Problem Set 1

All parts are due on February 14, 2020 at 6PM. Please write your solutions in the LaTeX and Python templates provided. Aim for concise solutions; convoluted and obtuse descriptions might receive low marks, even when they are correct. Solutions should be submitted on the course website, and any code should be submitted for automated checking on `alg.mit.edu`.

Problem 1-1. [20 points] **Asymptotic behavior of functions**

For each of the following sets of five functions, order them so that if f_a appears before f_b in your sequence, then $f_a = O(f_b)$. If $f_a = O(f_b)$ and $f_b = O(f_a)$ (meaning f_a and f_b could appear in either order), indicate this by enclosing f_a and f_b in a set with curly braces. For example, if the functions are:

$$f_1 = n, \qquad f_2 = \sqrt{n}, \qquad f_3 = n + \sqrt{n},$$

the correct answers are $(f_2, \{f_1, f_3\})$ or $(f_2, \{f_3, f_1\})$.

Note: Recall that a^{b^c} means $a^{(b^c)}$, not $(a^b)^c$, and that $\log$ means $\log_2$ unless a different base is specified explicitly. Stirling's approximation may help for comparing factorials.

a)	**b)**	**c)**	**d)**
$f_1 = \log(n^n)$	$f_1 = 2^n$	$f_1 = n^n$	$f_1 = n^{n+4} + n!$
$f_2 = (\log n)^n$	$f_2 = 6006^n$	$f_2 = \binom{n}{n-6}$	$f_2 = n^{7\sqrt{n}}$
$f_3 = \log(n^{6006})$	$f_3 = 2^{6006^n}$	$f_3 = (6n)!$	$f_3 = 4^{3n \log n}$
$f_4 = (\log n)^{6006}$	$f_4 = 6006^{2^n}$	$f_4 = \binom{n}{n/6}$	$f_4 = 7^{n^2}$
$f_5 = \log\log(6006n)$	$f_5 = 6006^{n^2}$	$f_5 = n^6$	$f_5 = n^{12+1/n}$

Solution:

a. $(f_5, f_3, f_4, f_1, f_2)$. Using exponentiation and logarithm rules, we can simplify these to $f_1 = \Theta(n \log n)$, $f_2 = \Theta((\log n)^n)$, $f_3 = \Theta(\log n)$, $f_4 = \Theta((\log n)^{6006})$, and $f_5 = \Theta(\log \log n)$. For f_2, note that $(\log n)^n > 2^n$ for large n, so this function grows at least exponentially and is therefore bigger than the rest.

b. $(f_1, f_2, f_5, f_4, f_3)$. This order follows after converting all the exponent bases to 2. (For example, $f_5 = 2^{\log(6006)n^2}$.) Remember that asymptotic growth is much more sensitive to changes in exponents: even if the exponents are both $\Theta(f(n))$ for some function $f(n)$, the functions will not be the same asymptotically unless their exponents only differ by a constant.

c. $(\{f_2, f_5\}, f_4, f_1, f_3)$. This order follows from the definition of the binomial coefficient and Stirling's approximation. f_2 has most terms cancel in the numerator and denominator, leaving a polynomial with leading term $n^6/6!$. The trickiest one is $f_4 = \Theta((6/(5^{5/6}))^n/\sqrt{n})$ (by repeated use of Stirling), which is about $\Theta(1.57^n/\sqrt{n})$. Thus f_4 is bigger than the polynomials but smaller than the factorial and n^n. $f_3 = \Theta(\sqrt{n}(6n/e)^{6n})$ which grows asymptotically faster than n^n by a factor of $\Theta(n^{5n+(1/2)}(6/e)^{6n})$. (Originally, f_3 was presented as $6n!$ which could reasonably be interpretted as $6(n!)$, which would put f_3 before f_1 in the order. Because of this, graders should accept f_1 and f_3 in either order.)

d. $(f_5, f_2, f_1, f_3, f_4)$. It is easiest to see this by taking the logarithms of the functions, which give us $\Theta(n \log n), \Theta(\sqrt{n} \log n), \Theta(n \log n), \Theta(n^2), \Theta(\log n)$ respectively. However, asymptotically similar logarithms do not imply that the functions are asymptotically the same, so we consider f_1 and f_3 further. Note that $f_3 = (4^{\log n})^{3n} = (n^{\log 4})^{3n} = n^{6n}$. This is bigger (by about a factor of n^{5n}) than the larger of f_1's terms, so f_3 is asymptotically larger.

