IsarMathLib

Sławomir Kołodyński, Daniel de la Concepción Sáez

October 2, 2021

Abstract

This is the proof document of the Isar MathLib project version 1.22.0. Isar MathLib is a library of formalized mathematics for Isabelle 2021 (ZF logic).

Contents

1.2 Overview of the project 2 First Order Logic 2.1 Notions and lemmas in FOL 3 ZF set theory basics 3.1 Lemmas in Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory 4 Natural numbers in IsarMathLib 4.1 Induction 4.2 Intervals 5 Order relations - introduction 5.1 Definitions 5.2 Intervals 5.3 Bounded sets 6 More on order relations 6.1 Definitions and basic properties 6.2 Properties of (strict) total orders 7 Even more on order relations 7.1 Maximum and minimum of a set 7.2 Supremum and Infimum	Int	roduction to the IsarMathLib project	10
2 First Order Logic 2.1 Notions and lemmas in FOL 3 ZF set theory basics 3.1 Lemmas in Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory 4 Natural numbers in IsarMathLib 4.1 Induction 4.2 Intervals 5 Order relations - introduction 5.1 Definitions 5.2 Intervals 5.3 Bounded sets 6 More on order relations 6.1 Definitions and basic properties 6.2 Properties of (strict) total orders 7 Even more on order relations 7.1 Maximum and minimum of a set 7.2 Supremum and Infimum	1.1	How to read IsarMathLib proofs - a tutorial	10
2.1 Notions and lemmas in FOL	1.2	Overview of the project	12
3 ZF set theory basics 3.1 Lemmas in Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory 4 Natural numbers in IsarMathLib 4.1 Induction 4.2 Intervals 5 Order relations - introduction 5.1 Definitions 5.2 Intervals 5.3 Bounded sets 6 More on order relations 6.1 Definitions and basic properties 6.2 Properties of (strict) total orders 7 Even more on order relations 7.1 Maximum and minimum of a set 7.2 Supremum and Infimum	Fir	st Order Logic	16
3.1 Lemmas in Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory 4 Natural numbers in IsarMathLib 4.1 Induction		Notions and lemmas in FOL	16
4 Natural numbers in IsarMathLib 4.1 Induction 4.2 Intervals 5 Order relations - introduction 5.1 Definitions 5.2 Intervals 5.3 Bounded sets 6 More on order relations 6.1 Definitions and basic properties 6.2 Properties of (strict) total orders 7 Even more on order relations 7.1 Maximum and minimum of a set 7.2 Supremum and Infimum	\mathbf{ZF}	set theory basics	19
4.1 Induction 4.2 Intervals Order relations - introduction 5.1 Definitions 5.2 Intervals 5.3 Bounded sets More on order relations 6.1 Definitions and basic properties 6.2 Properties of (strict) total orders Peven more on order relations 7.1 Maximum and minimum of a set 7.2 Supremum and Infimum	3.1	Lemmas in Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory	19
4.2 Intervals	Nat	tural numbers in IsarMathLib	26
5 Order relations - introduction 5.1 Definitions	4.1	Induction	26
5.1 Definitions	4.2	Intervals	31
5.2 Intervals	Oro		33
5.3 Bounded sets	5.1	Definitions	33
6 More on order relations 6.1 Definitions and basic properties	5.2	Intervals	36
6.1 Definitions and basic properties	5.3	Bounded sets	38
6.2 Properties of (strict) total orders	Mo	re on order relations	44
7 Even more on order relations 7.1 Maximum and minimum of a set	6.1	Definitions and basic properties	45
7.1 Maximum and minimum of a set	6.2	Properties of (strict) total orders	47
7.2 Supremum and Infimum	Eve	en more on order relations	49
-	7.1	Maximum and minimum of a set	50
7.3 Strict versions of order relations	7.2	Supremum and Infimum	57
1.6 Dillot verbions of order relations.	7.3	Strict versions of order relations	65

8	Func	etions - introduction	68
	8.1	Properties of functions, function spaces and (inverse) images.	68
	8.2	Functions restricted to a set	83
	8.3	Constant functions	85
	8.4	Injections, surjections, bijections etc	85
	8.5	Functions of two variables	93
9	Semi	ilattices and Lattices	97
	9.1	Semilattices	98
10	Orde	er on natural numbers	104
	10.1	Order on natural numbers	104
11	Bina	ry operations	105
	11.1	Lifting operations to a function space	105
	11.2	Associative and commutative operations	107
	11.3	Restricting operations	110
	11.4	Compositions	112
	11.5	Identity function	114
	11.6	Lifting to subsets	115
	11.7	Distributive operations	120
12	More	e on functions	121
	12.1	Functions and order	121
	12.2	Functions in cartesian products	126
	12.3	Induced relations and order isomorphisms	127
13	Finit	se sets - introduction	135
	13.1	Definition and basic properties of finite powerset	135
14	Finit	se sets	144
	14.1	Finite powerset	144
	14.2	Finite range functions	152
15	Finit	se sets 1	153
	15.1	Finite vs. bounded sets	153
16	Finit	te sets and order relations	156
	16.1	Finite vs. bounded sets	157
		Order isomorphisms of finite sets	
17	Equi	valence relations	164
		Congruent functions and projections on the quotient	164
		Projecting commutative, associative and distributive operations.	170
		VIV. 110	11(

	17.3 Saturated sets	173
18	Finite sequences	L 7 5
	18.1 Lists as finite sequences	175
	18.2 Lists and cartesian products	189
19	Inductive sequences	L 92
	19.1 Sequences defined by induction	192
	19.2 Images of inductive sequences	200
	19.3 Subsets generated by a binary operation	200
	19.4 Inductive sequences with changing generating function	203
20	Enumerations	207
	20.1 Enumerations: definition and notation	207
	20.2 Properties of enumerations	208
21	0	210
	21.1 Folding in ZF	211
22	Partitions of sets	215
	22.1 Bisections	215
	22.2 Partitions	218
23	▼ 0 1	219
	23.1 Definitions and notation	219
24	<u>.</u>	222
	24.1 Definitions and notation	222
25	Ordered loops 2	22 5
	25.1 Definition and notation	225
26	0 1 1	230
	26.1 Products of sequences of semigroup elements	230
	26.2 Products over sets of indices	234
	26.3 Commutative semigroups	237
27	9 1	249
	27.1 Sum of a function over a set	249
28	Monoids	253
	28.1 Definition and basic properties	253

29	Groups - introduction	258
	29.1 Definition and basic properties of groups	258
	29.2 Subgroups	270
	29.3 Groups vs. loops	277
30	Groups 1	27 9
	30.1 Translations	279
	30.2 Odd functions	287
	30.3 Subgroups and interval arithmetic	288
31	Groups - and alternative definition	293
	31.1 An alternative definition of group	294
32	Abelian Group	295
	32.1 Rearrangement formulae	296
33	Groups 2	308
	33.1 Lifting groups to function spaces	308
	33.2 Equivalence relations on groups	313
	33.3 Normal subgroups and quotient groups	316
	33.4 Function spaces as monoids	321
34	Groups 3	322
	34.1 Group valued finite range functions	322
	34.2 Almost homomorphisms	324
	34.3 The classes of almost homomorphisms	332
	34.4 Compositions of almost homomorphisms	335
	34.5 Shifting almost homomorphisms	344
35	Direct product	345
	35.1 Definition	345
	35.2 Associative and commutative operations	346
36	Ordered groups - introduction	347
	36.1 Ordered groups	347
	36.2 Inequalities	353
	36.3 The set of positive elements	365
	36.4 Intervals and bounded sets	373
37	More on ordered groups	378
	37.1 Absolute value and the triangle inequality	379
	37.2 Maximum absolute value of a set	391
	37.3 Alternative definitions	392
	37.4 Odd Extensions	396
	37.5 Functions with infinite limits	398

38 Ring	gs - introduction	402
38.1	Definition and basic properties	. 402
	Rearrangement lemmas	
39 Mor	re on rings	412
39.1	The ring of classes of almost homomorphisms	. 412
40 Ord	ered rings	415
40.1	Definition and notation	. 415
40.2	Absolute value for ordered rings	. 423
	Positivity in ordered rings	
41 Care	dinal numbers	432
41.1	Some new ideas on cardinals	. 432
41.2	Main result on cardinals (without the Axiom of Choice)	. 436
41.3	Choice axioms	. 440
42 Gro	ups 4	444
42.1	Conjugation of subgroups	. 444
42.2	Finite groups	. 449
42.3	Subgroups generated by sets	. 453
42.4	Homomorphisms	. 454
42.5	First isomorphism theorem	. 460
43 Field	ds - introduction	468
43.1	Definition and basic properties	. 468
43.2	Equations and identities	. 471
43.3	1/0=0	. 473
44 Ord	ered fields	474
44.1	Definition and basic properties	. 474
44.2	Inequalities	. 478
44.3	Definition of real numbers	. 481
45 Inte	gers - introduction	481
45.1	Addition and multiplication as ZF-functions	. 481
45.2	Integers as an ordered group	. 488
45.3	Induction on integers	. 501
45.4	Bounded vs. finite subsets of integers	. 504
46 Inte	gers 1	507
46.1	Integers as a ring	. 507
46.2	Rearrangement lemmas	. 510
46.3	Integers as an ordered ring	. 516
46.4	Maximum and minimum of a set of integers	527

46.5	The set of nonnegative integers	. 531
	Functions with infinite limits	
46.7	Miscelaneous	. 542
47 Div	ision on integers	54 4
	Quotient and reminder	. 544
48 Inte	orors 2	546
	Slopes	
	Composing slopes	
40 Into	arong 2	57 4
49 Inte	Positive slopes	
	Inverting slopes	
49.3	Completeness	. 394
	struction real numbers - the generic part	599
50.1	The definition of real numbers	. 600
51 Con	struction of real numbers	607
51.1	Definitions and notation	. 608
51.2	Multiplication of real numbers	. 610
51.3	The order on reals	. 614
51.4	Inverting reals	. 623
	Completeness	
52 Top	ology - introduction	646
_	Basic definitions and properties	. 646
	Interior of a set	
	Closed sets, closure, boundary	
53 Ton	ology 1	65'
_	Separation axioms	
	Bases and subbases.	
	Product topology	
54 Met	cric spaces	668
	Pseudometric - definition and basic properties	
	ic properties of real numbers	671
JJ.1	Basic notation for real numbers	. 012
	nplex numbers	676
	From complete ordered fields to complex numbers	
56.9	Axioms of complex numbers	680

	ology 1b	6
57.1	Compact sets are closed - no need for AC	6
58 Top	ology 2	6
58.1	Continuous functions	6
58.2	Homeomorphisms	7
58.3	Topologies induced by mappings	7
58.4	Partial functions and continuity	7
58.5	Product topology and continuity	7
58.6	Pasting lemma	7
59 Top	ology 3	7
59.1	The base of the product topology	7
	Finite product of topologies	
60 Top	ology 4	7
60.1	Nets	7
60.2	Filters	7
60.3	Relation between nets and filters	7
61 Top	ology and neighborhoods	7
61.1	Neighborhood systems	7
61.2	Topology from neighborhood systems	7
61.3	Neighborhood system from topology	7
62 Top	ology - examples	7
62.1	CoCardinal Topology	7
62.2	Total set, Closed sets, Interior, Closure and Boundary	7
62.3	Excluded Set Topology	7
	Total set, closed sets, interior, closure and boundary	
62.5	Special cases and subspaces	7
	Included Set Topology	
	Basic topological notions in included set topology	
62.8	Special cases and subspaces	7
63 Moi	re examples in topology	7
63.1	New ideas using a base for a topology	7
63.2	The topology of a base	7
	Dual Base for Closed Sets	
	Partition topology	
	Partition topology is a topology.	
63.6	Total set, Closed sets, Interior, Closure and Boundary	7
63.7	Special cases and subspaces	7
63.8	Order topologies	7
63.0	Order topology is a topology	7

	63.10Total set	797
	63.11Right order and Left order topologies	
	63.11.1 Right and Left Order topologies are topologies	
	63.11.2 Total set	
	63.12Union of Topologies	
64	Properties in Topology	801
	64.1 Properties of compactness	801
	64.2 Properties of numerability	
	64.3 Relations between numerability properties and choice principle	
	64.4 Relation between numerability and compactness	
65	Topology 5	827
	65.1 Some results for separation axioms	827
	65.2 Hereditability	843
	65.3 Spectrum and anti-properties	847
66	Topology 6	877
	66.1 Image filter	
	66.2 Continuous at a point vs. globally continuous	
	66.3 Continuous functions and filters	880
67	Topology 7	882
	67.1 Connection Properties	882
68	Topology 8	914
	68.1 Definition of quotient topology	
	68.2 Quotient topologies from equivalence relations	916
69	Topology 9	924
	69.1 Group of homeomorphisms	
	69.2 Examples computed	
	69.3 Properties preserved by functions	939
70	Topology 10	943
	70.1 Closure and closed sets in product space	
	70.2 Separation properties in product space	
	70.3 Connection properties in product space	950
7 1	Topology 11	955
	71.1 Order topologies	
	71.2 Separation properties	
	71.3 Connectedness properties	
	71.4 Numerability axioms	977

72	Properties in topology 2	986
	72.1 Local properties	. 986
	72.2 First examples	. 986
	72.3 Local compactness	. 987
	72.4 Compactification by one point	. 996
	72.5 Hereditary properties and local properties	. 1006
73	Properties in Topology 3	1039
	73.1 More anti-properties	. 1039
	73.2 First examples	. 1040
	73.3 Structural results	. 1040
	73.4 More Separation properties	. 1051
	73.5 Definitions	. 1051
	73.6 First results	. 1051
	73.7 Counter-examples	. 1058
	73.8 Other types of properties	. 1098
	73.9 Definitions	. 1098
	73.10First examples	. 1099
	73.11Structural results	. 1103
74	Uniform spaces	1106
	74.1 Definition and motivation	. 1106
75	More on uniform spaces	1111
	75.1 Uniformly continuous functions	. 1112
76	Alternative definitions of uniformity	1113
	76.1 Uniform covers	. 1113
77	Topological groups - introduction	1128
	77.1 Topological group: definition and notation	. 1128
	77.2 Interval arithmetic, translations and inverse of set	
	77.3 Neighborhoods of zero	
	77.4 Closure in topological groups	. 1141
	77.5 Sums of sequences of elements and subsets	
78	Topological groups 1	1146
	78.1 Separation properties of topological groups	
	78.2 Existence of nice neighbourhoods	
	78.3 Rest of separation axioms	
	78.4 Local properties	
7 9	Topological groups - uniformity	1156
	79.1 Natural uniformities in topological groups: definitions and	
	notation	1156

80	Topological groups 2 80.1 Quotients of topological groups	1180 . 1180
81	Topological groups 3 81.1 Subgroups topologies	1185 . 1186
82	Metamath introduction 82.1 Importing from Metamath - how is it done	
83	Logic and sets in Metamatah 83.1 Basic Metamath theorems	1199 . 1199
84	Complex numbers in Metamatah - introduction	1250
85	Metamath examples	1372
86	Metamath interface 86.1 MMisar0 and complex0 contexts	1377 . 1378
	Metamath sampler 87.1 Extended reals and order	. 1388

1 Introduction to the IsarMathLib project

theory Introduction imports ZF.equalities

begin

This theory does not contain any formalized mathematics used in other theories, but is an introduction to IsarMathLib project.

1.1 How to read IsarMathLib proofs - a tutorial

Isar (the Isabelle's formal proof language) was designed to be similar to the standard language of mathematics. Any person able to read proofs in a typical mathematical paper should be able to read and understand Isar proofs without having to learn a special proof language. However, Isar is a formal proof language and as such it does contain a couple of constructs whose meaning is hard to guess. In this tutorial we will define a notion and prove an example theorem about that notion, explaining Isar syntax along the way. This tutorial may also serve as a style guide for IsarMathLib contributors. Note that this tutorial aims to help in reading the presentation

of the Isar language that is used in IsarMathLib proof document and HTML rendering on the FormalMath.org site, but does not teach how to write proofs that can be verified by Isabelle. This presentation is different than the source processed by Isabelle (the concept that the source and presentation look different should be familiar to any LaTeX user). To learn how to write Isar proofs one needs to study the source of this tutorial as well.

The first thing that mathematicians typically do is to define notions. In Isar this is done with the definition keyword. In our case we define a notion of two sets being disjoint. We will use the infix notation, i.e. the string {is disjoint with} put between two sets to denote our notion of disjointness. The left side of the \equiv symbol is the notion being defined, the right side says how we define it. In Isabelle/ZF 0 is used to denote both zero (of natural numbers) and the empty set, which is not surprising as those two things are the same in set theory.

definition

AreDisjoint (infix {is disjoint with} 90) where A {is disjoint with} B \equiv A \cap B = 0

We are ready to prove a theorem. Here we show that the relation of being disjoint is symmetric. We start with one of the keywords "theorem", "lemma" or "corollary". In Isar they are synonymous. Then we provide a name for the theorem. In standard mathematics theorems are numbered. In Isar we can do that too, but it is considered better to give theorems meaningful names. After the "shows" keyword we give the statement to show. The \longleftrightarrow symbol denotes the equivalence in Isabelle/ZF. Here we want to show that "A is disjoint with B iff and only if B is disjoint with A". To prove this fact we show two implications - the first one that A {is disjoint with} B implies B {is disjoint with} A and then the converse one. Each of these implications is formulated as a statement to be proved and then proved in a subproof like a mini-theorem. Each subproof uses a proof block to show the implication. Proof blocks are delimited with curly brackets in Isar. Proof block is one of the constructs that does not exist in informal mathematics, so it may be confusing. When reading a proof containing a proof block I suggest to focus first on what is that we are proving in it. This can be done by looking at the first line or two of the block and then at the last statement. In our case the block starts with "assume A {is disjoint with} B and the last statement is "then have B {is disjoint with} A". It is a typical pattern when someone needs to prove an implication: one assumes the antecedent and then shows that the consequent follows from this assumption. Implications are denoted with the \longrightarrow symbol in Isabelle. After we prove both implications we collect them using the "moreover" construct. The keyword "ultimately" indicates that what follows is the conclusion of the statements collected with "moreover". The "show" keyword is like "have", except that it indicates that we have arrived at the claim of the theorem (or a subproof).

```
theorem disjointness_symmetric:
  shows A {is disjoint with} B \longleftrightarrow B {is disjoint with} A
proof -
  have A {is disjoint with} B \longrightarrow B {is disjoint with} A
  proof -
    { assume A {is disjoint with} B
      then have A \cap B = 0 using AreDisjoint_def by simp
      hence B \cap A = 0 by auto
      then have B {is disjoint with} A
        using AreDisjoint_def by simp
    } thus thesis by simp
  moreover have B (is disjoint with) A \longrightarrow A (is disjoint with) B
  proof -
    { assume B {is disjoint with} A
      then have B \cap A = 0 using AreDisjoint_def by simp
      hence A \cap B = 0 by auto
      then have A {is disjoint with} B
        using AreDisjoint_def by simp
    } thus thesis by simp
  ged
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
```

1.2 Overview of the project

The Foll, ZF1 and Nat_ZF_IML theory files contain some background material that is needed for the remaining theories.

Order_ZF and Order_ZF_1a reformulate material from standard Isabelle's Order theory in terms of non-strict (less-or-equal) order relations. Order_ZF_1 on the other hand directly continues the Order theory file using strict order relations (less and not equal). This is useful for translating theorems from Metamath.

In NatOrder_ZF we prove that the usual order on natural numbers is linear. The func1 theory provides basic facts about functions. func_ZF continues this development with more advanced topics that relate to algebraic properties of binary operations, like lifting a binary operation to a function space, associative, commutative and distributive operations and properties of functions related to order relations. func_ZF_1 is about properties of functions related to order relations.

The standard Isabelle's Finite theory defines the finite powerset of a set as a certain "datatype" (?) with some recursive properties. IsarMathLib's Finite1 and Finite_ZF_1 theories develop more facts about this notion. These two theories are obsolete now. They will be gradually replaced by an approach based on set theory rather than tools specific to Isabelle. This approach is presented in Finite_ZF theory file.

In FinOrd_ZF we talk about ordered finite sets.

The EquivClass1 theory file is a reformulation of the material in the standard Isabelle's EquivClass theory in the spirit of ZF set theory.

FiniteSeq_ZF discusses the notion of finite sequences (a.k.a. lists).

InductiveSeq_ZF provides the definition and properties of (what is known in basic calculus as) sequences defined by induction, i. e. by a formula of the form $a_0 = x$, $a_{n+1} = f(a_n)$.

Fold_ZF shows how the familiar from functional programming notion of fold can be interpreted in set theory.

Partitions_ZF is about splitting a set into non-overlapping subsets. This is a common trick in proofs.

Semigroup_ZF treats the expressions of the form $a_0 \cdot a_1 \cdot ... \cdot a_n$, (i.e. products of finite sequences), where " \cdot " is an associative binary operation.

CommutativeSemigroup_ZF is another take on a similar subject. This time we consider the case when the operation is commutative and the result of depends only on the set of elements we are summing (additively speaking), but not the order.

The Topology_ZF series covers basics of general topology: interior, closure, boundary, compact sets, separation axioms and continuous functions.

Group_ZF, Group_ZF_1, Group_ZF_1b and Group_ZF_2 provide basic facts of the group theory. Group_ZF_3 considers the notion of almost homomorphisms that is nedeed for the real numbers construction in Real_ZF.

The TopologicalGroup connects the Topology_ZF and Group_ZF series and starts the subject of topological groups with some basic definitions and facts.

In DirectProduct_ZF we define direct product of groups and show some its basic properties.

The OrderedGroup_ZF theory treats ordered groups. This is a suprisingly large theory for such relatively obscure topic.

Ring_ZF defines rings. Ring_ZF_1 covers the properties of rings that are specific to the real numbers construction in Real_ZF.

The OrderedRing_ZF theory looks at the consequences of adding a linear order to the ring algebraic structure.

Field_ZF and OrderedField_ZF contain basic facts about (you guessed it) fields and ordered fields.

Int_ZF_IML theory considers the integers as a monoid (multiplication) and an abelian ordered group (addition). In Int_ZF_1 we show that integers form a commutative ring. Int_ZF_2 contains some facts about slopes (almost homomorphisms on integers) needed for real numbers construction, used in Real_ZF_1.

In the IntDiv_ZF_IML theory we translate some properties of the integer quotient and reminder functions studied in the standard Isabelle's IntDiv_ZF

theory to the notation used in IsarMathLib.

The Real_ZF and Real_ZF_1 theories contain the construction of real numbers based on the paper [2] by R. D. Arthan (not Cauchy sequences, not Dedekind sections). The heavy lifting is done mostly in Group_ZF_3, Ring_ZF_1 and Int_ZF_2. Real_ZF contains the part of the construction that can be done starting from generic abelian groups (rather than additive group of integers). This allows to show that real numbers form a ring. Real_ZF_1 continues the construction using properties specific to the integers and showing that real numbers constructed this way form a complete ordered field.

Cardinal_ZF provides a couple of theorems about cardinals that are mostly used for studying properties of topological properties (yes, this is kind of meta). The main result (proven without AC) is that if two sets can be injectively mapped into an infinite cardinal, then so can be their union. There is also a definition of the Axiom of Choice specific for a given cardinal (so that the choice function exists for families of sets of given cardinality). Some properties are proven for such predicates, like that for finite families of sets the choice function always exists (in ZF) and that the axiom of choice for a larger cardinal implies one for a smaller cardinal.

Group_ZF_4 considers conjugate of subgroup and defines simple groups. A nice theorem here is that endomorphisms of an abelian group form a ring. The first isomorphism theorem (a group homomorphism h induces an isomorphism between the group divided by the kernel of h and the image of h) is proven.

Turns out given a property of a topological space one can define a local version of a property in general. This is studied in the the Topology_ZF_properties_2 theory and applied to local versions of the property of being finite or compact or Hausdorff (i.e. locally finite, locally compact, locally Hausdorff). There are a couple of nice applications, like one-point compactification that allows to show that every locally compact Hausdorff space is regular. Also there are some results on the interplay between hereditability of a property and local properties.

For a given surjection $f: X \to Y$, where X is a topological space one can consider the weakest topology on Y which makes f continuous, let's call it a quotient topology generated by f. The quotient topology generated by an equivalence relation f on f is actually a special case of this setup, where f is the natural projection of f on the quotient f in Topology_ZF_8 theory. The main result is that any quotient topology generated by a function is homeomorphic to a topology given by an equivalence relation, so these two approaches to quotient topologies are kind of equivalent.

As we all know, automorphisms of a topological space form a group. This fact is proven in Topology_ZF_9 and the automorphism groups for co-cardinal,

included-set, and excluded-set topologies are identified. For order topologies it is shown that order isomorphisms are homeomorphisms of the topology induced by the order. Properties preserved by continuous functions are studied and as an application it is shown for example that quotient topological spaces of compact (or connected) spaces are compact (or connected, resp.) The Topology_ZF_10 theory is about products of two topological spaces. It is proven that if two spaces are T_0 (or T_1 , T_2 , regular, connected) then their product is as well.

Given a total order on a set one can define a natural topology on it generated by taking the rays and intervals as the base. The Topology_ZF_11 theory studies relations between the order and various properties of generated topology. For example one can show that if the order topology is connected, then the order is complete (in the sense that for each set bounded from above the set of upper bounds has a minimum). For a given cardinal κ we can consider generalized notion of κ -separability. Turns out κ -separability is related to (order) density of sets of cardinality κ for order topologies.

Being a topological group imposes additional structure on the topology of the group, in particular its separation properties. In Topological_Group_ZF_1.thy theory it is shown that if a topology is T_0 , then it must be T_3 , and that the topology in a topological group is always regular.

For a given normal subgroup of a topological group we can define a topology on the quotient group in a natural way. At the end of the Topological_Group_ZF_2.thy theory it is shown that such topology on the quotient group makes it a topological group.

The Topological_Group_ZF_3.thy theory studies the topologies on subgroups of a topological group. A couple of nice basic properties are shown, like that the closure of a subgroup is a subgroup, closure of a normal subgroup is normal and, a bit more surprising (to me) property that every locally-compact subgroup of a T_0 group is closed.

In Complex_ZF we construct complex numbers starting from a complete ordered field (a model of real numbers). We also define the notation for writing about complex numbers and prove that the structure of complex numbers constructed there satisfies the axioms of complex numbers used in Metamath.

MMI_prelude defines the mmisarO context in which most theorems translated from Metamath are proven. It also contains a chapter explaining how the translation works.

In the Metamath_interface theory we prove a theorem that the mmisar0 context is valid (can be used) in the complex0 context. All theories using the translated results will import the Metamath_interface theory. The Metamath_sampler theory provides some examples of using the translated theorems in the complex0 context.

The theories MMI_logic_and_sets, MMI_Complex, MMI_Complex_1 and MMI_Complex_2 contain the theorems imported from the Metamath's set.mm database. As the translated proofs are rather verbose these theories are not printed in this proof document. The full list of translated facts can be found in the Metamath_theorems.txt file included in the IsarMathLib distribution. The MMI_examples provides some theorems imported from Metamath that are printed in this proof document as examples of how translated proofs look like.

end

2 First Order Logic

theory Foll imports ZF. Trancl

begin

Isabelle/ZF builds on the first order logic. Almost everything one would like to have in this area is covered in the standard Isabelle libraries. The material in this theory provides some lemmas that are missing or allow for a more readable proof style.

2.1 Notions and lemmas in FOL

This section contains mostly shortcuts and workarounds that allow to use more readable coding style.

The next lemma serves as a workaround to problems with applying the definition of transitivity (of a relation) in our coding style (any attempt to do something like using trans_def puts Isabelle in an infinite loop).

```
lemma Fol1_L2: assumes  \begin{array}{l} \texttt{A1:} \ \forall \ \texttt{x} \ \texttt{y} \ \texttt{z}. \ \langle \texttt{x}, \ \texttt{y} \rangle \in \texttt{r} \ \land \ \langle \texttt{y}, \ \texttt{z} \rangle \in \texttt{r} \ \longrightarrow \ \langle \texttt{x}, \ \texttt{z} \rangle \in \texttt{r} \\ \text{shows trans(r)} \\ \textbf{proof -} \\ \text{from A1 have} \\ \forall \ \texttt{x} \ \texttt{y} \ \texttt{z}. \ \langle \texttt{x}, \ \texttt{y} \rangle \in \texttt{r} \ \longrightarrow \ \langle \texttt{y}, \ \texttt{z} \rangle \in \texttt{r} \ \longrightarrow \ \langle \texttt{x}, \ \texttt{z} \rangle \in \texttt{r} \\ \text{using imp\_conj by blast} \\ \text{then show thesis unfolding trans\_def by blast} \\ \textbf{qed} \\ \end{array}
```

Another workaround for the problem of Isabelle simplifier looping when the transitivity definition is used.

```
lemma Fol1_L3: assumes A1: trans(r) and A2: \langle a,b \rangle \in r \land \langle b,c \rangle \in r shows \langle a,c \rangle \in r proof - from A1 have \forall x \ y \ z. \ \langle x,\ y \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle y,\ z \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle x,\ z \rangle \in r unfolding trans_def by blast
```

```
with A2 show thesis using imp_conj by fast qed
```

There is a problem with application of the definition of asymetry for relations. The next lemma is a workaround.

```
lemma Fol1_L4: assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: \langle a,b \rangle \in r \quad \langle b,a \rangle \in r shows a=b proof - from A1 have \forall x y. \langle x,y\rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle y,x\rangle \in r \longrightarrow x=y unfolding antisym_def by blast with A2 show a=b using imp_conj by fast qed
```

The definition below implements a common idiom that states that (perhaps under some assumptions) exactly one of given three statements is true.

definition

```
\begin{split} \text{Exactly\_1\_of\_3\_holds(p,q,r)} &\equiv \\ (\text{p} \lor \text{q} \lor \text{r}) \ \land \ (\text{p} \longrightarrow \neg \text{q} \ \land \ \neg \text{r}) \ \land \ (\text{q} \longrightarrow \neg \text{p} \ \land \ \neg \text{r}) \ \land \ (\text{r} \longrightarrow \neg \text{p} \ \land \ \neg \text{q}) \end{split}
```

The next lemma allows to prove statements of the form Exactly_1_of_3_holds(p,q,r).

```
lemma Fol1_L5: assumes p \lor q \lor r and p \longrightarrow \neg q \land \neg r and q \longrightarrow \neg p \land \neg r and q \longrightarrow \neg p \land \neg q shows \text{Exactly\_1\_of\_3\_holds}(p,q,r) proof - from assms have  (p \lor q \lor r) \land (p \longrightarrow \neg q \land \neg r) \land (q \longrightarrow \neg p \land \neg r) \land (r \longrightarrow \neg p \land \neg q)  by blast then show \text{Exactly\_1\_of\_3\_holds}(p,q,r) unfolding \text{Exactly\_1\_of\_3\_holds\_def} by fast qed
```

If exactly one of p, q, r holds and p is not true, then q or r.

```
lemma Fol1_L6: assumes A1: \neg p and A2: Exactly_1_of_3_holds(p,q,r) shows q \lor r proof - from A2 have  (p \lor q \lor r) \land (p \longrightarrow \neg q \land \neg r) \land (q \longrightarrow \neg p \land \neg r) \land (r \longrightarrow \neg p \land \neg q)  unfolding Exactly_1_of_3_holds_def by fast hence p \lor q \lor r by blast with A1 show q \lor r by simp qed
```

If exactly one of p, q, r holds and q is true, then r can not be true.

```
lemma Fol1_L7:
   assumes A1: q and A2: Exactly_1_of_3_holds(p,q,r)
   shows \neg r
proof -
     from A2 have
       (p \lor q \lor r) \ \land \ (p \ \longrightarrow \ \neg q \ \land \ \neg r) \ \land \ (q \ \longrightarrow \ \neg p \ \land \ \neg r) \ \land \ (r \ \longrightarrow \ \neg p \ \land \ \neg q)
       unfolding Exactly_1_of_3_holds_def by fast
   with A1 show ¬r by blast
qed
The next lemma demonstrates an elegant form of the Exactly_1_of_3_holds(p,q,r)
predicate.
lemma Fol1_L8:
   shows Exactly_1_of_3_holds(p,q,r) \longleftrightarrow (p\longleftrightarrowq\longleftrightarrowr) \land \neg(p\landq\landr)
   assume Exactly_1_of_3_holds(p,q,r)
   then have
       (\mathsf{p} \vee \mathsf{q} \vee \mathsf{r}) \ \land \ (\mathsf{p} \ \longrightarrow \ \neg \mathsf{q} \ \land \ \neg \mathsf{r}) \ \land \ (\mathsf{q} \ \longrightarrow \ \neg \mathsf{p} \ \land \ \neg \mathsf{r}) \ \land \ (\mathsf{r} \ \longrightarrow \ \neg \mathsf{p} \ \land \ \neg \mathsf{q})
       unfolding Exactly_1_of_3_holds_def by fast
   thus (p \longleftrightarrow q \longleftrightarrow r) \land \neg (p \land q \land r) by blast
\mathbf{next} \ \mathbf{assume} \ (\mathsf{p} {\longleftrightarrow} \mathsf{q} {\longleftrightarrow} \mathsf{r}) \ \land \ \neg (\mathsf{p} {\land} \mathsf{q} {\land} \mathsf{r})
   hence
       (\texttt{p} \lor \texttt{q} \lor \texttt{r}) \ \land \ (\texttt{p} \ \longrightarrow \ \neg \texttt{q} \ \land \ \neg \texttt{r}) \ \land \ (\texttt{q} \ \longrightarrow \ \neg \texttt{p} \ \land \ \neg \texttt{r}) \ \land \ (\texttt{r} \ \longrightarrow \ \neg \texttt{p} \ \land \ \neg \texttt{q})
   then show Exactly_1_of_3_holds(p,q,r)
       unfolding Exactly_1_of_3_holds_def by fast
qed
A property of the Exactly_1_of_3_holds predicate.
lemma Fol1_L8A: assumes A1: Exactly_1_of_3_holds(p,q,r)
   shows p \longleftrightarrow \neg(q \lor r)
proof -
   from A1 have (p\lorq\lorr) \land (p \longrightarrow \negq \land \negr) \land (q \longrightarrow \negp \land \negr) \land (r \longrightarrow
\neg p \land \neg q)
      unfolding Exactly_1_of_3_holds_def by fast
   then show p \longleftrightarrow \neg(q \lor r) by blast
Exclusive or definition. There is one also defined in the standard Isabelle,
denoted xor, but it relates to boolean values, which are sets. Here we define
a logical functor.
definition
   Xor (infixl Xor 66) where
   p \text{ Xor } q \equiv (p \lor q) \ \land \ \neg(p \ \land \ q)
The "exclusive or" is the same as negation of equivalence.
lemma Fol1_L9: shows p Xor q \longleftrightarrow \neg(p \longleftrightarrow q)
   using Xor_def by auto
```

Equivalence relations are symmetric.

```
lemma equiv_is_sym: assumes A1: equiv(X,r) and A2: \langle x,y \rangle \in r shows \langle y,x \rangle \in r proof - from A1 have sym(r) using equiv_def by simp then have \forall x \ y. \ \langle x,y \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle y,x \rangle \in r unfolding sym_def by fast with A2 show \langle y,x \rangle \in r by blast qed
```

end

3 ZF set theory basics

theory ZF1 imports ZF.Perm

begin

The standard Isabelle distribution contains lots of facts about basic set theory. This theory file adds some more.

3.1 Lemmas in Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory

Here we put lemmas from the set theory that we could not find in the standard Isabelle distribution.

If one collection is contained in another, then we can say the same about their unions.

```
lemma collection_contain: assumes A \subseteq B shows \bigcup A \subseteq \bigcup B proof fix x assume x \in \bigcup A then obtain X where x \in X and X \in A by auto with assms show x \in \bigcup B by auto qed
```

If all sets of a nonempty collection are the same, then its union is the same.

```
lemma ZF1_1_L1: assumes C \neq 0 and \forall y \in C. b(y) = A shows (\bigcup y \in C. b(y)) = A using assms by blast
```

The union af all values of a constant meta-function belongs to the same set as the constant.

```
lemma ZF1_1_L2: assumes A1:C\neq0 and A2: \forall x \in C. b(x) \in A and A3: \forall x y. x \in C \land y \in C \infty b(x) = b(y) shows (\int x \in C. b(x)) \in A proof - from A1 obtain x where D1: x \in C by auto
```

```
with A3 have \forall y \in C. b(y) = b(x) by blast with A1 have (\bigcup y \in C. b(y)) = b(x) using ZF1_1_L1 by simp with D1 A2 show thesis by simp ged
```

If two meta-functions are the same on a cartesian product, then the subsets defined by them are the same. I am surprised Isabelle can not handle this automatically.

```
lemma ZF1_1_L4: assumes A1: \forall x \in X. \forall y \in Y. a(x,y) = b(x,y) shows \{a(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\} = \{b(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\} proof show \{a(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\} \subseteq \{b(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\} proof fix z assume z \in \{a(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\} with A1 show z \in \{b(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\} by auto qed show \{b(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\} \subseteq \{a(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\} proof fix z assume z \in \{b(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\} with A1 show z \in \{a(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\} with A1 show z \in \{a(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\} by auto qed qed
```

If two meta-functions are the same on a cartesian product, then the subsets defined by them are the same. This is similar to ZF1_1_L4, except that the set definition varies over $p \in X \times Y$ rather than $\langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y$.

```
lemma ZF1_1_L4A: assumes A1: \forall x \in X. \forall y \in Y. \ a(\langle x,y \rangle) = b(x,y)
  shows \{a(p). p \in X \times Y\} = \{b(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\}
proof
   { fix z assume z \in \{a(p). p \in X \times Y\}
     then obtain p where D1: z=a(p) p \in X \times Y by auto
     let x = fst(p) let y = snd(p)
     from A1 D1 have z \in \{b(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\} by auto
   } then show \{a(p). p \in X \times Y\} \subseteq \{b(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\} by blast
next
   { fix z assume z \in \{b(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y\}
     then obtain x y where D1: \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y z = b(x,y) by auto
     let p = \langle x, y \rangle
     from A1 D1 have p \in X \times Y z = a(p) by auto
     then have z \in \{a(p). p \in X \times Y\} by auto
  } then show {b(x,y). \langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y} \subseteq {a(p). p \in X \times Y} by blast
qed
```

A lemma about inclusion in cartesian products. Included here to remember that we need the $U \times V \neq \emptyset$ assumption.

lemma prod_subset: assumes $U \times V \neq 0$ $U \times V \subseteq X \times Y$ shows $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ using assms by auto

A technical lemma about sections in cartesian products.

```
lemma section_proj: assumes A \subseteq X×Y and U×V \subseteq A and x \in U y \in V shows U \subseteq {t\inX. \langlet,y\rangle \in A} and V \subseteq {t\inY. \langlex,t\rangle \in A} using assms by auto
```

If two meta-functions are the same on a set, then they define the same set by separation.

```
lemma ZF1_1_L4B: assumes \forall x \in X. a(x) = b(x) shows \{a(x). x \in X\} = \{b(x). x \in X\} using assms by simp
```

A set defined by a constant meta-function is a singleton.

```
lemma ZF1_1_L5: assumes X\neq 0 and \forall x\in X. b(x) = c shows \{b(x) . x\in X\} = \{c\} using assms by blast
```

Most of the time, auto does this job, but there are strange cases when the next lemma is needed.

```
lemma subset_with_property: assumes Y = \{x \in X : b(x)\}
shows Y \subseteq X
using assms by auto
```

We can choose an element from a nonempty set.

```
lemma nonempty_has_element: assumes X\neq 0 shows \exists x. x\in X using assms by auto
```

In Isabelle/ZF the intersection of an empty family is empty. This is exactly lemma Inter_0 from Isabelle's equalities theory. We repeat this lemma here as it is very difficult to find. This is one reason we need comments before every theorem: so that we can search for keywords.

```
lemma inter_empty_empty: shows \bigcap 0 = 0 by (rule Inter_0)
```

If an intersection of a collection is not empty, then the collection is not empty. We are (ab)using the fact the intersection of empty collection is defined to be empty.

```
lemma inter_nempty_nempty: assumes \bigcap A \neq 0 shows A \neq 0 using assms by auto
```

For two collections S, T of sets we define the product collection as the collections of cartesian products $A \times B$, where $A \in S, B \in T$.

definition

```
ProductCollection(T,S) \equiv \bigcup U \in T.\{U \times V.\ V \in S\}
```

The union of the product collection of collections S, T is the cartesian product of $\bigcup S$ and $\bigcup T$.

```
lemma ZF1_1_L6: shows \bigcup ProductCollection(S,T) = \bigcupS \times \bigcupT
```

```
using ProductCollection_def by auto
```

An intersection of subsets is a subset.

```
lemma ZF1_1_L7: assumes A1: I\neq 0 and A2: \forall i\in I. P(i)\subseteq X shows ( \bigcap i\in I. P(i) ) \subseteq X proof - from A1 obtain i_0 where i_0\in I by auto with A2 have ( \bigcap i\in I. P(i) ) \subseteq P(i_0) and P(i_0)\subseteq X by auto thus ( \bigcap i\in I. P(i) ) \subseteq X by auto qed
```

Isabelle/ZF has a "THE" construct that allows to define an element if there is only one such that is satisfies given predicate. In pure ZF we can express something similar using the indentity proven below.

```
lemma ZF1_1_L8: shows \bigcup \{x\} = x by auto
```

Some properties of singletons.

```
lemma ZF1_1_L9: assumes A1: \exists! x. x\inA \land \varphi(x)
  shows
  \exists a. \{x \in A. \varphi(x)\} = \{a\}
  [] \{x \in A. \varphi(x)\} \in A
  \varphi(\bigcup \{x \in A. \varphi(x)\})
  from A1 show \exists a. \{x \in A. \varphi(x)\} = \{a\} by auto
  then obtain a where I: \{x \in A. \varphi(x)\} = \{a\} by auto
  then have \bigcup \{x \in A. \varphi(x)\} = a by auto
  moreover
  from I have a \in \{x \in A. \varphi(x)\}\ by simp
  hence a \in A and \varphi(a) by auto
  ultimately show \bigcup \{x \in A : \varphi(x)\} \in A \text{ and } \varphi(\bigcup \{x \in A : \varphi(x)\})
     by auto
qed
A simple version of ZF1_1_L9.
corollary singleton_extract: assumes \exists ! x. x \in A
  shows (\bigcup A) \in A
proof -
  from assms have \exists ! x. x\inA \land True by simp
  then have \bigcup \{x \in A. \text{ True}\} \in A \text{ by (rule ZF1_1_L9)}
  thus (\bigcup A) \in A by simp
```

A criterion for when a set defined by comprehension is a singleton.

```
lemma singleton_comprehension:
assumes A1: y \in X and A2: \forall x \in X. \forall y \in X. P(x) = P(y)
shows (\bigcup {P(x). x \in X}) = P(y)
proof -
```

```
let A = \{P(x) : x \in X\}
  have \exists \, ! \, c. \, c \in A
  proof
    from A1 show \exists c. c \in A by auto
    fix a b assume a \in A and b \in A
    then obtain x t where
       x \in X a = P(x) and t \in X b = P(t)
       by auto
    with A2 show a=b by blast
  then have ( | A | ) \in A by (rule singleton_extract)
  then obtain x where x \in X and (\bigcup A) = P(x)
    by auto
  from A1 A2 \langle x \in X \rangle have P(x) = P(y)
    by blast
  with \langle ([]A) = P(x) \rangle show ([]A) = P(y) by simp
qed
```

Adding an element of a set to that set does not change the set.

```
lemma set_elem_add: assumes x \in X shows X \cup \{x\} = X using assms by auto
```

Here we define a restriction of a collection of sets to a given set. In romantic math this is typically denoted $X \cap M$ and means $\{X \cap A : A \in M\}$. Note there is also restrict(f, A) defined for relations in ZF.thy.

definition

```
RestrictedTo (infixl {restricted to} 70) where M {restricted to} X \equiv \{X \cap A : A \in M\}
```

A lemma on a union of a restriction of a collection to a set.

```
lemma union_restrict:
   shows ∪ (M {restricted to} X) = (∪M) ∩ X
   using RestrictedTo_def by auto
```

Next we show a technical identity that is used to prove sufficiency of some condition for a collection of sets to be a base for a topology.

```
lemma ZF1_1_L10: assumes A1: \forall U ∈ C. \exists A ∈ B. U = \bigcup A shows \bigcup\bigcup {\bigcup {A ∈ B. U = \bigcup A}. U ∈ C} = \bigcup C proof show \bigcup (\bigcup U ∈ C. \bigcup {A ∈ B . U = \bigcup A}) \subseteq \bigcup C by blast show \bigcup C \subseteq \bigcup (\bigcup U ∈ C. \bigcup {A ∈ B . U = \bigcup A}) proof fix x assume x ∈ \bigcup C show x ∈ \bigcup (\bigcup U ∈ C. \bigcup {A ∈ B . U = \bigcup A}) proof - from (x \in \bigcup C) obtain U where U ∈ C \land x ∈ U by auto with A1 obtain A where A ∈ B \land U = \bigcup A by auto
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} & \text{from} \ \langle U \in C \ \land \ x \in U \rangle \ \langle A \in B \ \land \ U = \bigcup A \rangle \ \text{show} \ x \in \bigcup \ (\bigcup U \in C. \ \bigcup \{A \in B \ . \ U = \bigcup A\}) \\ & \text{by auto} \\ & \text{qed} \\ & \text{qed} \\ & \text{qed} \end{array}
```

Standard Isabelle uses a notion of cons(A,a) that can be thought of as $A \cup \{a\}$.

```
lemma consdef: shows cons(a,A) = A \cup {a} using cons_def by auto
```

If a difference between a set and a singleton is empty, then the set is empty or it is equal to the singleton.

```
lemma singl_diff_empty: assumes A - \{x\} = 0
shows A = 0 \lor A = \{x\}
using assms by auto
```

If a difference between a set and a singleton is the set, then the only element of the singleton is not in the set.

```
lemma singl_diff_eq: assumes A1: A - {x} = A
    shows x ∉ A
proof -
    have x ∉ A - {x} by auto
    with A1 show x ∉ A by simp
qed
```

A basic property of sets defined by comprehension.

```
lemma comprehension: assumes a \in \{x \in X. p(x)\} shows a \in X and p(a) using assms by auto
```

The image of a set by a greater relation is greater.

```
lemma image_rel_mono: assumes r\subseteqs shows r(A) \subseteq s(A) using assms by auto
```

A technical lemma about relations: if x is in its image by a relation U and that image is contained in some set C, then the image of the singleton $\{x\}$ by the relation $U \cup C \times C$ equals C.

```
lemma image_greater_rel:

assumes x \in U\{x\} and U\{x\} \subseteq C

shows (U \cup C \times C)\{x\} = C

using assms image_Un_left by blast
```

Reformulation of the definition of composition of two relations:

```
lemma rel_compdef: shows \langle x,z\rangle \in r \ 0 \ s \longleftrightarrow (\exists y. \ \langle x,y\rangle \in s \ \land \ \langle y,z\rangle \in r) unfolding comp_def by auto
```

```
Domain and range of the relation of the form \bigcup \{U \times U : U \in P\} is \bigcup P:
lemma domain_range_sym: shows domain(\bigcup \{U \times U. \ U \in P\}) = \bigcup P and range(\bigcup \{U \times U. \ U \in P\})
U \in P) = | JP
  by auto
An identity for the square (in the sense of composition) of a symmetric
relation.
lemma symm_sq_prod_image: assumes converse(r) = r
  shows r 0 r = \bigcup \{(r\{x\}) \times (r\{x\}), x \in domain(r)\}
proof
   { fix p assume p \in r \circ r
      then obtain y z where \langle y,z \rangle = p by auto
      with \langle p \in r \mid 0 \mid r \rangle obtain x where \langle y, x \rangle \in r and \langle x, z \rangle \in r
         using rel_compdef by auto
      from \langle \langle y, x \rangle \in r \rangle have \langle x, y \rangle \in converse(r) by simp
      with assms \langle \langle x,z \rangle \in r \rangle \langle \langle y,z \rangle = p \rangle have \exists x \in domain(r). p \in (r\{x\}) \times (r\{x\})
         by auto
   } thus r O r \subseteq ([]{(r{x})×(r{x}). x \in domain(r)})
      by blast
   \{ \text{ fix x assume } x \in \text{domain(r)} \}
      have (r\{x\})\times(r\{x\})\subseteq r \ 0 \ r
      proof -
         { fix p assume p \in (r\{x\}) \times (r\{x\})
            then obtain y z where \langle y,z \rangle = p y \in r\{x\} z \in r\{x\}
              by auto
            from \langle y \in r\{x\} \rangle have \langle x,y \rangle \in r by auto
            then have \langle y, x \rangle \in \text{converse(r)} by simp
            with assms \langle z \in r\{x\} \rangle \langle \langle y,z \rangle = p \rangle have p \in r \cup p auto
         } thus thesis by auto
      qed
    thus (\bigcup \{(r\{x\}) \times (r\{x\}). x \in domain(r)\}) \subseteq r \circ r
      by blast
qed
A reflexive relation is contained in the union of products of its singleton
images.
lemma refl_union_singl_image:
  assumes A \subseteq X \times X and id(X) \subseteq A shows A \subseteq \bigcup \{A\{x\} \times A\{x\}, x \in X\}
proof -
   \{ \ \text{fix} \ p \ \text{assume} \ p{\in} A
      with assms(1) obtain x y where x \in X y \in X and p = \langle x, y \rangle by auto
      with assms(2) \langle p \in A \rangle have \exists x \in X. p \in A\{x\} \times A\{x\} by auto
   } thus thesis by auto
```

It's hard to believe but there are cases where we have to reference this rule.

lemma set_mem_eq: assumes $x \in A$ A=B shows $x \in B$ using assms by simp

qed

Given some family \mathcal{A} of subsets of X we can define the family of supersets of \mathcal{A} .

```
definition
```

```
\mathtt{Supersets}(\mathtt{X},\mathcal{A}) \ \equiv \ \{\mathtt{B}{\in}\mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \,. \ \exists \, \mathtt{A}{\in}\mathcal{A} \,. \ \mathtt{A}{\subseteq}\mathtt{B}\}
```

The family itself is in its supersets.

```
lemma superset_gen: assumes A \subseteq X A \in A shows A \in Supersets(X, A) using assms Supersets\_def by auto
```

end

4 Natural numbers in IsarMathLib

```
theory Nat_ZF_IML imports ZF.Arith
```

begin

The ZF set theory constructs natural numbers from the empty set and the notion of a one-element set. Namely, zero of natural numbers is defined as the empty set. For each natural number n the next natural number is defined as $n \cup \{n\}$. With this definition for every non-zero natural number we get the identity $n = \{0, 1, 2, ..., n-1\}$. It is good to remember that when we see an expression like $f: n \to X$. Also, with this definition the relation "less or equal than" becomes " \subseteq " and the relation "less than" becomes " \in ".

4.1 Induction

The induction lemmas in the standard Isabelle's Nat.thy file like for example nat_induct require the induction step to be a higher order statement (the one that uses the \Longrightarrow sign). I found it difficult to apply from Isar, which is perhaps more of an indication of my Isar skills than anything else. Anyway, here we provide a first order version that is easier to reference in Isar declarative style proofs.

The next theorem is a version of induction on natural numbers that I was thought in school.

```
theorem ind_on_nat: assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: P(0) and A3: \forall k \in nat. P(k) \longrightarrow P(succ(k)) shows P(n) proof - note A1 A2 moreover { fix x assume x \in nat P(x) with A3 have P(succ(x)) by simp } ultimately show P(n) by (rule nat_induct)
```

```
qed
```

```
A nonzero natural number has a predecessor.
lemma Nat_ZF_1_L3: assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: n \neq 0
  shows \exists k \in nat. n = succ(k)
proof -
  from A1 have n \in \{0\} \cup \{succ(k), k \in nat\}
    using nat_unfold by simp
  with A2 show thesis by simp
qed
What is succ, anyway?
lemma succ_explained: shows succ(n) = n \cup \{n\}
  using succ_iff by auto
Empty set is an element of every natural number which is not zero.
lemma empty_in_every_succ: assumes A1: n \in nat
  shows 0 \in succ(n)
proof -
  note A1
  moreover have 0 \in succ(0) by simp
  moreover
  { fix k assume k \in nat and A2: 0 \in succ(k)
    then have succ(k) \subseteq succ(succ(k)) by auto
    with A2 have 0 \in succ(succ(k)) by auto
  } then have \forall k \in nat. 0 \in succ(k) \longrightarrow 0 \in succ(succ(k))
    by simp
  ultimately show 0 \in succ(n) by (rule ind_on_nat)
If one natural number is less than another then their successors are in the
same relation.
lemma succ_ineq: assumes A1: n \in nat
  shows \forall i \in n. \ succ(i) \in succ(n)
proof -
  note A1
  moreover have \forall k \in 0. succ(k) \in succ(0) by simp
  moreover
  { fix k assume A2: \forall i \in k. succ(i) \in succ(k)
    { fix i assume i \in succ(k)
      then have i \in k \lor i = k by auto
      moreover
      { assume i∈k
 with A2 have succ(i) \in succ(k) by simp
 hence succ(i) ∈ succ(succ(k)) by auto }
      moreover
      \{ assume i = k \}
```

then have $succ(i) \in succ(succ(k))$ by auto }

```
ultimately have succ(i) ∈ succ(succ(k)) by auto
     } then have \forall i \in succ(k). succ(i) \in succ(succ(k))
        by simp
  } then have \forall k \in nat.
        (\ (\forall \ i{\in}k.\ \operatorname{succ}(i)\ \in\ \operatorname{succ}(k))\ \longrightarrow\ (\forall \ i\ \in\ \operatorname{succ}(k).\ \operatorname{succ}(i)\ \in\ \operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{succ}(k)))
     by simp
  ultimately show \forall i \in n. succ(i) \in succ(n) by (rule ind_on_nat)
For natural numbers if k \subseteq n the similar holds for their successors.
lemma succ_subset: assumes A1: k \in nat \quad n \in nat \quad and \quad A2: k \subseteq n
  shows succ(k) \subseteq succ(n)
proof -
  from A1 have T: Ord(k) and Ord(n)
     using nat_into_Ord by auto
  with A2 have succ(k) \le succ(n)
     using subset_imp_le by simp
  then show succ(k) \subseteq succ(n) using le_imp_subset
     by simp
qed
For any two natural numbers one of them is contained in the other.
lemma \ nat\_incl\_total: \ assumes \ A1: \ i \ \in \ nat \quad j \ \in \ nat
  \mathbf{shows}\ \mathtt{i}\ \subseteq\ \mathtt{j}\ \lor\ \mathtt{j}\ \subseteq\ \mathtt{i}
proof -
  from A1 have T: Ord(i)
     using nat_into_Ord by auto
  then have i \in j \ \lor \ i = j \ \lor \ j \in i \ using \ Ord_linear
     by simp
  moreover
  { assume i∈j
     with T have i\subseteq j \lor j\subseteq i
        using lt_def leI le_imp_subset by simp }
  moreover
   { assume i=j
     then have i\subseteq j \lor j\subseteq i by simp }
  moreover
  \{ assume j \in i \}
     with T have i\subseteq j \lor j\subseteq i
        using lt_def leI le_imp_subset by simp }
  ultimately show i \subseteq j \lor j \subseteq i by auto
The set of natural numbers is the union of all successors of natural numbers.
lemma nat_union_succ: shows nat = ([] n \in nat. succ(n))
  show nat \subseteq (\bigcup n \in \text{nat. succ}(n)) by auto
next
```

```
{ fix k assume A2: k \in (\bigcup n \in nat. succ(n))
    then obtain n where T: n \in nat and I: k \in succ(n)
       by auto
    then have k \le n using nat_into_Ord lt_def
       by simp
    with T have k \in nat using le_in_nat by simp
  } then show (\bigcup n \in nat. succ(n)) \subseteq nat by auto
Successors of natural numbers are subsets of the set of natural numbers.
lemma succnat\_subset\_nat: assumes A1: n \in nat shows succ(n) \subseteq nat
proof -
  from A1 have succ(n) \subseteq (\bigcup n \in nat. succ(n)) by auto
  then show succ(n) ⊆ nat using nat_union_succ by simp
Element of a natural number is a natural number.
lemma elem_nat_is_nat: assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: k \in n
  shows k < n k \in nat k \leq n \langlek,n\rangle \in Le
proof -
  from A1 A2 show k < n using nat_into_Ord lt_def by simp
  with A1 show k \in nat using lt_nat_in_nat by simp
  from \langle k < n \rangle show k \le n using leI by simp
  with A1 \langle k \in nat \rangle show \langle k, n \rangle \in Le using Le_def
    by simp
qed
The set of natural numbers is the union of its elements.
lemma nat_union_nat: shows nat = [] nat
  using elem_nat_is_nat by blast
A natural number is a subset of the set of natural numbers.
lemma nat_subset_nat: assumes A1: n \in nat shows n \subseteq nat
proof -
  from A1 have n \subseteq \bigcup nat by auto
  then show n ⊆ nat using nat_union_nat by simp
qed
Adding natural numbers does not decrease what we add to.
lemma add_nat_le: assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: k \in nat
  shows
  n \leq n \# + k
  \mathtt{n} \;\subseteq\; \mathtt{n}\;\; \mathtt{\#+}\;\; \mathtt{k}
  \mathtt{n} \;\subseteq\; \mathtt{k}\;\; \texttt{\#+}\;\; \mathtt{n}
proof -
  from A1 A2 have n \le n 0 \le k n \in nat k \in nat
    using nat_le_refl nat_0_le by auto
  then have n #+ 0 \leq n #+ k by (rule add_le_mono)
```

```
with A1 show n \le n #+ k using add_0_right by simp
then show n \subseteq n #+ k using le_imp_subset by simp
then show n \subseteq k #+ n using add_commute by simp
qed
Result of adding an element of k is smaller than of adding k.
lemma add_lt_mono:
assumes k \in \text{nat} and j \in k
shows
```

shows (n # + j) < (n # + k) $(n \# + j) \in (n \# + k)$ proof - from assms have j < k using elem_nat_is_nat by blast moreover note $(k \in nat)$ ultimately show (n # + j) < (n # + k) using add_lt_mono2 ltD by auto qed

A technical lemma about a decomposition of a sum of two natural numbers: if a number i is from m+n then it is either from m or can be written as a sum of m and a number from n. The proof by induction w.r.t. to m seems to be a bit heavy-handed, but I could not figure out how to do this directly from results from standard Isabelle/ZF.

```
lemma nat_sum_decomp: assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: m \in nat
  shows \forall i \in m \text{ #+ n. } i \in m \lor (\exists j \in n. i = m \text{ #+ } j)
proof -
  note A1
  moreover from A2 have \forall i \in m \# + 0. i \in m \lor (\exists j \in 0. i = m \# + j)
     using add_0_right by simp
  moreover have \forall k \in nat.
     (\forall i \in m \text{ #+ k. } i \in m \lor (\exists j \in k. i = m \text{ #+ } j)) \longrightarrow
     (\forall i \in m \text{ #+ succ(k). } i \in m \lor (\exists j \in succ(k). i = m \text{ #+ } j))
  proof -
     { fix k assume A3: k \in nat
        { assume A4: \forall i \in m \# + k. i \in m \lor (\exists j \in k. i = m \# + j)
    { fix i assume i \in m \#+ succ(k)
      then have i \in m #+ k \lor i = m #+ k using add_succ_right
         by auto
       moreover from A4 A3 have
          i \in m \text{ #+ k} \longrightarrow i \in m \lor (\exists j \in succ(k). i = m \text{ #+ } j)
         by auto
       ultimately have i \in m \lor (\exists j \in succ(k). i = m \# + j)
          by auto
    } then have \forall i \in m \# + succ(k). i \in m \lor (\exists j \in succ(k). i = m \# + j)
       by simp
        \} then have (\forall i \in m \# + k. i \in m \lor (\exists j \in k. i = m \# + j)) \longrightarrow
    (\forall\, i\,\in\, m\ \text{\#+ succ(k).}\ i\,\in\, m\,\vee\,(\exists\, j\,\in\, succ(k).\ i\,=\, m\ \text{\#+ }j))
 by simp
     } then show thesis by simp
```

```
ultimately show \forall i \in m \text{ \#+ n. } i \in m \lor (\exists j \in n. i = m \text{ \#+ } j)
     by (rule ind_on_nat)
A variant of induction useful for finite sequences.
lemma fin_nat_ind: assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: k \in succ(n)
  and A3: P(0) and A4: \forall j \in n. P(j) \longrightarrow P(succ(j))
  shows P(k)
proof -
  from A2 have k \in n \lor k=n by auto
  with A1 have k ∈ nat using elem_nat_is_nat by blast
  moreover from A3 have 0 \in succ(n) \longrightarrow P(0) by simp
  moreover from A1 A4 have
     \forall \, \mathtt{k} \, \in \, \mathtt{nat.} \, \, \left( \mathtt{k} \, \in \, \mathtt{succ(n)} \, \longrightarrow \, \mathtt{P(k))} \, \longrightarrow \, \left( \mathtt{succ(k)} \, \in \, \mathtt{succ(n)} \, \longrightarrow \, \mathtt{P(succ(k)))} \right)
     using nat_into_Ord Ord_succ_mem_iff by auto
  ultimately have k \in succ(n) \longrightarrow P(k)
     by (rule ind_on_nat)
  with A2 show P(k) by simp
qed
Some properties of positive natural numbers.
lemma \ succ\_plus \colon assumes \ n \in \ nat \quad k \in \ nat
  shows
  succ(n \#+ j) \in nat
  succ(n) #+ succ(j) = succ(succ(n #+ j))
  using assms by auto
```

4.2 Intervals

In this section we consider intervals of natural numbers i.e. sets of the form $\{n+j: j \in 0..k-1\}$.

The interval is determined by two parameters: starting point and length. Recall that in standard Isabelle's Arith.thy the symbol #+ is defined as the sum of natural numbers.

definition

```
NatInterval(n,k) \equiv \{n \# + j. j \in k\}
```

Subtracting the beginning af the interval results in a number from the length of the interval. It may sound weird, but note that the length of such interval is a natural number, hence a set.

```
 \begin{array}{l} lemma \ inter\_diff\_in\_len: \\ assumes \ A1: \ k \in nat \ and \ A2: \ i \in NatInterval(n,k) \\ shows \ i \ \# - \ n \in k \\ proof \ - \\ from \ A2 \ obtain \ j \ where \ I: \ i \ = n \ \# + \ j \ and \ II: \ j \in k \\ \end{array}
```

```
using NatInterval_def by auto
from A1 II have j ∈ nat using elem_nat_is_nat by blast
moreover from I have i #- n = natify(j) using diff_add_inverse
   by simp
ultimately have i #- n = j by simp
with II show thesis by simp
qed
```

Intervals don't overlap with their starting point and the union of an interval with its starting point is the sum of the starting point and the length of the interval.

```
lemma \ length\_start\_decomp \colon assumes \ A1 \colon n \in nat \ k \in nat
  shows
  n \cap NatInterval(n,k) = 0
  n \cup NatInterval(n,k) = n #+ k
proof -
  { fix i assume A2: i \in n and i \in NatInterval(n,k)
    then obtain j where I: i = n \# + j and II: j \in k
      using NatInterval_def by auto
    from A1 have k \in nat using elem_nat_is_nat by blast
    with II have j ∈ nat using elem_nat_is_nat by blast
    with A1 I have n \le i using add_nat_le by simp
    moreover from A1 A2 have i < n using elem_nat_is_nat by blast
    ultimately have False using le_imp_not_lt by blast
   thus n \cap NatInterval(n,k) = 0 by auto
  from A1 have n ⊆ n #+ k using add_nat_le by simp
  moreover
  \{ \text{ fix i assume i} \in \text{NatInterval(n,k)} \}
    then obtain j where III: i = n \# + j and IV: j \in k
      using NatInterval_def by auto
    with A1 have j < k using elem_nat_is_nat by blast
    with A1 III have i \in n #+ k using add_lt_mono2 ltD
      by simp }
  ultimately have n \cup NatInterval(n,k) \subseteq n #+ k by auto
  moreover from A1 have n #+ k \subseteq n \cup NatInterval(n,k)
    using nat_sum_decomp NatInterval_def by auto
  ultimately show n \cup NatInterval(n,k) = n #+ k by auto
qed
Sme properties of three adjacent intervals.
lemma \ adjacent\_intervals3 \colon assumes \ n \in nat \quad k \in nat \quad m \in nat
  shows
  n \# k \# m = (n \# k) \cup NatInterval(n \# k,m)
  n \# k \# m = n \cup NatInterval(n,k \# m)
  n \# k \# m = n \cup NatInterval(n,k) \cup NatInterval(n \# k,m)
  using assms add_assoc length_start_decomp by auto
```

end

5 Order relations - introduction

theory Order_ZF imports Fol1

begin

This theory file considers various notion related to order. We redefine the notions of a total order, linear order and partial order to have the same terminology as Wikipedia (I found it very consistent across different areas of math). We also define and study the notions of intervals and bounded sets. We show the inclusion relations between the intervals with endpoints being in certain order. We also show that union of bounded sets are bounded. This allows to show in Finite_ZF.thy that finite sets are bounded.

5.1 Definitions

In this section we formulate the definitions related to order relations.

A relation r is "total" on a set X if for all elements a, b of X we have a is in relation with b or b is in relation with a. An example is the \leq relation on numbers.

definition

```
IsTotal (infixl {is total on} 65) where r {is total on} X \equiv (\forall a \in X. \forall b \in X. \langle a,b \rangle \in r \lor \langle b,a \rangle \in r)
```

A relation r is a partial order on X if it is reflexive on X (i.e. $\langle x, x \rangle$ for every $x \in X$), antisymmetric (if $\langle x, y \rangle \in r$ and $\langle y, x \rangle \in r$, then x = y) and transitive $\langle x, y \rangle \in r$ and $\langle y, z \rangle \in r$ implies $\langle x, z \rangle \in r$).

definition

```
IsPartOrder(X,r) \equiv (refl(X,r) \land antisym(r) \land trans(r))
```

We define a linear order as a binary relation that is antisymmetric, transitive and total. Note that this terminology is different than the one used the standard Order.thy file.

definition

```
IsLinOrder(X,r) \equiv (antisym(r) \land trans(r) \land (r \{is total on\} X))
```

A set is bounded above if there is that is an upper bound for it, i.e. there are some u such that $\langle x, u \rangle \in r$ for all $x \in A$. In addition, the empty set is defined as bounded.

definition

```
IsBoundedAbove(A,r) \equiv ( A=0 \vee (\existsu. \forallx\inA. \langlex,u\rangle \in r))
```

We define sets bounded below analogously.

definition

```
IsBoundedBelow(A,r) \equiv (A=0 \vee (\exists1. \forallx\inA. \langle1,x\rangle \in r))
```

A set is bounded if it is bounded below and above.

definition

```
 \texttt{IsBounded(A,r)} \equiv (\texttt{IsBoundedAbove(A,r)} \land \texttt{IsBoundedBelow(A,r)})
```

The notation for the definition of an interval may be mysterious for some readers, see lemma Order_ZF_2_L1 for more intuitive notation.

definition

```
Interval(r,a,b) \equiv r{a} \cap r-{b}
```

We also define the maximum (the greater of) two elemnts in the obvious way.

definition

```
GreaterOf(r,a,b) \equiv (if \langle a,b \rangle \in r \text{ then b else a})
```

The definition a a minimum (the smaller of) two elements.

definition

```
SmallerOf(r,a,b) \equiv (if \langle a,b \rangle \in r \text{ then a else b})
```

We say that a set has a maximum if it has an element that is not smaller that any other one. We show that under some conditions this element of the set is unique (if exists).

definition

```
\texttt{HasAmaximum(r,A)} \ \equiv \ \exists \, \texttt{M}{\in}\texttt{A}. \, \forall \, \texttt{x}{\in}\texttt{A}. \ \langle \texttt{x,M} \rangle \ \in \ \texttt{r}
```

A similar definition what it means that a set has a minimum.

definition

```
\texttt{HasAminimum}(\texttt{r},\texttt{A}) \equiv \exists \texttt{m} \in \texttt{A}. \forall \texttt{x} \in \texttt{A}. \langle \texttt{m},\texttt{x} \rangle \in \texttt{r}
```

Definition of the maximum of a set.

definition

```
\texttt{Maximum(r,A)} \equiv \texttt{THE M. M} \in \texttt{A} \ \land \ (\forall \, \texttt{x} \in \texttt{A.} \ \langle \texttt{x,M} \rangle \ \in \ \texttt{r})
```

Definition of a minimum of a set.

definition

```
\mathtt{Minimum}(\mathtt{r},\mathtt{A}) \equiv \mathtt{THE}\ \mathtt{m}.\ \mathtt{m} \in \mathtt{A} \ \land \ (\forall\,\mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{A}.\ \langle\mathtt{m},\mathtt{x}\rangle \in \mathtt{r})
```

The supremum of a set A is defined as the minimum of the set of upper bounds, i.e. the set $\{u.\forall_{a\in A}\langle a,u\rangle\in r\}=\bigcap_{a\in A}r\{a\}$. Recall that in Isabelle/ZF r-(A) denotes the inverse image of the set A by relation r (i.e. r-(A)= $\{x:\langle x,y\rangle\in r \text{ for some }y\in A\}$).

definition

```
\texttt{Supremum(r,A)} \; \equiv \; \texttt{Minimum(r,\bigcap a} \in \texttt{A. r\{a\})}
```

The notion of "having a supremum" is the same as the set of upper bounds having a minimum, but having it a a separate notion does simplify notattion in soma cases. The definition is written in terms of images of singletons $\{x\}$

under relation. To understand this formulation note that the set of upper bounds of a set $A \subseteq X$ is $\bigcap_{x \in A} \{y \in X | \langle x, y \rangle \in r\}$, which is the same as $\bigcap_{x \in A} r(\{x\})$, where $r(\{x\})$ is the image of the singleton $\{x\}$ under relation x

definition

```
\operatorname{HasAsupremum}(r,A) \equiv \operatorname{HasAminimum}(r,\bigcap a \in A. r\{a\})
```

The notion of "having an infimum" is the same as the set of lower bounds having a maximum.

definition

```
\operatorname{HasAnInfimum}(r,A) \equiv \operatorname{HasAmaximum}(r,\bigcap a \in A. r-\{a\})
```

Infimum is defined analogously.

definition

```
Infimum(r,A) \equiv Maximum(r, \bigcap a \in A. r-\{a\})
```

We define a relation to be complete if every nonempty bounded above set has a supremum.

definition

```
IsComplete (_ {is complete}) where r {is complete} \equiv \forall A. \text{ IsBoundedAbove}(A,r) \land A \neq 0 \longrightarrow \text{HasAminimum}(r, \bigcap a \in A. r{a})
```

The essential condition to show that a total relation is reflexive.

```
lemma Order_ZF_1_L1: assumes r {is total on} X and a\inX shows \langle a,a\rangle \in r using assms IsTotal_def by auto
```

A total relation is reflexive.

```
lemma total_is_refl:
  assumes r {is total on} X
  shows refl(X,r) using assms Order_ZF_1_L1 refl_def by simp
```

A linear order is partial order.

```
lemma Order_ZF_1_L2: assumes IsLinOrder(X,r)
    shows IsPartOrder(X,r)
    using assms IsLinOrder_def IsPartOrder_def refl_def Order_ZF_1_L1
    by auto
```

Partial order that is total is linear.

```
lemma Order_ZF_1_L3:
   assumes IsPartOrder(X,r) and r {is total on} X
   shows IsLinOrder(X,r)
   using assms IsPartOrder_def IsLinOrder_def
   by simp
```

Relation that is total on a set is total on any subset.

```
lemma Order_ZF_1_L4: assumes r {is total on} X and ACX
  shows r {is total on} A
  using assms IsTotal_def by auto
We can restrict a partial order relation to the domain.
lemma part_ord_restr: assumes IsPartOrder(X,r)
  shows IsPartOrder(X,r \cap X \times X)
  using assms unfolding IsPartOrder_def refl_def antisym_def trans_def
by auto
We can restrict a total order relation to the domain.
lemma total_ord_restr: assumes r {is total on} X
  shows (r \cap X×X) {is total on} X
  using assms unfolding IsTotal_def by auto
A linear relation is linear on any subset and we can restrict it to any subset.
lemma ord_linear_subset: assumes IsLinOrder(X,r) and ACX
  shows IsLinOrder(A,r) and IsLinOrder(A,r \cap A \times A)
proof -
  from assms show IsLinOrder(A,r) using IsLinOrder_def Order_ZF_1_L4
by blast
  then have IsPartOrder(A,r \cap A\timesA) and (r \cap A\timesA) {is total on} A
    using Order_ZF_1_L2 part_ord_restr total_ord_restr unfolding IsLinOrder_def
    by auto
  then show IsLinOrder(A,r \cap A \times A) using Order_ZF_1_L3 by simp
qed
If the relation is total, then every set is a union of those elements that are
nongreater than a given one and nonsmaller than a given one.
lemma Order_ZF_1_L5:
  assumes r {is total on} X and A\subseteqX and a\inX
  shows A = \{x \in A : \langle x, a \rangle \in r\} \cup \{x \in A : \langle a, x \rangle \in r\}
  using assms IsTotal_def by auto
A technical fact about reflexive relations.
lemma refl_add_point:
  assumes refl(X,r) and A \subseteq B \cup {x} and B \subseteq X and
  x \in X \text{ and } \forall y \in B. \langle y, x \rangle \in r
  shows \forall a \in A. \langle a, x \rangle \in r
  using assms refl_def by auto
```

5.2 Intervals

In this section we discuss intervals.

The next lemma explains the notation of the definition of an interval.

```
lemma Order_ZF_2_L1:
```

```
shows x \in Interval(r,a,b) \longleftrightarrow \langle a,x \rangle \in r \land \langle x,b \rangle \in r using Interval_def by auto
```

Since there are some problems with applying the above lemma (seems that simp and auto don't handle equivalence very well), we split Order_ZF_2_L1 into two lemmas.

```
lemma Order_ZF_2_L1A: assumes x ∈ Interval(r,a,b)
  shows \langle a,x \rangle \in r \quad \langle x,b \rangle \in r
  using assms Order_ZF_2_L1 by auto
Order_ZF_2_L1, implication from right to left.
lemma Order_ZF_2_L1B: assumes \langle a,x \rangle \in r \quad \langle x,b \rangle \in r
  shows x \in Interval(r,a,b)
  using assms Order_ZF_2_L1 by simp
If the relation is reflexive, the endpoints belong to the interval.
lemma Order_ZF_2_L2: assumes refl(X,r)
  and a \in X b \in X and \langle a, b \rangle \in r
  shows
  a \in Interval(r,a,b)
  b ∈ Interval(r,a,b)
  using assms refl_def Order_ZF_2_L1 by auto
Under the assumptions of Order_ZF_2_L2, the interval is nonempty.
lemma Order_ZF_2_L2A: assumes refl(X,r)
  and a \in X b \in X and \langle a,b \rangle \in r
  shows Interval(r,a,b) \neq 0
proof -
  from assms have a \in Interval(r,a,b)
     using Order_ZF_2_L2 by simp
  then show Interval(r,a,b) \neq 0 by auto
qed
If a, b, c, d are in this order, then [b, c] \subseteq [a, d]. We only need trasitivity for
this to be true.
lemma Order_ZF_2_L3:
  assumes A1: trans(r) and A2:\langle a,b\rangle \in r \quad \langle b,c\rangle \in r \quad \langle c,d\rangle \in r
shows Interval(r,b,c) \subseteq Interval(r,a,d)
proof
  fix x assume A3: x \in Interval(r, b, c)
  moreover from A2 A3 have \langle a,b \rangle \in r \land \langle b,x \rangle \in r using Order_ZF_2_L1A
  ultimately have T1: \langle a,x \rangle \in r by (rule Fol1_L3)
  moreover from A2 A3 have \langle x,c \rangle \in r \land \langle c,d \rangle \in r using Order_ZF_2_L1A
```

ultimately have $\langle x,d \rangle \in r$ by (rule Fol1_L3)

by simp

```
with T1 show x \in Interval(r,a,d) using Order_ZF_2_L1B by simp qed
```

For reflexive and antisymmetric relations the interval with equal endpoints consists only of that endpoint.

```
lemma Order_ZF_2_L4:
   assumes A1: refl(X,r) and A2: antisym(r) and A3: a∈X
   shows Interval(r,a,a) = {a}
proof
   from A1 A3 have ⟨ a,a⟩ ∈ r using refl_def by simp
   with A1 A3 show {a} ⊆ Interval(r,a,a) using Order_ZF_2_L2 by simp
   from A2 show Interval(r,a,a) ⊆ {a} using Order_ZF_2_L1A Fol1_L4
        by fast
qed
```

For transitive relations the endpoints have to be in the relation for the interval to be nonempty.

```
lemma Order_ZF_2_L5: assumes A1: trans(r) and A2: ⟨ a,b⟩ ∉ r
    shows Interval(r,a,b) = 0
proof -
    { assume Interval(r,a,b)≠0 then obtain x where x ∈ Interval(r,a,b)
        by auto
    with A1 A2 have False using Order_ZF_2_L1A Fol1_L3 by fast
    } thus thesis by auto
qed
```

If a relation is defined on a set, then intervals are subsets of that set.

```
lemma Order_ZF_2_L6: assumes A1: r \subseteq X \times X shows Interval(r,a,b) \subseteq X using assms Interval_def by auto
```

5.3 Bounded sets

In this section we consider properties of bounded sets.

For reflexive relations singletons are bounded.

```
lemma Order_ZF_3_L1: assumes refl(X,r) and a∈X
   shows IsBounded({a},r)
   using assms refl_def IsBoundedAbove_def IsBoundedBelow_def
   IsBounded_def by auto
```

Sets that are bounded above are contained in the domain of the relation.

```
lemma Order_ZF_3_L1A: assumes r ⊆ X×X
  and IsBoundedAbove(A,r)
  shows A⊆X using assms IsBoundedAbove_def by auto
```

Sets that are bounded below are contained in the domain of the relation.

```
lemma Order_ZF_3_L1B: assumes r ⊆ X×X
   and IsBoundedBelow(A,r)
   shows A⊆X using assms IsBoundedBelow_def by auto
```

For a total relation, the greater of two elements, as defined above, is indeed greater of any of the two.

```
lemma Order_ZF_3_L2: assumes r {is total on} X and x\inX y\inX shows \langle x, GreaterOf(r,x,y) \rangle \in r \langle y, GreaterOf(r,x,y) \rangle \in r \langle SmallerOf(r,x,y),x \rangle \in r \langle SmallerOf(r,x,y),y \rangle \in r using assms IsTotal_def Order_ZF_1_L1 GreaterOf_def SmallerOf_def by auto
```

If A is bounded above by u, B is bounded above by w, then $A \cup B$ is bounded above by the greater of u, w.

```
lemma Order_ZF_3_L2B:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} X and A2: trans(r)
  and A3: u \in X \quad w \in X
  and A4: \forall x \in A. \langle x, u \rangle \in r \ \forall x \in B. \langle x, w \rangle \in r
  shows \forall x \in A \cup B. \langle x, GreaterOf(r,u,w) \rangle \in r
  let v = GreaterOf(r,u,w)
  from A1 A3 have T1: \langle u,v \rangle \in r and T2: \langle w,v \rangle \in r
     using Order_ZF_3_L2 by auto
  fix x assume A5: x \in A \cup B show \langle x, v \rangle \in r
  proof -
      \{ assume x \in A \}
     with A4 T1 have \langle x, u \rangle \in r \land \langle u, v \rangle \in r by simp
     with A2 have \langle x, v \rangle \in r by (rule Fol1_L3) }
  moreover
   { assume x∉A
     with A5 A4 T2 have \langle x, w \rangle \in r \land \langle w, v \rangle \in r by simp
     with A2 have \langle x, v \rangle \in r by (rule Fol1_L3) }
  ultimately show thesis by auto
  qed
qed
```

For total and transitive relation the union of two sets bounded above is bounded above.

```
lemma Order_ZF_3_L3:
   assumes A1: r {is total on} X and A2: trans(r)
   and A3: IsBoundedAbove(A,r) IsBoundedAbove(B,r)
   and A4: r ⊆ X×X
   shows IsBoundedAbove(A∪B,r)
proof -
```

```
{ assume A=0 \lor B=0
     with A3 have IsBoundedAbove(A∪B,r) by auto }
  moreover
  { assume \neg (A = 0 \lor B = 0)
     then have T1: A\neq 0 B\neq 0 by auto
     with A3 obtain u w where D1: \forall x \in A. \langle x,u \rangle \in r \ \forall x \in B. \langle x,w \rangle \in r
       using IsBoundedAbove_def by auto
     let U = GreaterOf(r,u,w)
     from T1 A4 D1 have u \in X w \in X by auto
     with A1 A2 D1 have \forall x \in A \cup B. \langle x, U \rangle \in r
       using Order_ZF_3_L2B by blast
     then have IsBoundedAbove(A∪B,r)
       using IsBoundedAbove_def by auto }
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
For total and transitive relations if a set A is bounded above then A \cup \{a\}
is bounded above.
lemma Order_ZF_3_L4:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} X and A2: trans(r)
  and A3: IsBoundedAbove(A,r) and A4: a \in X and A5: r \subseteq X \times X
  shows IsBoundedAbove(A \cup \{a\}, r)
proof -
  from A1 have refl(X,r)
     using total_is_refl by simp
  with assms show thesis using
     Order_ZF_3_L1 IsBounded_def Order_ZF_3_L3 by simp
qed
If A is bounded below by l, B is bounded below by m, then A \cup B is bounded
below by the smaller of u, w.
lemma Order_ZF_3_L5B:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} X and A2: trans(r)
  and A3: 1 \in X \text{ m} \in X
  and A4: \forall x \in A. \langle 1,x \rangle \in r \ \forall x \in B. \langle m,x \rangle \in r
  shows \forall x \in A \cup B. \langle SmallerOf(r,l,m),x \rangle \in r
proof
  let k = SmallerOf(r,1,m)
  from A1 A3 have T1: \langle k, 1 \rangle \in r and T2: \langle k, m \rangle \in r
     using Order_ZF_3_L2 by auto
  fix x assume A5: x \in A \cup B show \langle k, x \rangle \in r
  proof -
     \{ assume x \in A \}
       with A4 T1 have \langle k,l \rangle \in r \land \langle l,x \rangle \in r by simp
       with A2 have \langle k, x \rangle \in r by (rule Fol1_L3) }
     moreover
     { assume x∉A
       with A5 A4 T2 have \langle k,m \rangle \in r \land \langle m,x \rangle \in r by simp
       with A2 have \langle k, x \rangle \in r by (rule Fol1_L3) }
```

```
qed
qed
For total and transitive relation the union of two sets bounded below is
bounded below.
lemma Order_ZF_3_L6:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} X and A2: trans(r)
  and A3: IsBoundedBelow(A,r) IsBoundedBelow(B,r)
  and A4: r \subseteq X \times X
  shows IsBoundedBelow(A∪B,r)
proof -
  { assume A=0 \lor B=0
    with A3 have thesis by auto }
  moreover
  { assume \neg (A = 0 \lor B = 0)
    then have T1: A\neq 0 B\neq 0 by auto
    with A3 obtain 1 m where D1: \forall x \in A. \langle 1,x \rangle \in r \ \forall x \in B. \langle m,x \rangle \in r
      using IsBoundedBelow_def by auto
    let L = SmallerOf(r,1,m)
    from T1 A4 D1 have T1: 1∈X m∈X by auto
    with A1 A2 D1 have \forall x \in A \cup B. \langle L, x \rangle \in r
      using Order_ZF_3_L5B by blast
    then have IsBoundedBelow(AUB,r)
      using IsBoundedBelow_def by auto }
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
For total and transitive relations if a set A is bounded below then A \cup \{a\}
is bounded below.
lemma Order_ZF_3_L7:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} X and A2: trans(r)
  and A3: IsBoundedBelow(A,r) and A4: a \in X and A5: r \subseteq X \times X
  shows IsBoundedBelow(A \cup \{a\}, r)
proof -
  from A1 have refl(X,r)
    using total_is_refl by simp
  with assms show thesis using
    Order_ZF_3_L1 IsBounded_def Order_ZF_3_L6 by simp
qed
For total and transitive relations unions of two bounded sets are bounded.
theorem Order_ZF_3_T1:
  assumes r {is total on} X and trans(r)
  and IsBounded(A,r) IsBounded(B,r)
  and r \subseteq X \times X
  shows IsBounded(A∪B,r)
  using assms Order_ZF_3_L3 Order_ZF_3_L6 Order_ZF_3_L7 IsBounded_def
```

ultimately show thesis by auto

```
by simp
For total and transitive relations if a set A is bounded then A \cup \{a\} is
bounded.
lemma Order_ZF_3_L8:
  assumes r {is total on} X and trans(r)
  and IsBounded(A,r) and a \in X and r \subseteq X \times X
  shows IsBounded(A \cup \{a\},r)
  using assms total_is_refl Order_ZF_3_L1 Order_ZF_3_T1 by blast
A sufficient condition for a set to be bounded below.
lemma Order_ZF_3_L9: assumes A1: ∀a∈A. ⟨l,a⟩ ∈ r
  shows IsBoundedBelow(A,r)
proof -
  from A1 have \exists 1. \ \forall x \in A. \ \langle 1, x \rangle \in r
    by auto
  then show IsBoundedBelow(A,r)
    using IsBoundedBelow_def by simp
qed
A sufficient condition for a set to be bounded above.
lemma Order_ZF_3_L10: assumes A1: \forall a \in A. \langle a, u \rangle \in r
  shows IsBoundedAbove(A,r)
proof -
  from A1 have \exists u. \forall x \in A. \langle x, u \rangle \in r
    by auto
  then show IsBoundedAbove(A,r)
    using IsBoundedAbove_def by simp
Intervals are bounded.
lemma Order_ZF_3_L11: shows
  IsBoundedAbove(Interval(r,a,b),r)
  IsBoundedBelow(Interval(r,a,b),r)
  IsBounded(Interval(r,a,b),r)
proof -
  \{ \text{ fix x assume } x \in \text{Interval(r,a,b)} \}
    then have \langle x,b \rangle \in r \quad \langle a,x \rangle \in r
       using Order_ZF_2_L1A by auto
  } then have
       \exists u. \forall x \in Interval(r,a,b). \langle x,u \rangle \in r
       \exists1. \forallx\inInterval(r,a,b). \langle1,x\rangle\inr
    by auto
```

using IsBoundedAbove_def IsBoundedBelow_def IsBounded_def

then show

IsBoundedAbove(Interval(r,a,b),r)
IsBoundedBelow(Interval(r,a,b),r)
IsBounded(Interval(r,a,b),r)

```
by auto
qed
A subset of a set that is bounded below is bounded below.
lemma Order_ZF_3_L12: assumes A1: IsBoundedBelow(A,r) and A2: B⊆A
  shows IsBoundedBelow(B,r)
proof -
  \{ assume A = 0 \}
    with assms have IsBoundedBelow(B,r)
       using IsBoundedBelow_def by auto }
  moreover
  { assume A \neq 0
    with A1 have \exists 1. \ \forall x \in A. \ \langle 1, x \rangle \in r
       using IsBoundedBelow_def by simp
    with A2 have \exists 1. \forall x \in B. \langle 1, x \rangle \in r by auto
    then have IsBoundedBelow(B,r) using IsBoundedBelow_def
       by auto }
  ultimately show IsBoundedBelow(B,r) by auto
A subset of a set that is bounded above is bounded above.
lemma Order_ZF_3_L13: assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(A,r) and A2: B⊂A
  shows IsBoundedAbove(B,r)
proof -
  \{ assume A = 0 \}
    with assms have IsBoundedAbove(B,r)
       using IsBoundedAbove_def by auto }
  moreover
  { assume A \neq 0
    with A1 have \exists u. \forall x \in A. \langle x, u \rangle \in r
       {\bf using} IsBoundedAbove_def by simp
    with A2 have \exists u. \forall x \in B. \langle x, u \rangle \in r by auto
    then have IsBoundedAbove(B,r) using IsBoundedAbove_def
       by auto }
  ultimately show IsBoundedAbove(B,r) by auto
qed
If for every element of X we can find one in A that is greater, then the A
can not be bounded above. Works for relations that are total, transitive and
antisymmetric, (i.e. for linear order relations).
lemma Order_ZF_3_L14:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} X
  and A2: trans(r) and A3: antisym(r)
  and A4: r \subseteq X \times X and A5: X \neq 0
  and A6: \forall x \in X. \exists a \in A. x \neq a \land \langle x, a \rangle \in r
  shows ¬IsBoundedAbove(A,r)
proof -
  { from A5 A6 have I: A\neq 0 by auto
```

```
moreover assume IsBoundedAbove(A,r)
ultimately obtain u where II: ∀x∈A. ⟨x,u⟩ ∈ r
using IsBounded_def IsBoundedAbove_def by auto
with A4 I have u∈X by auto
with A6 obtain b where b∈A and III: u≠b and ⟨u,b⟩ ∈ r
by auto
with II have ⟨b,u⟩ ∈ r ⟨u,b⟩ ∈ r by auto
with A3 have b=u by (rule Fol1_L4)
with III have False by simp
} thus ¬IsBoundedAbove(A,r) by auto
qed
```

The set of elements in a set A that are nongreater than a given element is bounded above.

```
lemma Order_ZF_3_L15: shows IsBoundedAbove(\{x \in A. \langle x,a \rangle \in r\},r) using IsBoundedAbove_def by auto
```

If A is bounded below, then the set of elements in a set A that are nongreater than a given element is bounded.

```
lemma Order_ZF_3_L16: assumes A1: IsBoundedBelow(A,r)
  shows IsBounded(\{x \in A : \langle x, a \rangle \in r\}, r)
proof -
  { assume A=0
     then have IsBounded(\{x \in A. \langle x,a \rangle \in r\},r)
       using IsBoundedBelow_def IsBoundedAbove_def IsBounded_def
       by auto }
  moreover
   { assume A \neq 0
     with A1 obtain 1 where I: \forall x \in A. \langle 1, x \rangle \in r
       using IsBoundedBelow_def by auto
     then have \forall y \in \{x \in A : \langle x, a \rangle \in r\}. \langle 1, y \rangle \in r by simp
     then have IsBoundedBelow(\{x \in A. \langle x,a \rangle \in r\},r)
       by (rule Order_ZF_3_L9)
     then have IsBounded(\{x \in A. \langle x,a \rangle \in r\},r)
       using Order_ZF_3_L15 IsBounded_def by simp }
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
```

end

6 More on order relations

theory Order_ZF_1 imports ZF.Order ZF1

begin

In Order_ZF we define some notions related to order relations based on the nonstrict orders (\leq type). Some people however prefer to talk about these

notions in terms of the strict order relation (< type). This is the case for the standard Isabelle Order.thy and also for Metamath. In this theory file we repeat some developments from Order_ZF using the strict order relation as a basis. This is mostly useful for Metamath translation, but is also of some general interest. The names of theorems are copied from Metamath.

6.1 Definitions and basic properties

In this section we introduce some definitions taken from Metamath and relate them to the ones used by the standard Isabelle Order.thy.

The next definition is the strict version of the linear order. What we write as R Orders A is written ROrdA in Metamath.

definition

```
StrictOrder (infix Orders 65) where R Orders A \equiv \forall x \ y \ z. (x \in A \land y \in A \land z \in A) \longrightarrow (\langle x,y \rangle \in R \longleftrightarrow \neg(x=y \lor \langle y,x \rangle \in R)) \land (\langle x,y \rangle \in R \land \langle y,z \rangle \in R \longrightarrow \langle x,z \rangle \in R)
```

The definition of supremum for a (strict) linear order.

definition

```
\begin{array}{l} Sup(B,A,R) \equiv \\ \bigcup \ \{x \in A. \ (\forall y \in B. \ \langle x,y \rangle \notin R) \ \land \\ (\forall y \in A. \ \langle y,x \rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\exists z \in B. \ \langle y,z \rangle \in R))\} \end{array}
```

Definition of infimum for a linear order. It is defined in terms of supremum.

definition

```
Infim(B,A,R) \equiv Sup(B,A,converse(R))
```

If relation R orders a set A, (in Metamath sense) then R is irreflexive, transitive and linear therefore is a total order on A (in Isabelle sense).

```
lemma orders_imp_tot_ord: assumes A1: R Orders A
   shows
   irrefl(A,R)
   trans[A](R)
  part_ord(A,R)
   linear(A,R)
   tot_ord(A,R)
proof -
   from A1 have I:
      \forall x y z. (x \in A \land y \in A \land z \in A) \longrightarrow
      (\langle x,y \rangle \in R \longleftrightarrow \neg(x=y \lor \langle y,x \rangle \in R)) \land
      (\langle x,y\rangle \in R \land \langle y,z\rangle \in R \longrightarrow \langle x,z\rangle \in R)
      unfolding StrictOrder_def by simp
   then have \forall x \in A. \langle x, x \rangle \notin R by blast
  then show irrefl(A,R) using irrefl_def by simp
   moreover
```

```
\forall \, \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{A}. \  \, \forall \, \mathtt{y} \in \mathtt{A}. \  \, \forall \, \mathtt{z} \in \mathtt{A}. \  \, \langle \mathtt{x}, \mathtt{y} \rangle \, \in \, \mathtt{R} \, \longrightarrow \, \langle \mathtt{y}, \mathtt{z} \rangle \, \in \, \mathtt{R} \, \longrightarrow \, \langle \mathtt{x}, \mathtt{z} \rangle \, \in \, \mathtt{R}
      by blast
   then show trans[A](R) unfolding trans_on_def by blast
   ultimately show part_ord(A,R) using part_ord_def
       by simp
   moreover
   from I have
       \forall x \in A. \ \forall y \in A. \ \langle x,y \rangle \in R \ \lor \ x=y \ \lor \ \langle y,x \rangle \in R
      by blast
   then show linear(A,R) unfolding linear_def by blast
   ultimately show tot_ord(A,R) using tot_ord_def
       by simp
qed
A converse of orders_imp_tot_ord. Together with that theorem this shows
that Metamath's notion of an order relation is equivalent to Isabelles tot_ord
predicate.
lemma tot_ord_imp_orders: assumes A1: tot_ord(A,R)
   shows R Orders A
proof -
   from A1 have
       I: linear(A,R) and
       II: irrefl(A,R) and
       III: trans[A](R) and
      IV: part_ord(A,R)
       using tot_ord_def part_ord_def by auto
   from IV have asym(R \cap A \times A)
       using part_ord_Imp_asym by simp
   then have V: \forall x y. \langle x,y \rangle \in (R \cap A \times A) \longrightarrow \neg(\langle y,x \rangle \in (R \cap A \times A))
       unfolding asym_def by blast
   from I have VI: \forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. \langle x,y \rangle \in R \lor x=y \lor \langle y,x \rangle \in R
       unfolding linear_def by blast
   from III have VII:
       \forall\, \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{A}. \ \forall\, \mathtt{y} \in \mathtt{A}. \ \forall\, \mathtt{z} \in \mathtt{A}. \ \langle\, \mathtt{x}\,,\mathtt{y}\,\rangle \ \in \ \mathtt{R} \ \longrightarrow \ \langle\, \mathtt{y}\,,\mathtt{z}\,\rangle \ \in \ \mathtt{R} \ \longrightarrow \ \langle\, \mathtt{x}\,,\mathtt{z}\,\rangle \ \in \ \mathtt{R}
       unfolding trans_on_def by blast
   { fix x y z
       \mathbf{assume}\ \mathtt{T}\colon\ \mathtt{x}{\in}\mathtt{A}\ \mathtt{y}{\in}\mathtt{A}\ \mathtt{z}{\in}\mathtt{A}
       have \langle x,y \rangle \in R \longleftrightarrow \neg(x=y \lor \langle y,x \rangle \in R)
       proof
          assume A2: \langle x,y \rangle \in R
          with V T have \neg(\langle y, x \rangle \in R) by blast
          moreover from II T A2 have x \neq y using irrefl_def
 by auto
          ultimately show \neg(x=y \lor \langle y,x \rangle \in R) by simp
      next assume \neg(x=y \lor \langle y,x \rangle \in R)
          with VI T show \langle x,y \rangle \in R by auto
       moreover from VII T have
```

from I have

```
\begin{array}{l} \langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}\rangle \in \mathtt{R} \ \land \ \langle \mathtt{y},\mathtt{z}\rangle \in \mathtt{R} \ \longrightarrow \langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{z}\rangle \in \mathtt{R} \\ \text{by blast} \\ \text{ultimately have } (\langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}\rangle \in \mathtt{R} \longleftrightarrow \neg (\mathtt{x}\mathtt{=}\mathtt{y} \lor \langle \mathtt{y},\mathtt{x}\rangle \in \mathtt{R})) \ \land \\ (\langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}\rangle \in \mathtt{R} \land \langle \mathtt{y},\mathtt{z}\rangle \in \mathtt{R} \longrightarrow \langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{z}\rangle \in \mathtt{R}) \\ \text{by simp} \\ \} \ \text{then have} \ \forall \mathtt{x} \ \mathtt{y} \ \mathtt{z}. \ (\mathtt{x}\in \mathtt{A} \land \mathtt{y}\in \mathtt{A} \land \mathtt{z}\in \mathtt{A}) \longrightarrow \\ (\langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}\rangle \in \mathtt{R} \longleftrightarrow \neg (\mathtt{x}\mathtt{=}\mathtt{y} \lor \langle \mathtt{y},\mathtt{x}\rangle \in \mathtt{R})) \ \land \\ (\langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}\rangle \in \mathtt{R} \land \langle \mathtt{y},\mathtt{z}\rangle \in \mathtt{R} \longrightarrow \langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{z}\rangle \in \mathtt{R}) \\ \text{by auto} \\ \text{then show } \mathtt{R} \ \texttt{Orders} \ \mathtt{A} \ \text{using } \mathtt{StrictOrder\_def} \ \text{by simp} \\ \mathbf{qed} \end{array}
```

6.2 Properties of (strict) total orders

In this section we discuss the properties of strict order relations. This continues the development contained in the standard Isabelle's Order.thy with a view towards using the theorems translated from Metamath.

A relation orders a set iff the converse relation orders a set. Going one way we can use the the lemma $tot_od_converse$ from the standard Isabelle's Order.thy. The other way is a bit more complicated (note that in Isabelle for converse(converse(r)) = r one needs r to consist of ordered pairs, which does not follow from the StrictOrder definition above).

```
lemma cnvso: shows R Orders A \longleftrightarrow converse(R) Orders A
proof
   let r = converse(R)
   assume R Orders A
   then have tot_ord(A,r) using orders_imp_tot_ord tot_ord_converse
   then show r Orders A using tot_ord_imp_orders
      by simp
next
   let r = converse(R)
   assume r Orders A
   then have A2: \forall x \ y \ z. (x \in A \land y \in A \land z \in A) \longrightarrow
       (\langle x,y \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \neg(x=y \lor \langle y,x \rangle \in r)) \land
       (\langle x,y \rangle \in r \land \langle y,z \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle x,z \rangle \in r)
      using StrictOrder_def by simp
   { fix x y z
      assume x \in A \land y \in A \land z \in A
      with A2 have
          I: \langle y, x \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \neg (x=y \lor \langle x, y \rangle \in r) and
          II: \langle y, x \rangle \in r \land \langle z, y \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle z, x \rangle \in r
      from I have \langle x,y \rangle \in \mathbb{R} \longleftrightarrow \neg(x=y \lor \langle y,x \rangle \in \mathbb{R})
      moreover from II have \langle x,y \rangle \in R \land \langle y,z \rangle \in R \longrightarrow \langle x,z \rangle \in R
          by auto
```

```
ultimately have (\langle x,y \rangle \in \mathbb{R} \longleftrightarrow \neg(x=y \lor \langle y,x \rangle \in \mathbb{R})) \land
           (\langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{y} \rangle \in \mathtt{R} \, \land \, \langle \mathtt{y},\mathtt{z} \rangle \in \mathtt{R} \, \longrightarrow \, \langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{z} \rangle \, \in \, \mathtt{R}) \, \, \, \mathbf{by} \, \, \, \mathsf{simp}
    } then have \forall x y z. (x \in A \land y \in A \land z \in A) \longrightarrow
           (\langle x,y\rangle \in R \longleftrightarrow \neg(x=y \lor \langle y,x\rangle \in R)) \land
           (\langle x,y \rangle \in R \land \langle y,z \rangle \in R \longrightarrow \langle x,z \rangle \in R)
       by auto
   then show R Orders A using StrictOrder_def by simp
Supremum is unique, if it exists.
lemma supeu: assumes A1: R Orders A and A2: x \in A and
   A3: \forall y \in B. \langle x,y \rangle \notin R and A4: \forall y \in A. \langle y,x \rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\exists z \in B. \langle y,z \rangle \in R)
     shows
   \exists ! x. x \in A \land (\forall y \in B. \langle x, y \rangle \notin R) \land (\forall y \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\exists z \in B. \langle y, z \rangle \in R)
R))
proof
   from A2 A3 A4 show
       \exists x. x\inA\land(\forally\inB. \langlex,y\rangle \notin R) \land (\forally\inA. \langley,x\rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\existsz\inB. \langley,z\rangle
\in R))
       by auto
next fix x_1 x_2
   assume A5:
       x_1 \in A \land (\forall y \in B. \langle x_1, y \rangle \notin R) \land (\forall y \in A. \langle y, x_1 \rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\exists z \in B. \langle y, z \rangle)
\in \mathbb{R})
       x_2 \in A \land (\forall y \in B. \langle x_2, y \rangle \notin R) \land (\forall y \in A. \langle y, x_2 \rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\exists z \in B. \langle y, z \rangle)
   from A1 have linear(A,R) using orders_imp_tot_ord tot_ord_def
       by simp
   then have \forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. \langle x,y \rangle \in R \lor x=y \lor \langle y,x \rangle \in R
       unfolding linear_def by blast
   with A5 have \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \in R \lor x_1 = x_2 \lor \langle x_2, x_1 \rangle \in R by blast
   moreover
    { assume \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \in R
       with A5 obtain z where z{\in}B and \langle x_1,z\rangle \in R by auto
       with A5 have False by auto }
   moreover
    { assume \langle x_2, x_1 \rangle \in \mathbb{R}
       with A5 obtain z where z \in B and \langle x_2, z \rangle \in R by auto
       with A5 have False by auto }
   ultimately show x_1 = x_2 by auto
Supremum has expected properties if it exists.
lemma sup_props: assumes A1: R Orders A and
   A2: \exists x \in A. (\forall y \in B. \langle x,y \rangle \notin R) \land (\forall y \in A. \langle y,x \rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\exists z \in B. \langle y,z \rangle \in R)
R))
   shows
   Sup(B,A,R) \in A
   \forall y \in B. \langle Sup(B,A,R), y \rangle \notin R
```

```
\forall y \in A. \langle y, Sup(B,A,R) \rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\exists z \in B. \langle y,z \rangle \in R)
proof -
   let S = {x\inA. (\forally\inB. \langlex,y\rangle \notin R) \land (\forally\inA. \langley,x\rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\existsz\inB. \langley,z\rangle
\in R ) ) }
   from A2 obtain x where
       x \in A and (\forall y \in B. \langle x,y \rangle \notin R) and \forall y \in A. \langle y,x \rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\exists z \in B. \langle y,z \rangle)
\in R)
   with A1 have I:
       \exists ! x. x \in A \land (\forall y \in B. \langle x, y \rangle \notin R) \land (\forall y \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\exists z \in B. \langle y, z \rangle)
\in \mathbb{R})
       using supeu by simp
   then have (\bigcup S) \in A by (rule ZF1_1_L9)
   then show Sup(B,A,R) ∈ A using Sup_def by simp
   from I have II:
       (\forall y \in B. \langle \bigcup S, y \rangle \notin R) \land (\forall y \in A. \langle y, \bigcup S \rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\exists z \in B. \langle y, z \rangle \in R))
       by (rule ZF1_1_L9)
   hence \forall y \in B. \langle \bigcup S, y \rangle \notin R by blast
   moreover have III: ( | JS ) = Sup(B,A,R) using Sup_def by simp
   ultimately show \forall y \in B. \langle Sup(B,A,R), y \rangle \notin R by simp
   from II have IV: \forall y \in A. \langle y, \bigcup S \rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\exists z \in B. \langle y, z \rangle \in R)
       by blast
   { fix y assume A3: y \in A and \langle y, Sup(B,A,R) \rangle \in R
       with III have \langle y, \bigcup S \rangle \in R by simp
       with IV A3 have \exists z \in B. \langle y,z \rangle \in R by blast
   } thus \forall y \in A. \langle y, Sup(B,A,R) \rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\exists z \in B. \langle y,z \rangle \in R)
       by simp
qed
Elements greater or equal than any element of B are greater or equal than
supremum of B.
lemma supnub: assumes A1: R Orders A and A2:
   \exists x \in A. \ (\forall y \in B. \ \langle x,y \rangle \notin R) \ \land \ (\forall y \in A. \ \langle y,x \rangle \in R \longrightarrow (\exists z \in B. \ \langle y,z \rangle \in R))
   and A3: c \in A and A4: \forall z \in B. \langle c,z \rangle \notin R
   shows \langle c, Sup(B,A,R) \rangle \notin R
proof -
   from A1 A2 have
       \forall\, y{\in} \texttt{A.}\  \, \langle \texttt{y,Sup}(\texttt{B,A,R})\rangle\,\in\, \texttt{R}\,\longrightarrow\, \texttt{(}\,\,\exists\, \texttt{z}{\in} \texttt{B.}\,\, \langle \texttt{y,z}\rangle\,\in\, \texttt{R}\,\,\texttt{)}
       by (rule sup_props)
   with A3 A4 show \langle c, Sup(B,A,R) \rangle \notin R by auto
qed
end
```

7 Even more on order relations

theory Order_ZF_1a imports Order_ZF

begin

This theory is a continuation of Order_ZF and talks about maximuma and minimum of a set, supremum and infimum and strict (not reflexive) versions of order relations.

7.1 Maximum and minimum of a set

In this section we show that maximum and minimum are unique if they exist. We also show that union of sets that have maxima (minima) has a maximum (minimum). We also show that singletons have maximum and minimum. All this allows to show (in Finite_ZF) that every finite set has well-defined maximum and minimum.

