Workgroup: Network Working Group Internet-Draft: draft-wullink-rpp-core-01

Published: 2 July 2025
Intended Standards Track
Status: 3 January 2026

Expires: M. Wullink P. Kowalik Authors: SIDN Labs DENIC

RESTful Provisioning Protocol (RPP)

Abstract

This document describes the endpoints for the RESTful Provisioning Protocol, used for the provisioning and management of objects in a shared database.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 3 January 2026.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2025 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

| 1. Introduction | 3 |
|--|----|
| 2. Terminology | 3 |
| 3. Conventions Used in This Document | 3 |
| 4. Request Headers | 4 |
| 5. Response Headers | 4 |
| 6. Endpoints | 5 |
| 6.1. Check for Existence | 5 |
| 6.2. Resource Information | 6 |
| 6.3. Poll for Messages | 7 |
| 6.4. Delete Message | 8 |
| 6.5. Create Resource | 9 |
| 6.6. Delete Resource | 10 |
| 6.7. Renew Resource | 10 |
| 6.8. Transfer Resource | 11 |
| 6.8.1. Start | 11 |
| 6.8.2. Status | 13 |
| 6.8.3. Cancel | 14 |
| 6.8.4. Reject | 15 |
| 6.8.5. Approve | 15 |
| 6.9. Update Resource | 16 |
| 7. Extension Framework | 17 |
| 8. IANA Considerations | 17 |
| 9. Internationalization Considerations | 17 |
| 10. Security Considerations | 17 |
| 11. Change History | 18 |
| 11.1. Version 00 to 01 | 18 |
| 12. Normative References | 18 |
| Authors' Addresses | 19 |
| | |

1. Introduction

This document describes an Application Programming Interface (API) API based on the HTTP protocol [RFC2616] and the principles of [REST]. Conforming to the REST constraints is generally referred to as being "RESTful". Hence the API is dubbed: "RESTful Provisioning Protocol" or "RPP" for short.

RPP is data format agnostic, this document describes a framework describing protocol messages in any data format. the client uses server-driven content negotiation. Allowing the client to select from a set of representation media types supported by the server, such as JSON [RFC8259], XML or [YAML].

2. Terminology

In this document the following terminology is used.

REST - Representational State Transfer ([REST]). An architectural style.

RESTful - A RESTful web service is a web service or API implemented using HTTP and the principles of [REST].

EPP RFCs - This is a reference to the EPP version 1.0 specifications [RFC5730], [RFC5731], [RFC5732] and [RFC5733].

RESTful Provisioning Protocol or RPP - The protocol described in this document.

URL - A Uniform Resource Locator as defined in [RFC3986].

Resource - An object having a type, data, and possible relationship to other resources, identified by a URL.

RPP client - An HTTP user agent performing an RPP request

RPP server - An HTTP server responsible for processing requests and returning results in any supported media type.

3. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

In examples, lines starting with "C:" represent data sent by a RPP client and lines starting with "S:" represent data returned by a RPP server. Indentation and white space in examples are provided only to illustrate element relationships and are not REQUIRED features of the protocol.

All example requests assume a RPP server using HTTP version 2 is listening on the standard HTTPS port on host rppp.example.nl. An authorization token has been provided by an out of band process and MUST be used by the client to authenticate each request.

4. Request Headers

In contrast to EPP over TCP [RFC5734], a RPP request does not always require a request message body. The information conveyed by the HTTP method, URL, and request headers may be sufficient for the server to be able to successfully processes a request for most commands. However, the client MUST include the request message in the HTTP request body when the server requires additional attributes to be present in the request message. The RPP HTTP headers listed below use the "RPP-" prefix, following the recommendations in [RFC6648].

TODO: the non standard headers mentioned below are linked to EPP and may need to be removed or modified.

- RPP-Cltrid: The client transaction identifier is the equivalent of the clTRID element defined in [RFC5730] and MUST be used accordingly, when the HTTP message body does not contain an EPP request that includes a cltrid.
- RPP-AuthInfo: The client MAY use this header for sending basic token-based authorization information, as described in Section 2.6 of [RFC5731] and Section 2.8 of [RFC5733]. If the authorization is linked to a contact object then the client MUST also include the RPP-Roid header.
- RPP-Roid: If the authorization info, is linked to a database object, the client MAY use this header for the Repository Object IDentifier (ROID), as described in Section 4.2 of [RFC5730].
- Accept-Language: The server MUST support the use of HTTP Accept-Language header by clients. The client MAY issue a Hello request to discover the languages supported by the server. Multiple servers in a load-balanced environment SHOULD reply with consistent "lang" elements in the Greeting response. The value of the Accept-Language header MUST match 1 of the languages from the Greeting. When the server receives a request using an unsupported language, the server MUST respond using the default language configured for the server.

