UCR-CS217-HW4: Performance Analysis

Infomation

Name: Yue ZhuSID: 862547137

eMail: <u>yzhu303@ucr.edu</u>

Prerequisite

Notation

Problem size: n

Number of processors: p

Fraction of potentially parallel tasks: f, s

• Inherently sequential computations: $\sigma(n)$

• Potentially parallel computations: $\phi(n)$

• Communication operations: $\kappa(n, p)$

Amdahl's Law

$$\Psi \leq rac{1}{(1-f)+rac{f}{p}}$$

Gustafson-Barsis' Law

$$\Psi \leq p + (1-p)s = s + (1-s)p$$

Karp-Flatt Metric

$$\Psi(n,p) \leq rac{\sigma(n) + \phi(n)}{\sigma(n) + rac{\phi(n)}{p} + \kappa(n,p)}$$

Corollary:

$$e = rac{rac{1}{\Psi} - rac{1}{p}}{1 - rac{1}{n}} = f + rac{\kappa(n,p)[rac{p}{p-1}]}{\sigma(n) + \phi(n)} \stackrel{\lim_{p o \infty}}{pprox} rac{\sigma(n) + \kappa(n,p)}{\sigma(n) + \phi(n)}$$

Isoefficiency Metric

$$arepsilon = rac{\Phi(n,p)}{p} \leq rac{rac{\sigma(n)+\phi(n)}{\sigma(n)+\phi(n)/p+\kappa(n,p)}}{p} = rac{\sigma(n)+\phi(n)}{p\sigma(n)+\phi(n)+p\kappa(n,p)} = rac{\sigma(n)+\phi(n)}{\sigma(n)+\phi(n)+p\kappa(n,p)} = rac{1}{1+rac{(p-1)\sigma(n)+p\kappa(n,p)}{\sigma(n)+\phi(n)}}$$

Let

$$T_o(n,p) = (p-1)\sigma(n) + p\kappa(n,p), T(n,1) = \sigma(n) + \phi(n)$$

then, the formula becomes

$$arepsilon \leq rac{1}{1 + rac{T_o(n,p)}{T(n,1)}}$$

Assume efficiency is constant(to effectively utilize parallelism), it can be re-write as

$$T(n,1) \geq rac{arepsilon}{1-arepsilon} T_o(n,p) = CT_o(n,p) \qquad ext{(Isoefficiency Relation)}$$

Corollary: Scalability function

The isoefficiency relation can often be simplified as $n \geq f(p)$

Let M(n) denote memory required for problem of size n

Scalability function: M(f(p))/p shows how memory usage per processor required at least to maintain same efficiency

Q1

It's obvious that f=95%=0.95, p=10. According to the Amdahl's Law, the maximum speed up is

$$\Psi \leq rac{1}{0.05 + 0.95/10} = rac{1}{0.145} pprox 6.9$$

Q2

Let us assume $\Psi=10.$ To get the minimum number of processors, assume that other parts can be parallelized.

Thus f = (1 - 0.06) = 0.94.

$$\Psi = 10 \leq rac{1}{(0.06 + rac{0.94}{p})} \implies 0.06 + rac{0.94}{p} \leq rac{1}{10} \implies p \geq rac{0.94}{0.04} = 23.5 \implies p = 24$$

Q3

As stated in the problem, $\Psi = 50$. According to the Amdahl's Law,

$$\Psi = 50 \leq rac{1}{(1-f) + rac{f}{p}} < rac{1}{(1-f)}, f
eq 0 \implies f' = 1 - f < rac{1}{50} = 0.02$$

Q4

According to the problem statement, $\Psi=9, p=10$. According to the Amdahl's Law,

$$\Psi=9\leq \frac{1}{(1-f)+\frac{f}{10}} \implies (1-f)+\frac{f}{10}\leq \frac{1}{9} \implies \frac{8}{9}\leq \frac{9}{10}f \implies f\geq \frac{80}{81}\approx 0.988$$

Q5

According to the problem statement, $p=16, t_{parallel,scaled}=t imes p=233 imes 16=3728.$

According to the Gustafson-Barsis' Law,

$$s = s_{scaled} = rac{t_{sequential,scaled}}{t_{total,scaled}} = rac{9}{3728 + 9} = rac{9}{3737} \ \Psi \leq p + (1-p)s = s + (1-s)p = rac{9}{3737} + rac{3728}{3737} imes 16 = 15.96$$

Q6

According to the problem statement, $p=40, s_{original}=1-99\%=0.01$.

Thus,

$$s = s_{scaled} = rac{1 imes t}{1 imes t + 99 imes t imes 40} = rac{1}{3961} = 0.000252$$

According to the Gustafson-Barsis' Law,

$$\Psi \leq s + (1-s)p = \frac{1}{3961} + \frac{3960}{3961} \times 40 = 39.99$$

Q7

No.

