# Function Approximation Control using VFA

#### Marius Lindauer







Winter Term 2021

# Control using Value Function Approximation

- lacktriangle Use value function approximation to represent state-action values  $\hat{Q}^\pi(s,a;ec{w})pprox Q^\pi$
- ▶ Interleave

Lindauer

- Approximate policy evaluation using value function approximation
- ▶ Perform  $\epsilon$ -greedy policy improvement
- ► Can be unstable. Generally involves intersection of the following:
  - Function approximation
  - Bootstrapping
  - Off-policy learning

# Action-Value Function Approximation with an Oracle

- $\hat{Q}^{\pi}(s,a;\vec{w}) \approx Q^{\pi}$
- lacktriangle Minimize the mean-squared error between the true action-value function  $Q^\pi(s,a)$  and the approximate action-value function:

$$J(\vec{w}) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[(Q^{\pi}(s,a) - \hat{Q}^{\pi}(s,a;\vec{w}))^2]$$

Use stochastic gradient descent to find a local minimum

$$\begin{array}{rcl} -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\vec{w}J(\vec{w})} & = & \mathbb{E}\left[(Q^{\pi}(s,a)-\hat{Q}^{\pi}(s,a;\vec{w}))\nabla_{\vec{w}\hat{Q}^{\pi}(s,a;\vec{w})}\right] \\ \Delta\vec{w} & = & -\frac{1}{2}\alpha\nabla_{\vec{w}J(\vec{w})} \end{array}$$

Stochastic gradient descent (SGD) samples the gradient

# Linear State Action Value Function Approximation with an Oracle

Use features to represent both the state and action

$$\vec{x}(s,a) = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{x}_1(s,a) \\ \vec{x}_2(s,a) \\ \dots \\ \vec{x}_n(s,a) \end{pmatrix}$$

Represent state-action value function with a weighted linear combination of features

$$\hat{Q}(s,a;\vec{w}) = \vec{x}(s,a)^T \vec{w} = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j(s,a) w_j$$

Stochastic gradient descent update

$$\nabla_{\vec{w}}J(\vec{w}) = \nabla_{\vec{w}}\mathbb{E}_{\pi}[(Q^{\pi}(s,a) - \hat{Q}^{\pi}(s,a;\vec{w}))^2]$$

### Incremental Model-Free Control Approaches

- Similar to policy evaluation, true state-action value function for a state is unknown and so substitute a target value
- ▶ In Monte Carlo methods, use a return  $G_t$  as a substitute target

$$\Delta \vec{w} = \alpha(G_t - \hat{Q}(s_t, a_t; \vec{w})) \nabla_{\vec{w}} \hat{Q}(s_t, a_t; \vec{w})$$

▶ For SARSA instead use a TD target  $r+\gamma \hat{Q}(s',a';\vec{w})$  which leverages the current function approximations value

$$\Delta \vec{w} = \alpha(r + \gamma \hat{Q}(s', a'; \vec{w}) - \hat{Q}(s, a; \vec{w})) \nabla_{\vec{w}} \hat{Q}(s, a; \vec{w})$$

For Q-learning instead use a TD target  $r + \gamma \max_{a'} \hat{Q}(s', a'; \vec{w})$  which leverages the max of the current function approximations value

$$\Delta \vec{w} = \alpha(r + \gamma \max_{a'} \hat{Q}(s', a'; \vec{w}) - \hat{Q}(s, a; \vec{w})) \nabla_{\vec{w}} \hat{Q}(s, a; \vec{w})$$