- 1 Title: Tree height and hydraulic traits shape growth responses across droughts in a temperate broadleaf
- 2 forest

14

- <sup>3</sup> Authors: Ian R. McGregor<sup>1,2</sup>, Ryan Helcoski<sup>1</sup>, Norbert Kunert<sup>1,3</sup>, Alan J. Tepley<sup>1,4</sup>, Erika B.
- 4 Gonzalez-Akre<sup>1</sup>, Valentine Herrmann<sup>1</sup>, Joseph Zailaa<sup>1,5</sup>, Atticus E.L. Stovall<sup>1,6,7</sup>, Norman A. Bourg<sup>1</sup>,
- <sup>5</sup> William J. McShea<sup>1</sup>, Neil Pederson<sup>8</sup>, Lawren Sack<sup>9,10</sup>, Kristina J. Anderson-Teixeira<sup>1,3\*</sup>

## 6 Author Affiliations:

- 1. Conservation Ecology Center; Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute; National Zoological Park, Front Royal, VA 22630, USA
- 2. Center for Geospatial Analytics; North Carolina State University; Raleigh, NC 27607, USA
- 3. Center for Tropical Forest Science-Forest Global Earth Observatory; Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute; Panama, Republic of Panama
- 12 4. Canadian Forest Service, Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
- 5. Biological Sciences Department; California State University; Los Angeles, CA 90032, USA
  - 6. Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA
- 7. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center; Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
- 8. Harvard Forest, Petersham, MA 01366, USA
- 9. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology; University of California, Los Angeles; Los Angeles,
   CA 90095, USA
- 10. Institute of the Environment and Sustainability; University of California, Los Angeles; Los Angeles,
   CA 90095, USA

### \*corresponding author: teixeirak@si.edu; +1 540 635 6546

Text	word count	other	n
Total word count (excluding	5,365	No. of figures	2 (both colour)
summary, references and legends)			
Summary	198	No. of Tables	5
Introduction	1,034	No of Supporting	6
		Information files	
Materials and Methods	1,945		
Results	697		
Discussion	1467		
Acknowledgements	125		

## 22 Summary

- As climate change is driving increased drought frequency and severity in many forested regions around the world, mechanistic understanding of the factors conferring drought resistance in trees is increasingly important. The dendrochronological record provides a window through which we can understand how tree size and species' traits shape tree growth responses during droughts.
- We analyzed tree-ring records for twelve species that comprise 97% of the woody productivity of the 25.6-ha ForestGEO plot in an oak-hickory forest of northern Virginia (USA) to test hypotheses on how tree size, microenvironment characteristics, and species' traits shaped drought responses across the three strongest regional droughts over a 60-year period (1950 2009).
- Individual-level drought resistance decreased with tree height, which was the dominant size-related variable affecting drought response. Resistance was greater among species whose leaves lost turgor (wilted) at more negative water potentials, and whose leaves experienced less shrinkage upon desiccation. However, there was substantial variation in the best predictor variables across the three drought periods.
- We conclude that hydraulic traits and tree height influence growth responses during drought, as recorded in the tree-ring record spanning historical droughts. Thus, these factors can be useful for predicting future drought responses under climate change.
- Key words: annual growth; canopy position; drought; Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO); leaf hydraulic traits; temperate broadleaf deciduous forest; tree height; tree-ring

#### 41 Introduction

```
Forests play a critical global role in climate regulation (Bonan, 2008), yet there remains enormous
   uncertainty as to how the terrestrial carbon sink, which is dominated by forests, will respond to climate
43
   change (Friedlingstein et al., 2006). An important aspect of this uncertainty lies with physiological
   responses of trees to drought (Kennedy et al., 2019). In many forested regions around the world, the risk of
45
   severe drought is increasing (Trenberth et al., 2014; Dai et al., 2018), often despite increasing precipitation
46
   (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2015; Cook et al., 2015). Droughts, intensified by climate
   change, have been affecting forests worldwide and are expected to continue as one of the most important
   drivers of forest change in the future (Allen et al., 2010, 2015). Understanding forest responses to drought
49
   requires elucidation of how tree size, microenvironment, and species' traits jointly influence individual-level
   drought resistance, and the extent to which their influence is consistent across droughts. However, it has
   proven difficult to resolve the many factors affecting tree growth during drought with available forest
   census data, which only rarely captures extreme drought, and with tree-ring records, which capture
   multiple droughts but rarely consider the roles of tree size and microenvironment.
   Many studies have shown that within species, large trees tend to be more affected by drought. Greater
   growth reductions for larger trees was first shown on a global scale by Bennett et al. (2015), and
   subsequent studies have reinforced this finding (e.g., Stovall et al. (2019); Hacket-Pain et al. (2016)). It has
   yet to be resolved which of several potential underlying mechanisms most strongly shape size trends in
   drought response. First, tree height may be a primary driver. Taller trees face the biophysical challenge of
   lifting water greater distances against the effects of gravity and friction (McDowell et al., 2011; McDowell
   and Allen, 2015; Ryan et al., 2006; Couvreur et al., 2018). Vertical gradients in stem and leaf
   traits-including smaller and thicker leaves (higher leaf mass per area, LMA), greater resistance to
62
   hydraulic dysfunction (i.e., more negative water potential at 50% loss of hydraulic conductivity, more
63
   negative P50), and lower hydraulic conductivity at greater heights (Couvreur et al., 2018; Koike et al.,
   2001; McDowell et al., 2011)-enable trees to become tall (Couvreur et al., 2018). Indeed, tall trees require
   xylem of greater hydraulic efficiency in their basal portions, such that xylem conduit diameters are wider in
   taller trees within and across species (Olson et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019). Wider xylem conduits make
   large trees more vulnerable to embolism during drought (Olson et al., 2018), and traits conducive to
   efficient water transport may also lead to poor ability to recover from or re-route water around embolisms
   (Roskilly et al., 2019). Second, larger trees may have lower drought resistance because they tend to occupy
70
   more exposed canopy positions, where they are exposed to higher solar radiation, greater wind speeds, and
71
   lower relative humidity (e.g., Koike et al. (2001); Kunert et al. (2017)). Subcanopy trees tend to fare better
   specifically due to the benefits of a buffered environment (Pretzsch et al., 2018). Third, large trees tend to
73
   have larger root systems, which potentially counteracts some of the biophysical challenges they face by
74
   allowing greater access to water; however, it appears that this effect is usually insufficient to offset the costs
   of height and/or crown exposure. Finally, tree size-related responses to drought can be modified by species'
76
   traits and their distribution across size classes (Meakem et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019). Understanding the
   mechanisms driving the greater relative growth reductions of larger trees during drought will require
78
   sorting out the interactive effects of height, canopy position, root water access, and species' traits.
   Debates have also arisen regarding the traits influencing tree growth responses to drought. It has been
   observed that ring-porous species showing higher drought tolerance than diffuse-porous species (Friedrichs
   et al., 2009; Elliott et al., 2015; Kannenberg et al., 2019), but this classification does not resolve differences
```

