

Note: This is version 2 of the topics document on entity types representing the **status as of** the EAC-CPF team meeting on **5 June 2020**. [Version 1](#), which includes some more background information with regard to conversations held before that date, remains accessible as “View only” for reference.

Enabling variations in encoding the type of the described entity

[Status after the Berlin meeting](#)

[Status after the EAC-CPF meeting on 5 June](#)

[Options for encoding the entity type](#)

[Options for wrapper element\(s\)](#)

[Pending decision](#)

[Grouping elements in general](#)

[Grouping entity types](#)

[Recommendation](#)

[Rationale behind recommendation](#)

[Potential alternative to the above recommendation](#)

[Decision during the EAC-CPF meeting on 3 July](#)

Status after the Berlin meeting

While the details were still to be finalised, the following general decisions were taken during the Berlin meeting and reconfirmed afterwards:

- There would be one element, <entitytype>, using standardised values for the type of entity being described for reasons of interoperability.
- <entitytype> would follow the content model of the elements <agenttype>, <eventtype>, <maintenancestatus>, and <publicationstatus>, i.e.:
 - It would use the attribute @value to provide these standardised values;
 - It would not be repeatable;

- It would not have the option to include content in the element itself;
 - It would hence not be using any language attribution.
- The list of predefined values would remain as is for the time being, i.e. use the valued “corporateBody”, “person”, “family”.

```
<entitytype value="corporateBody"/>
```

- There would be an additional element that would enable users to include their own types of entities, e.g.:
 - As translations of the standardised terms;
 - As alternatives or more specific terms;
 - With an option to point to an ontology for these terms;
 - With an option to define the relationship of the local term to the standardised terms.

Status after the EAC-CPF meeting on 5 June

During the meeting [three options](#) to encode the type of an entity were presented and discussed and the team members present at the meeting agreed with the recommendation to follow option 1. This meant to:

- Keep <entitytype> as an element;
- Have <entitytype> as mandatory, not repeatable sub-element of <identity>;
- Do NOT allow content within <entitytype>;
- Use the - required - attribute @value to specify whether the type of entity is “corporateBody”, “person”, “family”;
- Use the general - optional - attributes @id, @audience;
- Use the - optional - attributes @valueuri, @vocabularysource, @vocabularysourceuri for pointing to an ontology, vocabulary or other means of defining/identifying the terms used.

In addition, the decision was taken to name the element for users’ own types of entities <otherentitytype>. This would be used with:

- The general - optional - attributes @id, @audience;
- The - optional - attributes for language attribution, i.e. @languageofelement, @scriptofelement, @transliteration;
- Use the - optional - attributes @valueuri, @vocabularysource, @vocabularysourceuri for pointing to an ontology, vocabulary or other means of defining/identifying the terms used;
- Use the optional attribute @localtype to identify an <otherentitytype> as being a translation, abbreviation, specification, etc.

The table below only shows the chosen option 1, updated to the decisions of 5 June.

Options for encoding the entity type

Option 1
<p>Keep <entitytype> as mandatory and not repeatable (1) Only with the @value attribute Without having content itself Use <otherentitytype> to provide translations as well as other alternative types</p>
<pre><identity> [...] <entitytype value="corporateBody"/> [...] <otherentitytype languageofelement="eng" localType="RiCThirdLevel"> Group</otherentitytype> <otherentitytype languageofelement="eng" localType="RiCSecondLevel"> Agent</otherentitytype> <otherentitytype languageofelement="ger" localType="translation" vocabularysource="GND" vocabularysourceuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/" valueuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/4128521-9"> Körperschaft</otherentitytype> <otherentitytype languageofelement="fre" localType="translation" vocabularysource="RAMEAU" vocabularysourceuri="https://data.bnf.fr/" valueuri="https://data.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/cb11951020r"> Organisation</otherentitytype> <otherentitytype languageofelement="ger" localType="specification" vocabularysource="GND" vocabularysourceuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/" valueuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/4187548-5"> Verbandskörperschaft</otherentitytype> [...] </identity></pre>

Options for wrapper element(s)

The EAC-CPF team also discussed options for (a) potential wrapper element(s) for the types of entity as well as for the related element <identityid>. No final decision was taken, but two [new, respectively extended, options](#) were suggested.