5. Response Headers

The server HTTP response contains a status code, headers, and MAY contain an RPP response message in the message body. HTTP headers are used to transmit additional data to the client and MAY be used to send RPP process related data to the client. HTTP headers used by RPP MUST use the "RPP-" prefix, the following response headers have been defined for RPP.

TODO: the non standard headers mentioned below are linked to EPP and may need to be removed.

• RPP-Svtrid: This header is the equivalent of the "svTRID" element defined in [RFC5730] and MUST be used accordingly when the RPP response does not contain an EPP response in the HTTP message body. If an HTTP message body with the EPP XML equivalent "svTRID" exists, both values MUST be consistent.

- RPP-Cltrid: This header is the equivalent of the "clTRID" element defined in [RFC5730] and MUST be used accordingly when the RPP response does not contain an EPP response in the HTTP message body. If the contents of the HTTP message body contains a "clTRID" value, then both values MUST be consistent.
- RPP-code: This header is the equivalent of the EPP result code defined in [RFC5730] and MUST be used accordingly. This header MUST be added to all responses, except for the Greeting, and MAY be used by the client for easy access to the EPP result code, without having to parse the content of the HTTP response message body.
- RPP-Check-Avail: An alternative for the "avail" attribute of the object:name element in an Object Check response and MUST be used accordingly. The server does not return a HTTP message body in response to a RPP Object Check request.
- RPP-Queue-Size: Return the number of unacknowledged messages in the client message queue. The server MAY include this header in all RPP responses.
- Cache-Control: The client MUST never cache results, the server MUST always return the value "No-Store" for this header, as described in Section 5.2.1.5 of [RFC7234].
- Content-Language: The server MUST include this header in every response that contains an EPP message in the message body.
- Content-Encoding: The server MAY choose to compress the responses message body, using an algorithm selected from the list of algorithms provided by the client using the Accept-Encoding request header.

RPP does not always return an response in the HTTP message body. The Object Check request for example may return an empty HTTP response body. When the server does not return an EPP message, it MUST return at least the RPP-Svtrid, RPP-Cltrid and RPP-code headers.

Endpoints

subsequent sections provide details for each endpoint. URLs are assumed to be using the prefix: "/{context-root}/{version}/". Some RPP endpoints do not require a request and/or response message.

{c}: An abbreviation for {collection}: this MUST be substituted with "domains", "hosts", "entities" or any other collection of objects. {i}: An abbreviation for an object id, this MUST be substituted with the value of a domain name, hostname, contact-id or a message-id or any other defined object.

A RPP client MAY use the HTTP GET method for executing informational request only when no request data has to be added to the HTTP message body. Sending content using an HTTP GET request is discouraged in [RFC9110], there exists no generally defined semantics for content received in a GET request. When an RPP object requires additional information, the client MUST use the HTTP POST method and add the query command content to the HTTP message body.

6.1. Check for Existence

• Request: HEAD /{collection}/{id}

Request message: NoneResponse message: None

The HTTP HEAD method MUST be used for object existence check. The response MUST contain the RPP-Check-Avail header. The value of the RPP-Check-Avail header MUST be false or true, depending on whether the object can be provisioned.

The Check endpoint MUST be limited to checking only a single object-id per request, to allow the server to efficiently load balance requests.

Example request for a domain name:

HEAD /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token>

Accept-Language: en RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345

Example response:

HTTP/2 200 OK

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2024 12:00:00 UTC

Server: Example RPP server v1.0

RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345 RPP-Svtrid: XYZ-12345 RPP-Check-Avail: false RPP-result-code: 1000 Content-Length: 0

6.2. Resource Information

The Object Info request MUST use the HTTP GET method on a resource identifying an object instance. If the object has authorization information attached then the client MUST use an empty message body and include the RPP-AuthInfo HTTP header. If the authorization is linked to a database object the client MUST also include the RPP-Roid header. The client MAY also use a message body that includes the authorization information, the client MUST then not use the RPP-AuthInfo and RPP-Roid headers.

Request: GET /{collection}/{id}Request message: Optional

• Response message: Info response

Example request for an object not using authorization information.

GET /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token> Accept: application/rpp+json Accept-Language: en

RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345

Example request using RPP-AuthInfo and RPP-Roid headers for an object that has attached authorization information.

