This registration is a frozen, non-editable version of this project (/tqmfd/)

## Register

### The Nature of the Effect

Effect description
Importance of replication
Original effect size
Original confidence iterval
Original sample size
Original location
Country/Region
Original kind of sample
Original survey format

### **Designing the Replication Study**

Materials available?	
Assumptions will hold	
Location of experimenter	
Experimenter knowledge (condition)	
Experimenter knowledge (hypothesis)	
Sample size	
Sample size rationale	

Documenting Differences between the Original and Replication Study

CL	D '	TD1		c	. 1.		1 1			
) N H	Register	I he	intline.	nce ot	Working	memory	กดดไ	on sem	nantic nr	
701	ICCEISTOI	1110	minuc	iicc oi	WOIKING	IIICIIIOI y	Touc	OII SCII	ianine pi	٠

Differences in instructions
Differences in measures
Differences in stimuli
Differences in procedure
Differences in location
Differences in remuneration
Differences in populations
Expected differences
Steps to test differences

#### **Analysis and Replication Evaluation**

Exclusion criteria

Analysis plan

Successful replication

### The Nature of the Effect

Verbal description of the effect I am trying to replicate

We are trying to replicate the key findings of the study by Heyman%2C Van Rensbergen%2C Storms%2C Hutchison%2C and De Deyne %282015%3B JEP%3ALMC%29. The results showed a significant priming effect for BA and SYM pairs but not for FA pairs under high working memory load.

It is important to replicate this effect because

This effect can say something about different processes in semantic priming. More specifically%2C the original findings were taken to mean that prospective processes such as spreading activation are not automatic%2C a result that is not in line with traditional spreading activation accounts.

The effect size of the effect I am trying to replicate is

Subjectanalysis%3A F%281.94%2C153.13%29%3D4.48 p%3D.01%2C itemanalysis%3A F%282%2C117
%29%3D3.84%2Cp%3D.02
The confidence interval of the original effect is
i.e.%2C Table 2 from Heyman et al.%2C 2015
The sample size of the original effect is
80 individuals participated in the experiment%3B 40 prime-target pairs per associate type.
Where was the original study conducted? (e.g., lab, in the field, online)
The study was conducted in a laboratory setting
What country/region was the original study conducted in?
The study was conducted at the University of Leuven%2C located in Belgium.
What kind of sample did the original study use? (e.g., student, Mturk, representative)
The original study used first-years psychology students
Was the original study conducted with paper-and-pencil surveys, on a computer, or somethin else?
The study was conducted on a computer

# Designing the Replication Study

yes
yes
I know that assumptions (e.g., about the meaning of the stimuli) in the original study will also hold in my replication because
Yes%2C because the same stimuli wil be used
Location of the experimenter during data collection
Participants are alone in a room. The experimenter will be in a room next door to the testing booth
Experimenter knowledge of participant experimental condition
Experimenter knows about the condition but doesn%27t tell anything about it. relatedness%2C load%2C type of association and soa are manipulated within participants
Experimenter knowledge of overall hypotheses
Experimenter knows about the hypotheses but doesn%E2%80%99t tell anything about it.
My target sample size is
160 participants%3B 40 prime-target pairs per associate type.

The rationale for my sample size is

This is an exact replication%2C so we used the same stimuli. As for the amount of participants%3A we seek to double the number of participants in comparison to the original study.

# Documenting Differences between the Original and Replication Study

Study
The similarities/differences in the instructions are
Exact
The similarities/differences in the measures are
Direct
Exact
The similarities/differences in the stimuli are
Exact
The similarities/differences in the procedure are
The similarities/amerenees in the procedure are
Exact
The similarities/differences in the location (e.g., lab vs. online; alone vs. in groups) are
Exact
LAGCE

The similarities/difference in remuneration are

6 of 7 8/14/17, 11:38 PM

It%E2%80%99s the same as in the original experiment.

### A successful replication is defined as

It%E2%80%99s successful if the results are consistent with the previous study %28see above for details%29..

### OSF

Explore (https://osf.io /explore /activity/) Contact (mailto:contac t@osf.io) FAQ/Guides (https://osf.io /support) API (https://api.os f.io/v2/docs/) Source Code (https://github .com /CenterForOp enScience

/osf.io)

### Center for Open Science

Home (http://cos.io)
Reproducibility Project: Psychology
(https://osf.io/ezcuj/wiki/home/)
Reproducibility Project: Cancer Biology
(https://osf.io/e81xl/wiki/home/)
TOP Guidelines (http://cos.io/top/)
Donate (https://www.crowdrise.com/donate/charity/centerforopenscience)

### Socialize

(http://twitter.com/OSFramework)

(https://www.facebook.com

/CenterForOpenScience/) (https://groups.google.com/forum/#!forum

/openscienceframework) (https://www.github.com

/centerforopenscience) **G+** (https://plus.google.com /b/104751442909573665859)

Copyright © 2011-2017 Center for Open Science (https://cos.io/) | Terms of Use (https://github.com/CenterForOpenScience/centerforopenscience.org/blob/master/TERMS\_OF\_USE.md) | Privacy Policy (https://github.com/CenterForOpenScience/centerforopenscience.org/blob/master/PRIVACY\_POLICY.md)

7 of 7