

End of proof of Gelfand func. calc:

Recall: For λ normal, $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda = \int_{\mathcal{H}} f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda}$. We have to show $f \mapsto \langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda$ is multiplicative: $\langle fg \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda = \langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda \langle g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda$.

For f, g cont., we know it by cont. func. calc, so $\langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda = \langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda \langle g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{H}_0$.

$$\Rightarrow \begin{aligned} \int f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} &= \langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda = \begin{cases} \langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda = \int f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} & \forall f, g \in \mathcal{H}_0 \\ \langle g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda = \int g d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \int f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} = \int f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} & (*) \\ \int f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} = \int f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} & (**) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So far we know these for f, g cont. on \mathcal{H}_0 . If we can extend μ to all f Borel, we will be done.

To show $\int f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} = \int f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda}$ (Borel) is equiv. to $\int f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} = \int f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \Leftrightarrow \langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda = \langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda$.

Indeed, $\langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda = \langle g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda = \int g d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} = \int g d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} = \int g d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} = \langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda$.

So, we showed $\int f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} = \int f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Hence, for f Borel, it follows $\int f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} = \int f d\mu_{\lambda, \lambda} \Leftrightarrow \langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda = \langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda$.

$\forall f, g \in \mathcal{H}_0$. Finally, $\langle fg \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda = \langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda \langle g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^i, \lambda$. Done!

von Neumann's Bicommutant Theorem

Thm: Let $M \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a unital, $*$ -closed algebra. Then M is a u.N. algebra $\Leftrightarrow \overline{M}^{s.o.} = M'$ if and only if $M = M''$.

More generally: if M is any unital $*$ -alg. of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\overline{M}^{s.o.} = M''$.

Examples: $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \cong M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} : a, d \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$. $M = \overline{M}^{s.o.}$, so we expect $M = M'$. In fact, $M = M'$ in this case, so $M'' = (M')' = M' = M$.

(2) If $M \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is any MASA (like \mathbb{C} in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$), then $M' = M \Rightarrow M'' = M \Rightarrow M$ is a u.N. alg.

(3) $M = \mathbb{C} \cdot I \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $M' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $M'' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})' = \mathbb{C} \cdot I$. $\Rightarrow M = M''$.

Exercise

(4) $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^4$, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \cong M_4(\mathbb{C})$, $M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} : A \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \right\}$. M is fin. dim., so it is s.o. closed. Let's check that indeed $M = M''$.

Exercise

$M \cong M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes I \subset M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C}) = M_4(\mathbb{C})$, and $(M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes I)' = (I \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right\} = M_2(\mathbb{C} \otimes I_2)$.

So, $M'' = (M')' = (M_2(\mathbb{C} \otimes I_2))' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} : A \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \right\} = M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes I$.

Lemma: Let $x \in B(H)$, and let h be a Hilbert subspace of H . TFAE:

(1) $xCh \subset h$ and $x^*Ch \subset h^\perp$

(2) $xCh \subset h$ and $x^*Ch \subset h$

(3) $xp = px$ w/ $p = \text{proj}_h$

Such h is called a reducing subspace for x .

p would look like $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

The thm says that $x: h^\perp \xrightarrow{x|_{h^\perp}} h^\perp$. So, x as a matrix looks like $\begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix}$ w/ $T_1 = x|_h, T_2 = x|_{h^\perp}$.

Proof of Lemma:

Assume (3): $xp = px$. For h , $xp = px \Leftrightarrow x(p(x)) \in h \Rightarrow xsh \Rightarrow x(h) \subset h$. For h^\perp , $xp = px \Leftrightarrow 0 = p(x) \Rightarrow x \perp h \Rightarrow xsh^\perp \Rightarrow x(h^\perp) \subset h^\perp$.

So, (3) \Rightarrow (1). Similarly, (3) \Rightarrow (2): $xp = px \Rightarrow px^* = x^*p \Rightarrow x^*Ch \subset h$ similarly.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): $xCh \subset h, x^*Ch \subset h^\perp$. Want: $xp = px \ \forall x \in H$. Since $H = h \oplus h^\perp$, it suffices to check for h and h^\perp .

For h , $xp = px$ is true as $xsh \Rightarrow p(x) = x|_h$. h^\perp is similar: $xp = px \Leftrightarrow xsh^\perp \Rightarrow p(x) = 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): $xCh \subset h, x^*Ch \subset h$. Want: $xp = px \ \forall x \in H$. Check: For h , $xp = px$ true as $xsh \subset h$. For h^\perp , $xp = px \Leftrightarrow 0 = p(x) \Leftrightarrow x \perp h$.

$\Leftrightarrow \langle x, s \rangle = 0 \ \forall s \in h \Leftrightarrow \langle s, x^*a \rangle = 0 \ \forall s \in h$, as $x^*a \in h$ and $h \perp h^\perp$.

Bicommutant Thm: $M = M'' \Leftrightarrow M = \overline{M}^{s.o.}$.

" \Rightarrow " is clear b/c any commutant is s.o. closed. Think: $xy = yx \Rightarrow xy = yx$ if $y_1 \Rightarrow y_2$.

" \Leftarrow ": We start with $M = \overline{M}^{s.o.}$ and must show $M = M''$. $M \subset M''$ is always true.

To show that $x \in M'' \Rightarrow x \in M$, we show $x \in M'' \Rightarrow x \in \overline{M}^{s.o.}$, so any open nbd of x (s.o.) intersects M . Review s.o. topology!