Rubric:

- 5 points per set for a correct order
- -1 point per inversion
- -1 point per grouping mistake, e.g., $(\{f_1, f_2, f_3\})$ instead of (f_2, f_1, f_3) is -2 points because they differ by two splits.
- 0 points minimum

Problem 1-2. [16 points] Given a data structure `D` that supports Sequence operations:

- `D.build(X)` in $O(n)$ time, and
- `D.insert_at(i, x)` and `D.delete_at(i)`, each in $O(\log n)$ time,

where n is the number of items stored in `D` at the time of the operation, describe algorithms to implement the following higher-level operations in terms of the provided lower-level operations. Each operation below should run in $O(k \log n)$ time. Recall, `delete_at` returns the deleted item.

(a) `reverse(D, i, k)`: Reverse in `D` the order of the k items starting at index i (up to index $i + k - 1$).

Solution: Thinking recursively, to reverse the k-item subsequence from index i to index $i + k - 1$, we can swap the items at index i and index $i + k - 1$, and then recursively reverse the rest of the subsequence. As a base case, no work needs to be done to reverse a subsequence containing fewer than 2 items. This procedure would then be correct by induction.

It remains to show how to actually swap items at index i and index $i + k - 1$. Note that removing an item will shift the index values at all later items. So to keep index values consistent, we will `delete_at` the later index $i + k - 1$ first (storing item as x_2), and then `delete_at` index i (storing item as x_1). Then we insert them back in the opposite order, `insert_at` item x_2 at index i, and then `insert_at` item x_1 at index $i + k - 1$. This swap is correct by the definitions of these operations.

The swapping sub procedure performs four $O(\log n)$-time operations, so occurs in $O(\log n)$ time. Then the recursive reverse procedure makes no more than $k/2 = O(k)$ recursive calls before reaching a base case, doing one swap per call, so the algorithm runs in $O(k \log n)$ time.

```
1 def reverse(D, i, k):
2     if k < 2:                       # base case
3         return
4     x2 = D.delete_at(i + k - 1)     # swap items i and i + k - 1
5     x1 = D.delete_at(i)
6     D.insert_at(i, x2)
7     D.insert_at(i + k - 1, x1)
8     reverse(D, i + 1, k - 2)        # recurse on remainder
```

Rubric:

- 5 points for description of algorithm
- 1 point for argument of correctness
- 2 point for argument of running time
- Partial credit may be awarded

(b) `move(D, i, k, j)`: Move the k items in `D` starting at index i, in order, to be in front of the item at index j. Assume that expression $i \leq j < i + k$ is false.

Solution: Thinking recursively, to move the k-item subsequence starting at i in front of the item at index j, it suffices to move the item at index i in front of the item B at index j, and then recursively move the remainder (the $(k-1)$-item subsequence starting at index i in front of . As a base case, no work needs to be done to move a subsequence containing fewer than 1 item. If we maintain that: i is the index of the first item to be moved, k is number of items to be moved, and j denotes the index of the item in front of which we must place items, then this procedure will be correct by induction.

Note that after removing the item A at index i, if $j > i$, item B will shift down to be at index $j - 1$. Similarly, after inserting A in front of B, item B will be at an index that is one larger than before, while the next item in the subsequence to be moved will also be at a larger index if $i > j$. Maintaining these indices then results in a correct algorithm.

