

SHANGHAI INTERSCHOLASTIC ETHICS BOWL

COURSE 1 | INTRODUCTION TO RULES AND PROCEDURES

什么是“哲学碗”？

WHAT IS ETHICS BOWL?

- 和辩论的不同——合作性
 - 双方不必持相反观点，而在于展现出对问题深入的、系统的思考
- “Ethics”—伦理的主题
 - 案例集
 - 一段故事

我们的“哲学碗”

SHANGHAI INTERSCHOLASTIC ETHICS BOWL

- National High School Ethics Bowl 国际比赛成员
- NHSEB由University of North Carolina in Chapel Hill主办，每年有来自美国40多个州的数千名学生参与
- 上海乃至全国首个Ethics Bowl比赛——哲学辩论比赛
- Shanghai Interscholastic Ethics Bowl (SIEB) 上海市中学生“哲学碗”
- 来自美国顶尖大学的比赛评委
- 来自NHSEB的官方教练线上培训——哲学课程！
- 培训&比赛免费！（除了午餐😢）

单场比赛规则

RULES

- <https://sieb2020.github.io/resources/>
- 两个团队（一队5位学生左右）
 - 抛硬币决定Team A&B
- 3名裁判（Judges）
- 一个主持人（Moderators）
- 观众（Spectators）

单场比赛规则

RULES

- 辩论主题将在每一场比赛前发给参赛者和裁判
 - Study Questions
 - Case Question

STUDY QUESTIONS

1. Is there anything inherently wrong with depends, what does it depend on?
2. Does someone have a moral obligation to seem to apply? Why or why not?
3. Is Jane right that walking her dog in the wrong?

单场比赛规则

RULES

- 上半场：

- Presentation—Team A
 - 2分钟准备 6分钟发言 要求回答 Case Question
- Commentary—Team B
 - 1分钟准备 3分钟发言 对A的发言发表评论
- Response—Team A
 - 1分钟准备 3分钟发言 回答B的评论
- Q+A—Team A
 - 10分钟 评委对A提问

- 下半场：

Team A&B 交换，进行下半场
辩论主题更换

PRESENTATION—TEAM A

2分钟准备 6分钟发言

- 评分标准：3-15分 以下每项1-5分
 - Did the presentation clearly and systematically address the case question asked?
 - Did the presentation identify and thoroughly discuss the central moral dimensions of the case raised by the question asked?
 - Did the presentation indicate both awareness and thoughtful consideration of different viewpoints, including those that would loom large in the reasoning of individuals who disagree?
- 由于比赛合作的性质，建议发言时就坐，不必起立
- 任意人数发言

COMMENTARY—TEAM B

1分钟准备 3分钟发言

- B对A评论
- 评分标准：10分
 - To what extent has the team effectively and directly responded to and engaged the presenting team's argument?
- 目的是帮助对方完善论证，而不是自己另立一套观点
 - 指出论证逻辑的错误、命题的强度、提出更多维度、希望对方澄清某个问题等等
- NO “question shower” or “rapid-fire questioning”

RESPONSE—TEAM A

1分钟准备 3分钟发言

- A对B的评论作答复
- 评分标准：10分
 - How did the team respond to the opposing team's commentary?
- 不必回答所有问题，选择最重要、最“致命”的问题回答
- 改变或者调整立场并非一定不好

Q+A

10分钟

- Judge 对A提问
- 评分标准：20分
 - How did the team respond to the judges' questions?
- 每位Judge都会提问
- Judge不提出自己的观点，而是考察队伍对问题思考的深度
- 提问不针对某位学生，而是针对团队，因此该队任何人、任何人数都可发言

ETHICS BOWL精神

- 评分标准：5分
 - Did the team demonstrate their awareness that an ethics bowl is about participating in a collaborative discussion aimed at earnestly thinking through difficult ethical issues?

奖项

AWARDS

- 前四名（进入半决赛的四支队伍）
- Spirit of Ethics Bowl Award
 - 由其他参赛选手共同选出
- Certification

选拔

- 每队选择1人参加线上面试
- 周四晚 线上填表选择时间
- 1分钟发言

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement?The ability to maintain friendships with a small number of people over a long period of time is more important for happiness than the ability to make many new friends easily.Use specific reasons and examples to support your answer.

- 语言能力 不必过多准备

“

THANK YOU

”