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# Summary

*Summary format for New Phytologist tends to be in a few long bullet points*

Temperate deciduous forests play a critical role in the global carbon (C) cycle, accounting for a substantial portion of the global forest C sink. The dominant view imbued in Earth System Models is that, at least within the eastern United States, the biome is likely to remain a C sink throughout the 21st century. However, these models do not incorporate nuisance species – *i.e.*, species whose local abundance has increased as a result of human activities and that are causing ecological harm. Nuisance species are known to increase tree mortality (*e.g.*, non-endemic pests and pathogens) and decrease recruitment (*e.g.*, deer and non-endemic plants) throughout the temperate deciduous biome, yet the net effect on current and future C cycling is unknown. Here, we use 15 years of detailed census data from a large (25.6 ha) forest dynamics plot in a Mid-Atlantic temperate forest, including a 4-ha deer exclosure, to understand how nuisance species are affecting C cycling. We show increased biomass mortality, a net reduction in aboveground C storage, and decreased abundance of canopy tree species in the understory. Under current trends this forest will continue to lose C sequestration capacity, indicating that the C sequestration of temperate deciduous forests is likely overestimated.
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# 1 Introduction

The temperate forest biome plays a critical role in the global carbon cycle, accounting for almost half of the net global forest carbon (C) sink (Harris *et al.*, 2021), with deciduous forests representing a substantial portion of this, sequestering >300 Tg C yr-1 (Pugh *et al.*, 2019). Although currently a C sink, the future of the biome remains uncertain. The dominant view imbued in Earth System Models is that, at least within the eastern US, the biome is likely to remain a C sink for the remainder of the 21st century (Finzi *et al.*, 2020; Wu *et al.*, 2023), albeit with declining CO2 sequestration capacity (Ahlström *et al.*, 2012). Yet, global C models predict a wide range of future trajectories of CO2 sequestration (Ahlström *et al.*, 2012; Arora *et al.*, 2020), and current global C models do not represent some influential mechanisms (e.g., Fatichi *et al.*, 2014; Clark *et al.*, 2021). One mechanism that is not represented in global C models is the impact of nuisance species – i.e., endemic or non-endemic species that, as a result of human influence, have much greater abundance in an ecosystem than they did historically, resulting in undesirable ecological consequences (**refs?**). Similarly, the impact of nuisance species on forest carbon budgets is not considered in machine learning/niche models that seek to project future forest distribution and carbon stocks (**?** Wu *et al.*, 2023), nor in carbon offset projects (**???** **refs?**). This is problematic in that nuisance species – including non-endemic insect pests and pathogens, non-endemic plants, and over-abundant herbivores – are dramatically impacting carbon cycling in temperate deciduous forests around the world, as described below.

## 1.1 (paragraph on tree mortality from non-endemic pests and pathogens)

Two Paragraphs

1. The global implications of pests and pathogens
2. History of pests and pathogens in eastern deciduous forests
3. Chestnut blight
4. Dutch elm disease
5. Hemlock Wooly Adelgid
6. Emerald Ash-borer

Non-endemic pests and pathogens have been important driver of mortality (Anderson-Teixeira *et al.*, 2021). These can reduce C and need to be considered in future climate change projections.

Fei *et al.* (2019)

Non-endemic pests and pathogens facilitate invasion of non-endemic plants (Guo *et al.*, 2023 and refs therein).

## 1.2 (paragraph on recruitment failure because of deer and non-endemic plants)

Recruitment Failure Paragraph

White-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) are a nuisance species prevalent in eastern deciduous forests.*Start with a stronger sentence about the impact of deer on herbivory - Ex. White-tailed deer are the dominant herbivore in eastern deciduous forests (Reference)* Their populations have dramatically increased in the past 50 years (McShea et al. 1997). *Find a reference with a specific number of increase in deer populations* due in large part to global change drivers. (Found in McGarvey et al. 2013 – Brown et al. 2000, Côté et al. 2004, Rooney 2001). White-tailed deer preferentially browse on woody plant species in their earliest life stages (McGarvey et al. 2013), with overabundant herbivory reduce seedling and sapling survival, growth and density (Found in McGarvey et al. 2013 – Dzieciolowski 1980, Gill and Beardall 2001, Healy 1997, Konig 1976, Putman et al. 1989). Chronic overabundance has been shown to reduce understory diversity and decrease the abundance of traditionally dominant species (*NEW CITATION*). Due to climate change and human activity, many non-endemic plant species are being introduced or number in forest ecosystems (Reference). Deer find many of these species, including pawpaw (Asimina triloba), to be unpalatable (Found in McGarvey 2013 – Asnani 2006), and do not consume them at the same rates relative to their native counterparts (Reference), enabling them to form dense stands in forest understories (Found in Knauer et al. 2023 – Horsley and Marquis 1983; Stromayer and Warren 1997; Royo and Carson 2006). This lack of browsing pressure, coupled with characteristics such as fast growth rate and greater adaptability to altered conditions precipitated by climate change, allow nuisance plant species to outcompete other species in the understory (Reference). The interaction of these nuisance species on the landscape contributes to a recruitment failure in forests, [*Where individuals from certain tree species do not enter the mature population - Do we need to define recruitment failure, or is that considered common knowledge? If so, reference*). The extent of the consequences derived from recruitment failure on species composition and forest structure is often not apparent for years (McGarvey et al. 2013) ]–a dynamic driven by fire suppression and mesophication (**refs?**).

