- 1 Title: Tree height and hydraulic traits shape growth responses across droughts in a temperate broadleaf
- 2 forest

14

- ³ Authors: Ian R. McGregor^{1,2}, Ryan Helcoski¹, Norbert Kunert^{1,3}, Alan J. Tepley^{1,4}, Erika B.
- 4 Gonzalez-Akre¹, Valentine Herrmann¹, Joseph Zailaa^{1,5}, Atticus E.L. Stovall^{1,6,7}, Norman A. Bourg¹,
- ⁵ William J. McShea¹, Neil Pederson⁸, Lawren Sack^{9,10}, Kristina J. Anderson-Teixeira^{1,3*}

6 Author Affiliations:

- 1. Conservation Ecology Center; Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute; National Zoological Park, Front Royal, VA 22630, USA
- 2. Center for Geospatial Analytics; North Carolina State University; Raleigh, NC 27607, USA
- 3. Center for Tropical Forest Science-Forest Global Earth Observatory; Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute; Panama, Republic of Panama
- 12 4. Canadian Forest Service, Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
- 5. Biological Sciences Department; California State University; Los Angeles, CA 90032, USA
 - 6. Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA
- 7. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center; Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
- 8. Harvard Forest, Petersham, MA 01366, USA
- 9. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology; University of California, Los Angeles; Los Angeles,
 CA 90095, USA
- 10. Institute of the Environment and Sustainability; University of California, Los Angeles; Los Angeles,
 CA 90095, USA

*corresponding author: teixeirak@si.edu; +1 540 635 6546

Text	word count	other	n
Total word count (excluding	5,365	No. of figures	2 (both colour)
summary, references and legends)			
Summary	198	No. of Tables	5
Introduction	1,034	No of Supporting	6
		Information files	
Materials and Methods	1,945		
Results	697		
Discussion	1467		
Acknowledgements	125		

22 Summary

- As climate change is driving increased drought frequency and severity in many forested regions around the world, mechanistic understanding of the factors conferring drought resistance in trees is increasingly important. The dendrochronological record provides a window through which we can understand how tree size and species' traits shape tree growth responses during droughts.
- We analyzed tree-ring records for twelve species that comprise 97% of the woody productivity of the 25.6-ha ForestGEO plot in a broadleaf deciduous forest of northern Virginia (USA) to test hypotheses on how tree height, microenvironment characteristics, and species' traits shaped drought responses across the three strongest regional droughts over a 60-year period (1950 2009).
- Individual-level drought resistance decreased with tree height, which was strongly correlated with crown exposure. The potentially greater rooting volume of larger trees did not confer an advantage in sites with low topographic wetness index. Resistance was greater among species whose leaves experienced less shrinkage upon desiccation and lost turgor (wilted) at more negative water potentials.
- We conclude that tree height and hydraulic traits influence growth responses during drought, as recorded in the tree-ring record spanning historical droughts. Thus, these factors can be useful for predicting future drought responses under climate change.
- Key words: annual growth; crown exposure; drought; Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO); leaf hydraulic traits; temperate broadleaf deciduous forest; tree height; tree-ring

41 Introduction

uncertainty as to how the forest-dominated terrestrial carbon sink will respond to climate change (Friedlingstein et al., 2006). An important aspect of this uncertainty lies with physiological responses of trees to drought (Kennedy et al., 2019). In many forested regions around the world, the risk of severe drought is increasing (Trenberth et al., 2014; Dai et al., 2018), often despite increasing precipitation (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2015; Cook et al., 2015). Droughts, intensified by climate change, have been affecting forests worldwide and are expected to continue as one of the most important drivers of forest change in the future (Allen et al., 2010, 2015). Understanding forest responses to drought requires elucidation of how tree size, microenvironment, and species' traits jointly influence individual-level drought resistance, and the extent to which their influence is consistent across droughts. However, it has proven difficult to resolve the many factors affecting tree growth during drought with available forest census data, which only rarely captures extreme drought, and with tree-ring records, which capture multiple droughts but usually only sample a subset of a forest community, typically focusing on a single species or the largest individuals. 55 Many studies have shown that within and across species, large trees tend to be more affected by drought. Greater growth reductions for larger trees were first shown on a global scale by Bennett et al. (2015), and subsequent studies have reinforced this finding [e.g., Hacket-Pain et al. (2016); REF]. It has yet to be resolved which of several potential underlying mechanisms most strongly shape these trends in drought response. First, tree height itself may be a primary driver. Taller trees face the biophysical challenge of lifting water greater distances against the effects of gravity and friction (McDowell et al., 2011; McDowell and Allen, 2015; Ryan et al., 2006; Couvreur et al., 2018). Vertical gradients in stem and leaf 62 traits-including smaller and thicker leaves (higher leaf mass per area, LMA), greater resistance to 63 hydraulic dysfunction (i.e., more negative water potential at 50% loss of hydraulic conductivity, more negative P50), and lower hydraulic conductivity at greater heights (Couvreur et al., 2018; Koike et al., 2001; McDowell et al., 2011)—enable trees to become tall (Couvreur et al., 2018). Greater stem capacitance (i.e., water storage capacity) of larger trees may also confer resistance to transient droughts (REF). Indeed, tall trees require xylem of greater hydraulic efficiency, such that xylem conduit diameters are wider in the basal portions of taller trees, both within and across species (Olson et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019), and throughout the conductive systems of angiosperms (Zak et al. 2010, Olson et al. 2014, 2018). Wider xylem conduits plausibly make large trees more vulnerable to embolism during drought (Olson et al., 2018), and traits conducive to efficient water transport may also lead to poor ability to recover from or re-route water around embolisms (Roskilly et al., 2019). 73 Larger trees may also have lower drought resistance because of microenvironmental and ecological factors. 74 Their crowns tend to occupy more exposed canopy positions, which are associated with higher evaporative demand (Kunert et al., 2017). Subcanopy trees tend to fare better specifically due to the benefits of a buffered environment (Pretzsch et al., 2018). Counteracting the liabilities associated with tall height, large 77 trees tend to have larger root systems (Enquist and Niklas 2001; DOI: 10.1126/science.1066360), potentially mitigating some of the biophysical challenges they face by allowing greater access to water. Finally, tree size-related responses to drought can be modified by species' traits and their distribution across size classes (Meakem et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019). Understanding the mechanisms driving the greater relative growth reductions of larger trees during drought requires sorting out the interactive effects

Forests play a critical global role in climate regulation (Bonan, 2008), yet there remains enormous

of height and associated exposure, root water access, and species' traits. Debates have also arisen regarding the traits influencing tree growth responses to drought. Studies in temperate broadleaf forests have observed ring-porous species showing higher drought tolerance than 85 diffuse-porous species (Friedrichs et al., 2009; Elliott et al., 2015; Kannenberg et al., 2019), but this distinction would not hold in the global context (Wheeler et al. 2007, Olson et al. 2020) and does not 87 resolve differences among the many species within each category. Commonly-measured traits including wood density and leaf mass per area (LMA) have been linked to drought responses in some temperate deciduous forests (Abrams, 1990; Guerfel et al., 2009; Hoffmann et al., 2011; Martin-Benito and Pederson, 2015) and other forest biomes around the world (Greenwood et al., 2017). However, in other cases these traits could not explain drought tolerance (Maréchaux et al., 2019), or the direction of response was not always consistent. For instance, higher wood density has been associated with greater drought resistance at 93 a global scale (Greenwood et al., 2017), but correlated negatively with tree performance during drought in a broadleaf deciduous forest in the southeastern United States (Hoffmann et al., 2011). Thus, the perceived influence of these traits on drought resistance may actually reflect indirect correlations with other traits that more directly drive drought responses (Hoffmann et al., 2011). 97 Recent work has shown a great potential for hydraulic traits to predict growth and mortality responses. Hydraulic traits, such as water potentials - thresholds representing a certain percent loss of conductivity 99 (e.g., P50, P80, P88, representing 50, 80, and 88% loss of conductivity, respectively) - and hydraulic safety 100 margin - between typical minimum water potentials and P50 or P88 - correlate with drought performance 101 (Anderegg et al., 2018) but are time-consuming to measure and therefore infeasible for predicting or 102 modeling drought responses in highly diverse forests (e.g., in the tropics). More easily-measurable leaf 103 hydraulic traits that have direct linkage to plant hydraulic function can explain variation in plant 104 distribution and function (Medeiros et al., 2019). These include leaf area shrinkage upon desiccation 105 (PLA_{dry}) and the leaf water potential at turgor loss point (π_{tlp}) , i.e., the water potential at which leaf 106 wilting occurs (Bartlett et al., 2016). Both traits correlate with hydraulic vulnerability and drought 107 tolerance as part of unified plant hydraulic systems (Scoffoni et al., 2014; Bartlett et al., 2016). The 108 abilities of both PLA_{dry} and π_{tlp} to explain tree performance under drought remains untested. 109 Here, we examine how tree height, microenvironment characteristics, and species' traits collectively shape drought resistance, defined as the ratio of annual growth in a drought year to that which would be 111 expected in the absence of drought based on previous years' growth. We test a series of hypotheses and 112 associated specific predictions (Table 1) based on the combination of tree-ring records from three droughts (1966, 1977, 1999), species functional and hydraulic trait measurements, and census and 114 microenvironmental data from a large forest dynamics plot in Virginia, USA. First, we focus on how tree 115 size, alone and in its interaction with microenvironmental gradients, influences drought resistance. We examine the contemporary relationship between tree height and microenvironment, including growing 117 season meteorological conditions and crown exposure. We then test whether, consistent with most forests 118 globally, larger-diameter, taller trees tend to have lower drought resistance in this forest, which is in a region (eastern North America) represented by only two studies in the global review of Bennett et 120 al. (2015). We also test for an influence of potential access to available soil water, which should be greater 121 for larger trees in dry but not in perpetually wet microsites. Finally, we focus on the role of species' functional and hydraulic traits, testing the hypothesis that species' traits-particularly leaf hydraulic 123 traits—predict drought resistance. We test predictions that drought resistance is higher in ring-porous than