A somewhat technical fact that allows to reduce the number of premises in some theorems: the assumption that a set has a maximum implies that it is not empty.

```
lemma set_max_not_empty: assumes HasAmaximum(r,A) shows A\neq0
  using assms unfolding HasAmaximum_def by auto
```

If a set has a maximum implies that it is not empty.

```
lemma set_min_not_empty: assumes HasAminimum(r,A) shows A≠0
  using assms unfolding HasAminimum_def by auto
```

If a set has a supremum then it cannot be empty. We are probably using the fact that $\bigcap \emptyset = \emptyset$, which makes me a bit anxious as this I think is just a convention.

```
lemma set_sup_not_empty: assumes HasAsupremum(r,A) shows A\neq0
proof -
  from assms have \operatorname{HasAminimum}(r, \cap a \in A. r\{a\}) unfolding \operatorname{HasAsupremum\_def}
  then have (\bigcap a \in A. r\{a\}) \neq 0 using set_min_not_empty by simp
  then obtain x where x \in (\bigcap y \in A. r\{y\}) by blast
  thus thesis by auto
ged
```

If a set has an infimum then it cannot be empty.

```
lemma set_inf_not_empty: assumes HasAnInfimum(r,A) shows A≠0
proof -
  from assms have \operatorname{HasAmaximum}(r, \bigcap a \in A. r-\{a\}) unfolding \operatorname{HasAnInfimum\_def}
     by simp
  then have (\bigcap a \in A. r-\{a\}) \neq 0 using set_max_not_empty by simp
  then obtain x where x \in (\bigcap y \in A. r - \{y\}) by blast
  thus thesis by auto
qed
```

For antisymmetric relations maximum of a set is unique if it exists.

```
lemma Order_ZF_4_L1: assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: HasAmaximum(r,A)
```

```
shows \exists !M. M \in A \land (\forall x \in A. \langle x, M \rangle \in r)
proof
   from A2 show \exists M. M \in A \land (\forall x \in A. \langle x, M \rangle \in r)
      using HasAmaximum_def by auto
   fix M1 M2 assume
      A2: M1 \in A \land (\forall x\inA. \langlex, M1\rangle \in r) M2 \in A \land (\forall x\inA. \langlex, M2\rangle \in r)
      then have \langle M1,M2 \rangle \in r \langle M2,M1 \rangle \in r by auto
      with A1 show M1=M2 by (rule Fol1_L4)
ged
For antisymmetric relations minimum of a set is unique if it exists.
lemma Order_ZF_4_L2: assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: HasAminimum(r,A)
  shows \exists ! m. m \in A \land (\forall x \in A. \langle m, x \rangle \in r)
   from A2 show \exists m. m \in A \land (\forall x \in A. \langle m, x \rangle \in r)
      using HasAminimum_def by auto
  fix m1 m2 assume
      A2: m1 \in A \land (\forall x\inA. \langlem1, x\rangle \in r) m2 \in A \land (\forall x\inA. \langlem2, x\rangle \in r)
      then have \langle m1, m2 \rangle \in r \langle m2, m1 \rangle \in r by auto
      with A1 show m1=m2 by (rule Fol1_L4)
qed
Maximum of a set has desired properties.
lemma Order_ZF_4_L3: assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: HasAmaximum(r,A)
  shows Maximum(r,A) \in A \forall x \in A. \langle x, Maximum(r,A)\rangle \in r
  let Max = THE M. M\inA \land (\forallx\inA. \langlex,M\rangle \inr)
  from A1 A2 have \exists !M. M \in A \land (\forall x \in A. \langle x,M \rangle \in r)
      by (rule Order_ZF_4_L1)
  then have Max \in A \land (\forall x \in A. \langle x, Max \rangle \in r)
      by (rule theI)
  then show Maximum(r,A) \in A \forall x\inA. \langlex,Maximum(r,A)\rangle \in r
      using Maximum_def by auto
ged
Minimum of a set has desired properties.
lemma Order_ZF_4_L4: assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: HasAminimum(r,A)
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{Minimum(r,A)} \ \in \ \mathtt{A} \ \forall \, \mathtt{x} {\in} \mathtt{A}. \ \langle \mathtt{Minimum(r,A)} \, , \mathtt{x} \rangle \ \in \ \mathtt{r}
   let Min = THE m. m\inA \land (\forall x\inA. \langle m,x\rangle \in r)
   from A1 A2 have \exists !m. m \in A \land (\forall x \in A. \langle m, x \rangle \in r)
      by (rule Order_ZF_4_L2)
   then have Min \in A \land (\forall x \in A. \langle Min, x \rangle \in r)
      by (rule theI)
  then show Minimum(r,A) \in A \forall x\inA. \langleMinimum(r,A),x\rangle \in r
      using Minimum_def by auto
qed
```

For total and transitive relations a union a of two sets that have maxima

```
has a maximum.
lemma Order_ZF_4_L5:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} (A\cupB) and A2: trans(r)
  and A3: HasAmaximum(r,A) HasAmaximum(r,B)
  shows HasAmaximum(r,A\cup B)
proof -
  from A3 obtain M K where
     D1: M \in A \land (\forall x \in A. \langle x,M \rangle \in r) K \in B \land (\forall x \in B. \langle x,K \rangle \in r)
     using HasAmaximum_def by auto
  let L = GreaterOf(r,M,K)
  from D1 have T1: M \in A \cup B K \in A \cup B
    \forall x \in A. \langle x,M \rangle \in r \ \forall x \in B. \langle x,K \rangle \in r
    by auto
  with A1 A2 have \forall x \in A \cup B . \langle x, L \rangle \in r by (rule Order_ZF_3_L2B)
  moreover from T1 have L \in A \cup B using GreaterOf_def IsTotal_def
     by simp
  ultimately show HasAmaximum(r,AUB) using HasAmaximum_def by auto
For total and transitive relations A union a of two sets that have minima
has a minimum.
lemma Order_ZF_4_L6:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} (A\cupB) and A2: trans(r)
  and A3: HasAminimum(r,A) HasAminimum(r,B)
  shows HasAminimum(r,A∪B)
proof -
  from A3 obtain m k where
     D1: m \in A \land (\forall x \in A. \langle m, x \rangle \in r) k \in B \land (\forall x \in B. \langle k, x \rangle \in r)
     using HasAminimum_def by auto
  let 1 = SmallerOf(r,m,k)
  from D1 have T1: m \in A \cup B \ k \in A \cup B
    \forall x \in A. \langle m, x \rangle \in r \ \forall x \in B. \langle k, x \rangle \in r
  with A1 A2 have \forall x \in A \cup B . \langle 1, x \rangle \in r by (rule Order_ZF_3_L5B)
  moreover from T1 have l \in A \cup B using SmallerOf_def IsTotal_def
     by simp
  ultimately show {\tt HasAminimum(r,A\cup B)} using {\tt HasAminimum\_def} by auto
Set that has a maximum is bounded above.
lemma Order_ZF_4_L7:
  assumes HasAmaximum(r,A)
  shows IsBoundedAbove(A,r)
  using assms HasAmaximum_def IsBoundedAbove_def by auto
Set that has a minimum is bounded below.
lemma Order_ZF_4_L8A:
  assumes HasAminimum(r,A)
```

```
shows IsBoundedBelow(A,r) using assms HasAminimum_def IsBoundedBelow_def by auto
```

For reflexive relations singletons have a minimum and maximum.

```
lemma Order_ZF_4_L8: assumes refl(X,r) and a∈X
    shows HasAmaximum(r,{a}) HasAminimum(r,{a})
    using assms refl_def HasAmaximum_def HasAminimum_def by auto
```

For total and transitive relations if we add an element to a set that has a maximum, the set still has a maximum.

```
lemma Order_ZF_4_L9:
    assumes A1: r {is total on} X and A2: trans(r)
    and A3: A⊆X and A4: a∈X and A5: HasAmaximum(r,A)
    shows HasAmaximum(r,A∪{a})
proof -
    from A3 A4 have A∪{a} ⊆ X by auto
    with A1 have r {is total on} (A∪{a})
        using Order_ZF_1_L4 by blast
    moreover from A1 A2 A4 A5 have
        trans(r) HasAmaximum(r,A) by auto
    moreover from A1 A4 have HasAmaximum(r,{a})
        using total_is_refl Order_ZF_4_L8 by blast
    ultimately show HasAmaximum(r,A∪{a}) by (rule Order_ZF_4_L5)
    red
```

For total and transitive relations if we add an element to a set that has a minimum, the set still has a minimum.

```
lemma Order_ZF_4_L10:
    assumes A1: r {is total on} X and A2: trans(r)
    and A3: A⊆X and A4: a∈X and A5: HasAminimum(r,A)
    shows HasAminimum(r,A∪{a})
proof -
    from A3 A4 have A∪{a} ⊆ X by auto
    with A1 have r {is total on} (A∪{a})
        using Order_ZF_1_L4 by blast
    moreover from A1 A2 A4 A5 have
        trans(r) HasAminimum(r,A) by auto
    moreover from A1 A4 have HasAminimum(r,{a})
        using total_is_refl Order_ZF_4_L8 by blast
    ultimately show HasAminimum(r,A∪{a}) by (rule Order_ZF_4_L6)
qed
```

If the order relation has a property that every nonempty bounded set attains a minimum (for example integers are like that), then every nonempty set bounded below attains a minimum.

```
lemma Order_ZF_4_L11:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} X and
  A2: trans(r) and
```

```
A3: r \subseteq X \times X and
  A4: \forall A. IsBounded(A,r) \land A\neq0 \longrightarrow HasAminimum(r,A) and
  A5: B\neq 0 and A6: IsBoundedBelow(B,r)
  shows HasAminimum(r,B)
proof -
  from A5 obtain b where T: b∈B by auto
  let L = \{x \in B. \langle x,b \rangle \in r\}
  from A3 A6 T have T1: b∈X using Order_ZF_3_L1B by blast
  with A1 T have T2: b \in L
    using total_is_refl refl_def by simp
  then have L \neq 0 by auto
  moreover have IsBounded(L,r)
  proof -
    have L \subseteq B by auto
    with A6 have IsBoundedBelow(L,r)
       using Order_ZF_3_L12 by simp
    moreover have IsBoundedAbove(L,r)
       by (rule Order_ZF_3_L15)
     ultimately have IsBoundedAbove(L,r) \land IsBoundedBelow(L,r)
    then show IsBounded(L,r) using IsBounded_def
       by simp
  ultimately have IsBounded(L,r) \land L \neq 0 by blast
  with A4 have HasAminimum(r,L) by simp
  then obtain m where I: m \in L and II: \forall x \in L. \langle m, x \rangle \in r
    using HasAminimum_def by auto
  then have III: \langle m,b\rangle \in r by simp
  from I have m \in B by simp
  moreover have \forall x \in B. \langle m, x \rangle \in r
  proof
    fix x assume A7: x \in B
    from A3 A6 have B\subseteq X using Order_ZF_3_L1B by blast
    with A1 A7 T1 have x \in L \cup \{x \in B. \langle b, x \rangle \in r\}
       using Order_ZF_1_L5 by simp
    then have x \in L \lor \langle b, x \rangle \in r by auto
    moreover
     \{ assume x \in L \}
       with II have (m,x) \in r by simp }
    moreover
     { assume \langle b, x \rangle \in r
       with A2 III have trans(r) and \langle m,b \rangle \in r \land \langle b,x \rangle \in r
       then have \langle m, x \rangle \in r by (rule Fol1_L3) }
    ultimately show \langle m, x \rangle \in r by auto
  ultimately show HasAminimum(r,B) using HasAminimum_def
    by auto
qed
```

A dual to Order_ZF_4_L11: If the order relation has a property that every nonempty bounded set attains a maximum (for example integers are like that), then every nonempty set bounded above attains a maximum.

```
lemma Order_ZF_4_L11A:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} X and
  A2: trans(r) and
  A3: r \subseteq X \times X and
  A4: \forall A. IsBounded(A,r) \land A\neq0 \longrightarrow HasAmaximum(r,A) and
  A5: B\neq 0 and A6: IsBoundedAbove(B,r)
  shows HasAmaximum(r,B)
proof -
  from A5 obtain b where T: b∈B by auto
  let U = \{x \in B. \langle b, x \rangle \in r\}
  from A3 A6 T have T1: b \in X using Order_ZF_3_L1A by blast
  with A1 T have T2: b \in U
    using total_is_refl refl_def by simp
  then have U \neq 0 by auto
  moreover have IsBounded(U,r)
  proof -
    have U \subseteq B by auto
    with A6 have IsBoundedAbove(U,r)
       using Order_ZF_3_L13 by blast
    moreover have IsBoundedBelow(U,r)
       using IsBoundedBelow_def by auto
    ultimately have IsBoundedAbove(U,r) \land IsBoundedBelow(U,r)
       by blast
    then show IsBounded(U,r) using IsBounded_def
       by simp
  qed
  ultimately have IsBounded(U,r) \land U \neq 0 by blast
  with A4 have HasAmaximum(r,U) by simp
  then obtain m where I: m \in U and II: \forall x \in U. \langle x, m \rangle \in r
    using HasAmaximum_def by auto
  then have III: \langle b, m \rangle \in r by simp
  from I have m∈B by simp
  moreover have \forall x \in B. \langle x,m \rangle \in r
  proof
    fix x assume A7: x \in B
    from A3 A6 have BCX using Order_ZF_3_L1A by blast
    with A1 A7 T1 have x \in \{x \in B. \langle x,b \rangle \in r\} \cup U
       using Order_ZF_1_L5 by simp
    then have x \in U \lor \langle x,b \rangle \in r by auto
    moreover
    \{ assume x \in U \}
       with II have \langle x, m \rangle \in r by simp }
    moreover
     { assume \langle x,b\rangle \in r
       with A2 III have trans(r) and \langle x,b \rangle \in r \land \langle b,m \rangle \in r
 by auto
```

```
then have \langle x,m \rangle \in r by (rule Fol1_L3) } ultimately show \langle x,m \rangle \in r by auto qed ultimately show HasAmaximum(r,B) using HasAmaximum_def by auto qed
```

If a set has a minimum and L is less or equal than all elements of the set, then L is less or equal than the minimum.

```
lemma Order_ZF_4_L12: assumes antisym(r) and HasAminimum(r,A) and \forall a\inA. \langleL,a\rangle \in r shows \langleL,Minimum(r,A)\rangle \in r using assms Order_ZF_4_L4 by simp
```

If a set has a maximum and all its elements are less or equal than M, then the maximum of the set is less or equal than M.

```
lemma Order_ZF_4_L13: assumes antisym(r) and HasAmaximum(r,A) and \forall a\inA. \langlea,M\rangle \in r shows \langleMaximum(r,A),M\rangle \in r using assms Order_ZF_4_L3 by simp
```

If an element belongs to a set and is greater or equal than all elements of that set, then it is the maximum of that set.

```
lemma Order_ZF_4_L14: assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: M \in A and A3: \forall a \in A. \langle a,M\rangle \in r shows Maximum(r,A) = M proof - from A2 A3 have I: HasAmaximum(r,A) using HasAmaximum_def by auto with A1 have \exists !M. M \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. \langle x,M\rangle \in r) using Order_ZF_4_L1 by simp moreover from A2 A3 have M \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. \langle x,M\rangle \in r) by simp moreover from A1 I have Maximum(r,A) \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. \langle x,Maximum(r,A)\rangle \in r) using Order_ZF_4_L3 by simp ultimately show Maximum(r,A) = M by auto qed
```

If an element belongs to a set and is less or equal than all elements of that set, then it is the minimum of that set.

```
lemma Order_ZF_4_L15: assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: m \in A and A3: \forall a \in A. \langle m,a \rangle \in r shows Minimum(r,A) = m proof - from A2 A3 have I: HasAminimum(r,A) using HasAminimum_def by auto
```

```
with A1 have \exists \, ! \, m. \, m \in A \, \land \, (\forall \, x \in A. \, \langle \, m, x \rangle \, \in \, r) using \exists \, ! \, m. \, m \in A \, \land \, (\forall \, x \in A. \, \langle \, m, x \rangle \, \in \, r) moreover from A2 A3 have m \in A \, \land \, (\forall \, x \in A. \, \langle \, m, x \rangle \, \in \, r) by simp moreover from A1 I have

Minimum(r,A) \in A \, \land \, (\forall \, x \in A. \, \langle \, Minimum(r,A) \, , x \rangle \, \in \, r) using \exists \, m \in A \, \land \, (\forall \, x \in A. \, \langle \, Minimum(r,A) \, , x \rangle \, \in \, r) using \exists \, m \in A \, \land \, (\forall \, x \in A. \, \langle \, Minimum(r,A) \, , x \rangle \, \in \, r) using \exists \, m \in A \, \land \, (\forall \, x \in A. \, \langle \, m, x \rangle \, \in \, r) using \exists \, m \in A \, \land \, (\forall \, x \in A. \, \langle \, m, x \rangle \, \in \, r) using \exists \, m \in A \, \land \, (\forall \, x \in A. \, \langle \, m, x \rangle \, \in \, r) using \exists \, m \in A \, \land \, (\forall \, x \in A. \, \langle \, m, x \rangle \, \in \, r) by autoged
```

If a set does not have a maximum, then for any its element we can find one that is (strictly) greater.

```
lemma Order_ZF_4_L16:
  assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: r {is total on} X and
  A3: A\subseteq X and
  A4: ¬HasAmaximum(r,A) and
  shows \exists y \in A. \langle x,y \rangle \in r \land y \neq x
proof -
   { assume A6: \forall y \in A. \langle x,y \rangle \notin r \lor y=x
     have \forall y \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in r
     proof
        fix y assume A7: y \in A
        with A6 have \langle x,y \rangle \notin r \vee y=x by simp
        with A2 A3 A5 A7 show \langle y, x \rangle \in r
 using IsTotal_def Order_ZF_1_L1 by auto
     qed
     with A5 have \exists x \in A . \forall y \in A . \langle y, x \rangle \in r
     with A4 have False using HasAmaximum_def by simp
   } then show \exists y \in A. \langle x,y \rangle \in r \land y \neq x by auto
qed
```

7.2 Supremum and Infimum

In this section we consider the notions of supremum and infimum a set.

Elements of the set of upper bounds are indeed upper bounds. Isabelle also thinks it is obvious.

```
lemma Order_ZF_5_L1: assumes u \in (\bigcap a \in A. r\{a\}) and a \in A shows \langle a,u \rangle \in r using assms by auto
```

Elements of the set of lower bounds are indeed lower bounds. Isabelle also thinks it is obvious.

```
lemma Order_ZF_5_L2: assumes 1 \in (\bigcap a \in A. r-{a}) and a\in A shows \langle 1,a \rangle \in r using assms by auto
```

If the set of upper bounds has a minimum, then the supremum is less or equal than any upper bound. We can probably do away with the assumption that A is not empty, (ab)using the fact that intersection over an empty family is defined in Isabelle to be empty. This lemma is obsolete and will be removed in the future. Use $\sup_{p=1} e_{p} p d$ instead.

```
lemma Order_ZF_5_L3: assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: A\neq 0 and
  A3: HasAminimum(r, \bigcap a \in A. r\{a\}) and
  A4: \forall a \in A. \langle a, u \rangle \in r
  shows \langle \text{Supremum}(r,A), u \rangle \in r
proof -
  let U = \bigcap a \in A. r\{a\}
  from A4 have \forall a \in A. u \in r\{a\} using image_singleton_iff
     by simp
  with A2 have u∈U by auto
  with A1 A3 show \langle Supremum(r,A), u \rangle \in r
     using Order_ZF_4_L4 Supremum_def by simp
qed
Supremum is less or equal than any upper bound.
lemma sup_leq_up_bnd: assumes antisym(r) HasAsupremum(r,A) \forall a\inA. \langlea,u\rangle
\in r
  shows \langle \text{Supremum}(r,A), u \rangle \in r
proof -
  let U = \bigcap a \in A. r\{a\}
  from assms(3) have \forall a \in A. \ u \in r\{a\} \ using image\_singleton\_iff by simp
  with assms(2) have u∈U using set_sup_not_empty by auto
  with assms(1,2) show \langle \text{Supremum}(r,A), u \rangle \in r
     unfolding HasAsupremum_def Supremum_def using Order_ZF_4_L4 by simp
qed
Infimum is greater or equal than any lower bound. This lemma is obsolete
and will be removed. Use inf_geq_lo_bnd instead.
lemma Order_ZF_5_L4: assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: A≠0 and
  A3: \operatorname{HasAmaximum}(r, \bigcap a \in A. r-\{a\}) and
  A4: \forall a \in A. \langle l, a \rangle \in r
  shows \langle 1, Infimum(r, A) \rangle \in r
proof -
  let L = \bigcap a \in A. r-\{a\}
  from A4 have \forall a \in A. l \in r-\{a\} using vimage_singleton_iff
     by simp
  with A2 have 1 EL by auto
  with A1 A3 show \langle 1, Infimum(r,A) \rangle \in r
     using Order_ZF_4_L3 Infimum_def by simp
qed
Infimum is greater or equal than any upper bound.
lemma inf_geq_lo_bnd: assumes antisym(r) HasAnInfimum(r,A) \forall a \in A. \langle u,a \rangle
\in r
```

```
shows \langle u, Infimum(r,A) \rangle \in r
proof -
  let U = \bigcap a \in A. r-\{a\}
  from assms(3) have \forall a \in A. u \in r-\{a\} using vimage_singleton_iff by
simp
  with assms(2) have u \in U using set_inf_not_empty by auto
  with assms(1,2) show \langle u, Infimum(r,A) \rangle \in r
     unfolding HasAnInfimum_def Infimum_def using Order_ZF_4_L3 by simp
qed
If z is an upper bound for A and is less or equal than any other upper bound,
then z is the supremum of A.
lemma Order_ZF_5_L5: assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: A≠0 and
  A3: \forall x \in A. \langle x,z \rangle \in r and
  A4: \forall y. (\forall x \in A. \langle x,y \rangle \in r) \longrightarrow \langle z,y \rangle \in r
  shows
  \operatorname{HasAminimum}(r, \bigcap a \in A. r\{a\})
  z = Supremum(r, A)
proof -
  let B = \bigcap a \in A. r\{a\}
  from A2 A3 A4 have I: z \in B \quad \forall y \in B. \langle z,y \rangle \in r
     by auto
  then show HasAminimum(r, \bigcap a \in A. r\{a\})
     using HasAminimum_def by auto
  from A1 I show z = Supremum(r,A)
     using Order_ZF_4_L15 Supremum_def by simp
qed
The dual theorem to Order_{zF_5_L5}: if z is an lower bound for A and is
greater or equal than any other lower bound, then z is the infimum of A.
lemma inf_glb:
  assumes antisym(r) A \neq 0 \ \forall x \in A. \langle z, x \rangle \in r \ \forall y. (\forall x \in A . \ \langle y, x \rangle \in r) \longrightarrow \langle y, z \rangle
  shows
  \operatorname{HasAmaximum}(r, \bigcap a \in A. r-\{a\})
  z = Infimum(r, A)
proof -
  let B = \bigcap a \in A. r-\{a\}
  from assms(2,3,4) have I: z \in B \quad \forall y \in B. \langle y,z \rangle \in r
     by auto
  then show HasAmaximum(r, \bigcap a \in A. r-\{a\})
     unfolding HasAmaximum_def by auto
  from assms(1) I show z = Infimum(r, A)
     using Order_ZF_4_L14 Infimum_def by simp
qed
Supremum and infimum of a singleton is the element.
lemma sup_inf_singl: assumes antisym(r) refl(X,r) z \in X
```

```
shows
    {\tt HasAsupremum(r,\{z\})} Supremum(r,{z}) = z and
    \operatorname{HasAnInfimum}(r,\{z\}) \operatorname{Infimum}(r,\{z\}) = z
  from assms show Supremum(r,\{z\}) = z and Infimum(r,\{z\}) = z
    using inf_glb Order_ZF_5_L5 unfolding refl_def by auto
  from assms show HasAsupremum(r,{z})
    using Order_ZF_5_L5 unfolding HasAsupremum_def refl_def by blast
  from assms show HasAnInfimum(r,{z})
    using inf_glb unfolding HasAnInfimum_def refl_def by blast
qed
If a set has a maximum, then the maximum is the supremum. This lemma
is obsolete, use max_is_sup instead.
lemma Order_ZF_5_L6:
  assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: A\neq 0 and
  A3: HasAmaximum(r,A)
  shows
  HasAminimum(r, \bigcap a \in A. r\{a\})
  Maximum(r,A) = Supremum(r,A)
proof -
  let M = Maximum(r, A)
  from A1 A3 have I: M \in A and II: \forall x \in A. \langle x, M \rangle \in r
    using Order_ZF_4_L3 by auto
  from I have III: \forall y. (\forall x \in A. \langle x,y \rangle \in r) \longrightarrow \langle M,y \rangle \in r
    by simp
  with A1 A2 II show HasAminimum(r, \bigcap a \in A. r\{a\})
    by (rule Order_ZF_5_L5)
  from A1 A2 II III show M = Supremum(r,A)
    by (rule Order_ZF_5_L5)
qed
Another version of Order_ZF_5_L6 that: if a sat has a maximum then it has
a supremum and the maximum is the supremum.
lemma max_is_sup: assumes antisym(r) A\neq 0 HasAmaximum(r,A)
  shows HasAsupremum(r,A) and Maximum(r,A) = Supremum(r,A)
proof -
  let M = Maximum(r, A)
  from assms(1,3) have M \in A and I: \forall x \in A. \langle x, M \rangle \in r using Order_ZF_4L3
  with assms(1,2) have HasAminimum(r, \bigcap a \in A. r\{a\}) using Order_zF_5_LS(1)
    by blast
  then show HasAsupremum(r,A) unfolding HasAsupremum_def by simp
  from assms(1,2) \langle M \in A \rangle I show M = Supremum(r,A) using Order_ZF_5_L5(2)
    by blast
qed
```

```
Minimum is the infimum if it exists.
```

```
lemma min_is_inf: assumes antisym(r) A\neq0 HasAminimum(r,A) shows HasAnInfimum(r,A) and Minimum(r,A) = Infimum(r,A) proof - let M = Minimum(r,A) from assms(1,3) have M\inA and I: \forallx\inA. \langleM,x\rangle \in r using Order_ZF_4_L4 by auto with assms(1,2) have HasAmaximum(r,\bigcapa\inA. r-{a}) using inf_glb(1) by blast then show HasAnInfimum(r,A) unfolding HasAnInfimum_def by simp from assms(1,2) \langleM \in A\rangle I show M = Infimum(r,A) using inf_glb(2) by blast qed
```

For reflexive and total relations two-element set has a minimum and a maximum.

```
lemma min_max_two_el: assumes r {is total on} X x \in X y \in X shows HasAminimum(r,{x,y}) and HasAmaximum(r,{x,y}) using assms unfolding IsTotal_def HasAminimum_def HasAmaximum_def by auto
```

For antisymmetric, reflexive and total relations two-element set has a supremum and infimum.

```
lemma inf_sup_two_el:assumes antisym(r) r {is total on} X x∈X y∈X
shows
   HasAnInfimum(r,{x,y})
   Minimum(r,{x,y}) = Infimum(r,{x,y})
   HasAsupremum(r,{x,y})
   Maximum(r,{x,y}) = Supremum(r,{x,y})
   using assms min_max_two_el max_is_sup min_is_inf by auto
```

A sufficient condition for the supremum to be in the space.

```
lemma sup_in_space: assumes r \subseteq X \times X antisym(r) HasAminimum(r,\bigcap a \in A. r{a}) shows Supremum(r,A) \in X and \forall x \in A. \langle x,Supremum(r,A)\rangle \in r proof - from assms(3) have A \neq 0 using set_sup_not_empty unfolding HasAsupremum_def by simp then obtain a where a \in A by auto with assms(1,2,3) show Supremum(r,A) \in X unfolding Supremum_def using Order_ZF_4_L4 Order_ZF_5_L1 by blast from assms(2,3) show \forall x \in A. \langle x,Supremum(r,A)\rangle \in r unfolding Supremum_def
```

qed

A sufficient condition for the infimum to be in the space.

using Order_ZF_4_L4 by blast

lemma inf_in_space:

```
assumes r \subseteq X \times X antisym(r) HasAmaximum(r, \bigcap a \in A. r-{a})
  shows Infimum(r,A) \in X and \forall x\inA. \langleInfimum(r,A),x\rangle \in r
proof -
  from assms(3) have A=0 using set_inf_not_empty unfolding HasAnInfimum_def
by simp
  then obtain a where a∈A by auto
  with assms(1,2,3) show Infimum(r,A) \in X unfolding Infimum\_def
     using Order_ZF_4_L3 Order_ZF_5_L1 by blast
  from assms(2,3) show \forall x \in A. \langle Infimum(r,A), x \rangle \in r unfolding Infimum_def
     using Order_ZF_4_L3 by blast
qed
Properties of supremum of a set for complete relations.
lemma Order_ZF_5_L7:
  assumes A1: r \subseteq X \times X and A2: antisym(r) and
  A3: r {is complete} and
  A4: A\neq0 and A5: \exists x \in X. \forall y \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in r
  shows Supremum(r,A) \in X and \forall x \in A. \langle x, Supremum(r,A) \rangle \in r
  from A3 A4 A5 have {\tt HasAminimum(r, \cap a \in A. r\{a\})}
     unfolding IsBoundedAbove_def IsComplete_def by blast
  with A1 A2 show Supremum(r,A) \in X and \forall x \in A. \langle x, Supremum(r,A) \rangle \in r
     using sup_in_space by auto
qed
Infimum of the set of infima of a collection of sets is infimum of the union.
lemma inf_inf:
  assumes
     r \subseteq X \times X \text{ antisym}(r) \text{ trans}(r)
     \forall T \in \mathcal{T}. HasAnInfimum(r,T)
     HasAnInfimum(r, \{Infimum(r,T).T \in T\})
     \operatorname{HasAnInfimum}(r, | \mathcal{T}) \text{ and } \operatorname{Infimum}(r, \{\operatorname{Infimum}(r, T) . T \in \mathcal{T}\}) = \operatorname{Infimum}(r, | \mathcal{T})
proof -
  let i = Infimum(r,{Infimum(r,T).T\inT})
  note assms(2)
  moreover from assms(4,5) have \bigcup \mathcal{T} \neq 0 using set_inf_not_empty by
blast
  moreover
  have \forall T \in \mathcal{T}. \forall t \in T. \langle i, t \rangle \in r
  proof -
     { fix T t assume T \in \mathcal{T} t \in T
        with assms(1,2,4) have \langle Infimum(r,T),t \rangle \in r
          unfolding HasAnInfimum_def using inf_in_space(2) by blast
        moreover from assms(1,2,5) \langle T \in \mathcal{T} \rangle have \langle i, Infimum(r,T) \rangle \in r
          unfolding HasAnInfimum_def using inf_in_space(2) by blast
        moreover note assms(3)
        ultimately have \langle \mathtt{i},\mathtt{t} \rangle \in \mathtt{r} unfolding trans_def by blast
     } thus thesis by simp
```

```
qed
  hence I: \forall t \in \bigcup T. \langle i,t \rangle \in r by auto
  moreover have J: \forall y. (\forall x \in \bigcup T. \langle y, x \rangle \in r) \longrightarrow \langle y, i \rangle \in r
      { fix y x assume A: \forall x \in \bigcup T. \langle y, x \rangle \in r
        with assms(2,4) have \forall a \in \{Infimum(r,T).T \in T\}. \langle y,a \rangle \in r \text{ using inf_geq_lo_bnd}
           by simp
        with assms(2,5) have \langle y,i \rangle \in r by (rule inf_geq_lo_bnd)
      } thus thesis by simp
  ultimately have \operatorname{HasAmaximum}(r, \bigcap a \in \bigcup \mathcal{T}. r-\{a\}) by (rule \inf_{g \in \mathcal{T}}(r))
  then show HasAnInfimum(r, | | \mathcal{T}) unfolding HasAnInfimum\_def by simp
  from assms(2) \langle \bigcup \mathcal{T} \neq 0 \rangle I J show i = Infimum(r,\bigcup \mathcal{T}) by (rule inf_glb)
qed
Supremum of the set of suprema of a collection of sets is supremum of the
lemma sup_sup:
  assumes
     r \subseteq X \times X antisym(r) trans(r)
     \forall T \in \mathcal{T}. HasAsupremum(r,T)
     HasAsupremum(r, \{Supremum(r,T).T \in T\})
  shows
     HasAsupremum(r, JT) and Supremum(r, Supremum(r, T) . T \in T) = Supremum(r, JT)
proof -
  let s = Supremum(r,{Supremum(r,T).T\inT})
  note assms(2)
  moreover from assms(4,5) have \bigcup \mathcal{T} \neq 0 using set_sup_not_empty by
blast
  moreover
  have \forall T \in \mathcal{T}. \forall t \in T. \langle t, s \rangle \in r
  proof -
     { fix T t assume T \in \mathcal{T} teT
        with assms(1,2,4) have \langle t, Supremum(r,T) \rangle \in r
           unfolding HasAsupremum_def using sup_in_space(2) by blast
        moreover from assms(1,2,5) \langle T \in \mathcal{T} \rangle have \langle \texttt{Supremum(r,T),s} \rangle \in \texttt{r}
           unfolding HasAsupremum_def using sup_in_space(2) by blast
        moreover note assms(3)
        ultimately have \langle t, s \rangle \in r unfolding trans_def by blast
     } thus thesis by simp
  qed
  hence I: \forall t \in \bigcup T. \langle t, s \rangle \in r by auto
  moreover have J: \forall y. (\forall x \in J \mathcal{T}. \langle x, y \rangle \in r) \longrightarrow \langle s, y \rangle \in r
  proof -
      { fix y x assume A: \forall x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,y \rangle \in r
        with assms(2,4) have \forall a \in \{\text{Supremum}(r,T).T \in T\}. \langle a,y \rangle \in r \text{ using sup\_leq\_up\_bnd}
        with assms(2,5) have \langle s,y \rangle \in r by (rule sup_leq_up_bnd)
      } thus thesis by simp
```

```
qed
  ultimately have HasAminimum(r, \bigcap a \in \bigcup T. r\{a\}) by (rule Order_ZF_5_L5)
  then show HasAsupremum(r,\bigcup \mathcal{T}) unfolding HasAsupremum_def by simp
  from assms(2) \langle | \mathcal{T} \neq 0 \rangle I J show s = Supremum(r, | \mathcal{T} \rangle by (rule Order_ZF_5_L5)
qed
If the relation is a linear order then for any element y smaller than the
supremum of a set we can find one element of the set that is greater than y.
lemma Order_ZF_5_L8:
  assumes A1: r \subseteq X \times X and A2: IsLinOrder(X,r) and
  A3: r {is complete} and
  A4: A\subseteq X A\neq 0 and A5: \exists x\in X. \forall y\in A. \langle y,x\rangle\in r and
  A6: \langle y, Supremum(r,A) \rangle \in r \quad y \neq Supremum(r,A)
  shows \exists z \in A. \langle y,z \rangle \in r \land y \neq z
proof -
  from A2 have
     I: antisym(r) and
     II: trans(r) and
     III: r {is total on} X
     using IsLinOrder_def by auto
  from A1 A6 have T1: y \in X by auto
   { assume A7: \forall z \in A. \langle y,z \rangle \notin r \lor y=z
     from A4 I have antisym(r) and A\neq0 by auto
     moreover have \forall x \in A. \langle x,y \rangle \in r
     proof
        fix x assume A8: x \in A
        with A4 have T2: x \in X by auto
        from A7 A8 have \langle y, x \rangle \notin r \vee y=x by simp
        with III T1 T2 show \langle x,y \rangle \in r
 using IsTotal_def total_is_refl refl_def by auto
     qed
     moreover have \forall u. (\forall x \in A. \langle x, u \rangle \in r) \longrightarrow \langle y, u \rangle \in r
     proof-
        { fix u assume A9: \forall x \in A. \langle x, u \rangle \in r
 from A4 A5 have IsBoundedAbove(A,r) and A\neq0
    using IsBoundedAbove_def by auto
 with A3 A4 A6 I A9 have
    \langle y, Supremum(r,A) \rangle \in r \land \langle Supremum(r,A), u \rangle \in r
    using IsComplete_def Order_ZF_5_L3 by simp
 with II have \langle y, u \rangle \in r by (rule Fol1_L3)
        } then show \forall u. (\forall x \in A. \langle x, u \rangle \in r) \longrightarrow \langle y, u \rangle \in r
 \mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} simp
     qed
     ultimately have y = Supremum(r,A)
        by (rule Order_ZF_5_L5)
     with A6 have False by simp
  } then show \exists z \in A. \langle y,z \rangle \in r \land y \neq z by auto
\mathbf{qed}
```

7.3 Strict versions of order relations

One of the problems with translating formalized mathematics from Metamath to IsarMathLib is that Metamath uses strict orders (of the < type) while in IsarMathLib we mostly use nonstrict orders (of the \le type). This doesn't really make any difference, but is annoying as we have to prove many theorems twice. In this section we prove some theorems to make it easier to translate the statements about strict orders to statements about the corresponding non-strict order and vice versa.

We define a strict version of a relation by removing the y=x line from the relation.

```
definition
```

```
StrictVersion(r) \equiv r - \{\langle x, x \rangle . x \in domain(r)\}
```

A reformulation of the definition of a strict version of an order.

```
lemma def_of_strict_ver: shows \langle x,y \rangle \in \text{StrictVersion(r)} \longleftrightarrow \langle x,y \rangle \in r \land x \neq y using StrictVersion_def domain_def by auto
```

The next lemma is about the strict version of an antisymmetric relation.

```
lemma strict_of_antisym:
   assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: ⟨a,b⟩ ∈ StrictVersion(r)
   shows ⟨b,a⟩ ∉ StrictVersion(r)

proof -
   { assume A3: ⟨b,a⟩ ∈ StrictVersion(r)
     with A2 have ⟨a,b⟩ ∈ r and ⟨b,a⟩ ∈ r
     using def_of_strict_ver by auto
     with A1 have a=b by (rule Fol1_L4)
     with A2 have False using def_of_strict_ver
        by simp
   } then show ⟨b,a⟩ ∉ StrictVersion(r) by auto
qed
```

The strict version of totality.

```
lemma strict_of_tot: assumes r {is total on} X and a\inX b\inX a\neqb shows \langlea,b\rangle \in StrictVersion(r) \vee \langleb,a\rangle \in StrictVersion(r) using assms IsTotal_def def_of_strict_ver by auto
```

A trichotomy law for the strict version of a total and antisymmetric relation. It is kind of interesting that one does not need the full linear order for this.

```
lemma strict_ans_tot_trich: assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: r {is total on} X and A3: a \in X b \in X and A4: s = StrictVersion(r) shows Exactly_1_of_3_holds(\langle a,b \rangle \in s, a=b,\langle b,a \rangle \in s)
```

```
proof -
  let p = \langle a, b \rangle \in s
  let q = a=b
  let r = \langle b, a \rangle \in s
  from A2 A3 A4 have p \lor q \lor r
    using strict_of_tot by auto
  moreover from A1 A4 have p \longrightarrow \neg q \land \neg r
     using def_of_strict_ver strict_of_antisym by simp
  moreover from A4 have q \longrightarrow \neg p \land \neg r
    using def_of_strict_ver by simp
  moreover from A1 A4 have r \longrightarrow \neg p \land \neg q
    using def_of_strict_ver strict_of_antisym by auto
  ultimately show Exactly_1_of_3_holds(p, q, r)
    by (rule Fol1_L5)
qed
A trichotomy law for linear order. This is a special case of strict_ans_tot_trich.
corollary strict_lin_trich: assumes A1: IsLinOrder(X,r) and
  A2: a \in X b \in X and
  A3: s = StrictVersion(r)
  shows Exactly_1_of_3_holds(\langle a,b\rangle \in s, a=b,\langle b,a\rangle \in s)
  using assms IsLinOrder_def strict_ans_tot_trich by auto
For an antisymmetric relation if a pair is in relation then the reversed pair
is not in the strict version of the relation.
lemma geq_impl_not_less:
  assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: \langle a,b \rangle \in r
  shows \langle b, a \rangle \notin StrictVersion(r)
proof -
  { assume A3: ⟨b,a⟩ ∈ StrictVersion(r)
    with A2 have \langle a,b \rangle \in StrictVersion(r)
       using def_of_strict_ver by auto
    with A1 A3 have False using strict_of_antisym
       by blast
  \} then show \langle b,a \rangle \notin StrictVersion(r) by auto
qed
If an antisymmetric relation is transitive, then the strict version is also
transitive, an explicit version strict_of_transB below.
lemma strict_of_transA:
  assumes A1: trans(r) and A2: antisym(r) and
  A3: s= StrictVersion(r) and A4: \langle a,b \rangle \in s \ \langle b,c \rangle \in s
  shows \langle a,c \rangle \in s
proof -
  from A3 A4 have I: \langle a,b \rangle \in r \land \langle b,c \rangle \in r
    using def_of_strict_ver by simp
  with A1 have \langle a,c \rangle \in r by (rule Fol1_L3)
  moreover
```

```
{ assume a=c
     with I have \langle a,b \rangle \in r and \langle b,a \rangle \in r by auto
     with A2 have a=b by (rule Fol1_L4)
     with A3 A4 have False using def_of_strict_ver by simp
  } then have a≠c by auto
  ultimately have \langle a,c \rangle \in StrictVersion(r)
     using def_of_strict_ver by simp
  with A3 show thesis by simp
qed
If an antisymmetric relation is transitive, then the strict version is also
transitive.
lemma strict_of_transB:
  assumes A1: trans(r) and A2: antisym(r)
  shows trans(StrictVersion(r))
proof -
  let s = StrictVersion(r)
  from A1 A2 have
     \forall x y z. \langlex, y\rangle \in s \wedge \langley, z\rangle \in s \longrightarrow \langlex, z\rangle \in s
     using strict_of_transA by blast
  then show trans(StrictVersion(r)) by (rule Fol1_L2)
qed
The next lemma provides a condition that is satisfied by the strict version
of a relation if the original relation is a complete linear order.
lemma strict_of_compl:
  assumes A1: r \subseteq X \times X and A2: IsLinOrder(X,r) and
  A3: r {is complete} and
  A4: A\subseteq X A\neq 0 and A5: s = StrictVersion(r) and
  A6: \exists u \in X. \forall y \in A. \langle y, u \rangle \in s
  shows
  \exists x \in X. \ (\forall y \in A. \ \langle x, y \rangle \notin s) \land (\forall y \in X. \ \langle y, x \rangle \in s \longrightarrow (\exists z \in A. \ \langle y, z \rangle \in s))
proof -
  let x = Supremum(r,A)
  from A2 have I: antisym(r) using IsLinOrder_def
  moreover from A5 A6 have \exists u \in X. \forall y \in A. \langle y, u \rangle \in r
     using def_of_strict_ver by auto
  moreover note A1 A3 A4
  ultimately have II: x \in X \quad \forall y \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in r
     using Order_ZF_5_L7 by auto
  then have III: \exists x \in X. \forall y \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in r by auto
  from A5 I II have x \in X \quad \forall y \in A. \langle x,y \rangle \notin s
     using geq_impl_not_less by auto
  moreover from A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 III have
     \forall y \in X. \langle y, x \rangle \in s \longrightarrow (\exists z \in A. \langle y, z \rangle \in s)
     using def_of_strict_ver Order_ZF_5_L8 by simp
```

ultimately show

```
\exists x \in X. \ ( \ \forall y \in A. \ \langle x,y \rangle \notin s \ ) \ \land \ (\forall y \in X. \ \langle y,x \rangle \in s \ \longrightarrow \ (\exists z \in A. \ \langle y,z \rangle \in s)) by auto qed Strict \ version \ of \ a \ relation \ on \ a \ set \ is \ a \ relation \ on \ that \ set. lemma strict_ver_rel: assumes A1: r \subseteq A \times A shows StrictVersion(r) \subseteq A \times A using assms StrictVersion\_def by auto end
```

8 Functions - introduction

theory func1 imports ZF.func Fol1 ZF1

begin

This theory covers basic properties of function spaces. A set of functions with domain X and values in the set Y is denoted in Isabelle as $X \to Y$. It just happens that the colon ":" is a synonym of the set membership symbol \in in Isabelle/ZF so we can write $f: X \to Y$ instead of $f \in X \to Y$. This is the only case that we use the colon instead of the regular set membership symbol.

8.1 Properties of functions, function spaces and (inverse) images.

Functions in ZF are sets of pairs. This means that if $f: X \to Y$ then $f \subseteq X \times Y$. This section is mostly about consequences of this understanding of the notion of function.

We define the notion of function that preserves a collection here. Given two collection of sets a function preserves the collections if the inverse image of sets in one collection belongs to the second one. This notion does not have a name in romantic math. It is used to define continuous functions in $Topology_{ZF_2}$ theory. We define it here so that we can use it for other purposes, like defining measurable functions. Recall that $f_-(A)$ means the inverse image of the set A.

```
definition
```

```
\texttt{PresColl(f,S,T)} \ \equiv \ \forall \ \texttt{A}{\in}\texttt{T}. \ \texttt{f-(A)}{\in}\texttt{S}
```

A definition that allows to get the first factor of the domain of a binary function $f: X \times Y \to Z$.

definition

```
fstdom(f) \equiv domain(domain(f))
```

```
If a function maps A into another set, then A is the domain of the function.
```

```
lemma func1_1_L1: assumes f:A\rightarrow C shows domain(f) = A using assms domain_of_fun by simp
```

Standard Isabelle defines a function(f) predicate. The next lemma shows that our functions satisfy that predicate. It is a special version of Isabelle's fun_is_function.

```
fun_is_function.
lemma fun_is_fun: assumes f:X\rightarrow Y shows function(f)
  using assms fun_is_function by simp
A lemma explains what fstdom is for.
lemma fstdomdef: assumes A1: f: X \times Y \rightarrow Z and A2: Y \neq 0
  shows fstdom(f) = X
proof -
  from A1 have domain(f) = X×Y using func1_1_L1
  with A2 show fstdom(f) = X unfolding fstdom_def by auto
A version of the Pi_type lemma from the standard Isabelle/ZF library.
lemma func1_1_L1A: assumes A1: f:X \rightarrow Y and A2: \forall x \in X. f(x) \in Z
  shows f:X\rightarrow Z
proof -
  { fix x assume x \in X
     with A2 have f(x) \in Z by simp }
  with A1 show f:X \rightarrow Z by (rule Pi_type)
qed
A variant of func1_1_L1A.
lemma func1_1_L1B: assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y and A2: Y\subseteq Z
  shows f:X\rightarrow Z
proof -
  from A1 A2 have \forall x \in X. f(x) \in Z
     using apply_funtype by auto
  with A1 show f:X\rightarrow Z using func1_1_L1A by blast
There is a value for each argument.
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathbf{func1\_1\_L2} \colon \ \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathtt{A1} \colon \ \mathbf{f} \colon \mathtt{X} {\rightarrow} \mathtt{Y} \quad \mathtt{x} {\in} \mathtt{X}
  shows \exists y \in Y. \langle x, y \rangle \in f
proof-
  from A1 have f(x) \in Y using apply_type by simp
```

The inverse image is the image of converse. True for relations as well.

moreover from A1 have $\langle x, f(x) \rangle \in f$ using apply_Pair by simp

ultimately show thesis by auto

```
lemma vimage_converse: shows r-(A) = converse(r)(A)
  using vimage_iff image_iff converse_iff by auto
The image is the inverse image of converse.
lemma image_converse: shows converse(r)-(A) = r(A)
  using vimage_iff image_iff converse_iff by auto
The inverse image by a composition is the composition of inverse images.
lemma vimage_comp: shows (r \ 0 \ s)-(A) = s-(r-(A))
  using vimage_converse converse_comp image_comp image_converse by simp
A version of vimage_comp for three functions.
lemma vimage_comp3: shows (r \ 0 \ s \ 0 \ t)-(A) = t-(s-(r-(A)))
  using vimage_comp by simp
Inverse image of any set is contained in the domain.
lemma func1_1_L3: assumes A1: f:X \rightarrow Y shows f-(D) \subseteq X
proof-
   have \forall x. x \in f^-(D) \longrightarrow x \in domain(f)
       using vimage_iff domain_iff by auto
    with A1 have \forall x. (x \in f^{-}(D)) \longrightarrow (x \in X) using func1_1_L1 by simp
     then show thesis by auto
qed
The inverse image of the range is the domain.
lemma func1_1_L4: assumes f:X\to Y shows f-(Y) = X
  using assms func1_1_L3 func1_1_L2 vimage_iff by blast
The arguments belongs to the domain and values to the range.
lemma func1_1_L5:
  assumes A1: \langle x,y \rangle \in f and A2: f:X \rightarrow Y
  shows x \in X \land y \in Y
proof
  from A1 A2 show x \in X using apply_iff by simp
  with A2 have f(x) \in Y using apply_type by simp
  with A1 A2 show y \in Y using apply_iff by simp
qed
Function is a subset of cartesian product.
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathtt{fun\_subset\_prod} \colon \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathtt{A1:} \ \mathtt{f:X} {\to} \mathtt{Y} \ \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{f} \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{X} {\times} \mathtt{Y}
proof
  fix p assume p \in f
  with A1 have \exists x \in X. p = \langle x, f(x) \rangle
    using Pi_memberD by simp
  then obtain x where I: p = \langle x, f(x) \rangle
    by auto
  with A1 \langle p \in f \rangle have x \in X \land f(x) \in Y
```

using func1_1_L5 by blast

```
with I show p \in X \times Y by auto
qed
The (argument, value) pair belongs to the graph of the function.
lemma func1_1_L5A:
  assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y x\in X y = f(x)
  shows \langle x,y \rangle \in f y \in range(f)
proof -
  from A1 show \langle x,y \rangle \in f using apply_Pair by simp
  then show y \in range(f) using range by simp
The next theorem illustrates the meaning of the concept of function in ZF.
theorem fun_is_set_of_pairs: assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y
  shows f = \{\langle x, f(x) \rangle : x \in X\}
proof
  from A1 show \{\langle x, f(x) \rangle, x \in X\} \subseteq f \text{ using func1_1_L5A}
next
  \{ \text{ fix p assume p} \in f \}
    with A1 have p \in X×Y using fun_subset_prod
       by auto
    with A1 \langle p \in f \rangle have p \in \{\langle x, f(x) \rangle, x \in X\}
       using apply_equality by auto
  } thus f \subseteq \{(x, f(x)) : x \in X\} by auto
The range of function that maps X into Y is contained in Y.
lemma func1_1_L5B:
  assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y shows range(f) \subseteq Y
proof
  fix y assume y \in range(f)
  then obtain x where \langle x,y \rangle \in f
    using range_def converse_def domain_def by auto
  with A1 show y∈Y using func1_1_L5 by blast
qed
The image of any set is contained in the range.
lemma func1_1_L6: assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y
  shows f(B) \subseteq range(f) and f(B) \subseteq Y
proof -
  show f(B) \subseteq range(f) using image_iff rangeI by auto
  with A1 show f(B) \subseteq Y \text{ using func1_1_L5B by blast}
The inverse image of any set is contained in the domain.
lemma func1_1_L6A: assumes A1: f:X\to Y shows f-(A)\subseteq X
proof
```

```
assume A2: x \in f^-(A) then obtain y where \langle x,y \rangle \in f
    using vimage_iff by auto
  with A1 show x \in X using func1_1_L5 by fast
ged
Image of a greater set is greater.
lemma func1_1_L8: assumes A1: A\subseteq B shows f(A)\subseteq f(B)
  using assms image_Un by auto
A set is contained in the the inverse image of its image. There is similar
theorem in equalities.thy (function_image_vimage) which shows that the
image of inverse image of a set is contained in the set.
lemma func1_1_L9: assumes A1: f:X\to Y and A2: A\subseteq X
  shows A \subseteq f-(f(A))
proof -
  from A1 A2 have \forall x \in A. \langle x, f(x) \rangle \in f using apply_Pair by auto
  then show thesis using image_iff by auto
qed
The inverse image of the image of the domain is the domain.
lemma inv_im_dom: assumes A1: f:X\to Y shows f-(f(X)) = X
proof
  from A1 show f-(f(X)) \subseteq X using func1_1_L3 by simp
  from A1 show X \subseteq f-(f(X)) using func1_1_L9 by simp
A technical lemma needed to make the func1_1_L11 proof more clear.
lemma func1_1_L10:
```

```
lemma func1_1_L10: assumes A1: f \subseteq X \times Y and A2: \exists !y. (y \in Y \land \langle x,y \rangle \in f) shows \exists !y. \langle x,y \rangle \in f proof from A2 show \exists y. \langle x,y \rangle \in f by auto fix y n assume \langle x,y \rangle \in f and \langle x,n \rangle \in f with A1 A2 show y=n by auto ged
```

If $f \subseteq X \times Y$ and for every $x \in X$ there is exactly one $y \in Y$ such that $(x,y) \in f$ then f maps X to Y.

```
lemma func1_1_L11: assumes f \subseteq X \times Y and \forall x \in X. \exists !y. y \in Y \land \langle x,y \rangle \in f shows f \colon X \rightarrow Y using assms func1_1_L10 Pi_iff_old by simp
```

A set defined by a lambda-type expression is a fuction. There is a similar lemma in func.thy, but I had problems with lambda expressions syntax so I could not apply it. This lemma is a workaround for this. Besides, lambda expressions are not readable.

```
shows \{\langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y. \ b(x) = y\} : X \rightarrow Y
proof -
   let f = \{\langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y. b(x) = y\}
   have f \subseteq X \times Y by auto
   moreover have \forall x \in X. \exists !y. y \in Y \land \langle x,y \rangle \in f
   proof
      fix x assume A2: x \in X
      show \exists !y. y \in Y \land \langle x, y \rangle \in \{\langle x, y \rangle \in X \times Y . b(x) = y\}
      proof
         from A2 A1 show
             \exists y. y \in Y \land \langle x, y \rangle \in \{\langle x, y \rangle \in X \times Y . b(x) = y\}
 by simp
      \mathbf{next}
         fix y y1
         assume y \in Y \land \langle x, y \rangle \in \{\langle x, y \rangle \in X \times Y : b(x) = y\}
 and y1 \in Y \land \langle x, y1 \rangle \in \{\langle x, y \rangle \in X \times Y : b(x) = y\}
         then show y = y1 by simp
      qed
   qed
   ultimately show \{\langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y . b(x) = y\} : X \rightarrow Y
      using func1_1_L11 by simp
qed
The next lemma will replace func1_1_L11A one day.
lemma ZF_fun_from_total: assumes A1: \forall x \in X. b(x) \in Y
   shows \{\langle x,b(x)\rangle, x\in X\}: X\rightarrow Y
proof -
   let f = \{\langle x,b(x)\rangle. x \in X\}
   { fix x assume A2: x \in X
      have \exists !y. y \in Y \land \langle x, y \rangle \in f
      proof
 from A1 A2 show \exists y. y \in Y \land \langle x, y \rangle \in f
 by simp
      \mathbf{next}\ \mathbf{fix}\ \mathbf{y}\ \mathbf{y1}\ \mathbf{assume}\ \mathbf{y{\in}Y}\ \wedge\ \langle\mathbf{x}\text{, }\mathbf{y}\rangle\ \in\ \mathbf{f}
 and y1\inY \land \langlex, y1\rangle \in f
         then show y = y1 by simp
      qed
   } then have \forall x \in X. \exists !y. y \in Y \land \langle x,y \rangle \in f
      by simp
   moreover from A1 have f \subseteq X \times Y by auto
   ultimately show thesis using func1_1_L11
      by simp
qed
The value of a function defined by a meta-function is this meta-function.
lemma func1_1_L11B:
   assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y
                                      x \in X
   and A2: f = \{\langle x,y \rangle \in X \times Y. b(x) = y\}
```

lemma func1_1_L11A: assumes A1: $\forall x \in X$. $b(x) \in Y$

```
shows f(x) = b(x)
proof -
  from A1 have \langle x,f(x)\rangle \in f using apply_iff by simp
  with A2 show thesis by simp
ged
The next lemma will replace func1_1_L11B one day.
lemma ZF_fun_from_tot_val:
  assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y
  and A2: f = \{\langle x, b(x) \rangle, x \in X\}
  shows f(x) = b(x)
proof -
  from A1 have \langle x, f(x) \rangle \in f using apply_iff by simp
   with A2 show thesis by simp
Identical meaning as ZF_fun_from_tot_val, but phrased a bit differently.
lemma ZF_fun_from_tot_val0:
  assumes f:X\to Y and f = \{\langle x,b(x)\rangle, x\in X\}
  shows \forall x \in X. f(x) = b(x)
  using assms ZF_fun_from_tot_val by simp
Another way of expressing that lambda expression is a function.
lemma lam_is_fun_range: assumes f=\{\langle x,g(x)\rangle . x\in X\}
  shows f:X\rightarrow range(f)
proof -
  have \forall x \in X. g(x) \in \text{range}(\{\langle x, g(x) \rangle, x \in X\}) unfolding range_def
  then have \{\langle x,g(x)\rangle : x \in X\} : X \rightarrow range(\{\langle x,g(x)\rangle : x \in X\}) by (rule ZF_fun_from_total)
  with assms show thesis by auto
Yet another way of expressing value of a function.
lemma ZF_fun_from_tot_val1:
  assumes x \in X shows \{(x,b(x))\}. x \in X\}(x) = b(x)
proof -
  let f = \{\langle x,b(x)\rangle, x \in X\}
  have f:X-range(f) using lam_is_fun_range by simp
  with assms show thesis using ZF_fun_from_tot_val0 by simp
We can extend a function by specifying its values on a set disjoint with the
domain.
lemma func1_1_L11C: assumes A1: f:X\to Y and A2: \forall x\in A. b(x)\in B
  and A3: X \cap A = 0 and Dg: g = f \cup \{(x,b(x)) : x \in A\}
  shows
  \mathtt{g} \; : \; \mathtt{X} \cup \mathtt{A} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Y} \cup \mathtt{B}
  \forall x \in X. g(x) = f(x)
```

```
\forall x \in A. g(x) = b(x)
proof -
  let h = \{\langle x,b(x)\rangle, x\in A\}
  from A1 A2 A3 have
     I: f:X \rightarrow Y h: A \rightarrow B X \cap A = 0
     using ZF_fun_from_total by auto
  then have \mathtt{f} \cup \mathtt{h} \; : \; \mathtt{X} \cup \mathtt{A} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Y} \cup \mathtt{B}
     by (rule fun_disjoint_Un)
  with Dg show g : X \cup A \rightarrow Y \cup B by simp
  { fix x assume A4: x \in A
     with A1 A3 have (f \cup h)(x) = h(x)
       using func1_1_L1 fun_disjoint_apply2
       by blast
     moreover from I A4 have h(x) = b(x)
       using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by simp
     ultimately have (f \cup h)(x) = b(x)
       by simp
   } with Dg show \forall x \in A. g(x) = b(x) by simp
   { fix x assume A5: x \in X
     with A3 I have x ∉ domain(h)
       using func1_1_L1 by auto
     then have (f \cup h)(x) = f(x)
       using fun_disjoint_apply1 by simp
   } with Dg show \forall x \in X. g(x) = f(x) by simp
qed
```

We can extend a function by specifying its value at a point that does not belong to the domain.

```
lemma func1_1_L11D: assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y and A2: a\notin X
  and Dg: g = f \cup \{\langle a,b \rangle\}
  shows
  g \; : \; X \cup \{a\} \; \rightarrow \; Y \cup \{b\}
  \forall x \in X. g(x) = f(x)
  g(a) = b
proof -
  let h = \{\langle a, b \rangle\}
  from A1 A2 Dg have I:
      f:X \rightarrow Y \quad \forall x \in \{a\}. \ b \in \{b\} \quad X \cap \{a\} = 0 \quad g = f \cup \{\langle x,b \rangle. \ x \in \{a\}\}
      by auto
  then show g : X \cup \{a\} \rightarrow Y \cup \{b\}
     by (rule func1_1_L11C)
   from I show \forall x \in X. g(x) = f(x)
     by (rule func1_1_L11C)
  from I have \forall x \in \{a\}. g(x) = b
      by (rule func1_1_L11C)
   then show g(a) = b by auto
```

A technical lemma about extending a function both by defining on a set

disjoint with the domain and on a point that does not belong to any of those sets.

```
lemma func1_1_L11E:
  assumes A1: f:X\to Y and
   A2: \forall x \in A. b(x) \in B and
  A3: X \cap A = 0 and A4: a \notin X \cup A
  and Dg: g = f \cup \{\langle x,b(x)\rangle, x \in A\} \cup \{\langle a,c\rangle\}
  shows
  g \; : \; X \cup A \cup \{a\} \; \rightarrow \; Y \cup B \cup \{c\}
   \forall x \in X. g(x) = f(x)
  \forall x \in A. g(x) = b(x)
  g(a) = c
proof -
  let h = f \cup \{\langle x,b(x)\rangle . x \in A\}
   \mathbf{from} \ \mathbf{assms} \ \mathbf{show} \ \mathbf{g} \ : \ \mathtt{X} \cup \mathtt{A} \cup \{\mathtt{a}\} \ \rightarrow \ \mathtt{Y} \cup \mathtt{B} \cup \{\mathtt{c}\}
      using func1_1_L11C func1_1_L11D by simp
   from A1 A2 A3 have I:
     f:X \rightarrow Y \quad \forall x \in A. \ b(x) \in B \quad X \cap A = 0 \quad h = f \cup \{\langle x, b(x) \rangle. \ x \in A\}
     by auto
   from assms have
      II: h : X \cup A \rightarrow Y \cup B \quad a \notin X \cup A \quad g = h \cup \{\langle a,c \rangle\}
      using func1_1_L11C by auto
  then have III: \forall x \in X \cup A. g(x) = h(x) by (rule func1_1_L11D)
   moreover from I have \forall x \in X. h(x) = f(x)
      by (rule func1_1_L11C)
   ultimately show \forall x \in X. g(x) = f(x) by simp
  from I have \forall x \in A. h(x) = b(x) by (rule func1_1_L11C)
   with III show \forall x \in A. g(x) = b(x) by simp
   from II show g(a) = c by (rule func1_1_L11D)
A way of defining a function on a union of two possibly overlapping sets. We
decompose the union into two differences and the intersection and define a
function separately on each part.
lemma fun_union_overlap: assumes \forall x \in A \cap B. h(x) \in Y \quad \forall x \in A - B. f(x) \in A \cap B.
Y \quad \forall x \in B-A. g(x) \in Y
  shows \{\langle x, \text{if } x \in A-B \text{ then } f(x) \text{ else if } x \in B-A \text{ then } g(x) \text{ else } h(x) \rangle. x
\in A\cupB\}: A\cupB \rightarrow Y
proof -
  let F = \{\langle x, \text{if } x \in A-B \text{ then } f(x) \text{ else if } x \in B-A \text{ then } g(x) \text{ else } h(x) \rangle. x
   from assms have \forall x \in A \cup B. (if x \in A - B then f(x) else if x \in B - A then g(x)
else h(x)) \in Y
      by auto
   then show thesis by (rule ZF_fun_from_total)
Inverse image of intersection is the intersection of inverse images.
lemma invim_inter_inter_invim: assumes f:X\rightarrow Y
```

```
shows f-(A\cap B) = f-(A) \cap f-(B)
using assms fun_is_fun function_vimage_Int by simp
```

The inverse image of an intersection of a nonempty collection of sets is the intersection of the inverse images. This generalizes <code>invim_inter_inter_invim</code> which is proven for the case of two sets.

```
lemma func1_1_L12: assumes A1: B \subseteq Pow(Y) and A2: B \neq 0 and A3: f: X \rightarrow Y shows f-(\bigcap B) = (\bigcap U \in B. f-(U)) proof from A2 show f-(\bigcap B) \subseteq (\bigcap U \in B. f-(U)) by blast show (\bigcap U \in B. f-(U)) \subseteq f-(\bigcap B) proof fix x assume A4: x \in (\bigcap U \in B. f-(U)) from A3 have \forall U \in B. f-(U) \subseteq X using func1_1_L6A by simp with A4 have \forall U \in B. x \in X by auto with A2 have x \in X by auto with A3 have \exists !y. \langle x,y \rangle \in f using Pi_iff_old by simp with A2 A4 show x \in f-(\bigcap B) using vimage_iff by blast qed qed
```

The inverse image of a set does not change when we intersect the set with the image of the domain.

```
lemma inv_im_inter_im: assumes f:X→Y
  shows f-(A ∩ f(X)) = f-(A)
  using assms invim_inter_inter_invim inv_im_dom func1_1_L6A
  by blast
```

If the inverse image of a set is not empty, then the set is not empty. Proof by contradiction.

```
lemma func1_1_L13: assumes A1:f-(A) \neq 0 shows A\neq0 using assms by auto
```

If the image of a set is not empty, then the set is not empty. Proof by contradiction.

```
lemma func1_1_L13A: assumes A1: f(A) \neq 0 shows A \neq 0 using assms by auto
```

What is the inverse image of a singleton?

```
lemma func1_1_L14: assumes f \in X \rightarrow Y
shows f - (\{y\}) = \{x \in X : f(x) = y\}
using assms func1_1_L6A vimage_singleton_iff apply_iff by auto
```

A lemma that can be used instead fun_extension_iff to show that two functions are equal

```
lemma func_eq: assumes f: X \rightarrow Y g: X \rightarrow Z
```

```
and \forall x \in X. f(x) = g(x)
  shows f = g using assms fun_extension_iff by simp
Function defined on a singleton is a single pair.
lemma \ \texttt{func\_singleton\_pair: assumes} \ \texttt{A1:} \ \texttt{f:} \ \texttt{\{a\}} {\rightarrow} \texttt{X}
  shows f = \{\langle a, f(a) \rangle\}
proof -
  let g = \{\langle a, f(a) \rangle\}
  note A1
  moreover have g : \{a\} \rightarrow \{f(a)\} using singleton_fun by simp
  moreover have \forall x \in \{a\}. f(x) = g(x) using singleton_apply
    by simp
  ultimately show f = g by (rule func_eq)
A single pair is a function on a singleton. This is similar to singleton_fun
from standard Isabelle/ZF.
lemma pair_func_singleton: assumes A1: y \in Y
  shows \{\langle x,y\rangle\} : \{x\} \rightarrow Y
proof -
  have \{\langle x,y\rangle\} : \{x\} \rightarrow \{y\} using singleton_fun by simp
  moreover from A1 have \{y\} \subseteq Y by simp
  ultimately show \{\langle x,y\rangle\} : \{x\} \rightarrow Y
     by (rule func1_1_L1B)
qed
The value of a pair on the first element is the second one.
lemma pair_val: shows \{\langle x,y\rangle\}(x) = y
  using singleton_fun apply_equality by simp
A more familiar definition of inverse image.
lemma func1_1_L15: assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y
  shows f-(A) = \{x \in X. f(x) \in A\}
proof -
  have f-(A) = (\bigcup y \in A \cdot f-\{y\})
     by (rule vimage_eq_UN)
  with A1 show thesis using func1_1_L14 by auto
A more familiar definition of image.
lemma func_imagedef: assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y and A2: A\subseteq X
  shows f(A) = \{f(x). x \in A\}
proof
  from A1 show f(A) \subseteq \{f(x). x \in A\}
     using image_iff apply_iff by auto
  show \{f(x). x \in A\} \subseteq f(A)
  proof
     fix y assume y \in \{f(x). x \in A\}
```

```
then obtain x where x \in A and y = f(x)
       by auto
    with A1 A2 have \langle x,y \rangle \in f using apply_iff by force
    with A1 A2 \langle x \in A \rangle show y \in f(A) using image_iff by auto
  ged
qed
The image of a set contained in domain under identity is the same set.
lemma image_id_same: assumes A\subseteq X shows id(X)(A) = A
  using assms id_type id_conv by auto
The inverse image of a set contained in domain under identity is the same
lemma vimage_id_same: assumes A\subseteq X shows id(X)-(A) = A
  using assms id_type id_conv by auto
What is the image of a singleton?
lemma singleton_image:
  assumes f \in X \rightarrow Y and x \in X
  shows f\{x\} = \{f(x)\}
  using assms func_imagedef by auto
If an element of the domain of a function belongs to a set, then its value
belongs to the imgage of that set.
lemma func1_1_L15D: assumes f:X\rightarrow Y x\in A A\subseteq X
  shows f(x) \in f(A)
  using assms func_imagedef by auto
Range is the image of the domain. Isabelle/ZF defines range(f) as domain(converse(f)),
and that's why we have something to prove here.
lemma range_image_domain:
  assumes A1: f:X \rightarrow Y \text{ shows } f(X) = range(f)
proof
  show f(X) \subseteq range(f) using image_def by auto
  { fix y assume y \in range(f)
    then obtain x where \langle y, x \rangle \in \text{converse(f)} by auto
    with A1 have x \in X using func1_1_L5 by blast
    with A1 have f(x) \in f(X) using func_imagedef
       by auto
    with A1 \langle (y,x) \rangle \in \text{converse}(f) have y \in f(X)
       using apply_equality by auto
  } then show range(f) \subseteq f(X) by auto
qed
The difference of images is contained in the image of difference.
lemma diff_image_diff: assumes A1: f: X \rightarrow Y and A2: A \subseteq X
  shows f(X) - f(A) \subseteq f(X-A)
```

```
proof
  fix y assume y \in f(X) - f(A)
  hence y \in f(X) and I: y \notin f(A) by auto
  with A1 obtain x where x \in X and II: y = f(x)
     using func_imagedef by auto
  with A1 A2 I have x∉A
     using func1_1_L15D by auto
  with \langle x \in X \rangle have x \in X-A X-A \subseteq X by auto
  with A1 II show y \in f(X-A)
     using func1_1_L15D by simp
qed
The image of an intersection is contained in the intersection of the images.
lemma image_of_Inter: assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y and
  A2: I\neq 0 and A3: \forall i\in I. P(i)\subseteq X
  shows f(\bigcap i \in I. P(i)) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. f(P(i)))
  fix y assume A4: y \in f(\bigcap i \in I. P(i))
  from A1 A2 A3 have f(\bigcap i \in I. P(i)) = \{f(x). x \in (\bigcap i \in I. P(i))\}
     using ZF1_1_L7 func_imagedef by simp
  with A4 obtain x where x \in (\bigcap i \in I. P(i)) and y = f(x)
     by auto
  with A1 A2 A3 show y \in (\bigcap i \in I. f(P(i))) using func_imagedef
     by auto
qed
The image of union is the union of images.
lemma image_of_Union: assumes A1: f:X\to Y and A2: \forall A\inM. A\subseteqX
  shows f([]M) = \bigcup \{f(A) : A \in M\}
proof
  from A2 have \bigcup M \subseteq X by auto
  { fix y assume y \in f(\bigcup M)
     with A1 \langle \bigcup M \subseteq X \rangle obtain x where x \in \bigcup M and I: y = f(x)
       using func_imagedef by auto
     then obtain A where A \in M and x \in A by auto
     with assms I have y \in \bigcup \left\{ \texttt{f(A)} \text{. A} \in \texttt{M} \right\} \text{ using func_imagedef by auto}
  f(\bigcup M) \subseteq \bigcup \{f(A) : A \in M\}  by auto
  { fix y assume y \in \bigcup \{f(A). A \in M\}
     then obtain A where A \in M and y \in f(A) by auto
     with assms \langle \bigcup M \subseteq X \rangle have y \in f(\bigcup M) using func_imagedef by auto
  } thus \bigcup \{f(A). A \in M\} \subseteq f(\bigcup M) by auto
qed
The image of a nonempty subset of domain is nonempty.
lemma func1_1_L15A:
  assumes A1: f: X \rightarrow Y and A2: A \subseteq X and A3: A \neq 0
  shows f(A) \neq 0
proof -
  from A3 obtain x where x \in A by auto
```

```
with A1 A2 have f(x) ∈ f(A)
    using func_imagedef by auto
then show f(A) ≠ 0 by auto
ned
```

The next lemma allows to prove statements about the values in the domain of a function given a statement about values in the range.

```
lemma func1_1_L15B:
  assumes f:X\to Y and A\subseteq X and \forall y\in f(A). P(y)
  shows \forall x \in A. P(f(x))
  using assms func_imagedef by simp
An image of an image is the image of a composition.
lemma func1_1_L15C: assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y and A2: g:Y\rightarrow Z
  and A3: A\subseteq X
  shows
  g(f(A)) = \{g(f(x)). x \in A\}
  g(f(A)) = (g \ O \ f)(A)
proof -
  from A1 A3 have \{f(x). x \in A\} \subseteq Y
    using apply_funtype by auto
  with A2 have g\{f(x). x \in A\} = \{g(f(x)). x \in A\}
    using func_imagedef by auto
  with A1 A3 show I: g(f(A)) = \{g(f(x)) : x \in A\}
    using func_imagedef by simp
  from A1 A3 have \forall x \in A. (g 0 f)(x) = g(f(x))
    using comp_fun_apply by auto
  with I have g(f(A)) = \{(g \ O \ f)(x). \ x \in A\}
    by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 A3 have (g \ 0 \ f)(A) = \{(g \ 0 \ f)(x). \ x \in A\}
    using comp_fun func_imagedef by blast
  ultimately show g(f(A)) = (g \ 0 \ f)(A)
    by simp
qed
What is the image of a set defined by a meta-fuction?
lemma func1_1_L17:
  assumes A1: f \in X \rightarrow Y and A2: \forall x \in A. b(x) \in X
  shows f(\{b(x), x \in A\}) = \{f(b(x)), x \in A\}
  from A2 have \{b(x), x \in A\} \subseteq X by auto
  with A1 show thesis using func_imagedef by auto
qed
What are the values of composition of three functions?
lemma func1_1_L18: assumes A1: f:A \rightarrow B g:B \rightarrow C h:C \rightarrow D
  and A2: x \in A
  shows
```

```
(h \ 0 \ g \ 0 \ f)(x) \in D
  (h \ 0 \ g \ 0 \ f)(x) = h(g(f(x)))
proof -
  from A1 have (h 0 g 0 f) : A \rightarrow D
    using comp_fun by blast
  with A2 show (h 0 g 0 f)(x) \in D using apply_funtype
    by simp
  from A1 A2 have (h \ 0 \ g \ 0 \ f)(x) = h((g \ 0 \ f)(x))
    using comp_fun comp_fun_apply by blast
  with A1 A2 show (h 0 g 0 f)(x) = h(g(f(x)))
    using comp_fun_apply by simp
A composition of functions is a function. This is a slight generalization of
standard Isabelle's comp_fun
lemma comp_fun_subset:
  assumes A1: g:A\rightarrowB and A2: f:C\rightarrowD and A3: B \subseteq C
  shows f O g : A \rightarrow D
proof -
  from A1 A3 have g:A\rightarrow C by (rule func1_1_L1B)
  with A2 show f O g : A \rightarrow D using comp_fun by simp
This lemma supersedes the lemma comp_eq_id_iff in Isabelle/ZF. Con-
tributed by Victor Porton.
lemma comp_eq_id_iff1: assumes A1: g: B\rightarrow A and A2: f: A\rightarrow C
  shows (\forall y \in B. f(g(y)) = y) \longleftrightarrow f \circ g = id(B)
proof -
  from assms have f 0 g: B\rightarrow C and id(B): B\rightarrow B
    using comp_fun id_type by auto
  then have (\forall y \in B. (f \ 0 \ g)y = id(B)(y)) \longleftrightarrow f \ 0 \ g = id(B)
    by (rule fun_extension_iff)
  moreover from A1 have
    \forall y \in B. (f O g)y = f(gy) and \forall y \in B. id(B)(y) = y
  ultimately show (\forall y \in B. f(gy) = y) \longleftrightarrow f \circ g = id(B) by simp
A lemma about a value of a function that is a union of some collection of
functions.
lemma fun_Union_apply: assumes A1: \bigcup F : X \rightarrow Y and
  A2: f \in F and A3: f : A \rightarrow B and A4: x \in A
  shows ([\ ]F)(x) = f(x)
proof -
  from A3 A4 have \langle x, f(x) \rangle \in f using apply_Pair
    by simp
  with A2 have \langle x, f(x) \rangle \in \bigcup F by auto
```

with A1 show $(\bigcup F)(x) = f(x)$ using apply_equality

```
by simp qed
```

lemma func1_2_L2:

8.2 Functions restricted to a set

Standard Isabelle/ZF defines the notion restrict(f,A) of to mean a function (or relation) f restricted to a set. This means that if f is a function defined on X and A is a subset of X then restrict(f,A) is a function whith the same values as f, but whose domain is A.

What is the inverse image of a set under a restricted fuction?

```
lemma func1_2_L1: assumes A1: f:X→Y and A2: B⊆X
  shows restrict(f,B)-(A) = f-(A) ∩ B
proof -
  let g = restrict(f,B)
  from A1 A2 have g:B→Y
    using restrict_type2 by simp
  with A2 A1 show g-(A) = f-(A) ∩ B
    using func1_1_L15 restrict_if by auto
qed
```

A criterion for when one function is a restriction of another. The lemma below provides a result useful in the actual proof of the criterion and applications.

```
assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y and A2: g\in A\rightarrow Z
  and A3: A\subseteq X and A4: f \cap A\times Z = g
  shows \forall x \in A. g(x) = f(x)
proof
  fix x assume x \in A
  with A2 have \langle x,g(x)\rangle \in g using apply_Pair by simp
  with A4 A1 show g(x) = f(x) using apply_iff by auto
qed
Here is the actual criterion.
lemma func1_2_L3:
  assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y and A2: g:A\rightarrow Z
  and A3: A\subseteq X and A4: f\cap A\times Z=g
  shows g = restrict(f,A)
  from A4 show g ⊆ restrict(f, A) using restrict_iff by auto
  show restrict(f, A) \subseteq g
  proof
    fix z assume A5:z \in restrict(f,A)
    then obtain x y where D1:z\inf \land x\inA \land z = \langlex,y\rangle
       using restrict_iff by auto
    with A1 have y = f(x) using apply_iff by auto
    with A1 A2 A3 A4 D1 have y = g(x) using func1_2_L2 by simp
```

```
with A2 D1 show z∈g using apply_Pair by simp
  qed
qed
Which function space a restricted function belongs to?
lemma func1_2_L4:
  assumes A1: f:X\rightarrowY and A2: A\subseteqX and A3: \forallx\inA. f(x) \in Z
  shows restrict(f,A) : A \rightarrow Z
proof -
  let g = restrict(f,A)
  from A1 A2 have g : A \rightarrow Y
    using restrict_type2 by simp
  moreover {
    fix x assume x \in A
    with A1 A3 have g(x) \in Z using restrict by simp
  ultimately show thesis by (rule Pi_type)
A simpler case of func1_2_L4, where the range of the original and restricted
function are the same.
corollary restrict_fun: assumes A1: f:X \rightarrow Y and A2: A\subseteq X
  shows restrict(f,A) : A \rightarrow Y
proof -
  from assms have \forall x \in A. f(x) \in Y using apply_funtype
    by auto
  with assms show thesis using func1_2_L4 by simp
qed
A composition of two functions is the same as composition with a restriction.
lemma comp_restrict:
  assumes A1: f : A \rightarrow B and A2: g : X \rightarrow C and A3: B \subseteq X
  shows g 0 f = restrict(g,B) 0 f
proof -
  from assms have g O f : A \rightarrow C using comp_fun_subset
  moreover from assms have restrict(g,B) 0 f : A \rightarrow C
    using restrict_fun comp_fun by simp
  moreover from A1 have
    \forall x \in A. (g \ 0 \ f)(x) = (restrict(g,B) \ 0 \ f)(x)
    using comp_fun_apply apply_funtype restrict
    by simp
  ultimately show g 0 f = restrict(g,B) 0 f
    by (rule func_eq)
A way to look at restriction. Contributed by Victor Porton.
lemma right_comp_id_any: shows r 0 id(C) = restrict(r,C)
  unfolding restrict_def by auto
```

8.3 Constant functions

Constant functions are trivial, but still we need to prove some properties to shorten proofs.

We define constant (=c) functions on a set X in a natural way as Constant Function (X,c).

definition

```
ConstantFunction(X,c) \equiv X \times \{c\}
```

Constant function belongs to the function space.

```
lemma func1_3_L1:
   assumes A1: c∈Y shows ConstantFunction(X,c) : X→Y
proof -
   from A1 have X×{c} = {⟨ x,y⟩ ∈ X×Y. c = y}
      by auto
   with A1 show thesis using func1_1_L11A ConstantFunction_def
      by simp
   qed
Constant function is equal to the constant on its domain.
```

```
lemma func1_3_L2: assumes A1: x \in X shows ConstantFunction(X,c)(x) = c proof - have ConstantFunction(X,c) \in X \rightarrow \{c\} using func1_3_L1 by simp moreover from A1 have \langle x,c \rangle \in ConstantFunction(X,c) using ConstantFunction_def by simp ultimately show thesis using apply_iff by simp qed
```

8.4 Injections, surjections, bijections etc.

In this section we prove the properties of the spaces of injections, surjections and bijections that we can't find in the standard Isabelle's Perm.thy.

For injections the image a difference of two sets is the difference of images

```
lemma inj_image_dif:
   assumes A1: f ∈ inj(A,B) and A2: C ⊆ A
   shows f(A-C) = f(A) - f(C)
proof
   show f(A - C) ⊆ f(A) - f(C)
   proof
   fix y assume A3: y ∈ f(A - C)
   from A1 have f:A→B using inj_def by simp
   moreover have A-C ⊆ A by auto
   ultimately have f(A-C) = {f(x). x ∈ A-C}
      using func_imagedef by simp
   with A3 obtain x where I: f(x) = y and x ∈ A-C
```

```
by auto
     hence x \in A by auto
     with \langle f:A \rightarrow B \rangle I have y \in f(A)
       using func_imagedef by auto
     moreover have y \notin f(C)
     proof -
       { assume y \in f(C)
 with A2 \langle f: A \rightarrow B \rangle obtain x_0
   where II: f(x_0) = y and x_0 \in C
   using func_imagedef by auto
 with A1 A2 I \langle x \in A \rangle have
   f \in inj(A,B) f(x) = f(x_0) x \in A x_0 \in A
   by auto
 then have x = x_0 by (rule inj_apply_equality)
 with \langle x \in A-C \rangle \langle x_0 \in C \rangle have False by simp
       } thus thesis by auto
     qed
     ultimately show y \in f(A) - f(C) by simp
  from A1 A2 show f(A) - f(C) \subseteq f(A-C)
     using inj_def diff_image_diff by auto
qed
For injections the image of intersection is the intersection of images.
lemma inj_image_inter: assumes A1: f \in inj(X,Y) and A2: A \subseteq X B \subseteq X
  shows f(A \cap B) = f(A) \cap f(B)
proof
  show f(A \cap B) \subseteq f(A) \cap f(B) using image_Int_subset by simp
  { from A1 have f:X\rightarrow Y using inj_def by simp
     fix y assume y \in f(A) \cap f(B)
     then have y \in f(A) and y \in f(B) by auto
     with A2 \langle f: X \rightarrow Y \rangle obtain x_A x_B where
    x_A \in A x_B \in B \text{ and } I: y = f(x_A) y = f(x_B)
       using func_imagedef by auto
     with A2 have x_A \in X x_B \in X and f(x_A) = f(x_B) by auto
     with A1 have x_A = x_B using inj_def by auto
     with \langle x_A \in A \rangle \langle x_B \in B \rangle have f(x_A) \in \{f(x), x \in A \cap B\} by auto
     moreover from A2 \langle f: X \rightarrow Y \rangle have f(A \cap B) = \{f(x) : x \in A \cap B\}
       \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{func\_imagedef} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{blast}
     ultimately have f(x_A) \in f(A \cap B) by simp
     with I have y \in f(A \cap B) by simp
  } thus f(A) \cap f(B) \subseteq f(A \cap B) by auto
qed
For surjection from A to B the image of the domain is B.
lemma surj_range_image_domain: assumes A1: f ∈ surj(A,B)
  shows f(A) = B
proof -
  from A1 have f(A) = range(f)
```

```
using surj_def range_image_domain by auto
  with A1 show f(A) = B using surj_range
    by simp
qed
For injections the inverse image of an image is the same set.
lemma inj_vimage_image: assumes f \in inj(X,Y) and A\subseteq X
  shows f-(f(A)) = A
proof -
  have f-(f(A)) = (converse(f) \ O \ f)(A)
    using vimage_converse image_comp by simp
  with assms show thesis using left_comp_inverse image_id_same
    by simp
qed
For surjections the image of an inverse image is the same set.
lemma surj_image_vimage: assumes A1: f \in surj(X,Y) and A2: A\subseteq Y
  shows f(f-(A)) = A
proof -
  have f(f-(A)) = (f \ O \ converse(f))(A)
    using vimage_converse image_comp by simp
  with assms show thesis using right_comp_inverse image_id_same
    by simp
qed
A lemma about how a surjection maps collections of subsets in domain and
rangge.
lemma surj_subsets: assumes A1: f \in surj(X,Y) and A2: B \subseteq Pow(Y)
  shows { f(U). U \in \{f-(V). V \in B\} } = B
  { fix W assume W \in \{ f(U) : U \in \{f-(V) : V \in B\} \}
    then obtain U where I: U \in \{f-(V), V \in B\} and II: W = f(U) by auto
    then obtain V where V \in B and U = f - (V) by auto
    with II have W = f(f-(V)) by simp
    moreover from assms (V \in B) have f \in surj(X,Y) and V \subseteq Y by auto
    ultimately have W=V using surj_image_vimage by simp
    with (V \in B) have W \in B by simp
  } thus { f(U). U \in {f-(V). V \in B} } \subseteq B by auto
  \{ \text{ fix } \mathbb{W} \text{ assume } \mathbb{W} \in \mathbb{B} \}
    let U = f-(W)
    from (W \in B) have U \in \{f-(V), V \in B\} by auto
    moreover from A1 A2 (WEB) have W = f(U) using surj_image_vimage by
    ultimately have W \in \{ f(U) : U \in \{f-(V) : V \in B\} \} by auto
  \} thus B \subseteq \{ f(U). U \in \{f-(V). V \in B\} \} by auto
\mathbf{qed}
Restriction of an bijection to a set without a point is a a bijection.
lemma bij_restrict_rem:
```

```
assumes A1: f \in bij(A,B) and A2: a \in A
 shows restrict(f, A-{a}) \in bij(A-{a}, B-{f(a)})
proof -
 let C = A-\{a\}
  from A1 have f \in inj(A,B) C \subseteq A
    using bij_def by auto
  then have restrict(f,C) \in bij(C, f(C))
    using restrict_bij by simp
 moreover have f(C) = B-\{f(a)\}
  proof -
    from A2 \langle f \in inj(A,B) \rangle have f(C) = f(A) - f\{a\}
      using inj_image_dif by simp
    moreover from A1 have f(A) = B
      using bij_def surj_range_image_domain by auto
    moreover from A1 A2 have f\{a\} = \{f(a)\}
      using bij_is_fun singleton_image by blast
    ultimately show f(C) = B-\{f(a)\} by simp
 qed
 ultimately show thesis by simp
The domain of a bijection between X and Y is X.
lemma domain_of_bij:
 assumes A1: f \in bij(X,Y) shows domain(f) = X
 from A1 have f:X \to Y using bij_is_fun by simp
 then show domain(f) = X using func1_1_L1 by simp
The value of the inverse of an injection on a point of the image of a set
belongs to that set.
lemma inj_inv_back_in_set:
  assumes A1: f \in inj(A,B) and A2: C\subseteq A and A3: y \in f(C)
 shows
  converse(f)(y) \in C
 f(converse(f)(y)) = y
proof -
 from A1 have I: f:A→B using inj_is_fun by simp
  with A2 A3 obtain x where II: x \in C y = f(x)
    using func_imagedef by auto
  with A1 A2 show converse(f)(y) \in C using left_inverse
    by auto
  from A1 A2 I II show f(converse(f)(y)) = y
    using func1_1_L5A right_inverse by auto
qed
For injections if a value at a point belongs to the image of a set, then the
point belongs to the set.
lemma inj_point_of_image:
```

```
assumes A1: f \in inj(A,B) and A2: C\subseteq A and
  A3: x \in A and A4: f(x) \in f(C)
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{x} \, \in \, \mathtt{C}
proof -
  from A1 A2 A4 have converse(f)(f(x)) \in C
    using inj_inv_back_in_set by simp
  moreover from A1 A3 have converse(f)(f(x)) = x
    using left_inverse_eq by simp
  ultimately show x \in C by simp
qed
For injections the image of intersection is the intersection of images.
lemma inj_image_of_Inter: assumes A1: f \in inj(A,B) and
  A2: I \neq 0 and A3: \forall i \in I. P(i) \subseteq A
  shows f(\bigcap i \in I. P(i)) = (\bigcap i \in I. f(P(i)))
proof
  from A1 A2 A3 show f(\bigcap i \in I. P(i)) \subseteq (\bigcap i \in I. f(P(i)))
    using inj_is_fun image_of_Inter by auto
  from A1 A2 A3 have f:A\rightarrowB and ( \bigcap i\inI. P(i) ) \subseteq A
    using inj_is_fun ZF1_1_L7 by auto
  then have I: f(\bigcap i \in I. P(i)) = \{ f(x). x \in (\bigcap i \in I. P(i)) \}
    using func_imagedef by simp
  { fix y assume A4: y \in (\bigcap i \in I. f(P(i)))
    let x = converse(f)(y)
    from A2 obtain i_0 where i_0 \in I by auto
    with A1 A4 have II: y \in range(f) using inj_is_fun func1_1_L6
       by auto
    with A1 have III: f(x) = y using right_inverse by simp
    from A1 II have IV: x ∈ A using inj_converse_fun apply_funtype
       by blast
    \{ \text{ fix i assume } i \in I \}
       with A3 A4 III have P(i) \subseteq A and f(x) \in f(P(i))
       with A1 IV have x ∈ P(i) using inj_point_of_image
 by blast
    } then have \forall i \in I. x \in P(i) by simp
    with A2 I have f(x) \in f(\bigcap i \in I. P(i))
       by auto
    with III have y \in f(\bigcap i \in I. P(i)) by simp
  \} then show ( \bigcap i\inI. f(P(i)) ) \subseteq f( \bigcap i\inI. P(i) )
    by auto
qed
An injection is injective onto its range. Suggested by Victor Porton.
lemma inj_inj_range: assumes f \in inj(A,B)
  shows f \in inj(A, range(f))
  using assms inj_def range_of_fun by auto
```

An injection is a bijection on its range. Suggested by Victor Porton.

```
lemma inj_bij_range: assumes f ∈ inj(A,B)
  shows f \in bij(A,range(f))
proof -
  from assms have f \in surj(A, range(f)) using inj_def fun_is_surj
  with assms show thesis using inj_inj_range bij_def by simp
qed
A lemma about extending a surjection by one point.
lemma surj_extend_point:
  assumes A1: f \in surj(X,Y) and A2: a \notin X and
  A3: g = f \cup \{\langle a,b \rangle\}
  shows g \in surj(X \cup \{a\}, Y \cup \{b\})
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 have g : X \cup \{a\} \rightarrow Y \cup \{b\}
    using surj_def func1_1_L11D by simp
  moreover have \forall y \in Y \cup \{b\}. \exists x \in X \cup \{a\}. y = g(x)
  proof
    fix y assume y \in Y \cup \{b\}
    then have y \in Y \lor y = b by auto
    moreover
    \{ assume y \in Y \}
       with A1 obtain x where x \in X and y = f(x)
 using surj_def by auto
       with A1 A2 A3 have x \in X \cup \{a\} and y = g(x)
 using surj_def func1_1_L11D by auto
       then have \exists x \in X \cup \{a\}. y = g(x) by auto \}
    moreover
    \{ assume y = b \}
       with A1 A2 A3 have y = g(a)
 using surj_def func1_1_L11D by auto
       then have \exists x \in X \cup \{a\}. y = g(x) by auto \}
    ultimately show \exists x \in X \cup \{a\}. y = g(x)
       by auto
  ged
  ultimately show g \in surj(X \cup \{a\}, Y \cup \{b\})
    using surj_def by auto
A lemma about extending an injection by one point. Essentially the same
as standard Isabelle's inj_extend.
lemma inj_extend_point: assumes f \in inj(X,Y) a \notin X b \notin Y
  shows (f \cup \{\langle a,b \rangle\}) \in inj(X \cup \{a\}, Y \cup \{b\})
proof -
  from assms have cons(\langle a,b\rangle,f) \in inj(cons(a, X), cons(b, Y))
    using assms inj_extend by simp
  moreover have cons((a,b),f) = f \cup \{(a,b)\} and
    cons(a, X) = X \cup \{a\} and cons(b, Y) = Y \cup \{b\}
    by auto
```

```
A lemma about extending a bijection by one point.
lemma bij_extend_point: assumes f \in bij(X,Y) a\notin X b\notin Y
  shows (f \cup \{\langle a,b\rangle\}) \in bij(X\cup\{a\},Y\cup\{b\})
  using assms surj_extend_point inj_extend_point bij_def
  by simp
A quite general form of the a^{-1}b = 1 implies a = b law.
lemma comp_inv_id_eq:
  assumes A1: converse(b) O a = id(A) and
  A2: a \subseteq A \times B \ b \in surj(A,B)
  shows a = b
proof -
  from A1 have (b 0 converse(b)) 0 a = b 0 id(A)
    using comp_assoc by simp
  with A2 have id(B) 0 a = b 0 id(A)
    using right_comp_inverse by simp
  moreover
  from A2 have a \subseteq A\timesB and b \subseteq A\timesB
    \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{surj\_def} \ \mathtt{fun\_subset\_prod}
    by auto
  then have id(B) \ 0 \ a = a \ and \ b \ 0 \ id(A) = b
    using left_comp_id right_comp_id by auto
  ultimately show a = b by simp
qed
A special case of comp_inv_id_eq - the a^{-1}b = 1 implies a = b law for
bijections.
lemma comp_inv_id_eq_bij:
  assumes A1: a \in bij(A,B) b \in bij(A,B) and
  A2: converse(b) 0 a = id(A)
  shows a = b
proof -
  from A1 have a \subseteq A \times B and b \in surj(A,B)
    using bij_def surj_def fun_subset_prod
    by auto
  with A2 show a = b by (rule comp_inv_id_eq)
qed
Converse of a converse of a bijection is the same bijection. This is a special
case of converse_converse from standard Isabelle's equalities theory where
it is proved for relations.
lemma bij_converse_converse: assumes a ∈ bij(A,B)
  shows converse(converse(a)) = a
proof -
  from assms have a \subseteq A×B using bij_def surj_def fun_subset_prod by
simp
```

ultimately show thesis by simp

qed

```
then show thesis using converse_converse by simp
qed
If a composition of bijections is identity, then one is the inverse of the other.
lemma comp_id_conv: assumes A1: a \in bij(A,B) b \in bij(B,A) and
  A2: b \ 0 \ a = id(A)
  shows a = converse(b) and b = converse(a)
  from A1 have a \in bij(A,B) and converse(b) \in bij(A,B) using bij\_converse\_bij
    by auto
  moreover from assms have converse(converse(b)) 0 a = id(A)
    using bij_converse_converse by simp
  ultimately show a = converse(b) by (rule comp_inv_id_eq_bij)
  with assms show b = converse(a) using bij_converse_converse by simp
qed
A version of comp_id_conv with weaker assumptions.
lemma \ comp\_conv\_id: \ assumes \ A1: \ a \in \ bij(A,B) \ and \ A2: \ b:B \rightarrow A \ and
  A3: \forall x \in A. b(a(x)) = x
  shows b \in bij(B,A) and a = converse(b) and b = converse(a)
proof -
  have b \in surj(B,A)
  proof -
    have \forall x \in A. \exists y \in B. b(y) = x
    proof -
       \{ \text{ fix x assume } x \in A \}
         let y = a(x)
         from A1 A3 (x \in A) have y \in B and b(y) = x
            using bij_def inj_def apply_funtype by auto
         hence \exists y \in B. b(y) = x by auto
       } thus thesis by simp
    qed
    with A2 show b \in surj(B,A) using surj\_def by simp
  moreover have b \in inj(B,A)
  proof -
    have \forall w \in B. \forall y \in B. b(w) = b(y) \longrightarrow w=y
       { fix w y assume w \in B y \in B and I: b(w) = b(y)
         from A1 have a ∈ surj(A,B) unfolding bij_def by simp
         with \langle w \in B \rangle obtain x_w where x_w \in A and II: a(x_w) = w
            using surj_def by auto
         with I have b(a(x_w)) = b(y) by simp
         moreover from \langle a \in surj(A,B) \rangle \langle y \in B \rangle obtain x_y where
           x_y \in A and III: a(x_y) = y
           using surj_def by auto
         moreover from A3 \langle x_w \in A \rangle \quad \langle x_y \in A \rangle have b(a(x_w)) = x_w and b(a(x_y))
= x_y
```

```
by auto
         ultimately have x_w = x_y by simp
         with II III have w=y by simp
      } thus thesis by auto
    ged
    with A2 show b \in inj(B,A) using inj_def by auto
  ultimately show b \in bij(B,A) using bij_def by simp
  from assms have b 0 a = id(A) using bij_def inj_def comp_eq_id_iff1
  with A1 \langle b \in bij(B,A) \rangle show a = converse(b) and b = converse(a)
    using comp_id_conv by auto
qed
For a surjection the union if images of singletons is the whole range.
lemma surj_singleton_image: assumes A1: f ∈ surj(X,Y)
  shows (\bigcup x \in X. \{f(x)\}) = Y
proof
  from A1 show (\bigcup x \in X. \{f(x)\}) \subseteq Y
    using surj_def apply_funtype by auto
  \{ \text{ fix y assume y} \in Y \}
    with A1 have y \in (| x \in X. \{f(x)\})
      using surj_def by auto
  } then show Y \subseteq (\bigcup x \in X. \{f(x)\}) by auto
qed
```

8.5 Functions of two variables

In this section we consider functions whose domain is a cartesian product of two sets. Such functions are called functions of two variables (although really in ZF all functions admit only one argument). For every function of two variables we can define families of functions of one variable by fixing the other variable. This section establishes basic definitions and results for this concept.

We can create functions of two variables by combining functions of one variable.

```
lemma cart_prod_fun: assumes f_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1 f_2: X_2 \rightarrow Y_2 and g = \{\langle p, \langle f_1(fst(p)), f_2(snd(p)) \rangle \rangle . p \in X_1 \times X_2 \} shows g: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2 using assms apply_funtype ZF_fun_from_total by simp A reformulation of cart_prod_fun above in a sligtly different notation.
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{lemma prod\_fun:} \\ \textbf{assumes f:} \textbf{X}_1 {\rightarrow} \textbf{X}_2 \quad \textbf{g:} \textbf{X}_3 {\rightarrow} \textbf{X}_4 \\ \textbf{shows } \{\langle \langle \textbf{x}, \textbf{y} \rangle, \langle \textbf{fx}, \textbf{gy} \rangle \rangle. \quad \langle \textbf{x}, \textbf{y} \rangle {\in} \textbf{X}_1 {\times} \textbf{X}_3 \} {:} \textbf{X}_1 {\times} \textbf{X}_3 {\rightarrow} \textbf{X}_2 {\times} \textbf{X}_4 \\ \textbf{proof -} \end{array}
```

```
have \{\langle x,y \rangle, \langle fx,gy \rangle \}. \langle x,y \rangle \in X_1 \times X_3 \} = \{\langle p, \langle f(fst(p)), g(snd(p)) \rangle \rangle. p \in X_1 \times X_2 \} = \{\langle p, \langle f(fst(p)), g(snd(p)) \rangle \}.
X_1 \times X_3
      by auto
   with assms show thesis using cart_prod_fun by simp
Product of two surjections is a surjection.
theorem prod_functions_surj:
   assumes f \in surj(A,B) g \in surj(C,D)
   shows \{\langle \langle a1,a2 \rangle, \langle fa1,ga2 \rangle \rangle, \langle a1,a2 \rangle \in A \times C\} \in surj(A \times C, B \times D)
proof -
   let h = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, f(x), g(y) \rangle : \langle x,y \rangle \in A \times C\}
   from assms have fun: f:A\rightarrowBg:C\rightarrowD unfolding surj_def by auto
   then have pfun: h : A \times C \rightarrow B \times D using prod_fun by auto
      fix b assume b \in B \times D
      then obtain b1 b2 where b=\langle b1,b2 \rangle b1\inB b2\inD by auto
      with assms obtain at a where f(a1)=b1 g(a2)=b2 at a1 \in A a a2 \in C
         unfolding surj_def by blast
      hence \langle \langle a1,a2 \rangle, \langle b1,b2 \rangle \rangle \in h by auto
      with pfun have h(a1,a2)=(b1,b2) using apply_equality by auto
      with \langle b=\langle b1,b2\rangle \rangle \langle a1\in A\rangle \langle a2\in C\rangle have \exists a\in A\times C. h(a)=b
         by auto
   } hence \forall b \in B \times D. \exists a \in A \times C. h(a) = b by auto
   with pfun show thesis unfolding surj_def by auto
```

For a function of two variables created from functions of one variable as in cart_prod_fun above, the inverse image of a cartesian product of sets is the cartesian product of inverse images.

```
lemma cart_prod_fun_vimage: assumes f_1:X_1\to Y_1 f_2:X_2\to Y_2 and g=\{\langle p,\langle f_1(fst(p)),f_2(snd(p))\rangle \rangle. p\in X_1\times X_2\} shows g-(A_1\times A_2)=f_1-(A_1)\times f_2-(A_2) proof - from assms have g\colon X_1\times X_2\to Y_1\times Y_2 using cart_prod_fun by simp then have g-(A_1\times A_2)=\{p\in X_1\times X_2. g(p)\in A_1\times A_2\} using func1_1_L15 by simp with assms \langle g\colon X_1\times X_2\to Y_1\times Y_2\rangle show g-(A_1\times A_2)=f_1-(A_1)\times f_2-(A_2) using ZF_fun_from_tot_val func1_1_L15 by auto qed
```

For a function of two variables defined on $X \times Y$, if we fix an $x \in X$ we obtain a function on Y. Note that if domain(f) is $X \times Y$, range(domain(f)) extracts Y from $X \times Y$.

definition

```
Fix1stVar(f,x) \equiv \{\langle y, f\langle x, y \rangle \rangle : y \in range(domain(f))\}
```

For every $y \in Y$ we can fix the second variable in a binary function $f: X \times Y \to Z$ to get a function on X.

definition

```
Fix2ndVar(f,y) \equiv \{\langle x, f(x,y) \rangle : x \in \text{domain(domain(f))} \}
```

We defined Fix1stVar and Fix2ndVar so that the domain of the function is not listed in the arguments, but is recovered from the function. The next lemma is a technical fact that makes it easier to use this definition.

```
lemma fix_var_fun_domain: assumes A1: f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z
  shows
  x \in X \longrightarrow Fix1stVar(f,x) = \{\langle y, f\langle x, y \rangle \rangle, y \in Y\}
  y \in Y \longrightarrow Fix2ndVar(f,y) = \{\langle x, f\langle x, y \rangle \rangle : x \in X\}
proof -
  from A1 have I: domain(f) = X×Y using func1_1_L1 by simp
   { assume x \in X
     with I have range(domain(f)) = Y by auto
     then have Fix1stVar(f,x) = \{\langle y, f(x,y) \rangle, y \in Y\}
        using Fix1stVar_def by simp
   } then show x \in X \longrightarrow Fix1stVar(f,x) = \{\langle y, f\langle x, y \rangle \rangle, y \in Y\}
     by simp
   { assume y \in Y
     with I have domain(domain(f)) = X by auto
     then have Fix2ndVar(f,y) = \{\langle x, f\langle x, y\rangle \rangle : x \in X\}
        using Fix2ndVar_def by simp
   } then show y \in Y \longrightarrow Fix2ndVar(f,y) = \{\langle x, f\langle x, y \rangle \rangle : x \in X\}
     by simp
If we fix the first variable, we get a function of the second variable.
lemma fix_1st_var_fun: assumes A1: f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z and A2: x \in X
  shows Fix1stVar(f,x) : Y \rightarrow Z
proof -
  from A1 A2 have \forall y \in Y. f(x,y) \in Z
     using apply_funtype by simp
  then have \{\langle \mathtt{y},\mathtt{f}\langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}\rangle\rangle.\ \mathtt{y}\ \in\ \mathtt{Y}\}\ :\ \mathtt{Y}\ \to\ \mathtt{Z}\ \mathbf{using}\ \mathtt{ZF\_fun\_from\_total}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathtt{simp}
  with A1 A2 show Fix1stVar(f,x) : Y \rightarrow Z using fix_var_fun_domain by
simp
qed
If we fix the second variable, we get a function of the first variable.
lemma fix_2nd_var_fun: assumes A1: f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z and A2: y \in Y
  shows Fix2ndVar(f,y) : X \rightarrow Z
proof -
  from A1 A2 have \forall x \in X. f(x,y) \in Z
     using apply_funtype by simp
  then have \{\langle x, f(x,y) \rangle : x \in X\} : X \to Z
     using ZF_fun_from_total by simp
   with A1 A2 show Fix2ndVar(f,y) : X \rightarrow Z
```

```
using fix_var_fun_domain by simp
qed
What is the value of Fix1stVar(f,x) at y \in Y and the value of Fix2ndVar(f,y)
at x \in X"?
lemma fix_var_val:
  assumes A1: f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z and A2: x \in X y \in Y
  shows
  Fix1stVar(f,x)(y) = f\langle x,y \rangle
  Fix2ndVar(f,y)(x) = f\langle x,y \rangle
proof -
  let f_1 = \{\langle y, f(x, y) \rangle : y \in Y\}
  let f_2 = \{\langle x, f\langle x, y \rangle \rangle : x \in X\}
  from A1 A2 have I:
    Fix1stVar(f,x) = f_1
    Fix2ndVar(f,y) = f_2
    using fix_var_fun_domain by auto
  moreover from A1 A2 have
    \texttt{Fix1stVar(f,x)} \;:\; Y \;\to\; Z
    Fix2ndVar(f,y) : X \rightarrow Z
    using fix_1st_var_fun fix_2nd_var_fun by auto
  ultimately have f_1: Y \to Z and f_2: X \to Z
    by auto
  with A2 have f_1(y) = f(x,y) and f_2(x) = f(x,y)
    using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by auto
  with I show
    Fix1stVar(f,x)(y) = f\langle x,y \rangle
    Fix2ndVar(f,y)(x) = f\langle x,y \rangle
    by auto
qed
Fixing the second variable commutes with restricting the domain.
lemma fix_2nd_var_restr_comm:
  assumes A1: f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z and A2: y \in Y and A3: X_1 \subseteq X
  shows Fix2ndVar(restrict(f,X_1 \times Y),y) = restrict(Fix2ndVar(f,y),X_1)
proof -
  let g = Fix2ndVar(restrict(f,X_1 \times Y),y)
  let h = restrict(Fix2ndVar(f,y),X1)
  from A3 have I: X_1 \times Y \subseteq X \times Y by auto
  with A1 have II: restrict(f,X_1 \times Y) : X_1 \times Y \rightarrow Z
    using restrict_type2 by simp
  with A2 have g: X_1 \rightarrow Z
    using fix_2nd_var_fun by simp
  moreover
  from A1 A2 have III: Fix2ndVar(f,y) : X \rightarrow Z
    using fix_2nd_var_fun by simp
  with A3 have h : X_1 \rightarrow Z
    using restrict_type2 by simp
  moreover
```

The next lemma expresses the inverse image of a set by function with fixed first variable in terms of the original function.

```
lemma fix_1st_var_vimage: assumes A1: f: X \times Y \to Z and A2: x \in X shows Fix1stVar(f,x)-(A) = \{y \in Y. \langle x,y \rangle \in f-(A)} proof - from assms have Fix1stVar(f,x)-(A) = \{y \in Y. \text{ Fix1stVar}(f,x)(y) \in A\} using fix_1st_var_fun func1_1_L15 by blast with assms show thesis using fix_var_val func1_1_L15 by auto qed
```

The next lemma expresses the inverse image of a set by function with fixed second variable in terms of the original function.

```
lemma fix_2nd_var_vimage: assumes A1: f: X \times Y \to Z and A2: y \in Y shows Fix2ndVar(f,y)-(A) = \{x \in X. \langle x,y \rangle \in f-(A)} proof - from assms have I: Fix2ndVar(f,y)-(A) = \{x \in X. \text{ Fix2ndVar}(f,y)(x) \in A\} using fix_2nd_var_fum func1_1_L15 by blast with assms show thesis using fix_var_val func1_1_L15 by auto qed
```

9 Semilattices and Lattices

theory Lattice_ZF imports Order_ZF_1a func1

begin

end

Lattices can be introduced in algebraic way as commutative idempotent $(x \cdot x = x)$ semigroups or as partial orders with some additional properties. These two approaches are equivalent. In this theory we will use the order-theoretic approach.

9.1 Semilattices

We start with a relation r which is a partial order on a set L. Such situation is defined in Order_ZF as the predicate IsPartOrder(L,r).

