

# Lecture - Failure of parabolicity of the Ricci flow

Recall :-

$$L : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(F) \text{ of order } m$$

$$L(u) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} L_\alpha \partial^\alpha u \quad L_\alpha \in \text{Hom}(E, F)$$

$$\text{Total symbol} \quad \tilde{\sigma} \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^m M)$$

$$\sigma[L](\tilde{x}) : E \rightarrow F$$

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} L_\alpha \tilde{x}^\alpha$$

principal symbol

$$\hat{\sigma}[L](\tilde{x}) : E \rightarrow F$$

$$= \sum_{|\alpha|=m} L_\alpha \tilde{x}^\alpha$$

$$u : M \times [0, t) \rightarrow E \quad w/$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = L(u)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$$

$L$  is some diff. oper.

for  $L$  non-linear, the linearization of  $L$   
at  $u_0$  in the direction  $v = u'(0)$

$D[L] : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(F)$  s.t

$$D[L](v) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} L(u(t)) \right|_{t=0}$$

$u(t)$  is a time-dependent section.

$$-2Ric : \Gamma(S^2_+ P^* M) \rightarrow \Gamma(S^2 P^* M)$$

$$g \longmapsto -2Ric(g)$$

RF.  $\partial_t g(t) = -2Ric(g(t))$

if  $\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = h_{ij}$ , variation formulas  
tell us that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t R_{jk} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{pq} \left( \nabla_q \nabla_j h_{kp} + \nabla_q \nabla_k h_{jp} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \nabla_q \nabla_p h_{jk} - \nabla_j \nabla_k h_{qp} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore (DRic_g)(h)_{jk} = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Ric(g(t)) \right|_{t=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} g^{pq} \left( \nabla_q \nabla_j h_{kp} + \nabla_q \nabla_k h_{jp} - \nabla_q \nabla_p h_{jk} - \nabla_j \nabla_k h_{qp} \right)$$

Principal symbol

$$\hat{\sigma}^{\wedge} [DRicg](\xi) : S^2 T^* M \longrightarrow S^2 T^* M$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\sigma}^{\wedge} [DRicg](\xi))(h)_{jk} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{pq} \left( \xi_q \xi_j h_{kp} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \xi_q \xi_k h_{jp} - \xi_q \xi_p h_{jk} - \xi_j \xi_k h_{qp} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}^{\wedge} [D(-2Ricg)](\xi)(h) &= g^{pq} \left( \xi_q \xi_p h_{jk} + \xi_j \xi_k h_{pq} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \xi_q \xi_j h_{kp} - \xi_q \xi_k h_{jp} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In order for  $-2Ric$  to be elliptic,  $\exists c > 0$   
 s.t.  $\forall h$

$$\langle \hat{\sigma}^{\wedge} [-2DRicg](\xi)(h), h \rangle \geq c |\xi|^2 |h|^2$$

LHS from above

$$= g^{pq} \left( \bar{g}_{ij} \bar{g}_p^k h_{jk} + \bar{g}_{ij} \bar{g}_k^l h_{pq} \right. \\ \left. - \bar{g}_{pq} \bar{g}_{ij} h_{kp} - \bar{g}_{pq} \bar{g}_k^l h_{jl} \right) \underbrace{h^{jk}}_{h_{mn} g^{mj} g^{nk}}$$

choose  $h_{jk} = \bar{g}_{ij} \bar{g}_k^l$  ( $h = \bar{g} \otimes \bar{g}$ )

LHS =

$$g^{pq} \left( \bar{g}_{ij} \bar{g}_p^k \bar{g}_{ij} \bar{g}_k^l + \bar{g}_{ij} \bar{g}_k^l \bar{g}_p^m \bar{g}_{ml} \right. \\ \left. - \bar{g}_{pq} \bar{g}_{ij} \bar{g}_k^l \bar{g}_p^m - \bar{g}_{pq} \bar{g}_k^l \bar{g}_j \bar{g}_p^m \right) h^{jk}$$

$$= 0$$

$\therefore -2\text{Ric}$  as an operator is NOT elliptic  
 and  $\text{RF}$  is NOT parabolic  $\Leftrightarrow -2\text{Ric}$   
 has non-zero Kernel as an operator.

