

<b>Group/Project Name</b>		
<b>Final Mark</b>		
<b>SECTION A: Requirements Document 1.0</b>	<b>Marks Possible</b>	<b>Mark</b>
<b>Structure</b>		
Relevant elements listed as in the provided template	1	
<b>Overview Included</b>		
Overview is correct	1	
<b>Version control included</b>		
Version numbering is correct	1	
<b>Sections contain relevant information</b>		
Information provided in the appropriate sections as in template	1	
<b>Sections contain correct information</b>		
Feedback from RD1 (excluding AI reflection) has been addressed	2	
System features are included with a detailed description and priority	5	
Functional requirements for each feature are written according to the expectations of requirements: unambiguous, feasible, necessary, verifiable, complete, correct, etc.	10	
Appropriate acceptance tests are written for each functional requirement, and are in the correct format.	5	
Appropriate rationale is written for each functional requirement	5	
Priority is assigned to each requirement	2	
Use case specification for three major features are provided and are written in the proper format (logical normal/ alternative flows, logical assumptions, reasonable pre and post conditions)	9	
Use case diagram for two major features is provided and accurate.	6	
User stories are provided for all major features, and include acceptance criteria.	8	
<b>Consistency of content</b>		
The document is free of contradictions both between and within sections.	3	
No contradictions between RD and RFP (unless documented elsewhere)	3	
<b>SECTION A: Total</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>SECTION B: Reflection on the use of AI</b>	<b>Marks Possible</b>	<b>Mark</b>
Prompting and output history (chat logs) are provided	2	
<b>Transparency and specificity</b> - the reflection includes specific descriptions of how AI was used. (Example: "We used ChatGPT" is vague compared to "We used GPT to iteratively generate user stories, we provided XYZ as context to the AI model which resulted in... "	10	
<b>Depth of reflection</b> - the reflection critically engages on the role of AI in RE activities. Relevant course concepts are discussed, and the reflection evaluates the effectiveness of AI in terms of metrics that are logical, such as but not limited to accuracy of the output, quality of the output, quality of requirements written, etc.	10	
<b>Ethical Awareness and Responsible Use</b> - The reflection acknowledges key dangers of working alongside AI, such as overreliance, etc.	5	
<b>Quality of Writing</b> - clear, logical, free of spelling and grammatical errors	3	
<b>SECTION B: Total</b>	<b>30</b>	
<b>COMBINED TOTAL</b>	<b>92</b>	