## **REGRESSION II**

### Machine Learning for Autonomous Robots

Bilal Wehbe RIC

**DFKI** Bremen

30 novembre 2023 - Bremen, Deutschland





# Linear Algebra Recap

## Def.: Group, Abelian Group

Let G be a set and  $\circ: G \times G \to G$  a binary operation on that set.  $(G, \circ)$  is called a group iff  $\forall a, b, c \in G$ :

- ▶  $a \circ b \in G$  (Closure).
- $(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ (b \circ c) \text{ (Associativity)}.$
- $ightharpoonup \exists e \in G : a \circ e = e \circ a = a \text{ (Identity)}.$
- $ightharpoonup \forall a, \exists -a : a \circ -a = -a \circ a = e \text{ (Inverse)}.$

If furthermore  $a \circ b = b \circ a$  (Commutativity) the group is called **Abelian**. **Example :**  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ .

#### Def.: Field

Let K be a set, +, \* two binary operations on K and 0, 1 distinct elements in K. (K, +, \*) is called a **field** iff:

- $\triangleright$  (K,+) is an abelian group with neutral element 0,
- $(K \setminus \{0\}, *)$  is an abelian group with neutral element 1 and
- ► The distributive law

$$a*(b+c) = a*b + a*c$$
  
 $(a+b)*c = a*c + b*c$ 

applies for all  $a, b, c \in K$ .

## **Example** : $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ .

### Def.: Vector Space

 $\mathcal{X}$  is called **vector space** over field  $\mathbb{F}$  iff for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ 

- $ightharpoonup (\mathcal{X},+)$  is a group.
- $ightharpoonup ax \in \mathcal{X}$  (Closure).
- ightharpoonup a(x+y)=ax+ay and (a+b)x=ax+bx (Distributivity).
- ightharpoonup a(bx) = (ab)x (Multiplicative Associativity).
- ightharpoonup 1x = x (Multiplicative Identity).

**Example :** For all  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## Def.: Inner Product Space

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions :

- $ightharpoonup \langle x,y\rangle = \langle y,x\rangle$  (Symmetry).
- $lack a\langle x,y\rangle=\langle ax,y\rangle$  and  $\langle x+y,z\rangle=\langle x,z\rangle+\langle y,z\rangle$  (Linearity).
- $\langle x, x \rangle \ge 0$  and  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$  (Positive semi-definiteness).

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$  and all  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ . A vectot space with inner product is called **inner product** space.

## **Kernel Functions**

#### Def.: Kernel Function

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a vector space and  $\mathcal{F}$  an inner product space. A function  $\kappa: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  is called a **Kernel Function** or **Kernel** iff for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ :

$$\kappa(x, y) = \langle \phi(x), \phi(y) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$
$$= \langle \phi(y), \phi(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$
$$= \kappa(y, x)$$

for a **Feature Mapping**  $\phi: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{F}$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is then also called **Feature Space**.

- lacktriangle To prove, that some  $\kappa$  is a Kernel Function, it is sufficient to show, that such a  $\phi$  exists.
- ▶ This is usually hard, it is common, that neither  $\phi$  not  $\mathcal{F}$  are explicitly known.
- ▶ We will now take a look at some proofs related to kernel functions. They are mostly based on **Construction** from the linear kernel.
- ► Alternatively we can prove, that the **Kernel-Matrix** of a kernel is positive semi-definite (we get to that).

First some tools

#### Def.: Kernel Matrix, Gram Matrix

The **Kernel Matrix** or **Gram Matrix** K is created, by applying a kernel  $\kappa$  to all pairs of vectors in a set  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ :

$$K := \begin{pmatrix} \kappa(x_1, x_1) & \kappa(x_1, x_2) & \cdots & \kappa(x_1, x_n) \\ \kappa(x_2, x_1) & \kappa(x_2, x_2) & \cdots & \kappa(x_2, x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \kappa(x_n, x_1) & \kappa(x_n, x_2) & \cdots & \kappa(x_n, x_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

### Def.: Positive-definiteness for Matrices

Let M be a  $n \times n$  square matrix. M is called positive definite iff :

$$x^{\mathsf{T}} M x > 0$$

and positve semi-definite iff:

$$x^{\mathsf{T}} M x \geq 0$$

for all *n*-vectors x. For a positive (semi-) definite Matrix all Eigenvalues are > 0 ( $\geq 0$ ).

#### Prop.:

All Kernel Matrices are positve semi-definite.

