RL: Deep Double DQN

Marius Lindauer







Winter Term 2021

Recall: Double Q-Learning

- ▶ Initialization:
 - $ightharpoonup Q_1(s,a)$ and $Q_2(s,a)$ for $\forall s \in S, a \in A$
 - ightharpoonup t = 0
 - ightharpoonup initial state $s_t = s_0$
- ► Loop

- $\blacktriangleright \ \, \mathsf{Select} \ a_t \ \mathsf{using} \ \epsilon\mathsf{-greedy} \ \pi(s) \in \arg\max\nolimits_{a \in A} Q_1(s_t,a) + Q_2(s_t,a)$
- ▶ Observe (r_t, s_{t+1})
- With 50-50 probability either
 - 1. $Q_1(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow Q_1(s_t, a_t) + \alpha(r_t + \gamma \max_{a \in A} Q_2(s_{t+1}, a) Q_1(s_t, a_t))$ or
 - $\textbf{2.} \ \ Q_2(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow Q_2(s_t, a_t) + \alpha(r_t + \gamma \max_{a \in A} Q_1(s_{t+1}, a) Q_2(s_t, a_t))$
- t = t + 1

Recall: Double Q-Learning

- Initialization:
 - $ightharpoonup Q_1(s,a)$ and $Q_2(s,a)$ for $\forall s \in S, a \in A$
 - t = 0
 - ightharpoonup initial state $s_t = s_0$
- ► Loop

- $\blacktriangleright \ \, \mathsf{Select} \ \, a_t \ \, \mathsf{using} \, \, \epsilon\mathsf{-greedy} \, \pi(s) \in \arg\max\nolimits_{a \in A} Q_1(s_t,a) + Q_2(s_t,a)$
- ▶ Observe (r_t, s_{t+1})
- With 50-50 probability either
 - 1. $Q_1(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow Q_1(s_t, a_t) + \alpha(r_t + \gamma \max_{a \in A} Q_2(s_{t+1}, a) Q_1(s_t, a_t))$ or
 - $\textbf{2.} \ \ Q_2(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow Q_2(s_t, a_t) + \alpha(r_t + \gamma \max_{a \in A} Q_1(s_{t+1}, a) Q_2(s_t, a_t))$
- t = t + 1
- → reduces maximization bias

Double DQN [Hasselt et al. 2015]

- Extend this idea to DQN
- ightharpoonup Current Q-network \vec{w} is used to select actions
- ▶ Older Q-network \vec{w}^- is used to evaluate actions
- ► TD-error:

$$r + \gamma \overbrace{\hat{Q}(s', \underset{a' \in A}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \widehat{Q}(s', a'; \vec{w}); \vec{w}^-)}^{\text{Action evaluation: } \vec{w}^-} - Q(s, a; \vec{w})$$

Double DQN [Hasselt et al. 2015]

- Extend this idea to DQN
- ightharpoonup Current Q-network \vec{w} is used to select actions
- ightharpoonup Older Q-network \vec{w}^- is used to evaluate actions
- ► TD-error:

$$r + \gamma \overbrace{\hat{Q}(s', \underbrace{\arg\max_{a' \in A} \hat{Q}(s', a'; \vec{w}); \vec{w}^-)}_{\text{Action selection: } \vec{w}} - Q(s, a; \vec{w})$$

- ▶ Allows flipping between both weight sets frequently
 - alternatively, Polyak averaging:

$$w' \leftarrow \tau w + (1 - \tau)w'$$

- ightharpoonup au is fairly small, e.g, 0.01
- ► Faster propagation of information compared to original DQN

Clipped Double DQN [Fujimoto et al. 2018]

- Extend this idea to DQN
- lacktriangle Again having two independent Q-networks with $ec{w}_1$ and $ec{w}_2$
- ▶ Take minimum action value for successor state
- ► TD-error:

$$r + \gamma \min_{i = \{1,2\}} Q(s', \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{a' \in A} Q(s', a'; \vec{w}); \vec{w}_i) - Q(s, a; \vec{w})$$

- ► Less overestimation of Q-values
- ► More stable learning targets