With regard to the element <identityid> itself, the following was agreed:

- Use the general - optional - attributes @id, @audience;
- Use the - optional - attributes for language attribution, i.e. @languageofelement, @scriptofelement, @transliteration;
- Possibly use the - optional - attributes @valueuri, @vocabularysource, @vocabularysourceuri for pointing to an ontology, vocabulary or other means of defining/identifying the terms used;
- Use the - optional - attribute @localtype;
- Keep the element as repeatable (the question of optional or mandatory will depend on the context).

Pending decision

The initial suggestion following issue [#44](#) had been to create a new wrapper element <entitytypeddeclaration> that would include <entitytype> and - now - <otherentitytype>. During the Berlin meeting the point was made that <*declaration> elements, so far, are only used within the <control> section and that we might want to look at other options.

Grouping elements in general

Within EAC-CPF (and EAD3) there are currently three different types of wrapping elements that enable the user to group elements of same or of related kinds:

- Plural elements;
 - Only used in EAC-CPF (with the exception of <relations>);
 - <functions>, <languagesUsed>, <legalStatuses>, <localdescriptions>, <mandates>, <occupations>, <places>;
 - Include a singular version of themselves, i.e. <function>, <languageUsed>, <legalStatus>, <localdescription>, <mandate>, <occupation>, <place> (and <relation>);
 - Are optional and not repeatable;
 - Currently used with the additional descriptive sub-elements <descriptiveNote>, <citation>, <p>, <list>, <outline> (see [#61](#)).

- `<*set>` elements;
 - Used in both standards;
 - `<dateSet>` (in both), `<alternativeSet>` (in EAC-CPF), `<nameEntrySet>` (new in EAC-CPF), `<chronitemset>` (in EAD3), `<daoset>` (in EAD3), `<languageset>` (in EAD3), `<physdescset>` (in EAD3);
 - Can have properties of their own, such as `@coverage` on `<physdescset>` and `<daoset>`, i.e. “they not only bundle two or more similar concepts, but that they represent series of statements that as a group have meaning”;
 - Include either one repeatable sub-element (`<alternativeSet>` with `<setComponent>`, `<daoset>` with `<dao>`, `<physdescset>` with `<physdescstructured>`) or a combination of two (mostly) repeatable sub-elements (`<dateSet>` with `<date>` and `<dateRange>`, `<chronitemset>` with `<event>` and `<geogname>`, `<languageset>` with `<language>` and `<script>`, `<nameEntrySet>` with `<nameEntry>` and `<useDates>`);
 - Are optional and - mostly - repeatable; exceptions are `<alternativeSet>`, which is not repeatable, and `<dateSet>` where it depends on the context;
 - Only `<daoset>` and `<languageset>` include additional descriptive sub-elements and both only include `<descriptivenote>`.
- `<*grp>` elements;
 - Only used in EAD3;
 - `<namegrp>`, `<ptrgrp>`;
 - Include several repeatable sub-elements (all access elements for `<namegrp>`, `<ptr>` and `<ref>` for `<ptrgrp>`)
 - Are optional and not repeatable;
 - Do not include any additional descriptive sub-elements.

Leaving the `<*grp>` elements aside, as these are rather a remnant of EAD 2002 and EAD3 itself already changed e.g. `<daogrp>` to `<daoset>`, it seems that the general differences between the two other options are:

- Plural elements group repeated instances of the same element in singular form, while `<*set>` elements group one or more similar and related elements.
- Plural elements allow - currently - for a wide variety of descriptive information to be added, while `<*set>` elements can have properties of their own, but are mostly not described in any more detail themselves.

