
DATABASE DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT (IT 2140)

LECTURE 06- SCHEMA REFINEMENT



LECTURE CONTENT


- Why schema refinement?
- Properties of good decomposition
- Functional dependencies
- Computing keys of relations
- Normalization and normal forms

LEARNING OUTCOMES

- Explain the pitfalls of incorrect grouping of attributes in relations.
- Explain the properties of a good decomposition.
- Compute keys from a given set of FDs in a relation
- Normalize a given schema to BCNF.

WHY SCHEMA REFINEMENT?

- The relations resulted through the logical database design may not be very good if your conceptual database design is not good.
- For example, what is wrong with the following schema resulted through mapping of an ER diagram



WHY SCHEMA REFINEMENT? (CONTD.)

- Schemas such as in the previous slide lead to several anomalies while inserting, updating and deleting and wasting of space due to redundancies of data.
- Can you spot where data are duplicated and issues may cause during insert/update & delete?

<u>eid</u>	Ename	Designation	Salary	did	dname	location
1000	Ajith	Lecturer	60000	1	Academic	malabe
1001	Sunil	Executive	45000	3	Maintenance	Kandy
1002	Kamal	Lecturer	75000	1	Academic	malabe
1003	Piyumi	Manager	50000	2	Admin	metro
1004	Roshan	Lecturer	35000	1	Academic	malabe
1005	Nuwan	Lecturer	80000	1	Academic	malabe
1006	Jayamini	Assistant	25000	2	Admin	metro
1007	Nishani	Lecturer	42000	1	Academic	malabe
1008	Amal	Assistant	28000	4	ITSD	Matara

WHY SCHEMA REFINEMENT? (CONTD.)

■ Insertion Anomaly

- Inserting a new employee to the emp table
 - Department information is repeated (ensure that correct department information is inserted).
- Inserting a department with no employees
 - Impossible since eid cannot be null

■ Deletion Anomaly

- Deleting the last employee from the department will lead to losing information about the department

■ Update Anomaly

- Updating the department's location needs to be done for all employees working for that department

WHY SCHEMA REFINEMENT? (CONTD.)

- To solve the issues discussed previously, we should decompose the relations to smaller relations.
- For example, we can decompose the emp relation discussed previously into two relations as below to overcome the issues of redundancies and anomalies.

<u>eid</u>	Ename	Designation	Salary	did
1000	Ajith	Lecturer	60000	1
1001	Sunil	Executive	45000	3
1002	Kamal	Lecturer	75000	1
1003	Piyumi	Manager	50000	2
1004	Roshan	Lecturer	35000	1
1005	Nuwan	Lecturer	80000	1
1006	Jayamini	Assistant	25000	2
1007	Nishani	Lecturer	42000	1
1008	Amal	Assistant	28000	4

did	dname	location
1	Academic	malabe
2	Admin	metro
3	Maintenance	Kandy
4	ITSD	Matara

WHY SCHEMA REFINEMENT? (CONTD.)

- Random decompositions however, may introduce new problems.
- Two properties that could be looked up to ensure that the relations resulted from decomposition are good are as follows:
 - Loss-less join property
 - Dependency preserving property

WHY SCHEMA REFINEMENT? (CONTD.)

- **Loss-less join property** : the property enables recovery of original relation from a set of smaller relations resulted through decomposition.
 - For example, suppose S is decomposed into R₁ and R₂. When we join R₁ and R₂ do we get S? if so, we say the decomposition is loss-less.

S

S	P	D
S1	P1	D1
S2	P2	D2
S3	P1	D3

R₁

S	P
S1	P1
S2	P2
S3	P1

R₂

P	D
P1	D1
P2	D2
P1	D3

- **Dependency preserving property** : enables to enforce any constraint on the original relation simply enforcing some constraints on each of the smaller relation.

SCHEMA REFINEMENT

- Schema refinement can be considered a systematic process for analyzing a relational schema with the aim of minimizing redundancies and minimizing insertion, deletion and update anomalies.
- The process performs a series of tests called **normal form tests** to check whether the schemas meet certain conditions, and those relations that are unsatisfactory are decomposed in to smaller relation.
- Normalization is based on functional dependencies

FUNCTIONAL DEPENDENCY IN GENERAL TERMS

- Functional dependency is a relationship that exists when one attribute uniquely determine another attribute.
- For example: Suppose we have a student table with attributes: id name, age.
 - Here id attribute uniquely identifies the name attribute of student table because if we know the student id we can tell the student name associated with it.
 - This is known as functional dependency and can be written as $id \rightarrow name$ or in words we can say name is functionally dependent on id.
- Redundancies in relations are based on functional dependencies

FUNCTIONAL DEPENDENCIES

- Mathematical definition of functional dependency (FD) :
 - A functional dependency, denoted by $X \rightarrow Y$, where X and Y are sets of attributes in relation R , specifies the following constraint:
 - Let t_1 and t_2 be tuples of relation R for any given instance
Whenever $t_1[X] = t_2[X]$ then $t_1[Y] = t_2[Y]$
where $t_i[X]$ represents the values for X in tuple t_i

ACTIVITY

- Consider a person entity. With respect to attributes you know a person holds write three functional dependencies that can exist in a person table.
- Exchange what you have written with your peers.
- What have they written?

