SKILLED BY DESIGN

Reimagining India's Employability Ecosystem for 2030 and Beyond

Ashish Khare

CONTENTS

Part **I**

Understanding The Present Reality

The Employability Paradox

India is a country with a lot of differences. In the same week, newspapers may have two headlines that seem to be at odds with each other:

- **"Big tech companies are building huge research and development centers in India because there are so many talented people there."**
- **"Engineering graduates work as gig drivers because they can't find jobs that fit their skills."**

Both headlines are true. Both capture a single reality: **India's labor market can produce world-class talent and underemployed graduates at the same time**. The fundamental aspect of India's employability paradox is the simultaneous presence of surplus and scarcity.

Context: 2025 Baseline and the Road to 2030

India has the world's largest group of young people as it enters 2026. This is a huge demographic dividend. The chance is historic, but so is the chance of waste.

- **Employability today:** Graduate employability is still uneven across sectors, with an overall rate of about **~51% overall** (India Skills Report

2024 – Wheebox). In some new technology fields, **employability is even lower** because there aren't enough people with the right skills. In other fields, **wages are low** because there are too many people with skills that aren't in demand.

- **Demographics ahead:** By 2030, India's working-age population will be more than **1 billion**, with almost **160 million people between the ages of 20 and 24** (World Bank, 2023). This is like the whole population of Russia joining the workforce in five years.
- **Sector skew:** While IT, BFSI, and global capability centers **(GCCs)** are hiring a lot, sectors like manufacturing, logistics, and healthcare have **big skill gaps**, even in Tier-1 cities. In contrast, in fields like basic engineering, the number of graduates each year is often **30–40% higher** than the number of jobs that are actually created.

The demographic dividend can turn into a **demographic liability** if the system isn't fixed. This means that a generation of young people is entering adulthood without enough ways to find good jobs that pay well.

The Decisive Decade

The years between 2025 and 2035 will determine whether India becomes:

- A **global talent powerhouse**, integrated into high-value supply chains worldwide, or
- A **nation of underutilized youth**, A country with a lot of young people who aren't working, unemployment, low productivity, and social unrest.

The decisive decade demands **measurably better outcomes** in three core areas:

1. **Readiness:** Graduates with skills that will help them get jobs, not just degrees.
2. **Placement:** Smooth, fair moves from the institute to work.
3. **Productivity:** Quickly getting up to full speed in your job, being productive from day 1.

From Paradox to Design

This book doesn't see employability as a side effect of education; instead, it sees it as **a planned result, an outcome** that can be achieved through policy, partnerships between ecosystems, and data-driven interventions.

In Chapter 1, we explain the paradox and measure the gap. **From Chapter 2**, we go from **diagnosis to design** by showing how **models, partnerships, and platforms** can work in India. We look at all sectors, including IT and non-IT, blue and white-collar jobs, and urban and rural areas, because employability is not just a problem in one sector.

Key Insight: The employability paradox is not unavoidable; it stems **from systemic design deficiencies and gaps**. India can turn its large population into a global competitive advantage by 2030 and beyond if it redesigns its economy on purpose and in a way that can be measured.

The Promise vs. The Reality

India is the best at producing talented people, but the process of turning degrees into job readiness is still not very good in many fields. The idea of a world-class

talent engine is real, but there are also systemic skills gaps.

Data & Trends Across Sectors – 2025 Snapshot

Sector	Employability Rate	Key Skill Gaps	Source
Overall (All Graduates)	~51%	Domain readiness, digital literacy, workplace communication	India Skills Report 2024 – Wheebox
IT/ITES	~55%	Cloud (AWS/Azure/GCP), DevOps, cybersecurity, MLOps, product thinking	NASSCOM Insights 2024
Manufacturing & Core Engineering	<40%	CNC operation, PLC programming, quality systems, lean manufacturing	NSDC Skill-Gap Snapshots 2023
BFSI	~47%	Financial compliance, analytics, data-driven risk, relationship management	BFSI Council & NSDC 2023
Healthcare (Allied Health)	~42%	Medical coding, hospital operations, telehealth platforms	Healthcare Sector Skill Council, 2023

(Continued...)

