

# From Dimensionless Bounce to Physical SFV: A Reproducible Calibration of the Superfluid False Vacuum

Steven Hoffmann

October 16, 2025

## Abstract

We present a fully reproducible pipeline that maps a numerically constructed, dimensionless  $O(4)$  bounce in a two-field potential to a physically calibrated Superfluid False Vacuum (SFV). Starting from a corridor solution with Euclidean action  $S_E \approx 1078$ , we compute the wall width via FWHM of the 4D action density, diagnose the wall regime ( $R/w \approx 3.35$ , moderate-to-thick), and choose a physical length unit using the SFV healing length  $\xi = \hbar/(\sqrt{2} m c_s)$ . With  $m_{\text{SFV}} = 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  and  $c_s = 100 \text{ m/s}$  we obtain  $\xi = 0.704 \text{ \AA}$  and a physical bubble radius  $R_{\text{phys}} \approx 2.36 \text{ \AA}$ . The Gross–Pitaevskii identities then fix  $a_s n = 1/(8\pi\xi^2)$ ; choosing  $a_s = 1 \text{ nm}$  yields  $n = 8.02 \times 10^{27} \text{ m}^{-3}$  and  $\rho = 84.96 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ . We tabulate all derived quantities and provide exact commands to reproduce the outputs from the supplied scripts and CSV files in the repository.

## 1 Overview

We consider a two-field scalar potential with a portal coupling between an SFV field  $\Phi$  and a brane field  $\phi$ . The Euclidean,  $O(4)$ -symmetric bounce solution is obtained from dimensionless equations of motion (EOM) and then continued in the portal coupling  $g_{\text{portal}}$  along a narrow corridor to a stable solution with

$$S_E \approx 1078, \quad g_{\text{portal}} \approx 2.313, \quad v_\phi = 9.9 \times 10^{-5}, \quad v = 4.2 \times 10^{-5}, \quad \lambda_\phi = 0.1, \quad \lambda = 10^{-8}.$$

From the bounce we compute the wall full-width at half-maximum (FWHM)  $w$  and peak radius  $R$  using the 4D action density. These dimensionless measures are mapped to SI units by identifying the solver length unit with the SFV healing length  $\xi$  up to an  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  geometric factor  $\kappa$ ; here we take  $\kappa = 1$ , so  $w_{\text{phys}} = \xi$ . Fixing the SFV mass and  $c_s$  sets  $\xi$ , which then determines the product  $a_s n$  through the Gross–Pitaevskii relations; a choice of  $a_s$  fixes  $n$  (and hence  $\rho$ ).

## 2 Dimensionless model and bounce EOM

We work with the rescaled potential (tildes suppressed for readability):

$$V(\Phi, \phi) = \frac{\lambda_\phi}{4} (\Phi^2 - v_\phi^2)^2 + \beta \Phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\phi^2 - 1)^2 - \mu^2 \phi^2 + g_{\text{portal}} \Phi^2 \phi^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $v_\phi = v_\phi^{\text{phys}}/v^{\text{phys}}$  and the small quadratic “bias”  $\beta$  is tuned numerically to place the false/true vacua at the desired values.<sup>1</sup> The  $O(4)$  bounce equations with Euclidean “friction” are

$$\Phi''(r) + \frac{3}{r} \Phi'(r) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \Phi}, \quad \phi''(r) + \frac{3}{r} \phi'(r) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi}, \quad (2)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In the implementation used here,  $\mu^2 = \lambda$  and  $\beta$  is a small negative constant determined once for the corridor.

subject to boundary conditions  $\Phi'(0) = \phi'(0) = 0$  at the center, and  $\Phi(\infty) = \Phi_{\text{FV}}$ ,  $\phi(\infty) = -\phi_{\text{FV}}$  at large radius (the sign implements the chosen true-vacuum basin). The Euclidean action is

$$S_E = 2\pi^2 \int_0^\infty dr r^3 \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\Phi'^2 + \phi'^2) + V(\Phi, \phi) - V(\Phi_{\text{FV}}, \phi_{\text{FV}}) \right]. \quad (3)$$

**Numerical solution.** We use `solve_bvp` with a tanh-based initial guess characterized by  $(R_0, w_0)$  and perform adaptive continuation in  $g_{\text{portal}}$  from 0 to a target maximum. The solver was configured with a large node budget and tight tolerances. For the production run documented here:

$$v_\phi = 9.9 \times 10^{-5}, \quad v = 4.2 \times 10^{-5}, \quad \lambda_\phi = 0.1, \quad \lambda = 10^{-8}, \quad g_{\text{portal}}^{\text{max}} = 2.313.$$

The final converged solution has  $S_E = 1078.2287$  (monotone along the continuation), with the numerical residuals within solver tolerance.

