

$$\text{Ex 27] (a) } f(x_1, x_2, x_3) := (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) X_1 \quad X_1 : I = [0, 1]^3$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}, X_3 \geq \frac{1}{2}) &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \\ &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{3}[x_3^3]_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + (x_2^2 + x_3^2)[x_1]_0^{\frac{1}{2}} dx_2 dx_3 \\ &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \frac{1}{24}[x_3]_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_3^2 dx_2 dx_3 \\ &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \frac{1}{24}[x_3]_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}[x_2^3]_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}x_3^2 [x_1]_0^{\frac{1}{2}} dx_3 \\ &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left(\frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2}x_3^2 \right) dx_3 \\ &= \frac{5}{24} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{24} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ii}) \quad f(X_1, X_2) &= \int_0^1 f(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_3 \\ &= \int_0^1 x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 dx_3 \\ &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{b}) \quad f_x(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{x,y}(x,y) dy \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x-y} dy \\ &= -e^{-x-y} \Big|_0^{\infty} = e^{-x} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_y(y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{x,y}(x,y) dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x-y} dx \\ &= -e^{-x-y} \Big|_0^{\infty} = e^{-y} \end{aligned}$$

$$f_x(x) \cdot f_y(y) = e^{-x-y} = f_{x,y}(x,y)$$

Therefore, X and Y are independent.

(c) (i) According to the question

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} x = 1, \frac{1}{2} \\ x = -1, \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} y = 1, \frac{1}{2} \\ y = -1, \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$Z = X \cdot Y \quad P_{X,Y}(X=x, Y=y) = P_X(x) \cdot P_Y(y)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z=1) &= P(X=1, Y=1) + P(X=-1, Y=-1) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \\ P(Z=-1) &= P(X=1, Y=-1) + P(X=-1, Y=1) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \Rightarrow$$

$$f_Z(z) = \begin{cases} z=1, \frac{1}{2} \\ z=-1, \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

When $X=1, Z=1$

$$P(X=1, Z=1) = \underset{[since \ Z=X \cdot Y]}{*} P(X=1, Y=1) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4} = P(X=1) P(Z=1)$$

When $X=1, Z=-1$

$$P(X=1, Z=-1) = P(X=1, Y=-1) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4} = P(X=1) P(Z=-1)$$

When $X=-1, Z=1$

$$P(X=-1, Z=1) = P(X=-1, Y=1) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4} = P(X=-1) P(Z=1)$$

When $X=-1, Z=-1$

$$P(X=-1, Z=-1) = P(X=-1, Y=-1) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4} = P(X=-1) P(Z=-1)$$

We can see that $P(X=x, Z=z) = P(X=x) P(Z=z)$ for all x, z in $\{1, -1\}$, which means X and Z are independent.

Similarly, we can show that Y and Z are independent by the same way.

(ii) Consider $a = b = c = 1$

$$P(X=1, Y=1, Z=1) = \underset{[since \ Z=X \cdot Y]}{*} P(X=1, Y=1) = P(X=1) \cdot P(Y=1) = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P(X=1) \cdot P(Y=1) \cdot P(Z=1) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{8} \neq P(X=1, Y=1, Z=1)$$

Ex 28 (a) If Z is almost surely constant, then $\exists v \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$P(Z=v)=1.$$

We know that $E(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i P(Z=i)$

Since $\exists v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $P(Z=v)=1$

$$E(Z) = v \cdot 1 = v$$

$$E(Z^2) = (v^2) \cdot 1 = v^2$$

Thus $\text{Var}(Z) = E(Z^2) - E(Z)^2 = v^2 - v^2 = 0$

Suppose $\text{Var}(Z)=0$. Then $E(Z^2) = E(Z)^2$

Denote $\mu = E(Z)$, then we have $E((Z-\mu)^2) = 0$

Since $(Z-\mu)^2 \geq 0$, the only way for expected value to be 0 is if $(Z-\mu)^2 = 0$ almost surely.

This implies that $Z = \mu$ almost surely. Therefore Z is almost surely constant.

(b) Closure under addition:

Let Z_1 and Z_2 be almost sure constant variable, i.e.

$P(Z_1=v_1)=1 = P(Z_2=v_2)=1$ for some constant v_1 and v_2 .

Consider $Z_1 + Z_2$, where $(Z_1 + Z_2)(w) := Z_1(w) + Z_2(w)$.

For any w in Ω :

$$(Z_1 + Z_2)(w) = Z_1(w) + Z_2(w) = v_1 + v_2$$

Since $v_1 + v_2$ is constant, $Z_1 + Z_2$ is almost surely constant.

Closure under scalar multiplication:

Let Z be almost sure constant variable, $P(Z=v)=1$

Consider the λZ for any scalar λ , where $(\lambda Z)(w) := \lambda Z(w)$

$$\text{For any } w \in \Omega: (\lambda Z)(w) = \lambda \cdot Z(w) = \lambda \cdot v$$

Since λv is constant, λZ is almost surely constant.

Contain the zero vector.

Let Z_0 be a random variable defined as $Z_0(w) = 0$ for all $w \in \Omega$.

Clearly Z_0 is almost surely constant.

(c) If Z is not almost sure constant and Z and Y are linearly dependent,

then $Z = cY$

c : constant

$$\rho = \frac{\text{Cov}(Z, Y)}{\sigma_Z \sigma_Y} = \frac{\text{Cov}(cY, Y)}{\sigma_Z \sigma_Y} = \frac{c \text{Var}(Y)}{\sigma_Z \sigma_Y}$$

If $c > 0$, then the correlation coefficient between Z and Y is 1.

If $c < 0$, then the correlation coefficient between Z and Y is -1.

(d) No. If X and Y are linearly independent, it means there is no linear relationship between X and Y .

But they could be dependt in some non-linear way.

(e) No. X and Y are independent, doesn't mean they are linearly independent. E.g. $X = 2Y$, they are independent random variable but linearly dependent.

(f) No.