(Continued...)

Sector	Employability Rate	Key Skill Gaps	Source
Retail & Hospitality	~38%	Soft skills, customer experience design, service leadership	Tourism & Hospitality SSC 2023
Global Comparators	70%+	Strong work-based learning systems (apprenticeships, dual training)	OECD/ILO reviews of Germany & Singapore

The Skills Clock is Ticking

- **In IT:** the "skill half-life" for a lot of jobs is now only 2 to 3 years. If a developer doesn't keep up with the latest changes to a platform, they could become unemployable in 36 months. More and more employers want people who can work with multiple stacks:
- Platform skills (**AWS, Azure, GCP**).
- **MLOps** for AI deployment.
- **SRE (Site Reliability Engineering).**
- **Product thinking** for cross-functional problem-solving.
- **In Non-Tech Sectors:**
- **Manufacturing** now demands *mechatronics* profiles combining mechanical + electronics + digital control skills.
- **BFSI** is moving to **data-driven compliance** and algorithmic risk analysis.
- **Healthcare** urgently needs trained **allied health staff** who can operate digital health systems and diagnostic equipment.

The emerging baseline: *Domain skills + digital fluency + soft skills* are no longer optional—they are mandatory to enter the workplace.

The Scale of the Mismatch

- **On paper:** Around **10 million graduates** enter India's workforce every year.
- **On projection:** India's **digital economy** is set to reach **$1 trillion by 2030** (MeitY, 2023), creating millions of jobs in tech-enabled sectors.
- **In reality:** Employability hovers between **42–51%**, depending on sector, meaning **one in two graduates is not job-ready.**

This is not a lack of ambition on the part of young people. This is the result of a **systemic mismatch** between what **education provides and what industry needs**. The result is both a lack of and a lot of:

- Not enough job-ready candidates in growing fields.
- There are too many graduates in fields that don't need them, which leads to underemployment and lower wages.

Key Insight: India doesn't need more graduates; it needs graduates whose skills, attitudes, and readiness for work fit with the economy of 2030 and beyond. The most important thing to do to turn demographic scale into economic power is to close this gap.

Signals from Employers and Campuses

When you really listen to both sides of India's employability issue, you can see that both sides are frustrated, and for good reason. Employers and campuses talk about the same thing in different ways: **a threefold mismatch** that keeps happening.

The Employer View – Smart People, Slow Start

- **Skills taught vs. skills used:** Employers consistently report that a significant share of what graduates learn in classrooms is **irrelevant or outdated** by the time they enter the workplace.
- **Knowledge vs. performance under constraints:** Many new hires find it hard to put theory into practice on real projects with deadlines, limited resources, and customer expectations.
- **Interview readiness vs. project readiness:** Graduates can pass aptitude tests and interviews, but they struggle when they have to work in real-world settings.

"Our graduates are smart, but we spend months rewiring them to think in systems, not semesters," says a delivery head at a top IT services firm (industry interview, 2024). In industries like **manufacturing**, plant managers say they see the same things happen: new hires with mechanical diplomas often don't know how to use lean practices, CAD tools, or modern quality systems.

The Campus View – Pockets of Success, Gaps in Scale

- **Adjunct faculty gap:** Many institutions, especially those in Tier 2 and Tier 3 cities, have trouble getting industry professionals to come in as visiting faculty, which means students don't get to see how things are done in the real world.
- **Internship bottlenecks:** There are only a few big-name recruiters who offer quality internships, which means that most students end up with internships that don't help them much or don't help them at all, e.g., they simply end up doing jobs like inside sales or working with data entries.
- **Curriculum inertia:** Even when colleges want to change things, regulatory and bureaucratic processes can make updating the syllabus take years.

A dean from a Tier-2 university says, "When companies work with us to design projects, the quality of the placements goes up a lot, but we need scale and consistency, not just one-time collaborations."

The Predictable Outcome

- Employers say they **can't find skilled candidates.**
- Graduates say they **can't find jobs.**
- Both statements are true.