semi-ring and diffuse-porous species and that it is correlated with wood density—either positively (Greenwood et al., 2017) or negatively (Hoffmann et al., 2011) and positively correlated with LMA. We further test predictions that species with low PLA_{dry} have higher drought resistance, and that species whose leaves lose turgor lower water potentials (more negative π_{tlp}) have higher resistance.

129 Materials and Methods

Study site and microclimate

Research was conducted at the 25.6-ha ForestGEO (Forest Global Earth Observatory) study plot at the
Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute (SCBI) in Virginia, USA (38°53'36.6"N, 78°08'43.4"W; Fig.

S1) (Bourg et al., 2013; Anderson-Teixeira et al., 2015a). SCBI is located in the central Appalachian
Mountains near the northern boundary of Shenandoah National Park. Elevations range from 273 to 338 m
above sea level with a topographic relief of 65m (Bourg et al., 2013). Climate is humid temperate, with
mean annual temperature of 12.7°C and precipitation of 1005 mm yr⁻¹ during our study period (1960-2009;
source: CRU TS v.4.01; Harris et al., 2014). Dominant tree taxa within this secondary forest include
Liriodendron tulipifera, oaks (Quercus spp.), and hickories (Carya spp.; Table 2).

139 Identifying drought years

We identified the three largest droughts within the time period 1950-2009, defining drought (Slette et al., 2019) as events with anomalously dry peak growing season climatic conditions. Specifically, we used the metric of Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) during May-August (MJJA; Table S3), which were identified by Helcoski et al. (2019) as the months of the current year to which annual tree growth was most sensitive at this site. PDSI divisional data for Northern Virginia were obtained from NOAA (https://www7.ncdc.noaa.gov/CDO/CDODivisionalSelect.jsp) in December 2017. Based on this, we identified the three strongest droughts during the study period (Figs. 1, S2, Table S3).

The droughts differed in intensity and antecedent moisture conditions (Fig. S2, Table S3). The 1966
drought was preceded by two years of moderate drought during the growing season and severe to extreme
drought starting the previous fall. In August 1966, *PDSI* reached its lowest monthly value (-4.82) of the
three droughts. The 1977 drought was the least intense throughout the growing season, and it was
preceded by 2.5 years of near-normal conditions, making it the mildest of the three droughts. The 1999
drought was preceded by wetter than average conditions until the previous June, but *PDSI* plummeted
below -3.0 in October 1998 and remained below this threshold through August 1999.

Data collection and preparation

Within or just outside the ForestGEO plot, we collected data on a suite of variables including tree heights, microenvironment characteristics, and species traits (Table 3). The SCBI ForestGEO plot was censused in 2008, 2013, and 2018 following standard ForestGEO protocols, whereby all free-standing woody stems ≥ 1cm diameter at breast height (DBH) were mapped, tagged, measured at DBH, and identified to species (Condit, 1998). From these census data, we used measurements of DBH from 2008 to calculate historical DBH and data for all stems ≥ 10cm to analyze functional trait composition relative to tree height (all analyses described below). Census data are available through the ForestGEO data portal (www.forestgeo.si.edu).

We analyzed tree-ring data (xylem growth increment) from 571 trees representing the twelve dominant species (Table 2; Fig. S1). Selected species were those with the greatest contributions to woody aboveground net primary productivity $(ANPP_{stem})$ and together comprised 97% of study plot $ANPP_{stem}$ 165 between 2008 and 2013 (Helcoski et al., 2019). Cores (one per tree) were collected within the ForestGEO 166 plot at breast height (1.3m) in 2010-2011 or 2016-2017. In 2010-2011, cores were collected from randomly selected live trees of each species that had at least 30 individuals ≥ 10 cm DBH (Bourg et al., 2013). 168 Annual tree mortality censuses were initiated in 2014 (Gonzalez-Akre et al., 2016), and in 2016-2017, cores 169 were collected from all trees found to have died since the previous year's. We note that drought was probably not a cause of mortality for these trees, as monthly May-Aug PDSI did not drop below -1.75 in 171 these years or the three years prior (2013-2017), and that trees cored dead displayed similar climate 172 sensitivity to trees cored live (Helcoski et al., 2019). Cores were sanded, measured, and crossdated using standard procedures, as detailed in (Helcoski et al., 2019). The resulting chronologies (Fig. 1a) were 174 published in Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.2649302) in association with Helcoski et al. (2019). 175 For each cored tree, we combined tree-ring records and allometric equations of bark thickness to 176 reconstruct DBH for the years 1950-2009. Prior DBH was estimated using the following equation:

$$DBH_Y = DBH_{2008} - 2 * \left[r_{bark,2008} - r_{bark,Y} + \sum_{year=Y}^{2008} r_{ring,Y} \right]$$

Here, Y denotes the year of interest, r_{ring} denotes ring width derived from cores, and r_{bark} denotes bark thickness. Bark thickness was estimated from species-specific allometries based on the bark thickness data

179

from the site (Anderson-Teixeira et al., 2015b). Specifically, we used linear regression on log-transformed 180 data to relate r_{bark} to diameter inside bark from 2008 data (Table S1), which were then used to determine r_{bark} in the DBH reconstruction. 182 Tree heights (H) were measured by several researchers for a variety of purposes between 2012 and 2019 183 (n=1,518 trees). Methods included direct measurements using a collapsible measurement rod on small trees (NEON, 2018) or a tape measure on recently fallen trees (this study); geometric calculations using 185 clinometer and tape measure (Stovall et al., 2018a) or digital rangefinders (Anderson-Teixeira et al., 2015b; 186 NEON, 2018); and ground-based LiDAR (Stovall et al., 2018b). Rangefinders used either the tangent method (Impulse 200LR, TruPulse 360R) or the sine method (Nikon ForestryPro) for calculating heights. Both methods are associated with some error (Larjavaara and Muller-Landau, 2013), but in this instance 189 there was no clear advantage of one or the other. Measurements from the National Ecological Observatory Network (NEON) were collected near the ForestGEO plot following standard NEON protocol, whereby 191 vegetation of short stature was measured with a collapsible measurement rod, and taller trees with a 192 rangefinder (NEON, 2018). Species-specific height allometries were developed (Table S2) using logarithmic 193 regression $(ln[H] \sim ln[DBH])$. For species with insufficient height data to create reliable species-specific 194 allometries (n=2, JUNI and FRAM), heights were calculated from an equation developed by combining the 195 height measurements across all species. We then used these allometries to estimate H for each drought 196 year, Y, based on reconstructed DBH_Y . 197 To characterize how environmental conditions vary with height, data were obtained from the NEON tower