among the many species within each category. Commonly-measured traits including wood density and leaf mass per area (LMA) have been linked to drought responses in some temperate deciduous forests (Abrams, 1990; Guerfel et al., 2009; Hoffmann et al., 2011; Martin-Benito and Pederson, 2015) and other forest biomes around the world (Greenwood et al., 2017). However, in other cases these traits could not explain drought tolerance (Maréchaux et al., 2019), or the direction of response was not always consistent. For instance, higher wood density has been associated with greater drought resistance at a global scale (Greenwood et al., 2017), but it correlated negatively with tree performance during drought in a broadleaf 20 deciduous forest in the southeastern United States (Hoffmann et al., 2011). Thus, the perceived influence of these traits on drought resistance may actually reflect indirect correlations with other traits that more 91 directly drive drought responses (Hoffmann et al., 2011). Recent work has shown a great potential for 92 hydraulic traits to predict growth and mortality responses. Hydraulic traits including water potentials at which percent loss of conductivity surpass a certain threshold (P50, P80, P88) and hydraulic safety margin correlate with drought performance (Anderegg et al., 2018) but are time-consuming to measure and 95 therefore infeasible for predicting or modeling drought responses in highly diverse forests (e.q., in the tropics). More easily measurable leaf hydraulic traits with direct linkage to plant hydraulic function can 97 explain greater variation in plant distribution and function (Medeiros et al., 2019). These include leaf area shrinkage upon desiccation  $(PLA_{dry})$  (Scoffoni et al., 2014) and the leaf water potential at turgor loss point  $(\pi_{tlp})$ , i.e., the water potential at which leaf wilting occurs (Bartlett et al., 2016). The abilities of 100 both  $PLA_{dry}$  and  $\pi_{tlp}$  to explain tree performance under drought remains untested. 101 Here, we examine how tree size, microenvironment characteristics, and species' traits collectively shape 102 drought responses. We test a series of hypotheses and associated specific predictions (Table 1) based on the 103 combination of tree-ring records from three droughts (1966, 1977, 1999), species functional and hydraulic 104 trait measurements, and census data from a large forest dynamics plot in Virginia, USA. First, we focus on the role of tree size and its interaction with microenvironment. We test whether, consistent with most 106 forests globally, larger-diameter trees tend to have lower drought resistance (Rt) in this forest, which is in a 107 region (eastern North America) represented by only two studies in the global review of Bennett et al. (2015). We then test hypotheses designed to disentangle the relative importance of tree height; crown 109 exposure; and soil water availability, which should be greater for larger trees in dry but not in perpetually 110 wet microsites. Second, we focus on the role of species' functional and hydraulic traits, testing the 111 hypothesis that species' traits-particularly leaf hydraulic traits-predict Rt. We test predictions that 112 drought resistance is higher in ring-porous than semi-ring and diffuse-porous species, that it is correlated 113 with wood density-either postively (Greenwood et al., 2017) or negatively (Hoffmann et al., 2011) - and 114 positively correlated with LMA, and that hydraulic leaf traits including  $PLA_{dry}$  and  $\pi_{tlp}$  are better 115 predictors. 116

### 117 Materials and Methods

118 Study site

Research was conducted at the 25.6-ha ForestGEO (Forest Global Earth Observatory) study plot at the Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute (SCBI) in Virginia, USA (38°53'36.6"N, 78°08'43.4"W) (Bourg et al., 2013; Anderson-Teixeira et al., 2015a). SCBI is located in the central Appalachian Mountains near the northern boundary of Shenandoah National Park. Elevations range from 273 to 338 m above sea level with a topographic relief of 65m (Bourg et al., 2013). Climate is humid temperate, with mean annual

temperature of 12.7°C and precipitation of 1005 mm during our study period (1960-2009; source: CRU TS v.4.01; Harris et al. (2014)). Dominant tree taxa within this secondary forest include *Liriodendron* tulipifera, oaks (*Quercus* spp.), and hickories (*Carya* spp.).

Data collection and preparation

Within or just outside the ForestGEO plot, we collected data on a suite of variables including tree size, microenvironment characteristics, and species traits (Table 2). The SCBI ForestGEO plot was censused in 2008, 2013, and 2018 following standard ForestGEO protocols, whereby all free-standing woody stems  $\geq$  1cm diameter at breast height (DBH) were mapped, tagged, measured at DBH, and identified to species (Condit, 1998). From this census data, we used measurements of DBH from 2008 to calculate historical DBH and data for all stems  $\geq$  10cm to analyze functional trait composition relative to tree height (all analyses described below). Census data are available through the ForestGEO data portal (www.forestgeo.si.edu).

We analyzed tree-ring data (xylem growth increment) from 571 trees representing the twelve species with 136 the greatest contributions to woody aboveground net primary productivity  $(ANPP_{stem})$ , which together 137 comprised 97% of study plot  $ANPP_{stem}$  between 2008 and 2013 (Helcoski et al., 2019) (Fig. S1). Cores 138 were collected within the ForestGEO plot at breast height (1.3m) in 2010-2011 or 2016-2017. In 2010-2011, cores were collected from randomly selected live trees of each species that had at least 30 individuals  $\geq 10$ 140 cm DBH (Bourg et al., 2013). In 2016-2017, cores were collected from all trees found dead during annual 141 mortality censuses (Gonzalez-Akre et al., 2016). Cores were sanded, measured, and crossdated using standard procedures, as detailed in (Helcoski et al., 2019). The resulting chronologies were published in 143 Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.2649302) in association with Helcoski et al. (2019). 144

For each cored tree, we combined tree-ring records and allometric equations of bark thickness to retroactively calculate DBH for the years 1950-2009. Prior DBH was estimated using the following equation:

148

149

$$DBH_{Y} = DBH_{2008} - 2 * \left[ \sum_{year=Y}^{2008} (r_{ring,Y}) - r_{bark,Y} + r_{bark,2008} \right]$$

Here, Y denotes the year of interest,  $r_{ring}$  denotes ring width derived from cores, and  $r_{bark}$  denotes bark

thickness. Bark thickness was estimated from species-specific allometries based on the bark thickness data

from the site (Anderson-Teixeira et al., 2015b). Specifically, we used linear regression on log-transformed data to relate bark thickness to diameter inside bark from 2008 data (Table S1), which were then used to 151 determine bark thickness in the retroactive calculation of DBH. 152 Tree heights (H) were measured by several researchers for a variety of purposes between 2012 to 2019 153 (n=1,518 trees). Measurement methods included direct measurements using a collapsible measurement rod 154 on small trees (NEON, 2018) or a tape measure on recently fallen trees (this study); geometric calculations 155 using clinometer and tape measure (Stovall et al., 2018a) or digital rangefinders (Anderson-Teixeira et al., 156 2015b; NEON, 2018); and ground-based LiDAR (Stovall et al., 2018b). Rangefinders used either the 157 tangent method (Impulse 200LR, TruPulse 360R) or the sine method (Nikon ForestryPro) for calculating 158 heights. Both methods are associated with some error (Larjavaara and Muller-Landau, 2013), but in this instance there was no clear advantage of one or the other. Measurements from the National Ecological

```
Observatory Network (NEON) were collected near the ForestGEO plot following standard NEON protocol,
161
    whereby vegetation of short stature was measured with a collapsible measurement rod, and taller trees
    with a rangefinder (NEON, 2018). Species-specific height allometries were developed (Table S2) using
163
    logarithmic regression (ln[H] \sim ln[DBH]). For species with insufficient height data to create reliable
164
    species-specific allometries, heights were calculated from an equation developed by combining the height
    measurements across all species.
166
    Crown position—a categorical variable including dominant, co-dominant, intermediate, and suppressed—was
167
    recorded for all cored trees that remained standing during the growing season of 2018 following the
168
    protocol of Jennings et al. (1999). While some tree crowns undoubtedly changed position over the past
    several decades, in this case the bias would be unlikely to result in false acceptance of our hypothesis (i.e.,
170
    type I error unlikely, type II error possible), making our hypothesis test conservative. An analysis of crown
171
    position relative to height (Fig. 2d) and height changes since the beginning of the study period indicated
    that changes between focal drought years (1966, 1977, and 1999; see below) were fairly small relative to
173
    differences among canopy positions (Fig. S3), with average tree height growth confined to ~0.82 m from
174
    1966 to 1977, ~1.45 m from 1977 to 1999, and ~1.97 m from 1999 to 2018. However, dominant and
175
    co-dominant trees were similar in height (Figs. 2d, S3).
176
    Topographic wetness index (TWI) was calculated using the dynatopmodel package in R (Fig. S1)
177
    (Metcalfe et al., 2018). Originally developed by Beven and Kirkby (1979), TWI was part of a hydrological
178
    run-off model and has since been used for a number of purposes in hydrology and ecology (Sørensen et al.,
179
    2006). TWI calculation depends on an input of a digital elevation model (DEM; ~3.7 m resolution from the
180
    elevatr package (Hollister, 2018)), and from this yields a quantitative assessment defined by how "wet" an
181
    area is, based on areas where run-off is more likely. From our observations in the plot, TWI performed
182
    better at categorizing wet areas than the Euclidean distance from the stream.
183
    Hydraulic traits were collected in August 2018 (Table 3). We sampled small sun-exposed branches up to
184
    eight meters above ground from three individuals of each species in and around the ForestGEO plot.
185
    Sampled branches were re-cut under water at least two nodes above the original cut and re-hydrated
186
    overnight in covered buckets under opaque plastic bags before measurements were taken. Rehydrated
187
    leaves taken towards the apical end of the branch (n=3 per individual: small, medium, and large) were
    scanned, weighed, dried at 60^{\circ} C for > 48 hours, and then re-scanned and weighed. Leaf area was
189
    calculated from scanned images using the LeafArea R package (Katabuchi, 2019). LMA was calculated as
190
    the ratio of leaf dry mass to fresh area. PLA_{dry} was calculated as the percent loss of area between fresh
    and dry leaves. wood density was calculated for ~1cm diameter stem samples (bark and pith removed) as
192
    the ratio of dry weight to volume, which was estimated using Archimedes' displacement. We used the rapid
193
    determination method of Bartlett et al. (2012) to estimate osmotic potential at turgor loss point (\pi_{tln}).
    Briefly, two 4 mm diameter leaf discs were cut from each leaf, tightly wrapped in foil, submerged in liquid
195
    nitrogen, perforated 10-15 times with a dissection needle, and then measured using a vapour pressure
196
    osmometer (VAPRO 5520, Wescor, Logan, UT, USA). Osmotic potential (\pi_{osm}) given by the osmometer
    was used to estimate (\pi_{tlp}) using the equation \pi_{tlp} = 0.832\pi_{osm}^{-0.631} (Bartlett et al., 2012).
198
    To characterize how environmental conditions vary with height, data were obtained from the NEON tower
199
    located <1km from the study area via the neonUtilities package (National Ecological Observatory
200
    Network, 2020). We used wind speed, relative humidity, and air temperature data, all measured over a
201
    vertical profile spanning heights from 7.2 m to above the top of the tree canopy (31.0 or 51.8m, depending
202
```

