

Aquifer imaging with pressure waves - evaluation of low-impact characterization through sandbox experiments

YaoQuan Zhou¹, David Lim¹, Fausto Cupola², and Michael Cardiff¹

¹ Department of Geoscience, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA

² University of Parma, Italy

Content of this file

Figure 1 to Figure 28

Introduction

This supporting information provides comparable figures to those seen in the main article, e.g. Figure 10. The order of these figures follow the comparisons presented on Table 1 of the main article.




Figure 1. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 1 in Table 1.




Figure 2. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 2 in Table 1.




Figure 3. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 3 in Table 1.




Figure 4. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 4 in Table 1.




Figure 5. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 5 in Table 1.




Figure 6. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 6 in Table 1.




Figure 7. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 7 in Table 1.




Figure 8. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 8 in Table 1.




Figure 9. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 9 in Table 1.




Figure 10. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 10 in Table 1.




Figure 11. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 11 in Table 1.




Figure 12. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 12 in Table 1.




Figure 13. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 13 in Table 1.




Figure 14. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 14 in Table 1.




Figure 15. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 15 in Table 1.




Figure 16. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 16 in Table 1.




Figure 17. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 17 in Table 1.




Figure 18. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 18 in Table 1.




Figure 19. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 19 in Table 1.




Figure 20. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 20 in Table 1.




Figure 21. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 21 in Table 1.




Figure 22. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 22 in Table 1.




Figure 23. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 23 in Table 1.




Figure 24. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 24 in Table 1.




Figure 25. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 25 in Table 1.




Figure 26. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 26 in Table 1.




Figure 27. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 27 in Table 1.




Figure 28. Comparable to Figure 10 in the paper, corresponds to case 28 in Table 1.