Engineering Ethics

Lecture 3



Codes of Ethics

☐ Codes of ethics state the moral responsibilities of
engineers as seen by the profession and as
represented by a professional society.
☐ Codes of ethics play at least eight essential roles:
☐Serving and protecting the public,
□Providing guidance,
☐Offering inspiration,
☐ Establishing shared standards,
☐Supporting responsible professionals,
☐ Contributing to education,
□ Deterring wrongdoing, and
☐Strengthening a profession's image.



Serving and protecting the public

- ☐ Engineering involves advanced expertise that professionals have and the public lacks, and also considerable dangers to a vulnerable public.
- □ Accordingly, professionals stand in a fiduciary relationship with the public: Trust and trustworthiness are essential.
- ☐ A code of ethics functions as a commitment by the profession as a whole that engineers will serve the public health, safety, and welfare.
- ☐ In one way or another, the remaining functions of codes all contribute to this primary function..



- ☐ Codes provide helpful guidance by articulating the main obligations of engineers.
- ☐ Because codes should be brief to be effective, they offer mostly **general guidance**.
- □ Nonetheless, when well written, they identify primary responsibilities.
- ☐ More specific directions may be given in supplementary statements or guidelines, which tell how to apply the code.



- □ Because codes express a profession's collective commitment to ethics, they provide a positive stimulus (motivation) for ethical conduct.
- ☐ In a powerful way, they voice what it means to be a member of a profession committed to responsible conduct in promoting the safety, health, and welfare of the public.
- □ Although this paramount ideal is somewhat vague, it expresses a collective commitment to the public good that inspires individuals to have similar aspirations.



Shared standards

- ☐ The diversity of moral viewpoints among individual engineers makes it essential that professions establish explicit standards, in particular minimum (but hopefully high) standards.
- ☐ In this way, the public is assured of a standard of excellence on which it can depend, and professionals are provided a **fair playing field** in competing for clients.



Support for responsible professionals

☐ Codes give positive support to professionals seeking to act ethically. ☐ A publicly proclaimed code allows an engineer, under pressure to act unethically, to say: "I am bound by the code of ethics of my profession, which states that..." ☐ This by itself gives engineers some group backing in taking stands on moral issues. ☐ Moreover, codes can potentially serve as legal support for engineers criticized for living up to workrelated professional obligations.



Education and mutual understanding

- □ Codes can be used by professional societies and in the classroom to prompt discussion and reflection on moral issues.
- □ Widely circulated and officially approved by professional societies, codes encourage a shared understanding among professionals, the public, and government organizations about the moral responsibilities of engineers.
- ☐ A case in point is NSPE's BER, which actively promotes moral discussion by applying the NSPE code to cases for educational purposes.



Deterrence and discipline

Codes can also serve as the formal basis for investigating unethical conduct.
Where such investigation is possible, a deterrent for immoral behavior is thereby provided. Such an investigation generally requires paralegal proceedings designed to get at the truth about a given charge without violating the personal rights of those being investigated.
Engineering societies cannot by themselves revoke the right to practice engineering in the United States.
Yet some professional societies do suspend or expel members whose professional conduct has been proven unethical, and this alone can be a powerful sanction when combined with the loss of respect from colleagues and the local community that such action is bound to produce.



Contributing to the profession's image

☐ Codes can present a positive image to the public of an ethically committed profession. ☐ Where warranted, the image can help engineers more effectively serve the public. ☐ It can also win greater powers of self-regulation for the profession itself, while lessening the demand for more government regulation. ☐ The reputation of a profession, like the reputation of an individual professional or a corporation, is essential in sustaining the trust of the public.



Abuse of Codes

☐ When codes are not taken seriously within a profession, they amount to a kind of window dressing that ultimately increases public cynicism about the profession. ☐ Probably the **worst abuse** of engineering codes is to restrict honest moral effort on the part of individual engineers to preserve the profession's public image and protect the status quo (to maintain existing social structure and values). ☐ Preoccupation with keeping a shiny public image may silence healthy dialogue and criticism. And an excessive interest in protecting the status quo may lead to a distrust of the engineering profession on the part of both government and the public. ☐ The best way to increase trust is by encouraging and helping engineers to speak freely and responsibly about public safety and well-being. This includes a tolerance for criticisms of the codes themselves, rather than allowing codes to become sacred documents that have to be accepted uncritically. On rare occasions, abuses have discouraged moral conduct and caused serious harm to those seeking to serve the public.



Limitations of Codes

- Codes are no substitute for individual responsibility in grappling with concrete dilemmas. ☐ Most codes are restricted to general wording, and hence inevitably contain substantial areas of vagueness. Thus, they may not be able to straightforwardly address all situations. ☐ At the same time, vague wording may be the only way new technical developments and shifting social and organizational structures can be accommodated. Other uncertainties can arise when different entries in codes come into conflict with each other. Usually codes provide little guidance as to which entry should have priority in those cases.
- Most important, despite their authority in guiding professional conduct, codes are not always the complete and final word. Codes can be flawed, both by omission and commission.

AIUB