Statistics 305/605: Introduction to Biostatistical Methods for Health Sciences

Chapter 19, part 2: Inference in Multiple Regression

Jinko Graham

Load the Low-Birthweight Data and Fit Regression Models

```
uu <- url("http://people.stat.sfu.ca/~jgraham/Teaching/S305_17/Data/lbwt.csv")
lbwt <- read.csv(uu)</pre>
fit1 <- lm(headcirc ~ gestage,data=lbwt) #SLR
summary(fit1)$coefficients #SLR Coeffs
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value
                                                Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 3.9142641 1.82914689 2.13994 3.48424e-02
## gestage
              0.7800532 0.06307441 12.36719 1.00121e-21
fit2 <- lm(headcirc ~ gestage + birthwt,data=lbwt) #MLR
summary(fit2)$coefficients #MLR Coeffs
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
   (Intercept) 8.308015388 1.578942936 5.261758 8.535816e-07
## gestage
              0.448732848 0.067245982 6.673006 1.555501e-09
## birthwt
              0.004712283 0.000631179 7.465843 3.596527e-11
```

- ▶ In the SLR, the slope estimate for gestage is $\hat{\beta} = 0.78$.
- ▶ By contrast, in the MLR, the slope estimate for gestage is $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.45$.

Inference in Multiple Linear Regression

- ▶ Estimate q+1 population parameters α , β_1, \ldots, β_q by $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\beta}_q$.
- ▶ If we could observe the errors, $\epsilon = Y \mu_{y|x_1,...,x_q}$, we could estimate σ_y , the SD of Y, by

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon_{i}^{2}}$$

▶ Instead, substitute residuals $e_i = y - \hat{\mu}_{y|x_1,...,x_q} = y - \hat{y}$, and estimate σ_y by:

$$s_y = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-q-1}\sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-q-1}\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2},$$

called the residual standard error in R model summaries.

► The degrees of freedom (df) are n-(q+1)=n-q-1, the number of observations, n, less the number of parameters, q+1, used to estimate the population mean $\mu_{y|x_1,...,x_q}$.

Hypothesis Tests for β_i 's

We can test the null hypothesis that X_j is not associated with Y vs. the alternative that it is; i.e.,

$$H_0: \beta_j = 0 \text{ vs. } H_a: \beta_j \neq 0.$$

- ► The test statistic is derived from the sampling distribution of $\hat{\beta}_j$ which is normal with mean β_i under our modelling assumptions.
- From this we can get that the pivotal quantity

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{SE(\hat{\beta}_j)}$$

has a *t*-distribution with n - q - 1 df.

► The test statistic is

$$T_j = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - 0}{SE(\hat{\beta}_j)} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{SE(\hat{\beta}_j)}.$$

Testing Example

For the low-birthweight data, the model summary from the lm() function includes the p-values from the tests of $H_0: \beta_i = 0$ versus $H_a: \beta_i \neq 0$.

```
summary(fit2)$coefficients
```

```
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 8.308015388 1.578942936 5.261758 8.535816e-07
## gestage 0.448732848 0.067245982 6.673006 1.555501e-09
## birthwt 0.004712283 0.000631179 7.465843 3.596527e-11
```

- For inference of β_1 (gestage) the test statistic value is about 6.67 and the p-value is tiny.
- For inference of β_2 (birthwt) the test statistic value is about 7.47 and the p-value is tiny.
- ► The tiny pvalues for both gestage and birthwt indicate strong statistical evidence for both being associated with head circumference.

Confidence intervals

▶ Following the typical development, a CI for β_j can be derived from the pivotal quantity

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{SE(\hat{\beta}_j)}$$

▶ The level-C CI is of the usual form

estimate \pm margin of error,

where

- the estimate is $\hat{\beta}_j$,
- ▶ the margin of error is $SE(\hat{\beta}_j)$ times t^* , the upper (1-C)/2 critical value from the t-distribution with n-q-1 df.

CI Example

► Can use the confint() function in R to extract a confidence interval.

- ▶ The 95% CI for β_1 , the slope term for gestage, is about (0.32, 0.58):
 - ▶ "With 95% confidence, we estimate that, for a given birth weight, a one week increase in gestational age is associated with an increase in head circumference of between 0.32 to 0.58cm."
- ▶ The interpetation of the 95% CI for β_2 is analogous.

Inference about the Regression Line

▶ The fitted value $\hat{y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \ldots + \hat{\beta}_q x_q$ is an estimate of the population conditional-mean response

$$\mu_{y|x_1,\dots,x_q} = \alpha + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_1 x_q$$

and is also our prediction of a future y at $x_1, x_2, \dots x_q$.

▶ As in SLR, level-C CIs for $\mu_{y|x_1,...,x_q}$ and level-C PIs for y at $x_1,x_2,...x_q$ are of the usual form

estimate \pm margin of error.

R's predict() function can be used to obtain these Cls and Pls.

90% Cls and Pls at New Values of Explanatory Variables

- ► Suppose that we want 90% CIs or PIs at new values of the explanatory variables; E.G.,
 - gestage of 25.5 weeks and birthwt of 1050 grams for one individual, and
 - gestage of 30.5 weeks and birthwt of 1450 grams for another individual.
- Create a dataframe newdat containing these new values:

```
newdat <- data.frame(gestage = c(25.5,30.5),birthwt=c(1050,1450))
newdat</pre>
```

```
## gestage birthwt
## 1 25.5 1050
## 2 30.5 1450
```

▶ Pass these values to predict().

```
## fit lwr upr
## 1 24.69860 24.29225 25.10495
## 2 28.82718 28.47331 29.18104
```

- ► Let's focus on the 1st set of new values, gestage=25.5 weeks and birthwt=1050 g.
 - The fitted value of headcirc is in the column fit and is about 24.7 cm.
 - ► The lower limit of the 90% CI is in the column lwr and is about 24.3 cm.
 - ► The upper limit of the 90% CI is in the column upr and is about 25.1 cm.
- Replace the argument interval = "confidence" with interval = "prediction" for the Pls.
- Omit the argument newdata=newdat for Cls/Pls at the observed values of the explanatory variables.

Coefficient of Determination (R^2)

- ▶ In MLR, the coefficient of determination, R^2 , is the fraction of the variation in the values of Y that is explained by the least-squares regression of Y on X_1, \ldots, X_q .
 - ightharpoonup Large R^2 means observed responses fall close to fitted values.

► E.G. adding birthwt to gestage as an explanatory variable increases R² from about 0.61 to 0.75:

```
summary(fit1)$call #SLR model without birthwt
## lm(formula = headcirc ~ gestage, data = lbwt)
summary(fit1)$r.squared # its R~2
## [1] 0.6094799
summary(fit2)$call # MLR model with birthwt
## lm(formula = headcirc ~ gestage + birthwt, data = lbwt)
summary(fit2)$r.squared # its R~2
## [1] 0.751992
```

Comparing Models

- For comparing 2 regression models, R^2 is not useful because it always increases as we add explanatory variables, even if they have no actual effect on Y.
- ▶ By contrast, the *adjusted R*² doesn't always increase.
 - It is instead designed to increase whenever adding an explanatory variable improves the model's ability to predict new values.
- ▶ Adjusted R² is one of a number of tools for *model selection* based on the predictive ability of a model that we won't have time to cover.
 - ▶ If you are interested, consider taking STAT 452: **Statistical Learning and Prediction** after this course. You will have the STAT 305 pre-requisite.