GET /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token>
Accept: application/rpp+json
Accept-Language: en
RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345
RPP-AuthInfo: secret-token
RPP-Roid: REG-XYZ-12345

Example Info response:

HTTP/2 200 OK

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2024 12:00:00 UTC Server: Example RPP server v1.0

Content-Length: 424

Content-Type: application/rpp+json

Content-Language: en RPP-code: 1000

TODO: JSON message here

6.3. Poll for Messages

The messages endpoint is used for retrieving messages stored on the server for the client to process.

Request: GET /messagesRequest message: None

• Response message: Poll response

The client MUST use the HTTP GET method on the messages resource collection to request the message at the head of the queue.

Example request:

GET /rpp/v1/messages HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token>
Accept: application/rpp+json
Accept-Language: en
RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345

Example response:

HTTP/2 200 OK

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2024 12:00:00 UTC Server: Example RPP server v1.0

Content-Length: 312

Content-Type: application/rpp+json

Content-Language: en RPP-code: 1301

TODO

6.4. Delete Message

• Request: DELETE /messages/{id}

• Request message: None

• Response message: Poll Ack response

The client MUST use the HTTP DELETE method to acknowledge receipt of a message from the queue. The "msgID" attribute of a received RPP Poll message MUST be included in the message resource URL, using the {id} path element. The server MUST use RPP headers to return the RPP result code and the number of messages left in the queue. The server MUST NOT add content to the HTTP message body of a successful response, the server may add content to the message body of an error response.

Example request:

DELETE /rpp/v1/messages/12345 HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token>
Accept: application/rpp+json
Accept-Language: en
RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345

Example response:

HTTP/2 200 OK

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2024 12:00:00 UTC Server: Example RPP server v1.0

Content-Language: en RPP-code: 1000 RPP-Queue-Size: 0 RPP-Svtrid: XYZ-12345 RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345 Content-Length: 145

TODO

6.5. Create Resource

• Request: POST /{collection}

Request message: Object Create requestResponse message: Object Create response

The client MUST use the HTTP POST method to create a new object resource. If the RPP request results in a newly created object, then the server MUST return HTTP status code 200 (OK). The server MUST add the "Location" header to the response, the value of this header MUST be the URL for the newly created resource.

Example Domain Create request:

POST /rpp/v1/domains HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token>
Accept: application/rpp+json
Content-Type: application/rpp+json

Accept-Language: en Content-Length: 220

TODO

Example Domain Create response:

HTTP/2 200

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2024 12:00:00 UTC Server: Example RPP server v1.0

Content-Language: en Content-Length: 642

Content-Type: application/rpp+json

Location: https://rpp.example.nl/rpp/v1/domains/example.nl

RPP-code: 1000

TODO

6.6. Delete Resource

• Request: DELETE /{collection}/{id}

Request message: OptionalResponse message: Status

The client MUST the HTTP DELETE method and a resource identifying a unique object instance. The server MUST return HTTP status code 200 (OK) if the resource was deleted successfully.

Example Domain Delete request:

DELETE /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token> Accept: application/rpp+json Accept-Language: en RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345

Example Domain Delete response:

HTTP/2 200 OK

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2024 12:00:00 UTC Server: Example RPP server v1.0

Content-Length: 80 RPP-Svtrid: XYZ-12345 RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345 RPP-code: 1000

TODO

6.7. Renew Resource

• Request: POST /{collection}/{id}/renewal

• Request message: Optional

• Response message: Renew response

Not all EPP object types include support for the renew command. The current-date query parameter MAY be used for date on which the current validity period ends, as described in Section 3.2.3 of [RFC5731]. The new period MAY be added to the request using the unit and value request parameters. The response MUST include the Location header for the renewed object.

TODO:: current-date: can also be a HTTP header?

Example Domain Renew request:

POST /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl/renewal?current-date=2024-01-01 HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token>
Accept: application/rpp+json
Content-Type: application/rpp+json

Accept-Language: en Content-Length: 0

Example Domain Renew request, using 1 year period:

POST /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl/renewal?current-date=2024-01-01?unit=y&value=1

HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token>
Accept: application/rpp+json
Content-Type: application/rpp+json

Accept-Language: en Content-Length: 0

Example Renew response:

HTTP/2 200 OK

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2024 12:00:00 UTC Server: Example RPP server v1.0

Content-Language: en Content-Length: 205

Location: https://rpp.example.nl/rpp/v1/domains/example.nl

Content-Type: application/rpp+json

RPP-code: 1000

TODO

6.8. Transfer Resource

The Transfer command is mapped to a nested resource, named "transfer". The semantics of the HTTP DELETE method are determined by the role of the client executing the DELETE method. The DELETE method is defined as "reject transfer" for the current sponsoring client of the object. For the new sponsoring client the DELETE method is defined as "cancel transfer".