India produces more than **10 million graduates** every year, so this isn't a lack of talent in the strictest sense. Instead**, it's a lack of relevant skills**. The difference between what education gives and what businesses need is the cost, which is measured in months of retraining, lost productivity, and missed chances and opportunities.

Three Gaps Feeding the Paradox

1. **The Curriculum Gap:** Course material is often several years out of date with what businesses need, especially in fields that change quickly, such as AI, cloud computing, renewable energy, and digital health.
2. **The Experience Gap:** Graduates don't have the hands-on skills that employers value most because they don't have enough chances to learn by doing, like through internships, live projects, or simulation labs.
3. **The Expectation Gap:** Misaligned perceptions between students and employers about job roles, career paths, and the performance standards expected from day 1.

Key Insight:

These three gaps keep the **employability paradox** going. To close them, there needs to be more integration between industry and academia, **faster curriculum updates**, and models for **experiential learning** that can be used by more people.

Deep Dive into the Three Gaps Sustaining India's Employability Paradox

The employability crisis isn't just one big problem; **it's a three-dimensional gap** that affects technical skills, real-world experience, and the way people think about work. Each dimension makes the others worse, which leads to a cycle of low readiness and high retraining costs.

1. The Skills Gap – Outdated Curricula in a Fast-Moving World

There isn't much exposure to current **tools, workflows, and industry-grade practices**, even in fields where theory is well-covered, like programming languages or data structures.

- **In IT:** Graduates learn C, Java, and DS/Algo, but they often don't know much about cloud-native **architectures, CI/CD pipelines**, Infrastructure as Code **(IaC),** observability tools, and security-by-design principles. As Generative AI takes care of routine coding and documentation, engineers need to focus more on **problem framing, systems thinking**, and product sense (NASSCOM, 2024).
- **In Core Engineering:** Mechanical engineering classes still focus on hand-drawing, but the field uses advanced CAD/CAM and digital twins.
- **In Healthcare:** Many paramedical graduates have no exposure to hospital management systems or telemedicine platforms.

Case Study – IT Services: In 2023, a big Indian systems integrator hired 5,000 new graduates. **35% needed 12 to 16 weeks of bridge training,** which cost an average of ₹50,000 per hire. This cost ₹87.5 crore directly and delayed billing revenue.

2. The Experience Gap – Learning Without Doing

Graduates may understand the ideas, but they may lack **hands-on exposure to the actual tools, work environments,** or deal with the stress that they will face on the job.

- **In Manufacturing:** Many people with a diploma or a B.Tech have never used **CNC or PLC** machines, quality tools like **APQP, PPAP**, and **SPC,** or worked in an environment that meets EHS (environment, health, and safety) standards.
- **In BFSI:** New hires might know a lot about financial theory but not know how to handle **KYC/AML workflows**, follow rules, or deal with customers when they have **monthly goals.**
- **In Retail & Hospitality:** Service staff often don't have any real-world experience with handling customer complaints or Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) that are tied to performance.

Case Study – Automotive Supplier (Pune): Only three out of ten new diploma hires could run CNC operations on their own. A 10-week academy on the floor increased productivity, but it also pushed back delivery times for important OEM clients.

Case Vignette – Private Bank: Less than half of the people hired on campus passed the financial literacy and compliance tests that were specific to their jobs. The **redesigned 6-week pre-onboarding** bootcamp cut the time it took to get people **productive by 30%.**

3. The Mindset Gap – Workplace Readiness Beyond Technical Skill

Employers always say that **soft skills** are what graduates need to be ready for work:

- Communication that is clear and fits the needs of the customer
- Empathy and service orientation in client-facing roles
- Dependable under pressure and able to solve problems in new ways

This gap is especially large in industries where people interact with each other frequently, such as **healthcare, retail, and hospitality**. Daily interactions are what make or break a business.

Case Vignette – Hospital Network (Tier-2 Cities):

There were open positions for allied health roles for more than **six months.** A communication module that focused on the patient cut **complaints by 22%** and raised **Net Promoter Scores** in just 90 days.

