

## TS-EAS - EAC-CPF team

**Place:** Virtual meeting, Zoom

**Date:** Friday, 20 November 2020, 7am Boston / 1pm Berlin / 9pm Melbourne

Note taker: Ailie

### Minutes

**Attendees:** Silke, Karin, Sara, Kerstin, Caitlin, Ailie, Mark, Anna-Maria Underhill

#### 1) <reference> element

See also issue [<citation> #102](#)

Which elements to include newly named <reference> element on? Do we want to approach with a vote as we did for @vocabularySource? Or talk in depth about elements grouped by type? Two documents prepared, one for each scenario.

Kirsten raised issue of internal vs external referencing and use of @target. EAD3 currently used @target only within <ref>, but <ref> is widely available within other elements.

**Proposal:** Follow EAD3 model. Add @target to <reference>. @target not to appear on other elements. <reference> to be available widely.

Silke suggested we take Caitlin's documents and read them in preparation for the 4 December meeting, where we use the short document for discussion. Include discussion of attributes as part of this – which linking attributes do we need.

**Decision:** Discussion and decisions deferred to the next meeting (4 December).

#### 2) Detailed open questions with some proposals for solution

- [//biogHist #143](#)

There was a suggestion to add <head> for headings. Suggestion is to use <span> to manage any formatting, including headings, within <p> and <abstract>.

Is this something we want to seek feedback on in the call for comments? How does it relate to <emph> in EAD3? Could we specify what <span> should be used to, perhaps use <emph> for any formatting and use <span> for marking up things like citations, remove @style from <span>. Could allow for html within specific elements, such as <biogHist>, and show people how to extend EAC-CPF with html.

Consider to what extent we align with <bioghist> in EAD3 (use case of reusing bioghist).

**Decision:** Wait for feedback from call for comments then decide on recommendations for best practice guidelines after that, perhaps March 2021.

Rename of element: <biographyOrHistory> or <biographyHistory> or <biogHist> ?

**Decision:** <biogHist>. Need to make a note somewhere about why we need to keep some short names, also add information to the rules.

Child elements:

Current: abstract, chronList, list, outline, p, reference

Proposal: abstract, chronList, list, p

Should <reference> be available on <biogHist> or just on <p> within <biogHist>

**Decision:** deferred to the next meeting when we decide on <reference> across the schema

<outline> and <list>

How to nest lists if we are no longer using <outline>?

**Decision:** remove <outline> and nest lists (make <list> available within <item>)

Rename of element: <chronList>, <chronItem> and <chronItemSet> and use longer names?

**Decision:** keep existing names

- //list [#171](#) and //item [#176](#)

<list>

Add additional child elements (<defitem>, <head>, and/or <listhead>) to <list> that are already available in EAD3?

**Decision:** don't add them at this stage, see if anyone notices they are not available and requests them.

<item>

Do we allow for nesting of lists or a hierarchy, eg //list/list item or //list/item/list/item? (EAD3 uses the latter) --> Kerstin is going to prepare an encoding example for both proposals

**Decision:** look at specific example during December meeting using the EAD3 encoding.

- //geographic Coordinates

Do we need language attributes here?

**Decision:** Keep them there but make sure we exclude them from any examples we provide

- //localDescriptions, //localDescription

@localType is required – do we keep the availability of this attribute?

**Decision:** make @localType optional here

3) Any other business - no other business

4) Next meeting

- planned: Friday, 4 December 2020, 7am Boston/1pm Berlin/11pm Melbourne