located <1km from the study area via the neonUtilities package (Lunch et al., 2020). We used wind speed, relative humidity, and air temperature data, all measured over a vertical profile spanning heights from 7.2

```
m to above the top of the tree canopy (31.0 or 51.8m, depending on censor), for the years 2016-2018
201
    (NEON, 2018). After filtering for missing and outlier values, we determined the daily minima and maxima,
    which we then aggregated at the monthly scale.*
203
    Crown position—a categorical variable classifying trees based on exposure to sunlight—was recorded for all
204
    cored trees that remained standing during the growing season of 2018 following the protocol of Jennings
    et al. (1999). Trees were classified as follows: dominant trees were defined as those with crowns above the
206
    general level of the canopy, co-dominant trees as those with crowns within the canopy; intermediate
207
    trees as those with crowns below the canopy level, but illuminated from above; and suppressed as those
    below the canopy and receiving minimal direct illumination from above.
209
210
    Topographic wetness index (TWI) was calculated using the dynatopmodel package in R (Fig. S1)
    (Metcalfe et al., 2018). Originally developed by Beven and Kirkby (1979), TWI was part of a hydrological
211
    run-off model and has since been used for a number of purposes in hydrology and ecology (Sørensen et al.,
212
    2006). TWI calculation depends on an input of a digital elevation model (DEM; ~3.7 m resolution from the
213
    elevatr package (Hollister, 2018)), and from this yields a quantitative assessment defined by how "wet" an
214
    area is, based on areas where run-off is more likely. From our observations in the plot, TWI performed
215
    better at categorizing wet areas than the Euclidean distance from the stream.
216
    Hydraulic traits were collected in August 2018 (Tables 2-3; Fig. S4). We sampled small, sun-exposed
217
    branches up to eight meters above the ground from three individuals of each species in and around the
218
    ForestGEO plot. Sampled branches were re-cut under water at least two nodes above the original cut and
    re-hydrated overnight in covered buckets under opaque plastic bags before measurements were taken.
220
    Rehydrated leaves taken towards the apical end of the branch (n=3 per individual: small, medium, and
221
    large) were scanned, weighed, dried at 60° C for > 48 hours, and then re-scanned and weighed. Leaf area
    was calculated from scanned images using the LeafArea R package (Katabuchi, 2019). LMA was
223
    calculated as the ratio of leaf dry mass to fresh area. PLA_{dry} was calculated as the percent loss of area
224
    between fresh and dry leaves. Wood density was calculated for ~1cm diameter stem samples (bark and pith
    removed) as the ratio of dry weight to fresh volume, which was estimated using Archimedes' displacement.
226
    We used the rapid determination method of Bartlett et al. (2012) to estimate osmotic potential at turgor
227
    loss point (\pi_{tlp}). Briefly, two 4 mm diameter leaf discs were cut from each leaf, tightly wrapped in foil,
    submerged in liquid nitrogen, perforated 10-15 times with a dissection needle, and then measured using a
229
    vapour pressure osmometer (VAPRO 5520, Wescor, Logan, UT, USA). Osmotic potential (\pi_{osm}) given by
230
    the osmometer was used to estimate (\pi_{tlp}) using the equation \pi_{tlp} = 0.832\pi_{osm}^{-0.631} (Bartlett et al., 2012).
231
    Statistical Analysis
232
    For each drought year, we calculated a metric drought resistance (Rt) as the ratio of basal area increment
233
    (BAI; i.e., change in cross-sectional area) during the drought year to the mean BAI over the five years
234
    preceding the drought (Lloret et al., 2011). Thus, Rt values <1 and >1 indicate growth reductions and
235
    increases, respectively. Because the Rt metric could be biased by directional pre-drought growth trends, we
236
    also tried an intervention time series analysis (ARIMA, (Hyndman et al., 2020)) that predicted mean
237
    drought-year growth based on trends over the preceding ten years and used this value in place of the
238
    five-year mean in calculations of resistance (Rt_{ARIMA} = observed BAI/ predicted BAI). The two metrics
    were strongly correlated (Fig. S5). Visual review of the individual tree-ring sequences with the largest
240
    discrepancies between these metrics revealed that Rt was less prone to unreasonable estimates than
241
    Rt_{ARIMA}, so we selected Rt as our focal metric, presenting parallel results for Rt_{ARIMA} in the
```

```
Supplementary Info. In this study we focus exclusively on drought resistance metrics (Rt or Rt_{ARIMA}),
    and not on the resilience metrics described in Lloret et al. (2011), because (1) we would expect resilience to
    be controlled by a different set of mechanisms, and (2) the findings of DeSoto et al. (2020) suggest that Rt
245
    is a more important drought response metric for angiosperms in that low resistance to moderate droughts
246
    was a better predictor of mortality during subsequent severe droughts than the resilience metrics.
    Analyses focused on testing the predictions presented in Table 1 with Rt as the response variable, and then
    repeated using Rt_{ARIMA} as the response variable. Models were run for all drought years combined and for
249
    each drought year individually. The general statistical model for hypothesis testing was a mixed effects
250
    model, implemented in the lme4 package in R (Bates et al., 2019), using tree nested within species as a
    random effect, and independent variables as specified below. In the multi-year model, we also included a
252
    fixed effect of drought year to represent the combined effect of each constituent drought scenario. We used
253
    AICc to assess model selection, and conditional/marginal R-squared to assess model fit as implemented in
    the AICcmodayg package in R (Mazerolle and portions of code contributed by Dan Linden., 2019). AICc
255
    refers to a corrected version of AICc, and is best suited for small data sizes (see Brewer et al., 2016).
256
    To avoid over-fitting models with five species traits (Table 3) across only 12 species, we did not include all
257
    traits as fixed effects in a single linear mixed model, but rather conducted individual tests of each species
258
    trait to determine the relative importance and appropriateness for inclusion in the main model. These tests
259
    followed the model structure specified above, then added ln[H] and ln[TWI] to create a base model
260
    against which we tested traits. Trait variables were considered appropriate for inclusion in the main model
261
    if they had a consistent direction of response across all droughts and if their addition to the base model
262
    improved fit (at \triangle AICc \ge 1.0) in at least one drought year (Table S4). We note that we did not use the
263
    \DeltaAICc \geq 1.0 criterion as a test of significance, but rather of whether the variable had enough influence to
264
    be considered as a candidate variable in full models.
265
    We then determined the top full models for predicting Rt (or Rt_{ARIMA}). To do so, we compared models
266
    with all possible combinations of candidate variables, including ln[H]*ln[TWI] and species traits as
267
    specified above. We identified the full set of models within \triangle AICc=2 of the best model (that with lowest
268
    AICc). When a variable appeared in all of these models and the sign of the coefficient was consistent across
269
    models, we viewed this as support for the acceptance/rejection of the associated prediction (Table 1). If
    the variable appeared in some but not all of these models, and its sign was consistent across models, we
271
    considered this partial support/rejection. In presentation of the results below, we note instances where the
272
    Rt_{ARIMA} model disagreed with the Rt model, but otherwise do not discuss the Rt_{ARIMA} model.
    All analysis beyond basic data collection was performed using R version 3.6.2 (R Core Team, 2019). Other
274
    R-packages used in analyses are listed in the Supplementary Information (Appendix S1). All data, code,
275
    and results are available through the SCBI-ForestGEO organization on GitHub
276
    (https://github.com/SCBI-ForestGEO: SCBI-ForestGEO-Data and
    McGregor climate-sensitivity-variation repositories), with static versions corresponding to data and
278
    analyses presented here archived in Zenodo (DOIs: 10.5281/zenodo.3604993 and [TBD], respectively.
279
```

