

Exercise 07

Friday, November 24, 2023 2:22 PM

Exercise 25 (8 points)

Consider the multi-objective optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize}_x & f_1(x), \dots, f_\ell(x) \\ \text{subject to} & g_i(x) \leq 0 \quad (i = 1 \dots m) \\ & h_j(x) = 0 \quad (j = 1 \dots p) \end{array}$$

Suppose that the f_k, g_i are differentiable and convex and that the h_j are affine. For a point $c \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ we can form the single objective function $f_c(x) := c_1 f_1(x) + \dots + c_\ell f_\ell(x)$

Suppose that $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $c^* \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$, $\lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mu^* \in \mathbb{R}^p$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{l} \lambda_i^* \geq 0 \\ c_k^* \geq 0 \\ \nabla f_{c^*}(x^*) + \sum_i \lambda_i^* \nabla g_i(x^*) + \sum_j \mu_j^* \nabla h_j(x^*) = 0 \\ \sum_i \lambda_i^* g_i(x^*) = 0 \\ g_i(x^*) \leq 0 \\ h_j(x^*) = 0 \end{array}$$

Then show that x^* is a Pareto optimal point for the multiobjective problem.

Since $f_k(x)$, $k=1, \dots, \ell$ are convex and $c_k^* \geq 0$

The positive linear combination $f_{c^*}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} c_k^* f_k(x)$ is convex

Therefore, the following problem is a convex optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f_{c^*}(x) \\ \text{subject to} & g_i(x) \leq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \\ & h_j(x) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, p) \end{array}$$

Furthermore, since $(x^*, (\lambda^*, \mu^*))$ satisfies KKT condition, x^* is

an optimal point, $p^* = f_{c^*}(x^*)$

$$\Rightarrow \forall x \in \text{dom } f_k \cap \text{dom } g_i \cap \text{dom } h_j,$$

$$f_{c^*}(\tilde{x}) \leq f_{c^*}(x)$$

Suppose x^* is not a Pareto optimal point of the multiobjective problem.

then $\exists \tilde{x} \in \text{dom } f_k \cap \text{dom } g_i \cap \text{dom } h_j$, s.t.

for $\forall k=1, \dots, \ell$, $f_k(\tilde{x}) \leq f_k(x^*)$, and

$\exists \hat{k} \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, $f_{\hat{k}}(\tilde{x}) \neq f_{\hat{k}}(x^*)$, meaning $f_{\hat{k}}(\tilde{x}) < f_{\hat{k}}(x^*)$ ^{strictly}

then $f_{c^*}(\tilde{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} c_k^* f_k(\tilde{x})$

$$= c_{\hat{k}}^* f_{\hat{k}}(\tilde{x}) + \sum_{\substack{k=1, \\ k \neq \hat{k}}}^{\ell} c_k^* f_k(\tilde{x})$$

$$\stackrel{\text{by } \textcircled{1}}{\leq} c_{\hat{k}}^* f_{\hat{k}}(\tilde{x}) + \sum_{\substack{k=1, \\ k \neq \hat{k}}}^{\ell} c_k^* f_k(x^*)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{by } \textcircled{2}}{<} c_{\hat{k}}^* f_{\hat{k}}(x^*) + \sum_{\substack{k=1, \\ k \neq \hat{k}}}^{\ell} c_k^* f_k(x^*) = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} c_k^* f_k(x^*) = f_{c^*}(x^*)$$

$$f_{c^*}(\tilde{x}) < f_{c^*}(x^*) \quad \perp \text{ contradiction!}$$

$\Rightarrow x^*$ is a Pareto optimal point for the multiobjective problem.

Exercise 27 (10 points)

(a) Consider an \mathbb{R}^3 -valued random variable (X_1, X_2, X_3) with density function $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) := (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)\chi_I$, where χ_I is the indicator function of the unit cube $I := [0, 1]^3$, i.e. $\chi_I(x) = 1$ if $x \in I$ and $= 0$ otherwise.

(i) (2 points) Compute the probability $P(X_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}, X_3 \geq \frac{1}{2})$

(ii) (2 points) Compute the density function of the \mathbb{R}^2 -valued random variable (X_1, X_2) .

(b) (2 points) Consider the \mathbb{R}^2 -valued random variable (X, Y) with density function $f(x, y) := e^{-x-y}$ for $x, y \geq 0$ and 0 otherwise. Are the random variables X and Y independent?

(c)

(i) (2 points) Consider two independent random variables X and Y taking values 1 or -1 each with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. Let $Z := X \cdot Y$. Show that X and Z are independent, and that Y and Z are independent.