Grouping entity types

Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
<p>Have one new wrapper element <entitytypeset> as sub-element of <identity></p> <p>Includes the mandatory, not repeatable sub-element <entitytype> and the optional, repeatable sub-element <otherentitytype></p> <p>With the sub-element <entitytype> being mandatory, <entitytypeset> itself would need to be mandatory, too</p> <p>Have a second new wrapper element <identityidset> as sub-element of <identity></p> <p>Includes the mandatory and repeatable sub-element <identityid></p> <p><identityidset> itself would be optional</p> <p>It might be suitable to allow <descriptivenote> with <entitytypeset> (i.e. similar to <daoset> and <languageset>), while <identityidset> can possibly do without additional descriptive elements</p>	<p>Have two new wrapper elements, <otherentitytypes> and <identityids> as sub-elements of <identity></p> <p>Include singular version of themselves, i.e. <otherentitytype> and <identityid></p> <p><otherentitytypes> and <identityids> could both be optional and would, if used, both require to use at least one singular version of themselves</p> <p>In this scenario, <otherentitytype> and <identityid> would follow the same content model as other singular elements, i.e. they would include the sub-element, which would then have the appropriate attributes of @valueuri, @vocabularysource, @vocabularysourceuri instead</p>	<p>Given the different scope of entity types and identity IDs, it might be worth considering a mix of options 1 and 2:</p> <p>Have two new wrapper elements, <otherentitytypes> and <identityidset> as sub-elements of <identity></p> <p>While the first includes a singular version of itself, i.e. <otherentitytype>, the latter includes the mandatory and repeatable sub-element <identityid></p> <p><otherentitytypes> and <identityidset> could both be optional and would, if used, both require to use at least one sub-element</p> <p><otherentitytype> would follow the same content model as other singular elements, i.e. it would include the sub-element <term>, which would then have the appropriate attributes of @valueuri, @vocabularysource, @vocabularysourceuri instead</p>

<pre> <identity> <entitytypeset> <entitytype value="corporateBody"/> <otherentitytype languageofelement="ger" localType="translation" vocabularysource="GND" vocabularysourceuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/" valueuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/4128521-9"> Körperschaft </otherentitytype> <otherentitytype languageofelement="fre" localType="translation" vocabularysource="RAMEAU" vocabularysourceuri="https://data.bnf.fr/" valueuri="https://data.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/cb11951020r"> Organisation </otherentitytype> <otherentitytype languageofelement="ger" localType="specification" vocabularysource="GND" </pre>	<pre> <identity> <entitytype value="corporatebody"/> <otherentitytypes> <otherentitytype> <term languageofelement="ger" localType="translation" vocabularysource="GND" vocabularysourceuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/" valueuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/4128521-9"> Körperschaft</term> </otherentitytype> <otherentitytype> <term languageofelement="fre" localType="translation" vocabularysource="RAMEAU" vocabularysourceuri="https://data.bnf.fr/" valueuri="https://data.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/cb11951020r"> Organisation</term> <descriptivenote> <p>Some text explaining the use of the French term.</p> </descriptivenote> </otherentitytype> <otherentitytype> <term languageofelement="ger" localType="specification" vocabularysource="GND" </pre>	<pre> <identity> <entitytype value="corporatebody"/> <otherentitytypes> <otherentitytype> <term languageofelement="ger" localType="translation" vocabularysource="GND" vocabularysourceuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/" valueuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/4128521-9"> Körperschaft</term> </otherentitytype> <otherentitytype> <term languageofelement="fre" localType="translation" vocabularysource="RAMEAU" vocabularysourceuri="https://data.bnf.fr/" valueuri="https://data.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/cb11951020r"> Organisation</term> <descriptivenote> <p>Some text explaining the use of the French term.</p> </descriptivenote> </otherentitytype> <otherentitytype> <term languageofelement="ger" localType="specification" vocabularysource="GND" </pre>
--	---	---

vocabularysourceuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/" valueuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/4187548-5"> Verbandskörperschaft </otherentitytype> [...] <descriptivenote> <p>Some text explaining the connection to the other entity types.</p> </descriptivenote> </entitytypeset> <identityidset> <identityid localtype="drivingLicence"> 0815 </identityid> <identityid localtype="passport"> ABCD </identityid> </identityidset> </identity>	vocabularysourceuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/" valueuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/4187548-5"> Verbandskörperschaft</term> </otherentitytype> [...] <descriptivenote> <p>Some text explaining the connection to the other entity types.</p> </descriptivenote> </otherentitytypes> <identityids> <identityid localtype="drivingLicence"> <term>0815</term> <placeentry>West</placeentry> <date>1915</date> </identityid> <identityid localtype="passport"> <term>ABCD</term> <placeentry>East</placeentry> <date>1908</date> </identityid> <descriptivenote> <p>Some text providing more information about the identity IDs.</p> </descriptivenote> </identityids> </identity>	vocabularysourceuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/" valueuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/4187548-5"> Verbandskörperschaft</term> </otherentitytype> [...] <descriptivenote> <p>Some text explaining the connection to the other entity types.</p> </descriptivenote> </otherentitytypes> <identityidset> <identityid localtype="drivingLicence"> 0815 </identityid> <identityid localtype="passport"> ABCD </identityid> </identityidset> </identity>
---	---	---

Recommendation

Follow option 3, i.e.:

- Introduce two new wrapper elements, <otherentitytypes> and <identityidsset>, within <identity>;
- Have both of these elements as optional and not repeatable;
- Have both of these elements require at least one of their individual sub-elements, <otherentitytype> and <identityid> respectively;
- Have <otherentitytypes> follow the same content model as other plural elements -> to be decided in a more general conversation around plural elements;
- Have <identityset> function as a grouping element mainly;
- Have both of these elements with the general - optional - attributes @id, @audience;
- Have both of these element with the - optional - attributes for language attribution, i.e. @languageofelement, @scriptofelement, @transliteration.

Rationale behind recommendation

With entity types ideally coming from ontologies, vocabularies, thesauri, etc., it seems suitable to use <otherentitytype> with <term> similar to other elements that are meant to point to such external resources. For <identityid>, however, this model seems a little too complex given the mostly straightforward scope of the element. Hence the model of <*set> elements to group several <identityid>-s together, where applicable, seems a better fit.

Potential alternative to the above recommendation

Following the above rationale and keeping the simpler model for <identityid>-s, it might be an alternative to the recommendation to have <otherentitytype>-s follow the same approach in order to use the same content model for sub-elements of <identity>. This would then also fall in line with the other sub-element of <identity>, the <nameentry> respectively <nameentryset>, whereas the plural/singular model would then only be used with sub-elements of <description>. Should option 3 not be chosen, option 1 could hence be a possible variant.

Decision during the EAC-CPF meeting on 3 July

Option 3 extended

Have one new wrapper element, **<otherentitytypes>**, as sub-element of <identity>

Have <otherentitytypes> as optional and requiring at least one singular version of itself, i.e. **<otherentitytype>**

Have **<otherentitytype>** follow the same content model as other singular elements, i.e. it would include the sub-element <term>, which would then have the appropriate attributes of @valueuri, @vocabularysource, @vocabularysourceuri

Keep <identityid> as single, repeatable element within <identity>

```
<identity>
  <entitytype value="corporatebody"/>
  <otherentitytypes>
    <otherentitytype>
      <term languageofelement="ger" localType="translation" vocabularysource="GND"
        vocabularysourceuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/" valueuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/4128521-9">
        Körperschaft</term>
      </otherentitytype>
    <otherentitytype>
      <term languageofelement="fre" localType="translation" vocabularysource="RAMEAU"
        vocabularysourceuri="https://data.bnf.fr/" valueuri="https://data.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/cb11951020r">
        Organisation</term>
      <descriptivenote>
        <p>Some text explaining the use of the French term.</p>
      </descriptivenote>
    </otherentitytype>
  <otherentitytype>
    <term languageofelement="ger" localType="specification" vocabularysource="GND"
      vocabularysourceuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/" valueuri="http://d-nb.info/gnd/4187548-5">
      Verbandskörperschaft</term>
```

```
</otherentitytype>
[...]
```

<descriptivenote>

<p>Some text explaining the connection to the other entity types.</p>

</descriptivenote>

</otherentitytypes>

<identityid localtype="registrationnumber">0815</identityid>

<identityid localtype="taxnumberFrance">ABCD</identityid>

<identityid localtype="taxnumberSpain">EFGH</identityid>

</identity>