Continuous Probabilistic Programming I: Semantics

Steven Holtzen s.holtzen@northeastern.edu

September 25, 2023

• We give a syntax for a tiny continuous PPL called TINYCONT:

Typing rules Types τ have the following inductive description:

$$\tau ::= \mathbb{R} \mid \mathbb{B}$$

Terms have the following typing judgments:

```
\begin{split} \frac{\Gamma(x) = \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} & \qquad \Gamma \vdash \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{R} & \quad \mathsf{unif} : \mathsf{Dist}(\mathbb{R}) \\ \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{e} : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{return} \ \mathsf{e} : \mathsf{Dist}(\tau)} & \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{e}_1 : \mathsf{Dist}(\tau) \qquad \Gamma \cup [x \mapsto \tau] \vdash \mathsf{e}_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{let} \ \mathsf{x} = \mathsf{e}_1 \ \mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{e}_2 : \tau'} \end{split}
```

An example program:

```
x ← unif;
return x + 1
```

Listing 1: Simple program.

1 Denotational semantics

• Denotation of types. Base types have two possible interpretations: measurable spaces (i.e. pairs (Ω, Σ) where Ω is a set and Σ is a σ -algebra on Ω :) and pure values. We denote the measurable space interpretation $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_M$:

$$\begin{split} \llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket_M &= (\{\mathsf{true}, \mathsf{false}\}, 2^{\{\mathsf{true}, \mathsf{false}\}}) \\ \llbracket \mathbb{R} \rrbracket_M &= (\{\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\}) \end{split}$$

where \mathcal{B} are the standard Borel-sets on \mathbb{R} . We say $v \in [\![\tau]\!]$ if v is an element of the σ -algebra of $[\![\tau]\!]$.

• Pure denotation of types is denoted $[\![\tau]\!]_p$ and is traditional:

$$\begin{split} & [\![\mathbf{B}]\!]_p = \{ \mathsf{true}, \mathsf{false} \} \\ & [\![\mathbf{R}]\!]_p = \mathbf{R}. \end{split}$$

• Denotational of distribution-typed terms has the form:

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{e} : \mathsf{Dist}(\tau) \rrbracket : \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_M \to [0, 1] \tag{1}$$

- Denotation of pure terms has the a standard base type
- Inductive definition:

Operational semantics

• Following Culpepper and Cobb [2017]. An entropy space is a measurable space (S, Σ_S) with meaure $\mu_S : \Sigma_S \to [0, 1]$ and functions:

$$\pi_U: \mathbb{S} \to [0, 1] \tag{2}$$

$$\pi_R, \pi_L : \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{S}$$
(3)

such that the following equations hold:

1. $\mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbb{S}) = 1$, and so for any $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ it is the case that:

$$\int k\mu_{S}(d\sigma) = k. \tag{4}$$

2. For any measurable function $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\int f(\pi_{U}(\sigma)) \ \mu_{S}(d\sigma) = \int_{[0,1]} f(x) \mathbb{L}(dx)$$
 (5)

3. For any measurable $g: \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{R}^+$:

$$\int g(\pi_L(\sigma), \pi_R(\sigma)) \ \mu_S(d\sigma) = \int \int g(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \mu_S(d\sigma_1) \mu_S(d\sigma_2) \quad (6)$$

Some notation:

- Dirac delta on value v: δ_v
- Lebesgue measure on a set $A: \mathbb{L}(A)$

• We give a Hilbert-cube style semantics in the style of Wand et al. [2018]. The relation has the form:

$$\sigma \vdash \mathbf{e} \Downarrow v$$
 (7)

• Inductive description:

$$\sigma \vdash v \Downarrow v \qquad \qquad \frac{\pi_U(\sigma) = r}{\sigma \vdash \mathsf{unif} \Downarrow r} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{return} \ v \Downarrow v$$

$$\frac{\pi_L(\sigma) \vdash \mathsf{e}_1 \Downarrow v_1 \qquad \pi_R(\sigma) \vdash \mathsf{e}_2[v_1/x] \Downarrow v_2}{\sigma \vdash x \leftarrow \mathsf{e}_2; \mathsf{e}_2 \Downarrow v_2}$$

• For a term of e of type $Dist(\tau)$, This defines an *evaluation function* ev : $\Sigma \to e \to [\![\tau]\!]_n$ that maps each (well-typed) term to the value that it steps to.

Theorem 1 (Adequacy). *If* $\Gamma \vdash e$: Dist (τ) , then for any $A \in [\![\tau]\!]_M$ it is the case that: $\int [ev(\sigma, e) \in A] \mu_S(d\sigma) = [e](A)$.

Proof. Structural induction on monadic terms. Base cases:

- return v. Case analysis:
 - Assume $v \in A$. Then:

$$\begin{split} \int [\operatorname{ev}(\sigma, \operatorname{return} \ \mathsf{v}) \in A] \ \mu_{\mathsf{S}}(\mathrm{d}\sigma) &= \int 1 \ \mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &= 1 \\ &= \llbracket \operatorname{return} \ \mathsf{v} \rrbracket \, (A). \end{split}$$

Similar for the case $v \notin A$.

• unif. Then:

$$\begin{split} \int [\operatorname{ev}(\sigma, \operatorname{unif}) \in A] \ \mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathrm{d}\sigma) &= \int [\pi_{U}(\sigma) \in A] \ \mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathrm{d}\sigma) \\ &= \int_{[0,1]} [x \in A] \ \mathbb{L}(\mathrm{d}x) \qquad \text{By (5)} \\ &= \|\operatorname{unif}\| \ (A). \end{split}$$

References

Ryan Culpepper and Andrew Cobb. Contextual equivalence for probabilistic programs with continuous random variables and scoring. In European Symposium on Programming, pages 368–392. Springer, 2017.

Notation:

- $\pi_L(\sigma)$: left project of σ . Similarly π_R is right.
- \mathbb{S} : the entropy space $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$

Mitchell Wand, Ryan Culpepper, Theophilos Giannakopoulos, and Andrew Cobb. Contextual equivalence for a probabilistic language with continuous random variables and recursion. Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages, 2(ICFP):1–30, 2018.