

LECTURE 2

Data Sampling and Probability

How to sample effectively, and how to quantify the samples we collect.

Data 100, Summer 2021 @ UC Berkeley


Raguvir Kunani and Isaac Schmidt

(content by Suraj Rampure, Ani Adhikari, Joseph Gonzalez)

Roadmap

Welcome to the first content lecture of Data 100! Here we plan on:

- Formalizing various ideas that pertain to sampling.
 - Why we need to sample in the first place.
 - What it means for our sample to be biased.
 - How to prevent these biases in our samples.
 - What exactly a sampling frame is, and why choosing a good one is important.
- Learning how to compute probabilities from samples.
 - This will be continued into Lecture 3.



We call this the
Data Science Lifecycle.

Question: How many squirrels are there in Central Park, New York City?



Why Count All the Squirrels in Central Park? Why the Heck Not

The team behind the park's census of Eastern grays say an accurate tally is possible despite the critters', well, squirrelish ways.



By Andy Newman

Oct. 6, 2018



I signed up for four shifts counting squirrels across the park. Here I am on my third shift near Springbanks Arch.

[The process as reported by Denise Li \(Jan 8, 2020\).](#)

The question was posed by The Squirrel Census, an independent effort that started when Jamie Allen, a writer, discovered there was no official count.


“I thought that was funny because we do keep track of other animals,” Allen said.

“Somehow, the Eastern gray squirrel, being so common, had slipped under the radar.”



To interpret raw data, we model

Out in the field, I was assigned an area to walk about and search for 20 minutes. When I saw a squirrel, I plotted its location on a detailed map.



smaller sample portions of the area. Such a method has been proposed by Goodrum (1940) for use in estimating squirrel populations. To employ this technique, 10 vantage points were selected in each woodlot. These observation points were visited in sequence, and 15 minutes were spent at each spot before moving on to the next. The number of squirrels seen was recorded, and the distance from the observer to each squirrel was measured. This is essentially the census method suggested by Goodrum. After 50–110 observation periods, the above-recorded data were used to make an estimate

of the squirrel population (\hat{P}) of a woodlot. Six different estimates were made by this method. The formula employed was

$$\hat{P} = \frac{AZ}{(0.6) \pi Sy^2} \text{ where}$$

A = total area of the woods (in each case, 10 acres);

Z = number of squirrels seen;

S = number of 15-minute observation periods;

y = average of all distances from the observer to the squirrels seen.


The constant 0.6 was used because it was believed that only that much of the circle around the observer could be well seen.

Censuses and Surveys

The US Decennial Census

- Was held in April 2020.
- Counts **every person** living in all 50 states, DC, and US territories. (Not just citizens.)
- Mandated by the Constitution. Participation is required by law.
- Important uses:
 - Allocation of Federal funds.
 - Congressional representation.
 - Drawing congressional and state legislative districts.

every 10 years



data.census.gov

In general: a census is “an official count or survey of a **population**, typically recording various details of individuals.”

Surveys

- A **survey** is a set of questions.
 - For instance: workers survey individuals and households.
- What is asked, and how it is asked, can affect:
 - How the respondent answers.
 - Whether the respondent answers.

There are entire courses on surveying!

- See Stat 152 at Berkeley (Sampling Surveys).

FiveThirtyEight

Politics Sports Science & Health Economics Culture

JUN. 27, 2019, AT 12:42 PM

The Supreme Court Stopped The Census Citizenship Question — For Now

By Amelia Thomson-DeVeaux

NATIONAL

Citizenship Question To Be Removed From 2020 Census In U.S. Territories


August 9, 2019 · 3:23 PM ET

Issues with the US Decennial Census

Going uncounted

Los Angeles County leads the state in Latino children not tallied by the U.S. Census.

Counties with the highest number of uncounted Latino children (in thousands)



Sources: NALEO Educational Fund and Child Trends' Hispanic Institute

@latimesgraphics

In 2020 Census, Big Efforts in Some States. In Others, Not So Much.