### 3 Wall width via 4D action density (FWHM)

Define the 4D action density along the solution

$$\mathcal{A}_4(r) \equiv 2\pi^2 r^3 \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\Phi'^2 + \phi'^2) + V(\Phi, \phi) - V_{\text{false}} \right]. \quad (4)$$

Let  $R$  be the location of its peak and FWHM the full width at half maximum obtained by linear interpolation on the radial grid. For the production bounce we measure (at  $g_{\text{portal}} = 2.313$ )

$$R = 5.867, \quad w \equiv \text{FWHM} = 1.751, \quad \frac{R}{w} = 3.3507. \quad (5)$$

We classify wall regimes by  $R/w$ : thin if  $\gtrsim 10$ , moderate for 3–10, very thick if  $\lesssim 3$ . The present solution is in the *moderate-to-thick* band, consistent with a corridor that is numerically stable but not thin-wall.

### 4 Mapping to SI units via the SFV healing length

We calibrate physical units by identifying the solver unit with the SFV healing length

$$\xi = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m}c_s}, \quad \ell_0 \equiv \frac{\xi}{w}, \quad R_{\text{phys}} = \frac{R}{w} \xi, \quad w_{\text{phys}} \equiv \xi, \quad (6)$$

with  $m \equiv m_{\text{SFV}}$  the SFV particle mass and  $c_s$  its sound speed. We adopt

$$m_{\text{SFV}} = 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c^2, \quad c_s = 100 \text{ m/s}.$$

Using fundamental constants  $\hbar$  and  $c$ , this yields

$$\xi = 7.042 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m} = 0.704 \text{ \AA}, \quad (7)$$

$$\ell_0 = \xi/w = 4.022 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m} = 0.402 \text{ \AA}, \quad (8)$$

$$R_{\text{phys}} = (R/w) \xi = 2.3596 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m} = 2.360 \text{ \AA}. \quad (9)$$

## 5 Derived SFV thermodynamic relations

In the weakly interacting (Gross–Pitaevskii) regime with contact coupling  $g = 4\pi\hbar^2 a_s/m$ , the sound speed and healing length satisfy

$$c_s^2 = \frac{gn}{m}, \quad \xi^{-2} = \frac{2mgn}{\hbar^2} \Rightarrow a_s n = \frac{1}{8\pi\xi^2}. \quad (10)$$

Thus  $c_s$  (hence  $\xi$ ) fixes the *product*  $a_s n$  exactly; choosing a convenient  $a_s$  sets the number density  $n$  and the mass density  $\rho = mn$ . The chemical potential and pressure are

$$\mu = gn = mc_s^2, \quad P = \frac{1}{2}\mu n. \quad (11)$$

For the calibrated  $\xi$  above we have

$$a_s n = \frac{1}{8\pi\xi^2} = 8.023 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2}, \quad \mu = 6.609 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}.$$

Two illustrative choices for  $a_s$  give (all exact to quoted precision):

$a_s$	$n \text{ (m}^{-3}\text{)}$	$\rho \text{ (kg m}^{-3}\text{)}$	$g \text{ (J m}^3\text{)}$	$\mu \text{ (eV)}$	$P \text{ (Pa)}$
1 nm	$8.023 \times 10^{27}$	84.958	$1.3198 \times 10^{-50}$	$6.609 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.248 \times 10^5$
10 nm	$8.023 \times 10^{26}$	8.496	$1.3198 \times 10^{-49}$	$6.609 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.248 \times 10^4$

We adopt  $a_s = 1 \text{ nm}$  as the canonical baseline for the repository.