This recursive procedure makes no more than $k = O(k)$ recursive calls before reaching a base case, doing $O(\log n)$ work per call, so the algorithm runs in $O(k \log n)$ time.

```
1  def move(D, i, k, j):
2      if k < 1:
3          return
4      x = D.delete_at(i)
5      if j > i
6          j = j - 1
7      D.insert_at(j, x)
8      j = j + 1
9      if i > j
10         i = i + 1
11     move(D, i, k - 1, j)
```

Rubric:

- 5 points for description of algorithm
- 1 point for argument of correctness
- 2 point for argument of running time
- Partial credit may be awarded

Problem 1-3. [20 points] **Binder Bookmarks**

Sisa Limpson is a very organized second grade student who keeps all of her course notes on individual pages stored in a three-ring binder. If she has n pages of notes in her binder, the first page is at index 0 and the last page is at index $n-1$. While studying, Sisa often reorders pages of her notes. To help her reorganize, she has two bookmarks, A and B, which help her keep track of locations in the binder.

Describe a database to keep track of pages in Sisa's binder, supporting the following operations, where n is the number of pages in the binder at the time of the operation. Assume that both bookmarks will be placed in the binder before any shift or move operation can occur, and that bookmark A will always be at a lower index than B. For each operation, state whether your running time is worst-case or amortized.

`build(X)`	Initialize database with pages from iterator `X` in $O(\|\texttt{X}\|)$ time.
`place_mark(i, m)`	Place bookmark `m` $\in \{A, B\}$ between the page at index `i` and the page at index `i` $+ 1$ in $O(n)$ time.
`read_page(i)`	Return the page at index `i` in $O(1)$ time.
`shift_mark(m, d)`	Take the bookmark `m` $\in \{A, B\}$, currently in front of the page at index i, and move it in front of the page at index $i +$ `d` for `d` $\in \{-1, 1\}$ in $O(1)$ time.
`move_page(m)`	Take the page currently in front of bookmark `m` $\in \{A, B\}$, and move it in front of the other bookmark in $O(1)$ time.

Solution: There are many possible solutions. First note that the problem specifications ask for a constant-time `read_page(i)` operation, which can only be supported using array-based implementations, so linked-list approaches will be incorrect. Also note that that until both bookmarks are placed, we can simply store all pages in a static array of size n, since no operations can change the sequence of pages until both bookmarks are placed. We present a solution generalizing the dynamic array we discussed in class. Another common approach could be to reduce to using two dynamic arrays (one on either end of bookmarks A and B), together with an array-based deque as described in Problem Session 1 to store the pages between bookmarks A and B.

For our approach, after both bookmarks have been placed, we will store the n pages in a static array S of size $3n$, which we can completely re-build in $O(n)$ time whenever `build(X)` or `place_mark(i, m)` are called (assuming $n = |\texttt{X}|$). To build S:

- place the subsequence P_1 of pages from index 0 up to bookmark A at the beginning of S,
- followed by n empty array locations,
- followed by the subsequence of pages P_2 between bookmarks A and B,
- followed by n empty array locations,
- followed by the subsequence of pages P_3 from bookmark B to index $n-1$.

We will maintain the **separation** invariant that P_1, P_2, and P_3 are stored contiguously in S with a non-zero number of empty array slots between them. We also maintain four indices with semantic

invariants: a_1 pointing to the end of P_1, a_2 pointing to the start of P_2, b_1 pointing to the end of P_2, and b_2 pointing to the start of P_3.

To support `read_page(i)`, there are three cases: either i is the index of a page in P_1, P_2, or P_3.

- If $i < n_1$, where $n_1 = |P_1| = a_i + 1$, then the page is in P_1, and we return page $S[i]$.
- Otherwise, if $n_1 \leq i < n_1 + n_2$, where $n_2 = |P_2| = (b_1 - a_2 + 1)$, then the page is in P_2, and we return page $S[a_2 + (i - n_1)]$.
- Otherwise, $i > a_1 + n_2$, so the page is in P_3, and we return page $S[b_2 + (i - n_1 - n_2)]$.

This algorithm returns the correct page as long as the invariants on the stored indices are maintained, and returns in worst-case $O(1)$ time based on some arithmetic operations and a single array index lookup.

