Priority Scheduling

A presentation by Jakob H. and Laurin P.

Introduction

- every process has a priority
- task with the highest priority is ran first
- equal priority => first come first serve (FCFS)
- priority ranges not generally defined

An example

Assumption: lower number = higher priority

| Process | Burst Time | Priority |
|---------|------------|----------|
| P1 | 10 | 3 |
| P2 | 1 | 1 |
| P3 | 2 | 4 |
| P4 | 1 | 5 |
| P5 | 5 | 2 |

Resulting CPU time

| Process | Burst Time | Priority |
|---------|------------|----------|
| P1 | 10 | 3 |
| P2 | 1 | 1 |
| P5 | 5 | 2 |

Assigning Priorities

- External
 - manually setting a priority (nice)
 - o how much did the customer pay?
 - o how important is the task to the user?
- Internal
 - based on measurable quantities
 - number of open files
 - memory requirement
 - time limit

Preemptive vs Nonpreemptive

Preemptive

"preempt" CPU if priority of new process > running process

Nonpreemptive

Task will continue to run, new one is at head of queue

Starvation

- low-priority process never get to run
- higher priority processes "cut the line"
- two possible behaviours:
 - 1. process will run at an unconventional time
 - 2. process keeps waiting indefinitely

Starvation - a solution: aging

- increase priority of processes waiting for a long time
- for example:
 - priority from 127 (low) to 0 (high)
 - increase priority by 1 every 15 minutes
 - => process is ran after 32 hours at max
- avoids infinitely waiting processes (starvation)

When? Advantages

- ease
- important tasks get more resources
- precise scheduling based on priority

Disadvantages

- crashes lead to processes being lost
- starvation (can be mitigated)

Thank you for your attention!