Claim: - The failure of parabolicity is  
 only due to the diff. covariance  
 of Ric.

$$g \rightsquigarrow R_c(g)$$

$$\nabla_i R_{ik} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_k R = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_k (g^{pq} R_{pq}).$$

Contracted 2<sup>nd</sup> Bianchi identity is a consequence of the diffeo. invariance of  $R_c$ .

$\{\varphi_t\}$  diffeo. generated by  $X$  w/  $\varphi_0 = \text{id}_M$

$$\varphi_t^*(R(g)) = R(\varphi_t^* g)$$

linearizing this eqn.

$$\begin{aligned} DR(\mathcal{L}_X g) &= \frac{d}{dt} R(\varphi_t^* g)|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} (\varphi_t^*(R(g)))|_{t=0} \\ &= \mathcal{L}_X R = \nabla_X R. \end{aligned}$$

$$DR(\mathcal{L}_X g) = \nabla_X R$$

Recall,  $DR_g(h) = -g^{ij}g^{kl} \left( \nabla_i \nabla_j h_{kl} - \nabla_i \nabla_k h_{jl} + R_{ik} h_{jl} \right)$

here  $h = \mathcal{L}_X g$ , i.e.  $h_{ij} = \nabla_i X_j + \nabla_j X_i$

$$DR(\nabla_i X_j + \nabla_j X_i)$$

$$= -g^{ij}g^{kl} \left( \nabla_i \nabla_j (\nabla_k X_l + \nabla_l X_k) - \cancel{\nabla_i \nabla_k (\nabla_j X_l + \nabla_l X_j)} + R_{ik} (\nabla_j X_l + \nabla_l X_j) \right)$$

Ricci identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_i (\nabla_k \nabla_j X_l - R_{jkim} X_m) \\ = & \cancel{\nabla_i \nabla_k \nabla_j X_l} - \nabla_i R_{jkim} X_m \\ & - R_{jkim} \nabla_i X_m \end{aligned}$$

commute derivatives to get rid of all  $\nabla X$  terms

$$= 2 X_k \nabla_i R_{ik}$$

$$2 X_k \nabla_i R_{ik} = X_k \nabla_k R$$

is true for any v.f.  $X$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\nabla_i R_{ik} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_k R} \quad - \text{contracted 2nd Bianchi identity.}$$

conversely, the once contracted 2nd Bianchi identity gives the invariance of Ric. In fact, consider the map

$$\partial : \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^*M) \rightarrow S^2 \mathbb{P}^*M$$

$$(\partial(x))_{ij} = \nabla_i x_j + \nabla_j x_i. \text{ Then}$$

$$[((DR_{\mathcal{C}_g}) \circ \partial)(x)]_{jk} =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p \nabla_j (\nabla_k x_p + \nabla_p x_k) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p \nabla_k (\nabla_j x_p + \nabla_p x_j) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \Delta (\nabla_j x_k + \nabla_k x_j) - \nabla_j \nabla_k (\operatorname{div} x) \end{aligned}$$

=

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p \nabla_j \nabla_k x_p + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p \nabla_j \nabla_p x_k + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p \nabla_k \nabla_j x_p + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p \nabla_k \nabla_p x_j \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \Delta (\nabla_j x_k + \nabla_k x_j) - \nabla_j \nabla_k (\operatorname{div} x) \end{aligned}$$

commuting covariant derivatives for the highlighted terms give

$$\begin{aligned} & = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p \nabla_j \nabla_k x_p + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p \nabla_k \nabla_j x_p + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p (\nabla_p \nabla_j x_k - R_{jpm} x_m) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p (\nabla_p \nabla_k x_j - R_{kpm} x_m) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta (\nabla_j x_k + \nabla_k x_j) \\ & - \nabla_j \nabla_k (\operatorname{div} x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \nabla_p \nabla_j \nabla_k X_p + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p \nabla_k \nabla_j X_p - \nabla_j \nabla_k (\operatorname{div} X)}_{-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_p R_{jpkm} X_m - \frac{1}{2} R_{jpkm} \nabla_p X_m} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_p R_{kpjm} X_m - \frac{1}{2} R_{kpjm} \nabla_p X_m
\end{aligned}$$