on censor), for the years 2016-2018 (NEON, 2018). After filtering for missing and outlier values, we determined the daily minima and maxima, which we then aggregated at the monthly scale. Identifying drought years 205 We identified droughts within the time period 1950-2009, defining drought (Slette et al., 2019) as events 206 with both anomalously dry peak growing season climatic conditions and widespread reductions in tree 207 growth, i.e., droughts that substantially impacted the forest community. Using the pointRes package in R 208 (van der Maaten-Theunissen and van der Maaten, 2016), we identified three drought years - 1966, 1977, and 1999 (Figs. 1, S2, Table S3) - for two reasons. First, these were the three years with the lowest Palmer 210 Drought Severity Index (PDSI) during May-August (MJJA; Table S3), which were identified by Helcoski 211 et al. (2019) as the months of the current year to which annual tree growth was most sensitive at this site. 212 PDSI divisional data for Northern Virginia were obtained from NOAA 213 (https://www7.ncdc.noaa.gov/CDO/CDODivisionalSelect.jsp) in December 2017. Second, these were also 214 years with widespread tree growth reduction, here defined as when >25% of the cored trees experienced 215 >30% reduction in basal area increment (BAI) relative to the previous 5 years. This follows the drought 216 resistance (Rt) metric of (Lloret et al., 2011). In addition to the focal drought years, 1991 also met this 217 critera (26.5% of trees experienced >30% growth reduction, mean resistance =-13.8%) but was excluded 218 because it was not among the driest of the time period (Table S3). Rather, the severity of growth 219 reduction could probably be explained in large part by defoliation by gypsy moths (Lymantria dispar L.), 220 which was documented to have strongly impacted Quercus spp. in the area from approximately 1988 221 through 1995 (Twery, 1991). 222 The droughts differed in intensity and antecedent moisture conditions (Fig. S2, Table S3). The 1966 223 drought was preceded by two years of moderate drought during the growing season and severe to extreme drought starting the previous fall and in August reached the lowest growing season PDSI (-4.82) of the 225 three droughts. The 1977 drought was the least intense throughout the growing season, and it was 226 preceded by 2.5 years of near-normal conditions, making it the mildest of the three droughts. The 1999 227 drought was preceded by wetter than average conditions until the previous June, but reached the lowest 228 PDSI during May-July (-4.53). 229 Statistical Analysis 230 For each drought year, we calculated drought resistance (Rt) as the ratio of BAI during drought to the 231 mean BAI over the five years preceding the drought (Lloret et al., 2011). Thus, Rt values <1 and >1 232 indicate growth reductions and increases, respectively. Because the Rt metric could be biased by 233 directional pre-drought growth trends, we also tried an intervention time series analysis (ARIMA, 234 (Hyndman et al., 2020)) that predicted mean drought-year growth based on trends over the past 10 years 235 and used this value in place of the five-year mean in calculations of resistance  $(Rt_{ABIMA} = \text{observed } BAI/$ 236 predicted BAI). Because Rt tended to produce more reasonable estimates than  $Rt_{ARIMA}$  when there was 237 a large difference between these metrics, we selected Rt as our focal metric, presenting parallel results for  $Rt_{ARIMA}$  in the Supplementary Info. We focus exclusively on drought resistance (Rt or  $Rt_{ARIMA}$ ), and 239 not on the resilience metrics described in Lloret et al. (2011), because (1) we would expect resilience to be 240 controlled by a different set of mechanisms, and (2) the findings of DeSoto et al. (2020) suggest that Rt is a more important drought response metric for angiosperms. 242

Analyses focused on testing the predictions presented in Table 1, with Rt as the response variable. The

tree nested within species as a random effect, and one or more independent variables as fixed effects. Mixed effects models were implemented in the lme4 package in R (Bates et al., 2019). We used AICc to 246 assess model selection, and conditional/marginal R-squared to assess model fit as implemented in the 247 AICcmodavg package in R (Mazerolle and portions of code contributed by Dan Linden., 2019). AICc refers to a corrected version of AICc, and is best suited for small data sizes (see Brewer et al., 2016) 249 Models were run for all drought years combined and for each drought year individually. In order to 250 determine the relative importance of each predictor variable individually, we first implemented models with 251 the variable in question as a fixed effect, along with drought year (for model with all drought years combined) and ln[H] (included in null models because of it's substantial influence). Variables were 253 considered to have significant influence on Rt when AICc was reduced by  $\geq 1$  unit relative to the 254 corresponding null model lacking that variable (Table 4). We then determined the best full models for predicting Rt for each individual drought year and for all years combined. Candidate variables were selected, based on the single-variable tests, as those whose 257 addition to a corresponding null model improved fit (at  $\Delta AICc \geq 1.0$ ) in at least one drought year (Table 258 4). We compared models with all possible combinations of candidate variables and identified the full set of 259 models within  $\triangle$ AICc=1 of the very top model (that with lowest AICc), henceforth referred to as "full 260 models". When a variable appeared in all top models and the sign of the coefficient was consistent across 261 models, we viewed this as support for the acceptance/rejection of the associated prediction by the full 262 models. If the variable appeared in only some of the models, we considered this partial support/rejection. 263 All analysis beyond basic data collection was performed using R version 3.5.3 (R Core Team, 2019). All 264 data, code, and results are available through the SCBI-ForestGEO organization on GitHub 265 (https://github.com/SCBI-ForestGEO: SCBI-ForestGEO-Data and 266 McGregor climate-sensitivity-variation repositories), with static versions corresponding to data and 267 analyses presented here archived in Zenodo (DOIs: 10.5281/zenodo.3604993 and [TBD], respectively. Other R-packages aside from those already listed were very helpful in conducting analyses. These are listed in the Supplementary Information. 270

general statistical model for hypothesis testing was a mixed effects model with Rt as the response variable,

