

Chapter 13

Chi-square Test

Detailed Solutions

13.1 Basic Concept

13.1 Distinguishing the Tests

Problem: Match scenario to test type.

Solution:

- (a) **(A) Goodness of Fit:** We are checking if one sample fits a specific theoretical distribution (Poisson).
- (b) **(B) Test of Independence:** We have one sample and want to see if two variables (Job Satisfaction, Work Shift) are related.
- (c) **(C) Test of Homogeneity:** We have multiple distinct samples (Machine A, B, C) and want to see if they share the same distribution (Defect Rate).

- | |
|---------------------|
| (a) Goodness of Fit |
| (b) Independence |
| (c) Homogeneity |

13.2 Intermediate

13.2 Goodness of Fit (Fair Die?)

Problem: 60 rolls. Observed: 5, 8, 12, 15, 12, 8.

Solution:

- (a) **Hypothesis:** H_0 : The die is fair ($P_1 = P_2 = \dots = P_6 = 1/6$). H_1 : The die is not fair.
- (b) **Expected Frequency (E_i):** $N = 60$. If fair, $E_i = 60 \times (1/6) = 10$ for all faces.
- (c) **Chi-square Statistic:**

$$\begin{aligned}\chi^2 &= \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} \\ &= \frac{(5 - 10)^2}{10} + \frac{(8 - 10)^2}{10} + \frac{(12 - 10)^2}{10} + \frac{(15 - 10)^2}{10} + \frac{(12 - 10)^2}{10} + \frac{(8 - 10)^2}{10} \\ &= \frac{25}{10} + \frac{4}{10} + \frac{4}{10} + \frac{25}{10} + \frac{4}{10} + \frac{4}{10} \\ &= 2.5 + 0.4 + 0.4 + 2.5 + 0.4 + 0.4 = 6.6\end{aligned}$$

- (d) **Decision:** $df = k - 1 = 6 - 1 = 5$. $\chi^2_{crit} = 11.07$. Since $6.6 < 11.07$, we **Fail to Reject H_0** . There is not enough evidence to say the die is biased.

- (b) $E_i = 10$
- (c) $\chi^2 = 6.6$
- (d) Fail to Reject.

13.3 Goodness of Fit: Binomial Distribution

Problem: $n = 5, N = 100$. Compare Observed vs Binomial($p = 0.2$).

Solution:

- (a) **Visual Check:** The observed data (30, 45, 20...) follows the general decay shape of the Binomial distribution, but looking closely, the peak (45) is higher than expected (41).
- (b) **Parameter Estimation:** Total items = $100 \times 5 = 500$. Total defects = $(0 \times 30) + (1 \times 45) + (2 \times 20) + (3 \times 4) + (4 \times 1) + (5 \times 0) = 45 + 40 + 12 + 4 = 101$. $\hat{p} = 101/500 = 0.202$. (Very close to assumed 0.2).
- (c) **Rule of Thumb ($E_i < 5$):** For $x = 3(5.1)$, $x = 4(0.6)$, $x = 5(0.0)$, expected values are small. We must **combine (bin)** the categories $x \geq 3$ into a single category to ensure valid Chi-square approximation.
- (d) **Degrees of Freedom:** Original categories: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 (6 categories). After grouping: 0, 1, 2, ≥ 3 (4 categories $\rightarrow k = 4$). Estimated parameters: $m = 1 (\hat{p})$. $df = k - 1 - m = 4 - 1 - 1 = 2$.

- (b) $\hat{p} = 0.202$
 (c) Combine categories $x \geq 3$.
 (d) $df = 2$.

13.4 Goodness of Fit: Poisson Distribution

Problem: Queueing ($\lambda = 2.5$).

