Planning by Dynamic Programming

Prof. Junni Zou

Institute of Media, Information and Network
Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering
Shanghai Jiao Tong University
http://min.sjtu.edu.cn

Spring, 2022

Institute of Media, Information, and Network

Outline

1. Policy Evaluation
2. Policy Iteration
3. Value Iteration

Table of Contents

1. Policy Evaluation
2. Policy Iteration
3. Value Iteration

What is Dynamic Programming?

Dynamic sequential or temporal component to the problem
Programming optimizing a "program", i.e. a policy

- c.f. linear programming

- A method for solving complex problem
- By breaking them down into subproblems
  - Solve the subproblems
  - Combine solutions to subproblems

Requirements for Dynamic Programming

Dynamic Programming is a very general solution method for problems which have two properties:

- Optimal substructure
  - Principle of optimality applies
  - Optimal solution can be decomposed into subproblems
- Overlapping subproblems
  - Subproblems recur many times
  - Solutions can be cached and reused
- Markov decision process satisfy both properties
  - Bellman equation gives recursive decomposition
  - Value function stores and reuses solutions

Planning by Dynamic Programming

- Dynamic programming assumes full knowledge of the MDP
- It is used for planning in an MDP
- For prediction (policy evaluation):
  - Input: MDP $\langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{R}, \gamma \rangle$ and policy π
  - or: MRP $\langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{P}^{\pi}, \mathcal{R}^{\pi}, \gamma \rangle$
  - Output: value function v_{π}
- Or for control:
  - Input: MDP $\langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{R}, \gamma \rangle$
  - Output: optimal value function v_* and optimal policy π_*

Iterative Policy Evaluation

- Problem: evaluate a given policy π
- Solution: iterative application of Bellman expectation backup
- $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_\pi$
- Using *synchronous* backups,
  - At each iteration $k+1$
  - For all states $s \in \mathcal{S}$
  - Update $v_{k+1}(s)$ from $v_k(s')$
  - where s' is a successor state of s
- We will discuss *asynchronous* backups later
- Convergence to v_π will be proven at the end of the lecture

Iterative Policy Evaluation (2)



$$v_{k+1}(s) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) \left(\mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a v_k(s') \right)$$

$$\mathbf{v}^{k+1} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}}^{\pi} + \gamma \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^{\pi} \mathbf{v}^k$$

Institute of Media, Information, and Network

Iterative Policy Evaluation (3)

Iterative Policy Evaluation, for estimating $V \approx v_\pi$

Input π, the policy to be evaluated
Algorithm parameter: a small threshold $\theta > 0$ determining accuracy of estimation
Initialize $V(s)$, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}^+$, arbitrarily except that $V(terminal) = 0$

Loop:
  $\Delta \leftarrow 0$
  Loop for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$:
    $v \leftarrow V(s)$
    $V(s) \leftarrow \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} p(s', r \,|\, s, a)\big[r + \gamma V(s')\big]$
    $\Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |v - V(s)|)$
until $\Delta < \theta$

Institute of Media, Information, and Network

Example: Evaluating a Random Policy in the Small Gridworld



actions

	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	

$r = -1$
on all transitions

- Undiscounted episodic MDP ($\gamma = 1$)
- Nonterminal states $1, \cdots, 14$
- One terminal state (shown twice as shaded squares)
- Actions leading out of the grid leave state unchanged
- Reward is -1 until the terminal state is reached
- Agent follows uniform random policy
  $\pi(n|\cdot) = \pi(e|\cdot) = \pi(s|\cdot) = \pi(w|\cdot) = 0.25$

Institute of Media, Information, and Network

Example: Iterative Policy Evaluation in Small Gridworld

	v_k for the Random Policy	Greedy Policy w.r.t. v_k
$k = 0$	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	 ← random policy
$k = 1$	0.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 -1.0 0.0	
$k = 2$	0.0 -1.7 -2.0 -2.0 -1.7 -2.0 -2.0 -2.0 -2.0 -2.0 -2.0 -1.7 -2.0 -2.0 -1.7 0.0	

itute of Media,
rmation, and Network

Example: Iterative Policy Evaluation in Small Gridworld (2)