According to the problem statement,

$$\Psi_1 = 9, p_1 = 10; \Psi_2 = 90, p_2 = 100.$$

According to the Karp-Flatt Metric,

$$e_1 = \frac{\frac{1}{9} - \frac{1}{10}}{1 - \frac{1}{10}} = \frac{\frac{1}{90}}{\frac{9}{10}} = \frac{1}{81}$$

$$e_2 = \frac{\frac{1}{90} - \frac{1}{100}}{1 - \frac{1}{100}} = \frac{\frac{1}{900}}{\frac{99}{100}} = \frac{1}{891}$$

The calculation results show that as the degree of parallelism increases, the cost e actually decreases.

According to the Karp-Flatt Metric Corollary,

$$e = rac{rac{1}{\Psi} - rac{1}{p}}{1 - rac{1}{p}} = f + rac{\kappa(n,p)[rac{p}{p-1}]}{\sigma(n) + \phi(n)} \stackrel{\lim_{p o \infty}}{pprox} rac{\sigma(n) + \kappa(n,p)}{\sigma(n) + \phi(n)}$$

If n remains constant, the equation can be simplified to

$$e=f+rac{\kappa_n(p)}{K}$$

For this question, $e_1 = \frac{1}{81}, e_2 = \frac{1}{891}$.

It can be concluded that $\kappa_n(p)$ decreases as p increases, which is unreasonable (contradicting the model assumptions), and therefore the case is impossible.

Q8

$$t_{total} = t_{sequential} + t_{parallel} + t_{communication}, t_{parallel}(p) = rac{t_{parallel}(1)}{p}$$

In order to achieve minimum time, assume there are no communication time for the task, thus

$$t_t(p)=t_s+t_p(p)=t_s+rac{t_p(1)}{p}$$

According to the problem statement,

$$t_t(1) = t_s + t_n(1) = 1000$$

$$t_t(4) = t_s + rac{t_p(1)}{4} = 500$$

Therefore,

$$t_s = rac{1000}{3}, t_p(1) = rac{2000}{3}$$

Thus,

$$t_t(16) = t_s + t_p(16) = \frac{1000}{3} + \frac{\frac{2000}{3}}{16} = \frac{1125}{3} = 375$$

Q9

According to the Isoefficiency Metric and the Scalability function,

a.

$$n \geq f(p) = Cp, M(n) = n^2 \implies M(f(p))/p = C^2p$$

b.

$$n \geq f(p) = C\sqrt{p}\log p, M(n) = n^2 \implies M(f(p))/p = C^2\log^2 p$$

C.

$$n \geq f(p) = C\sqrt{p}, M(n) = n^2 \implies M(f(p))/p = C^2$$

d.

$$n \geq f(p) = Cp\log p, M(n) = n^2 \implies M(f(p))/p = C^2p\log^2 p$$

e.

$$n \ge f(p) = Cp, M(n) = n \implies M(f(p))/p = C$$

f.

$$n \geq f(p) = p^c, M(n) = n \implies M(f(p))/p = p^{c-1} \quad where \ 1 < C < 2$$

g.

$$n \geq f(p) = p^c, M(n) = n \implies M(f(p))/p = p^{c-1} \quad where \ C > 2$$

Thus,

$$g>d>a>f>b>c>e$$

Q10

According to the problem statement, we can assume there is no time that can be only executed sequentially for matrix-matrix multiplication. Thus,

$$\sigma(n) = 0, \phi(n) = 2n^3, \kappa(n,p) = 16n^2\log_2 p, p = 1024$$

According to the Karp-Flatt Metric

$$\Psi(n,1024) \leq rac{2n^3}{rac{2n^3}{1024} + 16n^2\log_2 1024} = rac{n}{n + 81920} imes 1024 = 1024 \left(1 - rac{81920}{n + 81920}
ight)$$

The above equation is an increasing function of n, so the larger n is, the greater the speedup.

Let's check the memory usage. According to the problem statement, $M(n)=24n^2$ bytes.

To get the maximum speedup, we have to make full use of memory(the maximum allowable n can be obtained), therefore

$$M(n) = 24n^2 \leq 1024 imes 2^{30} \implies n \leq rac{128 imes 2^{30}}{3} = 16 imes 2^{15} imes rac{\sqrt{3}}{3} pprox 302697$$

Thus, the maximum speedup is

$$\Psi(302697,1024)=1024(\frac{302697}{302697+81920})\approx 806.6$$

Additionally,

$$\Psi(n,1024) = 256 \leq 1024 \frac{n}{n+81920} \implies n+81920 \leq 4n \implies n \geq \frac{81920}{3} \approx 27307$$