80 Results

Tree height and microenvironment

```
In the years for which we have vertical profiles in climate data (2016-2018), taller trees—or those in
    dominant crown positions—were generally exposed to higher evaporative demand during the peak growing
    season months (May-August; Fig. 2). Specifically, maximum daily wind speeds were significantly higher
284
    above the top of the canopy (40-50m) than within and below (10-30m) (Fig. 2a). Relative humidity was
285
    also somewhat lower during June-August, ranging from ~50-80\% above the canopy and ~60-90\% in the
    understory (Fig. 2b). Air temperature did not vary consistently across the vertical profile (Fig. 2c).
287
    Crown position varied as expected with height (dominant > co-dominant > intermediate > suppressed),
288
    but with substantial variation (Fig. 2d). There were significant differences in height across all crown
289
    position classes (Fig. 2d). Despite this, a comparison test between height and crown position data from
    the most recent ForestGEO census (2018) revealed a correlation of 0.73. As early models showed ln[H] had
291
    a much stronger effect than crown position, we dropped crown position from later analysis.
292
    Community-level drought responses
293
    At the community level, cored trees showed substantial growth reductions in all three droughts, with a
294
    mean Rt of 0.86 in 1966 and 1999, and 0.84 in 1977 (Fig. 2b). Across the entire study period (1950-2009),
295
    the focal drought years were the three years with the largest fraction of trees exhibiting Rt \leq 0.7.
296
    Specifically, in each drought, roughly 30% of the cored trees had growth reductions of > 30\% (Rt < 0.7):
    29% in 1966, 32% in 1977, and 27% in 1999. However, some individuals exhibited increased growth, i.e.,
298
    Rt > 1.0: 26% of trees in 1966, 22% in 1977, and 26% in 1999.
299
    In the context of the multivariate model, Rt did not vary across drought years. That is, "drought year" as
300
    a variable did not appear in any of the top models -i.e., models that were statistically indistinguishable
    (\Delta AICc < 2) from the best model – and therefore was excluded from the final model as a testing variable.
302
    Tree height, microenvironment, and drought resistance
303
    Taller trees showed stronger growth reductions during drought (Table 1; Fig. 4). Specifically, ln[H]
304
    appeared, with a negative coefficient, in the best model ((\triangleAICc=0) and all top models when evaluating
305
    the three drought years together (Tables S6-S7). The same held true for 1966 individually. For the 1977
    drought, ln[H] did not appear in the best model, but was included, with a negative coefficient, among the
307
    top models-i.e., models that were statistically indistinguishable (\triangle AICc < 2) from the best model (Tables 1.
308
    S6-S7). For the 1999 drought, ln[H] had no significant effect.
309
    Rt had a significantly negative response to ln[TWI] in all drought years combined and in 1977 and 1999
310
    individually (Fig. 4, Table S6). When Rt_{ARIMA} was used as the response variable, the effect was
311
    significant in all drought years combined and in 1977, and a negative effect of ln[TWI] was included in
312
    some of the models in 1966 and 1999 (Table S7). This negates the idea that trees in moist microsites would
313
    be less affected by drought. Nevertheless, we tested for a ln[H] * ln[TWI] interaction, a negative sign of
314
    which could indicate that smaller trees (presumably with smaller rooting volume) are more susceptible to
315
    drought in drier microenvironments with a deeper water table. This hypothesis was rejected, as the
316
    ln[H] * ln[TWI] interaction was never significant, and had a positive sign in any top models in which it
317
    appeared (Tables 1, S6-S7). This term did appear, however, with a positive coefficient in the best
318
    Rt_{ARIMA} model for all years combined (Table S7).
319
    Species' traits and drought resistance
320
```

Species traits... (Table 2, Fig. S4) Responses varied across species and by drought (Fig. 3). Averaged

across all droughts, Rt was lowest in Liriodendron tulipifera (mean Rt = 0.66) and highest in Fagus 322 grandifolia (mean Rt = 0.99). Wood density, LMA, and xylem porosity were all poor predictors of Rt (Tables 1,S4-S5). Wood density and LMA were never significantly associated with Rt in the single-variable tests and were therefore 325 excluded from the full models. Xylem porosity was also excluded from the full models, as it had no 326 significant influence for all droughts combined and had contrasting effects in the individual droughts: whereas ring-porous species had higher Rt than diffuse- and semi-ring- porous species in the 1966 and 1999 328 droughts, they had lower Rt in 1977 (Table S4). It is noteworthy that the two diffuse-porous species in our 329 study, Liriodendron tulipifera and Fagus grandifolia, were at opposite ends of the Rt spectrum (Fig. 3), further refuting the idea that xylem porosity is a useful predictor of Rt in the context of this study. 331 In contrast, PLA_{dry} , and π_{tlp} were linked to drought responses (Fig. 4; Tables 1,S4-S7). Both had 332 consistent signs across all droughts, and their inclusion at least marginally improved the model ($\Delta AICc >$ 333 1.0) for at least one of the three droughts (Table S4), qualifying them as candidate variables for the full 334 model. PLA_{dry} had a significant influence, with negative coefficient, in full models for the three droughts 335 combined and for the 1966 drought individually (Fig. 4; Tables S6-S7). For 1977 and 1999, it was included 336 with a negative coefficient in some of the top models (Tables S6-S7). π_{tlp} was included with a negative 337 coefficient in the best model for both all droughts combined and for the 1977 drought individually (Fig. 4; 338 Table S6). It was also included in some of the top models for 1999 (Tables S6-S7). 339

340 Discussion

Tree height, microenvironment, and hydraulic traits shaped tree growth responses across three droughts at 341 our study site (Table 1, Fig. 4). The greater susceptibility of larger trees to drought, similar to forests 342 worldwide (Bennett et al., 2015), was driven primarily by their height (Stovall et al., 2019). Taller height 343 was likely a liability in itself, and was also associated with greater exposure to conditions that would 344 promote water loss and heat damage during drought (Fig. 2). There was no evidence that greater 345 availability of, or access to, soil water availability increased drought resistance; in contrast, trees in wetter topographic positions had lower Rt (Zuleta et al., 2017; Stovall et al., 2019), and the larger potential 347 rooting volume of large trees provided no advantage in the drier microenvironments. The negative effect of 348 height on Rt held after accounting for species' traits, which is consistent with recent work finding height 349 had a stronger influence on mortality risk than forest type during drought (Stovall et al. 2020). Drought 350 resistance was not consistently linked to species' LMA, wood density, or xylem type (ring- vs. diffuse 351 porous), and was negatively correlated with leaf hydraulic traits (PLA_{dry}, π_{tlp}) . This is the first study to our knowledge linking PLA_{dry} and π_{tlp} to growth reduction during drought. The directions of these 353 responses were consistent across droughts (Table S6), supporting the premise that they were driven by 354 fundamental physiological mechanisms. However, the strengths of each predictor varied across droughts (Fig. 4; Tables S6-S7), indicating that drought characteristics interact with tree size, microenvironment, 356 and traits to shape which individuals are most affected. These findings advance our knowledge of the 357 factors that make trees vulnerable to growth declines during drought and, by extension, likely make them more vulnerable to mortality (Sapes et al., 2019). 359 The droughts considered here were of a magnitude that has occurred with an average frequency of 360