A partially ordered (L, r) set is a join-semilattice if each two-element subset of L has a supremum (i.e. the least upper bound).

definition

```
Is Join Semilattice(L,r) \equiv \\ r \subseteq L \times L \ \land \ Is Part Order(L,r) \ \land \ (\forall x \in L. \ \forall y \in L. \ Has Asupremum(r,\{x,y\}))
```

A partially ordered (L, r) set is a meet-semilattice if each two-element subset of L has an infimum (i.e. the greatest lower bound).

definition

```
 \begin{split} & \text{IsMeetSemilattice(L,r)} \equiv \\ & \text{r} \subseteq \text{L} \times \text{L} \ \land \ \text{IsPartOrder(L,r)} \ \land \ (\forall \, \text{x} \in \text{L}. \ \forall \, \text{y} \in \text{L}. \ \text{HasAnInfimum(r,{x,y}))} \end{split}
```

A partially ordered (L, r) set is a lattice if it is both join and meet-semilattice, i.e. if every two element set has a supremum (least upper bound) and infimum (greatest lower bound).

definition

```
IsAlattice (infix] {is a lattice on} 90) where r {is a lattice on} L \equiv IsJoinSemilattice(L,r) \land IsMeetSemilattice(L,r)
```

Join is a binary operation whose value on a pair $\langle x, y \rangle$ is defined as the supremum of the set $\{x, y\}$.

definition

```
Join(L,r) \equiv \{\langle p, Supremum(r, \{fst(p), snd(p)\}) \rangle : p \in L \times L\}
```

Meet is a binary operation whose value on a pair $\langle x, y \rangle$ is defined as the infimum of the set $\{x, y\}$.

definition

qed

```
\texttt{Meet}(\texttt{L},\texttt{r}) \equiv \{\langle \texttt{p}, \texttt{Infimum}(\texttt{r}, \{\texttt{fst}(\texttt{p}), \texttt{snd}(\texttt{p})\}) \rangle \ . \ \texttt{p} \in \texttt{L} \times \texttt{L} \}
```

Linear order is a lattice.

```
lemma lin_is_latt: assumes r⊆L×L and IsLinOrder(L,r)
    shows r {is a lattice on} L
proof -
    from assms(2) have IsPartOrder(L,r) using Order_ZF_1_L2 by simp
    with assms have IsMeetSemilattice(L,r) unfolding IsLinOrder_def IsMeetSemilattice_def
        using inf_sup_two_el(1) by auto
    moreover from assms ⟨IsPartOrder(L,r)⟩ have IsJoinSemilattice(L,r)
        unfolding IsLinOrder_def IsJoinSemilattice_def using inf_sup_two_el(3)
by auto
    ultimately show thesis unfolding IsAlattice_def by simp
```

In a join-semilattice join is indeed a binary operation.

```
lemma join_is_binop: assumes IsJoinSemilattice(L,r)
  shows Join(L,r) : L \times L \rightarrow L
proof -
  from assms have \forall p \in L \times L. Supremum(r,{fst(p),snd(p)}) \in L
    unfolding IsJoinSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def HasAsupremum_def us-
ing sup_in_space
    by auto
  then show thesis unfolding Join_def using ZF_fun_from_total by simp
qed
The value of Join(L,r) on a pair \langle x,y\rangle is the supremum of the set \{x,y\},
hence its is greater or equal than both.
lemma join_val:
  assumes IsJoinSemilattice(L,r) x \in L y \in L
  defines j \equiv Join(L,r)\langle x,y\rangle
  shows j \in L j = Supremum(r,{x,y}) \langle x,j \rangle \in r \langle y,j \rangle \in r
proof -
  from assms(1) have Join(L,r) : L \times L \rightarrow L using join_is_binop by simp
  with assms(2,3,4) show j = Supremum(r,\{x,y\}) unfolding Join_def us-
ing ZF_fun_from_tot_val
    by auto
  from assms(2,3,4) \langle Join(L,r) : L \times L \rightarrow L \rangle show j \in L using apply_funtype
  from assms(1,2,3) have r \subseteq L \times L antisym(r) HasAminimum(r, \bigcap z \in \{x,y\}.
r\{z\})
    unfolding IsJoinSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def HasAsupremum_def by
  with \langle j = Supremum(r,\{x,y\}) \rangle show \langle x,j \rangle \in r and \langle y,j \rangle \in r
     using sup_in_space(2) by auto
qed
In a meet-semilattice meet is indeed a binary operation.
lemma meet_is_binop: assumes IsMeetSemilattice(L,r)
  shows Meet(L,r) : L \times L \rightarrow L
proof -
  from assms have \forall p \in L \times L. Infimum(r,{fst(p),snd(p)}) \in L
    unfolding IsMeetSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def HasAnInfimum_def us-
ing inf_in_space
    by auto
  then show thesis unfolding Meet_def using ZF_fun_from_total by simp
The value of Meet(L,r) on a pair \langle x,y\rangle is the infimum of the set \{x,y\}, hence
is less or equal than both.
lemma meet_val:
  assumes IsMeetSemilattice(L,r) x \in L y \in L
  defines m \equiv Meet(L,r)\langle x,y\rangle
  shows m\inL m = Infimum(r,{x,y}) \langlem,x\rangle \in r \langlem,y\rangle \in r
```

```
proof -
  from assms(1) have Meet(L,r) : L\timesL \rightarrow L using meet_is_binop by simp
  with assms(2,3,4) show m = Infimum(r,\{x,y\}) unfolding Meet_def us-
ing ZF_fun_from_tot_val
     by auto
  from \ assms(2,3,4) \ \langle \texttt{Meet(L,r)} \ : \ \texttt{L} \times \texttt{L} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{L} \rangle \ \ show \ \texttt{m} \in \texttt{L} \ using \ apply\_funtype}
by simp
  from assms(1,2,3) have r \subseteq L \times L antisym(r) HasAmaximum(r,\bigcap z \in \{x,y\}.
r-\{z\})
     unfolding IsMeetSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def HasAnInfimum_def by
auto
  with \langle m = Infimum(r, \{x,y\}) \rangle show \langle m,x \rangle \in r and \langle m,y \rangle \in r
     using inf_in_space(2) by auto
qed
The next locale defines a a notation for join-semilattice. We will use the \sqcup
symbol rather than more common \vee to avoid confusion with logical "or".
locale join_semilatt =
  fixes L
  fixes r
  assumes joinLatt: IsJoinSemilattice(L,r)
  fixes join (infixl □ 71)
  defines join_def [simp]: x \sqcup y \equiv Join(L,r)\langle x,y\rangle
  fixes sup (sup _ )
  defines sup_{def} [simp]: sup A \equiv Supremum(r,A)
Join of the elements of the lattice is in the lattice.
lemma (in join_semilatt) join_props: assumes x \in L y \in L
  shows x \sqcup y \in L and x \sqcup y = \sup \{x,y\}
  from joinLatt assms have Join(L,r)(x,y) \in L using join_is_binop apply_funtype
     by blast
  thus x \sqcup y \in L by simp
  from joinLatt assms have Join(L,r)(x,y) = Supremum(r,\{x,y\}) using join_val(2)
     by simp
  thus x \sqcup y = \sup \{x,y\} by simp
Join is associative.
lemma (in join_semilatt) join_assoc: assumes x \in L y \in L z \in L
  shows x \sqcup (y \sqcup z) = x \sqcup y \sqcup z
proof -
  from joinLatt assms(2,3) have x \sqcup (y \sqcup z) = x \sqcup (\sup \{y,z\}) using join_val(2)
  also from assms joinLatt have ... = \sup \{\sup \{x\}, \sup \{y,z\}\}\
     unfolding IsJoinSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def using join_props sup_inf_singl(2)
```

```
by auto
  also have \dots = \sup \{x,y,z\}
  proof -
    let T = \{\{x\}, \{y, z\}\}
    from joinLatt have r \subseteq L \times L antisym(r) trans(r)
      unfolding IsJoinSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def by auto
    moreover from joinLatt assms have \forall T \in \mathcal{T}. HasAsupremum(r,T)
      unfolding IsJoinSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def using sup_inf_singl(1)
by blast
    moreover from joinLatt assms have HasAsupremum(r, \{Supremum(r,T).T \in T\})
      unfolding IsJoinSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def HasAsupremum_def
      using sup_in_space(1) sup_inf_singl(2) by auto
    ultimately have Supremum(r, \{Supremum(r,T) . T \in T\}) = Supremum(r, \bigcup T)
by (rule sup_sup)
    moreover have \{Supremum(r,T).T \in T\} = \{sup\{x\}, sup\{y,z\}\} \text{ and } \bigcup T
= \{x,y,z\}
      by auto
    ultimately show (sup \{\sup\{x\}, \sup\{y,z\}\}\) = \sup\{x,y,z\} by simp
  also have \dots = sup {sup {x,y}, sup {z}}
  proof -
    let T = \{\{x,y\},\{z\}\}
    from joinLatt have r \subseteq L \times L antisym(r) trans(r)
      unfolding IsJoinSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def by auto
    moreover from joinLatt assms have \forall \, T {\in} \mathcal{T}. HasAsupremum(r,T)
      unfolding IsJoinSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def using sup_inf_singl(1)
by blast
    moreover from joinLatt assms have HasAsupremum(r, \{Supremum(r,T).T \in T\})
      unfolding IsJoinSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def HasAsupremum_def
      using sup_in_space(1) sup_inf_singl(2) by auto
    ultimately have Supremum(r,{Supremum(r,T).T\inT}) = Supremum(r,\bigcupT)
by (rule sup_sup)
    moreover have \{Supremum(r,T).T \in T\} = \{sup\{x,y\}, sup\{z\}\} \text{ and } \bigcup T
= \{x,y,z\}
      by auto
    ultimately show (sup \{x,y,z\}) = sup \{\sup \{x,y\}, \sup \{z\}\} by auto
  also from assms joinLatt have ... = \sup \{\sup \{x,y\}, z\}
    unfolding IsJoinSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def using join_props sup_inf_singl(2)
    by auto
  also from assms joinLatt have ... = (sup \{x,y\}) \sqcup z
    unfolding IsJoinSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def using join_props by
  also from joinLatt assms(1,2) have ... = x \sqcup y \sqcup z using join_val(2) by
simp
  finally show x \sqcup (y \sqcup z) = x \sqcup y \sqcup z by simp
```

```
Join is idempotent.
lemma (in join_semilatt) join_idempotent: assumes x \in L shows x \sqcup x = x
  using joinLatt assms join_val(2) IsJoinSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def
sup_inf_singl(2)
  by auto
The meet_semilatt locale is the dual of the join-semilattice locale defined
above. We will use the \sqcap symbol to denote join, giving it ab bit higher
precedence.
locale meet_semilatt =
  fixes L
  fixes r
  assumes meetLatt: IsMeetSemilattice(L,r)
  fixes join (infixl \sqcap 72)
  defines join_def [simp]: x \sqcap y \equiv Meet(L,r)\langle x,y\rangle
  fixes sup (inf _ )
  defines \sup_{def} [simp]: \inf A \equiv Infimum(r,A)
Meet of the elements of the lattice is in the lattice.
lemma (in meet_semilatt) meet_props: assumes x \in L y \in L
  shows x \sqcap y \in L and x \sqcap y = \inf \{x,y\}
proof -
  from meetLatt assms have Meet(L,r)\langle x,y \rangle \in L using meet_is_binop apply_funtype
    by blast
  thus x \sqcap y \in L by simp
  from meetLatt assms have Meet(L,r)\langle x,y \rangle = Infimum(r,{x,y}) using meet_val(2)
by blast
  thus x \sqcap y = \inf \{x,y\} by simp
qed
Meet is associative.
lemma (in meet_semilatt) meet_assoc: assumes x \in L y \in L z \in L
  shows x \sqcap (y \sqcap z) = x \sqcap y \sqcap z
proof -
  from meetLatt assms(2,3) have x \sqcap (y \sqcap z) = x \sqcap (\inf \{y,z\}) using meet_val
  also from assms meetLatt have ... = inf \{inf \{x\}, inf \{y,z\}\}\
    unfolding IsMeetSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def using meet_props sup_inf_singl(4)
    by auto
  also have \dots = \inf \{x,y,z\}
  proof -
    let \mathcal{T} = \{\{x\}, \{y,z\}\}
```

qed

 $\mathbf{from} \ \mathtt{meetLatt} \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{r} \subseteq \mathtt{L}{\times}\mathtt{L} \ \mathtt{antisym}(\mathtt{r}) \ \mathtt{trans}(\mathtt{r})$

```
unfolding IsMeetSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def by auto
    moreover from meetLatt assms have \forall T \in \mathcal{T}. HasAnInfimum(r,T)
      unfolding IsMeetSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def using sup_inf_singl(3)
by blast
    moreover from meetLatt assms have HasAnInfimum(r, \{Infimum(r,T).T \in T\})
      unfolding IsMeetSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def HasAnInfimum_def
      using inf_in_space(1) sup_inf_singl(4) by auto
    ultimately have Infimum(r, \{Infimum(r,T), T \in T\}) = Infimum(r, ||T|) by
(rule inf_inf)
    moreover have \{Infimum(r,T).T \in T\} = \{inf \{x\}, inf \{y,z\}\} \text{ and } \bigcup T
= \{x,y,z\}
      by auto
    ultimately show (inf \{inf \{x\}, inf \{y,z\}\}\) = inf \{x,y,z\} by simp
  also have ... = inf \{inf \{x,y\}, inf \{z\}\}
  proof -
    let T = \{\{x,y\},\{z\}\}
    from meetLatt have r \subseteq L \times L antisym(r) trans(r)
      unfolding IsMeetSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def by auto
    moreover from meetLatt assms have \forall T \in \mathcal{T}. HasAnInfimum(r,T)
      unfolding IsMeetSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def using sup_inf_singl(3)
    moreover from meetLatt assms have HasAnInfimum(r, \{Infimum(r,T).T \in T\})
      unfolding IsMeetSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def HasAnInfimum_def
      using inf_in_space(1) sup_inf_singl(4) by auto
    ultimately have Infimum(r, \{Infimum(r,T), T \in T\}) = Infimum(r, ||T|) by
(rule inf_inf)
    moreover have \{Infimum(r,T).T \in T\} = \{inf \{x,y\}, inf \{z\}\} \text{ and } \bigcup T
= \{x,y,z\}
      by auto
    ultimately show (inf \{x,y,z\}) = inf \{\inf \{x,y\},\inf \{z\}\} by auto
  also from assms meetLatt have ... = inf \{inf \{x,y\}, z\}
    unfolding IsMeetSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def using meet_props sup_inf_singl(4)
  also from assms meetLatt have ... = (inf \{x,y\}) \sqcap z
    unfolding IsMeetSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def using meet_props by
auto
  also from meetLatt assms(1,2) have ... = x⊓y⊓z using meet_val by simp
  finally show x \sqcap (y \sqcap z) = x \sqcap y \sqcap z by simp
qed
Meet is idempotent.
lemma (in meet_semilatt) meet_idempotent: assumes x \in L shows x \cap x = x
  using meetLatt assms meet_val IsMeetSemilattice_def IsPartOrder_def
```

```
sup_inf_singl(4)
by auto
```

end

10 Order on natural numbers

theory NatOrder_ZF imports Nat_ZF_IML Order_ZF

begin

This theory proves that \leq is a linear order on \mathbb{N} . \leq is defined in Isabelle's Nat theory, and linear order is defined in Order_ZF theory. Contributed by Seo Sanghyeon.

10.1 Order on natural numbers

This is the only section in this theory.

To prove that \leq is a total order, we use a result on ordinals.

```
lemma NatOrder_ZF_1_L1:
    assumes a ∈ nat and b ∈ nat
    shows a ≤ b ∨ b ≤ a
proof -
    from assms have I: Ord(a) ∧ Ord(b)
        using nat_into_Ord by auto
    then have a ∈ b ∨ a = b ∨ b ∈ a
        using Ord_linear by simp
    with I have a < b ∨ a = b ∨ b < a
        using ltI by auto
    with I show a ≤ b ∨ b ≤ a
        using le_iff by auto
qed</pre>
```

 \leq is antisymmetric, transitive, total, and linear. Proofs by rewrite using definitions.

```
lemma NatOrder_ZF_1_L2:
    shows
    antisym(Le)
    trans(Le)
    Le {is total on} nat
    IsLinOrder(nat,Le)
proof -
    show antisym(Le)
    using antisym_def Le_def le_anti_sym by auto
    moreover show trans(Le)
    using trans_def Le_def le_trans by blast
```

```
moreover show Le {is total on} nat
using IsTotal_def Le_def NatOrder_ZF_1_L1 by simp
ultimately show IsLinOrder(nat,Le)
using IsLinOrder_def by simp
qed
```

The order on natural numbers is linear on every natural number. Recall that each natural number is a subset of the set of all natural numbers (as well as a member).

```
lemma natord_lin_on_each_nat:
   assumes A1: n ∈ nat shows IsLinOrder(n,Le)
proof -
   from A1 have n ⊆ nat using nat_subset_nat
      by simp
   then show thesis using NatOrder_ZF_1_L2 ord_linear_subset
      by blast
qed
end
```

11 Binary operations

theory func_ZF imports func1

begin

In this theory we consider properties of functions that are binary operations, that is they map $X \times X$ into X.

11.1 Lifting operations to a function space

It happens quite often that we have a binary operation on some set and we need a similar operation that is defined for functions on that set. For example once we know how to add real numbers we also know how to add real-valued functions: for $f, g: X \to \mathbf{R}$ we define (f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x). Note that formally the + means something different on the left hand side of this equality than on the right hand side. This section aims at formalizing this process. We will call it "lifting to a function space", if you have a suggestion for a better name, please let me know.

Since we are writing in generic set notation, the definition below is a bit complicated. Here it what it says: Given a set X and another set f (that represents a binary function on X) we are defining f lifted to function space over X as the binary function (a set of pairs) on the space $F = X \to \text{range}(f)$ such that the value of this function on pair $\langle a, b \rangle$ of functions on X is another function c on X with values defined by $c(x) = f\langle a(x), b(x) \rangle$.

```
definition
Lift2FcnSpce (infix {lifted to function space over} 65) where
 f {lifted to function space over} X \equiv
  \{\langle p, \{\langle x, f | fst(p)(x), snd(p)(x) \rangle \} \}. x \in X\} \}.
  p \in (X \rightarrow range(f)) \times (X \rightarrow range(f))
The result of the lift belongs to the function space.
lemma func_ZF_1_L1:
  assumes A1: f : Y \times Y \rightarrow Y
  and A2: p \in (X \rightarrow range(f)) \times (X \rightarrow range(f))
  \{\langle x, f | fst(p)(x), snd(p)(x) \rangle \}. x \in X\}: X \rightarrow range(f)
  proof -
     have \forall x \in X. f(fst(p)(x), snd(p)(x)) \in range(f)
     proof
       fix x assume x \in X
       let p = \langle fst(p)(x), snd(p)(x) \rangle
       from A2 \langle x \in X \rangle have
 fst(p)(x) \in range(f) \quad snd(p)(x) \in range(f)
 using apply_type by auto
       with A1 have p \in Y \times Y
 using func1_1_L5B by blast
       with A1 have \langle p, f(p) \rangle \in f
 using apply_Pair by simp
       with A1 show
 f(p) \in range(f)
 using rangeI by simp
     qed
     then show thesis using ZF_fun_from_total by simp
qed
The values of the lift are defined by the value of the liftee in a natural way.
lemma func_ZF_1_L2:
  assumes A1: f : Y \times Y \rightarrow Y
  and A2: p \in (X \rightarrow range(f)) \times (X \rightarrow range(f)) and A3: x \in X
  and A4: P = \{\langle x, f(fst(p)(x), snd(p)(x) \rangle \}. x \in X\}
  shows P(x) = f(fst(p)(x), snd(p)(x))
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
     \{\langle x, f \langle fst(p)(x), snd(p)(x) \rangle \}. x \in X\} : X \to range(f)
     using func_ZF_1_L1 by simp
  with A4 have P : X \rightarrow range(f) by simp
  with A3 A4 show P(x) = f(fst(p)(x), snd(p)(x))
     using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by simp
qed
Function lifted to a function space results in function space operator.
theorem func_ZF_1_L3:
  assumes f : Y \times Y \rightarrow Y
```

```
and F = f {lifted to function space over} X shows F : (X \rightarrow range(f)) \times (X \rightarrow range(f)) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow range(f)) using assms Lift2FcnSpce_def func_ZF_1_L1 ZF_fun_from_total by simp
```

The values of the lift are defined by the values of the liftee in the natural way.

```
theorem func_ZF_1_L4:
  assumes A1: f : Y \times Y \rightarrow Y
  and A2: F = f {lifted to function space over} X
  and A3: s:X\rightarrow range(f) r:X\rightarrow range(f)
  and A4: x \in X
  shows (F(s,r))(x) = f(s(x),r(x))
proof -
  let p = \langle s, r \rangle
  let P = \{\langle x, f(fst(p)(x), snd(p)(x) \rangle \}. x \in X\}
  from A1 A3 A4 have
     f : Y \times Y \rightarrow Y \quad p \in (X \rightarrow range(f)) \times (X \rightarrow range(f))
     x \in X \quad P = \{\langle x, f \rangle (fst(p)(x), snd(p)(x) \rangle \}. \quad x \in X\}
     by auto
  then have P(x) = f(fst(p)(x), snd(p)(x))
     by (rule func_ZF_1_L2)
  hence P(x) = f(s(x),r(x)) by auto
  moreover have P = F(s,r)
  proof -
     from A1 A2 have F : (X \rightarrow range(f)) \times (X \rightarrow range(f)) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow range(f))
        using func_ZF_1_L3 by simp
     moreover from A3 have p \in (X \rightarrow range(f)) \times (X \rightarrow range(f))
        by auto
     moreover from A2 have
        F = \{ \langle p, \{ \langle x, f \rangle (x), snd(p)(x) \rangle \} . x \in X \} \rangle.
        p \in (X \rightarrow range(f)) \times (X \rightarrow range(f))
        using Lift2FcnSpce_def by simp
     ultimately show thesis using ZF_fun_from_tot_val
        by simp
  ultimately show (F(s,r))(x) = f(s(x),r(x)) by auto
qed
```

11.2 Associative and commutative operations

In this section we define associative and commutative operations and prove that they remain such when we lift them to a function space.

Typically we say that a binary operation "·" on a set G is "associative" if $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ for all $x, y, z \in G$. Our actual definition below does not use the multiplicative notation so that we can apply it equally to the additive notation + or whatever infix symbol we may want to use. Instead,

we use the generic set theory notation and write $P\langle x, y \rangle$ to denote the value of the operation P on a pair $\langle x, y \rangle \in G \times G$.

definition

```
Is Associative (infix {is associative on} 65) where P {is associative on} G \equiv P : G \times G \to G \land (\forall x \in G. \forall y \in G. \forall z \in G. (P(\langle Y, Y \rangle), z \rangle) = P(\langle x, P(\langle y, z \rangle) \rangle)))
```

A binary function $f: X \times X \to Y$ is commutative if f(x, y) = f(y, x). Note that in the definition of associativity above we talk about binary "operation" and here we say use the term binary "function". This is not set in stone, but usually the word "operation" is used when the range is a factor of the domain, while the word "function" allows the range to be a completely unrelated set.

definition

```
IsCommutative (infix {is commutative on} 65) where f {is commutative on} G \equiv \forall x \in G. \ \forall y \in G. \ f(x,y) = f(y,x)
```

The lift of a commutative function is commutative.

```
lemma func_ZF_2_L1:
  assumes A1: f : G \times G \rightarrow G
  and A2: F = f {lifted to function space over} X
  and A3: s : X \rightarrow range(f) r : X \rightarrow range(f)
  and A4: f {is commutative on} G
  shows F(s,r) = F(r,s)
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
     F : (X \rightarrow range(f)) \times (X \rightarrow range(f)) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow range(f))
     using func_ZF_1_L3 by simp
   with A3 have
     \texttt{F} \langle \texttt{s,r} \rangle \; : \; \texttt{X} {\rightarrow} \texttt{range(f)} \; \; \texttt{and} \; \; \texttt{F} \langle \texttt{r,s} \rangle \; : \; \texttt{X} {\rightarrow} \texttt{range(f)}
     using apply_type by auto
   moreover have
     \forall x \in X. (F(s,r))(x) = (F(r,s))(x)
  proof
     fix x assume x \in X
     from A1 have range(f)⊆G
        using func1_1_L5B by simp
     with A3 \langle x \in X \rangle have s(x) \in G and r(x) \in G
        using apply_type by auto
     with A1 A2 A3 A4 \langle x \in X \rangle show
         (F\langle s,r\rangle)(x) = (F\langle r,s\rangle)(x)
        using func_ZF_1_L4 IsCommutative_def by simp
   ultimately show thesis using fun_extension_iff
     by simp
qed
```

```
The lift of a commutative function is commutative on the function space.
lemma func_ZF_2_L2:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathtt{f}\ :\ \mathtt{G}{\times}\mathtt{G}{\rightarrow}\mathtt{G}
  and f {is commutative on} G
  and F = f {lifted to function space over} X
  shows F {is commutative on} (X \rightarrow range(f))
  using assms IsCommutative_def func_ZF_2_L1 by simp
The lift of an associative function is associative.
lemma func_ZF_2_L3:
  assumes A2: F = f {lifted to function space over} X
  and A3: s : X \rightarrow range(f) r : X \rightarrow range(f) q : X \rightarrow range(f)
  and A4: f {is associative on} G
  shows F(F(s,r),q) = F(s,F(r,q))
proof -
  from A4 A2 have
     F : (X \rightarrow range(f)) \times (X \rightarrow range(f)) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow range(f))
     using IsAssociative_def func_ZF_1_L3 by auto
  with A3 have I:
     F(s,r) : X \rightarrow range(f)
     F(r,q) : X \rightarrow range(f)
     F(F(s,r),q) : X \rightarrow range(f)
     F(s,F(r,q)): X \rightarrow range(f)
     using apply_type by auto
  moreover have
     \forall x \in X. (F\langle F\langle s, r \rangle, q \rangle)(x) = (F\langle s, F\langle r, q \rangle)(x)
  proof
     fix x assume x \in X
     from A4 have f:G\times G\rightarrow G
        using IsAssociative_def by simp
     then have range(f)\subseteq G
        using func1_1_L5B by simp
     with A3 \langle x \in X \rangle have
        s(x) \in G r(x) \in G q(x) \in G
        using apply_type by auto
     with A2 I A3 A4 \langle x \in X \rangle \langle f : G \times G \rightarrow G \rangle show
        (F\langle F\langle s,r\rangle,q\rangle)(x) = (F\langle s,F\langle r,q\rangle\rangle)(x)
        using func_ZF_1_L4 IsAssociative_def by simp
  qed
  ultimately show thesis using fun_extension_iff
     by simp
ged
The lift of an associative function is associative on the function space.
lemma func_ZF_2_L4:
  assumes A1: f {is associative on} G
  and A2: F = f {lifted to function space over} X
  shows F (is associative on) (X \rightarrow range(f))
```

proof -

```
from A1 A2 have F: (X \rightarrow range(f)) \times (X \rightarrow range(f)) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow range(f)) using IsAssociative_def func_ZF_1_L3 by auto moreover from A1 A2 have \forall s \in X \rightarrow range(f). \ \forall \ r \in X \rightarrow range(f). \ \forall \ q \in X \rightarrow range(f). F\langle F\langle s,r\rangle, q\rangle = F\langle s,F\langle r,q\rangle\rangle using func_ZF_2_L3 by simp ultimately show thesis using IsAssociative_def by simp qed
```

11.3 Restricting operations

In this section we consider conditions under which restriction of the operation to a set inherits properties like commutativity and associativity.

The commutativity is inherited when restricting a function to a set.

```
lemma func_ZF_4_L1: assumes A1: f:X×X\rightarrowY and A2: A\subseteqX and A3: f {is commutative on} X shows restrict(f,A×A) {is commutative on} A proof - { fix x y assume x\inA and y\inA with A2 have x\inX and y\inX by auto with A3 (x\inA) (y\inA) have restrict(f,A×A)(x,y) = restrict(f,A×A)(y,x) using IsCommutative_def restrict_if by simp } then show thesis using IsCommutative_def by simp qed
```

Next we define what it means that a set is closed with respect to an operation.

definition

```
IsOpClosed (infix {is closed under} 65) where A {is closed under} f \equiv \forall x \in A. \ \forall y \in A. \ f(x,y) \in A
```

Associative operation restricted to a set that is closed with resp. to this operation is associative.

```
lemma func_ZF_4_L2:assumes A1: f {is associative on} X and A2: A\subseteqX and A3: A {is closed under} f and A4: x\inA y\inA z\inA and A5: g = restrict(f,A\timesA) shows g\langle g\langle x,y\rangle,z\rangle = g\langle x,g\langle y,z\rangle\rangle proof - from A4 A2 have I: x\inX y\inX z\inX by auto from A3 A4 A5 have g\langle g\langle x,y\rangle,z\rangle = f\langle f\langle x,y\rangle,z\rangle
```

```
\begin{array}{l} g\langle x,g\langle y,z\rangle\rangle = f\langle x,f\langle y,z\rangle\rangle \\ \text{using IsOpClosed_def restrict_if by auto} \\ \text{moreover from A1 I have} \\ f\langle f\langle x,y\rangle,z\rangle = f\langle x,f\langle y,z\rangle\rangle \\ \text{using IsAssociative_def by simp} \\ \text{ultimately show thesis by simp} \\ \text{qed} \end{array}
```

An associative operation restricted to a set that is closed with resp. to this operation is associative on the set.

```
lemma func_ZF_4_L3: assumes A1: f {is associative on} X
  and A2: A⊆X and A3: A {is closed under} f
  shows restrict(f,A \times A) {is associative on} A
proof -
  let g = restrict(f,A \times A)
  from A1 have f:X\times X\to X
    using IsAssociative_def by simp
  moreover from A2 have A \times A \subseteq X \times X by auto
  moreover from A3 have \forall p \in A \times A. g(p) \in A
    using IsOpClosed_def restrict_if by auto
  ultimately have g : A \times A \rightarrow A
    using func1_2_L4 by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 A3 have
    \forall x \in A. \ \forall y \in A. \ \forall z \in A.
    g(g(x,y),z) = g(x,g(y,z))
    using func_ZF_4_L2 by simp
  ultimately show thesis
    using IsAssociative_def by simp
qed
```

The essential condition to show that if a set A is closed with respect to an operation, then it is closed under this operation restricted to any superset of A.

```
lemma func_ZF_4_L4: assumes A {is closed under} f and A\subseteqB and x\inA y\inA and g = restrict(f,B\timesB) shows g\langlex,y\rangle \in A using assms IsOpClosed_def restrict by auto
```

If a set A is closed under an operation, then it is closed under this operation restricted to any superset of A.

```
lemma func_ZF_4_L5: assumes A1: A {is closed under} f and A2: A\subseteqB shows A {is closed under} restrict(f,B\timesB) proof - let g = restrict(f,B\timesB) from A1 A2 have \forall x\inA. \forall y\inA. g\langlex,y\rangle \in A using func_ZF_4_L4 by simp
```

```
then show thesis using IsOpClosed_def by simp qed
```

The essential condition to show that intersection of sets that are closed with respect to an operation is closed with respect to the operation.

```
lemma func_ZF_4_L6: assumes A {is closed under} f and B {is closed under} f and x \in A \cap B y \in A \cap B shows f(x,y) \in A \cap B using assms IsOpClosed_def by auto
```

Intersection of sets that are closed with respect to an operation is closed under the operation.

```
lemma func_ZF_4_L7:
   assumes A {is closed under} f
   B {is closed under} f
   shows A∩B {is closed under} f
   using assms IsOpClosed_def by simp
```

11.4 Compositions

For any set X we can consider a binary operation on the set of functions $f: X \to X$ defined by $C(f,g) = f \circ g$. Composition of functions (or relations) is defined in the standard Isabelle distribution as a higher order function and denoted with the letter 0. In this section we consider the corresponding two-argument ZF-function (binary operation), that is a subset of $((X \to X) \times (X \to X)) \times (X \to X)$.

We define the notion of composition on the set X as the binary operation on the function space $X \to X$ that takes two functions and creates the their composition.

definition

```
Composition(X) \equiv {\partial p, fst(p) 0 snd(p) \rangle. p \in (X\rightarrow X)\times (X\rightarrow X)}
```

Composition operation is a function that maps $(X \to X) \times (X \to X)$ into $X \to X$.

```
lemma func_ZF_5_L1: shows Composition(X) : (X \rightarrow X) \times (X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X) using comp_fun Composition_def ZF_fun_from_total by simp
```

The value of the composition operation is the composition of arguments.

```
lemma func_ZF_5_L2: assumes f:X\rightarrowX and g:X\rightarrowX shows Composition(X)\langlef,g\rangle = f 0 g proof - from assms have Composition(X) : (X\rightarrow X)\times(X\rightarrow X)\rightarrow(X\rightarrow X)\langlef,g\rangle \in (X\rightarrow X)\times(X\rightarrow X)
```

```
Composition(X) = \{\langle p, fst(p) \mid 0 \mid snd(p) \rangle, p \in (X \rightarrow X) \times (X \rightarrow X)\}
     using func_{ZF_5_L1} Composition_{def} by auto
  then show Composition(X)\langle f,g \rangle = f \circ g
     using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by auto
ged
What is the value of a composition on an argument?
lemma func_ZF_5_L3: assumes f:X\to X and g:X\to X and x\in X
  shows (Composition(X)\langle f,g \rangle)(x) = f(g(x))
  using assms func_ZF_5_L2 comp_fun_apply by simp
The essential condition to show that composition is associative.
lemma func_ZF_5_L4: assumes A1: f:X\to X g:X\to X h:X\to X
  and A2: C = Composition(X)
  shows C(C(f,g),h) = C(f,C(g,h))
proof -
  from A2 have C : ((X \rightarrow X) \times (X \rightarrow X)) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)
     using func_ZF_5_L1 by simp
  with A1 have I:
     C(f,g) : X \rightarrow X
     C(g,h) : X \rightarrow X
     C(C(f,g),h) : X \rightarrow X
     C\langle f,C\langle g,h\rangle\rangle : X\rightarrow X
     using apply_funtype by auto
  moreover have
     \forall x \in X. C(C(f,g),h)(x) = C(f,C(g,h))(x)
  proof
     fix x assume x \in X
     with A1 A2 I have
       C(C(f,g),h) (x) = f(g(h(x)))
       C\langle f,C\langle g,h\rangle\rangle(x) = f(g(h(x)))
       using func_ZF_5_L3 apply_funtype by auto
     then show C(C(f,g),h)(x) = C(f,C(g,h))(x)
       by simp
     qed
  ultimately show thesis using fun_extension_iff by simp
Composition is an associative operation on X \to X (the space of functions
that map X into itself).
lemma \ \texttt{func\_ZF\_5\_L5} \colon \mathbf{shows} \ \texttt{Composition(X)} \ \{ \texttt{is associative on} \} \ (\texttt{X} {\rightarrow} \texttt{X})
proof -
  let C = Composition(X)
  have \forall f \in X \rightarrow X. \forall g \in X \rightarrow X. \forall h \in X \rightarrow X.
     C(C(f,g),h) = C(f,C(g,h))
     using func_ZF_5_L4 by simp
  then show thesis using func_ZF_5_L1 IsAssociative_def
     by simp
qed
```

11.5 Identity function

In this section we show some additional facts about the identity function defined in the standard Isabelle's Perm theory. Note there is also image_id_same lemma in func1 theory.

A function that maps every point to itself is the identity on its domain.

```
lemma indentity_fun: assumes A1: f:X\to Y and A2:\forall x\in X. f(x)=x
  shows f = id(X)
proof -
  from assms have f:X\to Y and id(X):X\to X and \forall x\in X. f(x)=id(X)(x)
     using id_type id_conv by auto
  then show thesis by (rule func_eq)
qed
Composing a function with identity does not change the function.
lemma func_ZF_6_L1A: assumes A1: f : X \rightarrow X
  shows Composition(X)\langle f, id(X) \rangle = f
  Composition(X)\langle id(X), f \rangle = f
proof -
  have Composition(X) : (X \rightarrow X) \times (X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)
     using func_ZF_5_L1 by simp
  with A1 have Composition(X)\langle id(X), f \rangle : X \rightarrow X
     \texttt{Composition(X)} \langle \texttt{f,id(X)} \rangle \; : \; \texttt{X} {\rightarrow} \texttt{X}
     using id_type apply_funtype by auto
  moreover note A1
  moreover from A1 have
     \forall x \in X. (Composition(X)(id(X),f))(x) = f(x)
     \forall x \in X. (Composition(X) \langle f, id(X) \rangle)(x) = f(x)
     using id_type func_ZF_5_L3 apply_funtype id_conv
     by auto
  ultimately show Composition(X)\langle id(X), f \rangle = f
     Composition(X)\langle f, id(X) \rangle = f
     using fun_extension_iff by auto
qed
An intuitively clear, but surprisingly nontrivial fact: identity is the only
function from a singleton to itself.
lemma singleton_fun_id: shows (\{x\} \rightarrow \{x\}) = \{id(\{x\})\}
proof
  show \{id(\{x\})\} \subseteq (\{x\} \rightarrow \{x\})
     using id_def by simp
  { let g = id(\{x\})
     fix f assume f : \{x\} \rightarrow \{x\}
     then have f : \{x\} \rightarrow \{x\} and g : \{x\} \rightarrow \{x\}
       using id_def by auto
     moreover from (f : \{x\} \rightarrow \{x\}) have \forall x \in \{x\}. f(x) = g(x)
       using apply_funtype id_def by auto
```

```
ultimately have f = g by (rule func_eq) } then show (\{x\} \to \{x\}) \subseteq \{id(\{x\})\}\} by auto qed Another trivial fact: identity is the only bijection of a singleton with itself. lemma single_bij_id: shows bij(\{x\},\{x\}) = \{id(\{x\})\} proof show \{id(\{x\})\} \subseteq bij(\{x\},\{x\}) using id_bij by simp \{ \text{ fix f assume } f \in bij(\{x\},\{x\}) \} then have f : \{x\} \to \{x\} \} using bij_is_fun by simp then have f \in \{id(\{x\})\}\} using singleton_fun_id by simp \{ \text{ then show bij}(\{x\},\{x\}) \subseteq \{id(\{x\})\}\} by auto qed
```

A kind of induction for the identity: if a function f is the identity on a set with a fixpoint of f removed, then it is the indentity on the whole set.

```
lemma id_fixpoint_rem: assumes A1: f:X \rightarrow X and
  A2: p \in X and A3: f(p) = p and
  A4: restrict(f, X-\{p\}) = id(X-\{p\})
  shows f = id(X)
proof -
  from A1 have f: X \rightarrow X and id(X) : X \rightarrow X
    using id_def by auto
  moreover
  { fix x assume x \in X
    { assume x \in X-\{p\}
       then have f(x) = restrict(f, X-\{p\})(x)
 using restrict by simp
       with A4 \langle x \in X-\{p\} \rangle have f(x) = x
 using id_def by simp }
    with A2 A3 \langle x \in X \rangle have f(x) = x by auto
  } then have \forall x \in X. f(x) = id(X)(x)
    using id_def by simp
  ultimately show f = id(X) by (rule func_eq)
ged
```

11.6 Lifting to subsets

Suppose we have a binary operation $f: X \times X \to X$ written additively as $f\langle x,y\rangle = x+y$. Such operation naturally defines another binary operation on the subsets of X that satisfies $A+B=\{x+y:x\in A,y\in B\}$. This new operation which we will call "f lifted to subsets" inherits many properties of f, such as associativity, commutativity and existence of the neutral element. This notion is useful for considering interval arithmetics.

The next definition describes the notion of a binary operation lifted to subsets. It is written in a way that might be a bit unexpected, but really it is the same as the intuitive definition, but shorter. In the definition we take a pair $p \in Pow(X) \times Pow(X)$, say $p = \langle A, B \rangle$, where $A, B \subseteq X$. Then we assign this pair of sets the set $\{f\langle x,y\rangle: x\in A,y\in B\}=\{f(x'): x'\in A\times B\}$ The set on the right hand side is the same as the image of $A\times B$ under f. In the definition we don't use A and B symbols, but write fst(p) and snd(p), resp. Recall that in Isabelle/ZF fst(p) and snd(p) denote the first and second components of an ordered pair p. See the lemma lift_subsets_explained for a more intuitive notation.

definition

```
Lift2Subsets (infix {lifted to subsets of} 65) where f {lifted to subsets of} X \equiv \{\langle p, f(fst(p) \times snd(p)) \rangle, p \in Pow(X) \times Pow(X) \}
```

The lift to subsets defines a binary operation on the subsets.

```
lemma lift_subsets_binop: assumes A1: f : X × X \rightarrow Y shows (f {lifted to subsets of} X) : Pow(X) × Pow(X) \rightarrow Pow(Y) proof - let F = {\langle p, f(fst(p) \times snd(p)) \rangle. p \in Pow(X) \times Pow(X)} from A1 have \forall p \in Pow(X) \times Pow(X). f(fst(p) \times snd(p)) \in Pow(Y) using func1_1_L6 by simp then have F : Pow(X) × Pow(X) \rightarrow Pow(Y) by (rule ZF_fun_from_total) then show thesis unfolding Lift2Subsets_def by simp qed
```

The definition of the lift to subsets rewritten in a more intuitive notation. We would like to write the last assertion as $F(A,B) = \{f(x,y) : x \in A, y \in B\}$, but Isabelle/ZF does not allow such syntax.

```
lemma lift_subsets_explained: assumes A1: f : X \times X \rightarrow Y
  and A2: A \subseteq X B \subseteq X and A3: F = f {lifted to subsets of} X
  shows
  F(A,B) \subseteq Y and
  F(A,B) = f(A \times B)
  F(A,B) = \{f(p) : p \in A \times B\}
  F(A,B) = \{f(x,y) : \langle x,y \rangle \in A \times B\}
proof -
  let p = \langle A, B \rangle
  from assms have
     I: F: Pow(X) \times Pow(X) \rightarrow Pow(Y) and p \in Pow(X) \times Pow(X)
     using lift_subsets_binop by auto
  moreover from A3 have F = \{\langle p, f(fst(p) \times snd(p)) \rangle, p \in Pow(X) \times Pow(X) \}
     unfolding Lift2Subsets_def by simp
  ultimately show F(A,B) = f(A \times B)
     using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by auto
  also
```

```
from A1 A2 have A \times B \subseteq X \times X by auto
   with A1 have f(A \times B) = \{f(p). p \in A \times B\}
     by (rule func_imagedef)
  finally show F(A,B) = \{f(p) : p \in A \times B\} by simp
  have \forall x \in A. \forall y \in B. f(x,y) = f(x,y) by simp
  then have \{f(p): p \in A \times B\} = \{f(x,y): \langle x,y \rangle \in A \times B\}
      by (rule ZF1_1_L4A)
  \mathbf{finally \ show} \ \mathsf{F} \langle \mathtt{A}, \mathtt{B} \rangle \ = \ \{ \mathtt{f} \langle \mathtt{x}, \mathtt{y} \rangle \ . \ \langle \mathtt{x}, \mathtt{y} \rangle \ \in \ \mathtt{A} \times \mathtt{B} \}
      by simp
  \mathbf{from} \ \mathtt{A2} \ \mathtt{I} \ \mathbf{show} \ \mathtt{F} \langle \mathtt{A}, \mathtt{B} \rangle \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{Y} \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{apply\_funtype} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{blast}
A sufficient condition for a point to belong to a result of lifting to subsets.
lemma lift_subset_suff: assumes A1: f : X \times X \rightarrow Y and
  A2: A \subseteq X B \subseteq X and A3: x \in A y \in B and
  A4: F = f {lifted to subsets of} X
  shows f(x,y) \in F(A,B)
proof -
   \mathbf{from} \ \mathtt{A3} \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{f} \langle \mathtt{x}, \mathtt{y} \rangle \ \in \ \mathtt{\{f(p)} \ . \ \mathtt{p} \ \in \ \mathtt{A} \times \mathtt{B} \mathtt{\}} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
  moreover from A1 A2 A4 have \{f(p) : p \in A \times B\} = F(A,B)
      using lift_subsets_explained by simp
   ultimately show f(x,y) \in F(A,B) by simp
A kind of converse of lift_subset_apply, providing a necessary condition
for a point to be in the result of lifting to subsets.
lemma lift_subset_nec: assumes A1: f : X \times X \rightarrow Y and
  A2: A \subseteq X B \subseteq X and
  A3: F = f {lifted to subsets of} X and
  A4: z \in F(A,B)
  shows \exists x y. x \in A \land y \in B \land z = f(x,y)
proof -
   from A1 A2 A3 have F(A,B) = \{f(p), p \in A \times B\}
      using lift_subsets_explained by simp
   with A4 show thesis by auto
Lifting to subsets inherits commutativity.
lemma lift_subset_comm: assumes A1: f : X \times X \rightarrow Y and
   A2: f {is commutative on} X and
  A3: F = f {lifted to subsets of} X
  shows F {is commutative on} Pow(X)
proof -
  have \forall A \in Pow(X). \forall B \in Pow(X). F\langle A, B \rangle = F\langle B, A \rangle
   proof -
      \{ \text{ fix A assume A} \in Pow(X) \}
         fix B assume B \in Pow(X)
         have F(A,B) = F(B,A)
```

```
proof -
 \mathbf{have} \ \forall \, \mathbf{z} \in \ \mathsf{F} \langle \mathtt{A}, \mathtt{B} \rangle. \ \mathbf{z} \in \ \mathsf{F} \langle \mathtt{B}, \mathtt{A} \rangle
 proof
    fix z assume I: z \in F(A,B)
    with A1 A3 \langle A \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle B \in Pow(X) \rangle have
       \exists x y. x \in A \land y \in B \land z = f(x,y)
       using lift_subset_nec by simp
    then obtain x y where x\inA and y\inB and z = f\langlex,y\rangle
      by auto
    with A2 \langle A \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle B \in Pow(X) \rangle have z = f\langle y, x \rangle
       using IsCommutative_def by auto
    with A1 A3 I \langle A \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle B \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in B \rangle
    show z \in F(B,A) using lift_subset_suff by simp
 qed
 moreover have \forall z \in F(B,A). z \in F(A,B)
 proof
    fix z assume I: z \in F(B,A)
    with A1 A3 \langle A \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle B \in Pow(X) \rangle have
       \exists x y. x \in B \land y \in A \land z = f(x,y)
       using lift_subset_nec by simp
    then obtain x y where x \in B and y \in A and z = f(x,y)
      by auto
    with A2 \langle A \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle B \in Pow(X) \rangle have z = f\langle y, x \rangle
       using IsCommutative_def by auto
    with A1 A3 I \langle A \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle B \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle x \in B \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle
    show z \in F(A,B) using lift_subset_suff by simp
 ultimately show F(A,B) = F(B,A) by auto
        qed
     } thus thesis by auto
  then show F {is commutative on} Pow(X)
     unfolding IsCommutative_def by auto
qed
Lifting to subsets inherits associativity. To show that F(\langle A, B \rangle C) = F(A, F(B, C))
we prove two inclusions and the proof of the second inclusion is very similar
to the proof of the first one.
lemma lift_subset_assoc: assumes
  A1: f {is associative on} X and A2: F = f {lifted to subsets of} X
  shows F {is associative on} Pow(X)
proof -
  from A1 have f : X \times X \rightarrow X unfolding IsAssociative_def by simp
  with A2 have F : Pow(X) \times Pow(X) \rightarrow Pow(X)
     using lift_subsets_binop by simp
  moreover have \forall A \in Pow(X). \forall B \in Pow(X). \forall C \in Pow(X).
     F(F(A,B),C) = F(A,F(B,C))
  proof -
     { fix A B C
```

```
assume A \in Pow(X) B \in Pow(X) C \in Pow(X)
         have F(F(A,B),C) \subseteq F(A,F(B,C))
         proof
fix z assume I: z \in F(F(A,B),C)
from \langle f: X \times X \rightarrow X \rangle A2 \langle A \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle B \in Pow(X) \rangle
have F(A,B) \in Pow(X)
   using lift_subsets_binop apply_funtype by blast
with \langle f: X \times X \rightarrow X \rangle A2 \langle C \in Pow(X) \rangle I have
   \exists x y. x \in F(A,B) \land y \in C \land z = f(x,y)
   using lift_subset_nec by simp
then obtain x y where
   II: x \in F(A,B) and y \in C and III: z = f(x,y)
   by auto
\mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{f} \colon \mathtt{X} \times \mathtt{X} \ \to \ \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \mathtt{A2} \ \langle \mathtt{A} \ \in \ \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \quad \langle \mathtt{B} \ \in \ \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \ \mathtt{II} \ \mathbf{have}
   \exists s t. s \in A \land t \in B \land x = f\langles,t\rangle
   using lift_subset_nec by auto
then obtain s t where s \in A and t \in B and x = f(s,t)
   by auto
with A1 \langle A \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle B \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle C \in Pow(X) \rangle III
   \langle s \in A \rangle \langle t \in B \rangle \langle y \in C \rangle have IV: z = f \langle s, f \langle t, y \rangle \rangle
   using IsAssociative_def by blast
\mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{f} \colon \mathtt{X} \times \mathtt{X} \ \rightarrow \ \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \mathtt{A2} \ \langle \mathtt{B} \ \in \ \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \quad \langle \mathtt{C} \ \in \ \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \quad \langle \mathtt{t} \in \mathtt{B} \rangle \quad \langle \mathtt{y} \in \mathtt{C} \rangle
have f(t,y) \in F(B,C) using lift_subset_suff by simp
moreover from \langle f: X \times X \rightarrow X \rangle A2 \langle B \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle C \in Pow(X) \rangle
have F(B,C) \subseteq X using lift_subsets_binop apply_funtype
   by blast
moreover note \langle f: X \times X \rightarrow X \rangle A2 \langle A \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle s \in A \rangle IV
ultimately show z \in F(A,F(B,C))
   using lift_subset_suff by simp
         \mathbf{qed}
         moreover have F(A,F(B,C)) \subseteq F(F(A,B),C)
         proof
fix z assume I: z \in F(A,F(B,C))
\mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{f} \colon \mathtt{X} \times \mathtt{X} \ \rightarrow \ \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \mathtt{A2} \ \langle \mathtt{B} \ \in \ \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{C} \ \in \ \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle
have F(B,C) \in Pow(X)
   using lift_subsets_binop apply_funtype by blast
with \langle f: X \times X \rightarrow X \rangle A2 \langle A \in Pow(X) \rangle I have
   \exists x y. x \in A \land y \in F(B,C) \land z = f(x,y)
   using lift_subset_nec by simp
then obtain x y where
   x \in A and II: y \in F(B,C) and III: z = f(x,y)
   by auto
\mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{f} \colon \mathtt{X} \times \mathtt{X} \ \to \ \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \mathtt{A2} \ \langle \mathtt{B} \ \in \ \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \quad \langle \mathtt{C} \ \in \ \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \ \mathtt{II} \ \mathbf{have}
   \exists s t. s \in B \land t \in C \land y = f\langles,t\rangle
   using lift_subset_nec by auto
then obtain s t where s \in B and t \in C and y = f(s,t)
   by auto
with III have z = f(x,f(s,t)) by simp
moreover from A1 \langle A \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle B \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle C \in Pow(X) \rangle
```

```
\langle x \in A \rangle \langle s \in B \rangle \langle t \in C \rangle have f \langle f \langle x, s \rangle, t \rangle = f \langle x, f \langle s, t \rangle \rangle
       using IsAssociative_def by blast
  ultimately have IV: z = f(f(x,s),t) by simp
  \mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathbf{f} \colon \mathsf{X} \times \mathsf{X} \ \to \ \mathsf{X} \rangle \ \mathsf{A2} \ \langle \mathsf{A} \ \in \ \mathsf{Pow}(\mathsf{X}) \rangle \quad \langle \mathsf{B} \ \in \ \mathsf{Pow}(\mathsf{X}) \rangle \quad \langle \mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{A} \rangle \quad \langle \mathbf{s} \in \mathsf{B} \rangle
  have f(x,s) \in F(A,B) using lift_subset_suff by simp
  \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{f} \colon \mathtt{X} \times \mathtt{X} \ \rightarrow \ \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \mathtt{A2} \ \langle \mathtt{A} \ \in \ \mathsf{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \quad \langle \mathtt{B} \ \in \ \mathsf{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle
  have F(A,B) \subseteq X using lift_subsets_binop apply_funtype
  \mathbf{moreover} \ \ \mathbf{note} \ \ \langle \mathtt{f} \colon \mathtt{X} \times \mathtt{X} \ \rightarrow \ \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \ \mathtt{A2} \ \ \langle \mathtt{C} \ \in \ \mathsf{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \ \ \langle \mathtt{t} \in \mathtt{C} \rangle \ \ \mathtt{IV}
  ultimately show z \in F(F(A,B),C)
       using lift_subset_suff by simp
              ultimately have F(F(A,B),C) = F(A,F(B,C)) by auto
         } thus thesis by auto
    qed
    ultimately show thesis unfolding IsAssociative_def
         by auto
qed
```

11.7 Distributive operations

In this section we deal with pairs of operations such that one is distributive with respect to the other, that is $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ and $(b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$. We show that this property is preserved under restriction to a set closed with respect to both operations. In EquivClass1 theory we show that this property is preserved by projections to the quotient space if both operations are congruent with respect to the equivalence relation.

We define distributivity as a statement about three sets. The first set is the set on which the operations act. The second set is the additive operation (a ZF function) and the third is the multiplicative operation.

definition

```
IsDistributive(X,A,M) \equiv (\forall a\inX.\forall b\inX.\forall c\inX. M\langlea,A\langleb,c\rangle\rangle = A\langleM\langlea,b\rangle,M\langlea,c\rangle\rangle \wedge M\langleA\langleb,c\rangle,a\rangle = A\langleM\langleb,a\rangle,M\langlec,a\rangle)
```

The essential condition to show that distributivity is preserved by restrictions to sets that are closed with respect to both operations.

```
lemma func_ZF_7_L1: assumes A1: IsDistributive(X,A,M) and A2: Y\subseteqX and A3: Y {is closed under} A Y {is closed under} M and A4: A<sub>r</sub> = restrict(A,Y\timesY) M<sub>r</sub> = restrict(M,Y\timesY) and A5: a\inY b\inY c\inY shows M<sub>r</sub>\langle a,A<sub>r</sub>\langleb,c\rangle\rangle = A<sub>r</sub>\langle M<sub>r</sub>\langlea,b\rangle,M<sub>r</sub>\langlea,c\rangle\rangle \wedge M<sub>r</sub>\langle A<sub>r</sub>\langleb,c\rangle,a \rangle = A<sub>r</sub>\langle M<sub>r</sub>\langleb,a\rangle, M<sub>r</sub>\langlec,a\rangle\rangle proof - from A3 A5 have A\langleb,c\rangle \in Y M\langlea,b\rangle \in Y M\langlea,c\rangle \in Y
```

```
\mathsf{M}\langle \mathtt{b}, \mathtt{a} \rangle \in \mathsf{Y} \quad \mathsf{M}\langle \mathtt{c}, \mathtt{a} \rangle \in \mathsf{Y} \text{ using IsOpClosed_def by auto} with A5 A4 have  \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{A}_r\langle \mathtt{b}, \mathtt{c} \rangle \in \mathsf{Y} \quad \mathsf{M}_r\langle \mathtt{a}, \mathtt{b} \rangle \in \mathsf{Y} \quad \mathsf{M}_r\langle \mathtt{a}, \mathtt{c} \rangle \in \mathsf{Y} \\ \mathsf{M}_r\langle \mathtt{b}, \mathtt{a} \rangle \in \mathsf{Y} \quad \mathsf{M}_r\langle \mathtt{c}, \mathtt{a} \rangle \in \mathsf{Y} \\ \text{using restrict by auto} \\ \text{with A1 A2 A4 A5 show thesis} \\ \text{using restrict IsDistributive_def by auto} \\ \text{ded} \\ \end{array}
```

Distributivity is preserved by restrictions to sets that are closed with respect to both operations.

```
lemma func_ZF_7_L2:
    assumes IsDistributive(X,A,M)
    and Y\subseteqX
    and Y {is closed under} A
    Y {is closed under} M
    and A<sub>r</sub> = restrict(A,Y\timesY) M<sub>r</sub> = restrict(M,Y\timesY) shows IsDistributive(Y,A<sub>r</sub>,M<sub>r</sub>)

proof -
    from assms have \forall a\inY.\forall b\inY.\forall c\inY.
    M<sub>r</sub>\langle a,A<sub>r</sub>\langleb,c\rangle \rangle = A<sub>r</sub>\langle M<sub>r</sub>\langlea,b\rangle,M<sub>r</sub>\langlea,c\rangle \rangle \wedge M<sub>r</sub>\langle A<sub>r</sub>\langleb,c\rangle,a \rangle = A<sub>r</sub>\langle M<sub>r</sub>\langleb,a\rangle,M<sub>r</sub>\langlec,a\rangle\rangle using func_ZF_7_L1 by simp then show thesis using IsDistributive_def by simp qed
```

 \mathbf{end}

12 More on functions

theory func_ZF_1 imports ZF.Order Order_ZF_1a func_ZF

begin

In this theory we consider some properties of functions related to order relations

12.1 Functions and order

This section deals with functions between ordered sets.

If every value of a function on a set is bounded below by a constant, then the image of the set is bounded below.

```
lemma func_ZF_8_L1: assumes f:X\rightarrowY and A\subseteqX and \forallx\inA. \langleL,f(x)\rangle \in r shows IsBoundedBelow(f(A),r)
```

```
proof -
  from assms have \forall y \in f(A). \langle L, y \rangle \in r
    using func_imagedef by simp
  then show IsBoundedBelow(f(A),r)
    by (rule Order_ZF_3_L9)
qed
If every value of a function on a set is bounded above by a constant, then
the image of the set is bounded above.
lemma func_ZF_8_L2:
  assumes f:X\to Y and A\subseteq X and \forall x\in A. \langle f(x),U\rangle\in r
  shows IsBoundedAbove(f(A),r)
  from assms have \forall y \in f(A). \langle y,U \rangle \in r
    using func_imagedef by simp
  then show IsBoundedAbove(f(A),r)
    by (rule Order_ZF_3_L10)
qed
Identity is an order isomorphism.
lemma \  \, id\_ord\_iso: \  \, shows \  \, id(X) \  \, \in \  \, ord\_iso(X,r,X,r)
  using id_bij id_def ord_iso_def by simp
Identity is the only order automorphism of a singleton.
lemma id_ord_auto_singleton:
  shows ord_iso(\{x\},r,\{x\},r) = \{id(\{x\})\}
  using id_ord_iso ord_iso_def single_bij_id
  by auto
The image of a maximum by an order isomorphism is a maximum. Note
that from the fact the r is antisymmetric and f is an order isomorphism
between (A, r) and (B, R) we can not conclude that R is antisymmetric (we
can only show that R \cap (B \times B) is).
lemma max_image_ord_iso:
  assumes A1: antisym(r) and A2: antisym(R) and
  A3: f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and
  A4: HasAmaximum(r,A)
  shows HasAmaximum(R,B) and Maximum(R,B) = f(Maximum(r,A))
proof -
  let M = Maximum(r, A)
  from A1 A4 have M ∈ A using Order_ZF_4_L3 by simp
  from A3 have f:A -> B using ord_iso_def bij_is_fun
    by simp
  with \langle M \in A \rangle have I: f(M) \in B
    using apply_funtype by simp
```

from A3 have converse(f) ∈ ord_iso(B,R,A,r)

{ fix y assume y ∈ B let x = converse(f)(y)

```
using ord_iso_sym by simp
    then have converse(f): \mathtt{B} \to \mathtt{A}
      using ord_iso_def bij_is_fun by simp
    with \langle y \in B \rangle have x \in A
      by simp
    with A1 A3 A4 \langle x \in A \rangle \langle M \in A \rangle have \langle f(x), f(M) \rangle \in R
      using Order_ZF_4_L3 ord_iso_apply by simp
    with A3 \langle y \in B \rangle have \langle y, f(M) \rangle \in R
       using right_inverse_bij ord_iso_def by auto
  } then have II: \forall y \in B. \langle y, f(M) \rangle \in R by simp
  with A2 I show Maximum(R,B) = f(M)
    by (rule Order_ZF_4_L14)
  from I II show HasAmaximum(R,B)
    using HasAmaximum_def by auto
qed
Maximum is a fixpoint of order automorphism.
lemma max_auto_fixpoint:
  assumes antisym(r) and f \in ord_iso(A,r,A,r)
  and HasAmaximum(r,A)
  shows Maximum(r,A) = f(Maximum(r,A))
  using assms max_image_ord_iso by blast
If two sets are order isomorphic and we remove x and f(x), respectively,
from the sets, then they are still order isomorphic.
lemma ord_iso_rem_point:
  assumes A1: f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and A2: a \in A
  shows restrict(f,A-{a}) \in ord_iso(A-{a},r,B-{f(a)},R)
proof -
  let f_0 = restrict(f, A-\{a\})
  have A-\{a\} \subseteq A by auto
  with A1 have f_0 \in \text{ord\_iso}(A-\{a\},r,f(A-\{a\}),R)
    using ord_iso_restrict_image by simp
  moreover
  from A1 have f \in inj(A,B)
    using ord_iso_def bij_def by simp
  with A2 have f(A-\{a\}) = f(A) - f\{a\}
    using inj_image_dif by simp
  moreover from A1 have f(A) = B
    using ord_iso_def bij_def surj_range_image_domain
    by auto
  moreover
  from A1 have f: A \rightarrow B
    using ord_iso_def bij_is_fun by simp
  with A2 have f{a} = {f(a)}
    using singleton_image by simp
  ultimately show thesis by simp
qed
```

If two sets are order isomorphic and we remove maxima from the sets, then they are still order isomorphic.

```
corollary ord_iso_rem_max:
   assumes A1: antisym(r) and f ∈ ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and
   A4: HasAmaximum(r,A) and A5: M = Maximum(r,A)
   shows restrict(f,A-{M}) ∈ ord_iso(A-{M}, r, B-{f(M)},R)
   using assms Order_ZF_4_L3 ord_iso_rem_point by simp
```

Lemma about extending order isomorphisms by adding one point to the domain.

```
lemma ord_iso_extend: assumes A1: f ∈ ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and
  A2: M_A \notin A M_B \notin B and
  A3: \forall a \in A. \langle a, M_A \rangle \in r \quad \forall b \in B. \langle b, M_B \rangle \in R and
  A4: antisym(r) antisym(R) and
  A5: \langle M_A, M_A \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle M_B, M_B \rangle \in R
  shows f \cup {\langle M_A, M_B \rangle} \in ord_iso(A\cup{M_A} ,r,B\cup{M_B} ,R)
proof -
  let g = f \cup \{\langle M_A, M_B \rangle\}
  from A1 A2 have
     g: A \cup \{M_A\} \rightarrow B \cup \{M_B\} and
     I: \forall x \in A. g(x) = f(x) and II: g(M_A) = M_B
     using ord_iso_def bij_def inj_def func1_1_L11D
     by auto
  from A1 A2 have g \in bij(A \cup \{M_A\}, B \cup \{M_B\})
     using ord_iso_def bij_extend_point by simp
  moreover have \forall x \in A \cup \{M_A\}. \forall y \in A \cup \{M_A\}.
      \langle x,y \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle g(x), g(y) \rangle \in R
  proof -
     { fix x y
        assume x \in A \cup \{M_A\} and y \in A \cup \{M_A\}
        then have x \in A \land y \in A \lor x \in A \land y = M_A \lor
 x = M_A \wedge y \in A \vee x = M_A \wedge y = M_A
 by auto
        moreover
        { assume x \in A \land y \in A
 with A1 I have \langle x,y \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle g(x), g(y) \rangle \in R
    using ord_iso_def by simp }
        moreover
         { assume x \in A \land y = M_A
 with A1 A3 I II have \langle x,y \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle g(x), g(y) \rangle \in R
    using ord_iso_def bij_def inj_def apply_funtype
    by auto }
        moreover
         { assume x = M_A \land y \in A
 with A2 A3 A4 have \langle x,y \rangle \notin r
    using antisym_def by auto
 moreover
 { assume A6: \langle g(x), g(y) \rangle \in R
    from A1 I II \langle x = M_A \wedge y \in A \rangle have
```

```
using ord_iso_def bij_def inj_def apply_funtype
      by auto
   with A3 have \langle g(y), g(x) \rangle \in R by simp
   with A4 A6 have g(y) = g(x) using antisym_def
      by auto
   with A2 III have False by simp
 } hence \langle g(x), g(y) \rangle \notin R by auto
 ultimately have \langle x,y \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle g(x), g(y) \rangle \in R
 by simp }
       moreover
       { assume x = M_A \land y = M_A
 with A5 II have \langle x,y \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle g(x), g(y) \rangle \in R
   by simp }
       ultimately have \langle x,y \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle g(x), g(y) \rangle \in R
 by auto
     } thus thesis by auto
  qed
  ultimately show thesis using ord_iso_def
     by simp
qed
A kind of converse to ord_iso_rem_max: if two linearly ordered sets sets are
order isomorphic after removing the maxima, then they are order isomor-
phic.
lemma rem_max_ord_iso:
  assumes A1: IsLinOrder(X,r) IsLinOrder(Y,R) and
  A2: HasAmaximum(r,X) HasAmaximum(R,Y)
  ord_iso(X - \{Maximum(r,X)\},r,Y - \{Maximum(R,Y)\},R \} \neq 0
  shows ord_iso(X,r,Y,R) \neq 0
proof -
  let M_A = Maximum(r,X)
  let A = X - \{M_A\}
  let M_B = Maximum(R,Y)
  let B = Y - \{M_B\}
  from A2 obtain f where f ∈ ord_iso(A,r,B,R)
     by auto
  moreover have M_A \notin A and M_B \notin B
     by auto
  moreover from A1 A2 have
    \forall a \in A. \langle a, M_A \rangle \in r \text{ and } \forall b \in B. \langle b, M_B \rangle \in R
     using IsLinOrder_def Order_ZF_4_L3 by auto
  moreover from A1 have antisym(r) and antisym(R)
     using IsLinOrder_def by auto
  moreover from A1 A2 have \langle M_A, M_A \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle M_B, M_B \rangle \in R
     using IsLinOrder_def Order_ZF_4_L3 IsLinOrder_def
       total_is_refl refl_def by auto
  ultimately have
     f \cup \{\langle M_A, M_B \rangle\} \in ord\_iso(A \cup \{M_A\}, r, B \cup \{M_B\}, R)
```

III: $g(y) \in B \quad g(x) = M_B$

```
by (rule ord_iso_extend) moreover from A1 A2 have A \cup \{M_A\} = X \text{ and } B \cup \{M_B\} = Y using IsLinOrder_def Order_ZF_4_L3 by auto ultimately show ord_iso(X,r,Y,R) \neq 0 using ord_iso_extend by auto qed
```

12.2 Functions in cartesian products

In this section we consider maps arising naturally in cartesian products.

There is a natural bijection etween $X = Y \times \{y\}$ (a "slice") and Y. We will call this the SliceProjection(Y×{y}). This is really the ZF equivalent of the meta-function fst(x).

```
definition
```

```
SliceProjection(X) \equiv \{\langle p, fst(p) \rangle, p \in X \}
```

A slice projection is a bijection between $X \times \{y\}$ and X.

```
lemma slice_proj_bij: shows
  {\tt SliceProjection}({\tt X}{\times}\{{\tt y}\})\colon\,{\tt X}{\times}\{{\tt y}\}\,\,\to\,\,{\tt X}
  domain(SliceProjection(X \times \{y\})) = X \times \{y\}
  \forall p \in X \times \{y\}. SliceProjection(X \times \{y\})(p) = fst(p)
  SliceProjection(X \times \{y\}) \in bij(X \times \{y\},X)
proof -
  let P = SliceProjection(X \times \{y\})
  have \forall p \in X \times \{y\}. fst(p) \in X by simp
  moreover from this have
     \{\langle p,fst(p)\rangle.\ p\in X\times\{y\}\ \}: X\times\{y\}\to X
     by (rule ZF_fun_from_total)
  ultimately show
     I: P: X \times \{y\} \rightarrow X and II: \forall p \in X \times \{y\}. P(p) = fst(p)
     using ZF_fun_from_tot_val SliceProjection_def by auto
  hence
     \forall a \in X \times \{y\}. \ \forall b \in X \times \{y\}. \ P(a) = P(b) \longrightarrow a=b
     by auto
  with I have P \in inj(X \times \{y\}, X) using inj_def
     by simp
  moreover from II have \forall x \in X. \exists p \in X \times \{y\}. P(p) = x
     by simp
  with I have P \in surj(X \times \{y\}, X) using surj\_def
     by simp
  ultimately show P \in bij(X \times \{y\}, X)
     using bij_def by simp
  from I show domain(SliceProjection(X \times \{y\})) = X \times \{y\}
     using func1_1_L1 by simp
qed
```

Given 2 functions $f:A\to B$ and $g:C\to D$, we can consider a function

```
h: A \times C \to B \times D such that h(x,y) = \langle f(x), g(y) \rangle
definition
  ProdFunction where
  ProdFunction(f,g) \equiv \{\langle z, \langle f(fst(z)), g(snd(z)) \rangle \rangle, z \in domain(f) \times domain(g) \}\}
For given functions f: A \to B and g: C \to D the function ProdFunction(f,g)
maps A \times C to B \times D.
lemma prodFunction:
  assumes f:A \rightarrow B g:C \rightarrow D
  shows ProdFunction(f,g):(A×C)\rightarrow(B×D)
  from assms have \forall z \in domain(f) \times domain(g). \langle f(fst(z)), g(snd(z)) \rangle \in
     using func1_1_L1 apply_type by auto
  with assms show thesis unfolding ProdFunction_def using func1_1_L1
ZF_fun_from_total
     by simp
qed
For given functions f: A \to B and g: C \to D and points x \in A, y \in C the
value of the function ProdFunction(f,g) on \langle x,y\rangle is \langle f(x),g(y)\rangle.
lemma prodFunctionApp:
  assumes f:A \rightarrow B g:C \rightarrow D x \in A y \in C
  shows ProdFunction(f,g)\langle x,y \rangle = \langle f(x),g(y) \rangle
proof -
  let z = \langle x, y \rangle
  from assms have z \in A \times C and ProdFunction(f,g):(A×C)\rightarrow(B×D)
     using prodFunction by auto
  moreover from assms(1,2) have ProdFunction(f,g) = \{\langle z, \langle f(fst(z)), g(snd(z)) \rangle \rangle.
z \in A \times C
     unfolding ProdFunction_def using func1_1_L1 by blast
  ultimately show thesis using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by auto
qed
Somewhat technical lemma about inverse image of a set by a ProdFunction(f,f).
lemma prodFunVimage: assumes x \in X f: X \rightarrow Y
  shows \langle x,t \rangle \in ProdFunction(f,f)-(V) \longleftrightarrow t \in X \land \langle fx,ft \rangle \in V
proof -
  from assms(2) have T:ProdFunction(f,f)-(V) = \{z \in X \times X . ProdFunction(f,f)(z)\}
     using prodFunction func1_1_L15 by blast
  with assms show thesis using prodFunctionApp by auto
qed
```

12.3 Induced relations and order isomorphisms

When we have two sets X, Y, function $f: X \to Y$ and a relation R on Y we can define a relation r on X by saying that x r y if and only if

f(x) R f(y). This is especially interesting when f is a bijection as all reasonable properties of R are inherited by r. This section treats mostly the case when R is an order relation and f is a bijection. The standard Isabelle's Order theory defines the notion of a space of order isomorphisms between two sets relative to a relation. We expand that material proving that order isomorphisms preserve interesting properties of the relation.

We call the relation created by a relation on Y and a mapping $f: X \to Y$ the InducedRelation(f,R).

definition

```
\label{eq:local_local_relation} \begin{split} &\operatorname{InducedRelation(f,R)} \; \equiv \\ &\{p \in \; \operatorname{domain(f)} \times \operatorname{domain(f)}. \; \left\langle \operatorname{f(fst(p)),f(snd(p))} \right\rangle \; \in \; \operatorname{R} \} \end{split}
```

A reformulation of the definition of the relation induced by a function.

```
\label{eq:lemma_def_of_ind_relA:} $$ assumes $\langle x,y \rangle \in InducedRelation(f,R)$ shows $\langle f(x),f(y) \rangle \in R$ $$ using assms InducedRelation_def by simp $$
```

A reformulation of the definition of the relation induced by a function, kind of converse of def_of_ind_relA.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{lemma def\_of\_ind\_relB: assumes } \texttt{f:A} \rightarrow \texttt{B and} \\ \texttt{x} \in \texttt{A} \quad \texttt{y} \in \texttt{A and} \ \left< \texttt{f(x),f(y)} \right> \in \texttt{R} \\ \textbf{shows} \ \left< \texttt{x,y} \right> \in \texttt{InducedRelation(f,R)} \\ \textbf{using assms func1\_1\_L1 InducedRelation\_def by simp} \end{array}
```

A property of order isomorphisms that is missing from standard Isabelle's Order.thy.

```
lemma ord_iso_apply_conv: assumes f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and \langle f(x),f(y) \rangle \in R and x \in A y \in A shows \langle x,y \rangle \in r using assms ord_iso_def by simp
```

The next lemma tells us where the induced relation is defined

```
lemma ind_rel_domain:
```

```
assumes R \subseteq B \times B and f:A \rightarrow B shows InducedRelation(f,R) \subseteq A \times A using assms func1_1_L1 InducedRelation_def by auto
```

A bijection is an order homomorphisms between a relation and the induced one.

```
lemma bij_is_ord_iso: assumes A1: f ∈ bij(A,B)
    shows f ∈ ord_iso(A,InducedRelation(f,R),B,R)
proof -
    let r = InducedRelation(f,R)
```

```
{ fix x y assume A2: x \in A y \in A
     have \langle x,y \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle f(x),f(y) \rangle \in R
     proof
       assume \langle x,y \rangle \in r then show \langle f(x),f(y) \rangle \in R
 using def_of_ind_relA by simp
     next assume \langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in R
       with A1 A2 show \langle x,y \rangle \in r
 using bij_is_fun def_of_ind_relB by blast
     qed }
  with A1 show f ∈ ord_iso(A,InducedRelation(f,R),B,R)
     using ord_isoI by simp
An order isomoprhism preserves antisymmetry.
lemma ord_iso_pres_antsym: assumes A1: f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and
  A2: r \subseteq A \times A and A3: antisym(R)
  shows antisym(r)
proof -
  { fix x y
     assume A4: \langle x,y \rangle \in r \quad \langle y,x \rangle \in r
     from A1 have f \in inj(A,B)
       using ord_iso_is_bij bij_is_inj by simp
     moreover
     from A1 A2 A4 have
       \langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in R \text{ and } \langle f(y), f(x) \rangle \in R
       using ord_iso_apply by auto
     with A3 have f(x) = f(y) by (rule Fol1_L4)
     moreover from A2 A4 have x \in A y \in A by auto
     ultimately have x=y by (rule inj_apply_equality)
  } then have \forall x y. \langle x,y \rangle \in r \land \langle y,x \rangle \in r \longrightarrow x=y by auto
  then show antisym(r) using imp_conj antisym_def
     by simp
qed
Order isomoprhisms preserve transitivity.
lemma ord_iso_pres_trans: assumes A1: f ∈ ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and
  A2: r \subseteq A \times A and A3: trans(R)
  shows trans(r)
proof -
  \{ fix x y z \}
     assume A4: \langle x, y \rangle \in r \quad \langle y, z \rangle \in r
     note A1
     moreover
     from A1 A2 A4 have
       \langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in R \land \langle f(y), f(z) \rangle \in R
       using ord_iso_apply by auto
     with A3 have \langle f(x), f(z) \rangle \in R by (rule Fol1_L3)
     moreover from A2 A4 have x \in A z \in A by auto
     ultimately have \langle x, z \rangle \in r using ord_iso_apply_conv
```

```
by simp
  } then have \forall x y z. \langlex, y\rangle \in r \land \langley, z\rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langlex, z\rangle \in r
     by blast
  then show trans(r) by (rule Fol1_L2)
ged
Order isomorphisms preserve totality.
lemma ord_iso_pres_tot: assumes A1: f ∈ ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and
  A2: r \subseteq A \times A and A3: R {is total on} B
  shows r {is total on} A
proof -
  { fix x y
     \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{x} {\in} \mathtt{A} \quad \mathtt{y} {\in} \mathtt{A} \quad \langle \mathtt{x}, \mathtt{y} \rangle \ \notin \ \mathtt{r}
     with A1 have \langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \notin R using ord_iso_apply_conv
       by auto
     moreover
     from A1 have f:A \to B using ord_iso_is_bij bij_is_fun
       by simp
     with A3 \langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle have
        \langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in R \lor \langle f(y), f(x) \rangle \in R
       using apply_funtype IsTotal_def by simp
     ultimately have \langle f(y), f(x) \rangle \in R by simp
     with A1 \langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle have \langle y, x \rangle \in r
       using ord_iso_apply_conv by simp
  } then have \forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. \langle x,y \rangle \in r \lor \langle y,x \rangle \in r
     by blast
  then show r {is total on} A using IsTotal_def
     by simp
qed
Order isomorphisms preserve linearity.
lemma ord_iso_pres_lin: assumes f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and
  r \subseteq A \times A and IsLinOrder(B,R)
  shows IsLinOrder(A,r)
  using assms ord_iso_pres_antsym ord_iso_pres_trans ord_iso_pres_tot
     IsLinOrder_def by simp
If a relation is a linear order, then the relation induced on another set by a
bijection is also a linear order.
lemma ind_rel_pres_lin:
  assumes A1: f \in bij(A,B) and A2: IsLinOrder(B,R)
  shows IsLinOrder(A,InducedRelation(f,R))
proof -
  let r = InducedRelation(f,R)
  from A1 have f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and r \subseteq A \times A
     using bij_is_ord_iso domain_of_bij InducedRelation_def
  with A2 show IsLinOrder(A,r) using ord_iso_pres_lin
     by simp
```

qed

The image by an order isomorphism of a bounded above and nonempty set is bounded above.

```
lemma ord_iso_pres_bound_above:
  assumes A1: f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and A2: r \subseteq A \times A and
  A3: IsBoundedAbove(C,r)
                                 C≠0
                                        f(C) \neq 0
  shows IsBoundedAbove(f(C),R)
proof -
  from A3 obtain u where I: \forall x \in C. \langle x, u \rangle \in r
     using IsBoundedAbove_def by auto
  from A1 have f:A→B using ord_iso_is_bij bij_is_fun
  from A2 A3 have C⊆A using Order_ZF_3_L1A by blast
  from A3 obtain x where x \in C by auto
  with A2 I have u∈A by auto
  { fix y assume y \in f(C)
     with \langle f: A \rightarrow B \rangle \langle C \subseteq A \rangle obtain x where x \in C and y = f(x)
       using func_imagedef by auto
     with A1 I \langle C \subseteq A \rangle \langle u \in A \rangle have \langle y, f(u) \rangle \in R
       using ord_iso_apply by auto
  } then have \forall y \in f(C). \langle y, f(u) \rangle \in R by simp
  then show IsBoundedAbove(f(C),R) by (rule Order_ZF_3_L10)
  from A3 \langle f: A \rightarrow B \rangle \langle C \subseteq A \rangle show f(C) \neq 0 using func1_1_L15A
     by simp
qed
Order isomorphisms preserve the property of having a minimum.
lemma ord_iso_pres_has_min:
  assumes A1: f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and A2: r \subseteq A \times A and
  A3: C\subseteq A and A4: HasAminimum(R,f(C))
  shows HasAminimum(r,C)
proof -
  from A4 obtain m where
     I: m \in f(C) and II: \forall y \in f(C). \langle m, y \rangle \in R
     using HasAminimum_def by auto
  let k = converse(f)(m)
  from A1 have f:A \rightarrow B using ord_iso_is_bij bij_is_fun
     by simp
  from A1 have f ∈ inj(A,B) using ord_iso_is_bij bij_is_inj
     by simp
  with A3 I have k \in C and III: f(k) = m
     using inj_inv_back_in_set by auto
  moreover
  { fix x assume A5: x \in C
     with A3 II \langle f: A \rightarrow B \rangle \langle k \in C \rangle III have
       k \in A x \in A \langle f(k), f(x) \rangle \in R
       using func_imagedef by auto
     with A1 have \langle k, x \rangle \in r using ord_iso_apply_conv
```

```
by simp } then have \forall \, x \in C. \langle k, x \rangle \in r by simp ultimately show HasAminimum(r,C) using HasAminimum_def by auto qed
```

Order isomorhisms preserve the images of relations. In other words taking the image of a point by a relation commutes with the function.

```
lemma ord_iso_pres_rel_image:
  assumes A1: f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and
  A2: r \subseteq A \times A R \subseteq B \times B and
  A3: a \in A
  shows f(r\{a\}) = R\{f(a)\}
  from A1 have f:A→B using ord_iso_is_bij bij_is_fun
  moreover from A2 A3 have I: r\{a\} \subseteq A by auto
  ultimately have I: f(r\{a\}) = \{f(x). x \in r\{a\}\}
    using func_imagedef by simp
  { fix y assume A4: y \in f(r\{a\})
    with I obtain x where
      x \in r\{a\} \text{ and II: } y = f(x)
      by auto
    with A1 A2 have \langle f(a), f(x) \rangle \in R using ord_iso_apply
      by auto
    with II have y \in R\{f(a)\} by auto
  { fix y assume A5: y \in R\{f(a)\}
    let x = converse(f)(y)
    from A2 A5 have
      \langle f(a), y \rangle \in R \quad f(a) \in B \quad and \quad IV: y \in B
      by auto
    with A1 have III: \langle converse(f)(f(a)), x \rangle \in r
      using ord_iso_converse by simp
    moreover from A1 A3 have converse(f)(f(a)) = a
      using ord_iso_is_bij left_inverse_bij by blast
    ultimately have f(x) \in \{f(x). x \in r\{a\}\}
      by auto
    moreover from A1 IV have f(x) = y
      using ord_iso_is_bij right_inverse_bij by blast
    moreover from A1 I have f(r\{a\}) = \{f(x). x \in r\{a\}\}
      using ord_iso_is_bij bij_is_fun func_imagedef by blast
    ultimately have y \in f(r\{a\}) by simp
  } then show R\{f(a)\} \subseteq f(r\{a\}) by auto
qed
Order isomorphisms preserve collections of upper bounds.
lemma ord_iso_pres_up_bounds:
  assumes A1: f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and
  A2: r \subseteq A \times A R \subseteq B \times B and
```

```
A3: C⊂A
  shows \{f(r\{a\}). a\in C\} = \{R\{b\}. b \in f(C)\}
proof
  from A1 have f:A\rightarrow B
       using ord_iso_is_bij bij_is_fun by simp
  \{ \text{ fix Y assume Y} \in \{f(r\{a\}). a\in C\} \}
     then obtain a where a \in C and I: Y = f(r\{a\})
       by auto
     from A3 \langle a \in C \rangle have a \in A by auto
     with A1 A2 have f(r\{a\}) = R\{f(a)\}
       using ord_iso_pres_rel_image by simp
     moreover from A3 \langle f:A \rightarrow B \rangle \langle a \in C \rangle have f(a) \in f(C)
       using func_imagedef by auto
     ultimately have f(r\{a\}) \in \{R\{b\}.\ b \in f(C)\}
       by auto
     with I have Y \in \{ R\{b\}. b \in f(C) \} by simp
  } then show \{f(r\{a\}). a\in C\} \subseteq \{R\{b\}. b\in f(C)\}
     by blast
  \{ \text{ fix Y assume Y} \in \{R\{b\}. b \in f(C)\} \}
     then obtain b where b \in f(C) and II: Y = R\{b\}
       by auto
     with A3 (f:A\rightarrow B) obtain a where a\in C and b = f(a)
       using func_imagedef by auto
     with A3 II have a \in A and Y = R\{f(a)\} by auto
     with A1 A2 have Y = f(r\{a\})
       using ord_iso_pres_rel_image by simp
     with \langle a \in C \rangle have Y \in \{f(r\{a\}), a \in C\} by auto
  } then show \{R\{b\}.\ b \in f(C)\} \subseteq \{f(r\{a\}).\ a \in C\}
     by auto
qed
The image of the set of upper bounds is the set of upper bounds of the
image.
lemma ord_iso_pres_min_up_bounds:
  assumes A1: f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and A2: r \subseteq A \times A R \subseteq B \times B and
  A3: C\subseteq A and A4: C\neq 0
  shows f(\bigcap a \in \mathbb{C}. r\{a\}) = (\bigcap b \in f(\mathbb{C}). R\{b\})
proof -
  from A1 have f \in inj(A,B)
     using ord_iso_is_bij bij_is_inj by simp
  moreover note A4
  moreover from A2 A3 have \forall a \in C. r{a} \subseteq A by auto
  ultimately have
     f(\bigcap a \in C. r\{a\}) = (\bigcap a \in C. f(r\{a\}))
     using inj_image_of_Inter by simp
  also from A1 A2 A3 have
     (\bigcap a \in C. f(r\{a\})) = (\bigcap b \in f(C). R\{b\})
     using ord_iso_pres_up_bounds by simp
  finally show f(\bigcap a \in C. r\{a\}) = (\bigcap b \in f(C). R\{b\})
```

```
by simp
qed
Order isomorphisms preserve completeness.
lemma ord_iso_pres_compl:
  assumes A1: f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R) and
  A2: r \subseteq A \times A R \subseteq B \times B and A3: R {is complete}
  shows r {is complete}
proof -
  { fix C
    assume A4: IsBoundedAbove(C,r) C\neq 0
    with A1 A2 A3 have
       HasAminimum(R, \bigcap b \in f(C). R\{b\})
       using ord_iso_pres_bound_above IsComplete_def
       by simp
    moreover
    from A2 ⟨IsBoundedAbove(C,r)⟩ have I: C ⊆ A using Order_ZF_3_L1A
       by blast
    with A1 A2 \langle C \neq 0 \rangle have f(\bigcap a \in C. r\{a\}) = (\bigcap b \in f(C). R\{b\})
       using ord_iso_pres_min_up_bounds by simp
    ultimately have \operatorname{HasAminimum}(R,f(\cap a \in C. r\{a\}))
       by simp
    moreover
    from A2 have \forall a \in C. r\{a\} \subseteq A
       by auto
    with \langle \text{C} \! \neq \! \text{0} \rangle have ( \bigcap a \! \in \! \text{C.} \text{r\{a\}} ) \subseteq \text{A using ZF1\_1\_L7}
       by simp
    moreover note A1 A2
    ultimately have HasAminimum(r, \bigcap a \in C. r\{a\})
       using ord_iso_pres_has_min by simp
  } then show r {is complete} using IsComplete_def
    by simp
qed
If the original relation is complete, then the induced one is complete.
lemma ind_rel_pres_compl: assumes A1: f ∈ bij(A,B)
  and A2: R \subseteq B\timesB and A3: R {is complete}
  shows InducedRelation(f,R) {is complete}
proof -
  let r = InducedRelation(f,R)
  from A1 have f ∈ ord_iso(A,r,B,R)
    using bij_is_ord_iso by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 have r \subseteq A \times A
    using bij_is_fun ind_rel_domain by simp
  moreover note A2 A3
  ultimately show r {is complete}
    using ord_iso_pres_compl by simp
qed
```

13 Finite sets - introduction

theory Finite_ZF imports ZF1 Nat_ZF_IML ZF.Cardinal

begin

Standard Isabelle Finite.thy contains a very useful notion of finite powerset: the set of finite subsets of a given set. The definition, however, is specific to Isabelle and based on the notion of "datatype", obviously not something that belongs to ZF set theory. This theory file devolops the notion of finite powerset similarly as in Finite.thy, but based on standard library's Cardinal.thy. This theory file is intended to replace IsarMathLib's Finite1 and Finite_ZF_1 theories that are currently derived from the "datatype" approach.

13.1 Definition and basic properties of finite powerset

The goal of this section is to prove an induction theorem about finite powersets: if the empty set has some property and this property is preserved by adding a single element of a set, then this property is true for all finite subsets of this set.

We defined the finite powerset FinPow(X) as those elements of the powerset that are finite.

definition

```
FinPow(X) \equiv \{A \in Pow(X). Finite(A)\}
```

The cardinality of an element of finite powerset is a natural number.

```
lemma card_fin_is_nat: assumes A ∈ FinPow(X)
   shows |A| ∈ nat and A ≈ |A|
   using assms FinPow_def Finite_def cardinal_cong nat_into_Card
        Card_cardinal_eq by auto
```

A reformulation of card_fin_is_nat: for a finit set A there is a bijection between |A| and A.

```
lemma fin_bij_card: assumes A1: A ∈ FinPow(X)
   shows ∃b. b ∈ bij(|A|, A)
proof -
   from A1 have |A| ≈ A using card_fin_is_nat eqpoll_sym
      by blast
   then show thesis using eqpoll_def by auto
qed
```

If a set has the same number of elements as $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then its cardinality is n. Recall that in set theory a natural number n is a set that has n elements.

```
lemma card_card: assumes A \approx n and n \in nat shows |A| = n using assms cardinal_cong nat_into_Card Card_cardinal_eq by auto
```

If we add a point to a finite set, the cardinality increases by one. To understand the second assertion $|A \cup \{a\}| = |A| \cup \{|A|\}$ recall that the cardinality |A| of A is a natural number and for natural numbers we have $n+1 = n \cup \{n\}$.

```
lemma card_fin_add_one: assumes A1: A ∈ FinPow(X) and A2: a ∈ X-A
  shows
  |A \cup \{a\}| = succ(|A|)
  |A \cup \{a\}| = |A| \cup \{|A|\}
proof -
  from A1 A2 have cons(a,A) \approx cons(|A|, |A|)
    using card_fin_is_nat mem_not_refl cons_eqpoll_cong
  moreover have cons(a,A) = A \cup \{a\} by (rule consdef)
  moreover have cons( |A|, |A| ) = |A| \cup \{|A|\}
    by (rule consdef)
  ultimately have A \cup \{a\} \approx succ(|A|) using succ_explained
    by simp
  with A1 show
    |A \cup \{a\}| = succ(|A|) and |A \cup \{a\}| = |A| \cup \{|A|\}
    using card_fin_is_nat card_card by auto
qed
```

We can decompose the finite powerset into collection of sets of the same natural cardinalities.

```
lemma finpow_decomp: shows FinPow(X) = (\bigcup n \in \text{nat. } \{A \in \text{Pow}(X) . A \approx n\}) using Finite_def FinPow_def by auto
```

Finite powerset is the union of sets of cardinality bounded by natural numbers.

```
lemma finpow_union_card_nat:
    shows FinPow(X) = (∪n ∈ nat. {A ∈ Pow(X). A ≤ n})
proof -
    have FinPow(X) ⊆ (∪n ∈ nat. {A ∈ Pow(X). A ≤ n})
    using finpow_decomp FinPow_def eqpoll_imp_lepoll
    by auto
    moreover have
    (∪n ∈ nat. {A ∈ Pow(X). A ≤ n}) ⊆ FinPow(X)
    using lepoll_nat_imp_Finite FinPow_def by auto
    ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
```

A different form of finpow_union_card_nat (see above) - a subset that has not more elements than a given natural number is in the finite powerset.

```
lemma lepoll_nat_in_finpow:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathtt{n}\ \in\ \mathtt{nat}\qquad\mathtt{A}\ \subseteq\ \mathtt{X}\quad\mathtt{A}\ \lesssim\ \mathtt{n}
  shows A \in FinPow(X)
  using assms finpow_union_card_nat by auto
Natural numbers are finite subsets of the set of natural numbers.
lemma nat_finpow_nat: assumes n \in nat shows n \in FinPow(nat)
  using assms nat_into_Finite nat_subset_nat FinPow_def
  by simp
A finite subset is a finite subset of itself.
lemma fin_finpow_self: assumes A ∈ FinPow(X) shows A ∈ FinPow(A)
  using assms FinPow_def by auto
If we remove an element and put it back we get the set back.
lemma rem_add_eq: assumes a \in A shows (A-\{a\}) \cup \{a\} = A
   using assms by auto
Induction for finite powerset. This is smilar to the standard Isabelle's
Fin_induct.
theorem FinPow_induct: assumes A1: P(0) and
  A2: \forall A \in FinPow(X). P(A) \longrightarrow (\forall a \in X. P(A \cup \{a\})) and
  A3: B ∈ FinPow(X)
  shows P(B)
proof -
   \{ \text{ fix n assume n} \in \text{nat} \}
     moreover from A1 have I: \forall B \in Pow(X). B \lesssim 0 \longrightarrow P(B)
        using lepoll_0_is_0 by auto
     moreover have \forall k \in nat.
        \begin{array}{ll} (\forall \, B \, \in \, \text{Pow}(X) \, . & (B \, \lesssim \, k \, \longrightarrow \, P(B))) \, \longrightarrow \\ (\forall \, B \, \in \, \text{Pow}(X) \, . & (B \, \lesssim \, \text{succ}(k) \, \longrightarrow \, P(B))) \end{array}
     proof -
        { fix k assume A4: k \in nat
 assume A5: \forall B \in Pow(X). (B \lesssim k \longrightarrow P(B))
 fix B assume A6: B \in Pow(X) B \lesssim succ(k)
 have P(B)
 proof -
    have B = 0 \longrightarrow P(B)
    proof -
       \{ assume B = 0 \}
         then have B \lesssim 0 using lepoll_0_iff
          with I A6 have P(B) by simp
       } thus B = 0 \longrightarrow P(B) by simp
    moreover have B\neq 0 \longrightarrow P(B)
```

```
proof -
     { assume B \neq 0
        then obtain a where II: a∈B by auto
       let A = B - \{a\}
       from A6 II have A \subseteq X and A \lesssim k
  using Diff_sing_lepoll by auto
        using lepoll_nat_in_finpow finpow_decomp
  by auto
        with A2 A6 II have P(A \cup \{a\})
  by auto
       moreover from II have A \cup \{a\} = B
  by auto
        ultimately have P(B) by simp
     } thus B \neq 0 \longrightarrow P(B) by simp
   qed
   ultimately show P(B) by auto
 qed
      } thus thesis by blast
    qed
    ultimately have \forall B \in Pow(X). (B \lesssim n \longrightarrow P(B))
      by (rule ind_on_nat)
  } then have \forall \, n \in \, \text{nat.} \, \, \forall \, B \, \in \, \text{Pow(X).} \, \, (B \, \lesssim \, n \, \longrightarrow \, P(B))
  with A3 show P(B) using finpow_union_card_nat
    by auto
qed
A subset of a finite subset is a finite subset.
lemma subset_finpow: assumes A \in FinPow(X) and B \subseteq A
  shows B \in FinPow(X)
  using assms FinPow_def subset_Finite by auto
If we subtract anything from a finite set, the resulting set is finite.
lemma diff_finpow:
  assumes A \in FinPow(X) shows A-B \in FinPow(X)
  using assms subset_finpow by blast
If we remove a point from a finite subset, we get a finite subset.
corollary fin_rem_point_fin: assumes A ∈ FinPow(X)
  shows A - \{a\} \in FinPow(X)
  using assms diff_finpow by simp
Cardinality of a nonempty finite set is a successor of some natural number.
lemma card_non_empty_succ:
  assumes A1: A \in FinPow(X) and A2: A \neq 0
  shows \exists n \in nat. |A| = succ(n)
proof -
```

```
from A2 obtain a where a ∈ A by auto
let B = A - {a}
from A1 ⟨a ∈ A⟩ have
   B ∈ FinPow(X) and a ∈ X - B
   using FinPow_def fin_rem_point_fin by auto
then have |B ∪ {a}| = succ( |B| )
   using card_fin_add_one by auto
moreover from ⟨a ∈ A⟩ ⟨B ∈ FinPow(X)⟩ have
   A = B ∪ {a} and |B| ∈ nat
   using card_fin_is_nat by auto
ultimately show ∃n ∈ nat. |A| = succ(n) by auto
ged
```

Nonempty set has non-zero cardinality. This is probably true without the assumption that the set is finite, but I couldn't derive it from standard Isabelle theorems.

```
lemma card_non_empty_non_zero:
   assumes A ∈ FinPow(X) and A ≠ 0
   shows |A| ≠ 0
proof -
   from assms obtain n where |A| = succ(n)
     using card_non_empty_succ by auto
   then show |A| ≠ 0 using succ_not_0
     by simp
qed
```

Another variation on the induction theme: If we can show something holds for the empty set and if it holds for all finite sets with at most k elements then it holds for all finite sets with at most k+1 elements, the it holds for all finite sets.

```
theorem FinPow_card_ind: assumes A1: P(0) and
   A2: \forall k \in nat.
   (\forall\,\mathtt{A}\,\in\,\mathtt{FinPow}\,(\mathtt{X})\,.\,\,\mathtt{A}\,\lesssim\,\mathtt{k}\,\longrightarrow\,\mathtt{P}(\mathtt{A}))\,\longrightarrow\,
   (\forall\,\mathtt{A}\,\in\,\mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X})\,.\,\,\mathtt{A}\,\lesssim\,\mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{k})\,\longrightarrow\,\mathtt{P}(\mathtt{A}))
   and A3: A \in FinPow(X) shows P(A)
proof -
   from A3 have |A| \in \text{nat and } A \in \text{FinPow(X)} and A \lesssim |A|
       using card_fin_is_nat eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
   moreover have \forall n \in nat. (\forall A \in FinPow(X).
       A \lesssim n \longrightarrow P(A)
   proof
       fix n assume n \in nat
       moreover from A1 have \forall A \in FinPow(X). A \lesssim 0 \longrightarrow P(A)
          using lepoll_0_is_0 by auto
       moreover note A2
       ultimately show
          \forall\,\mathtt{A}\,\in\,\mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X})\,.\,\,\mathtt{A}\,\lesssim\,\mathtt{n}\,\longrightarrow\,\mathtt{P}(\mathtt{A})
          by (rule ind_on_nat)
```

```
qed
  ultimately show P(A) by simp
qed
```

Another type of induction (or, maybe recursion). In the induction step we try to find a point in the set that if we remove it, the fact that the property holds for the smaller set implies that the property holds for the whole set.

```
lemma FinPow_ind_rem_one: assumes A1: P(0) and
   A2: \forall A \in FinPow(X). A \neq O \longrightarrow (\existsa\inA. P(A-{a}) \longrightarrow P(A))
   and A3: B \in FinPow(X)
   shows P(B)
proof -
   note A1
   moreover have \forall k \in nat.
   (\forall\, \texttt{B}\,\in\, \texttt{FinPow(X)}\,.\,\, \texttt{B}\,\lesssim\, \texttt{k}\,\longrightarrow\, \texttt{P(B)}\,)\,\longrightarrow\,
   (\forall\, \texttt{C}\,\in\, \texttt{FinPow(X)}\,.\,\, \texttt{C}\,\lesssim\, \texttt{succ(k)}\,\longrightarrow\, \texttt{P(C)})
      \{ \text{ fix k assume k} \in \text{nat} \}
          assume A4: \forall B \in FinPow(X). B \lesssim k \longrightarrow P(B)
          \mathbf{have} \ \forall \, \mathtt{C} \in \mathtt{FinPow(X)}. \ \mathtt{C} \, \lesssim \, \mathtt{succ(k)} \, \longrightarrow \, \mathtt{P(C)}
          proof -
 { fix C assume C \in FinPow(X)
     assume C \lesssim succ(k)
     note A1
     moreover
     { assume C \neq 0
        with A2 \langle C \in FinPow(X) \rangle obtain a where
           a \in C and P(C-\{a\}) \longrightarrow P(C)
           by auto
        \mathbf{with} \ \mathtt{A4} \ \langle \mathtt{C} \in \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{C} \lesssim \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{k}) \rangle
        have P(C) using Diff_sing_lepoll fin_rem_point_fin
            by simp }
     ultimately have P(C) by auto
 } thus thesis by simp
          qed
       } thus thesis by blast
   qed
   moreover note A3
   ultimately show P(B) by (rule FinPow_card_ind)
```

Yet another induction theorem. This is similar, but slightly more complicated than FinPow_ind_rem_one. The difference is in the treatment of the empty set to allow to show properties that are not true for empty set.

```
lemma FinPow_rem_ind: assumes A1: \forall A \in FinPow(X). A = 0 \vee (\exists a \in A. A = {a} \vee P(A-{a}) \longrightarrow P(A)) and A2: A \in FinPow(X) and A3: A\neq0 shows P(A) proof -
```

```
have 0 = 0 \lor P(0) by simp
 moreover have
      \forall k \in nat.
      \begin{array}{l} (\forall \, B \, \in \, \texttt{FinPow(X)} \, . \, \, B \, \lesssim \, k \, \longrightarrow \, (B=0 \, \vee \, P(B))) \, \longrightarrow \\ (\forall \, A \, \in \, FinPow(X) \, . \, \, A \, \lesssim \, succ(k) \, \longrightarrow \, (A=0 \, \vee \, P(A))) \end{array}
       \{ \text{ fix k assume k} \in \text{nat} \}
           \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{A4:} \ \forall \, \mathtt{B} \, \in \, \mathtt{FinPow(X)} \, . \ \mathtt{B} \, \lesssim \, \mathtt{k} \, \longrightarrow \, \mathtt{(B=0} \ \lor \ \mathtt{P(B))}
           \mathbf{have} \ \forall \, \mathtt{A} \, \in \, \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X}) \, . \ \ \mathtt{A} \, \lesssim \, \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{k}) \, \longrightarrow \, (\mathtt{A=0} \ \lor \ \mathtt{P}(\mathtt{A}))
           proof -
\{ \text{ fix A assume A} \in \text{FinPow(X)} \}
    assume A \lesssim succ(k) A\neq0
    \mathbf{from} \ \mathtt{A1} \ \langle \mathtt{A} \in \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{A} \neq \mathtt{0} \rangle \ \mathbf{obtain} \ \mathtt{a}
         where a \in A and A = \{a\} \lor P(A-\{a\}) \longrightarrow P(A)
        by auto
    let B = A-\{a\}
    \mathbf{from} \ \mathtt{A4} \ \mathtt{\langle A} \in \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X}) \mathtt{\rangle} \ \mathtt{\langle A} \lesssim \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{k}) \mathtt{\rangle} \ \mathtt{\langle a \in A \rangle}
    have B = 0 \lor P(B)
        using Diff_sing_lepoll fin_rem_point_fin
        by simp
    with \langle a \in A \rangle \langle A = \{a\} \lor P(A - \{a\}) \longrightarrow P(A) \rangle
    have P(A) by auto
} thus thesis by auto
           qed
       } thus thesis by blast
 qed
 moreover note A2
 ultimately have A=0 V P(A) by (rule FinPow_card_ind)
  with A3 show P(A) by simp
```

If a family of sets is closed with respect to taking intersections of two sets then it is closed with respect to taking intersections of any nonempty finite collection.

```
lemma inter_two_inter_fin:
    assumes A1: \forall V \in T. \forall W \in T. \forall V \cap W \in T and
    A2: N \neq 0 and A3: N \in FinPow(T)
    shows (\bigcap N \in T)

proof -
    have 0 = 0 \vee (\bigcap 0 \in T) by simp
    moreover have \forall M \in FinPow(T). (M = 0 \vee \bigcap M \in T) \longrightarrow
    (\forall W \in T. M\cup{W} = 0 \vee \bigcap (M \cup {W}) \in T)

proof -
    { fix M assume M \in FinPow(T)
    assume A4: M = 0 \vee \bigcap M \in T
    { assume M = 0
hence \forall W \in T. M\cup{W} = 0 \vee \bigcap (M \cup {W}) \in T
by auto }
    moreover
```

```
{ assume M \neq 0
 with A4 have \bigcap M \in T by simp
 \{ \text{ fix } \mathbb{W} \text{ assume } \mathbb{W} \in \mathbb{T} \}
     from \langle M \neq 0 \rangle have \bigcap (M \cup \{W\}) = (\bigcap M) \cap W
        by auto
     with A1 \langle \bigcap M \in T \rangle \langle W \in T \rangle have \bigcap (M \cup \{W\}) \in T
        by simp
 } hence \forall W \in T. M \cup \{W\} = 0 \lor \bigcap (M \cup \{W\}) \in T
     by simp }
          ultimately have \forall W \in T. M \cup \{W\} = 0 \lor \bigcap (M \cup \{W\}) \in T
 by blast
      } thus thesis by simp
   qed
   \mathbf{moreover} \ \ \mathbf{note} \ \ \langle \mathtt{N} \in \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{T}) \rangle
   ultimately have N = 0 \lor (\bigcap N \in T)
      by (rule FinPow_induct)
   with A2 show (\bigcap N \in T) by simp
qed
```

If a family of sets contains the empty set and is closed with respect to taking unions of two sets then it is closed with respect to taking unions of any finite collection.

```
lemma union_two_union_fin:
  assumes A1: 0 \in C and A2: \forall A \in C. \forall B \in C. A \cup B \in C and
  A3: N \in FinPow(C)
  shows \bigcup \mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
  from \langle 0 \in C \rangle have \bigcup 0 \in C by simp
  moreover have \forall M \in \text{FinPow(C)}. \bigcup M \in C \longrightarrow (\forall A \in C. \bigcup (M \cup \{A\}) \in C)
  proof -
     { fix M assume M \in FinPow(C)
        assume \bigcup M \in C
        fix A assume A \in C
        have \bigcup (M \cup \{A\}) = (\bigcup M) \cup A by auto
        with A2 \langle \bigcup M \in C \rangle \langle A \in C \rangle have \bigcup (M \cup \{A\}) \in C
 by simp
     } thus thesis by simp
  \mathbf{qed}
  moreover note (N \in FinPow(C))
  ultimately show []N ∈ C by (rule FinPow_induct)
Empty set is in finite power set.
lemma empty_in_finpow: shows 0 ∈ FinPow(X)
  using FinPow_def by simp
Singleton is in the finite powerset.
lemma singleton_in_finpow: assumes x \in X
```

```
shows {x} ∈ FinPow(X) using assms FinPow_def by simp
Union of two finite subsets is a finite subset.
lemma union_finpow: assumes A ∈ FinPow(X) and B ∈ FinPow(X)
  shows A \cup B \in FinPow(X)
  using assms FinPow_def by auto
Union of finite number of finite sets is finite.
lemma fin_union_finpow: assumes M ∈ FinPow(FinPow(X))
  shows \bigcup M \in FinPow(X)
  using assms empty_in_finpow union_finpow union_two_union_fin
  by simp
If a set is finite after removing one element, then it is finite.
lemma rem_point_fin_fin:
  assumes A1: x \in X and A2: A - \{x\} \in FinPow(X)
  shows A \in FinPow(X)
proof -
  from assms have (A - \{x\}) \cup \{x\} \in FinPow(X)
    using singleton_in_finpow union_finpow by simp
  moreover have A \subseteq (A - \{x\}) \cup \{x\} by auto
  ultimately show A ∈ FinPow(X)
    using FinPow_def subset_Finite by auto
qed
An image of a finite set is finite.
lemma fin_image_fin: assumes \forall V \in B. K(V) \in C and N \in FinPow(B)
  shows \{K(V). V \in \mathbb{N}\} \in FinPow(C)
proof -
  have \{K(V).\ V \in O\} \in FinPow(C) using FinPow_def
    by auto
  moreover have \forall A \in FinPow(B).
    \{K(V). V \in A\} \in FinPow(C) \longrightarrow (\forall a \in B. \{K(V). V \in (A \cup \{a\})\} \in FinPow(C))
  proof -
    { fix A assume A ∈ FinPow(B)
       assume \{K(V). V \in A\} \in FinPow(C)
       fix a assume a \in B
       have \{K(V). V \in (A \cup \{a\})\} \in FinPow(C)
       proof -
 have \{K(V). V \in (A \cup \{a\})\} = \{K(V). V \in A\} \cup \{K(a)\}
   by auto
 \mathbf{moreover} \ \ \mathbf{note} \ \ \langle \{\mathtt{K}(\mathtt{V}) \, . \ \ \mathtt{V} \in \mathtt{A}\} \ \in \ \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{C}) \rangle
 using singleton_in_finpow by simp
 ultimately show thesis using union_finpow by simp
       qed
    } thus thesis by simp
  qed
```

```
moreover note \langle N \in FinPow(B) \rangle
  ultimately show \{K(V). V \in N\} \in FinPow(C)
    by (rule FinPow_induct)
Union of a finite indexed family of finite sets is finite.
lemma union_fin_list_fin:
  assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: \forall k \in n. N(k) \in FinPow(X)
  shows
  \{N(k).\ k \in n\} \in FinPow(FinPow(X)) \text{ and } (\bigcup k \in n.\ N(k)) \in FinPow(X)
proof -
  from A1 have n \in FinPow(n)
    using nat_finpow_nat fin_finpow_self by auto
  with A2 show \{N(k). k \in n\} \in FinPow(FinPow(X))
    by (rule fin_image_fin)
  then show (\lfloor \rfloor k \in n. \ N(k)) \in FinPow(X)
    using fin_union_finpow by simp
qed
end
```

14 Finite sets

theory Finite1 imports ZF.EquivClass ZF.Finite func1 ZF1

begin

This theory extends Isabelle standard Finite theory. It is obsolete and should not be used for new development. Use the Finite_ZF instead.

14.1 Finite powerset

In this section we consider various properties of Fin datatype (even though there are no datatypes in ZF set theory).

In Topology_ZF theory we consider induced topology that is obtained by taking a subset of a topological space. To show that a topology restricted to a subset is also a topology on that subset we may need a fact that if T is a collection of sets and A is a set then every finite collection $\{V_i\}$ is of the form $V_i = U_i \cap A$, where $\{U_i\}$ is a finite subcollection of T. This is one of those trivial facts that require suprisingly long formal proof. Actually, the need for this fact is avoided by requiring intersection two open sets to be open (rather than intersection of a finite number of open sets). Still, the fact is left here as an example of a proof by induction. We will use Fin_induct lemma from Finite.thy. First we define a property of finite sets that we want to show.

```
definition
```

```
Prfin(T,A,M) \equiv ((M = 0) \mid (\exists N \in Fin(T). \forall V \in M. \exists U \in N. (V = U \cap A)))
```

Now we show the main induction step in a separate lemma. This will make the proof of the theorem FinRestr below look short and nice. The premises of the ind_step lemma are those needed by the main induction step in lemma Fin_induct (see standard Isabelle's Finite.thy).

```
lemma ind_step: assumes A: \forall V\in TA. \exists U\inT. V=U\capA
  and A1: WeTA and A2: Me Fin(TA)
  and A3: W∉M and A4: Prfin(T,A,M)
  shows Prfin(T,A,cons(W,M))
proof -
  { assume A7: M=0 have Prfin(T, A, cons(W, M))
    proof-
      from A1 A obtain U where A5: U∈T and A6: W=U∩A by fast
      let N = \{U\}
      from A5 have T1: N \in Fin(T) by simp
      from A7 A6 have T2: \forall V \in cons(W,M). \exists U \in N. V=U \cap A by simp
      from A7 T1 T2 show Prfin(T, A, cons(W, M))
 using Prfin_def by auto
    qed }
  moreover
  { assume A8:M≠0 have Prfin(T, A, cons(W, M))
    proof-
      from A1 A obtain U where A5: U∈T and A6:W=U∩A by fast
      from A8 A4 obtain NO
 where A9: N0\in Fin(T) and A10: \forall V\in M. \exists U0\in N0. (V = U0\capA)
 using Prfin_def by auto
      let N = cons(U,NO)
      from A5 A9 have N \in Fin(T) by simp
      moreover from A10 A6 have \forall V \in cons(W,M). \exists U \in N. V=U \cap A by simp
      ultimately have \exists N\in Fin(T).\forallV\in cons(W,M). \exists U\inN. V=U\capA by auto
      with A8 show Prfin(T, A, cons(W, M))
 using Prfin_def by simp
    qed }
  ultimately show thesis by auto
Now we are ready to prove the statement we need.
theorem FinRestr0: assumes A: \forall V \in TA. \exists U \in T. V=U\capA
  shows \forall M \in Fin(TA). Prfin(T,A,M)
proof -
  { fix M
    \mathbf{assume}\ \mathtt{M} \in \mathtt{Fin}(\mathtt{TA})
    moreover have Prfin(T,A,0) using Prfin_def by simp
    moreover
    { fix W M assume W∈TA M∈ Fin(TA) W∉M Prfin(T,A,M)
      with A have Prfin(T,A,cons(W,M)) by (rule ind_step) }
    ultimately have Prfin(T,A,M) by (rule Fin_induct)
```

```
} thus thesis by simp
qed
This is a different form of the above theorem:
theorem ZF1FinRestr:
  assumes A1:M\in Fin(TA) and A2: M\neq0
  and A3: \forall V\in TA. \exists U\in T. V=U\capA
  shows \exists N \in Fin(T). (\forall V \in M. \exists U \in N. (V = U \cap A)) \land N \neq 0
proof -
  from A3 A1 have Prfin(T,A,M) using FinRestrO by blast
  then have \exists N \in Fin(T). \forall V \in M. \exists U \in N. (V = U \cap A)
     using A2 Prfin_def by simp
  then obtain N where
    D1:N\in Fin(T) \wedge (\forall V\in M. \exists U\in N. (V = U\capA)) by auto
  with A2 have N≠0 by auto
  with D1 show thesis by auto
Purely technical lemma used in Topology_ZF_1 to show that if a topology is
T_2, then it is T_1.
lemma Finite1_L2:
  assumes A:\exists U \ V. \ (U \in T \ \land \ V \in T \ \land \ x \in U \ \land \ y \in V \ \land \ U \cap V=0)
  shows \exists U \in T. (x \in U \land y \notin U)
  from A obtain U V where D1:U\inT \land V\inT \land x\inU \land y\inV \land U\capV=0 by auto
  with D1 show thesis by auto
qed
A collection closed with respect to taking a union of two sets is closed under
taking finite unions. Proof by induction with the induction step formulated
in a separate lemma.
lemma Finite1_L3_IndStep:
  assumes A1: \forall A B. ((A \in C \land B \in C) \longrightarrow A \cup B \in C)
  and A2: A \in C and A3: N \in Fin(C) and A4: A \notin N and A5: \bigcup N \in C
  shows \bigcup cons(A,N) \in C
proof -
  have [] cons(A,N) = A\cup []N by blast
  with A1 A2 A5 show thesis by simp
qed
The lemma: a collection closed with respect to taking a union of two sets is
closed under taking finite unions.
lemma Finite1_L3:
```

assumes A1: 0 \in C and A2: \forall A B. ((A \in C \land B \in C) \longrightarrow A \cup B \in C) and

A3: $N \in Fin(C)$ shows $| \int N \in C$

proof note A3

```
moreover from A1 have \bigcup 0 \in C by simp moreover 
{ fix A N assume A\inC N\inFin(C) A\notinN \bigcupN \in C with A2 have \bigcupcons(A,N) \in C by (rule Finite1_L3_IndStep) } ultimately show \bigcupN\in C by (rule Fin_induct) qed
```

A collection closed with respect to taking a intersection of two sets is closed under taking finite intersections. Proof by induction with the induction step formulated in a separate lemma. This is slightly more involved than the union case in Finite1_L3, because the intersection of empty collection is undefined (or should be treated as such). To simplify notation we define the property to be proven for finite sets as a separate notion.

```
definition
  IntPr(T,N) \equiv (N = 0 \mid \bigcap N \in T)
The induction step.
lemma Finite1_L4_IndStep:
  assumes A1: \forall A B. ((A\inT \land B\inT) \longrightarrow A\capB\inT)
  and A2: A \in T and A3: N \in Fin(T) and A4: A \notin N and A5: IntPr(T, N)
  shows IntPr(T,cons(A,N))
proof -
  { assume A6: N=0
    with A2 have IntPr(T,cons(A,N))
      using IntPr_def by simp }
  moreover
  { assume A7: N \neq 0 have IntPr(T, cons(A, N))
    proof -
      from A7 A5 A2 A1 have \bigcap N \cap A \in T using IntPr_def by simp
      moreover from A7 have \bigcap cons(A, N) = \bigcap N \cap A by auto
      ultimately show IntPr(T, cons(A, N)) using IntPr_def by simp
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
The lemma.
lemma Finite1_L4:
  assumes A1: \forall A B. A\inT \land B\inT \longrightarrow A\capB \in T
  and A2: N \in Fin(T)
  shows IntPr(T,N)
proof -
  note A2
  moreover have IntPr(T,0) using IntPr_def by simp
  moreover
  { fix A N
    assume A \in T \in Fin(T) A \notin N IntPr(T,N)
    with A1 have IntPr(T,cons(A,N)) by (rule Finite1_L4_IndStep) }
```

```
ultimately show IntPr(T,N) by (rule Fin_induct) qed
```

Next is a restatement of the above lemma that does not depend on the IntPr meta-function.

```
lemma Finite1_L5: assumes A1: \forall A B. ((A \in T \land B \in T) \longrightarrow A \cap B \in T) and A2: N \neq 0 and A3: N \in Fin(T) shows \bigcap N \in T proof - from A1 A3 have IntPr(T,N) using Finite1_L4 by simp with A2 show thesis using IntPr_def by simp qed
```

The images of finite subsets by a meta-function are finite. For example in topology if we have a finite collection of sets, then closing each of them results in a finite collection of closed sets. This is a very useful lemma with many unexpected applications. The proof is by induction. The next lemma is the induction step.

