COMP6771 Advanced C++ Programming

Week 5.1 Resource Management

In this lecture

Why?

 While we have ignored heap resources (malloc/free) to date, they are a critical part of many libraries and we need to understand best practices around usage.

What?

- new/delete
- copy and move semantics
- destructors
- Ivalues and rvalues

Revision: Objects

- What is an object in C++?
 - An object is a region of memory associated with a type
 - Unlike some other languages (Java), basic types such as int and bool are objects
- For the most part, C++ objects are designed to be intuitive to use
- What special things can we do with objects
 - Create
 - Destroy
 - Copy
 - Move

Long lifetimes

- There are 3 ways you can try and make an object in C++ have a lifetime that outlives the scope it was defined it:
 - Returning it out of a function via copy (can have limitations)
 - Returning it out of a function via references (bad, see slide below)
 - Returning it out of a function as a heap resource (today's lecture)

Long lifetime with references

- We need to be very careful when returning references.
- The object must always outlive the reference.
- This is undefined behaviour if you're unlucky, the code might even work!
- Moral of the story: Do not return references to variables local to the function returning.
- For objects we create INSIDE a function, we're going to have to create heap memory and return that.

```
auto okay(int& i) -> int& {
  return i;
}
auto okay(int& i) -> int const& {
  return i;
}
```

```
auto not_okay(int i) -> int& {
  return i;
}

auto not_okay() -> int& {
  auto i = 0;
  return i;
}
```

New and delete

- Objects are either stored on the stack or the heap
- In general, most times you've been creating objects of a type it has been on the stack
- We can create heap objects via **new** and free them via **delete** just like in C (malloc/free)
 - New and delete call the constructors/destructors of what they are creating

```
1 #include <iostream>
 2 #include <vector>
 4 int main() {
    int* a = new int{4};
     std::vector<int>* b = new std::vector<int>{1,2,3};
    std::cout << *a << "\n";
     std::cout << (*b)[0] << "\n";
     delete a;
     delete b;
10
11
     return 0;
12 }
                        demo501-new.cpp
```

New and delete

- Why do we need heap resources?
 - Heap object outlives the scope it was created in
 - More useful in contexts where we need more explicit control of ongoing memory size (e.g. vector as a dynamically sized array)
 - Stack has limited space on it for storage, heap is much larger

```
1 #include <iostream>
 2 #include <vector>
 4 int* newInt(int i) {
     int* a = new int{i};
     return a;
 8
  int main() {
     int* myInt = newInt();
     std::cout << *a << "\n"; // a was defined in a scope that</pre>
12
                                // no longer exists
13
     delete a;
14
     return 0;
15 }
```

std::vector<int> - under the hood

Let's speculate about how a vector is implemented. It's going to have to manage some form of heap memory, so maybe it looks like this? Is anything wrong with this?

```
1 class my_vec {
2    // Constructor
3    my_vec(int size): data_{new int[size]}, size_{size}, capacity_{size} {}
4
5    // Destructor
6    ~my_vec() {};
7
8    int* data_;
9    int size_;
10    int capacity_;
11 }
```

Destructors

- Called when the object goes out of scope
 - What might this be handy for?
 - Does not occur for reference objects
- Implicitly noexcept
 - What would the consequences be if this were not the case
- Why might destructors be handy?
 - Freeing pointers
 - Closing files
 - Unlocking mutexes (from multithreading)
 - Aborting database transactions

std::vector<int> - Destructors

- What happens when vec_short goes out of scope?
 - Destructors are called on each member.
 - Destructing a pointer type does nothing
- As it stands, this will result in a memory leak. How do we fix?

```
1 class my_vec {
2    // Constructor
3    my_vec(int size): data_{new int[size]}, size_{size}, capacity_{size} {}
4
5    // Destructor
6    ~my_vec() {};
7
8    int* data_;
9    int size_;
10    int capacity_;
11 }
```

```
1 my_vec::~my_vec() {
2   delete[] data_;
3 }
```

Rule of 5

When writing a class, if we can't default all of our operators (preferred), we should consider the "rule of 5"

- Destructor
- Copy constructor
- Copy assignment
- Move assignment
- Move constructor

Rule of 5

When writing a class, if we can't default all of our operators (preferred), we should consider the "rule of 5"

- Destructor
- Copy constructor
- Copy assignment
- Move assignment
- Move constructor

The presence or absence of these 5 operations are critical in managing resources

std::vector<int> - under the hood

- Though you should always consider it, you should rarely have to write it
 - If all data members have one of these defined, then the class should automatically define this for you
 - But this may not always be what you want
 - C++ follows the principle of "only pay for what you use"
 - Zeroing out the data for an int is extra work
 - Hence, moving an int actually just copies it
 - Same for other basic types

```
class my vec {
     my vec(int size): data {new int[size]}, size {size}, capacity {siz
     my vec(my vec const&) = default;
     my_vec& operator=(my_vec const&) = default;
     // Move constructor
     my vec(my vec&&) noexcept = default;
     my vec& operator=(my vec&&) noexcept = default;
14
     ~my vec() = default;
17
     int* data ;
     int size ;
     int capacity;
21 }
 1 // Call constructor.
 2 auto vec short = my vec(2);
   auto vec long = my vec(9);
 5 auto& vec ref = vec long;
  auto vec short2 = vec short;
 9 vec short2 = vec long;
   // Calls move constructor.
11 auto vec long2 = std::move(vec long);
12 // Calls move assignment
```