Case Vignette – Hospitality Chain:

A **"learn-to-lead"** track that met national skill standards cut staff turnover from **48% to 29% year** over year and made it easier for **front-line workers** to see how they could move up in their careers.

Snapshots from the Field

Gap Type	Example of Curriculum Lag	Impact
Skills Gap	Mechanical engineering still prioritizes manual drafting; computer science focuses on legacy languages without DevOps exposure.	Entry-level hires require months of bridge training before contributing to projects.
Experience Gap	Internships are often limited to observational visits; no exposure to live projects or deadlines.	Graduates lack project confidence, slowing ramp-up.
Mindset Gap	Minimal training in adaptability, communication, and problem-solving.	High attrition in client-facing roles; low customer satisfaction scores.

Key Insight:

The **Skills Gap, Experience Gap, and Mindset Gap** all work together to make things worse. A graduate who doesn't have the right skills is unlikely to get good experiential learning, and without those experiences, their **workplace mindset development** will be slowed down. In order to work, any solution must address all three at the same time.

Additional Field Notes – Ground-Level Realities from Campuses and Employers

In addition to **statistics and national surveys**, **on-the-ground audits** and feedback from employers show small but ongoing problems that make it harder to get a job. These are often small signs that have a big impact on how ready graduates are for work.

1. Digital Presence and Professional Signaling

- At one **Tier-3 engineering** institute, 80% of the seniors didn't have a LinkedIn profile, and none had a GitHub portfolio. This wasn't because they weren't interested; it was because no one had taught them how to build a professional online presence.
- Students miss out on passive job opportunities like **recruiter searches, hackathon invites**, and **network** introductions because they don't have these profiles.
- On the other hand, campuses that hold **"digital identity"** workshops through their career services see a **15–20%** rise in direct **recruiter outreach** before placement season.

2. **Internship Quality and Relevance**

- Many internships in Tier-2/Tier-3 areas turn into **clerical work, like data entry** and basic documentation, with no chance to work with **real systems, customers**, or make decisions.
- Students often don't get any **structured feedback** or **learning plan**, which makes the internship less valuable for their development and just a line on their resume.
- Employers who pay for structured, **project-based internships** with clear goals and mentor check-ins say that new hires get up to speed faster.

3. **Assessment Drift vs. Curriculum Lag**

- Campus placement tests and **employer screenings** are putting more and more emphasis on **applied reasoning, problem-solving**, and communication instead of rote knowledge.
- However, many **curricula still focus on exams**, which means that graduates can remember theory but have trouble using ideas when they are short on time or resources.
- A leading IT services firm discovered that **merely 30% of new employees** could successfully complete their internal project-readiness evaluations without supplementary training—this result was corroborated in **BFSI and manufacturing** readiness assessments.

Why These Field Notes Matter

There is a direct link between each of these small problems and the **Skills, Experience, and Mindset Gaps:**

- **No digital presence** → weaker signaling of skills, lower recruiter discovery → missed opportunities.
- **Low-quality internships** → lack of meaningful experience → slower ramp-up and higher onboarding costs.
- **Assessment mismatch** → inability to demonstrate applied competence → lower hire-to-offer conversion.

Key Insight:

To solve the **employability paradox**, you need to make sure that what **students show, what they do, and how they are judged all match up**. This means that signaling, substance, and selection all need to be in sync.

Why Closing the Employability Gap is a National Imperative

India's highly praised **demographic dividend** is not a long-term benefit; it is temporary. Without systemic action, the very thing that is said to be driving India's growth could become a structural problem. So, closing the employability gap is not just a good idea; it is **a national need.**

1. Macroeconomic Impact – Billions at Risk

- The **McKinsey Global Institute** says that if there are still gaps in the readiness of the workforce, **India could lose hundreds of billions of dollars in GDP by 2030** (MGI, 2019–2023 analyses).
- If high-growth sectors can't find **job-ready workers** to fill open positions, productivity will stop growing. This is especially true

in IT, advanced manufacturing, BFSI, and healthcare.