```
lemma fin_image_fin_IndStep:
  assumes \forall V \in B. K(V) \in C
  and U \in B and N \in Fin(B) and U \notin N and \{K(V), V \in N\} \in Fin(C)
  shows \{K(V). V \in cons(U,N)\} \in Fin(C)
  using assms by simp
The lemma:
lemma fin_image_fin:
  assumes A1: \forall V \in B. K(V) \in C and A2: N \in Fin(B)
  \mathbf{shows} \ \{\texttt{K(V)} . \ \texttt{V} {\in} \texttt{N}\} \ \in \ \texttt{Fin(C)}
proof -
  moreover have \{K(V). V \in 0\} \in Fin(C) by simp
  moreover
  { fix U N
     assume U \in B \in Fin(B) \cup V \in M \in K(V). V \in M \in Fin(C)
     with A1 have \{K(V). V \in cons(U,N)\} \in Fin(C)
       by (rule fin_image_fin_IndStep) }
  ultimately show thesis by (rule Fin_induct)
qed
The image of a finite set is finite.
lemma Finite1_L6A: assumes A1: f:X\rightarrow Y and A2: N\in Fin(X)
  shows f(N) \in Fin(Y)
proof -
  from A1 have \forall x \in X. f(x) \in Y
     using apply_type by simp
  moreover note A2
  ultimately have \{f(x), x \in \mathbb{N}\} \in Fin(Y)
```

```
by (rule fin_image_fin)
with A1 A2 show thesis
  using FinD func_imagedef by simp
qed
```

If the set defined by a meta-function is finite, then every set defined by a composition of this meta function with another one is finite.

```
lemma Finite1_L6B: assumes A1: \forall x \in X. a(x) \in Y and A2: \{b(y).y \in Y\} \in Fin(Z) shows \{b(a(x)).x \in X\} \in Fin(Z) proof - from A1 have \{b(a(x)).x \in X\} \subseteq \{b(y).y \in Y\} by auto with A2 show thesis using Fin_subset_lemma by blast qed
```

If the set defined by a meta-function is finite, then every set defined by a composition of this meta function with another one is finite.

```
lemma Finite1_L6C:
  assumes A1: \forall y \in Y. b(y) \in Z and A2: \{a(x), x \in X\} \in Fin(Y)
  shows \{b(a(x)).x\in X\} \in Fin(Z)
proof -
  let N = \{a(x) : x \in X\}
  from A1 A2 have \{b(y), y \in N\} \in Fin(Z)
     by (rule fin_image_fin)
  moreover have \{b(a(x)). x \in X\} = \{b(y). y \in N\}
     by auto
  ultimately show thesis by simp
Cartesian product of finite sets is finite.
lemma Finite1_L12: assumes A1: A \in Fin(A) and A2: B \in Fin(B)
  shows A \times B \in Fin(A \times B)
  have T1:\forall a \in A. \forall b \in B. \{\langle a,b \rangle\} \in Fin(A \times B) by simp
  have \forall a \in A. \{\{\langle a,b\rangle\}\}. b \in B\} \in Fin(Fin(A \times B))
  proof
     fix a assume A3: a \in A
     with T1 have \forall b \in B. \{\langle a,b \rangle\} \in Fin(A \times B)
        by simp
     moreover note A2
     ultimately show \{\{\langle a,b\rangle\}\}. b \in B\} \in Fin(Fin(A \times B))
        by (rule fin_image_fin)
  qed
  then have \forall a \in A. \bigcup \{\{\langle a,b\rangle\}\}. b \in B\} \in Fin(A \times B)
     using Fin_UnionI by simp
  moreover have
     \forall a \in A. \bigcup \{\{\langle a,b\rangle\}\}. b \in B\} = \{a\} \times B \text{ by blast}
  ultimately have \forall a \in A. \{a\} \times B \in Fin(A \times B) by simp
```

```
moreover note A1
  ultimately have \{\{a\} \times B. a \in A\} \in Fin(Fin(A \times B))
    by (rule fin_image_fin)
  then have \{\{a\} \times B. a \in A\} \in Fin(A \times B)
    using Fin_UnionI by simp
  moreover have \{ \{a\} \times B. \ a \in A\} = A \times B  by blast
  ultimately show thesis by simp
We define the characterisic meta-function that is the identity on a set and
assigns a default value everywhere else.
definition
  Characteristic(A,default,x) \equiv (if x\inA then x else default)
A finite subset is a finite subset of itself.
lemma Finite1_L13:
  assumes A1:A \in Fin(X) shows A \in Fin(A)
proof -
  { assume A=0 hence A \in Fin(A) by simp }
  moreover
  { assume A2: A\neq 0 then obtain c where D1:c\in A
    then have \forall x \in X. Characteristic(A,c,x) \in A
      using Characteristic_def by simp
    moreover note A1
    ultimately have
       {Characteristic(A,c,x). x \in A} \in Fin(A) by (rule fin_image_fin)
    moreover from D1 have
       \{Characteristic(A,c,x). x \in A\} = A using Characteristic_def by simp
    ultimately have A \in Fin(A) by simp }
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
Cartesian product of finite subsets is a finite subset of cartesian product.
lemma Finite1_L14: assumes A1: A ∈ Fin(X) B ∈ Fin(Y)
  shows A \times B \in Fin(X \times Y)
proof -
  from A1 have A×B \subseteq X×Y using FinD by auto
  then have Fin(A \times B) \subseteq Fin(X \times Y) using Fin_{mono} by simp
  moreover from A1 have A \times B \in Fin(A \times B)
    using Finite1_L13 Finite1_L12 by simp
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
The next lemma is needed in the Group_ZF_3 theory in a couple of places.
lemma Finite1_L15:
  assumes A1: \{b(x). x \in A\} \in Fin(B) \{c(x). x \in A\} \in Fin(C)
  and A2: f : B \times C \rightarrow E
```

```
shows \{f(b(x),c(x)). x \in A\} \in Fin(E)
proof -
  from A1 have \{b(x). x \in A\} \times \{c(x). x \in A\} \in Fin(B \times C)
     using Finite1_L14 by simp
  moreover have
     \{\langle b(x),c(x)\rangle, x\in A\}\subseteq \{b(x), x\in A\}\times \{c(x), x\in A\}
     by blast
  ultimately have T0: \{\langle b(x), c(x) \rangle | x \in A\} \in Fin(B \times C)
     by (rule Fin_subset_lemma)
  with A2 have T1: f\{\langle b(x), c(x) \rangle, x \in A\} \in Fin(E)
     using Finite1_L6A by auto
  from T0 have \forall x \in A. \langle b(x), c(x) \rangle \in B \times C
     using FinD by auto
  with A2 have
     f\{\langle b(x),c(x)\rangle. x\in A\} = \{f\langle b(x),c(x)\rangle. x\in A\}
     using func1_1_L17 by simp
  with T1 show thesis by simp
qed
Singletons are in the finite powerset.
lemma Finite1_L16: assumes x \in X shows \{x\} \in Fin(X)
  using assms emptyI consI by simp
A special case of Finite1_L15 where the second set is a singleton. In
Group_ZF_3 theory this corresponds to the situation where we multiply by a
constant.
lemma Finite1_L16AA: assumes \{b(x). x \in A\} \in Fin(B)
  and c \in C and f : B \times C \rightarrow E
  shows \{f(b(x),c), x \in A\} \in Fin(E)
proof -
  from assms have
    \forall y \in B. f(y,c) \in E
     \{b(x). x \in A\} \in Fin(B)
     using apply_funtype by auto
  then show thesis by (rule Finite1_L6C)
qed
First order version of the induction for the finite powerset.
lemma Finite1_L16B: assumes A1: P(0) and A2: B∈Fin(X)
  and A3: \forall A \in Fin(X) . \forall x \in X. x \notin A \land P(A) \longrightarrow P(A \cup \{x\})
  shows P(B)
proof -
  note \langle B \in Fin(X) \rangle and \langle P(0) \rangle
  moreover
  { fix A x
    assume x \in X A \in Fin(X) x \notin A P(A)
     moreover have cons(x,A) = A \cup \{x\} by auto
     moreover note A3
```

```
ultimately have P(cons(x,A)) by simp }
ultimately show P(B) by (rule Fin_induct)
qed
```

14.2 Finite range functions

In this section we define functions $f: X \to Y$, with the property that f(X) is a finite subset of Y. Such functions play a important role in the construction of real numbers in the Real_ZF series.

Definition of finite range functions.

```
definition
```

```
FinRangeFunctions(X,Y) \equiv \{f:X \rightarrow Y. f(X) \in Fin(Y)\}
```

Constant functions have finite range.

```
lemma Finite1_L17: assumes c∈Y and X≠0
   shows ConstantFunction(X,c) ∈ FinRangeFunctions(X,Y)
   using assms func1_3_L1 func_imagedef func1_3_L2 Finite1_L16
   FinRangeFunctions_def by simp
```

Finite range functions have finite range.

```
lemma Finite1_L18: assumes f \in FinRangeFunctions(X,Y) shows \{f(x) . x \in X\} \in Fin(Y) using assms FinRangeFunctions_def func_imagedef by simp
```

An alternative form of the definition of finite range functions.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma Finite1\_L19: assumes } f:X \rightarrow Y \\ \textbf{and } \{f(x). \ x \in X\} \in \texttt{Fin}(Y) \\ \textbf{shows } f \in \texttt{FinRangeFunctions}(X,Y) \\ \textbf{using assms func_imagedef FinRangeFunctions\_def by simp} \end{array}
```

A composition of a finite range function with another function is a finite range function.

```
lemma Finite1_L20: assumes A1:f ∈ FinRangeFunctions(X,Y)
  and A2: g : Y→Z
  shows g O f ∈ FinRangeFunctions(X,Z)
proof -
  from A1 A2 have g{f(x). x∈X} ∈ Fin(Z)
    using Finite1_L18 Finite1_L6A
    by simp
  with A1 A2 have {(g O f)(x). x∈X} ∈ Fin(Z)
    using FinRangeFunctions_def apply_funtype
      func1_1_L17 comp_fun_apply by auto
  with A1 A2 show thesis using
    FinRangeFunctions_def comp_fun Finite1_L19
    by auto
qed
```

Image of any subset of the domain of a finite range function is finite.

```
lemma Finite1_L21:
   assumes f ∈ FinRangeFunctions(X,Y) and A⊆X
   shows f(A) ∈ Fin(Y)
proof -
   from assms have f(X) ∈ Fin(Y)   f(A) ⊆ f(X)
     using FinRangeFunctions_def func1_1_L8
   by auto
   then show f(A) ∈ Fin(Y) using Fin_subset_lemma
   by blast
qed
end
```

15 Finite sets 1

theory Finite_ZF_1 imports Finite1 Order_ZF_1a

begin

This theory is based on Finite1 theory and is obsolete. It contains properties of finite sets related to order relations. See the FinOrd theory for a better approach.

15.1 Finite vs. bounded sets

The goal of this section is to show that finite sets are bounded and have maxima and minima.

Finite set has a maximum - induction step.

```
lemma Finite_ZF_1_1_L1:
   assumes A1: r {is total on} X and A2: trans(r)
   and A3: A∈Fin(X) and A4: x∈X and A5: A=0 ∨ HasAmaximum(r,A)
   shows A∪{x} = 0 ∨ HasAmaximum(r,A∪{x})
proof -
   { assume A=0 then have T1: A∪{x} = {x} by simp
     from A1 have refl(X,r) using total_is_refl by simp
     with T1 A4 have A∪{x} = 0 ∨ HasAmaximum(r,A∪{x})
        using Order_ZF_4_L8 by simp }
   moreover
   { assume A≠0
     with A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 have A∪{x} = 0 ∨ HasAmaximum(r,A∪{x})
        using FinD Order_ZF_4_L9 by simp }
   ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
```

For total and transitive relations finite set has a maximum.

```
theorem Finite_ZF_1_1_T1A:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} X and A2: trans(r)
  and A3: B \in Fin(X)
  shows B=0 ∨ HasAmaximum(r,B)
proof -
  have 0=0 \lor \text{HasAmaximum}(r,0) by simp
  moreover note A3
  moreover from A1 A2 have \forall A \in Fin(X). \forall x \in X.
    x \not\in A \ \land \ (A=0 \ \lor \ HasAmaximum(r,A)) \ \longrightarrow \ (A \cup \{x\}=0 \ \lor \ HasAmaximum(r,A \cup \{x\}))
    using Finite_ZF_1_1_L1 by simp
  ultimately show B=0 V HasAmaximum(r,B) by (rule Finite1_L16B)
Finite set has a minimum - induction step.
lemma Finite_ZF_1_1_L2:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} X and A2: trans(r)
  and A3: A \in Fin(X) and A4: x \in X and A5: A = 0 \lor Has Aminimum(r, A)
  shows A \cup \{x\} = 0 \lor HasAminimum(r, A \cup \{x\})
  { assume A=0 then have T1: A \cup \{x\} = \{x\} by simp
    from A1 have refl(X,r) using total_is_refl by simp
    with T1 A4 have A \cup \{x\} = 0 \lor HasAminimum(r, A \cup \{x\})
       using Order_ZF_4_L8 by simp }
  moreover
  { assume A \neq 0
    with A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 have A \cup \{x\} = 0 \lor HasAminimum(r, A \cup \{x\})
       using FinD Order_ZF_4_L10 by simp }
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
For total and transitive relations finite set has a minimum.
theorem Finite_ZF_1_1_T1B:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} X and A2: trans(r)
  and A3: B \in Fin(X)
  shows B=0 \times HasAminimum(r,B)
proof -
  have 0=0 ∨ HasAminimum(r,0) by simp
  moreover note A3
  moreover from A1 A2 have \forall A \in Fin(X). \forall x \in X.
    x \notin A \land (A=0 \lor HasAminimum(r,A)) \longrightarrow (A \cup \{x\}=0 \lor HasAminimum(r,A \cup \{x\}))
    using Finite_ZF_1_1_L2 by simp
  ultimately show B=0 V HasAminimum(r,B) by (rule Finite1_L16B)
For transitive and total relations finite sets are bounded.
theorem Finite_ZF_1_T1:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} X and A2: trans(r)
  and A3: B \in Fin(X)
  shows IsBounded(B,r)
```

```
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 have B=0 \lor HasAminimum(r,B) B=0 \lor HasAmaximum(r,B)
    using Finite_ZF_1_1_T1A Finite_ZF_1_1_T1B by auto
  then have
    B = 0 \lor IsBoundedBelow(B,r) B = 0 \lor IsBoundedAbove(B,r)
    using Order_ZF_4_L7 Order_ZF_4_L8A by auto
  then show IsBounded(B,r) using
    IsBounded_def IsBoundedBelow_def IsBoundedAbove_def
    by simp
qed
For linearly ordered finite sets maximum and minimum have desired prop-
erties. The reason we need linear order is that we need the order to be total
and transitive for the finite sets to have a maximum and minimum and then
we also need antisymmetry for the maximum and minimum to be unique.
theorem Finite_ZF_1_T2:
  assumes A1: IsLinOrder(X,r) and A2: A \in Fin(X) and A3: A\neq0
  shows
  Maximum(r,A) \in A
  Minimum(r,A) \in A
  \forall x \in A. \langle x, Maximum(r, A) \rangle \in r
  \forall x \in A. \langle Minimum(r,A), x \rangle \in r
proof -
  from A1 have T1: r {is total on} X trans(r) antisym(r)
    using IsLinOrder\_def by auto
  moreover from T1 A2 A3 have HasAmaximum(r,A)
    using Finite_ZF_1_1_T1A by auto
  moreover from T1 A2 A3 have HasAminimum(r,A)
    using Finite_ZF_1_1_T1B by auto
  ultimately show
    Maximum(r,A) \in A
    Minimum(r,A) \in A
    \forall x \in A. \langle x, Maximum(r,A) \rangle \in r \ \forall x \in A. \langle Minimum(r,A), x \rangle \in r
    using Order_ZF_4_L3 Order_ZF_4_L4 by auto
qed
A special case of Finite_ZF_1_T2 when the set has three elements.
corollary Finite_ZF_1_L2A:
  assumes A1: IsLinOrder(X,r) and A2: a \in X b \in X c \in X
  shows
  \texttt{Maximum(r,\{a,b,c\})} \ \in \ \{\texttt{a,b,c}\}
  Minimum(r,{a,b,c}) \in {a,b,c}
  Maximum(r,{a,b,c}) \in X
  Minimum(r,{a,b,c}) \in X
  \langle a, Maximum(r, \{a,b,c\}) \rangle \in r
  \langle b, Maximum(r, \{a,b,c\}) \rangle \in r
  \langle c, Maximum(r, \{a,b,c\}) \rangle \in r
proof -
```

from A2 have I: $\{a,b,c\} \in Fin(X)$ $\{a,b,c\} \neq 0$

```
by auto
  with A1 show II: Maximum(r,{a,b,c}) \in {a,b,c}
    by (rule Finite_ZF_1_T2)
  moreover from A1 I show III: Minimum(r,\{a,b,c\}) \in \{a,b,c\}
     by (rule Finite_ZF_1_T2)
  moreover from A2 have \{a,b,c\} \subseteq X
     by auto
  ultimately show
     Maximum(r,{a,b,c}) \in X
    Minimum(r,{a,b,c}) \in X
    by auto
  from A1 I have \forall x \in \{a,b,c\}. \langle x, Maximum(r,\{a,b,c\}) \rangle \in r
     by (rule Finite_ZF_1_T2)
  then show
     \langle a, Maximum(r, \{a,b,c\}) \rangle \in r
     \langle b, Maximum(r, \{a,b,c\}) \rangle \in r
     \langle c, Maximum(r, \{a,b,c\}) \rangle \in r
     by auto
qed
```

If for every element of X we can find one in A that is greater, then the A can not be finite. Works for relations that are total, transitive and antisymmetric.

```
lemma Finite_ZF_1_1_L3:
   assumes A1: r {is total on} X
   and A2: trans(r) and A3: antisym(r)
   and A4: r ⊆ X×X and A5: X≠0
   and A6: ∀x∈X. ∃a∈A. x≠a ∧ ⟨x,a⟩ ∈ r
   shows A ∉ Fin(X)
proof -
   from assms have ¬IsBounded(A,r)
    using Order_ZF_3_L14 IsBounded_def
   by simp
   with A1 A2 show A ∉ Fin(X)
   using Finite_ZF_1_T1 by auto
qed
```

16 Finite sets and order relations

```
theory FinOrd_ZF imports Finite_ZF func_ZF_1
```

begin

end

This theory file contains properties of finite sets related to order relations. Part of this is similar to what is done in Finite_ZF_1 except that the development is based on the notion of finite powerset defined in Finite_ZF rather

the one defined in standard Isabelle Finite theory.

16.1 Finite vs. bounded sets

The goal of this section is to show that finite sets are bounded and have maxima and minima.

For total and transitive relations nonempty finite set has a maximum.

```
theorem fin_has_max:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} X and A2: trans(r)
  and A3: B \in FinPow(X) and A4: B \neq 0
  shows HasAmaximum(r,B)
proof -
  have 0=0 \lor \text{HasAmaximum}(r,0) by simp
  moreover have
    \forall A \in FinPow(X). A=0 \lor HasAmaximum(r,A) \longrightarrow
     (\forall x \in X. (A \cup \{x\}) = 0 \lor HasAmaximum(r, A \cup \{x\}))
  proof -
     { fix A
       assume A \in FinPow(X)  A = 0 \lor HasAmaximum(r,A)
       have \forall x \in X. (A \cup \{x\}) = 0 \vee HasAmaximum(r,A \cup \{x\})
       proof -
 { fix x assume x \in X
   note \langle A = 0 \lor HasAmaximum(r,A) \rangle
   moreover
   \{ assume A = 0 \}
      then have A \cup \{x\} = \{x\} by simp
      from A1 have refl(X,r) using total_is_refl
        by simp
      with \langle x \in X \rangle \langle A \cup \{x\} = \{x\} \rangle have \text{HasAmaximum}(r, A \cup \{x\})
        using Order_ZF_4_L8 by simp }
   moreover
   { assume HasAmaximum(r,A)
      with A1 A2 \langle A \in FinPow(X) \rangle \quad \langle x \in X \rangle
      have HasAmaximum(r,A \cup \{x\})
         using FinPow_def Order_ZF_4_L9 by simp }
   ultimately have A \cup \{x\} = 0 \vee \text{HasAmaximum}(r, A \cup \{x\})
      by auto
 } thus \forall x \in X. (A \cup \{x\}) = 0 \vee HasAmaximum(r,A \cup \{x\})
   by simp
       qed
     } thus thesis by simp
  qed
  moreover note A3
  ultimately have B = 0 \lor HasAmaximum(r,B)
    by (rule FinPow_induct)
  with A4 show HasAmaximum(r,B) by simp
qed
```

For linearly ordered nonempty finite sets the maximum is in the set and indeed it is the greatest element of the set.

```
lemma linord_max_props: assumes A1: IsLinOrder(X,r) and A2: A \in FinPow(X) A \neq 0 shows Maximum(r,A) \in A Maximum(r,A) \in X \forall a\inA. \langlea,Maximum(r,A)\rangle \in r proof - from A1 A2 show Maximum(r,A) \in A and \forall a\inA. \langlea,Maximum(r,A)\rangle \in r using IsLinOrder_def fin_has_max Order_ZF_4_L3 by auto with A2 show Maximum(r,A) \in X using FinPow_def by auto qed
```

16.2 Order isomorphisms of finite sets

In this section we eastablish that if two linearly ordered finite sets have the same number of elements, then they are order-isomorphic and the isomorphism is unique. This allows us to talk about "enumeration" of a linearly ordered finite set. We define the enumeration as the order isomorphism between the number of elements of the set (which is a natural number $n = \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$) and the set.

A really weird corner case - empty set is order isomorphic with itself.

```
lemma empty_ord_iso: shows ord_iso(0,r,0,R) ≠ 0
proof -
  have 0 ≈ 0 using eqpoll_refl by simp
  then obtain f where f ∈ bij(0,0)
    using eqpoll_def by blast
  then show thesis using ord_iso_def by auto
qed
```

Even weirder than empty_ord_iso The order automorphism of the empty set is unique.

```
lemma empty_ord_iso_uniq: assumes f \in ord_iso(0,r,0,R) g \in ord_iso(0,r,0,R) shows f = g proof - from assms have f : 0 \to 0 and g : 0 \to 0 using ord_iso_def bij_def surj_def by auto moreover have \forall x \in 0. f(x) = g(x) by simp ultimately show f = g by (rule func_eq) qed
```

The empty set is the only order automorphism of itself.

```
lemma empty_ord_iso_empty: shows ord_iso(0,r,0,R) = {0}
proof -
  have 0 ∈ ord_iso(0,r,0,R)
  proof -
  have ord_iso(0,r,0,R) ≠ 0 by (rule empty_ord_iso)
  then obtain f where f ∈ ord_iso(0,r,0,R) by auto
  then show 0 ∈ ord_iso(0,r,0,R)
    using ord_iso_def bij_def surj_def fun_subset_prod
    by auto
  qed
  then show ord_iso(0,r,0,R) = {0} using empty_ord_iso_uniq
  by blast
qed
```

An induction (or maybe recursion?) scheme for linearly ordered sets. The induction step is that we show that if the property holds when the set is a singleton or for a set with the maximum removed, then it holds for the set. The idea is that since we can build any finite set by adding elements on the right, then if the property holds for the empty set and is invariant with respect to this operation, then it must hold for all finite sets.

```
lemma fin_ord_induction:
  assumes A1: IsLinOrder(X,r) and A2: P(0) and
  A3: \forall A \in FinPow(X). A \neq 0 \longrightarrow (P(A - \{Maximum(r,A)\}) \longrightarrow P(A))
  and A4: B ∈ FinPow(X) shows P(B)
proof -
  note A2
  moreover have \forall A \in FinPow(X). A \neq 0 \longrightarrow (\exists a \in A. P(A-\{a\}) \longrightarrow P(A))
  proof -
     { fix A assume A \in FinPow(X) and A \neq 0
       with A1 A3 have \exists a \in A. P(A-\{a\}) \longrightarrow P(A)
 using IsLinOrder_def fin_has_max
   IsLinOrder_def Order_ZF_4_L3
 by blast
    } thus thesis by simp
  qed
  moreover note A4
  ultimately show P(B) by (rule FinPow_ind_rem_one)
```

A slightly more complicated version of fin_ord_induction that allows to prove properties that are not true for the empty set.

```
lemma fin_ord_ind:
```

```
assumes A1: IsLinOrder(X,r) and A2: \forall A \in FinPow(X).

A = 0 \forall (A = {Maximum(r,A)} \forall P(A - {Maximum(r,A)}) \longrightarrow P(A))

and A3: B \in FinPow(X) and A4: B\neq0

shows P(B)

proof -

{ fix A assume A \in FinPow(X) and A \neq 0
```

```
with A1 A2 have
       \exists a \in A. A = \{a\} \lor P(A-\{a\}) \longrightarrow P(A)
       using IsLinOrder_def fin_has_max
 IsLinOrder_def Order_ZF_4_L3
       by blast
  } then have \forall A \in FinPow(X).
       A = 0 \lor (\exists a \in A. A = \{a\} \lor P(A-\{a\}) \longrightarrow P(A))
  with A3 A4 show P(B) using FinPow_rem_ind
     by simp
qed
Yet another induction scheme. We build a linearly ordered set by adding
elements that are greater than all elements in the set.
lemma fin_ind_add_max:
  assumes A1: IsLinOrder(X,r) and A2: P(0) and A3: \forall A \in FinPow(X).
  (\ \forall\ \mathtt{x}\ \in\ \mathtt{X-A.}\ \mathtt{P(A)}\ \land\ (\forall\,\mathtt{a}{\in}\mathtt{A.}\ \langle\mathtt{a},\mathtt{x}\rangle\ \in\ \mathtt{r}\ )\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{P(A}\ \cup\ \{\mathtt{x}\}))
  and A4: B \in FinPow(X)
  shows P(B)
proof -
  note A1 A2
  moreover have
     \forall C \in FinPow(X). C \neq 0 \longrightarrow (P(C - \{Maximum(r,C)\}) \longrightarrow P(C))
     proof -
       { fix C assume C \in FinPow(X) and C \neq 0
 let x = Maximum(r,C)
 let A = C - \{x\}
 assume P(A)
 moreover from (C \in FinPow(X)) have A \in FinPow(X)
   using fin_rem_point_fin by simp
 moreover from A1 \langle C \in FinPow(X) \rangle \langle C \neq 0 \rangle have
   x \in C and x \in X - A and \forall a \in A. \langle a, x \rangle \in r
   using linord_max_props by auto
 moreover note A3
 ultimately have P(A \cup \{x\}) by auto
 moreover from \langle x \in C \rangle have A \cup \{x\} = C
   by auto
 ultimately have P(C) by simp
       } thus thesis by simp
     qed
     moreover note A4
  ultimately show P(B) by (rule fin_ord_induction)
ged
The only order automorphism of a linearly ordered finite set is the identity.
theorem fin_ord_auto_id: assumes A1: IsLinOrder(X,r)
  and A2: B \in FinPow(X) and A3: B \neq 0
```

shows ord_iso(B,r,B,r) = $\{id(B)\}$

```
proof -
  note A1
  moreover
  { fix A assume A \in FinPow(X) A\neq0
    let M = Maximum(r,A)
    let A_0 = A - \{M\}
    assume A = \{M\} \lor ord_iso(A_0,r,A_0,r) = \{id(A_0)\}
    moreover
     \{ assume A = \{M\} \}
       have ord_iso(\{M\},r,\{M\},r) = \{id(\{M\})\}
 using id_ord_auto_singleton by simp
       with \langle A = \{M\} \rangle have ord_iso(A,r,A,r) = {id(A)}
 by simp }
    moreover
     { assume ord_iso(A_0,r,A_0,r) = {id(A_0)}
       have ord_iso(A,r,A,r) = \{id(A)\}
       proof
 show \{id(A)\} \subseteq ord_iso(A,r,A,r)
   using id_ord_iso by simp
 { fix f assume f \in ord_iso(A,r,A,r)
   with A1 \langle A \in FinPow(X) \rangle \langle A \neq 0 \rangle have
      restrict(f,A_0) \in ord\_iso(A_0, r, A-\{f(M)\},r)
      using IsLinOrder_def fin_has_max ord_iso_rem_max
      by auto
   with A1 \langle A \in FinPow(X) \rangle \langle A \neq 0 \rangle \langle f \in ord\_iso(A,r,A,r) \rangle
      \langle \text{ord}_{\text{iso}}(A_0, r, A_0, r) = \{ \text{id}(A_0) \} \rangle
   have restrict(f, A_0) = id(A_0)
      using IsLinOrder_def fin_has_max max_auto_fixpoint
      by auto
   moreover from A1 ⟨f ∈ ord_iso(A,r,A,r)⟩
      \langle A \in FinPow(X) \rangle \langle A \neq 0 \rangle  have
      f : A \rightarrow A \text{ and } M \in A \text{ and } f(M) = M
      using ord_iso_def bij_is_fun IsLinOrder_def
        fin_has_max Order_ZF_4_L3 max_auto_fixpoint
      by auto
   ultimately have f = id(A) using id_fixpoint_rem
      by simp
 } then show ord_iso(A,r,A,r) \subseteq {id(A)}
   by auto
       qed
    ultimately have ord_iso(A,r,A,r) = \{id(A)\}
       by auto
  } then have \forall A \in FinPow(X). A = 0 \lor
       (A = {Maximum(r,A)}) \lor
       ord_iso(A-\{Maximum(r,A)\},r,A-\{Maximum(r,A)\},r) =
       {id(A-{Maximum(r,A)})} \longrightarrow ord_iso(A,r,A,r) = {id(A)})
    by auto
  moreover note A2 A3
```

```
ultimately show ord_iso(B,r,B,r) = {id(B)}
  by (rule fin_ord_ind)
qed
```

Every two finite linearly ordered sets are order isomorphic. The statement is formulated to make the proof by induction on the size of the set easier, see fin_ord_iso_ex for an alternative formulation.

```
lemma fin_order_iso:
  assumes A1: IsLinOrder(X,r) IsLinOrder(Y,R) and
  A2: n \in nat
  shows \forall A \in FinPow(X). \forall B \in FinPow(Y).
   A \approx n \wedge B \approx n \longrightarrow ord_iso(A,r,B,R) \neq 0
proof -
  note A2
  moreover have \forall A \in FinPow(X). \forall B \in FinPow(Y).
      A \approx 0 \land B \approx 0 \longrightarrow ord_iso(A,r,B,R) \neq 0
      using eqpoll_0_is_0 empty_ord_iso by blast
   moreover have \forall k \in nat.
      (\forall A \in FinPow(X). \forall B \in FinPow(Y).
      A \approx k \wedge B \approx k \longrightarrow ord_iso(A,r,B,R) \neq 0) \longrightarrow
      (\forall \, C \in FinPow(X). \ \forall \, D \in FinPow(Y).
      C \approx succ(k) \land D \approx succ(k) \longrightarrow ord_iso(C,r,D,R) \neq 0)
  proof -
      \{ \text{ fix k assume k} \in \text{nat} \}
         assume A3: \forall A \in FinPow(X). \forall B \in FinPow(Y).
 A \approx k \wedge B \approx k \longrightarrow ord_iso(A,r,B,R) \neq 0
         have \forall C \in FinPow(X). \forall D \in FinPow(Y).
 C \approx succ(k) \land D \approx succ(k) \longrightarrow ord_iso(C,r,D,R) \neq 0
         proof -
 { fix C assume C \in FinPow(X)
    fix D assume D \in FinPow(Y)
    assume C \approx succ(k) D \approx succ(k)
    then have C \neq 0 and D \neq 0
       using eqpoll_succ_imp_not_empty by auto
    let M_C = Maximum(r,C)
    let M_D = Maximum(R,D)
    \mathbf{let}\ \mathtt{C}_0\ =\ \mathtt{C}\ -\ \{\mathtt{M}_C\}
    \mathbf{let} \ \mathtt{D}_0 = \mathtt{D} - \{\mathtt{M}_D\}
    \mathbf{from}\ \langle \mathtt{C} \in \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X})\rangle\ \mathbf{have}\ \mathtt{C} \subseteq \mathtt{X}
       using FinPow_def by simp
    with A1 have IsLinOrder(C,r)
       using ord_linear_subset by blast
    from \langle D \in FinPow(Y) \rangle have D \subseteq Y
       using FinPow_def by simp
    with A1 have IsLinOrder(D,R)
        using ord_linear_subset by blast
    \mathbf{from} \ \mathsf{A1} \ \langle \mathsf{C} \in \mathsf{FinPow}(\mathsf{X}) \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{D} \in \mathsf{FinPow}(\mathsf{Y}) \rangle
        \langle C \neq 0 \rangle \langle D \neq 0 \rangle  have
       HasAmaximum(r,C) and HasAmaximum(R,D)
```

```
using IsLinOrder_def fin_has_max
      by auto
    with A1 have M_C \in C and M_D \in D
      using IsLinOrder_def Order_ZF_4_L3 by auto
    with \langle C \approx \text{succ}(k) \rangle \langle D \approx \text{succ}(k) \rangle have
      C_0 \approx k \text{ and } D_0 \approx k \text{ using Diff\_sing\_eqpoll by auto}
    \mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{C} \ \in \ \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{D} \ \in \ \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{Y}) \rangle
    have C_0 \in FinPow(X) and D_0 \in FinPow(Y)
      using fin_rem_point_fin by auto
    \mathbf{with} \  \, \mathsf{A3} \  \, \langle \mathsf{C}_0 \  \  \, \approx \  \, \mathsf{k} \rangle \  \, \langle \mathsf{D}_0 \  \, \approx \  \, \mathsf{k} \rangle \  \, \mathbf{have}
      ord_iso(C_0,r,D_0,R) \neq 0 by simp
    with (IsLinOrder(C,r)) (IsLinOrder(D,R))
      \langle \text{HasAmaximum}(r,C) \rangle \langle \text{HasAmaximum}(R,D) \rangle
    have ord_iso(C,r,D,R) \neq 0
      by (rule rem_max_ord_iso)
 } thus thesis by simp
        qed
     } thus thesis by blast
  qed
  ultimately show thesis by (rule ind_on_nat)
Every two finite linearly ordered sets are order isomorphic.
lemma fin_ord_iso_ex:
  assumes A1: IsLinOrder(X,r) IsLinOrder(Y,R) and
  A2: A \in FinPow(X) B \in FinPow(Y) and A3: B \approx A
  shows ord_iso(A,r,B,R) \neq 0
proof -
  from A2 obtain n where n \in nat and A \approx n
     using finpow_decomp by auto
  from A3 \langle A \approx n \rangle have B \approx n by (rule eqpoll_trans)
  with A1 A2 \langle A \approx n \rangle \langle n \in nat \rangle show ord_iso(A,r,B,R) \neq 0
     using fin_order_iso by simp
Existence and uniqueness of order isomorphism for two linearly ordered sets
with the same number of elements.
theorem fin_ord_iso_ex_uniq:
  assumes A1: IsLinOrder(X,r) IsLinOrder(Y,R) and
  A2: A \in FinPow(X) B \in FinPow(Y) and A3: B \approx A
  shows \exists !f. f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R)
proof
  from assms show \exists f. f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R)
     using fin_ord_iso_ex by blast
  fix f g
  assume A4: f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R) g \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R)
  then have converse(g) ∈ ord_iso(B,R,A,r)
     using ord_iso_sym by simp
  with \langle f \in ord_iso(A,r,B,R) \rangle have
```

```
I: converse(g) O f ∈ ord_iso(A,r,A,r)
    by (rule ord_iso_trans)
  { assume A \neq 0
    with A1 A2 I have converse(g) O f = id(A)
      using fin_ord_auto_id by auto
    with A4 have f = g
      using ord_iso_def comp_inv_id_eq_bij by auto }
  moreover
  \{ assume A = 0 \}
    then have A \approx 0 using eqpoll_0_iff
      by simp
    with A3 have B \approx 0 by (rule eqpoll_trans)
    with A4 \langle A = 0 \rangle have
      f \in ord_iso(0,r,0,R) and g \in ord_iso(0,r,0,R)
      using eqpoll_0_iff by auto
    then have f = g by (rule empty_ord_iso_uniq) }
  ultimately show f = g
    using ord_iso_def comp_inv_id_eq_bij
    by auto
qed
```

end

17 Equivalence relations

theory EquivClass1 imports ZF.EquivClass func_ZF ZF1

begin

In this theory file we extend the work on equivalence relations done in the standard Isabelle's EquivClass theory. That development is very good and all, but we really would prefer an approach contained within the a standard ZF set theory, without extensions specific to Isabelle. That is why this theory is written.

17.1 Congruent functions and projections on the quotient

Suppose we have a set X with a relation $r \subseteq X \times X$ and a function $f: X \to X$. The function f can be compatible (congruent) with r in the sense that if two elements x, y are related then the values f(x), f(x) are also related. This is especially useful if r is an equivalence relation as it allows to "project" the function to the quotient space X/r (the set of equivalence classes of r) and create a new function F that satisfies the formula $F([x]_r) = [f(x)]_r$. When f is congruent with respect to r such definition of the value of F on the equivalence class $[x]_r$ does not depend on which x we choose to represent the

class. In this section we also consider binary operations that are congruent with respect to a relation. These are important in algebra - the congruency condition allows to project the operation to obtain the operation on the quotient space.

First we define the notion of function that maps equivalent elements to equivalent values. We use similar names as in the Isabelle's standard EquivClass theory to indicate the conceptual correspondence of the notions.

definition

```
Congruent(r,f) \equiv (\forall x y. \langle x,y \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle f(x),f(y) \rangle \in r)
```

Now we will define the projection of a function onto the quotient space. In standard math the equivalence class of x with respect to relation r is usually denoted $[x]_r$. Here we reuse notation $r\{x\}$ instead. This means the image of the set $\{x\}$ with respect to the relation, which, for equivalence relations is exactly its equivalence class if you think about it.

definition

```
ProjFun(A,r,f) \equiv {\langle c, | x \in c. r\{f(x)\} \rangle. c \in (A//r)\}
```

Elements of equivalence classes belong to the set.

```
lemma EquivClass_1_L1: assumes A1: equiv(A,r) and A2: C \in A//r and A3: x \in C shows x \in A proof - from A2 have C \subseteq \bigcup (A//r) by auto with A1 A3 show x \in A using Union_quotient by auto qed
```

The image of a subset of X under projection is a subset of A/r.

```
lemma EquivClass_1_L1A: assumes A\subseteq X shows \{r\{x\}. x\in A\}\subseteq X//r using assms quotientI by auto
```

If an element belongs to an equivalence class, then its image under relation is this equivalence class.

```
lemma EquivClass_1_L2: assumes A1: equiv(A,r) C \in A//r and A2: x \in C shows r\{x\} = C proof - from A1 A2 have x \in r\{x\} using EquivClass_1_L1 equiv_class_self by simp with A2 have I: r\{x\} \cap C \neq 0 by auto from A1 A2 have r\{x\} \in A//r using EquivClass_1_L1 quotientI by simp
```

```
with A1 I show thesis
    using quotient_disj by blast
qed
Elements that belong to the same equivalence class are equivalent.
lemma EquivClass_1_L2A:
  assumes equiv(A,r) C \in A//r x \in C y \in C
  shows \langle x, y \rangle \in r
  using assms EquivClass_1_L2 EquivClass_1_L1 equiv_class_eq_iff
  by simp
Every x is in the class of y, then they are equivalent.
lemma EquivClass_1_L2B:
  assumes A1: equiv(A,r) and A2: y \in A and A3: x \in r\{y\}
  shows \langle x, y \rangle \in r
proof -
  from A2 have r\{y\} \in A//r
    using quotientI by simp
  with A1 A3 show thesis using
    EquivClass_1_L1 equiv_class_self equiv_class_nondisjoint by blast
qed
If a function is congruent then the equivalence classes of the values that
come from the arguments from the same class are the same.
lemma EquivClass_1_L3:
  assumes A1: equiv(A,r) and A2: Congruent(r,f)
  and A3: C \in A//r x \in C y \in C
  shows r\{f(x)\} = r\{f(y)\}
proof -
  from A1 A3 have \langle x,y \rangle \in r
    using EquivClass_1_L2A by simp
  with A2 have \langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in r
    using Congruent_def by simp
  with A1 show thesis using equiv_class_eq by simp
qed
The values of congruent functions are in the space.
lemma EquivClass_1_L4:
  assumes A1: equiv(A,r) and A2: C \in A//r x \in C
  and A3: Congruent(r,f)
  shows f(x) \in A
proof -
  from A1 A2 have x \in A
    using EquivClass_1_L1 by simp
  with A1 have \langle x, x \rangle \in r
    using equiv_def refl_def by simp
  with A3 have \langle f(x), f(x) \rangle \in r
    using Congruent_def by simp
```

```
with A1 show thesis using equiv_type by auto
qed
Equivalence classes are not empty.
lemma EquivClass_1_L5:
  assumes A1: refl(A,r) and A2: C \in A//r
  shows C \neq 0
proof -
  from A2 obtain x where I: C = r\{x\} and x \in A
    using quotient_def by auto
  from A1 (x \in A) have x \in r\{x\} using refl_def by auto
  with I show thesis by auto
qed
To avoid using an axiom of choice, we define the projection using the ex-
pression \bigcup_{x \in C} r(\{f(x)\}). The next lemma shows that for congruent function
this is in the quotient space A/r.
lemma EquivClass_1_L6:
  assumes A1: equiv(A,r) and A2: Congruent(r,f)
  and A3: C \in A//r
  shows (\bigcup x \in C. r\{f(x)\}) \in A//r
proof -
  from A1 have refl(A,r) unfolding equiv_def by simp
  with A3 have C≠0 using EquivClass_1_L5 by simp
  moreover from A2 A3 A1 have \forall x \in C. r\{f(x)\} \in A//r
    using EquivClass_1_L4 quotientI by auto
  moreover from A1 A2 A3 have
    \forall x y. x \in C \land y \in C \longrightarrow r\{f(x)\} = r\{f(y)\}
    using EquivClass_1_L3 by blast
  ultimately show thesis by (rule ZF1_1_L2)
Congruent functions can be projected.
lemma EquivClass_1_T0:
  assumes equiv(A,r) Congruent(r,f)
  shows ProjFun(A,r,f) : A//r \rightarrow A//r
  using assms EquivClass_1_L6 ProjFun_def ZF_fun_from_total
  by simp
```

We now define congruent functions of two variables (binary funtions). The predicate Congruent2 corresponds to congruent2 in Isabelle's standard EquivClass theory, but uses ZF-functions rather than meta-functions.

definition

```
Congruent2(r,f) \equiv (\forall x_1 \ x_2 \ y_1 \ y_2. \ \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \in r \ \land \ \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \in r \ \longrightarrow \langle f(x_1, y_1), \ f(x_2, y_2) \ \rangle \in r)
```

Next we define the notion of projecting a binary operation to the quotient

space. This is a very important concept that allows to define quotient groups, among other things.

```
definition
  ProjFun2(A,r,f) ≡
  \{\langle p, \bigcup z \in fst(p) \times snd(p). r\{f(z)\} \rangle. p \in (A//r) \times (A//r) \}
The following lemma is a two-variables equivalent of EquivClass_1_L3.
lemma EquivClass_1_L7:
  assumes A1: equiv(A,r) and A2: Congruent2(r,f)
  and A3: C_1 \in A//r C_2 \in A//r
  \mathbf{and} \ \mathtt{A4:} \ \mathbf{z}_1 \ \in \ \mathtt{C}_1 {\times} \mathtt{C}_2 \quad \mathbf{z}_2 \ \in \ \mathtt{C}_1 {\times} \mathtt{C}_2
  shows r\{f(z_1)\} = r\{f(z_2)\}
proof -
  from A4 obtain x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 where
     x_1 \in C_1 and y_1 \in C_2 and z_1 = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle and
     x_2 \in C_1 and y_2 \in C_2 and z_2 = \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle
     by auto
  with A1 A3 have \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \in r and \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \in r
     using EquivClass_1_L2A by auto
  with A2 have \langle f(x_1,y_1), f(x_2,y_2) \rangle \in r
     using Congruent2_def by simp
  with A1 \langle z_1 = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle \rangle \langle z_2 = \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle \rangle show thesis
     using equiv_class_eq by simp
The values of congruent functions of two variables are in the space.
lemma EquivClass_1_L8:
  assumes A1: equiv(A,r) and A2: C_1 \in A//r and A3: C_2 \in A//r
  and A4: z \in C_1 \times C_2 and A5: Congruent2(r,f)
  shows f(z) \in A
proof -
  from A4 obtain x y where x \in C_1 and y \in C_2 and z = \langle x, y \rangle
  with A1 A2 A3 have x \in A and y \in A
     using EquivClass_1_L1 by auto
  with A1 A4 have \langle x, x \rangle \in r and \langle y, y \rangle \in r
     using equiv_def refl_def by auto
  with A5 have \langle f(x,y), f(x,y) \rangle \in r
     using Congruent2_def by simp
  with A1 \langle z = \langle x, y \rangle \rangle show thesis using equiv_type by auto
qed
The values of congruent functions are in the space. Note that although this
lemma is intended to be used with functions, we don't need to assume that
f is a function.
lemma EquivClass_1_L8A:
```

assumes A1: equiv(A,r) and A2: $x \in A$ $y \in A$

and A3: Congruent2(r,f)

```
shows f(x,y) \in A
proof -
  from A1 A2 have r\{x\} \in A//r r\{y\} \in A//r
    \langle x,y \rangle \in r\{x\} \times r\{y\}
    using equiv_class_self quotientI by auto
  with A1 A3 show thesis using EquivClass_1_L8 by simp
qed
The following lemma is a two-variables equivalent of EquivClass_1_L6.
lemma EquivClass_1_L9:
  assumes A1: equiv(A,r) and A2: Congruent2(r,f)
  and A3: p \in (A//r) \times (A//r)
  shows (\bigcup z \in fst(p) \times snd(p). r\{f(z)\}\) \in A//r
proof -
  from A3 have fst(p) \in A//r and snd(p) \in A//r
    by auto
  with A1 A2 have
    I: \forall z \in fst(p) \times snd(p). f(z) \in A
    using EquivClass_1_L8 by simp
  from A3 A1 have fst(p) \times snd(p) \neq 0
    using equiv_def EquivClass_1_L5 Sigma_empty_iff
    by auto
  moreover from A1 I have
    \forall z \in fst(p) \times snd(p). r\{f(z)\} \in A//r
    using quotientI by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 \langle fst(p) \in A//r \rangle \langle snd(p) \in A//r \rangle have
    \forall z_1 \ z_2. \ z_1 \in fst(p) \times snd(p) \ \land \ z_2 \in fst(p) \times snd(p) \longrightarrow
    r\{f(z_1)\} = r\{f(z_2)\}
    using EquivClass_1_L7 by blast
   ultimately show thesis by (rule ZF1_1_L2)
qed
Congruent functions of two variables can be projected.
theorem EquivClass_1_T1:
  assumes equiv(A,r) Congruent2(r,f)
  shows ProjFun2(A,r,f) : (A//r) \times (A//r) \rightarrow A//r
  using assms EquivClass_1_L9 ProjFun2_def ZF_fun_from_total
  by simp
The projection diagram commutes. I wish I knew how to draw this diagram
in LaTeX.
lemma EquivClass_1_L10:
  assumes A1: equiv(A,r) and A2: Congruent2(r,f)
  and A3: x \in A y \in A
  shows ProjFun2(A,r,f)\langle r\{x\},r\{y\}\rangle = r\{f\langle x,y\rangle\}
proof -
  from A3 A1 have r\{x\} \times r\{y\} \neq 0
    using quotientI equiv_def EquivClass_1_L5 Sigma_empty_iff
    by auto
```

```
moreover have
     \forall z \in r\{x\} \times r\{y\}. \quad r\{f(z)\} = r\{f\langle x,y\rangle\}
  proof
     fix z assume A4: z \in r\{x\} \times r\{y\}
     from A1 A3 have
        r\{x\} \in A//r \ r\{y\} \in A//r
        \langle x,y \rangle \in r\{x\} \times r\{y\}
        using quotientI equiv_class_self by auto
     with A1 A2 A4 show
        r\{f(z)\} = r\{f\langle x,y\rangle\}
        using EquivClass_1_L7 by blast
  ultimately have
     (\bigcup z \in r\{x\} \times r\{y\}. r\{f(z)\}) = r\{f\langle x,y\rangle\}
     by (rule ZF1_1_L1)
  moreover have
     ProjFun2(A,r,f)\langle r\{x\},r\{y\}\rangle = (\bigcup z \in r\{x\} \times r\{y\}. r\{f(z)\})
     proof -
        from assms have
 ProjFun2(A,r,f) : (A//r) \times (A//r) \rightarrow A//r
 \langle r\{x\}, r\{y\} \rangle \in (A//r) \times (A//r)
 using EquivClass_1_T1 quotientI by auto
        then show thesis using ProjFun2_def ZF_fun_from_tot_val
 by auto
     qed
  ultimately show thesis by simp
qed
```

17.2 Projecting commutative, associative and distributive operations.

In this section we show that if the operations are congruent with respect to an equivalence relation then the projection to the quotient space preserves commutativity, associativity and distributivity.

The projection of commutative operation is commutative.

```
lemma EquivClass_2_L1: assumes
    A1: equiv(A,r) and A2: Congruent2(r,f)
    and A3: f {is commutative on} A
    and A4: c1 \in A//r c2 \in A//r
    shows ProjFun2(A,r,f)\langlec1,c2\rangle = ProjFun2(A,r,f)\langlec2,c1\rangle

proof -
    from A4 obtain x y where D1:
    c1 = r{x} c2 = r{y}
    x\inA y\inA
    using quotient_def by auto
    with A1 A2 have ProjFun2(A,r,f)\langlec1,c2\rangle = r{f\langlex,y\rangle}
    using EquivClass_1_L10 by simp
    also from A3 D1 have
```

```
r\{f(x,y)\} = r\{f(y,x)\}
    using IsCommutative_def by simp
  also from A1 A2 D1 have
    r\{f(y,x)\} = ProjFun2(A,r,f) \langle c2,c1 \rangle
    using EquivClass_1_L10 by simp
  finally show thesis by simp
qed
The projection of commutative operation is commutative.
theorem EquivClass_2_T1:
  assumes equiv(A,r) and Congruent2(r,f)
 and f {is commutative on} A
 shows ProjFun2(A,r,f) {is commutative on} A//r
  using assms IsCommutative_def EquivClass_2_L1 by simp
The projection of an associative operation is associative.
lemma EquivClass_2_L2:
  assumes A1: equiv(A,r) and A2: Congruent2(r,f)
 and A3: f {is associative on} A
  and A4: c1 \in A//r c2 \in A//r c3 \in A//r
 and A5: g = ProjFun2(A,r,f)
 shows g(g(c1,c2),c3) = g(c1,g(c2,c3))
proof -
  from A4 obtain x y z where D1:
    c1 = r\{x\} c2 = r\{y\} c3 = r\{z\}
    x \in A y \in A z \in A
    using quotient_def by auto
  with A3 have T1:f(x,y) \in A f(y,z) \in A
    using IsAssociative_def apply_type by auto
  with A1 A2 D1 A5 have
    g(g(c1,c2),c3) = r\{f(f(x,y),z)\}
    using EquivClass_1_L10 by simp
 also from D1 A3 have
    \dots = r\{f(x,f(y,z))\}
    using IsAssociative_def by simp
 also from T1 A1 A2 D1 A5 have
    \dots = g(c1,g(c2,c3))
    using EquivClass_1_L10 by simp
  finally show thesis by simp
qed
The projection of an associative operation is associative on the quotient.
theorem EquivClass_2_T2:
  assumes A1: equiv(A,r) and A2: Congruent2(r,f)
 and A3: f {is associative on} A
 shows ProjFun2(A,r,f) {is associative on} A//r
proof -
 let g = ProjFun2(A,r,f)
 from A1 A2 have
```

```
\begin{array}{c} g \in (A//r) \times (A//r) \to A//r \\ using \ EquivClass\_1\_T1 \ by \ simp \\ moreover \ from \ A1 \ A2 \ A3 \ have \\ \forall \texttt{c1} \in A//r. \forall \texttt{c2} \in A//r. \forall \texttt{c3} \in A//r. \\ g \langle g \langle \texttt{c1}, \texttt{c2} \rangle, \texttt{c3} \rangle = g \langle \texttt{c1}, g \langle \texttt{c2}, \texttt{c3} \rangle \rangle \\ using \ EquivClass\_2\_L2 \ by \ simp \\ ultimately \ show \ thesis \\ using \ IsAssociative\_def \ by \ simp \\ \mathbf{qed} \end{array}
```

The essential condition to show that distributivity is preserved by projections to quotient spaces, provided both operations are congruent with respect to the equivalence relation.

```
lemma EquivClass_2_L3:
   assumes A1: IsDistributive(X,A,M)
   and A2: equiv(X,r)
   and A3: Congruent2(r,A) Congruent2(r,M)
   and A4: a \in X//r b \in X//r c \in X//r
   and A5: A_p = ProjFun2(X,r,A) M_p = ProjFun2(X,r,M)
   shows M_p\langle a, A_p\langle b, c \rangle \rangle = A_p\langle M_p\langle a, b \rangle, M_p\langle a, c \rangle \rangle \wedge
   \mathtt{M}_{p}\langle\ \mathtt{A}_{p}\langle\mathtt{b},\mathtt{c}\rangle,\mathtt{a}\ \rangle\ =\ \mathtt{A}_{p}\langle\ \mathtt{M}_{p}\langle\mathtt{b},\mathtt{a}\rangle,\ \mathtt{M}_{p}\langle\mathtt{c},\mathtt{a}\rangle\rangle
proof
   from A4 obtain x y z where x \in X y \in X z \in X
       a = r\{x\} b = r\{y\} c = r\{z\}
       using quotient_def by auto
   with A1 A2 A3 A5 show
       M_p\langle a, A_p\langle b, c \rangle \rangle = A_p\langle M_p\langle a, b \rangle, M_p\langle a, c \rangle \rangle and
       M_p\langle A_p\langle b,c\rangle,a\rangle = A_p\langle M_p\langle b,a\rangle, M_p\langle c,a\rangle\rangle
       using EquivClass_1_L8A EquivClass_1_L10 IsDistributive_def
       by auto
qed
```

Distributivity is preserved by projections to quotient spaces, provided both operations are congruent with respect to the equivalence relation.

```
lemma EquivClass_2_L4: assumes A1: IsDistributive(X,A,M) and A2: equiv(X,r) and A3: Congruent2(r,A) Congruent2(r,M) shows IsDistributive(X//r,ProjFun2(X,r,A),ProjFun2(X,r,M)) proof- let A_p = \text{ProjFun2}(X,r,A) let M_p = \text{ProjFun2}(X,r,M) from A1 A2 A3 have \forall \, \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{X}//r. \, \forall \, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{X}//r. \, \forall \, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{X}//r. M_p\langle \mathbf{a}, A_p\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \rangle \rangle = A_p\langle M_p\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle, M_p\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c} \rangle \rangle \wedge M_p\langle A_p\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \rangle, \mathbf{a} \rangle = A_p\langle M_p\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \rangle, M_p\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \rangle using EquivClass_2_L3 by simp then show thesis using IsDistributive_def by simp qed
```

17.3 Saturated sets

In this section we consider sets that are saturated with respect to an equivalence relation. A set A is saturated with respect to a relation r if $A = r^{-1}(r(A))$. For equivalence relations saturated sets are unions of equivalence classes. This makes them useful as a tool to define subsets of the quotient space using properties of representants. Namely, we often define a set $B \subseteq X/r$ by saying that $[x]_r \in B$ iff $x \in A$. If A is a saturated set, this definition is consistent in the sense that it does not depend on the choice of x to represent $[x]_r$.

The following defines the notion of a saturated set. Recall that in Isabelle r-(A) is the inverse image of A with respect to relation r. This definition is not specific to equivalence relations.

```
definition
```

```
IsSaturated(r,A) \equiv A = r-(r(A))
```

For equivalence relations a set is saturated iff it is an image of itself.

```
lemma EquivClass_3_L1: assumes A1: equiv(X,r)
  shows IsSaturated(r,A) \longleftrightarrow A = r(A)
proof
 assume IsSaturated(r,A)
  then have A = (converse(r) \ O \ r)(A)
    using IsSaturated_def vimage_def image_comp
    by simp
  also from A1 have ... = r(A)
    using equiv_comp_eq by simp
 finally show A = r(A) by simp
next assume A = r(A)
  with A1 have A = (converse(r) O r)(A)
    using equiv_comp_eq by simp
 also have \dots = r-(r(A))
    using vimage_def image_comp by simp
 finally have A = r-(r(A)) by simp
  then show IsSaturated(r,A) using IsSaturated_def
    by simp
qed
```

For equivalence relations sets are contained in their images.

```
lemma EquivClass_3_L2: assumes A1: equiv(X,r) and A2: A\subseteqX shows A \subseteq r(A) proof fix a assume a\inA with A1 A2 have a \in r{a} using equiv_class_self by auto with (a\inA) show a \in r(A) by auto qed
```

The next lemma shows that if " \sim " is an equivalence relation and a set A is such that $a \in A$ and $a \sim b$ implies $b \in A$, then A is saturated with respect to the relation.

```
lemma EquivClass_3_L3: assumes A1: equiv(X,r)
  and A2: r \subseteq X \times X and A3: A \subseteq X
  and A4: \forall x \in A. \forall y \in X. \langle x,y \rangle \in r \longrightarrow y \in A
  shows IsSaturated(r,A)
proof -
  from A2 A4 have r(A) \subseteq A
     using image_iff by blast
  moreover from A1 A3 have A \subseteq r(A)
     using EquivClass_3_L2 by simp
  ultimately have A = r(A) by auto
  with A1 show IsSaturated(r,A) using EquivClass_3_L1
     by simp
qed
If A \subseteq X and A is saturated and x \sim y, then x \in A iff y \in A. Here we show
only one direction.
lemma EquivClass_3_L4: assumes A1: equiv(X,r)
  and A2: IsSaturated(r,A) and A3: A\subseteq X
  and A4: \langle x,y \rangle \in r
  and A5: x \in X y \in A
  shows x \in A
proof -
  from A1 A5 have x \in r\{x\}
     using equiv_class_self by simp
  with A1 A3 A4 A5 have x \in r(A)
     using equiv_class_eq equiv_class_self
     by auto
  with A1 A2 show x \in A
     using EquivClass_3_L1 by simp
qed
If A \subseteq X and A is saturated and x \sim y, then x \in A iff y \in A.
lemma EquivClass_3_L5: assumes A1: equiv(X,r)
  and A2: IsSaturated(r,A) and A3: A\subseteq X
  and A4: x \in X y \in X
  and A5: \langle x,y \rangle \in r
  shows x \in A \longleftrightarrow y \in A
proof
  assume y \in A
  with assms show x∈A using EquivClass_3_L4
     by simp
\mathbf{next} \ \mathbf{assume} \ \mathbf{x} {\in} \mathbf{A}
  from A1 A5 have \langle y, x \rangle \in r
     using equiv_is_sym by blast
  with A1 A2 A3 A4 \langle x \in A \rangle show y \in A
```

```
using EquivClass_3_L4 by simp
qed
If A is saturated then x \in A iff its class is in the projection of A.
lemma EquivClass_3_L6: assumes A1: equiv(X,r)
  and A2: IsSaturated(r,A) and A3: A\subseteq X and A4: x\in X
  and A5: B = \{r\{x\}. x \in A\}
  shows x \in A \longleftrightarrow r\{x\} \in B
proof
  assume x \in A
  with A5 show r\{x\} \in B by auto
next assume r\{x\} \in B
  with A5 obtain y where y \in A and r\{x\} = r\{y\}
     by auto
  with A1 A3 have \langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{y} \rangle \in \mathtt{r}
     using eq_equiv_class by auto
  with A1 A2 A3 A4 \langle y \in A \rangle show x \in A
     using EquivClass_3_L4 by simp
qed
```

A technical lemma involving a projection of a saturated set and a logical epression with exclusive or. Note that we don't really care what Xor is here, this is true for any predicate.

```
lemma EquivClass_3_L7: assumes equiv(X,r) and IsSaturated(r,A) and A\subseteq X and x\in X y\in X and B = \{r\{x\}. x\in A\} and (x\in A) Xor (y\in A) shows (r\{x\}\in B) Xor (r\{y\}\in B) using assms EquivClass_3_L6 by simp
```

end

18 Finite sequences

theory FiniteSeq_ZF imports Nat_ZF_IML func1

begin

This theory treats finite sequences (i.e. maps $n \to X$, where $n = \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ is a natural number) as lists. It defines and proves the properties of basic operations on lists: concatenation, appending and element etc.

18.1 Lists as finite sequences

A natural way of representing (finite) lists in set theory is through (finite) sequences. In such view a list of elements of a set X is a function that maps

the set $\{0, 1, ...n - 1\}$ into X. Since natural numbers in set theory are defined so that $n = \{0, 1, ...n - 1\}$, a list of length n can be understood as an element of the function space $n \to X$.

We define the set of lists with values in set X as Lists(X).

definition

```
\texttt{Lists}(\texttt{X}) \equiv \{ \exists \texttt{n} \in \texttt{nat.} (\texttt{n} \rightarrow \texttt{X}) \}
```

The set of nonempty X-value listst will be called NELists(X).

definition

```
NELists(X) \equiv \bigcup nenat.(succ(n) \rightarrow X)
```

We first define the shift that moves the second sequence to the domain $\{n, ..., n + k - 1\}$, where n, k are the lengths of the first and the second sequence, resp. To understand the notation in the definitions below recall that in Isabelle/ZF pred(n) is the previous natural number and denotes the difference between natural numbers n and k.

definition

```
ShiftedSeq(b,n) \ \equiv \ \{\langle j, \ b(j \ \# - \ n) \rangle. \ j \ \in \ NatInterval(n,domain(b))\}
```

We define concatenation of two sequences as the union of the first sequence with the shifted second sequence. The result of concatenating lists a and b is called Concat(a,b).

definition

```
Concat(a,b) \equiv a \cup ShiftedSeq(b,domain(a))
```

For a finite sequence we define the sequence of all elements except the first one. This corresponds to the "tail" function in Haskell. We call it Tail here as well.

definition

```
Tail(a) \equiv \{\langle k, a(succ(k)) \rangle. k \in pred(domain(a))\}
```

A dual notion to Tail is the list of all elements of a list except the last one. Borrowing the terminology from Haskell again, we will call this Init.

definition

```
Init(a) \equiv restrict(a,pred(domain(a)))
```

Another obvious operation we can talk about is appending an element at the end of a sequence. This is called Append.

definition

```
Append(a,x) \equiv a \cup {\langle domain(a), x \rangle}
```

If lists are modeled as finite sequences (i.e. functions on natural intervals $\{0, 1, ..., n-1\} = n$) it is easy to get the first element of a list as the value of the sequence at 0. The last element is the value at n-1. To hide this behind a familiar name we define the Last element of a list.

```
definition
  Last(a) \equiv a(pred(domain(a)))
Shifted sequence is a function on a the interval of natural numbers.
lemma shifted_seq_props:
  assumes A1: n \in nat k \in nat and A2: b:k \rightarrow X
  shows
  ShiftedSeq(b,n): NatInterval(n,k) \rightarrow X
  \forall i \in NatInterval(n,k). ShiftedSeq(b,n)(i) = b(i #- n)
  \forall j \in k. ShiftedSeq(b,n)(n #+ j) = b(j)
proof -
  let I = NatInterval(n,domain(b))
  from A2 have Fact: I = NatInterval(n,k) using func1_1_L1 by simp
  with A1 A2 have \forall j \in I. b(j \# - n) \in X
    using inter_diff_in_len apply_funtype by simp
  then have
     \{\langle \texttt{j, b(j \#- n)} \rangle. \ \texttt{j} \ \in \ \texttt{I}\} \ : \ \texttt{I} \ \to \ \texttt{X} \ \textbf{by} \ (\texttt{rule ZF\_fun\_from\_total})
  with Fact show thesis_1: ShiftedSeq(b,n): NatInterval(n,k) \rightarrow X
    using ShiftedSeq_def by simp
  { fix i
    from Fact thesis_1 have ShiftedSeq(b,n): I \rightarrow X by simp
    moreover
    assume i ∈ NatInterval(n,k)
    with Fact have i \in I by simp
    moreover from Fact have
       ShiftedSeq(b,n) = \{\langle i, b(i \# - n) \rangle . i \in I\}
       using ShiftedSeq_def by simp
    ultimately have ShiftedSeq(b,n)(i) = b(i #- n)
       by (rule ZF_fun_from_tot_val)
  } then show thesis1:
       \forall i \in NatInterval(n,k). ShiftedSeq(b,n)(i) = b(i #- n)
    by simp
  { fix j
    let i = n \# + j
    assume A3: j∈k
    with A1 have j \in nat using elem_nat_is_nat by blast
    then have i #- n = j using diff_add_inverse by simp
    with A3 thesis1 have ShiftedSeq(b,n)(i) = b(j)
       using NatInterval_def by auto
  } then show \forall j \in k. ShiftedSeq(b,n)(n #+ j) = b(j)
    by simp
qed
Basis properties of the contatenation of two finite sequences.
theorem concat_props:
  assumes A1: n \in nat k \in nat and A2: a:n \rightarrow X b:k \rightarrow X
  shows
  \texttt{Concat(a,b)}: n \texttt{ #+ k} \rightarrow \texttt{X}
  \forall i \in n. Concat(a,b)(i) = a(i)
```

```
\forall i \in NatInterval(n,k). Concat(a,b)(i) = b(i \#- n)
  \forall j \in k. \text{ Concat(a,b)(n #+ j) = b(j)}
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
    a:n \rightarrow X and I: ShiftedSeq(b,n): NatInterval(n,k) \rightarrow X
    and n \cap NatInterval(n,k) = 0
    using shifted_seq_props length_start_decomp by auto
  then have
    a \cup ShiftedSeq(b,n): n \cup NatInterval(n,k) \rightarrow X \cup X
    by (rule fun_disjoint_Un)
  with A1 A2 show Concat(a,b): n #+ k \rightarrow X
    using func1_1_L1 Concat_def length_start_decomp by auto
  \{ \text{ fix i assume i} \in n \}
    with A1 I have i ∉ domain(ShiftedSeq(b,n))
      using length_start_decomp func1_1_L1 by auto
    with A2 have Concat(a,b)(i) = a(i)
      using func1_1_L1 fun_disjoint_apply1 Concat_def by simp
  } thus \forall i \in n. Concat(a,b)(i) = a(i) by simp
  \{ \text{ fix i assume A3: i} \in \text{NatInterval(n,k)} \}
    with A1 A2 have i ∉ domain(a)
      using length_start_decomp func1_1_L1 by auto
    with A1 A2 A3 have Concat(a,b)(i) = b(i \# - n)
      using func1_1_L1 fun_disjoint_apply2 Concat_def shifted_seq_props
      by simp
  } thus II: \forall i \in NatInterval(n,k). Concat(a,b)(i) = b(i #- n)
    by simp
  { fix j
    let i = n #+ j
    assume A3: j \in k
    with A1 have j ∈ nat using elem_nat_is_nat by blast
    then have i #- n = j using diff_add_inverse by simp
     with A3 II have Concat(a,b)(i) = b(j)
      using NatInterval_def by auto
  \} thus \forall j \in k. Concat(a,b)(n #+ j) = b(j)
    by simp
qed
Properties of concatenating three lists.
lemma concat_concat_list:
  assumes A1: n \in nat k \in nat m \in nat and
  A2: a:n \rightarrow X b:k \rightarrow X c:m \rightarrow X and
  A3: d = Concat(Concat(a,b),c)
  shows
  d : n #+k #+ m \rightarrow X
  \forall j \in n. d(j) = a(j)
  \forall j \in k. d(n \# + j) = b(j)
  \forall j \in m. d(n \# k \# + j) = c(j)
proof -
  from A1 A2 have I:
```

```
\texttt{n} \ \texttt{\#+} \ \texttt{k} \in \texttt{nat} \quad \texttt{m} \in \texttt{nat}
     \texttt{Concat(a,b)} \colon \texttt{n \#+ k} \, \to \, \texttt{X}
                                       c:m \rightarrow X
     using concat_props by auto
  with A3 show d: n #+k #+ m \rightarrow X
     using concat_props by simp
  from I have II: \forall i \in n \text{ #+ k.}
     Concat(Concat(a,b),c)(i) = Concat(a,b)(i)
     by (rule concat_props)
  { fix j assume A4: j \in n
     moreover from A1 have n \subseteq n #+ k using add_nat_le by simp
     ultimately have j \in n #+ k by auto
     with A3 II have d(j) = Concat(a,b)(j) by simp
     with A1 A2 A4 have d(j) = a(j)
       using concat_props by simp
  } thus \forall j \in n. d(j) = a(j) by simp
  { fix j assume A5: j \in k
     with A1 A3 II have d(n \# + j) = Concat(a,b)(n \# + j)
       using add_lt_mono by simp
     also from A1 A2 A5 have \dots = b(j)
       using concat_props by simp
     finally have d(n \# + j) = b(j) by simp
  } thus \forall j \in k. d(n \# + j) = b(j) by simp
  from I have \forall j \in m. Concat(Concat(a,b),c)(n #+ k #+ j) = c(j)
     by (rule concat_props)
  with A3 show \forall j \in m. d(n #+ k #+ j) = c(j)
     by simp
Properties of concatenating a list with a concatenation of two other lists.
lemma concat_list_concat:
  assumes A1: n \in nat k \in nat m \in nat and
  A2: a:n\rightarrow X b:k\rightarrow X c:m\rightarrow X and
  A3: e = Concat(a, Concat(b,c))
  shows
  e : n #+k #+ m \rightarrow X
  \forall j \in n. e(j) = a(j)
  \forall j \in k. \ e(n \# + j) = b(j)
  \forall j \in m. \ e(n \# k \# + j) = c(j)
proof -
  from A1 A2 have I:
    \mathtt{n} \, \in \, \mathtt{nat} \quad \mathtt{k} \ \texttt{\#+} \ \mathtt{m} \, \in \, \mathtt{nat}
     a:n \rightarrow X Concat(b,c): k #+ m \rightarrow X
     using concat_props by auto
  with A3 show e : n #+k #+ m \rightarrow X
     using concat_props add_assoc by simp
  from I have \forall j \in n. Concat(a, Concat(b,c))(j) = a(j)
     by (rule concat_props)
  with A3 show \forall j \in n. e(j) = a(j) by simp
  from I have II:
```

```
\forall j \in k \# + m. Concat(a, Concat(b,c))(n \# + j) = Concat(b,c)(j)
    by (rule concat_props)
  { fix j assume A4: j \in k
    moreover from A1 have k G k #+ m using add_nat_le by simp
    ultimately have j \in k #+ m by auto
    with A3 II have e(n \# + j) = Concat(b,c)(j) by simp
    also from A1 A2 A4 have ... = b(j)
       using concat_props by simp
    finally have e(n \# + j) = b(j) by simp
  \} thus \forall j \in k. e(n #+ j) = b(j) by simp
  \{ \text{ fix j assume A5: j} \in \mathtt{m} \}
    with A1 II A3 have e(n \# + k \# + j) = Concat(b,c)(k \# + j)
       using add_lt_mono add_assoc by simp
    also from A1 A2 A5 have \dots = c(j)
       using concat_props by simp
    finally have e(n \# + k \# + j) = c(j) by simp
  } then show \forall j \in m. e(n \# k \# j) = c(j)
    by simp
qed
Concatenation is associative.
theorem concat_assoc:
  assumes A1: n \in nat k \in nat m \in nat and
  A2: a:n \rightarrow X b:k \rightarrow X c:m \rightarrow X
  shows Concat(Concat(a,b),c) = Concat(a, Concat(b,c))
proof -
  let d = Concat(Concat(a,b),c)
  let e = Concat(a, Concat(b,c))
  from A1 A2 have
    d : n #+k #+ m \rightarrow X and e : n #+k #+ m \rightarrow X
    using concat_concat_list concat_list_concat by auto
  moreover have \forall i \in n \text{ #+k #+ m. d(i) = e(i)}
  proof -
    { fix i assume i \in n #+k #+ m
       moreover from A1 have
 n #+k #+ m = n \cup NatInterval(n,k) \cup NatInterval(n #+ k,m)
 using adjacent_intervals3 by simp
       ultimately have
 \texttt{i} \,\in\, \texttt{n} \,\vee\, \texttt{i} \,\in\, \texttt{NatInterval(n,k)} \,\vee\, \texttt{i} \,\in\, \texttt{NatInterval(n \#+ k,m)}
 by simp
       moreover
       \{ assume i \in n \}
 with A1 A2 have d(i) = e(i)
 using concat_concat_list concat_list_concat by simp }
       \{ \text{ assume i } \in \text{NatInterval(n,k)} \}
 then obtain j where j \in k and i = n \# + j
   using NatInterval_def by auto
 with A1 A2 have d(i) = e(i)
```

```
using concat_concat_list concat_list_concat by simp }
      moreover
      { assume i ∈ NatInterval(n #+ k,m)
 then obtain j where j \in m and i = n \# k \# j
   using NatInterval_def by auto
 with A1 A2 have d(i) = e(i)
   using concat_concat_list concat_list_concat by simp }
      ultimately have d(i) = e(i) by auto
    } thus thesis by simp
  qed
  ultimately show d = e by (rule func_eq)
Properties of Tail.
theorem tail_props:
  assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: a: succ(n) \rightarrow X
  shows
  Tail(a) : n \rightarrow X
  \forall k \in n. Tail(a)(k) = a(succ(k))
proof -
  from A1 A2 have \forall k \in n. a(succ(k)) \in X
    using succ_ineq apply_funtype by simp
  then have \{\langle k, a(succ(k)) \rangle : k \in n\} : n \to X
    by (rule ZF_fun_from_total)
  with A2 show I: Tail(a) : n \rightarrow X
    using func1_1_L1 pred_succ_eq Tail_def by simp
  moreover from A2 have Tail(a) = \{\langle k, a(succ(k)) \rangle, k \in n\}
    using func1_1_L1 pred_succ_eq Tail_def by simp
  ultimately show \forall k \in n. Tail(a)(k) = a(succ(k))
    by (rule ZF_fun_from_tot_val0)
qed
Properties of Append. It is a bit surprising that the we don't need to assume
that n is a natural number.
theorem append_props:
  assumes A1: a: n \rightarrow X and A2: x \in X and A3: b = Append(a,x)
  shows
  b : succ(n) \rightarrow X
  \forall k \in n. b(k) = a(k)
  b(n) = x
proof -
  note A1
  moreover have I: n ∉ n using mem_not_refl by simp
  moreover from A1 A3 have II: b = a \cup \{\langle n, x \rangle\}
    using func1_1_L1 Append_def by simp
  ultimately have b : n \cup \{n\} \rightarrow X \cup \{x\}
    by (rule func1_1_L11D)
  with A2 show b : succ(n) \rightarrow X
    using succ_explained set_elem_add by simp
```

```
from A1 I II show \forall k \in n. b(k) = a(k) and b(n) = x
    using func1_1_L11D by auto
qed
A special case of append_props: appending to a nonempty list does not
change the head (first element) of the list.
corollary head_of_append:
  assumes ne nat and a: succ(n) \rightarrow X and x \in X
  shows Append(a,x)(0) = a(0)
  using assms append_props empty_in_every_succ by auto
Tail commutes with Append.
theorem tail_append_commute:
  assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: a: succ(n) \rightarrow X and A3: x\inX
  shows Append(Tail(a),x) = Tail(Append(a,x))
proof -
  let b = Append(Tail(a),x)
  let c = Tail(Append(a,x))
  from A1 A2 have I: Tail(a) : n \rightarrow X using tail_props
    by simp
  from A1 A2 A3 have
    succ(n) \in nat \ and \ Append(a,x) : succ(succ(n)) \rightarrow X
    using append_props by auto
  then have II: \forall k \in succ(n). c(k) = Append(a,x)(succ(k))
    by (rule tail_props)
  from assms have
    b : succ(n) \rightarrow X and c : succ(n) \rightarrow X
    using tail_props append_props by auto
  moreover have \forall k \in succ(n). b(k) = c(k)
  proof -
    { fix k assume k \in succ(n)
      hence k \in n \lor k = n by auto
      moreover
      { assume A4: k \in n
 with assms II have c(k) = a(succ(k))
   \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{succ\_ineq} \ \mathtt{append\_props} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{simp}
 moreover
 from A3 I have \forall k \in n. b(k) = Tail(a)(k)
   using append_props by simp
 with A1 A2 A4 have b(k) = a(succ(k))
   using tail_props by simp
 ultimately have b(k) = c(k) by simp }
      moreover
      \{ assume A5: k = n \}
 with A2 A3 I II have b(k) = c(k)
   using append_props by auto }
      ultimately have b(k) = c(k) by auto
    } thus thesis by simp
  qed
```

```
ultimately show b = c by (rule func_eq)
qed
Properties of Init.
theorem init_props:
  assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: a: succ(n) \rightarrow X
  shows
  \mathtt{Init(a)} \; : \; \mathtt{n} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{X}
  \forall k \in n. \text{ Init(a)(k)} = a(k)
  a = Append(Init(a), a(n))
proof -
  \mathbf{have}\ \mathtt{n}\ \subseteq\ \mathtt{succ(n)}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathtt{auto}
  with A2 have restrict(a,n): n \rightarrow X
    using restrict_type2 by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 have I: restrict(a,n) = Init(a)
     using func1_1_L1 pred_succ_eq Init_def by simp
  ultimately show thesis1: Init(a) : n \rightarrow X by simp
  { fix k assume k∈n
    then have restrict(a,n)(k) = a(k)
       using restrict by simp
    with I have Init(a)(k) = a(k) by simp
  } then show thesis2: \forall k \in n. Init(a)(k) = a(k) by simp
  let b = Append(Init(a), a(n))
  from A2 thesis1 have II:
    \mathtt{Init}(\mathtt{a}) \; : \; \mathtt{n} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{X} \quad \; \mathtt{a}(\mathtt{n}) \; \in \; \mathtt{X}
    b = Append(Init(a), a(n))
    using apply_funtype by auto
  note A2
  moreover from II have b : succ(n) \rightarrow X
    by (rule append_props)
  moreover have \forall k \in succ(n). a(k) = b(k)
  proof -
     { fix k assume A3: k \in n
       from II have \forall j \in n. b(j) = Init(a)(j)
 by (rule append_props)
       with thesis2 A3 have a(k) = b(k) by simp }
    moreover
    from II have b(n) = a(n)
       by (rule append_props)
    hence a(n) = b(n) by simp
    ultimately show \forall k \in succ(n). a(k) = b(k)
       by simp
  qed
  ultimately show a = b by (rule func_eq)
If we take init of the result of append, we get back the same list.
lemma init_append: assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: a:n \rightarrow X and A3: x \in X
  shows Init(Append(a,x)) = a
```

```
proof -
    from A2 A3 have Append(a,x): succ(n)→X using append_props by simp
    with A1 have Init(Append(a,x)):n→X and ∀k∈n. Init(Append(a,x))(k)
= Append(a,x)(k)
    using init_props by auto
    with A2 A3 have ∀k∈n. Init(Append(a,x))(k) = a(k) using append_props
by simp
    with ⟨Init(Append(a,x)):n→X⟩ A2 show thesis by (rule func_eq)
qed

A reformulation of definition of Init.

lemma init_def: assumes n ∈ nat and x:succ(n)→X
    shows Init(x) = restrict(x,n)
    using assms func1_1_L1 Init_def by simp
```

A lemma about extending a finite sequence by one more value. This is just a more explicit version of append_props.

```
lemma finseq_extend:
```

```
assumes a: n \to X y \in X b = a \cup \{\langle n, y \rangle\} shows b: succ(n) \to X \forall k \in n. b(k) = a(k) b(n) = y using assms Append_def func1_1_L1 append_props by auto
```

The next lemma is a bit displaced as it is mainly about finite sets. It is proven here because it uses the notion of Append. Suppose we have a list of element of A is a bijection. Then for every element that does not belong to A we can we can construct a bijection for the set $A \cup \{x\}$ by appending x. This is just a specialised version of lemma bij_extend_point from func1.thy.

```
lemma bij_append_point:
```

```
assumes A1: n \in \text{nat} and A2: b \in \text{bij}(n,X) and A3: x \notin X
  shows Append(b,x) \in bij(succ(n), X \cup {x})
proof -
  from A2 A3 have b \cup \{(n,x)\} \in bij(n \cup \{n\},X \cup \{x\})
    using mem_not_refl bij_extend_point by simp
  moreover have Append(b,x) = b \cup \{\langle n,x \rangle\}
  proof -
    from A2 have b:n\rightarrow X
       using bij_def surj_def by simp
    then have b : n \rightarrow X \cup \{x\} \text{ using func1_1_L1B}
       by blast
    then show Append(b,x) = b \cup \{(n,x)\}
       using Append_def func1_1_L1 by simp
  qed
  ultimately show thesis using succ_explained by auto
qed
```

```
The next lemma rephrases the definition of Last. Recall that in ZF we have \{0, 1, 2, ..., n\} = n + 1 = \operatorname{succ}(n).
```

If two finite sequences are the same when restricted to domain one shorter than the original and have the same value on the last element, then they are equal.

```
lemma finseq_restr_eq: assumes A1: n \in nat and
  A2: a: succ(n) \rightarrow X b: succ(n) \rightarrow X and
  A3: restrict(a,n) = restrict(b,n) and
  A4: a(n) = b(n)
  shows a = b
proof -
  { fix k assume k \in succ(n)
    then have k \in n \lor k = n by auto
    moreover
    \{ assume k \in n \}
       then have
 restrict(a,n)(k) = a(k) and restrict(b,n)(k) = b(k)
 using restrict by auto
       with A3 have a(k) = b(k) by simp }
    moreover
    \{ assume k = n \}
       with A4 have a(k) = b(k) by simp }
    ultimately have a(k) = b(k) by auto
  } then have \forall k \in succ(n). a(k) = b(k) by simp
  with A2 show a = b by (rule func_eq)
Concatenating a list of length 1 is the same as appending its first (and only)
element. Recall that in ZF set theory 1 = \{0\}.
lemma append_1elem: assumes A1: n \in nat and
  A2: a: n \rightarrow X and A3: b : 1 \rightarrow X
  shows Concat(a,b) = Append(a,b(0))
proof -
  let C = Concat(a,b)
  let A = Append(a,b(0))
  from A1 A2 A3 have I:
    \mathtt{n}\,\in\,\mathtt{nat}\quad \mathtt{1}\,\in\,\mathtt{nat}
    a:n\rightarrow X b:1\rightarrow X by auto
  have C : succ(n) \rightarrow X
  proof -
    from I have C : n \# + 1 \rightarrow X
       by (rule concat_props)
    with A1 show C : succ(n) \rightarrow X by simp
```

moreover from A2 A3 have A : $succ(n) \rightarrow X$

```
using apply_funtype append_props by simp
  moreover have \forall k \in succ(n). C(k) = A(k)
  proof
    fix k assume k \in succ(n)
    moreover
    \{ assume k \in n \}
      moreover from I have \forall i \in n. C(i) = a(i)
 by (rule concat_props)
      moreover from A2 A3 have \forall i \in n. A(i) = a(i)
 using apply_funtype append_props by simp
      ultimately have C(k) = A(k) by simp }
    moreover have C(n) = A(n)
    proof -
      from I have \forall j \in 1. C(n \# + j) = b(j)
 by (rule concat_props)
      with A1 A2 A3 show C(n) = A(n)
 using apply_funtype append_props by simp
    qed
    ultimately show C(k) = A(k) by auto
  ultimately show C = A by (rule func_eq)
qed
A simple lemma about lists of length 1.
lemma list_len1_singleton: assumes A1: x \in X
  shows \{(0,x)\}: 1 \rightarrow X
proof -
  from A1 have \{(0,x)\}: \{0\} \rightarrow X using pair_func_singleton
    by simp
  moreover have \{0\} = 1 by auto
  ultimately show thesis by simp
A singleton list is in fact a singleton set with a pair as the only element.
lemma list_singleton_pair: assumes A1: x:1\rightarrow X shows x = \{(0,x(0))\}
proof -
  from A1 have x = \{\langle t, x(t) \rangle, t \in 1\} by (rule fun_is_set_of_pairs)
  hence x = \{\langle t, x(t) \rangle, t \in \{0\}\} by simp
  thus thesis by simp
\mathbf{qed}
When we append an element to the empty list we get a list with length 1.
lemma empty_append1: assumes A1: x \in X
  shows Append(0,x): 1 \rightarrow X and Append(0,x)(0) = x
proof -
  let a = Append(0,x)
  have a = \{\langle 0, x \rangle\} using Append_def by auto
  with A1 show a : 1 \rightarrow X and a(0) = x
    using list_len1_singleton pair_func_singleton
```

```
by auto qed
```

Appending an element is the same as concatenating with certain pair.

```
lemma append_concat_pair: assumes n \in \text{nat} and a: n \to X and x \in X shows Append(a,x) = Concat(a,{\langle 0,x \rangle}) using assms list_len1_singleton append_1elem pair_val by simp
```

An associativity property involving concatenation and appending. For proof we just convert appending to concatenation and use concat_assoc.

```
lemma \ concat\_append\_assoc: \ assumes \ \texttt{A1:} \ n \in nat \quad k \in nat \ and
  A2: a:n\rightarrow X b:k\rightarrow X and A3: x\in X
  shows Append(Concat(a,b),x) = Concat(a, Append(b,x))
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 have
     n \# k \in nat \quad Concat(a,b) : n \# k \rightarrow X
                                                           x \in X
     using concat\_props by auto
  then have
     Append(Concat(a,b),x) = Concat(Concat(a,b),\{(0,x)\})
     by (rule append_concat_pair)
  moreover
  from A1 A2 A3 have
    n\,\in\, \mathtt{nat}\quad k\,\in\, \mathtt{nat}\quad 1\,\in\, \mathtt{nat}
                b:k \rightarrow X \quad \{\langle 0, x \rangle\} : 1 \rightarrow X
      \mathtt{a}\!:\!\mathtt{n}\!\!\to\!\!\mathtt{X}
     using list_len1_singleton by auto
  then have
     Concat(Concat(a,b),\{\langle 0,x\rangle\}) = Concat(a, Concat(b,\{\langle 0,x\rangle\}))
     by (rule concat_assoc)
  moreover from A1 A2 A3 have Concat(b,\{(0,x)\}\) = Append(b,x)
     using list_len1_singleton append_1elem pair_val by simp
  ultimately show Append(Concat(a,b),x) = Concat(a, Append(b,x))
     by simp
qed
```

An identity involving concatenating with init and appending the last element.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma concat\_init\_last\_elem:} \\ \textbf{assumes } n \in \texttt{nat } k \in \texttt{nat and} \\ \textbf{a: } n \to \texttt{X} \quad \textbf{and } \textbf{b: } \texttt{succ(k)} \to \texttt{X} \\ \textbf{shows Append(Concat(a,Init(b)),b(k))} = \texttt{Concat(a,b)} \\ \textbf{using assms init\_props apply\_funtype concat\_append\_assoc} \\ \textbf{by simp} \end{array}
```

A lemma about creating lists by composition and how Append behaves in such case.

lemma list_compose_append:

```
assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: a : n \rightarrow X and
  A3: x \in X and A4: c : X \rightarrow Y
  shows
  c O Append(a,x) : succ(n) \rightarrow Y
  c \cap Append(a,x) = Append(c \cap a, c(x))
proof -
  let b = Append(a,x)
  let d = Append(c \ O \ a, \ c(x))
  from A2 A4 have c O a : n \rightarrow Y
     using comp_fun by simp
  from A2 A3 have b : succ(n) \rightarrow X
     using append_props by simp
  with A4 show c 0 b : succ(n) \rightarrow Y
     using comp_fun by simp
  moreover from A3 A4 \langle c \ 0 \ a : n \rightarrow Y \rangle have
     d: succ(n) \rightarrow Y
     using apply_funtype append_props by simp
  moreover have \forall k \in succ(n). (c 0 b) (k) = d(k)
  proof -
     { fix k assume k \in succ(n)
       with \langle b : succ(n) \rightarrow X \rangle have
 (c 0 b) (k) = c(b(k))
 using comp_fun_apply by simp
       \mathbf{with} \ \texttt{A2 A3 A4} \ \langle \texttt{c O a} : \texttt{n} \rightarrow \texttt{Y} \rangle \ \langle \texttt{c O a} : \texttt{n} \rightarrow \texttt{Y} \rangle \ \langle \texttt{k} \in \texttt{succ(n)} \rangle
       have (c \ 0 \ b) \ (k) = d(k)
 using append_props comp_fun_apply apply_funtype
 by auto
     } thus thesis by simp
  \mathbf{qed}
  ultimately show c 0 b = d by (rule func_eq)
A lemma about appending an element to a list defined by set comprehension.
lemma set_list_append: assumes
  A1: \forall i \in succ(k). b(i) \in X and
  A2: a = \{\langle i, b(i) \rangle. i \in succ(k)\}
  shows
  a: succ(k) \rightarrow X
  \{\langle i,b(i)\rangle.\ i\in k\}:\ k\to X
  a = Append(\{(i,b(i)) : i \in k\},b(k))
  from A1 have \{(i,b(i)).\ i \in succ(k)\}: succ(k) \rightarrow X
     by (rule ZF_fun_from_total)
  with A2 show a: succ(k) \rightarrow X by simp
  from A1 have \forall i \in k. b(i) \in X
     by simp
  then show \{(i,b(i)).\ i \in k\}: k \to X
     by (rule ZF_fun_from_total)
  with A2 show a = Append(\{\langle i,b(i)\rangle, i \in k\},b(k))
```

```
using func1_1_L1 Append_def by auto
qed
An induction theorem for lists.
lemma list_induct: assumes A1: \forall b \in 1 \rightarrow X. P(b) and
  A2: \forall b \in NELists(X). P(b) \longrightarrow (\forall x \in X. P(Append(b,x))) and
  A3: d ∈ NELists(X)
  shows P(d)
proof -
   { fix n
     assume n∈nat
     moreover from A1 have \forall b \in succ(0) \rightarrow X. P(b) by simp
     moreover have \forall k \in \text{nat.} ((\forall b \in \text{succ}(k) \rightarrow X. P(b)) \rightarrow (\forall c \in \text{succ}(\text{succ}(k)) \rightarrow X.
P(c)))
     proof -
        { fix k assume k \in \text{nat assume } \forall b \in \text{succ}(k) \rightarrow X. P(b)
           have \forall c \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow X. P(c)
           proof
              fix c assume c: succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow X
              let b = Init(c)
              let x = c(succ(k))
              from \langle k \in nat \rangle \langle c: succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow X \rangle have b: succ(k) \rightarrow X
                 using init_props by simp
              with A2 \langle k \in nat \rangle \langle \forall b \in succ(k) \rightarrow X. P(b) have \forall x \in X. P(Append(b,x))
                 using NELists_def by auto
              with \langle c: succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow X \rangle have P(Append(b,x)) using apply_funtype
by simp
              with \langle k \in nat \rangle \langle c: succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow X \rangle show P(c)
                 using init_props by simp
           qed
        } thus thesis by simp
     ultimately have \forall b \in succ(n) \rightarrow X. P(b) by (rule ind_on_nat)
   } with A3 show thesis using NELists_def by auto
qed
```

18.2 Lists and cartesian products

Lists of length n of elements of some set X can be thought of as a model of the cartesian product X^n which is more convenient in many applications.

There is a natural bijection between the space $(n+1) \to X$ of lists of length n+1 of elements of X and the cartesian product $(n \to X) \times X$.

```
lemma lists_cart_prod: assumes n \in nat shows \{\langle x, \langle Init(x), x(n) \rangle \rangle : x \in succ(n) \rightarrow X\} \in bij(succ(n) \rightarrow X, (n \rightarrow X) \times X) proof - let f = \{\langle x, \langle Init(x), x(n) \rangle \rangle : x \in succ(n) \rightarrow X\} from assms have \forall x \in succ(n) \rightarrow X : \langle Init(x), x(n) \rangle \in (n \rightarrow X) \times X using init_props succ_iff apply_funtype by simp
```

```
then have I: f: (succ(n) \rightarrow X) \rightarrow ((n \rightarrow X) \times X) by (rule ZF_fun_from_total)
  moreover from assms I have \forall x \in succ(n) \rightarrow X. \forall y \in succ(n) \rightarrow X. f(x) = f(y)
\longrightarrow x=y
     using ZF_fun_from_tot_val init_def finseq_restr_eq by auto
  moreover have \forall p \in (n \rightarrow X) \times X \cdot \exists x \in succ(n) \rightarrow X. f(x) = p
  proof
     fix p assume p \in (n \rightarrow X) \times X
     let x = Append(fst(p),snd(p))
     from assms \langle p \in (n \rightarrow X) \times X \rangle have x:succ(n)\rightarrow X using append_props by
simp
      with I have f(x) = \langle Init(x), x(n) \rangle using succ_iff ZF_fun_from_tot_val
by simp
     moreover from assms \langle p \in (n \rightarrow X) \times X \rangle have Init(x) = fst(p) and x(n)
= snd(p)
        using init_append append_props by auto
     ultimately have f(x) = \langle fst(p), snd(p) \rangle by auto
     with \langle p \in (n \rightarrow X) \times X \rangle \langle x: succ(n) \rightarrow X \rangle show \exists x \in succ(n) \rightarrow X. f(x) = p by
auto
  aed
  ultimately show thesis using inj_def surj_def bij_def by auto
We can identify a set X with lists of length one of elements of X.
lemma singleton_list_bij: shows \{\langle x, x(0) \rangle . x \in 1 \rightarrow X\} \in bij(1 \rightarrow X, X)
proof -
  let f = \{\langle x, x(0) \rangle . x \in 1 \rightarrow X\}
  have \forall x \in 1 \rightarrow X. x(0) \in X using apply_funtype by simp
  then have I: f:(1\rightarrow X)\rightarrow X by (rule ZF_fun_from_total)
  moreover have \forall x \in 1 \rightarrow X. \forall y \in 1 \rightarrow X. f(x) = f(y) \rightarrow x=y
  proof -
     { fix x y
        assume x:1\rightarrow X y:1\rightarrow X and f(x) = f(y)
        with I have x(0) = y(0) using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by auto
        moreover from \langle x:1 \rightarrow X \rangle \langle y:1 \rightarrow X \rangle have x = \{\langle 0, x(0) \rangle\} and y = \{\langle 0, y(0) \rangle\}
           using list_singleton_pair by auto
        ultimately have x=y by simp
     } thus thesis by auto
  qed
  moreover have \forall y \in X. \exists x \in 1 \rightarrow X. f(x)=y
  proof
     fix y assume y \in X
     let x = \{(0,y)\}
     from I \langle y \in X \rangle have x:1 \rightarrow X and f(x) = y
        using list_len1_singleton ZF_fun_from_tot_val pair_val by auto
     thus \exists x \in 1 \rightarrow X. f(x) = y by auto
  ultimately show thesis using inj_def surj_def bij_def by simp
qed
```

```
We can identify a set of X-valued lists of length with X.
lemma list_singleton_bij: shows
   \{\langle x, \{\langle 0, x \rangle\} \rangle . x \in X\} \in bij(X, 1 \rightarrow X) \text{ and }
   \{\langle y, y(0) \rangle : y \in 1 \rightarrow X\} = \text{converse}(\{\langle x, \{\langle 0, x \rangle\} \rangle : x \in X\}) \text{ and }
   \{\langle x, \{\langle 0, x \rangle \} \rangle . x \in X\} = converse(\{\langle y, y(0) \rangle . y \in 1 \rightarrow X\})
proof -
   let f = \{\langle y,y(0)\rangle, y\in 1\rightarrow X\}
  let g = \{\langle x, \{\langle 0, x \rangle\} \rangle . x \in X\}
  have 1 = \{0\} by auto
  then have f \in bij(1\rightarrow X, X) and g: X\rightarrow (1\rightarrow X)
      using singleton_list_bij pair_func_singleton ZF_fun_from_total
  moreover have \forall y \in 1 \rightarrow X.g(f(y)) = y
  proof
      fix y assume y:1\rightarrow X
      have f:(1\rightarrow X)\rightarrow X using singleton_list_bij bij_def inj_def by simp
      with \langle 1 = \{0\} \rangle \langle y: 1 \rightarrow X \rangle \langle g: X \rightarrow (1 \rightarrow X) \rangle show g(f(y)) = y
         using ZF_fun_from_tot_val apply_funtype func_singleton_pair
         by simp
  ged
   ultimately show g \in bij(X,1\rightarrow X) and f = converse(g) and g = converse(f)
      using comp_conv_id by auto
qed
What is the inverse image of a set by the natural bijection between X-valued
singleton lists and X?
lemma singleton_vimage: assumes U\subseteq X shows \{x\in 1\to X: x(0)\in U\}=\{\{(0,y)\}.
v \in U
proof
  have 1 = \{0\} by auto
   { fix x assume x \in \{x \in 1 \rightarrow X. x(0) \in U\}
      with \langle 1 = \{0\} \rangle have x = \{\langle 0, x(0) \rangle\} using func_singleton_pair by auto
   } thus \{x \in 1 \rightarrow X. \ x(0) \in U\} \subseteq \{ \{\langle 0,y \rangle\}. \ y \in U\}  by auto
   { fix x assume x \in \{ \{\langle 0, y \rangle \} . y \in U \}
      then obtain y where x = \{(0,y)\}\ and y \in U by auto
      with (1 = \{0\}) assms have x:1\rightarrowX using pair_func_singleton by auto
   } thus { \{\langle 0,y\rangle\}. y\in U\}\subseteq \{x\in 1\rightarrow X.\ x(0)\in U\} by auto
A technical lemma about extending a list by values from a set.
lemma list_append_from: assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: U \subseteq n \rightarrow X and A3:
V \subseteq X
  shows
   \{x \in succ(n) \rightarrow X. \ Init(x) \in U \land x(n) \in V\} = (\bigcup y \in V.\{Append(x,y).x \in U\})
   \{ \text{ fix x assume } x \in \{x \in \text{succ(n)} \rightarrow X. \text{ Init(x)} \in U \land x(n) \in V \} 
      then have x \in succ(n) \rightarrow X and Init(x) \in U and I: x(n) \in V
```

```
by auto
     let y = x(n)
     from A1 and (x \in succ(n) \rightarrow X) have x = Append(Init(x),y)
        using init_props by simp
     with I and \langle Init(x) \in U \rangle have x \in (\lfloor Jy \in V. \{Append(a,y).a \in U\}) by auto
  }
  moreover
  { fix x assume x \in (\bigcup y \in V.\{Append(a,y).a \in U\})
     then obtain a y where y \in V and a \in U and x = Append(a,y) by auto
     with A2 A3 have x: succ(n) \rightarrow X using append_props by blast
     from A2 A3 \langle y \in V \rangle \langle a \in U \rangle have a:n \rightarrow X and y \in X by auto
     with A1 \langle a \in U \rangle \langle y \in V \rangle \langle x = Append(a,y) \rangle have Init(x) \in U and x(n) \in V
        using append_props init_append by auto
     with \langle x : succ(n) \rightarrow X \rangle have x \in \{x \in succ(n) \rightarrow X . Init(x) \in U \land x(n) \}
\in V
        by auto
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
end
```

19 Inductive sequences

theory InductiveSeq_ZF imports Nat_ZF_IML FiniteSeq_ZF

begin

In this theory we discuss sequences defined by conditions of the form $a_0 = x$, $a_{n+1} = f(a_n)$ and similar.

19.1 Sequences defined by induction

One way of defining a sequence (that is a function $a : \mathbb{N} \to X$) is to provide the first element of the sequence and a function to find the next value when we have the current one. This is usually called "defining a sequence by induction". In this section we set up the notion of a sequence defined by induction and prove the theorems needed to use it.

First we define a helper notion of the sequence defined inductively up to a given natural number n.

definition

```
InductiveSequenceN(x,f,n) \equiv THE a. a: succ(n) \rightarrow domain(f) \land a(0) = x \land (\forall k \in n. \ a(succ(k)) = f(a(k)))
```

From that we define the inductive sequence on the whole set of natural

numbers. Recall that in Isabelle/ZF the set of natural numbers is denoted nat.

definition

```
InductiveSequence(x,f) \equiv \bigcup n \in nat. InductiveSequenceN(x,f,n)
```

First we will consider the question of existence and uniqueness of finite inductive sequences. The proof is by induction and the next lemma is the P(0) step. To understand the notation recall that for natural numbers in set theory we have $n = \{0, 1, ..., n - 1\}$ and $succ(n) = \{0, 1, ..., n\}$.

```
lemma indseq_exun0: assumes A1: f: X \rightarrow X and A2: x \in X
  shows
  \exists! a. a: succ(0) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land ( \forall k \in 0. \ a(succ(k)) = f(a(k)) )
proof
  fix a b
  assume A3:
     a: succ(0) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land ( \forall k \in 0. \ a(succ(k)) = f(a(k)) )
    b: succ(0) \rightarrow X \land b(0) = x \land ( \forall k \in 0. b(succ(k)) = f(b(k)) )
  moreover have succ(0) = \{0\} by auto
  ultimately have a: \{0\} \rightarrow X b: \{0\} \rightarrow X by auto
  then have a = \{(0, a(0))\}\ b = \{(0, b(0))\}\ using func_singleton_pair
     by auto
  with A3 show a=b by simp
next
  let a = \{(0,x)\}
  have a : \{0\} \rightarrow \{x\} using singleton_fun by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 have \{x\} \subseteq X by simp
  ultimately have a : \{0\} \rightarrow X
     using func1_1_L1B by blast
  moreover have \{0\} = succ(0) by auto
  ultimately have a : succ(0) \rightarrow X by simp
  with A1 show
     \exists a. a: succ(0) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land (\forall k \in 0. \ a(succ(k)) = f(a(k)))
     using singleton_apply by auto
qed
```

A lemma about restricting finite sequences needed for the proof of the inductive step of the existence and uniqueness of finite inductive sequences.

```
lemma indseq_restrict:
```

```
assumes A1: f: X\rightarrowX and A2: x\inX and A3: n \in nat and A4: a: succ(succ(n))\rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land (\forallk\insucc(n). a(succ(k)) = f(a(k))) and A5: a<sub>r</sub> = restrict(a,succ(n)) shows a<sub>r</sub>: succ(n) \rightarrow X \land a<sub>r</sub>(0) = x \land (\forallk\inn. a<sub>r</sub>(succ(k)) = f(a<sub>r</sub>(k))) proof - from A3 have succ(n) \subseteq succ(succ(n)) by auto with A4 A5 have a<sub>r</sub>: succ(n) \rightarrow X using restrict_type2 by auto moreover from A3 have 0 \in succ(n) using empty_in_every_succ by simp
```

```
with A4 A5 have a_r(0) = x using restrict_if by simp
  moreover from A3 A4 A5 have \forall k \in n. a_r(succ(k)) = f(a_r(k))
    using succ_ineq restrict_if by auto
  ultimately show thesis by simp
ged
Existence and uniqueness of finite inductive sequences. The proof is by
induction and the next lemma is the inductive step.
lemma indseq_exun_ind:
  assumes A1: f: X \rightarrow X and A2: x \in X and A3: n \in nat and
  A4: \exists! a. a: succ(n) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land (\forall k \in n. \ a(succ(k)) = f(a(k)))
  shows
  \exists! a. a: succ(succ(n)) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land
  ( \forall k \in succ(n). a(succ(k)) = f(a(k)))
proof
  fix a b assume
    A5: a: succ(succ(n)) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land
    (\forall k \in succ(n). a(succ(k)) = f(a(k))) and
    A6: b: succ(succ(n)) \rightarrow X \land b(0) = x \land
    ( \forall k \in succ(n). b(succ(k)) = f(b(k)) )
  show a = b
  proof -
    let a_r = restrict(a, succ(n))
    let b_r = restrict(b, succ(n))
    note A1 A2 A3 A5
    moreover have a_r = restrict(a, succ(n)) by simp
    ultimately have I:
       a_r: succ(n) \rightarrow X \land a_r(0) = X \land (\forall k \in n. \ a_r(succ(k)) = f(a_r(k)))
       {f by} (rule indseq_restrict)
    note A1 A2 A3 A6
    moreover have b_r = restrict(b, succ(n)) by simp
    ultimately have
       b_r: succ(n) \rightarrow X \land b_r(0) = x \land ( \forall k\inn. b_r(succ(k)) = f(b_r(k)) )
       by (rule indseq_restrict)
    with A4 I have II: a_r = b_r by blast
    from A3 have succ(n) \in nat by simp
    moreover from A5 A6 have
       a: succ(succ(n)) \rightarrow X and b: succ(succ(n)) \rightarrow X
       by auto
    moreover note II
    moreover
    have T: n \in succ(n) by simp
    then have a_r(n) = a(n) and b_r(n) = b(n) using restrict
       by auto
    with A5 A6 II T have a(succ(n)) = b(succ(n)) by simp
    ultimately show a = b by (rule finseq_restr_eq)
  qed
```

 \exists a. a: $succ(succ(n)) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land$

next show

```
( \forall k \in succ(n). \ a(succ(k)) = f(a(k)) )
  proof -
    from A4 obtain a where III: a: succ(n) \rightarrow X and IV: a(0) = x
       and V: \forall k \in n. \ a(succ(k)) = f(a(k)) by auto
    let b = a \cup \{\langle succ(n), f(a(n)) \rangle\}
    from A1 III have
       VI: b : succ(succ(n)) \rightarrow X \text{ and }
       VII: \forall k \in succ(n). b(k) = a(k) and
       VIII: b(succ(n)) = f(a(n))
       using apply_funtype finseq_extend by auto
    from A3 have 0 ∈ succ(n) using empty_in_every_succ by simp
    with IV VII have IX: b(0) = x by auto
    { fix k assume k \in succ(n)
       then have k \in n \ \lor \ k = n \ by auto
       moreover
       { assume A7: k \in n
 with A3 VII have b(succ(k)) = a(succ(k))
   using succ_ineq by auto
 also from A7 V VII have a(succ(k)) = f(b(k)) by simp
 finally have b(succ(k)) = f(b(k)) by simp }
       moreover
       \{ assume A8: k = n \}
 with VIII have b(succ(k)) = f(a(k)) by simp
 with A8 VII VIII have b(succ(k)) = f(b(k)) by simp }
       ultimately have b(succ(k)) = f(b(k)) by auto
    } then have \forall k \in succ(n). b(succ(k)) = f(b(k)) by simp
    with VI IX show thesis by auto
  ged
qed
The next lemma combines indseq_exun0 and indseq_exun_ind to show the
existence and uniqueness of finite sequences defined by induction.
lemma indseq_exun:
  assumes A1: f: X\rightarrow X and A2: x\in X and A3: n\in nat
  shows
  \exists! a. a: succ(n) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land (\forall k \in n. a(succ(k)) = f(a(k)))
proof -
  note A3
  moreover from A1 A2 have
    \exists! a. a: succ(0) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land ( \forall k \in 0. \ a(succ(k)) = f(a(k)) )
    using indseq_exun0 by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 have \forall k \in nat.
    (\exists! a. a: succ(k) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land
    ( \forall i \in k. \ a(succ(i)) = f(a(i)) )) \longrightarrow
    (\exists! a. a: succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land
    ( \forall i \in succ(k). a(succ(i)) = f(a(i)) )
    using indseq_exun_ind by simp
  ultimately show
    \exists! a. a: succ(n) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land ( \forall k \in n. \ a(succ(k)) = f(a(k)) )
```

```
by (rule ind_on_nat)
qed
We are now ready to prove the main theorem about finite inductive se-
quences.
theorem fin_indseq_props:
  assumes A1: f: X \rightarrow X and A2: x \in X and A3: n \in nat and
  A4: a = InductiveSequenceN(x,f,n)
  shows
  a: succ(n) \rightarrow X
  a(0) = x
  \forall k \in n. \ a(succ(k)) = f(a(k))
proof -
  let i = THE a. a: succ(n) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land
    ( \forall k \in n. \ a(succ(k)) = f(a(k)) )
  from A1 A2 A3 have
    \exists! a. a: succ(n) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land ( \forall k \in n. \ a(succ(k)) = f(a(k)) )
    using indseq_exun by simp
  then have
    i: succ(n) \rightarrow X \land i(0) = x \land ( \forall k \in n. i(succ(k)) = f(i(k)) )
    by (rule theI)
  moreover from A1 A4 have a = i
    using InductiveSequenceN_def func1_1_L1 by simp
  ultimately show
    a: succ(n) \rightarrow X  a(0) = x \quad \forall k \in n. \ a(succ(k)) = f(a(k))
    by auto
qed
A corollary about the domain of a finite inductive sequence.
corollary fin_indseq_domain:
  assumes A1: f: X\rightarrow X and A2: x\in X and A3: n \in nat
  shows domain(InductiveSequenceN(x,f,n)) = succ(n)
proof -
  from assms have InductiveSequenceN(x,f,n) : succ(n) \rightarrow X
    using fin_indseq_props by simp
  then show thesis using func1_1_L1 by simp
The collection of finite sequences defined by induction is consistent in the
sense that the restriction of the sequence defined on a larger set to the
smaller set is the same as the sequence defined on the smaller set.
lemma indseq_consistent: assumes A1: f: X \rightarrow X and A2: x \in X and
  A3: i \in nat \quad j \in nat \quad and \quad A4: \quad i \subseteq j
  restrict(InductiveSequenceN(x,f,j),succ(i)) = InductiveSequenceN(x,f,i)
proof -
  let a = InductiveSequenceN(x,f,j)
  let b = restrict(InductiveSequenceN(x,f,j),succ(i))
```

```
let c = InductiveSequenceN(x,f,i)
  from A1 A2 A3 have
    a: succ(j) \rightarrow X a(0) = x   \forall k \in j.   a(succ(k)) = f(a(k))
    using fin_indseq_props by auto
  with A3 A4 have
    b: succ(i) \rightarrow X \land b(0) = x \land ( \forall k \in i. b(succ(k)) = f(b(k)))
    using succ_subset restrict_type2 empty_in_every_succ restrict succ_ineq
  moreover from A1 A2 A3 have
    c: succ(i) \rightarrow X \land c(0) = x \land ( \forall k \in i. c(succ(k)) = f(c(k)))
    using fin_indseq_props by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 A3 have
    \exists! a. a: succ(i) \rightarrow X \land a(0) = x \land ( \forall k \in i. a(succ(k)) = f(a(k)) )
    using indseq_exun by simp
  ultimately show b = c by blast
qed
For any two natural numbers one of the corresponding inductive sequences
is contained in the other.
lemma indseq_subsets: assumes A1: f: X \rightarrow X and A2: x \in X and
  A3: i \in nat \ j \in nat \ and
  A4: a = InductiveSequenceN(x,f,i) b = InductiveSequenceN(x,f,j)
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{a} \subseteq \mathtt{b} \ \lor \ \mathtt{b} \subseteq \mathtt{a}
proof -
  from A3 have i\subseteq j \lor j\subseteq i using nat_incl_total by simp
  moreover
  { assume i⊆j
    with A1 A2 A3 A4 have restrict(b,succ(i)) = a
       using indseq_consistent by simp
    moreover have restrict(b,succ(i)) ⊆ b
       using restrict_subset by simp
    ultimately have a \subseteq b \lor b \subseteq a by simp }
  moreover
  { assume j⊆i
    with A1 A2 A3 A4 have restrict(a,succ(j)) = b
       using indseq_consistent by simp
    moreover have restrict(a,succ(j)) ⊆ a
       using restrict_subset by simp
    ultimately have a \subseteq b \lor b \subseteq a by simp }
  ultimately show a \subseteq b \lor b \subseteq a by auto
The first theorem about properties of infinite inductive sequences: inductive
sequence is a indeed a sequence (i.e. a function on the set of natural numbers.
theorem indseq_seq: assumes A1: f: X \rightarrow X and A2: x \in X
  shows InductiveSequence(x,f) : nat \rightarrow X
proof -
  let S = \{InductiveSequenceN(x,f,n). n \in nat\}
  { fix a assume a \in S
```

```
then obtain n where n \in nat and a = InductiveSequenceN(x,f,n)
       by auto
    with A1 A2 have a : succ(n) \rightarrow X using fin_indseq_props
       by simp
    then have \exists A B. a:A \rightarrow B by auto
  } then have \forall a \in S. \exists A B. a: A \rightarrow B by auto
  moreover
  { fix a b assume a \in S b \in S
    then obtain i j where i \in nat j \in nat and
       a = InductiveSequenceN(x,f,i) b = InductiveSequenceN(x,f,j)
       by auto
    with A1 A2 have a b b a using indseq_subsets by simp
  } then have \forall a \in S. \forall b \in S. a \subseteq b \lor b \subseteq a by auto
  ultimately have \bigcup S : domain(\bigcup S) \rightarrow range(\bigcup S)
    using fun_Union by simp
  with A1 A2 have I: \lfloor \rfloor S : nat \rightarrow range(\lfloor \rfloor S)
    using domain_UN fin_indseq_domain nat_union_succ by simp
  moreover
  { fix k assume A3: k \in nat
    let y = (\lfloor \rfloor S)(k)
    note I A3
    moreover have y = (\bigcup S)(k) by simp
    ultimately have \langle k, y \rangle \in (\bigcup S) by (rule func1_1_L5A)
    then obtain n where n \in nat and II: \langle k,y \rangle \in InductiveSequenceN(x,f,n)
       by auto
    with A1 A2 have InductiveSequenceN(x,f,n): succ(n) \rightarrow X
       using fin_indseq_props by simp
    with II have y \in X using func1_1_L5 by blast
  } then have \forall k \in nat. (US)(k) \in X by simp
  ultimately have \bigcup S: nat \rightarrow X using func1_1_L1A
    by blast
  then show InductiveSequence(x,f) : nat \rightarrow X
    using InductiveSequence_def by simp
qed
Restriction of an inductive sequence to a finite domain is the corresponding
finite inductive sequence.
lemma indseq_restr_eq:
  assumes A1: f: X\rightarrow X and A2: x\in X and A3: n\in nat
  shows
  restrict(InductiveSequence(x,f),succ(n)) = InductiveSequenceN(x,f,n)
proof -
  let a = InductiveSequence(x,f)
  let b = InductiveSequenceN(x,f,n)
  let S = \{InductiveSequenceN(x,f,n). n \in nat\}
  from A1 A2 A3 have
    I: a : nat \rightarrow X and succ(n) \subseteq nat
    using indseq_seq succnat_subset_nat by auto
  then have restrict(a,succ(n)) : succ(n) \rightarrow X
```

```
using restrict_type2 by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 A3 have b : succ(n) \rightarrow X
    using fin_indseq_props by simp
  moreover
  { fix k assume A4: k \in succ(n)
    from A1 A2 A3 I have
      \label{eq:constraints} \mbox{[JS : nat $\rightarrow$ $X$ } \mbox{ } \mbox{b} \in \mbox{S} \mbox{ } \mbox{b} : \mbox{succ(n)} \mbox{ } \mbox{$\rightarrow$} \mbox{$X$}
      using InductiveSequence_def fin_indseq_props by auto
    with A4 have restrict(a, succ(n))(k) = b(k)
      using fun_Union_apply InductiveSequence_def restrict_if
      by simp
  } then have \forall k \in succ(n). restrict(a, succ(n))(k) = b(k)
    by simp
  ultimately show thesis by (rule func_eq)
The first element of the inductive sequence starting at x and generated by
f is indeed x.
theorem indseq_valat0: assumes A1: f: X \rightarrow X and A2: x \in X
  shows InductiveSequence(x,f)(0) = x
proof -
  let a = InductiveSequence(x,f)
  let b = InductiveSequenceN(x,f,0)
  have T: 0 \in \text{nat} 0 \in \text{succ}(0) by auto
  with A1 A2 have b(0) = x
    using fin_indseq_props by simp
  moreover from T have restrict(a, succ(0))(0) = a(0)
    using restrict_if by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 T have
    restrict(a,succ(0)) = b
    using indseq_restr_eq by simp
  ultimately show a(0) = x by simp
qed
An infinite inductive sequence satisfies the inductive relation that defines it.
theorem indseq_vals:
  assumes A1: f: X \rightarrow X and A2: x \in X and A3: n \in nat
  shows
  InductiveSequence(x,f)(succ(n)) = f(InductiveSequence(x,f)(n))
proof -
  let a = InductiveSequence(x,f)
  let b = InductiveSequenceN(x,f,succ(n))
  from A3 have T:
    succ(n) \in succ(succ(n))
    succ(succ(n)) \in nat
    n \in succ(succ(n))
    by auto
  then have a(succ(n)) = restrict(a,succ(succ(n)))(succ(n))
    using restrict_if by simp
```

```
also from A1 A2 T have ... = f(restrict(a,succ(succ(n)))(n))
    using indseq_restr_eq fin_indseq_props by simp
    also from T have ... = f(a(n)) using restrict_if by simp
    finally show a(succ(n)) = f(a(n)) by simp
    qed
```

19.2 Images of inductive sequences

In this section we consider the properties of sets that are images of inductive sequences, that is are of the form $\{f^{(n)}(x):n\in N\}$ for some x in the domain of f, where $f^{(n)}$ denotes the n'th iteration of the function f. For a function $f:X\to X$ and a point $x\in X$ such set is set is sometimes called the orbit of x generated by f.

The basic properties of orbits.