#### 271 Results

244

- 272 Community-level drought responses
- At the community level, cored trees showed substantial growth reductions in all three droughts, with a mean Rt of 0.86 in 1966 and 1999, and 0.84 in 1977 (Fig. 1b). In each drought, roughly 30% of the cored trees had growth reductions of >30% ( $Rt \le 0.7$ ): 29% in 1966, 32% in 1977, and 27% in 1999. However, some individuals exhibited increased growth, *i.e.*, Rt > 1.0: 26% of trees in 1966, 22% in 1977, and 26% in 1999.
- 278 Tree size, microenvironment, and drought resistance
- Larger-diameter trees showed stronger growth reductions during drought when evaluating the three drought years together and for 1966 individually, although DBH was not significant during 1977 or 1999 individually (Tables 1, 4). The same held true for ln[H] in single-variable tests (Tables 1, 4). When combined with

```
other predictor variables in the full models, ln[H] appeared, with negative coefficient, in all full models for
    the three droughts combined, in the 1966 model, and in one of the two models for 1999 (Tables 1, 5).
    Crown position varied as expected with height (dominant > co-dominant > intermediate > suppressed),
284
    but with substantial variation (Fig. 2d). When considered alone, crown position had a significant response
285
    only in the 1966 drought, during which trees with dominant crown position had the lowest Rt. Crown
    position was a much poorer predictor of Rt than was height in the single-variable tests (Table 4), lending
287
    little overall support to the hypothesis that crown exposure reduces Rt (Table 1). When height was
288
    included in the model, crown position was a significant predictor in the 1999 drought, with lowest Rt for
    suppressed and then intermediate trees. Crown position was included in some of the full models (Table 5).
290
    In 1977, where height was not included in the full model, dominant trees had the lowest Rt, and suppressed
291
    trees the highest. In contrast, in full models including both height and crown position (all droughts and
    1999), the lowest Rt was in suppressed, followed by intermediate, trees.
293
    In the years for which we have vertical profiles in climate data (2016-2018), taller trees—or those in
294
    dominant crown positions—were generally exposed to higher evaporative demand during the peak growing
295
    season months (May-August; Fig. 2). Specifically, maximum daily wind speeds were significantly higher
296
    above the top of the canopy (40-50m) than within and below (10-30m) (Fig. 2a). Relative humidity was
297
    also somewhat lower during June-August, ranging from ~50-80\% above the canopy and ~60-90\% in the
298
    understory (Fig. 2b). Air temperature did not vary across the vertical profile (Fig. 2c).
299
    Rt was negatively correlated with ln[TWI] (Tables 4-5), rejecting the idea that trees in moist microsites
300
    would be less affected by drought. Nevertheless, we tested for a negative ln[H] * ln[TWI] interaction,
301
    which could indicate that smaller trees (with smaller rooting volume) are more susceptible to drought in
302
    drier microenvironments with a deeper water table. This hypothesis was rejected as the ln[H] * ln[TWI]
    interaction was never significant (Table 4).
304
    Species' traits and drought resistance
305
    The leaf hydraulic traits PLA_{dry} and \pi_{tlp} were linked to drought responses, whereas the other traits
    considered had insignficant and/or inconsistent correlations to Rt (Tables 1,4,5). In the single-variable
307
    tests, LMA and wood density were never significantly associated with Rt (Table 4) and were excluded
308
    from the full models. In contrast, xylem porosity, PLA_{dry}, and \pi_{tlp} all explained modest amounts of
309
    variation (\Delta AICc > 1.0) during at least one of the three droughts (Table 4). Xylem porosity was not
310
    significant for all droughts combined and had contrasting effects in the individual droughts: whereas
311
    ring-porous species had higher Rt than diffuse- and semi-ring- porous species in the 1966 and 1999
312
    droughts, they had lower Rt in 1977 (Tables 4,5). PLA_{dry} was a strong predictor for 1966 and all droughts
313
    combined, with consistently negative coefficients (Table 4). Similarly, PLA_{dry} was consistently included,
314
    with negative coefficient, in full models for the three droughts combined and for the 1966 and 1977
315
    droughts individually (Table 5). \pi_{tlp} was not significant in any single-variable tests; however, coefficients
316
    were consistently negative (Table 4) and \pi_{tlp} was included in the top full model for all droughts combined
317
    and for the 1977 and 1999 droughts individually (Table 5).
318
```

