



SASTRA

ENGINEERING · MANAGEMENT · LAW · SCIENCES · HUMANITIES · EDUCATION

DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY

(U/S 3 OF THE UGC ACT, 1956)

THINK MERIT | THINK TRANSPARENCY | THINK SASTRA

CSE211-Formal Languages and Automata Theory

U4L3_Diagonalization and A Language which is not Recursively Enumerable

Dr. P. Saravanan

School of Computing

SASTRA Deemed University

Agenda

- Recap of previous session
- Recursively enumerable and recursive-def
- A Language which is not Recursively Enumerable-proof
- Diagonalization
- Diagonalization language

Let L be a recursively enumerable language
and M the Turing Machine that accepts it

For string : w

if $w \in L$ then M halts in a final state

if $w \notin L$ then M halts in a non-final state
or loops forever

Let L be a recursive language

and M the Turing Machine that accepts it

For string w :

if $w \in L$ then M halts in a final state

if $w \notin L$ then M halts in a non-final state

A Language which
is not
Recursively Enumerable

We want to find a language that
is not Recursively Enumerable

This language is not accepted by any
Turing Machine

Table of Acceptance

String j \longrightarrow

1 2 3 4 5 6 ...

T
 M
 i \downarrow

1						
2				x		
3						
4						
5						
6						
.						

$x = 0$ means
the i -th TM does
not accept the
 j -th string; 1
means it does.

Diagonalization Again

Whenever we have a table like the one on the previous slide, we can *diagonalize* it.

That is, construct a sequence D by complementing each bit along the major diagonal.

Formally, $D = a_1a_2\dots$, where $a_i = 0$ if the (i, i) table entry is 1, and vice-versa.

Diagonalization - (2)

Consider the diagonalization language

$L_d = \{w \mid w \text{ is the } i\text{-th string, and the } i\text{-th TM does not accept } w\}.$

Consider alphabet $\{a\}$

Strings: $a, aa, aaa, aaaa, \square$

$a^1 \quad a^2 \quad a^3 \quad a^4 \quad \dots$

Consider Turing Machines
that accept languages over alphabet $\{a\}$

They are countable:

$M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4, \square$

Example language accepted by M_i

$$L(M_i) = \{aa, aaaa, aaaaaa\}$$

$$L(M_i) = \{a^2, a^4, a^6\}$$

Alternative representation

	a^1	a^2	a^3	a^4	a^5	a^6	a^7	...
$L(M_i)$	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	...

	a^1	a^2	a^3	a^4	\dots
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	\dots
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	\dots
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	\dots
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	\dots

Consider the language

$$L = \{a^i : a^i \in L(M_i)\}$$

L consists from the 1's in the diagonal

	a^1	a^2	a^3	a^4	\dots
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	\dots
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	\dots
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	\dots
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	\dots

$$L = \{a^3, a^4, \square\}$$

Consider the language \overline{L}

$$L = \{a^i : a^i \in L(M_i)\}$$

$$\overline{L} = \{a^i : a^i \notin L(M_i)\}$$

\overline{L} consists of the 0's in the diagonal

	a^1	a^2	a^3	a^4	\dots
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	\dots
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	\dots
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	\dots
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	\dots

$$\overline{L} = \{a^1, a^2, \square\}$$

Theorem:

Language \overline{L} is not recursively enumerable

Proof:

Assume for contradiction that

\overline{L} is recursively enumerable

There must exist some machine M_k
that accepts \overline{L}

$$L(M_k) = \overline{L}$$

	a^1	a^2	a^3	a^4	\dots
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	\dots
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	\dots
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	\dots
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	\dots

Question: $M_k = M_1$?

	a^1	a^2	a^3	a^4	\dots
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	\dots
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	\dots
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	\dots
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	\dots

Answer: $M_k \neq M_1$

$$a^1 \in L(M_k)$$

$$a^1 \notin L(M_1)$$

	a^1	a^2	a^3	a^4	\dots
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	\dots
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	\dots
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	\dots
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	\dots

Question: $M_k = M_2$?

	a^1	a^2	a^3	a^4	\dots
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	\dots
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	\dots
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	\dots
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	\dots

Answer: $M_k \neq M_2$

$$a^2 \in L(M_k)$$

$$a^2 \notin L(M_2)$$

	a^1	a^2	a^3	a^4	\dots
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	\dots
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	\dots
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	\dots
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	\dots

Question: $M_k = M_3$?

	a^1	a^2	a^3	a^4	\dots
$L(M_1)$	0	1	0	1	\dots
$L(M_2)$	1	0	0	1	\dots
$L(M_3)$	0	1	1	1	\dots
$L(M_4)$	0	0	0	1	\dots

$$a^3 \notin L(M_k)$$

$$a^3 \in L(M_3)$$

Answer: $M_k \neq M_3$

Similarly: $M_k \neq M_i$ for any i

Because either:

$$a^i \in L(M_k)$$

or

$$a^i \notin L(M_k)$$

$$a^i \notin L(M_i)$$

$$a^i \in L(M_i)$$

Therefore, the machine M_k cannot exist

Therefore, the language \bar{L}
is not recursively enumerable

End of Proof

Observation:

There is no algorithm that describes \overline{L}

(otherwise \overline{L} would be accepted by
some Turing Machine)

Non Recursively Enumerable

\overline{L}

Recursively Enumerable

Recursive

A Venn diagram illustrating the hierarchy of computational complexity classes. It consists of two concentric ellipses. The inner ellipse is labeled 'Recursive'. The outer ellipse is labeled 'Recursively Enumerable' in its upper half and 'Non Recursively Enumerable' in its upper half. The symbol \overline{L} is positioned to the right of the outer ellipse, representing the complement of the recursively enumerable set L .

References

John E. Hopcroft, Rajeev Motwani and Jeffrey D. Ullman, *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation*, Pearson, 3rd Edition, 2011.

Peter Linz, *An Introduction to Formal Languages and Automata*, Jones and Bartle Learning International, United Kingdom, 6th Edition, 2016.

Next Class: Unit IV

Universal Language

Thank you.