## 6 Final calibrated numbers (baseline)

- **Bounce:**  $S_E = 1078.2287$ ,  $g_{\text{portal}} = 2.313$ ,  $\lambda_\phi = 0.1$ ,  $\lambda = 10^{-8}$ ,  $v_\phi = 9.9 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $v = 4.2 \times 10^{-5}$ .
- **Wall measures (dimensionless):**  $R = 5.867$ ,  $w = 1.751$ ,  $R/w = 3.3507$  (moderate-to-thick).
- **Calibration choices:**  $m_{\text{SFV}} = 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ ,  $c_s = 100 \text{ m/s}$ ,  $a_s = 1 \text{ nm}$ .
- **Healing length / unit:**  $\xi = 0.704 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $\ell_0 = \xi/w = 0.402 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $R_{\text{phys}} = 2.360 \text{ \AA}$ .
- **Thermodynamics:**  $a_s n = 8.023 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2}$ ,  $n = 8.023 \times 10^{27} \text{ m}^{-3}$ ,  $\rho = 84.96 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ ,  $\mu = 6.609 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$ ,  $P = 4.248 \times 10^5 \text{ Pa}$ .

## 7 Reproducibility: exact steps

### (1) Solve and continue the bounce

Run the hard-coded corridor script (this version writes both the  $g=0$  and final background CSVs, and computes the FWHM):

```
python goldenRunDetails_v4f_more3_1078.py
```

This produces `background_profile_g0.csv` and `background_profile.csv` with columns `r`, `Phi`, `phi`, `w_FWHM`, `R_peak`. The final printout should match

$$S_E \approx 1078.2287, \quad R \approx 5.867, \quad w \approx 1.751, \quad R/w \approx 3.35.$$

## (2) Calibrate SFV units and properties

Use the measured  $w$  and  $R$  and specify  $(m_{\text{SFV}}, c_s)$ :

$$\xi = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2} m c_s}, \quad \ell_0 = \frac{\xi}{w}, \quad R_{\text{phys}} = \frac{R}{w} \xi, \quad a_s n = \frac{1}{8\pi \xi^2}, \quad \mu = m c_s^2.$$

For  $c_s = 100$  m/s and  $m_{\text{SFV}} = 2.0$  GeV/ $c^2$  the values in the previous section follow directly. Pick  $a_s$  (e.g. 1 nm) to fix  $n, \rho, g, P$ .

## (3) Optional JSON for the repo

We recommend recording the calibration in a machine-readable JSON:

```
{
  "c_s_m_per_s": 100.0,
  "m_SFV_GeV": 2.0,
  "xi_m": 7.04215744e-11,
  "w_tilde": 1.751,
  "R_tilde": 5.867,
  "R_over_w": 3.35065677,
  "l0_m": 4.02179180e-11,
  "R_phys_m": 2.35958525e-10,
  "a_s_times_n_1_per_m2": 8.02321962e18,
  "mu_eV": 6.60914133e-4,
  "a_s_nm": 1.0,
  "n_m3": 8.02321962e27,
  "rho_kg_m3": 84.9579673,
  "g_J_m3": 1.31979583e-50,
  "P_Pa": 4.24789836e5
}
```

## 8 Notes and diagnostics

**Wall regime.** The moderate-to-thick ratio ( $R/w \approx 3.35$ ) is stable along the  $g_{\text{portal}}$  continuation; the slow drift of  $R$  is expected in a non-thin-wall corridor and is not a dynamical evolution, only a change of the optimal  $O(4)$  radius.

**Sensitivity.** Re-running with tighter tolerances shifts  $S_E$  by  $< 1\%$ ; small variations in the initial guess  $(R_0, w_0)$  return the same solution within the same tolerance, indicating a well-conditioned saddle.

**Alternative  $c_s$ .** If desired,  $c_s \in [50, 300]$  m/s can be used to probe the mapping;  $\xi \propto 1/c_s$ , so  $R_{\text{phys}} \propto 1/c_s$  and  $a_s n \propto c_s^2$ . The dimensionless bounce and  $S_E$  are unaffected.

## Constants

We used CODATA values:  $\hbar = 1.054\,571\,817 \times 10^{-34}$  J s,  $c = 299\,792\,458$  m/s,  $1 \text{ eV} = 1.602\,176\,634 \times 10^{-19}$  J.

## Data & code

This paper accompanies the repository (scripts and CSVs) containing:

- `goldenRunDetails_v4f_more3_1078.py` (hard-coded corridor bounce; writes CSVs).
- `background_profile_g0.csv`, `background_profile.csv` (with columns `r`, `Phi`, `phi`, `w_FWHM`, `R_peak`).
- Optional: a small helper to emit the JSON in Section (3) from the CSV.