

Exercise Sheet 5

Robin Schmidt
Probabilistic Inference & Learning

November 18, 2018

Gaussian Process Regression

1. (a)

please see provided code in jupyter notebook.

Adding the second running period:

```
# time frame of running
runstart  = date2num(datetime(2009,7,1));
runend    = date2num(datetime(2009,12,5));
runstart2 = date2num(datetime(2013,8,1));
runend2   = date2num(datetime(2013,12,31));
```

Modifying `phi_run`:

```
phi_run = lambda t : ( (t > runstart) * (t < runend) * (t -
    runstart) + (t >= runend) * (runend - runstart) \
    + (t > runstart2) * (t < runend2) * (t - runstart2) + (t >=
    runend2) * (runend2 - runstart2) ) / 1000.
```

Plotting the posterior mean and standard deviation with:

```
# Plotting the standard deviation and the posterior mean
fig2 = plt.figure();
plt.plot_date(Xd,Y, ' . ', color=dark);
plt.plot(t, mpost, '- ', color=red, lineWidth=4)
plt.plot(t, spost, '- ', color=dark, lineWidth=4)
```

This plots the posterior mean in `mpost` which is constant at 31 Dec 2013 and afterwards of -10.04064178 and the standard deviation in `spost` which grows with time onwards is around 1.8.

1. (b)

$$p(w|Y) = \mathcal{N}\left(w; \text{diag}(\vec{\theta}^2)\Phi_X^T G^{-1}Y, \text{diag}(\vec{\theta}^2)\left(I - \Phi_X^T G^{-1}\Phi_X \text{diag}(\vec{\theta}^2)\right)\right)$$

$$p(w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5|Y) = \prod_{i=1}^5 \mathcal{N}\left(w_i; \text{diag}(\vec{\theta}^2)\Phi_X^T G^{-1}Y, \text{diag}(\vec{\theta}^2)\left(I - \Phi_X^T G^{-1}\Phi_X \text{diag}(\vec{\theta}^2)\right)\right)$$

Because of the independence of the feature weights the covariance structure of the posterior should be a diagonal matrix.

2. (a)

Using the properties from lecture 06 for Gaussian processes the marginal prior distribution for f_X is:

$$p(f_X) = \mathcal{N}(f_X; \mu_X, k_{XX})$$

With k_{XX} being a gaussian like kernel:

$$k(X_i, X_j) = \theta^2 \exp\left(-\frac{(X_i - X_j)^2}{2\lambda^2}\right)$$

2. (b)

Recalling the lecture "Gaussian distributions" and the fact that Gaussians are closed under linear maps gives:

$$p(f_X) = \mathcal{N}(f_X; \mu_X, k_{XX})$$

$$f_A = Af_X$$

$$p(f_A) = \mathcal{N}(Af_X; A\mu_X, Ak_{XX}A^T)$$

Also recalling that Gaussians are closed under conditioning from lecture 03 – 17 gives:

$$p(f_B) = \mathcal{N}(Bf_X; B\mu_X, Bk_{XX}B^T)$$

$$p(Y|f_X) = \mathcal{N}(Y; Af_X, \sigma^2 I_m)$$

$$p(f_B|Y) = \frac{p(f_B, Y)}{p(Y)} = \mathcal{N}(f_B; B\mu_{f_B} + k_{f_B}YK_{YY}^{-1}(Y - Af_X), k_{f_Bf_B} - k_{f_BY}k_{YY}^{-1}k_{f_BY})$$

2. (c)

Because the derivation is a linear operation we can just use the method from above:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f'(x) &= \frac{d}{dx}f(x) \\
 Tf(x) &= \frac{d}{dx}f(x) \\
 p(f) &= GP(f; 0, k) \\
 p(f') &= GP(f'; 0, k') \\
 p(f') &= GP(f'; T0, TkT^{-1}) = GP(f'; 0, \nabla k)
 \end{aligned}$$

The marginal is a Gaussian process, because marginals over Gaussians are also Gaussians (recalled from lecture 03-17):

$$\int \mathcal{N}\left[\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}; \begin{pmatrix} \mu_x \\ \mu_y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{xx} & \Sigma_{xy} \\ \Sigma_{yx} & \Sigma_{yy} \end{pmatrix}\right] = \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_x, \Sigma_{xx})$$

This also holds for Gaussian processes.

With the following gradient:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla k &= \frac{\partial k}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial k}{\partial b} \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial a}(\theta^2 \exp(-\frac{(a-b)^2}{2\lambda^2})) + \frac{\partial}{\partial b}(\theta^2 \exp(-\frac{(a-b)^2}{2\lambda^2})) \\
 &= -\frac{\theta^2(a-b)\exp(-\frac{(a-b)^2}{2\lambda^2})}{\lambda^2} + \frac{\theta^2(a-b)\exp(-\frac{(a-b)^2}{2\lambda^2})}{\lambda^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

2. (d)