(ii) (2 points) Show that X, Y and Z are not jointly independent, in the sense that $P(X = a, Y = b, Z = c)$ is not always equal to $P(X = a) \cdot P(Y = b) \cdot P(Z = c)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(a) (i)} \quad P(X_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}, X_3 \geq \frac{1}{2}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{+\infty} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) \chi_I dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \\
 &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} x_1^2 dx_1 + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 x_2^2 dx_2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 x_3^2 dx_3 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot (1)^3 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \left(1^3 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \qquad \frac{1}{16} + \frac{4}{16} + \frac{7}{16} = \frac{12}{16} = \frac{3}{4} \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad f(x_1, x_2) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) \chi_I dx_3 \\
 &= \int_0^1 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) dx_3 \\
 &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1^3 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \frac{1}{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) Suppose $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary, and $b \geq a, d \geq c$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Let } u(t) &= \begin{cases} 1, & t \geq 0 \\ 0, & t < 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow f(x, y) = u(x)u(y)e^{-x-y} \\
 P(a \leq x \leq b, c \leq y \leq d) &= \int_a^b \int_c^d u(x)u(y)e^{-x-y} dx dy \\
 &= \int_a^b \int_c^d u(x)e^{-x} \cdot \underbrace{u(y)e^{-y}}_{\text{independent of } x} dx dy \\
 &= \int_a^b u(x)e^{-x} dx \cdot \underbrace{\int_c^d u(y)e^{-y} dy}_{\text{ind. of } x} \\
 &= \int_a^b u(x)e^{-x} dx \cdot \int_c^d u(y)e^{-y} dy
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(a \leq x \leq b) &= \int_a^b \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u(x)u(y)e^{-x-y} dy dx \\
 &= \int_a^b u(x)e^{-x} dx \cdot \left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-y} dy + \int_{-\infty}^0 0 \cdot e^{-y} dy \right) \\
 &= \int_a^b u(x)e^{-x} dx \cdot \underbrace{\left[-e^{-y} \right]_0^{+\infty}}_{= (0 - (-1)) = 1} \\
 &= \int_a^b u(x)e^{-x} dx
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$P(c \leq y \leq d) = \int_c^d u(y)e^{-y} dy$$

Therefore $\forall a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, b \geq a, d \geq c$

$$P(a \leq x \leq b, c \leq y \leq d) = P(a \leq x \leq b) \cdot P(c \leq y \leq d)$$

$\Rightarrow X$ and Y are independent

(c) (i) X, Y, Z are discrete random variables

$$X: \Omega \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$$

$$Y: \Omega \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$$

$$\Rightarrow Z = X \cdot Y: \Omega \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(Z = -1) &= P(X \cdot Y = -1) = P((X=1, Y=-1) \cup (X=-1, Y=1)) \\
 &= P(X=1, Y=-1) + P(X=-1, Y=1) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{X, Y ind.}}{=} P(X=1)P(Y=-1) + P(X=-1)P(Y=1) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(Z = 1) &= P(X \cdot Y = 1) = P(X=1)P(Y=1) + P(X=-1)P(Y=-1) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

① Prove that X and Z are independent

$$\text{Case 1: } X=1, Z=1$$

$$P(X=1, Z=1) = P(X=1, XY=1) \\ = P(X=1, Y=1)$$

$$\stackrel{XY \text{ ind.}}{\implies} P(X=1)P(Y=1) = \frac{1}{4} = P(X=1)P(Z=1) \quad \checkmark$$

Case 2: $X=1, Z=-1$

$$P(X=1, Z=-1) = P(X=1, Y=-1) \\ = P(X=1)P(Y=-1) = \frac{1}{4} = P(X=1)P(Z=-1) \quad \checkmark$$

Similarly: Case 3: $X=-1, Z=1$

$$P(X=-1, Z=1) = P(X=-1, Y=1) \\ = \frac{1}{4} = P(X=-1)P(Z=1) \quad \checkmark$$

Case 4: $X=-1, Z=-1$

$$P(X=-1, Z=-1) = P(X=-1, Y=-1) \\ = \frac{1}{4} = P(X=-1)P(Z=-1) \quad \checkmark$$

All the elementary events are independent
 $\implies X$ and Z are independent. \square

$$\textcircled{a} \quad \underline{X \cdot Z} = X \cdot X \cdot Y = X^2 Y = \underline{Y}$$

By \textcircled{a} we proved for independent X and Z with described prob. distribution, X and $X \cdot Z = Y$ are independent. \square

(ii) Let $a=b=c=1$

$$P(X=1, Y=1, Z=1) \\ = P(X=1, Y=1, XY=1) \\ = P(X=1, Y=1) \\ = P(X=1) \cdot P(Y=1) = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P(X=1) \cdot P(Y=1) \cdot P(Z=1) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{8} \neq P(X=1, Y=1, Z=1)$$

$\implies X, Y$ and Z are not jointly independent.

