CS3391 Advanced Programming Lab (Week 2)

Yixiong Gao

September 15th, 2023

Primes

Prime Numbers

An integer p $(|p| \geq 1)$ is **prime** if its only divisors are ± 1 and $\pm p$ only.

Otherwise, it is a composite number.

e.g. 2,3,5,7 are prime numbers, and 4,6,8,9 are not.

Primality Test

Given a positive integer p, how to determine if it is a prime number?

A Naive Algorithm

Idea: Try to find a divisor of p different to 1 and p.

For all the integers i in [2, p), determine if i is a divisor of p.

Using modulo operation, if p % i == 0 holds, then i is a divisor of p.

```
bool isprime(int p) {
    for (int i = 2; i < p; ++i)
        if (p % i == 0) return false;
    return true;
}</pre>
```

The time complexity is O(p). Can we find a faster one?

A Faster Algorithm

If integer a is a divisor of p , then p=a imes b for some integer b .

Fact: $\min(a,b) \leq \sqrt{p}$

 \Rightarrow If each integer in $[2,\sqrt{p}]$ is not a divisor of p , then p is a prime number.

```
bool isprime(int p) {
    int lim = sqrt(p);
    for (int i = 2; i <= lim; ++i)
        if (p % i == 0) return false;
    return true;
}</pre>
```

The time complexity is $O(\sqrt{p})$.

It's usually fast enough in most problems in competitive programming.

A Faster Algorithm?

Many faster primality tests are probabilistic tests.

They use some numbers which are chosen at random from some sample space.

it is possible for a composite number to be reported as prime.

The probability of error can be reduced by repeating the test.

Easy to implement: Fermat Test and Miller-Rabin Test.

How to find all prime numbers up to any given limit n?

List of (positive) prime numbers less than 200: 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59, 61, 67, 71, 73, 79, 83, 89, 97, 101, 103, 107, 109, 113, 127, 131, 137, 139, 149, 151, 157, 163, 167, 173, 179, 181, 191, 193, 197, 199

A Naive algorithm

Runing primality test for each integer in [1, n].

The time complexity is $O(n\sqrt{n})$.

Sieve of Eratosthenes

- 1. Make a list of $2, \ldots, n$.
- 2. At first, nobody is marked. And let p=2 as the minimum prime number.
- 3. Enumerate the multiples of p by counting in increments of p from 2p to n, and mark them in the list (these will be $2p, 3p, 4p, \ldots$; the p itself should not be marked).
- 4. Find the smallest number p' in the list greater than p that is not marked. If there was no such number, stop. Otherwise, let p=p' (which is the next prime), and go to step 3.
- 5. When the algorithm terminates, the numbers remaining not marked in the list are all the primes below n.

By Yixiong Gao, September 15th, 2023

Implementation

```
bool mark[N];
for (int p = 2; p <= n; ++p) {
   if (mark[p]) continue;
   for (int q = p * 2; q <= n; q += p) mark[q] = true;
}</pre>
```

What is the time complexity of this algorithm?

Time Complexity of Sieve of Eratosthenes

For any prime p , the time complexity of the second loop is O(n/p) .

$$\sum_{p \le n, p \ is \ prime} rac{n}{p} \le \sum_{p \le n} rac{n}{p} \le \int_1^n rac{n}{x} \ dx = n \ln n$$

So the time complexity is at least $O(n \ln n)$.

It's usually fast enough in most problems in competitive programming.

Optimization

For every composite number, it will be marked by its minimum prime divisor.

For a prime number p, any multiples of p less to p imes p have already been marked.

So we can modify the second loop into:

```
for (int q = p * p; q \le n; q += p) mark[q] = true;
```

Beware of integer overflow.

What is the time complexity now?

One More Question

How many prime numbers are in a given range [l,r] ?

Try to find an algorithm of time complexity $O(\max(r-l,\sqrt{r}))$.

I'll provide an algorithm next tutorial session.

Recursion

Going Upstairs

There is a staircase consisting of n steps.

You are now in front of the first step, and you can step up one or two steps at a time.

How many different ways do you have to walk n steps exactly?

E.g. for n=3 there are 3 different ways to going upstairs: (1,1,1),(1,2),(2,1).

Solution

Assume that there are currently n steps left, then you have only two options:

take one step forward, or take two steps forward if you can.

So we can use recursion to complete the calculation, let that the return value of f(n) represents the number of solutions with n steps.

```
int f(int n) {
   if (n <= 1) return 1;
   return f(n - 1) + f(n - 2);
}</pre>
```

What's special about this answer?

Codeforces 305 B

Given n integers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n and another two integers p and q.

Check if
$$a_1+rac{1}{a_2+rac{1}{\cdots+rac{1}{a_n}}}$$
 is equal to $rac{p}{q}$.

Constrains: $n \leq 90, 1 \leq a_i, p, q \leq 10^{18}$

Calculate the values on both sides directly?

No, there will be a loss of precision when makeing a division between floating-point numbers. And making division too many times can lead to significant accuracy errors.

18

Solution

$$a_1 + rac{1}{a_2 + rac{1}{\dots + rac{1}{a_n}}} = rac{p}{q} \quad \Leftrightarrow rac{1}{a_2 + rac{1}{\dots + rac{1}{a_n}}} = rac{p}{q} - a_1 = rac{p - a_1 q}{q}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a_2 + rac{1}{a_3 + rac{1}{\cdots + rac{1}{a_n}}} = rac{q}{p - a_1 q}$$

The current problem is in the same form as before.

so we can using a recursion that maintaining the numerator and denominator of the right side without any loss of precision.

19

Implementation

```
bool check(int i, int p, int q) {
   if (i == n) return a[n] * q == p;
   return check(i + 1, q, p - a[i] * q);
}
```

Note that this is just an example. In fact, you may need to implement a type yourself to support the operation of huge numbers.

20

Thanks for Listening!

Contact: yixiongao3-c@my.cityu.edu.hk