13 vec long2 = std::move(vec short);

std::vector<int> - Copy constructor

- What does it mean to copy a my_vec?
- What does the default synthesized copy constructor do?
 - It does a memberwise copy
- What are the consequences?
 - Any modification to vec_short will also change vec_short2
 - We will perform a double free
- How can we fix this?

```
1 class my vec {
     // Constructor
     my vec(int size):
       data {new int[size]},
       size {size},
       capacity {size} {}
     my vec(my vec const&) = default;
     my vec& operator=(my vec const&) = default;
12
    // Move constructor
13
     my vec(my vec&&) noexcept = default;
     my vec& operator=(my vec&&) noexcept = default;
17
18
    // Destructor
     ~my vec() = default;
20
     int* data ;
     int size ;
     int capacity;
24 }
```

```
1 auto vec_short = my_vec(2);
2 auto vec_short2 = vec_short;
```

std::vector<int> - Copy assignment

- Assignment is the same as construction, except that there is already a constructed object in your destination
- You need to clean up the destination first
- The copy-and-swap idiom makes this trivial

```
1 my vec& my vec::operator=(my vec const& orig) {
     my vec(orig).swap(*this); return *this;
 5 void my vec::swap(my vec& other) {
     std::swap(data_, other.data_);
     std::swap(size , other.size );
     std::swap(capacity_, other.capacity_);
9 }
10
11 // Alternate implementation, may not be as performant.
12 my vec& my vec::operator=(my vec const& orig) {
    my vec copy = orig;
14
     std::swap(copy, *this);
15
     return *this;
16 }
1 auto vec short = my vec(2);
2 auto vec long = my vec(9);
3 vec long = vec short;
```

```
1 class my vec {
     // Constructor
     my vec(int size):
       data_{new int[size]},
       size {size},
       capacity {size} {}
     // Copy constructor
     my vec(my vec const&) = default;
     // Copy assignment
11
     my vec& operator=(my vec const&) = default;
12
13
     // Move constructor
14
     my vec(my vec&&) noexcept = default;
     // Move assignment
15
16
     my vec& operator=(my vec&&) noexcept = default;
17
18
     // Destructor
19
     ~my vec() = default;
20
     int* data ;
21
     int size ;
22
     int capacity;
24 }
```

Ivalue vs rvalue

- Ivalue: An expression that is an object reference
 - E.G. Variable name, subscript reference
 - Always has a defined address in memory
- rvalue: Expression that is not an Ivalue
 - E.G. Object literals, return results of functions
 - Generally has no storage associated with it

Ivalue references

- There are multiple types of references
 - Lvalue references look like T&
 - Lvalue references to const look like T const&
- Once the Ivalue reference goes out of scope, it may still be needed

Ivalue references

```
1 void f(my_vec& x);
```

- There are multiple types of references
 - Lvalue references look like T&
 - Lvalue references to const look like T const&
- Once the Ivalue reference goes out of scope, it may still be needed

rvalue references

```
1 void f(my_vec&& x);
```

- Rvalue references look like T&&
- An rvalue reference formal parameter means that the value was disposable from the caller of the function
 - If outer modified value, who would notice / care?
 - The caller (main) has promised that it won't be used anymore
 - If inner modified value, who would notice / care?
 - The caller (outer) has never made such a promise.
 - An rvalue reference parameter is an lvalue inside the function

```
1 void inner(std::string&& value) {
     value[0] = 'H';
     std::cout << value << '\n';</pre>
 4 }
 6 void outer(std::string&& value) {
     inner(value); // This fails? Why?
     std::cout << value << '\n';</pre>
 9
10
11 int main() {
12
     outer("hello"); // This works fine.
     auto s = std::string("hello");
13
     inner(s); // This fails because s is an lvalue
14
15 }
```

std::move

```
1 // Looks something like this.
2 T&& move(T& value) {
3   return static_cast<T&&>(value);
4 }
```

- A library function that converts an Ivalue to an rvalue so that a "move constructor" (similar to copy constructor) can use it.
 - This says "I don't care about this anymore"
 - All this does is allow the compiler to use rvalue reference overloads

```
1 void inner(std::string&& value) {
     value[0] = 'H';
     std::cout << value << '\n';</pre>
4 }
6 void outer(std::string&& value) {
     inner(std::move(value));
     std::cout << value << '\n';</pre>
11
12 }
13
14 int main() {
     f1("hello"); // This works fine.
    auto s = std::string("hello");
17
     f2(s); // This fails because i is an lvalue.
18 }
```

