

# Developing a Bayesian method for locating breakpoints in time series data.

Kathryn Haglich<sup>1</sup>, Jeffrey Liebner<sup>1</sup>, Sarah Neitzel<sup>2</sup>,  
and Amy Pitts<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Lafayette College, Easton, Pennsylvania, USA

<sup>2</sup> School of Biodiversity Conservation, Unity College, Unity, Maine, USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Marist College, Poughkeepsie New York, USA

---

**Address for correspondence:** Jeffrey Liebner, Department of Mathematics, Lafayette College, Easton, Pennsylvania, USA.

**E-mail:** [liebnerj@lafayette.edu](mailto:liebnerj@lafayette.edu).

**Phone:** (+420) 221 913 282.

**Fax:** (+420) 222 323 316.

---

**Abstract:** An abstract of up to 200 words should precede the text together with 5 or 6 keywords in alphabetical order to describe the content of the paper. Authors should take great care in preparing the abstract and not simply lift it from the main text. The abstract should describe the background and contribution of the manuscript and give a clear verbal description of the results and examples, and avoid citations whenever possible. Any acknowledgements will be printed at the end of the text.

---

**Key words:** Breakpoints; Time Series; Bayesian; AR; BARS

# 1 Introduction

## 2 Method

The Metropolis Hastings algorithm is a mechanism consisting of a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) that samples a distribution. Our MCMC is an adaptation of BARS that have three different overarching step types: birth, death and move. This process repeatable proposes breakpoint sets which a ratio then determines whether or not it should be accepted.

### 2.1 Step Type

The birth step randomly proposes a breakpoint at an available location. An available location is where a breakpoint could be placed given the following constraints. First, the location cannot have a breakpoint or an endpoint currently assigned to it. Second, for linear fits and AR(1) models, the location must be at least two data points away from the breakpoints closest to the particular location. For AR(p) models, the minimum distance away a location must be from its closest breakpoints is  $2p$ . If a location is in accordance with these constraints, then it is an available location.

The death step randomly chooses an existing breakpoint and proposes a set without that chosen breakpoint.

The general move step is a subtraction step followed immediately by an addition

step and can be broken down to two specific types of move: jump and jiggle. Jump allows the movement of a breakpoint to any available location. Jiggle restricts the distance a breakpoint can move to a jiggle neighborhood, an interval surrounding the breakpoint's original location. To calculate the jiggle neighborhood,  $J_n$ ,

$$J_n = (x_b - pn, x_b + pn)$$

where  $x_b$  is the original location of the chosen breakpoint,  $n$  is the size of the data set, and  $p$  is the user-inputted percent in decimal form. When a move step is chosen, there is a  $\zeta$  probability that a jiggle will be performed, which is determined by the user such that  $0 < \zeta < 1$  and  $\zeta \in \mathbb{Q}$ . The probability of a jump occurring is  $1 - \zeta$ .

## 2.2 Probabilities of the Steps

The combined probabilities of performing a birth step,  $b_p$ , and a death step,  $d_p$ , is equal to the user imputed value,  $c$  such that  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $0 < c < 1$ . The ratio of birth steps to death steps is determined by  $c$  and the initial conditions of the starting number of breakpoints,  $K_{start}$ , and the starting number of available spaces,  $A_{start}$ . From this, the following equations can be derived for  $b_p$  and  $d_p$ :

$$b_p = c \frac{A_{start}}{A_{start} + K_{start} + 1} \quad d_p = c \frac{K_{start} + 1}{A_{start} + K_{start} + 1}$$

Then, the probability of a specific birth step given  $A$  available locations,  $b$ , is the equation

$$b = b_p \times \frac{1}{A}$$

Thus, the probability of a specific death step given  $K$  breakpoints,  $d$ , is the equation

$$d = d_p \times \frac{1}{K}$$

The probability of a move step,  $m$  is represented by the equation  $m = 1 - c$ . The probability of jiggle,  $kg$ , and the probability of jump,  $ju$ , are calculated by the following equations:

$$kg = m\zeta \quad ju = 1 - kg$$

### 2.2.1 Metropolis Hastings Ratio and BIC Approximation

After a specific step is selected, the Metropolis Hastings ratio, as derived below, is used to determine the acceptance of the proposed breakpoint set. To determine the threshold of acceptance,  $r_{unif}$  is generated from a uniform distribution from a sample space on the interval (0,1). If the ratio is greater than  $r_{unif}$ , then the proposed breakpoint set is accepted and kept. Otherwise, the old breakpoint set is retained.

The general Metropolis Hastings ratio is the product of the Bayes factor, determined by the ratio of the posteriors,  $g$ , and the ratio of the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) proposal densities,  $q$ , whose values depend on the current MCMC step.

$$ratio = \frac{g(\tau_n K_n | x_1, \dots, x_t)}{g(\tau_o K_o | x_1, \dots, x_t)} \times \frac{q(\tau_o K_o | \tau_n K_n)}{q(\tau_n K_n | \tau_o K_o)}$$

When the log likelihood of the equation is taken,

$$\begin{aligned} \log(ratio) = & \left[ \log[g(\tau_n K_n | x_1, \dots, x_t)] - \log[g(\tau_o K_o | x_1, \dots, x_t)] \right] \\ & + \left[ \log[q(\tau_o K_o | \tau_n K_n)] - \log[q(\tau_n K_n | \tau_o K_o)] \right] \end{aligned}$$