approximately once every 10-15 years (Fig. 1a, Helcoski et al. (2019)) and had substantial but not

```
devastating impacts on tree growth (Figs. 1b). These droughts were classified as severe (1977) or extreme
362
    (1966, 1999) according to the PDSI metric and have been linked to tree mortality in the eastern United
    States (Druckenbrod et al., 2019). However, extreme, multiannual droughts such as the so-called
364
    "megadroughts" of this type that have triggered massive tree die-off in other regions (e.g., Allen et al.
365
    (2010); Stovall et al. (2019)) have not occurred in the Eastern United States within the past several
    decades (Clark et al., 2016). Of the droughts considered here, the 1966 drought, which was preceded by
367
    two years of dry conditions (Fig. S2), severely stressed a larger portion of trees (Fig. 1b). The tendency
368
    for large trees to have lowest resistance was most pronounced in this drought, consistent with other
369
    findings that this physiological response increases with drought severity (Bennett et al., 2015; Stovall et al.,
370
    2019). Across all three droughts, the majority of trees experienced reduced growth, but a substantial
371
    portion had increased growth (Fig. 1b), potentially due to decreased leaf area of competitors during the
    drought (REF-if we can find one), and consistent with prior observations that smaller trees can exhibit
373
    increased growth rates during drought (Bennett et al., 2015). It is likely because of the moderate impact of
374
    these droughts, along with other factors influencing tree growth (e.g., stand dynamics), that our best
375
    models characterize only a modest amount of variation in Rt: 11-12% for all droughts combined, and
376
    18-25% for each individual drought (Table S6).
377
    Consistent with studies in other forests worldwide (Bennett et al., 2015), taller trees in this forest exhibited
378
    lower drought resistance. Mechanistically, this is consistent with, and reinforces, previous findings that
370
    biophysical constraints make it impossible for trees to efficiently transport water to great heights and
380
    simultaneously maintain strong resistance and resilience to drought-induced embolism (Olson et al., 2018;
381
    Couvreur et al., 2018; Roskilly et al., 2019). Taller trees also face dramatically different microenvironments
382
    (Fig. 2). They are exposed to higher wind speeds and lower humidity (Fig. 2a-b), resulting in higher
383
    evaporative demand. Unlike other temperate forests where modestly cooler understory conditions have
    been documented (Zellweger et al. 2019), particularly under drier conditions (Davis et al. 2019), we
385
    observed no significant variation in air temperatures across the vertical profile (Fig. 2c). More critically for
386
    tree physiology, leaf temperatures can become significantly elevated over air temperature under conditions
    of high solar radiation and low stomatal conductance (Campbell & Norman; Rey-Sanchez et al. 2016).
388
    Under drought, when air temperatures tend to be warmer, direct solar radiation tends to be higher
389
    (because of less cloud cover), and less water is available for evaporative cooling of the leaves, trees with
390
    sun-exposed crowns may not be able to simultaneously maintain leaf temperatures below damaging
391
    extremes and avoid drought-induced embolism. Indeed previous studies have shown lower drought
392
    resistance in more exposed trees (Liu and Muller, 1993; Suarez et al., 2004; Scharnweber et al., 2019).
393
    Unfortunately, collinearity between height and crown exposure in this study (Fig. 2d) makes it impossible
394
    to confidently partition causality. Additional research comparing drought responses of early successional
395
    and mature forest stands, along with short and tall isolated trees, would be valuable for more clearly
    disentangling the roles of tree height and crown exposure.
397
    Belowground, taller trees would tend to have larger root systems (Enquist and Niklas 2001; DOI:
398
    10.1126/science.1066360), but this does not necessarily imply that they have greater access to or reliance
399
    on deep soil-water resources that may be critical during drought. Rather, larger trees may allocate more to
400
    abundant shallow roots that are beneficial for taking up water from rainstorms (Meinzer et al. 1999; DOI:
    10.1007/s004420050931). In any case, the potentially greater access to water did not override the
402
    disadvantage conferred by height-and, in fact, greater moisture access in non-drought years (here, higher
403
    TWI) appears to make trees more sensitive to drought (Zuleta et al., 2017; Stovall et al., 2019). The
```

```
observed height-sensitivity of Rt, together with the lack of conferred advantage to large stature in drier
405
    topographic positions, agrees with the concept that physiological limitations to transpiration under drought
    shift from soil water availability to the plant-atmosphere interface as forests age (Bretfeld et al., 2018), such
407
    that tall, dominant trees are the most sensitive in mature forests. Again, additional research comparing
408
    drought responses across forests with different tree heights and water availability would be valuable for
    disentangling the relative importance of above- and belowground mechanisms across trees fo different size.
410
    The development of tree-ring chronologies for the twelve most dominant tree species at our site (Helcoski
411
    et al., 2019; Bourg et al., 2013) gave us the sample size to compare historical drought responses across
412
    species (Fig. 3) and associated traits at a single site (see also Elliott et al., 2015). Our study reinforced
413
    current understanding (see Introduction) that wood density and LMA are not reliably linked to drought
414
    resistance (Table 1). Contrary to previous studies in temperate deciduous forests, we did not find an
    association between xylem porosity and drought tolerance, as the two diffuse-porous species, Liriodendron
416
    tulipifera and Faqus grandifolia, were at opposite ends of the Rt spectrum (Fig. 3). While the low Rt of L.
417
    tulipifera is consistent with other studies (Elliott et al., 2015), the high Rt of F. grandifolia contrasts with
418
    studies identifying diffuse porous species in general (Elliott et al., 2015; Kannenberg et al., 2019), and the
419
    genus Fagus in particular (Friedrichs et al., 2009), as drought sensitive.
420
    There are two potential explanations for this discrepancy. First, other traits can and do override the
421
    influence of xylem porosity on drought resistance. Ring-porous species are restricted mainly to temperate
422
    deciduous forests (Wheeler et al. 2007), while highly drought-tolerant diffuse-porous species exist in other
423
    biomes (REFS). Fagus grandifolia had intermediate \pi_{tlp} and low PLA_{dry} (Fig. S4), which would have
    contributed to its drought resistance (Fig. 4; see discussion below). A second explanation of why F.
425
    grandifolia trees at this particular site had higher Rt is that the sampled individuals, reflective of the
426
    population within the plot, are generally shorter and in less-dominant canopy positions compared to most
    other species (Fig. S4). The species, which is highly shade-tolerant, also has deep crowns
428
    (Anderson-Teixeira et al., 2015b), implying that a lower proportion of leaves would be affected by harsher
429
    microclimatic conditions at the top of the canopy under drought (Fig. 2). Thus, the high Rt of the
    sampled F. grandifolia population can be explained by a combination of fairly drought-resistant leaf traits,
431
    shorter stature, and a buffered microenvironment.
432
    Concerted measurement of tree-rings and leaf hydraulic traits of emerging importance (Scoffoni et al.,
433
    2014; Bartlett et al., 2016; Medeiros et al., 2019) allowed novel insights into the role of hydraulic traits in
434
    shaping drought response. The finding that PLA_{dry} and \pi_{tlp} can be useful for predicting drought
435
    responses of tree growth (Fig. 4; Table 1) is both novel and consistent with previous studies linking these
436
    traits to habitat and drought tolerance. Previous studies have demonstrated that \pi_{tlp} and PLA_{dry} are
437
    physiologically meaningful traits linked to species distribution along moisture gradients (Maréchaux et al.,
438
    2015; Fletcher et al., 2018; Medeiros et al., 2019; Simeone et al., 2019; Rosas et al., 2019), and our findings
439
    indicate that these traits also influence drought responses. Furthermore, the observed linkage of \pi_{tlp} to Rt
440
    in this forest aligns with observations in the Amazon that \pi_{tlp} is higher in drought-intolerant than
441
    drought-tolerant plant functional type. Further, it adds support to the idea that this trait is useful for
442
    categorizing and representing species' drought responses in models (Powell et al., 2017). Because both
443
    PLA_{dry} and \pi_{tlp} can be measured relatively easily (Bartlett et al., 2012; Scoffoni et al., 2014), they hold
    promise for predicting drought growth responses across diverse forests. The importance of predicting
445
    drought responses from species traits increases with tree species diversity; whereas it is feasible to study
446
    drought responses for all dominant species in most boreal and temperate forests (e.g., this study), this
```

Although progress is being made for the tropics (Schöngart et al., 2017), a full linkage of hydraulic traits to drought responses would be invaluable for forecasting how little-known species and whole forests will 450 respond to future droughts (Powell et al., 2017). 451 As climate change drives increasing drought in many of the world's forests (Trenberth et al., 2014; 452 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2015), the fate of forests and their climate feedbacks will be shaped by the biophysical and physiological drivers observed here. Our results, consistent with other 454 observations around the world, imply that the tallest, most exposed trees will be most affected (Bennett 455 et al., 2015; Stovall et al., 2019). We show that, at least within the mature forest studied here, the vulnerability conferred by tall height and associated crown exposure outweigh any advantage of a larger 457 root system, even in drier microenvironments. 458 This would suggest that the drought responses of trees in mature forests are more strongly differentiated along the size spectrum by their above- than below-ground environment. The same may not be true of 460 systems where short trees exist outside of a buffered understory environment-i.e., open grown trees or 461 short-statured, early-successional forests. The latter appear to be limited more strongly by root water 462 access during drought (Bretfeld et al., 2018), and would also be dominated by species with different traits. 463 The earlier-successional species at our site (Liriodendron tulipifera, Quercus spp., Fraxinus americana) 464 display a mix of traits conferring drought tolerance and resistance (Table 2), while the late-successional 465 Fagus grandifolia displayed high drought resistance, in part because it exists primarily within a buffered 466 microenvironment. Further research on how hydraulic traits and drought vulnerability change over the 467 course of succession would be valuable for addressing how drought tolerance changes as forests age 468 (e.g. Rodríguez-Catón et al., 2015). In the meantime, the results of this study advance our knowledge of 469 the factors conferring drought resistance in a mature forest, opening the door for more accurate forecasting of forest responses to future drought. 471

becomes difficult to impossible for species that do not form annual rings, and for diverse tropical forests.