Solution:

- (a) **Skewness:** Poisson with low λ is right-skewed (tail extends to the right). The observed data should show fewer high values.
- (b) **Calculation ($x = 0$):** $P(X = 0) = e^{-2.5} \approx 0.082$. Expected Frequency $E_0 = 100 \times 0.082 = 8.2$. Matches.
- (c) **Outliers (10 arrivals):** $P(X = 10)$ for $\lambda = 2.5$ is extremely small (≈ 0.000038). $E_{10} \approx 0$. If we observe $O_{10} = 1$, the term $\frac{(1-0)^2}{0}$ explodes (infinity). Even if grouped, a value this far out contributes a massive amount to χ^2 , likely leading to **Rejection of H_0** . It suggests the data is not pure Poisson (maybe "bursty" traffic).

- (b) $E_0 = 8.2$
 (c) Massive increase in $\chi^2 \rightarrow$ Reject.

13.5 Test of Independence (Partial Table)

Problem: Age vs Coffee. $N = 200$.

Solution:

- (a) **Calculate Expected Values:** Formula: $E_{ij} = \frac{(\text{Row Total}) \times (\text{Col Total})}{N}$.
- (Young, Latte): $A = \frac{100 \times 90}{200} = 45$.
 - (Young, Espresso): $B = \frac{100 \times 110}{200} = 55$.
- (b) **Degrees of Freedom:** $df = (r - 1)(c - 1) = (2 - 1)(2 - 1) = 1$.
- (c) **Interpretation:** $\chi^2_{\text{calc}} = 18.18$. $\chi^2_{\text{crit}} = 3.84$. $18.18 > 3.84 \implies \text{Reject } H_0$. Age and Coffee Preference are **Dependent** (Associated). Young people prefer Latte significantly more than expected.

- (a) A=45, B=55
 (b) $df = 1$
 (c) Dependent (Reject H_0).

13.6 Test of Homogeneity (Defect Rates)

Problem: Morning (10/200), Afternoon (15/200), Night (35/200).

Solution:

(a) **Contingency Table:**

	Defect	Good	Total
Morning	10	190	200
Afternoon	15	185	200
Night	35	165	200
Total	60	540	600

- (b) **Expected (Night, Defect):** Overall Defect Rate = $60/600 = 0.10$ (10%). Under H_0 (Homogeneity), Night shift should also have 10% defects. $E = 200 \times 0.10 = 20$. (Or formula: $\frac{200 \times 60}{600} = 20$).
- (c) **Visual Check:** Observed Night Defect = 35. Expected = 20. The deviation $(35 - 20)^2/20 = 225/20 = 11.25$ is huge. Without full calc, Night shift clearly has a **significantly higher** defect rate.

(b) $E_{Night,Defect} = 20$.

(c) Yes, Night shift has a problem.

13.3 Applications

13.7 Chi-square Test with Python

Problem: Python Code Analysis.

Solution:

- (a) **P-value Check:** The code prints ‘p’. If $p < 0.05$, the result is significant. Given the data (Males prefer C, Females prefer A/B), we expect a very small p-value.
- (b) **Expected Frequency Check:** It is important to check ‘expected’ array because the Chi-square approximation becomes inaccurate if any $E_{ij} < 5$. If this happens, we might need Fisher’s Exact Test (for 2x2) or combine categories.
- (c) **Implication:** Small P-value \implies Reject Independence. Gender and Product Preference are **related**. You cannot market to them the same way.

13.8 Interpreting Excel Output

Problem: Defect Type vs Machine.

Solution:

- (a) **Manual Expected (Machine A, Crack):** Row Total (A) = 40. Col Total (Crack) = 40. Grand Total = 100. $E = \frac{40 \times 40}{100} = 16$. Observed = 15. $15 \approx 16$. Machine A produces cracks roughly as expected (average rate).
- (b) **Hypothesis Conclusion:** P-value = 0.000216 < 0.05 . **Reject H_0 .** Defect Type depends on the Machine.
- (c) **Business Insight (Dents):** Machine B: Observed Dents = 25. Expected Dents for B = $\frac{60 \times 30}{100} = 18$. Observed (25) $>$ Expected (18). Machine B is disproportionately causing **Dents**. The engineer should inspect Machine B’s clamps or impact points.

- (a) $E = 16$. Close to observed.
 - (b) Reject H_0 . Dependent.
 - (c) Fix Machine B (causing Dents).