## 625.661 Statistical Models and Regression

## **Test 1 for Modules 1, 2, 3, 4**

## H.M. James Hung

- 1. Assume that a linear regression model has three regressors.
  - a) Derive a test statistic to test the null hypothesis that their respective regression coefficients are all zero. [5 points]
  - b) If the null hypothesis in a) is rejected, would this mean that the regression model has value for prediction? [5 points]
  - c) Can the importance of each regressor be assessed by simply looking at the respective magnitudes of the *t*-statistics? [5 points]

State the assumptions for each step of your discussion or derivation in a), b), c).

- 2. In a simple linear regression analysis where the regressor x is non-random, we have random errors and residuals.
  - a) What are the differences between residuals and random errors? [10 points]
  - b) Derive the variance of residual and the variance of random error. [15 points]
  - c) Now assume x is random. Derive the variance of residual. [15 points]

State the assumptions for each step of your discussion or derivation in a), b), c).

3. In a multiple linear regression analysis, the response variable y is studied with two non-random variables  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . This regression model that is fitted to the data on  $(y, x_1, x_2)$  of n subjects is given by

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 z_1 + \beta_2 z_2 + \varepsilon \quad ,$$

where  $z_1=w_1x_1+(1-w_1)x_2$ ,  $z_2=w_2x_1+(1-w_2)x_2$ ,  $\varepsilon$  is a random error with mean zero and variance  $\sigma^2$  (its value is unknown), and the weights  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  have known values.

- a) Derive the ordinary least-squares estimators for  $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2)'$  as functions of  $(y, x_1, x_2)$ , not  $(y, z_1, z_2)$ . [15 points]
- b) Derive a statistical test for testing statistical significance of the regression model for  $(y, x_1, x_2)$ , not for  $(y, z_1, z_2)$ . [15 points]

State the assumptions for each step of your discussion or derivation in a), b), c).

4. Suppose that *n* subjects give data following the **true** model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \varepsilon,$$

where  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are non-random regressors, and  $\varepsilon$  is the random error.

Now suppose that the reduced model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \varepsilon,$$

is also fitted to the same data to obtain the least-squares estimator  $\,\widetilde{eta}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\,.$ 

Discuss by mathematical arguments whether this least-squares estimator  $\widetilde{\beta}_1$  is biased for  $\beta_1$ . If yes, discuss by mathematical arguments the conditions under which  $\widetilde{\beta}_1$  is unbiased for  $\beta_1$ . [15 points]

State the assumptions for each step of your discussion or derivation.