Protocol Audit Report



Version 1.0

equious.eth

March 1, 2024

Protocol Audit Report March 1, 2024

Protocol Audit Report

Cyfrin-Malik

March 1, 2024

Prepared by: Cyfrin Lead Security Researcher:

Malik

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
 - High
 - * [H-1] Storing password on chain makes it visible to anyone/ no longer private
- Likelihood & Impact:
 - [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword(...) has no limit to who can run it, meaning a non-owner can change it

- Informational
 - [I-1] Documentation mentions a parameter when there is none present, meaning the natspec is incorrect
 - Likelihood & Impact:

Protocol Summary

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access this password.

Disclaimer

The Shortcut-23 team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	Н	H/M	М
	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

Commit hash

Protocol Audit Report

```
1 - Commit Hash: 2e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990
```

Scope

```
1 ./src/
2 #-- PasswordStore.sol
```

Roles

Owner: The user who can set the password and read the password. Outsiders: No one else should be able to set or read the password.

Executive Summary

We spent 72 hours on learning and writing this file however the audit was done under 2 hours of work. Used VSCode, Foundry and solidity code metrics.

Issues found

Severity	Issues Found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	1
_	_
Total	3

Findings

High

[H-1] Storing password on chain makes it visible to anyone/ no longer private

Description: All data stored on chain is visible to anyone, the PasswordStor::s_password is intended to be private and meant to be only accessible by the PasswordStore::getPassword() function, accessible only to the owner.

One such method of reading private set variables is shown below.

Impact: Anyone can read the private password severly breaking the functionality of the protocol.

Proof of Concept: (Proof of code) The below test showcases how anyone can read a private variable directly off the blockchain.

- deploy a testnet: make anvil
- 2. deploy the contract: make deploy
- 3. read the variables: cast storage <contract address from terminal of the deployed contract>
- 4. retrieve the storage variable in bytes and then convert it to a string: cast parse-bytes32 -string <variable in bytes of relevant variable from previous output>

result string is the variable that was supposed to be private; myPassword

Recommended Mitigation: //edit the text below in the morning the whole protocol to store it this way does not work as wanted. password encrypted off chain should be stored and then deployed in the contract and the view function would also not be suitable at all.

Likelihood & Impact:

Severe disruption of functionality or availability: Yes

Impact: HIGHLikelihood: HIGHSeverity: HIGH

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword(...) has no limit to who can run it, meaning a non-owner can change it

Description: The comments suggest that only the owner could access the function and the function is set as external. * @notice This function allows only the owner to set a **new** password. However there is no limitation in the function set such as an onlyOwner modifier or a revert if nonOwner or any if statement that checks first if the caller of the function is the owner. I.e. access control is missing.

Impact: Anyone can set or change the password of the contract, severly breaking the intended functionality of the contract.

Proof of Concept: A test has been made to proof that anyone can set the password. Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol (and as best practice this filename should be PasswordStoreTest.t.sol)

Code

```
function test_non_owner_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public
           vm.assume(randomAddress != owner); //exclude the owner from the
               random generated addressess
3
           vm.prank(randomAddress); // act as the randomAddress
           string memory newPassword = "proof anyone can set a password";
4
               //set a new password
           passwordStore.setPassword(newPassword); //run the function with
5
               the newPassword as parameter
6
           //some random Address set the password
8
           vm.prank(owner); // be the owner in the next line to retrieve
              the password
           string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
           assertEq(newPassword, actualPassword); //check that the set
10
              password by the randomAddress is the one that the owner
              retrieves
       }
11
```

Recommended Mitigation: Add a restriction/access control functionality to the function PasswordStore::setFunction such as:

```
1 if (msg.sender != s_owner){
2    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
3 }
```

Protocol Audit Report

Since this would be the second time it is set, perhaps this line could be in a modifier and added to the two functions which is tidier.

Informational

[I-1] Documentation mentions a parameter when there is none present, meaning the natspec is incorrect

Description:

```
1
2  /*
3  * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
4  * @param newPassword The new password to set.
5  */
6
7  // @audit there is no newPassword parameter
8
9  function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
10  ...
11 }
```

The PasswordStore: :getPassword() function signature is getPassword, natspec mentions getPassword(newPassword).

Impact: The natspec is incorrect

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the incorrect natspec line.

```
1 - * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

Likelihood & Impact:

Impact: NONELikelihood: NONE

• Severity: Information/Gas/Non-crits