tech-
- 2 nology in its industry guidance document.

3 SEC. 3. ALTERNATIVES TO ANIMAL TESTS.

- 4 Section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic
- 5 Act (21 U.S.C. 355) is amended by adding at the end the
- 6 following new subsection:
- 7 "(z) Alternatives to Animal Tests.—The Sec-
- 8 retary shall allow the sponsor of a drug to use a non-ani-
- 9 mal test as an alternative to an animal test for purposes
- 10 of demonstrating the safety and effectiveness of a drug
- 11 under this section if such approach satisfies the require-
- 12 ments of the applicable statutes and regulations.".

C