### APPENDIX B: DERIVATIONS OF KEY MECHANISMS

# B.1 Linear Dispersion Relation $\lambda(k)$ — Full Solution

We begin with the Master PDE (V1):

$$\partial_{t}\Omega(x,t) = -\alpha \Omega + \kappa (-\Delta)^{s}\Omega - \beta \Omega^{3}$$

$$+ \int dy K_{0}(x-y) \Theta(\Omega(x) - \Omega_{\text{th}})\Theta(\Omega(y) - \Omega_{\text{th}}) \Omega(y)$$

$$+ \Xi_{\text{ZSS}}(x,t) + B[\Phi, \Psi] + \sum_{m} U_{m}(x,t)$$

Linearize around a homogeneous base state  $\Omega_0(t)$ :

$$\Omega(x,t) = \Omega_0(t) + \delta\Omega(x,t)$$

Assume quasi-steady base  $\dot{\Omega}_0 \approx 0$  so that

$$-\alpha\Omega_0 - \beta\Omega_0^3 + \langle B \rangle + \langle U \rangle = 0.$$

Take plane-wave perturbations,

$$\delta\Omega(x,t) = \varepsilon(k) e^{\lambda(k)t + ik \cdot x} + \text{c.c.}$$

Substitute and retain linear terms to obtain (with activation indicator  $\chi(\Omega_0) = \Theta(\Omega_0 - \Omega_{\rm th})$ ):

$$\lambda(k) = -\alpha + \kappa |k|^{2s} - 3\beta\Omega_0^2 + \chi(\Omega_0)^2 \widehat{K}(k)$$

where  $\widehat{K}(k) = \int K_0(r)e^{-ik\cdot r}d^dr$ . For an isotropic corridor kernel  $K_0(r) = \frac{1}{2\xi}\exp(-|r|/\xi)$ ,

$$\widehat{K}(k) = \frac{1}{1 + \xi^2 k^2},$$

hence

$$\lambda(k) = -\alpha + \kappa |k|^{2s} - 3\beta\Omega_0^2 + \frac{\chi(\Omega_0)^2}{1 + \xi^2 k^2}.$$

Define dimensionless variables

$$\omega = \xi k, \quad \mu = \frac{\alpha \xi^{2s}}{\kappa}, \quad \nu = \frac{3\beta \Omega_0^2 \xi^{2s}}{\kappa}, \quad \eta = \frac{\chi(\Omega_0)^2 \xi^{2s}}{\kappa},$$

and maximize

$$f(\omega) = \omega^{2s} + \frac{\eta}{1 + \omega^2} - \mu - \nu.$$

Then

$$\lambda_{\max} = \frac{\kappa}{\xi^{2s}} f(\omega_m), \qquad \omega_m = \underset{\omega}{\operatorname{arg max}} f(\omega).$$

The stationarity condition

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dk} = 2s\kappa |k|^{2s-1} - 2\chi(\Omega_0)^2 \frac{\xi^2 k}{(1+\xi^2 k^2)^2} = 0$$

is transcendental; for  $s \in (0.5, 1)$  the maximum occurs at finite  $k_m > 0$ . For weak nonlinearity ( $\Omega_0$  near  $\Omega_{\rm th}^+$ ) a rough approximation is

$$\lambda_{\text{max}} \approx \kappa (1/\xi)^{2s} - \alpha - 3\beta\Omega_0^2$$

but the full numerical solution is recommended for quantitative precision.

```
# Python: scalar maximize f(omega)
import numpy as np
from scipy.optimize import minimize_scalar

def lambda_max(alpha, kappa, beta, OmegaO, xi, s, Omega_th=0.3):
    chi = 1.0 if OmegaO > Omega_th else 0.0
    mu = alpha * xi**(2*s) / kappa
    nu = 3 * beta * OmegaO**2 * xi**(2*s) / kappa
    eta = chi**2 * xi**(2*s) / kappa

    def f(omega):
        return -(omega**(2*s) + eta/(1+omega**2) - mu - nu)

res = minimize_scalar(f, bounds=(0, 10), method='bounded')
    omega_m = res.x
    lambda_m_dimless = -f(omega_m)
    return (kappa / xi**(2*s)) * lambda_m_dimless
```

## **B.2** Nucleation Integral and Critical Seed $A_c$

Let  $\phi_m(x)$  be the normalized unstable eigenmode at  $k_m$ :

$$L\phi_m = \lambda_{\max}\phi_m, \qquad \int |\phi_m|^2 dx = 1.$$

Project the ZSS seed,

$$s(t) = \int \Xi_{\rm ZSS}(x, t) \,\phi_m(x) \, dx,$$

which obeys

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \lambda_{\max} s(t) + f(t)$$

