November 23, 2015

Jacob Kilver

jkilver3

Assignment 8

Motion History Images

# Frame Differenced MHI

## Binary images

At first I was doing my image differencing incorrectly due to using the wrong data type, so I had to use the morphological open operator to get good looking images. Once I started using floating point values for the image differencing, I did not have these issues, so I removed the morphological open operator.

The first image seems to only have motion in the hands.




Figure 1: ps8-1-a-1.png

The second image clearly shows motion in the arms.




Figure 2: ps8-1-a-2.png

Again, clear motion in the arms.




Figure 3: ps8-1-a-3.png

## Motion history images

MHI frame = 100

Tau = 90



Figure 4: ps8-1-b-1.png

MHI frame = 71

Tau = 70



Figure 5: ps8-1-b-2.png

MHI frame = 105

Tau = 100




Figure 6: ps8-1-b-3.png

# Recognition using MHIs

## “Cheating” version

I normalized the MHIs to have a consistent maximum value. To have a good confusion matrix I had to modify the custom parameters to include custom values for where to take the history frame and τ values. I also had to significantly blur the images to get decent results. I was able to get good results using a simple Euclidean distance. This is the confusion matrix that resulted with this distance metric and unscaled central moments:

This is the confusion matrix using scaled central moments and Euclidean distance:

Using the instructor provided debugging statements, I was able to see that the only mismatches involved action 2 (waving hello) with the second participant. This participant did not wave quite like the other participants, leading to difficulty in correctly identifying it.

## Non cheating version

Again I used a Euclidean distance similarity function. These are the best results I was able to obtain.

For participant 1:

For participant 2:

For participant 3:

Once again, the algorithm had difficulty with the second participant’s second action (waving hello). I attempted to better the results by trying to make this action match that of the other participants more closely, but I was unable to do so. From the discussions on the forum, I decided not to change the distance metric because others were able to get perfect results without doing so. Instead, I decided to focus on getting the best motion history images possible in order to improve matching.