### Discussion

319

Tree size, microenvironment, and hydraulic traits shaped tree growth responses across three droughts at our study site (Table 1). The greater susceptibility of larger trees to drought, similar to forests worldwide

```
(Bennett et al., 2015), was driven primarily by their height rather than crown exposure (Liu and Muller,
322
    1993; Stovall et al., 2019). We found only a marginal additional effect of crown exposure, with a tendency
323
    for lowest Rt among the most exposed (dominant) and suppressed trees. The negative effect of height on
324
    Rt held after accounting for species' traits. There was no evidence that soil water availability increased
325
    drought resistance; in contrast, trees in wetter topographic positions had lower Rt (Zuleta et al., 2017;
    Stovall et al., 2019), and the larger potential rooting volume of large trees provided no advantage in the
327
    drier microenvironments. Drought resistance was not consistently linked to species' LMA, wood density, or
328
    xylem type (ring- vs. diffuse porous), but was negatively correlated with leaf hydraulic traits (PLA_{dry},
    \pi_{tlp}) in the top overall model and the top models for two of the three individual droughts. This is the first
330
    report to our knowledge linking PLA_{dry} and \pi_{tlp} to growth reduction during drought. The direction of
331
    responses was mostly consistent across droughts, supporting the premise that they were driven by
332
    fundamental physiological mechanisms. However, the strengths of each predictor varied across droughts
333
    (Tables 4-5), indicating that drought characteristics interact with tree size, microenvironment, and traits to
334
    shape which individuals are most affected. These findings advance our knowledge of the factors that make
335
    trees vulnerable to growth declines during drought-and, by extension, likely make them more vulnerable to
336
    mortality (Sapes et al., 2019).
337
    The droughts considered here were of a magnitude that has occurred with an average frequency of
    approximately once every 10-15 years (Fig. 1a, Helcoski et al. (2019)) and had substantial but not
330
    devastating impacts on tree growth (Fig. 1b). These droughts were classified as severe (1977) or extreme
340
    (1966, 1999) according to the PDSI metric and have been linked to tree mortality in the eastern United
    States (Druckenbrod et al., 2019); however, extreme, multiannual droughts or so-called "megadroughts" of
342
    the type that have triggered massive tree die-off in other regions (e.g., Allen et al. (2010); Stovall et al.
343
    (2019)) have not occurred in the Eastern United States within the past several decades (Clark et al., 2016).
    Of the droughts considered here, the 1966 drought, which was preceded by two years of dry conditions
345
    (Fig. S2), severely stressed a larger portion of trees (Fig. 1b). The tendency for large trees to have lowest
346
    resistance was most pronounced in this drought, consistent with other findings that this physiological
    response increases with drought severity (Bennett et al., 2015; Stovall et al., 2019). Across all three
348
    droughts, the majority of trees experienced reduced growth, but a substantial portion had increased growth
    (Fig. 1b), potentially due to decreased leaf area of competitors during the drought. It is likely because of
350
    the moderate impact of these droughts, along with other factors influencing tree growth, that our best
351
    models characterize only a modest amount of variation: 11-13% for all droughts combined, and 21-26% for
352
    each individual drought (Table 5).
353
    Our analysis indicates that tree height has a stronger influence on drought response than does canopy
354
    position (Tables 1,4,5). This is consistent with, and reinforces, previous findings that biophysical
355
    constraints make it impossible for trees to efficiently transport water to great heights and simultaneously
356
    maintain strong resistance and resilience to drought-induced embolism (Olson et al., 2018; Couvreur et al.,
357
    2018; Roskilly et al., 2019). However, the collinearity between the two variables (Fig. 2d) makes it
358
    impossible to confidently partition causality. Taller trees are more likely to be in dominant canopy
359
    positions (Fig. 2d) and, largely as a consequence of their position relative to others, face different
360
    microenvironments (Fig. 2a-b). Even under non-drought conditions, evaporative demand and maximum
    leaf temperatures increase with tree height (Smith and Nobel, 1977; Bretfeld et al., 2018; Kunert et al.,
362
    2017), and such conditions would incur additional stress during drought, when solar radiation tends to be
363
    higher and less water is available for evaporative cooling of the leaves. However, some decoupling between
```

```
height and canopy position is introduced by the configuration of neighboring trees (Fig. 2d)
    (Muller-Landau et al., 2006), and height was an overall stronger predictor of drought response than crown
    position (Tables 1,4,5). Belowground, taller trees would tend to have larger root systems, but the
367
    potentially greater access to water did not override the vulnerability conferred by height-and, in fact,
368
    greater moisture access in non-drought years (here, higher TWI) appears to make trees more vulnerable
    to drought (Zuleta et al., 2017; Stovall et al., 2019).
370
    Our analysis has the limitation that canopy positions were recorded in 2018, as opposed to the years of the
371
    droughts. However, because trees would generally advance towards more dominant positions as they grow
372
    and as neighbors die, changing canopy positions would bias against the acceptance of our hypothesis. The
    implication is that dominant crown positions did have a marginally negative influence on Rt, which makes
374
    sense in light of the vertical environmental gradients described above and agrees with previous studies
375
    showing lower drought resistance in more exposed trees (Suarez et al., 2004; Scharnweber et al., 2019). It is
    safe to assume that currently suppressed trees were suppressed throughout our analysis period, and their
377
    relatively low Rt (after accounting for height effects) is real, perhaps as a result of competition (Sohn et al.,
378
    2016). The observed height-sensitivity of Rt, together with the lack of advantage to large stature in drier
379
    topographic positions, agrees with the concept that physiological limitations to transpiration under
380
    drought shift from soil water availability to the plant-atmosphere interface as forests age (Bretfeld et al.,
381
    2018), such that tall, dominant trees are the most sensitive in mature forests. Additional research
382
    comparing drought responses of young and old forest stands, along with short and tall isolated trees, would
383
    be valuable for more clearly disentangling the roles of tree height and crown exposure.
384
    The development of tree-ring chronologies for the twelve most dominant tree species at our site (Helcoski
385
    et al., 2019; Bourg et al., 2013) gave us the sample size to compare historical drought responses across
386
    species and associated traits at a single site (see also Elliott et al., 2015). Concerted measurement of leaf
387
    hydraulic traits of emerging importance (Scoffoni et al., 2014; Bartlett et al., 2016; Medeiros et al., 2019)
388
    allowed novel insights into the role of hydraulic traits in shaping drought response. The finding that
389
    PLA_{dry} and \pi_{tlp} can be useful for predicting drought responses of tree growth (Tables 1,4,5) is both novel
390
    and consistent with previous studies linking these traits to habitat and drought tolerance. Previous studies
391
    have demonstrated that \pi_{tlp} and PLA_{dry} are physiologically meaningful traits linked to species
392
    distribution along moisture gradients (Maréchaux et al., 2015; Fletcher et al., 2018; Medeiros et al., 2019;
393
    Simeone et al., 2019; Rosas et al., 2019), and our findings indicate that these traits also influence drought
    responses. Furthermore, the observed linkage of \pi_{tlp} to Rt in this forest aligns with observations in the
395
    Amazon that \pi_{tlp} is higher in drought-intolerant than drought-tolerant plant functional types and adds
396
    support to the idea that this trait is useful for categorizing and representing species' drought responses in
397
    models (Powell et al., 2017). Because both PLA_{dry} and \pi_{tlp} can be measured relatively easily (Bartlett
398
    et al., 2012; Scoffoni et al., 2014), they hold promise for predicting drought growth responses across diverse
399
    forests. The importance of predicting drought responses from species traits increases with tree species
    diversity; whereas it is feasible to study drought responses for all dominant species in most boreal and
401
    temperate forests (e.g., this study), this becomes difficult to impossible for species that do not form annual
402
    rings, and for diverse tropical forests. Although progress is being made for the tropics (Schöngart et al.,
    2017), a full linkage of hydraulic traits to drought responses would be invaluable for forecasting how
404
    little-known species and whole forests will respond to future droughts (Powell et al., 2017).
405
```

As climate change drives increasing drought in many of the world's forests (Trenberth et al., 2014;

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2015), the fate of forests and their climate feedbacks will be 407 shaped by the biophysical and physiological drivers observed here. Large trees have been disproportionately impacted by strong drought in forests around the world (Bennett et al., 2015; Stovall 409 et al., 2019), and we show, at least at this site, that this is primarily driven by their height with some 410 contributions from canopy position. The distinction is important because it suggests that height per se makes trees vulnerable, even if their crowns are somewhat protected by neighbors, whereas shorter solitary 412 trees or the dominant trees in young forests that recently established after logging or natural disturbances 413 should be less vulnerable. This would suggest that, all else being equal, mature forests would be more 414 vulnerable to drought than young forests with short trees; however, root water access may limit the young 415 forests (Bretfeld et al., 2018), and species traits often shift as forests age. Early- to mid-successional 416 species at our site (Liriodendron tulipifera, Quercus spp., Fraxinus americana) display a mix of traits 417 conferring drought tolerance and resistance (Table 3), and further research on how hydraulic traits and 418 drought vulnerability change over the course of succession would be valuable for addressing how drought 419 tolerance changes as forests age (e.g. Rodríguez-Catón et al., 2015). In the meantime, the results of this study advance our knowledge of the factors conferring drought vulnerability and resistance in a mature 421 forest, opening the door for more accurate forecasting of forest responses to future drought. 422

#### 423 Acknowledgements

We especially thank the numerous researchers who helped to collect the data used here, in particular 424 Jennifer C. McGarvey, Jonathan R. Thompson, and Victoria Meakem for original collection and processing 425 of cores. Thanks also to Camila D. Medeiros for guidance on hydraulic and functional trait measurements, 426 Edward Brzostek's lab for collaboration on leaf sampling, and Maya Prestipino for data collection. Funding 427 for the establishment of the SCBI ForestGEO Large Forest Dynamics Plot was provided by the 428 Smithsonian-led Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO), the Smithsonian Institution, and the 429 HSBC Climate Partnership. This study was funded by ForestGEO, a Virginia Native Plant Society grant to KAT and AJT, and support from the Harvard Forest and National Science Foundation which supports 431 the PalEON project (NSF EF-1241930) for NP. 432

#### 433 Author Contribution

KAT, IM, and AJT designed the research. Tree-ring chronologies were developed by RH under guidance of AJT and NP. Trait data was collected by IM, JZ under guidance of NK and LS. Other plot data were collected by IM, AS, EGA, and NB under guidance of EGA and WM. Data analyses were performed by IM under guidance of KAT and VH. KAT and IM interpreted the results. IM and KAT wrote the first draft of manuscript, and all authors contributed to revisions.

#### 439 Supplementary Information

- Table S1: Species-specific bark thickness regression equations
- Table S2: Species-specific height regression equations
- Table S3: Palmer drought severity index (PDSI) by month for focal droughts

- 443 Figure S1: Map of ForestGEO plot showing TWI and location of cored trees
- Figure S2: Time series of Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) for the 2.5 years prior to each focal
- 445 drought
- 446 Figure S3: Height by canopy position across the three focal droughts and in the year of measurement (2018)