Exercise 28 (12 points)

In the following all random variables are \mathbb{R}^n -valued and should be defined on the same fixed probability space (Ω, P) . That is: a random variable is a map $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

Two random variables X, Y are called *independent*, if they satisfy $P(X \in A, Y \in B) = P(X \in A) \cdot P(Y \in B)$ for all $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

One can add and scalar multiply random variables according to the rules $(X+Y)(\omega) := X(\omega) + Y(\omega)$ and $(\lambda X)(\omega) := \lambda \cdot X(\omega)$. With this, *random variables* on (Ω, P) form a *vector space*, so the notion of *linear independence* makes sense. In this exercise you should explore the relationship between the linear independence and the independence of random variables.

An \mathbb{R}^n -valued random variable Z is called *almost surely constant*, if there is a $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $P(Z = v) = 1$.

Let X, Y, Z be \mathbb{R} -valued random variables.

(a) Show that $\text{Var}(Z) = 0$ if and only if Z is almost surely constant.

" \implies " Suppose $\text{Var}(Z) = 0$, $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)^T$

$$E((Z - EZ)(Z - EZ)^T) = 0$$

for $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$E((Z_i - EZ_i)^2) = 0 \implies \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} (Z_i - EZ_i)^2 P(\omega) = 0$$

Since $(Z_i - EZ_i)^2(\omega) \geq 0$, $P(\omega) \geq 0$, $\forall \omega \in \Omega$

$$\implies (Z_i - EZ_i)(\omega) = 0, \text{ for } \forall \omega \in \Omega$$

$$\implies P(Z_i - EZ_i = 0) = 1$$

$$\implies P(Z_i = EZ_i) = 1 \text{ for } \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

$$\implies P(Z = EZ) = 1$$

$$\exists v = EZ, \text{ s.t. } P(Z = v) = 1$$

" \impliedby " Suppose $\exists v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t. $P(Z = v) = 1$,

$$EZ = v: P(Z = v) = 1$$

$$\text{Var}(Z) = E((Z - EZ)(Z - EZ)^T)$$

$$= E(ZZ^T) - E(Z)(EZ)^T - E(EZ) \cdot Z^T + EZ(EZ)^T$$

$$= E(ZZ^T) - EZ \cdot (EZ)^T$$

$$= P(ZZ^T = vv^T) \cdot vv^T - v \cdot v^T$$

$$E \begin{pmatrix} EZ_1 Z_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & EZ_n Z_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} EZ_1^2 P & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & EZ_n^2 P \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} EZ_1^2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & EZ_n^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Too complicated

$$\implies \{\text{Var}(Z)\}_{ij} = E((Z_i - EZ_i)(Z_j - EZ_j)), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n$$

for $i = j$,

$$\{\text{Var}(Z)\}_{ii} = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} (Z_i - EZ_i)^2(\omega) \cdot P(\omega) = 0, \text{ with } \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} P(\omega) = 1$$

• suppose for $\forall \omega: (Z_i - EZ_i)^2(\omega) \geq 0$, $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\text{s.t. } P((Z_i - EZ_i)^2 = 0) \neq 1, \text{ therefore } < 1$$

then $\exists j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \text{tr}(E(WW^T)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} W_i^2(\omega) P(\omega) = 0 \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i^2(\omega) P(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} (W^T W)(\omega) P(\omega) = 0 \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

• suppose for $\forall \omega: W^T W(\omega) \geq 0$, $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\text{s.t. } P(W^T W = 0) \neq 1, \text{ therefore } < 1$$

$$\text{Since } \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} P(\omega) = 1$$

$$\text{then } \exists j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \text{ s.t. } 0 < P(W^T W = w_j) < 1$$

$$\text{and } \exists k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, k \neq j \text{ s.t. } 0 < P(W^T W = w_k) < 1 - P(W^T W = w_j)$$

$$(*) \quad \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} (W^T W)(\omega) P(\omega) = w_j P(W^T W = w_j) + w_k P(W^T W = w_k) + \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \Omega \\ W^T W(\omega) \neq w_j, w_k}} (W^T W)(\omega) P(\omega)$$

$$w_j, w_k \geq 0, w_j \neq w_k \implies$$

$$w_j P(W^T W = w_j) + w_k P(W^T W = w_k) > 0 \implies \text{Var}(Z) > 0 \perp \text{contradiction!}$$

$$\implies \exists \omega \in W^T W(\omega) \geq 0, \text{ s.t. } P(W^T W = 0) = 1$$

$$\implies \exists \omega \in \|Z - EZ\|^2 \implies \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= E(ZZ^T) - E(Z)(E(Z))^T - E(E(Z) \cdot Z^T) + E(Z)(E(Z))^T \\
&= E(ZZ^T) - E(Z)(E(Z))^T \\
&= \underbrace{P(ZZ^T = vv^T)}_{P(Z=v)} \cdot vv^T - v \cdot v^T \\
&= vv^T - vv^T = 0 \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists w \in W^T W(w) \geq 0, \text{ s.t. } P(W^T W = w) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists w \in \|Z - E(Z)\|^2 \iff \dots$$

(b) Show that the almost surely constant random variables form a subvector space of all random variables.

