

Exercise II 04

Sunday, May 5, 2024 5:01 PM

Problem 1 to hand in: Greedy Proof Attempt: Find the Error

Consider *Selection Sort*, a greedy sorting algorithm that runs in quadratic time in the input size (even if the input is already sorted).

```
selection_sort( $A[0..n-1]$ ,  $n$ ):  
  1 for  $j \leftarrow 0$  to  $n-1$  do  
  2   | find index min of the minimum element in  $A[j..n-1]$   
  3   | swap  $A[j]$  and  $A[\text{min}]$   
  4 return  $A$ 
```

Take a look at the following proof attempt that claims to show why the algorithm works. Determine and explain three crucial errors. Repair the errors.

Loop invariant: In loop iteration j , the current element $A[j]$ is swapped with the minimum of the remaining subarray $A[j..n-1]$.

Proof by induction over j :

Base case: For $j = 0$, the minimum index of the whole array $A[0..n-1]$ is found (line 2). This minimum swaps positions with $A[0]$ (line 3).

Induction step: In later iterations, the minimum is only found in the remaining subarray $A[j..n-1]$ (line 2). This is sufficient, because the beginning of the array is already sorted, and therefore saves running time. The minimum is swapped with $A[j]$ (line 3) to save the minimum at the current position j .

All in all, by this loop invariant we obtain the following **termination case**: After n steps, the array is completely sorted.

1. Loop invariant shall address the current state at each iteration j rather than stating the steps in the current iteration.
Correction: In loop iteration j , the array $A[0, \dots, j-1]$ has the smallest j elements in A and it is correctly sorted.
2. Base case then needs to be tweaked according to the loop invariant:
Correction: In loop iteration 0, the array A is unsorted. It has the smallest 0 element in A and therefore is already correctly sorted.
3. In the induction step, we need to prove that the swapping operation we did guarantees the local best choice, leading to a global optimum.
Correction: In loop iteration j , $A[0, \dots, j-1]$ fulfills the loop invariant, then the elements are correctly sorted.
Assume $A[j]$ is not chosen correctly in iteration j . Then the j -th element of the sorted array is not our chosen $A[j]$, which means there exists a smaller element $A[\text{min}']$ in $A[j, \dots, n-1]$ than swapped $A[j]$. This leads to $A[\text{min}'] < A[\text{min}]$ which contradicts the minimum search operation in line 2. The assumption is then wrong, $A[j]$ equals the j -th element of the sorted array.

Then in all, each entry of A after n steps corresponds to the entry of sorted array. A is sorted correctly.