2	AA.		hlipation Voor
2	Authors		Fublication rear
	4 Rebai, S; Amich, A; Molaei, S; Kessentini, M; Kazman, R	Multi-objective code reviewer recommendations: balancing expertise, availability and collaboratic	2020
	5 Kovalenko, V; Tintarev, N; Pasynkov, E; Bird, C; Bacchelli, A	Does Reviewer Recommendation Help Developers?	2020
	6 Garousi, V; Borg, M; Oivo, M	Practical relevance of software engineering research: synthesizing the community's voice	2020
	8 Jiang, J; Lo, D; Zheng, JT; Xia, X; Yang, Y; Zhang, L	Who should make decision on this pull request? Analyzing time-decaying relationships and file si	2019
_	10 Liao, ZF; Wu, ZX; Wu, JS; Zhang, Y; Liu, JY; Long, J	TIRR: A Code Reviewer Recommendation Algorithm with Topic Model and Reviewer Influence	2019
7	12 Sulun, E; Tuzun, E; Dogrusoz, U	Reviewer Recommendation using Software Artifact Traceability Graphs	2019
+	14 Asthana, S; Kumar, R; Bhagwan, R; Bird, C; Bansal, C; Maddila, C WhoDo: Automating Reviewer Suggestions at Scale	WhoDo: Automating Reviewer Suggestions at Scale	2019
_	17 Ye, X	Learning to Rank Reviewers for Pull Requests	2019
_	19 Fejzer, M; Przymus, P; Stencel, K	Profile based recommendation of code reviewers	2018
2	20 Peng, ZH; Yoo, J; Xia, M; Kim, S; Ma, XJ	Exploring How Software Developers Work with Mention Bot in GitHub	2018
2	21 Mohamed, A; Zhang, L; Jiang, J; Ktob, A	Predicting which pull requests will get reopened in GitHub	2018
2	23 Lipcak, J; Rossi, B	A Large-Scale Study on Source Code Reviewer Recommendation	2018
2	25 Li, ZX; Yu, Y; Yin, G; Wang, T; Wang, HM	What Are They Talking About? Analyzing Code Reviews in Pull-Based Development Model	2017
2	26 Jiang, J; Yang, Y; He, JH; Blanc, X; Zhang, L	Who should comment on this pull request? Analyzing attributes for more accurate commenter rec	2017
2	27 Xia, ZL; Sun, HL; Jiang, J; Wang, X; Liu, XD	A Hybrid Approach to Code Reviewer Recommendation with Collaborative Filtering	2017
2	29 Saxena, R; Pedanekar, N	I Know What You Coded Last Summer: Mining Candidate Expertise from GitHub Repositories	2017
(C)	30 Zanjani, MB; Kagdi, H; Bird, C	Automatically Recommending Peer Reviewers in Modern Code Review	2016
3	31 Yu, Y; Wang, HM; Yin, G; Wang, T	Reviewer recommendation for pull-requests in GitHub: What can we learn from code review and	2016
, C)	32 Ouni, A; Kula, RG; Inoue, K	Search-Based Peer Reviewers Recommendation in Modern Code Review	2016
(C)	35 Hannebauer, C; Patalas, M; Stunkel, S; Gruhn, V	Automatically Recommending Code Reviewers Based on Their Expertise: An Empirical Compari:	2016
(C)	36 Rahman, MM; Roy, CK; Redl, J; Collins, JA	CORRECT: Code Reviewer Recommendation at GitHub for Vendasta Technologies	2016
4	U Thongtanunam, P; Tantithamthavorn, C; Kula, RG; Yoshida, N; lida	40 Thongtanunam, P; Tantithamthavorn, C; Kula, RG; Yoshida, N; lida Who Should Review My Code? A File Location-Based Code-Reviewer Recommendation Approa	2015
4	41 Xia, X; Lo, D; Wang, XY; Yang, XH	Who Should Review This Change? Putting Text and File Location Analyses Together for More Ac	2015
4	42 Yu, Y; Wang, HM; Yin, G; Ling, CX	Reviewer Recommender of Pull-Requests in GitHub	2014
4	44 Balachandran, V	Reducing Human Effort and Improving Quality in Peer Code Reviews using Automatic Static Ana	2013
4	45 Jeong, G; Kim, S; Zimmermann, T; Yi, K;	Improving Code Review by Predicting Reviewers and Acceptance of Patches.	2009