

OFFICE OF THE
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

JOYCE E. DUDLEY
District Attorney



DA 10-437

RELEASE NUMBER

October 4, 2017

RELEASE DATE

APPROVED BY JOYCE E. DUDLEY, DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Joyce E. Dudley, District Attorney

CONTACT (805) 568-2308

***** PRESS RELEASE *****

**PROSECUTORS FROM ACROSS THE U.S. OPPOSE LEGISLATION THAT WOULD
REMOVE REGULATIONS ON GUN SILENCERS**

*Current Version of SHARE Act Would Eliminate Safeguards Barring Violent Offenders from
Obtaining Silencers*

Santa Barbara County District Attorney Joyce E. Dudley along with 28 additional members of Prosecutors Against Gun Violence (PAGV) announced today their strong opposition to provisions in the Sportsmen's Heritage and Recreational Enhancement Act ("SHARE") Act which would remove existing gun silencer safety regulations from federal law. The full letter is attached.

"As prosecutors, we are sworn to uphold public safety in our communities. The SHARE Act would threaten that safety by removing gun silencers from regulation under the National Firearms Act, which for decades has prevented felons, domestic abusers, and other people with dangerous histories from obtaining silencers," wrote PAGV co-chairs Feuer and Vance in a letter regarding the legislation. "Given the challenges of protecting public safety in our communities—including from horrific mass shootings like the one we experienced this week in Las Vegas—and the increased risks to law enforcement, now is exactly the wrong time for Congress to make it easy for people with dangerous histories to buy silencers."

The SHARE Act would jeopardize not only the safety of the public, but also the safety of the front-line law enforcement officers who work to keep communities safe. The loud and distinctive noise that a gunshot makes allows those who hear gunfire to assess the threat and take steps to protect themselves and those around them. Moreover, current federal law requires all buyers of silencers to pass a background check and comply with other common-sense safety provisions. Thanks to these successful regulations, silencers have been rarely used in connection with criminal activity.

Founded by District Attorney Vance and City Attorney Feuer in 2014, PAGV is a nonpartisan coalition of leading prosecutors, from every region of the United States, committed to advancing prosecutorial and policy solutions to the national public health and safety crisis of gun violence.

To stay up to date with PAGV's progress, join the mailing list at ProsecutorsAGV.org, and follow PAGV on [Facebook](https://www.facebook.com/prosecutorsagv) and [Twitter](https://twitter.com/prosecutorsagv).



PROSECUTORS AGAINST GUN VIOLENCE

October 4, 2017

The Honorable Paul Ryan
Speaker of the House
Office of the Speaker
H-232 The Capitol
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi
Minority Leader
Office of the Democratic Leader
H-204 The Capitol
Washington, DC 20515

Re: Prosecutors' Opposition to the SHARE Act, H.R. 3668

Dear Speaker Ryan and Leader Pelosi:

We are members of Prosecutors Against Gun Violence (PAGV), a nonpartisan coalition of prosecutors from across this nation, and we write to express our strong opposition to the inclusion of legislation to remove silencer safety regulations as part of the SHARE Act. We were disappointed to see the SHARE Act, which was originally a sportsman's bill, larded up with dangerous gun provisions that would jeopardize not only the safety of the public, but also of the front-line law enforcement officers who work to keep our communities safe.

As prosecutors, we are sworn to uphold public safety in our communities. The SHARE Act would threaten that safety by, amongst other things, removing gun silencers from the National Firearms Act, which for decades has prevented felons, domestic abusers, and other people with dangerous histories from obtaining silencers. Current federal law requires all buyers of silencers to pass a background check and comply with other common-sense safety provisions. And it is because of these very safeguards – the ones that the SHARE Act seeks to do away with – that silencers are rarely used in crimes. If those important safeguards are eliminated, we are concerned that incidents of silencer crimes will increase and threaten the safety of our communities.

Silencers in the wrong hands create serious public safety risks. The loud and distinctive noise that a gun makes is one of its most important features. When people hear and locate gunfire, they are able to assess the threat, and take steps to protect their safety and the safety of those around them. In mass shootings, being able to hear and identify the gunshots can mean the difference between life and death. For law enforcement, distorting the sound of gunfire through a silencer hinders our ability to quickly respond to shootings, and jeopardizes the safety of our front-line

officers. In Southern California in 2013, for example, Christopher Dorner used silencers to murder innocent victims and ambush police officers who were pursuing him. Silencers enabled Dorner to escape and avoid apprehension by law enforcement during one of the largest manhunts in LAPD history. Additionally, silencers would nullify the usefulness of the ShotSpotter system, which detects the location of gunfire and sends that information to law enforcement. ShotSpotter has significantly enhanced our ability to collect evidence and develop stronger cases against dangerous criminals; silencers would take away this powerful tool.

Given the challenges of protecting public safety in our communities—including from horrific mass shootings like the one we experienced this week in Las Vegas—and the increased risks to law enforcement, now is exactly the wrong time for Congress to make it easy for people with dangerous histories to buy silencers. We hope you will listen to the voices of law enforcement and draft legislation that respects the interests of gun owners while ensuring the safety of those who protect and serve. The current version of the SHARE Act falls short of achieving this important balance.

We welcome the opportunity to further discuss this matter with you.

Sincerely,

Jean Peters Baker
Jackson County Prosecutor

Stanley Garnett
Boulder County District Attorney

Richard Brown
Queens County District Attorney

Eric Gonzalez
Acting Kings County District Attorney

John Choi
Ramsey County Attorney

Paul Howard
Fulton County District Attorney

Darcel Clark
Bronx County District Attorney

Jackie Lacey
Los Angeles County District Attorney

Dan Conley
Suffolk County District Attorney

Barbara LaWall
Pima County Attorney

Joyce Dudley
Santa Barbara County District Attorney

Nancy O'Malley
Alameda County District Attorney

Mara Elliot
San Diego City Attorney

Beth McCann
Denver District Attorney

Mike Feuer
Los Angeles City Attorney

Michael McMahon
Richmond County District Attorney

Kimberly Foxx
Cook County State's Attorney

Kim Ogg
Harris County District Attorney

Barbara Parker
Oakland City Attorney

Jill Ravitch
Sonoma County District Attorney

Jeff Rosen
Santa Clara County District Attorney

Katherine Fernandez Rundle
Miami-Dade State's Attorney

Dan Satterberg
King County Prosecuting Attorney

Madeline Singas
Nassau County District Attorney

Rod Underhill
Multnomah County District Attorney

Cyrus Vance
New York County District Attorney

Lynneice Washington
Jefferson County, Bessemer Cutoff District
Attorney

Amy Weirich
Shelby County District Attorney

Steven Wolfson
Clara County District Attorney

Kym Worthy
Wayne County Prosecuting Attorney