TS-EAS - EAC-CPF team

Place: Virtual meeting, Zoom

Date: Friday, 3 September 2021, 7am Boston / 1pm Berlin / 9pm Melbourne

Minutes

1) Feedback on Call for Comments
   - <chronItemSet> #170
     - Any reason to keep the localType reference here since this is not available in EAD3, where the element originated?
     - EAC-CPF decided to add it since all of the parent elements have it, cf comment
     - **Decision**: follow EAD3 and remove these two attributes from EAC-CPF 2.0
   - <date> #179 / @status #254
     - In EAD revision, planning to remove dateSingle. That means date would be a child of unitDateStructured, and for that reason see a need to be able to encode something like date/'@status='unknown'
     - **Decision**: add the status attribute to <date>, with a single option: "unknown"
   - <relationType> #219
     - relationType element examples might need to be more generic (e.g. creator, not creatorOf?)
     - Issues around the conversion process, particularly with the directionality of relationships (e.g. with targetRole)
     - Crucial to make sure the TL and BPG have consistent, correct examples.
     - Also need to add some disclaimers to the conversion and/or additional configuration options for that information.
       - (a) could also add some new rules to handle certain types, e.g. hierarchical-parent
     - **Decision**: Silke will make a proposal to update the values in the schema (e.g. creator in lieu of creatorOf, etc.)
   - <otherEntityTypes> #141 / Encoding agents #271
     Feedback from Daniel Pitty
     - Reminder: there was a community request around corporateBody to be able to be more precise, cf #44. otherEntityTypes/otherEntityType was introduced as a way to provide more specificity in EAC-CPF. e.g. Occupy Wall Street (OWS) probably wouldn't like a label like corporateBody.
     - The example of family -> group + dynasty (e.g. why list group, if dynasty is classed elsewhere as a group?). Perhaps use an example that includes a translation, instead?

- Question about revision impact on EAC-CPF once RiC-CM is officially released... rather than have an immediate response/revision of EAC, we'll consider that at the time of the major revision period.
- **Decision**: Include a statement in introductory materials that we're following the official ICA standards still. Keep otherEntityTypes as is, and update examples, etc.

- [Better for corporate creators than individuals? #273](#)
  - <legalStatuses>/<legalStatus> is actually the only specific element due to the entity type corporate body; all other elements are general element that fit to all entity types
  - <localDescriptions>/<localDescription> was meant to enter further information like nationality, and gender, religious or ethnic affiliation
  - some of these information are not allowed to capture for data protection and there might be also cultural differences in the archival description
  - **Decision**: Add a new demographic element grouping, which will have term, descriptiveNote, etc. Need to name the new plural/singular grouping. Keep localDescriptions.
  - Also need examples!
- [<citedRange> #162](#)
  - No need for localType here (as the original request was more specific to the unit attribute)
  - **Decision**: no changes necessary

2) Best Practice Guide

- Please sign up for different sections and add a draft

- Ailie will send an email reminder about this.

3) Any other business (all)
   - @lastDateTimeVerified has been removed as requested
   - @detailLevel added
   - both changes available in [development branch](#)

4) Next meeting
   - Changing the 8 October meeting: next EAC meeting is 15 October, as well as EAD meeting
   - Friday, 15 October 2021, 7am Boston/1pm Berlin/9pm Melbourne for only 60 minutes to get time to prepare EAD meeting