

Question1_Rmd

clear working memory

```
rm(list=ls())
```

loading libraries

```
##
## Attaching package: 'rmutil'

## The following object is masked from 'package:stats':
##
##      nobs

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
##      as.data.frame, units

## Registered S3 method overwritten by 'httr':
##   method      from
##   print.response rmutil

## -- Attaching packages ----- tidyverse 1.3.1 --

## v ggplot2 3.3.5      v purrr   0.3.4
## v tibble  3.1.4      v dplyr  1.0.7
## v tidyr   1.1.3      v stringr 1.4.0
## v readr   2.0.1      v forcats 0.5.1

## -- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() --
## x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
## x dplyr::lag()     masks stats::lag()
## x tidyr::nesting() masks rmutil::nesting()
```

For this exercise, you will use a simulation to see how well the CLT works with finite samples in R.

#a) Suppose that x is binary with $\Pr(x = 1) = 0.35$.

```
generate_simulated_means <- function(N){
  # Generate the mean and standard deviations of N observations from the specified distribution function

  # x is binary with  $\Pr(x = 1) = 0.35$ 
```

```

# runif(n) returns a vector (length n) of random draw from the uniform distribution U[0,1]
x <- ifelse(runif(N) < 0.35, 1, 0)

# put data into data_frame so it is easier to summarize
data <- tibble(x)

# get the means for each column
means <- sapply(data, mean)

# name the means appropriately
names(means) <- c("mu1")

# get the sds for each column
sds <- sapply(data, sd)

# name the sds appropriately
names(sds) <- c("sd1")

# return the means and standard deviation associated with sample x of size N.
return(c(means, sds))
}

# Finding the simulated means and sd for our distributions
# with sample sizes 36, 64, 100, 225, 2500, and 12100
# for each sample size 10,000 replications.

# Using CLT to see how far our observed means were from
# the true means of each distribution.
# Calculating z-scores and then see empirically how many of the means
# were beyond our critical values.

get_zscores <- function(obs_mean, true_mean, obs_sd, N){
  zscores <- (obs_mean - true_mean) / (obs_sd / sqrt(N))
  return( zscores )
}

significance_test <- function(zscores, alpha){
  beyond_critical_point <- as.numeric( zscores > alpha | zscores < -alpha )
  percent_significantly_different <- mean( beyond_critical_point )
  return( percent_significantly_different )
}

monte_carlo <- function(N, reps = 10000){

  replicated_sims <- replicate(reps, generate_simulated_means(N))

  expected_mu <- 0.35

```

```

z1 <- get_zscores(replicated_sims['mu1', ], expected_mu, replicated_sims['sd1', ], N)

sig1 <- significance_test(z1, 0.025)
print(paste("Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"))
print(paste("sampling distribution at critical point 0.025:", sig1))
print(paste("      "))

sig2 <- significance_test(z1, 0.975)
print(paste("Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"))
print(paste("sampling distribution at critical point 0.975:", sig2))
print(paste("      "))

}

for (N in c(36, 64, 100, 225, 2500, 12100)){
  print(paste('Starting simulations with samples of size', N))
  monte_carlo(N, 10000)
  print('')
}

```

```

## [1] "Starting simulations with samples of size 36"
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.025: 1"
## [1] "      "
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.975: 0.2918"
## [1] "      "
## [1] ""
## [1] "Starting simulations with samples of size 64"
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.025: 1"
## [1] "      "
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.975: 0.2935"
## [1] "      "
## [1] ""
## [1] "Starting simulations with samples of size 100"
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.025: 0.9143"
## [1] "      "
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.975: 0.3452"
## [1] "      "
## [1] ""
## [1] "Starting simulations with samples of size 225"
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.025: 1"
## [1] "      "
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.975: 0.3328"
## [1] "      "
## [1] ""

```

```
## [1] "Starting simulations with samples of size 2500"
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.025: 0.9806"
## [1] "
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.975: 0.3223"
## [1] "
## [1] ""
## [1] "Starting simulations with samples of size 12100"
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.025: 0.9776"
## [1] "
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.975: 0.3247"
## [1] "
## [1] ""
```