472 Acknowledgements

448

We especially thank the numerous researchers who helped to collect the data used here, in particular 473 Jennifer C. McGarvey, Jonathan R. Thompson, and Victoria Meakem for original collection and processing 474 of cores. Thanks also to Camila D. Medeiros for guidance on hydraulic and functional trait measurements, 475 Edward Brzostek's lab for collaboration on leaf sampling, and Maya Prestipino for data collection. This 476 manuscript was improved based on helpful reviews by Mark Olson and three anonymous reviewers. Funding for the establishment of the SCBI ForestGEO Large Forest Dynamics Plot was provided by the 478 Smithsonian-led Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO), the Smithsonian Institution, and the 479 HSBC Climate Partnership. This study was funded by ForestGEO, a Virginia Native Plant Society grant to KAT and AJT, and support from the Harvard Forest and National Science Foundation which supports 481 the PalEON project (NSF EF-1241930) for NP. 482

483 Author Contribution

KAT, IM, and AJT designed the research. Tree-ring chronologies were developed by RH under guidance of AJT and NP. Trait data were collected by IM, JZ under guidance of NK and LS. Other plot data were collected by IM, AS, EGA, and NB under guidance of EGA and WM. Data analyses were performed by IM

- under guidance of KAT and VH. KAT and IM interpreted the results. IM and KAT wrote the first draft of
- 488 manuscript, and all authors contributed to revisions.

489 Supplementary Information

- 490 redo this list!!
- ⁴⁹¹ Table S1: Species-specific bark thickness regression equations
- ⁴⁹² Table S2: Species-specific height regression equations
- Table S3: Palmer drought severity index (PDSI) by month for focal droughts
- Figure S1: Map of ForestGEO plot showing TWI and location of cored trees
- Figure S2: Time series of Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) for the 2.5 years prior to each focal
- 496 drought
- ⁴⁹⁷ Figure S3: Height (from reconstructed DBH) by crown position across the three focal droughts and in the
- year of measurement (2018)

99 References

- Abrams, M. D. (1990). Adaptations and responses to drought in Quercus species of North America. *Tree Physiology*, 7(1-2-3-4):227–238.
- Allen, C. D., Breshears, D. D., and McDowell, N. G. (2015). On underestimation of global vulnerability to tree mortality and forest die-off from hotter drought in the Anthropocene. *Ecosphere*, 6(8):art129.
- Allen, C. D., Macalady, A. K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M., Kitzberger, T.,
- Rigling, A., Breshears, D. D., Hogg, E. H. T., Gonzalez, P., Fensham, R., Zhang, Z., Castro, J.,
- Demidova, N., Lim, J.-H., Allard, G., Running, S. W., Semerci, A., and Cobb, N. (2010). A global
- overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests.
- Forest Ecology and Management, 259(4):660–684.
- Anderegg, W. R. L., Konings, A. G., Trugman, A. T., Yu, K., Bowling, D. R., Gabbitas, R., Karp, D. S.,
- Pacala, S., Sperry, J. S., Sulman, B. N., and Zenes, N. (2018). Hydraulic diversity of forests regulates
- ecosystem resilience during drought. Nature, 561(7724):538–541.
- Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., Davies, S. J., Bennett, A. C., Gonzalez-Akre, E. B., Muller-Landau, H. C.,
- Wright, S. J., Salim, K. A., Zambrano, A. M. A., Alonso, A., Baltzer, J. L., Basset, Y., Bourg, N. A.,
- Broadbent, E. N., Brockelman, W. Y., Bunyavejchewin, S., Burslem, D. F. R. P., Butt, N., Cao, M.,
- Cardenas, D., Chuyong, G. B., Clay, K., Cordell, S., Dattaraja, H. S., Deng, X., Detto, M., Du, X.,
- Duque, A., Erikson, D. L., Ewango, C. E. N., Fischer, G. A., Fletcher, C., Foster, R. B., Giardina, C. P.,
- Gilbert, G. S., Gunatilleke, N., Gunatilleke, S., Hao, Z., Hargrove, W. W., Hart, T. B., Hau, B. C. H.,
- He, F., Hoffman, F. M., Howe, R. W., Hubbell, S. P., Inman-Narahari, F. M., Jansen, P. A., Jiang, M.,
- Johnson, D. J., Kanzaki, M., Kassim, A. R., Kenfack, D., Kibet, S., Kinnaird, M. F., Korte, L., Kral, K.,
- 520 Kumar, J., Larson, A. J., Li, Y., Li, X., Liu, S., Lum, S. K. Y., Lutz, J. A., Ma, K., Maddalena, D. M.,

- Makana, J.-R., Malhi, Y., Marthews, T., Serudin, R. M., McMahon, S. M., McShea, W. J., Memiaghe,
- H. R., Mi, X., Mizuno, T., Morecroft, M., Myers, J. A., Novotny, V., Oliveira, A. A. d., Ong, P. S.,
- Orwig, D. A., Ostertag, R., Ouden, J. d., Parker, G. G., Phillips, R. P., Sack, L., Sainge, M. N., Sang,
- W., Sri-ngernyuang, K., Sukumar, R., Sun, I.-F., Sungpalee, W., Suresh, H. S., Tan, S., Thomas, S. C.,
- Thomas, D. W., Thompson, J., Turner, B. L., Uriarte, M., Valencia, R., Vallejo, M. I., Vicentini, A.,
- Vrška, T., Wang, X., Wang, X., Weiblen, G., Wolf, A., Xu, H., Yap, S., and Zimmerman, J. (2015a).
- 527 CTFS-ForestGEO: a worldwide network monitoring forests in an era of global change. Global Change
- Biology, 21(2):528-549.
- Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., McGarvey, J. C., Muller-Landau, H. C., Park, J. Y., Gonzalez-Akre, E. B.,
- Herrmann, V., Bennett, A. C., So, C. V., Bourg, N. A., Thompson, J. R., McMahon, S. M., and McShea,
- W. J. (2015b). Size-related scaling of tree form and function in a mixed-age forest. Functional Ecology,
- ⁵³² 29(12):1587–1602.
- Bartlett, M. K., Klein, T., Jansen, S., Choat, B., and Sack, L. (2016). The correlations and sequence of
- plant stomatal, hydraulic, and wilting responses to drought. Proceedings of the National Academy of
- Sciences, 113(46):13098–13103.
- Bartlett, M. K., Scoffoni, C., Ardy, R., Zhang, Y., Sun, S., Cao, K., and Sack, L. (2012). Rapid
- determination of comparative drought tolerance traits: using an osmometer to predict turgor loss point.
- Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 3(5):880–888.
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., and Walker, S. (2019). *lme4: Linear Mixed-Effects Models using*'Eigen' and S4. R package version 1.1-21.
- Bennett, A. C., McDowell, N. G., Allen, C. D., and Anderson-Teixeira, K. J. (2015). Larger trees suffer most during drought in forests worldwide. *Nature Plants*, 1(10):15139.
- Beven, K. J. and Kirkby, M. J. (1979). A physically based, variable contributing area model of basin
- bydrology / Un modèle à base physique de zone d'appel variable de l'hydrologie du bassin versant.
- Hydrological Sciences Bulletin, 24(1):43-69.
- Bonan, G. B. (2008). Forests and Climate Change: Forcings, Feedbacks, and the Climate Benefits of Forests. *Science*, 320(5882):1444–1449.
- Bourg, N. A., McShea, W. J., Thompson, J. R., McGarvey, J. C., and Shen, X. (2013). Initial census,
- woody seedling, seed rain, and stand structure data for the SCBI SIGEO Large Forest Dynamics Plot.
- Ecology, 94(9):2111-2112.
- 551 Bretfeld, M., Ewers, B. E., and Hall, J. S. (2018). Plant water use responses along secondary forest
- succession during the 2015–2016 El Niño drought in Panama. New Phytologist, 219(3):885–899.
- Brewer, M. J., Butler, A., and Cooksley, S. L. (2016). The relative performance of AIC, AICC and BIC in the presence of unobserved heterogeneity. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 7(6):679–692.
- ⁵⁵⁵ Clark, J. S., Iverson, L., Woodall, C. W., Allen, C. D., Bell, D. M., Bragg, D. C., D'Amato, A. W., Davis,
- F. W., Hersh, M. H., Ibanez, I., Jackson, S. T., Matthews, S., Pederson, N., Peters, M., Schwartz,
- M. W., Waring, K. M., and Zimmermann, N. E. (2016). The impacts of increasing drought on forest
- dynamics, structure, and biodiversity in the United States. Global Change Biology, 22(7):2329–2352.

