117TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 6010

To ensure that contractor employees on Army Corps projects are paid prevailing wages as required by law, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOVEMBER 17, 2021

Mr. Smith of New Jersey (for himself and Mr. Norcross) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, and in addition to the Committee on Education and Labor, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

A BILL

To ensure that contractor employees on Army Corps projects are paid prevailing wages as required by law, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Protect Our Workers
- 5 Act of 2021".
- 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
- 7 The Congress finds the following:

| 1 | (1) The Davis-Bacon Act of 1931 (40 U.S.C. |
|----|--|
| 2 | 3141 et seq.) requires that contractors and sub- |
| 3 | contractors on certain government projects pay con- |
| 4 | struction workers locally prevailing wages as deter- |
| 5 | mined by the Department of Labor. |
| 6 | (2) Locally prevailing wages vary by job classi- |
| 7 | fication and consist of a basic hourly rate of pay and |
| 8 | benefits. |
| 9 | (3) Generally, the Davis-Bacon Act applies to |
| 10 | projects that meet the following three criteria: |
| 11 | (A) There is a contract for construction in |
| 12 | excess of \$2,000. |
| 13 | (B) The United States or the District of |
| 14 | Columbia is a party to the contract. |
| 15 | (C) The contract is for construction, alter- |
| 16 | ation, or repair of a public building or public |
| 17 | work. |
| 18 | (4) Under the Davis-Bacon Act, the Govern- |
| 19 | ment may terminate a contract if locally prevailing |
| 20 | wages have not been paid to employees working on |
| 21 | the project. |
| 22 | (5) For 90 years, the Davis-Bacon Act has |
| 23 | helped ensure quality craftsmanship on Federal |
| 24 | projects, protected the standard of living of skilled |

and trained blue-collar construction workers, im-

25

- proved workplace safety by discouraging "fly-bynight" contractors from bidding, incentivized workforce training, and stimulated the economy.
 - (6) The Wage and Hour Division of the Department of Labor administers the Davis-Bacon Act by, among other things, determining prevailing wage rates and prescribing regulations and standards to be observed by contracting agencies.
 - (7) Contracting agencies, such as the Corps of Engineers, however, have the primary day-to-day responsibility for enforcement of the Davis-Bacon Act and its labor standards requirements pursuant to subparts 22.406 and 22.407 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation and part 5 of title 29, Code of Federal Regulations and Reorganization Plan No. 14 of 1950 (5 U.S.C. app.).
 - (8) Some irresponsible contractors and subcontractors often avoid their prevailing wage obligations by, among other things, engaging in craft misclassification.
 - (9) Craft misclassification refers to the practice in which contractors misclassify high-skilled workers as general laborers or other classifications in order to avoid paying the higher prevailing wage rate applicable to the high-skilled work actually performed.

- (10) As part of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020 (Public Law 116–92), Congress directed the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a study on the contracting practices of the Army Corps of Engineers.
 - (11) The Government Accountability Office (GAO) published their report on this study on March 10, 2021 with recommendations to the Army Corps of Engineers to ensures contractor employees are paid prevailing wages as required by the Davis-Bacon Act.
 - (12) In their report, GAO found that from Fiscal Years 2015 to 2019, there were five times as many subcontractors, compared to prime contractors, found to be in violation of the Davis-Bacon Act. None were recommended to the Department of Labor for debarment from receiving future contracts.
 - (13) GAO found that the Army Corps of Engineers Headquarters guidance to their 38 districts lacks sufficient information to ensure consistent monitoring of contractors' compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act from one Army Corps district to the next.

| 1 | SEC. 3. ENSURING THAT CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES ON |
|----|--|
| 2 | ARMY CORPS PROJECTS ARE PAID PRE- |
| 3 | VAILING WAGES AS REQUIRED BY LAW. |
| 4 | The Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works |
| 5 | shall provide to each Army Corps district clarifying, uni- |
| 6 | form guidance with respect to prevailing wage require- |
| 7 | ments for contractors and subcontractors of the Army |
| 8 | Corps that— |
| 9 | (1) conforms with the Department of Labor's |
| 10 | regulations, policies, and guidance with respect to |
| 11 | the proper implementation and enforcement of sub- |
| 12 | chapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States |
| 13 | Code (commonly known as the "Davis-Bacon Act") |
| 14 | and other related Acts, including the proper classi- |
| 15 | fication of all crafts by Federal construction contrac- |
| 16 | tors and subcontractors; |
| 17 | (2) directs Army Corps districts to investigate |
| 18 | worker complaints and third-party complaints within |
| 19 | 30 days of the date of filing; and |
| 20 | (3) instructs Army Corps districts that certified |
| 21 | payroll reports submitted by contractors and sub- |
| 22 | contractors and the information contained therein |
| 23 | shall be publicly available and are not exempt from |
| 24 | disclosure under section 552(b) of title 5, United |
| 25 | States Code. |