The underlined term on using the Ricci identity becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_j \nabla_p (\nabla_k X_p) - \frac{1}{2} R_{pjks} \nabla_s X_p - \frac{1}{2} R_{pjps} \nabla_k X_s \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_j (\nabla_k \nabla_p X_p - R_{pkpl} X_l) - \frac{1}{2} R_{pjks} \nabla_s X_p + \frac{1}{2} R_{js} \nabla_k X_s \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_j \nabla_k (\operatorname{div} X) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_j R_{ki} X_l + \frac{1}{2} R_{ki} \nabla_j X_l - \frac{1}{2} R_{pjks} \nabla_s X_p \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} R_{js} \nabla_k X_s
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly by interchanging  $k \leftrightarrow j$  and simplifying, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
[D(R_{kj}) \circ \partial](X)_{jk} &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_j R_{ke} X_e + \frac{1}{2} R_{ke} \nabla_j X_e \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} R_{pjks} \nabla_s X_p + \frac{1}{2} R_{js} \nabla_k X_s \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_k R_{je} X_e + \frac{1}{2} R_{je} \nabla_k X_e - \frac{1}{2} R_{pkjs} \nabla_s X_p + \frac{1}{2} R_{ks} \nabla_k X_s
\end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \underline{\nabla_p R_{jpm} X_m} - \frac{1}{2} R_{jpm} \nabla_p X_m$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \underline{\nabla_p R_{kpm} X_m} - \frac{1}{2} R_{kpm} \nabla_p X_m$$

Use the once contracted 2<sup>nd</sup> Bianchi identity for the underlined terms and collecting terms we'll get that

$$[D(Rg) \circ \partial](x)_{jk} = (X_p \nabla_p R_{jk} + R_{jp} \nabla_k X_p + R_{kp} \nabla_j X_p)$$

$$= [\mathcal{L}_X(Rg)]_{jk}.$$

Thus, Once contracted 2<sup>nd</sup> Bianchi identity  $\Rightarrow$   
diffeo. invariance of the Ricci tensor.

I mentioned this in the lecture but above is a proof. Also note that I am using once contracted 2<sup>nd</sup> Bianchi identity to prove diff. invariance of Ric. If you start w/  $[DRg \circ \partial](x)$  and proceed as above and use twice contracted 2<sup>nd</sup> Bianchi iden. then you'll get the diffeo. invariance of the scalar curvature, the converse of which was done in the lectures. Thus Bianchi iden.  $\Rightarrow$  diffeo. invariance.

Idea for any other geometric flow

The diffeomorphism invariance of tensors involved gives you new identities for the tensor.

Exer. :-  $\Psi_t^*(\text{Ric}(g)) = \text{Ric}(\Psi_t^*g)$  gives

$$\nabla_i R_{ijmk} = \nabla_k R_{jm} - \nabla_m R_{jk} \quad (\text{Ass. 1})$$

and  $\Psi_t^*(\text{Rm}(g)) = \text{Rm}(\Psi_t^*g)$

gives

algebraic & diff. Bianchi identity.

(cf. Hilbert)

Jerry Kazdan)

We'll prove that  $\text{Ker}(\hat{\sigma}[\text{DRe}])$  is  $n$ -dim  
in every  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ -dim fiber of  $S^2\mathbb{P}^1 M$ .

Want to analyze  $\hat{\sigma}[\text{DRe}]$

Consider  $\partial : \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^*M) \rightarrow S^2 \mathbb{P}^*M$

$$(\partial x)_{ij} = \nabla_i x_j + \nabla_j x_i = (\text{d}x g)_{ij}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}(\partial)(\bar{x})_{ij} = -\bar{\partial}_i x_j + \bar{\partial}_j x_i$$

$$D(Rcg) \circ \partial : \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^*M) \longrightarrow S^2 \mathbb{P}^*M$$

$$\hat{\sigma}[D(Rcg) \circ \partial] = \hat{\sigma}[D(Rc)] \circ \hat{\sigma}[\partial].$$

Claim :-  $\hat{\sigma}[D(Rc)] \circ \hat{\sigma}[\partial]$  is the zero map.

