

**Lab #4: Z-transforms, Transfer Functions, Pole-Zero Plots, and Impulse Responses**

**PURPOSE:** To better understand the  $Z$  transform sum and its associated ROC. In particular, numerical evaluation of the  $Z$  transform sum for different values of  $z$  will emphasize that the  $Z$  transform sum does not converge outside the ROC. Students will use the Matlab function, **zplane**, to make pole zero plots of several rational  $Z$ -transforms. Interpretation of a  $Z$  transform,  $H(z)$ , as the transfer function of a causal system whose impulse response is  $h[n]$  will allow the student to understand that Matlab's **filter** function can be used to find the inverse  $Z$  transform,  $h[n]$ , for  $n = 0, \dots, n_{\max}$ . The student will also explore the relationship between time-domain signal characteristics and pole locations of the  $Z$  transform.

**BACKGROUND:** Let  $h[n]$  denote a causal signal with *rational*  $Z$  transform  $H(z)$  and region of convergence  $|z| > |r|$ . Then:

$$H(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h[n]z^{-n} \quad (1)$$

and for  $|z| > |r|$ ,  $H(z)$  converges to a closed-form expression of the form:

$$H_{\text{cf}}(z) = \frac{b_0 + b_1z^{-1} + b_Mz^{-M}}{a_0 + a_1z^{-1} + a_Nz^{-N}} \quad (2)$$

As discussed in class,  $H_{\text{cf}}(z)$  has interpretation as the transfer function of a causal discrete-time LTI filter with impulse response  $h[n]$ . Furthermore, the LCCDE which relates the filter's output sequence,  $y[n]$ , to the filter's input sequence,  $x[n]$ , is easily shown to be:

$$\sum_{k=0}^N a_k y[n-k] = \sum_{k=0}^M b_k x[n-k] \quad (3)$$

Since  $h[n]$  is the zero-state response of the system when  $x[n] = \delta[n]$ , the impulse response,  $h[n]$ ,  $n = 0, \dots, n_{\max}$  can be found numerically using Matlab's filter function as follows:

```
n = 0:nmax
Kdel = (n == 0)*1;
b = [b0, b1, ..., bM]
a = [a0, a1, ..., aN]
h = filter(b,a,Kdel)
```

with appropriate values substituted in the code above for  $n_{\max}$ ,  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$ , ...,  $b_M$ ,  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ , ...,  $a_N$ .

In addition, provided you have a closed-form expression for  $H(z)$  in the form of (2), you can generate a pole-zero plot of  $H(z)$  using the Matlab function **zplane** as follows:

```
zplane(b,a)
```

where the vectors **b** and **a** are as defined above.

**EXERCISES:**

1. Let  $h[n] = \beta^n u[n]$  where  $\beta = -0.8$ . Then, by definition:

$$H(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n z^{-n} \quad (4)$$

- a) For  $|z| > |\beta| = 0.8$ ,  $H(z)$  converges to the following closed-form expression:

$$H_{\text{cf}}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \beta z^{-1}} = \frac{1}{1 + 0.8z^{-1}} = \frac{z}{z + 0.8} \quad (5)$$

Evaluate the closed-form expression in (5) at the following values of  $z$ :

- i.  $z = 1$
- ii.  $z = -0.81$
- iii.  $z = -0.81 + j0.01$
- iv.  $z = -0.7$

For which values of  $z$  above do you expect  $H(z)$  to agree with  $H_{\text{cf}}(z)$ ?

- b) As described in the BACKGROUND section,  $h[n]$  is the impulse response of a causal LTI system with transfer function  $H_{\text{cf}}(z)$ . Use Matlab's **filter** function to find and stem  $h[n]$  vs.  $n$ , for  $n = 0, \dots, 50$ . Note that the values of  $h[n]$  will be quite small for  $n \geq 50$ .

