Langford Pairing for n = 4

Non-existence of Langford Pairings for n = 1 or n = 2

If n = 1, then we have no other numbers to put between the two 1's and so no pairing can exist. If n = 2, then the two 2's must be at the ends of the list: $[2, _{,}, _{,}2]$.

Program for Generating a Single Langford Pairing

See the function generate_single_pairing on GitHub

Exercise 6 of [Knu11, Chapter 7]

Note to the reader: I recommend you implement these formulas using Sympy (ask in the Discord for how to use it) and compute the polynomials for small n to gain intuition. These formulas are not intuitive, especially how everything cancels out. This is common in combinatorics when trying to deal with polynomials to count objects.

Let L_n be the number of Langford pairings for n, not counting reversals as distinct pairings, and let

$$f(x_1,...,x_{2n}) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(x_k x_{n+k} \sum_{j=1}^{2n-k-1} x_j x_{j+k+1} \right).$$

We want to show that

$$\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_{2n}\in\{-1,1\}} f(x_1,\dots,x_{2n}) = 2^{2n+1}L_n.$$

Think of each x_i as a location variable for the resulting list. For n = 3, $f(x_1, ..., x_6)$ is equal to

$$x_1x_4(x_1x_3 + x_2x_4 + x_3x_5x_4x_6) \cdot x_2x_5(x_1x_4 + x_2x_5 + x_3x_6) \cdot x_3x_6(x_1x_5 + x_2x_6)$$

So each of the terms in the parentheses correspond to possible positions for various numbers. For example $(x_1x_3 + x_2x_4 + x_3x_5x_4x_6)$ lists out the valid positions for the two 1's. Expanding this sum out yields terms such that $x_2^2x_4^2x_3^2x_6^2x_1^2x_5^2$ corresponding to the pairing [3, 1, 2, 1, 3, 2]. In general, terms containing all of the x_i 's as powers of two will correspond to Langford pairings. Since all the x_i 's in these terms have even degree, plugging in 1 or -1 will always yield 1 at the end. Every other term not corresponding to a Langford pairing will have at least one term of degree 1. Iterating over every possible x_1, \ldots, x_{2n} will lead to the cancelling of all these other terms. Thus, all we are left with is the sum of 1's, each 1 corresponding to a valid pairing.

There are 2^{2n} possible values for the tuple (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}) where each x_i takes on one of two values, leading to an overcount by a factor of 2^{2n} . Then we also consider reversals of a Langford pairing to be the same, leading to an overcount of a factor of 2. This explains the 2^{2n+1} coefficient on L_n .

Exercise 15 of [Knu22, Chapter 7.2.2.1]

There are two ways we can modify our formulation of Langford pairing as an exact cover problem to exclude finding both a pairing and it's reverse, leading to a significant speedup. Recall that each option takes the form $i: [l_j, l_k], k = j + i + 1$, meaning i appears in slot j and k in the list. Our two options study where the smallest or one of the largest elements must appear in the resulting pairing.

Option 1: Let [n even] be a quantity equal to 1 if n is even and 0 otherwise. We can exclude an option $i:[l_j,l_k]$ if both i=n-[n even] and $j>\frac{n}{2}$. This corresponds to pairings where the first time i appears is after the first quarter of the list and where the second time i appears in in the last quarter of the list. In the reversal of these pairings, i would appear in the first quarter, and thus this excludes reversals.

This excludes $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ options.

Option 2: We could more simply omit pairings where i = 1 and $j \ge n$. Thus, the second 1 must appear strictly in the second half. Thus in the reversal, the first i must appear at a slot j < n. This also omits the reversals.

This excludes n-1 options.

Program for Generating all Langford Pairings

See the function find_pairings on GitHub

References

- [Knu11] Donald E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 4A: Combinatorial Algorithms, Part 1*. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2011. ISBN: 0201038048.
- [Knu22] Donald E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 4B: Combinatorial Algorithms, Part 2.* 1st. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2022. ISBN: 0201038064.