To support `shift_mark(m, d)`, move the relevant page at one of indices (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2) to the index location $(a_2 - 1, a_1 + 1, b_2 - 1, b_1 + 1)$ respectively, and then increment the stored indices to maintain the invariants. This algorithm maintains the invariants of the data structure so is correct, and runs in $O(1)$ time based on one array index lookup, and one index write. Note that this operation does not change the amount of extra space between sections P_1, P_2, and P_3, so the running time of this operation is worst-case.

To support `move_page(m)`, move the relavent page at one of indices (a_1, b_1) to the index location $(b_1 + 1, a_1 + 1)$ respectively, and then increment the stored indices to maintain the invariants. If performing this move breaks the separation invariant (i.e., either pair (a_1, a_2) or (b_1, b_2) become adjacent), rebuild the entire data structure as described above. This algorithm maintains the invariants of the data structure, so is correct. Note that this algorithm: rebuilds any time the extra space between two adjacent sections closes; after rebuilding, there is n extra space between adjacent sections; and the extra space between adjacent sections changes by at most one per `move_page` operation. Thus, since this operation takes $O(n)$ time at most once every n operations, and $O(1)$ time otherwise, this operation runs in **amortized** $O(1)$ time.

Rubric:

- 4 points for general description of a correct database
- 1 point for description of a correct `build(X)`
- 2 points for description of a correct `place_mark(i, m)`
- 3 points for description of a correct `read_page(i)`
- 2 points for description of a correct `shift_mark(m, d)`
- 3 points for description of a correct `move_page(m)`
- 1 point for analysis of running time for each operation (5 points total)
- Partial credit may be awarded

Problem 1-4. [44 points] **Doubly Linked List**

In Lecture 2, we described a singly linked list. In this problem, you will implement a **doubly linked list**, supporting some additional constant-time operations. Each node `x` of a doubly linked list maintains an `x.prev` pointer to the node preceeding it in the sequence, in addition to an `x.next` pointer to the node following it in the sequence. A doubly linked list `L` maintains a pointer to `L.tail`, the last node in the sequence, in addition to `L.head`, the first node in the sequence. For this problem, doubly linked lists **should not maintain their length**.

(a) [8 points] Given a doubly linked list as described above, describe algorithms to implement the following sequence operations, each in $O(1)$ time.

`insert_first(x)` `insert_last(x)` `delete_first()` `delete_last()`

Solution: Below are descriptions of algorithms supporting the requested operations. Each of these algorithm performs each constant-sized task directly, so no additional argument of correctness is necessary. Each runs in $O(1)$ time by relinking a constant number of pointers (and possibly constructing a single node).

`insert_first(x)`: Construct a new doubly linked list node a storing `x`. If the doubly linked list is empty, (i.e., the head and tail are unlinked), then link both the head and tail of the list to a. Otherwise, the linked list has a head node b, so make a's next pointer point to b, make b's previous pointer point to a, and set the list's head to point to a.

`insert_last(x)`: Construct a new doubly linked list node a storing `x`. If the doubly linked list is empty, (i.e., the head and tail are unlinked), then link both the head and tail of the list to a. Otherwise, the linked list has a tail node b, so make a's previous pointer point to b, make b's next pointer point to a, and set the list's tail to point to a.

`delete_first()`: This method only makes sense for a list containing at least one node, so assume the list has a head node. Extract and store the item x from the head node of the list. Then set the head to point to the node a pointed to by the head node's next pointer. If a is not a node, then we removed the last item from the list, so set the tail to None (head is already set to None). Otherwise, set the new head's previous pointer to None. Then return item x.

`delete_last()`: This method only makes sense for a list containing at least one node, so assume the list has a tail node. Extract and store the item x from the tail node of the list. Then set the tail to point to the node a pointed to by the tail node's previous pointer. If a is not a node, then we removed the last item from the list, so set the head to None (tail is already set to None). Otherwise, set the new tail's next pointer to None. Then return item x.