- Countries that are competitors, like **Vietnam, the Philippines**, and **Eastern Europe**, could win the contracts, investments, and global supply chain jobs that India is going after.

2. Firm-Level Costs – Revenue Delays and Rising Training Bills

- Large IT, BFSI, and manufacturing companies **spend ₹40,000 to ₹70,000** on remedial training for each new hire, which delays billable **productivity by 8 to 16 weeks.**
- In industries that work on projects, **skill gaps slow down delivery**, cost money, and make clients less sure of themselves.
- **MSMEs,** on the other hand, have fewer resources and face even worse consequences; one bad hire can put operations on hold for months.

3. Household-Level Impact – Income Mobility at Stake

- **Families put a lot of money into education**, often by taking out loans or selling assets to pay for degrees, with the hope of moving up in the world.
- When graduates are underemployed, **they are stuck in jobs** that are much lower than their qualifications, which **lowers their income** and delays their financial independence.
- **Years spent getting ready for government jobs** with selection rates of less than **<1%–2%** delay entry into productive work and lower lifetime earnings.

4. Social and Strategic Risks

- **People are unhappy with society and lose faith in institutions** when they have to look for a job for a long time or their careers don't go anywhere.
- If **employers keep finding gaps in readiness**, India's reputation as a place where talented people can work could suffer.
- A lot of **economic potential is being wasted because women** don't work as much, especially in Bharat's Tier-2 and Tier-3 regions.

Key Insight:

Closing the employability gap is the most important thing India can do to turn its large population into a long-term economic advantage. The **cost of doing nothing is lost GDP**, wasted human resources, and missed chances around the world.

Cost of Inaction vs. Benefit of Closing the Gap – 2030 Outlook

Dimension	If Gap Persists (Cost of Inaction)	If Gap is Closed (Benefit)
GDP Impact	Loss of **$400–$500 billion** cumulative GDP by 2030 due to stalled productivity and missed sectoral growth (MGI estimates).	Additional **$400+ billion GDP gain**, driven by higher productivity and export competitiveness.
Employment	High underemployment rates; millions of graduates in low-value or unrelated jobs.	10–15 million additional high-quality jobs across IT, manufacturing, BFSI, healthcare, and emerging sectors.

(Continued...)

Dimension	If Gap Persists (Cost of Inaction)	If Gap is Closed (Benefit)
Firm Productivity	Billable delays of 8–16 weeks per hire; ₹40k–₹70k retraining cost per employee; missed delivery deadlines.	30%–50% faster time-to-productivity; training spend redirected to innovation and R&D.
Household Income Mobility	Delayed entry into the workforce reduces lifetime earnings; families face prolonged loan repayment.	Earlier and better job placements improve income mobility, higher savings, and investments.
Global Competitiveness	Contracts shift to Vietnam, the Philippines, Eastern Europe; weaker positioning in global value chains.	Strengthened reputation as a premium talent hub; higher share in global services and manufacturing exports.
Social Stability	Frustrated youth, exam obsession, and migration pressures fuel discontent.	Stable, aspirational workforce with stronger local economies in Tier-2/Tier-3 cities.
Women's Workforce Participation	LFPR remains below 25%, especially in rural Bharat.	LFPR rises toward 40%, adding **$250-$300 billion** to GDP potential (World Bank).

From Problem to Blueprint – An Action Framework for Bridging the Employability Gap

To fix India's employment paradox, we need more than small changes. We need a planned, systemic plan that **brings together education, industry, and policy** to work toward common goals. This framework takes the best practices from around the world, new ideas from India, and lessons learned from employers and teachers about what works.

A. Curriculum & Assessment – Teaching for Today's Jobs, Not Yesterday's

Co-create curricula with employers: Work with employers to create curricula: Make sure that the lab and practicum parts are updated every three months and that the core theory is reviewed at least every two years. These changes should be approved by industry boards to make sure they stay in line with real demand.

Mandatory capstone projects: Required capstone projects: These should be graded by people outside of the institute using published rubrics so that the results are clear and can be compared to other projects.

