

# Evaluation and Testing Report

Team 12

April 2020

## 1 Approaches to evaluation and testing

### 1.1 Evaluation

Kroy 3.0 was developed with close consideration to product brief given in week 1 of the first assessment cycle and further communications from the customer. A main method that was used to evaluate the extent to which the game successfully met the brief was by considering it directly in comparison to requirements and the further functional and non-functional requirements derived from them. This method of game evaluation was considered an effective method of ensuring the game brief was met as we could formally test that all requirements were being met (using manual and automated testing), strongly inferring that the customer was getting the product that was requested. A clear link between requirements and tests were logged in the traceability matrix (LINK). By using this method of evaluation we could confidently presume the the game would check all the customers boxes as long as all the requirements were accurate and all the users desires were represented in one or more requirement. Further discussion on how these tests were carried out and to what extent the requirements were met are discussed in the following sections.

We could guarantee, with a high level of confidence, that all requirements were formally written up due to the constant feedback loop between the customer and the software development team. Due to the nature of the assessment, we were able to inherit requirements from previous teams which had been reviewed twice by the customer. By considering the feedback that these teams were provided we were able to establish if there were any existing issues with the requirements as they were. Additionally, we were able to communicate potential requirement changes with the customer and clarify their needs on issues that were more ambiguous through meetings and email communications. This feedback loop also allowed us to confirm more subjective requirements such as UR\_ENJOYABILITY. This requirement was evaluated as not being sufficiently met by our developers due to the simple and unchallenging game play that was inherited for this assessment cycle. Due to the teams gaming experience, it was decided that an appropriate and captivating way of adapting this game play was by turning it into a Stealth game. This was proposed to the customer, and was approved with the caveat that the game play should not diminish the look of the game, which the customer felt already met the user requirement UR\_ATTRACTIVE.

### 1.2 Testing(Billy)

Automated Testing: The testing suite inherited by Sepret Studios had high testing coverage and pass rates as well as a strong relationship with their user requirements as can be seen in their testing matrix (LINK), and their testing report (LINK). For this reason, testing was focused on the changes that were introduced by our team in the final iteration of this project as well as the new requirements communicated by the customer.

We elected not to add any more automated tests for the new requirements we got from the updated brief, as we felt they would be better suited for manual tests. Furthermore, we did not add any new tests for the previous groups code as we felt their test coverage was sufficient and we did not make any large scale changes that would justify replacing their tests. However, we did update all their tests to ensure any changes to the code that we made were still in line with their testing.

Minigame test was modified to encompass changes made to the minigame. This included balance changes to speed of aliens and truck speed increase. Coverage remains high, though the entity and minigame truck

Coverage: MinigameTest

100% classes, 72% lines covered in package 'com.mozarellabytes.kroy.minigame'

Element	Class, %	Method, %	Line, %
Alien	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	100% (6/6)
Droplet	100% (1/1)	100% (2/2)	100% (6/6)
Entity	100% (1/1)	72% (8/11)	86% (19/22)
MinigameTruck	100% (1/1)	33% (3/9)	53% (17/32)

elements look low that is because these contain a lot of getters, setters and constructor methods which do not require testing.

Manual Testing: Manual tests were used to test elements that might otherwise be difficult to test using automated tests. They were also used to test all our user requirements had been met, as this was our final iteration of the product and we wanted to ensure our program was aligned with our original requirements.

All our new manual tests are documented here [LINK](#). We tested each individual user requirement, excluding those too subjective for conventional testing. Manual tests were designed similarly to automated tests, however they have a specific set of steps the tester must follow to run the test.

We chose not to run separate tests for UR\_SAVES, UR\_POWER\_UPS, UR\_MANY\_DIFFICULTIES and their derived functional requirements as we felt they would serve no benefit and only add redundant tests. Instead, we tested the user requirement with the assumption that if the user requirement passed, the functional requirement should pass too.

Line Coverage:

15 marks  $\leq 2$  pages

## 2 Requirements

The inherited documentation from the previous team included user requirements which were considered coherent with the brief. This was established by comparing the requirements with the brief and additional communications with the customer. 2 new user requirements were added to reflect more subjective requirements that our software development team deemed core game requirements, these were:

- UR\_PG13: emphasising the clear communication from the customer that the game should be appropriate for younger audiences, a key requirement to keep in mind during development.
- UR\_ATTRACTIVE: emphasising the desire for the game to be 'attractive to the eye'.

These new requirements, were testing in the same manner as UR\_ENJOYABILITY and UR\_SCALABILITY which, due to their subjective natures had no formal tests written for them. Instead, feedback by the customer during previous development cycles as well as our own experiences and research was used to determine if the game met these themes overall. For example, we ensure the game was family friendly by playing through and insuring no graphics or words were explicit as well as using general knowledge about younger audiences preferences in game play.

In addition further requirements were added to reflect the requirement change provided by the customer. These included:

- UR\_POWER\_UPS: game contains 5 varying types of drops.
- UR\_SAVES: game must have a way of saving game state and be picked up again at other time.
- UR\_MANY\_DIFFICULTIES: game must have a way of choosing game level difficulty.

The full new list of requirements can be found [here](#).

The testing methods inherited by Sepret Studios were similar to that used by our team in the previous iteration allowing us to easily inherit and understand the procedure. Their testing encapsulated all major functional requirements and resulted in high test coverage and pass rates. This testing report (which can be found in full [here](#)) allowed us to be confident enough in the testing of those aspects of the game. Therefore additional testing was performed primarily on missing user requirements and additional functionality introduced during this iteration. Again, these tests provided a good level of coverage and pass rates, the reports of the new tests are also included in the testing report previously linked and specifics discussed in the previous section.

Overall the testing showed that requirements were being strictly met, the only exception to this was for UR\_SAVES. This user requirement was added by us in the last iteration of development based on the requirements change. Though the major part of this requirement was met, users are able to save their game and select their save game to return to when restarting the game, our team made a design decision to not allow the user to save the game during the mini-game. The reason for this change was due to the nature of the mini-game. As the mini-game was a Space Invaders-like game, the constant stream of aliens descending the screen and their speed provided an unrealistic challenge to a user picking up the save game in the middle of this type of attack, likely resulting in an immediate death for the user.