Here, we use 15 years of detailed census data from a large forest dynamics plot including a 4-ha deer exclosure to test the following hypotheses: (1) C stocks have declined (-∆AGB) (2) canopy tree mortality and associated biomass loss are increasing, in large part due to non-endemic nuisance species (pests & pathogens) (3) recruitment (outside deer exclosure) and growth have not kept pace with tree mortality, implying that future mortality will result in substantial net biomass loss (regeneration debt)

# 2 Materials and Methods

## 2.1 Site Description

*Need to add the Anderson-Teixeira et al. 2015 reference to references page*

The study was conducted in the 25.6-hectare Large Forest Dynamics plot at the National Zoo and Conservation Biology Institute in Front Royal, Virginia (38 53’36.6“N, 78 08’43.4 “W). The plot, which is located in the central Appalachian Mountains adjacent to Shenandoah National Park, is composed of mature secondary eastern deciduous forest. Situated in the Appalachian Oak forest region, the canopy is dominated by tulip poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), oak (*Quercus spp.*), and hickory (*Carya spp.*) and the understory is primarily composed of spicebush (*Lindera benzoin*), paw-paw (*Asimina triloba*), American hornbeam (*Carpinus caroliniana*) and witch hazel (*Hamamelis virginiana*) (SCBI site description). The land-use history of the site is varied, including periods of agricultural development and intensive logging, with dendrological data estimating canopy tree establishment around 1900 (SI archives, Bourg et al. 2013). Natural disturbances at the plot consist of wind and infrequent ice storms (Anderson-Teixiera 2015). The plot, which includes a 4-hectare deer exclosure that has decreased the presence of deer since 1990, is divided into 640 quadrats, each measuring 20 x 20 meters. It is one of 78 sites in the Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO), a global network of forest dynamic plots that promotes comparative forest ecology studies around the World.

*Add information about the history of pests and pathogens at this site and at similar forests*

In addition to our consideration of the plot as a whole, we give special consideration to three portions of the plot, all upland forest (i.e., excluding low-lying areas around streams): (1) low deer, low non-endemic insects & pathogens (Fig. 1a)- 4 ha portion of upland forest in the SE quarter of the plot, fenced in YEAR and maintained with only occasional deer presence for the past ## years and with relatively low abundance of canopy species affected by non-endemic pests and pathogens; (2) high deer, low non-endemic insects & pathogens (Fig. 1b) - # ha of upland forest outside the deer exclosure with relatively low abundance of canopy species affected by non-endemic pests and pathogens; (3) high deer, high non-endemic insects & pathogens (Fig. 1c) - a # ha section of upland forest outside the deer exclosure with relatively high abundance of canopy species affected by non-endemic pests and pathogens. These areas were delineated as follows. Upland forest was defined according to topographic wetness index, originally calculated for the SCBI plot in McGregor *et al.* (2021). Vulnerability to non-endemic insects & pathogens was defined based on the abundance of canopy species affected by non-endemic pests and pathogens at the time of plot establishment (2008).



**Figure 1. Photos within the SCBI ForestGEO plot: (a) low deer, low non-endemic insects & pathogens, (b) high deer, low non-endemic insects & pathogens, (c) high deer, high non-endemic insects & pathogens**  *(add a map of the plot)* All photos taken September 2023 by K. Anderson-Teixeira.

## 2.2 Data collection

*(Describe main census and mortality survey)*

A number of surveys are regularly conducted at the site, two of which are included in our analysis. As part of the ForestGEO network, the plot undergoes a comprehensive woody plant inventory every five years, according to the protocol outlined in Condit (1998). This inventory, hereby referred to as the census, includes all stems greater than 1 centimeter in diameter breast height (1.3 meters; hereafter referred to as dbh). The census records information regarding the dbh, species, status and location of each stem included in the survey. Each plant is assigned an identifying number for sequential data collection in subsequent censuses and outfitted with a metal tag in the field. For multi-stemmed individuals, each additional stem that surpasses the 1 cm dbh threshold receives a stem number and associated tag. The location of each individual within its respective 20 x 20 meter quadrat is recorded on a map of the plot on ArcGIS FieldMaps. Established in 2008, there have been 4 censuses at the site, comprising 20 years of detailed forest dynamics data. In addition to the ForestGEO census, an annual mortality survey is conducted on the site. Through assessing individual tree health, this study illustrates trends in forest mortality and identifies factors that are associated with death. Data is collected on the current status, canopy position, percentage of crown living and intact, and visible indicators of poor tree health, such as physical damage, potential pathogens and insect infestation.

*Add Condit 1998 in references*

\*In response to the presence of Emerald Ashborer, a mortality survey was initiated, then improved in year [X].

*(describe seedlings survey, if used)*

*(describe QA/QC and technical workflow)*

To improve the quality of the data, GitHub Actions continuous integration was implemented for quality control (Kim et al., 2022). Data from the previous census was used to populate the Field Maps app, and fields were created to input data. There were checks built into the app to help catch errors, for example the DBH field would flag a suspiciously high number and would not allow the form to be submitted if it was blank. To use the app in the field the census crew downloaded offline areas of where they were working and synced them to an online map after collecting data. Next the data was downloaded from ArcGIS online and pushed to GitHub, where it triggered a GitHub Action running data quality assurance and control (QA/QC) scripts. The scripts were written in R and checked the data for errors such as “suspicious positive growth.” After going through the QA/QC process, a csv of errors and warnings with the associated stem were output which could then be corrected in the field.

## 2.3 Analyses

apply the metric of Miller *et al.* (2023) to determine imminent failure/ probable failure/ insecure/ secure?

# 3 Results

# 4 Discussion

Holtmann *et al.* (2021)

# 5 Conclusions (optional) *(No Conclusions section listed in Submission Guidelines)*
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