#1b) Suppose that x is binary with $Pr(x = 1) = 0.97$.

```
generate_simulated_means <- function(N){
  # Generate the mean and standard deviations of N observations from the specified distribution functi

  # x is binary with Pr(x = 1) = 0.97
  # runif(n) returns a vector (length n) of random draw from the uniform distribution U[0,1]
  x <- ifelse(runif(N) < .97, 1, 0)

  # put data into data_frame so it is easier to summarize
  data <- data_frame(x)

  # get the means for each column
  means <- sapply(data, mean)

  # name the means appropriately
  names(means) <- c("mu1")

  # get the sds for each column
  sds <- sapply(data, sd)

  # name the sds appropriately
  names(sds) <- c("sd1")

  # return the means and standard deviation associated with sample x of size N.
  return(c(means, sds))
}

# Finding the simulated means and sd for our distributions
# with sample sizes 36, 64, 100, 225, 2500, and 12100
# for each sample size 10,000 replications.

# Using CLT to see how far our observed means were from
# the true means of each distribution.
# Calculating z-scores and then see empirically how many of the means
# were beyond our critical values.
```

```

get_zscores <-function(obs_mean, true_mean, obs_sd, N){
  zscores <- (obs_mean - true_mean) / (obs_sd / sqrt(N))
  return( zscores )
}

significance_test <- function(zscores, alpha){
  beyond_critical_point <- as.numeric( zscores > alpha | zscores < -alpha )
  percent_significantly_different <- mean( beyond_critical_point )
  return( percent_significantly_different )
}

monte_carlo <- function(N, reps = 10000){

  replicated_sims <- replicate(reps, generate_simulated_means(N))

  expected_mu <- 0.97

  z1 <- get_zscores(replicated_sims['mu1', ], expected_mu, replicated_sims['sd1', ], N)

  sig1 <- significance_test(z1, 0.025)
  print(paste("Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"))
  print(paste("sampling distribution at critical point 0.025:", sig1))
  print(paste("                "))

  sig2 <- significance_test(z1, 0.975)
  print(paste("Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"))
  print(paste("sampling distribution at critical point 0.975:", sig2))
  print(paste("                "))

}

for (N in c(36, 64, 100, 225, 2500, 12100)){
  print(paste('Starting simulations with samples of size', N))
  monte_carlo(N, 10000)
  print('')
}

```

```
## [1] "Starting simulations with samples of size 36"
```

```
## Warning: 'data_frame()' was deprecated in tibble 1.1.0.
## Please use 'tibble()' instead.
```

```
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.025: 1"
## [1] "                "
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.975: 0.4349"
## [1] "                "
## [1] ""
```

```

## [1] "Starting simulations with samples of size 64"
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.025: 1"
## [1] "
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.975: 0.2704"
## [1] "
## [1] ""
## [1] "Starting simulations with samples of size 100"
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.025: 0.7758"
## [1] "
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.975: 0.2772"
## [1] "
## [1] ""
## [1] "Starting simulations with samples of size 225"
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.025: 1"
## [1] "
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.975: 0.3457"
## [1] "
## [1] ""
## [1] "Starting simulations with samples of size 2500"
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.025: 0.9512"
## [1] "
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.975: 0.3507"
## [1] "
## [1] ""
## [1] "Starting simulations with samples of size 12100"
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.025: 0.9787"
## [1] "
## [1] "Percentage of simulated means which were significantly different from"
## [1] "sampling distribution at critical point 0.975: 0.3479"
## [1] "
## [1] ""

```

Observations

Central Limit theorem is interpreted here. 1. As we increase N , the percentage of sample means that have a z-score below -0.025 and above 0.025 is $\sim 99\%$. 2. For critical point $z = 0.975$: As we increase N , the percentage of sample means that have a z-score below -0.975 and above 0.975 is $\sim 34\%$, which means 66% of the sample means are between z score of 0.975 . These simulation results are in accordance with a typical normal distribution where almost 68% of sample means lie within a z-score of 1 and where many sample means fall outside the z-score of 0.025 as the interval defined by the same is very very small.