117TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION

H. R. 9096

To assess the capacity of the United States to effectively marshal disparate elements of national power to counter adversary political warfare campaigns, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

September 30, 2022

Mr. Chabot (for himself and Mr. Bera) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Affairs

A BILL

- To assess the capacity of the United States to effectively marshal disparate elements of national power to counter adversary political warfare campaigns, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
 - 4 (a) Short Title.—This Act may be cited as the
 - 5 "Gray Zone Defense Assessment Act".
 - 6 (b) Table of Contents for
 - 7 this Act is as follows:
 - Sec. 1. Short title.
 - Sec. 2. Findings.
 - Sec. 3. Sense of Congress.

- Sec. 4. Statement of policy.
- Sec. 5. Evaluation of national capacities for conducting gray zone operations.
- Sec. 6. Report on Department of State capacity to respond to gray zone aggression.

1 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 2 Congress finds the following:
- 3 (1) In 1948, George F. Kennan observed that, 4 "In broadest definition, political warfare is the em-5 ployment of all the means at a nation's command, 6 short of war, to achieve its national objectives.".
 - (2) Today, this coercive activity is referred to by many names within the United States Government and the academic community, most notably "gray zone" operations.
 - (3) Although increasingly employed as a means of political warfare, the full contours of gray zone operations remain ambiguous. However, central features of gray zone operations include that they are ultimately directed by state actors, consist of efforts not associated with routine statecraft, and are intended to advance a country's objectives at the expense of a rival, without crossing a threshold that results in kinetic military conflict. They include such operations and tactics as the following:
 - (A) Information warfare, including conducting disinformation campaigns or the spreading of propaganda.

1	(B) Encouraging internal strife within tar-
2	get countries.
3	(C) Coordinated efforts to unduly influence
4	democratic elections or related political activi-
5	ties.
6	(D) Economic coercion.
7	(E) Cyber operations, below the threshold
8	of conflict, aimed at coercion, espionage, or oth-
9	erwise undermining a target.
10	(F) Support of domestic or foreign proxy
11	forces.
12	(G) Coercive investment and bribery for
13	political aims.
14	(H) Industrial policy designed to monopo-
15	lize a strategic industry or to destroy such an
16	industry in other nations, especially when co-
17	ordinated with other gray zone operations.
18	(I) Military, paramilitary, or similar provo-
19	cations and operations short of war.
20	(J) Government financing or sponsorship
21	of activities described in subparagraphs (A)
22	through (I).
23	(4) Various gray zone operations are frequently
24	linked together into a coordinated campaign, that
25	may also include tools of routine diplomacy, and that

- is designed to achieve a state's political or military
 objective.
 - (5) Gray zone campaigns are attractive to state actors for several reasons, including reduced costs compared to the deployment of conventional forces, thereby allowing states to pursue their objectives with limited resources.
 - (6) The People's Republic of China, the Russian Federation, the Islamic Republic of Iran, and other countries actively advance their own strategic objectives and challenge United States interests through the extensive use of gray zone operations.
 - (7) Unwelcome escalation by adversarial powers—especially over the last decade—from routine statecraft into gray zone competition is a defining feature of the recent reemergence of great-power competition and requires an appropriate United States response.
 - (8) The United States has not sufficiently deterred or responded to gray zone campaigns, thereby risking undermining United States national interests, diminishing United States influence and credibility, and encouraging rivals to further employ such tactics.

1 (9) Successfully responding to adversary gray 2 zone campaigns relies upon the full integration of in-3 struments of national power across multiple domains 4 and the ability to deploy such capacities in a coordi-5 nated, real-time campaign.

6 SEC. 3. SENSE OF CONGRESS.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- It is the sense of Congress that—
 - (1) gray zone competition is a central and enduring aspect of great-power competition, and the United States should elevate the effective response to adversary gray zone campaigns as a central feature of its approach to great-power competition;
 - (2) an effective, whole-of-government approach is essential to meeting the gray zone challenges posed by competitors of the United States; and
 - (3) since gray zone activity takes place below the threshold of kinetic military conflict and since narrative formation is frequently a critical aspect of gray zone campaigns, the Department of State should take a preeminent role in coordinating, within applicable interagency processes, the disparate means of national power as the United States seeks to respond to adversary gray zone campaigns.