```
theorem ind_seq_image: assumes A1: f: X \rightarrow X and A2: x \in X and
  A3: A = InductiveSequence(x,f)(nat)
  shows x \in A and \forall y \in A. f(y) \in A
proof -
  let a = InductiveSequence(x,f)
  from A1 A2 have a : nat \rightarrow X using indseq_seq
  with A3 have I: A = \{a(n). n \in nat\} using func_imagedef
    by auto hence a(0) \in A by auto
  with A1 A2 show x \in A using indseq_valat0 by simp
  { fix v assume v \in A
    with I obtain n where II: n \in nat and III: y = a(n)
      by auto
    with A1 A2 have a(succ(n)) = f(y)
      using indseq_vals by simp
    moreover from I II have a(succ(n)) \in A by auto
    ultimately have f(y) \in A by simp
  } then show \forall y \in A. f(y) \in A by simp
qed
```

19.3 Subsets generated by a binary operation

In algebra we often talk about sets "generated" by an element, that is sets of the form (in multiplicative notation) $\{a^n|n\in Z\}$. This is a related to a general notion of "power" (as in $a^n=a\cdot a\cdot ...\cdot a$) or multiplicity $n\cdot a=a+a+..+a$. The intuitive meaning of such notions is obvious, but we need to do some work to be able to use it in the formalized setting. This sections is devoted to sequences that are created by repeatedly applying a binary operation with the second argument fixed to some constant.

Basic properties of sets generated by binary operations.

theorem binop_gen_set:

```
assumes A1: f: X \times Y \rightarrow X and A2: x \in X y \in Y and
  A3: a = InductiveSequence(x,Fix2ndVar(f,y))
  shows
  \mathtt{a} \; : \; \mathtt{nat} \; \to \; \mathtt{X}
  a(nat) \in Pow(X)
  x \in a(nat)
  \forall z \in a(nat). Fix2ndVar(f,y)(z) \in a(nat)
proof -
  let g = Fix2ndVar(f,y)
  from A1 A2 have I: g: X \rightarrow X
    using fix_2nd_var_fun by simp
  with A2 A3 show a : nat \rightarrow X
    using indseq_seq by simp
  then show a(nat) ∈ Pow(X) using func1_1_L6 by simp
  from A2 A3 I show x ∈ a(nat) using ind_seq_image by blast
  from A2 A3 I have
    g : X \rightarrow X \quad x \in X \quad a(nat) = InductiveSequence(x,g)(nat)
    by auto
  then show \forall z \in a(nat). Fix2ndVar(f,y)(z) \in a(nat)
    by (rule ind_seq_image)
qed
A simple corollary to the theorem binop_gen_set: a set that contains all
iterations of the application of a binary operation exists.
lemma binop_gen_set_ex: assumes A1: f: X \times Y \rightarrow X and A2: x \in X \quad y \in Y
  shows \{A \in Pow(X). x \in A \land (\forall z \in A. f\langle z,y \rangle \in A) \} \neq 0
proof -
  let a = InductiveSequence(x,Fix2ndVar(f,y))
  let A = a(nat)
  from A1 A2 have I: A \in Pow(X) and x \in A using binop_gen_set
    by auto
  moreover
  { fix z assume T: z \in A
    with A1 A2 have Fix2ndVar(f,y)(z) \in A
       using binop_gen_set by simp
    moreover
    from I T have z \in X by auto
    with A1 A2 have Fix2ndVar(f,y)(z) = f(z,y)
       using fix_var_val by simp
    ultimately have f(z,y) \in A by simp
  } then have \forall z \in A. f\langle z,y \rangle \in A by simp
  ultimately show thesis by auto
A more general version of binop_gen_set where the generating binary oper-
ation acts on a larger set.
theorem binop_gen_set1: assumes A1: f: X×Y \rightarrow X and
  A2: X_1 \subseteq X and A3: x \in X_1 y \in Y and
  A4: \forall t \in X_1. f(t,y) \in X_1 and
```

```
A5: a = InductiveSequence(x,Fix2ndVar(restrict(f,X_1 \times Y),y))
shows
  \mathtt{a} \; : \; \mathtt{nat} \; \to \; \mathtt{X}_1
  a(nat) \in Pow(X_1)
  x \in a(nat)
  \forall z \in a(nat). Fix2ndVar(f,y)(z) \in a(nat)
  \forall z \in a(nat). f\langle z,y \rangle \in a(nat)
  let h = restrict(f,X_1 \times Y)
  let g = Fix2ndVar(h,y)
  from A2 have X_1 \times Y \subseteq X \times Y by auto
  with A1 have I: h : X_1 \times Y \rightarrow X
     using restrict_type2 by simp
  with A3 have II: g: X_1 \rightarrow X using fix_2nd_var_fun by simp
  from A3 A4 I have \forall t \in X_1. g(t) \in X_1
     using restrict fix_var_val by simp
  with II have III: g : X_1 \rightarrow X_1 using func1_1_L1A by blast
  with A3 A5 show a : nat \rightarrow X<sub>1</sub> using indseq_seq by simp
  then show IV: a(nat) \in Pow(X_1) using func1_1_L6 by simp
  from A3 A5 III show x \in a(nat) using ind_seq_image by blast
  from A3 A5 III have
                      x \in X_1 a(nat) = InductiveSequence(x,g)(nat)
     \mathtt{g} \;:\; \mathtt{X}_1 \;\to\; \mathtt{X}_1
     by auto
  then have \forall z \in a(nat). Fix2ndVar(h,y)(z) \in a(nat)
     by (rule ind_seq_image)
  moreover
  { fix z assume z \in a(nat)
     with IV have z \in X_1 by auto
     with A1 A2 A3 have g(z) = Fix2ndVar(f,y)(z)
       using fix_2nd_var_restr_comm restrict by simp
  } then have \forall z \in a(nat). g(z) = Fix2ndVar(f,y)(z) by simp
  ultimately show \forall z \in a(nat). Fix2ndVar(f,y)(z) \in a(nat) by simp
  moreover
  \{ \text{ fix z assume z} \in a(nat) \}
     with A2 IV have z \in X by auto
     with A1 A3 have Fix2ndVar(f,y)(z) = f(z,y)
       using fix_var_val by simp
  } then have \forall z \in a(nat). Fix2ndVar(f,y)(z) = f\langle z, y \rangle
     by simp
  ultimately show \forall z \in a(nat). f(z,y) \in a(nat)
     \mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} simp
qed
```

A generalization of binop_gen_set_ex that applies when the binary operation acts on a larger set. This is used in our Metamath translation to prove the existence of the set of real natural numbers. Metamath defines the real natural numbers as the smallest set that cantains 1 and is closed with respect to operation of adding 1.

lemma binop_gen_set_ex1: assumes A1: f: $X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and

```
A2: X_1 \subseteq X and A3: x \in X_1 y \in Y and A4: \forall t \in X_1. f \langle t, y \rangle \in X_1 shows \{A \in Pow(X_1). x \in A \land (\forall z \in A. f \langle z, y \rangle \in A)\} \neq 0 proof - let a = InductiveSequence(x,Fix2ndVar(restrict(f,X_1 \times Y),y)) let A = a(nat) from A1 A2 A3 A4 have A \in Pow(X_1) \quad x \in A \quad \forall z \in A. f \langle z, y \rangle \in A \quad using binop_gen_set1 by auto thus thesis by auto qed
```

19.4 Inductive sequences with changing generating function

A seemingly more general form of a sequence defined by induction is a sequence generated by the difference equation $x_{n+1} = f_n(x_n)$ where $n \mapsto f_n$ is a given sequence of functions such that each maps X into inself. For example when $f_n(x) := x + x_n$ then the equation $S_{n+1} = f_n(S_n)$ describes the sequence $n \mapsto S_n = s_0 + \sum_{i=0}^n x_i$, i.e. the sequence of partial sums of the sequence $\{s_0, x_0, x_1, x_3, ...\}$.

The situation where the function that we iterate changes with n can be derived from the simpler case if we define the generating function appropriately. Namely, we replace the generating function in the definitions of InductiveSequenceN by the function $f: X \times n \to X \times n$, $f\langle x, k \rangle = \langle f_k(x), k+1 \rangle$ if k < n, $\langle f_k(x), k \rangle$ otherwise. The first notion defines the expression we will use to define the generating function. To understand the notation recall that in standard Isabelle/ZF for a pair $s = \langle x, n \rangle$ we have fst(s) = x and snd(s) = n.

definition

```
\label{eq:stateTransfFunNMeta} \begin{split} & {\tt StateTransfFunNMeta(F,n,s)} \equiv \\ & {\tt if} \ ({\tt snd(s)} \ \in \ n) \ \ {\tt then} \ \ & \langle {\tt F(snd(s))(fst(s))}, \ \ {\tt succ(snd(s))} \rangle \ \ {\tt else} \ \ {\tt s} \end{split}
```

Then we define the actual generating function on sets of pairs from $X \times \{0, 1, ..., n\}$.

definition

```
StateTransfFunN(X,F,n) \equiv \{\langle s, StateTransfFunNMeta(F,n,s) \rangle. \ s \in X \times succ(n)\}
```

Having the generating function we can define the expression that we cen use to define the inductive sequence generates.

definition

```
\begin{split} & \mathtt{StatesSeq}(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{X},\mathtt{F},\mathtt{n}) \; \equiv \\ & \mathtt{InductiveSequenceN}(\langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{0} \rangle, \; \mathtt{StateTransfFunN}(\mathtt{X},\mathtt{F},\mathtt{n}),\mathtt{n}) \end{split}
```

Finally we can define the sequence given by a initial point x, and a sequence F of n functions.

```
definition
  Inductive Seq Var FN(x, X, F, n) \equiv \{\langle k, fst(States Seq(x, X, F, n)(k)) \rangle. k \in succ(n)\}
The state transformation function (StateTransfFunN is a function that trans-
forms X \times n into itself.
lemma state_trans_fun: assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: F: n \rightarrow (X\rightarrowX)
  shows StateTransfFunN(X,F,n): X \times succ(n) \rightarrow X \times succ(n)
proof -
  { fix s assume A3: s \in X \times succ(n)
    let x = fst(s)
    let k = snd(s)
    let S = StateTransfFunNMeta(F,n,s)
     from A3 have T: x \in X k \in succ(n) and \langle x, k \rangle = s by auto
     { assume A4: k \in n
       with A1 have succ(k) \in succ(n) using succ_ineq by simp
       with A2 T A4 have S \in X \times succ(n)
 using apply_funtype StateTransfFunNMeta_def by simp }
     with A2 A3 T have S \in X \times succ(n)
       using apply_funtype StateTransfFunNMeta_def by auto
  } then have \forall s \in X \times succ(n). StateTransfFunNMeta(F,n,s) \in X \times succ(n)
     by simp
  then have
     \{\langle s, StateTransfFunNMeta(F,n,s) \rangle. s \in X \times succ(n)\} : X \times succ(n) \rightarrow X \times succ(n) \}
     by (rule ZF_fun_from_total)
  then show StateTransfFunN(X,F,n): X \times succ(n) \rightarrow X \times succ(n)
     using StateTransfFunN_def by simp
qed
We can apply fin_indseq_props to the sequence used in the definition of
InductiveSeqVarFN to get the properties of the sequence of states generated
by the StateTransfFunN.
lemma states_seq_props:
  assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: F: n \to (X \rightarrow X) and A3: x \in X and
  A4: b = StatesSeq(x,X,F,n)
  shows
  \texttt{b} \; : \; \texttt{succ(n)} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{X} \times \texttt{succ(n)}
  b(0) = \langle x, 0 \rangle
  \forall k \in succ(n). snd(b(k)) = k
  \forall k \in n. \ b(succ(k)) = \langle F(k)(fst(b(k))), \ succ(k) \rangle
proof -
  let f = StateTransfFunN(X,F,n)
  from A1 A2 have I: f : X \times succ(n) \rightarrow X \times succ(n)
     using state_trans_fun by simp
  moreover from A1 A3 have II: \langle x,0 \rangle \in X \times succ(n)
     using empty_in_every_succ by simp
  moreover note A1
  moreover from A4 have III: b = InductiveSequenceN(\langle x, 0 \rangle, f, n)
     using StatesSeq_def by simp
  ultimately show IV: b : succ(n) \rightarrow X \times succ(n)
```

```
by (rule fin_indseq_props)
  from I II A1 III show V: b(0) = \langle x, 0 \rangle
    by (rule fin_indseq_props)
  from I II A1 III have VI: \forall k \in n. b(succ(k)) = f(b(k))
    by (rule fin_indseq_props)
  { fix k
    note I
    moreover
    assume A5: k \in n hence k \in succ(n) by auto
    with IV have b(k) \in X×succ(n) using apply_funtype by simp
    moreover have f = \{(s, StateTransfFunNMeta(F,n,s)) : s \in X \times succ(n)\}
       using StateTransfFunN_def by simp
    ultimately have f(b(k)) = StateTransfFunNMeta(F,n,b(k))
       by (rule ZF_fun_from_tot_val)
  } then have VII: \forall k \in n. f(b(k)) = StateTransfFunNMeta(F,n,b(k))
    by simp
  { fix k assume A5: k \in succ(n)
    note A1 A5
    moreover from V have snd(b(0)) = 0 by simp
    moreover from VI VII have
       \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. \operatorname{snd}(b(j)) = j \longrightarrow \operatorname{snd}(b(\operatorname{succ}(j))) = \operatorname{succ}(j)
       using StateTransfFunNMeta_def by auto
    ultimately have snd(b(k)) = k by (rule fin_nat_ind)
  } then show \forall k \in succ(n). snd(b(k)) = k by simp
  with VI VII show \forall k \in \mathbb{n}. b(succ(k)) = \langle F(k)(fst(b(k))), succ(k) \rangle
    using StateTransfFunNMeta_def by auto
Basic properties of sequences defined by equation x_{n+1} = f_n(x_n).
theorem fin_indseq_var_f_props:
  assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: x \in X and A3: F: n \rightarrow (X \rightarrowX) and
  A4: a = InductiveSeqVarFN(x,X,F,n)
  shows
  a: succ(n) \rightarrow X
  a(0) = x
  \forall k \in n. \ a(succ(k)) = F(k)(a(k))
proof -
  let f = StateTransfFunN(X,F,n)
  let b = StatesSeq(x,X,F,n)
  from A1 A2 A3 have b : succ(n) \rightarrow X \times succ(n)
    using states_seq_props by simp
  then have \forall k \in succ(n). b(k) \in X \times succ(n)
    using apply_funtype by simp
  hence \forall k \in succ(n). fst(b(k)) \in X by auto
  then have I: \{\langle k, fst(b(k)) \rangle | k \in succ(n) \}: succ(n) \rightarrow X
    by (rule ZF_fun_from_total)
  with A4 show II: a: succ(n) \rightarrow X using InductiveSeqVarFN\_def
    by simp
  moreover from A1 have 0 ∈ succ(n) using empty_in_every_succ
```

```
by simp
  moreover from A4 have III:
    a = \{\langle k, fst(StatesSeq(x,X,F,n)(k)) \rangle. k \in succ(n)\}
    using InductiveSeqVarFN_def by simp
  ultimately have a(0) = fst(b(0))
    \mathbf{by} \text{ (rule ZF\_fun\_from\_tot\_val)}
  with A1 A2 A3 show a(0) = x using states_seq_props by auto
  { fix k
    assume A5: k \in n
    with A1 have T1: succ(k) \in succ(n) and T2: k \in succ(n)
      using succ_ineq by auto
    from II T1 III have a(succ(k)) = fst(b(succ(k)))
      by (rule ZF_fun_from_tot_val)
    with A1 A2 A3 A5 have a(succ(k)) = F(k)(fst(b(k)))
      using states_seq_props by simp
    moreover from II T2 III have a(k) = fst(b(k))
      by (rule ZF_fun_from_tot_val)
    ultimately have a(succ(k)) = F(k)(a(k))
      by simp
  } then show \forall k \in n. a(succ(k)) = F(k)(a(k))
    by simp
qed
```

A consistency condition: if we make the sequence of generating functions shorter, then we get a shorter inductive sequence with the same values as in the original sequence.

```
lemma fin_indseq_var_f_restrict: assumes
  A1: n \in nat i \in nat x \in X F: n \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)
                                                     G: i \rightarrow (X \rightarrow X)
  and A2: i \subseteq n and A3: \forall j \in i. G(j) = F(j) and A4: k \in succ(i)
  shows InductiveSeqVarFN(x,X,G,i)(k) = InductiveSeqVarFN(x,X,F,n)(k)
proof -
  let a = InductiveSeqVarFN(x,X,F,n)
  let b = InductiveSeqVarFN(x,X,G,i)
  from A1 A4 have i \in nat k \in succ(i) by auto
  moreover from A1 have b(0) = a(0)
    using fin_indseq_var_f_props by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 A3 have
    \forall j \in i. b(j) = a(j) \longrightarrow b(succ(j)) = a(succ(j))
    using fin_indseq_var_f_props by auto
  ultimately show b(k) = a(k)
    by (rule fin_nat_ind)
qed
```

end

20 Enumerations

theory Enumeration_ZF imports NatOrder_ZF FiniteSeq_ZF FinOrd_ZF

begin

Suppose r is a linear order on a set A that has n elements, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the FinOrd_ZF theory we prove a theorem stating that there is a unique order isomorphism between $n = \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ (with natural order) and A. Another way of stating that is that there is a unique way of counting the elements of A in the order increasing according to relation r. Yet another way of stating the same thing is that there is a unique sorted list of elements of A. We will call this list the Enumeration of A.

20.1 Enumerations: definition and notation

In this section we introduce the notion of enumeration and define a proof context (a "locale" in Isabelle terms) that sets up the notation for writing about enumerations.

We define enumeration as the only order isomorphism beween a set A and the number of its elements. We are using the formula $\bigcup \{x\} = x$ to extract the only element from a singleton. Le is the (natural) order on natural numbers, defined is Nat_ZF theory in the standard Isabelle library.

definition

locale enums =

```
Enumeration(A,r) \equiv \bigcup ord_iso(|A|,Le,A,r)
```

To set up the notation we define a locale enums. In this locale we will assume that r is a linear order on some set X. In most applications this set will be just the set of natural numbers. Standard Isabelle uses \leq to denote the "less or equal" relation on natural numbers. We will use the \leq symbol to denote the relation r. Those two symbols usually look the same in the presentation, but they are different in the source. To shorten the notation the enumeration Enumeration(A,r) will be denoted as $\sigma(A)$. Similarly as in the Semigroup theory we will write $a \leftarrow x$ for the result of appending an element x to the finite sequence (list) a. Finally, $a \sqcup b$ will denote the concatenation of the lists a and b.

```
fixes X r assumes linord: IsLinOrder(X,r) fixes ler (infix \leq 70) defines ler_def[simp]: x \leq y \equiv \langlex,y\rangle \in r fixes \sigma
```

```
defines \sigma_{\text{def}} [simp]: \sigma(A) \equiv \text{Enumeration}(A,r)
fixes append (infix \hookleftarrow 72)
defines append_def[simp]: a \hookleftarrow x \equiv \text{Append}(a,x)
fixes concat (infixl \sqcup 69)
defines concat_def[simp]: a \sqcup b \equiv \text{Concat}(a,b)
```

20.2 Properties of enumerations

In this section we prove basic facts about enumerations.

A special case of the existence and uniqueess of the order isomorphism for finite sets when the first set is a natural number.

```
lemma (in enums) ord_iso_nat_fin:
  assumes A ∈ FinPow(X) and n ∈ nat and A ≈ n
  shows ∃!f. f ∈ ord_iso(n,Le,A,r)
  using assms NatOrder_ZF_1_L2 linord nat_finpow_nat
    fin_ord_iso_ex_uniq by simp
```

An enumeration is an order isomorhism, a bijection, and a list.

```
lemma (in enums) enum_props: assumes A ∈ FinPow(X)
  shows
  \sigma(A) \in \operatorname{ord_iso}(|A|, Le, A, r)
  \sigma(\mathtt{A}) \in \mathtt{bij}(|\mathtt{A}|,\mathtt{A})
  \sigma(A) : |A| \rightarrow A
proof -
  from assms have
     IsLinOrder(nat,Le) and |A| \in FinPow(nat) and |A| \approx |A|
     using NatOrder_ZF_1_L2 card_fin_is_nat nat_finpow_nat
     by auto
  with assms show \sigma(\mathtt{A}) \in \mathtt{ord\_iso}(\mathtt{|A|,Le}, \mathtt{A,r})
     using linord fin_ord_iso_ex_uniq singleton_extract
       Enumeration_def by simp
  then show \sigma(A) \in \text{bij}(|A|,A) and \sigma(A) : |A| \to A
     using ord_iso_def bij_def surj_def
     by auto
qed
```

A corollary from enum_props. Could have been attached as another assertion, but this slows down verification of some other proofs.

```
lemma (in enums) enum_fun: assumes A \in FinPow(X) shows \sigma(A) : |A| \to X proof - from assms have \sigma(A) : |A| \to A and A\subseteqX using enum_props FinPow_def by auto then show \sigma(A) : |A| \to X by (rule func1_1_L1B) qed
```

```
If a list is an order isomorphism then it must be the enumeration.
lemma (in enums) ord_iso_enum: assumes A1: A ∈ FinPow(X) and
  A2: n \in \text{nat and A3}: f \in \text{ord_iso(n,Le,A,r)}
  shows f = \sigma(A)
proof -
  from A3 have n \approx A using ord_iso_def eqpoll_def
  then have A \approx n by (rule eqpoll_sym)
  with A1 A2 have \exists !f. f \in ord_iso(n, Le, A, r)
    using ord_iso_nat_fin by simp
  with assms \langle A \approx n \rangle show f = \sigma(A)
    using enum_props card_card by blast
What is the enumeration of the empty set?
lemma (in enums) empty_enum: shows \sigma(0) = 0
proof -
  have
    0 \in FinPow(X) and 0 \in nat and 0 \in ord_iso(0, Le, 0, r)
    using empty_in_finpow empty_ord_iso_empty
    by auto
  then show \sigma(0) = 0 using ord_iso_enum
    by blast
qed
Adding a new maximum to a set appends it to the enumeration.
lemma (in enums) enum_append:
  assumes A1: A \in FinPow(X) and A2: b \in X-A and
  A3: ∀a∈A. a<b
  shows \sigma(A \cup \{b\}) = \sigma(A) \leftarrow b
proof -
  let f = \sigma(A) \cup \{\langle |A|, b \rangle\}
  from A1 have |A| ∈ nat using card_fin_is_nat
    by simp
  from A1 A2 have A \cup {b} \in FinPow(X)
    using singleton_in_finpow union_finpow by simp
  moreover from this have |A \cup \{b\}| \in nat
    using card_fin_is_nat by simp
  moreover have f \in ord_iso(|A \cup \{b\}|, Le, A \cup \{b\}, r)
  proof -
    from A1 A2 have
       \sigma(A) \in \text{ord\_iso}(|A|, \text{Le}, A, r) and
       |A| \notin |A| and b \notin A
       using enum_props mem_not_refl by auto
    moreover from \langle |A| \in nat \rangle have
       \forall k \in |A|. \langle k, |A| \rangle \in Le
       using elem_nat_is_nat by blast
    moreover from A3 have \forall a \in A. \langle a,b \rangle \in r by simp
    moreover have antisym(Le) and antisym(r)
```

```
using linord NatOrder_ZF_1_L2 IsLinOrder_def by auto
     moreover
     from A2 \langle |A| \in nat \rangle have
        \langle |A|, |A| \rangle \in Le \text{ and } \langle b, b \rangle \in r
        using linord NatOrder_ZF_1_L2 IsLinOrder_def
 total_is_refl refl_def by auto
     \mathbf{hence}\ \big\langle \texttt{|A|,|A|} \big\rangle\ \in\ \texttt{Le}\ \longleftrightarrow\ \big\langle \texttt{b,b} \big\rangle\ \in\ \mathbf{r}\ \mathbf{by}\ \texttt{simp}
     ultimately have f \in ord_iso(|A| \cup \{|A|\}, Le, A \cup \{b\}, r)
        by (rule ord_iso_extend)
     with A1 A2 show f \in ord_iso(|A \cup {b}| , Le, A \cup {b} ,r)
        using card_fin_add_one by simp
  ultimately have f = \sigma(A \cup \{b\})
     using ord_iso_enum by simp
  moreover have \sigma(A) \leftarrow b = f
  proof -
     have \sigma(A) \leftarrow b = \sigma(A) \cup \{\langle domain(\sigma(A)), b \rangle\}
        using Append_def by simp
     moreover from A1 have domain(\sigma(A)) = |A|
        using enum_props func1_1_L1 by blast
     ultimately show \sigma(A) \leftarrow b = f by simp
  qed
  ultimately show \sigma(A \cup \{b\}) = \sigma(A) \leftarrow b by simp
What is the enumeration of a singleton?
lemma (in enums) enum_singleton:
  assumes A1: x \in X shows \sigma(\{x\}): 1 \to X and \sigma(\{x\})(0) = x
  proof -
     from A1 have
        0 \in FinPow(X) and x \in (X - 0) and \forall a \in 0. a \le x
        using empty_in_finpow by auto
     then have \sigma(0 \cup \{x\}) = \sigma(0) \leftarrow x by (rule enum_append)
     with A1 show \sigma(\{x\}): 1 \rightarrow X and \sigma(\{x\})(0) = x
        using empty_enum empty_append1 by auto
qed
```

 \mathbf{end}

21 Folding in ZF

theory Fold_ZF imports InductiveSeq_ZF

begin

Suppose we have a binary operation $P: X \times X \to X$ written multiplicatively as $P\langle x,y\rangle = x \cdot y$. In informal mathematics we can take a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in 0..n}$ of elements of X and consider the product $x_0 \cdot x_1 \cdot ... \cdot x_n$. To do the same thing

in formalized mathematics we have to define precisely what is meant by that "...". The definitition we want to use is based on the notion of sequence defined by induction discussed in InductiveSeq_ZF. We don't really want to derive the terminology for this from the word "product" as that would tie it conceptually to the multiplicative notation. This would be awkward when we want to reuse the same notions to talk about sums like $x_0 + x_1 + ... + x_n$. In functional programming there is something called "fold". Namely for a function f, initial point a and list [b, c, d] the expression fold(f, a, [b, c, d]) is defined to be f(f(f(a,b),c),d) (in Haskell something like this is called fold1). If we write f in multiplicative notation we get $a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d$, so this is exactly what we need. The notion of folds in functional programming is actually much more general that what we need here (not that I know anything about that). In this theory file we just make a slight generalization and talk about folding a list with a binary operation $f: X \times Y \to X$ with X not necessarily the same as Y.

21.1 Folding in ZF

Suppose we have a binary operation $f: X \times Y \to X$. Then every $y \in Y$ defines a transformation of X defined by $T_y(x) = f\langle x, y \rangle$. In IsarMathLib such transformation is called as Fix2ndVar(f,y). Using this notion, given a function $f: X \times Y \to X$ and a sequence $y = \{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of X we can get a sequence of transformations of X. This is defined in Seq2TransSeq below. Then we use that sequence of transformations to define the sequence of partial folds (called FoldSeq) by means of InductiveSeqVarFN (defined in InductiveSeq_ZF theory) which implements the inductive sequence determined by a starting point and a sequence of transformations. Finally, we define the fold of a sequence as the last element of the sequence of the partial folds.

Definition that specifies how to convert a sequence a of elements of Y into a sequence of transformations of X, given a binary operation $f: X \times Y \to X$.

```
definition
```

```
Seq2TrSeq(f,a) \equiv \{\langle k,Fix2ndVar(f,a(k))\rangle | k \in domain(a)\}
```

Definition of a sequence of partial folds.

definition

Definition of a fold.

definition

```
Fold(f,x,a) \equiv Last(FoldSeq(f,x,a))
```

If X is a set with a binary operation $f: X \times Y \to X$ then Seq2TransSeqN(f,a) converts a sequence a of elements of Y into the sequence of corresponding transformations of X.

```
lemma seq2trans_seq_props:
  assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: f : X \times Y \rightarrow X and A3: a:n \rightarrow Y and
  A4: T = Seq2TrSeq(f,a)
  shows
  \mathtt{T} : \mathtt{n} \rightarrow (X\rightarrowX) and
  \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \ \forall x \in X. \ (T(k))(x) = f(x,a(k))
proof -
  from (a:n\rightarrow Y) have D: domain(a) = n using func1_1_L1 by simp
  with A2 A3 A4 show T : n \rightarrow (X\rightarrowX)
    using apply_funtype fix_2nd_var_fun ZF_fun_from_total Seq2TrSeq_def
    by simp
  with A4 D have I: \forall k \in n. T(k) = Fix2ndVar(f,a(k))
    using Seq2TrSeq_def ZF_fun_from_tot_val0 by simp
  { fix k fix x assume A5: k \in n  x \in X
    with A1 A3 have a(k) \in Y using apply_funtype
       by auto
    with A2 A5 I have (T(k))(x) = f(x,a(k))
       using fix_var_val by simp
  } thus \forall k \in n. \forall x \in X. (T(k))(x) = f(x,a(k))
    by simp
Basic properties of the sequence of partial folds of a sequence a = \{y_k\}_{k \in \{0,\dots n\}}.
theorem fold_seq_props:
  assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: f : X \times Y \rightarrow X and
  A3: y:n\rightarrow Y and A4: x\in X and A5: Y\neq 0 and
  A6: F = FoldSeq(f,x,y)
  shows
  F: succ(n) \rightarrow X
  F(0) = x and
  \forall k \in n. F(succ(k)) = f(F(k), y(k))
proof -
  let T = Seq2TrSeq(f,y)
  from A1 A3 have D: domain(y) = n
    using func1_1_L1 by simp
  from \langle f : X \times Y \rightarrow X \rangle \quad \langle Y \neq 0 \rangle have I: fstdom(f) = X
    using fstdomdef by simp
  with A1 A2 A3 A4 A6 D show
    II: F: succ(n) \rightarrow X and F(0) = x
    using seq2trans_seq_props FoldSeq_def fin_indseq_var_f_props
    by auto
  from A1 A2 A3 A4 A6 I D have \forall k \in n. F(succ(k)) = T(k)(F(k))
    using seq2trans_seq_props FoldSeq_def fin_indseq_var_f_props
    by simp
  moreover
  { fix k assume A5: k \in n hence k \in succ(n) by auto
```

```
with A1 A2 A3 II A5 have (T(k))(F(k)) = f\langle F(k), y(k) \rangle using apply_funtype seq2trans_seq_props by simp } ultimately show \forall k \in n. F(succ(k)) = f\langle F(k), y(k) \rangle by simp qed
```

A consistency condition: if we make the list shorter, then we get a shorter sequence of partial folds with the same values as in the original sequence. This can be proven as a special case of fin_indseq_var_f_restrict but a proof using fold_seq_props and induction turns out to be shorter.

```
lemma foldseq_restrict: assumes
   \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{nat}
                 k \in succ(n) and
   \mathtt{i} \, \in \, \mathtt{nat} \quad \mathtt{f} \, : \, \mathtt{X} \times \mathtt{Y} \, \to \, \mathtt{X} \quad \mathtt{a} \, : \, \mathtt{n} \, \to \, \mathtt{Y} \quad \mathtt{b} \, : \, \mathtt{i} \, \to \, \mathtt{Y} \quad \mathtt{and}
   n \subseteq i \quad \forall j \in n. \ b(j) = a(j) \quad x \in X \quad Y \neq 0
   shows FoldSeq(f,x,b)(k) = FoldSeq(f,x,a)(k)
proof -
   let P = FoldSeq(f,x,a)
   let Q = FoldSeq(f,x,b)
   from assms have
      n \in nat \quad k \in succ(n)
      Q(0) = P(0) and
      \forall j \in n. \ Q(j) = P(j) \longrightarrow Q(succ(j)) = P(succ(j))
      using fold_seq_props by auto
   then show Q(k) = P(k) by (rule fin_nat_ind)
qed
```

A special case of foldseq_restrict when the longer sequence is created from the shorter one by appending one element.

```
corollary fold_seq_append: assumes n \in \text{nat} f: X \times Y \to X a: n \to Y and x \in X k \in \text{succ}(n) y \in Y shows \text{FoldSeq}(f,x,\text{Append}(a,y))(k) = \text{FoldSeq}(f,x,a)(k) proof - let b = \text{Append}(a,y) from assms have b: \text{succ}(n) \to Y \forall j \in n. b(j) = a(j) using append_props by auto with assms show thesis using foldseq_restrict by blast qed
```

What we really will be using is the notion of the fold of a sequence, which we define as the last element of (inductively defined) sequence of partial folds. The next theorem lists some properties of the product of the fold operation.

```
theorem fold_props:
```

```
assumes A1: n \in \text{nat and}
A2: f: X \times Y \to X a: n \to Y x \in X Y \neq 0 shows
Fold(f,x,a) = FoldSeq(f,x,a)(n) and
Fold(f,x,a) \in X
```

```
proof -
  \mathbf{from} \ \mathbf{assms} \ \mathbf{have} \quad \mathtt{FoldSeq(f,x,a)} \ : \ \mathtt{succ(n)} \ \to \ \mathtt{X}
     using fold_seq_props by simp
  with A1 show
     Fold(f,x,a) = FoldSeq(f,x,a)(n) and Fold(f,x,a) \in X
     using last_seq_elem apply_funtype Fold_def by auto
qed
A corner case: what happens when we fold an empty list?
theorem fold_empty: assumes A1: f : X \times Y \rightarrow X and
  A2: a:0\rightarrow Y x\in X Y\neq 0
  shows Fold(f,x,a) = x
proof -
  let F = FoldSeq(f,x,a)
  from assms have I:
     0 \in \mathtt{nat} \ f : \mathtt{X} \times \mathtt{Y} \to \mathtt{X} \ \mathtt{a} : \mathtt{0} {\to} \mathtt{Y} \ \mathtt{x} {\in} \mathtt{X} \ \mathtt{Y} {\neq} \mathtt{0}
     by auto
  then have Fold(f,x,a) = F(0) by (rule fold_props)
  moreover
  from I have
     \texttt{0} \, \in \, \texttt{nat} \quad \texttt{f} \, : \, \texttt{X} \times \texttt{Y} \, \rightarrow \, \texttt{X} \quad \texttt{a:0} {\rightarrow} \texttt{Y} \quad \texttt{x} {\in} \texttt{X} \quad \texttt{Y} {\neq} \texttt{0} \  \, \mathbf{and} \, \,
     F = FoldSeq(f,x,a) by auto
  then have F(0) = x by (rule fold_seq_props)
  ultimately show Fold(f,x,a) = x by simp
qed
The next theorem tells us what happens to the fold of a sequence when we
add one more element to it.
theorem fold_append:
  assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: f : X×Y \rightarrow X and
  A3: a:n\rightarrow Y and A4: x\in X and A5: y\in Y
  FoldSeq(f,x,Append(a,y))(n) = Fold(f,x,a) and
  Fold(f,x,Append(a,y)) = f(Fold(f,x,a), y)
proof -
  let b = Append(a,y)
  let P = FoldSeq(f,x,b)
  from A5 have I: Y \neq 0 by auto
  with assms show thesis1: P(n) = Fold(f,x,a)
     using fold_seq_append fold_props by simp
  from assms I have II:
     \verb+succ+(n) \in \verb+nat+ f : X \times Y \to X
     b : succ(n) \rightarrow Y \quad x \in X \quad Y \neq 0
     P = FoldSeq(f,x,b)
     \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{append\_props} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
  then have
     \forall k \in succ(n). P(succ(k)) = f(P(k), b(k))
     by (rule fold_seq_props)
  with A3 A5 thesis1 have P(succ(n)) = f \langle Fold(f,x,a), y \rangle
```

```
using append_props by auto moreover from II have P : succ(succ(n)) \rightarrow X by (rule fold_seq_props) then have Fold(f,x,b) = P(succ(n)) using last_seq_elem Fold_def by simp ultimately show Fold(f,x,Append(a,y)) = f(Fold(f,x,a), y) by simp qed
```

end

22 Partitions of sets

theory Partitions_ZF imports Finite_ZF FiniteSeq_ZF

begin

It is a common trick in proofs that we divide a set into non-overlapping subsets. The first case is when we split the set into two nonempty disjoint sets. Here this is modeled as an ordered pair of sets and the set of such divisions of set X is called $\mathtt{Bisections}(X)$. The second variation on this theme is a set-valued function (aren't they all in ZF?) whose values are nonempty and mutually disjoint.

22.1 Bisections

This section is about dividing sets into two non-overlapping subsets.

The set of bisections of a given set A is a set of pairs of nonempty subsets of A that do not overlap and their union is equal to A.

definition

```
\begin{aligned} & \text{Bisections}(\texttt{X}) = \{ \texttt{p} \in \texttt{Pow}(\texttt{X}) \times \texttt{Pow}(\texttt{X}) . \\ & \text{fst}(\texttt{p}) \neq \texttt{0} \ \land \ \text{snd}(\texttt{p}) \neq \texttt{0} \ \land \ \text{fst}(\texttt{p}) \cap \texttt{snd}(\texttt{p}) = \texttt{0} \ \land \ \text{fst}(\texttt{p}) \cup \texttt{snd}(\texttt{p}) = \texttt{X} \} \end{aligned}
```

Properties of bisections.

```
lemma bisec_props: assumes \langle A,B \rangle \in Bisections(X) shows A \neq 0 B \neq 0 A \subseteq X B \subseteq X A \cap B = 0 A \cup B = X X \neq 0 using assms Bisections_def by auto
```

Kind of inverse of bisec_props: a pair of nonempty disjoint sets form a bisection of their union.

```
lemma is_bisec: assumes A\neq0 B\neq0 A \cap B = 0 shows \langleA,B\rangle \in Bisections(A\cupB) using assms Bisections_def by auto
```

```
Bisection of X is a pair of subsets of X.
```

```
lemma bisec_is_pair: assumes Q \in Bisections(X) shows Q = \langle fst(Q), snd(Q) \rangle using assms Bisections_def by auto
```

The set of bisections of the empty set is empty.

```
lemma bisec_empty: shows Bisections(0) = 0
  using Bisections_def by auto
```

The next lemma shows what can we say about bisections of a set with another element added.

```
lemma bisec_add_point:
  assumes A1: x \notin X and A2: \langle A,B \rangle \in Bisections(X \cup \{x\})
  shows (A = \{x\} \lor B = \{x\}) \lor (\langle A - \{x\}, B - \{x\} \rangle \in Bisections(X))
  proof -
    { assume A \neq \{x\} and B \neq \{x\}
       with A2 have A - \{x\} \neq 0 and B - \{x\} \neq 0
 using singl_diff_empty Bisections_def
 by auto
       moreover have (A - \{x\}) \cup (B - \{x\}) = X
       proof -
 have (A - \{x\}) \cup (B - \{x\}) = (A \cup B) - \{x\}
   by auto
 also from assms have (A \cup B) - \{x\} = X
   using Bisections_def by auto
 finally show thesis by simp
       qed
       moreover from A2 have (A - \{x\}) \cap (B - \{x\}) = 0
 using Bisections_def by auto
       ultimately have \langle A - \{x\}, B - \{x\} \rangle \in Bisections(X)
 using Bisections_def by auto
    } thus thesis by auto
qed
```

A continuation of the lemma bisec_add_point that refines the case when the pair with removed point bisects the original set.

```
lemma bisec_add_point_case3:
   assumes A1: \langle A,B \rangle \in Bisections(X \cup \{x\})
   and A2: \langle A - \{x\}, B - \{x\} \rangle \in Bisections(X)
   shows
   (\langle A, B - \{x\} \rangle \in Bisections(X) \land x \in B) \lor (\langle A - \{x\}, B \rangle \in Bisections(X) \land x \in A)

proof -
   from A1 have x \in A \cup B
   using Bisections_def by auto
   hence x \in A \lor x \in B by simp
   from A1 have A - \{x\} = A \lor B - \{x\} = B
   using Bisections_def by auto
```

```
moreover
  \{ assume A - \{x\} = A \}
    \mathbf{with} \ \mathtt{A2} \ \langle \mathtt{x} \ \in \ \mathtt{A} \ \cup \ \mathtt{B} \rangle \ \mathbf{have}
       \langle A, B - \{x\} \rangle \in Bisections(X) \land x \in B
       using singl_diff_eq by simp }
  moreover
  \{ assume B - \{x\} = B \}
    with A2 \langle x \in A \cup B \rangle have
       \langle A - \{x\}, B \rangle \in Bisections(X) \land x \in A
       using singl_diff_eq by simp }
  ultimately show thesis by auto
Another lemma about bisecting a set with an added point.
lemma point_set_bisec:
  assumes A1: x \notin X and A2: (\{x\}, A) \in Bisections(X \cup \{x\})
  shows A = X and X \neq 0
proof -
  from A2 have A ⊆ X using Bisections_def by auto
  moreover
  { fix a assume a \in X
    with A2 have a \in {x} \cup A using Bisections_def by simp
    with A1 (a \in X) have a \in A by auto }
  ultimately show A = X by auto
  with A2 show X \neq 0 using Bisections_def by simp
qed
Yet another lemma about bisecting a set with an added point, very similar
to point_set_bisec with almost the same proof.
lemma set_point_bisec:
  assumes A1: x \notin X and A2: \langle A, \{x\} \rangle \in Bisections(X \cup \{x\})
  shows A = X and X \neq 0
proof -
  from A2 have A \subseteq X using Bisections_def by auto
  moreover
  { fix a assume a \in X
    with A2 have a \in A \cup {x} using Bisections_def by simp
    with A1 (a \in X) have a \in A by auto }
  ultimately show A = X by auto
  with A2 show X \neq 0 using Bisections_def by simp
If a pair of sets bisects a finite set, then both elements of the pair are finite.
lemma bisect_fin:
  assumes A1: A \in FinPow(X) and A2: Q \in Bisections(A)
  shows fst(Q) \in FinPow(X) and snd(Q) \in FinPow(X)
proof -
  from A2 have \langle fst(Q), snd(Q) \rangle \in Bisections(A)
    using bisec_is_pair by simp
```

```
then have fst(Q) \subseteq A and snd(Q) \subseteq A
    using bisec_props by auto
  with A1 show fst(Q) \in FinPow(X) and snd(Q) \in FinPow(X)
    using FinPow_def subset_Finite by auto
ged
```

22.2 **Partitions**

This sections covers the situation when we have an arbitrary number of sets we want to partition into.

We define a notion of a partition as a set valued function such that the values for different arguments are disjoint. The name is derived from the fact that such function "partitions" the union of its arguments. Please let me know if you have a better idea for a name for such notion. We would prefer to say "is a partition", but that reserves the letter "a" as a keyword(?) which causes problems.

```
definition
```

```
Partition (_ {is partition} [90] 91) where
  P {is partition} \equiv \forall x \in domain(P).
  P(x) \neq 0 \land (\forall y \in domain(P). x \neq y \longrightarrow P(x) \cap P(y) = 0)
A fact about lists of mutually disjoint sets.
lemma list_partition: assumes A1: n \in nat and
  A2: a : succ(n) \rightarrow X a {is partition}
  shows (\bigcup i \in n. \ a(i)) \cap a(n) = 0
  \{ assume (\bigcup i \in n. a(i)) \cap a(n) \neq 0 \}
    then have \exists x. x \in (\bigcup i \in n. a(i)) \cap a(n)
       by (rule nonempty_has_element)
    then obtain x where x \in (\bigcup i \in n. \ a(i)) and I: x \in a(n)
       by auto
    then obtain i where i \in n and x \in a(i) by auto
     with A2 I have False
       using mem_imp_not_eq func1_1_L1 Partition_def
       by auto
  } thus thesis by auto
qed
We can turn every injection into a partition.
lemma inj_partition:
```

```
assumes A1: b \in inj(X,Y)
  shows
  \forall x \in X. \{\langle x, \{b(x)\}\rangle. x \in X\}(x) = \{b(x)\} \text{ and }
   \{\langle x, \{b(x)\}\rangle | x \in X\} \} {is partition}
proof -
  let p = \{\langle x, \{b(x)\} \rangle : x \in X\}
   \{ \text{ fix x assume } x \in X \}
```

```
from A1 have b : X \rightarrow Y using inj_def
        by simp
     with \langle x \in X \rangle have \{b(x)\} \in Pow(Y)
         using apply_funtype by simp
   \} hence \forall x \in X. \{b(x)\} \in Pow(Y) by simp
  then have p : X \rightarrow Pow(Y) using ZF_fun_from_total
     by simp
  then have domain(p) = X using func1_1_L1
     by simp
  from \langle p : X \rightarrow Pow(Y) \rangle show I: \forall x \in X. p(x) = \{b(x)\}
     using ZF_fun_from_tot_val0 by simp
   \{ \text{ fix x assume x} \in X \}
     with I have p(x) = \{b(x)\} by simp
     hence p(x) \neq 0 by simp
     moreover
     { fix t assume t \in X and x \neq t
        with A1 \langle x \in X \rangle have b(x) \neq b(t) using inj_def
 by auto
        with I \langle x \in X \rangle \langle t \in X \rangle have p(x) \cap p(t) = 0
 by auto }
     ultimately have
        p(x) \neq 0 \land (\forall t \in X. x \neq t \longrightarrow p(x) \cap p(t) = 0)
  } with \langle domain(p) = X \rangle show \{\langle x, \{b(x)\} \rangle, x \in X \} \} {is partition}
     using Partition_def by simp
qed
end
```

23 Quasigroups

theory Quasigroup_ZF imports func1

begin

A quasigroup is an algebraic structure that that one gets after adding (sort of) divisibility to magma. Quasigroups differ from groups in that they are not necessarily associative and they do not have to have the neutral element.

23.1 Definitions and notation

According to Wikipedia there are at least two approaches to defining a quasigroup. One defines a quasigroup as a set with a binary operation, and the other, from universal algebra, defines a quasigroup as having three primitive operations. We will use the first approach.

A quasigroup operation does not have to have the neutral element. The left division is defined as the only solution to the equation $a \cdot x = b$ (using

multiplicative notation). The next definition specifies what does it mean that an operation A has a left division on a set G.

definition

```
\texttt{HasLeftDiv}(\texttt{G},\texttt{A}) \equiv \forall \texttt{a} {\in} \texttt{G}. \forall \texttt{b} {\in} \texttt{G}. \exists \texttt{!x.} (\texttt{x} {\in} \texttt{G} \land \texttt{A} \langle \texttt{a}, \texttt{x} \rangle \texttt{= b})
```

An operation A has the right inverse if for all elements $a, b \in G$ the equation $x \cdot a = b$ has a unique solution.

definition

```
HasRightDiv(G,A) \equiv \forall a \in G. \forall b \in G. \exists !x. (x \in G \land A\langle x,a \rangle = b)
```

An operation that has both left and right division is said to have the Latin square property.

definition

A quasigroup is a set with a binary operation that has the Latin square property.

definition

```
\texttt{IsAquasigroup(G,A)} \ \equiv \ \texttt{A:G} \times \texttt{G} \rightarrow \texttt{G} \ \land \ \texttt{A} \ \{\texttt{has Latin square property on}\} \ \texttt{G}
```

The uniqueness of the left inverse allows us to define the left division as a function. The union expression as the value of the function extracts the only element of the set of solutions of the equation $x \cdot z = y$ for given $\langle x, y \rangle = p \in G \times G$ using the identity $\bigcup \{x\} = x$.

definition

```
LeftDiv(G,A) \equiv \{\langle p, | \} \{z \in G. A \langle fst(p), z \rangle = snd(p) \} \rangle.p \in G \times G \}
```

Similarly the right division is defined as a function on $G \times G$.

definition

```
RightDiv(G,A) \equiv \{\langle p, \bigcup \{z \in G. \ A\langle z, fst(p) \rangle = snd(p)\} \}.p \in G \times G\}
```

Left and right divisions are binary operations on G.

We will use multiplicative notation for the quasigroup operation. The right and left division will be denoted a/b and $a \setminus b$, resp.

```
locale quasigroup0 =
  fixes G A
  assumes qgroupassum: IsAquasigroup(G,A)
  fixes qgroper (infixl · 70)
  defines qgroper_def[simp]: x \cdot y \equiv A(x,y)
  fixes leftdiv (infixl \ 70)
  defines leftdiv_def[simp]: x \setminus y \equiv LeftDiv(G,A) \langle x,y \rangle
  fixes rightdiv (infixl / 70)
  defines rightdiv_def[simp]:x/y \equivRightDiv(G,A)\langle y,x \rangle
The quasigroup operation is closed on G.
lemma (in quasigroup0) qg_op_closed: assumes x \in G y \in G
  shows x \cdot y \in G
  using qgroupassum assms IsAquasigroup_def apply_funtype by auto
A couple of properties of right and left division:
lemma (in quasigroup0) lrdiv_props: assumes x \in G y \in G
  shows
  \exists!z. z\inG \land z\cdotx = y y/x \in G (y/x)\cdotx = y and
  \exists !z. z \in G \land x \cdot z = y x \setminus y \in G x \cdot (x \setminus y) = y
proof -
  let z_r = \bigcup \{z \in G. z \cdot x = y\}
  from \ qgroup assum \ have \ I: \ RightDiv(G,A): G \times G \rightarrow G \ using \ lrdiv\_binop(2)
  with assms have RightDiv(G,A)\langle x,y \rangle = z_r
     unfolding RightDiv_def using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by auto
  moreover
  from qgroupassum assms show \exists !z. z \in G \land z \cdot x = y
     unfolding IsAquasigroup_def HasLatinSquareProp_def HasRightDiv_def
by simp
  then have z_r \cdot x = y by (rule ZF1_1_L9)
  ultimately show (y/x) \cdot x = y by simp
  let z_l = \bigcup \{z \in G. x \cdot z = y\}
  \mathbf{from}\ \mathsf{qgroupassum}\ \mathbf{have}\ \mathsf{II}\colon \mathsf{LeftDiv}(\mathsf{G},\mathtt{A})\colon\! \mathsf{G}\times\mathsf{G}\to\mathsf{G}\ \mathbf{using}\ \mathsf{lrdiv\_binop}(\mathsf{1})
by simp
  with assms have LeftDiv(G,A)\langle x,y \rangle = z_l
     unfolding LeftDiv_def using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by auto
  moreover
  from qgroupassum assms show \exists !z. z \in G \land x \cdot z = y
     unfolding IsAquasigroup_def HasLatinSquareProp_def HasLeftDiv_def
  then have x \cdot z_l = y by (rule ZF1_1_L9)
  ultimately show x \cdot (x \setminus y) = y by simp
```

```
from assms I II show y/x \in G and x/y \in G using apply_funtype by auto
qed
We can cancel the left element on both sides of an equation.
lemma (in quasigroup0) qg_cancel_left:
  assumes x \in G y \in G z \in G and x \cdot y = x \cdot z
  shows y=z
  using qgroupassum assms qg_op_closed lrdiv_props(4) by blast
We can cancel the right element on both sides of an equation.
lemma (in quasigroup0) qg_cancel_right:
  assumes x \in G y \in G z \in G and y \cdot x = z \cdot x
  shows y=z
  using qgroupassum assms qg_op_closed lrdiv_props(1) by blast
Two additional identities for right and left division:
lemma (in quasigroup0) lrdiv_ident: assumes x \in G y \in G
  shows (y \cdot x)/x = y and x \setminus (x \cdot y) = y
proof -
  from assms have (y \cdot x)/x \in G and ((y \cdot x)/x) \cdot x = y \cdot x
    using qg_op_closed lrdiv_props(2,3) by auto
  with assms show (y \cdot x)/x = y using qg_cancel_right by simp
  from assms have x \setminus (x \cdot y) \in G and x \cdot (x \setminus (x \cdot y)) = x \cdot y
    using qg_op_closed lrdiv_props(5,6) by auto
```

24 Loops

theory Loop_ZF imports Quasigroup_ZF

begin

qed

end

This theory specifies the definition and proves basic properites of loops. Loops are very similar to groups, the only property that is missing is associativity of the operation.

with assms show $x (x \cdot y) = y$ using qg_cancel_left by simp

24.1 Definitions and notation

In this section we define the notions of identity element and left and right inverse.

A loop is a quasigroup with an identity elemen.

```
definition IsAloop(G,A) \equiv IsAquasigroup(G,A) \land (\exists e\inG. \forall x\inG. A\langlee,x\rangle = x \land A\langlex,e\rangle = x)
```

The neutral element for a binary operation $A: G \times G \to G$ is defined as the only element e of G such that $A\langle x, e \rangle = x$ and $A\langle e, x \rangle = x$ for all $x \in G$. Note that although the loop definition guarantees the existence of (some) such element(s) at this point we do not know if this element is unique. We can define this notion here but it will become usable only after we prove uniqueness.

definition

```
TheNeutralElement(G,f) \equiv ( THE e. e\inG \land (\forall g\inG. f\langlee,g\rangle = g \land f\langleg,e\rangle = g))
```

We will reuse the notation defined in the quasigroup locale, just adding the assumption about the existence of a neutral element and notation for it.

```
locale loop0 = quasigroup0 + assumes ex_ident: \exists e \in G. \forall x \in G. e \cdot x = x \land x \cdot e = x fixes neut (1) defines neut_def[simp]: \mathbf{1} \equiv \text{TheNeutralElement}(G,A)
```

In the loop context the pair (G,A) forms a loop.

```
lemma (in loop0) is_loop: shows IsAloop(G,A)
  unfolding IsAloop_def using ex_ident qgroupassum by simp
```

If we know that a pair (G,A) forms a loop then the assumptions of the loop0 locale hold.

```
lemma loop_loop0_valid: assumes IsAloop(G,A) shows loop0(G,A) using assms unfolding IsAloop_def loop0_axioms_def quasigroup0_def loop0_def by auto
```

The neutral element is unique in the loop.

```
lemma (in loop0) neut_uniq_loop: shows \exists !e. e \in G \land (\forall x \in G. e \cdot x = x \land x \cdot e = x) proof from ex_ident show \exists e. e \in G \land (\forall x \in G. e \cdot x = x \land x \cdot e = x) by auto next fix e y assume e \in G \land (\forall x \in G. e \cdot x = x \land x \cdot e = x) y \in G \land (\forall x \in G. y \cdot x = x \land x \cdot y = x) then have e \cdot y = y and e \cdot y = e by auto thus e = y by simp qed
```

The neutral element as defined in the loop locale is indeed neutral.

```
lemma (in loop0) neut_props_loop: shows 1 \in G and \forall x \in G. 1 \cdot x = x \land x \cdot 1 = x
proof -
let n = \text{THE e. } e \in G \land (\forall x \in G. \ e \cdot x = x \land x \cdot e = x)
have 1 = \text{TheNeutralElement}(G,A) by simp
```

```
then have 1 = n unfolding TheNeutralElement_def by simp
  moreover have n \in G \land (\forall x \in G. n \cdot x = x \land x \cdot n = x) using neut_uniq_loop
theI
     by simp
  ultimately show 1 \in G and \forall x \in G. 1 \cdot x = x \land x \cdot 1 = x
     by auto
qed
Every element of a loop has unique left and right inverse (which need not
be the same). Here we define the left inverse as a function on G.
definition
  LeftInv(G,A) \equiv \{\langle x, | \} \{y \in G. A \langle y, x \rangle = TheNeutralElement(G,A)\} \rangle. x \in G\}
Definition of the right inverse as a function on G:
  \texttt{RightInv}(\texttt{G},\texttt{A}) \equiv \{\langle \texttt{x}, \bigcup \{\texttt{y} \in \texttt{G}. \ \texttt{A} \langle \texttt{x}, \texttt{y} \rangle = \texttt{TheNeutralElement}(\texttt{G},\texttt{A})\} \}. \ \texttt{x} \in \texttt{G}\}
In a loop G right and left inverses are functions on G.
lemma (in loop0) lr_inv_fun: shows LeftInv(G,A):G \rightarrow G RightInv(G,A):G \rightarrow G
  unfolding LeftInv_def RightInv_def
  using neut_props_loop lrdiv_props(1,4) ZF1_1_L9 ZF_fun_from_total
  by auto
Right and left inverses have desired properties.
lemma (in loop0) lr_inv_props: assumes x \in G
  shows
     LeftInv(G,A)(x) \in G (LeftInv(G,A)(x))\cdotx = 1
     RightInv(G,A)(x) \in G x \cdot (RightInv(G,A)(x)) = 1
  from assms show LeftInv(G,A)(x) \in G and RightInv(G,A)(x) \in G
     using lr_inv_fun apply_funtype by auto
  from assms have \exists \, ! \, y. \, y \in G \, \land \, y \cdot x = 1
     using neut_props_loop(1) lrdiv_props(1) by simp
  then have (\bigcup \{y \in G. y \cdot x = 1\}) \cdot x = 1
     by (rule ZF1_1_L9)
  with assms show (LeftInv(G,A)(x))·x = 1
     using lr_inv_fun(1) ZF_fun_from_tot_val unfolding LeftInv_def by simp
  from assms have \exists !y. y \in G \land x \cdot y = 1
     using neut_props_loop(1) lrdiv_props(4) by simp
  then have x \cdot (\bigcup \{y \in G. x \cdot y = 1\}) = 1
     by (rule ZF1_1_L9)
  with assms show x \cdot (RightInv(G,A)(x)) = 1
     using lr_inv_fun(2) ZF_fun_from_tot_val unfolding RightInv_def by
simp
\mathbf{qed}
```

end

25 Ordered loops

theory OrderedLoop_ZF imports Loop_ZF Order_ZF

begin

This theory file is about properties of loops (the algebraic structures introduced in IsarMathLib in the Loop_ZF theory) with an additional order relation that is in a way compatible with the loop's binary operation. The oldest reference I have found on the subject is [6].

25.1 Definition and notation

An ordered loop (G, A) is a loop with a partial order relation r that is "translation invariant" with respect to the loop operation A.

A triple (G,A,r) is an ordered loop if (G,A) is a loop and r is a relation on G (i.e. a subset of $G \times G$ with is a partial order and for all elements $x,y,z \in G$ the condition $\langle x,y \rangle \in r$ is equivalent to both $\langle A\langle x,z \rangle, A\langle x,z \rangle \rangle \in r$ and $\langle A\langle z,x \rangle, A\langle z,x \rangle \rangle \in r$. This looks a bit awkward in the basic set theory notation, but using the additive notation for the group operation and $x \leq y$ to instead of $\langle x,y \rangle \in r$ this just means that $x \leq y$ if and only if $x+z \leq y+z$ and $x \leq y$ if and only if $z+x \leq z+y$.

definition

```
\begin{split} & \text{IsAnOrdLoop(L,A,r)} \equiv \\ & \text{IsAloop(L,A)} \ \land \ r \subseteq L \times L \ \land \ \text{IsPartOrder(L,r)} \ \land \ (\forall \, x \in L. \ \forall \, y \in L. \ \forall \, z \in L. \\ & ((\langle x,y \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle A \langle \, x,z \rangle, A \langle y,z \rangle) \in r) \ \land \ (\langle x,y \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle A \langle z,x \rangle, A \langle z,y \rangle) \in r \ ))) \end{split}
```

We define the set of nonnegative elements in the obvious way as $L^+ = \{x \in L : 0 \le x\}$.

definition

```
Nonnegative(L,A,r) \equiv {x\inL. \langle TheNeutralElement(L,A),x\rangle \in r}
```

The PositiveSet(L,A,r) is a set similar to Nonnegative(L,A,r), but without the neutral element.

definition

```
PositiveSet(L,A,r) \equiv {x\inL. \langle TheNeutralElement(L,A),x\rangle \in r \wedge TheNeutralElement(L,A)\neq x}
```

We will use the additive notation for ordered loops.

```
locale loop1 =
  fixes L and A and r
  assumes ordLoopAssum: IsAnOrdLoop(L,A,r)
```

```
fixes neut (0)
  defines neut_def[simp]: 0 \equiv \text{TheNeutralElement(L,A)}
  fixes looper (infixl + 69)
  defines looper_def[simp]: x + y \equiv A(x,y)
  fixes lesseq (infix \leq 68)
  defines lesseq_def [simp]: x \le y \equiv \langle x, y \rangle \in r
  fixes sless (infix < 68)
  defines sless_def[simp]: x < y \equiv x \le y \land x \ne y
  fixes nonnegative (L+)
  \mathbf{defines} \ \mathtt{nonnegative\_def} \ [\mathtt{simp}] \colon \ \mathtt{L^+} \ \equiv \ \mathtt{Nonnegative}(\mathtt{L},\mathtt{A},\mathtt{r})
  fixes positive (L_+)
  defines positive_def[simp]: L_{+} \equiv PositiveSet(L,A,r)
  fixes leftdiv (- _ + _)
  defines leftdiv_def[simp]: -x+y \equiv LeftDiv(L,A)\langle x,y\rangle
  fixes rightdiv (infixl - 69)
  defines rightdiv_def[simp]:x-y \equiv RightDiv(L,A)\langle y,x \rangle
Theorems proven in the loop locale are valid in the loop locale
sublocale loop1 < loop0 L A looper
  using ordLoopAssum loop_loopO_valid unfolding IsAnOrdLoop_def by auto
In this context x \leq y implies that both x and y belong to L.
lemma (in loop1) lsq_members: assumes x \le y shows x \in L and y \in L
  using ordLoopAssum assms IsAnOrdLoop_def by auto
In this context x < y implies that both x and y belong to L.
lemma (in loop1) less_members: assumes x \le y shows x \in L and y \in L
  using ordLoopAssum assms IsAnOrdLoop_def by auto
In an ordered loop the order is translation invariant.
lemma (in loop1) ord_trans_inv: assumes x \le y z \in L
  shows x+z \le y+z and z+x \le z+y
proof -
  from ordLoopAssum assms have
     (\langle \mathtt{x}, \mathtt{y} \rangle \in \mathtt{r} \longleftrightarrow \langle \mathtt{A} \langle \mathtt{x}, \mathtt{z} \rangle, \mathtt{A} \langle \mathtt{y}, \mathtt{z} \rangle) \in \mathtt{r}) \ \land \ (\langle \mathtt{x}, \mathtt{y} \rangle \in \mathtt{r} \longleftrightarrow \langle \mathtt{A} \langle \mathtt{z}, \mathtt{x} \rangle, \mathtt{A} \langle \mathtt{z}, \mathtt{y} \rangle)
     using lsq_members unfolding IsAnOrdLoop_def by blast
  with assms(1) show x+z \le y+z and z+x \le z+y by auto
qed
```

In an ordered loop the strict order is translation invariant.

```
lemma (in loop1) strict_ord_trans_inv: assumes x<y z∈L
  shows x+z < y+z and z+x < z+y
proof -
  from assms have x+z \le y+z and z+x \le z+y
    using ord_trans_inv by auto
  moreover have x+z \neq y+z and z+x \neq z+y
  proof -
     \{ assume x+z = y+z \}
       with assms have x=y using less_members qg_cancel_right by blast
       with assms(1) have False by simp
     } thus x+z \neq y+z by auto
     \{ assume z+x = z+y \}
       with assms have x=y using less_members qg_cancel_left by blast
       with assms(1) have False by simp
    } thus z+x \neq z+y by auto
  qed
  ultimately show x+z < y+z and z+x < z+y
    by auto
We can cancel an element from both sides of an inequality on the right side.
lemma (in loop1) ineq_cancel_right: assumes x \in L y \in L z \in L and x+z \le L
y+z
  \mathbf{shows} \quad \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y}
proof -
  from ordLoopAssum assms(1,2,3) have \langle x,y \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle A \langle x,z \rangle, A \langle y,z \rangle \rangle \in
    unfolding IsAnOrdLoop_def by blast
  with assms(4) show x \le y by simp
We can cancel an element from both sides of a strict inequality on the right
side.
lemma (in loop1) strict_ineq_cancel_right: assumes x \in L y \in L z \in L and
x+z < y+z
  shows x<y
  using assms ineq_cancel_right by auto
We can cancel an element from both sides of an inequality on the left side.
lemma (in loop1) ineq_cancel_left: assumes x \in L y \in L z \in L and z+x \le z+y
  shows x \le y
proof -
  from ordLoopAssum assms(1,2,3) have \langle x,y \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle A\langle z,x \rangle, A\langle z,y \rangle \rangle \in
    unfolding IsAnOrdLoop_def by blast
  with assms(4) show x \le y by simp
qed
```

```
We can cancel an element from both sides of a strict inequality on the left side.
```

```
lemma (in loop1) strict_ineq_cancel_left: assumes x∈L y∈L z∈L and z+x
< z+y
    shows x<y
    using assms ineq_cancel_left by auto</pre>
```

The definition of the nonnegative set in the notation used in the loop1 locale:

```
lemma (in loop1) nonneg_definition: shows x \in L^+ \longleftrightarrow 0 \le x using ordLoopAssum IsAnOrdLoop_def Nonnegative_def by auto
```

The nonnegative set is contained in the loop.

```
lemma (in loop1) nonneg_subset: shows L^+ \subseteq L using Nonnegative_def by auto
```

The positive set is contained in the loop.

```
lemma (in loop1) positive_subset: shows L_+ \subseteq L using PositiveSet_def by auto
```

The definition of the positive set in the notation used in the loop1 locale:

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{lemma (in loop1) posset\_definition:} \\ \text{shows } \texttt{x} \in \texttt{L}_+ \longleftrightarrow (0 {\leq} \texttt{x} \ \land \ \texttt{x} {\neq} \texttt{0}) \\ \text{using ordLoopAssum IsAnOrdLoop\_def PositiveSet\_def by auto} \end{array}
```

Another form of the definition of the positive set in the notation used in the loop1 locale:

```
\begin{array}{l} lemma \ (in \ loop1) \ posset\_definition1: \\ shows \ x \in L_+ \longleftrightarrow 0 < x \\ using \ ordLoopAssum \ IsAnOrdLoop\_def \ PositiveSet\_def \ by \ auto \end{array}
```

The order in an ordered loop is antisymmeric.

```
lemma (in loop1) loop_ord_antisym: assumes x \le y and y \le x shows x=y proof - from ordLoopAssum assms have antisym(r) \langle x,y \rangle \in r \langle y,x \rangle \in r unfolding IsAnOrdLoop_def IsPartOrder_def by auto then show x=y by (rule Fol1_L4) ged
```

The loop order is transitive.

```
lemma (in loop1) loop_ord_trans: assumes x \le y and y \le z shows x \le z proof - from ordLoopAssum assms have trans(r) and \langle x,y \rangle \in r \land \langle y,z \rangle \in r unfolding IsAnOrdLoop_def IsPartOrder_def by auto then have \langle x,z \rangle \in r by (rule Fol1_L3) thus thesis by simp
```

```
qed
A form of mixed transitivity for the strict order:
lemma (in loop1) loop_strict_ord_trans: assumes x \le y and y \le z
  shows x<z
proof -
  from assms have x \le y and y \le z by auto
  then have x \le z by (rule loop_ord_trans)
  with assms show x<z using loop_ord_antisym by auto
Another form of mixed transitivity for the strict order:
lemma (in loop1) loop_strict_ord_trans1: assumes x<y and y≤z
  shows x<z
proof -
  from assms have x \le y and y \le z by auto
  then have x\le z by (rule loop_ord_trans)
  with assms show x<z using loop_ord_antisym by auto
qed
We can move an element to the other side of an inequality. Well, not exactly,
but our notation creates an illusion to that effect.
lemma (in loop1) lsq_other_side: assumes x \le y
  shows 0 \le -x+y (-x+y) \in L^+ 0 \le y-x (y-x) \in L^+
proof -
  from assms have x\inL y\inL (-x+y) \in L (y-x) \in L
    using lsq_members neut_props_loop(1) lrdiv_props(2,5) by auto
  then have x = x+0 and y = x+(-x+y) using neut_props_loop(2) lrdiv_props(6)
    by auto
  with assms have x+0 \le x+(-x+y) by simp
  with \langle x \in L \rangle \langle 0 \in L \rangle \langle (-x+y) \in L \rangle show 0 \le -x+y using ineq_cancel_left
  then show (-x+y) \in L^+ using nonneg_definition by simp
  from \langle x \in L \rangle \langle y \in L \rangle have x = 0+x and y = (y-x)+x
    using neut_props_loop(2) lrdiv_props(3) by auto
  with assms have 0+x \le (y-x)+x by simp
  with \langle x \in L \rangle \ \langle 0 \in L \rangle \ \langle (y-x) \in L \rangle \ show \ 0 \le y-x \ using ineq_cancel_right
    by simp
  then show (y-x) \in L^+ using nonneg_definition by simp
We can move an element to the other side of a strict inequality.
lemma (in loop1) ls_other_side: assumes x<y</pre>
  shows 0 < -x+y (-x+y) \in L_+ 0 < y-x (y-x) \in L_+
```

using lsq_members neut_props_loop(1) lrdiv_props(2,5) by auto

then have x = x+0 and y = x+(-x+y) using neut_props_loop(2) lrdiv_props(6)

from assms have $x \in L$ $y \in L$ $(-x+y) \in L$ $(y-x) \in L$

proof -

```
by auto with assms have x+0 < x+(-x+y) by simp with \langle x\in L\rangle \langle 0\in L\rangle \langle (-x+y)\in L\rangle show 0 < -x+y using strict_ineq_cancel_left by simp then show (-x+y) \in L+ using posset_definition1 by simp from \langle x\in L\rangle \langle y\in L\rangle have x = 0+x and y = (y-x)+x using neut_props_loop(2) lrdiv_props(3) by auto with assms have 0+x < (y-x)+x by simp with \langle x\in L\rangle \langle 0\in L\rangle \langle (y-x)\in L\rangle show 0 < y-x using strict_ineq_cancel_right by simp then show (y-x) \in L+ using posset_definition1 by simp qed
```

end

26 Semigroups

theory Semigroup_ZF imports Partitions_ZF Fold_ZF Enumeration_ZF

begin

It seems that the minimal setup needed to talk about a product of a sequence is a set with a binary operation. Such object is called "magma". However, interesting properties show up when the binary operation is associative and such alebraic structure is called a semigroup. In this theory file we define and study sequences of partial products of sequences of magma and semigroup elements.

26.1 Products of sequences of semigroup elements

Semigroup is a a magma in which the binary operation is associative. In this section we mostly study the products of sequences of elements of semigroup. The goal is to establish the fact that taking the product of a sequence is distributive with respect to concatenation of sequences, i.e for two sequences a, b of the semigroup elements we have $\prod(a \sqcup b) = (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b)$, where " $a \sqcup b$ " is concatenation of a and b (a++b in Haskell notation). Less formally, we want to show that we can discard parantheses in expressions of the form $(a_0 \cdot a_1 \cdot ... \cdot a_n) \cdot (b_0 \cdot ... \cdot b_k)$.

First we define a notion similar to Fold, except that that the initial element of the fold is given by the first element of sequence. By analogy with Haskell fold we call that Fold1

definition

```
Fold1(f,a) \equiv Fold(f,a(0),Tail(a))
```

The definition of the semigr0 context below introduces notation for writing about finite sequences and semigroup products. In the context we fix the carrier and denote it G. The binary operation on G is called f. All theorems proven in the context semigr0 will implicitly assume that f is an associative operation on G. We will use multiplicative notation for the semigroup operation. The product of a sequence a is denoted $\prod a$. We will write $a \leftarrow x$ for the result of appending an element x to the finite sequence (list) a. This is a bit nonstandard, but I don't have a better idea for the "append" notation. Finally, $a \sqcup b$ will denote the concatenation of the lists a and b.

```
locale semigr0 =
```

```
fixes G f assumes assoc_assum: f {is associative on} G fixes prod (infixl \cdot 72) defines prod_def [simp]: x \cdot y \equiv f\langle x,y \rangle fixes seqprod (\prod _ 71) defines seqprod_def [simp]: \prod a \equiv Fold1(f,a) fixes append (infix \leftrightarrow 72) defines append_def [simp]: a \leftrightarrow x \equiv Append(a,x) fixes concat (infixl \sqcup 69) defines concat_def [simp]: a \sqcup b \equiv Concat(a,b)
```

The next lemma shows our assumption on the associativity of the semigroup operation in the notation defined in the semigroup context.

```
lemma (in semigr0) semigr_assoc: assumes x \in G y \in G z \in G shows x \cdot y \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) using assms assoc_assum IsAssociative_def by simp
```

In the way we define associativity the assumption that f is associative on G also implies that it is a binary operation on X.

```
\begin{array}{l} lemma \ (in \ semigr0) \ semigr\_binop \colon shows \ f \ \colon \ G \times G \ \to \ G \\ using \ assoc\_assum \ Is Associative\_def \ by \ simp \end{array}
```

Semigroup operation is closed.

```
 \begin{array}{ll} lemma \ (in \ semigr0) \ semigr\_closed: \\ assumes \ a \in G \ b \in G \ shows \ a \cdot b \ \in \ G \\ using \ assms \ semigr\_binop \ apply\_funtype \ by \ simp \\ \end{array}
```

Lemma append_1elem written in the notation used in the semigro context.

```
lemma (in semigr0) append_1elem_nice: assumes n \in nat and a: n \to X and b: 1 \to X shows a \sqcup b = a \hookleftarrow b(0)
```

```
using assms append_1elem by simp
```

Lemma concat_init_last_elem rewritten in the notation used in the semigr0 context.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{lemma (in semigr0) concat\_init\_last:} \\ \textbf{assumes n} \in \textbf{nat} & \textbf{k} \in \textbf{nat and} \\ \textbf{a: n} \rightarrow \textbf{X} & \textbf{and b} : \textbf{succ(k)} \rightarrow \textbf{X} \\ \textbf{shows (a} \sqcup \textbf{Init(b))} & \hookleftarrow \textbf{b(k)} = \textbf{a} \sqcup \textbf{b} \\ \textbf{using assms concat\_init\_last\_elem by simp} \end{array}
```

The product of semigroup (actually, magma – we don't need associativity for this) elements is in the semigroup.

```
lemma (in semigr0) prod_type:
  assumes n \in nat and a : succ(n) \rightarrow G
  shows (\prod a) \in G
proof -
  from assms have
    \verb+succ+(n)+\in \verb+nat+ f+: G\times G \to G + Tail(a)+: n \to G
    using semigr_binop tail_props by auto
  moreover from assms have a(0) \in G and G \neq 0
    {\bf using} \ {\tt empty\_in\_every\_succ} \ {\tt apply\_funtype}
    by auto
  ultimately show (\prod a) \in G using Fold1_def fold_props
    by simp
qed
What is the product of one element list?
lemma (in semigr0) prod_of_1elem: assumes A1: a: 1 
ightarrow G
  shows (\prod a) = a(0)
proof -
  have f : G \times G \rightarrow G using semigr_binop by simp
  moreover from A1 have Tail(a) : 0 \rightarrow G using tail_props
    by blast
  moreover from A1 have a(0) \in G and G \neq 0
    using apply_funtype by auto
  ultimately show (\prod a) = a(0) using fold_empty Fold1_def
    by simp
qed
```

What happens to the product of a list when we append an element to the list?

```
lemma (in semigr0) prod_append: assumes A1: n \in nat and A2: a : succ(n) \rightarrow G and A3: x \in G shows (\prod a \leftarrow x) = (\prod a) \cdot x proof - from A1 A2 have I: Tail(a) : n \rightarrow G a(0) \in G using tail_props empty_in_every_succ apply_funtype by auto
```

```
from assms have (∏ a←x) = Fold(f,a(0),Tail(a)←x)
  using head_of_append tail_append_commute Fold1_def
  by simp
  also from A1 A3 I have ... = (∏ a) · x
    using semigr_binop fold_append Fold1_def
  by simp
  finally show thesis by simp
ed
```

The main theorem of the section: taking the product of a sequence is distributive with respect to concatenation of sequences. The proof is by induction on the length of the second list.

```
theorem (in semigr0) prod_conc_distr:
  assumes A1: n \in nat k \in nat and
  A2: a : succ(n) \rightarrow G b: succ(k) \rightarrow G
  shows (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b) = \prod (a \sqcup b)
  from A1 have k \in nat by simp
  moreover have \forall b \in succ(0) \rightarrow G. (\prod a) · (\prod b) = \prod (a \sqcup b)
  proof -
     { fix b assume A3: b : succ(0) \rightarrow G
        with A1 A2 have
 succ(n) \in nat \ a : succ(n) \rightarrow G \ b : 1 \rightarrow G
 by auto
        then have a \sqcup b = a \leftarrow b(0) by (rule append_1elem_nice)
        with A1 A2 A3 have (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b) = \prod (a \sqcup b)
 using apply_funtype prod_append semigr_binop prod_of_1elem
 by simp
     } thus thesis by simp
  ged
  moreover have \forall j \in nat.
     (\forall\,b\,\in\,succ(j)\,\rightarrow\,G.\,\,(\,\textstyle\prod\,\,a)\,\cdot\,(\,\textstyle\prod\,\,b)\,=\,\textstyle\textstyle\prod\,\,\,(a\,\sqcup\,b))\,\longrightarrow\,
     proof -
     { fix j assume A4: j \in nat and
        A5: (\forall b \in succ(j) \rightarrow G. (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b) = \prod (a \sqcup b))
        { fix b assume A6: b : succ(succ(j)) \rightarrow G
 let c = Init(b)
 from A4 A6 have T: b(succ(j)) \in G and
    I: c : succ(j) \rightarrow G \text{ and } II: b = c \leftarrow b(succ(j))
    using apply_funtype init_props by auto
 from A1 A2 A4 A6 have
    succ(n) \in nat \quad succ(j) \in nat
    \mathtt{a} \,:\, \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{n}) \,\to\, \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{b} \,:\, \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{j})) \,\to\, \mathtt{G}
    by auto
 then have III: (a \sqcup c) \leftarrow b(succ(j)) = a \sqcup b
    by (rule concat_init_last)
 from A4 I T have (\prod c \leftarrow b(succ(j))) = (\prod c) \cdot b(succ(j))
    by (rule prod_append)
```

```
with II have
    (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b) = (\prod a) \cdot ((\prod c) \cdot b(succ(j)))
    by simp
 moreover from A1 A2 A4 T I have
    (\prod a) \in G \ (\prod c) \in G \ b(succ(j)) \in G
    using prod_type by auto
 ultimately have
    (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b) = ((\prod a) \cdot (\prod c)) \cdot b(succ(j))
    using semigr_assoc by auto
 with A5 I have (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b) = (\prod (a \sqcup c)) \cdot b(succ(j))
    by simp
 moreover
 from A1 A2 A4 I have
    T1: succ(n) \in nat \quad succ(j) \in nat \ and
    \mathtt{a} \,:\, \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{n}) \,\to\, \mathtt{G} \quad \, \mathtt{c} \,:\, \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{j}) \,\to\, \mathtt{G}
    by auto
 then have Concat(a,c): succ(n) #+ succ(j) \rightarrow G
    by (rule concat_props)
 with A1 A4 T have
    succ(n \#+ j) \in nat
    \mathtt{a} \; \sqcup \; \mathtt{c} \; : \; \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{n} \; \texttt{\#+j})) \; \to \; \mathtt{G}
    b(succ(j)) \in G
    using succ_plus by auto
 then have
    (\prod (a \sqcup c) \leftarrow b(succ(j))) = (\prod (a \sqcup c)) \cdot b(succ(j))
    by (rule prod_append)
 with III have (\prod (a \sqcup c)) \cdot b(succ(j)) = \prod (a \sqcup b)
    by simp
 ultimately have (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b) = \prod (a \sqcup b)
    by simp
        } hence (\forall b \in succ(succ(j)) \rightarrow G. (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b) = \prod (a \sqcup b))
 by simp
     } thus thesis by blast
  ultimately have \forall b \in succ(k) \rightarrow G. (\prod a) · (\prod b) = \prod (a \sqcup b)
     by (rule ind_on_nat)
  with A2 show (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b) = \prod (a \sqcup b) by simp
qed
```

26.2 Products over sets of indices

In this section we study the properties of expressions of the form $\prod_{i\in\Lambda} a_i = a_{i_0} \cdot a_{i_1} \cdot ... \cdot a_{i-1}$, i.e. what we denote as $\prod(\Lambda, \mathbf{a})$. Λ here is a finite subset of some set X and a is a function defined on X with values in the semigroup G.

Suppose $a: X \to G$ is an indexed family of elements of a semigroup G and $\Lambda = \{i_0, i_1, ..., i_{n-1}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is a finite set of indices. We want to define $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} a_i = a_{i_0} \cdot a_{i_1} \cdot ... \cdot a_{i-1}$. To do that we use the notion of Enumeration

defined in the Enumeration_ZF theory file that takes a set of indices and lists them in increasing order, thus converting it to list. Then we use the Fold1 to multiply the resulting list. Recall that in Isabelle/ZF the capital letter "O" denotes the composition of two functions (or relations).

definition

```
SetFold(f,a,\Lambda,r) = Fold1(f,a 0 Enumeration(\Lambda,r))
```

For a finite subset Λ of a linearly ordered set X we will write $\sigma(\Lambda)$ to denote the enumeration of the elements of Λ , i.e. the only order isomorphism $|\Lambda| \to \Lambda$, where $|\Lambda| \in \mathbb{N}$ is the number of elements of Λ . We also define notation for taking a product over a set of indices of some sequence of semigroup elements. The product of semigroup elements over some set $\Lambda \subseteq X$ of indices of a sequence $a: X \to G$ (i.e. $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} a_i$) is denoted $\prod(\Lambda, \mathbf{a})$. In the semigr1 context we assume that a is a function defined on some linearly ordered set X with values in the semigroup G.

```
locale semigr1 = semigr0 + fixes X r assumes linord: IsLinOrder(X,r) fixes a assumes a_is_fun: a : X \rightarrow G fixes \sigma defines \sigma_def [simp]: \sigma(A) \equiv \text{Enumeration}(A,r) fixes setpr (\prod) defines setpr_def [simp]: \prod(\Lambda,b) \equiv \text{SetFold}(f,b,\Lambda,r) We can use the enums locale in the semigr0 context. lemma (in semigr1) enums_valid_in_semigr1: shows enums(X,r) using linord enums_def by simp
```

Definition of product over a set expressed in notation of the semigro locale.

```
lemma (in semigr1) setproddef:

shows \prod(\Lambda,a) = \prod (a 0 \sigma(\Lambda))

using SetFold_def by simp
```

A composition of enumeration of a nonempty finite subset of \mathbb{N} with a sequence of elements of G is a nonempty list of elements of G. This implies that a product over set of a finite set of indices belongs to the (carrier of) semigroup.

```
lemma (in semigr1) setprod_type: assumes A1: \Lambda \in \text{FinPow}(X) and A2: \Lambda \neq 0 shows \exists \, \mathbf{n} \in \, \text{nat} \, . \, |\Lambda| = \text{succ}(\mathbf{n}) \, \wedge \, \mathbf{a} \, \, \mathbf{0} \, \, \sigma(\Lambda) \, : \, \text{succ}(\mathbf{n}) \, \to \, \mathbf{G}
```

```
and \prod(\Lambda, a) \in G
proof ·
   from assms obtain n where n \in nat and |\Lambda| = succ(n)
      using card_non_empty_succ by auto
   from A1 have \sigma(\Lambda): |\Lambda| \to \Lambda
      using enums_valid_in_semigr1 enums.enum_props
      by simp
   with A1 have a O \sigma(\Lambda): |\Lambda| \to G
      using a_is_fun FinPow_def comp_fun_subset
      by simp
   with \langle n \in nat \rangle and \langle |\Lambda| = succ(n) \rangle show
      \exists \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{nat} . |\Lambda| = \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{n}) \wedge \mathtt{a} \cup \sigma(\Lambda) : \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{n}) \to \mathtt{G}
      by auto
  \mathbf{from} \quad \  \  \langle \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{nat} \rangle \  \  \langle |\Lambda| \  \, = \  \, \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{n}) \rangle \  \  \langle \mathtt{a} \  \, \mathsf{0} \  \  \sigma(\Lambda) \colon \  \, |\Lambda| \  \  \to \  \, \mathsf{G} \rangle
  show \prod(\Lambda, a) \in G using prod_type setproddef
      by auto
qed
The enum_append lemma from the Enemeration theory specialized for natural
numbers.
lemma (in semigr1) semigr1_enum_append:
  assumes \Lambda \in FinPow(X) and
  {\tt n} \, \in \, {\tt X} \, - \, \Lambda \, \mbox{ and } \, \forall \, {\tt k} {\in} \Lambda \, . \, \, \langle {\tt k}, {\tt n} \rangle \, \in \, {\tt r}
  shows \sigma(\Lambda \cup \{n\}) = \sigma(\Lambda) \leftarrow n
  using assms FinPow_def enums_valid_in_semigr1
      enums.enum_append by simp
What is product over a singleton?
lemma (in semigr1) gen_prod_singleton:
  assumes A1: x \in X
  shows \prod(\{x\},a) = a(x)
proof -
   from A1 have \sigma(\{x\}): 1 \to X and \sigma(\{x\})(0) = x
      using enums_valid_in_semigr1 enums.enum_singleton
      by auto
   then show \prod(\{x\},a) = a(x)
      using a_is_fun comp_fun setproddef prod_of_1elem
         comp_fun_apply by simp
qed
A generalization of prod_append to the products over sets of indices.
lemma (in semigr1) gen_prod_append:
  assumes
  A1: \Lambda \in FinPow(X) and A2: \Lambda \neq 0 and
  A3: n \in X - \Lambda and
  A4: \forall k \in \Lambda. \langle k, n \rangle \in r
  shows \prod (\Lambda \cup \{n\}, a) = (\prod (\Lambda, a)) \cdot a(n)
  have \prod (\Lambda \cup \{n\}, a) = \prod (a \circ \sigma(\Lambda \cup \{n\}))
```

```
using setproddef by simp
  also from A1 A3 A4 have ... = \prod (a O (\sigma(\Lambda) \leftarrow n))
     using semigr1_enum_append by simp
  also have ... = \prod ((a 0 \sigma(\Lambda))\leftarrow a(n))
  proof -
     from A1 A3 have
       |\Lambda| \in \text{nat and } \sigma(\Lambda) : |\Lambda| \to X \text{ and } n \in X
       using card_fin_is_nat enums_valid_in_semigr1 enums.enum_fun
       by auto
     then show thesis using a_is_fun list_compose_append
       by simp
  also from assms have ... = (\prod (a \ 0 \ \sigma(\Lambda))) \cdot a(n)
     using a_is_fun setprod_type apply_funtype prod_append
     by blast
  also have ... = (\prod (\Lambda, a)) \cdot a(n)
     using SetFold_def by simp
  finally show \prod (\Lambda \cup \{n\}, a) = (\prod (\Lambda,a)) \cdot a(n)
qed
```

Very similar to gen_prod_append: a relation between a product over a set of indices and the product over the set with the maximum removed.

```
lemma (in semigr1) gen_product_rem_point: assumes A1: A \in FinPow(X) and A2: n \in A and A4: A - \{n\} \neq 0 and A3: \forall k \in A. \langle k, n \rangle \in r shows (\prod (A - \{n\}, a)) \cdot a(n) = \prod (A, a) proof - let \Lambda = A - \{n\} from A1 A2 have \Lambda \in FinPow(X) and n \in X - \Lambda using fin_rem_point_fin FinPow_def by auto with A3 A4 have \prod (\Lambda \cup \{n\}, a) = (\prod (\Lambda, a)) \cdot a(n) using a_is_fun gen_prod_append by blast with A2 show thesis using rem_add_eq by simp qed
```

26.3 Commutative semigroups

Commutative semigroups are those whose operation is commutative, i.e. $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$. This implies that for any permutation $s: n \to n$ we have $\prod_{j=0}^n a_j = \prod_{j=0}^n a_{s(j)}$, or, closer to the notation we are using in the semigroup context, $\prod a = \prod (a \circ s)$. Maybe one day we will be able to prove this, but for now the goal is to prove something simpler: that if the semigroup operation is commutative taking the product of a sequence is distributive with respect to the operation: $\prod_{j=0}^n (a_j \cdot b_j) = \left(\prod_{j=0}^n a_j\right) \left(\prod_{j=0}^n b_j\right)$. Many of the rearrangements (namely those that don't use the inverse) proven in

the AbelianGroup_ZF theory hold in fact in semigroups. Some of them will be reproven in this section.

```
A rearrangement with 3 elements.
lemma (in semigr0) rearr3elems:
  assumes f {is commutative on} G and a\inG b\inG c\inG
  shows a \cdot b \cdot c = a \cdot c \cdot b
  using assms semigr_assoc IsCommutative_def by simp
A rearrangement of four elements.
lemma (in semigr0) rearr4elems:
  assumes A1: f {is commutative on} G and
  A2: a{\in}G b{\in}G c{\in}G d{\in}G
  shows a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot d) = a \cdot c \cdot (b \cdot d)
proof -
  from A2 have a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot d) = a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d
     using semigr_closed semigr_assoc by simp
  also have a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot c \cdot (b \cdot d)
  proof -
     from A1 A2 have a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = c \cdot (a \cdot b) \cdot d
        using IsCommutative_def semigr_closed
     also from A2 have ... = c \cdot a \cdot b \cdot d
        using semigr_closed semigr_assoc
        by simp
     also from A1 A2 have ... = a \cdot c \cdot b \cdot d
        using IsCommutative_def semigr_closed
        by simp
     also from A2 have ... = a \cdot c \cdot (b \cdot d)
        using semigr_closed semigr_assoc
        by simp
     finally show a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot c \cdot (b \cdot d) by simp
  finally show a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot d) = a \cdot c \cdot (b \cdot d)
     by simp
qed
We start with a version of prod_append that will shorten a bit the proof of
the main theorem.
lemma (in semigr0) shorter_seq: assumes A1: k \in nat and
  A2: a \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G
  shows (\prod a) = (\prod Init(a)) \cdot a(succ(k))
proof -
  let x = Init(a)
  from assms have
```

with A1 have $(\prod x \leftarrow a(succ(k))) = (\prod x) \cdot a(succ(k))$

 $a(succ(k)) \in G \text{ and } x : succ(k) \to G$ using apply_funtype init_props by auto

```
using prod_append by simp
  with assms show thesis using init_props
     by simp
qed
A lemma useful in the induction step of the main theorem.
lemma (in semigr0) prod_distr_ind_step:
  assumes A1: k \in nat and
  A2: a : succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G and
  A3: b : succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G and
  A4: c : succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G and
  A5: \forall j \in succ(succ(k)). c(j) = a(j) \cdot b(j)
  shows
  Init(a) : succ(k) \rightarrow G
  \texttt{Init(b)} \; : \; \texttt{succ(k)} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{G}
  \texttt{Init(c)} \; : \; \texttt{succ(k)} \; \to \; \texttt{G}
  \forall j \in succ(k). Init(c)(j) = Init(a)(j) \cdot Init(b)(j)
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 A4 show
     \texttt{Init(a)} \; : \; \texttt{succ(k)} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{G}
     \texttt{Init(b)} \; : \; \texttt{succ(k)} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{G}
     \texttt{Init(c)} \; : \; \texttt{succ(k)} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{G}
     using init_props by auto
  from A1 have T: succ(k) \in nat by simp
  from T A2 have \forall j \in succ(k). Init(a)(j) = a(j)
     by (rule init_props)
  moreover from T A3 have \forall j \in succ(k). Init(b)(j) = b(j)
      by (rule init_props)
    moreover from T A4 have \forall j \in succ(k). Init(c)(j) = c(j)
      by (rule init_props)
    moreover from A5 have \forall j \in succ(k). c(j) = a(j) \cdot b(j)
      by simp
    ultimately show \forall j \in succ(k). Init(c)(j) = Init(a)(j) \cdot Init(b)(j)
      by simp
qed
```

For commutative operations taking the product of a sequence is distributive with respect to the operation. This version will probably not be used in applications, it is formulated in a way that is easier to prove by induction. For a more convenient formulation see prod_comm_distrib. The proof by induction on the length of the sequence.

```
theorem (in semigr0) prod_comm_distr: assumes A1: f {is commutative on} G and A2: n ∈ n at shows \forall a b c. (a : succ(n) \rightarrow G \land b : succ(n) \rightarrow G \land c : succ(n) \rightarrow G \land (\forall j ∈ succ(n). c(j) = a(j) · b(j))) \rightarrow (\prod c) = (\prod a) · (\prod b) proof - note A2
```

```
moreover have \forall a b c.
        (a : succ(0) \rightarrow G \land b : succ(0) \rightarrow G \land c : succ(0) \rightarrow G \land
        (\forall j \in succ(0). c(j) = a(j) \cdot b(j))) \longrightarrow
        (\prod c) = (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b)
   proof -
        { fix a b c
            \mathbf{assume} \ \mathbf{a} \ : \ \mathtt{succ}(0) {\to} \mathbf{G} \ \land \ \mathbf{b} \ : \ \mathtt{succ}(0) {\to} \mathbf{G} \ \land \ \mathbf{c} \ : \ \mathtt{succ}(0) {\to} \mathbf{G} \ \land
  (\forall j \in succ(0). c(j) = a(j) \cdot b(j))
            then have
  I: a : 1 \rightarrow G b : 1 \rightarrow G c : 1 \rightarrow G and
  II: c(0) = a(0) \cdot b(0) by auto
            from I have
  (\prod a) = a(0) and (\prod b) = b(0) and (\prod c) = c(0)
  using prod_of_1elem by auto
            } then show thesis using Fold1_def by simp
   \mathbf{qed}
   moreover have \forall k \in nat.
        (\forall abc.
        (a : succ(k) \rightarrow G \land b : succ(k) \rightarrow G \land c : succ(k) \rightarrow G \land
        (\forall j \in succ(k). c(j) = a(j) \cdot b(j))) \longrightarrow
        (\prod c) = (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b)) \longrightarrow
        (\forall abc.
        (\texttt{a} : \texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}(\texttt{k})) \rightarrow \texttt{G} \ \land \ \texttt{b} : \texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}(\texttt{k})) \rightarrow \texttt{G} \ \land \ \texttt{c} : \texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}(\texttt{k})) \rightarrow \texttt{G}
\wedge
        (\forall j \in \texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}(\texttt{k})). \ \texttt{c}(\texttt{j}) = \texttt{a}(\texttt{j}) \cdot \texttt{b}(\texttt{j}))) \ \longrightarrow \ 
        (\prod c) = (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b))
   proof
        fix k assume k \in nat
        show (\foralla b c.
            \mathtt{a} \,\in\, \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{k}) \,\to\, \mathtt{G} \,\wedge\,
            b \,\in\, \mathtt{succ(k)} \,\to\, \mathtt{G} \,\wedge\, \mathtt{c} \,\in\, \mathtt{succ(k)} \,\to\, \mathtt{G} \,\wedge\,
            (\forall j \in succ(k). c(j) = a(j) \cdot b(j)) \longrightarrow
             (\prod c) = (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b)) \longrightarrow
             (\forall a b c.
            a \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G \land
            \texttt{b} \, \in \, \texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}(\texttt{k})) \, \to \, \texttt{G} \, \, \wedge \, \,
            \texttt{c} \, \in \, \texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}(\texttt{k})) \, \to \, \texttt{G} \, \, \wedge \, \,
             (\forall j \in succ(succ(k)). c(j) = a(j) \cdot b(j)) \longrightarrow
             (\prod c) = (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b))
        proof
            assume A3: \forall a b c.
  a \in succ(k) \rightarrow G \land
  b \, \in \, \mathtt{succ(k)} \, \to \, \mathtt{G} \, \wedge \, \mathtt{c} \, \in \, \mathtt{succ(k)} \, \to \, \mathtt{G} \, \wedge \,
  (\forall\, j{\in}\mathsf{succ}(\mathtt{k})\,.\ \mathtt{c}(\mathtt{j})\,=\,\mathtt{a}(\mathtt{j})\,\cdot\,\mathtt{b}(\mathtt{j}))\,\longrightarrow\,
  (\prod c) = (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b)
            show \forall a b c.
  \mathtt{a} \, \in \, \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{k})) \, \to \, \mathtt{G} \, \, \wedge \,
  \texttt{b} \, \in \, \texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}(\texttt{k})) \, \to \, \texttt{G} \, \, \land \, \,
```

```
\texttt{c} \; \in \; \texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}(\texttt{k})) \; \to \; \texttt{G} \; \; \land \; \;
(\forall \, j {\in} \texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}(\texttt{k})) \, . \, \, \, \texttt{c}(\texttt{j}) \, = \, \texttt{a}(\texttt{j}) \, \cdot \, \texttt{b}(\texttt{j})) \, \longrightarrow \,
(\prod c) = (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b)
         proof -
{ fix a b c
   assume
      a \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G \land
      b \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G \land
      \texttt{c} \, \in \, \texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}(\texttt{k})) \, \to \, \texttt{G} \, \, \land \, \,
       (\forall j \in succ(succ(k)). c(j) = a(j) \cdot b(j))
   with \langle k \in nat \rangle have I:
      a : succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G
      \texttt{b} \; : \; \texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}(\texttt{k})) \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{G}
      \texttt{c} \;:\; \texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}(\texttt{k})) \;\to\; \texttt{G}
      and II: \forall j \in succ(succ(k)). c(j) = a(j) \cdot b(j)
      by auto
   let x = Init(a)
               let y = Init(b)
               let z = Init(c)
   from \langle k \in nat \rangle I have III:
       (\prod a) = (\prod x) \cdot a(succ(k))
       (\prod b) = (\prod y) \cdot b(succ(k)) and
       IV: (\prod c) = (\prod z) \cdot c(succ(k))
       using shorter_seq by auto
   moreover
   \mathbf{from} \quad \langle \mathtt{k} \in \mathtt{nat} \rangle \ \mathtt{I} \ \mathtt{III} \ \mathbf{have}
      x : succ(k) \rightarrow G
      y : succ(k) \rightarrow G
      z \; : \; \text{succ(k)} \; \rightarrow \; \text{G and}
      \forall j \in succ(k). z(j) = x(j) \cdot y(j)
      using prod_distr_ind_step by auto
   with A3 II IV have
       (\prod c) = (\prod x) \cdot (\prod y) \cdot (a(succ(k)) \cdot b(succ(k)))
      by simp
   moreover from A1 \langle k \in nat \rangle I III have
       (\prod x) \cdot (\prod y) \cdot (a(succ(k)) \cdot b(succ(k))) =
       (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b)
      \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{init\_props} \ \mathtt{prod\_type} \ \mathtt{apply\_funtype}
          rearr4elems by simp
   ultimately have (\prod c) = (\prod a) \cdot (\prod b)
      by simp
} thus thesis by auto
        qed
     qed
 qed
 ultimately show thesis by (rule ind_on_nat)
```

A reformulation of prod_comm_distr that is more convenient in applications.