$k = 3$

0.0	-2.4	-2.9	-3.0
-2.4	-2.9	-3.0	-2.9
-2.9	-3.0	-2.9	-2.4
-3.0	-2.9	-2.4	0.0



$k = 10$

0.0	-6.1	-8.4	-9.0
-6.1	-7.7	-8.4	-8.4
-8.4	-8.4	-7.7	-6.1
-9.0	-8.4	-6.1	0.0



optimal policy

$k = \infty$

0.0	-14.	-20.	-22.
-14.	-18.	-20.	-20.
-20.	-20.	-18.	-14.
-22.	-20.	-14.	0.0



Table of Contents

1 Policy Evaluation

2 Policy Iteration

3 Value Iteration

Policy Improvement

- Consider a deterministic policy, $a = \pi(s)$
- We can *improve* the policy by acting greedily

$$\pi^{'}(s) = \arg\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_{\pi}(s, a)$$

- This improves the value from any state s over one step,

$$v_{\pi'} = q_{\pi}(s, \pi^{'}(s)) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_{\pi}(s, a) \geq q_{\pi}(s, \pi(s)) = v_{\pi}(s)$$

- It therefore improves the value function, $v_{\pi'} \geq v_{\pi}(s)$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\pi}(s) &\leq q_{\pi}(s, \pi^{'}(s)) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_{\pi}(S_{t+1}) | S_t = s] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[R_{t+1} + \gamma q_{\pi}(S_{t+1}, \pi^{'}(S_{t+1})) | S_t = s] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 q_{\pi}(S_{t+2}, \pi^{'}(S_{t+2})) | S_t = s] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \cdots | S_t = s] = v_{\pi'}(s) \end{aligned}$$

Institute of Media, Information, and Network

Policy Improvement (2)

- If improvements stop,

$$q_\pi(s, \pi'(s)) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_\pi(s, a) = q_\pi(s, \pi(s)) = v_\pi(s)$$

- Then the Bellman optimality equation has been satisfied

$$v_\pi(s) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_\pi(s, a)$$

- Therefore $v_\pi(s) = v_*(s), \ \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$
- So π is an optimal policy

How to Improve a Policy

- Given a policy π
  - Evaluation the policy π

$$v_\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \cdots | S_t = s]$$

  - Improvement the policy by acting greedily with respect to v_π

$$\pi' = \text{greedy}(v_\pi)$$

- In Small Gridworld improved policy was optimal, $\pi' = \pi^*$
- In general, need more iterations of improvement / evaluation
- But this process of policy iteration always converges to π^*

Institute of Media, Information, and Network

Policy Iteration

$$\pi_0 \xrightarrow{\text{E}} v_{\pi_0} \xrightarrow{\text{I}} \pi_1 \xrightarrow{\text{E}} v_{\pi_1} \xrightarrow{\text{I}} \pi_2 \xrightarrow{\text{E}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\text{I}} \pi_* \xrightarrow{\text{E}} v_*$$





Policy evaluation Estimate v_π
Iterative policy evaluation

Policy improvement Generate $\pi' \geq \pi$
Greedy policy improvement

Institute of Media, Information, and Network

Policy Iteration (2)

Policy Iteration (using iterative policy evaluation) for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

1. Initialization
   $V(s) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi(s) \in \mathcal{A}(s)$ arbitrarily for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$

2. Policy Evaluation
   Loop:
      $\Delta \leftarrow 0$
      Loop for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$:
         $v \leftarrow V(s)$
         $V(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s',r} p(s', r \mid s, \pi(s)) \left[r + \gamma V(s') \right]$
         $\Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |v - V(s)|)$
   until $\Delta < \theta$ (a small positive number determining the accuracy of estimation)

3. Policy Improvement
   policy-stable $\leftarrow$ *true*
   For each $s \in \mathcal{S}$:
      old-action $\leftarrow \pi(s)$
      $\pi(s) \leftarrow \arg\max_a \sum_{s',r} p(s', r \mid s, a) \left[r + \gamma V(s') \right]$
      If *old-action* $\neq \pi(s)$, then *policy-stable* $\leftarrow$ *false*
   If *policy-stable*, then stop and return $V \approx v_*$ and $\pi \approx \pi_*$; else go to 2

e of Media,
ation, and Network

Jack's Car Rental

- States: Car numbers in two locations, maximum of 20 cars each
- Actions: Move up to 5 car between locations overnight
- Reward: \$10 for each car rented (must be available)
- Transitions: Cars returned and requested randomly
  - Poisson distribution, n returns/ requests with prob $\frac{\lambda^n}{n!}e^{-\lambda}$
  - 1st location: average requests $= 3$, average returns $= 3$
  - 2nd location: average requests $= 4$, average returns $= 2$
- Discount rate: $\gamma = 0.9$
- Question: What is π^* and V^*?