24 SEC. 4. STATEMENT OF POLICY.

25 It is the policy of the United States to—

1	(1) seek effective responses, particularly at the
2	Department of State, to adversary gray zone cam-
3	paigns and to recognize the paramount importance
4	of such responses to the national interests of the
5	United States;
6	(2) continue upholding the international rule of
7	law and the rules-based international order, which is
8	a core national security interest of the United
9	States; and
10	(3) call on United States allies and partners to
11	employ sufficient national resources to equitably con-
12	tribute to the response to gray zone challenges.
	CEC F EVALUATION OF NATIONAL CADACITIES FOR CON
13	SEC. 5. EVALUATION OF NATIONAL CAPACITIES FOR CON-
13	DUCTING GRAY ZONE OPERATIONS.
14	DUCTING GRAY ZONE OPERATIONS.
14 15	DUCTING GRAY ZONE OPERATIONS. (a) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date
14 15 16 17	DUCTING GRAY ZONE OPERATIONS. (a) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall conduct
14 15 16 17	DUCTING GRAY ZONE OPERATIONS. (a) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall conduct a review and submit to the appropriate congressional com-
14 15 16 17	DUCTING GRAY ZONE OPERATIONS. (a) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall conduct a review and submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the processes and capabilities by which
14 15 16 17 18	DUCTING GRAY ZONE OPERATIONS. (a) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall conduct a review and submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the processes and capabilities by which the United States responds to gray zone campaigns and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DUCTING GRAY ZONE OPERATIONS. (a) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall conduct a review and submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the processes and capabilities by which the United States responds to gray zone campaigns and recommendations to enhance such processes and capabilities.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DUCTING GRAY ZONE OPERATIONS. (a) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall conduct a review and submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the processes and capabilities by which the United States responds to gray zone campaigns and recommendations to enhance such processes and capabilities.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DUCTING GRAY ZONE OPERATIONS. (a) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall conduct a review and submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the processes and capabilities by which the United States responds to gray zone campaigns and recommendations to enhance such processes and capabilities. (b) Elements.—The report required by subsection

1	(A) identify adversary activity as a gray
2	zone campaign, including the adversary's intent,
3	capabilities, interactive effects, and impact on
4	United States interests;
5	(B) devise effective theories of deterrence;
6	and
7	(C) coordinate instruments of United
8	States national power to consistently and effec-
9	tively respond to adversarial gray zone cam-
10	paigns against the United States or allies and
11	partners;
12	(2) a description of the process for determining
13	the threshold at which adversary gray zone activities
14	or campaigns targeting the United States, allies, or
15	partners threaten United States interests, including
16	the methods and mechanisms for—
17	(A) determining which such activities or
18	campaigns warrant a United States response;
19	(B) calibrating such response;
20	(C) communicating such thresholds to ad-
21	versaries; and
22	(D) establishing and regularly reviewing
23	protocols with allies and partners to respond to
24	such activities or campaigns: and

1	(3) recommendations for further enhancing the
2	ability of the United States to deter and respond to
3	adversarial gray zone campaigns, including—
4	(A) institutional reforms to enhance
5	United States interagency coordination in re-
6	sponse to adversarial gray zone campaigns and,
7	as necessary, additional statutory authorities
8	required to implement those reforms;
9	(B) additional resources, authorities, or in-
10	stitutional capacities necessary for United
11	States agencies to counter gray zone threats;
12	and
13	(C) budget estimates for the implementa-
14	tions of the recommendations made pursuant to
15	this paragraph.
16	(c) Form.—The report required by subsection (a)
17	shall be submitted in a classified form and shall contain
18	an unclassified summary.
19	(d) Appropriate Congressional Committees
20	Defined.—In this section, the term "appropriate con-
21	gressional committees" means—
22	(1) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Per-
23	manent Select Committee on Intelligence, the Com-
24	mittee on Armed Services, the Committee on Finan-
25	cial Services, the Committee on Energy and Com-

1	merce, and the Committee on Homeland Security of
2	the House of Representatives; and
3	(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations, the
4	Select Committee on Intelligence, the Committee on
5	Armed Services, the Committee on Banking, Hous-
6	ing, and Urban Affairs, the Committee on Com-
7	merce, Science, and Transportation, and the Com-
8	mittee on Homeland Security and Governmental Af-
9	fairs of the Senate.
10	SEC. 6. REPORT ON DEPARTMENT OF STATE CAPACITY TO
11	RESPOND TO GRAY ZONE AGGRESSION.
12	(a) Report Required.—Not later than 180 days
13	after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State
14	shall submit to the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
15	House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign
16	Relations of the Senate a report discussing the capacity
17	of the Department of State to contribute to coordinated
18	United States responses to adversary gray zone campaigns
19	
19	and the Department's understanding of the gray zone
20	
	and the Department's understanding of the gray zone
20	and the Department's understanding of the gray zone threat environment.
2021	and the Department's understanding of the gray zone threat environment. (b) Elements.—The report required by subsection

- operations and a description of the roles each can play.
 - (2) An evaluation of the adequacy and utility of established Department of State definitions for understanding adversary gray zone activity.
 - (3) Recommendations, including proposed necessary investments and the rationale and expected costs of such investments, for further enhancing the capacity of the Department of State to effectively respond to adversary gray zone operations.
 - (4) An identification of 25 priority countries at the front lines of adversary gray zone aggression and a discussion, developed in consultation with relevant embassy country teams, of the matters described in paragraphs (1) through (3) with respect to each such country.
 - (5) A list of activities that are currently being undertaken to respond to adversary gray zone campaigns conducted against such priority countries.
- 20 (c) FORM.—The report required by subsection (a) 21 shall be submitted in a classified form and shall contain 22 an unclassified summary.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19