Problem !: We can use array to do this:

(1) initialize (m?:

create an array values of size m
set all elements in values us 0

· The runing time is O(m) because it creates an array of size "m", which takes linear times related to the size of array.

@ update (i. x):

values $[i] \leftarrow X$ update Values $[i] \leftarrow X$ update Count [i] +=1

latest Update Index < i

· The runing time is O(1) because the update operation involves direct access to the array index.

@ get (i):

return values [i]

The numing time is O(1) because accessing the value at a specific index in an array is a constant - time operation.

@ undo():

i ← latest llpdate Index values [i] ← update Values [i]

update Count[i]== 1

The runing time is O(1) because it directly accesses the information about the latest update.

(5) Neset ():

values < [0] · len (values)

updateValues < [0] · len (updateValues)

updateCount < [0] · len (updateCount)

The summa time is ()(1) because it involves creations

The numing time is O(1) because it involves creating new array, and the size of the array is constant.

© count_undo():

Neturn sum cupdate(ount)

The runing time is O(1) because it involves summing the elements in the "updateCount" array, which is constant time.