Calculate  $\hat{\sigma}[\partial] = \bar{\partial}_i x_j + \bar{\partial}_j x_i$

$$\hat{\sigma}[D(Rc)] = \frac{1}{2} g^{pq} \left( \bar{\partial}_q \bar{\partial}_j h_{kp} \right)$$

$$+ \bar{\partial}_q \bar{\partial}_k h_{jp} - \bar{\partial}_q \bar{\partial}_p h_{jk} - \bar{\partial}_j \bar{\partial}_k h_{qp} \right)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}[DRc] \circ \hat{\sigma}[\partial]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} g^{pq} \left( \bar{\partial}_q \bar{\partial}_j (\bar{\partial}_k x_p + \bar{\partial}_p x_k) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \tilde{\alpha}_q \tilde{\alpha}_k (\tilde{\alpha}_j x_p + \tilde{\alpha}_p x_k) \\
& - \tilde{\alpha}_q \tilde{\alpha}_p (\tilde{\alpha}_j x_k + \tilde{\alpha}_k x_j) \\
& - \tilde{\alpha}_j \tilde{\alpha}_k (\tilde{\alpha}_q x_p + \tilde{\alpha}_p x_q)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \frac{1}{2} g^{pq} \left( \tilde{\alpha}_q \tilde{\alpha}_j \tilde{\alpha}_k x_p + \tilde{\alpha}_q \tilde{\alpha}_j \tilde{\alpha}_p x_k \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \tilde{\alpha}_q \tilde{\alpha}_k \tilde{\alpha}_j x_p + \tilde{\alpha}_q \tilde{\alpha}_k \tilde{\alpha}_p x_k \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \tilde{\alpha}_q \tilde{\alpha}_p \tilde{\alpha}_j x_k - \tilde{\alpha}_q \tilde{\alpha}_p \tilde{\alpha}_k x_j \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \tilde{\alpha}_j \tilde{\alpha}_k \tilde{\alpha}_q x_p - \tilde{\alpha}_j \tilde{\alpha}_k \tilde{\alpha}_p x_q \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= 0$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^* [D_{RC}] \circ \hat{\sigma} [\partial] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\dim(\hat{\sigma}[\partial](\xi))}_{n\text{-dim}} \subseteq \ker(\hat{\sigma}^*[D_{RC}](\xi))$$

$$\dim(\ker(\hat{\sigma}^*[D_{RC}](\xi))) \geq n$$

①

Discussion:-

$D(\text{Reg}) \circ \mathcal{F}$  is a pnoni  
a 3<sup>rd</sup>-order diff. operator.

$$\therefore R_c(\varphi^* g) = \underbrace{\varphi^*(R_c(g))}_{\mathcal{L}_X(R_c)}$$

order 1

If  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}[D[\text{Ric}]] \circ \mathcal{F} = 0$ .

We now show that

$$\dim(\ker(\hat{\mathcal{F}}[D[\text{Ric}]]) \leq n$$

We introduce Bianchi operator

$$B_g : S^2 \mathcal{R}^* M \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{R}^* M)$$

$$(B_g(h))_K = g^{ij} (\nabla_i h_{jk} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_k h_{ij})$$

$B_g(\text{Ric}) = 0$ . (twice contracted 2<sup>nd</sup> Bianchi identity).

$$\left(\hat{\sigma}^* [Bg](\xi)(h)\right)_k = g^{ij} (\xi_i h_{jk} - \frac{1}{2} \xi_k h_{ij})$$

$$Bg \circ DRic : \Gamma(S^2 T^* M) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^* M)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^* (Bg \circ DRic) = \underbrace{\hat{\sigma}^*(Bg) \circ \hat{\sigma}^*[DRic]}_{\text{Zero map.}}$$