6.8.1. Start

Request: POST /{collection}/{id}/transfer

Request message: OptionalResponse message: Status

In order to initiate a new object transfer process, the client MUST use the HTTP POST method on a unique resource to create a new transfer resource object. Not all RPP objects support the Transfer command.

If the transfer request is successful, then the response MUST include the Location header for the object being transferred.

Example request not using object authorization:

POST /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl/transfer HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Content-Length: 0

Authorization: Bearer <token> Accept: application/rpp+json Accept-Language: en RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345

Example request using object authorization:

POST /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl/transfer HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token>
Accept: application/rpp+json
RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345
RPP-AuthInfo: secret-token
Accept-Language: en
Content-Length: 0

Example request using 1 year renewal period, using the unit and value query parameters:

POST /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl/transfer?unit=y&value=1 HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token>
Accept: application/rpp+json
Accept-Language: en

RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345 Content-Length: 0

Example Transfer response:

HTTP/2 200 OK

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2024 12:00:00 UTC Server: Example RPP server v1.0

Content-Language: en Content-Length: 328

Content-Type: application/rpp+json

Location: https://rpp.example.nl/rpp/v1/domains/example.nl/transfer

RPP-code: 1001

TODO

6.8.2. Status

A transfer object may not exist, when no transfer has been initiated for the specified object. The client MUST use the HTTP GET method and MUST NOT add content to the HTTP message body.

• Request: GET {collection}/{id}/transfer

• Request message: Optional

• Response message: Transfer Status response

Example domain name Transfer Status request without authorization information required:

GET /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl/transfer HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token>
Accept: application/rpp+json
Accept-Language: en
RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345

If the requested transfer object has associated authorization information that is not linked to another database object, then the HTTP GET method MUST be used and the authorization information MUST be included using the RPP-AuthInfo header.

Example domain name Transfer Query request using RPP-AuthInfo header:

GET /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl/transfer HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token>
Accept: application/rpp+json
Accept-Language: en
RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345
RPP-AuthInfo: secret-token

If the requested object has associated authorization information linked to another database object, then the HTTP GET method MUST be used and both the RPP-AuthInfo and the RPP-Roid header MUST be included.

Example domain name Transfer Query request and authorization using RPP-AuthInfo and the RPP-Roid header:

GET /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl/transfer HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token>
Accept: application/rpp+json
Accept-Language: en
RPP-AuthInfo: secret-token
RPP-Roid: REG-XYZ-12345

Content-Length: 0

Example Transfer Query response:

HTTP/2 200 OK

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2024 12:00:00 UTC

Server: Example RPP server v1.0

Content-Length: 230

Content-Type: application/rpp+json

Content-Language: en RPP-code: 1000

TODO

6.8.3. Cancel

• Request: DELETE /{collection}/{id}/transfer

Request message: OptionalResponse message: Status

The new sponsoring client MUST use the HTTP DELETE method to cancel a requested transfer.

Example request:

DELETE /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl/transfer HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token> Accept: application/rpp+json

Accept-Language: en RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345

Example response:

HTTP/2 200 OK

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2024 12:00:00 UTC Server: Example RPP server v1.0

Content-Length: 80 RPP-Svtrid: XYZ-12345 RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345 RPP-code: 1000

TODO

6.8.4. Reject

• Request: DELETE /{collection}/{id}/transfer

Request message: NoneResponse message: Status

The currently sponsoring client of the object MUST use the HTTP DELETE method to reject a started transfer process.

Example request:

DELETE /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl/transfers/latest HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token>
Accept: application/rpp+json
Accept-Language: en

RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345

Example Reject response:

HTTP/2 200 OK

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2024 12:00:00 UTC Server: Example RPP server v1.0

Content-Length: 80 RPP-Svtrid: XYZ-12345 RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345 RPP-code: 1000

TODO

6.8.5. Approve

• Request: PUT /{collection}/{id}/transfers/latest

• Request message: Optional

• Response message: Status

The currently sponsoring client MUST use the HTTP PUT method to approve a transfer requested by the new sponsoring client.

Example Approve request:

PUT /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl/transfer HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token> Accept: application/rpp+json Accept-Language: en

RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345 Content-Length: 0

Example Approve response:

HTTP/2 200 OK

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2024 12:00:00 UTC Server: Example RPP server v1.0

Content-Length: 80 RPP-Svtrid: XYZ-12345 RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345

RPP-code: 1000

TODO

6.9. Update Resource

• Request: PATCH /{collection}/{id}

• Request message: Object Update message

• Response message: Status

An object Update request MUST be performed using the HTTP PATCH method. The request message body MUST contain an Update message.

