Farheen Shaikh - 989500432 (Lab 3)

Architecture & Data Flow – IndexedDB + Neo4j → MongoDB Lake

```
[IndexedDB] \rightarrow [Node.js server API]
[Neo4j Aura (Graph DB)]
[Integration Script → ingestNeo4jToMongo.js]
[MongoDB Atlas – Data Lake]
Flow explanation (right/bullets):
                IndexedDB stores browser-side readings (JSON objects).
           0
                Neo4j represents farm network graph: Farm → Device → Reading.
                readAgriGraph.js queries Neo4j using Cypher.
                ingestNeo4jToMongo.js merges both data sources.
                Final output stored in MongoDB Lake with metadata (sourceDB, ingestedAt, tags).
```

Extending Lab 2 Pipeline – From IndexedDB Only → Hybrid Graph Integration

What was added

- Neo4j connection layer (neo4j-driver)
- Cypher query to fetch (Farm-Device-Reading) triples
- Merge logic to insert Neo4j data into MongoDB Lake
- Metadata fields:
 - sourceDB: IndexedDB | Neo4j
 - ingestedAt: UTC timestamp
 - tags: custom keywords

Data validation

- Verified count of documents per source DB in MongoDB Compass.
- Used db.lake.find({sourceDB:"Neo4j"}) to confirm integration.

Visual suggestion: small code snippet block showing .table().

Lessons Learned from Neo4j + MongoDB Integration

What Worked Well

- Successful connection to Neo4j Aura via secure URI.
- Easy integration with MongoDB Driver (JSON compatibility).
- Clear graph queries for agriculture relationships.
- Console logging and console.table() helped debug outputs.

Challenges / What Did Not Work Well

- Neo4j connection errors when .env was missing.
- Formatting Integer types from Neo4j → JavaScript.
- Sync issues when multiple sessions ran simultaneously.
- Needed manual testing before pushing data to MongoDB.

Key Takeaway

"Integrating a graph database with a document lake requires clean schema mapping and consistent metadata design."