California is spending \$187 million to try to ensure an accurate count of its population. The Texas Legislature decided not to devote any money to the job. Why?

need big time efforts

High Court Rejects Sampling In Census Ruling Has Political, Economic Impacts

How do we know these numbers?
From other surveys.

Census is not perfect

Samples

Sampling from a finite population

A census is great, but expensive and difficult to execute.

A **sample** is a subset of the population.

- Samples are often used to make **inferences about the population**.
- How you draw the sample will affect your accuracy.
- Two common sources of error:
 - **chance error**: random samples can vary from what is expected, in any direction.
 - **bias**: a systematic error in one direction.

We will now look at some types of **non-random** samples, before formalizing what it means for a sample to be random.

Convenience samples

A **convenience sample** is whoever you can get ahold of.

- Not a good idea for inference!
- Haphazard \neq random.
- Sources of bias can introduce themselves in ways you may not think of!

Convenience samples are not random.

Example: Suppose we have a cage of mice, and each week, we want to measure the weights of these mice. To do so, we take a convenience sample of these mice, and weigh them.

Do you expect the weights of our sampled mice to be representative of all mice in our cage?

heavier mice they are easy to grab!



Quota samples

In a **quota sample**, you first specify your desired breakdown of various subgroups, and then reach those targets however you can.

- For example: you may want to sample individuals in your town, and you may want the age distribution of your sample to match that of your town's census results.

Quota samples are not random.

Issues with quota samples:

- Reaching quotas “however you can” is, as we saw in the previous slide, **not random**.
- By setting quotas, you require that your sample look like your population with regards to just a few aspects – but not all!
 - For example, if you set quotas for age, your sample might be representative of your population with regards to age.
 - What about gender? Ethnicity? Income?

Quality, not quantity!

Try to ensure that **the sample is representative of the population.**

- Don't just try to get a big sample.
- If your method of sampling is bad, and your sample is big, you will have a **big, bad sample!**

This is a phenomenon you will explore in-depth in Homework 2, where you will perform an analysis of the 2016 US Presidential Elections.



Big Bad Wolf

Bias

Case study – 1936 Presidential Election



Roosevelt (D)



Landon (R)

In 1936, President Franklin D. Roosevelt (left) went up for re-election against Alf Landon (right). As is usual, polls were conducted in the months leading up to the election to try and predict the outcome.

The Literary Digest

The Literary Digest was a magazine. They had successfully predicted the outcome of 5 general elections coming into 1936.

They sent out their survey to **10,000,000** individuals, who they found from:

- Phone books.
- Lists of magazine subscribers.
- Lists of country club members.

The Literary Digest

NEW YORK OCTOBER 31, 1936

Topics of the day

LANDON, 1,293,669; ROOSEVELT, 972,897

Final Returns in The Digest's Poll of Ten Million Voters

Well, the great battle of the ballots in the Poll of ten million voters, scattered throughout the forty-eight States of the Union, is now finished, and in the table below we record the figures received up to the hour of going to press.

These figures are exactly as received from more than one in every five voters polled in our country—they are neither weighted, adjusted nor interpreted.

Never before in an experience covering more than a quarter of a century in taking polls have we received so many different varieties of criticism—praise from many; condemnation from many others—and yet it has been just of the same type that has come to us every time a Poll has been taken in all these years.

A telegram from a newspaper in California asks: "Is it true that Mr. Hearst has purchased THE LITERARY DIGEST?" A telephone message only the day before these lines were written: "Has the Repub-

lican National Committee purchased THE LITERARY DIGEST?" And all types and varieties, including: "Have the Jews purchased THE LITERARY DIGEST?" "Is the Pope of Rome a stockholder of THE LITERARY DIGEST?" And so it goes—all equally absurd and amusing. We could add more to this list, and yet all of these questions in recent days are but repetitions of what we have been experiencing all down the years from the very first Poll.

Problem—Now, are the figures in this Poll correct? In answer to this question we will simply refer to a telegram we sent to a young man in Massachusetts the other day in answer to his challenge to us to wager \$100,000 on the accuracy of our Poll. We wired him as follows:

"For nearly a quarter century, we have been taking Polls of the voters in the forty-eight States, and especially in Presidential years, and we have always merely mailed the ballots, counted and recorded those

returned and let the people of the Nation draw their conclusions as to our accuracy. So far, we have been right in every Poll. Will we be right in the current Poll? That, as Mrs. Roosevelt said concerning the President's reelection, is in the 'lap of the gods.'

