

Niko Goijarts
20117663

YOLO has the potential to bring a lot of good to society through its implementation into our traffic systems, opportunities ranging from increasing the safety of our cities, reducing commute times, and even reducing the mortality rate of our roads.

However, the transition to YOLO as an integrated traffic system could be devastating as, if there are any bugs in the system people's lives are at stake. A lot of debugging and beta testing could be done to the system but implementing it into our roads is a very dangerous next step that if gone wrong could easily lead to a large traffic catastrophe. This raises an ethical issue of finding a way to ensure that YOLO as a system works flawlessly, as the only way to find its flaws is to try it in action but this could cause people to die.

The implementation of YOLO also has the potential to be used for bad due to its ability to completely remove privacy in public spaces, this removal of privacy is completely ethically unsound as everyone has a right to their own privacy. The implementation of object detecting camera systems would give governing bodies what could almost be described as omniscience over all civilian actions and in turn a gross amount of power; this risk highly outweighs any good that YOLO could bring through its many benefits of road safety and efficiency.

In conclusion I feel that while the implementation of YOLO into traffic management systems has a lot of potential for good in our society, it is not worth the possible cost of lives during its integration or the permanent installation of mass government surveillance systems.