```
theorem (in semigr0) prod_comm_distrib:
   assumes f \{ \text{is commutative on} \} G \text{ and } n \in n \text{at and}
   \texttt{a} \; : \; \texttt{succ(n)} {\rightarrow} \texttt{G} \quad \texttt{b} \; : \; \texttt{succ(n)} {\rightarrow} \texttt{G} \quad \texttt{c} \; : \; \texttt{succ(n)} {\rightarrow} \texttt{G} \; \; \texttt{and}
  \forall j \in succ(n). c(j) = a(j) \cdot b(j)
  using assms prod_comm_distr by simp
A product of two products over disjoint sets of indices is the product over
the union.
lemma (in semigr1) prod_bisect:
   assumes A1: f {is commutative on} G and A2: \Lambda \in \text{FinPow}(X)
   shows
  \forall P \in Bisections(\Lambda). \prod (\Lambda,a) = (\prod (fst(P),a)) \cdot (\prod (snd(P),a))
   have IsLinOrder(X,r) using linord by simp
   moreover have
      \forall P \in Bisections(0). \prod (0,a) = (\prod (fst(P),a)) \cdot (\prod (snd(P),a))
      using bisec_empty by simp
  moreover have \forall A \in FinPow(X).
      ( \forall n \in X - A.
      (\forall P \in Bisections(A), \prod (A,a) = (\prod (fst(P),a)) \cdot (\prod (snd(P),a)))
      \land (\forallk\inA. \langlek,n\rangle \in r ) \longrightarrow
      (\forall\, Q \in Bisections(A \cup \{n\}).
      \prod(A \cup \{n\},a) = (\prod(fst(Q),a)) \cdot (\prod(snd(Q),a)))
  proof -
      \{ \text{ fix A assume A} \in \text{FinPow(X)} \}
         fix n assume n \in X - A
         have (\forall P \in Bisections(A).
 \prod(A,a) = (\prod(fst(P),a)) \cdot (\prod(snd(P),a)))
 \land (\forall k\inA. \langlek,n\rangle \in r ) \longrightarrow
 (\forall Q \in Bisections(A \cup \{n\}).
 \prod(A \cup \{n\}, a) = (\prod(fst(Q), a)) \cdot (\prod(snd(Q), a)))
         proof -
 { assume I:
    \forall P \in Bisections(A). \prod (A,a) = (\prod (fst(P),a)) \cdot (\prod (snd(P),a))
    and II: \forall k \in A. \langle k, n \rangle \in r
    have \forall Q \in Bisections(A \cup \{n\}).
       \prod(A \cup \{n\}, a) = (\prod(fst(Q), a)) \cdot (\prod(snd(Q), a))
    proof -
       \{ \text{ fix Q assume Q} \in \text{ Bisections(A} \cup \{n\}) \}
          let Q_0 = fst(Q)
          let Q_1 = snd(Q)
          from (A \in FinPow(X)) (n \in X - A) have A \cup \{n\} \in FinPow(X)
   using singleton_in_finpow union_finpow by auto
          with \langle Q \in Bisections(A \cup \{n\}) \rangle have
   \mathtt{Q}_0 \in \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X}) \ \mathtt{Q}_0 
eq \mathtt{0} \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathtt{Q}_1 \in \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X}) \ \mathtt{Q}_1 
eq \mathtt{0}
   using bisect_fin bisec_is_pair Bisections_def by auto
```

then have $\prod(Q_0,a) \in G$ and $\prod(Q_1,a) \in G$

using a_is_fun setprod_type by auto

```
\mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{Q} \in \mathtt{Bisections}(\mathtt{A} \ \cup \ \{\mathtt{n}\}) \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{A} \in \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{X-A} \rangle
          \mathbf{have} \ \mathsf{refl}(\mathtt{X},\mathtt{r}) \quad \mathtt{Q}_0 \subseteq \mathtt{A} \ \cup \ \mathtt{\{n\}} \quad \mathtt{Q}_1 \subseteq \mathtt{A} \ \cup \ \mathtt{\{n\}}
A \subseteq X \text{ and } n \in X
using linord IsLinOrder_def total_is_refl Bisections_def
FinPow_def by auto
          \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle \mathtt{refl}(\mathtt{X},\mathtt{r}) \rangle \quad \  \langle \mathtt{Q}_0 \subseteq \mathtt{A} \ \cup \ \{\mathtt{n}\} \rangle \quad \  \langle \mathtt{A} \subseteq \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \ \langle \mathtt{n} \in \ \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \ \mathtt{II}
          have III: \forall k \in Q_0. \langle k, n \rangle \in r by (rule refl_add_point)
          \mathbf{from} \  \, \langle \mathtt{refl}(\mathtt{X}\mathtt{,r}) \rangle \quad \, \langle \mathtt{Q}_1 \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{A} \ \cup \ \{\mathtt{n}\} \rangle \quad \, \langle \mathtt{A} \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{X} \rangle \  \, \langle \mathtt{n} \ \in \ \mathtt{X} \rangle \  \, \mathtt{II}
          have IV: \forall k \in Q_1. \langle k, n \rangle \in r by (rule refl_add_point)
          from \langle n \in X - A \rangle \langle Q \in Bisections(A \cup \{n\}) \rangle have
\mathsf{Q}_0 \ = \ \{\mathtt{n}\} \ \lor \ \mathsf{Q}_1 \ = \ \{\mathtt{n}\} \ \lor \ \left<\mathsf{Q}_0 \ - \ \{\mathtt{n}\}, \mathsf{Q}_1 - \{\mathtt{n}\}\right> \ \in \ \mathsf{Bisections}(\mathtt{A})
using bisec_is_pair bisec_add_point by simp
          moreover
          { assume Q_1 = \{n\}
from (n \in X - A) have n \notin A by auto
moreover
from \langle Q \in Bisections(A \cup \{n\}) \rangle
have \langle Q_0, Q_1 \rangle \in Bisections(A \cup \{n\})
    using bisec_is_pair by simp
with \langle Q_1 = \{n\} \rangle have \langle Q_0, \{n\} \rangle \in Bisections(A \cup \{n\})
    by simp
ultimately have Q_0 = A and A \neq 0
    using set_point_bisec by auto
\mathbf{with} \ \ \langle \mathtt{A} \ \in \ \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \ \ \langle \mathtt{n} \ \in \ \mathtt{X} \ - \ \mathtt{A} \rangle \ \ \mathtt{II} \ \ \langle \mathtt{Q}_1 \ = \ \{\mathtt{n}\} \rangle
have \prod (A \cup \{n\}, a) = (\prod (Q_0, a)) \cdot \prod (Q_1, a)
    using a_is_fun gen_prod_append gen_prod_singleton
    by simp }
          moreover
          { assume Q_0 = \{n\}
from \langle n \in X - A \rangle have n \in X by auto
then have \{n\} \in FinPow(X) and \{n\} \neq 0
    using singleton_in_finpow by auto
from \langle n \in X - A \rangle have n \notin A by auto
moreover
from \langle Q \in Bisections(A \cup \{n\}) \rangle
have \langle Q_0, Q_1 \rangle \in Bisections(A \cup \{n\})
    using bisec_is_pair by simp
with \langle Q_0 = \{n\} \rangle have \langle \{n\}, Q_1 \rangle \in Bisections(A \cup \{n\})
    by simp
ultimately have Q_1 = A and A \neq 0 using point_set_bisec
    by auto
with A1 \langle A \in FinPow(X) \rangle \langle n \in X - A \rangle II
    \langle \{n\} \in FinPow(X) \rangle \quad \langle \{n\} \neq 0 \rangle \langle Q_0 = \{n\} \rangle
have \prod (A \cup \{n\}, a) = (\prod (Q_0, a)) \cdot (\prod (Q_1, a))
    \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{a\_is\_fun} \ \mathtt{gen\_prod\_append} \ \mathtt{gen\_prod\_singleton}
        setprod_type IsCommutative_def by auto }
          moreover
          \{ \text{ assume A4: } \langle \mathsf{Q}_0 - \{\mathsf{n}\}, \mathsf{Q}_1 - \{\mathsf{n}\} \rangle \in \mathsf{Bisections}(\mathsf{A}) \}
with \langle A \in FinPow(X) \rangle have
```

```
\mathtt{Q}_0 - {n} \in FinPow(X) \mathtt{Q}_0 - {n} \neq 0 and
       \mathtt{Q}_1 - {n} \in FinPow(X) \mathtt{Q}_1 - {n} \neq 0
       using FinPow_def Bisections_def by auto
   with \langle n \in X - A \rangle have
       \prod (Q_0 - \{n\}, a) \in G \quad \prod (Q_1 - \{n\}, a) \in G \quad and
       T: a(n) \in G
       using a_is_fun setprod_type apply_funtype by auto
   from \langle Q \in Bisections(A \cup \{n\}) \rangle A4 have
       (\langle \mathtt{Q}_0, \ \mathtt{Q}_1 - \{\mathtt{n}\} \rangle \in \mathtt{Bisections}(\mathtt{A}) \ \land \ \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{Q}_1) \ \lor
       (\langle \mathsf{Q}_0 - \{\mathsf{n}\}, \; \mathsf{Q}_1 \rangle \in \mathsf{Bisections}(\mathsf{A}) \; \wedge \; \mathsf{n} \in \mathsf{Q}_0)
       using bisec_is_pair bisec_add_point_case3 by auto
   \{ \text{ assume } \langle \mathtt{Q}_0, \ \mathtt{Q}_1 \ \mathtt{--} \ \mathtt{\{n\}} 
angle \in \mathtt{Bisections}(\mathtt{A}) \ \text{and} \ \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{Q}_1
       then have A \neq 0 using bisec_props by simp
       with A2 \langle A \in FinPow(X) \rangle \langle n \in X - A \rangle I II T IV
           \langle\langle \mathsf{Q}_0,\; \mathsf{Q}_1 - \{\mathsf{n}\} \rangle \in \mathsf{Bisections}(\mathsf{A}) \setminus \langle \prod (\mathsf{Q}_0,\mathsf{a}) \in \mathsf{G} \rangle
           \langle \prod (Q_1 - \{n\}, a) \in G \rangle \langle Q_1 \in FinPow(X) \rangle
           \langle \mathtt{n} \ \in \ \mathtt{Q}_1 \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{Q}_1 \ - \ \mathtt{\{n\}} \ \neq \ \mathtt{0} \rangle
       have \prod (A \cup \{n\}, a) = (\prod (Q_0, a)) \cdot (\prod (Q_1, a))
           using gen_prod_append semigr_assoc gen_product_rem_point
           by simp }
   moreover
    \{ 	ext{ assume } \langle \mathtt{Q}_0 	ext{ - } \{\mathtt{n}\}, \ \mathtt{Q}_1 
angle \in \mathtt{Bisections}(\mathtt{A}) 	ext{ and } \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{Q}_0
       then have A \neq 0 using bisec_props by simp
       \mathbf{with} \ \mathtt{A1} \ \mathtt{A2} \ \langle \mathtt{A} \in \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X}) \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{X} \ \mathtt{-} \ \mathtt{A} \rangle \ \mathtt{I} \ \mathtt{III} \ \mathtt{III} \ \mathtt{T}
           \langle\langle Q_0 - \{n\}, Q_1 \rangle \in Bisections(A) \rangle \langle \prod (Q_0 - \{n\}, a) \in G \rangle
           \langle \prod (Q_1, a) \in G \rangle \langle Q_0 \in FinPow(X) \rangle \langle n \in Q_0 \rangle \langle Q_0 - \{n\} \neq 0 \rangle
       have \prod (A \cup \{n\},a) = (\prod (Q_0,a)) \cdot (\prod (Q_1,a))
           using gen_prod_append rearr3elems gen_product_rem_point
              by simp }
   ultimately have
       \prod(A \cup \{n\},a) = (\prod(Q_0,a)) \cdot (\prod(Q_1,a))
       by auto }
            ultimately have \prod (A \cup \{n\},a) = (\prod (Q_0,a)) \cdot (\prod (Q_1,a))
   by auto
         } thus thesis by simp
     qed
  } thus thesis by simp
       } thus thesis by simp
   qed
   moreover note A2
   ultimately show thesis by (rule fin_ind_add_max)
qed
A better looking reformulation of prod_bisect.
theorem (in semigr1) prod_disjoint: assumes
   A1: f {is commutative on} G and
   A2: A \in FinPow(X) A \neq 0 and
```

```
A3: B \in FinPow(X) B \neq 0 and
  A4: A \cap B = 0
  shows \prod (A \cup B, a) = (\prod (A, a)) \cdot (\prod (B, a))
   from A2 A3 A4 have \langle A,B \rangle \in Bisections(A \cup B)
      using is_bisec by simp
   with A1 A2 A3 show thesis
      using a_is_fun union_finpow prod_bisect by simp
qed
A generalization of prod_disjoint.
lemma (in semigr1) prod_list_of_lists: assumes
   A1: f {is commutative on} G and A2: n \in nat
   shows \forall M \in succ(n) \rightarrow FinPow(X).
  M {is partition} \longrightarrow
   (\prod \{\langle i, \prod (M(i), a) \rangle. i \in succ(n)\}) =
   (\prod(\bigcup i \in succ(n). M(i),a))
proof -
  note A2
  moreover have \forall M \in succ(0) \rightarrow FinPow(X).
      M {is partition} \longrightarrow
      (\prod \{\langle i, \prod (M(i), a) \rangle. i \in succ(0)\}) = (\prod (\bigcup i \in succ(0). M(i), a))
      using a_is_fun func1_1_L1 Partition_def apply_funtype setprod_type
         list_len1_singleton prod_of_1elem
      by simp
   moreover have \forall k \in nat.
      (\forall\,\mathtt{M}\,\in\,\mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{k})\,\to\,\mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X})\,.
      M {is partition} \longrightarrow
      (\prod \{\langle i, \prod (M(i), a) \rangle. i \in succ(k)\}) =
      (\prod(\bigcup i \in succ(k). M(i),a))) \longrightarrow
      (\forall\,\mathtt{M}\,\in\,\mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{k}))\,\to\,\mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{X})\,.
      M {is partition} \longrightarrow
      (\prod \{\langle i, \prod (M(i), a) \rangle. i \in succ(succ(k))\}) =
      (\textstyle\prod(\bigcup \mathtt{i} \,\in\, \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{k}))\,.\,\, \mathtt{M}(\mathtt{i})\,\mathtt{,a})))
  proof -
      \{ \text{ fix } k \text{ assume } k \in nat \}
         assume A3: \forall M \in succ(k) \rightarrow FinPow(X).
 M {is partition} \longrightarrow
 (\prod \{\langle i, \prod (M(i), a) \rangle. i \in succ(k)\}) =
 (\prod(\bigcup i \in succ(k). M(i),a))
         have (\forall \, \mathbb{N} \in \operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{succ}(\mathbb{k})) \to \operatorname{FinPow}(\mathbb{X}).
 N {is partition} \longrightarrow
 (\prod \{\langle i, \prod(N(i), a) \rangle. i \in succ(succ(k))\}) =
 (\prod(\bigcup i \in succ(succ(k)), N(i),a)))
         proof -
 { fix N assume A4: N : succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow FinPow(X)
    assume A5: N {is partition}
    with A4 have I: \forall i \in succ(succ(k)). N(i) \neq 0
       using func1_1_L1 Partition_def by simp
```

```
let b = \{(i, \prod(N(i),a)). i \in succ(succ(k))\}
let c = \{\langle i, \prod(N(i), a) \rangle : i \in succ(k)\}
have II: \forall i \in succ(succ(k)). \prod(N(i),a) \in G
proof
  fix i assume i \in succ(succ(k))
  with A4 I have N(i) \in FinPow(X) and N(i) \neq 0
     using apply_funtype by auto
  then show \prod(N(i),a) \in G using setprod_type
     by simp
qed
hence \forall i \in succ(k). \prod(N(i),a) \in G by auto
then have c : succ(k) \rightarrow G by (rule ZF_fun_from_total)
have b = \{\langle i, \prod(N(i), a) \rangle : i \in succ(succ(k))\}
  by simp
with II have b = Append(c, \prod(N(succ(k)),a))
  by (rule set_list_append)
\mathbf{with} \quad \texttt{II} \quad \langle \texttt{k} \in \texttt{nat} \rangle \ \langle \texttt{c} : \texttt{succ}(\texttt{k}) \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{G} \rangle
have (\prod b) = (\prod c) \cdot (\prod (N(succ(k)), a))
  using prod_append by simp
also have
  ... = (\prod(\bigcup i \in succ(k). N(i),a)) \cdot (\prod(N(succ(k)),a))
proof -
  let M = restrict(N, succ(k))
  have succ(k) \subseteq succ(succ(k)) by auto
  with \langle N : succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow FinPow(X) \rangle
  have M : succ(k) \rightarrow FinPow(X) and
     III: \forall i \in succ(k). M(i) = N(i)
     using restrict_type2 restrict apply_funtype
     by auto
  with A5 \langle M : succ(k) \rightarrow FinPow(X) \rangle have M {is partition}
     using func1_1_L1 Partition_def by simp
  with A3 \langle M : succ(k) \rightarrow FinPow(X) \rangle have
     (\prod \{\langle i, \prod (M(i), a) \rangle. i \in succ(k)\}) =
     (\prod(\bigcup i \in succ(k). M(i),a))
     by blast
  with III show thesis by simp
also have ... = (\prod(\bigcup i \in succ(succ(k)). N(i),a))
proof -
  let A = \bigcup i \in succ(k). N(i)
  let B = N(succ(k))
  from A4 \langle k \in nat \rangle have succ(k) \in nat and
     \forall i \in succ(k). \ N(i) \in FinPow(X)
     using apply_funtype by auto
  then have A ∈ FinPow(X) by (rule union_fin_list_fin)
  moreover from I have A \neq 0 by auto
  moreover from A4 I have
     N(succ(k)) \in FinPow(X) and N(succ(k)) \neq 0
     using apply_funtype by auto
```

```
moreover from \langle succ(k) \in nat \rangle A4 A5 have A \cap B = 0
        by (rule list_partition)
      moreover note A1
      ultimately have \prod (A \cup B, a) = (\prod (A, a)) \cdot (\prod (B, a))
        using prod_disjoint by simp
      moreover have A \cup B = (\lfloor ji \in succ(succ(k)). N(i))
        by auto
      ultimately show thesis by simp
   finally have (\prod \{\langle i, \prod(N(i), a) \rangle. i \in succ(succ(k))\}) =
      (\prod(\bigcup i \in succ(succ(k)), N(i),a))
      by simp
   } thus thesis by auto
 qed
 } thus thesis by simp
  ultimately show thesis by (rule ind_on_nat)
qed
A more convenient reformulation of prod_list_of_lists.
theorem (in semigr1) prod_list_of_sets:
  assumes A1: f {is commutative on} G and
  A2: n \in nat \quad n \neq 0 \text{ and }
  A3: M : n \rightarrow FinPow(X)
                               M {is partition}
  (\prod \{\langle i, \prod (M(i), a) \rangle. i \in n\}) = (\prod (\bigcup i \in n. M(i), a))
proof -
  from A2 obtain k where k \in nat and n = succ(k)
    using Nat_ZF_1_L3 by auto
  with A1 A3 show thesis using prod_list_of_lists
    by simp
```

The definition of the product $\Pi(A,a) \equiv \operatorname{SetFold}(f,a,A,r)$ of a some (finite) set of semigroup elements requires that r is a linear order on the set of indices A. This is necessary so that we know in which order we are multiplying the elements. The product over A is defined so that we have $\prod_A a = \prod_A a \circ \sigma(A)$ where $\sigma: |A| \to A$ is the enumeration of A (the only order isomorphism between the number of elements in A and A), see lemma setproddef. However, if the operation is commutative, the order is irrelevant. The next theorem formalizes that fact stating that we can replace the enumeration $\sigma(A)$ by any bijection between |A| and A. In a way this is a generalization of setproddef. The proof is based on application of prod_list_of_sets to the finite collection of singletons that comprise A.

```
theorem (in semigr1) prod_order_irr:
  assumes A1: f {is commutative on} G and
  A2: A ∈ FinPow(X) A ≠ 0 and
  A3: b ∈ bij(|A|,A)
```

```
shows (\prod (a \ 0 \ b)) = \prod (A,a)
proof -
  let n = |A|
  let M = \{\langle k, \{b(k)\} \rangle . k \in n\}
  have (\prod (a 0 b)) = (\prod {\langle i, \prod (M(i), a) \rangle. i \in n})
    have \forall i \in n. \prod (M(i),a) = (a \ 0 \ b)(i)
    proof
       fix i assume i \in n
       with A2 A3 \langle i \in n \rangle have b(i) \in X
 using bij_def inj_def apply_funtype FinPow_def
       then have \prod(\{b(i)\},a) = a(b(i))
 using gen_prod_singleton by simp
       with A3 \langle i \in n \rangle have \prod (\{b(i)\},a) = (a \ 0 \ b)(i)
 using bij_def inj_def comp_fun_apply by auto
       with \langle i \in n \rangle A3 show \prod (M(i),a) = (a \ 0 \ b)(i)
 using bij_def inj_partition by auto
    hence \{\langle i, \prod (M(i), a) \rangle : i \in n\} = \{\langle i, (a \ 0 \ b)(i) \rangle : i \in n\}
       by simp
    moreover have \{(i,(a \ 0 \ b)(i)). \ i \in n\} = a \ 0 \ b
    proof -
       from A3 have b : n \rightarrow A using bij_def inj_def by simp
       moreover from A2 have A \subseteq X using FinPow_def by simp
       ultimately have b : n \rightarrow X by (rule func1_1_L1B)
       then have a 0 b: n \rightarrow G using a_is_fun comp_fun
 by simp
       then show \{(i,(a \ 0 \ b)(i)). \ i \in n\} = a \ 0 \ b
 using fun_is_set_of_pairs by simp
    qed
    ultimately show thesis by simp
  also have ... = (\prod (\bigcup i \in n. M(i), a))
  proof -
    note A1
    moreover from A2 have n \in nat and n \neq 0
       using card_fin_is_nat card_non_empty_non_zero by auto
    moreover have M : n \rightarrow FinPow(X) and M \{ is partition \}
    proof -
       from A2 A3 have \forall k \in n. \{b(k)\} \in FinPow(X)
 using bij_def inj_def apply_funtype FinPow_def
   singleton_in_finpow by auto
       then show M: n \rightarrow FinPow(X) using ZF_fun_from_total
 by simp
       from A3 show M {is partition} using bij_def inj_partition
 by auto
    \mathbf{qed}
    ultimately show
```

```
(∏ {⟨i,∏(M(i),a)⟩. i ∈ n}) = (∏(∪i ∈ n. M(i),a))
   by (rule prod_list_of_sets)

qed
also from A3 have (∏(∪i ∈ n. M(i),a)) = ∏(A,a)
   using bij_def inj_partition surj_singleton_image
   by auto
finally show thesis by simp
ged
```

Another way of expressing the fact that the product dos not depend on the order.

```
corollary (in semigr1) prod_bij_same:
  assumes f {is commutative on} G and
  A ∈ FinPow(X) A ≠ 0 and
  b ∈ bij(|A|,A) c ∈ bij(|A|,A)
  shows (∏ (a 0 b)) = (∏ (a 0 c))
  using assms prod_order_irr by simp
```

end

27 Commutative Semigroups

theory CommutativeSemigroup_ZF imports Semigroup_ZF

begin

In the Semigroup theory we introduced a notion of SetFold(f,a, Λ ,r) that represents the sum of values of some function a valued in a semigroup where the arguments of that function vary over some set Λ . Using the additive notation something like this would be expressed as $\sum_{x \in \Lambda} f(x)$ in informal mathematics. This theory considers an alternative to that notion that is more specific to commutative semigroups.

27.1 Sum of a function over a set

The r parameter in the definition of SetFold(f,a, Λ ,r) (from Semigroup_ZF) represents a linear order relation on Λ that is needed to indicate in what order we are summing the values f(x). If the semigroup operation is commutative the order does not matter and the relation r is not needed. In this section we define a notion of summing up values of some function $a: X \to G$ over a finite set of indices $\Gamma \subseteq X$, without using any order relation on X.

We define the sum of values of a function $a: X \to G$ over a set Λ as the only element of the set of sums of lists that are bijections between the number of values in Λ (which is a natural number $n = \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ if Λ is finite) and Λ . The notion of Fold1(f,c) is defined in Semigroup_ZF as the fold (sum) of

the list c starting from the first element of that list. The intention is to use the fact that since the result of summing up a list does not depend on the order, the set $\{\text{Fold1(f,a 0 b)}. b \in \text{bij(}|\Lambda|, \Lambda)\}$ is a singleton and we can extract its only value by taking its union.

definition

```
CommSetFold(f,a,\Lambda) = \{ | \{Fold1(f,a \ 0 \ b) \ b \in bij(|\Lambda|, \ \Lambda) \} \}
```

the next locale sets up notation for writing about summation in commutative semigroups. We define two kinds of sums. One is the sum of elements of a list (which are just functions defined on a natural number) and the second one represents a more general notion the sum of values of a semigroup valued function over some set of arguments. Since those two types of sums are different notions they are represented by different symbols. However in the presentations they are both intended to be printed as \sum .

```
locale commsemigr =
```

```
fixes G f
```

```
assumes csgassoc: f {is associative on} G assumes csgcomm: f {is commutative on} G fixes csgsum (infixl + 69) defines csgsum_def[simp]: x + y \equiv f\langle x,y\rangle fixes X a assumes csgaisfun: a : X \to G fixes csglistsum (\sum _ 70) defines csglistsum_def[simp]: \sum k \equiv Fold1(f,k) fixes csgsetsum (\sum) defines csgsetsum_def[simp]: \sum (A,h) \equiv CommSetFold(f,h,A)
```

Definition of a sum of function over a set in notation defined in the commsemigr locale.

```
lemma (in commsemigr) CommSetFolddef: shows (\sum(A,a)) = (\bigcup\{\sum(a\ 0\ b).\ b\in bij(|A|,\ A)\}) using CommSetFold_def by simp
```

The next lemma states that the result of a sum does not depend on the order we calculate it. This is similar to lemma prod_order_irr in the Semigroup theory, except that the semigr1 locale assumes that the domain of the function we sum up is linearly ordered, while in commsemigr we don't have this assumption.

```
lemma (in commsemigr) sum_over_set_bij:
```

```
assumes A1: A \in FinPow(X) A \neq 0 and A2: b \in bij(|A|,A)
  shows (\sum (A,a)) = (\sum (a \ 0 \ b))
proof -
  have
    \forall c \in bij(|A|,A). \ \forall \ d \in bij(|A|,A). \ (\sum (a \ 0 \ c)) = (\sum (a \ 0 \ d))
    { fix c assume c \in bij(|A|,A)
      fix d assume d \in bij(|A|,A)
      let r = InducedRelation(converse(c), Le)
      have semigr1(G,f,A,r,restrict(a, A))
      proof -
 have semigro(G,f) using csgassoc semigro_def by simp
 moreover from A1 \langle c \in bij(|A|,A) \rangle have IsLinOrder(A,r)
   using bij_converse_bij card_fin_is_nat
             natord_lin_on_each_nat ind_rel_pres_lin by simp
 moreover from A1 have restrict(a, A) : A \rightarrow G
   using FinPow_def csgaisfun restrict_fun by simp
 ultimately show thesis using semigr1_axioms.intro semigr1_def
   by simp
      qed
      moreover have f {is commutative on} G using csgcomm
      moreover from A1 have A \in FinPow(A) A \neq 0
 using FinPow_def by auto
      moreover note \langle c \in bij(|A|,A) \rangle \langle d \in bij(|A|,A) \rangle
      ultimately have
 Fold1(f,restrict(a,A) 0 c) = Fold1(f,restrict(a,A) 0 d)
 by (rule semigr1.prod_bij_same)
      hence (\sum (restrict(a,A) 0 c)) = (\sum (restrict(a,A) 0 d))
 by simp
      moreover from A1 \langle c \in bij(|A|,A) \rangle \langle d \in bij(|A|,A) \rangle
 restrict(a,A) O c = a O c and restrict(a,A) O d = a O d
 using bij_def surj_def csgaisfun FinPow_def comp_restrict
      ultimately have (\sum (a \ 0 \ c)) = (\sum (a \ 0 \ d)) by simp
       } thus thesis by blast
  with A2 have (\bigcup \{ \sum (a \ 0 \ b). \ b \in bij(|A|, A) \}) = (\sum (a \ 0 \ b))
    by (rule singleton_comprehension)
  then show thesis using CommSetFolddef by simp
qed
```

The result of a sum is in the semigroup. Also, as the second assertion we show that every semigroup valued function generates a homomorphism between the finite subsets of a semigroup and the semigroup. Adding an element to a set coresponds to adding a value.

```
lemma (in commsemigr) sum_over_set_add_point: assumes A1: A \in FinPow(X) A \neq 0
```

```
shows \sum (A,a) \in G and
  \forall x \in X-A. \sum (A \cup \{x\},a) = (\sum (A,a)) + a(x)
proof -
   from A1 obtain b where b \in bij(|A|,A)
     using fin_bij_card by auto
  with A1 have \sum(A,a) = (\sum (a \ 0 \ b))
     using sum_over_set_bij by simp
  from A1 have |A| ∈ nat using card_fin_is_nat by simp
  have semigro(G,f) using csgassoc semigro_def by simp
  moreover
  from A1 obtain n where n \in \text{nat} and |A| = \text{succ}(n)
     using card_non_empty_succ by auto
  with A1 \langle b \in bij(|A|,A) \rangle have
     \mathtt{n} \, \in \, \mathtt{nat} \, \, \mathtt{and} \, \, \mathtt{a} \, \, \mathtt{0} \, \, \mathtt{b} \, : \, \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{n}) \, \, \rightarrow \, \mathtt{G}
     using bij_def inj_def FinPow_def comp_fun_subset csgaisfun
     by auto
  ultimately have Fold1(f,a 0 b) ∈ G by (rule semigr0.prod_type)
  with \langle \sum (A,a) = (\sum (a \ 0 \ b)) \rangle show \sum (A,a) \in G
     by simp
   \{ \text{ fix x assume } x \in X-A \}
     with A1 have (A \cup \{x\}) \in FinPow(X) and A \cup \{x\} \neq 0
        using singleton_in_finpow union_finpow by auto
     moreover have Append(b,x) \in bij(|A \cup {x}|, A \cup {x})
     proof -
        note \langle |A| \in nat \rangle \langle b \in bij(|A|,A) \rangle
        moreover from \langle x \in X-A \rangle have x \notin A by simp
        ultimately have Append(b,x) \in bij(succ(|A|), A \cup {x})
 by (rule bij_append_point)
        \mathbf{with} \ \mathtt{A1} \ \langle \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{X-A} \rangle \ \mathbf{show} \ \mathtt{thesis}
 using card_fin_add_one by auto
     ultimately have (\sum (A \cup \{x\},a)) = (\sum (a \cap Append(b,x)))
        \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{sum\_over\_set\_bij} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{simp}
     also have ... = (\sum Append(a \ 0 \ b, a(x)))
     proof -
        note \langle |A| \in nat \rangle
        moreover
        from A1 \langle b \in bij(|A|, A) \rangle have
 b : |A| \rightarrow A \text{ and } A \subseteq X
 using bij_def inj_def using FinPow_def by auto
        then have b : |A| \rightarrow X by (rule func1_1_L1B)
        moreover from \langle x \in X-A \rangle have x \in X and a : X \to G
 using csgaisfun by auto
        ultimately show thesis using list_compose_append
 by simp
     qed
     also have ... = (\sum(A,a)) + a(x)
     proof -
        \mathbf{note} \ \langle \mathtt{semigr0}(\mathtt{G},\mathtt{f}) \rangle \quad \langle \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{nat} \rangle \quad \langle \mathtt{a} \ \mathtt{0} \ \mathtt{b} \ \mathtt{:} \ \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{n}) \ \rightarrow \ \mathtt{G} \rangle
```

```
moreover from \langle x \in X-A \rangle have a(x) \in G using csgaisfun apply_funtype by simp ultimately have Fold1(f,Append(a 0 b, a(x))) = f\langle Fold1(f,a 0 b),a(x) \rangle by (rule semigr0.prod_append) with A1 \langle b \in bij(|A|,A) \rangle show thesis using sum_over_set_bij by simp qed finally have (\sum (A \cup \{x\},a)) = (\sum (A,a)) + a(x) by simp \langle x \in X-A \rangle = (A \cup \{x\},a) = (\sum (A,a)) + a(x) by simp qed end
```

28 Monoids

theory Monoid_ZF imports func_ZF Loop_ZF

begin

This theory provides basic facts about monoids.

28.1 Definition and basic properties

In this section we talk about monoids. The notion of a monoid is similar to the notion of a semigroup except that we require the existence of a neutral element. It is also similar to the notion of group except that we don't require existence of the inverse.

Monoid is a set G with an associative operation and a neutral element. The operation is a function on $G \times G$ with values in G. In the context of ZF set theory this means that it is a set of pairs $\langle x,y \rangle$, where $x \in G \times G$ and $y \in G$. In other words the operation is a certain subset of $(G \times G) \times G$. We express all this by defing a predicate IsAmonoid(G,f). Here G is the "carrier" of the monoid and f is the binary operation on it.

definition

```
\label{eq:second} \begin{split} & \text{IsAmonoid}(\texttt{G},\texttt{f}) \equiv \\ & \texttt{f \{is associative on\} G } \land \\ & (\exists \, \texttt{e} \in \texttt{G}. \, (\forall \, \, \texttt{g} \in \texttt{G}. \, (\, \, \texttt{f}(\langle \texttt{e},\texttt{g} \rangle) \, = \, \texttt{g}) \, \land \, \, (\texttt{f}(\langle \texttt{g},\texttt{e} \rangle) \, = \, \texttt{g})))) \end{split}
```

The next locale called "monoid0" defines a context for theorems that concern monoids. In this contex we assume that the pair (G, f) is a monoid. We will use the \oplus symbol to denote the monoid operation (for no particular reason).

```
locale monoid0 =
  fixes G f
```

```
assumes monoidAsssum: IsAmonoid(G,f)
  fixes monoper (infixl \oplus 70)
  defines monoper_def [simp]: a \oplus b \equiv f(a,b)
The result of the monoid operation is in the monoid (carrier).
lemma (in monoid0) group0_1_L1:
  assumes a \in G b \in G shows a \oplus b \in G
  using assms monoidAsssum IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def apply_funtype
  by auto
There is only one neutral element in a monoid.
lemma (in monoid0) group0_1_L2: shows
  \exists !e. e\inG \land (\forall g\inG. ( (e\oplusg = g) \land g\opluse = g))
proof
  fix e y
  assume e \in G \land (\forall g \in G. e \oplus g = g \land g \oplus e = g)
     and y \in G \land (\forall g \in G. y \oplus g = g \land g \oplus y = g)
  then have y \oplus e = y y \oplus e = e by auto
  thus e = y by simp
next from monoidAsssum show
     \exists e. e\in G \land (\forall g\inG. e\oplusg = g \land g\opluse = g)
     using IsAmonoid_def by auto
qed
The neutral element is neutral.
lemma (in monoid0) unit_is_neutral:
  assumes A1: e = TheNeutralElement(G,f)
  shows e \in G \land (\forall g \in G. e \oplus g = g \land g \oplus e = g)
proof -
  let n = THE b. b\in G \land (\forall g\inG. b\oplusg = g \land g\oplusb = g)
  have \exists !b. b \in G \land (\forall g \in G. b \oplus g = g \land g \oplus b = g)
    using group0_1_L2 by simp
  then have n \in G \land (\forall g \in G. n \oplus g = g \land g \oplus n = g)
     by (rule theI)
  with A1 show thesis
     using TheNeutralElement_def by simp
qed
The monoid carrier is not empty.
lemma (in monoid0) group0_1_L3A: shows G≠0
proof -
  have TheNeutralElement(G,f) \in G using unit_is_neutral
    by simp
  thus thesis by auto
qed
```

The range of the monoid operation is the whole monoid carrier.

```
lemma (in monoid0) group0_1_L3B: shows range(f) = G
proof
  \mathbf{from} \ \mathtt{monoidAsssum} \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{f} \ : \ \mathtt{G}{\times}\mathtt{G}{\rightarrow}\mathtt{G}
       using IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def by simp
  then show range(f) \subseteq G
     using func1_1_L5B by simp
  show G \subseteq range(f)
  proof
     fix g assume A1: g \in G
     let e = TheNeutralElement(G,f)
     from A1 have \langle e,g \rangle \in G \times G g = f \langle e,g \rangle
        using unit_is_neutral by auto
     with \langle f : G \times G \rightarrow G \rangle show g \in range(f)
        using func1_1_L5A by blast
  qed
qed
```

Another way to state that the range of the monoid operation is the whole monoid carrier.

```
lemma (in monoid0) range_carr: shows f(G \times G) = G using monoidAsssum IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def group0_1_L3B range_image_domain by auto
```

In a monoid any neutral element is the neutral element.

```
lemma (in monoid0) group0_1_L4: assumes A1: e \in G \land (\forall g \in G. \ e \oplus g = g \land g \oplus e = g) shows e = TheNeutralElement(G,f) proof - let n = THE \ b. \ b \in G \land (\forall g \in G. \ b \oplus g = g \land g \oplus b = g) have \exists \,! \, b. \ b \in G \land (\forall g \in G. \ b \oplus g = g \land g \oplus b = g) using group0_1_L2 by simp moreover note A1 ultimately have n = e by (rule the_equality2) then show thesis using TheNeutralElement_def by simp qed
```

The next lemma shows that if the if we restrict the monoid operation to a subset of G that contains the neutral element, then the neutral element of the monoid operation is also neutral with the restricted operation.

```
lemma (in monoid0) group0_1_L5: assumes A1: \forall x \in H. \forall y \in H. x \oplus y \in H and A2: H \subseteq G and A3: e = TheNeutralElement(G,f) and A4: g = restrict(f,H \times H) and A5: e \in H and A6: h \in H shows g\langle e,h \rangle = h \wedge g\langle h,e \rangle = h proof -
```

```
from A4 A6 A5 have g\langle e,h\rangle = e\oplus h \ \land \ g\langle h,e\rangle = h\oplus e using restrict_if by simp with A3 A4 A6 A2 show g\langle e,h\rangle = h \ \land \ g\langle h,e\rangle = h using unit_is_neutral by auto qed
```

The next theorem shows that if the monoid operation is closed on a subset of G then this set is a (sub)monoid (although we do not define this notion). This fact will be useful when we study subgroups.

```
theorem (in monoid0) group0_1_T1:
   assumes A1: H {is closed under} f
   and A2: H⊆G
   and A3: TheNeutralElement(G,f) \in H
   shows IsAmonoid(H,restrict(f,H×H))
proof -
   let g = restrict(f, H \times H)
   let e = TheNeutralElement(G,f)
   \mathbf{from} \ \mathtt{monoidAsssum} \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{f} \in \mathtt{G}{\times}\mathtt{G}{\rightarrow}\mathtt{G}
      using IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def by simp
   moreover from A2 have H \times H \subseteq G \times G by auto
   moreover from A1 have \forall p \in H \times H. f(p) \in H
      using IsOpClosed_def by auto
   ultimately have g \in H \times H \rightarrow H
      using func1_2_L4 by simp
   moreover have \forall x \in H. \forall y \in H. \forall z \in H.
      g\langle g\langle x,y\rangle, z\rangle = g\langle x,g\langle y,z\rangle\rangle
   proof -
      from A1 have \forall x \in H. \forall y \in H. \forall z \in H.
          g\langle g\langle x,y\rangle,z\rangle = x\oplus y\oplus z
          using IsOpClosed_def restrict_if by simp
      moreover have \forall x \in H. \forall y \in H. \forall z \in H. x \oplus y \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)
      proof -
          from monoidAsssum have
 \forall x \in G. \forall y \in G. \forall z \in G. x \oplus y \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)
 using IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def
 by simp
          with A2 show thesis by auto
      qed
      moreover from A1 have
          \forall \, \mathbf{x} {\in} \mathbf{H} . \, \forall \, \mathbf{y} {\in} \mathbf{H} . \, \forall \, \mathbf{z} {\in} \mathbf{H} . \, \, \mathbf{x} {\oplus} (\mathbf{y} {\oplus} \mathbf{z}) \, = \, \mathbf{g} \langle \, \, \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{g} \langle \mathbf{y} , \mathbf{z} \rangle \, \, \rangle
          using IsOpClosed_def restrict_if by simp
      ultimately show thesis by simp
   moreover have
       \exists n \in H. (\forall h \in H. g(n,h) = h \land g(h,n) = h)
      from A1 have \forall x \in H. \forall y \in H. x \oplus y \in H
```

```
using IsOpClosed_def by simp
    with A2 A3 have
       \forall h\inH. g\langlee,h\rangle = h \wedge g\langleh,e\rangle = h
       using group0_1_L5 by blast
    with A3 show thesis by auto
  ultimately show thesis using IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def
    by simp
qed
Under the assumptions of group0_1_T1 the neutral element of a submonoid
is the same as that of the monoid.
lemma group0_1_L6:
  assumes A1: IsAmonoid(G,f)
  and A2: H {is closed under} f
  and A3: H⊂G
  and A4: TheNeutralElement(G,f) \in H
  shows TheNeutralElement(H,restrict(f,H \times H)) = TheNeutralElement(G,f)
proof -
  let e = TheNeutralElement(G,f)
  let g = restrict(f, H \times H)
  from assms have monoid0(H,g)
    using monoid0_def monoid0.group0_1_T1
    by simp
  moreover have
    e \in H \land (\forall h \in H. g\langle e,h \rangle = h \land g\langle h,e \rangle = h)
  proof -
     \{ \text{ fix h assume h} \in H \}
       with assms have
 \texttt{monoidO(G,f)} \quad \forall \, \texttt{x} {\in} \texttt{H}. \, \forall \, \texttt{y} {\in} \texttt{H}. \, \, \texttt{f} \langle \texttt{x,y} \rangle \, \in \, \texttt{H}
 H\subseteq G e = TheNeutralElement(G,f) g = restrict(f,H\times H)
 e \in H \quad h \in H
 using monoid0_def IsOpClosed_def by auto
       then have g(e,h) = h \wedge g(h,e) = h
 by (rule monoid0.group0_1_L5)
     } hence \forall h \in H. g(e,h) = h \land g(h,e) = h by simp
    with A4 show thesis by simp
  qed
  ultimately have e = TheNeutralElement(H,g)
    by (rule monoid0.group0_1_L4)
  thus thesis by simp
qed
If a sum of two elements is not zero, then at least one has to be nonzero.
lemma (in monoid0) sum_nonzero_elmnt_nonzero:
  assumes a \oplus b \neq TheNeutralElement(G,f)
  shows a \neq TheNeutralElement(G,f) \vee b \neq TheNeutralElement(G,f)
  using assms unit_is_neutral by auto
```

end

29 Groups - introduction

```
theory Group_ZF imports Monoid_ZF
```

begin

This theory file covers basics of group theory.

29.1 Definition and basic properties of groups

In this section we define the notion of a group and set up the notation for discussing groups. We prove some basic theorems about groups.

To define a group we take a monoid and add a requirement that the right inverse needs to exist for every element of the group.

definition

```
IsAgroup(G,f) \equiv \\ (IsAmonoid(G,f) \land (\forall g \in G. \exists b \in G. f \langle g,b \rangle = TheNeutralElement(G,f)))
```

We define the group inverse as the set $\{\langle x,y\rangle \in G \times G : x \cdot y = e\}$, where e is the neutral element of the group. This set (which can be written as $(\cdot)^{-1}\{e\}$) is a certain relation on the group (carrier). Since, as we show later, for every $x \in G$ there is exactly one $y \in G$ such that $x \cdot y = e$ this relation is in fact a function from G to G.

definition

```
\texttt{GroupInv}(\texttt{G},\texttt{f}) \ \equiv \ \{\langle \texttt{x},\texttt{y}\rangle \ \in \ \texttt{G}\times\texttt{G}. \ \ \texttt{f}\langle \texttt{x},\texttt{y}\rangle \ = \ \texttt{TheNeutralElement}(\texttt{G},\texttt{f})\}
```

We will use the miltiplicative notation for groups. The neutral element is denoted 1.

```
locale group0 =
  fixes G
  fixes P
  assumes groupAssum: IsAgroup(G,P)

fixes neut (1)
  defines neut_def[simp]: 1 = TheNeutralElement(G,P)

fixes groper (infixl · 70)
  defines groper_def[simp]: a · b = P(a,b)

fixes inv (_-1 [90] 91)
  defines inv_def[simp]: x^-1 = GroupInv(G,P)(x)
```

First we show a lemma that says that we can use theorems proven in the monoid0 context (locale).

```
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L1: shows monoid0(G,P)
  using groupAssum IsAgroup_def monoid0_def by simp
```

In some strange cases Isabelle has difficulties with applying the definition of a group. The next lemma defines a rule to be applied in such cases.

```
lemma definition_of_group: assumes IsAmonoid(G,f) and \forall g \in G. \exists b \in G. f(g,b) = TheNeutralElement(G,f) shows IsAgroup(G,f) using assms IsAgroup_def by simp
```

A technical lemma that allows to use 1 as the neutral element of the group without referencing a list of lemmas and definitions.

```
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L2: shows 1 \in G \land (\forall g \in G. (1 \cdot g = g \land g \cdot 1 = g)) using group0_2_L1 monoid0.unit_is_neutral by simp
```

The group is closed under the group operation. Used all the time, useful to have handy.

```
lemma (in group0) group_op_closed: assumes a\inG b\inG shows a\cdotb \in G using assms group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L1 by simp
```

The group operation is associative. This is another technical lemma that allows to shorten the list of referenced lemmas in some proofs.

```
lemma (in group0) group_oper_assoc: assumes a \in G b \in G c \in G shows a \cdot (b \cdot c) = a \cdot b \cdot c using groupAssum assms IsAgroup_def IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def group_op_closed by simp
```

The group operation maps $G \times G$ into G. It is convenient to have this fact easily accessible in the group context.

```
\begin{array}{lll} lemma & (in \ group0) \ group\_oper\_fun: \ shows \ P: \ G\times G \to G \\ using \ groupAssum \ IsAgroup\_def \ IsAmonoid\_def \ IsAssociative\_def \\ by \ simp \end{array}
```

The definition of a group requires the existence of the right inverse. We show that this is also the left inverse.

```
theorem (in group0) group0_2_T1:
   assumes A1: g∈G and A2: b∈G and A3: g⋅b = 1
   shows b⋅g = 1
proof -
   from A2 groupAssum obtain c where I: c ∈ G ∧ b⋅c = 1
      using IsAgroup_def by auto
   then have c∈G by simp
   have 1∈G using group0_2_L2 by simp
   with A1 A2 I have b⋅g = b⋅(g⋅(b⋅c))
      using group_op_closed group0_2_L2 group_oper_assoc
```

```
by simp
  also from A1 A2 \langle c \in G \rangle have b \cdot (g \cdot (b \cdot c)) = b \cdot (g \cdot b \cdot c)
    using group_oper_assoc by simp
  also from A3 A2 I have b \cdot (g \cdot b \cdot c) = 1 using group0_2_L2 by simp
  finally show b \cdot g = 1 by simp
For every element of a group there is only one inverse.
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L4:
  assumes A1: x \in G shows \exists !y. y \in G \land x \cdot y = 1
proof
  from A1 groupAssum show \exists y. y \in G \land x \cdot y = 1
    using IsAgroup_def by auto
  fix y n
  assume A2: y \in G \land x \cdot y = 1 and A3:n \in G \land x \cdot n = 1 show y = n
  proof -
    from A1 A2 have T1: y \cdot x = 1
       using group0_2_T1 by simp
    from A2 A3 have y = y \cdot (x \cdot n)
       using group0_2_L2 by simp
    also from A1 A2 A3 have ... = (y \cdot x) \cdot n
       using group_oper_assoc by blast
    also from T1 A3 have \dots = n
       using group0_2_L2 by simp
    finally show y=n by simp
  qed
qed
The group inverse is a function that maps G into G.
theorem group0_2_T2:
  assumes A1: IsAgroup(G,f) shows GroupInv(G,f) : G \rightarrow G
proof -
  have GroupInv(G,f) \subseteq G \times G using GroupInv\_def by auto
  moreover from A1 have
    \forall x \in G. \exists !y. y \in G \land \langle x,y \rangle \in GroupInv(G,f)
    using group0_def group0.group0_2_L4 GroupInv_def by simp
  ultimately show thesis using func1_1_L11 by simp
qed
We can think about the group inverse (the function) as the inverse image of
the neutral element. Recall that in Isabelle f-(A) denotes the inverse image
of the set A.
theorem (in group0) group0_2_T3: shows P-{1} = GroupInv(G,P)
proof -
  from groupAssum have P : G \times G \rightarrow G
    using IsAgroup_def IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def
    by simp
  then show P-{1} = GroupInv(G,P)
```

```
using func1_1_L14 GroupInv_def by auto
qed
The inverse is in the group.
lemma (in group0) inverse_in_group: assumes A1: x \in G shows x^{-1} \in G
  from groupAssum have GroupInv(G,P) : G→G using groupO_2_T2 by simp
  with A1 show thesis using apply_type by simp
qed
The notation for the inverse means what it is supposed to mean.
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L6:
  assumes A1: x \in G shows x \cdot x^{-1} = 1 \land x^{-1} \cdot x = 1
proof
  \mathbf{from}\ \mathtt{groupAssum}\ \mathbf{have}\ \mathtt{GroupInv}(\mathtt{G},\mathtt{P})\ :\ \mathtt{G}{\rightarrow}\mathtt{G}
    using group0_2_T2 by simp
  with A1 have \langle x, x^{-1} \rangle \in GroupInv(G,P)
    using apply_Pair by simp
  then show x \cdot x^{-1} = 1 using GroupInv_def by simp
  with A1 show x^{-1} \cdot x = 1 using inverse_in_group group0_2_T1
    by blast
qed
The next two lemmas state that unless we multiply by the neutral element,
the result is always different than any of the operands.
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L7:
  assumes A1: a \in G and A2: b \in G and A3: a \cdot b = a
  shows b=1
proof -
  from A3 have a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) = a^{-1} \cdot a by simp
  with A1 A2 show thesis using
    inverse_in_group group_oper_assoc group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2
    by simp
qed
See the comment to group0_2_L7.
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L8:
  assumes A1: a \in G and A2: b \in G and A3: a \cdot b = b
  shows a=1
proof -
  from A3 have (a \cdot b) \cdot b^{-1} = b \cdot b^{-1} by simp
  with A1 A2 have a \cdot (b \cdot b^{-1}) = b \cdot b^{-1} using
    inverse_in_group group_oper_assoc by simp
  with A1 A2 show thesis
     using group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2 by simp
```

The inverse of the neutral element is the neutral element.

```
lemma (in group0) group_inv_of_one: shows 1^{-1} = 1
  using group0_2_L2 inverse_in_group group0_2_L6 group0_2_L7 by blast
if a^{-1} = 1, then a = 1.
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L8A:
  assumes A1: a \in G and A2: a^{-1} = 1
  shows a = 1
proof -
  from A1 have a \cdot a^{-1} = 1 using group0_2_L6 by simp
  with A1 A2 show a = 1 using group0_2_L2 by simp
If a is not a unit, then its inverse is not a unit either.
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L8B:
  assumes a \in G and a \neq 1
  shows a^{-1} \neq 1 using assms group0_2_L8A by auto
If a^{-1} is not a unit, then a is not a unit either.
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L8C:
  assumes a \in G and a^{-1} \neq 1
  shows a \neq 1
  using assms group0_2_L8A group_inv_of_one by auto
If a product of two elements of a group is equal to the neutral element then
they are inverses of each other.
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L9:
  assumes A1: a \in G and A2: b \in G and A3: a \cdot b = 1
  shows a = b^{-1} and b = a^{-1}
  from A3 have a \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} = 1 \cdot b^{-1} by simp
  with A1 A2 have a \cdot (b \cdot b^{-1}) = 1 \cdot b^{-1} using
    inverse_in_group group_oper_assoc by simp
  with A1 A2 show a = b^{-1} using
    group0_2_L6 inverse_in_group group0_2_L2 by simp
  from A3 have a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) = a^{-1} \cdot 1 by simp
  with A1 A2 show b = a^{-1} using
    inverse_in_group group_oper_assoc group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2
    by simp
qed
It happens quite often that we know what is (have a meta-function for) the
right inverse in a group. The next lemma shows that the value of the group
inverse (function) is equal to the right inverse (meta-function).
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L9A:
  assumes A1: \forall g \in G. b(g) \in G \land g \cdot b(g) = 1
  shows \forall g \in G. b(g) = g^{-1}
proof
  fix g assume g \in G
```

```
moreover from A1 \langle g \in G \rangle have b(g) \in G by simp
   moreover from A1 \langle g \in G \rangle have g \cdot b(g) = 1 by simp
   ultimately show b(g) = g^{-1} by (rule group0_2_L9)
What is the inverse of a product?
lemma (in group0) group_inv_of_two:
   assumes A1: a \in G and A2: b \in G
   shows b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} = (a \cdot b)^{-1}
proof -
   from A1 A2 have
       \mathtt{b}^{-1}{\in}\mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{a}^{-1}{\in}\mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{a}{\cdot}\mathtt{b}{\in}\mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{b}^{-1}{\cdot}\mathtt{a}^{-1} \ \in \ \mathtt{G}
       using inverse_in_group group_op_closed
       by auto
   from A1 A2 (b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \in G) have a \cdot b \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1}) = a \cdot (b \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1}))
       using group_oper_assoc by simp
   \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{from} \ \mathtt{A2} \ \ \langle \mathtt{b}^{-1} \in \mathtt{G} \rangle \ \ \langle \mathtt{a}^{-1} \in \mathtt{G} \rangle \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ \mathtt{b} \cdot (\mathtt{b}^{-1} \cdot \mathtt{a}^{-1}) \ \ = \ \ \mathtt{b} \cdot \mathtt{b}^{-1} \cdot \mathtt{a}^{-1}
       using group_oper_assoc by simp
   moreover from A2 \langle a^{-1} \in G \rangle have b \cdot b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} = a^{-1}
         using group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2 by simp
   ultimately have a \cdot b \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1}) = a \cdot a^{-1}
       by simp
   with A1 have a \cdot b \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1}) = 1
       using group0_2_L6 by simp
   with \langle a \cdot b \in G \rangle \langle b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \in G \rangle show b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} = (a \cdot b)^{-1}
       using group0_2_L9 by simp
qed
What is the inverse of a product of three elements?
lemma (in group0) group_inv_of_three:
   assumes A1: a \in G b \in G c \in G
   (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c})^{-1} = \mathbf{c}^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})^{-1}
   (a \cdot b \cdot c)^{-1} = c^{-1} \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1})
   (a \cdot b \cdot c)^{-1} = c^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1}
proof -
   from A1 have T:
       \mathbf{a}{\cdot}\mathbf{b}\,\in\,\mathbf{G}\quad\mathbf{a}^{-1}\,\in\,\mathbf{G}\quad\mathbf{b}^{-1}\,\in\,\mathbf{G}\quad\mathbf{c}^{-1}\,\in\,\mathbf{G}
       using group_op_closed inverse_in_group by auto
   with A1 show
       (a \cdot b \cdot c)^{-1} = c^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b)^{-1} and (a \cdot b \cdot c)^{-1} = c^{-1} \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1})
        using group_inv_of_two by auto
     with T show (a \cdot b \cdot c)^{-1} = c^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} using group_oper_assoc
        by simp
qed
The inverse of the inverse is the element.
lemma (in group0) group_inv_of_inv:
   assumes a \in G shows a = (a^{-1})^{-1}
```

```
using assms inverse_in_group group0_2_L6 group0_2_L9
  by simp
Group inverse is nilpotent, therefore a bijection and involution.
lemma (in group0) group_inv_bij:
  shows GroupInv(G,P) 0 GroupInv(G,P) = id(G) and GroupInv(G,P) \in bij(G,G)
  GroupInv(G,P) = converse(GroupInv(G,P))
proof -
  have I: GroupInv(G,P): G \rightarrow G using groupAssum group0_2_T2 by simp
  then have GroupInv(G,P) O GroupInv(G,P): G \rightarrow G and id(G): G \rightarrow G
    using comp_fun id_type by auto
  moreover
  { fix g assume g \in G
    with I have (GroupInv(G,P) \cap GroupInv(G,P))(g) = id(G)(g)
      using comp_fun_apply group_inv_of_inv id_conv by simp
  } hence \forall g \in G. (GroupInv(G,P) O GroupInv(G,P))(g) = id(G)(g) by simp
  ultimately show GroupInv(G,P) O GroupInv(G,P) = id(G)
    by (rule func_eq)
  with I show GroupInv(G,P) \in bij(G,G) using nilpotent_imp_bijective
  with \(\text{GroupInv}(G,P) \) O GroupInv(G,P) = id(G)\(\text{show}\)
    GroupInv(G,P) = converse(GroupInv(G,P)) using comp_id_conv by simp
qed
A set comprehension form of the image of a set under the group inverse.
lemma (in group0) ginv_image: assumes V⊆G
  shows GroupInv(G,P)(V) \subseteq G and GroupInv(G,P)(V) = \{g^{-1}, g \in V\}
proof -
  from assms have I: GroupInv(G,P)(V) = \{GroupInv(G,P)(g), g \in V\}
    using groupAssum group0_2_T2 func_imagedef by blast
  thus GroupInv(G,P)(V) = \{g^{-1}, g \in V\} by simp
  show GroupInv(G,P)(V) ⊆ G using groupAssum group0_2_T2 func1_1_L6(2)
by blast
qed
Inverse of an element that belongs to the inverse of the set belongs to the
set.
lemma (in group0) ginv_image_el: assumes V\subseteq G g\in GroupInv(G,P)(V)
  \mathbf{shows}\ \mathsf{g}^{-1}\ \in\ \mathtt{V}
  using assms ginv_image group_inv_of_inv by auto
For the group inverse the image is the same as inverse image.
lemma (in group0) inv_image_vimage: shows GroupInv(G,P)(V) = GroupInv(G,P)-(V)
  using group_inv_bij vimage_converse by simp
If the unit is in a set then it is in the inverse of that set.
lemma (in group0) neut_inv_neut: assumes A\subseteqG and 1\inA
```

```
shows 1 \in GroupInv(G,P)(A)
proof -
  have GroupInv(G,P):G \rightarrow G using groupAssum group0_2_T2 by simp
  with assms have 1^{-1} \in GroupInv(G,P)(A) using func_imagedef by auto
  then show thesis using group_inv_of_one by simp
qed
The group inverse is onto.
lemma (in group0) group_inv_surj: shows GroupInv(G,P)(G) = G
  using group_inv_bij bij_def surj_range_image_domain by auto
If a^{-1} \cdot b = 1, then a = b.
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L11:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G and A2: a^{-1} \cdot b = 1
  shows a=b
proof -
  from A1 A2 have a^{-1} \in G b \in G a^{-1} \cdot b = 1
    using inverse_in_group by auto
  then have b = (a^{-1})^{-1} by (rule group0_2_L9)
  with A1 show a=b using group_inv_of_inv by simp
qed
If a \cdot b^{-1} = 1, then a = b.
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L11A:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G and A2: a \cdot b^{-1} = 1
  shows a=b
proof -
  from A1 A2 have a \in G b^{-1} \in G a \cdot b^{-1} = 1
    using inverse_in_group by auto
  then have a = (b^{-1})^{-1} by (rule group0_2_L9)
  with A1 show a=b using group_inv_of_inv by simp
qed
If if the inverse of b is different than a, then the inverse of a is different than
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L11B:
  assumes A1: a \in G and A2: b^{-1} \neq a
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathbf{a}^{-1} \neq \mathbf{b}
proof -
  \{ assume a^{-1} = b \}
    then have (a^{-1})^{-1} = b^{-1} by simp
    with A1 A2 have False using group_inv_of_inv
      by simp
  } then show a^{-1} \neq b by auto
qed
What is the inverse of ab^{-1}?
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L12:
```

```
assumes A1: a \in G b \in G shows  (a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1} = b \cdot a^{-1}   (a^{-1} \cdot b)^{-1} = b^{-1} \cdot a  proof - from A1 have  (a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1} = (b^{-1})^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \text{ and } (a^{-1} \cdot b)^{-1} = b^{-1} \cdot (a^{-1})^{-1}  using inverse_in_group group_inv_of_two by auto with A1 show (a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1} = b \cdot a^{-1} \quad (a^{-1} \cdot b)^{-1} = b^{-1} \cdot a  using group_inv_of_inv by auto qed
```

A couple useful rearrangements with three elements: we can insert a $b \cdot b^{-1}$ between two group elements (another version) and one about a product of an element and inverse of a product, and two others.

```
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L14A:
    assumes A1: a \in G b \in G c \in G
   shows
    a \cdot c^{-1} = (a \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1})
    a^{-1} \cdot c = (a^{-1} \cdot b) \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot c)
    a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1} = a \cdot c^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}
    a \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1}) = a \cdot b \cdot c^{-1}
    (a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1})^{-1} = c \cdot b \cdot a^{-1}
    a \cdot b \cdot c^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot b^{-1}) = a
   a \cdot (b \cdot c) \cdot c^{-1} = a \cdot b
proof -
    from A1 have T:
        \mathtt{a}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{b}^{-1} {\in} \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{c}^{-1} {\in} \mathtt{G}
        \mathtt{a}^{-1}{\cdot}\mathtt{b}\,\in\,\mathtt{G}\quad\mathtt{a}{\cdot}\mathtt{b}^{-1}\,\in\,\mathtt{G}\quad\mathtt{a}{\cdot}\mathtt{b}\,\in\,\mathtt{G}
        \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{-1} \in \mathbf{G} \quad \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{G}
        using inverse_in_group group_op_closed
       by auto
      from A1 T have
         a \cdot c^{-1} = a \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot b) \cdot c^{-1}
         a^{-1} \cdot c = a^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot c
        using group0_2_L2 group0_2_L6 by auto
      with A1 T show
          a \cdot c^{-1} = (a \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1})
          a^{-1} \cdot c = (a^{-1} \cdot b) \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot c)
         using group_oper_assoc by auto
    from A1 have a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1} = a \cdot (c^{-1} \cdot b^{-1})
        using group_inv_of_two by simp
    with A1 T show a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1} = a \cdot c^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}
        using group_oper_assoc by simp
    from A1 T show a \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1}) = a \cdot b \cdot c^{-1}
        using group_oper_assoc by simp
    from A1 T show (a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1})^{-1} = c \cdot b \cdot a^{-1}
        using group_inv_of_three group_inv_of_inv
        by simp
```

```
from T have a \cdot b \cdot c^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot b^{-1}) = a \cdot b \cdot (c^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot b^{-1}))
     using group_oper_assoc by simp
  also from A1 T have ... = a \cdot b \cdot b^{-1}
     using group_oper_assoc group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2
     by simp
  also from A1 T have ... = a \cdot (b \cdot b^{-1})
     using group_oper_assoc by simp
  also from A1 have ... = a
     using group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2 by simp
  finally show a \cdot b \cdot c^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot b^{-1}) = a by simp
  from A1 T have a \cdot (b \cdot c) \cdot c^{-1} = a \cdot (b \cdot (c \cdot c^{-1}))
     using group_oper_assoc by simp
  also from A1 T have ... = a \cdot b
     using group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2 by simp
  finally show a \cdot (b \cdot c) \cdot c^{-1} = a \cdot b
     by simp
qed
A simple equation to solve
lemma (in group0) simple_equation0:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathbf{a} {\in} \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{b} {\in} \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{c} {\in} \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{a} {\cdot} \mathbf{b}^{-1} \ = \ \mathbf{c}^{-1}
  shows c = b \cdot a^{-1}
  from assms(4) have (a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1} = (c^{-1})^{-1} by simp
  with assms(1,2,3) show c = b·a<sup>-1</sup> using group0_2_L12(1) group_inv_of_inv
by simp
qed
Another simple equation
lemma (in group0) simple_equation1:
  assumes a \in G b \in G c \in G a^{-1} \cdot b = c^{-1}
  shows c = b^{-1} \cdot a
proof -
  from assms(4) have (a^{-1} \cdot b)^{-1} = (c^{-1})^{-1} by simp
  with assms(1,2,3) show c = b<sup>-1</sup>·a using group0_2_L12(2) group_inv_of_inv
by simp
qed
Another lemma about rearranging a product of four group elements.
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L15:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G c \in G d \in G
  shows (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d)^{-1} = a \cdot (b \cdot d^{-1}) \cdot a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot c^{-1})
proof -
  from A1 have T1:
     d^{-1} \in G c^{-1} \in G a \cdot b \in G a \cdot (b \cdot d^{-1}) \in G
     using inverse_in_group group_op_closed
     by auto
  with A1 have (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d)^{-1} = (a \cdot b) \cdot (d^{-1} \cdot c^{-1})
     using group_inv_of_two by simp
```

```
also from A1 T1 have ... = a \cdot (b \cdot d^{-1}) \cdot c^{-1}
      using group_oper_assoc by simp
   also from A1 T1 have ... = a \cdot (b \cdot d^{-1}) \cdot a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot c^{-1})
      using group0_2_L14A by blast
   finally show thesis by simp
qed
We can cancel an element with its inverse that is written next to it.
lemma (in group0) inv_cancel_two:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G
  shows
  a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b = a
a \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} = a
   a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) = b
   a \cdot (a^{-1} \cdot b) = b
proof -
   from A1 have
      a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b = a \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot b) a \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} = a \cdot (b \cdot b^{-1})
      a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) = a^{-1} \cdot a \cdot b a \cdot (a^{-1} \cdot b) = a \cdot a^{-1} \cdot b
      using inverse_in_group group_oper_assoc by auto
   with A1 show
      a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b = a
      a \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} = a
      a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) = b
      a \cdot (a^{-1} \cdot b) = b
      using group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2 by auto
qed
Another lemma about cancelling with two group elements.
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L16A:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G
  shows a \cdot (b \cdot a)^{-1} = b^{-1}
proof -
   from A1 have (b \cdot a)^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} b^{-1} \in G
      using group_inv_of_two inverse_in_group by auto
   with A1 show a \cdot (b \cdot a)^{-1} = b^{-1} using inv_cancel_two
      by simp
qed
Some other identities with three element and cancelling.
lemma (in group0) cancel_middle:
  assumes a \in G b \in G c \in G
  shows
      (a \cdot b)^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot c) = b^{-1} \cdot c
      (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot b)^{-1} = a \cdot c^{-1}
      a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b \cdot c) \cdot c^{-1} = b
      a \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1}) \cdot c = a \cdot b
      a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1}) = a \cdot c^{-1}
```

proof -

```
from assms have (a \cdot b)^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot c) = b^{-1} \cdot (a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot c))
     using group_inv_of_two inverse_in_group group_oper_assoc group_op_closed
by auto
  with assms(1,3) show (a \cdot b)^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot c) = b^{-1} \cdot c using inv_cancel_two(3) by
  from assms have (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot b)^{-1} = a \cdot (b \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1}))
     using group_inv_of_two inverse_in_group group_oper_assoc group_op_closed
  with assms show (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot b)^{-1} = a \cdot c^{-1} using inverse_in_group inv_cancel_two(4)
by simp
  from assms have a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b \cdot c) \cdot c^{-1} = (a^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot c^{-1})
     using inverse_in_group group_oper_assoc group_op_closed by auto
  with assms show a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b \cdot c) \cdot c^{-1} = b using group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2 by
  from assms have a \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1}) \cdot c = a \cdot b \cdot (c^{-1} \cdot c) using inverse_in_group group_oper_assoc
group_op_closed
     by simp
  with assms show a \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1}) \cdot c = a \cdot b using group_op_closed group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2
  from assms have a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1}) = a \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot b) \cdot c^{-1} using inverse_in_group group_oper_assoc
group_op_closed
     by simp
  with assms show a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1}) = a \cdot c^{-1} using group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2
by simp
qed
Adding a neutral element to a set that is closed under the group operation
results in a set that is closed under the group operation.
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L17:
  assumes H\subseteq G
  and H {is closed under} P
  shows (H \cup {1}) {is closed under} P
  using assms IsOpClosed_def groupO_2_L2 by auto
We can put an element on the other side of an equation.
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L18:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G
  and A2: c = a \cdot b
  shows c \cdot b^{-1} = a \quad a^{-1} \cdot c = b
proof-
  from A2 A1 have c \cdot b^{-1} = a \cdot (b \cdot b^{-1}) a^{-1} \cdot c = (a^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot b
     using inverse_in_group group_oper_assoc by auto
  moreover from A1 have a \cdot (b \cdot b^{-1}) = a \cdot (a^{-1} \cdot a) \cdot b = b
     using group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2 by auto
  ultimately show c \cdot b^{-1} = a \quad a^{-1} \cdot c = b
     by auto
qed
```

We can cancel an element on the right from both sides of an equation.

```
lemma (in group0) cancel_right: assumes a \in G b \in G c \in G a \cdot b = c \cdot b shows a = c proof - from assms(4) have a \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} = c \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} by simp with assms(1,2,3) show thesis using inv_cancel_two(2) by simp qed
```

We can cancel an element on the left from both sides of an equation.

```
lemma (in group0) cancel_left: assumes a \in G b \in G c \in G a \cdot b = a \cdot c shows b = c proof - from assms(4) have a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) = a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot c) by simp with assms(1,2,3) show thesis using inv_cancel_two(3) by simp qed
```

Multiplying different group elements by the same factor results in different group elements.

```
lemma (in group0) group0_2_L19: assumes A1: a \in G b \in G c \in G and A2: a \neq b shows a \cdot c \neq b \cdot c and c \cdot a \neq c \cdot b proof - { assume a \cdot c = b \cdot c \lor c \cdot a = c \cdot b then have a \cdot c \cdot c^{-1} = b \cdot c \cdot c^{-1} \lor c^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot a) = c^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot b) by auto with A1 A2 have False using inv_cancel_two by simp } then show a \cdot c \neq b \cdot c and c \cdot a \neq c \cdot b by auto qed
```

29.2 Subgroups

There are two common ways to define subgroups. One requires that the group operation is closed in the subgroup. The second one defines subgroup as a subset of a group which is itself a group under the group operations. We use the second approach because it results in shorter definition.

The rest of this section is devoted to proving the equivalence of these two definitions of the notion of a subgroup.

A pair (H, P) is a subgroup if H forms a group with the operation P restricted to $H \times H$. It may be surprising that we don't require H to be a subset of G. This however can be inferred from the definition if the pair (G, P) is a group, see lemma group0_3_L2.

definition

```
IsAsubgroup(H,P) \equiv IsAgroup(H, restrict(P,H×H))
```

Formally the group operation in a subgroup is different than in the group as they have different domains. Of course we want to use the original operation with the associated notation in the subgroup. The next couple of lemmas will allow for that.

The next lemma states that the neutral element of a subgroup is in the subgroup and it is both right and left neutral there. The notation is very ugly because we don't want to introduce a separate notation for the subgroup operation.

```
lemma group0_3_L1:
  assumes A1: IsAsubgroup(H,f)
  and A2: n = TheNeutralElement(H, restrict(f, H \times H))
  \mathbf{shows} \,\, \mathtt{n} \, \in \, \mathtt{H}
  \forall h \in H. \text{ restrict}(f, H \times H) \langle n, h \rangle = h
  \forall h \in H. \text{ restrict}(f, H \times H) \langle h, n \rangle = h
proof -
  let b = restrict(f,H \times H)
  let e = TheNeutralElement(H,restrict(f,H\timesH))
  from A1 have group0(H,b)
     using IsAsubgroup_def group0_def by simp
  then have I:
     e \in H \land (\forall h \in H. (b\langle e,h \rangle = h \land b\langle h,e \rangle = h))
     by (rule group0.group0_2_L2)
  with A2 show n \in H by simp
  from A2 I show \forall h \in H. b(n,h) = h and \forall h \in H. b(h,n) = h
     by auto
qed
A subgroup is contained in the group.
lemma (in group0) group0_3_L2:
  assumes A1: IsAsubgroup(H,P)
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{H} \subseteq \mathtt{G}
proof
  fix h assume h∈H
  let b = restrict(P, H \times H)
  let n = TheNeutralElement(H,restrict(P,H \times H))
    from A1 have b \in H \times H \rightarrow H
     using IsAsubgroup_def IsAgroup_def
        IsAmonoid\_def\ IsAssociative\_def\ by\ simp
  moreover from A1 \langle h \in H \rangle have \langle n,h \rangle \in H \times H
     using group0_3_L1 by simp
  moreover from A1 \langle h \in H \rangle have h = b \langle n, h \rangle
     using group0_3_L1 by simp
  ultimately have \langle \langle n, h \rangle, h \rangle \in b
     using func1_1_L5A by blast
  then have \langle \langle n,h \rangle,h \rangle \in P using restrict_subset by auto
  moreover from groupAssum have P:G\times G\rightarrow G
     using IsAgroup_def IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def
     by simp
  ultimately show h∈G using func1_1_L5
     by blast
```

```
qed
```

The group's neutral element (denoted 1 in the group context) is a neutral element for the subgroup with respect to the group action.

```
lemma (in group0) group0_3_L3:
  assumes IsAsubgroup(H,P)
  shows \forall h \in H. 1 \cdot h = h \land h \cdot 1 = h
  using assms groupAssum groupO_3_L2 groupO_2_L2
  by auto
The neutral element of a subgroup is the same as that of the group.
lemma (in group0) group0_3_L4: assumes A1: IsAsubgroup(H,P)
  shows TheNeutralElement(H,restrict(P,H\timesH)) = 1
proof -
  let n = TheNeutralElement(H,restrict(P,H\timesH))
  from A1 have n \in H using group0_3_L1 by simp
  with groupAssum A1 have n \in G using groupO_3_L2 by auto
  with A1 \langle n \in H \rangle show thesis using
     group0_3_L1 restrict_if group0_2_L7 by simp
qed
The neutral element of the group (denoted 1 in the group0 context) belongs
to every subgroup.
lemma (in group0) group0_3_L5: assumes A1: IsAsubgroup(H,P)
  shows 1 \in H
proof -
  from A1 show 1∈H using group0_3_L1 group0_3_L4
    by fast
Subgroups are closed with respect to the group operation.
lemma (in group0) group0_3_L6: assumes A1: IsAsubgroup(H,P)
  and A2: a \in H b \in H
  shows a \cdot b \in H
proof -
  let f = restrict(P, H \times H)
  from A1 have monoid0(H,f) using
    IsAsubgroup_def IsAgroup_def monoid0_def by simp
  with A2 have f (\langle a,b \rangle) \in H using monoid0.group0_1_L1
    by blast
 with A2 show a \cdot b \in H using restrict_if by simp
A preliminary lemma that we need to show that taking the inverse in the
subgroup is the same as taking the inverse in the group.
lemma group0_3_L7A:
  assumes A1: IsAgroup(G,f)
  and A2: IsAsubgroup(H,f) and A3: g = restrict(f, H \times H)
```

```
proof -
  {\it let} e = TheNeutralElement(G,f)
  let e<sub>1</sub> = TheNeutralElement(H,g)
  from A1 have group0(G,f) using group0_def by simp
  from A2 A3 have group0(H,g)
    using IsAsubgroup\_def group0\_def by simp
  from \langle groupO(G,f) \rangle A2 A3 have GroupInv(G,f) = f-\{e_1\}
    using group0.group0_3_L4 group0.group0_2_T3
    by simp
  moreover have g-\{e_1\} = f-\{e_1\} \cap H \times H
  proof -
    from A1 have f \in G \times G \rightarrow G
      using IsAgroup_def IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def
      by simp
    moreover from A2 \langle group0(G,f) \rangle have H \times H \subseteq G \times G
      using group0.group0_3_L2 by auto
    ultimately show g-\{e_1\} = f-\{e_1\} \cap H \times H
      using A3 func1_2_L1 by simp
  moreover from A3 (group0(H,g)) have GroupInv(H,g) = g-\{e_1\}
    using group0.group0_2_T3 by simp
  ultimately show thesis by simp
qed
Using the lemma above we can show the actual statement: taking the inverse
in the subgroup is the same as taking the inverse in the group.
theorem (in group0) group0_3_T1:
  assumes A1: IsAsubgroup(H,P)
  and A2: g = restrict(P, H \times H)
  shows GroupInv(H,g) = restrict(GroupInv(G,P),H)
proof -
  from groupAssum have GroupInv(G,P) : G \rightarrow G
    using group0_2_T2 by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 have GroupInv(H,g) : H \rightarrow H
    using IsAsubgroup_def group0_2_T2 by simp
  moreover from A1 have \mathtt{H}\subseteq \mathtt{G}
    using group0_3_L2 by simp
  moreover from groupAssum A1 A2 have
    GroupInv(G,P) \cap H \times H = GroupInv(H,g)
    using group0_3_L7A by simp
  ultimately show thesis
    using func1_2_L3 by simp
qed
A sligtly weaker, but more convenient in applications, reformulation of the
above theorem.
theorem (in group0) group0_3_T2:
  assumes IsAsubgroup(H,P)
```

shows $GroupInv(G,f) \cap H \times H = GroupInv(H,g)$

```
and g = restrict(P,H×H)
    shows ∀h∈H. GroupInv(H,g)(h) = h<sup>-1</sup>
    using assms group0_3_T1 restrict_if by simp

Subgroups are closed with respect to taking the group inverse.
theorem (in group0) group0_3_T3A:
    assumes A1: IsAsubgroup(H,P) and A2: h∈H
    shows h<sup>-1</sup>∈ H

proof -
    let g = restrict(P,H×H)
    from A1 have GroupInv(H,g) ∈ H→H
        using IsAsubgroup_def group0_2_T2 by simp
    with A2 have GroupInv(H,g)(h) ∈ H
        using apply_type by simp
    with A1 A2 show h<sup>-1</sup>∈ H using group0_3_T2 by simp
qed
The post theorem states that a percentity subset of a group C.f.
```

The next theorem states that a nonempty subset of a group G that is closed under the group operation and taking the inverse is a subgroup of the group.

```
theorem (in group0) group0_3_T3:
  assumes A1: H≠0
  and A2: H\subseteq G
  and A3: H {is closed under} P
  and A4: \forall x \in H. x^{-1} \in H
  shows IsAsubgroup(H,P)
proof -
  let g = restrict(P, H \times H)
  let n = TheNeutralElement(H,g)
  from A3 have I: \forall x \in H. \forall y \in H. x \cdot y \in H
     using IsOpClosed_def by simp
  from A1 obtain x where x \in H by auto
  with A4 I A2 have 1 \in H
     using group0_2_L6 by blast
  with A3 A2 have T2: IsAmonoid(H,g)
     using group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_T1
     by simp
  moreover have \forall h \in H. \exists b \in H. g(h,b) = n
  proof
     fix h assume h \in H
     with A4 A2 have h \cdot h^{-1} = 1
       using group0_2_L6 by auto
     moreover from groupAssum A2 A3 \langle 1 \in H \rangle have 1 = n
       using IsAgroup_def group0_1_L6 by auto
    moreover from A4 \langle h \in H \rangle have g\langle h, h^{-1} \rangle = h \cdot h^{-1}
       using restrict_if by simp
     ultimately have g(h,h^{-1}) = n by simp
     with A4 \langle h \in H \rangle show \exists b \in H. g\langle h, b \rangle = n by auto
  ultimately show IsAsubgroup(H,P) using
```

```
qed
Intersection of subgroups is a subgroup. This lemma is obsolete and should
be replaced by subgroup_inter.
lemma group0_3_L7:
  assumes A1: IsAgroup(G,f)
  and A2: IsAsubgroup(H_1,f)
  and A3: IsAsubgroup(H2,f)
  shows IsAsubgroup(H_1 \cap H_2, restrict(f, H_1 \times H_1))
proof -
  let e = TheNeutralElement(G,f)
  let g = restrict(f,H_1 \times H_1)
  from A1 have I: group0(G,f)
    using group0_def by simp
  from A2 have group (H_1,g)
    using IsAsubgroup_def group0_def by simp
  moreover have H_1 \cap H_2 \neq 0
  proof -
    from A1 A2 A3 have e \in H_1 \cap H_2
       using group0_def group0.group0_3_L5 by simp
    thus thesis by auto
  qed
  moreover have \mathtt{H}_1 \cap \mathtt{H}_2 \,\subseteq\, \mathtt{H}_1 by auto
  moreover from A2 A3 I \langle H_1 \cap H_2 \subseteq H_1 \rangle have
    \mathtt{H}_1 \cap \mathtt{H}_2 {is closed under} g
    using group0.group0_3_L6 IsOpClosed_def
       func_ZF_4_L7 func_ZF_4_L5 by simp
  moreover from A2 A3 I have
    \forall \, \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{H}_1 \cap \mathtt{H}_2. GroupInv(\mathtt{H}_1,g)(x) \in \mathtt{H}_1 \cap \mathtt{H}_2
    using group0.group0_3_T2 group0.group0_3_T3A
    by simp
  ultimately show thesis
    using group0.group0_3_T3 by simp
Intersection of subgroups is a subgroup.
lemma (in group0) subgroup_inter: assumes IsAsubgroup(H1,P) and IsAsubgroup(H2,P)
  shows IsAsubgroup(H_1 \cap H_2,P)
proof -
  from assms have H_1 \cap H_2 \neq 0 using group0_3_L5 by auto
  moreover from assms have H_1 \cap H_2 \subseteq G using group0_3_L2 by auto
  moreover from assms have H_1 \cap H_2 {is closed under} P
    unfolding IsOpClosed_def using groupO_3_L6 func_ZF_4_L7 func_ZF_4_L5
by simp
  moreover from assms have \forall x \in H_1 \cap H_2. x^{-1} \in H_1 \cap H_2
    using group0_3_T2 group0_3_T3A by simp
  ultimately show thesis using group0_3_T3 by auto
qed
```

IsAsubgroup_def IsAgroup_def by simp

```
The range of the subgroup operation is the whole subgroup.
lemma image_subgr_op: assumes A1: IsAsubgroup(H,P)
  shows restrict(P,H\timesH)(H\timesH) = H
proof -
   from A1 have monoid0(H,restrict(P,H×H))
      using IsAsubgroup_def IsAgroup_def monoid0_def
   then show thesis by (rule monoid0.range_carr)
qed
If we restrict the inverse to a subgroup, then the restricted inverse is onto
the subgroup.
lemma (in group0) restr_inv_onto: assumes A1: IsAsubgroup(H,P)
  shows restrict(GroupInv(G,P),H)(H) = H
proof -
   from A1 have GroupInv(H,restrict(P,H×H))(H) = H
      using IsAsubgroup_def group0_def group0.group_inv_surj
      by simp
   with A1 show thesis using group0_3_T1 by simp
A union of two subgroups is a subgroup iff one of the subgroups is a subset
of the other subgroup.
lemma (in group0) union_subgroups:
  assumes IsAsubgroup(H_1,P) and IsAsubgroup(H_2,P)
  shows IsAsubgroup(H_1 \cup H_2,P) \longleftrightarrow (H_1 \subseteq H_2 \lor H_2 \subseteq H_1)
  assume H_1 \subseteq H_2 \vee H_2 \subseteq H_1 show IsAsubgroup(H_1 \cup H_2,P)
  proof -
      \mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{H}_1 \subseteq \mathtt{H}_2 \ \lor \ \mathtt{H}_2 \subseteq \mathtt{H}_1 \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{H}_2 \ = \ \mathtt{H}_1 \cup \mathtt{H}_2 \ \lor \ \mathtt{H}_1 \ = \ \mathtt{H}_1 \cup \mathtt{H}_2 \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
      with assms show IsAsubgroup(H_1 \cup H_2,P) by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
   assume IsAsubgroup(H_1 \cup H_2, P) show H_1 \subseteq H_2 \vee H_2 \subseteq H_1
   proof -
      { assume \neg H_1 \subseteq H_2
         then obtain x where x \in H_1 and x \notin H_2 by auto
         with assms(1) have x^{-1} \in H_1 using group0_3_T3A by simp
         { fix y assume y \in H_2
            let z = x \cdot y
            \mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{x} {\in} \mathtt{H}_1 \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{y} {\in} \mathtt{H}_2 \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{x} \ \in \ \mathtt{H}_1 {\cup} \mathtt{H}_2 \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathtt{y} \ \in \ \mathtt{H}_1 {\cup} \mathtt{H}_2 \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathbf{auto}
            with (IsAsubgroup(H_1 \cup H_2,P)) have z \in H_1 \cup H_2 using group0_3_L6 by
blast
            \textbf{from assms} \ \ \langle \texttt{x} \ \in \ \texttt{H}_1 \cup \texttt{H}_2 \rangle \quad \  \langle \texttt{y} \in \texttt{H}_2 \rangle \ \ \textbf{have} \ \ \texttt{x} \in \texttt{G} \ \ \texttt{y} \in \texttt{G} \ \ \textbf{and} \ \ \texttt{y}^{-1} \in \texttt{H}_2
               using group0_3_T3A group0_3_L2 by auto
            then have z \cdot y^{-1} = x and x^{-1} \cdot z = y using inv_cancel_two(2,3) by
auto
```

{ assume $z \in H_2$

Transitivity for "is a subgroup of" relation. The proof (probably) uses the lemma $restrict_restrict$ from standard Isabelle/ZF library which states that $restrict(restrict(f,A),B) = restrict(f,A\cap B)$. That lemma is added to the simplifier, so it does not have to be referenced explicitly in the proof below.

```
lemma subgroup_transitive: assumes IsAgroup(G_3,P) IsAsubgroup(G_2,P) IsAsubgroup(G_1,restrict(P,G_2 \times G_2)) shows IsAsubgroup(G_1,P) proof - from assms(2) have group0(G_2,restrict(P,G_2 \times G_2)) unfolding IsAsubgroup_def group0_def by simp with assms(3) have G_1 \subseteq G_2 using group0.group0_3_L2 by simp hence G_2 \times G_2 \cap G_1 \times G_1 = G_1 \times G_1 by auto with assms(3) show IsAsubgroup(G_1,P) unfolding IsAsubgroup_def by simp qed
```

29.3 Groups vs. loops

We defined groups as monoids with the inverse operation. An alternative way of defining a group is as a loop whose operation is associative.

Groups have left and right division.

```
lemma (in group0) gr_has_lr_div: shows HasLeftDiv(G,P) and HasRightDiv(G,P) proof -  \{ \text{ fix x y assume } x \in G \text{ y} \in G \\ \text{ then have } x^{-1} \cdot y \in G \land x \cdot (x^{-1} \cdot y) = y \text{ using group_op_closed inverse_in_group inv_cancel_two(4)} \\ \text{ by simp } \\ \text{ hence } \exists z. z \in G \land x \cdot z = y \text{ by auto } \\ \text{ moreover } \{ \text{ fix } z_1 \ z_2 \text{ assume } z_1 \in G \land x \cdot z_1 = y \text{ and } z_2 \in G \land x \cdot z_2 = y \\ \text{ with } \langle x \in G \rangle \text{ have } z_1 = z_2 \text{ using cancel_left by blast } \} \\ \text{ ultimately have } \exists !z. \ z \in G \land x \cdot z = y \text{ by auto} \\ \text{ } \text{ then show HasLeftDiv(G,P) unfolding HasLeftDiv_def by simp} \\ \text{ } \text{ fix x y assume } x \in G \text{ } y \in G
```

```
then have y \cdot x^{-1} \in G \land (y \cdot x^{-1}) \cdot x = y using group_op_closed inverse_in_group
inv_cancel_two(1)
      by simp
    hence \exists z. z \in G \land z \cdot x = y \text{ by auto}
    { fix z_1 z_2 assume z_1 \in G \land z_1 \cdot x = y and z_2 \in G \land z_2 \cdot x = y
      with \langle x \in G \rangle have z_1 = z_2 using cancel_right by blast
    ultimately have \exists !z. z \in G \land z \cdot x = y by auto
  } then show HasRightDiv(G,P) unfolding HasRightDiv_def by simp
qed
A group is a quasigroup and a loop.
lemma (in group0) group_is_loop: shows IsAquasigroup(G,P) and IsAloop(G,P)
proof -
  show IsAquasigroup(G,P) unfolding IsAquasigroup_def HasLatinSquareProp_def
    using gr_has_lr_div group_oper_fun by simp
  then show IsAloop(G,P) unfolding IsAloop_def using group0_2_L2 by auto
qed
An associative loop is a group.
theorem assoc_loop_is_gr: assumes IsAloop(G,P) and P {is associative
on} G
  shows IsAgroup(G,P)
proof -
  from assms(1) have \exists e \in G. \forall x \in G. P(e,x) = x \land P(x,e) = x
    unfolding IsAloop_def by simp
  with assms(2) have IsAmonoid(G,P) unfolding IsAmonoid_def by simp
  { fix x assume x \in G
    let y = RightInv(G,P)(x)
    from assms(1) \langle x \in G \rangle have y \in G and P\langle x, y \rangle = TheNeutralElement(G,P)
      using loop_loop0_valid loop0.lr_inv_props(3,4) by auto
    hence \exists y \in G. P(x,y) = \text{TheNeutralElement}(G,P) by auto
  }
  with (IsAmonoid(G,P)) show IsAgroup(G,P) unfolding IsAgroup_def by simp
qed
For groups the left and right inverse are the same as the group inverse.
lemma (in group0) lr_inv_gr_inv:
  shows LeftInv(G,P) = GroupInv(G,P) and RightInv(G,P) = GroupInv(G,P)
proof -
  have LeftInv(G,P):G→G using group_is_loop loop_loop0_valid loop0.lr_inv_fun(1)
  moreover from groupAssum have GroupInv(G,P):G→G using groupO_2_T2
by simp
  moreover
  { fix x assume x \in G
    let y = LeftInv(G,P)(x)
    from \langle x \in G \rangle have y \in G and y \cdot x = 1
```

```
using group_is_loop(2) loop_loop0_valid loop0.lr_inv_props(1,2)
by auto
    with (x \in G) have LeftInv(G,P)(x) = GroupInv(G,P)(x) using group0_2_L9(1)
by simp
  }
  ultimately show LeftInv(G,P) = GroupInv(G,P) using func_eq by blast
 have RightInv(G,P):G→G using group_is_loop_loop_loop0_valid loop0.lr_inv_fun(2)
  moreover from groupAssum have GroupInv(G,P):G→G using groupO_2_T2
by simp
 moreover
  { fix x assume x \in G
    let y = RightInv(G,P)(x)
    from \langle x \in G \rangle have y \in G and x \cdot y = 1
      using group_is_loop(2) loop_loop0_valid loop0.lr_inv_props(3,4)
    with (x \in G) have RightInv(G,P)(x) = GroupInv(G,P)(x) using group0_2_L9(2)
by simp
  ultimately show RightInv(G,P) = GroupInv(G,P) using func_eq by blast
qed
```

end

30 Groups 1

theory Group_ZF_1 imports Group_ZF

begin

In this theory we consider right and left translations and odd functions.

30.1 Translations

In this section we consider translations. Translations are maps $T: G \to G$ of the form $T_g(a) = g \cdot a$ or $T_g(a) = a \cdot g$. We also consider two-dimensional translations $T_g: G \times G \to G \times G$, where $T_g(a,b) = (a \cdot g, b \cdot g)$ or $T_g(a,b) = (g \cdot a, g \cdot b)$.

For an element $a \in G$ the right translation is defined a function (set of pairs) such that its value (the second element of a pair) is the value of the group operation on the first element of the pair and g. This looks a bit strange in the raw set notation, when we write a function explicitly as a set of pairs and value of the group operation on the pair $\langle a,b \rangle$ as P(a,b) instead of the usual infix $a \cdot b$ or a + b.

```
definition
```

```
RightTranslation(G,P,g) \equiv {\langle a,b\rangle \in G\timesG. P\langlea,g\rangle = b}
```

A similar definition of the left translation.

definition

```
LeftTranslation(G,P,g) \equiv \{\langle a,b \rangle \in G \times G. P \langle g,a \rangle = b\}
```

Translations map G into G. Two dimensional translations map $G \times G$ into itself.

```
lemma (in group0) group0_5_L1: assumes A1: g∈G shows RightTranslation(G,P,g) : G \rightarrow G and LeftTranslation(G,P,g) : G \rightarrow G proof - from A1 have \forall a∈G. a·g ∈ G and \forall a∈G. g·a ∈ G using group_oper_fun apply_funtype by auto then show RightTranslation(G,P,g) : G \rightarrow G LeftTranslation(G,P,g) : G \rightarrow G using RightTranslation_def LeftTranslation_def func1_1_L11A by auto qed
```

The values of the translations are what we expect.

```
lemma (in group0) group0_5_L2: assumes g∈G a∈G
    shows
    RightTranslation(G,P,g)(a) = a·g
    LeftTranslation(G,P,g)(a) = g·a
    using assms group0_5_L1 RightTranslation_def LeftTranslation_def
    func1_1_L11B by auto
```

Composition of left translations is a left translation by the product.

```
lemma (in group0) group0_5_L4: assumes A1: g∈G h∈G a∈G and A2: T_g = LeftTranslation(G,P,g) T_h = LeftTranslation(G,P,h) shows T_g(T_h(a)) = g·h·a T_g(T_h(a)) = LeftTranslation(G,P,g·h)(a) proof - from A1 have I: h·a∈G g·h∈G using group_oper_fun apply_funtype by auto with A1 A2 show T_g(T_h(a)) = g·h·a using group0_5_L2 group_oper_assoc by simp with A1 A2 I show T_g(T_h(a)) = LeftTranslation(G,P,g·h)(a) using group0_5_L2 group_oper_assoc by simp qed
```

Composition of right translations is a right translation by the product.

```
lemma (in group0) group0_5_L5: assumes A1: g \in G h \in G a \in G and
```

```
A2: T_g = RightTranslation(G,P,g) T_h = RightTranslation(G,P,h)
  shows
 T_q(T_h(a)) = a \cdot h \cdot g
  T_a(T_h(a)) = RightTranslation(G,P,h\cdot g)(a)
proof -
  from A1 have I: a \cdot h \in G h \cdot g \in G
     using group_oper_fun apply_funtype by auto
  with A1 A2 show T_g(T_h(a)) = a \cdot h \cdot g
     using group0_5_L2 group_oper_assoc by simp
  with A1 A2 I show
    T_q(T_h(a)) = RightTranslation(G,P,h\cdot g)(a)
    using group0_5_L2 group_oper_assoc by simp
qed
Point free version of group0_5_L4 and group0_5_L5.
lemma (in group0) trans_comp: assumes g \in G h \in G shows
  RightTranslation(G,P,g) O RightTranslation(G,P,h) = RightTranslation(G,P,h\cdot g)
  LeftTranslation(G,P,g) 0 LeftTranslation(G,P,h) = LeftTranslation(G,P,g\cdot h)
proof -
  let T_g = RightTranslation(G,P,g)
  let T_h = RightTranslation(G,P,h)
  from assms have T_q:G\rightarrow G and T_h:G\rightarrow G
    using group0_5_L1 by auto
  then have T_g O T_h : G \rightarrow G using comp_fun by simp
  moreover from assms have RightTranslation(G,P,h\cdot g):G\rightarrow G
     using group_op_closed group0_5_L1 by simp
  moreover from assms \langle T_h: G \rightarrow G \rangle have
    \forall a \in G. (T_q \cup T_h)(a) = RightTranslation(G,P,h\cdot g)(a)
    using comp_fun_apply group0_5_L5 by simp
  ultimately show T_g \cap T_h = RightTranslation(G,P,h\cdot g)
    by (rule func_eq)
  let T_q = LeftTranslation(G,P,g)
  let T_h = LeftTranslation(G,P,h)
  from assms have T_g:G\rightarrow G and T_h:G\rightarrow G
     using group0_5_L1 by auto
  then have T_q \cap T_h: G \rightarrow G using comp_fun by simp
  moreover from assms have LeftTranslation(G,P,g\cdot h):G\rightarrow G
     using group_op_closed group0_5_L1 by simp
  \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{from} \ \mathbf{assms} \ \langle \mathtt{T}_h \colon \mathtt{G} {\rightarrow} \mathtt{G} \rangle \ \mathbf{have}
    \forall a \in G. (T_g \cup T_h)(a) = \text{LeftTranslation}(G,P,g \cdot h)(a)
    using comp_fun_apply group0_5_L4 by simp
  ultimately show T_g O T_h = LeftTranslation(G,P,g·h)
    by (rule func_eq)
The image of a set under a composition of translations is the same as the
image under translation by a product.
```

```
A2: T_g = LeftTranslation(G,P,g) T_h = LeftTranslation(G,P,h)
shows T_g(T_h(A)) = LeftTranslation(G,P,g\cdot h)(A)
proof -
  from A2 have T_q(T_h(A)) = (T_q \cup T_h)(A)
    using image_comp by simp
  with assms show thesis using trans_comp by simp
qed
Another form of the image of a set under a composition of translations
lemma (in group0) group0_5_L6:
  assumes A1: g \in G h \in G and A2: A \subseteq G and
  A3: T_g = RightTranslation(G,P,g) T_h = RightTranslation(G,P,h)
  shows T_q(T_h(A)) = \{a \cdot h \cdot g. a \in A\}
proof -
  from A2 have \forall a \in A. a \in G by auto
  from A1 A3 have T_g: G \rightarrow G T_h: G \rightarrow G
    using group0_5_L1 by auto
  with assms \langle \forall a \in A. a \in G \rangle show
    T_a(T_h(A)) = \{a \cdot h \cdot g. a \in A\}
    using func1_1_L15C group0_5_L5 by auto
qed
The translation by neutral element is the identity on group.
lemma (in group0) trans_neutral: shows
  RightTranslation(G,P,1) = id(G) and LeftTranslation(G,P,1) = id(G)
proof -
  have RightTranslation(G,P,1):G\rightarrow G and \forall a\in G. RightTranslation(G,P,1)(a)
    using group0_2_L2 group0_5_L1 group0_5_L2 by auto
  then show RightTranslation(G,P,1) = id(G) by (rule indentity_fun)
  have LeftTranslation(G,P,1):G \rightarrow G and \forall a \in G. LeftTranslation(G,P,1)(a)
    using group0_2_L2 group0_5_L1 group0_5_L2 by auto
  then show LeftTranslation(G,P,1) = id(G) by (rule indentity_fun)
Translation by neutral element does not move sets.
lemma (in group0) trans_neutral_image: assumes V⊆G
  shows RightTranslation(G,P,1)(V) = V and LeftTranslation(G,P,1)(V)
= V
  using assms trans_neutral image_id_same by auto
Composition of translations by an element and its inverse is identity.
lemma (in group0) trans_comp_id: assumes g∈G shows
  RightTranslation(G,P,g) 0 RightTranslation(G,P,g<sup>-1</sup>) = id(G) and
  RightTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) O RightTranslation(G,P,g) = id(G) and
  LeftTranslation(G,P,g) 0 LeftTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) = id(G) and
  LeftTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) O LeftTranslation(G,P,g) = id(G)
```

```
using assms inverse_in_group trans_comp group0_2_L6 trans_neutral by
Translations are bijective.
lemma (in group0) trans_bij: assumes g∈G shows
  RightTranslation(G,P,g) \in bij(G,G) and LeftTranslation(G,P,g) \in bij(G,G)
proof-
  from assms have
    RightTranslation(G,P,g):G \rightarrow G and
    \label{eq:continuous} \begin{split} & \text{RightTranslation}(\texttt{G},\texttt{P},\texttt{g}^{-1}) : \texttt{G} \rightarrow \texttt{G} \  \, \text{and} \\ & \text{RightTranslation}(\texttt{G},\texttt{P},\texttt{g}) \  \, \texttt{0} \  \, \text{RightTranslation}(\texttt{G},\texttt{P},\texttt{g}^{-1}) \  \, = \  \, \text{id}(\texttt{G}) \end{split}
    RightTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) O RightTranslation(G,P,g) = id(G)
  using inverse_in_group group0_5_L1 trans_comp_id by auto
  then show RightTranslation(G,P,g) \in bij(G,G) using fg_imp_bijective
by simp
  from assms have
    LeftTranslation(G,P,g):G \rightarrow G and
    LeftTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}):G\rightarrow G and
    LeftTranslation(G,P,g) 0 LeftTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) = id(G)
    LeftTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) O LeftTranslation(G,P,g) = id(G)
    using inverse_in_group group0_5_L1 trans_comp_id by auto
  then show LeftTranslation(G,P,g) \in bij(G,G) using fg_imp_bijective
by simp
qed
Converse of a translation is translation by the inverse.
lemma (in group0) trans_conv_inv: assumes g \in G shows
  converse(RightTranslation(G,P,g)) = RightTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) and
  converse(LeftTranslation(G,P,g)) = LeftTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) and
  LeftTranslation(G,P,g) = converse(LeftTranslation(G,P,g^{-1})) and
  RightTranslation(G,P,g) = converse(RightTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}))
proof -
  from assms have
    RightTranslation(G,P,g) \in bij(G,G) RightTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) \in bij(G,G)
and
    LeftTranslation(G,P,g) \in bij(G,G) LeftTranslation(G,P,g<sup>-1</sup>) \in bij(G,G)
    using trans_bij inverse_in_group by auto
  moreover from assms have
    RightTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) O RightTranslation(G,P,g) = id(G) and
    LeftTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) O LeftTranslation(G,P,g) = id(G) and
    LeftTranslation(G,P,g) 0 LeftTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) = id(G) and
    LeftTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) O LeftTranslation(G,P,g) = id(G)
    using trans_comp_id by auto
  ultimately show
     converse(RightTranslation(G,P,g)) = RightTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) and
     converse(LeftTranslation(G,P,g)) = LeftTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}) and
    \label{eq:leftTranslation(G,P,g) = converse(LeftTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}))} \ \ \text{and}
    RightTranslation(G,P,g) = converse(RightTranslation(G,P,g^{-1}))
     using comp_id_conv by auto
```

```
qed
```

proof -

The image of a set by translation is the same as the inverse image by by the inverse element translation.

```
lemma (in group0) trans_image_vimage: assumes g \in G shows LeftTranslation(G,P,g)(A) = LeftTranslation(G,P,g^{-1})-(A) and RightTranslation(G,P,g)(A) = RightTranslation(G,P,g^{-1})-(A) using assms trans_conv_inv vimage_converse by auto
```

Another way of looking at translations is that they are sections of the group operation.

```
lemma (in group0) trans_eq_section: assumes g \in G shows
  RightTranslation(G,P,g) = Fix2ndVar(P,g) and
  LeftTranslation(G,P,g) = Fix1stVar(P,g)
proof -
  let T = RightTranslation(G,P,g)
  let F = Fix2ndVar(P,g)
  from assms have T: G \rightarrow G and F: G \rightarrow G
    using group0_5_L1 group_oper_fun fix_2nd_var_fun by auto
  moreover from assms have \forall a \in G. T(a) = F(a)
    using group0_5_L2 group_oper_fun fix_var_val by simp
  ultimately show T = F by (rule func_eq)
next
  let T = LeftTranslation(G,P,g)
  let F = Fix1stVar(P,g)
  from assms have T: G \rightarrow G and F: G \rightarrow G
    using group0_5_L1 group_oper_fun fix_1st_var_fun by auto
  moreover from assms have \forall a \in G. T(a) = F(a)
    using group0_5_L2 group_oper_fun fix_var_val by simp
  ultimately show T = F by (rule func_eq)
A lemma demonstrating what is the left translation of a set
lemma (in group0) ltrans_image: assumes A1: V\subseteq G and A2: x\in G
  shows LeftTranslation(G,P,x)(V) = \{x \cdot v \cdot v \in V\}
proof -
  from assms have LeftTranslation(G,P,x)(V) = \{LeftTranslation(G,P,x)(v).
    using group0_5_L1 func_imagedef by blast
  moreover from assms have \forall v \in V. LeftTranslation(G,P,x)(v) = x·v
    using group0_5_L2 by auto
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
A lemma demonstrating what is the right translation of a set
lemma (in group0) rtrans_image: assumes A1: V\subseteq G and A2: x\in G
  shows RightTranslation(G,P,x)(V) = \{v \cdot x . v \in V\}
```

```
from assms have RightTranslation(G,P,x)(V) = \{RightTranslation(G,P,x)(v).
v \in V
    using group0_5_L1 func_imagedef by blast
  moreover from assms have \forall v \in V. RightTranslation(G,P,x)(v) = v·x
    using group0_5_L2 by auto
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
Right and left translations of a set are subsets of the group. Interestingly,
we do not have to assume the set is a subset of the group.
lemma (in group0) lrtrans_in_group: assumes x \in G
  shows LeftTranslation(G,P,x)(V) \subseteq G and RightTranslation(G,P,x)(V)
\subseteq G
proof -
  from assms have LeftTranslation(G,P,x):G \rightarrow G and RightTranslation(G,P,x):G \rightarrow G
    using group0_5_L1 by auto
  then show LeftTranslation(G,P,x)(V) \subseteq G and RightTranslation(G,P,x)(V)
    using func1_1_L6(2) by auto
qed
A technical lemma about solving equations with translations.
lemma (in group0) ltrans_inv_in: assumes A1: V\subseteq G and A2: y\in G and
  A3: x \in LeftTranslation(G,P,y)(GroupInv(G,P)(V))
  shows y \in LeftTranslation(G,P,x)(V)
proof -
  have x \in G
  proof -
    from A2 have LeftTranslation(G,P,y):G\rightarrow G using group0_5_L1 by simp
    then have LeftTranslation(G,P,y)(GroupInv(G,P)(V)) \subseteq G
      using func1_1_L6 by simp
    with A3 show x \in G by auto
  qed
  have \exists v \in V. x = y \cdot v^{-1}
  proof -
    have GroupInv(G,P): G \rightarrow G using groupAssum group0_2_T2
      by simp
    with assms obtain z where z \in GroupInv(G,P)(V) and x = y \cdot z
      using func1_1_L6 ltrans_image by auto
    with A1 \langle GroupInv(G,P): G \rightarrow G \rangle show thesis using func_imagedef by auto
  then obtain v where v \in V and x = y \cdot v^{-1} by auto
  with A1 A2 have y = x \cdot v using inv_cancel_two by auto
  with assms \langle x \in G \rangle \langle v \in V \rangle show thesis using ltrans_image by auto
We can look at the result of interval arithmetic operation as union of left
translated sets.
lemma (in group0) image_ltrans_union: assumes A⊆G B⊆G shows
```

```
(P {lifted to subsets of} G)\langle A,B \rangle = (\bigcup a \in A. \text{ LeftTranslation}(G,P,a)(B))
proof
  from assms have I: (P {lifted to subsets of} G)\langle A,B\rangle = {a·b . \langle a,b\rangle \in
A \times B
     using group_oper_fun lift_subsets_explained by simp
  { fix c assume c \in (P \{lifted to subsets of\} G)(A,B)
     with I obtain a b where c = a \cdot b and a \in A b \in B by auto
     hence c \in \{a \cdot b. b \in B\} by auto
     moreover from assms (a \in A) have
       LeftTranslation(G,P,a)(B) = \{a \cdot b. b \in B\} using ltrans_image by auto
     ultimately have c \in LeftTranslation(G,P,a)(B) by simp
     with (a \in A) have c \in ([Ja \in A]. LeftTranslation(G,P,a)(B)) by auto
  } thus (P {lifted to subsets of} G)\langle A,B \rangle \subseteq (\bigcup a \in A. LeftTranslation(G,P,a)(B))
     by auto
  \{ \text{ fix c assume c} \in (\bigcup a \in A. \text{ LeftTranslation(G,P,a)(B)}) \}
     then obtain a where a \in A and c \in LeftTranslation(G,P,a)(B)
     moreover from assms \langle a \in A \rangle have LeftTranslation(G,P,a)(B) = \{a \cdot b.
b \in B
       using ltrans_image by auto
     ultimately obtain b where b \in B and c = a \cdot b by auto
     with I \langle a \in A \rangle have c \in (P \{lifted to subsets of\} G) \langle A, B \rangle by auto
  \} thus (\bigcupa\inA. LeftTranslation(G,P,a)(B)) \subseteq (P {lifted to subsets of}
G)\langle A,B\rangle
     by auto
qed
The right translation version of image_ltrans_union The proof follows the
same schema.
lemma (in group0) image_rtrans_union: assumes A\subseteqG B\subseteqG shows
  (P {lifted to subsets of} G)\langle A,B \rangle = (| b \in B. RightTranslation(G,P,b)(A))
  from assms have I: (P {lifted to subsets of} G)\langle A,B\rangle = {a·b . \langle a,b\rangle \in
A \times B
     using group_oper_fun lift_subsets_explained by simp
  { fix c assume c \in (P \{lifted to subsets of\} G)(A,B)
     with I obtain a b where c = a \cdot b and a \in A b \in B by auto
     hence c \in \{a \cdot b. a \in A\} by auto
     moreover from assms \langle b \in B \rangle have
       RightTranslation(G,P,b)(A) = \{a \cdot b. a \in A\} using rtrans_image by auto
     ultimately have c \in RightTranslation(G,P,b)(A) by simp
     with \langle b \in B \rangle have c \in ([]b \in B. RightTranslation(G,P,b)(A)) by auto
  \{ \text{thus (P \{lifted to subsets of}\} G)} \land A,B \} \subseteq (\{ \}b \in B. RightTranslation(G,P,b)(A) \} \}
     by auto
  { fix c assume c \in (\bigcup b \in B. RightTranslation(G,P,b)(A))
     then obtain b where b \in B and c \in RightTranslation(G,P,b)(A)
     moreover from assms \langle b \in B \rangle have RightTranslation(G,P,b)(A) = \{a \cdot b\}.
a \in A
```

```
using rtrans_image by auto
    ultimately obtain a where a \in A and c = a \cdot b by auto
    with I \langle b \in B \rangle have c \in (P \{lifted to subsets of\} G) \langle A, B \rangle by auto
  } thus ([]b\in B. RightTranslation(G,P,b)(A)) \subseteq (P \{lifted to subsets\})
of G (A,B)
    by auto
qed
If the neutral element belongs to a set, then an element of group belongs
the translation of that set.
lemma (in group0) neut_trans_elem:
  assumes A1: A\subseteq G g\in G and A2: 1\in A
  shows g \in LeftTranslation(G,P,g)(A) g \in RightTranslation(G,P,g)(A)
proof -
  from assms have g \cdot 1 \in \text{LeftTranslation}(G,P,g)(A)
    using ltrans_image by auto
  with A1 show g ∈ LeftTranslation(G,P,g)(A) using group0_2_L2 by simp
  from assms have 1 \cdot g \in RightTranslation(G,P,g)(A)
    using rtrans_image by auto
  with A1 show g ∈ RightTranslation(G,P,g)(A) using group0_2_L2 by simp
qed
The neutral element belongs to the translation of a set by the inverse of an
element that belongs to it.
lemma (in group0) elem_trans_neut: assumes A1: A\subseteqG and A2: g\inA
  shows 1 \in \text{LeftTranslation}(G,P,g^{-1})(A) 1 \in \text{RightTranslation}(G,P,g^{-1})(A)
proof -
  from assms have ginv:g^{-1} \in G using inverse_in_group by auto
  with assms have g^{-1} \cdot g \in LeftTranslation(G,P,g^{-1})(A)
    using ltrans_image by auto
  moreover from assms have g^{-1} \cdot g = 1 using group0_2_L6 by auto
  ultimately show 1 \in LeftTranslation(G,P,g<sup>-1</sup>)(A) by simp
  from ginv assms have g \cdot g^{-1} \in RightTranslation(G,P,g^{-1})(A)
    using rtrans_image by auto
  moreover from assms have g \cdot g^{-1} = 1 using group0_2_L6 by auto
  ultimately show 1 \in RightTranslation(G,P,g^{-1})(A) by simp
qed
30.2
        Odd functions
This section is about odd functions.
Odd functions are those that commute with the group inverse: f(a^{-1}) =
(f(a))^{-1}.
definition
  IsOdd(G,P,f) \equiv (\forall a \in G. \ f(GroupInv(G,P)(a)) = GroupInv(G,P)(f(a)))
```

Let's see the definition of an odd function in a more readable notation.

```
lemma (in group0) group0_6_L1:
  shows IsOdd(G,P,p) \longleftrightarrow ( \forall a\inG. p(a<sup>-1</sup>) = (p(a))<sup>-1</sup> )
  using IsOdd_def by simp
We can express the definition of an odd function in two ways.
lemma (in group0) group0_6_L2:
  assumes A1: p : G \rightarrow G
  shows
  (\forall a \in G. p(a^{-1}) = (p(a))^{-1}) \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in G. (p(a^{-1}))^{-1} = p(a))
  assume \forall a \in G. p(a^{-1}) = (p(a))^{-1}
  with A1 show \forall a \in G. (p(a^{-1}))^{-1} = p(a)
     using apply_funtype group_inv_of_inv by simp
next assume A2: \forall a \in G. (p(a^{-1}))^{-1} = p(a)
   { fix a assume a \in G
     with A1 A2 have
       p(a^{-1}) \in G \text{ and } ((p(a^{-1}))^{-1})^{-1} = (p(a))^{-1}
     using apply_funtype inverse_in_group by auto
  then have p(a^{-1}) = (p(a))^{-1}
     using group_inv_of_inv by simp
  } then show \forall a \in G. p(a^{-1}) = (p(a))^{-1} by simp
qed
```

30.3 Subgroups and interval arithmetic

The section Binary operations in the func_ZF theory defines the notion of "lifting operation to subsets". In short, every binary operation $f: X \times X \longrightarrow X$ on a set X defines an operation on the subsets of X defined by $F(A,B) = \{f\langle x,y\rangle | x\in A,y\in B\}$. In the group context using multiplicative notation we can write this as $H\cdot K=\{x\cdot y|x\in A,y\in B\}$. Similarly we can define $H^{-1}=\{x^{-1}|x\in H\}$. In this section we study properties of these derived operation and how they relate to the concept of subgroups.

The next locale extends the groups0 locale with notation related to interval arithmetics.

```
locale group4 = group0 + fixes sdot (infixl \cdot 70) defines sdot_def [simp]: A·B \equiv (P {lifted to subsets of} G)\langleA,B\rangle fixes sinv (_{-}^{-1} [90] 91) defines sinv_def[simp]: A^{-1} \equiv GroupInv(G,P)(A)
```

The next lemma shows a somewhat more explicit way of defining the product of two subsets of a group.

```
lemma (in group4) interval_prod: assumes A \subseteq G B \subseteq G shows A \cdot B = \{x \cdot y : \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\} using assms group_oper_fun lift_subsets_explained by auto
```

Product of elements of subsets of the group is in the set product of those subsets

```
lemma (in group4) interval_prod_el: assumes A\subseteqG B\subseteqG x\inA y\inB shows x\cdoty \in A\cdotB using assms interval_prod by auto
```

An alternative definition of a group inverse of a set.

```
\label{eq:lemma_sum} \begin{array}{l} \text{lemma (in group4) interval\_inv: assumes } \texttt{A} \subseteq \texttt{G} \\ \text{shows } \texttt{A}^{-1} = \{\texttt{x}^{-1}.\texttt{x} \in \texttt{A}\} \\ \text{proof -} \\ \text{from groupAssum have } \texttt{GroupInv}(\texttt{G},\texttt{P}): \texttt{G} \rightarrow \texttt{G} \text{ using group0\_2\_T2 by simp} \\ \end{array}
```

with assms show $A^{-1} = \{x^{-1}.x \in A\}$ using func_imagedef by simp qed

Group inverse of a set is a subset of the group. Interestingly we don't need to assume the set is a subset of the group.

```
lemma (in group4) interval_inv_cl: shows A^{-1} \subseteq G proof - from groupAssum have GroupInv(G,P):G\rightarrowG using group0_2_T2 by simp then show A^{-1} \subseteq G using func1_1_L6(2) by simp qed
```

The product of two subsets of a group is a subset of the group.

```
lemma (in group4) interval_prod_closed: assumes A \subseteq G B \subseteq G shows A \cdot B \subseteq G proof fix z assume z \in A \cdot B with assms obtain x y where x \in A y \in B z = x \cdot y using interval_prod by auto with assms show z \in G using group_op_closed by auto qed
```

The product of sets operation is associative.

```
lemma (in group4) interval_prod_assoc: assumes A⊆G B⊆G C⊆G
   shows A·B·C = A·(B·C)
proof -
   from groupAssum have (P {lifted to subsets of} G) {is associative on}
Pow(G)
     unfolding IsAgroup_def IsAmonoid_def using lift_subset_assoc by simp
   with assms show thesis unfolding IsAssociative_def by auto
   qed
```

A simple rearrangement following from associativity of the product of sets operation.