"We never make any claims before election but we respectfully refer you to the opinion of one of the most quoted citizens to-day, the Hon. James A. Farley, Chairman of the Democratic National Committee. This is what Mr. Farley said October 14, 1936:

"Any sane person can not escape the implication of such a gigantic sampling of popular opinion as is embraced in THE LITERARY DIGEST straw vote. I consider this conclusive evidence as to the desire of the people of this country for a change in the National Government. THE LITERARY DIGEST poll is an achievement of no little magnitude. It is a Poll fairly and correctly conducted."

In studying the table of the voters from

The statistics and the material in this article are the property of Funk & Wagnalls Company and have been copyrighted by it; neither the whole nor any part thereof may be reprinted or published without the special permission of the copyright owner.

The Literary Digest

The Literary Digest's **prediction**:

43% Roosevelt, **57%** Landon

The **actual** outcome of the election:

61% Roosevelt, **37%** Landon

How could this have happened?

They surveyed 10 million people!

- Their sample was **not representative** of the population.
 - They sampled people who owned phones, subscribed to magazines, and went to country clubs, who at the time were more affluent.
 - These people tended to vote Republican (Alf Landon).
- Only 2.4 million people **actually filled out the survey!** *out of 10 million*
 - 24% response rate (low).
 - Who knows how the other 76% would have polled?

Gallup's Poll

George Gallup, a rising statistician, also made predictions about the impending 1936 elections. He predicted that Roosevelt would win with **56% of the vote**.

Not only was his estimate much closer than The Literary Digest's estimate, but he did it with a **sample size of only 50,000!**

George Gallup also predicted what The Literary Digest was going to predict, within 1%. **How was he able to predict what they were going to predict, with such accuracy?**

- He predicted that they would survey people in the phone book, people who subscribed to magazines, and who were part of country clubs.
- So he sampled those same individuals!
- He was able to predict their prediction by sampling only 3000 people.

Summary of results


	% Roosevelt	# surveyed
The Literary Digest poll	43%	10,000,000
George Gallup's poll	56%	50,000
George Gallup's prediction of Digest's prediction	44%	3,000
Actual election	61%	All voters

Big samples aren't always good!

- What you need is a representative sample.
- If your sampling method is biased, those biases will be magnified with a larger sample size.

Population, samples, and sampling frame

- **Population:** The group that you want to learn something about.
- **Sampling Frame:** The list from which the sample is drawn.
 - If you're sampling people, the sampling frame is the set of all people that could possibly end up in your sample.
- **Sample:** Who you actually end up sampling.
 - A subset of your sampling frame.



Note: There may be individuals in your sampling frame (and hence, your sample) that are not in your population!

Common Biases

Selection Bias

- Systematically excluding (or favoring) particular groups.
- How to avoid: Examine the sampling frame and the method of sampling.

||| pick-up

Response Bias

- People don't always respond truthfully.
- How to avoid: Examine the nature of questions and the method of surveying.

socially desirability bias lie.

Non-response Bias

- People don't always respond.
- How to avoid: Keep your surveys short, and be persistent.
- People who don't respond aren't like the people who do!

no answer

Probability Samples

Probability sampling

Why? One reason is to reduce bias, but that's not the main reason!

- Random samples **can** produce biased estimates of population characteristics.
 - For example, if we're estimating the maximum of a population.
- But with random samples we are able to **estimate the bias and chance error**.
 - We can **quantify the uncertainty**.

For our purposes, **probability samples** and **random samples** will mean the same thing.

A probability sample is a **type of sampling technique**.

Probability sampling

In order for a sample to be a probability sample:

- You **must** be able to provide the chance that any specified set of individuals will be in the sample.
- All individuals in the population **do not need to** have the same chance of being selected.
- You will still be able to measure the errors, because you know all the probabilities.

ex. →

Not all probability samples are necessarily good.