Production-oriented assessments: Instead of just testing students' knowledge, use tests that are similar to what they would do in the real world, like solving helpdesk tickets (IT), completing a sprint (product teams), following SOPs (manufacturing), or managing a service recovery scenario (hospitality).

Example: An engineering college in Pune included automotive OEM problem statements in its final-year mechanical design projects. This led to **a 25% higher placement rate** in automotive jobs.

B. Experience at Scale – Making "Learning by Doing" the Default

- **Micro-internships and apprenticeships** all year long: These should give credit and pay when possible, so that students can get work experience all year long.
- **Sector-specific immersive environments:**
- *IT:* Virtual labs with sandboxed cloud environments.
- *Manufacturing:* "Teaching factories" co-run with MSMEs.
- *Healthcare:* Simulation wards for allied health training.
- *Retail:* Live store labs where students manage inventory, merchandising, and customer service.

   Global Parallel: Germany's *dual system* blends classroom learning with paid apprenticeships; India can localize this via MSME cluster tie-ups.

C. Mindset & Soft Skills – Building the Human Edge

- **Early embedding:** Training in communication, teamwork, and understanding customers should **start in the first semester,** not just as an afterthought during placement season.
- **Peer-driven learning: Use peer reviews, sprint retrospectives**, and reflective journals to help students become more adaptable, create a culture of feedback, and learn how to handle stress.

Sector Example: A healthcare training institute in Karnataka saw **NPS scores rise by 22%** after embedding patient empathy modules from day one of allied health programs.

D. Platforms & Partnerships – Ecosystem-Scale Enablement

- **Technology-led readiness:** Platforms like **MentorKart** can provide mentor-led career readiness and networks; **PeopleZep** can manage assessments, applicant tracking, and targeted job matching.
- **Public-Private-Academia pacts:** Agreements that include shared infrastructure (like labs, factories, and simulation centers) and goals for **placement quality and time to productivity**.

Best Practice: The UK's **"Institute for Apprenticeships"** model could be used as a model for a national or state-level **Employability Council** to help make these kinds of partnerships happen.

E. Incentives & Governance – Paying for Outcomes, Not Just Inputs

- **Outcome-linked funding:** Give grants and subsidies to institutes and training programs based on how many people get jobs, stay in them, and see their wages go up, not just how many people sign up or finish.
- **National & state skill dashboards:** National and state skill dashboards show real-time information on skill demand, training capacity, and return on investment (ROI) to help with policy and funding decisions. These dashboards are based on best practices from the OECD and ILO.

Example: *Singapore's SkillsFuture platform uses personal learning accounts linked to skills that are in high demand. Similar models could be tested in state-level pilots in India.*

Metrics That Matter – Redefining Success in Employability

1. **Placement Quality Index:** Role relevance + compensation alignment.
2. **Time-to-Productivity:** Weeks from joining to full contribution.
3. **Retention Metrics:** At 6, 12, and 24 months post-hire.
4. **Skill Density Index:** Share of learners with industry-recognized certifications.

Global Models to Borrow – Carefully and Contextually

- **Germany:** Dual apprenticeship system – adapt via MSME cluster-based apprenticeships.
- **Singapore:** *SkillsFuture* learning credits – pilot in Indian states with clear demand mapping.
- **Australia:** VET and retail apprenticeships – blend store-floor immersion with nationally recognized credentials.

Key Insight:

The employability gap is not inevitable. By institutionalizing **curriculum agility**, **work-integrated learning**, **soft skill embedding**, and **outcome-linked funding**, India can transform its demographic scale into a global competitive advantage by 2030.

Risks on the Road to Closing the Gap – and How to Mitigate Them

Even the most well-intentioned employability reforms can fail if they fall into predictable traps. The challenge is not just designing the right interventions, but **ensuring they deliver measurable, lasting impact**.

