



SASTRA

ENGINEERING · MANAGEMENT · LAW · SCIENCES · HUMANITIES · EDUCATION

DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY
(U/S 3 OF THE UGC ACT, 1956)

THINK MERIT | THINK TRANSPARENCY | THINK SASTRA

CSE211-Formal Languages and Automata Theory

U2L13 – Pumping Lemma for CFL and Properties of CFL

Dr. P. Saravanan
School of Computing
SASTRA Deemed University

Agenda

- Recap of previous class
 - Normal Forms
- Pumping Lemma for CFL
 - Definition
 - Examples
- Closure Properties
- Decision Properties

Pumping Lemma for CFL

- **Theorem** (pumping lemma for CFL's)
 - Let L be a CFL. There exists an integer constant n such that if $z \in L$ with $|z| \geq n$, then we can write $z = uvwxy$, subject to the following conditions:
 1. $|vwx| \leq n$;
 2. $v, x \neq \varepsilon$ (that is, v, x are not both ε);
 3. for all $i \geq 0$, $uv^iwx^iy \in L$.
- Used to prove that the given language is not a context-free language

Example 1

- Prove by contradiction the language $L = \{0^m 1^m 2^m \mid m \geq 1\}$ is not a CFL by the pumping lemma.
- *Proof.*

- **Step 1:** an integer constant $n=9$
- **Step 2:** such that if $z \in L$ with $|z| \geq 9$, then we can write $z = 000111222$,

- **Step 3:** Divide the string z into $z = uvwxy$ such that

$|vwx| \leq n$; and $v, x \neq \epsilon$ (that is, v, x are not both ϵ);

$z = 00 \ 01 \ 11 \ 2 \ 22$
U V W X Y

For $i=0 \Rightarrow uv^0wx^0y \Rightarrow 001122 \in L$

For $i=1 \Rightarrow uv^1wx^1y$

$\Rightarrow 000111222 \in L$

For $i=2 \Rightarrow uv^2wx^2y$

$\Rightarrow 00 \ 0101 \ 11 \ 22 \ 22 \notin L$

- **Step 4:** for all $i \geq 0$, $uv^iwx^iy \in L$

So the given language is not a CFL

Example 2:

- Prove that $L = \{ww \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^*\}$ is not a CFL.

Closure Properties of CFL's

- Some differences between CFL's and RL's
 - CFL's are *not* closed under *intersection*, *difference*, or *complementation*
 - But the *intersection* or *difference* of a CFL and an RL is still a CFL.
 - We will introduce a new operation --- *substitution*.

Substitution

■ Definitions:

- A *substitution* s on an alphabet S is a function such that for each $a \in S$, $s(a)$ is a language L_a over any alphabet (not necessarily S)
- For a string $w = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in S^*$, $s(w) = s(a_1) s(a_2) \dots s(a_n) = L_{a_1} L_{a_2} \dots L_{a_n}$, i.e., $s(w)$ is a language which is the concatenation of all L_{a_i} 's
- Given a language L , $s(L) = \bigcup_{w \in L} s(w)$

Substitution Example

- A substitution s on an alphabet $S = \{0, 1\}$ is defined as $S(0) = \{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 1\}$, $s(1) = \{aa, bb\}$.
- Let $w = 01$, then $s(w) = s(0)s(1) = \{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 1\}\{aa, bb\} = \{a^n b^n aa \mid n \geq 1\} \cup \{a^n b^{n+2} \mid n \geq 1\}$.

Closure properties of CFL

The CFL's are closed under the following operations:

- 1. Union
- 2. Concatenation
- 3. Closure (*), and positive closure (+)
- 4. Homomorphism
- 5. Inverse Homomorphism
- 6. Reversal

Not Closed

- 1. Intersection
- 2. Difference and 3. Complementation

Intersection with an RL

■ Theorem 7.27

- If L is a CFL and R is an RL, then $L \cap R$ is a CFL.
- The following are true about CFL's L , L_1 , and L_2 , and an RL R :
 - 1. $L - R$ is a CFL;
 - 2. \overline{L} is *not* necessarily a CFL;
 - 3. $L_1 - L_2$ is *not* necessarily a CFL.

Decision Properties of CFL's

■ Facts:

- Unlike RLs' decision problems which are all solvable, *very little* can be said about CFL's.
- Only two problems *can be decided* for CFL's:
 - whether the language is empty;
 - whether a given string is in the language.
- Computational complexity for conversions between CFG's and PDA's will be investigated.

Decision Properties of CFL's

- Testing Emptiness of CFL's
- The problem of **testing emptiness** of a CFL L is *decidable*.
- Testing Membership in a CFL
- A way for solving the **membership problem** for a CFL L is to use the CNF of the CFG G for L in the following way:
 - The parse tree of an input string w of length n using the CNF grammar G has $2n - 1$ nodes.
 - We can generate all possible parse trees and check if a yield of them is w .
- The number of such trees is *exponential* in n .

Preview of Un-decidable CFL Problems

- The following are undecidable CFL problems ---
 - Is a given CFL inherently ambiguous?
 - Is the intersection of two CFL's empty?
 - Are two CFL's the same?
 - Is a given CFL equal to S^* , where S is the alphabet of this language?

Summary

- Recap of previous class
 - Normal Forms
- Pumping Lemma for CFL
 - Definition
 - Examples
- Closure Properties
- Decision Properties

References

- John E. Hopcroft, Rajeev Motwani and Jeffrey D. Ullman, *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation*, Pearson, 3rd Edition, 2011.
- Peter Linz, *An Introduction to Formal Languages and Automata*, Jones and Bartle Learning International, United Kingdom, 6th Edition, 2016.

Next Class: **Unit III**

Context-Sensitive Language

Thank you.