For instance, suppose I have three students: Allen, Kunal, Ishaan, and I want to sample two of them.

- I choose Allen with probability 1.
- I choose either Kunal or Ishaan, each with probability $\frac{1}{2}$.

This is a probability sample (but it's not great).

Some random sampling schemes

A **random sample with replacement** is a sample drawn **uniformly** at random **with replacement**.

- Random doesn't always mean “uniformly at random,” but in this specific context, it does.

A **simple random sample** is a sample drawn **uniformly** at random **without replacement**.

- Every individual has the same chance of being selected.
- **Every pair has the same chance as every other pair.**
- Every triple has the same chance as every other triple.
- And so on.



Example scenario

Consider the following sampling scheme:

- Suppose a class roster has 1200 students listed alphabetically.
- Pick one of the first 10 students on the list at random.
- To create your sample, take that student and every 10th student listed after that (e.g. [Students 8, 18, 28](#), etc).

Pause here and answer these questions!

Is this a probability sample?

Yes

Does each student have the same probability of being selected?

Yes

Is this a simple random sample?

No

Example scenario

Consider the following sampling scheme:

- Suppose a class roster has 1200 students listed alphabetically.
- Pick one of the first 10 students on the list at random.
- To create your sample, take that student and every 10th student listed after that (e.g. [Students 8, 18, 28](#), etc).

Is this a probability sample?

- **Yes.** If my sample is $[n, n + 10, n + 20, \dots, n + 1190]$, where $0 \leq n \leq 10$, the probability of that sample is $1/10$.
- Otherwise, the probability is 0.
- Only 10 possible samples!

Does each student have the same probability of being selected?

Is this a simple random sample?

Example scenario

Consider the following sampling scheme:

- Suppose a class roster has 1200 students listed alphabetically.
- Pick one of the first 10 students on the list at random.
- To create your sample, take that student and every 10th student listed after that (e.g. [Students 8, 18, 28](#), etc).

Is this a probability sample?

- **Yes.** If my sample is $[n, n + 10, n + 20, \dots, n + 1190]$, where $0 \leq n \leq 10$, the probability of that sample is $1/10$.
- Otherwise, the probability is 0.
- Only 10 possible samples!

Does each student have the same probability of being selected?

- **Yes.** Each student is chosen with probability $1/10$.

Is this a simple random sample?

Example scenario

Consider the following sampling scheme:

- Suppose a class roster has 1200 students listed alphabetically.
- Pick one of the first 10 students on the list at random.
- To create your sample, take that student and every 10th student listed after that (e.g. Students 8, 18, 28, etc).

violate the rule
that every pair has the same chance

Is this a probability sample?

- **Yes.** If my sample is $[n, n + 10, n + 20, \dots, n + 1190]$, where $0 \leq n \leq 10$, the probability of that sample is $1/10$.
- Otherwise, the probability is 0.
- Only 10 possible samples!

Does each student have the same probability of being selected?

- **Yes.** Each student is chosen with probability $1/10$.

Is this a simple random sample?

- **No.** The chance of selecting (8, 18) is $1/10$; the chance of selecting (8, 9) is 0.

A very common approximation

- A common situation in data science:
 - We have an enormous population.
 - We can only afford to sample a relatively small number of individuals.
- If the **population is huge** compared to the sample, then random **sampling with and without replacement are pretty much the same**.
 - For instance, if our population size is in the thousands, and we're sampling 100 people, removing those 100 doesn't change the population very much.
- **Probabilities of sampling with replacement are much easier to compute!**

Binomial and multinomial probabilities

The scenario

Binomial and multinomial probabilities arise when we:

- Sample at random, **with replacement**.
- Sample a fixed number (n) times.
- Sample from a categorical distribution.
 - For example, a bag of marbles in which 60% are **blue** and 40% are **not** blue.
 - Or where 60% are **blue**, 30% are **green**, and 10% are **red**.
- Want to count the number of each category that end up in our sample.