- Condit, R. (1998). Tropical Forest Census Plots: Methods and Results from Barro Colorado Island,
 Panama and a Comparison with Other Plots. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Cook, B. I., Ault, T. R., and Smerdon, J. E. (2015). Unprecedented 21st century drought risk in the
 American Southwest and Central Plains. Science Advances, 1(1):e1400082.
- 563 Couvreur, V., Ledder, G., Manzoni, S., Way, D. A., Muller, E. B., and Russo, S. E. (2018). Water
- transport through tall trees: A vertically explicit, analytical model of xylem hydraulic conductance in
- stems. Plant, Cell & Environment, 41(8):1821–1839.
- Dai, A., Zhao, T., and Chen, J. (2018). Climate Change and Drought: a Precipitation and Evaporation Perspective. Current Climate Change Reports, 4(3):301–312.
- Druckenbrod, D. L., Martin-Benito, D., Orwig, D. A., Pederson, N., Poulter, B., Renwick, K. M., and
- Shugart, H. H. (2019). Redefining temperate forest responses to climate and disturbance in the eastern
- United States: New insights at the mesoscale. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 28(5):557–575.
- Elliott, K. J., Miniat, C. F., Pederson, N., and Laseter, S. H. (2015). Forest tree growth response to hydroclimate variability in the southern Appalachians. *Global Change Biology*, 21(12):4627–4641.
- Fletcher, L. R., Cui, H., Callahan, H., Scoffoni, C., John, G. P., Bartlett, M. K., Burge, D. O., and Sack, L.
- 574 (2018). Evolution of leaf structure and drought tolerance in species of Californian Ceanothus. American
- Journal of Botany, 105(10):1672–1687.
- Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., Cadule, P., Doney, S., Eby, M.,
- 577 Fung, I., Bala, G., John, J., Jones, C., Joos, F., Kato, T., Kawamiya, M., Knorr, W., Lindsay, K.,
- Matthews, H. D., Raddatz, T., Rayner, P., Reick, C., Roeckner, E., Schnitzler, K.-G., Schnur, R.,
- 579 Strassmann, K., Weaver, A. J., Yoshikawa, C., and Zeng, N. (2006). Climate–Carbon Cycle Feedback
- Analysis: Results from the C4MIP Model Intercomparison. Journal of Climate, 19(14):3337–3353.
- Friedrichs, D. A., Trouet, V., Büntgen, U., Frank, D. C., Esper, J., Neuwirth, B., and Löffler, J. (2009).
- 582 Species-specific climate sensitivity of tree growth in Central-West Germany. Trees, 23(4):729.
- Gonzalez-Akre, E., Meakem, V., Eng, C.-Y., Tepley, A. J., Bourg, N. A., McShea, W., Davies, S. J., and
- Anderson-Teixeira, K. (2016). Patterns of tree mortality in a temperate deciduous forest derived from a
- large forest dynamics plot. *Ecosphere*, 7(12):e01595.
- ⁵⁸⁶ Greenwood, S., Ruiz-Benito, P., Martínez-Vilalta, J., Lloret, F., Kitzberger, T., Allen, C. D., Fensham, R.,
- Laughlin, D. C., Kattge, J., Bönisch, G., Kraft, N. J. B., and Jump, A. S. (2017). Tree mortality across
- biomes is promoted by drought intensity, lower wood density and higher specific leaf area. Ecology
- Letters, 20(4):539-553.
- Guerfel, M., Baccouri, O., Boujnah, D., Chaïbi, W., and Zarrouk, M. (2009). Impacts of water stress on
- gas exchange, water relations, chlorophyll content and leaf structure in the two main Tunisian olive
- (Olea europaea L.) cultivars. Scientia Horticulturae, 119(3):257–263.
- ⁵⁹³ Hacket-Pain, A. J., Cavin, L., Friend, A. D., and Jump, A. S. (2016). Consistent limitation of growth by
- high temperature and low precipitation from range core to southern edge of European beech indicates
- widespread vulnerability to changing climate. European Journal of Forest Research, 135(5):897–909.

- Harris, I., Jones, P. D., Osborn, T. J., and Lister, D. H. (2014). Updated high-resolution grids of monthly climatic observations the CRU TS3.10 Dataset. *International Journal of Climatology*, 34(3):623–642.
- Helcoski, R., Tepley, A. J., Pederson, N., McGarvey, J. C., Meakem, V., Herrmann, V., Thompson, J. R.,
- and Anderson-Teixeira, K. J. (2019). Growing season moisture drives interannual variation in woody
- productivity of a temperate deciduous forest. New Phytologist, 0(0).
- 601 Hoffmann, W. A., Marchin, R. M., Abit, P., and Lau, O. L. (2011). Hydraulic failure and tree dieback are
- associated with high wood density in a temperate forest under extreme drought. Global Change Biology,
- 17(8):2731-2742.
- Hollister, J. (2018). elevatr: Access Elevation Data from Various APIs. R package version 0.2.0.
- Hyndman, R., Athanasopoulos, G., Bergmeir, C., Caceres, G., Chhay, L., O'Hara-Wild, M., Petropoulos,
- F., Razbash, S., Wang, E., and Yasmeen, F. (2020). forecast: Forecasting Functions for Time Series and
- 607 Linear Models. R package version 8.12.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2015). Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation and
- Vulnerability: Working Group II Contribution to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report. Volume 2 Volume
- 2. OCLC: 900892773.
- Jennings, S. B., Brown, N. D., and Sheil, D. (1999). Assessing forest canopies and understorey
- illumination: canopy closure, canopy cover and other measures. Forestry: An International Journal of
- Forest Research, 72(1):59-74.
- Kannenberg, S. A., Novick, K. A., Alexander, M. R., Maxwell, J. T., Moore, D. J. P., Phillips, R. P., and
- Anderegg, W. R. L. (2019). Linking drought legacy effects across scales: From leaves to tree rings to
- ecosystems. Global Change Biology, 0(ja).
- 617 Katabuchi, M. (2019). LeafArea: Rapid Digital Image Analysis of Leaf Area. R package version 0.1.8.
- Kennedy, D., Swenson, S., Oleson, K. W., Lawrence, D. M., Fisher, R., Costa, A. C. L. d., and Gentine, P.
- 619 (2019). Implementing Plant Hydraulics in the Community Land Model, Version 5. Journal of Advances
- in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(2):485–513.
- 621 Koike, T., Kitao, M., Maruyama, Y., Mori, S., and Lei, T. T. (2001). Leaf morphology and photosynthetic
- adjustments among deciduous broad-leaved trees within the vertical canopy profile. Tree Physiology,
- 21(12-13):951-958.
- Kunert, N., Aparecido, L. M. T., Wolff, S., Higuchi, N., Santos, J. d., Araujo, A. C. d., and Trumbore, S.
- 625 (2017). A revised hydrological model for the Central Amazon: The importance of emergent canopy trees
- in the forest water budget. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 239:47-57.
- Larjavaara, M. and Muller-Landau, H. C. (2013). Measuring tree height: a quantitative comparison of two
- common field methods in a moist tropical forest. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 4(9):793–801.
- Liu, H., Gleason, S. M., Hao, G., Hua, L., He, P., Goldstein, G., and Ye, Q. (2019). Hydraulic traits are
- coordinated with maximum plant height at the global scale. Science Advances, 5(2):eaav1332.
- 651 Liu, Y. and Muller, R. N. (1993). Effect of Drought and Frost on Radial Growth of Overstory and
- Undesistory Stems in a Deciduous Forest. The American Midland Naturalist, 129(1):19–25.