Exercise. Check by using the expression from  $\hat{\sigma}^*[DRic]$  that indeed  $\hat{\sigma}^*(Bg) \circ \hat{\sigma}^*[DRic] = 0$ .

$$\text{im}(\hat{\sigma}^*[DRic](\xi)) \subseteq \ker(\hat{\sigma}^*[Bg])$$

— (2)

Let  $K_\xi = \ker(\hat{\sigma}^*[Bg](\xi)) \subseteq S^2 T^* M$   
and

$$A_\xi = \left\{ \xi \otimes X + X \otimes \xi - \langle \xi, X \rangle g \mid X \in \Gamma(T^* M) \right\} \subseteq S^2 T^* M$$

If  $\xi \neq 0$ ,  $\dim A_\xi = n$ .  $A_\xi$  has trivial kernel.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \hat{\sigma}[Bg](\xi)(h), X \rangle \\
 &= \langle \bar{\xi}_i h_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\xi}_j h_{ii}, X_j \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{\xi} \otimes X + X \otimes \bar{\xi} - \langle \bar{\xi}, X \rangle g, h \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow A_\xi : \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n M) \rightarrow S^2(\mathbb{R}^n M)$  is the adjoint of  $\hat{\sigma}[Bg]$   
and

$$\text{ker}(\hat{\sigma}[Bg]) = K_\xi = A_\xi^\perp$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow \dim(\text{ker}(\hat{\sigma}[Bg](\xi))) &= \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n \\
 &= \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\hat{\sigma}[DR_C](\xi)(h)]_{jk} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{pq} \{ \bar{\xi}_q \bar{\xi}_j h_{kp} + \bar{\xi}_q \bar{\xi}_k h_{jp} \\
 &\quad - \bar{\xi}_p \bar{\xi}_k h_{jk} - \bar{\xi}_j \bar{\xi}_k h_{pq} \}
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $h \in K_\xi$ , i.e.,  $h$  satisfies  $\bar{\xi}_i h_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\xi}_j h_{ii}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\hat{\sigma}[DR_C](\xi)(h)]_{jk} &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \{ \bar{\xi}_q \bar{\xi}_j h_{kp} + \bar{\xi}_q \bar{\xi}_k h_{jp} \\
 &\quad - |\xi|^2 h_{jk} - \bar{\xi}_j \bar{\xi}_k h_{pq} \} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \bar{\xi}_j \frac{1}{2} \bar{\xi}_k tr h + \bar{\xi}_k \frac{1}{2} \bar{\xi}_j tr h - |\xi|^2 h_{jk} - \bar{\xi}_j \bar{\xi}_k tr h \right\} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} |\xi|^2 h_{jk}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \hat{f}^* [DR_{cg}] (\bar{x}) = -\frac{1}{2} |\bar{x}|^2 id_{K_{\bar{x}}}$  and is an automorphism

on each fiber.

$$\Rightarrow \dim (\text{im } \hat{f}^* [DR_c] (\bar{x})) \geq \dim K_{\bar{x}} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

$$\therefore \dim (\text{im } \hat{f}^* [DR_c]) + \dim (\ker (\hat{f}^* [DR_c])) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

we get

$$\dim (\ker (\hat{f}^* [DR_c] (\bar{x})) \leq n \quad \textcircled{2}$$

from eq \textcircled{1} and \textcircled{2}

$$\dim (\ker (\hat{f}^* [DR_c] (\bar{x})) = n$$

Thus  $\hat{f}^* [DR_c]$  is an isomorphism on  $\ker (\hat{f}^* [Bg])$

$\Rightarrow$  the only obstruction to the parabolicity of the RF is  $\text{im}(\hat{f}^* [Bg]) \Rightarrow$  the obstruction is only the Bianchi identity. But  $\circ \circ$  the Bianchi identity  $\Leftrightarrow$  diff. invariance  $\Rightarrow$  the only obstruction to the parabolicity of RF is diff. invariance of Ric.