

# Exploration in RL

## Prediction-based Intrinsic Exploration<sup>a</sup>

Marius Lindauer



Leibniz  
Universität  
Hannover



Winter Term 2021

---

<sup>a</sup>based on Blog by Lilian Weng

# Prediction-based Exploration [Schmidhuber. 1991]

- ▶ Idea: If the agent is able to predict what will happen in the future, it is already well informed
- ▶ In contrast, if the agent is not able to predict the future, it is surprised.

$$f : (s_t, a_t) \mapsto s_{t+1}$$
$$e(s_t, a_t) = ||f(s_t, a_t) - s_{t+1}||_2^2$$

- ▶ the higher the error  $e$ , the less familiar the agent is with that state / more surprised

# Intelligent Adaptive Curiosity [Oudeyer et al. 2007]

- ▶ Memory of all observed state transitions  $M = (s_t, a_t, s_{t+1})$
- ▶ Split the state space  $\mathcal{S}$  similarly as in decision node:
  - ▶ Split only if enough states were observed
  - ▶ Variance of states in each leaf should be minimal
  - ▶ For each leaf, learn a forward dynamic predictor  $f$
- ▶ Reward regions where we can make fast progress via decreasing error

$$r_t^i = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (e_{t-i-\tau} - e_{t-i})$$

- ▶ moving window with offset  $\tau$  and moving window size  $k$

- ▶ Normalize error to  $[0,1]$  by the maximal error observed so far

$$\bar{e}_t = \frac{e_t}{\max_{i \leq t} e_i}$$

- ▶ decay intrinsic reward over time

$$r_t^i = \frac{e_t(s_t, a_t)}{t \cdot C}$$

- ▶  $C$  being a constant

# State Encoding

State should be encoded to reduce the state space

- ▶ hash-function [Tang et al. 2016]
- ▶ Autoencoder [Stadie et al. 2015]
- ▶ Self-supervised inverse dynamic models [Pathak et al. 2017]

$$g : (\phi(s_t), \phi(s_{t+1})) \mapsto a_t$$

- ▶ The encoding will focus on the parts of state features that influenced the agent behavior
- ▶ Use  $\phi$  to learn a forward model  $f : (\phi(s_t), a_t) \mapsto s_{t+1}$

$$r_t^i = ||f(\phi(s_{t+1})) - \phi(s_{t+1})||_2^2$$

# Pure Curiosity-drive Learning [Burda et al. 2018]

- ▶ Pure exploration and ignoring the extrinsic reward signal

$$r_t = r_t^i = ||f(s_t, a_t) - \phi(s_{t+1})||_2^2$$

- ▶ Study on different state encodings: compact, sufficient and stable

1. Raw image pixels; no encoding
2. Neural network with fixed random weights
3. VAE
4. Inverse dynamics features (IDF)

- ▶ Insights:

- ▶ No clear winner overall
- ▶ Random network quite competitive
- ▶ IDF can generalize better (e.g., learn IDF on one Super Mario Bros level and then test it on another)
- ▶ On the noisy TV env, IDF performed best, followed by random network, but overall very hard to learn anything reasonable (wrt extrinsic reward)

- ▶ Idea: maximize information gain about the agent's belief of env dynamics.
- ▶ Information gain often measured by reduction in entropy

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_t H(\Theta \mid e_t, a_t) - H(\Theta \mid s_{t+1}, e_t, a_t) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{s_{t+1} \sim P(\cdot \mid e_t, a_t)} [D_{KL}(p(\theta \mid e_t, a_t, s_{t+1}) \parallel p(\theta \mid e_t))] \end{aligned}$$

- ▶  $\theta \in \Theta$  parameterized forward dynamic model
  - ▶  $e_t$  episode  $t$
  - ▶  $H$  entropy
  - ▶  $D_{KL}$  Kullback-Leibler divergence
- ↪ Use Bayesian Neural Network (BNN) for dynamics model which maintains a distribution over its weights  $\theta$