

Empirical Evaluation Checklist (alpha version)

catches real bugs

""

Java code code

"precise analysis"

like? useless

yes

dev

"devs liked it"

start

4k

sea level

"hiked 4k mountain"

FINISH

START

me

you

START

"finished before you"

1 thread

"low sync overhead"

TheBench A

TheBench B

TheBench D

TheBench E

"we used TheBench"

compute()

compute()

compute()

"large speedup"

10 s

"10 seconds"

147 s

2 s

1 s

"mean of 50 seconds"

10 s

90 s

95 s

5 s

"50 seconds"

\$\$\$

"energy consumed"

devs

testers

"devs were satisfied"

Version ?

OS ?

Hardware ?

"sped up apache"

SuperCPU

150 Watt

"for sensor net"

\$

\$\$\$

"10 times faster"

wait

MD

"prompt treatment"

train

19+3 22

7+1 8

test

19+3 22✓

7+1 8✓

"perfect"

have up to 4 leaves

speed

A B

"B is much faster"

2

1

0

A B

"Slowed A a little, sped up B lots"

9.36 s startup time

Direct or Appropriate Proxy Metric

If the most relevant evaluation metric is not (or cannot be) measured directly, the proxy metric used instead must be well justified. For example, a reduction in cache misses is not an appropriate proxy for actual end-to-end performance or energy consumption.

Measures All Important Effects

The costs and benefits of a technique may be multi-faceted. All facets should be considered, both costs and benefits. For example, compiler optimizations may speed up programs but at the cost of drastically increasing compile times.

Sufficient Information to Repeat

Experiments should be described in sufficient detail to be repeatable. All parameters (including default values) should be included, as well as all version numbers of software, and full details of hardware platforms.

Reasonable Platform

The evaluation should be on a platform that can reasonably be said to match the claims. For example, a claim that relates to performance on mobile platforms should not have an evaluation performed exclusively on server.

Explores Key Design Parameters

Key parameters should be explored over a range to evaluate sensitivity to their settings. Examples include the size of the heap when evaluating garbage collection and the size of caches when evaluating a locality optimization.

Open Loop in Workload Generator

Load generators for transaction-oriented systems should not be gated by the rate at which the system responds. Rather, the load generator should be 'open loop', generating work independent of the performance of the system under test.

Cross-Validation Where Needed

When a system aims to be general but was developed by training on or close consideration of specific examples, it is essential that the evaluation explicitly perform cross-validation, so that the system is evaluated on data distinct from the training set.

Comprehensive Summary Results

Appropriate statistics should be used to characterize the full range of results, not just the most favorable values, which may be outliers. For example, it is not appropriate to summarize speedups of 4%, 6%, 7%, and 49% as 'up to 49%'.

Axes Include Zero

A truncated graph (with an axis not including zero) can exaggerate the importance of a difference. While 'zooming' in to the interesting range of an axis can potentially aid exposition, there is a significant risk that this is misleading (especially if it is not immediately clear that the axis is truncated).

Ratios Plotted Correctly

When ratios such as speedups and slowdowns are plotted, the size of the bars must be linearly/logarithmically proportional to the change. When shown on the same linear scale, results are visually distorted by 1/r, where r is the ratio. This misleading effect can be avoided either by using a log scale or by normalizing to the lowest (highest) value.

Appropriate Level of Precision

The number of significant digits should reflect the precision of the experiment. Reporting improvements of '49.9%' when the experimental error is +/- 1% is an example of mis-stated precision, misleading the reviewer's understanding of the significance of the rest.

Clearly Stated Claims

Suitable Comparison

Principled Benchmark Choice

Adequate Data Analysis

Relevant Metrics

Appropriate and Clear Experimental Design

Appropriate Presentation of Results