Rubric:

- 2 points for description and analysis of each correct operation
- Partial credit may be awarded

(b) [5 points] Given two nodes x_1 and x_2 from a doubly linked list L, where x_1 occurs before x_2, describe a constant-time algorithm to **remove** all nodes from x_1 to x_2 inclusive from L, and return them as a new doubly linked list.

Solution: Construct a new empty list L_2 in $O(1)$ time, and set its head and tail to be x_1 and x_2 respectively. To extract this sub-list, care must be taken when x_1 or x_2 are the head or tail of L respectively. If x_1 is the head of L, set the new head of L to be the node a pointed to by x_2's next pointer; otherwise, set the next pointer of the node pointed to by x_1's previous pointer to a. Similarly, if x_2 is the tail of L, set the new tail of L to be the node b pointed to by x_1's previous pointer; otherwise, set the previous pointer of the node pointed to by x_2's next pointer to b.

This algorithm removes the nodes from x_1 to x_2 inclusive directly, so it is correct, and runs in $O(1)$ time by relinking a constant number of pointers.

Rubric:

- 3 points for description of a correct algorithm
- 1 point for argument of correctness
- 1 point for argument of running time
- Partial credit may be awarded

(c) [6 points] Given node x from a doubly linked list L_1 and second doubly linked list L_2, describe a constant-time algorithm to **splice** list L_2 into list L_1 after node x. After the splice operation, L_1 should contain all items previously in either list, and L_2 should be empty.

Solution: First, let x_1 and x_2 be the head and tail nodes of L_2 respectively, and let x_n be the node pointed to by the next pointer of x (which may be None). We can remove all nodes from L_2 by setting both it's head and tail to None. Then to splice in the nodes, first set the previous pointer of x_1 to be x, and set the next pointer of x to be x_1. Similarly, set the next pointer of x_2 to be x_n. If x_n is None, then set x_2 to be the new tail of L; otherwise, set the previous pointer of x_n to point back to x_2.

This algorithm inserts the nodes from L_2 directly into L, so it is correct, and runs in $O(1)$ time by relinking a constant number of pointers.

Rubric:

- 4 points for description of a correct algorithm
- 1 point for argument of correctness
- 1 point for argument of running time
- Partial credit may be awarded

(d) [25 points] Implement the operations above in the `Doubly_Linked_List_Seq` class in the provided code template; **do not modify** the `Doubly_Linked_List_Node` class. You can download the code template including some test cases from the website. Submit your code online at `alg.mit.edu`.

Solution:

```
class Doubly_Linked_List_Seq:
    # other template methods omitted

    def insert_first(self, x):
        new_node = Doubly_Linked_List_Node(x)
        if self.head is None:
            self.head = new_node
            self.tail = new_node
        else:
            new_node.next = self.head
            self.head.prev = new_node
            self.head = new_node

    def insert_last(self, x):
        new_node = Doubly_Linked_List_Node(x)
        if self.tail is None:
            self.head = new_node
            self.tail = new_node
        else:
            new_node.prev = self.tail
            self.tail.next = new_node
            self.tail = new_node

    def delete_first(self):
        assert self.head
        x = self.head.item
        self.head = self.head.next
        if self.head is None:   self.tail = None
        else:                   self.head.prev = None
        return x

    def delete_last(self):
        assert self.tail
        x = self.tail.item
        self.tail = self.tail.prev
        if self.tail is None:   self.head = None
        else:                   self.tail.next = None
        return x

    def remove(self, x1, x2):
        L2 = Doubly_Linked_List_Seq()
        L2.head = x1
        L2.tail = x2
        if x1 == self.head:   self.head = x2.next
        else:                 x1.prev.next = x2.next
        if x2 == self.tail:   self.tail = x1.prev
        else:                 x2.next.prev = x1.prev
        x1.prev = None
        x2.next = None
        return L2

    def splice(self, x, L2):
        xn = x.next
        x1 = L2.head
        x2 = L2.tail
        L2.head = None
        L2.tail = None
        x1.prev = x
        x.next = x1
        x2.next = xn
        if xn:  xn.prev = x2
        else:   self.tail = x2
```