Note: In this section, we will make use of the binomial coefficient and factorials. If you want a refresher on these, or want a more in-depth understanding, there's an extra section at the end of this lecture that covers the derivation and some examples of it.

Two categories

Suppose we sample at random with replacement 7 times from a bag of marbles, 60% of which are **blue** and 40% of which are **not** blue.

- What is $P(\text{bnbbnn})$?
 - By the product rule from Data 8, since the sample is drawn with replacement:

$$P(\text{bnbbnn}) = 0.6 \times 0.4 \times 0.6 \times 0.6 \times 0.6 \times 0.4 \times 0.4 = (0.6)^4(0.4)^3$$

- How does $P(4 \text{ blue}, 3 \text{ not blue})$ compare to $P(\text{bnbbnn})$?
 - $P(4 \text{ blue}, 3 \text{ not blue}) > P(\text{bnbbnn})$.
 - Why? **bnbbnn** is far more restrictive and specific than “4 blue, 3 not blue.”
 - There are several other ways to get “4 blue, 3 not blue” (for instance, **bnnnbb**).

Binomial probabilities

“4 blue, 3 not blue” can occur in several equally likely ways.

For instance, $P(\text{bnnbbnn}) = P(\text{bnbbnnn}) = P(\text{bnnbbnn}) = \dots = (0.6)^4(0.4)^3$.

$P(4 \text{ blue, } 3 \text{ not blue})$ is the **total** chance of all of those ways. The number of ways in which we can draw 4 blue marbles and 3 not blue marbles is

$$\binom{7}{4} = \frac{7!}{4!3!}$$

and thus,

$$P(4 \text{ blue, } 3 \text{ not blue}) = \binom{7}{4} (0.6)^4 (0.4)^3 = \frac{7!}{4!3!} (0.6)^4 (0.4)^3$$

Multinomial probabilities

Suppose we again sample at random with replacement 7 times from a bag of marbles, but this time, 60% of marbles are **blue**, 30% are **green**, and 10% are **red**.

- What is $P(\text{bgbbbgr})$?
 - Following the same steps as before:

$$P(\text{bgbbbgr}) = 0.6 \times 0.3 \times 0.6 \times 0.6 \times 0.6 \times 0.3 \times 0.1 = (0.6)^4(0.3)^2(0.1)^1$$

- What is $P(4 \text{ blue}, 2 \text{ green}, 1 \text{ red})$?
 - As we saw before, we multiply the above probability by the total number of ways to draw 4 blue, 2 green, and 1 red marbles. This gives

$$P(4 \text{ blue}, 2 \text{ green}, 1 \text{ red}) = \frac{7!}{4!2!1!} (0.6)^4 (0.3)^2 (0.1)^1$$

Generalization of binomial probabilities

If we are drawing at random with replacement n times, from a population in which a proportion p of the individuals are called “successes” (and the remaining $1 - p$ are “failures”), then the probability of k successes (and hence, $n - k$ failures) is

$$P(k \text{ successes}) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}$$

- Note: since the number of successes and the number of failures we draw must sum to n , saying “ k successes” is equivalent to saying “ k successes and $n - k$ failures.”
 - For instance – if you flip a coin 10 times and see 6 heads, we know there were 4 tails.
- This is essentially the **binomial distribution**, which we will formalize in the next lecture.
- Can you think of how to generalize multinomial probabilities?

Generalization of multinomial probabilities

If we are drawing at random with replacement **n** times, from a population broken into three separate categories (where $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 1$):

- Category 1, with proportion **p₁** of the individuals.
- Category 2, with proportion **p₂** of the individuals.
- Category 3, with proportion **p₃** of the individuals.

Then, the probability of drawing **k₁** individuals from Category 1, **k₂** individuals from Category 2, and **k₃** individuals from Category 3 (where $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = n$) is

$$\frac{n!}{k_1!k_2!k_3!} p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} p_3^{k_3}$$

At no point in this class will you be forced to memorize this! This is just for fun. In practice (as you will see in Homework 2), we use `np.random.multinomial` to compute these quantities.