Moving objects

- Always declare your moves as noexcept
 - Failing to do so can make your code slower
 - Consider: push_back in a vector
- Unless otherwise specified, objects that have been moved from are in a valid but unspecified state
- Moving is an optimisation on copying
 - The only difference is that when moving, the moved-from object is mutable
 - Not all types can take advantage of this
 - If moving an int, mutating the moved-from int is extra work
 - If moving a vector, mutating the moved-from vector potentially saves a lot of work
- Moved from objects must be placed in a valid state
 - Moved-from containers usually contain the default-constructed value
 - Moved-from types that are cheap to copy are usually unmodified
 - Although this is the only requirement, individual types may add their own constraints
- Compiler-generated move constructor / assignment performs memberwise moves

std::vector<int> - Move constructor

Very similar to copy constructor, except we can use std::exchange instead.

```
1 my_vec::my_vec(my_vec&& orig) noexcept
2 : data_{std::exchange(orig.data_, nullptr)}
3 , size_{std::exchange(orig.size_, 0)}
4 , capacity_{std::exchange(orig.capacity_, 0)} {}
```

```
1 class my vec {
     // Constructor
     my vec(int size)
     : data_{new int[size]}
     , size_{size}
     , capacity_{size} {}
     // Copy constructor
     my vec(my vec const&) = default;
     // Copy assignment
10
     my_vec& operator=(my_vec const&) = default;
11
12
13
     // Move constructor
     my_vec(my_vec&&) noexcept = default;
14
15
     my vec& operator=(my vec&&) noexcept = default;
16
18
     // Destructor
     ~my vec() = default;
19
20
     int* data ;
     int size ;
22
     int capacity;
23
24 }
```

```
1 auto vec_short = my_vec(2);
2 auto vec_short2 = std::move(vec_short);
```

std::vector<int> - Move assignment

Like the move constructor, but the destination is already constructed

```
1 my vec& my_vec::operator=(my_vec&& orig) noexcept {
     std::swap(data , orig.data );
     std::swap(size_, orig.size_);
     std::swap(capacity_, orig.capacity_);
 9
     // if you decide to add additional constraints to your moved-from
     delete[] orig.data
     orig.data = nullptr;
13
     orig.size = 0;
14
     orig.capacity = 0;
16
17
     return *this;
18
```

```
class my vec {
     // Constructor
     my vec(int size): data {new int[size]}, size {size}, ca
     my_vec(my_vec const&) = default;
     my vec& operator=(my vec const&) = default;
10
     // Move constructor
     my vec(my vec&&) noexcept = default;
     my vec& operator=(my vec&&) noexcept = default;
14
15
     // Destructor
     ~my vec() = default;
16
17
     int* data ;
     int size ;
     int capacity;
20
21 }
1 auto vec short = my vec(2);
2 auto vec long = my vec(9);
3 vec long = std::move(vec short);
```

Explicitly deleted copies and moves

- We may not want a type to be copyable / moveable
- If so, we can declare fn() = delete

```
1 class T {
2   T(const T&) = delete;
3   T(T&&) = delete;
4   T& operator=(const T&) = delete;
5   T& operator=(T&&) = delete;
6 };
```

Implicitly deleted copies and moves

- Under certain conditions, the compiler will not generate copies and moves
- The implicitly defined copy constructor calls the copy constructor member-wise
 - If one of its members doesn't have a copy constructor, the compiler can't generate one for you
 - Same applies for copy assignment, move constructor, and move assignment
- Under certain conditions, the compiler will not automatically generate copy / move assignment / constructors
 - eg. If you have manually defined a destructor, the copy constructor isn't generated
- If you define one of the rule of five, you should explictly delete, default, or define all five
 - If the default behaviour isn't sufficient for one of them, it likely isn't sufficient for others
 - Explicitly doing this tells the reader of your code that you have carefully considered this
 - This also means you don't need to remember all of the rules about "if I write X, then is Y generated"

RAII (Resource Acquisition Is Initialization)

In summary, today is really about emphasising RAII

- Resource = heap object
- A concept where we encapsulate resources inside objects
 - Acquire the resource in the constructor
 - Release the resource in the destructor
 - eg. Memory, locks, files
- Every resource should be owned by either:
 - Another resource (eg. smart pointer, data member)
 - Named resource on the stack
 - A nameless temporary variable

Object lifetimes

To create safe object lifetimes in C++, we always attach the lifetime of one object to that of something else

- Named objects:
 - A <u>variable</u> in a <u>function</u> is tied to its scope
 - A <u>data member</u> is tied to the lifetime of the <u>class instance</u>
 - An <u>element in a std::vector</u> is tied to the lifetime of the vector
- Unnamed objects:
 - A <u>heap object</u> should be tied to the lifetime of whatever object created it
 - Examples of bad programming practice
 - An owning raw pointer is tied to nothing
 - A C-style array is tied to nothing
- **Strongly recommend** watching the first 44 minutes of Herb Sutter's cppcon talk "Leak freedom in C++... By Default"

Feedback