**Proof**: Let K be a kernel matrix for any dataset  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and kernel  $\kappa$ . Then for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  it holds:

$$y^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{K} y = \sum_{i,j} y_i \kappa(x_i, x_j) y_j$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} y_i \langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x_j) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} y_j$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \langle y_i \phi(x_i), y_j \phi(x_j) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$

$$= \left\langle \sum_i y_i \phi(x_i), \sum_j y_j \phi(x_j) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$$

$$\geq 0$$

### Proposition:

For any vector space  $\mathcal X$  which is also an inner product space, the **linear kernel**  $\kappa: \mathcal X \times \mathcal X \to \mathbb R$ 

$$\kappa(x,y) := \langle x,y \rangle$$

**Proof :** If  $\mathcal X$  is an inner product space,  $\kappa$  obviously suffices the kernel properties.

The feature map is the identity map  $\phi(x) = x$ , the feature space is  $\mathcal{X}$  itself.

### Proposition:

Let  $\kappa$  be a kernel, then for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0$ 

$$\omega := a\kappa$$

is also a kernel.

**Proof**: Let K be a kernel matrix for any dataset  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and kernel  $\kappa$ , and O accordingly for kernel  $\omega$ . Then for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  it holds:

$$\omega = a\kappa \Rightarrow O = aK$$
$$\Rightarrow y^{\mathsf{T}}Oy = ay^{\mathsf{T}}Ky \ge 0$$

The related feature space of  $\omega$  is the feature space of  $\kappa$  scaled by  $\sqrt{a}$ .

## Proposition

Let  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  be kernels. Then

$$\kappa = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$$

is also a kernel.

**Proof :** Let  $K, K_1, K_2$  be kernel matrices for  $\kappa, \kappa_1, \kappa_2$  for a dataset of size n and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then :

$$\kappa = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \Rightarrow K = K_1 + K_2$$
  
$$\Rightarrow y^\mathsf{T} K y = y^\mathsf{T} K_1 y + y^\mathsf{T} K_2 y \ge 0$$

The related feature space of  $\kappa$  is then  $\left(\phi(x)\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(x) \\ \phi_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$ .



### Def.: Spectral Decomposition

Any quadratic and symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix M can be written as :

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}}$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are the Eigenvalues and  $v_i$  the corresponding Eigenvectors of M. This is called the **Spectral Decomposition** of M.

#### **Proof**: We observe:

- The eigenvectors for different eigenvalues are linearly independent, therefore any  $n \times n$  matrix N satisfying  $Nv_i = Mv_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$  is necessarily indetical to M.
- lacktriangle Eigenvectors for different eigenvalues are orthogonal, therefore  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ .

Therefore for any *j* 

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}}\right) v_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}} v_j = \lambda_j v_j = M v_j$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}} = M$$



# Proposition

Let  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  be kernels. Then

$$\kappa = \kappa_1 \cdot \kappa_2$$

is also a kernel.

**Proof**: Let  $K, K_1, K_2$  be kernel matrices for  $\kappa, \kappa_1, \kappa_2$  for a dataset of size n and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\odot$  is the element-wise product for matrices:

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} v_{i} v_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \odot \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{j} w_{j} w_{j}^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{\lambda_{i} \gamma_{j}} (v_{i} \odot w_{j}) (v_{i} \odot w_{j})^{\mathsf{T}}$$

 $\kappa = \kappa_1 \cdot \kappa_2 \Rightarrow K = K_1 \odot K_2$ 

Which is again a positive semi-definite matrix.

The related feature space :  $(\phi(x))_{..} = (\phi_1(x))_{..} \cdot (\phi_2(x))_{..}$ 

#### Lemma

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sqrt{\lambda_i \gamma_j} (v_i \odot w_j) (v_i \odot w_j)^{\mathsf{T}}$$

is a positive semi-definite matrix.

**Proof**: For any  $n \times n$  matrix of the form  $M = \sum_i x_i x_i^\mathsf{T}$  with  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$y^{\mathsf{T}} M y = y^{\mathsf{T}} \left( \sum_{i} x_{i} x_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \right) y$$
$$= \sum_{i} (y^{\mathsf{T}} x_{i})^{2}$$
$$\geq 0$$

## Proposition:

Let  $\kappa$  be a kernel and  $p:\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  a polynomial with non-negative coefficients.

$$\omega := p(\kappa)$$

is also a kernel.

**Proof :** Follows from the previous oberservations of the sum, product and scalar product for kernels.  $\Box$ 

# Proposition

Let  $\kappa$  be a kernel.

$$\omega = e^{\kappa}$$

is also a kernel.

Proof: Part of the exercise sheet!