Next time: random variables

- In the next lecture, we will formalize the notion of a **random variable**. Random variables arise naturally from this notion of a sample.
- We will then explore properties of random variables.
 - Expectation and variance.
 - Various distributions.
 - Sample means and sums.
- We will then take a break from this discussion on sampling and probability, and switch gears to some of the more tools-focused ideas in this class.
 - SQL, pandas, regex, visualization, etc.
- But not to worry – sampling, probability, and random variables in particular will reappear later in the semester.

Extra: permutations and combinations

Disclaimer

- **This is not a class on probability or combinatorics.**
 - In other words, this is not Stat 140 or CS 70.
- This content on its own is not in scope.
 - In other words, you will never be asked questions like “how many permutations of MISSISSIPPI are there”.
 - Instead, we present it in order to (re)explain where the binomial coefficient comes from.
- This is purely meant to serve as a refresher, and is for your understanding only.
- Also, the video will walk through this material in perhaps a more natural fashion.

Permutations 並べ替え

Suppose I have five people, boringly named A, B, C, D, and E. **In how many ways can I arrange them in a line?**

- There are 5 options for who can end up first in line (anyone could).
- Given that, there are 4 options for who can end up second in line.
 - Could be anyone, other than whoever was first ($5 - 1 = 4$).
- Given that, there are 3 options for who can end up third in line, and so on.

Think of each blank as a position in line, and the number in the blank as the number of people that could end up there.

__5__ __4__ __3__ __2__ __1__

The result is $5 * 4 * 3 * 2 * 1 = 120$, which we denote as **5!** (read “five factorial”). In general,

$$n! = n * (n - 1) * (n - 2) * \dots * 3 * 2 * 1$$

Permutations

How many ways can I arrange 3 of {A, B, C, D, E} in a line?

- 5 options for who is first.
- 4 options for who is second.
- 3 options for who is third.
- Nobody after these three.

__5__ __4__ __3__

This result is **$5 * 4 * 3 = 60$** . Note, we can also write $5 * 4 * 3$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{5 * 4 * 3} &= (5 * 4 * 3 * 2 * 1) / (2 * 1) \\ &= 5! / 2! = \mathbf{5! / (5 - 3)!} \end{aligned}$$

What are the implications of this?

Permutations

In general: if I have **n objects**, and want to **select k** of them in a way that **order matters**, then the number of ways I can do this is

$$\frac{n!}{(n - k)!}$$

Again: **this is not a class that covers counting!**

- This result, by itself, will (almost certainly) never appear again in this class.
- It's more here to serve as an intermediate step in what comes next.

Combinations

Now, suppose I want to select three people from {A, B, C, D, E}, but in a way that **order does not matter**.

- If order mattered, ABE, EAB, BAE, etc. would count as different arrangements.
- But if order does not matter, then the above three arrangements are all the same – they contain the same 3 people!
- If order does not matter, there are **fewer** ways to make our selections.

How can we use our previous answer to help us here?

- How many times did we overcount?
- Each unique group of three people is counted $3! = 6$ times.
 - ABE, AEB, BAE, BEA, EAB, EBA are really all the same now.
 - We need to divide our previous answer by the number of times we overcounted!

Combinations

Dividing our previous answer by **3!** yields

$$\frac{\frac{5!}{2!}}{3!} = \frac{5!}{2!3!}$$

This quantity is the **number of ways we can select 3 objects from a set of 5, in a way that order does not matter.**

More generally, the number of ways we can select **k** objects from a set of **n**, in a way that order does not matter (and where k, n are both non-negative integers, and k ≤ n) is:

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}$$

The symbol on the left is referred to as the **binomial coefficient**, and is read “n choose k.”

Combinations

Here are some examples on how we can (and will) use the binomial coefficient.

- **How many ways can we flip a coin (whose flips are independent of one another) 4 times and see 2 heads?**
 - Equivalent question: how many different ways can we order the string “HHTT”?
 - There are 4 “positions.” Choose 2 of them to be H (the remaining 4 will be T).
 - This is **4 choose 2**, or 6. (Enumerated: HHTT, HTHT, HTTH, TTHH, THTH, THHT.)
- Suppose we have a bag of marbles that contains marbles, some of which are blue. **How many ways can we draw 7 marbles, such that 4 are blue and 3 are not blue?**
 - We have 7 draws. Choose 4 of them to be blue (the remaining automatically are not).
 - This is **7 choose 3**, or 35.
- Note: The above answers (and algebra) imply that

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}$$

- Choosing k successes is equivalent to choosing $n - k$ failures.