# 447 References

- Abrams, M. D. (1990). Adaptations and responses to drought in Quercus species of North America. *Tree Physiology*, 7(1-2-3-4):227–238.
- Allen, C. D., Breshears, D. D., and McDowell, N. G. (2015). On underestimation of global vulnerability to tree mortality and forest die-off from hotter drought in the Anthropocene. *Ecosphere*, 6(8):art129.
- <sup>452</sup> Allen, C. D., Macalady, A. K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M., Kitzberger, T.,
- Rigling, A., Breshears, D. D., Hogg, E. H. T., Gonzalez, P., Fensham, R., Zhang, Z., Castro, J.,
- Demidova, N., Lim, J.-H., Allard, G., Running, S. W., Semerci, A., and Cobb, N. (2010). A global
- overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests.
- Forest Ecology and Management, 259(4):660–684.
- 457 Anderegg, W. R. L., Konings, A. G., Trugman, A. T., Yu, K., Bowling, D. R., Gabbitas, R., Karp, D. S.,
- Pacala, S., Sperry, J. S., Sulman, B. N., and Zenes, N. (2018). Hydraulic diversity of forests regulates
- ecosystem resilience during drought. Nature, 561(7724):538–541.
- 460 Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., Davies, S. J., Bennett, A. C., Gonzalez-Akre, E. B., Muller-Landau, H. C.,
- Wright, S. J., Salim, K. A., Zambrano, A. M. A., Alonso, A., Baltzer, J. L., Basset, Y., Bourg, N. A.,
- Broadbent, E. N., Brockelman, W. Y., Bunyavejchewin, S., Burslem, D. F. R. P., Butt, N., Cao, M.,
- 463 Cardenas, D., Chuyong, G. B., Clay, K., Cordell, S., Dattaraja, H. S., Deng, X., Detto, M., Du, X.,
- Duque, A., Erikson, D. L., Ewango, C. E. N., Fischer, G. A., Fletcher, C., Foster, R. B., Giardina, C. P.,
- Gilbert, G. S., Gunatilleke, N., Gunatilleke, S., Hao, Z., Hargrove, W. W., Hart, T. B., Hau, B. C. H.,
- He, F., Hoffman, F. M., Howe, R. W., Hubbell, S. P., Inman-Narahari, F. M., Jansen, P. A., Jiang, M.,
- Johnson, D. J., Kanzaki, M., Kassim, A. R., Kenfack, D., Kibet, S., Kinnaird, M. F., Korte, L., Kral, K.,
- 468 Kumar, J., Larson, A. J., Li, Y., Li, X., Liu, S., Lum, S. K. Y., Lutz, J. A., Ma, K., Maddalena, D. M.,
- Makana, J.-R., Malhi, Y., Marthews, T., Serudin, R. M., McMahon, S. M., McShea, W. J., Memiaghe,
- H. R., Mi, X., Mizuno, T., Morecroft, M., Myers, J. A., Novotny, V., Oliveira, A. A. d., Ong, P. S.,
- Orwig, D. A., Ostertag, R., Ouden, J. d., Parker, G. G., Phillips, R. P., Sack, L., Sainge, M. N., Sang,
- W., Sri-ngernyuang, K., Sukumar, R., Sun, I.-F., Sungpalee, W., Suresh, H. S., Tan, S., Thomas, S. C.,
- Thomas, D. W., Thompson, J., Turner, B. L., Uriarte, M., Valencia, R., Vallejo, M. I., Vicentini, A.,
- 474 Vrška, T., Wang, X., Wang, X., Weiblen, G., Wolf, A., Xu, H., Yap, S., and Zimmerman, J. (2015a).
- 475 CTFS-ForestGEO: a worldwide network monitoring forests in an era of global change. Global Change
- Biology, 21(2):528-549.
- Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., McGarvey, J. C., Muller-Landau, H. C., Park, J. Y., Gonzalez-Akre, E. B.,
- Herrmann, V., Bennett, A. C., So, C. V., Bourg, N. A., Thompson, J. R., McMahon, S. M., and McShea,
- W. J. (2015b). Size-related scaling of tree form and function in a mixed-age forest. Functional Ecology,
- 29(12):1587-1602.

- Bartlett, M. K., Klein, T., Jansen, S., Choat, B., and Sack, L. (2016). The correlations and sequence of 481 plant stomatal, hydraulic, and wilting responses to drought. Proceedings of the National Academy of
- Sciences, 113(46):13098-13103. 483
- Bartlett, M. K., Scoffoni, C., Ardy, R., Zhang, Y., Sun, S., Cao, K., and Sack, L. (2012). Rapid 484
- determination of comparative drought tolerance traits: using an osmometer to predict turgor loss point.
- Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 3(5):880–888. 486
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., and Walker, S. (2019). lme4: Linear Mixed-Effects Models using 487 'Eigen' and S4. R package version 1.1-21.
- Bennett, A. C., McDowell, N. G., Allen, C. D., and Anderson-Teixeira, K. J. (2015). Larger trees suffer 489 most during drought in forests worldwide. Nature Plants, 1(10):15139. 490
- Beven, K. J. and Kirkby, M. J. (1979). A physically based, variable contributing area model of basin
- hydrology / Un modèle à base physique de zone d'appel variable de l'hydrologie du bassin versant. 492
- Hydrological Sciences Bulletin, 24(1):43–69. 493
- Bonan, G. B. (2008). Forests and Climate Change: Forcings, Feedbacks, and the Climate Benefits of 494 Forests. Science, 320(5882):1444-1449. 495
- Bourg, N. A., McShea, W. J., Thompson, J. R., McGarvey, J. C., and Shen, X. (2013). Initial census, 496
- woody seedling, seed rain, and stand structure data for the SCBI SIGEO Large Forest Dynamics Plot. 497
- Ecology, 94(9):2111-2112. 498
- Bretfeld, M., Ewers, B. E., and Hall, J. S. (2018). Plant water use responses along secondary forest 499 succession during the 2015–2016 El Niño drought in Panama. New Phytologist, 219(3):885–899. 500
- Brewer, M. J., Butler, A., and Cooksley, S. L. (2016). The relative performance of AIC, AICC and BIC in 501 the presence of unobserved heterogeneity. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 7(6):679-692. 502
- Clark, J. S., Iverson, L., Woodall, C. W., Allen, C. D., Bell, D. M., Bragg, D. C., D'Amato, A. W., Davis, 503
- F. W., Hersh, M. H., Ibanez, I., Jackson, S. T., Matthews, S., Pederson, N., Peters, M., Schwartz, 504
- M. W., Waring, K. M., and Zimmermann, N. E. (2016). The impacts of increasing drought on forest 505
- dynamics, structure, and biodiversity in the United States. Global Change Biology, 22(7):2329–2352.
- Condit, R. (1998). Tropical Forest Census Plots: Methods and Results from Barro Colorado Island. 507 Panama and a Comparison with Other Plots. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg. 508
- Cook, B. I., Ault, T. R., and Smerdon, J. E. (2015). Unprecedented 21st century drought risk in the American Southwest and Central Plains. Science Advances, 1(1):e1400082. 510
- Couvreur, V., Ledder, G., Manzoni, S., Way, D. A., Muller, E. B., and Russo, S. E. (2018). Water 511
- transport through tall trees: A vertically explicit, analytical model of xylem hydraulic conductance in 512
- stems. Plant, Cell & Environment, 41(8):1821-1839. 513
- Dai, A., Zhao, T., and Chen, J. (2018). Climate Change and Drought: a Precipitation and Evaporation 514 Perspective. Current Climate Change Reports, 4(3):301–312.

