Developing Bayesian method for locating

breakpoints in time series data.

Kathryn Haglich 1, Jeff Liebner 2, Sarah Neitzel 3, and

Amy Pitts 4

¹ Department of Mathematics, Lafavette College, Easton, Pennsylvania, USA

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics, Lafayette College, Easton,

Pennsylvania, USA

³ School of Biodiversity Conservation, Unity College, Unity, Maine, USA

⁴ Department of Mathematics, Marist College, Poughkeepsie NY, USA

Address for correspondence: Arnošt Komárek, Department of Mathematics, Fac-

ulty of Mathematics, Lafayette College, Easton, Pennsylvania, USA.

E-mail: liebnerj@lafayette.edu.

Phone: (+420) 221 913 282.

Fax: (+420) 222 323 316.

Abstract: An abstract of up to 200 words should precede the text together with

5 or 6 keywords in alphabetical order to describe the content of the paper. Authors

should take great care in preparing the abstract and not simply lift it from the main

text. The abstract should describe the background and contribution of the manuscript

and give a clear verbal description of the results and examples, and avoid citations

whenever possible. Any acknowledgements will be printed at the end of the text.

Key words: keyword a; keyword b; keyword c; keyword d; keyword e

1 Math

Here is the math for our paper

1.1 Derivations of Ratio

To start we need to find the ratio

$$ratio = \frac{g(\tau_{n}K_{n}|x_{1},...,x_{n})}{g(\tau_{o}K_{o}|x_{1},...,x_{n})} \times \frac{q(\tau_{o}K_{o}|\tau_{n}K_{n})}{q(\tau_{n}K_{n}|\tau_{o}K_{o})}$$

$$= \frac{\left[\frac{f(x_{1},...,x_{n}|\tau_{n}K_{n})\pi(\tau_{n}K_{n})}{\int f(x_{1},...,x_{n}|\tau_{o}K_{o})\pi(\tau_{o}K_{o})}\right]q(\tau_{o}K_{o}|\tau_{n}K_{n})}{\left[\frac{f(x_{1},...,x_{n}|\tau_{o}K_{o})\pi(\tau_{o}K_{o})}{\int f(x_{1},...,x_{n}|\tau_{o}K_{o})\pi(\tau_{o}K_{o})}\right]q(\tau_{n}K_{n}|\tau_{o}K_{o})}$$

Then we have,

$$ratio = \frac{\left[\frac{\int f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \tau_n K_n) \left(\pi(\tau | K)\pi(K)\pi(\beta)\pi(\sigma)\right)_{new} d\tau dK}{\int f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \tau_n K_n) \left(\pi(\tau | K)\pi(K)\pi(\beta)\pi(\sigma)\right)_{new} d\tau dK d\beta d\sigma}\right] q(\tau_o K_o | \tau_n K_n)}{\left[\frac{\int f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \tau_o K_o) \left(\pi(\tau | K)\pi(K)\pi(\beta)\pi(\sigma)\right)_{old} d\tau dK}{\int f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \tau_o K_o) \left(\pi(\tau | K)\pi(K)\pi(\beta)\pi(\sigma)\right)_{old} d\tau dK d\beta d\sigma}\right] q(\tau_n K_n | \tau_o K_o)}$$

Basing priors of the BARS paper (Kass & Wasserman, 1995) we have that $\pi(\theta) = \pi(\tau|K)\pi(K)\pi(\beta)\pi(\sigma)$ for both the θ_n and the θ_o . $\pi(\beta)$ is an unit information prior, multivariate normal $\pi(\sigma)$ is an inverse gamma

 $\pi(\tau|K)$ might be uniform

 $\pi(K)$ might be a Poisson or uniform

1.1.1 BIC

Applying this ratio into our own model we need to first take the log such that,

$$ratio = \frac{\left[f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \tau_n K_n) \left(\pi(\tau | K) \pi(K) \pi(\beta) \pi(\sigma) \right)_{new} \right] q(\tau_o K_o | \tau_n K_n)}{\left[f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \tau_o K_o) \left(\pi(\tau | K) \pi(K) \pi(\beta) \pi(\sigma) \right)_{old} \right] q(\tau_n K_n | \tau_o K_o)}$$

$$log(ratio) = log \left[\frac{\left[f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \tau_n K_n) \left(\pi(\tau | K) \pi(K) \pi(\beta) \pi(\sigma) \right) \right] q(\tau_o K_o | \tau_n K_n)}{\left[f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \tau_o K_o) \left(\pi(\tau | K) \pi(K) \pi(\beta) \pi(\sigma) \right) \right] q(\tau_n K_n | \tau_o K_o)} \right]$$

$$= \left[log \left[f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \tau_n K_n) \right] - log \left[f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \tau_o K_o) \right] \right]$$

$$+ \left[log \left[q(\tau_o K_o | \tau_n K_n) \right] - log \left[q(\tau_n K_n | \tau_o K_o) \right] \right]$$

From the knowledge gained by the (Kass & Wasserman, 1995) paper we have that $\left[log \left[f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \tau_n K_n) \right] - log \left[f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \tau_o K_o) \right] \right]$ approximates BIC. Thus, $log(ratio) = \frac{-\Delta BIC}{2} + \left[log \left[q(\tau_o K_o | \tau_n K_n) \right] - log \left[q(\tau_n K_n | \tau_o K_o) \right] \right]$

The q values depend on whether the step is addition or subtraction.

Addition: $q(\tau_o K_o | \tau_n K_n) = c \cdot d \cdot dpois(K_{old}, \lambda), \ q(\tau_n K_n | \tau_o K_o) = c \cdot b \cdot dpois(K_{old}, \lambda)$

Subtraction: $q(\tau_o K_o | \tau_n K_n) = c \cdot b \cdot dpois(K_{new}, \lambda), q(\tau_n K_n | \tau_o K_o) = c \cdot d \cdot dpois(K_{old}, \lambda)$

1.2 Derivations of β and σ draws

$$y \sim N(x\beta, \sigma) \longrightarrow \beta | \sigma^2, b_0, B_0, V_0, d_0, p, S_{\gamma}, Y_{\gamma} \sim N(\overline{\beta_j}, \overline{V_j})$$

Where $\overline{V}_j = (\sigma^{-2}x^Tx + B_0^{-1})^{-1}$, and $\overline{\beta}_j = \overline{V}_j(\sigma^{-2}x^Ty + B_0^{-1}b_0)$. Thus we have that

4 Haglich, Neitzel, Pitts

$$\beta \sim N(\overline{\beta}_j, \overline{V}_j).$$

Acknowledgements

We want to thank...