As shown by Kass and Wasserman (1995), the log of the Bayes Factor can be approximated with BIC with an error on the order of  $O(n^{-1/2})$  when the data size is greater

than 25 and the prior follows a normal distribution. Therefore,

$$\log[g(\tau_n K_n | x_1, \dots, x_t)] - \log[g(\tau_o K_o | x_1, \dots, x_t)] \approx \frac{-\Delta BIC}{2}$$

which means that

$$\log(ratio) \approx \frac{-\Delta BIC}{2} + \left[ \log[q(\tau_o K_o | \tau_n K_n)] - \log[q(\tau_n K_n | \tau_o K_o)] \right]$$

In the case of a birth step,

$$q(\tau_o K_o | \tau_n K_n) = c \cdot d \cdot \text{Poisson}(K_{old}, \lambda), \quad q(\tau_n K_n | \tau_o K_o) = c \cdot b \cdot \text{Poisson}(K_{old}, \lambda).$$

In the case of a death step,

$$q(\tau_o K_o | \tau_n K_n) = c \cdot b \cdot \text{Poisson}(K_{new}, \lambda), \quad q(\tau_n K_n | \tau_o K_o) = c \cdot d \cdot \text{Poisson}(K_{old}, \lambda).$$

In the case of a move step, irrelevant of whether it is specifically jiggle or jump,

$$\log[q(\tau_o K_o | \tau_n K_n)] - \log[q(\tau_n K_n | \tau_o K_o)] = 0$$

Henceforth, for a move,

$$\log(ratio) \approx \frac{-\Delta BIC}{2}$$

## 2.3 AR model and draws

Once a step has been completed and a new breakpoint set is proposed then the data is fit using an auto-regressive model. With this information then we can get a draw of the  $\beta$  coefficients and  $\sigma$ .

## 2.4 Derivations of $\beta$ and $\sigma$ draws

As determined by Peseran (2006), the posterior for the  $\beta$  coefficients is

$$\beta | \sigma^2, b_0, B_0, v_0, d_0, S_t, y_t \sim N(\overline{\beta_j}, \overline{V_j})$$

where

$$\bar{V}_j = (\sigma^{-2}x^Tx + B_0^{-1})^{-1}, \quad \bar{\beta}_j = \bar{V}_j(\sigma^{-2}x^Ty_t + B_0^{-1}b_0).$$

The conditions are the following:  $b_0$  is the mean of the  $\beta$  coefficients,  $B_0$  is the variance co-variance matrix of the  $\beta$  coefficients for the prior,  $v_0$  and  $d_0$  are the parameters of the inverse gamma prior of the inverse gamma squared (one being the shape the other rate),  $S_t$  is the current breakpoint set, and  $y_t$  is the actual data values. Pesaran (2006) derives the  $\sigma$  posterior such that

$$\sigma_j^{-2} \sim \Gamma(v_0, d_0) \longrightarrow \sigma_j^{-2} | \beta, b_0, B_0, v_0, d_0, S_t, y_t \sim \Gamma(\bar{v}_0, \bar{d}_0)$$

where

$$\bar{v}_0 = v_0 + \frac{n_j}{2}, \quad \bar{d}_0 = d_0 + \frac{1}{2}(y_t - x\beta)^T(y_t - x\beta).$$

## 2.5 Simulations to evaluate

## 3 Results

## 4 Discussion

## 5 Appendix

## Acknowledgements

We want to thank... **JEFF**

## References

- Bai, J. and Perron, P., (1998). *Estimating and testing linear models with multiple structural changes*. *Econometrica*, pp.47-78.
- Bai, J. and Perron, P., (2003). *Computation and analysis of multiple structural change models*. *Journal of applied econometrics*, 18(1), pp.1-22.
- Denison, D.G.T., Mallick, B.K. and Smith, A.F.M., (1998). *Automatic Bayesian curve fitting*. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 60(2), pp.333-350.
- DiMatteo, I., Genovese, C.R. and Kass, R.E., 2001. *Bayesian curvefitting with freeknot splines*. *Biometrika*, 88(4), pp.1055-1071.
- Gamber, E.N., Liebner, J.P. and Smith, J.K., (2016). *Inflation persistence: revisited*. *International Journal of Monetary Economics and Finance*, 9(1), pp.25-44.
- Kass, R.E. and Wasserman, L., (1995). *A reference Bayesian test for nested hypotheses and its relationship to the Schwarz criterion*. *Journal of the american statistical association*, 90(431), pp.928-934.
- McLeod, A.I. and Zhang, Y., (2008). *Improved subset autoregression: With R package*. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 28(2), pp.1-28.
- Pesaran, M.H., Pettenuzzo, D. and Timmermann, A., (2006). *Forecasting time series subject to multiple structural breaks*. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 73(4), pp.1057-1084.
- R Core Team( 2017). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing

- Ruggieri, E., (2013). *A Bayesian approach to detecting change points in climatic records*. International Journal of Climatology, 33(2), pp.520-528.
- Wallstrom, G., Liebner, J. and Kass, R.E., (2008). *An implementation of Bayesian adaptive regression splines (BARS) in C with S and R wrappers*. Journal of Statistical Software, 26(1), p.1.
- Zeileis, A., Leisch, F., Hansen, B., Hornik, K., Kleiber, C. and Zeileis, M.A., (2007). *The strucchange Package*. R manual.
- Zhou, S. and Shen, X., (2001). *Spatially adaptive regression splines and accurate knot selection schemes*. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 96(453), pp.247-259.