- 516 Druckenbrod, D. L., Martin-Benito, D., Orwig, D. A., Pederson, N., Poulter, B., Renwick, K. M., and
- Shugart, H. H. (2019). Redefining temperate forest responses to climate and disturbance in the eastern
- United States: New insights at the mesoscale. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 28(5):557–575.
- Elliott, K. J., Miniat, C. F., Pederson, N., and Laseter, S. H. (2015). Forest tree growth response to
- bydroclimate variability in the southern Appalachians. Global Change Biology, 21(12):4627–4641.
- Fletcher, L. R., Cui, H., Callahan, H., Scoffoni, C., John, G. P., Bartlett, M. K., Burge, D. O., and Sack, L.
- 522 (2018). Evolution of leaf structure and drought tolerance in species of Californian Ceanothus. American
- Journal of Botany, 105(10):1672–1687.
- Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., Cadule, P., Doney, S., Eby, M.,
- Fung, I., Bala, G., John, J., Jones, C., Joos, F., Kato, T., Kawamiya, M., Knorr, W., Lindsay, K.,
- Matthews, H. D., Raddatz, T., Rayner, P., Reick, C., Roeckner, E., Schnitzler, K.-G., Schnur, R.,
- Strassmann, K., Weaver, A. J., Yoshikawa, C., and Zeng, N. (2006). Climate–Carbon Cycle Feedback
- Analysis: Results from the C4MIP Model Intercomparison. *Journal of Climate*, 19(14):3337–3353.
- 529 Friedrichs, D. A., Trouet, V., Büntgen, U., Frank, D. C., Esper, J., Neuwirth, B., and Löffler, J. (2009).
- Species-specific climate sensitivity of tree growth in Central-West Germany. *Trees*, 23(4):729.
- Gonzalez-Akre, E., Meakem, V., Eng, C.-Y., Tepley, A. J., Bourg, N. A., McShea, W., Davies, S. J., and
- Anderson-Teixeira, K. (2016). Patterns of tree mortality in a temperate deciduous forest derived from a
- large forest dynamics plot. *Ecosphere*, 7(12):e01595.
- Greenwood, S., Ruiz-Benito, P., Martínez-Vilalta, J., Lloret, F., Kitzberger, T., Allen, C. D., Fensham, R.,
- Laughlin, D. C., Kattge, J., Bönisch, G., Kraft, N. J. B., and Jump, A. S. (2017). Tree mortality across
- biomes is promoted by drought intensity, lower wood density and higher specific leaf area. Ecology
- Letters, 20(4):539-553.
- <sup>538</sup> Guerfel, M., Baccouri, O., Boujnah, D., Chaïbi, W., and Zarrouk, M. (2009). Impacts of water stress on
- gas exchange, water relations, chlorophyll content and leaf structure in the two main Tunisian olive
- (Olea europaea L.) cultivars. Scientia Horticulturae, 119(3):257–263.
- Hacket-Pain, A. J., Cavin, L., Friend, A. D., and Jump, A. S. (2016). Consistent limitation of growth by
- high temperature and low precipitation from range core to southern edge of European beech indicates
- widespread vulnerability to changing climate. European Journal of Forest Research, 135(5):897–909.
- Harris, I., Jones, P. D., Osborn, T. J., and Lister, D. H. (2014). Updated high-resolution grids of monthly
- climatic observations the CRU TS3.10 Dataset. International Journal of Climatology, 34(3):623–642.
- Helcoski, R., Tepley, A. J., Pederson, N., McGarvey, J. C., Meakem, V., Herrmann, V., Thompson, J. R.,
- and Anderson-Teixeira, K. J. (2019). Growing season moisture drives interannual variation in woody
- productivity of a temperate deciduous forest. New Phytologist, 0(0).
- Hoffmann, W. A., Marchin, R. M., Abit, P., and Lau, O. L. (2011). Hydraulic failure and tree dieback are
- associated with high wood density in a temperate forest under extreme drought. Global Change Biology,
- 17(8):2731-2742.
- Hollister, J. (2018). elevatr: Access Elevation Data from Various APIs. R package version 0.2.0.

- 553 Hyndman, R., Athanasopoulos, G., Bergmeir, C., Caceres, G., Chhay, L., O'Hara-Wild, M., Petropoulos,
- F., Razbash, S., Wang, E., and Yasmeen, F. (2020). forecast: Forecasting Functions for Time Series and
- Linear Models. R package version 8.12.
- 556 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2015). Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation and
- Vulnerability: Working Group II Contribution to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report. Volume 2 Volume
- 2. OCLC: 900892773.
- <sup>559</sup> Jennings, S. B., Brown, N. D., and Sheil, D. (1999). Assessing forest canopies and understorey
- illumination: canopy closure, canopy cover and other measures. Forestry: An International Journal of
- Forest Research, 72(1):59–74.
- Kannenberg, S. A., Novick, K. A., Alexander, M. R., Maxwell, J. T., Moore, D. J. P., Phillips, R. P., and
- Anderegg, W. R. L. (2019). Linking drought legacy effects across scales: From leaves to tree rings to
- ecosystems. Global Change Biology, 0(ja).
- 565 Katabuchi, M. (2019). LeafArea: Rapid Digital Image Analysis of Leaf Area. R package version 0.1.8.
- 566 Kennedy, D., Swenson, S., Oleson, K. W., Lawrence, D. M., Fisher, R., Costa, A. C. L. d., and Gentine, P.
- 567 (2019). Implementing Plant Hydraulics in the Community Land Model, Version 5. Journal of Advances
- in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(2):485–513.
- Koike, T., Kitao, M., Maruyama, Y., Mori, S., and Lei, T. T. (2001). Leaf morphology and photosynthetic
- adjustments among deciduous broad-leaved trees within the vertical canopy profile. Tree Physiology,
- 21(12-13):951-958.
- Kunert, N., Aparecido, L. M. T., Wolff, S., Higuchi, N., Santos, J. d., Araujo, A. C. d., and Trumbore, S.
- 573 (2017). A revised hydrological model for the Central Amazon: The importance of emergent canopy trees
- in the forest water budget. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 239:47–57.
- Larjavaara, M. and Muller-Landau, H. C. (2013). Measuring tree height: a quantitative comparison of two
- common field methods in a moist tropical forest. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 4(9):793-801.
- Liu, H., Gleason, S. M., Hao, G., Hua, L., He, P., Goldstein, G., and Ye, Q. (2019). Hydraulic traits are
- coordinated with maximum plant height at the global scale. Science Advances, 5(2):eaav1332.
- 579 Liu, Y. and Muller, R. N. (1993). Effect of Drought and Frost on Radial Growth of Overstory and
- Undesistory Stems in a Deciduous Forest. The American Midland Naturalist, 129(1):19–25.
- Lloret, F., Keeling, E. G., and Sala, A. (2011). Components of tree resilience: effects of successive
- low-growth episodes in old ponderosa pine forests. Oikos, 120(12):1909–1920.
- Martin-Benito, D. and Pederson, N. (2015). Convergence in drought stress, but a divergence of climatic
- drivers across a latitudinal gradient in a temperate broadleaf forest. Journal of Biogeography,
- 42(5):925-937.
- Maréchaux, I., Bartlett, M. K., Sack, L., Baraloto, C., Engel, J., Joetzjer, E., and Chave, J. (2015).
- Drought tolerance as predicted by leaf water potential at turgor loss point varies strongly across species
- within an Amazonian forest. Functional Ecology, 29(10):1268–1277.