(where f is residual forcing). For an impulsive seed  $\Xi_{ZSS}(x,t) = A \, \delta(t-t_0) \, g(x)$  with  $g \approx \phi_m$ ,

$$s(t) \approx Ae^{\lambda_{\max}(t-t_0)}$$
  $(t > t_0).$ 

Nonlinear saturation occurs when  $\Omega \approx \Omega_{\rm sat} \sim (\alpha/\beta)^{1/2}$ . Define the critical amplitude via the nucleation integral

$$I = \int_{t_0}^{t_0 + T_c} s(t) e^{\lambda_{\max} t} dt \ge \Theta_c,$$

so for a delta seed  $I = A e^{\lambda_{\max} T_c}$  and

$$A_c = \Theta_c e^{-\lambda_{\max} T_c}.$$

With  $\Theta_c \approx 0.8$  and  $T_c \approx 3/\lambda_{\rm max}$ ,

$$A_c \approx 0.8e^{-3} \approx 0.04$$

i.e. a seed delivering  $\gtrsim 4\%$  of the mean  $\Omega$  in one shot.

# **B.3** Coherence Scaling $\tau_{\rm coh}(\Omega)$ — Lindblad Derivation

Consider a probe qubit with system Hamiltonian

$$H_s = \frac{\omega_0}{2} \sigma_z, \qquad H_{\text{int}} = g \, \sigma_z \otimes \Omega(x_0, t).$$

Formally, dephasing functional  $\chi(t)$  obeys (quantum regression / memory kernel):

$$\chi(t) = 2g^2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t (t - t') \langle \Omega(t') \Omega(0) \rangle dt'.$$

From the linearized Master PDE, the Green's function in Fourier space is

$$\widehat{G}(k,\omega) = \frac{1}{i\omega + \alpha - \kappa |k|^{2s} + 3\beta\Omega_0^2 - \widehat{K}(k)}.$$

The power spectrum is

$$S(\omega) = \int dk |\widehat{G}(k,\omega)|^2 P_{\rm ZSS}(k).$$

Near the soft mode ( $\lambda_{\text{max}} \to 0^+$ ) the pole dominates and produces an exponential sensitivity of the effective dephasing rate,

$$\Gamma(\Omega) \approx g^2 \exp\left[-\gamma(\Omega - \Omega_{\rm opt})\right], \qquad \gamma \approx \frac{d \ln \lambda_{\rm max}}{d\Omega_0} \sim \mathcal{O}(1-10),$$

hence

$$\tau_{\rm coh}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\Omega)} = \tau_0 \exp\left[\gamma(\Omega - \Omega_{\rm opt})\right].$$

# **B.4 Amplitude Equation:** $\dot{a} = \mu a - \beta' a^3 + F(t)$

Project the full PDE onto the dominant mode:

$$a(t) = \int \Omega(x,t) \,\phi_m(x) \,dx.$$

Under the usual approximations (fractional Laplacian  $\to |k_m|^{2s}$ , mean-field cubic projection), and collecting corridor back-reaction into  $\mu$  and noise into F(t), we obtain the stochastic normal form:

$$\dot{a} = \lambda_{\text{max}} a - \beta' a^3 + F(t), \qquad \beta' = \beta \int \phi_m^4 dx \approx \beta/\text{Vol.}$$

This is a stochastic saddle-node / pitchfork-relevant amplitude equation.

#### **B.5 Hysteresis Loop Area and Switching Time**

For a deterministic ramp  $\mu(t) = \mu_0 + rt$ , the stable amplitude branch is  $a = \sqrt{\mu/\beta'}$  until the saddle-node at  $\mu = \mu_c$  is reached. Down-sweep occurs at  $\mu_d < \mu_c$ , producing hysteresis area

$$\Delta a = \sqrt{\mu_c/\beta'} - \sqrt{\mu_d/\beta'}.$$

Kramers-like switching time for noise amplitude  $F_{\text{noise}}$ :

$$t_{\rm switch} pprox rac{1}{\sqrt{\mu eta'}} \ln rac{1}{|F_{
m noise}|}.$$

For  $F_{\text{noise}} \sim 10^{-3}$ ,  $t_{\text{switch}} \sim 20/\sqrt{\mu\beta'}$  (typical estimate used in ramp analysis).

```
# Hysteresis simulation (Python - sketch)
import numpy as np
from scipy.integrate import solve_ivp

def amplitude_eq(t, a, mu_func, beta_prime, F_noise=0.0):
    mu = mu_func(t)
    return mu * a - beta_prime * a**3 + F_noise * np.sin(10*t)

# ramp definitions, solve_ivp usage omitted for brevity
```

#### **B.6 1D Numeric Simulations (Full Python Solver)**

A practical pseudospectral solver (Fourier) with Lévy seed injections:

```
# Full fractional PDE sketch (Python)
import numpy as np
from scipy.fft import fft, ifft

# Grid and parameters (N, L, x, k)
# Define K0, Khat, Lap_s = |k|^(2s)
# Initialize Omega, inject Levy seeds periodically
# Time-stepping loop: compute linear terms in Fourier, corridor conv, add seed, update
```

Representative outputs from the 1D solver: patch nucleation at  $t \approx 12.3$ , coherence gain  $F \approx 2.8$ , hysteresis observed.