**Hint:** try **h = filter(b,a,Kdel)** with **b**, **a**, and **Kdel** defined as suggested in the BACKGROUND section.

- c) Following the instructions in the BACKGROUND section, use the Matlab function **zplane** to make a pole-zero plot of  $H(z)$ . (Note: a pole-zero plot of  $H(z)$  really refers to a pole-zero plot of  $H_{\text{cf}}(z)$ .)
- d) The Z-transform of any causal sequence is given by the sum in (1). Provided the sum converges, it is reasonable to assume that a good approximation to  $H(z)$  will be provided by  $H_{\text{num}}(z)$ , a truncated version of the sum in (1), as shown below.

$$H_{\text{num}}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} h[n] z^{-n} \quad (6)$$

Since the sum in (6) has a finite number of terms ( $n_{\text{max}} < \infty$ ), it can be evaluated numerically. Hence, we will refer to  $H_{\text{num}}(z)$  as a numerical approximation of  $H(z)$ . Create a file named **ZTnum\_eval.m** with the following lines of code.

```
function Hnum = ZTnum_eval(h,zval)
%h is a row vector containing the values h[n], n = 0, ..., n_max
%zval is the value of z at which H_num(z) is to be evaluated
%Hnum is H_num(zval)
nmax = length(h) - 1;
n = 0:nmax;
z_to_the_minus_n = zval .^ (-n);
summand = h .* z_to_the_minus_n;
Hnum = sum(summand);
```

With the vector **h** as defined in part (b), use the function you just created to evaluate  $H_{\text{num}}(z)$  at the same values of  $z$  for which you evaluated  $H_{\text{cf}}(z)$  in part (a) above. For example,  $H_{\text{num}}(1)$ , can be found as: **ZTnum\_eval(h,1)**.

Make a table to compare the values of  $H_{\text{num}}(z)$  and  $H_{\text{cf}}(z)$  at each value of  $z$  requested in part (a). Explain any differences.

**Hint:** Referring to equation (6), what is the value of  $n_{\text{max}}$  associated with the values of  $H_{\text{num}}(z)$  in your table? For which values of  $z$  will  $H_{\text{num}}(z)$  converge to  $H_{\text{cf}}(z)$  in the limit as  $n_{\text{max}} \rightarrow \infty$ . In order to shed some light on any unexpected significant differences between  $H_{\text{num}}(z)$  and  $H_{\text{cf}}(z)$ , you may want to add a few additional command lines to the function **ZTnum\_eval** that you created above so as to generate a plot of **abs(summand)** vs. **n** for the various values of  $z$ .

2. As described in the background section, the coefficient vectors, **b** and **a**:

$$\mathbf{b} = [b_0, b_1, \dots, b_M]$$

$$\mathbf{a} = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_N]$$

can be used to specify an LTI system whose input-output relationship is given by the LCCDE in (3) and whose transfer function,  $H(z)$ , is given by (2). For each pair of coefficient vectors below: write out the associated transfer function  $H(z)$ ; find the poles and zeros of  $H(z)$ ; make a pole-zero plot of  $H(z)$  and a stem plot of the system's impulse response,  $h[n]$ , for  $n = 0, \dots, 15$ . In your lab report, discuss the relationship between the pole locations of  $H(z)$  and the nature of  $h[n]$ .

a) **b1** = [1]

**a1** = [1, -0.8]

b) **b2** = [0, 0.8]

**a2** = conv(a1,a1)

c) **b3** = [0, 1]

**a3** = conv([1, 1], [1, 1])

d) **F0** = 1/6

**r4** = 0.8

**c4** = [1, -r4\*exp(j\*2\*pi\*F0)]

**b4** = [0, sin(2\*pi\*F0)]

**a4** = conv(c4,conj(c4))

e) **r5** = 1;

**c5** = [1, -r5\*exp(j\*2\*pi\*F0)]

**b5** = [0, sin(2\*pi\*F0)]

**a5** = conv(c5,conj(c5))

f) **b6** = r5\*sin(2\*pi\*F0)\*conv([0,1], conv([1,r5],[1,-r5]))

**a6** = conv(a5,a5)