Institute of Media, Information, and Network

Policy Iteration in Jack's Car Rental



Modified Policy Iteration

- Does policy evaluation need to converge to v_π ?
- Or should we introduce a stopping condition
  - e.g. ϵ-convergence of value function
- Or simple stop after k iterations of iterative policy evaluation?
- For example, in the small gridworld $k = 3$ was sufficient to achieve optimal policy
- Why not update policy every iteration? i.e. stop after $k = 1$
  - This is equivalent to value *iteration* (next section)

Table of Contents

1 Policy Evaluation

2 Policy Iteration

3 Value Iteration

Value Iteration

- Problem: find optimal policy π
- Solution: iterative application of Bellman optimality backup
- $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_*$
- Using synchronous backups
  - At each iteration $k+1$
  - For all states $s \in \mathcal{S}$
  - Update $v_{k+1}(s)$ from $v_k(s')$
- Convergence to v_* will be proven later
- Unlike policy iteration, there is no explicit policy
- intermediate value functions may not correspond to any policy

Value Iteration (2)



$$v_{k+1}(s) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a v_k(s') \right)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{k+1} = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}}^a + \gamma \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^a \mathbf{v}_k$$

Institute of Media, Information, and Network

Value Iteration (3)

Value Iteration, for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

Algorithm parameter: a small threshold $\theta > 0$ determining accuracy of estimation
Initialize $V(s)$, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}^+$, arbitrarily except that $V(terminal) = 0$

Loop:
| $\Delta \leftarrow 0$
| Loop for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$:
| $\quad v \leftarrow V(s)$
| $\quad V(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s',r} p(s', r \mid s, a)\left[r + \gamma V(s')\right]$
| $\quad \Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |v - V(s)|)$
until $\Delta < \theta$

Output a deterministic policy, $\pi \approx \pi_*$, such that
$\pi(s) = \arg\max_a \sum_{s',r} p(s', r \mid s, a)\left[r + \gamma V(s')\right]$

Institute of Media, Information, and Network

Synchronous Dynamic Programming Algorithms

Problem	Bellman Equation	Algorithm
Prediction	Bellman Expectation Equation	Iterative Policy Evaluation
Control	Bellman Expectation Equation + Greedy Policy Improvement	Policy Iteration
Control	Bellman Optimality Equation	Value Iteration

- Algorithms are based on state-value function $v_\pi(s)$ or $v_*(s)$
- Complexity $O(mn^2)$ per iteration, for m actions and n states
- Could also apply to action-value function $q_\pi(s,a)$ or $q_*(s,a)$
- Complexity $O(m^2n^2)$ per iteration

Full-Width Backups

- DP uses *full-width* backups
- For each backup (sync or async)
  - Every successor state and action is considered
  - Using knowledge of the MDP transitions and reward function



- DP is effective for medium-sized problems (millions of states)
- For large problems DP suffers Bellman's *curse of dimensionality*
  - Number of state/action spaces grows exponentially
- Even one backup can be too expensive

Institute of Media, Information, and Network

Sample Backups

- Using sample rewards and sample transitions $\langle S, A, R, S' \rangle$
- Instead of reward function $\mathcal{R}$ and transition dynamic $\mathcal{P}$
- Advantages:
  - Model-free: no advance knowledge of MDP required
  - Breaks the curse of dimensionality through sampling
  - Cost of backup is constant, independent of $n = |\mathcal{S}|$

Approximate Dynamic Programming

- Approximate the value function
- Using a *function approximator* $\hat{v}(s, \mathbf{w})$
- Apply dynamic programming to $\hat{v}(\cdot, \mathbf{w})$
- e.g. Fitted Value Iteration repeats at each iteration k
  - Sample states $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$
  - For each state $s \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$, estimate target value using Bellman optimality equation,

$$\tilde{v}_k(s) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\mathcal{R}_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{P}_{ss'}^a \hat{v}(s', \mathbf{w_k}) \right)$$

  - Train next value function $\hat{v}(\cdot, \mathbf{w_{k+1}})$ using targets $\langle s, \tilde{v}_k(s) \rangle$