- Lloret, F., Keeling, E. G., and Sala, A. (2011). Components of tree resilience: effects of successive low-growth episodes in old ponderosa pine forests. *Oikos*, 120(12):1909–1920.
- Lunch, C., Laney, C., Mietkiewicz, N., Sokol, E., Cawley, K., and NEON (National Ecological Observatory
 Network) (2020). neon Utilities: Utilities for Working with NEON Data. R package version 1.3.5.
- Martin-Benito, D. and Pederson, N. (2015). Convergence in drought stress, but a divergence of climatic
- drivers across a latitudinal gradient in a temperate broadleaf forest. Journal of Biogeography,
- 42(5):925-937.
- Maréchaux, I., Bartlett, M. K., Sack, L., Baraloto, C., Engel, J., Joetzjer, E., and Chave, J. (2015).
- Drought tolerance as predicted by leaf water potential at turgor loss point varies strongly across species
- within an Amazonian forest. Functional Ecology, 29(10):1268–1277.
- Maréchaux, I., Saint-André, L., Bartlett, M. K., Sack, L., and Chave, J. (2019). Leaf drought tolerance
 cannot be inferred from classic leaf traits in a tropical rainforest. *Journal of Ecology*.
- Mazerolle, M. J. and portions of code contributed by Dan Linden. (2019). AICcmodavg: Model Selection
 and Multimodel Inference Based on (Q)AIC(c). R package version 2.2-2.
- McDowell, N. G. and Allen, C. D. (2015). Darcy's law predicts widespread forest mortality under climate warming. *Nature Climate Change*, 5(7):669–672.
- 649 McDowell, N. G., Bond, B. J., Dickman, L. T., Ryan, M. G., and Whitehead, D. (2011). Relationships
- Between Tree Height and Carbon Isotope Discrimination. In Meinzer, F. C., Lachenbruch, B., and
- Dawson, T. E., editors, Size- and Age-Related Changes in Tree Structure and Function, Tree Physiology,
- pages 255–286. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.
- 653 Meakem, V., Tepley, A. J., Gonzalez-Akre, E. B., Herrmann, V., Muller-Landau, H. C., Wright, S. J.,
- Hubbell, S. P., Condit, R., and Anderson-Teixeira, K. J. (2018). Role of tree size in moist tropical forest
- carbon cycling and water deficit responses. New Phytologist, 219(3):947–958.
- 656 Medeiros, C. D., Scoffoni, C., John, G. P., Bartlett, M. K., Inman-Narahari, F., Ostertag, R., Cordell, S.,
- 657 Giardina, C., and Sack, L. (2019). An extensive suite of functional traits distinguishes Hawaiian wet and
- dry forests and enables prediction of species vital rates. Functional Ecology, 33(4):712-734.
- Metcalfe, P., Beven, K., and Freer, J. (2018). dynatopmodel: Implementation of the Dynamic TOPMODEL
 Hydrological Model. R package version 1.2.1.
- 661 NEON (2018). National Ecological Observatory Network. 2016, 2017, 2018. Data Products:
- 662 DP1.00001.001, DP1.00098.001, DP1.00002.001. Provisional data downloaded from
- http://data.neonscience.org/ in May 2019. Battelle, Boulder, CO, USA.
- Olson, M. E., Soriano, D., Rosell, J. A., Anfodillo, T., Donoghue, M. J., Edwards, E. J., León-Gómez, C.,
- Dawson, T., Martínez, J. J. C., Castorena, M., Echeverría, A., Espinosa, C. I., Fajardo, A., Gazol, A.,
- Isnard, S., Lima, R. S., Marcati, C. R., and Méndez-Alonzo, R. (2018). Plant height and hydraulic
- vulnerability to drought and cold. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115(29):7551-7556.
- 668 Powell, T. L., Wheeler, J. K., Oliveira, A. A. R. d., Costa, A. C. L. d., Saleska, S. R., Meir, P., and
- Moorcroft, P. R. (2017). Differences in xylem and leaf hydraulic traits explain differences in drought
- tolerance among mature Amazon rainforest trees. Global Change Biology, 23(10):4280–4293.

- Pretzsch, H., Schütze, G., and Biber, P. (2018). Drought can favour the growth of small in relation to tall trees in mature stands of Norway spruce and European beech. Forest Ecosystems, 5(1):20.
- R Core Team (2019). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for 673 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 674
- Rodríguez-Catón, M., Villalba, R., Srur, A. M., and Luckman, B. (2015). Long-term trends in radial
- growth associated with Nothofagus pumilio forest decline in Patagonia: Integrating local- into 676
- regional-scale patterns. Forest Ecology and Management, 339:44–56. 677
- Rosas, T., Mencuccini, M., Barba, J., Cochard, H., Saura-Mas, S., and Martínez-Vilalta, J. (2019).
- Adjustments and coordination of hydraulic, leaf and stem traits along a water availability gradient. New 679
- Phytologist, 223(2):632-646. 680
- Roskilly, B., Keeling, E., Hood, S., Giuggiola, A., and Sala, A. (2019). Conflicting functional effects of
- xylem pit structure relate to the growth-longevity trade-off in a conifer species. PNAS. doi: 682
- /10.1073/pnas.1900734116. 683
- Ryan, M. G., Phillips, N., and Bond, B. J. (2006). The hydraulic limitation hypothesis revisited. Plant, 684 Cell & Environment, 29(3):367-381. 685
- Sapes, G., Roskilly, B., Dobrowski, S., Maneta, M., Anderegg, W. R. L., Martinez-Vilalta, J., and Sala, A. 686
- (2019). Plant water content integrates hydraulics and carbon depletion to predict drought-induced 687
- seedling mortality. Tree Physiology, 39(8):1300–1312. 688
- Scharnweber, T., Heinze, L., Cruz-García, R., van der Maaten-Theunissen, M., and Wilmking, M. (2019). 689
- Confessions of solitary oaks: We grow fast but we fear the drought. Dendrochronologia, 55:43-49. 690
- Schöngart, J., Bräuning, A., Barbosa, A. C. M. C., Lisi, C. S., and de Oliveira, J. M. (2017). 691
- Dendroecological Studies in the Neotropics: History, Status and Future Challenges. In Amoroso, M. M., 692
- Daniels, L. D., Baker, P. J., and Camarero, J. J., editors, Dendroecology: Tree-Ring Analyses Applied to 693
- Ecological Studies, Ecological Studies, pages 35–73. Springer International Publishing, Cham. 694
- Scoffoni, C., Vuong, C., Diep, S., Cochard, H., and Sack, L. (2014). Leaf Shrinkage with Dehydration: 695
- Coordination with Hydraulic Vulnerability and Drought Tolerance. Plant Physiology, 164(4):1772–1788.
- Simeone, C., Maneta, M. P., Holden, Z. A., Sapes, G., Sala, A., and Dobrowski, S. Z. (2019). Coupled 697
- ecohydrology and plant hydraulics modeling predicts ponderosa pine seedling mortality and lower 698
- treeline in the US Northern Rocky Mountains. New Phytologist, 221(4):1814-1830. 699
- Slette, I. J., Post, A. K., Awad, M., Even, T., Punzalan, A., Williams, S., Smith, M. D., and Knapp, A. K. 700
- (2019). How ecologists define drought, and why we should do better. Global Change Biology, 0(0):1-8. 701
- Stovall, A. E. L., Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., and Shugart, H. H. (2018a). Assessing terrestrial laser scanning 702 for developing non-destructive biomass allometry. Forest Ecology and Management, 427:217–229.
- Stovall, A. E. L., Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., and Shugart, H. H. (2018b). Terrestrial LiDAR-derived 704
- non-destructive woody biomass estimates for 10 hardwood species in Virginia. Data in Brief, 705
- 19:1560-1569. 706

703

- Stovall, A. E. L., Shugart, H., and Yang, X. (2019). Tree height explains mortality risk during an intense drought. *Nature Communications*, 10(1):1–6.
- Suarez, M. L., Ghermandi, L., and Kitzberger, T. (2004). Factors predisposing episodic drought-induced
 tree mortality in Nothofagus- site, climatic sensitivity and growth trends. *Journal of Ecology*,
 92(6):954-966.
- Sørensen, R., Zinko, U., and Seibert, J. (2006). On the calculation of the topographic wetness index:
 evaluation of different methods based on field observations. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences,
 10(1):101–112.
- Trenberth, K. E., Dai, A., van der Schrier, G., Jones, P. D., Barichivich, J., Briffa, K. R., and Sheffield, J. (2014). Global warming and changes in drought. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(1):17–22.
- Zuleta, D., Duque, A., Cardenas, D., Muller-Landau, H. C., and Davies, S. J. (2017). Drought-induced
 mortality patterns and rapid biomass recovery in a terra firme forest in the Colombian Amazon. *Ecology*,
 98(10):2538–2546.