What about order?

You may be wondering – why did we use the **binomial coefficient** to determine the number of orderings of 2 heads and 2 tails (HHTT, HTHT, HTTH, TTHH, THTH, THHT), when the whole point was that **order doesn't matter**?

The order in which we declare the positions is what doesn't matter here. The contents of each position, though, do matter.

“first flip is heads”
“second flip is tails”
“third flip is tails”
“fourth flip is heads”

“third flip is tails”
“first flip is heads”
“fourth flip is heads”
“second flip is tails”

“first flip is heads”
“third flip is tails”
“fourth flip is heads”
“second flip is tails”

“second flip is tails”
“third flip is tails”
“first flip is heads”
“fourth flip is heads”

These are all equivalent! They all equate to the ordering **HTTH**.

The order in which you tell me what flip goes where is not of importance. This is why we use choosing here.

Another interpretation

How many ways can we flip a coin (whose flips are independent of one another) 4 times and see 2 heads?

- Equivalent problem to determining the number of rearrangements of HHTT.
- Let's label them uniquely: H1, H2, T1, T2.
- There are 4 objects, so there are $4!$ orders.
- But, some of these orderings are really the same!
 - "H1 H2 T1 T2" is really the same as "H2 H1 T2 T1" and "H1 H2 T2 T1."
 - These should all count as one "ordering."
 - There are $2!$ ways to arrange the two Hs amongst themselves.
 - There are $2!$ ways to arrange the two Ts amongst themselves.
- Dividing out the repetition yields, as we saw before,

$$\frac{4!}{2!2!}$$

Extension to multiple categories

How many ways can I select 7 marbles from a bag such that **4 are blue**, **2 are green**, and **1 is red**? (e.g. **b**g**bb**b**gr**, **bbb****rg**b**g**, **br****bg**b**gb**, etc.)

Again, there are two interpretations.

$$\binom{7}{4} \cdot \binom{3}{2} \cdot \binom{1}{1}$$

$$\frac{7!}{4!2!1!}$$

Extension to multiple categories

How many ways can I select 7 marbles from a bag such that **4 are blue**, **2 are green**, and **1 is red**? (e.g. **b**g**b**b**b**g**r**, **b**b**b**r**g**b**g**, **b**r**b**g**b**g**b**, etc.)

Again, there are two interpretations.

$$\binom{7}{4} \cdot \binom{3}{2} \cdot \binom{1}{1}$$

$$\frac{7!}{4!2!1!}$$

7 open positions.
Choose 4 to fill with
blue marbles.

Of the 3 remaining
positions, fill 2 with
green marbles.

In the final position,
put 1 red marble.

Extension to multiple categories

How many ways can I select 7 marbles from a bag such that **4 are blue**, **2 are green**, and **1 is red**? (e.g. **b**g**b**b**b**g**r**, **b**b**b**r**g**b**g**, **b**r**b**g**b**g**b**, etc.)

Again, there are two interpretations.

$$\binom{7}{4} \cdot \binom{3}{2} \cdot \binom{1}{1}$$

$$\frac{7!}{4!2!1!}$$

We have 7 marbles total, which we can arrange in $7!$ ways. The 4 blues are identical, so divide by $4!$, and similarly divide by $2!$ for the greens.

Extension to multiple categories

How many ways can I select 7 marbles from a bag such that **4 are blue**, **2 are green**, and **1 is red**? (e.g. **b**g**b**b**b**g**r**, **b**b**b**r**g**b**g**, **b**r**b**g**b**g**b**, etc.)

Again, there are two interpretations.

$$\binom{7}{4} \cdot \binom{3}{2} \cdot \binom{1}{1} = \frac{7!}{4!2!1!}$$

Unsurprisingly, they are equal!