Suppose the subset U is the set of all almost surely constant r.v.s

$$"0" \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega, 0(\omega) = 0 \Rightarrow P(0(\omega) = 0) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow 0(\omega) \in U$$

Additivity Suppose $X, Y \in U$ are arbitrary

$$\text{Then } \exists v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ s.t. } P(X = v_1) = 1, P(Y = v_2) = 1$$

$$1 \geq P(X + Y = v_1 + v_2) \geq P(X = v_1, Y = v_2)$$

$$\geq P(X = v_1) P(Y = v_2) = 1$$

$1 = 1 \Rightarrow$ the inequalities become equalities

$$\Rightarrow \exists v = v_1 + v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ s.t. } P(X + Y = v) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (X + Y)(\omega) \in U$$

Scaling Suppose $X \in U, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary

$$\text{Then } \exists v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ s.t. } P(X = v_1) = 1$$

$$\text{If } \lambda \neq 0, P(\lambda X = \lambda v_1) = P(X = v_1) = 1$$

$$\exists v = \lambda v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ s.t. } P(\lambda X = v) = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda X(\omega) \in U$$

$$\text{If } \lambda = 0, \lambda X(\omega) = 0(\omega) \in U$$

Therefore U is a subvector space of all random variables.

(c) Show that if Z is not almost surely constant and Z and Y are linearly dependent, then their correlation coefficient is 1 or -1

Z and Y are linearly dependent

$$\Rightarrow Z = \lambda Y \quad \lambda \neq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text{ since } Z \text{ is not almost surely constant}$$

Here we suppose Z and Y are \mathbb{R} -valued random variables

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Cov}(Z, Y) &= \text{Cov}(\lambda Y, Y) \\
&\stackrel{\text{bilinearity}}{=} \lambda \text{Cov}(Y, Y) = \lambda \overbrace{\text{Var}(Y)}^{>0}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_Z &= \sqrt{\text{Cov}(Z, Z)} = \sqrt{\text{Cov}(\lambda Y, \lambda Y)} \\
&= \sqrt{\lambda^2 \text{Cov}(Y, Y)} \\
&= |\lambda| \sigma_Y
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{so the correlation coefficient } \rho_{ZY} &= \frac{\text{Cov}(Z, Y)}{\sigma_Z \sigma_Y} \\
&= \frac{\lambda \sigma_Y^2}{|\lambda| \sigma_Y^2} = \frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_{ZY} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda > 0 \\ -1, & \text{if } \lambda < 0 \end{cases}$$

(d) Does linear independence of two random variables X, Y imply that they are independent?

No. For instance $Y = X^2$, with $P(X=2) = \frac{1}{2}, P(X=3) = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda X \neq Y$$

$$P(Y=4) = \frac{1}{2}, P(Y=9) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P(Y=4, X=2) = P(X=2) = \frac{1}{2} \neq P(Y=4) \cdot P(X=2)$$

(e) Does independence of two random variables X, Y imply that they are linearly independent?

(f) Does the answer in part (e) change, if one assumes X, Y to be not almost surely constant?

[2 points per item]

If X, Y are not almost surely constant, Yes

Suppose X and Y are independent

$$X: \Omega \rightarrow T, Y: \Omega \rightarrow S$$

\Rightarrow For $\forall A \in T, B \in S$

$$P(X \in A, Y \in B) = P(X \in A) \cdot P(Y \in B)$$

Suppose X and Y are linearly dependent

$$\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \neq 0, X = \lambda Y,$$

$$\exists A \in T, 0 < P(X \in A) < 1$$

$$\text{Let } B = \{Y(\omega) \mid \omega \in \Omega, X(\omega) \in A\} = \{\lambda X(\omega) \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$$

$$X \in A \Leftrightarrow Y \in B$$

$$\text{so } P(X \in A) = P(Y \in B)$$

$$P(X \in A, Y \in B) = P(X \in A) \neq P(X \in A) \cdot P(Y \in B) \perp \text{contradiction!}$$

$$- P(X \in A) \neq 0$$

$$P(X \in A) \neq 1$$

If X and Y are almost surely constant, not necessary

For $v_1, 2v_1$, where $P(X=v_1)=1$ and $P(Y=2v_1)=1$

$X=2Y$, X and Y are linearly dependent

$$P(X=v_1, Y=2v_1) = P(X=v_1)P(Y=2v_1), \text{ independent!}$$