```
lemma (in group4) interval_prod_rearr1: assumes A \subseteq G B \subseteq G C \subseteq G D \subseteq G shows A \cdot B \cdot (C \cdot D) = A \cdot (B \cdot C) \cdot D proof - from assms(1,2) have A \cdot B \subseteq G using interval_prod_closed by simp
```

```
with assms(3,4) have A \cdot B \cdot (C \cdot D) = A \cdot B \cdot C \cdot D
     using interval_prod_assoc by simp
  also from assms(1,2,3) have A \cdot B \cdot C \cdot D = A \cdot (B \cdot C) \cdot D
     using interval_prod_assoc by simp
  finally show thesis by simp
ged
A subset A of the group is closed with respect to the group operation iff
A \cdot A \subseteq A.
lemma (in group4) subset_gr_op_cl: assumes A⊆G
  shows (A {is closed under} P) \longleftrightarrow A·A \subseteq A
proof
  assume A {is closed under} P
   \{ \text{ fix z assume z} \in A \cdot A \}
     with assms obtain x y where x \in A y \in A and z=x \cdot y using interval_prod
     with \langle A \rangle {is closed under} P have z \in A unfolding IsOpClosed_def by
  } thus A \cdot A \subseteq A by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume A \cdot A \subseteq A
   { fix x y assume x \in A y \in A
     with assms have x \cdot y \in A \cdot A using interval_prod by auto
     with (A \cdot A \subseteq A) have x \cdot y \in A by auto
   } then show A {is closed under} P unfolding IsOpClosed_def by simp
qed
Inverse and square of a subgroup is this subgroup.
lemma (in group4) subgroup_inv_sq: assumes IsAsubgroup(H,P)
    shows H^{-1} = H and H \cdot H = H
proof
  from assms have H⊆G using group0_3_L2 by simp
  with assms show H^{-1} \subseteq H using interval_inv group0_3_T3A by auto
   { fix x assume x \in H
     with assms have (x^{-1})^{-1} \in \{y^{-1}, y \in H\} using group0_3_T3A by auto
     moreover from \langle x \in H \rangle \langle H \subseteq G \rangle have (x^{-1})^{-1} = x using group_inv_of_inv
     ultimately have x \in \{y^{-1}, y \in H\} by auto
     with \langle H \subseteq G \rangle have x \in H^{-1} using interval_inv by simp
   } thus H \subseteq H^{-1} by auto
  from assms have H {is closed under} P using group0_3_L6 unfolding IsOpClosed_def
  with assms have H⋅H ⊆ H using subset_gr_op_cl group0_3_L2 by simp
  moreover
   { fix x assume x \in H
     with assms have x \in G using group 0_3_L2 by auto
     \mathbf{from} \  \, \mathsf{assms} \  \, \langle \mathtt{H} \subseteq \mathtt{G} \rangle \  \, \langle \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{H} \rangle \  \, \mathbf{have} \  \, \mathtt{x} \cdot \mathbf{1} \  \, \in \  \, \mathtt{H} \cdot \mathtt{H} \  \, \mathbf{using} \  \, \mathsf{group0\_3\_L5} \  \, \mathsf{interval\_prod}
by auto
     with (x \in G) have x \in H \cdot H using group0_2_L2 by simp
```

```
\} hence H \subseteq H \cdot H by auto
   ultimately show H·H = H by auto
qed
Inverse of a product two sets is a product of inverses with the reversed order.
lemma (in group4) interval_prod_inv: assumes A\subseteqG B\subseteqG
   shows
       (A \cdot B)^{-1} = \{(x \cdot y)^{-1} \cdot \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\}
       (\mathtt{A} \cdot \mathtt{B})^{\,-1} \; = \; \{\mathtt{y}^{-1} \cdot \mathtt{x}^{-1} \, . \, \langle \mathtt{x} \, , \mathtt{y} \rangle \; \in \; \mathtt{A} \times \mathtt{B} \}
       (A \cdot B)^{-1} = (B^{-1}) \cdot (A^{-1})
proof -
   from assms have (A·B) \subseteq G using interval_prod_closed by simp
   then have I: (A \cdot B)^{-1} = \{z^{-1} \cdot z \in A \cdot B\} using interval_inv by simp
   show II: (A \cdot B)^{-1} = \{(x \cdot y)^{-1} \cdot \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\}
       { fix p assume p \in (A \cdot B)^{-1}
          with I obtain z where p=z^{-1} and z \in A \cdot B by auto
          with assms obtain x y where \langle x,y \rangle \in A \times B and z=x·y using interval_prod
          with \langle p=z^{-1} \rangle have p \in \{(x \cdot y)^{-1}, \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\} by auto
       } thus (A \cdot B)^{-1} \subseteq \{(x \cdot y)^{-1}. \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\} by blast
       { fix p assume p \in \{(x \cdot y)^{-1}, (x, y) \in A \times B\}
          then obtain x y where x \in A y \in B and p = (x \cdot y)^{-1} by auto
          with assms \langle (A \cdot B) \subseteq G \rangle have p \in (A \cdot B)^{-1} using interval_prod interval_inv
              by auto
       } thus \{(x\cdot y)^{-1}.\langle x,y\rangle \in A\times B\}\subseteq (A\cdot B)^{-1} by blast
   ged
   have \{(x\cdot y)^{-1}.\langle x,y\rangle \in A\times B\} = \{y^{-1}\cdot x^{-1}.\langle x,y\rangle \in A\times B\}
   proof
       { fix p assume p \in \{(x \cdot y)^{-1}, \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\}
          then obtain x y where x \in A y \in B and p = (x \cdot y)^{-1} by auto
          with assms have y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} = (x \cdot y)^{-1} using group_inv_of_two by auto
          with \langle p=(x\cdot y)^{-1}\rangle have p=y^{-1}\cdot x^{-1} by simp
       with \langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in B \rangle have p \in \{y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} \cdot \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\} by auto } thus \{(x \cdot y)^{-1} \cdot \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\} \subseteq \{y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} \cdot \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\} by blast
       { fix p assume p \in \{y^{-1}, x^{-1}, \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\}
          then obtain x y where x \in A y \in B and p=y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} by auto
          with assms have p = (x \cdot y)^{-1} using group_inv_of_two by auto
          with \langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in B \rangle have p \in \{(x \cdot y)^{-1} \cdot \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\} by auto
       } thus \{y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} . \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\} \subseteq \{(x \cdot y)^{-1} . \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\} by blast
   with II show III: (A \cdot B)^{-1} = \{y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} \cdot \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\} by simp
   have \{y^{-1}\cdot x^{-1} \cdot \langle x,y \rangle \in A \times B\} = (B^{-1})\cdot (A^{-1})
   proof
       { fix p assume p \in \{y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} \cdot \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\}
          then obtain x y where x \in A y \in B and p=y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} by auto
          with assms have y^{-1} \in (B^{-1}) and x^{-1} \in (A^{-1})
              using interval_inv by auto
          with \langle p=y^{-1}\cdot x^{-1}\rangle have p\in (B^{-1})\cdot (A^{-1}) using interval_inv_cl interval_prod
```

```
by auto
      } thus \{y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} \cdot \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\} \subseteq (B^{-1}) \cdot (A^{-1}) by blast
      { fix p assume p \in (B^{-1}) \cdot (A^{-1})
         then obtain y x where y \in B^{-1} x \in A^{-1} and p=y \cdot x
            using interval_inv_cl interval_prod by auto
         with assms obtain x_1 y_1 where x_1 \in A y_1 \in B and x=x_1^{-1} y=y_1^{-1} us-
ing interval_inv
            by auto
         with \langle p=y\cdot x \rangle have p \in \{y^{-1}\cdot x^{-1}.\langle x,y\rangle \in A \times B\} by auto
      } thus (B^{-1}) \cdot (A^{-1}) \subseteq \{y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} \cdot \langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B\} by blast
  qed
   with III show (A \cdot B)^{-1} = (B^{-1}) \cdot (A^{-1}) by simp
If H, K are subgroups then H \cdot K is a subgroup iff H \cdot K = K \cdot H.
theorem (in group4) prod_subgr_subgr:
   assumes IsAsubgroup(H,P) and IsAsubgroup(K,P)
  shows IsAsubgroup(H \cdot K, P) \longleftrightarrow H \cdot K = K \cdot H
proof
   assume IsAsubgroup(H·K,P)
  then have (H \cdot K)^{-1} = H \cdot K using subgroup_inv_sq(1) by simp
   with assms show H·K = K·H using group0_3_L2 interval_prod_inv subgroup_inv_sq(1)
      by auto
\mathbf{next}
   from assms have H\subseteq G and K\subseteq G using group0_3_L2 by auto
  have I: H \cdot K \neq 0
  proof -
      let x = 1 let y = 1
      from assms have x⋅y ∈ (H⋅K) using group0_3_L5 group0_3_L2 interval_prod
         by auto
     thus thesis by auto
   from (H \subseteq G) (K \subseteq G) have II: H \cdot K \subseteq G using interval_prod_closed by simp
  assume H \cdot K = K \cdot H
  have III: (H·K){is closed under} P
   proof -
     have (H \cdot K) \cdot (H \cdot K) = H \cdot K
      proof -
         \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle \mathtt{H} \subseteq \mathtt{G} \rangle \ \ \langle \mathtt{K} \subseteq \mathtt{G} \rangle \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ (\mathtt{H} \cdot \mathtt{K}) \cdot (\mathtt{H} \cdot \mathtt{K}) \ = \ \mathtt{H} \cdot (\mathtt{K} \cdot \mathtt{H}) \cdot \mathtt{K}
            using interval_prod_rearr1 by simp
         also from (H \cdot K = K \cdot H) have ... = H \cdot (H \cdot K) \cdot K by simp
         also from \langle H \subseteq G \rangle \langle K \subseteq G \rangle have ... = (H \cdot H) \cdot (K \cdot K)
            using interval_prod_rearr1 by simp
         also from assms have ... = H·K using subgroup_inv_sq(2) by simp
         finally show thesis by simp
      qed
      with \langle \text{H-K} \subseteq \text{G} \rangle show thesis using subset_gr_op_cl by simp
```

```
\begin{array}{l} qed \\ have \ IV: \ \forall \ x \in \ H\cdot K. \ x^{-1} \in \ H\cdot K \\ proof \ - \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \ fix \ x \ assume \ x \in \ H\cdot K \\ \ with \ \langle H\cdot K \subseteq G \rangle \ have \ x^{-1} \in (H\cdot K)^{-1} \ using \ interval\_inv \ by \ auto \\ \ with \ assms \ \langle H\subseteq G \rangle \ \langle K\subseteq G \rangle \ \langle H\cdot K = \ K\cdot H \rangle \ have \ x^{-1} \in \ H\cdot K \\ \ using \ interval\_prod\_inv \ subgroup\_inv\_sq(1) \ by \ simp \\ \left\} \ thus \ thesis \ by \ auto \\ qed \\ from \ I \ II \ III \ IV \ show \ Is Asubgroup(H\cdot K,P) \ using \ group0\_3\_T3 \ by \ simp \\ qed \\ end \\ \end{array}
```

31 Groups - and alternative definition

theory Group_ZF_1b imports Group_ZF

begin

In a typical textbook a group is defined as a set G with an associative operation such that two conditions hold:

A: there is an element $e \in G$ such that for all $g \in G$ we have $e \cdot g = g$ and $g \cdot e = g$. We call this element a "unit" or a "neutral element" of the group.

B: for every $a \in G$ there exists a $b \in G$ such that $a \cdot b = e$, where e is the element of G whose existence is guaranteed by A.

The validity of this definition is rather dubious to me, as condition A does not define any specific element e that can be referred to in condition B - it merely states that a set of such units e is not empty. Of course it does work in the end as we can prove that the set of such neutral elements has exactly one element, but still the definition by itself is not valid. You just can't reference a variable bound by a quantifier outside of the scope of that quantifier.

One way around this is to first use condition A to define the notion of a monoid, then prove the uniqueness of e and then use the condition B to define groups.

Another way is to write conditions A and B together as follows:

```
\exists_{e \in G} \ (\forall_{g \in G} \ e \cdot g = g \wedge g \cdot e = g) \wedge (\forall_{a \in G} \exists_{b \in G} \ a \cdot b = e).
```

This is rather ugly.

What I want to talk about is an amusing way to define groups directly without any reference to the neutral elements. Namely, we can define a group as a non-empty set G with an associative operation "·" such that

C: for every $a, b \in G$ the equations $a \cdot x = b$ and $y \cdot a = b$ can be solved in G.

This theory file aims at proving the equivalence of this alternative definition

with the usual definition of the group, as formulated in Group_ZF.thy. The informal proofs come from an Aug. 14, 2005 post by buli on the matematyka.org forum.

31.1 An alternative definition of group

First we will define notation for writing about groups.

We will use the multiplicative notation for the group operation. To do this, we define a context (locale) that tells Isabelle to interpret $a \cdot b$ as the value of function P on the pair $\langle a, b \rangle$.

```
locale group2 =
  fixes P
  fixes dot (infixl · 70)
  defines dot_def [simp]: a · b \equiv P(a,b)
```

The next theorem states that a set G with an associative operation that satisfies condition C is a group, as defined in IsarMathLib Group_ZF theory.

```
theorem (in group2) altgroup_is_group:
   assumes A1: G\neq 0 and A2: P {is associative on} G
   and A3: \forall a \in G. \forall b \in G. \exists x \in G. a \cdot x = b
   and A4: \forall a \in G. \forall b \in G. \exists y \in G. y \cdot a = b
   shows IsAgroup(G,P)
proof -
   from A1 obtain a where a∈G by auto
   with A3 obtain x where x \in G and a \cdot x = a
   from A4 \langle a \in G \rangle obtain y where y \in G and y \cdot a = a
       by auto
   have I: \forall b \in G. b = b \cdot x \land b = y \cdot b
   proof
       fix b assume b \in G
         with A4 \langle a \in G \rangle obtain y_b where y_b \in G
           and y_b \cdot a = b by auto
       from A3 \langle a \in G \rangle \langle b \in G \rangle obtain x_b where x_b \in G
           and a \cdot x_b = b by auto
       from \langle a \cdot x = a \rangle \langle y \cdot a = a \rangle \langle y_b \cdot a = b \rangle \langle a \cdot x_b = b \rangle
       have b = y_b \cdot (a \cdot x) and b = (y \cdot a) \cdot x_b
           by auto
       \mathbf{moreover} \ \ \mathbf{from} \ \ \mathbf{A2} \ \ \langle \mathbf{a} {\in} \mathbf{G} \rangle \ \ \langle \mathbf{x} {\in} \mathbf{G} \rangle \ \ \langle \mathbf{y} {\in} \mathbf{G} \rangle \ \ \langle \mathbf{y}_b {\in} \mathbf{G} \rangle \ \ \mathbf{have}
           (y \cdot a) \cdot x_b = y \cdot (a \cdot x_b) y_b \cdot (a \cdot x) = (y_b \cdot a) \cdot x
           using IsAssociative_def by auto
       moreover from \langle y_b \cdot a = b \rangle \langle a \cdot x_b = b \rangle have
           (y_b \cdot a) \cdot x = b \cdot x \quad y \cdot (a \cdot x_b) = y \cdot b
           by auto
       ultimately show b = b \cdot x \wedge b = y \cdot b by simp
   moreover have x = y
```

```
proof -
    from ⟨x∈G⟩ I have x = y·x by simp
    also from ⟨y∈G⟩ I have y·x = y by simp
    finally show x = y by simp
    qed
    ultimately have ∀b∈G. b·x = b ∧ x·b = b by simp
    with A2 ⟨x∈G⟩ have IsAmonoid(G,P) using IsAmonoid_def by auto
    with A3 show IsAgroup(G,P)
        using monoidO_def monoidO.unit_is_neutral IsAgroup_def
        by simp
    qed
```

The converse of altgroup_is_group: in every (classically defined) group condition C holds. In informal mathematics we can say "Obviously condition C holds in any group." In formalized mathematics the word "obviously" is not in the language. The next theorem is proven in the context called group0 defined in the theory Group_ZF.thy. Similarly to the group2 that context defines $a \cdot b$ as $P\langle a,b \rangle$ It also defines notation related to the group inverse and adds an assumption that the pair (G,P) is a group to all its theorems. This is why in the next theorem we don't explicitly assume that (G,P) is a group - this assumption is implicit in the context.

```
theorem (in group0) group_is_altgroup: shows  \forall a \in G. \forall b \in G. \exists x \in G. \ a \cdot x = b \ and \ \forall a \in G. \forall b \in G. \ \exists y \in G. \ y \cdot a = b  proof  = \{ \text{ fix a b assume } a \in G \ b \in G \\ \text{ let } x = a^{-1} \cdot b \\ \text{ let } y = b \cdot a^{-1} \\ \text{ from } \langle a \in G \rangle \ \langle b \in G \rangle \ \text{ have } \\ x \in G \ y \in G \ \text{ and } a \cdot x = b \ y \cdot a = b \\ \text{ using inverse\_in\_group group\_op\_closed inv\_cancel\_two} \\ \text{ by auto } \\ \text{ hence } \exists x \in G. \ a \cdot x = b \ \text{ and } \exists y \in G. \ y \cdot a = b \ \text{ by auto}  } thus  \forall a \in G. \forall b \in G. \ \exists x \in G. \ a \cdot x = b \ \text{ and } \\ \forall a \in G. \forall b \in G. \ \exists y \in G. \ y \cdot a = b \ \text{ by auto}  Qed
```

32 Abelian Group

theory AbelianGroup_ZF imports Group_ZF

begin

end

A group is called "abelian" if its operation is commutative, i.e. $P\langle a,b\rangle=P\langle a,b\rangle$ for all group elements a,b, where P is the group operation. It is

customary to use the additive notation for abelian groups, so this condition is typically written as a+b=b+a. We will be using multiplicative notation though (in which the commutativity condition of the operation is written as $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$), just to avoid the hassle of changing the notation we used for general groups.

32.1 Rearrangement formulae

This section is not interesting and should not be read. Here we will prove formulas is which right hand side uses the same factors as the left hand side, just in different order. These facts are obvious in informal math sense, but Isabelle prover is not able to derive them automatically, so we have to prove them by hand.

Proving the facts about associative and commutative operations is quite tedious in formalized mathematics. To a human the thing is simple: we can arrange the elements in any order and put parantheses wherever we want, it is all the same. However, formalizing this statement would be rather difficult (I think). The next lemma attempts a quasi-algorithmic approach to this type of problem. To prove that two expressions are equal, we first strip one from parantheses, then rearrange the elements in proper order, then put the parantheses where we want them to be. The algorithm for rearrangement is easy to describe: we keep putting the first element (from the right) that is in the wrong place at the left-most position until we get the proper arrangement. As far removing parantheses is concerned Isabelle does its job automatically.

```
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L2:
   assumes A1:P {is commutative on} G
   and A2:a\in G b\in G c\in G d\in G E\in G F\in G
   shows (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d) \cdot (E \cdot F) = (a \cdot (d \cdot F)) \cdot (b \cdot (c \cdot E))
   from A2 have (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d) \cdot (E \cdot F) = a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d \cdot E \cdot F
       using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc
       by simp
   also have a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d \cdot E \cdot F = a \cdot d \cdot F \cdot b \cdot c \cdot E
   proof -
       from A1 A2 have a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d \cdot E \cdot F = F \cdot (a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d \cdot E)
           using IsCommutative_def group_op_closed
           by simp
       also from A2 have F \cdot (a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d \cdot E) = F \cdot a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d \cdot E
           using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc
       also from A1 A2 have F \cdot a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d \cdot E = d \cdot (F \cdot a \cdot b \cdot c) \cdot E
           using IsCommutative_def group_op_closed
           by simp
       also from A2 have d \cdot (F \cdot a \cdot b \cdot c) \cdot E = d \cdot F \cdot a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot E
```

```
using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc
         by simp
      also from A1 A2 have d \cdot F \cdot a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot E = a \cdot (d \cdot F) \cdot b \cdot c \cdot E
         using IsCommutative_def group_op_closed
         by simp
      also from A2 have a \cdot (d \cdot F) \cdot b \cdot c \cdot E = a \cdot d \cdot F \cdot b \cdot c \cdot E
         using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc
         by simp
      finally show thesis by simp
   qed
  also from A2 have a \cdot d \cdot F \cdot b \cdot c \cdot E = (a \cdot (d \cdot F)) \cdot (b \cdot (c \cdot E))
      using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc
      by simp
  finally show thesis by simp
qed
Another useful rearrangement.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L3:
   assumes A1:P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: a{\in}G b{\in}G and A3: c{\in}G d{\in}G E{\in}G F{\in}G
  shows a \cdot b \cdot ((c \cdot d)^{-1} \cdot (E \cdot F)^{-1}) = (a \cdot (E \cdot c)^{-1}) \cdot (b \cdot (F \cdot d)^{-1})
proof -
   from A3 have T1:
      c^{-1} \in G \ d^{-1} \in G \ E^{-1} \in G \ F^{-1} \in G \ (c \cdot d)^{-1} \in G \ (E \cdot F)^{-1} \in G
      {\bf using \ inverse\_in\_group \ group\_op\_closed}
      by auto
   from A2 T1 have
      a \cdot b \cdot ((c \cdot d)^{-1} \cdot (E \cdot F)^{-1}) = a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot d)^{-1} \cdot (E \cdot F)^{-1}
      using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc
   also from A2 A3 have
      a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot d)^{-1} \cdot (E \cdot F)^{-1} = (a \cdot b) \cdot (d^{-1} \cdot c^{-1}) \cdot (F^{-1} \cdot E^{-1})
      using group_inv_of_two by simp
    also from A1 A2 T1 have
      (a \cdot b) \cdot (d^{-1} \cdot c^{-1}) \cdot (F^{-1} \cdot E^{-1}) \ = \ (a \cdot (c^{-1} \cdot E^{-1})) \cdot (b \cdot (d^{-1} \cdot F^{-1}))
      using group0_4_L2 by simp
   also from A2 A3 have
      (a \cdot (c^{-1} \cdot E^{-1})) \cdot (b \cdot (d^{-1} \cdot F^{-1})) = (a \cdot (E \cdot c)^{-1}) \cdot (b \cdot (F \cdot d)^{-1})
      using group_inv_of_two by simp
  finally show thesis by simp
Some useful rearrangements for two elements of a group.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L4:
   assumes A1:P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: a \in G b \in G
  shows
  b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}
   (a \cdot b)^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}
```

```
(a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot b
proof -
   from A2 have T1: b^{-1} \in G a^{-1} \in G using inverse_in_group by auto
   with A1 show b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} using IsCommutative_def by simp
   with A2 show (a \cdot b)^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} using group_inv_of_two by simp
  from A2 T1 have (a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1} = (b^{-1})^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} using group_inv_of_two by simp
  with A1 A2 T1 show (a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot b
      using group_inv_of_inv IsCommutative_def by simp
qed
Another bunch of useful rearrangements with three elements.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L4A:
  assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: a \in G b \in G c \in G
   a \cdot b \cdot c = c \cdot a \cdot b
   a^{-1} \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1})^{-1} = (a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1})^{-1}
   a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1} = a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1}
   a \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1})^{-1} = a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c
   a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1} = a \cdot c^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}
proof -
   from A1 A2 have a \cdot b \cdot c = c \cdot (a \cdot b)
      using IsCommutative_def group_op_closed
      by simp
   with A2 show a \cdot b \cdot c = c \cdot a \cdot b using
       group_op_closed group_oper_assoc
      by simp
   from A2 have T:
      \mathtt{b}^{-1}{\in}\mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{c}^{-1}{\in}\mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{b}^{-1}{\cdot}\mathtt{c}^{-1} \,\in\, \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{a}{\cdot}\mathtt{b} \,\in\, \mathtt{G}
      using inverse_in_group group_op_closed
      by auto
   with A1 A2 show a^{-1} \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1})^{-1} = (a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1})^{-1}
      using group_inv_of_two IsCommutative_def
   from A1 A2 T have a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1} = a \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1})
      using group_inv_of_two IsCommutative_def by simp
   with A2 T show a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1} = a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1}
      using group_oper_assoc by simp
   from A1 A2 T have a \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1})^{-1} = a \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot (c^{-1})^{-1})
      \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{group\_inv\_of\_two} \ \mathtt{IsCommutative\_def} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{simp}
   with A2 T show a \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1})^{-1} = a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c
      using group_oper_assoc group_inv_of_inv by simp
   from A1 A2 T have a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1} = a \cdot (c^{-1} \cdot b^{-1})
      using group_oper_assoc IsCommutative_def by simp
   with A2 T show a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1} = a \cdot c^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}
      using group_oper_assoc by simp
qed
```

Another useful rearrangement.

```
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L4B:
   assumes P {is commutative on} G
   and a\in G b\in G c\in G
   shows a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1}) = a \cdot c^{-1}
   using assms inverse_in_group group_op_closed
      group0_4_L4 group_oper_assoc inv_cancel_two by simp
A couple of permutations of order for three alements.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L4C:
   assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
   and A2: a \in G b \in G c \in G
   shows
   a \cdot b \cdot c = c \cdot a \cdot b
   a \cdot b \cdot c = a \cdot (c \cdot b)
   a \cdot b \cdot c = c \cdot (a \cdot b)
   a \cdot b \cdot c = c \cdot b \cdot a
proof -
   from A1 A2 show I: a \cdot b \cdot c = c \cdot a \cdot b
      using group0_4_L4A by simp
   also from A1 A2 have c \cdot a \cdot b = a \cdot c \cdot b
      using IsCommutative_def by simp
   also from A2 have a \cdot c \cdot b = a \cdot (c \cdot b)
      using group_oper_assoc by simp
   finally show a \cdot b \cdot c = a \cdot (c \cdot b) by simp
   from A2 I show a \cdot b \cdot c = c \cdot (a \cdot b)
      using group_oper_assoc by simp
   also from A1 A2 have c \cdot (a \cdot b) = c \cdot (b \cdot a)
      using IsCommutative_def by simp
   also from A2 have c \cdot (b \cdot a) = c \cdot b \cdot a
      using group_oper_assoc by simp
   finally show a \cdot b \cdot c = c \cdot b \cdot a by simp
qed
Some rearangement with three elements and inverse.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L4D:
   assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
   and A2: a \in G b \in G c \in G
   shows
   \mathbf{a}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{a}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{-1}
   \mathtt{b}^{-1}\!\cdot\!\mathtt{a}^{-1}\!\cdot\!\mathtt{c} = \mathtt{c}\!\cdot\!\mathtt{a}^{-1}\!\cdot\!\mathtt{b}^{-1}
   (a^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c)^{-1} = a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1}
proof -
   from A2 have T:
      \mathbf{a}^{-1} \in \mathbf{G} \quad \mathbf{b}^{-1} \in \mathbf{G} \quad \mathbf{c}^{-1} \in \mathbf{G}
      using inverse_in_group by auto
   with A1 A2 show
      \mathbf{a}^{-1} \!\cdot\! \mathbf{b}^{-1} \!\cdot\! \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c} \!\cdot\! \mathbf{a}^{-1} \!\cdot\! \mathbf{b}^{-1}
      \mathtt{b}^{-1}{\cdot}\mathtt{a}^{-1}{\cdot}\mathtt{c} = \mathtt{c}{\cdot}\mathtt{a}^{-1}{\cdot}\mathtt{b}^{-1}
      using group0_4_L4A by auto
```

```
from A1 A2 T show (a^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c)^{-1} = a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1}
    using group_inv_of_three group_inv_of_inv group0_4_L4C
     by simp
qed
Another rearrangement lemma with three elements and equation.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L5: assumes A1:P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: a \in G b \in G
                          \mathsf{c}{\in}\mathsf{G}
  and A3: c = a \cdot b^{-1}
  shows a = b \cdot c
proof -
  from A2 A3 have c \cdot (b^{-1})^{-1} = a
     using inverse_in_group group0_2_L18
     by simp
  with A1 A2 show thesis using
      group_inv_of_inv IsCommutative_def by simp
qed
In abelian groups we can cancel an element with its inverse even if separated
by another element.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L6A: assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: a \in G b \in G
  shows
  a \cdot b \cdot a^{-1} = b
  a^{-1} \cdot b \cdot a = b
  a^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot a) = b
  a \cdot (b \cdot a^{-1}) = b
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
     a \cdot b \cdot a^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot a \cdot b
     using inverse_in_group group0_4_L4A by blast
  also from A2 have ... = b
     using group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2 by simp
  finally show a \cdot b \cdot a^{-1} = b by simp
  from A1 A2 have
     a^{-1} \cdot b \cdot a = a \cdot a^{-1} \cdot b
     using inverse_in_group group0_4_L4A by blast
  also from A2 have ... = b
     using group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2 by simp
  finally show a^{-1} \cdot b \cdot a = b by simp
  moreover from A2 have a^{-1} \cdot b \cdot a = a^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot a)
     using inverse_in_group group_oper_assoc by simp
  ultimately show a^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot a) = b by simp
  from A1 A2 show a \cdot (b \cdot a^{-1}) = b
      using inverse_in_group IsCommutative_def inv_cancel_two
      by simp
```

Another lemma about cancelling with two elements.

qed

```
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L6AA:
  assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G and A2: a{\in}G b{\in}G
  shows a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} = b^{-1}
  using assms inverse_in_group group0_4_L6A
  by auto
Another lemma about cancelling with two elements.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L6AB:
  assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G and A2: a \in G b \in G
  shows
  \mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})^{-1} = \mathbf{b}^{-1}
  a \cdot (b \cdot a^{-1}) = b
proof -
     from A2 have a \cdot (a \cdot b)^{-1} = a \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1})
       using group_inv_of_two by simp
     also from A2 have ... = a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1}
       using inverse_in_group group_oper_assoc by simp
     also from A1 A2 have ... = b^{-1}
       using group0_4_L6AA by simp
     finally show a \cdot (a \cdot b)^{-1} = b^{-1} by simp
     from A1 A2 have a \cdot (b \cdot a^{-1}) = a \cdot (a^{-1} \cdot b)
       using inverse_in_group IsCommutative_def by simp
     also from A2 have ... = b
       using inverse_in_group group_oper_assoc group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2
       by simp
     finally show a \cdot (b \cdot a^{-1}) = b by simp
qed
Another lemma about cancelling with two elements.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L6AC:
  assumes P {is commutative on} G and a \in G b \in G
  shows a \cdot (a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1} = b
  using assms inverse_in_group group0_4_L6AB group_inv_of_inv
  by simp
In abelian groups we can cancel an element with its inverse even if separated
by two other elements.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L6B: assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: a \in G b \in G c \in G
  shows
  a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot a^{-1} = b \cdot c
  a^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c \cdot a = b \cdot c
proof -
   from A2 have
      a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot a^{-1} = a \cdot (b \cdot c) \cdot a^{-1}
      a^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c \cdot a = a^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot c) \cdot a
     using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc inverse_in_group
     by auto
  with A1 A2 show
```

```
a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot a^{-1} = b \cdot c

a^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c \cdot a = b \cdot c

using group\_op\_closed group0\_4\_L6A

by auto

qed
```

In abelian groups we can cancel an element with its inverse even if separated by three other elements.

```
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L6C: assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: a∈G b∈G c∈G d∈G
  shows a·b·c·d·a<sup>-1</sup> = b·c·d
proof -
  from A2 have a·b·c·d·a<sup>-1</sup> = a·(b·c·d)·a<sup>-1</sup>
    using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc
  by simp
  with A1 A2 show thesis
    using group_op_closed group0_4_L6A
  by simp
qed
```

Another couple of useful rearrangements of three elements and cancelling.

```
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L6D:
   assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
   and A2: a \in G b \in G c \in G
   shows
   a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot c^{-1})^{-1} = c \cdot b^{-1}
   (a \cdot c)^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot c) = a^{-1} \cdot b
   a \cdot (b \cdot (c \cdot a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1})) = c
   a \cdot b \cdot c^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot a^{-1}) = b
proof -
   from A2 have T:
       \mathtt{a}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{b}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{c}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G}
       \mathtt{a} \cdot \mathtt{b} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{a} \cdot \mathtt{b}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{c}^{-1} \cdot \mathtt{a}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{c} \cdot \mathtt{a}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G}
       using inverse_in_group group_op_closed by auto
   with A1 A2 show a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot c^{-1})^{-1} = c \cdot b^{-1}
       using group0_2_L12 group_oper_assoc group0_4_L6B
       {\tt IsCommutative\_def\ by\ simp}
   from A2 T have (a \cdot c)^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot c) = c^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c
       using group_inv_of_two group_oper_assoc by simp
   also from A1 A2 T have ... = a^{-1} \cdot b
       using group0_4_L6B by simp
   finally show (a \cdot c)^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot c) = a^{-1} \cdot b
       by simp
   from A1 A2 T show a \cdot (b \cdot (c \cdot a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1})) = c
       using group_oper_assoc group0_4_L6B group0_4_L6A
   from T have a \cdot b \cdot c^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot a^{-1}) = a \cdot b \cdot (c^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot a^{-1}))
       using group_oper_assoc by simp
   also from A1 A2 T have ... = b
```

```
using group_oper_assoc group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2 group0_4_L6A
     by simp
  finally show a \cdot b \cdot c^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot a^{-1}) = b by simp
Another useful rearrangement of three elements and cancelling.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L6E:
  assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: a \in G b \in G c \in G
  \mathbf{shows}
  a \cdot b \cdot (a \cdot c)^{-1} = b \cdot c^{-1}
proof -
  from A2 have T: b^{-1} \in G c^{-1} \in G
     using inverse_in_group by auto
  with A1 A2 have
     a \cdot (b^{-1})^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot (c^{-1})^{-1})^{-1} = c^{-1} \cdot (b^{-1})^{-1}
     using group0_4_L6D by simp
  with A1 A2 T show a \cdot b \cdot (a \cdot c)^{-1} = b \cdot c^{-1}
     using group_inv_of_inv IsCommutative_def
     \mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} simp
A rearrangement with two elements and cancelling, special case of group0_4_L6D
when c = b^{-1}.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L6F:
  assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: a \in G b \in G
  shows a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b)^{-1} = b^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}
proof -
  from A2 have b^{-1} \in G
     using inverse_in_group by simp
  with A1 A2 have a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot (b^{-1})^{-1})^{-1} = b^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}
     using group0_4_L6D by simp
  with A2 show a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b)^{-1} = b^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}
     using group_inv_of_inv by simp
qed
Some other rearrangements with four elements. The algorithm for proof as
in group0_4_L2 works very well here.
lemma (in group0) rearr_ab_gr_4_elemA:
  assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: a \in G b \in G c \in G d \in G
  shows
  a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot d \cdot b \cdot c
  a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot c \cdot (b \cdot d)
proof -
  from A1 A2 have a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = d \cdot (a \cdot b \cdot c)
     using IsCommutative_def group_op_closed
```

```
by simp
  also from A2 have ... = d \cdot a \cdot b \cdot c
     {\bf using} \ {\tt group\_op\_closed} \ {\tt group\_oper\_assoc}
  also from A1 A2 have ... = a·d·b·c
     using IsCommutative_def group_op_closed
     by simp
  finally show a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot d \cdot b \cdot c
     by simp
  from A1 A2 have a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = c \cdot (a \cdot b) \cdot d
     using IsCommutative_def group_op_closed
     by simp
  also from A2 have ... = c \cdot a \cdot b \cdot d
     using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc
     by simp
  also from A1 A2 have ... = a \cdot c \cdot b \cdot d
     using IsCommutative_def group_op_closed
     by simp
  also from A2 have ... = a \cdot c \cdot (b \cdot d)
     using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc
     by simp
  finally show a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot c \cdot (b \cdot d)
     by simp
qed
Some rearrangements with four elements and inverse that are applications
of rearr_ab_gr_4_elem
lemma (in group0) rearr_ab_gr_4_elemB:
  assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: a \in G b \in G c \in G d \in G
  shows
  a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} = a \cdot d^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1}
  a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d^{-1} = a \cdot d^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c
  a \cdot b \cdot c^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} = a \cdot c^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot d^{-1})
proof -
  from A2 have T: b^{-1} \in G c^{-1} \in G d^{-1} \in G
     using inverse_in_group by auto
  with A1 A2 show
     a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} = a \cdot d^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c^{-1}
     a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d^{-1} = a \cdot d^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c
     a \cdot b \cdot c^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} = a \cdot c^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot d^{-1})
     using rearr_ab_gr_4_elemA by auto
Some rearrangement lemmas with four elements.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L7:
  assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: a \in G b \in G c \in G d \in G
  shows
```

```
a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d^{-1} = a \cdot d^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c
   a \cdot d \cdot (b \cdot d \cdot (c \cdot d))^{-1} = a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}
    a \cdot (b \cdot c) \cdot d = a \cdot b \cdot d \cdot c
proof -
   from A2 have T:
       \mathtt{b} \cdot \mathtt{c} \ \in \ \mathtt{G} \ \mathtt{d}^{-1} \ \in \ \mathtt{G} \ \mathtt{b}^{-1} {\in} \mathtt{G} \ \mathtt{c}^{-1} {\in} \mathtt{G}
       \mathtt{d}^{-1}{\cdot}\mathtt{b}\,\in\,\mathtt{G}\,\mathtt{c}^{-1}{\cdot}\mathtt{d}\,\in\,\mathtt{G}\,\mathtt{(b\cdot c)}^{-1}\,\in\,\mathtt{G}
       \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{d} \, \in \, \mathbf{G} \quad \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{c} \, \in \, \mathbf{G} \quad (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{c})^{-1} \, \in \, \mathbf{G}
       a{\cdot}d \,\in\, G \quad b{\cdot}c \,\in\, G
       using group_op_closed inverse_in_group
       by auto
    with A1 A2 have a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d^{-1} = a \cdot (d^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c)
       using group_oper_assoc group0_4_L4A by simp
   also from A2 T have a \cdot (d^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c) = a \cdot d^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c
       using group_oper_assoc by simp
   finally show a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d^{-1} = a \cdot d^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c by simp
   from A2 T have a \cdot d \cdot (b \cdot d \cdot (c \cdot d))^{-1} = a \cdot d \cdot (d^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot d \cdot c)^{-1})
       using group_oper_assoc group_inv_of_two by simp
    also from A2 T have ... = a \cdot (b \cdot d \cdot c)^{-1}
       using group_oper_assoc inv_cancel_two by simp
   also from A1 A2 have ... = a \cdot (d \cdot (b \cdot c))^{-1}
       using IsCommutative_def group_oper_assoc by simp
   also from A2 T have ... = a \cdot ((b \cdot c)^{-1} \cdot d^{-1})
       using group_inv_of_two by simp
   also from A2 T have ... = a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}
       using group_oper_assoc by simp
   finally show a \cdot d \cdot (b \cdot d \cdot (c \cdot d))^{-1} = a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}
       by simp
   from A2 have a \cdot (b \cdot c) \cdot d = a \cdot (b \cdot (c \cdot d))
       using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc by simp
   also from A1 A2 have ... = a \cdot (b \cdot (d \cdot c))
       using IsCommutative_def group_op_closed by simp
   also from A2 have ... = a \cdot b \cdot d \cdot c
       using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc by simp
   finally show a \cdot (b \cdot c) \cdot d = a \cdot b \cdot d \cdot c by simp
Some other rearrangements with four elements.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L8:
   assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
   and A2: a \in G b \in G c \in G d \in G
    a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1} = (a \cdot d^{-1} \cdot c^{-1}) \cdot (d \cdot b^{-1})
   a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot d) = c \cdot a \cdot (b \cdot d)
   a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot d) = a \cdot c \cdot (b \cdot d)
   a \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1}) \cdot d = a \cdot b \cdot d \cdot c^{-1}
    (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d)^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot d^{-1})^{-1} = a \cdot c^{-1}
proof -
   from A2 have T:
```

```
\mathtt{b} \cdot \mathtt{c} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \, \, \mathtt{a} \cdot \mathtt{b} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \, \, \mathtt{d}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \, \, \mathtt{b}^{-1} \! \in \! \mathtt{G} \, \, \mathtt{c}^{-1} \! \in \! \mathtt{G}
       \mathtt{d}^{-1}{\cdot}\mathtt{b} \,\in\, \mathtt{G} \ \mathtt{c}^{-1}{\cdot}\mathtt{d} \,\in\, \mathtt{G} \ (\mathtt{b}{\cdot}\mathtt{c})^{-1} \,\in\, \mathtt{G}
       \mathtt{a} \cdot \mathtt{b} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \quad (\mathtt{c} \cdot \mathtt{d})^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \quad (\mathtt{b} \cdot \mathtt{d}^{-1})^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{d} \cdot \mathtt{b}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G}
       using group_op_closed inverse_in_group
   from A2 have a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1} = a \cdot c^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} using group0_2_L14A by blast
   moreover from A2 have a \cdot c^{-1} = (a \cdot d^{-1}) \cdot (d \cdot c^{-1}) using group0_2_L14A
   ultimately have a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1} = (a \cdot d^{-1}) \cdot (d \cdot c^{-1}) \cdot b^{-1} by simp
   with A1 A2 T have a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1} = a \cdot d^{-1} \cdot (c^{-1} \cdot d) \cdot b^{-1}
       using IsCommutative_def by simp
   with A2 T show a \cdot (b \cdot c)^{-1} = (a \cdot d^{-1} \cdot c^{-1}) \cdot (d \cdot b^{-1})
       using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc by simp
   from A2 T have a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot d) = a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d
       using group_oper_assoc by simp
   also have a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = c \cdot a \cdot b \cdot d
   proof -
       from A1 A2 have a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = c \cdot (a \cdot b) \cdot d
          using IsCommutative_def group_op_closed
          by simp
       also from A2 have ... = c \cdot a \cdot b \cdot d
          using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc
          by simp
       finally show thesis by simp
   qed
   also from A2 have c \cdot a \cdot b \cdot d = c \cdot a \cdot (b \cdot d)
       using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc
       by simp
   finally show a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot d) = c \cdot a \cdot (b \cdot d) by simp
   with A1 A2 show a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot d) = a \cdot c \cdot (b \cdot d)
       using IsCommutative_def by simp
   from A1 A2 T show a \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1}) \cdot d = a \cdot b \cdot d \cdot c^{-1}
       using group0_4_L7 by simp
   from T have (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d)^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot d^{-1})^{-1} = (a \cdot b) \cdot ((c \cdot d)^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot d^{-1})^{-1})
       using group_oper_assoc by simp
   also from A1 A2 T have ... = (a \cdot b) \cdot (c^{-1} \cdot d^{-1} \cdot (d \cdot b^{-1}))
       using group_inv_of_two group0_2_L12 IsCommutative_def
       by simp
   also from T have ... = (a \cdot b) \cdot (c^{-1} \cdot (d^{-1} \cdot (d \cdot b^{-1})))
       using group_oper_assoc by simp
   also from A1 A2 T have ... = a \cdot c^{-1}
       using group_oper_assoc group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2 IsCommutative_def
       inv_cancel_two by simp
   finally show (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d)^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot d^{-1})^{-1} = a \cdot c^{-1}
       by simp
qed
Some other rearrangements with four elements.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L8A:
```

```
assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
   and A2: a \in G b \in G c \in G d \in G
   shows
   a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot d^{-1}) = a \cdot c \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot d^{-1})
   a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot d^{-1}) = a \cdot c \cdot b^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}
proof -
   from A2 have
      \mathtt{T} \colon \mathtt{a} {\in} \mathtt{G} \ \mathtt{b}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \ \mathtt{c} {\in} \mathtt{G} \ \mathtt{d}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G}
      using inverse_in_group by auto
   with A1 show a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot d^{-1}) = a \cdot c \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot d^{-1})
      by (rule group0_4_L8)
   with A2 T show a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot d^{-1}) = a \cdot c \cdot b^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}
      using group_op_closed group_oper_assoc
      by simp
qed
Some rearrangements with an equation.
lemma (in group0) group0_4_L9:
   assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
   and A2: a{\in}G b{\in}G c{\in}G d{\in}G
   and A3: a = b \cdot c^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}
   shows
   d = b \cdot a^{-1} \cdot c^{-1}
   d = a^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c^{-1}
   b = a \cdot d \cdot c
proof -
   from A2 have T:
      \mathtt{a}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{c}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{d}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{b} \cdot \mathtt{c}^{-1} \, \in \, \mathtt{G}
      using group_op_closed inverse_in_group
   with A2 A3 have a \cdot (d^{-1})^{-1} = b \cdot c^{-1}
      using group0_2_L18 by simp
   with A2 have b \cdot c^{-1} = a \cdot d
      using group_inv_of_inv by simp
   with A2 T have I: a^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1}) = d
      using group0_2_L18 by simp
   with A1 A2 T show
      d = b \cdot a^{-1} \cdot c^{-1}
      d = a^{-1} \cdot b \cdot c^{-1}
      using group_oper_assoc IsCommutative_def by auto
   from A3 have a \cdot d \cdot c = (b \cdot c^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}) \cdot d \cdot c by simp
   also from A2 T have ... = b \cdot c^{-1} \cdot (d^{-1} \cdot d) \cdot c
      using group_oper_assoc by simp
   also from A2 T have ... = b \cdot c^{-1} \cdot c
      using group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2 by simp
   also from A2 T have ... = b \cdot (c^{-1} \cdot c)
      using group_oper_assoc by simp
   also from A2 have ... = b
      using group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2 by simp
```

```
finally have a·d·c = b by simp
  thus b = a·d·c by simp
qed
end
```

33 Groups 2

theory Group_ZF_2 imports AbelianGroup_ZF func_ZF EquivClass1

begin

This theory continues Group_ZF.thy and considers lifting the group structure to function spaces and projecting the group structure to quotient spaces, in particular the quotient group.

33.1 Lifting groups to function spaces

If we have a monoid (group) G than we get a monoid (group) structure on a space of functions valued in in G by defining $(f \cdot g)(x) := f(x) \cdot g(x)$. We call this process "lifting the monoid (group) to function space". This section formalizes this lifting.

The lifted operation is an operation on the function space.

```
lemma (in monoid0) Group_ZF_2_1_LOA:
  assumes A1: F = f {lifted to function space over} X
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{F} \ : \ (\mathtt{X} {\rightarrow} \mathtt{G}) {\times} (\mathtt{X} {\rightarrow} \mathtt{G}) {\rightarrow} (\mathtt{X} {\rightarrow} \mathtt{G})
proof -
  from monoidAsssum have f : G \times G \rightarrow G
     using IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def by simp
  with A1 show thesis
     using func_ZF_1_L3 group0_1_L3B by auto
qed
The result of the lifted operation is in the function space.
lemma (in monoid0) Group_ZF_2_1_L0:
  assumes A1:F = f {lifted to function space over} X
  and A2:s:X \rightarrow G r: X \rightarrow G
  shows F\langle s,r \rangle : X \rightarrow G
proof -
  from A1 have F : (X \rightarrow G) \times (X \rightarrow G) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow G)
     using Group_ZF_2_1_LOA
     by simp
  with A2 show thesis using apply_funtype
     by simp
qed
```

The lifted monoid operation has a neutral element, namely the constant function with the neutral element as the value.

```
lemma (in monoid0) Group_ZF_2_1_L1:
  assumes A1: F = f {lifted to function space over} X
  and A2: E = ConstantFunction(X,TheNeutralElement(G,f))
  shows E : X \rightarrow G \land (\forall s \in X \rightarrow G. F \langle E, s \rangle = s \land F \langle s, E \rangle = s)
proof
  from A2 show T1:E : X\rightarrow G
     using unit_is_neutral func1_3_L1 by simp
  show \forall s \in X \rightarrow G. F(E,s) = s \land F(s,E) = s
  proof
     fix s assume A3:s:X\rightarrow G
     from monoidAsssum have T2:f : G \times G \rightarrow G
       using IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def by simp
     from A3 A1 T1 have
       F\langle E,s\rangle : X \rightarrow G F\langle s,E\rangle : X \rightarrow G s : X \rightarrow G
       using Group_ZF_2_1_LO by auto
     moreover from T2 A1 T1 A2 A3 have
       \forall x \in X. (F(E,s))(x) = s(x)
       \forall x \in X. (F(s,E))(x) = s(x)
       using func_ZF_1_L4 group0_1_L3B func1_3_L2
 apply_type unit_is_neutral by auto
     ultimately show
       F\langle E,s\rangle = s \wedge F\langle s,E\rangle = s
       using fun_extension_iff by auto
  qed
qed
Monoids can be lifted to a function space.
lemma (in monoid0) Group_ZF_2_1_T1:
  assumes A1: F = f {lifted to function space over} X
  shows IsAmonoid(X \rightarrow G, F)
proof -
  from monoidAsssum A1 have
     F {is associative on} (X \rightarrow G)
     using IsAmonoid_def func_ZF_2_L4 group0_1_L3B
     by auto
  moreover from A1 have
     \exists E \in X\rightarrowG. \foralls \in X\rightarrowG. F\langle E,s\rangle = s \wedge F\langle s,E\rangle = s
     using Group_ZF_2_1_L1 by blast
  ultimately show thesis using IsAmonoid_def
     by simp
qed
The constant function with the neutral element as the value is the neutral
```

element of the lifted monoid.

```
lemma Group_ZF_2_1_L2:
 assumes A1: IsAmonoid(G,f)
```

```
and A2: F = f {lifted to function space over} X and A3: E = ConstantFunction(X,TheNeutralElement(G,f)) shows E = TheNeutralElement(X\rightarrowG,F) proof - from A1 A2 have

T1:monoid0(G,f) and T2:monoid0(X\rightarrowG,F) using monoid0_def monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_T1 by auto
from T1 A2 A3 have

E : X\rightarrowG \land (\forall s\inX\rightarrowG. F\land E,s، = s \land F، s,E، = s) using monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_L1 by simp with T2 show thesis using monoid0.group0_1_L4 by auto qed
```

The lifted operation acts on the functions in a natural way defined by the monoid operation.

```
lemma (in monoid0) lifted_val: assumes F = f {lifted to function space over} X and s:X\rightarrowG r:X\rightarrowG and x\inX shows (F\langles,r\rangle)(x) = s(x) \oplus r(x) using monoidAsssum assms IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def group0_1_L3B func_ZF_1_L4 by auto
```

The lifted operation acts on the functions in a natural way defined by the group operation. This is the same as lifted_val, but in the group0 context.

```
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_1_L3: assumes F = P {lifted to function space over} X and s:X\to G r:X\to G and x\in X shows (F\langle s,r\rangle)(x) = s(x)\cdot r(x) using assms group0_2_L1 monoid0.lifted_val by simp
```

In the group context we can apply theorems proven in monoid context to the lifted monoid.

```
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_1_L4:
   assumes A1: F = P {lifted to function space over} X
   shows monoid0(X→G,F)
proof -
   from A1 show thesis
     using group0_2_L1 monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_T1 monoid0_def
   by simp
qed
```

The compostion of a function $f: X \to G$ with the group inverse is a right inverse for the lifted group.

```
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_1_L5:
  assumes A1: F = P {lifted to function space over} X
  and A2: s : X \rightarrow G
  and A3: i = GroupInv(G,P) O s
  shows i: X \rightarrow G and F(s,i) = TheNeutralElement(X \rightarrow G,F)
proof -
  let E = ConstantFunction(X,1)
  have E : X \rightarrow G
    using group0_2_L2 func1_3_L1 by simp
  moreover from groupAssum A2 A3 A1 have
    F\langle s,i \rangle : X \rightarrow G using group0_2_T2 comp_fun
       Group_ZF_2_1_L4 monoid0.group0_1_L1
    by simp
  moreover from groupAssum A2 A3 A1 have
    \forall x \in X. (F(s,i))(x) = E(x)
    using group0_2_T2 comp_fun Group_ZF_2_1_L3
       comp_fun_apply apply_funtype group0_2_L6 func1_3_L2
    by simp
  moreover from groupAssum A1 have
    E = TheNeutralElement(X \rightarrow G, F)
    using IsAgroup_def Group_ZF_2_1_L2 by simp
  ultimately show F(s,i) = TheNeutralElement(X \rightarrow G,F)
    using fun_extension_iff IsAgroup_def Group_ZF_2_1_L2
    by simp
  from groupAssum A2 A3 show i: X \rightarrow G
    using group0_2_T2 comp_fun by simp
Groups can be lifted to the function space.
theorem (in group0) Group_ZF_2_1_T2:
  assumes A1: F = P {lifted to function space over} X
  shows IsAgroup(X \rightarrow G,F)
proof -
  from A1 have IsAmonoid(X \rightarrow G,F)
    using group0_2_L1 monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_T1
    by simp
  moreover have
    \forall s \in X \rightarrow G. \exists i \in X \rightarrow G. F(s,i) = TheNeutralElement(X \rightarrow G,F)
  proof
    fix s assume A2: s : X \rightarrow G
    let i = GroupInv(G,P) 0 s
    from groupAssum A2 have i:X\rightarrow G
       using group0_2_T2 comp_fun by simp
    moreover from A1 A2 have
       F(s,i) = TheNeutralElement(X \rightarrow G,F)
       using Group_{ZF_2_1_L5} by fast
   ultimately show \exists i \in X \rightarrow G. F(s,i) = TheNeutralElement(X \rightarrow G,F)
       by auto
  qed
```

```
ultimately show thesis using IsAgroup_def
    by simp
qed
What is the group inverse for the lifted group?
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_1_L6:
  assumes A1: F = P {lifted to function space over} X
  shows \forall s \in (X \rightarrow G). GroupInv(X \rightarrow G,F)(s) = GroupInv(G,P) 0 s
proof -
  from A1 have group0(X→G,F)
    using group0_def Group_ZF_2_1_T2
    by simp
  moreover from A1 have \forall s \in X \rightarrow G. GroupInv(G,P) 0 s : X \rightarrow G \land
    F\langle s,GroupInv(G,P) \mid 0 \mid s \rangle = TheNeutralElement(X \rightarrow G,F)
    using Group_ZF_2_1_L5 by simp
  ultimately have
    \forall s \in (X \rightarrow G). GroupInv(G,P) 0 s = GroupInv(X\rightarrowG,F)(s)
    by (rule group0.group0_2_L9A)
  thus thesis by simp
qed
What is the value of the group inverse for the lifted group?
corollary (in group0) lift_gr_inv_val:
  assumes F = P {lifted to function space over} X and
  s : X \rightarrow G \text{ and } x \in X
  shows (GroupInv(X\rightarrowG,F)(s))(x) = (s(x))<sup>-1</sup>
  using groupAssum assms Group_ZF_2_1_L6 group0_2_T2 comp_fun_apply
  by simp
What is the group inverse in a subgroup of the lifted group?
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_1_L6A:
  assumes A1: F = P {lifted to function space over} X
  and A2: IsAsubgroup(H,F)
  and A3: g = restrict(F, H \times H)
  and A4: s \in H
  shows GroupInv(H,g)(s) = GroupInv(G,P) O s
  from A1 have T1: group0(X\rightarrow G,F)
    using group0_def Group_ZF_2_1_T2
    by simp
  with A2 A3 A4 have GroupInv(H,g)(s) = GroupInv(X \rightarrow G,F)(s)
    using group0.group0_3_T1 restrict by simp
  moreover from T1 A1 A2 A4 have
    GroupInv(X \rightarrow G,F)(s) = GroupInv(G,P) O s
    using group0.group0_3_L2 Group_ZF_2_1_L6 by blast
  ultimately show thesis by simp
qed
```

If a group is abelian, then its lift to a function space is also abelian.

```
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_1_L7:
   assumes A1: F = P {lifted to function space over} X
   and A2: P {is commutative on} G
   shows F {is commutative on} (X→G)
proof-
   from A1 A2 have
     F {is commutative on} (X→range(P))
     using group_oper_fun func_ZF_2_L2
     by simp
   moreover from groupAssum have range(P) = G
     using group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L3B
     by simp
   ultimately show thesis by simp
qed
```

33.2 Equivalence relations on groups

The goal of this section is to establish that (under some conditions) given an equivalence relation on a group or (monoid)we can project the group (monoid) structure on the quotient and obtain another group.

The neutral element class is neutral in the projection.

```
lemma (in monoid0) Group_ZF_2_2_L1:
  assumes A1: equiv(G,r) and A2:Congruent2(r,f)
  and A3: F = ProjFun2(G,r,f)
  and A4: e = TheNeutralElement(G,f)
  shows r\{e\} \in G//r \land
  (\forall c \in G//r. F\langle r\{e\},c\rangle = c \land F\langle c,r\{e\}\rangle = c)
proof
  from A4 show T1:r{e} \in G//r
    using unit_is_neutral quotientI
    by simp
  show
    \forall c \in G//r. F\langle r\{e\},c\rangle = c \land F\langle c,r\{e\}\rangle = c
  proof
    fix c assume A5:c \in G//r
    then obtain g where D1:g\in G c = r\{g\}
       using quotient_def by auto
    with A1 A2 A3 A4 D1 show
       F\langle r\{e\},c\rangle = c \land F\langle c,r\{e\}\rangle = c
       using unit_is_neutral EquivClass_1_L10
       by simp
  qed
qed
The projected structure is a monoid.
theorem (in monoid0) Group_ZF_2_2_T1:
  assumes A1: equiv(G,r) and A2: Congruent2(r,f)
  and A3: F = ProjFun2(G,r,f)
```

```
shows IsAmonoid(G//r,F)
proof -
  let E = r{TheNeutralElement(G,f)}
  from A1 A2 A3 have
    E \in G//r \land (\forall c \in G//r. F \langle E,c \rangle = c \land F \langle c,E \rangle = c)
    using Group_ZF_2_2_L1 by simp
  hence
    \exists E \in G//r. \forall c \in G//r. F(E,c) = c \land F(c,E) = c
    by auto
  with monoidAsssum A1 A2 A3 show thesis
    using IsAmonoid_def EquivClass_2_T2
    by simp
qed
The class of the neutral element is the neutral element of the projected
monoid.
lemma Group_ZF_2_2_L1:
  assumes A1: IsAmonoid(G,f)
  and A2: equiv(G,r) and A3: Congruent2(r,f)
  and A4: F = ProjFun2(G,r,f)
  and A5: e = TheNeutralElement(G.f)
  shows r\{e\} = TheNeutralElement(G//r,F)
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 A4 have
    T1:monoid0(G,f) and T2:monoid0(G//r,F)
    using monoid0_def monoid0.Group_ZF_2_2_T1 by auto
  from T1 A2 A3 A4 A5 have r{e} \in G//r \land
    (\forall c \in G//r. F\langle r\{e\}, c\rangle = c \land F\langle c, r\{e\}\rangle = c)
    using monoid0.Group\_ZF\_2\_2\_L1 by simp
  with T2 show thesis using monoid0.group0_1_L4
    by auto
qed
The projected operation can be defined in terms of the group operation on
representants in a natural way.
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_2_L2:
  assumes A1: equiv(G,r) and A2: Congruent2(r,P)
  and A3: F = ProjFun2(G,r,P)
  and A4: a \in G b \in G
  shows F( r\{a\}, r\{b\}) = r\{a \cdot b\}
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 A4 show thesis
    using EquivClass_1_L10 by simp
The class of the inverse is a right inverse of the class.
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_2_L3:
  assumes A1: equiv(G,r) and A2: Congruent2(r,P)
```

```
and A3: F = ProjFun2(G,r,P)
  and A4: a \in G
  shows F(r\{a\}, r\{a^{-1}\}) = TheNeutralElement(G//r,F)
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 A4 have
    F\langle r\{a\}, r\{a^{-1}\}\rangle = r\{1\}
    using inverse_in_group Group_ZF_2_2_L2 group0_2_L6
  with groupAssum A1 A2 A3 show thesis
    using IsAgroup_def Group_ZF_2_2_L1 by simp
qed
The group structure can be projected to the quotient space.
theorem (in group0) Group_ZF_3_T2:
  assumes A1: equiv(G,r) and A2: Congruent2(r,P)
  shows IsAgroup(G//r,ProjFun2(G,r,P))
proof -
  let F = ProjFun2(G,r,P)
  let E = TheNeutralElement(G//r,F)
  from groupAssum A1 A2 have IsAmonoid(G//r,F)
    using IsAgroup_def monoid0_def monoid0.Group_ZF_2_2_T1
    by simp
  moreover have
    \forall c \in G//r. \exists b \in G//r. F(c,b) = E
  proof
    fix c assume A3: c \in G//r
    then obtain g where D1: g \in G c = r\{g\}
      using quotient_def by auto
    let b = r\{g^{-1}\}
    from D1 have b \in G//r
      \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{inverse\_in\_group} \ \mathtt{quotientI}
      by simp
    moreover from A1 A2 D1 have
      F(c,b) = E
      using Group_ZF_2_2_L3 by simp
    ultimately show \exists b \in G//r. F(c,b) = E
      by auto
  ultimately show thesis
    using IsAgroup_def by simp
The group inverse (in the projected group) of a class is the class of the
inverse.
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_2_L4:
  assumes A1: equiv(G,r) and
  A2: Congruent2(r,P) and
  A3: F = ProjFun2(G,r,P) and
  A4: a \in G
```

```
shows r\{a^{-1}\} = GroupInv(G//r,F)(r\{a\}) proof -
from A1 A2 A3 have group0(G//r,F)
using Group_ZF_3_T2 group0_def by simp
moreover from A4 have
r\{a\} \in G//r r\{a^{-1}\} \in G//r
using inverse_in_group quotientI by auto
moreover from A1 A2 A3 A4 have
F\{r\{a\}, r\{a^{-1}\}\} = TheNeutralElement(G//r,F)
using Group_ZF_2_2_L3 by simp
ultimately show thesis
by (rule group0.group0_2_L9)
qed
```

33.3 Normal subgroups and quotient groups

If H is a subgroup of G, then for every $a \in G$ we can cosider the sets $\{a \cdot h.h \in H\}$ and $\{h \cdot a.h \in H\}$ (called a left and right "coset of H", resp.) These sets sometimes form a group, called the "quotient group". This section discusses the notion of quotient groups.

A normal subgorup N of a group G is such that aba^{-1} belongs to N if $a \in G, b \in N$.

definition

```
\label{eq:shortest} \begin{split} & \text{IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,N)} \ \equiv \ \text{IsAsubgroup(N,P)} \ \land \\ & (\forall \, n \in \mathbb{N}. \, \forall \, g \in G. \ P \langle \ g,n \ \rangle, \text{GroupInv(G,P)(g)} \ \rangle \ \in \ \mathbb{N}) \end{split}
```

Having a group and a normal subgroup N we can create another group consisting of eqivalence classes of the relation $a \sim b \equiv a \cdot b^{-1} \in N$. We will refer to this relation as the quotient group relation. The classes of this relation are in fact cosets of subgroup H.

definition

```
QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H) \equiv {\langle a,b \rangle \in G \times G. P \langle a, GroupInv(G,P)(b) \rangle \in H}
```

Next we define the operation in the quotient group as the projection of the group operation on the classes of the quotient group relation.

definition

```
QuotientGroupOp(G,P,H) = ProjFun2(G,QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H),P)
```

Definition of a normal subgroup in a more readable notation.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma (in group0) Group\_ZF\_2\_4\_L0:} \\ \textbf{assumes IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,H)} \\ \textbf{and } \textbf{g} \in \textbf{G} \textbf{ n} \in \textbf{H} \\ \textbf{shows } \textbf{g} \cdot \textbf{n} \cdot \textbf{g}^{-1} \in \textbf{H} \\ \textbf{using assms IsAnormalSubgroup\_def by simp} \end{array}
```

The quotient group relation is reflexive.

```
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_4_L1:
  assumes IsAsubgroup(H,P)
  shows refl(G,QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H))
  using assms group0_2_L6 group0_3_L5
    QuotientGroupRel_def refl_def by simp
The quotient group relation is symmetric.
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_4_L2:
  assumes A1:IsAsubgroup(H,P)
  shows sym(QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H))
proof -
  {
    fix a b assume A2: \langle a,b \rangle \in QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)
    with A1 have (a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1} \in H
       using QuotientGroupRel_def groupO_3_T3A
       \mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} simp
    moreover from A2 have (a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1} = b \cdot a^{-1}
       using QuotientGroupRel_def group0_2_L12
       by simp
    ultimately have b \cdot a^{-1} \in H by simp
    with A2 have ⟨ b,a⟩ ∈ QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)
       using QuotientGroupRel_def by simp
  then show thesis using symI by simp
qed
The quotient group relation is transistive.
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_4_L3A:
  assumes A1: IsAsubgroup(H,P) and
  A2: \langle a,b \rangle \in QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H) and
  A3: \langle b,c \rangle \in QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)
  shows \langle a,c \rangle \in QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)
proof -
  let r = QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)
  from A2 A3 have T1:a\in G b\in G c\in G
    using QuotientGroupRel_def by auto
  from A1 A2 A3 have (a \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1}) \in H
    using QuotientGroupRel_def group0_3_L6
    by simp
  moreover from T1 have
    a \cdot c^{-1} = (a \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1})
    using group0_2_L14A by blast
  ultimately have a \cdot c^{-1} \in H
    by simp
  with T1 show thesis using QuotientGroupRel_def
qed
```

The quotient group relation is an equivalence relation. Note we do not need

```
the subgroup to be normal for this to be true.
```

```
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_4_L3: assumes A1:IsAsubgroup(H,P) shows equiv(G,QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)) proof - let r = QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H) from A1 have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (\langle a,\ b \rangle \in r \ \land \ \langle b,\ c \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle a,\ c \rangle \in r) using Group_ZF_2_4_L3A by blast then have trans(r) using Fol1_L2 by blast with A1 show thesis using Group_ZF_2_4_L1 Group_ZF_2_4_L2 QuotientGroupRel_def equiv_def by auto qed
```

The next lemma states the essential condition for congruency of the group operation with respect to the quotient group relation.

```
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_4_L4:
  assumes A1: IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,H)
  and A2: ⟨a1,a2⟩ ∈ QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)
  and A3: ⟨b1,b2⟩ ∈ QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)
  shows \langle a1.b1, a2.b2 \rangle \in QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)
proof -
  from A2 A3 have T1:
     a1 \in G a2 \in G b1 \in G b2 \in G
     \mathtt{a1}{\cdot}\mathtt{b1} \,\in\, \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{a2}{\cdot}\mathtt{b2} \,\in\, \mathtt{G}
     b1 \cdot b2^{-1} \in H \quad a1 \cdot a2^{-1} \in H
     using QuotientGroupRel_def group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L1
     by auto
  with A1 show thesis using
     IsAnormalSubgroup_def group0_3_L6 group0_2_L15
     QuotientGroupRel_def by simp
qed
```

If the subgroup is normal, the group operation is congruent with respect to the quotient group relation.

```
lemma Group_ZF_2_4_L5A:
   assumes IsAgroup(G,P)
   and IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,H)
   shows Congruent2(QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H),P)
   using assms group0_def group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L4 Congruent2_def
   by simp

The quotient group is indeed a group.
```

```
theorem Group_ZF_2_4_T1:
  assumes IsAgroup(G,P) and IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,H)
  shows
```

```
IsAgroup(G//QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H),QuotientGroupOp(G,P,H))
using assms group0_def group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L3 IsAnormalSubgroup_def
Group_ZF_2_4_L5A group0.Group_ZF_3_T2 QuotientGroupOp_def
by simp
```

The class (coset) of the neutral element is the neutral element of the quotient group.

```
lemma Group_ZF_2_4_L5B:
   assumes IsAgroup(G,P) and IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,H)
   and r = QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)
   and e = TheNeutralElement(G,P)
   shows r{e} = TheNeutralElement(G//r,QuotientGroupOp(G,P,H))
   using assms IsAnormalSubgroup_def groupO_def
        IsAgroup_def groupO.Group_ZF_2_4_L3 Group_ZF_2_4_L5A
        QuotientGroupOp_def Group_ZF_2_2_L1
   by simp
```

A group element is equivalent to the neutral element iff it is in the subgroup we divide the group by.

```
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_4_L5C: assumes a\inG shows \langle a,1 \rangle \in QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H) \longleftrightarrow a\in H using assms QuotientGroupRel_def group_inv_of_one group0_2_L2 by auto
```

A group element is in H iff its class is the neutral element of G/H.

```
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_4_L5D:
  assumes A1: IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,H) and
  A2: a \in G and
  A3: r = QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H) and
  A4: TheNeutralElement(G//r,QuotientGroupOp(G,P,H)) = e
  shows r\{a\} = e \longleftrightarrow \langle a, 1 \rangle \in r
proof
  assume r\{a\} = e
  with groupAssum assms have
    r\{1\} = r\{a\} \text{ and } I: equiv(G,r)
    using Group_ZF_2_4_L5B IsAnormalSubgroup_def Group_ZF_2_4_L3
    by auto
  with A2 have \langle 1,a \rangle \in r using eq_equiv_class
    by simp
  with I show \langle a, 1 \rangle \in r by (rule equiv_is_sym)
next assume \langle a, 1 \rangle \in r
  moreover from A1 A3 have equiv(G,r)
    using IsAnormalSubgroup_def Group_ZF_2_4_L3
    by simp
  ultimately have r\{a\} = r\{1\}
    using equiv_class_eq by simp
  with groupAssum A1 A3 A4 show r{a} = e
    using Group_ZF_2_4_L5B by simp
```

```
qed
```

```
The class of a \in G is the neutral element of the quotient G/H iff a \in H.
```

```
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_4_L5E:
   assumes IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,H) and
   a∈G and r = QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H) and
   TheNeutralElement(G//r,QuotientGroup0p(G,P,H)) = e
   shows r{a} = e ←→ a∈H
   using assms Group_ZF_2_4_L5C Group_ZF_2_4_L5D
  by simp
```

Essential condition to show that every subgroup of an abelian group is normal.

```
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_4_L5:
   assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
   and A2: IsAsubgroup(H,P)
   and A3: g∈G h∈H
   shows g·h·g⁻¹ ∈ H
proof -
   from A2 A3 have T1:h∈G g⁻¹ ∈ G
    using group0_3_L2 inverse_in_group by auto
   with A3 A1 have g·h·g⁻¹ = g⁻¹·g·h
    using group0_4_L4A by simp
   with A3 T1 show thesis using
     group0_2_L6 group0_2_L2
   by simp

qed
```

Every subgroup of an abelian group is normal. Moreover, the quotient group is also abelian.

```
lemma Group_ZF_2_4_L6:
 assumes A1: IsAgroup(G,P)
 and A2: P {is commutative on} G
 and A3: IsAsubgroup(H,P)
 shows IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,H)
 QuotientGroupOp(G,P,H) {is commutative on} (G//QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H))
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 show T1: IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,H) using
    group0_def IsAnormalSubgroup_def group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L5
    by simp
 let r = QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)
  from A1 A3 T1 have equiv(G,r) Congruent2(r,P)
    using group0_def group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L3 Group_ZF_2_4_L5A
    by auto
  with A2 show
    QuotientGroupOp(G,P,H) {is commutative on} (G//QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H))
    using EquivClass_2_T1 QuotientGroupOp_def
    by simp
```

The group inverse (in the quotient group) of a class (coset) is the class of the inverse.

```
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_4_L7:
   assumes IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,H)
   and a∈G and r = QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)
   and F = QuotientGroupOp(G,P,H)
   shows r{a<sup>-1</sup>} = GroupInv(G//r,F)(r{a})
   using groupAssum assms IsAnormalSubgroup_def Group_ZF_2_4_L3
    Group_ZF_2_4_L5A QuotientGroupOp_def Group_ZF_2_2_L4
   by simp
```

33.4 Function spaces as monoids

On every space of functions $\{f: X \to X\}$ we can define a natural monoid structure with composition as the operation. This section explores this fact.

The next lemma states that composition has a neutral element, namely the identity function on X (the one that maps $x \in X$ into itself).

```
lemma Group_ZF_2_5_L1: assumes A1: F = Composition(X) shows \exists I \in (X \rightarrow X). \forall f \in (X \rightarrow X). F \langle I, f \rangle = f \land F \langle f, I \rangle = f prooflet I = id(X) from A1 have I \in X \rightarrow X \land (\forall f \in (X \rightarrow X)) . F \langle I, f \rangle = f \land F \langle f, I \rangle = f) using id_type func_ZF_6_L1A by simp thus thesis by auto qed
```

The space of functions that map a set X into itsef is a monoid with composition as operation and the identity function as the neutral element.

```
lemma Group_ZF_2_5_L2: shows
  IsAmonoid(X \rightarrow X, Composition(X))
  id(X) = TheNeutralElement(X \rightarrow X, Composition(X))
proof -
  let I = id(X)
  let F = Composition(X)
  show IsAmonoid(X \rightarrow X,Composition(X))
     using func_ZF_5_L5 Group_ZF_2_5_L1 IsAmonoid_def
     by auto
  then have monoid0(X \rightarrow X, F)
     using monoid0_def by simp
  moreover have
     I \in X \rightarrow X \land (\forall f \in (X \rightarrow X). F \langle I, f \rangle = f \land F \langle f, I \rangle = f)
     using id_type func_ZF_6_L1A by simp
  ultimately show I = TheNeutralElement(X \rightarrow X, F)
     using monoid0.group0_1_L4 by auto
```

qed

end

34 Groups 3

theory Group_ZF_3 imports Group_ZF_2 Finite1

begin

In this theory we consider notions in group theory that are useful for the construction of real numbers in the Real_ZF_x series of theories.

34.1 Group valued finite range functions

In this section show that the group valued functions $f: X \to G$, with the property that f(X) is a finite subset of G, is a group. Such functions play an important role in the construction of real numbers in the Real_ZF series.

The following proves the essential condition to show that the set of finite range functions is closed with respect to the lifted group operation.

```
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_3_1_L1:
  assumes A1: F = P {lifted to function space over} X
  and
  A2: s \in FinRangeFunctions(X,G) r \in FinRangeFunctions(X,G)
  shows F(s,r) \in FinRangeFunctions(X,G)
proof -
  let q = F( s,r)
  from A2 have T1:s:X\rightarrow G r:X\rightarrow G
    using FinRangeFunctions_def by auto
  with A1 have T2:q: X \rightarrow G
    using group0_2_L1 monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_L0
    by simp
  moreover have q(X) \in Fin(G)
  proof -
    from A2 have
      \{s(x). x \in X\} \in Fin(G)
      \{r(x). x \in X\} \in Fin(G)
      using Finite1_L18 by auto
    with A1 T1 T2 show thesis using
      group_oper_fun Finite1_L15 Group_ZF_2_1_L3 func_imagedef
      by simp
  qed
  ultimately show thesis using FinRangeFunctions_def
    by simp
qed
```

The set of group valued finite range functions is closed with respect to the lifted group operation.

lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_3_1_L2:

```
assumes A1: F = P {lifted to function space over} X
 shows FinRangeFunctions(X,G) {is closed under} F
proof -
 let A = FinRangeFunctions(X,G)
 from A1 have \forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. F(x,y) \in A
    using Group_ZF_3_1_L1 by simp
  then show thesis using IsOpClosed_def by simp
qed
A composition of a finite range function with the group inverse is a finite
range function.
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_3_1_L3:
 assumes A1: s ∈ FinRangeFunctions(X,G)
 shows GroupInv(G,P) O s ∈ FinRangeFunctions(X,G)
 using groupAssum assms groupO_2_T2 Finite1_L20 by simp
The set of finite range functions is s subgroup of the lifted group.
theorem Group_ZF_3_1_T1:
  assumes A1: IsAgroup(G,P)
 and A2: F = P {lifted to function space over} X
 and A3: X \neq 0
 shows IsAsubgroup(FinRangeFunctions(X,G),F)
proof -
 let e = TheNeutralElement(G,P)
 let S = FinRangeFunctions(X,G)
 from A1 have T1: group0(G,P) using group0_def
    by simp
  with A1 A2 have T2:group0(X\rightarrow G,F)
    using group0.Group_ZF_2_1_T2 group0_def
    by simp
 moreover have S \neq 0
  proof -
    from T1 A3 have
      ConstantFunction(X,e) \in S
      using group0.group0_2_L1 monoid0.unit_is_neutral
 Finite1_L17 by simp
    thus thesis by auto
  qed
  moreover have S \subseteq X \rightarrow G
    using FinRangeFunctions_def by auto
 moreover from A2 T1 have
    S {is closed under} F
    using group0.Group_ZF_3_1_L2
    by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 T1 have
```

```
\forall s \in S. \ GroupInv(X \rightarrow G,F)(s) \in S
using FinRangeFunctions_def group0.Group_ZF_2_1_L6
group0.Group_ZF_3_1_L3 by simp
ultimately show thesis
using group0.group0_3_T3 by simp
qed
```

34.2 Almost homomorphisms

An almost homomorphism is a group valued function defined on a monoid M with the property that the set $\{f(m+n)-f(m)-f(n)\}_{m,n\in M}$ is finite. This term is used by R. D. Arthan in "The Eudoxus Real Numbers". We use this term in the general group context and use the A'Campo's term "slopes" (see his "A natural construction for the real numbers") to mean an almost homomorphism mapping interegers into themselves. We consider almost homomorphisms because we use slopes to define real numbers in the Real_ZF_x series.

HomDiff is an acronym for "homomorphism difference". This is the expression $s(mn)(s(m)s(n))^{-1}$, or s(m+n)-s(m)-s(n) in the additive notation. It is equal to the neutral element of the group if s is a homomorphism.

definition

```
\begin{split} & \text{HomDiff(G,f,s,x)} \; \equiv \\ & \text{f} \big\langle \text{s(f} \big\langle \; \text{fst(x),snd(x)} \big\rangle) \; \; , \\ & \text{(GroupInv(G,f)(f} \big\langle \; \text{s(fst(x)),s(snd(x))} \big\rangle)) \big\rangle \end{split}
```

Almost homomorphisms are defined as those maps $s: G \to G$ such that the homomorphism difference takes only finite number of values on $G \times G$.

definition

```
\label{eq:local_state} \begin{split} & \texttt{AlmostHoms}(\texttt{G},\texttt{f}) \equiv \\ & \{ \texttt{s} \in \texttt{G} {\rightarrow} \texttt{G}. \{ \texttt{HomDiff}(\texttt{G},\texttt{f},\texttt{s},\texttt{x}). \ \texttt{x} \in \texttt{G} {\times} \texttt{G} \ \} \in \texttt{Fin}(\texttt{G}) \} \end{split}
```

AlHomOp1(G, f) is the group operation on almost homomorphisms defined in a natural way by $(s \cdot r)(n) = s(n) \cdot r(n)$. In the terminology defined in func1.thy this is the group operation f (on G) lifted to the function space $G \to G$ and restricted to the set AlmostHoms(G, f).

definition

```
\label{eq:allowo} \begin{split} & \text{AlHomOp1}(G,f) \equiv \\ & \text{restrict}(f \; \{ \text{lifted to function space over} \} \; G, \\ & \text{AlmostHoms}(G,f) \times \text{AlmostHoms}(G,f)) \end{split}
```

We also define a composition (binary) operator on almost homomorphisms in a natural way. We call that operator AlHomOp2 - the second operation on almost homomorphisms. Composition of almost homomorphisms is used to define multiplication of real numbers in Real_ZF series.

definition

```
\label{eq:almostHomS} \begin{split} & \texttt{AlHomOp2(G,f)} \equiv \\ & \texttt{restrict(Composition(G),AlmostHoms(G,f)} \times & \texttt{AlmostHoms(G,f))} \end{split}
```

This lemma provides more readable notation for the HomDiff definition. Not really intended to be used in proofs, but just to see the definition in the notation defined in the group locale.

```
lemma (in group0) HomDiff_notation:

shows HomDiff(G,P,s,\langle m,n\rangle) = s(m\cdot n)\cdot(s(m)\cdot s(n))^{-1}

using HomDiff_def by simp
```

The next lemma shows the set from the definition of almost homomorphism in a different form.

```
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_3_2_L1A: shows  \{ \text{HomDiff}(G,P,s,x) : x \in G \times G \} = \{ s(m \cdot n) \cdot (s(m) \cdot s(n))^{-1} : \langle m,n \rangle \in G \times G \}  proof - have \forall m \in G . \forall n \in G. HomDiff(G,P,s,\langle m,n \rangle) = s(m \cdot n) \cdot (s(m) \cdot s(n))^{-1} using HomDiff_notation by simp then show thesis by (rule ZF1_1_L4A) qed
```

Let's define some notation. We inherit the notation and assumptions from the group0 context (locale) and add some. We will use AH to denote the set of almost homomorphisms. \sim is the inverse (negative if the group is the group of integers) of almost homomorphisms, $(\sim p)(n) = p(n)^{-1}$. δ will denote the homomorphism difference specific for the group (HomDiff(G, f)). The notation $s \approx r$ will mean that s, r are almost equal, that is they are in the equivalence relation defined by the group of finite range functions (that is a normal subgroup of almost homomorphisms, if the group is abelian). We show that this is equivalent to the set $\{s(n) \cdot r(n)^{-1} : n \in G\}$ being finite. We also add an assumption that the G is abelian as many needed properties do not hold without that.

```
locale group1 = group0 +
   assumes isAbelian: P {is commutative on} G

fixes AH
   defines AH_def [simp]: AH = AlmostHoms(G,P)

fixes Op1
   defines Op1_def [simp]: Op1 = AlHomOp1(G,P)

fixes Op2
   defines Op2_def [simp]: Op2 = AlHomOp2(G,P)

fixes FR
   defines FR_def [simp]: FR = FinRangeFunctions(G,G)

fixes neg (~_ [90] 91)
```

```
defines neg_def [simp]: \sims \equiv GroupInv(G,P) 0 s
  fixes \delta
  defines \delta_{\text{def}} [simp]: \delta(s,x) \equiv \text{HomDiff}(G,P,s,x)
  fixes AHprod (infix · 69)
  defines AHprod_def [simp]: s \cdot r \equiv AlHomOp1(G,P)(s,r)
  fixes AHcomp (infix o 70)
  defines AHcomp_def [simp]: s \circ r \equiv AlHomOp2(G,P)(s,r)
  fixes AlEq (infix \approx 68)
  defines AlEq_def [simp]:
  s \approx r \equiv \langle s, r \rangle \in QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR)
HomDiff is a homomorphism on the lifted group structure.
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L1:
  assumes A1: s:G\rightarrow G r:G\rightarrow G
  and A2: x \in G \times G
  and A3: F = P {lifted to function space over} G
  shows \delta(F(s,r),x) = \delta(s,x) \cdot \delta(r,x)
proof -
  let p = F(s,r)
  from A2 obtain m n where
    D1: x = \langle m,n \rangle m \in G n \in G
    by auto
  then have T1:m \cdot n \in G
    using group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L1 by simp
  with A1 D1 have T2:
    s(m) \in G s(n) \in G r(m) \in G
    r(n)\in G s(m\cdot n)\in G r(m\cdot n)\in G
    using apply_funtype by auto
  from A3 A1 have T3:p : G \rightarrow G
    using group0_2_L1 monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_L0
    by simp
  from D1 T3 have
    \delta(p,x) = p(m \cdot n) \cdot ((p(n))^{-1} \cdot (p(m))^{-1})
    using HomDiff_notation apply_funtype group_inv_of_two
    by simp
  also from A3 A1 D1 T1 isAbelian T2 have
    \dots = \delta(s,x) \cdot \delta(r,x)
    using Group_ZF_2_1_L3 group0_4_L3 HomDiff_notation
    by simp
  finally show thesis by simp
The group operation lifted to the function space over G preserves almost
homomorphisms.
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L2: assumes A1: s \in AH \ r \in AH
```

```
and A2: F = P {lifted to function space over} G
  \mathbf{shows} \,\, \mathsf{F} \langle \,\, \mathsf{s,r} \rangle \, \in \, \mathsf{AH}
proof -
  let p = F(s,r)
  from A1 A2 have p : G \rightarrow G
     using AlmostHoms_def group0_2_L1 monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_L0
     by simp
  moreover have
     \{\delta(p,x). x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
  proof -
     from A1 have
        \{\delta(s,x).\ x\in G\times G\}\in Fin(G)
        \{\delta(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in G \times G \} \in Fin(G)
        using AlmostHoms_def by auto
     with groupAssum A1 A2 show thesis
        using IsAgroup_def IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def
        Finite1_L15 AlmostHoms_def Group_ZF_3_2_L1
        by auto
  qed
  ultimately show thesis using AlmostHoms_def
     by simp
qed
The set of almost homomorphisms is closed under the lifted group operation.
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L3:
  assumes F = P {lifted to function space over} G
  shows AH {is closed under} F
  using assms IsOpClosed_def Group_ZF_3_2_L2 by simp
The terms in the homomorphism difference for a function are in the group.
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L4:
  assumes s:G \rightarrow G and m \in G n \in G
  shows
  \mathtt{m}{\cdot}\mathtt{n} \,\in\, \mathtt{G}
  s(m \cdot n) \in G
  s(m) \in G s(n) \in G
  \delta(s, \langle m, n \rangle) \in G
  s(m) \cdot s(n) \in G
  using assms group_op_closed inverse_in_group
     apply_funtype HomDiff_def by auto
It is handy to have a version of Group_ZF_3_2_L4 specifically for almost ho-
momorphisms.
corollary (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L4A:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathtt{s} \ \in \ \mathtt{AH} \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathtt{m} {\in} \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{n} {\in} \mathtt{G}
  \mathbf{shows}\ \mathtt{m}{\cdot}\mathtt{n}\ \in\ \mathtt{G}
  s(m \cdot n) \in G
  \mathtt{s}(\mathtt{m}) \in \mathtt{G} \ \mathtt{s}(\mathtt{n}) \in \mathtt{G}
  \delta(s, \langle m, n \rangle) \in G
```

```
\texttt{s(m)} \cdot \texttt{s(n)} \in \texttt{G} using assms AlmostHoms_def Group_ZF_3_2_L4 by auto
```

The terms in the homomorphism difference are in the group, a different form

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L4B:
  assumes A1:s \in AH and A2:x\inG\timesG
  shows fst(x) \cdot snd(x) \in G
  s(fst(x)\cdot snd(x)) \in G
  s(fst(x)) \in G \ s(snd(x)) \in G
  \delta(s,x) \in G
  s(fst(x)) \cdot s(snd(x)) \in G
proof -
  let m = fst(x)
  let n = snd(x)
  from A1 A2 show
     \mathtt{m} \cdot \mathtt{n} \ \in \ \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{s}(\mathtt{m} \cdot \mathtt{n}) \ \in \ \mathtt{G}
     s(m) \in G s(n) \in G
     s(m) \cdot s(n) \in G
     using Group_ZF_3_2_L4A
     by auto
  from A1 A2 have \delta(s, \langle m, n \rangle) \in G using Group_ZF_3_2_L4A
     by simp
  moreover from A2 have \langle m,n \rangle = x by auto
  ultimately show \delta(s,x) \in G by simp
qed
```

What are the values of the inverse of an almost homomorphism?

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L5: assumes s \in AH and n \in G shows (\sim s)(n) = (s(n))^{-1} using assms AlmostHoms_def comp_fun_apply by auto
```

Homomorphism difference commutes with the inverse for almost homomorphisms.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L6: assumes A1:s \in AH and A2:x\inG×G shows \delta(\sim s,x) = (\delta(s,x))^{-1} proof - let m = fst(x) let n = snd(x) have \delta(\sim s,x) = (\sim s) (m\cdot n) \cdot ((\sim s) (m) \cdot (\sim s) (n))^{-1} using HomDiff_def by simp from A1 A2 isAbelian show thesis using Group_ZF_3_2_L4B HomDiff_def Group_ZF_3_2_L5 group0_4_L4A by simp
```

```
qed
```

```
The inverse of an almost homomorphism maps the group into itself.
```

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L7:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathtt{s} \ \in \ \mathtt{AH}
  \mathbf{shows} \ \sim \! \mathbf{s} \ : \ \mathbf{G} \!\!\to \!\! \mathbf{G}
  using groupAssum assms AlmostHoms_def groupO_2_T2 comp_fun by auto
The inverse of an almost homomorphism is an almost homomorphism.
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L8:
  assumes A1: F = P {lifted to function space over} G
  and A2: s \in AH
  shows GroupInv(G \rightarrow G, F)(s) \in AH
proof -
  from A2 have \{\delta(s,x): x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
     using AlmostHoms_def by simp
  with groupAssum have
     \texttt{GroupInv}(\texttt{G},\texttt{P})\{\delta(\texttt{s},\texttt{x})\,.\,\,\texttt{x}\,\in\,\texttt{G}\times\texttt{G}\}\,\in\,\texttt{Fin}(\texttt{G})
     using group0_2_T2 Finite1_L6A by blast
  moreover have
      GroupInv(G,P)\{\delta(s,x). x \in G\times G\} =
     \{(\delta(s,x))^{-1}. x \in G \times G\}
  proof -
     from groupAssum have
        GroupInv(G,P) : G \rightarrow G
        using group0_2_T2 by simp
     moreover from A2 have
        \forall x \in G \times G. \ \delta(s,x) \in G
        using Group_ZF_3_2_L4B by simp
     ultimately show thesis
        using func1_1_L17 by simp
  ultimately have \{(\delta(s,x))^{-1}, x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
     \mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} \text{ simp }
  moreover from A2 have
     \{(\delta(s,x))^{-1}. x \in G \times G\} = \{\delta(\sim s,x). x \in G \times G\}
     using Group_ZF_3_2_L6 by simp
  ultimately have \{\delta(\sim s,x). x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
     by simp
  with A2 groupAssum A1 show thesis
     using Group_ZF_3_2_L7 AlmostHoms_def Group_ZF_2_1_L6
     by simp
qed
The function that assigns the neutral element everywhere is an almost ho-
momorphism.
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L9: shows
```

ConstantFunction(G,1) \in AH and AH \neq 0

proof -

```
let z = ConstantFunction(G,1)
  have G×G≠0 using group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L3A
    by blast
  moreover have \forall x \in G \times G. \delta(z,x) = 1
  proof
    fix x assume A1:x \in G \times G
    then obtain m n where x = \langle m,n \rangle m \in G n \in G
      by auto
    then show \delta(z,x) = 1
      using group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L1
 func1_3_L2 HomDiff_def group0_2_L2
 group_inv_of_one by simp
  qed
  ultimately have \{\delta(z,x): x \in G \times G\} = \{1\} by (rule ZF1_1_L5)
  then show z \in AH using group0_2_L2 Finite1_L16
    func1_3_L1 group0_2_L2 AlmostHoms_def by simp
  then show AH \neq 0 by auto
qed
```

If the group is abelian, then almost homomorphisms form a subgroup of the lifted group.

```
lemma Group_ZF_3_2_L10:
  assumes A1: IsAgroup(G,P)
  and A2: P {is commutative on} G
  and A3: F = P {lifted to function space over} G
  shows IsAsubgroup(AlmostHoms(G,P),F)
proof -
  let AH = AlmostHoms(G,P)
  from A2 A1 have T1: group1(G,P)
    using group1_axioms.intro group0_def group1_def
    by simp
  from A1 A3 have group0(G→G,F)
    using group0_def group0.Group_ZF_2_1_T2 by simp
  moreover from T1 have AH≠0
    using group1.Group_ZF_3_2_L9 by simp
  moreover have \mathtt{T2:AH} \subseteq \mathtt{G} {\rightarrow} \mathtt{G}
    using AlmostHoms_def by auto
  moreover from T1 A3 have
    AH {is closed under} F
    using group1.Group_ZF_3_2_L3 by simp
  moreover from T1 A3 have
    \forall s \in AH. GroupInv(G \rightarrow G,F)(s) \in AH
    using group1.Group_ZF_3_2_L8 by simp
  ultimately show IsAsubgroup(AlmostHoms(G,P),F)
    using group0.group0_3_T3 by simp
qed
```

If the group is abelian, then almost homomorphisms form a group with the first operation, hence we can use theorems proven in group0 context aplied

```
to this group.
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L10A:
  shows IsAgroup(AH,Op1) group0(AH,Op1)
    using groupAssum isAbelian Group_ZF_3_2_L10 IsAsubgroup_def
      AlHomOp1_def group0_def by auto
The group of almost homomorphisms is abelian
lemma Group_ZF_3_2_L11: assumes A1: IsAgroup(G,f)
  and A2: f {is commutative on} G
  shows
  IsAgroup(AlmostHoms(G,f),AlHomOp1(G,f))
  AlHomOp1(G,f) {is commutative on} AlmostHoms(G,f)
proof-
  let AH = AlmostHoms(G,f)
  let F = f {lifted to function space over} G
  from A1 A2 have IsAsubgroup(AH,F)
    using Group_ZF_3_2_L10 by simp
  then show IsAgroup(AH, AlHomOp1(G,f))
    using IsAsubgroup_def AlHomOp1_def by simp
  from A1 have F : (G \rightarrow G) \times (G \rightarrow G) \rightarrow (G \rightarrow G)
    using IsAgroup_def monoid0_def monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_LOA
  moreover have \mathtt{AH} \subseteq \mathtt{G} {
ightarrow} \mathtt{G}
    using AlmostHoms_def by auto
  moreover from A1 A2 have
    F {is commutative on} (G \rightarrow G)
    using group0_def group0.Group_ZF_2_1_L7
    by simp
  ultimately show
    AlHomOp1(G,f){is commutative on} AH
    using func_ZF_4_L1 AlHomOp1_def by simp
qed
The first operation on homomorphisms acts in a natural way on its operands.
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L12:
  assumes s \in AH r \in AH and n \in G
  shows (s\cdot r)(n) = s(n)\cdot r(n)
  using assms AlHomOp1_def restrict AlmostHoms_def Group_ZF_2_1_L3
  by simp
What is the group inverse in the group of almost homomorphisms?
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L13:
  assumes A1: s∈AH
  shows
  GroupInv(AH,Op1)(s) = GroupInv(G,P) 0 s
  GroupInv(AH,Op1)(s) ∈ AH
  GroupInv(G,P) O s \in AH
proof -
```

```
let F = P {lifted to function space over} G
from groupAssum isAbelian have IsAsubgroup(AH,F)
    using Group_ZF_3_2_L10 by simp
with A1 show I: GroupInv(AH,Op1)(s) = GroupInv(G,P) O s
    using AlHomOp1_def Group_ZF_2_1_L6A by simp
from A1 show GroupInv(AH,Op1)(s) ∈ AH
    using Group_ZF_3_2_L10A groupO.inverse_in_group by simp
with I show GroupInv(G,P) O s ∈ AH by simp
qed
```

The group inverse in the group of almost homomorphisms acts in a natural way on its operand.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L14:
   assumes s∈AH and n∈G
   shows (GroupInv(AH,Op1)(s))(n) = (s(n))<sup>-1</sup>
   using isAbelian assms Group_ZF_3_2_L13 AlmostHoms_def comp_fun_apply
   by auto
```

The next lemma states that if s, r are almost homomorphisms, then $s \cdot r^{-1}$ is also an almost homomorphism.

```
lemma Group_ZF_3_2_L15: assumes IsAgroup(G,f)
  and f {is commutative on} G
  and AH = AlmostHoms(G,f) Op1 = AlHomOp1(G,f)
  and s ∈ AH r ∈ AH
  shows
  Op1⟨ s,r⟩ ∈ AH
  GroupInv(AH,Op1)(r) ∈ AH
  Op1⟨ s,GroupInv(AH,Op1)(r)⟩ ∈ AH
  using assms group0_def group1_axioms.intro group1_def
     group1.Group_ZF_3_2_L10A group0.group0_2_L1
     monoid0.group0_1_L1 group0.inverse_in_group by auto
```

A version of Group_ZF_3_2_L15 formulated in notation used in group1 context. States that the product of almost homomorphisms is an almost homomorphism and the the product of an almost homomorphism with a (pointwise) inverse of an almost homomorphism is an almost homomorphism.

```
corollary (in group1) Group_ZF_3_2_L16: assumes s \in AH r \in AH shows s \cdot r \in AH s \cdot (\sim r) \in AH using assms isAbelian group0_def group1_axioms group1_def Group_ZF_3_2_L15 Group_ZF_3_2_L13 by auto
```

34.3 The classes of almost homomorphisms

In the Real_ZF series we define real numbers as a quotient of the group of integer almost homomorphisms by the integer finite range functions. In this section we setup the background for that in the general group context.

Finite range functions are almost homomorphisms.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_3_L1: shows FR ⊆ AH
proof
  fix s assume A1:s \in FR
  then have T1:\{s(n). n \in G\} \in Fin(G)
    \{s(fst(x)). x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
    \{s(snd(x)). x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
    using Finite1_L18 Finite1_L6B by auto
  have \{s(fst(x)\cdot snd(x)) : x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
  proof -
    have \forall x \in G \times G. fst(x) \cdot snd(x) \in G
      using group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L1 by simp
    moreover from T1 have \{s(n), n \in G\} \in Fin(G) by simp
    ultimately show thesis by (rule Finite1_L6B)
  qed
  moreover have
    \{(s(fst(x))\cdot s(snd(x)))^{-1}. x\in G\times G\}\in Fin(G)\}
    have \forall g \in G. g^{-1} \in G using inverse_in_group
      by simp
    moreover from T1 have
      \{s(fst(x))\cdot s(snd(x)). x\in G\times G\} \in Fin(G)
      using group_oper_fun Finite1_L15 by simp
    ultimately show thesis
      by (rule Finite1_L6C)
  ultimately have \{\delta(s,x): x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
    using HomDiff_def Finite1_L15 group_oper_fun
    \mathbf{b}\mathbf{v} simp
  with A1 show s \in AH
    using FinRangeFunctions_def AlmostHoms_def
    by simp
qed
Finite range functions valued in an abelian group form a normal subgroup
of almost homomorphisms.
lemma Group_ZF_3_3_L2: assumes A1:IsAgroup(G,f)
  and A2:f {is commutative on} G
  shows
  IsAsubgroup(FinRangeFunctions(G,G),AlHomOp1(G,f))
  IsAnormalSubgroup(AlmostHoms(G,f),AlHomOp1(G,f),
  FinRangeFunctions(G,G))
proof -
  let H1 = AlmostHoms(G,f)
  let H2 = FinRangeFunctions(G,G)
  let F = f {lifted to function space over} G
  from A1 A2 have T1:group0(G,f)
    monoid0(G,f) group1(G,f)
    using group0_def group0.group0_2_L1
      group1_axioms.intro group1_def
```

```
by auto
  with A1 A2 have IsAgroup(G \rightarrow G,F)
    IsAsubgroup(H1,F) IsAsubgroup(H2,F)
    using group0.Group_ZF_2_1_T2 Group_ZF_3_2_L10
      monoid0.group0_1_L3A Group_ZF_3_1_T1
    by auto
  then have
    IsAsubgroup(H1 \cap H2, restrict(F, H1 \times H1))
    using group0_3_L7 by simp
  moreover from T1 have H1∩H2 = H2
    using group1.Group_ZF_3_3_L1 by auto
  ultimately show IsAsubgroup(H2,AlHomOp1(G,f))
    using AlHomOp1_def by simp
  with A1 A2 show IsAnormalSubgroup(AlmostHoms(G,f),AlHomOp1(G,f),
    FinRangeFunctions(G,G))
    using Group_ZF_3_2_L11 Group_ZF_2_4_L6
    by simp
qed
The group of almost homomorphisms divided by the subgroup of finite range
functions is an abelian group.
theorem (in group1) Group_ZF_3_3_T1:
 shows
  IsAgroup(AH//QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR),QuotientGroupOp(AH,Op1,FR))
  and
  QuotientGroupOp(AH,Op1,FR) {is commutative on}
  (AH//QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR))
  using groupAssum isAbelian Group_ZF_3_3_L2 Group_ZF_3_2_L10A
    Group_ZF_2_4_T1 Group_ZF_3_2_L10A Group_ZF_3_2_L11
    Group_ZF_3_3_L2 IsAnormalSubgroup_def Group_ZF_2_4_L6 by auto
It is useful to have a direct statement that the quotient group relation is an
equivalence relation for the group of AH and subgroup FR.
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_3_L3: shows
  QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR) \subseteq AH \times AH and
  equiv(AH,QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR))
  using groupAssum isAbelian QuotientGroupRel_def
    Group_ZF_3_3_L2 Group_ZF_3_2_L10A group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L3
  by auto
The "almost equal" relation is symmetric.
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_3_L3A: assumes A1: s≈r
 shows r \approx s
proof -
 let R = QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR)
  from A1 have equiv(AH,R) and \langle s,r \rangle \in R
    using Group_ZF_3_3_L3 by auto
  then have \langle r,s \rangle \in R by (rule equiv_is_sym)
```

```
then show r \approx s by simp qed
```

Although we have bypassed this fact when proving that group of almost homomorphisms divided by the subgroup of finite range functions is a group, it is still useful to know directly that the first group operation on AH is congruent with respect to the quotient group relation.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_3_L4:
    shows Congruent2(QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR),Op1)
    using groupAssum isAbelian Group_ZF_3_2_L10A Group_ZF_3_3_L2
    Group_ZF_2_4_L5A by simp
```

The class of an almost homomorphism s is the neutral element of the quotient group of almost homomorphisms iff s is a finite range function.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_3_L5: assumes s \in AH and r = QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR) and TheNeutralElement(AH//r,QuotientGroupOp(AH,Op1,FR)) = e shows r\{s\} = e \longleftrightarrow s \in FR using groupAssum isAbelian assms Group_ZF_3_2_L11 groupO_def Group_ZF_3_3_L2 groupO.Group_ZF_2_4_L5E by simp
```

The group inverse of a class of an almost homomorphism f is the class of the inverse of f.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_3_L6:
   assumes A1: s ∈ AH and
   r = QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR) and
   F = ProjFun2(AH,r,Op1)
   shows r{~s} = GroupInv(AH//r,F)(r{s})
proof -
   from groupAssum isAbelian assms have
      r{GroupInv(AH, Op1)(s)} = GroupInv(AH//r,F)(r {s})
      using Group_ZF_3_2_L10A Group_ZF_3_3_L2 QuotientGroupOp_def
      group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L7 by simp
   with A1 show thesis using Group_ZF_3_2_L13
      by simp
   qed
```

34.4 Compositions of almost homomorphisms

The goal of this section is to establish some facts about composition of almost homomorphisms. needed for the real numbers construction in Real_ZF_x series. In particular we show that the set of almost homomorphisms is closed under composition and that composition is congruent with respect to the equivalence relation defined by the group of finite range functions (a normal subgroup of almost homomorphisms).

The next formula restates the definition of the homomorphism difference to express the value an almost homomorphism on a product.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L1:
   assumes s \in AH and m \in G n \in G
   shows s(m \cdot n) = s(m) \cdot s(n) \cdot \delta(s, \langle m, n \rangle)
   using isAbelian assms Group_ZF_3_2_L4A HomDiff_def group0_4_L5
   by simp
What is the value of a composition of almost homomorhisms?
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L2:
   \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathtt{s} {\in} \mathtt{AH} \quad \mathtt{r} {\in} \mathtt{AH} \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathtt{m} {\in} \mathtt{G}
   shows (sor)(m) = s(r(m)) s(r(m)) \in G
   using assms AlmostHoms_def func_ZF_5_L3 restrict AlHomOp2_def
      apply_funtype by auto
What is the homomorphism difference of a composition?
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L3:
   assumes A1: s \in AH r \in AH and A2: m \in G n \in G
   shows \delta(sor, \langle m, n \rangle) =
   \delta(\texttt{s}, \langle \texttt{ r(m),r(n)} \rangle) \cdot \texttt{s}(\delta(\texttt{r}, \langle \texttt{ m,n} \rangle)) \cdot \delta(\texttt{s}, \langle \texttt{ r(m) \cdot r(n),} \delta(\texttt{r}, \langle \texttt{ m,n} \rangle) \rangle)
proof -
   from A1 A2 have T1:
      s(r(m)) \cdot s(r(n)) \in G
      \delta(s, \langle r(m), r(n) \rangle) \in G s(\delta(r, \langle m, n \rangle)) \in G
      \delta(s, \langle (r(m)\cdot r(n)), \delta(r, \langle m, n \rangle))) \in G
      using Group_ZF_3_4_L2 AlmostHoms_def apply_funtype
         {\tt Group\_ZF\_3\_2\_L4A~group0\_2\_L1~monoid0.group0\_1\_L1}
      by auto
   from A1 A2 have \delta(\text{sor}, \langle \text{m,n} \rangle) =
      s(r(m)\cdot r(n)\cdot \delta(r, \langle m, n \rangle))\cdot (s((r(m)))\cdot s(r(n)))^{-1}
      using HomDiff_def group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L1 Group_ZF_3_4_L2
         Group_ZF_3_4_L1 by simp
   moreover from A1 A2 have
      s(r(m)\cdot r(n)\cdot \delta(r,\langle m,n\rangle)) =
      s(r(m)\cdot r(n))\cdot s(\delta(r,\langle m,n\rangle))\cdot \delta(s,\langle (r(m)\cdot r(n)),\delta(r,\langle m,n\rangle)\rangle)
      s(r(m)\cdot r(n)) = s(r(m))\cdot s(r(n))\cdot \delta(s, \langle r(m), r(n) \rangle)
      using Group_ZF_3_2_L4A Group_ZF_3_4_L1 by auto
   moreover from T1 isAbelian have
      s(r(m)) \cdot s(r(n)) \cdot \delta(s, \langle r(m), r(n) \rangle)
      s(\delta(r,\langle m,n\rangle))\cdot\delta(s,\langle (r(m)\cdot r(n)),\delta(r,\langle m,n\rangle)\rangle)
      (s((r(m))) \cdot s(r(n)))^{-1} =
      \delta(s,\langle r(m),r(n)\rangle) \cdot s(\delta(r,\langle m,n\rangle)) \cdot \delta(s,\langle (r(m)\cdot r(n)),\delta(r,\langle m,n\rangle)\rangle)
      using group0_4_L6C by simp
   ultimately show thesis by simp
qed
```

What is the homomorphism difference of a composition (another form)? Here we split the homomorphism difference of a composition into a product

of three factors. This will help us in proving that the range of homomorphism difference for the composition is finite, as each factor has finite range.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L4:
   assumes A1: s \in AH r \in AH and A2: x \in G \times G
  and A3:
  A = \delta(s, \langle r(fst(x)), r(snd(x)) \rangle)
  B = s(\delta(r,x))
  C = \delta(s, \langle (r(fst(x)) \cdot r(snd(x))), \delta(r, x) \rangle)
  shows \delta(sor,x) = A \cdot B \cdot C
proof -
  let m = fst(x)
  let n = snd(x)
  note A1
  moreover from A2 have m \in G n \in G
      by auto
   ultimately have
      \delta(\text{sor}, \langle \text{m,n} \rangle) =
      \delta(s, \langle r(m), r(n) \rangle) \cdot s(\delta(r, \langle m, n \rangle)) \cdot
      \delta(s, \langle (r(m)\cdot r(n)), \delta(r, \langle m, n \rangle) \rangle)
      by (rule Group_ZF_3_4_L3)
   with A1 A2 A3 show thesis
      by auto
qed
```

The range of the homomorphism difference of a composition of two almost homomorphisms is finite. This is the essential condition to show that a composition of almost homomorphisms is an almost homomorphism.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L5:
  assumes A1: s \in AH r \in AH
  shows \{\delta(\texttt{Composition}(\texttt{G}) \langle \texttt{s,r} \rangle, \texttt{x}). \texttt{x} \in \texttt{G} \times \texttt{G}\} \in \texttt{Fin}(\texttt{G})
proof -
  from A1 have
     \forall x \in G \times G. \langle r(fst(x)), r(snd(x)) \rangle \in G \times G
     using Group_ZF_3_2_L4B by simp
  moreover from A1 have
      \{\delta(s,x).\ x\in G\times G\}\ \in\ \mathrm{Fin}(G)
     using AlmostHoms_def by simp
   ultimately have
      \{\delta(s, \langle r(fst(x)), r(snd(x)) \rangle) : x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
     by (rule Finite1_L6B)
  moreover have \{s(\delta(r,x)), x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
  proof -
     from A1 have \forall m \in G. s(m) \in G
        using AlmostHoms_def apply_funtype by auto
     moreover from A1 have \{\delta(r,x): x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
        using AlmostHoms_def by simp
     ultimately show thesis
        by (rule Finite1_L6C)
  qed
```

```
ultimately have
     \{\delta(s, \langle r(fst(x)), r(snd(x)) \rangle) \cdot s(\delta(r,x)). x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
     using group_oper_fun Finite1_L15 by simp
  moreover have
     \{\delta(s, \langle (r(fst(x)) \cdot r(snd(x))), \delta(r,x) \rangle). x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
  proof -
     from A1 have
     \forall x \in G \times G. \langle (r(fst(x)) \cdot r(snd(x))), \delta(r,x) \rangle \in G \times G
       using Group_{ZF_3_2_L4B} by simp
     moreover from A1 have
       \{\delta(s,x): x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
       using AlmostHoms_def by simp
     ultimately show thesis by (rule Finite1_L6B)
  qed
  ultimately have
     \{\delta(s, \langle r(fst(x)), r(snd(x)) \rangle) \cdot s(\delta(r,x)) \cdot
     \delta(s, \langle (r(fst(x)) \cdot r(snd(x))), \delta(r,x) \rangle). x \in G \times G) \in Fin(G)
     using group_oper_fun Finite1_L15 by simp
  moreover from A1 have \{\delta(s \circ r, x) : x \in G \times G\} =
     \{\delta(s, \langle r(fst(x)), r(snd(x)) \rangle) \cdot s(\delta(r,x)) \cdot
     \delta(s, \langle (r(fst(x)) \cdot r(snd(x))), \delta(r,x) \rangle). x \in G \times G\}
     using Group_ZF_3_4_L4 by simp
  ultimately have \{\delta(s\circ r,x): x\in G\times G\}\in Fin(G) by simp
  with A1 show thesis using restrict AlHomOp2_def
     by simp
qed
Composition of almost homomorphisms is an almost homomorphism.
theorem (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_T1:
  assumes A1: s \in AH r \in AH
  shows Composition(G)\langle s,r\rangle \in AH sor \in AH
  from A1 have \langle s,r \rangle \in (G \rightarrow G) \times (G \rightarrow G)
     using AlmostHoms_def by simp
  then have Composition(G)\langle s,r\rangle : G\rightarrowG
     using func_ZF_5_L1 apply_funtype by blast
  with A1 show Composition(G)\langle s,r\rangle \in AH
     using Group_ZF_3_4_L5 AlmostHoms_def
     by simp
  with A1 show sor \in AH using AlHomOp2_def restrict
     by simp
qed
The set of almost homomorphisms is closed under composition. The second
operation on almost homomorphisms is associative.
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L6: shows
  AH {is closed under} Composition(G)
  AlHomOp2(G,P) {is associative on} AH
proof -
```

```
show AH {is closed under} Composition(G)
     using Group_{ZF_3_4_T1} IsOpClosed_def by simp
  \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathbf{AH} \subseteq \mathbf{G} {\rightarrow} \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathbf{AlmostHoms\_def}
     by auto
  moreover have
     Composition(G) {is associative on} (G \rightarrow G)
     using func_ZF_5_L5 by simp
  ultimately show AlHomOp2(G,P) {is associative on} AH
     using func_ZF_4_L3 AlHomOp2_def by simp
qed
Type information related to the situation of two almost homomorphisms.
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L7:
  assumes A1: s \in AH r \in AH and A2: n \in G
  shows
  s(n) \in G(r(n))^{-1} \in G
  s(n)\cdot(r(n))^{-1}\in G s(r(n))\in G
proof -
  from A1 A2 show
     s(n) \in G
     (r(n))^{-1} \in G
     s(r(n)) \in G
     \mathtt{s(n)}\!\cdot\!(\mathtt{r(n)})^{-1}\,\in\,\mathtt{G}
     using AlmostHoms_def apply_type
        group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L1 inverse_in_group
     by auto
Type information related to the situation of three almost homomorphisms.
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L8:
  assumes A1: s \in AH r \in AH q \in AH and A2: n \in G
  shows
  q(n) \in G
  s(r(n)) \in G
  r(n) \cdot (q(n))^{-1} \in G
  \mathtt{s}(\mathtt{r}(\mathtt{n})\!\cdot\!(\mathtt{q}(\mathtt{n}))^{-1})\,\in\,\mathtt{G}
  \delta(\mathtt{s}, \langle \ \mathtt{q}(\mathtt{n}), \mathtt{r}(\mathtt{n}) \cdot (\mathtt{q}(\mathtt{n}))^{-1} \rangle) \ \in \ \mathtt{G}
proof -
  from A1 A2 show
     q(n) \in G \quad s(r(n)) \in G \quad r(n) \cdot (q(n))^{-1} \in G
     using AlmostHoms_def apply_type
        group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L1 inverse_in_group
     by auto
  with A1 A2 show s(r(n)\cdot(q(n))^{-1}) \in G
     \delta(s, \langle q(n), r(n) \cdot (q(n))^{-1} \rangle) \in G
     using AlmostHoms_def apply_type Group_ZF_3_2_L4A
     by auto
qed
```

A formula useful in showing that the composition of almost homomorphisms

```
is congruent with respect to the quotient group relation.
```

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L9:
  assumes A1: s1 \in AH r1 \in AH s2 \in AH r2 \in AH
  and A2: n \in G
  shows (s1\circ r1)(n) \cdot ((s2\circ r2)(n))^{-1} =
  s1(r2(n)) \cdot (s2(r2(n)))^{-1} \cdot s1(r1(n) \cdot (r2(n))^{-1}) \cdot
  \delta(s1, \langle r2(n), r1(n) \cdot (r2(n))^{-1} \rangle)
proof -
  from A1 A2 isAbelian have
     (s1\circ r1)(n)\cdot((s2\circ r2)(n))^{-1} =
     s1(r2(n)\cdot(r1(n)\cdot(r2(n))^{-1}))\cdot(s2(r2(n)))^{-1}
     using Group_ZF_3_4_L2 Group_ZF_3_4_L7 group0_4_L6A
       group_oper_assoc by simp
  with A1 A2 have (s1\circ r1)(n) \cdot ((s2\circ r2)(n))^{-1} = s1(r2(n)) \cdot
     s1(r1(n)\cdot(r2(n))^{-1})\cdot\delta(s1,\langle r2(n),r1(n)\cdot(r2(n))^{-1}\rangle)\cdot
     (s2(r2(n)))^{-1}
     using Group_ZF_3_4_L8 Group_ZF_3_4_L1 by simp
  with A1 A2 isAbelian show thesis using
     Group_ZF_3_4_L8 group0_4_L7 by simp
qed
```

The next lemma shows a formula that translates an expression in terms of the first group operation on almost homomorphisms and the group inverse in the group of almost homomorphisms to an expression using only the underlying group operations.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L10: assumes A1: s \in AH \quad r \in AH
  and A2: n \in G
  shows (s \cdot (GroupInv(AH, Op1)(r)))(n) = s(n) \cdot (r(n))^{-1}
proof -
  from A1 A2 show thesis
    using isAbelian Group_ZF_3_2_L13 Group_ZF_3_2_L12 Group_ZF_3_2_L14
    by simp
qed
A necessary condition for two a. h. to be almost equal.
```

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L11:
  assumes A1: s≈r
  shows \{s(n)\cdot(r(n))^{-1}, n\in G\} \in Fin(G)
proof -
  from A1 have s \in AH r \in AH
    using QuotientGroupRel_def by auto
  moreover from A1 have
    \{(s\cdot(GroupInv(AH,Op1)(r)))(n). n\in G\} \in Fin(G)
    using QuotientGroupRel_def Finite1_L18 by simp
  ultimately show thesis
    using Group_ZF_3_4_L10 by simp
qed
```

A sufficient condition for two a. h. to be almost equal.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L12: assumes A1: s \in AH r \in AH and A2: \{s(n) \cdot (r(n))^{-1}. n \in G\} \in Fin(G) shows s \approx r proof - from groupAssum isAbelian A1 A2 show thesis using Group_ZF_3_2_L15 AlmostHoms_def Group_ZF_3_4_L10 Finite1_L19 QuotientGroupRel_def by simp qed
```

Another sufficient consdition for two a.h. to be almost equal. It is actually just an expansion of the definition of the quotient group relation.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L12A: assumes s \in AH r \in AH and s \cdot (GroupInv(AH, Op1)(r)) \in FR shows s \approx r r \approx s proof - from assms show s \approx r using assms QuotientGroupRel_def by simp then show r \approx s by (rule Group_ZF_3_3_L3A) qed
```

Another necessary condition for two a.h. to be almost equal. It is actually just an expansion of the definition of the quotient group relation.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L12B: assumes s \approx r shows s \cdot (GroupInv(AH,Op1)(r)) \in FR using assms QuotientGroupRel_def by simp
```

The next lemma states the essential condition for the composition of a. h. to be congruent with respect to the quotient group relation for the subgroup of finite range functions.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L13:
  assumes A1: s1≈s2 r1≈r2
  shows (s1or1) \approx (s2or2)
proof -
  have \{s1(r2(n))\cdot (s2(r2(n)))^{-1}. n\in G\} \in Fin(G)
  proof -
    from A1 have \forall n \in G. r2(n) \in G
      using QuotientGroupRel_def AlmostHoms_def apply_funtype
      by auto
    moreover from A1 have \{s1(n)\cdot(s2(n))^{-1}. n\in G\} \in Fin(G)
      using Group_ZF_3_4_L11 by simp
    ultimately show thesis by (rule Finite1_L6B)
  moreover have \{s1(r1(n)\cdot(r2(n))^{-1}). n \in G\} \in Fin(G)
  proof -
    from A1 have \forall n \in G. s1(n) \in G
      using QuotientGroupRel_def AlmostHoms_def apply_funtype
      by auto
```

```
moreover from A1 have \{r1(n)\cdot(r2(n))^{-1}, n\in G\}\in Fin(G)
        using Group_ZF_3_4_L11 by simp
     ultimately show thesis by (rule Finite1_L6C)
  ultimately have
     \{s1(r2(n))\cdot (s2(r2(n)))^{-1}\cdot s1(r1(n)\cdot (r2(n))^{-1}).
     n \in G} \in Fin(G)
     using group_oper_fun Finite1_L15 by simp
  moreover have
     \{\delta(\mathtt{s1}, \langle \mathtt{r2(n)}, \mathtt{r1(n)} \cdot (\mathtt{r2(n)})^{-1} \rangle). \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{G}\} \in \mathtt{Fin}(\mathtt{G})
  proof -
     from A1 have \forall n \in G. \langle r2(n), r1(n) \cdot (r2(n))^{-1} \rangle \in G \times G
        using QuotientGroupRel_def Group_ZF_3_4_L7 by auto
     moreover from A1 have \{\delta(s1,x). x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
        using QuotientGroupRel_def AlmostHoms_def by simp
     ultimately show thesis by (rule Finite1_L6B)
  qed
  ultimately have
     {s1(r2(n))\cdot (s2(r2(n)))^{-1}\cdot s1(r1(n)\cdot (r2(n))^{-1})\cdot}
     \delta(s1, \langle r2(n), r1(n) \cdot (r2(n))^{-1} \rangle). n \in G\} \in Fin(G)
     using group_oper_fun Finite1_L15 by simp
  with A1 show thesis using
     QuotientGroupRel_def Group_ZF_3_4_L9
     Group_ZF_3_4_T1 Group_ZF_3_4_L12 by simp
qed
```

Composition of a. h. to is congruent with respect to the quotient group relation for the subgroup of finite range functions. Recall that if an operation say " \circ " on X is congruent with respect to an equivalence relation R then we can define the operation on the quotient space X/R by $[s]_R \circ [r]_R := [s \circ r]_R$ and this definition will be correct i.e. it will not depend on the choice of representants for the classes [x] and [y]. This is why we want it here.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L13A: shows
   Congruent2(QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR),Op2)
proof -
   show thesis using Group_ZF_3_4_L13 Congruent2_def
   by simp
qed
```

The homomorphism difference for the identity function is equal to the neutral element of the group (denoted e in the group1 context).

