

Part 1:

E26:

DESIGN TYPE	Advantages	Disadvantages
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Versatile: Allows for computations or storage of both types + decision making	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Complex design: Involves decision making from input• Requires memory + processing power: Storing AND calculating both coordinates• Very inefficient instantiation
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Simpler design: Only polar coordinates can be entered• More efficient: Only calculating for the cartesian coordinate + simply returning the polar coordinates	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Limited decision making: Inputs MUST be in polar form• Instantiation required: Memory must be allocated
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Simpler design: Only cartesian coordinates can be entered• More efficient: Only calculating for the polar coordinate while simply returning the cartesian coordinates	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Limited decision making: Inputs MUST be in cartesian form• Instantiation required: Memory must be allocated
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Simple design: Simply storing both inputs• Efficient processing: No need to compute coordinates	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Higher memory use: Requires the storage of both types of coordinates
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Versatile: Allows for decision making based on concrete class used• No instantiation needed• Most efficient: Computing/storage dependent on client needs using abstract classes• No instantiation required: Saves memory and processing power	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Complex design: Use of abstract classes to implement the design

Sample Outputs for E28-E30:

	Design 2	Design 3	Design 5
Trial 1 time (ms)	8943	8974	1933
Trial 2 time (ms)	7044	8227	3490
Trial 3 time (ms)	8784	8809	2768
Trial 4 time (ms)	9249	9008	3237
Trial 5 time (ms)	8898	8943	3311
Median time (definitive result) (ms)	8898	8943	3237
Min time (ms)	7044	8227	1933
Max time (ms)	9249	9008	3490

*11001 random cases used each trial

Methods:

The performance tests were conducted by tracking the time required for the designs to calculate all of our randomized inputs.

A for loop was used to create a static number of instances that had a randomized coordinate type and randomized X/Y inputs. The time elapsed was calculated by subtracting the start time (after random inputs are generated, before calculations start) from the end time (after all calculations/outputs are done). This elapsed time was recorded into our table for each of our trials.

Discussion:

Our results have a strong correlation to our predictions table in E26. Using abstract classes in design 5 yielded the fastest definitive result of 3237 ms while designs 2 and 3 had definitive results of around 8900 ms.

The tests demonstrate the advantage of abstract classes and show that the lack of instantiation can greatly improve efficiency by saving memory and processing power in comparison to concrete classes.

Part 2:

	<u>Array List</u>	<u>Vector</u>	<u>Array</u>
<u>Creation (10 Runs with 671,000,000 elements)</u>	Run 1: 7.196960917s Run 2: 6.936198375s Run 3: 8.983641875s Run 4: 6.769467583s Run 5: 8.942093833s Run 6: 7.645587125s Run 7: 7.089619708s Run 8: 7.309762083s Run 9: 7.310750792s Run 10: 7.286580417s	Run 1: 8.637935167s Run 2: 8.47299425s Run 3: 6.868520625s Run 4: 6.117909625s Run 5: 6.854957583s Run 6: 6.354540625s Run 7: 6.12001975s Run 8: 6.107750958s Run 9: 6.36168025s Run 10: 6.3495805s	Run 1: 76.506127209s Run 2: 77.880181458s Run 3: 84.7087315s Run 4: 84.962491958s Run 5: 67.763356s Run 6: 78.818063833s Run 7: 80.157386s Run 8: 80.14143075s Run 9: 77.91921175s Run 10: 80.635623417s
<u>Creation (Avg over 10 runs)</u>	7.5470662708s	6.824588933299999s	78.9492603875s
<u>Sum (250,000,000 elements)</u>	<u>Array List Sum:</u> 1000027423 <u>Sum Time:</u> 0.133769041s	<u>Vector Sum:</u> 999978207 <u>Sum Time:</u> 2.354658458s	<u>Array Sum:</u> 1000038279 <u>Sum Time:</u> 0.085614209s

From the table above, we can see that the longest creation time on average comes from the array, while the shortest creation time comes from a vector. When summing elements, the fastest time comes from an array, while the longest time comes from a vector.

For a recommendation to designers, it would seem that it depends on the task that needs to be performed. If the goal is to store many elements, then it would seem that a Vector works best. If the goal is to sum elements, it would seem that the use of an Array would be best. However, if the goal is to do both things and more, it would seem that the best overall recommendation is the use of an Array List. This is because the Array List has the best average of the 2 methods.