Massachusetts Institute of Technology March 12, 2020

Instructors: Erik Demaine, Jason Ku, and Justin Solomon Lecture 11: Weighted Shortest Paths

Lecture 11: Weighted Shortest Paths


Review

- Single-Source Shortest Paths with BFS in O(|V| + |E|) time (return distance per vertex)
- Single-Source Reachability with BFS or DFS in O(|E|) time (return only reachable vertices)
- Connected components with Full-BFS or Full-DFS in O(|V| + |E|) time
- Topological Sort of a DAG with Full-DFS in O(|V| + |E|) time
- **Previously:** distance = number of edges in path **Today:** generalize meaning of distance

Weighted Graphs

- A weighted graph is a graph G = (V, E) together with a weight function $w : E \to \mathbb{Z}$
- i.e., assigns each edge $e = (u, v) \in E$ an integer weight: w(e) = w(u, v)
- Many applications for edge weights in a graph:
 - distances in road network
 - latency in network connections
 - strength of a relationship in a social network
- Two common ways to represent weights computationally:
 - Inside graph representation: store edge weight with each vertex in adjacency lists
 - Store separate Set data structure mapping each edge to its weight
- We assume a representation that allows querying the weight of an edge in O(1) time

Examples



Weighted Paths

- The weight $w(\pi)$ of a path π in a weighted graph is the sum of weights of edges in the path
- The (weighted) shortest path from $s \in V$ to $t \in V$ is path of minimum weight from s to t
- $\delta(s,t) = \inf\{w(\pi) \mid \text{path } \pi \text{ from } s \text{ to } t\}$ is the **shortest-path weight** from s to t
- (Often use "distance" for shortest-path weight in weighted graphs, not number of edges)
- As with unweighted graphs:
 - $\delta(s,t) = \infty$ if no path from s to t
 - Subpaths of shortest paths are shortest paths (or else could splice in a shorter path)
- Why infimum not minimum? Possible that no finite-length minimum-weight path exists
- When? Can occur if there is a negative-weight cycle in the graph, Ex: (b, f, g, c, b) in G_1
- A negative-weight cycle is a path π starting and ending at same vertex with $w(\pi) < 0$
- $\delta(s,t) = -\infty$ if there is a path from s to t through a vertex on a negative-weight cycle
- If this occurs, don't want a shortest path, but may want the negative-weight cycle

Weighted Shortest Paths Algorithms

- Next four lectures: algorithms to find shortest-path weights in weighted graphs
- (No parent pointers: can reconstruct shortest paths tree in linear time after. Next page!)
- Already know one algorithm: Breadth-First Search! Runs in O(|V| + |E|) time when, e.g.:
 - graph has positive weights, and all weights are the same
 - graph has positive weights, and sum of all weights at most O(|V| + |E|)
- For general weighted graphs, we don't know how to solve SSSP in O(|V| + |E|) time
- But if your graph is a **Directed Acyclic Graph** you can!

Restrictions		SSSP Algorithm		
Graph	Weights	Name	Running Time $O(\cdot)$	Lecture
General	Unweighted	BFS	V + E	L09
DAG	Any	DAG Relaxation	V + E	L11 (Today!)
General	Any	Bellman-Ford	$ V \cdot E $	L12
General	Non-negative	Dijkstra	$ V \log V + E $	L13

Shortest-Paths Tree

- For BFS, we kept track of parent pointers during search. Alternatively, compute them after!
- If know $\delta(s, v)$ for all vertices $v \in V$, can construct shortest-path tree in O(|V| + |E|) time
- For weighted shortest paths from s, only need parent pointers for vertices v with finite $\delta(s, v)$
- Initialize empty P and set P(s) = None
- For each vertex $u \in V$ where $\delta(s, v)$ is finite:
 - For each outgoing neighbor $v \in \mathrm{Adj}^+(u)$:
 - * If P(v) not assigned and $\delta(s, v) = \delta(s, u) + w(u, v)$:
 - · There exists a shortest path through edge (u, v), so set P(v) = u
- Parent pointers may traverse cycles of zero weight. Mark each vertex in such a cycle.
- For each unmarked vertex $u \in V$ (including vertices later unmarked):
 - For each $v \in \mathrm{Adj}^+(u)$ where v is marked and $\delta(s,v) = \delta(s,u) + w(u,v)$:
 - * Unmark vertices in cycle containing v by traversing parent pointers from v
 - * Set P(v) = u, breaking the cycle
- Exercise: Prove this algorithm correctly computes parent pointers in linear time
- Because we can compute parent pointers afterward, we focus on computing distances

DAG Relaxation

- Idea! Maintain a distance estimate d(s, v) (initially ∞) for each vertex $v \in V$, that always upper bounds true distance $\delta(s, v)$, then gradually lowers until $d(s, v) = \delta(s, v)$
- When do we lower? When an edge violates the triangle inequality!
- Triangle Inequality: the shortest-path weight from u to v cannot be greater than the shortest path from u to v through another vertex x, i.e., $\delta(u,v) \leq \delta(u,x) + \delta(x,v)$ for all $u,v,x \in V$
- If d(s, v) > d(s, u) + w(u, v) for some edge (u, v), then triangle inequality is violated :(
- Fix by lowering d(s, v) to d(s, u) + w(u, v), i.e., relax (u, v) to satisfy violated constraint
- Claim: Relaxation is safe: maintains that each d(s,v) is weight of a path to v (or ∞) $\forall v \in V$
- **Proof:** Assume d(s, v') is weight of a path (or ∞) for all $v' \in V$. Relaxing some edge (u, v) sets d(s, v) to d(s, u) + w(u, v), which is the weight of a path from s to v through u.

- Set $d(s, v) = \infty$ for all $v \in V$, then set d(s, s) = 0
- Process each vertex u in a topological sort order of G:
 - For each outgoing neighbor $v \in \mathrm{Adj}^+(u)$:
 - * If d(s, v) > d(s, u) + w(u, v): · relax edge (u, v), i.e., set d(s, v) = d(s, u) + w(u, v)
- Example: Run DAG Relaxation from vertex a in G_2

Correctness

- Claim: At end of DAG Relaxation: $d(s, v) = \delta(s, v)$ for all $v \in V$
- **Proof:** Induct on k: $d(s, v) = \delta(s, v)$ for all v in first k vertices in topological order
 - Base case: Vertex s and every vertex before s in topological order satisfies claim at start
 - Inductive step: Assume claim holds for first k' vertices, let v be the $(k'+1)^{th}$
 - Consider a shortest path from s to v, and let u be the vertex preceding v on path
 - u occurs before v in topological order, so $d(s, u) = \delta(s, u)$ by induction
 - When processing u, d(s, v) is set to be no larger (\leq) than $\delta(s, u) + w(u, v) = \delta(s, v)$
 - But $d(s, v) \ge \delta(s, v)$, since relaxation is safe, so $d(s, v) = \delta(s, v)$
- Alternatively:
 - For any vertex v, DAG relaxation sets $d(s, v) = \min\{d(s, u) + w(u, v) \mid u \in Adj^-(v)\}$
 - Shortest path to v must pass through some incoming neighbor u of v
 - So if $d(s, u) = \delta(s, u)$ for all $u \in \mathrm{Adj}^-(v)$ by induction, then $d(s, v) = \delta(s, v)$

Running Time

- Initialization takes O(|V|) time, and Topological Sort takes O(|V| + |E|) time
- Additional work upper bounded by $O(1) \times \sum_{u \in V} \deg^+(u) = O(|E|)$
- $\bullet \,$ Total running time is linear, O(|V|+|E|)