Discrete Probabilistic Programming Languages¹

¹ CS7470 Fall 2023: Foundations of Probabilistic Programming.

Steven Holtzen s.holtzen@northeastern.edu

October 2, 2023

- 1 Disc: A simple discrete PPL
- Syntax:

- Disc looks very similar to a standard functional programming language, but has two some interesting new keywords: flip, observe, and return
- flip θ allocates a new random quantity that is true with probability θ and false with probability $1-\theta$
- return e turns a non-probabilistic quantity into a probabilistic one, i.e. return true is a *probabilistic quantity* that is true with probability 1 and false with probability 0
- Example program and its interpretation:

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{1} & \text{x} \leftarrow \text{flip 0.5;} \\ \text{2} & \text{y} \leftarrow \text{flip 0.5;} \\ \text{3} & \text{return x} \wedge \text{y} \end{array}
```

This program outputs the probability distribution [true \mapsto 0.25, false \mapsto 0.75].

• observe is a powerful keyword that lets us *condition* the program. For instance, suppose I want to model the following scenario: "flip two coins and observe that at least one of them is heads. What is the probability that the first coin was heads?"

We can encode this scenario as a Disc program:

```
1  x ← flip 0.5;
2  y ← flip 0.5;
3  observe x ∨ y;
4  return x
```

This program outputs the probability distribution:

[true
$$\mapsto (0.25 + 0.25)/0.75$$
, false $\mapsto 0.25/0.75$]

• Type system: terms can either be pure Booleans of type B or distributions on Booleans of type Dist(B). So, we have the following type definition:

$$\tau ::= \mathbb{B} \mid \mathsf{Dist}(\mathbb{B}). \tag{1}$$

• We define a typing judgment $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ that associates each term with a type. The typing context Γ is a map from identifiers to types.

Denotational semantics of DISC

- Associates each term with an unnormalized probability distribution (i.e., the total probability mass may be less than 1).
- Has the type [e]: Bool $\rightarrow [0,1]$, and has the following inductive definition:

- The semantics for non-probabilistic terms is standard. The semantic evaluation has type [[e]]: Bool for closed terms.
- These semantics give an unnormalized distribution. The main semantic object of interest is the normalized distribution, which is given by the **normalized semantics**:

$$[\![e]\!]_D(T) = \frac{[\![e]\!](T)}{[\![e]\!](T) + [\![e]\!](F)},$$

defined analogously for the false case.

- Observation
- Compiling DISC to Prop
- Goal: give a semantics-preserving compilation → that compiles Disc to Prop
- In order to handle observations, we will compile Disc programs into two Prop programs: one that computes the unnormalized probability of returning true, and one that computes the probability of evidence (i.e. normalizing constant)
- Inductive description has the shape $e \rightsquigarrow (p_1, p_2)$. We want to define this relation to satisfy the following adequacy condition:

$$\llbracket \mathbf{e} \rrbracket_D \left(\mathsf{true} \right) = \frac{\llbracket \mathbf{p}_1 \rrbracket}{\llbracket \mathbf{p}_2 \rrbracket}. \tag{2}$$

We will shorten this description to $e \leadsto (\varphi, \varphi_A, w)$ and assume that the two formulae share a common w. The adequacy condition then becomes:

$$\llbracket \mathbf{e} \rrbracket_D \left(\mathsf{true} \right) = \frac{\llbracket (\varphi, w) \rrbracket}{\llbracket (\varphi_A, w) \rrbracket}. \tag{3}$$

• Compilation relation:

$$\mathsf{true} \leadsto (\mathsf{true}, \mathsf{true}, \emptyset) \hspace{1cm} \mathsf{false} \leadsto (\mathsf{false}, \mathsf{true}, \emptyset)$$

$$x \leadsto (x, \mathsf{true}, \varnothing) \qquad \frac{\mathsf{fresh}\; x}{\mathsf{flip}\; \theta \leadsto (x, \mathsf{true}, [x \mapsto \theta, \overline{x} \mapsto 1 - \theta])}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{e}_1 \leadsto (\varphi, \varphi_A, w) \qquad \mathsf{e}_2 \leadsto (\varphi', \varphi'_A, w')}{x \leftarrow \mathsf{e}_1; \mathsf{e}_2 \leadsto (\varphi'[\varphi/x], \varphi'_A[\varphi/x] \land \varphi_A, w_1 \cup w_2)}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{e}_1 \leadsto (\varphi, \varphi_A, w) \qquad \mathsf{e}_2 \leadsto (\varphi', \varphi'_A, w')}{\mathsf{observe}\; \mathsf{e}_1; \mathsf{e}_2 \leadsto (\varphi', \varphi'_A \land \varphi_A, w_1 \cup w_2)}$$

Theorem 1 (Adequacy). For well-typed term e, assume $e \leadsto (\varphi, \varphi_A, w)$. Then, $\llbracket \mathbf{e} \rrbracket_D$ (true) = $\llbracket (\varphi, w) \rrbracket / \llbracket (\varphi_A, w) \rrbracket$.

References