Formatting Elements

EAD3	EAC-CPF	EAD alignment decisions / suggestions
<descriptivenote></descriptivenote>	<descriptivenote></descriptivenote>	Adopt camel case in EAD4
<head></head>	<head></head>	EAC to add <head>, but without @althead or @altrender</head>
@althead		Recommendation : Remove from EAD and to point (1) to @style for formatting according to CSS values and (2) to the option to include attributes from other namespaces
@altrender		Recommendation : Remove from EAD and to point (1) to @style for formatting according to CSS values and (2) to the option to include attributes from other namespaces
		
<head></head>	<head></head>	EAC to add <head></head>
		EAD to add <list> as valid child element of <list></list></list>
sthead>		EAC will not add <listhead></listhead>
@listType	@listType	Adopt camel case in EAD4; EAC will add @listType
deflist ordered unordered	ordered unordered	EAC will only permit values "ordered" and "unordered", not "deflist" Recommendation: Remove "deflist" as a value, but create <deflist> as a sibling element to to this also means to remove <defitem> from and only have it in the new <deflist></deflist></defitem></deflist>
@mark	@style	Recommendation: Remove @mark and map to @style per EAC recommendation. Recommend use of W3C CSS attribute values (see also https://github.com/SAA-SDT/eac-cpf-schema/issues/171)
@numeration	@style	Recommendation: Remove @numeration and map to @style per EAC recommendation. Recommend use of W3C CSS attribute values (see also https://github.com/SAA-SDT/eac-cpf-schema/issues/171)
<defitem></defitem>		EAC will not add <defitem></defitem>

		Recommendation: Retain <defltem> in EAD4, but make it a sub-element of the new <deflist></deflist></defltem>
<label></label>		Recommendation: Retain, but rename following the design principle of not using a name for both an attribute and element; Suggestion: use <term> which already has been inherited from EAC or rename <label> otherwise (e.g. HTML's "description term")</label></term>
<item></item>		Retain
<item></item>	<item></item>	

Mixed Content Elements

EAD3	EAC-CPF	EAD alignment decisions / suggestions
<abstract></abstract>	<abstract></abstract>	Available as child element of all descriptive elements (<did>)</did>
Possible child elements of <abstract>: abbr, corpname, date, emph, expan, famname, footnote, foreign, function, genreform, geogname, lb, name, num, occupation, persname, quote, ptr, reference, subject, title</abstract>	<reference> </reference>	EAD allows many mixed content children of <abstract> (but not) EAC permits only <reference> and Option 1: In EAD, align with EAC-CPF and remove all mixed content children of <abstract>. <abstract> is intended to be a simplified / abridged version of <scopecontent> that can be shared across systems / serializations. Continue to allow mixed content in <scopecontent> but not <abstract>. Option 2: Concede defeat and align with EAD: <scopecontent>. Would not allow direct mixed content children (e.g. <persname>), but would permit children, which, in turn, allows mixed content children. Other notes: EAD will rename <ref> to <reference> to align with EAC-CPF Recommendation: Agreed to align with EAC and to reduce to <reference> and (used with @localtype to capture e.g. "corpName" or "date"; used with @style to capture <emph> etc.)</emph></reference></reference></ref></persname></scopecontent></abstract></scopecontent></scopecontent></abstract></abstract></reference></abstract>
<event></event>	<event></event>	
EAD allows the	<reference></reference>	Option 1: In EAD4, align with EAC-CPF and remove all mixed

following mixed-content children of <event>: [text], abbr, corpname, date, emph, expan, famname, footnote, foreign, function, genreform, geogname, lb, list, name, num, occupation, persname, ptr, quote, reference, subject, title</event>		content children of <event>, but add and @style, which would provide formatting options. • Pros: simplicity / alignment, still permits formatting • Cons: disruptive Option2: retain the current mixed content approach. • Pros: Easy migration path to EAD4 (do nothing) • Cons: maintains complexity; makes migration/systems integration difficult Recommendation: Agreed to align with EAC and to reduce to <reference> and (used with @localtype to capture e.g. "corpName" or "date"; used with @style to capture <emph> etc.)</emph></reference></event>
<item></item>	<item></item>	
EAD allows the following mixed-content children of <item>: abbr, corpname, date, emph, expan, famname, footnote, foreign, function, genreform, geogname, lb, list, name, num, occupation, persname, ptr, quote, reference, subject, title</item>	<reference> </reference>	EAC will add <reference> as sub-element of <item> EAD will remove st> as sub-element of <item> and rename <ref> to <reference> Option 1: In EAD4, align with EAC-CPF and remove all mixed content children of <item>, but add and @style, which would provide formatting options. • Pros: simplicity / alignment, still permits formatting • Cons: disruptive Option2: retain the current mixed content approach. • Pros: Easy migration path to EAD4 (do nothing) • Cons: maintains complexity; makes migration/systems integration difficult Recommendation: Agreed to align with EAC and to reduce to <reference> and (used with @localtype to capture e.g. "corpName" or "date"; used with @style to capture <emph> etc.)</emph></reference></item></reference></ref></item></item></reference>
EAD allows the following mixed-content children of : [text], abbr, corpname, date, emph, expan, famname, footnote, foreign, function, genreform, geogname, lb, list, name, num, occupation, persname, ptr, quote, reference,	<reference> </reference>	Option1: For EAD4, retain the current mixed-content approach. There is probably heavy use of mixed-content children of in the community. Removing mixed content elements from would be too disruptive. For the most part, systems can ignore mixed-content children of where necessary. Option2: adopt EAC approach for / @style for formatting, or allow @style in place of @render Recommendation: Agreed to follow the same approach as outlined above, i.e. concentrating on only and

<pre><emph> <foreign> </foreign></emph></pre>	ments >, and ned above <foreign>,</foreign>