1. Tokenism – The Failure of the MoU Model

The Risk:

For years, businesses, institutes, and governments have signed Memorandums of Understanding (MoUs) to provide people with jobs. In reality, many of these agreements have turned into empty gestures: a photo-op at a signing ceremony, a press release, and then little to no measurable change in how ready students are for the institute. **The MoU model** has not been able to turn intent into impact because there is no structured co-delivery, defined resources, or accountability.

The Mitigation:

- Replace **open-ended MoUs** with **performance contracts**, specifying delivery hours, infrastructure commitments, and placement KPIs.
- Mandate **joint curriculum ownership** and co-teaching, with faculty secondments into industry and industry trainers embedded in campuses.
- Require **annual impact reporting** to all stakeholders, including government agencies, with renewal tied to performance.

Example: A state technical university replaced 17 dormant MoUs with **three high-accountability industry compacts** that had fixed budgets, shared faculty rosters, and public scorecards. Within 18 months, relevant placement rates increased by **22%** across partner campuses.

Callout Box: Why Most Industry-Academia–Government MoUs Fail

Common Failure Points:

1. **No Execution Plan** – MoUs rarely define *how* commitments will be delivered week-to-week.
2. **Lack of Accountability** – No KPIs or consequences for non-performance.
3. **One-Off Engagements** – A guest lecture or occasional seminar, rather than embedded collaboration.
4. **Misaligned Timelines** – Academic calendars and industry hiring cycles rarely sync.
5. **Funding Without Follow-Through** – Budget allocations announced but not tied to measurable milestones.

How to Fix the MoU Model:

- Convert MoUs into **Performance Compacts** with specific deliverables, budgets, and timelines.
- **Embed co-delivery** – industry experts teaching 15–20% of courses, and faculty spending time in industry projects.
- Align **academic and hiring calendars** so internships and placements match demand cycles.

- Require **annual public reporting** of impact: placement quality, time-to-productivity, and retention rates.
- Incentivise sustained partnerships through **tax benefits, CSR credits, or government recognition schemes**.

Bottom Line: Without structure, accountability, and shared ownership, an MoU is just a signed piece of paper.

2. Equity Gaps – Leaving Bharat Behind

The Risk:

If employability reform focuses only on metro campuses, **Bharat's Tier-2/Tier-3 institutions**, where the majority of India's future workforce studies, will continue to lag. The result: **widening opportunity inequality** between urban and rural youth.

The Mitigation:

- Provide **scholarships**, **micro-campuses**, and **vernacular content** to expand access.
- Use **blended delivery models** (digital + local labs) to reach smaller towns.
- Incentivise industry to partner with **regional institutions** through tax benefits or CSR credits.

Example: A healthcare skills provider translated all modules into Hindi, Tamil, and Bengali – doubling enrolment from semi-urban districts and raising **female participation to 46%**.

3. Short-Termism – Chasing Quick Wins Over Sustainable Change

The Risk:

Short-term bootcamps or one-off initiatives may spike placement numbers for a year but **do little to change systemic employability levels**.

The Mitigation:

- Lock in **multi-year MoUs** with clear delivery and funding commitments.
- **Publish progress annually**, including retention and wage-growth metrics, to maintain accountability.
- Align programs to **sector skill roadmaps** that look 3–5 years ahead.

Example: A BFSI–university partnership extended its agreement from 1 to 5 years, with shared funding for a permanent **financial services lab**, resulting in a **40% improvement in role relevance of placements** over three cohorts.

The Skilled by Design Imperatives

To avoid these pitfalls, the *Skilled by Design* approach calls for:

1. **Continuous alignment** of learning outcomes with real-time market needs, not static course objectives.
2. **Embedding experiential learning** through internships, live projects, and simulations as core elements of higher education.

3. **Treating employability as a lifelong journey**, with structured upskilling at career inflection points, not just pre-employment training.

Hook into Chapter 2:

If Chapter 1 has defined the employability paradox and sketched the blueprint to solve it, Chapter 2 will **trace the talent journey from classroom to career**, pinpointing where the pipeline cracks and how it can be rebuilt into a high-speed, high-quality conveyor of skilled talent.

✦   ✦   ✦

Enjoyed reading this sample?

Purchase the whole copy at

amazon.in