KEYS AND FDS

- A key constraint is a special case of a FD where the attributes in the key play the role of X and the set of all attributes play the role of Y .
- Normalization process analyzes schemas based on keys and on the functional dependencies among their attributes.
- Thus, to start normalization it is essential to find keys of a given relation.
- Attribute closure of an attribute set X , denoted by X^+ can be defined as set of all attributes which can be functionally determined from it.
- If $X^+ = \text{all attributes}$, then X is a key.

COMPUTING KEYS USING ARMSTRONG AXIOMS

- Attribute closure could be computed using Armstrong Axioms.
- X, Y, Z are sets of attributes:

Reflexivity: If $X \subseteq Y$, then $Y \rightarrow X$

Augmentation: If $X \rightarrow Y$, then $XZ \rightarrow YZ$ for any Z

Transitivity: If $X \rightarrow Y$ and $Y \rightarrow Z$, then $X \rightarrow Z$

- Couple of additional rules (that follow from Armstrong Axioms):

Union: If $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X \rightarrow Z$, then $X \rightarrow YZ$

Decomposition: If $X \rightarrow YZ$, then $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X \rightarrow Z$

COMPUTING KEYS USING AMSTRONG AXIOMS (CONTD.)

- Consider a relation $R(A, B, C, D)$, with the following set of functional dependencies over R :
 - $F = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow D\}$
 - $A \rightarrow A$ (reflexivity rule)
 - $A \rightarrow B$ (given)
 - $A \rightarrow B$ and $B \rightarrow C$ then $A \rightarrow C$ (transitivity)
 - $A \rightarrow B$ and $B \rightarrow D$ then $A \rightarrow D$ (transitivity)
- $A \rightarrow ABCD$ (Union rule) , $[A]^+ = \{ABCD\}$
- $B^+ = \{BCD\}$, $C^+ = \{C\}$, $D^+ = \{D\}$
- Therefore A is the key

ACTIVITY

- Consider a relation $R(A, B, C, D, E)$, with the following set of functional dependencies over R :
- $\{A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C, CD \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow D, E \rightarrow A\}$
- Compute the keys for relation R .

REVISIT TO SOME DEFINITIONS

- **Superkey**: Set of attributes S in relation R such that no two distinct tuples t_1 and t_2 will have $t_1[S] = t_2[S]$
- **Key**: A key is a superkey with the additional property that removal of any attributes from the key will not satisfy the key condition
- **Candidate Key**: Each key of a relation is called a candidate key
- **Primary Key**: A candidate key is chosen to be the primary key
- **Prime Attribute**: an attribute which is a member of a candidate key
- **Nonprime Attribute**: An attribute which is not prime

NORMAL FORMS


- Normal forms refers to a series of tests performed on relational schemas to improve their goodness.
- We discuss four normal forms namely,
 - 1st Normal Form
 - 2nd Normal Form
 - 3rd Normal Form
 - Boyce Codd Normal Form
- Test for each normal form is performed in a top-down fashion.
- The Normal form of a relation refers to the highest normal form condition it meets.

1ST NORMAL FORM

- A relation R is in first normal form (1NF) if domains of all attributes in the relation are *atomic* (simple & indivisible).
- 1NF is now considered to be part of the formal definition of a relation in the relational model since it allows only atomic values and disallows multivalued attributes and composite attributes.

2ND NORMAL FORM


- A relation R is in second normal form (2NF) if every nonprime attribute A in R is not partially dependent on any key of R.
- Second normal form (2NF) is based on the concept of full functional dependency.
 - A functional dependency $X \rightarrow Y$ is a full functional dependency if removal of any attribute A from X means that the dependency does not hold any more.
 - For example, in a relation R (ABCDE) where $AB \rightarrow \{ABCDE\}$, if $A \rightarrow C$, $A \rightarrow C$ is a partial dependency (not fully functional dependent)
- To normalize the relation to 2NF decomposition is performed as follows.



ACTIVITY


- What normal form the relation in the slide is? If the relation is not in 2NF normalize the relation to 2NF.

EMP_PROJ	<u>NIC</u>	<u>PNUM</u>	HOURS	ENAME	PNAME	LOC
----------	------------	-------------	-------	-------	-------	-----



3RD NORMAL FORM

- A relation R is in 3rd normal form (3NF) if every
 - R is in 2NF, and no nonprime attribute is transitively dependent on any key
- Third normal form is based on the concept of transitive dependency.
 - A functional dependency $X \rightarrow Y$ in a relational schema R is a transitive dependency if there is a set of non-prime attributes Z where both $X \rightarrow Z$ and $Z \rightarrow Y$ hold.
- To normalize the relation to 2NF decomposition is performed as follows.




ACTIVITY

- What normal form the relation in the slide is?
- If the relation is not in 3NF normalize the relation to 3NF.


EMP_DEPT

ENAME	<u>SSN</u>	BDATE	ADD	DNUM	DNAME	DMGR
-------	------------	-------	-----	------	-------	------




BOYCE-CODD NORMAL FORM

- A relation schema is in Boyce-Codd Normal Form
 - If every nontrivial functional dependency $X \rightarrow A$ hold in R, then X is a superkey of R
- Decomposition into BCNF:
 - Consider relation R with FDs F. If $X \rightarrow Y$ violates BCNF, decompose R




ACTIVITY

- Consider the following relational schema for R:
 $R(A, B, C, D, E, F, G)$
- AB is the primary key in the relation.
- What normal form is the relation in?
- If R is not in BCNF, convert it to BCNF.



ACTIVITY



WHAT YOU HAVE TO DO BY NEXT WEEK

- Try out the self-test questions on the course web.
- Complete the tutorial.