### **Model Free Control**

Bias Maximization and Double Q-Learning

#### Marius Lindauer







Winter Term 2021

- ▶ Consider single-state MDP (|S| = 1) with 2 actions, and both actions have 0-mean random rewards:  $(r \mid a = a_1) = (r \mid a = a_2) = 0$ 
  - ightharpoonup assume that reward is stochastic (e.g,  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ )
- $\blacktriangleright \ \ \text{Then} \ Q(s,a_1)=Q(s,a_2)=0=V(s)$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Assume there are prior samples of taking action  $a_1$  and  $a_2$

- ▶ Consider single-state MDP (|S|=1) with 2 actions, and both actions have 0-mean random rewards:  $(r \mid a=a_1)=(r \mid a=a_2)=0$ 
  - ightharpoonup assume that reward is stochastic (e.g,  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ )
- ▶ Then  $Q(s, a_1) = Q(s, a_2) = 0 = V(s)$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Assume there are prior samples of taking action  $a_1$  and  $a_2$
- Let  $\hat{Q}(s,a_1)$ ,  $\hat{Q}(s,a_2)$  be the finite sample estimate of  $\mathbf{Q}$
- ▶ Use an unbiased estimator for Q, e.g.,  $\hat{Q}(s,a_1)=\frac{1}{N(s,a_1)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(s,a_1)}r_i(s,a_1)$

- ▶ Consider single-state MDP (|S|=1) with 2 actions, and both actions have 0-mean random rewards:  $(r \mid a=a_1)=(r \mid a=a_2)=0$ 
  - lacktriangle assume that reward is stochastic (e.g,  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ )
- $\blacktriangleright \ \text{ Then } Q(s,a_1)=Q(s,a_2)=0=V(s)$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Assume there are prior samples of taking action  $a_1$  and  $a_2$
- Let  $\hat{Q}(s,a_1)$ ,  $\hat{Q}(s,a_2)$  be the finite sample estimate of  $\mathbf{Q}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Use an unbiased estimator for Q , e.g.,  $\hat{Q}(s,a_1)=\frac{1}{N(s,a_1)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(s,a_1)}r_i(s,a_1)$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Let  $\hat{\pi} \in \arg\max_{a} \hat{Q}(s,a)$  be the greedy policy wrt the estimated  $\hat{Q}$

- ▶ Consider single-state MDP (|S|=1) with 2 actions, and both actions have 0-mean random rewards:  $(r \mid a=a_1)=(r \mid a=a_2)=0$ 
  - assume that reward is stochastic (e.g.  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ )
- ▶ Then  $Q(s, a_1) = Q(s, a_2) = 0 = V(s)$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Assume there are prior samples of taking action  $a_1$  and  $a_2$
- Let  $\hat{Q}(s,a_1)$ ,  $\hat{Q}(s,a_2)$  be the finite sample estimate of  ${\bf Q}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Use an unbiased estimator for Q, e.g.,  $\hat{Q}(s,a_1)=\frac{1}{N(s,a_1)}\sum_{i=1}^{N(s,a_1)}r_i(s,a_1)$
- $lackbox{ Let } \hat{\pi} \in rg \max_a \hat{Q}(s,a)$  be the greedy policy wrt the estimated  $\hat{Q}$
- Even though each estimate of the state-action values is unbiased, the estimate of  $\hat{\pi}$ 's value  $\hat{V}^{\hat{\pi}}$  can be biased:

$$\begin{split} \hat{V}^{\hat{\pi}}(s) &= & \mathbb{E}[\max \hat{Q}(s, a_1), \hat{Q}(s, a_2)] \\ &\geq & \max[\mathbb{E}[\hat{Q}(s, a_1)], \mathbb{E}[\hat{Q}(s, a_2)]] \\ &= & \max[0, 0] = V^{\pi} \end{split}$$

### Double Q-Learning

- ► The greedy policy w.r.t. estimated Q values can yield a maximization bias during finite-sample learning
- Avoid using max of estimates as estimate of max of true values
- Instead split samples and use to create two independent unbiased estimates of  $Q_1(s_1,a_i)$  and  $Q_2(s_1,a_i). \forall a \in A$ 
  - Use one estimate to select max action:  $a^* \in \arg \max_{a \in A} Q_1(s_1, a)$
  - Use other estimate to estimate value of  $a^*$ :  $Q_2(s, a^*)$
  - lacktriangle Yields unbiased estimate:  $\mathbb{E}(Q_2(s,a^*))=Q(s,a^*)$
- Unbiased estimate of the max state-action value because of independent samples to estimate the value

# Double Q-Learning for Full MDP

- Initialization:
  - $\blacktriangleright \ Q_1(s,a) \ {\rm and} \ Q_2(s,a) \ \forall s \in S, a \in A$
  - t = 0
  - ightharpoonup initial state  $s_t = s_0$
- ► Loop

- $\blacktriangleright \ \, \mathsf{Select} \ \, a_t \ \, \mathsf{using} \, \, \epsilon\mathsf{-greedy} \, \pi(s) \in \arg\max_{a \in A} Q_1(s_t,a) + Q_2(s_t,a)$
- ightharpoonup Observe  $(r_t, s_{t+1})$
- ▶ With 50-50 probability either
  - 1.  $Q_1(s_t,a_t) \leftarrow Q_1(s_t,a_t) + \alpha(r_t + \gamma \max_{a \in A} Q_2(s_{t+1},a) Q_1(s_t,a_t))$  or
  - $\textbf{2.} \ \ Q_2(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow Q_2(s_t, a_t) + \alpha(r_t + \gamma \max_{a \in A} Q_1(s_{t+1}, a) Q_2(s_t, a_t))$
- ▶ t = t + 1

# Double Q-Learning for Full MDP

- Initialization:
  - $ightharpoonup Q_1(s,a) \ \forall s \in S, a \in A$
  - t = 0
  - ightharpoonup initial state  $s_t = s_0$
- ► Loop

- $\blacktriangleright \ \, \mathsf{Select} \ a_t \ \mathsf{using} \ \epsilon\mathsf{-greedy} \ \pi(s) \in \arg\max\nolimits_{a \in A} Q_1(s_t,a) + Q_2(s_t,a)$
- ightharpoonup Observe  $(r_t, s_{t+1})$
- ▶ With 50-50 probability either
  - 1.  $Q_1(s_t,a_t) \leftarrow Q_1(s_t,a_t) + \alpha(r_t + \gamma \max_{a \in A} Q_2(s_{t+1},a) Q_1(s_t,a_t))$  or
  - $\textbf{2.} \ \ Q_2(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow Q_2(s_t, a_t) + \alpha(r_t + \gamma \max_{a \in A} Q_1(s_{t+1}, a) Q_2(s_t, a_t))$
- ▶ t = t + 1
- → Doubles the memory, same computation requirements, data requirements are subtle might reduce amount of exploration needed due to lower bias

### Double Q-Learning [Sutton & Barto 2018]