TODO: when using JSON, also allow for JSON patch so client can send partial update data only?

Example request:

PATCH /rpp/v1/domains/example.nl HTTP/2

Host: rpp.example.nl

Authorization: Bearer <token>
Accept: application/rpp+json
Content-Type: application/rpp+json

Accept-Language: en Content-Length: 252

TODO

Example response:

HTTP/2 200 OK

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2024 12:00:00 UTC Server: Example RPP server v1.0

Content-Length: 80 RPP-Svtrid: XYZ-12345 RPP-Cltrid: ABC-12345 RPP-code: 1000

TODO

7. Extension Framework

TODO

8. IANA Considerations

TODO

9. Internationalization Considerations

TODO

10. Security Considerations

RPP relies on the security of the underlying HTTP [RFC9110] transport, hence the best common practices for securing HTTP also apply to RPP. It is RECOMMENDED to follow them closely.

Data confidentiality and integrity MUST be enforced, all data transport between a client and server MUST be encrypted using TLS [RFC5246]. Section 9 describes the level of security that is REQUIRED for all RPP endpoints.

Due to the stateless nature of RPP, the client MUST include the authentication credentials in each HTTP request. This MAY be done by using JSON Web Tokens (JWT) [RFC7519] or Basic authentication [RFC7617].

11. Change History

11.1. Version 00 to 01

- Removed sections: Design Considerations, Resource Naming Convention, Session Management, HTTP Layer, Content Negotiation, Object Filtering, Error Handling
- Renamed Commands section to Endpoints
- Removed text about extensions
- Changed naming to be less EPP like and more RDAP like

12. Normative References

- [REST] Fielding, R., "Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures", 2000, http://www.ics.uci.edu/~fielding/pubs/dissertation/rest_arch_style.htm.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119.
- [RFC2616] Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., and T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1", RFC 2616, DOI 10.17487/RFC2616, June 1999, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2616>.
- [RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, RFC 3986, DOI 10.17487/RFC3986, January 2005, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3986>.
- [RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", RFC 5246, DOI 10.17487/RFC5246, August 2008, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5246.
- [RFC5730] Hollenbeck, S., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP)", STD 69, RFC 5730, DOI 10.17487/RFC5730, August 2009, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5730.
- [RFC5731] Hollenbeck, S., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Domain Name Mapping", STD 69, RFC 5731, DOI 10.17487/RFC5731, August 2009, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5731.
- [RFC5732] Hollenbeck, S., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Host Mapping", STD 69, RFC 5732, DOI 10.17487/RFC5732, August 2009, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5732.
- [RFC5733] Hollenbeck, S., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Contact Mapping", STD 69, RFC 5733, DOI 10.17487/RFC5733, August 2009, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5733.

- [RFC5734] Hollenbeck, S., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Transport over TCP", STD 69, RFC 5734, DOI 10.17487/RFC5734, August 2009, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5734.
- [RFC6648] Saint-Andre, P., Crocker, D., and M. Nottingham, "Deprecating the "X-" Prefix and Similar Constructs in Application Protocols", BCP 178, RFC 6648, DOI 10.17487/RFC6648, June 2012, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6648.
- [RFC7234] Fielding, R., Ed., Nottingham, M., Ed., and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Caching", RFC 7234, DOI 10.17487/ RFC7234, June 2014, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7234.
- [RFC7519] Jones, M., Bradley, J., and N. Sakimura, "JSON Web Token (JWT)", RFC 7519, DOI 10.17487/RFC7519, May 2015, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7519.
- [RFC7617] Reschke, J., "The 'Basic' HTTP Authentication Scheme", RFC 7617, DOI 10.17487/RFC7617, September 2015, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7617.
- [RFC8259] Bray, T., Ed., "The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format", STD 90, RFC 8259, DOI 10.17487/RFC8259, December 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8259.
- [RFC9110] Fielding, R., Ed., Nottingham, M., Ed., and J. Reschke, Ed., "HTTP Semantics", STD 97, RFC 9110, DOI 10.17487/RFC9110, June 2022, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9110.
 - **[YAML]** YAML Language Development Team, "YAML: YAML Ain't Markup Language", 2000, https://yaml.org/spec/1.2.2/.

Authors' Addresses

Maarten Wullink

SIDN Labs

Email: maarten.wullink@sidn.nl

URI: https://sidn.nl/

Pawel Kowalik

DENIC

Email: pawel.kowalik@denic.de

URI: https://denic.de/