- Maréchaux, I., Saint-André, L., Bartlett, M. K., Sack, L., and Chave, J. (2019). Leaf drought tolerance cannot be inferred from classic leaf traits in a tropical rainforest. *Journal of Ecology*.
- Mazerolle, M. J. and portions of code contributed by Dan Linden. (2019). AICcmodavg: Model Selection and Multimodel Inference Based on (Q)AIC(c). R package version 2.2-2.
- McDowell, N. G. and Allen, C. D. (2015). Darcy's law predicts widespread forest mortality under climate warming. *Nature Climate Change*, 5(7):669–672.
- <sup>595</sup> McDowell, N. G., Bond, B. J., Dickman, L. T., Ryan, M. G., and Whitehead, D. (2011). Relationships
- Between Tree Height and Carbon Isotope Discrimination. In Meinzer, F. C., Lachenbruch, B., and
- Dawson, T. E., editors, Size- and Age-Related Changes in Tree Structure and Function, Tree Physiology,
- pages 255–286. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.
- Meakem, V., Tepley, A. J., Gonzalez-Akre, E. B., Herrmann, V., Muller-Landau, H. C., Wright, S. J.,
- Hubbell, S. P., Condit, R., and Anderson-Teixeira, K. J. (2018). Role of tree size in moist tropical forest
- carbon cycling and water deficit responses. New Phytologist, 219(3):947–958.
- Medeiros, C. D., Scoffoni, C., John, G. P., Bartlett, M. K., Inman-Narahari, F., Ostertag, R., Cordell, S.,
- 603 Giardina, C., and Sack, L. (2019). An extensive suite of functional traits distinguishes Hawaiian wet and
- dry forests and enables prediction of species vital rates. Functional Ecology, 33(4):712–734.
- Metcalfe, P., Beven, K., and Freer, J. (2018). dynatopmodel: Implementation of the Dynamic TOPMODEL
   Hydrological Model. R package version 1.2.1.
- Muller-Landau, H. C., Condit, R. S., Chave, J., Thomas, S. C., Bohlman, S. A., Bunyavejchewin, S.,
- Davies, S., Foster, R., Gunatilleke, S., Gunatilleke, N., Harms, K. E., Hart, T., Hubbell, S. P., Itoh, A.,
- Kassim, A. R., LaFrankie, J. V., Lee, H. S., Losos, E., Makana, J.-R., Ohkubo, T., Sukumar, R., Sun,
- I.-F., Nur Supardi, M. N., Tan, S., Thompson, J., Valencia, R., Muñoz, G. V., Wills, C., Yamakura, T.,
- <sup>611</sup> Chuyong, G., Dattaraja, H. S., Esufali, S., Hall, P., Hernandez, C., Kenfack, D., Kiratiprayoon, S.,
- Suresh, H. S., Thomas, D., Vallejo, M. I., and Ashton, P. (2006). Testing metabolic ecology theory for
- allometric scaling of tree size, growth and mortality in tropical forests. *Ecology Letters*, 9(5):575–588.
- National Ecological Observatory Network (2020). neonUtilities: Utilities for Working with NEON Data. R
  package version 1.3.3.
- 616 NEON (2018). National Ecological Observatory Network. 2016, 2017, 2018. Data Products:
- 617 DP1.00001.001, DP1.00098.001, DP1.00002.001. Provisional data downloaded from
- http://data.neonscience.org/ in May 2019. Battelle, Boulder, CO, USA.
- 619 Olson, M. E., Soriano, D., Rosell, J. A., Anfodillo, T., Donoghue, M. J., Edwards, E. J., León-Gómez, C.,
- Dawson, T., Martínez, J. J. C., Castorena, M., Echeverría, A., Espinosa, C. I., Fajardo, A., Gazol, A.,
- Isnard, S., Lima, R. S., Marcati, C. R., and Méndez-Alonzo, R. (2018). Plant height and hydraulic
- vulnerability to drought and cold. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115(29):7551-7556.
- Powell, T. L., Wheeler, J. K., Oliveira, A. A. R. d., Costa, A. C. L. d., Saleska, S. R., Meir, P., and
- Moorcroft, P. R. (2017). Differences in xylem and leaf hydraulic traits explain differences in drought
- tolerance among mature Amazon rainforest trees. Global Change Biology, 23(10):4280–4293.

- Pretzsch, H., Schütze, G., and Biber, P. (2018). Drought can favour the growth of small in relation to tall trees in mature stands of Norway spruce and European beech. *Forest Ecosystems*, 5(1):20.
- R Core Team (2019). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Rodríguez-Catón, M., Villalba, R., Srur, A. M., and Luckman, B. (2015). Long-term trends in radial growth associated with Nothofagus pumilio forest decline in Patagonia: Integrating local- into
- regional-scale patterns. Forest Ecology and Management, 339:44–56.
- Rosas, T., Mencuccini, M., Barba, J., Cochard, H., Saura-Mas, S., and Martínez-Vilalta, J. (2019).
- Adjustments and coordination of hydraulic, leaf and stem traits along a water availability gradient. *New Phytologist*, 223(2):632–646.
- Roskilly, B., Keeling, E., Hood, S., Giuggiola, A., and Sala, A. (2019). Conflicting functional effects of xylem pit structure relate to the growth-longevity trade-off in a conifer species. *PNAS. doi:*
- 638 /10.1073/pnas.1900734116.
- Ryan, M. G., Phillips, N., and Bond, B. J. (2006). The hydraulic limitation hypothesis revisited. *Plant*, 640 *Cell & Environment*, 29(3):367–381.
- Sapes, G., Roskilly, B., Dobrowski, S., Maneta, M., Anderegg, W. R. L., Martinez-Vilalta, J., and Sala, A.
- (2019). Plant water content integrates hydraulics and carbon depletion to predict drought-induced
- seedling mortality. Tree Physiology, 39(8):1300–1312.
- Scharnweber, T., Heinze, L., Cruz-García, R., van der Maaten-Theunissen, M., and Wilmking, M. (2019).
- Confessions of solitary oaks: We grow fast but we fear the drought. *Dendrochronologia*, 55:43–49.
- 646 Schöngart, J., Bräuning, A., Barbosa, A. C. M. C., Lisi, C. S., and de Oliveira, J. M. (2017).
- Dendroecological Studies in the Neotropics: History, Status and Future Challenges. In Amoroso, M. M.,
- Daniels, L. D., Baker, P. J., and Camarero, J. J., editors, Dendroecology: Tree-Ring Analyses Applied to
- 649 Ecological Studies, Ecological Studies, pages 35–73. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Scoffoni, C., Vuong, C., Diep, S., Cochard, H., and Sack, L. (2014). Leaf Shrinkage with Dehydration:
- 651 Coordination with Hydraulic Vulnerability and Drought Tolerance. Plant Physiology, 164(4):1772–1788.
- 652 Simeone, C., Maneta, M. P., Holden, Z. A., Sapes, G., Sala, A., and Dobrowski, S. Z. (2019). Coupled
- ecohydrology and plant hydraulics modeling predicts ponderosa pine seedling mortality and lower
- treeline in the US Northern Rocky Mountains. New Phytologist, 221(4):1814–1830.
- Slette, I. J., Post, A. K., Awad, M., Even, T., Punzalan, A., Williams, S., Smith, M. D., and Knapp, A. K. (2019). How ecologists define drought, and why we should do better. *Global Change Biology*, 0(0):1–8.
- Smith, W. K. and Nobel, P. S. (1977). Temperature and Water Relations for Sun and Shade Leaves of a
  Desert Broadleaf, Hyptis emoryi. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 28(1):169–183.
- Sohn, J. A., Saha, S., and Bauhus, J. (2016). Potential of forest thinning to mitigate drought stress: A meta-analysis. Forest Ecology and Management, 380:261–273.
- Stovall, A. E. L., Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., and Shugart, H. H. (2018a). Assessing terrestrial laser scanning
   for developing non-destructive biomass allometry. Forest Ecology and Management, 427:217–229.

- 663 Stovall, A. E. L., Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., and Shugart, H. H. (2018b). Terrestrial LiDAR-derived
- non-destructive woody biomass estimates for 10 hardwood species in Virginia. Data in Brief,
- 19:1560–1569.
- Stovall, A. E. L., Shugart, H., and Yang, X. (2019). Tree height explains mortality risk during an intense drought. *Nature Communications*, 10(1):1–6.
- Suarez, M. L., Ghermandi, L., and Kitzberger, T. (2004). Factors predisposing episodic drought-induced tree mortality in Nothofagus–site, climatic sensitivity and growth trends. *Journal of Ecology*,
- 92(6):954-966.
- <sup>671</sup> Sørensen, R., Zinko, U., and Seibert, J. (2006). On the calculation of the topographic wetness index:
- evaluation of different methods based on field observations. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences,
- 673 10(1):101–112.
- Trenberth, K. E., Dai, A., van der Schrier, G., Jones, P. D., Barichivich, J., Briffa, K. R., and Sheffield, J. (2014). Global warming and changes in drought. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(1):17–22.
- Twery, M. J. (1991). Effects of defoliation by gypsy moth. IN: Gottschalk, Kurt W.; Twery, Mark J.;
- 677 Smith, Shirley I., eds. Proceedings, U.S. Department of Agriculture interagency gypsy moth research
- review 1990; East Windsor, CT. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-146. Radnor, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture,
- Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station. 27-39., 146.
- van der Maaten-Theunissen, M. and van der Maaten, E. (2016). pointRes: Analyzing Pointer Years and
  Components of Resilience. R package version 1.1.3.
- <sup>682</sup> Zuleta, D., Duque, A., Cardenas, D., Muller-Landau, H. C., and Davies, S. J. (2017). Drought-induced
- mortality patterns and rapid biomass recovery in a terra firme forest in the Colombian Amazon. Ecology,
- 98(10):2538-2546.