

Research Proposal: Perception-Guided Optimization in Holographic Displays

Project Information

- **Title:** Perception-Guided Optimization in Holographic Displays: Balancing Quality, Efficiency, and Hardware Constraints
- **Principal Investigators:** Xi Peng UNC Chapel Hill

1. Introduction

Holographic displays offer a pathway to highly realistic 3D visualizations, surpassing the limitations of stereoscopic or light field displays. However, their practical deployment remains constrained by:

- Hardware limitations (e.g., bit-depth, spatial light modulators)
- Visual artifacts (e.g., speckle noise)
- Perceptual thresholds of human users

This proposal aims to explore and quantify perceptually important trade-offs in holographic display systems, with a focus on optimizing:

- Bit-depth
- Phase regularization
- Hardware configuration

2. Research Objectives

O1: Perceptual Quality Modeling

- Build a perceptual quality model using Maximum Likelihood Difference Scaling (MLDS)
- Focus on bit-depth impact on visual quality

O2: Phase Strategy Analysis

- Compare smooth-phase and random-phase holograms
- Evaluate:
 - Visual fidelity
 - Computational demand
 - Speckle suppression

O3: System Parameter Investigation

- Study impact of:
 - Eyebox size
 - Near-field vs far-field configurations
 - Sparse vs dense 3D scenes
- Focus on perceptual realism

O4: Hardware Trade-off Evaluation

- Compare LCOS and DMD spatial light modulators
- Analyze:
 - Perceptual efficiency
 - Computational efficiency

3. Background and Related Work

Previous Research Findings

- Phase initialization and smooth-phase regularization are critical for speckle suppression [Chakravarthula et al. 2019, Shi et al. 2022]
- Energy concentration reduces eyebox size [Wang et al. 2024]
- Neural methods improve defocus blur realism [Yang et al. 2022]

Perceptual Studies

- Bit-depth thresholds [Maloney and Yang 2003]
- Speckle visibility [Georgiou et al. 2023]

4. Methodology

4.1 Perceptual Modeling via Bit-Depth Scaling

1. Conduct 2AFC (two-alternative forced choice) user study
2. Fit perceptual quality curve using MLDS
3. Determine thresholds for:
 - "Acceptable" quality regions
 - "Diminishing returns" regions

4.2 Phase Strategy Comparison

1. Generate holograms:
 - Smooth-phase
 - Random-phase
2. Evaluate artifacts:
 - Speckle
 - Defocus blur
 - Ringing
3. Use both:
 - User studies
 - PSNR/SSIM metrics

4.3 System Parameters Evaluation

1. Eyebox Analysis:
 - Vary sizes
 - Measure quality drop-off

2. Content Analysis:

- Simulate sparse/dense 3D content
- Visualize reconstructions

3. Field Configuration:

- Compare near-field/far-field
- Assess 2D perception accuracy

4.4 Hardware Trade-off Analysis

1. Prototype Comparison:

- LCOS vs DMD
- Simulation and real-world testing

2. Performance Metrics:

- Temporal multiplexing impact
- Perceptual stability
- Hardware complexity
- Cost-benefit analysis

5. Expected Contributions

1. Validated perceptual model for bit-depth vs quality
2. Practical guidelines for hologram phase optimization
3. Quantitative trade-off maps for system configuration
4. Hardware selection insights based on user-centric criteria

6. Potential Impact

This work directly advances the field of perceptual display technology by:

- Establishing concrete guidelines for practical holographic rendering
- Informing academic research
- Guiding industrial product design
- Supporting AR/VR systems requiring realistic 3D visual output under hardware limitations