

Ex 20

minimise $(x-3)^2 + (y-1)^2$

s.t. $x^2 + 1 - y \leq 0$

$y + 3x - 11 \leq 0$

$$L((x, y), \lambda) = (x-3)^2 + (y-1)^2 + \lambda_1 (x^2 + 1 - y) + \lambda_2 (y + 3x - 11)$$

KKT condition:

$$(I) \frac{\partial L((x, y), \lambda)}{\partial x} = 2(x-3) + 2\lambda_1 x + 3\lambda_2 \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$(II) \frac{\partial L((x, y), \lambda)}{\partial y} = 2(y-1) - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

The complementary slackness conditions are:

$$(III) \lambda_1 (x^2 + 1 - y) = 0$$

$$(IV) \lambda_2 (y + 3x - 11) = 0$$

$$(V) \lambda_1 \geq 0$$

$$(VI) \lambda_2 \geq 0$$

Case 1: $\lambda_1 = 0$

Then (II) implies $\lambda_2 = 2(1-y)$

Case 1.1: $\lambda_2 = 0$

Then we know $y = 1, x = 3$

Since $(3)^2 + 1 - 1 > 0$, not in feasible region

Case 1.2: $\lambda_2 \neq 0$

$$\text{Then we know } \begin{cases} y + 3x - 11 = 0 \\ x = 3y \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x = \frac{33}{10} \\ y = \frac{11}{10} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{5}$$

do not satisfy the KKT condition

Case 2: $\lambda_1 \neq 0$

Then (III) implies $x^2 + 1 - y = 0$

Case 2.1 $\lambda_2 = 0$, implies $\lambda_1 = 2(y-1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then we know } \begin{cases} y = x^2 + 1 \\ 2x - 6 + 4(y-1)x = 0 \end{cases} &\Rightarrow \begin{aligned} 4x^3 + 2x - 6 &= 0 \\ (x+1)(4x^2 + 4x - 6) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow x = -1, y = 2 & \\ \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 2 & \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.2 $\lambda_2 \neq 0$

Then (III) implies $x^2 + 1 - y = 0$
(IV) implies $y + 3x - 11 = 0$ $\Rightarrow \begin{cases} x=2, y=5 \\ \text{or} \\ x=-5, y=26 \end{cases}$

if $x=2, y=5$, then

$$\begin{cases} 4\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 - 2 = 0 \\ 8 - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_1 = \frac{86}{7} \\ \lambda_2 = \frac{30}{7} \end{cases}$$

if $x=-5, y=26$

$$\begin{cases} -16 - 10\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 = 0 \\ 50 - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -\frac{164}{7} < 0 \\ \lambda_2 = -\frac{516}{7} < 0 \end{cases}$$

So, it do not satisfy KKT condition

Therefore, pairs $((1,2), 2, 0)$ and $((2,5), \frac{86}{7}, \frac{30}{7})$ satisfy the KKT condition.

$$\min (x-3)^2 + (y-1)^2$$

if $x=1, y=2$, then objective function = 5

if $x=2, y=5$, objective function = 17

So pair $((1,2), 2, 0)$ attain the minimum.

Ex21) (a) Proof convexity of $(x-1)^2 \leq 4$:

consider two points x_1 and x_2 in the set,

$$(x_1 - 1)^2 \leq 4 \quad \text{and} \quad (x_2 - 1)^2 \leq 4$$

Now, for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2 - 1)^2 = \lambda^2 (x_1 - 1)^2 + 2\lambda(1-\lambda)(x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1) + (1-\lambda)^2 (x_2 - 1)^2$$

Since $(x_1 - 1)^2 \leq 4$ and $(x_2 - 1)^2 \leq 4$

$$\lambda^2 (x_1 - 1)^2 + 2\lambda(1-\lambda)(x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1) + (1-\lambda)^2 (x_2 - 1)^2 \leq 4\lambda^2 + 8\lambda(1-\lambda) + 4(1-\lambda)^2 = 4$$

So $(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2 - 1)^2 \leq 4$, which means $\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2$ is also in the set.

Thus $(x-1)^2 \leq 4$ is convex

Proof convexity of $y \geq 0$

$$\forall y_1 \geq 0, y_2 \geq 0, \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2 \geq 0$$

So $y \geq 0$ is convex

Since both constraints individually convex, the intersection of these sets is also convex.

(b) Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y, \lambda) = -4xy + 3x^2 + 2x + 4y + \lambda((x-1)^2 - 4) - \mu y$$

KKT:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(x, y, \lambda)}{\partial x} = 6x + 2 + 2\lambda(x-1) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(x, y, \lambda)}{\partial y} = -4x + 4 - \mu = 0 \\ (x-1)^2 - 4 \leq 0 \\ -y \leq 0 \\ \lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 0 \\ \lambda((x-1)^2 - 4) = 0 \\ \mu y = 0 \end{array} \right.$$