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L14: assumes A1: x \in G \times G shows \delta(id(G),x) = 1 proof - from A1 show thesis using group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L1 HomDiff_def id_conv group0_2_L6 by simp qed
```

The identity function (I(x) = x) on G is an almost homomorphism.

```
\label{eq:comp_ZF_3_4_L15: shows id(G) } \begin{array}{l} \text{AH proof } -\\ \text{have } G \times G \neq 0 \text{ using } \text{group0\_2\_L1 monoid0.group0\_1\_L3A}\\ \text{by blast} \\ \text{then show thesis using } \text{Group\_ZF\_3\_4\_L14 group0\_2\_L2}\\ \text{id\_type } \text{AlmostHoms\_def by simp} \\ \text{qed} \end{array}
```

Almost homomorphisms form a monoid with composition. The identity function on the group is the neutral element there.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_L16:
  shows
  IsAmonoid(AH,Op2)
  monoid0(AH,Op2)
  id(G) = TheNeutralElement(AH,Op2)
proof-
  let i = TheNeutralElement(G \rightarrow G,Composition(G))
  have
    IsAmonoid(G \rightarrow G, Composition(G))
    monoidO(G \rightarrow G, Composition(G))
    using monoid0_def Group_ZF_2_5_L2 by auto
  moreover have AH {is closed under} Composition(G)
    using Group_ZF_3_4_L6 by simp
  \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{AH} \subseteq \mathtt{G} {\rightarrow} \mathtt{G}
    using AlmostHoms_def by auto
  moreover have i \in AH
    using Group_ZF_2_5_L2 Group_ZF_3_4_L15 by simp
  moreover have id(G) = i
    using Group_ZF_2_5_L2 by simp
  ultimately show
    IsAmonoid(AH,Op2)
    monoid0(AH,Op2)
    id(G) = TheNeutralElement(AH,Op2)
    using monoid0.group0_1_T1 group0_1_L6 AlHomOp2_def monoid0_def
    by auto
qed
```

We can project the monoid of almost homomorphisms with composition to the group of almost homomorphisms divided by the subgroup of finite range functions. The class of the identity function is the neutral element of the quotient (monoid).

```
theorem (in group1) Group_ZF_3_4_T2:
   assumes A1: R = QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR)
   shows
   IsAmonoid(AH//R,ProjFun2(AH,R,Op2))
   R{id(G)} = TheNeutralElement(AH//R,ProjFun2(AH,R,Op2))
proof -
```

```
have group0(AH,Op1) using Group_ZF_3_2_L10A group0_def
   by simp
with A1 groupAssum isAbelian show
   IsAmonoid(AH//R,ProjFun2(AH,R,Op2))
   R{id(G)} = TheNeutralElement(AH//R,ProjFun2(AH,R,Op2))
   using Group_ZF_3_3_L2 group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L3 Group_ZF_3_4_L13A
        Group_ZF_3_4_L16 monoid0.Group_ZF_2_2_T1 Group_ZF_2_2_L1
   by auto
```

34.5 Shifting almost homomorphisms

In this this section we consider what happens if we multiply an almost homomorphism by a group element. We show that the resulting function is also an a. h., and almost equal to the original one. This is used only for slopes (integer a.h.) in Int_ZF_2 where we need to correct a positive slopes by adding a constant, so that it is at least 2 on positive integers.

If s is an almost homomorphism and c is some constant from the group, then $s \cdot c$ is an almost homomorphism.

```
lemma (in group1) Group_ZF_3_5_L1:
  assumes A1: s \in AH and A2: c \in G and
  A3: r = \{\langle x, s(x) \cdot c \rangle . x \in G\}
  shows
  \forall x \in G. r(x) = s(x) \cdot c
  \mathtt{r} \in \mathtt{AH}
  s \approx r
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 have I: r:G\rightarrow G
     using AlmostHoms_def apply_funtype group_op_closed
     ZF_fun_from_total by auto
   with A3 show II: \forall x \in G. r(x) = s(x) \cdot c
     using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by simp
   with isAbelian A1 A2 have III:
     \forall p \in G \times G. \ \delta(r,p) = \delta(s,p) \cdot c^{-1}
     using group_op_closed AlmostHoms_def apply_funtype
     HomDiff_def group0_4_L7 by auto
  have \{\delta(r,p): p \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
  proof -
     from A1 A2 have
        \{\delta(s,p): p \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G) \quad c^{-1} \in G
        using AlmostHoms_def inverse_in_group by auto
     then have \{\delta(s,p)\cdot c^{-1}.\ p\in G\times G\}\in Fin(G)
        using group_oper_fun Finite1_L16AA
        by simp
      moreover from III have
        \{\delta(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p}).\ \mathbf{p}\in \mathsf{G}\times\mathsf{G}\} = \{\delta(\mathsf{s},\mathsf{p})\cdot\mathsf{c}^{-1}.\ \mathbf{p}\in \mathsf{G}\times\mathsf{G}\}
        by (rule ZF1_1_L4B)
```

```
ultimately show thesis by simp qed with I show IV: r \in AH using AlmostHoms_def by simp from isAbelian A1 A2 I II have \forall n \in G. \ s(n) \cdot (r(n))^{-1} = c^{-1} using AlmostHoms_def apply_funtype group0_4_L6AB by auto then have \{s(n) \cdot (r(n))^{-1}. \ n \in G\} = \{c^{-1}. \ n \in G\} by \{rule\ ZF1\_1\_L4B\} with A1 A2 IV show s \approx r using group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L3A inverse_in_group Group_ZF_3_4_L12 by simp qed end
```

35 Direct product

theory DirectProduct_ZF imports func_ZF

begin

This theory considers the direct product of binary operations. Contributed by Seo Sanghyeon.

35.1 Definition

In group theory the notion of direct product provides a natural way of creating a new group from two given groups.

```
Given (G, \cdot) and (H, \circ) a new operation (G \times H, \times) is defined as (g, h) \times (g', h') = (g \cdot g', h \circ h').
```

definition

```
\begin{split} & \text{DirectProduct}(P, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H}) \equiv \\ & \{ \langle \mathtt{x}, \langle P \langle \mathtt{fst}(\mathtt{fst}(\mathtt{x})), \mathtt{fst}(\mathtt{snd}(\mathtt{x})) \rangle \ , \ \mathbb{Q} \langle \mathtt{snd}(\mathtt{fst}(\mathtt{x})), \mathtt{snd}(\mathtt{snd}(\mathtt{x})) \rangle \rangle \rangle . \\ & \mathtt{x} \in (\mathtt{G} \times \mathtt{H}) \times (\mathtt{G} \times \mathtt{H}) \} \end{split}
```

We define a context called direct0 which holds an assumption that P, Q are binary operations on G, H, resp. and denotes R as the direct product of (G, P) and (H, Q).

```
\label{eq:locale_direct0} \begin{split} &\text{locale_direct0} = \\ &\text{fixes P Q G H} \\ &\text{assumes Pfun: P : G \times G \rightarrow G} \\ &\text{assumes Qfun: Q : H \times H \rightarrow H} \\ &\text{fixes R} \\ &\text{defines Rdef [simp]: R \equiv DirectProduct(P,Q,G,H)} \end{split}
```

```
The direct product of binary operations is a binary operation.
```

```
\begin{array}{l} lemma \ (in \ direct0) \ DirectProduct\_ZF\_1\_L1: \\ shows \ R : \ (G\times H)\times (G\times H)\to G\times H \\ proof \ - \\ from \ Pfun \ Qfun \ have \ \forall \, x\in (G\times H)\times (G\times H) \, . \\ & \langle P\langle fst(fst(x)),fst(snd(x))\rangle,Q\langle snd(fst(x)),snd(snd(x))\rangle\rangle \in G\times H \\ by \ auto \\ then \ show \ thesis \ using \ ZF\_fun\_from\_total \ DirectProduct\_def \\ by \ simp \\ qed \end{array}
```

And it has the intended value.

```
\label{eq:lemma} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma (in direct0) DirectProduct\_ZF\_1\_L2:} \\ \textbf{shows} \ \forall \, x {\in} (\texttt{G}{\times}\texttt{H}) \, . \ \ \forall \, y {\in} (\texttt{G}{\times}\texttt{H}) \, . \\ \textbf{R} \langle \textbf{x}, \textbf{y} \rangle = \langle \texttt{P} \langle \texttt{fst(x),fst(y)} \rangle, \texttt{Q} \langle \texttt{snd(x),snd(y)} \rangle \rangle \\ \textbf{using DirectProduct\_def DirectProduct\_ZF\_1\_L1 ZF\_fun\_from\_tot\_valby simp} \end{array}
```

And the value belongs to the set the operation is defined on.

```
lemma (in direct0) DirectProduct_ZF_1_L3: shows \forall x \in (G \times H). \forall y \in (G \times H). R\langle x,y \rangle \in G \times H using DirectProduct_ZF_1_L1 by simp
```

35.2 Associative and commutative operations

If P and Q are both associative or commutative operations, the direct product of P and Q has the same property.

Direct product of commutative operations is commutative.

```
lemma (in direct0) DirectProduct_ZF_2_L1: assumes P {is commutative on} G and Q {is commutative on} H shows R {is commutative on} G×H proof - from assms have \forall x \in (G \times H). \forall y \in (G \times H). R\langle x,y \rangle = R\langle y,x \rangle using DirectProduct_ZF_1_L2 IsCommutative_def by simp then show thesis using IsCommutative_def by simp qed
```

Direct product of associative operations is associative.

```
lemma (in direct0) DirectProduct_ZF_2_L2: assumes P {is associative on} G and Q {is associative on} H shows R {is associative on} G×H proof - have \forall x \in G \times H. \forall y \in G \times H. \forall z \in G \times H. R(R(x,y),z) = \langle P(P(fst(x),fst(y)),fst(z)),Q(Q(snd(x),snd(y)),snd(z))\rangle using DirectProduct_ZF_1_L2 DirectProduct_ZF_1_L3 by auto moreover have \forall x \in G \times H. \forall y \in G \times H. \forall z \in G \times H. R(x,R(y,z)) = R(x,R(y,z)) = R(x,R(y,z))
```

```
 \langle P \langle fst(x), P \langle fst(y), fst(z) \rangle \rangle, Q \langle snd(x), Q \langle snd(y), snd(z) \rangle \rangle \rangle \\ using \ DirectProduct_ZF_1_L2 \ DirectProduct_ZF_1_L3 \ by \ auto \\ ultimately \ have \ \forall x \in G \times H. \ \forall y \in G \times H. \ \forall z \in G \times H. \ R \langle R \langle x,y \rangle,z \rangle = R \langle x,R \langle y,z \rangle \rangle \\ using \ assms \ IsAssociative_def \ by \ simp \\ then \ show \ thesis \\ using \ DirectProduct_ZF_1_L1 \ IsAssociative_def \ by \ simp \\ qed \\ end
```

36 Ordered groups - introduction

theory OrderedGroup_ZF imports Group_ZF_1 AbelianGroup_ZF Finite_ZF_1 OrderedLoop_ZF

begin

This theory file defines and shows the basic properties of (partially or linearly) ordered groups. We show that in linearly ordered groups finite sets are bounded and provide a sufficient condition for bounded sets to be finite. This allows to show in Int_ZF_IML.thy that subsets of integers are bounded iff they are finite. Some theorems proven here are properties of ordered loops rather that groups. However, for now the development is independent from the material in the OrderedLoop_ZF theory, we just import the definitions of NonnegativeSet and PositiveSet from there.

36.1 Ordered groups

This section defines ordered groups and various related notions.

An ordered group is a group equipped with a partial order that is "translation invariant", that is if $a \leq b$ then $a \cdot g \leq b \cdot g$ and $g \cdot a \leq g \cdot b$.

definition

```
\begin{split} & \text{IsAnOrdGroup}(\texttt{G},\texttt{P},\texttt{r}) \equiv \\ & (\text{IsAgroup}(\texttt{G},\texttt{P}) \ \land \ \texttt{r} \subseteq \texttt{G} \times \texttt{G} \ \land \ \text{IsPartOrder}(\texttt{G},\texttt{r}) \ \land \ (\forall \, \texttt{g} \in \texttt{G}. \ \forall \, \texttt{a} \ \texttt{b}. \\ & \langle \texttt{a},\texttt{b} \rangle \ \in \ \texttt{r} \ \longrightarrow \ \langle \texttt{P} \langle \ \texttt{a},\texttt{g} \rangle, \texttt{P} \langle \ \texttt{b},\texttt{g} \rangle \ \rangle \ \in \ \texttt{r} \ \land \ \langle \ \texttt{P} \langle \ \texttt{g},\texttt{a} \rangle, \texttt{P} \langle \ \texttt{g},\texttt{b} \rangle \ \rangle \in \ \texttt{r} \ ) \ ) \end{split}
```

We also define the absolute value as a ZF-function that is the identity on G^+ and the group inverse on the rest of the group.

definition

```
AbsoluteValue(G,P,r) \equiv id(Nonnegative(G,P,r)) \cup restrict(GroupInv(G,P),G - Nonnegative(G,P,r))
```

The odd functions are defined as those having property $f(a^{-1}) = (f(a))^{-1}$. This looks a bit strange in the multiplicative notation, I have to admit. For linearly oredered groups a function f defined on the set of positive elements

iniquely defines an odd function of the whole group. This function is called an odd extension of f

definition

```
\label{eq:oddExtension(G,P,r,f)} \begin{split} & \text{OddExtension(G,P,r,f)} \equiv \\ & (\text{f} \ \cup \ \{\langle \text{a}, \ \text{GroupInv(G,P)}(\text{f(GroupInv(G,P)(a))}) \rangle. \\ & \text{a} \in \ \text{GroupInv(G,P)}(\text{PositiveSet(G,P,r)}) \} \ \cup \\ & \{\langle \text{TheNeutralElement(G,P)}, \text{TheNeutralElement(G,P)} \rangle \}) \end{split}
```

We will use a similar notation for ordered groups as for the generic groups. G^+ denotes the set of nonnegative elements (that satisfy $1 \le a$) and G_+ is the set of (strictly) positive elements. -A is the set inverses of elements from A. I hope that using additive notation for this notion is not too shocking here. The symbol f° denotes the odd extension of f. For a function defined on G_+ this is the unique odd function on G that is equal to f on G_+ .

locale group3 =

```
fixes G and P and r
assumes ordGroupAssum: IsAnOrdGroup(G,P,r)
fixes unit (1)
defines unit_def [simp]: 1 \equiv \text{TheNeutralElement(G,P)}
fixes groper (infixl · 70)
defines groper_def [simp]: a \cdot b \equiv P\langle a,b\rangle
fixes inv (_{-1} [90] 91)
defines inv_def [simp]: x^{-1} \equiv GroupInv(G,P)(x)
fixes lesseq (infix \leq 68)
defines lesseq_def [simp]: a \leq b \equiv \langle a,b\rangle \in r
fixes sless (infix < 68)
\mathbf{defines} \ \mathtt{sless\_def} \ [\mathtt{simp}] \colon \ \mathtt{a} \ \lessdot \ \mathtt{b} \ \equiv \ \mathtt{a} \underline{<} \mathtt{b} \ \land \ \mathtt{a} \neq \mathtt{b}
fixes nonnegative (G<sup>+</sup>)
defines nonnegative_def [simp]: G^+ \equiv Nonnegative(G,P,r)
fixes positive (G_+)
defines positive_def [simp]: G_+ \equiv PositiveSet(G,P,r)
fixes setinv (- _ 72)
defines setninv_def [simp]: -A \equiv GroupInv(G,P)(A)
fixes abs (| _ |)
defines abs_def [simp]: |a| \equiv AbsoluteValue(G,P,r)(a)
fixes oddext (_ °)
```

```
defines oddext_def [simp]: f^{\circ} \equiv OddExtension(G,P,r,f)
```

In group3 context we can use the theorems proven in the group0 context.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1: shows group0(G,P)
  using ordGroupAssum IsAnOrdGroup_def group0_def by simp
```

Ordered group (carrier) is not empty. This is a property of monoids, but it is good to have it handy in the group3 context.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1A: shows G≠0
  using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L3A
  by blast
```

The next lemma is just to see the definition of the nonnegative set in our notation.

```
\begin{array}{ll} lemma \ (in \ group3) \ OrderedGroup\_ZF\_1\_L2: \\ shows \ g \in G^+ \ \longleftrightarrow \ 1 \leq g \\ using \ ordGroupAssum \ IsAnOrdGroup\_def \ Nonnegative\_def \\ by \ auto \end{array}
```

The next lemma is just to see the definition of the positive set in our notation.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2A: shows geG_+ \longleftrightarrow (1\leg \land g\ne1) using ordGroupAssum IsAnOrdGroup_def PositiveSet_def by auto
```

For total order if g is not in G^+ , then it has to be less or equal the unit.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2B:
   assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: a∈G-G+
   shows a≤1
proof -
   from A2 have a∈G   1 ∈ G ¬(1≤a)
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2
   by auto
   with A1 show thesis using IsTotal_def by auto
qed
```

The group order is reflexive.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3: assumes g \in G shows g \le g using ordGroupAssum assms IsAnOrdGroup_def IsPartOrder_def refl_def by simp
```

1 is nonnegative.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3A: shows 1∈G<sup>+</sup>
  using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3
  OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2 by simp
```

```
In this context a \leq b implies that both a and b belong to G.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4:
  assumes a \le b shows a \in G b \in G
  using ordGroupAssum assms IsAnOrdGroup_def by auto
Similarly in this context a \leq b implies that both a and b belong to G.
lemma (in group3) less_are_members:
  assumes a<b shows a\inG b\inG
  using ordGroupAssum assms IsAnOrdGroup_def by auto
It is good to have transitivity handy.
lemma (in group3) Group_order_transitive:
  assumes A1: a \le b b \le c shows a \le c
proof -
  from ordGroupAssum have trans(r)
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def IsPartOrder_def
    by simp
  moreover from A1 have \langle a,b \rangle \in r \land \langle b,c \rangle \in r by simp
  ultimately have \langle a,c \rangle \in r by (rule Fol1_L3)
  thus thesis by simp
qed
The order in an ordered group is antisymmetric.
lemma (in group3) group_order_antisym:
  assumes A1: a≤b b≤a shows a=b
proof -
  from ordGroupAssum A1 have
    antisym(r) \langle a,b \rangle \in r \langle b,a \rangle \in r
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def IsPartOrder_def by auto
  then show a=b by (rule Fol1_L4)
qed
Transitivity for the strict order: if a < b and b < c, then a < c.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4A:
  assumes A1: a<b and A2: b≤c
  shows a<c
proof -
  from A1 A2 have a \le b b \le c by auto
  then have a 

c by (rule Group_order_transitive)
  moreover from A1 A2 have a \u2224c using group_order_antisym by auto
  ultimately show a<c by simp
qed
Another version of transitivity for the strict order: if a \leq b and b < c, then
lemma (in group3) group_strict_ord_transit:
  assumes A1: a≤b and A2: b<c
```

```
shows a<c
proof -
  from A1 A2 have a \le b b \le c by auto
  then have a \( \sigma \) (rule Group_order_transitive)
  moreover from A1 A2 have a\u00e9c using group_order_antisym by auto
  ultimately show a<c by simp
qed
The order is translation invariant.
lemma (in group3) ord_transl_inv: assumes a \le b c \in G
  shows a \cdot c \le b \cdot c and c \cdot a \le c \cdot b
  using ordGroupAssum assms unfolding IsAnOrdGroup_def by auto
Strict order is preserved by translations.
lemma (in group3) group_strict_ord_transl_inv:
  assumes a<br/>b and c<br/>\in\! G
  shows a \cdot c < b \cdot c and c \cdot a < c \cdot b
  using assms ord_transl_inv OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1
group0.group0_2_L19
  by auto
If the group order is total, then the group is ordered linearly.
lemma (in group3) group_ord_total_is_lin:
  assumes r {is total on} G
  shows IsLinOrder(G,r)
  using assms ordGroupAssum IsAnOrdGroup_def Order_ZF_1_L3
  by simp
For linearly ordered groups elements in the nonnegative set are greater than
those in the complement.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4B:
  assumes r {is total on} G
  and \mathtt{a}{\in}\mathtt{G}^{+} and \mathtt{b} \in \mathtt{G}\text{-}\mathtt{G}^{+}
  shows b \le a
proof -
  from assms have b \le 1 1 \le a
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2B by auto
  then show thesis by (rule Group_order_transitive)
qed
If a \leq 1 and a \neq 1, then a \in G \setminus G^+.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4C:
  assumes A1: a \le 1 and A2: a \ne 1
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{a} \in \mathtt{G}\text{-}\mathtt{G}^+
proof -
  \{ assume a \notin G-G^+ \}
    with ordGroupAssum A1 A2 have False
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2
```

```
OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 IsAnOrdGroup_def IsPartOrder_def antisym_def
       by auto
  } thus thesis by auto
qed
An element smaller than an element in G \setminus G^+ is in G \setminus G^+.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4D:
  assumes A1: a \in G-G^+ and A2: b \le a
  shows b \in G - G^+
proof -
  \{ assume b \notin G - G^+ \}
    with A2 have 1 \le b b \le a
       \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{OrderedGroup\_ZF\_1\_L4} \ \mathtt{OrderedGroup\_ZF\_1\_L2} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
    then have 1≤a by (rule Group_order_transitive)
    with A1 have False using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 by simp
  } thus thesis by auto
qed
The nonnegative set is contained in the group.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4E: shows G^+ \subseteq G
  using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 by auto
The positive set is contained in the nonnegative set, hence in the group.
lemma (in group3) pos_set_in_gr: shows G_+ \subseteq G^+ and G_+ \subseteq G
  using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2A OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4E
  by auto
Taking the inverse on both sides reverses the inequality.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5:
  assumes A1: a \le b shows b^{-1} \le a^{-1}
proof -
  from A1 have T1: a \in G b \in G a^{-1} \in G b^{-1} \in G
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1
       \mathtt{group0.inverse\_in\_group} by auto
  with A1 ordGroupAssum have a \cdot a^{-1} \le b \cdot a^{-1} using IsAnOrdGroup_def
    by simp
  with T1 ordGroupAssum have b^{-1} \cdot 1 \le b^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot a^{-1})
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.groupO_2_L6 IsAnOrdGroup_def
    by simp
  with T1 show thesis using
    OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2 group0.group_oper_assoc
    group0.group0_2_L6 by simp
qed
If an element is smaller that the unit, then its inverse is greater.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5A:
  assumes A1: a \le 1 shows 1 \le a^{-1}
proof -
```

```
from A1 have 1^{-1} \le a^{-1} using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5
    by simp
  then show thesis using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_one
    by simp
qed
If an the inverse of an element is greater that the unit, then the element is
smaller.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AA:
  assumes A1: a \in G and A2: 1 \le a^{-1}
  shows a≤1
proof -
  from A2 have (a^{-1})^{-1} \le 1^{-1} using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5
    by simp
  with A1 show a \le 1
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_inv groupO.group_inv_of_one
    by simp
qed
If an element is nonnegative, then the inverse is not greater that the unit.
Also shows that nonnegative elements cannot be negative
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AB:
  assumes A1: 1 \le a shows a^{-1} \le 1 and \neg (a \le 1 \land a \ne 1)
proof -
  from A1 have a^{-1} \le 1^{-1}
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5 by simp
  then show a<sup>-1</sup> ≤ 1 using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_one
    by simp
  { assume a \le 1 and a \ne 1
    with A1 have False using group_order_antisym
      by blast
  } then show \neg(a \le 1 \land a \ne 1) by auto
qed
If two elements are greater or equal than the unit, then the inverse of one
is not greater than the other.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AC:
  assumes A1: 1 \le a 1 \le b
  shows a^{-1} < b
proof -
  from A1 have a^{-1} \le 1 1 \le b
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AB by auto
  then show a^{-1} \le b by (rule Group_order_transitive)
qed
```

36.2 Inequalities

This section developes some simple tools to deal with inequalities.

Taking negative on both sides reverses the inequality, case with an inverse on one side.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AD:
  assumes A1: b \in G and A2: a \le b^{-1}
  shows b \leq a<sup>-1</sup>
proof -
  from A2 have (b^{-1})^{-1} \le a^{-1}
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5 by simp
  with A1 show b \le a^{-1}
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_inv
    by simp
qed
We can cancel the same element on both sides of an inequality.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AE:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G c \in G and A2: a \cdot b \leq a \cdot c
  shows b<c
proof -
  from ordGroupAssum A1 A2 have a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b) \leq a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot c)
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inverse_in_group IsAnOrdGroup_def
    by simp
  with A1 show b \le c
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inv_cancel_two
qed
We can cancel the same element on both sides of an inequality, right side.
lemma (in group3) ineq_cancel_right:
  assumes a \in G b \in G c \in G and a \cdot b \leq c \cdot b
  shows a \le c
proof -
  from assms(2,4) have (a \cdot b) \cdot b^{-1} \le (c \cdot b) \cdot b^{-1}
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inverse_in_group ord_transl_inv(1)
  with assms(1,2,3) show a \( \)c using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.inv_cancel_two(2)
    by auto
qed
We can cancel the same element on both sides of an inequality, a version
with an inverse on both sides.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AF:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G c \in G and A2: a \cdot b^{-1} \le a \cdot c^{-1}
  shows c≤b
proof -
  from A1 A2 have (c^{-1})^{-1} \le (b^{-1})^{-1}
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inverse_in_group
```

```
OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AE OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5 by simp
  with A1 show c \le b
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_inv by simp
qed
```

Taking negative on both sides reverses the inequality, another case with an inverse on one side.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AG:
  assumes A1: a \in G and A2: a^{-1} \le b
  shows b^{-1} < a
proof -
  from A2 have b^{-1} \le (a^{-1})^{-1}
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5 by simp
  \mathbf{with} \ \mathtt{A1} \ \mathbf{show} \ \mathtt{b}^{-1} \, \leq \, \mathtt{a}
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_inv
     by simp
qed
```

We can multiply the sides of two inequalities.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5B:
  assumes A1: a \le b and A2: c \le d
  shows a·c \leq b·d
proof -
  from A1 A2 have c \in G b \in G using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  with A1 A2 ordGroupAssum have a \cdot c \le b \cdot c b \cdot c \le b \cdot d
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def by auto
  then show a \cdot c \le b \cdot d by (rule Group_order_transitive)
```

We can replace first of the factors on one side of an inequality with a greater

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5C:
  assumes A1: c \in G and A2: a \le b \cdot c and A3: b \le b_1
  shows a \le b_1 \cdot c
proof -
  from A1 A3 have b \cdot c \leq b_1 \cdot c
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5B by simp
  with A2 show a \le b_1 \cdot c by (rule Group_order_transitive)
qed
```

We can replace second of the factors on one side of an inequality with a greater one.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5D:
  assumes A1: beG and A2: a \leq b·c and A3: c\leqb<sub>1</sub>
  shows a \leq b \cdot b_1
proof -
  from A1 A3 have b \cdot c \leq b \cdot b_1
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5B by auto
```

```
with A2 show a \le b \cdot b_1 by (rule Group_order_transitive)
qed
We can replace factors on one side of an inequality with greater ones.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5E:
  assumes A1: a \le b \cdot c and A2: b \le b_1 c \le c_1
  shows a \leq b_1 \cdot c_1
proof -
  from A2 have b \cdot c \leq b_1 \cdot c_1 using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5B
  with A1 show a \le b_1 \cdot c_1 by (rule Group_order_transitive)
qed
We don't decrease an element of the group by multiplying by one that is
nonnegative.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5F:
  assumes A1: 1 \le a and A2: b \in G
  shows b \le a \cdot b b \le b \cdot a
proof -
  from ordGroupAssum A1 A2 have
    1 \cdot b \le a \cdot b b \cdot 1 \le b \cdot a
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def by auto
  with A2 show b \le a \cdot b b \le b \cdot a
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2
    by auto
We can multiply the right hand side of an inequality by a nonnegative ele-
ment.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5G: assumes A1: a≤b
  and A2: 1 \le c shows a \le b \cdot c a \le c \cdot b
proof -
  from A1 A2 have I: b < b c and II: b < c b
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5F by auto
  from A1 I show a \le b \c by (rule Group_order_transitive)
  from A1 II show a≤c·b by (rule Group_order_transitive)
qed
We can put two elements on the other side of inequality, changing their sign.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5H:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G and A2: a \cdot b^{-1} < c
  shows
  a \le c \cdot b
  c^{-1} \cdot a \leq b
proof -
  from A2 have T: c \in G c^{-1} \in G
```

using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1

group0.inverse_in_group by auto

```
from ordGroupAssum A1 A2 have a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b \le c \cdot b
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
  with A1 show a \leq c \cdot b
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.inv_cancel_two
  with ordGroupAssum A2 T have c^{-1} \cdot a \leq c^{-1} \cdot (c \cdot b)
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
  with A1 T show c^{-1} \cdot a \leq b
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.inv_cancel_two
    by simp
qed
We can multiply the sides of one inequality by inverse of another.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5I:
  assumes a \le b and c \le d
  shows a \cdot d^{-1} < b \cdot c^{-1}
  using assms OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5B
  by simp
We can put an element on the other side of an inequality changing its sign,
version with the inverse.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5J:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G and A2: c \le a \cdot b^{-1}
  shows c \cdot b \le a
proof -
  from ordGroupAssum A1 A2 have c \cdot b \leq a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
  with A1 show c \cdot b \le a
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inv_cancel_two
    by simp
qed
We can put an element on the other side of an inequality changing its sign,
version with the inverse.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5JA:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G and A2: c \le a^{-1} \cdot b
  shows a \cdot c \le b
proof -
  from ordGroupAssum A1 A2 have a \cdot c \le a \cdot (a^{-1} \cdot b)
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
  with A1 show a \cdot c \le b
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inv_cancel_two
    by simp
qed
A special case of OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5J where c = 1.
corollary (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5K:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G and A2: 1 \le a \cdot b^{-1}
```

```
shows b \leq a
proof -
  from A1 A2 have 1 \cdot b \le a
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5J by simp
  with A1 show b < a
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2
    by simp
qed
A special case of OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5JA where c = 1.
corollary (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5KA:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G and A2: 1 \le a^{-1} \cdot b
  shows a \leq b
proof -
  from A1 A2 have a \cdot 1 \le b
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5JA by simp
  with A1 show a \leq b
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2
    by simp
qed
If the order is total, the elements that do not belong to the positive set are
negative. We also show here that the group inverse of an element that does
not belong to the nonnegative set does belong to the nonnegative set.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L6:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: a \in G - G^+
  shows \ a {\leq} 1 \quad a^{-1} \ \in \ \texttt{G}^{+} \quad \texttt{restrict}(\texttt{GroupInv}(\texttt{G},\texttt{P})\,,\texttt{G}-\texttt{G}^{+})\,(a) \ \in \ \texttt{G}^{+}
  from A2 have T1: a \in G a \notin G^+ 1 \in G
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2 by auto
  with A1 show a < 1 using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 IsTotal_def
    by auto
  then show a^{-1} \in G^+ using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5A OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2
    by simp
  with A2 show restrict(GroupInv(G,P),G-G^+)(a) \in G^+
    using restrict by simp
qed
If a property is invariant with respect to taking the inverse and it is true on
the nonnegative set, than it is true on the whole group.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L7:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G
  and A2: \forall a \in G^+ . \forall b \in G^+ . Q(a,b)
  and A3: \forall a \in G. \forall b \in G. Q(a,b) \longrightarrow Q(a^{-1},b)
  and A4: \forall a \in G. \forall b \in G. Q(a,b) \longrightarrow Q(a,b^{-1})
  and A5: a \in G b \in G
  shows Q(a,b)
proof -
```

```
{ assume A6: a \in G^+ have Q(a,b)
     proof -
        { assume b \in G^+
 with A6 A2 have Q(a,b) by simp }
        moreover
        { assume b∉G<sup>+</sup>
 with A1 A2 A4 A5 A6 have Q(a,(b^{-1})^{-1})
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L6 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inverse_in_group
    by simp
 with A5 have Q(a,b) using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group_inv_of_inv
    by simp }
        ultimately show Q(a,b) by auto
     qed }
  moreover
   { assume a \notin G^+
     with A1 A5 have T1: a^{-1} \in G^+ using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L6 by simp
     have Q(a,b)
     proof -
        { assume b \in G^+
 with A2 A3 A5 T1 have Q((a^{-1})^{-1},b)
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inverse_in_group by simp
 with A5 have Q(a,b) using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_inv
    by simp }
        moreover
        \{ assume b \notin G^+
 with A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 T1 have Q((a^{-1})^{-1},(b^{-1})^{-1})
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L6 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.inverse_in_group
    by simp
 with A5 have Q(a,b) using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group_inv_of_inv
    by simp }
        ultimately show Q(a,b) by auto
     qed }
  ultimately show Q(a,b) by auto
A lemma about splitting the ordered group "plane" into 6 subsets. Useful
for proofs by cases.
lemma (in group3) OrdGroup_6cases: assumes A1: r {is total on} G
  and A2: a \in G b \in G
  shows
  1{\le}\mathtt{a} \ \land \ 1{\le}\mathtt{b} \quad \lor \quad \mathtt{a}{\le}1 \ \land \ \mathtt{b}{\le}1 \quad \lor
  \mathtt{a} {\leq} 1 \ \land \ 1 {\leq} \mathtt{b} \ \land \ 1 \ \leq \ \mathtt{a} {\cdot} \mathtt{b} \quad \lor \ \mathtt{a} {\leq} 1 \ \land \ 1 {\leq} \mathtt{b} \ \land \ \mathtt{a} {\cdot} \mathtt{b} \ \leq \ 1 \quad \lor
  1{\leq} \texttt{a} \ \land \ \texttt{b}{\leq} \texttt{1} \ \land \ \texttt{1} \ \leq \ \texttt{a}{\cdot} \texttt{b} \quad \lor \quad 1{\leq} \texttt{a} \ \land \ \texttt{b}{\leq} \texttt{1} \ \land \ \texttt{a}{\cdot} \texttt{b} \ \leq \ \texttt{1}
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
     1 \le a \lor a \le 1
     1 \le b \lor b \le 1
     1 \le a \cdot b \lor a \cdot b \le 1
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_op_closed groupO.groupO_2_L2
```

```
IsTotal_def by auto then show thesis by auto qed
```

The next lemma shows what happens when one element of a totally ordered group is not greater or equal than another.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G
  and A2: a \in G b \in G
  and A3: \neg(a \le b)
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{b} \, \leq \, \mathtt{a} \quad \mathtt{a}^{-1} \, \leq \, \mathtt{b}^{-1} \quad \mathtt{a} \!\!\neq\!\! \mathtt{b} \quad \mathtt{b} \!\!<\!\! \mathtt{a}
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 show I: b \leq a using IsTotal_def
  then show a^{-1} \leq b^{-1} using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5 by simp
  from A2 have a \leq a using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3 by simp
  with I A3 show a \neq b b < a by auto
qed
If one element is greater or equal and not equal to another, then it is not
smaller or equal.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8AA:
  assumes A1: a \le b and A2: a \ne b
  shows \neg(b \le a)
proof -
  { note A1
     moreover assume b<a
     ultimately have a=b by (rule group_order_antisym)
     with A2 have False by simp
  \} thus \neg(b \le a) by auto
qed
A special case of OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8 when one of the elements is the unit.
corollary (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8A:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G
  and A2: a \in G and A3: \neg (1 \le a)
  shows 1 \le a^{-1} 1 \ne a a \le 1
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 have I:
    r {is total on} G
     1{\in}{\tt G}\quad {\tt a}{\in}{\tt G}
      \neg (1 \le a)
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2
    by auto
  then have 1^{-1} \le a^{-1}
     by (rule OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8)
```

then show $1 \le a^{-1}$

```
using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_one by simp
  from I show 1 \neq a by (rule OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8)
  from A1 I show a\leq1 using IsTotal_def
    by auto
ged
A negative element can not be nonnegative.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8B:
  assumes A1: a \le 1 and A2: a \ne 1 shows \neg (1 \le a)
proof -
  { assume 1 \le a
    with A1 have a=1 using group_order_antisym
      by auto
    with A2 have False by simp
  } thus thesis by auto
qed
An element is greater or equal than another iff the difference is nonpositive.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G
  shows a \le b \iff a \cdot b^{-1} \le 1
proof
  assume a \le b
  with ordGroupAssum A1 have a \cdot b^{-1} \leq b \cdot b^{-1}
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inverse_in_group
    IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
  with A1 show a \cdot b^{-1} \leq 1
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L6
    by simp
next assume A2: a \cdot b^{-1} \le 1
  with ordGroupAssum A1 have a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b < 1 \cdot b
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
  with A1 show a \le b
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1
      group0.inv_cancel_two group0.group0_2_L2
    by simp
qed
We can move an element to the other side of an inequality.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9A:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G c \in G
  shows a \cdot b \le c \longleftrightarrow a \le c \cdot b^{-1}
  assume a \cdot b \le c
  with ordGroupAssum A1 have a \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} \le c \cdot b^{-1}
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inverse_in_group IsAnOrdGroup_def
    by simp
  with A1 show a \leq c \cdot b^{-1}
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.inv_cancel_two by simp
```

```
\mathbf{next} \ \mathbf{assume} \ \mathbf{a} \, \leq \, \mathbf{c} {\cdot} \mathbf{b}^{-1}
  with ordGroupAssum A1 have a \cdot b \le c \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inverse_in_group IsAnOrdGroup_def
  with A1 show a \cdot b \le c
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.inv_cancel_two by simp
qed
A one side version of the previous lemma with weaker assuptions.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9B:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G and A2: a \cdot b^{-1} \le c
  shows a \leq c \cdot b
proof -
  from A1 A2 have a \in G b^{-1} \in G c \in G
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inverse_in_group
        OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  with A1 A2 show a < c \cdot b
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9A OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1
        group0.group_inv_of_inv by simp
qed
We can put en element on the other side of inequality, changing its sign.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9C:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G and A2: c \le a \cdot b
  shows
  \mathtt{c}{\cdot}\mathtt{b}^{-1} \, \leq \, \mathtt{a}
  \mathtt{a}^{-1}{\cdot}\mathtt{c} \, \leq \, \mathtt{b}
proof -
  from ordGroupAssum A1 A2 have
     \mathtt{c}{\cdot}\mathtt{b}^{-1} \; \leq \; \mathtt{a}{\cdot}\mathtt{b}{\cdot}\mathtt{b}^{-1}
     a^{-1} \cdot c \le a^{-1} \cdot (a \cdot b)
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inverse_in_group IsAnOrdGroup_def
     by auto
  with A1 show
     \mathtt{c}{\cdot}\mathtt{b}^{-1} \, \leq \, \mathtt{a}
     a^{-1} \cdot c \leq b
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.inv_cancel_two
     by auto
qed
If an element is greater or equal than another then the difference is nonneg-
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9D: assumes A1: a≤b
  shows 1 \le b \cdot a^{-1}
proof -
  from A1 have T: a \in G b \in G a^{-1} \in G
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1
        group0.inverse_in_group by auto
  with ordGroupAssum A1 have a \cdot a^{-1} < b \cdot a^{-1}
```

```
using IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
  with T show 1 \le b \cdot a^{-1}
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L6
     by simp
qed
If an element is greater than another then the difference is positive.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9E:
  assumes A1: a \le b a \ne b
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathbf{1} \ \leq \ \mathbf{b} {\cdot} \mathbf{a}^{-1} \quad \mathbf{1} \ \neq \ \mathbf{b} {\cdot} \mathbf{a}^{-1} \quad \mathbf{b} {\cdot} \mathbf{a}^{-1} \ \in \ \mathtt{G}_{+}
  from A1 have T: a∈G b∈G using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4
    by auto
  from A1 show I: 1 \le b \cdot a^{-1} using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9D
     by simp
  { assume b \cdot a^{-1} = 1
     with T have a=b
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.groupO_2_L11A
       by auto
     with A1 have False by simp
  } then show 1 \neq b \cdot a^{-1} by auto
  then have b \cdot a^{-1} \neq 1 by auto
  with I show b \cdot a^{-1} \in G_+ using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2A
     by simp
qed
If the difference is nonnegative, then a \leq b.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9F:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G and A2: 1 < b \cdot a^{-1}
  shows a \le b
proof -
  from A1 A2 have 1 \cdot a \leq b
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9A
    by simp
  with A1 show a≤b
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.groupO_2_L2
     by simp
qed
If we increase the middle term in a product, the whole product increases.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L10:
  assumes a \in G b \in G and c \le d
  shows a \cdot c \cdot b \le a \cdot d \cdot b
  using ordGroupAssum assms IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
A product of (strictly) positive elements is not the unit.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L11:
  assumes A1: 1 \le a 1 \le b
```

```
and A2: 1 \neq a 1 \neq b
  shows 1 \neq a \cdot b
proof -
  from A1 have T1: a \in G b \in G
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  { assume 1 = a \cdot b
    with A1 T1 have a \le 1 1 \le a
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L9 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AA
    then have a = 1 by (rule group_order_antisym)
    with A2 have False by simp
  } then show 1 \neq a \cdot b by auto
qed
A product of nonnegative elements is nonnegative.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L12:
  assumes A1: 1 \le a 1 \le b
  shows \ 1 \ \leq \ a{\cdot}b
proof -
  \mathbf{from} \ \mathtt{A1} \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathbf{1} {\cdot} \mathbf{1} \ \leq \ \mathtt{a} {\cdot} \mathtt{b}
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5B by simp
  then show 1 \leq a \cdot b
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2
    by simp
qed
If a is not greater than b, then 1 is not greater than b \cdot a^{-1}.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L12A:
  assumes A1: a \le b shows 1 \le b \cdot a^{-1}
proof -
  from A1 have T: 1 \in G a\in G b\in G
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.groupO_2_L2
    by auto
  with A1 have 1 \cdot a \leq b
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.groupO_2_L2
  with T show 1 \le b \cdot a^{-1} using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9A
    by simp
qed
We can move an element to the other side of a strict inequality.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L12B:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G and A2: a \cdot b^{-1} < c
  shows a < c \cdot b
proof -
  from A1 A2 have a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b < c \cdot b
    using group_strict_ord_transl_inv by auto
  moreover from A1 have a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b = a
```

```
using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inv_cancel_two
by simp
ultimately show a < c·b
by auto
qed
```

We can multiply the sides of two inequalities, first of them strict and we get a strict inequality.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L12C:
    assumes A1: a < b and A2: c ≤ d
    shows a · c < b · d
proof -
    from A1 A2 have T: a ∈ G b ∈ G c ∈ G d ∈ G
        using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 by auto
    with ordGroupAssum A2 have a · c ≤ a · d
        using IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
    moreover from A1 T have a · d < b · d
        using group_strict_ord_transl_inv by simp
    ultimately show a · c < b · d
        by (rule group_strict_ord_transit)
    qed</pre>
```

We can multiply the sides of two inequalities, second of them strict and we get a strict inequality.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L12D:
    assumes A1: a≤b and A2: c<d
    shows a⋅c < b⋅d
proof -
    from A1 A2 have T: a∈G b∈G c∈G d∈G
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 by auto
    with A2 have a⋅c < a⋅d
        using group_strict_ord_transl_inv by simp
    moreover from ordGroupAssum A1 T have a⋅d ≤ b⋅d
        using IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
    ultimately show a⋅c < b⋅d
        by (rule OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4A)
qed</pre>
```

36.3 The set of positive elements

In this section we study G_+ - the set of elements that are (strictly) greater than the unit. The most important result is that every linearly ordered group can decomposed into $\{1\}$, G_+ and the set of those elements $a \in G$ such that $a^{-1} \in G_+$. Another property of linearly ordered groups that we prove here is that if $G_+ \neq \emptyset$, then it is infinite. This allows to show that nontrivial linearly ordered groups are infinite.

The positive set is closed under the group operation.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L13: shows G+ {is closed under}
proof -
  { fix a b assume a \in G_+ b \in G_+
    then have T1: 1 \le a \cdot b and 1 \ne a \cdot b
      using PositiveSet_def OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L11 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L12
      by auto
    moreover from T1 have a \cdot b \in G
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 by simp
    ultimately have a \cdot b \in G_+ using PositiveSet_def by simp
  } then show G_+ {is closed under} P using IsOpClosed_def
    by simp
qed
For totally ordered groups every nonunit element is positive or its inverse is
positive.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L14:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: a \in G
  shows a=1 \lor a \in G_+ \lor a^{-1} \in G_+
proof -
  { assume A3: a \neq 1
    moreover from A1 A2 have a\leq1 \vee 1\leqa
      using IsTotal_def OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2
      by simp
    moreover from A3 A2 have T1: \mathtt{a}^{-1}\,\neq\,\mathbf{1}
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L8B
      by simp
    ultimately have a^{-1} \in G_+ \vee a \in G_+
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5A OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2A
      by auto
  } thus a=1 \lor a \in G_+ \lor a^{-1} \in G_+ by auto
qed
If an element belongs to the positive set, then it is not the unit and its
inverse does not belong to the positive set.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L15:
   assumes A1: a \in G_+ shows a \neq 1 a^{-1} \notin G_+
proof -
  from A1 show T1: a\neq 1 using PositiveSet_def by auto
  { assume a^{-1} \in G_+
    with A1 have a<1 1<a
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AA PositiveSet_def by auto
    then have a=1 by (rule group_order_antisym)
    with T1 have False by simp
  } then show a^{-1} \notin G_+ by auto
qed
If a^{-1} is positive, then a can not be positive or the unit.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L16:
```

```
assumes A1: a \in G and A2: a^{-1} \in G_+ shows a \neq 1 a \notin G_+
proof -
  from A2 have a^{-1}\neq 1 (a^{-1})^{-1}\notin G_+
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L15 by auto
  with A1 show a\neq 1 a\notin G_+
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.groupO_2_L8C groupO.group_inv_of_inv
     by auto
qed
For linearly ordered groups each element is either the unit, positive or its
inverse is positive.
lemma (in group3) OrdGroup_decomp:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: a \in G
  shows Exactly_1_of_3_holds (a=1,a\inG<sub>+</sub>,a<sup>-1</sup>\inG<sub>+</sub>)
proof -
  from A1 A2 have a=1 \vee a\inG<sub>+</sub> \vee a<sup>-1</sup>\inG<sub>+</sub>
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L14 by simp
  moreover from A2 have a=1 \longrightarrow (a\notin G_+ \land a^{-1} \notin G_+)
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_one
     PositiveSet_def by simp
  moreover from A2 have a\in G_+ \,\longrightarrow\, (a\neq \! 1 \, \wedge \, a^{-1} \notin \! G_+)
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L15 by simp
  moreover from A2 have a^{-1} \in G_+ \longrightarrow (a \neq 1 \ \land \ a \notin G_+)
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L16 by simp
  ultimately show Exactly_1_of_3_holds (a=1,a\inG<sub>+</sub>,a<sup>-1</sup>\inG<sub>+</sub>)
     by (rule Fol1_L5)
A if a is a nonunit element that is not positive, then a^{-1} is is positive. This
is useful for some proofs by cases.
lemma (in group3) OrdGroup_cases:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: a \in G
  and A3: a\neq 1 a\notin G_+
  \mathbf{shows}\ \mathtt{a}^{-1}\,\in\,\mathtt{G}_{+}
proof -
  from A1 A2 have a=1 \vee a\inG<sub>+</sub> \vee a<sup>-1</sup>\inG<sub>+</sub>
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L14 by simp
  with A3 show a^{-1} \in G_+ by auto
qed
Elements from G \setminus G_+ are not greater that the unit.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L17:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: a \in G-G_+
  shows a≤1
proof -
  { assume a=1
     with A2 have a\leq1 using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3 by simp }
```

```
moreover
  { assume a\neq 1
    with A1 A2 have a\leq1
      using PositiveSet_def OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8A
      by auto }
  ultimately show a \le 1 by auto
qed
The next lemma allows to split proofs that something holds for all a \in G
into cases a = 1, a \in G_+, -a \in G_+.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L18:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: b \in G
  and A3: Q(1) and A4: \forall a \in G_+. Q(a) and A5: \forall a \in G_+. Q(a<sup>-1</sup>)
  shows Q(b)
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 have Q(b) \vee Q((b^{-1})^{-1})
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L14 by auto
  with A2 show Q(b) using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_inv
    by simp
\mathbf{qed}
All elements greater or equal than an element of G_+ belong to G_+.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L19:
  assumes A1: a \in G_+ and A2: a \le b
  \mathbf{shows}\ \mathtt{b}\ \in\ \mathtt{G}_{+}
proof -
  from A1 have I: 1 \le a and II: a \ne 1
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2A by auto
  from I A2 have 1≤b by (rule Group_order_transitive)
  moreover have b\neq 1
  proof -
    \{ assume b=1 \}
      with I A2 have 1 \le a a \le 1
 by auto
      then have 1=a by (rule group_order_antisym)
      with II have False by simp
    } then show b\neq 1 by auto
  qed
  ultimately show b \in G_+
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2A by simp
The inverse of an element of G_+ cannot be in G_+.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L20:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: a \in G_{+}
  shows a^{-1} \notin G_+
proof -
  from A2 have a∈G using PositiveSet_def
    by simp
```

```
with A1 have Exactly_1_of_3_holds (a=1,a\inG<sub>+</sub>,a<sup>-1</sup>\inG<sub>+</sub>)
    {\bf using} OrdGroup_decomp by simp
  with A2 show a^{-1} \notin G_+ by (rule Fol1_L7)
The set of positive elements of a nontrivial linearly ordered group is not
empty.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L21:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: G \neq \{1\}
  shows G_{+} \neq 0
proof -
  have 1 ∈ G using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2
  with A2 obtain a where a \in G a \neq 1 by auto
  with A1 have \mathtt{a}{\in}\mathtt{G}_{+}\ \lor\ \mathtt{a}^{-1}{\in}\mathtt{G}_{+}
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L14 by auto
  then show G_+ \neq 0 by auto
qed
If b \in G_+, then a < a \cdot b. Multiplying a by a positive elemnt increases a.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L22:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G_+
  shows a \le a \cdot b  a \ne a \cdot b  a \cdot b \in G
proof -
  from ordGroupAssum A1 have a \cdot 1 \leq a \cdot b
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2A IsAnOrdGroup_def
    by simp
  with A1 show a < a · b
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2
    by simp
  then show a \cdot b \in G
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 by simp
  { from A1 have a \in G b \in G
       using PositiveSet_def by auto
    moreover assume a = a \cdot b
    ultimately have b = 1
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L7
       by simp
    with A1 have False using PositiveSet_def
       by simp
  } then show a \neq a·b by auto
qed
If G is a nontrivial linearly ordered hroup, then for every element of G we
can find one in G_+ that is greater or equal.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L23:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: G \neq {1}
  and A3: a \in G
```

```
shows \exists b \in G_+. a \le b
proof -
  { assume A4: a \in G_+ then have a \le a
       using PositiveSet_def OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3
       by simp
    with A4 have \exists b \in G_+. a \le b by auto }
  moreover
  \{ assume a \notin G_+ \}
    with A1 A3 have I: a le using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L17
       by simp
    from A1 A2 obtain b where II: b \in G_+
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L21 by auto
    then have 1≤b using PositiveSet_def by simp
    with I have a≤b by (rule Group_order_transitive)
    with II have \exists b \in G_+. a \le b by auto }
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
The G^+ is G_+ plus the unit.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L24: shows G^+ = G_+ \cup \{1\}
  using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2A OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3A
  by auto
What is -G_+, really?
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L25: shows
  (-G_+) = \{a^{-1}. a \in G_+\}
  (-\mathtt{G}_+) \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{G}
proof -
  from ordGroupAssum have I: GroupInv(G,P) : G \rightarrow G
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def groupO_2_T2 by simp
  moreover have G_+ \subseteq G using PositiveSet_def by auto
  ultimately show
    (-G_+) = \{a^{-1}. a \in G_+\}
    (-\mathtt{G}_+)\ \subseteq\ \mathtt{G}
    using func_imagedef func1_1_L6 by auto
qed
If the inverse of a is in G_+, then a is in the inverse of G_+.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L26:
  assumes A1: a \in G and A2: a^{-1} \in G_+
  shows a \in (-G_+)
proof -
  from A1 have a^{-1} \in G a = (a^{-1})^{-1} using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1
    group0.inverse_in_group group0.group_inv_of_inv
    by auto
  with A2 show a \in (-G<sub>+</sub>) using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L25
    by auto
qed
```

```
If a is in the inverse of G_+, then its inverse is in G_+.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L27:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathtt{a} \ \in \ (\mathtt{-G}_+)
  shows a^{-1} \in G_+
  using assms OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L25 PositiveSet_def
    OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_inv
  by auto
A linearly ordered group can be decomposed into G_+, \{1\} and -G_+
lemma (in group3) OrdGroup_decomp2:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G
  shows
  G = G_+ \cup (-G_+) \cup \{1\}
  G_{+}\cap(-G_{+}) = 0
  1 \notin G_+ \cup (-G_+)
proof -
  { fix a assume A2: a \in G
    with A1 have a \in G_+ \vee a^{-1} \in G_+ \vee a=1
       using \ {\tt OrderedGroup\_ZF\_1\_L14} \ by \ {\tt auto}
    with A2 have a \in G_+ \lor a \in (-G_+) \lor a=1
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L26 by auto
    then have a \in (G_+ \cup (-G_+) \cup \{1\})
       by auto
  \} then have G \subseteq G_+ \cup (-G_+) \cup \{1\}
    by auto
  moreover have G_+ \cup (-G_+) \cup \{1\} \subseteq G
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L25 PositiveSet_def
       OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2
    by auto
  ultimately show G = G_+ \cup (-G_+) \cup \{1\} by auto
  { let A = G_+ \cap (-G_+)
    assume G_+ \cap (-G_+) \neq 0
    then have A \neq 0 by simp
    then obtain a where a \in A by blast
    then have False using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L15 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L27
       by auto
  } then show G_{+}\cap(-G_{+}) = 0 by auto
  show 1 \notin G_+ \cup (-G_+)
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L27
       OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_one
       OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2A by auto
qed
If a \cdot b^{-1} is nonnegative, then b \leq a. This maybe used to recover the order
from the set of nonnegative elements and serve as a way to define order by
prescibing that set (see the "Alternative definitions" section).
```

```
shows b<a
proof -
  from A2 have 1 \le a \cdot b^{-1} using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2
  with A1 show b≤a using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5K
    by simp
qed
A special case of OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L28 when a \cdot b^{-1} is positive.
corollary (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L29:
  assumes A1: a \in G b \in G and A2: a \cdot b^{-1} \in G_+
  shows b \le a b \ne a
proof -
  from A2 have 1 \le a \cdot b^{-1} and I: a \cdot b^{-1} \ne 1
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2A by auto
  with A1 show b≤a using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5K
    by simp
  from A1 I show b\neq a
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L6
    by auto
qed
A bit stronger that OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L29, adds case when two elements
are equal.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L30:
  assumes a \in G b \in G and a = b \lor b \cdot a^{-1} \in G_+
  shows a < b
  using assms OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L29
  by auto
A different take on decomposition: we can have a = b or a < b or b < a.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L31:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: a \in G b \in G
  shows a=b \lor (a \le b \land a \ne b) \lor (b \le a \land b \ne a)
proof -
  from A2 have a \cdot b^{-1} \in G using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1
    group0.inverse_in_group group0.group_op_closed
    by simp
  with A1 have a \cdot b^{-1} = 1 \lor a \cdot b^{-1} \in G_+ \lor (a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1} \in G_+
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L14 by simp
  moreover
  { assume a \cdot b^{-1} = 1
    then have a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b = 1 \cdot b by simp
    with A2 have a=b \lor (a\leb \land a\neb) \lor (b\lea \land b\nea)
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1
 group0.inv_cancel_two group0.group0_2_L2 by auto }
  moreover
  \{ assume a \cdot b^{-1} \in G_+ \}
    with A2 have a=b \lor (a\leb \land a\neb) \lor (b\lea \land b\nea)
```

```
using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L29 by auto } moreover { assume (a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1} \in G_+ with A2 have b \cdot a^{-1} \in G_+ using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.groupO_2_L12 by simp with A2 have a=b \lor (a \le b \land a \ne b) \lor (b \le a \land b \ne a) using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L29 by auto } ultimately show a=b \lor (a \le b \land a \ne b) \lor (b \le a \land b \ne a) by auto qed
```

36.4 Intervals and bounded sets

Intervals here are the closed intervals of the form $\{x \in G.a \le x \le b\}$.

A bounded set can be translated to put it in G^+ and then it is still bounded above.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L1:
  assumes A1: \forall g \in A. L\leq g \land g \leq M
  and A2: S = RightTranslation(G,P,L^{-1})
  and A3: a \in S(A)
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathbf{a} < \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{L}^{-1}
                            1 \le a
proof -
  from A3 have A\neq 0 using func1_1_L13A by fast
  then obtain g where g∈A by auto
  with A1 have T1: L \in G M \in G L^{-1} \in G
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1
     group0.inverse_in_group by auto
  with A2 have S : G \rightarrow G using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_5_L1
  moreover from A1 have T2: AGG using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  ultimately have S(A) = \{S(b), b \in A\} using func_imagedef
     by simp
  with A3 obtain b where T3: b \in A a = S(b) by auto
  with A1 ordGroupAssum T1 have b \cdot L^{-1} \le M \cdot L^{-1} L \cdot L^{-1} < b \cdot L^{-1}
     using IsAnOrdGroup_def by auto
  with T3 A2 T1 T2 show a \le M \cdot L^{-1} 1 \le a
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_5_L2 group0.group0_2_L6
     by auto
qed
Every bounded set is an image of a subset of an interval that starts at 1.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L2:
  assumes A1: IsBounded(A,r)
  \mathbf{shows} \ \exists \, \mathtt{B}. \exists \, \mathtt{g} \in \mathtt{G}^+. \exists \, \mathtt{T} \in \mathtt{G} \rightarrow \mathtt{G}. \ \mathtt{A} = \mathtt{T}(\mathtt{B}) \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \subseteq \mathtt{Interval}(\mathtt{r}, \mathtt{1}, \mathtt{g})
proof -
  { assume A2: A=0
     let B = 0
     let g = 1
```

```
let T = ConstantFunction(G,1)
   have g \in G^+ using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3A by simp
   moreover have T : G \rightarrow G
     using func1_3_L1 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2 by simp
   moreover from A2 have A = T(B) by simp
   moreover have B \subseteq Interval(r,1,g) by simp
   ultimately have
     \exists B. \exists g \in G^+. \exists T \in G \rightarrow G. A = T(B) \land B \subseteq Interval(r, 1, g)
     by auto }
 moreover
 { assume A3: A \neq 0
   with A1 have \exists L. \ \forall x \in A. \ L \leq x \text{ and } \exists U. \ \forall x \in A. \ x \leq U
     using IsBounded_def IsBoundedBelow_def IsBoundedAbove_def
     by auto
   then obtain L U where D1: \forall x \in A. L\leq x \land x \leq U
     by auto
   with A3 have T1: A⊆G using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 by auto
   from A3 obtain a where a∈A by auto
   with D1 have T2: L \le a \le U by auto
   then have T3: L \in G L^{-1} \in G U \in G
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1
group0.inverse_in_group by auto
   let T = RightTranslation(G,P,L)
   let B = RightTranslation(G,P,L^{-1})(A)
   let g = U \cdot L^{-1}
   \mathbf{have}\ g{\in}G^+
   proof -
     with ordGroupAssum T3 have L \cdot L^{-1} \le g
using IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
     with T3 show thesis using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L6
OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 by simp
   qed
   moreover from T3 have T : G \rightarrow G
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_5_L1
     by simp
   moreover have A = T(B)
   proof -
     from T3 T1 have T(B) = \{a \cdot L^{-1} \cdot L : a \in A\}
using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_5_L6
by simp
     moreover from T3 T1 have \forall a \in A. a \cdot L^{-1} \cdot L = a \cdot (L^{-1} \cdot L)
using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group_oper_assoc by auto
     ultimately have T(B) = \{a \cdot (L^{-1} \cdot L) : a \in A\} by simp
     with T3 have T(B) = \{a \cdot 1. a \in A\}
using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L6 by simp
     moreover from T1 have \forall a \in A. a \cdot 1=a
using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2 by auto
     ultimately show thesis by simp
```

```
qed
    moreover have B \subseteq Interval(r,1,g)
    proof
       fix y assume A4: y \in B
       let S = RightTranslation(G,P,L^{-1})
       from D1 have T4: \forall x \in A. L\leq x \land x \leq U by simp
       moreover have T5: S = RightTranslation(G,P,L^{-1})
       moreover from A4 have T6: y \in S(A) by simp
       ultimately have y≤U·L<sup>-1</sup> using OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L1
 by blast
       moreover from T4 T5 T6 have 1≤y by (rule OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L1)
       ultimately show y ∈ Interval(r,1,g) using Interval_def by auto
    qed
    ultimately have
       \exists B. \exists g \in G^+. \exists T \in G \rightarrow G. A = T(B) \land B \subseteq Interval(r,1,g)
       by auto }
  ultimately show thesis by auto
If every interval starting at 1 is finite, then every bounded set is finite. I
find it interesting that this does not require the group to be linearly ordered
(the order to be total).
theorem (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_2_T1:
  assumes A1: \forall g \in G^+. Interval(r,1,g) \in Fin(G)
  and A2: IsBounded(A,r)
  shows A \in Fin(G)
proof -
  from A2 have
    \exists B. \exists g \in G^+. \exists T \in G \rightarrow G. A = T(B) \land B \subseteq Interval(r, 1, g)
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L2 by simp
  then obtain B g T where D1: g \in G^+ B \subseteq Interval(r,1,g)
    and D2: T : G \rightarrow G A = T(B) by auto
  from D1 A1 have B∈Fin(G) using Fin_subset_lemma by blast
  with D2 show thesis using Finite1_L6A by simp
qed
In linearly ordered groups finite sets are bounded.
theorem (in group3) ord_group_fin_bounded:
  assumes r {is total on} G and B∈Fin(G)
  shows IsBounded(B,r)
  using ordGroupAssum assms IsAnOrdGroup_def IsPartOrder_def Finite_ZF_1_T1
  by simp
```

For nontrivial linearly ordered groups if for every element G we can find one in A that is greater or equal (not necessarily strictly greater), then A can neither be finite nor bounded above.

lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L2A:

```
assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: G \neq {1}
  and A3: \forall a \in G. \exists b \in A. a \le b
  shows
  \forall a \in G. \exists b \in A. a \neq b \land a \leq b
  ¬IsBoundedAbove(A,r)
  A ∉ Fin(G)
proof -
  { fix a
     from A1 A2 obtain c where c \in G_+
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L21 by auto
     \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{assume} \ \mathbf{a} {\in} \mathbf{G}
     ultimately have
       a \cdot c \in G and I: a < a \cdot c
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L22 by auto
     with A3 obtain b where II: b \in A and III: a \cdot c \le b
     moreover from I III have a <b by (rule OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4A)
     ultimately have \exists b \in A. a \neq b \land a \leq b by auto
  } thus \forall a \in G. \exists b \in A. a \neq b \land a \leq b by simp
  with ordGroupAssum A1 show
     \negIsBoundedAbove(A,r)
     A \notin Fin(G)
     using IsAnOrdGroup_def IsPartOrder_def
     OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1A Order_ZF_3_L14 Finite_ZF_1_1_L3
     by auto
qed
```

Nontrivial linearly ordered groups are infinite. Recall that Fin(A) is the collection of finite subsets of A. In this lemma we show that $G \notin Fin(G)$, that is that G is not a finite subset of itself. This is a way of saying that G is infinite. We also show that for nontrivial linearly ordered groups G_+ is infinite.

```
theorem (in group3) Linord_group_infinite:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: G \neq {1}
  shows
  G_+ \notin Fin(G)
  G ∉ Fin(G)
proof -
  from A1 A2 show I: G_+ \notin Fin(G)
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L23 OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L2A
    by simp
  \{ assume G \in Fin(G) \}
    moreover have G_+ \subseteq G using PositiveSet_def by auto
    ultimately have G_+ \in Fin(G) using Fin_subset_lemma
      by blast
    with I have False by simp
  } then show G \notin Fin(G) by auto
qed
```

A property of nonempty subsets of linearly ordered groups that don't have a maximum: for any element in such subset we can find one that is strictly greater.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L2B:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: A\subseteqG and
  A3: \negHasAmaximum(r,A) and A4: x \in A
  shows \exists y \in A. x<y
proof -
  from ordGroupAssum assms have
    antisym(r)
    r {is total on} G
    A\subseteq G \neg HasAmaximum(r,A) x\in A
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def IsPartOrder_def
    by auto
  then have \exists y \in A. \langle x,y \rangle \in r \land y \neq x
    using Order_ZF_4_L16 by simp
  then show \exists y \in A. x<y by auto
qed
In linearly ordered groups G \setminus G_+ is bounded above.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L3:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G shows IsBoundedAbove(G-G_+,r)
proof -
  from A1 have \forall a \in G-G_+. a \le 1
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L17 by simp
  then show IsBoundedAbove(G-G+,r)
    using IsBoundedAbove_def by auto
qed
In linearly ordered groups if A \cap G_+ is finite, then A is bounded above.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L4:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: A\subseteq G
  and A3: A \cap G<sub>+</sub> \in Fin(G)
  shows IsBoundedAbove(A,r)
proof
  have A \cap (G-G_+) \subseteq G-G_+ by auto
  with A1 have IsBoundedAbove(A \cap (G-G<sub>+</sub>),r)
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L3 Order_ZF_3_L13
    by blast
  moreover from A1 A3 have IsBoundedAbove(A \cap G<sub>+</sub>,r)
    using ord_group_fin_bounded IsBounded_def
  moreover from A1 ordGroupAssum have
    r {is total on} G trans(r) r\subseteq G\times G
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def IsPartOrder_def by auto
  ultimately have IsBoundedAbove(A \cap (G-G<sub>+</sub>) \cup A \cap G<sub>+</sub>,r)
    using Order_ZF_3_L3 by simp
  moreover from A2 have A = A \cap (G-G<sub>+</sub>) \cup A \cap G<sub>+</sub>
```

```
by auto
  ultimately show IsBoundedAbove(A,r) by simp
If a set -A \subseteq G is bounded above, then A is bounded below.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L5:
  assumes A1: A G and A2: IsBoundedAbove(-A,r)
  shows IsBoundedBelow(A,r)
proof -
  { assume A = 0 then have IsBoundedBelow(A,r)
      using IsBoundedBelow_def by auto }
  moreover
  { assume A3: A≠0
    from ordGroupAssum have I: GroupInv(G,P) : G \rightarrow G
      using IsAnOrdGroup_def group0_2_T2 by simp
    with A1 A2 A3 obtain u where D: \forall a \in (-A). a < u
      using func1_1_L15A IsBoundedAbove_def by auto
    { fix b assume b \in A
      with A1 I D have b^{-1} \le u and T: b \in G
 using func_imagedef by auto
      then have u^{-1} \le (b^{-1})^{-1} using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5
 by simp
      with T have u^{-1} \le b
 using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_inv
    } then have \forall b \in A. \langle u^{-1}, b \rangle \in r by simp
    then have IsBoundedBelow(A,r)
      using Order_ZF_3_L9 by blast }
  ultimately show thesis by auto
If a \leq b, then the image of the interval a..b by any function is nonempty.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L6:
  assumes a \le b and f: G \rightarrow G
  shows f(Interval(r,a,b)) \neq 0
  using ordGroupAssum assms OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4
    Order_ZF_2_L6 Order_ZF_2_L2A
    IsAnOrdGroup_def IsPartOrder_def func1_1_L15A
  by auto
end
```

37 More on ordered groups

theory OrderedGroup_ZF_1 imports OrderedGroup_ZF

begin

In this theory we continue the OrderedGroup_ZF theory development.

37.1 Absolute value and the triangle inequality

The goal of this section is to prove the triangle inequality for ordered groups.

```
Absolute value maps G into G.
```

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L1:
  {f shows} AbsoluteValue(G,P,r) : G
ightarrowG
proof -
  let f = id(G^+)
  let g = restrict(GroupInv(G,P),G-G+)
  have f : G^+ \rightarrow G^+ using id_type by simp
  then have f : G^+ \rightarrow G using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4E fun_weaken_type
    by blast
  moreover have g : G-G^+ \rightarrow G
  proof -
    from ordGroupAssum have GroupInv(G,P) : G \rightarrow G
      using IsAnOrdGroup_def groupO_2_T2 by simp
    moreover have G-G^+ \subseteq G by auto
    ultimately show thesis using restrict_type2 by simp
  qed
  moreover have G^+ \cap (G-G^+) = 0 by blast
  ultimately have f \cup g : G^+ \cup (G-G^+) \rightarrow G \cup G
    by (rule fun_disjoint_Un)
  moreover have G^+ \cup (G-G^+) = G using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4E
    by auto
  ultimately show AbsoluteValue(G,P,r): G \rightarrow G
    using AbsoluteValue_def by simp
If a \in G^+, then |a| = a.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2:
  assumes A1: a \in G^+ shows |a| = a
proof -
  from ordGroupAssum have GroupInv(G,P) : G \rightarrow G
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def group0_2_T2 by simp
  with A1 show thesis using
    func1_1_L1 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4E fun_disjoint_apply1
    AbsoluteValue_def id_conv by simp
qed
The absolute value of the unit is the unit. In the additive totation that
would be |0| = 0.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2A:
  shows |1| = 1 using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3A OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2
  by simp
If a is positive, then |a| = a.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2B:
```

```
assumes a \in G_+ shows |a| = a
  using assms PositiveSet_def Nonnegative_def OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2
  by auto
If a \in G \setminus G^+, then |a| = a^{-1}.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3:
   assumes A1: a \in G-G^+ shows |a| = a^{-1}
proof -
  have domain(id(G^+)) = G^+
    using id_type func1_1_L1 by auto
  with A1 show thesis using fun_disjoint_apply2 AbsoluteValue_def
    restrict by simp
qed
For elements that not greater than the unit, the absolute value is the inverse.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3A:
  assumes A1: a≤1
  shows |a| = a^{-1}
proof -
  { assume a=1 then have |a| = a^{-1}
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2A OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_one
      by simp }
  moreover
  { assume a \neq 1
    with A1 have |a| = a<sup>-1</sup> using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4C OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3
      by simp }
  ultimately show |a| = a^{-1} by blast
In linearly ordered groups the absolute value of any element is in G^+.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3B:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: a \in G
  \mathbf{shows} \ |\mathtt{a}| \in \mathtt{G}^+
proof -
  { assume a \in G^+ then have |a| \in G^+
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2 by simp }
  moreover
  { assume a \notin G^+
    with A1 A2 have |a| ∈ G<sup>+</sup> using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3
      OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L6 by simp }
  ultimately show |a| \in G^+ by blast
For linearly ordered groups (where the order is total), the absolute value
maps the group into the positive set.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3C:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G
  {
m shows} AbsoluteValue(G,P,r) : {
m G}{
ightarrow}{
m G}^+
```

```
proof-
  have AbsoluteValue(G,P,r) : G \rightarrow G using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L1
    by simp
  moreover from A1 have T2:
    \forall g \in G. AbsoluteValue(G,P,r)(g) \in G^+
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3B by simp
  ultimately show thesis by (rule func1_1_L1A)
If the absolute value is the unit, then the elemnent is the unit.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3D:
  assumes A1: a \in G and A2: |a| = 1
  shows a = 1
proof -
  \{ assume a \in G^+ \}
    with A2 have a = 1 using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2 by simp }
  moreover
  \{ assume a \notin G^+ 
    with A1 A2 have a = 1 using
      OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.groupO_2_L8A
      by auto }
  ultimately show a = 1 by blast
qed
In linearly ordered groups the unit is not greater than the absolute value of
any element.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3E:
  assumes r {is total on} G and a\inG
  shows 1 < |a|
  using assms OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3B OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 by simp
If b is greater than both a and a^{-1}, then b is greater than |a|.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L4:
  assumes A1: a \le b and A2: a^{-1} \le b
  shows |a| < b
proof -
  { assume a \in G^+
    with A1 have |a| \le b using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2 by simp }
  moreover
  { assume a \notin G^+
    with A1 A2 have |a| \le b
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3 by simp }
  ultimately show |a| \le b by blast
In linearly ordered groups a \leq |a|.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L5:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: a \in G
```

```
shows a < |a|
proof -
  \{ assume a \in G^+ \}
    with A2 have a \le |a|
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3 by simp }
  moreover
  { assume a \notin G^+
    with A1 A2 have a \le |a|
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3B OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4B by simp }
  ultimately show a \le |a| by blast
a^{-1} \leq |a| (in additive notation it would be -a \leq |a|.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L6:
  assumes A1: a \in G shows a^{-1} \le |a|
proof -
  \{ assume a \in G^+ \}
    then have T1: 1\le a and T2: |a| = a using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2
       OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2 by auto
    then have a^{-1} \le 1^{-1} using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5 by simp
    then have T3: a^{-1} \le 1
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_one by simp
    from T3 T1 have a<sup>-1</sup> \le a by (rule Group_order_transitive)
    with T2 have a^{-1} \leq |a| by simp }
  moreover
  { assume A2: a \notin G^+
    from A1 have |a| \in G
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L1 apply_funtype by auto
    with ordGroupAssum have |a| \le |a|
       using IsAnOrdGroup\_def IsPartOrder\_def refl\_def by simp
    with A1 A2 have a^{-1} \le |a| using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3 by simp }
  ultimately show a^{-1} \le |a| by blast
qed
Some inequalities about the product of two elements of a linearly ordered
group and its absolute value.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L6A:
  assumes r {is total on} G and a \in G b \in G
  shows
  a \cdot b \le |a| \cdot |b|
  a \cdot b^{-1} \le |a| \cdot |b|
  \mathtt{a}^{-1}{\cdot}\mathtt{b} \, \leq \! |\mathtt{a}|{\cdot}|\mathtt{b}|
  a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} < |a| \cdot |b|
  using assms OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L5 OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L6
    OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5B by auto
|a^{-1}| \le |a|.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7:
  assumes r {is total on} G and a \in G
```

```
shows |a^{-1}| < |a|
  using assms OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L5 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_inv
    OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L6 OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L4 by simp
|a^{-1}| = |a|.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7A:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: a \in G
  shows |a^{-1}| = |a|
proof -
  from A2 have a<sup>-1</sup>∈G using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.inverse_in_group
  with A1 have |(a^{-1})^{-1}| \le |a^{-1}| using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7 by simp
  with A1 A2 have |a^{-1}| \le |a| |a| \le |a^{-1}|
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group_inv_of_inv OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7
  then show thesis by (rule group_order_antisym)
|a \cdot b^{-1}| = |b \cdot a^{-1}|. It doesn't look so strange in the additive notation:
|a - b| = |b - a|.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7B:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: a \in G b \in G
  shows |a \cdot b^{-1}| = |b \cdot a^{-1}|
proof -
  from A1 A2 have |(a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1}| = |a \cdot b^{-1}| using
    OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.inverse_in_group group0.group0_2_L1
    monoid0.group0_1_L1 OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7A by simp
  moreover from A2 have (a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1} = b \cdot a^{-1}
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L12 by simp
  ultimately show thesis by simp
qed
Triangle inequality for linearly ordered abelian groups. It would be nice to
drop commutativity or give an example that shows we can't do that.
theorem (in group3) OrdGroup_triangle_ineq:
  assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: r {is total on} G and A3: a \in G b \in G
  shows |a \cdot b| \le |a| \cdot |b|
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 have
    \mathtt{a} \, \leq \, |\mathtt{a}| \ \mathtt{b} \, \leq \, |\mathtt{b}| \ \mathtt{a}^{-1} \, \leq \, |\mathtt{a}| \ \mathtt{b}^{-1} \, \leq \, |\mathtt{b}|
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L5 OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L6 by auto
  then have a \cdot b \le |a| \cdot |b| \ a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} \le |a| \cdot |b|
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5B by auto
  with A1 A3 show |a \cdot b| \le |a| \cdot |b|
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_two IsCommutative_def
    OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L4 by simp
```

```
qed
```

```
We can multiply the sides of an inequality with absolute value.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7C:
  assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: r {is total on} G and A3: a \in G b \in G
  and A4: |a| \le c |b| \le d
  shows |a \cdot b| \le c \cdot d
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 A4 have |a \cdot b| \le |a| \cdot |b|
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrdGroup_triangle_ineq
    by simp
  moreover from A4 have |a| \cdot |b| \le c \cdot d
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5B by simp
  ultimately show thesis by (rule Group_order_transitive)
qed
A version of the OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7C but with multiplying by the inverse.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7CA:
  assumes P {is commutative on} G
  and r {is total on} G and a \in G b \in G
  and |a| \le c |b| \le d
  shows |a \cdot b^{-1}| \le c \cdot d
  using assms OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.inverse_in_group
  OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7A OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7C by simp
Triangle inequality with three integers.
lemma (in group3) OrdGroup_triangle_ineq3:
  assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: r {is total on} G and A3: a \in G b \in G c \in G
  shows |a \cdot b \cdot c| \le |a| \cdot |b| \cdot |c|
proof -
```

```
lemma (in group3) OrdGroup_triangle_ineq3:
    assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
    and A2: r {is total on} G and A3: a∈G b∈G c∈G
    shows |a·b·c| ≤ |a|·|b|·|c|
proof -
    from A3 have T: a·b ∈ G |c| ∈ G
        using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_op_closed
        OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L1 apply_funtype by auto
    with A1 A2 A3 have |a·b·c| ≤ |a·b|·|c|
        using OrdGroup_triangle_ineq by simp
    moreover from ordGroupAssum A1 A2 A3 T have
    |a·b|·|c| ≤ |a|·|b|·|c|
        using OrdGroup_triangle_ineq IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
    ultimately show |a·b·c| ≤ |a|·|b|·|c|
        by (rule Group_order_transitive)
    qed
Some variants of the triangle inequality.
```

lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7D:
 assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G

and A2: r {is total on} G and A3: $a \in G$ $b \in G$

```
and A4: |a \cdot b^{-1}| \le c
  shows
   |a| \le c \cdot |b|
   |a| \le |b| \cdot c
  c^{-1} \cdot a \leq b
  a \cdot c^{-1} \le b
  \mathtt{a} \, \leq \, \mathtt{b} \cdot \bar{\mathtt{c}}
proof -
  from A3 A4 have
     \mathtt{T:}\ \mathtt{a}\cdot\mathtt{b}^{-1}\ \in\ \mathtt{G}\quad |\mathtt{b}|\ \in\ \mathtt{G}\quad \mathtt{c}\in\mathtt{G}\quad \mathtt{c}^{-1}\ \in\ \mathtt{G}
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1
        group0.inverse_in_group group0.group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L1
        OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L1 apply_funtype OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4
     by auto
  from A3 have |a| = |a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b|
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.inv_cancel_two
     by simp
  with A1 A2 A3 T have |a| \le |a \cdot b^{-1}| \cdot |b|
     using OrdGroup_triangle_ineq by simp
   with T A4 show |a| \le c \cdot |b| using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5C
     by blast
  with T A1 show |a| \le |b| \cdot c
     using IsCommutative_def by simp
  from A2 T have a \cdot b^{-1} \le |a \cdot b^{-1}|
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L5 by simp
  moreover note A4
  \mathbf{ultimately} \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{I:} \ \mathtt{a} \cdot \mathtt{b}^{-1} \, \leq \, \mathtt{c}
     by (rule Group_order_transitive)
   with A3 show c^{-1} \cdot a \leq b
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5H by simp
  \mathbf{with} \ \mathtt{A1} \ \mathtt{A3} \ \mathtt{T} \ \mathbf{show} \ \mathtt{a} {\cdot} \mathtt{c}^{-1} \, \leq \, \mathtt{b}
     using IsCommutative_def by simp
  from A1 A3 T I show a \leq b·c
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5H IsCommutative_def
     by auto
qed
Some more variants of the triangle inequality.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7E:
  assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: r {is total on} G and A3: a \in G b \in G
  and A4: |a \cdot b^{-1}| \le c
  shows b \cdot c^{-1} < a
proof -
  from A3 have a \cdot b^{-1} \in G
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1
        group0.inverse_in_group group0.group_op_closed
     by auto
  with A2 have |(a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1}| = |a \cdot b^{-1}|
```

```
using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7A by simp
  moreover from A3 have (a \cdot b^{-1})^{-1} = b \cdot a^{-1}
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.groupO_2_L12
     by simp
  ultimately have |b \cdot a^{-1}| = |a \cdot b^{-1}|
     \mathbf{b}\mathbf{v} simp
  with A1 A2 A3 A4 show b \cdot c^{-1} < a
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7D by simp
qed
An application of the triangle inequality with four group elements.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7F:
  assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: r {is total on} G and
  A3: a \in G b \in G c \in G d \in G
  shows |a \cdot c^{-1}| < |a \cdot b| \cdot |c \cdot d| \cdot |b \cdot d^{-1}|
proof -
  from A3 have T:
     \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c}^{-1} \in \mathbf{G} \quad \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{G} \quad \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{G} \quad \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{d}^{-1} \in \mathbf{G}
      (\mathtt{c}{\cdot}\mathtt{d})^{-1}\,\in\,\mathtt{G}\quad(\mathtt{b}{\cdot}\mathtt{d}^{-1})^{-1}\,\in\,\mathtt{G}
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1
        group0.inverse_in_group group0.group_op_closed
     by auto
  with A1 A2 have |(a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d)^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot d^{-1})^{-1}| < |a \cdot b| \cdot |(c \cdot d)^{-1}| \cdot |(b \cdot d^{-1})^{-1}|
     using OrdGroup_triangle_ineq3 by simp
  moreover from A2 T have |(c \cdot d)^{-1}| = |c \cdot d| and |(b \cdot d^{-1})^{-1}| = |b \cdot d^{-1}|
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7A by auto
  moreover from A1 A3 have (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d)^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot d^{-1})^{-1} = a \cdot c^{-1}
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.groupO_4_L8
  ultimately show |a \cdot c^{-1}| \le |a \cdot b| \cdot |c \cdot d| \cdot |b \cdot d^{-1}|
     by simp
qed
|a| \le L implies L^{-1} \le a (it would be -L \le a in the additive notation).
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L8:
  assumes A1: a \in G and A2: |a| \le L
    shows
  L^{-1} \le a
proof -
  from A1 have I: a^{-1} \le |a| using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L6 by simp
  from I A2 have a^{-1} \le L by (rule Group_order_transitive)
  then have L^{-1} \le (a^{-1})^{-1} using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5 by simp
  with A1 show L<sup>-1</sup><a using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_inv
     \mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} simp
qed
In linearly ordered groups |a| \leq L implies a \leq L (it would be a \leq L in the
additive notation).
```

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L8A:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G
  and A2: a \in G and A3: |a| \le L
  shows
  a \le L
  1 \le L
proof -
  from A1 A2 have I: a \le |a| using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L5 by simp
  from I A3 show a \leq L by (rule Group_order_transitive)
  from A1 A2 A3 have 1 \le |a| - |a| \le L
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3B OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 by auto
   then show 1\leq L by (rule Group_order_transitive)
qed
A somewhat generalized version of the above lemma.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L8B:
  assumes A1: a \in G and A2: |a| \le L and A3: 1 \le c
  shows (L \cdot c)^{-1} \le a
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 have c^{-1} \cdot L^{-1} \leq 1 \cdot a
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L8 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AB
    OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5B by simp
  with A1 A2 A3 show (L \cdot c)^{-1} < a
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1
      group0.group_inv_of_two group0.group0_2_L2
    by simp
\mathbf{qed}
If b is between a and a \cdot c, then b \cdot a^{-1} < c.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L8C:
  assumes A1: a \le b and A2: c \in G and A3: b \le c \cdot a
  shows |b \cdot a^{-1}| \le c
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 have b \cdot a^{-1} \le c
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9C OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4
    by simp
  moreover have (b \cdot a^{-1})^{-1} \le c
  proof -
    from A1 have T: a \in G b \in G
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 by auto
    with A1 have a \cdot b^{-1} < 1
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9 by blast
    moreover
    from A1 A3 have a < c · a
      by (rule Group_order_transitive)
    with ordGroupAssum T have a \cdot a^{-1} \le c \cdot a \cdot a^{-1}
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.inverse_in_group
      IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
    with T A2 have 1 \le c
```

```
\begin{array}{c} using \ \tt OrderedGroup\_ZF\_1\_L1\\ group0.group0\_2\_L6 \ group0.inv\_cancel\_two\\ by \ simp\\ ultimately \ have \ a\cdot b^{-1} \le c\\ by \ (\tt rule \ Group\_order\_transitive)\\ with \ T \ show \ (b\cdot a^{-1})^{-1} \le c\\ using \ \tt OrderedGroup\_ZF\_1\_L1 \ group0.group0\_2\_L12\\ by \ simp\\ qed\\ ultimately \ show \ |b\cdot a^{-1}| \le c\\ using \ \tt OrderedGroup\_ZF\_3\_L4 \ by \ simp\\ qed\\ \end{array}
```

For linearly ordered groups if the absolute values of elements in a set are bounded, then the set is bounded.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L9: assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: A\subseteqG and A3: \forall a\inA. |a| \leq L shows IsBounded(A,r) proof - from A1 A2 A3 have \forall a\inA. a\leqL \forall a\inA. L^{-1}\leqa using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L8 OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L8A by auto then show IsBounded(A,r) using IsBoundedAbove_def IsBoundedBelow_def IsBounded_def by auto qed
```

A slightly more general version of the previous lemma, stating the same fact for a set defined by separation.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L9A: assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: \forall x \in X. b(x) \in G \land |b(x)| \le L shows IsBounded(\{b(x). x \in X\}, r) proof - from A2 have \{b(x). x \in X\} \subseteq G \ \forall a \in \{b(x). x \in X\}. |a| \le L by auto with A1 show thesis using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L9 by blast qed
```

A special form of the previous lemma stating a similar fact for an image of a set by a function with values in a linearly ordered group.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L9B: assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: f:X \rightarrow G and A3: A \subseteq X and A4: \forall x \in A. |f(x)| \leq L shows IsBounded(f(A),r) proof -
```

```
from A2 A3 A4 have \forall x \in A. f(x) \in G \land |f(x)| \leq L
    using apply\_funtype by auto
  with A1 have IsBounded(\{f(x). x \in A\},r)
    by (rule OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L9A)
  with A2 A3 show IsBounded(f(A),r)
    using func_imagedef by simp
qed
For linearly ordered groups if l \leq a \leq u then |a| is smaller than the greater
of |l|, |u|.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L10:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G
  and A2: 1 \le a a \le u
  shows
  |a| \leq GreaterOf(r, |1|, |u|)
proof -
  from A2 have T1: |1| \in G |a| \in G |u| \in G
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L1 apply_funtype
    by auto
  { assume A3: a \in G^+
    with A2 have 1 \le a \le u
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 by auto
    then have 1≤u by (rule Group_order_transitive)
    with A2 A3 have |a| \le |u|
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2 by simp
    moreover from A1 T1 have |u| \leq GreaterOf(r,|1|,|u|)
      using Order_ZF_3_L2 by simp
    ultimately have |a| \leq GreaterOf(r, |1|, |u|)
      by (rule Group_order_transitive) }
  moreover
  { assume A4: a∉G<sup>+</sup>
    with A2 have T2:
      1 \in G \mid 1 \mid \in G \mid a \mid \in G \mid u \mid \in G \mid a \in G - G^+
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L1 apply_funtype
      by auto
    with A2 have 1 \in G-G<sup>+</sup> using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4D by fast
    with T2 A2 have |a| \le |1|
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5
      by simp
    moreover from A1 T2 have |1| \leq GreaterOf(r, |1|, |u|)
      using Order_ZF_3_L2 by simp
    ultimately have |a| \leq GreaterOf(r,|1|,|u|)
      by (rule Group_order_transitive) }
  ultimately show thesis by blast
For linearly ordered groups if a set is bounded then the absolute values are
bounded.
```

lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L10A:

```
assumes A1: r {is total on} G
  and A2: IsBounded(A,r)
  shows \exists L. \ \forall a \in A. \ |a| \leq L
proof -
  { assume A = 0 then have thesis by auto }
  moreover
  { assume A3: A \neq 0
    with A2 have \exists u. \forall g \in A. g \leq u and \exists 1. \forall g \in A. 1 \leq g
       using IsBounded_def IsBoundedAbove_def IsBoundedBelow_def
       by auto
    then obtain u 1 where \forall g \in A. 1 \le g \land g \le u
    with A1 have \forall a \in A. |a| \leq GreaterOf(r, |1|, |u|)
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L10 by simp
    then have thesis by auto }
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
A slightly more general version of the previous lemma, stating the same fact
for a set defined by separation.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L11:
  assumes r {is total on} G
  and IsBounded(\{b(x).x \in X\},r)
  shows \exists L. \ \forall x \in X. \ |b(x)| \leq L
  using assms OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L10A by blast
Absolute values of elements of a finite image of a nonempty set are bounded
by an element of the group.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L11A:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G
  and A2: X\neq 0 and A3: \{b(x). x\in X\} \in Fin(G)
  shows \exists L \in G. \forall x \in X. |b(x)| \leq L
proof -
  from A1 A3 have \exists L. \ \forall x \in X. \ |b(x)| \leq L
     using ord_group_fin_bounded OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L11
     by simp
  then obtain L where I: \forall x \in X. |b(x)| \leq L
    using OrderedGroup\_ZF\_3\_L11 by auto
  from A2 obtain x where x \in X by auto
  with I show thesis using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4
    by blast
qed
In totally oredered groups the absolute value of a nonunit element is in G_+.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L12:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G
  and A2: a \in G and A3: a \neq 1
```

shows $|a| \in G_+$

```
proof - from A1 A2 have |a| \in G 1 \le |a| using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L1 apply_funtype OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3B OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 by auto moreover from A2 A3 have |a| \ne 1 using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3D by auto ultimately show |a| \in G_+ using PositiveSet_def by auto qed
```

37.2 Maximum absolute value of a set

Quite often when considering inequalities we prefer to talk about the absolute values instead of raw elements of a set. This section formalizes some material that is useful for that.

If a set has a maximum and minimum, then the greater of the absolute value of the maximum and minimum belongs to the image of the set by the absolute value function.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_4_L1:
   assumes A ⊆ G
   and HasAmaximum(r,A) HasAminimum(r,A)
   and M = GreaterOf(r,|Minimum(r,A)|,|Maximum(r,A)|)
   shows M ∈ AbsoluteValue(G,P,r)(A)
   using ordGroupAssum assms IsAnOrdGroup_def IsPartOrder_def
   Order_ZF_4_L3 Order_ZF_4_L4 OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L1
   func_imagedef GreaterOf_def by auto
```

If a set has a maximum and minimum, then the greater of the absolute value of the maximum and minimum bounds absolute values of all elements of the set.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_4_L2:
   assumes A1: r {is total on} G
   and A2: HasAmaximum(r,A) HasAminimum(r,A)
   and A3: a ∈ A
   shows |a| ≤ GreaterOf(r,|Minimum(r,A)|,|Maximum(r,A)|)
proof -
   from ordGroupAssum A2 A3 have
    Minimum(r,A) ≤ a a ≤ Maximum(r,A)
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def IsPartOrder_def Order_ZF_4_L3 Order_ZF_4_L4
   by auto
   with A1 show thesis by (rule OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L10)
qed
```

If a set has a maximum and minimum, then the greater of the absolute value of the maximum and minimum bounds absolute values of all elements of the

set. In this lemma the absolute values of ekements of a set are represented as the elements of the image of the set by the absolute value function.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_4_L3:
   assumes r {is total on} G and A ⊆ G
   and HasAmaximum(r,A) HasAminimum(r,A)
   and b ∈ AbsoluteValue(G,P,r)(A)
   shows b≤ GreaterOf(r,|Minimum(r,A)|,|Maximum(r,A)|)
   using assms OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L1 func_imagedef OrderedGroup_ZF_4_L2
   by auto
```

If a set has a maximum and minimum, then the set of absolute values also has a maximum.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_4_L4:
   assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: A ⊆ G
   and A3: HasAmaximum(r,A) HasAminimum(r,A)
   shows HasAmaximum(r,AbsoluteValue(G,P,r)(A))
proof -
   let M = GreaterOf(r,|Minimum(r,A)|,|Maximum(r,A)|)
   from A2 A3 have M ∈ AbsoluteValue(G,P,r)(A)
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_4_L1 by simp
   moreover from A1 A2 A3 have
   ∀b ∈ AbsoluteValue(G,P,r)(A). b ≤ M
      using OrderedGroup_ZF_4_L3 by simp
   ultimately show thesis using HasAmaximum_def by auto
   qed
```

If a set has a maximum and a minimum, then all absolute values are bounded by the maximum of the set of absolute values.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_4_L5:
   assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: A ⊆ G
   and A3: HasAmaximum(r,A) HasAminimum(r,A)
   and A4: a∈A
   shows |a| ≤ Maximum(r,AbsoluteValue(G,P,r)(A))
proof -
   from A2 A4 have |a| ∈ AbsoluteValue(G,P,r)(A)
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L1 func_imagedef by auto
   with ordGroupAssum A1 A2 A3 show thesis using
     IsAnOrdGroup_def IsPartOrder_def OrderedGroup_ZF_4_L4
     Order_ZF_4_L3 by simp
   qed
```

37.3 Alternative definitions

Sometimes it is usful to define the order by prescibing the set of positive or nonnegative elements. This section deals with two such definitions. One takes a subset H of G that is closed under the group operation, $1 \notin H$ and for every $a \in H$ we have either $a \in H$ or $a^{-1} \in H$. Then the order is defined

as $a \leq b$ iff a = b or $a^{-1}b \in H$. For abelian groups this makes a linearly ordered group. We will refer to order defined this way in the comments as the order defined by a positive set. The context used in this section is the group0 context defined in Group_ZF theory. Recall that f in that context denotes the group operation (unlike in the previous sections where the group operation was denoted P.

The order defined by a positive set is the same as the order defined by a nonnegative set.

```
lemma (in group0) OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L1:
  assumes A1: r = \{p \in G \times G. fst(p) = snd(p) \lor fst(p)^{-1} \cdot snd(p) \in H\}
  shows \langle a,b \rangle \in r \iff a \in G \land b \in G \land a^{-1} \cdot b \in H \cup \{1\}
proof
  assume \langle a,b \rangle \in r
  with A1 show a \in G \land b \in G \land a^{-1} \cdot b \in H \cup \{1\}
     using group0_2_L6 by auto
next assume a \in G \land b \in G \land a^{-1} \cdot b \in H \cup \{1\}
   then have aeG \land beG \land b=(a^{-1})^{-1} \lor aeG \land beG \land a^{-1}b \in H
     using inverse_in_group group0_2_L9 by auto
  with A1 show \langle a,b \rangle \in r using group_inv_of_inv
     by auto
qed
The relation defined by a positive set is antisymmetric.
lemma (in group0) OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L2:
  assumes A1: r = {p \in G \times G. fst(p) = snd(p) \vee fst(p)<sup>-1</sup>·snd(p) \in H}
  and A2: \forall a \in G. a \neq 1 \longrightarrow (a \in H) Xor (a^{-1} \in H)
  shows antisym(r)
proof -
  { fix a b assume A3: \langle a,b \rangle \in r \ \langle b,a \rangle \in r
     with A1 have T: a \in G b \in G by auto
     { assume A4: a≠b
       with A1 A3 have a^{-1} \cdot b \in G a^{-1} \cdot b \in H (a^{-1} \cdot b)^{-1} \in H
 using inverse_in_group group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L1 group0_2_L12
 by auto
       with A2 have a^{-1} \cdot b = 1 using Xor_def by auto
       with T A4 have False using group0_2_L11 by auto
     } then have a=b by auto
  } then show antisym(r) by (rule antisymI)
\mathbf{qed}
The relation defined by a positive set is transitive.
lemma (in group0) OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L3:
  assumes A1: r = \{p \in G \times G. fst(p) = snd(p) \lor fst(p)^{-1} \cdot snd(p) \in H\}
  and A2: H⊆G H {is closed under} P
  shows trans(r)
proof -
  { fix a b c assume \langle a,b \rangle \in r \ \langle b,c \rangle \in r
```

```
with A1 have
        a \in G \land b \in G \land a^{-1} \cdot b \in H \cup \{1\}
        \mathtt{b}{\in}\mathtt{G} \ \land \ \mathtt{c}{\in}\mathtt{G} \ \land \ \mathtt{b}^{-1}{\cdot}\mathtt{c} \ \in \ \mathtt{H} \ \cup \ \{1\}
        using OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L1 by auto
      with A2 have
        I \colon a{\in}G \quad b{\in}G \quad c{\in}G
        and (a^{-1} \cdot b) \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot c) \in H \cup \{1\}
        using inverse_in_group group0_2_L17 IsOpClosed_def
        by auto
     moreover from I have a^{-1} \cdot c = (a^{-1} \cdot b) \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot c)
        by (rule group0_2_L14A)
     ultimately have \langle a,c \rangle \in G \times G \quad a^{-1} \cdot c \in H \cup \{1\}
        by auto
     with A1 have \langle a,c \rangle \in r using OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L1
        by auto
   } then have \forall a b c. \langle a, b \rangle \in r \land \langle b, c \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle a, c \rangle \in r
     by blast
  then show trans(r) by (rule Fol1_L2)
The relation defined by a positive set is translation invariant. With our
definition this step requires the group to be abelian.
lemma (in group0) OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L4:
  assumes A1: r = \{p \in G \times G. fst(p) = snd(p) \lor fst(p)^{-1} \cdot snd(p) \in H\}
  and A2: P {is commutative on} G
  and A3: \langle a,b \rangle \in r and A4: c \in G
  shows \langle a \cdot c, b \cdot c \rangle \in r \land \langle c \cdot a, c \cdot b \rangle \in r
proof
  from A1 A3 A4 have
     \text{I: } a{\in}\text{G} \quad b{\in}\text{G} \quad a{\cdot}c \ \in \ \text{G} \quad b{\cdot}c \ \in \ \text{G}
     and II: a^{-1} \cdot b \in H \cup \{1\}
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L1 group_op_closed
     by auto
  with A2 A4 have (a \cdot c)^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot c) \in H \cup \{1\}
     using group0_4_L6D by simp
  with A1 I show ⟨a⋅c,b⋅c⟩ ∈ r using OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L1
     by auto
  with A2 A4 I show \langle c \cdot a, c \cdot b \rangle \in r
     using IsCommutative_def by simp
qed
If H \subseteq G is closed under the group operation 1 \notin H and for every a \in H
we have either a \in H or a^{-1} \in H, then the relation "\leq" defined by a \leq b \Leftrightarrow
a^{-1}b \in H orders the group G. In such order H may be the set of positive
or nonnegative elements.
lemma (in group0) OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L5:
  assumes A1: P {is commutative on} G
  and A2: H\subseteq G H {is closed under} P
  and A3: \forall a \in G. a \neq 1 \longrightarrow (a \in H) Xor (a^{-1} \in H)
```

```
and A4: r = \{p \in G \times G. fst(p) = snd(p) \lor fst(p)^{-1} \cdot snd(p) \in H\}
  shows
  IsAnOrdGroup(G,P,r)
  r {is total on} G
  Nonnegative(G,P,r) = PositiveSet(G,P,r) \cup {1}
proof -
  from groupAssum A2 A3 A4 have
     IsAgroup(G,P) r \subseteq G \times G IsPartOrder(G,r)
    using refl_def OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L2 OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L3
       IsPartOrder_def by auto
  moreover from A1 A4 have
    \forall g \in G. \ \forall a \ b. \ \langle a,b \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle a \cdot g, b \cdot g \rangle \in r \land \langle g \cdot a, g \cdot b \rangle \in r
    using OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L4 by blast
  ultimately show IsAnOrdGroup(G,P,r)
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
  then show Nonnegative(G,P,r) = PositiveSet(G,P,r) \cup {1}
    using group3_def group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L24
    by simp
  { fix a b
    assume T: a \in G b \in G
    then have T1: a^{-1} \cdot b \in G
       using inverse_in_group group_op_closed by simp
     { assume \langle a,b \rangle \notin r
       with A4 T have I: a\neq b and II: a^{-1}\cdot b\notin H
 by auto
       from A3 T T1 I have (a^{-1} \cdot b \in H) Xor ((a^{-1} \cdot b)^{-1} \in H)
 using group0_2_L11 by auto
       with A4 T II have \langle b,a \rangle \in r
 using Xor_def group0_2_L12 by simp
     } then have \langle a,b \rangle \in r \lor \langle b,a \rangle \in r by auto
  } then show r {is total on} G using IsTotal_def
    by simp
qed
If the set defined as in OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L4 does not contain the neutral
element, then it is the positive set for the resulting order.
lemma (in group0) OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L6:
  assumes P {is commutative on} G
  and H\subseteq G and 1\notin H
  and r = \{p \in G \times G. fst(p) = snd(p) \lor fst(p)^{-1} \cdot snd(p) \in H\}
  shows PositiveSet(G,P,r) = H
  using assms group_inv_of_one group0_2_L2 PositiveSet_def
  by auto
```

The next definition describes how we construct an order relation from the prescribed set of positive elements.

definition

```
\label{eq:condition} \begin{split} & \texttt{OrderFromPosSet(G,P,H)} \; \equiv \\ & \{p \in \, \texttt{G} \times \texttt{G}. \; \, \texttt{fst(p)} \; = \; \texttt{snd(p)} \; \lor \; P \langle \texttt{GroupInv(G,P)(fst(p)),snd(p)} \rangle \; \in \; \texttt{H} \; \, \} \end{split}
```

The next theorem rephrases lemmas OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L5 and OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L6 using the definition of the order from the positive set OrderFromPosSet. To summarize, this is what it says: Suppose that $H \subseteq G$ is a set closed under that group operation such that $1 \notin H$ and for every nonunit group element a either $a \in H$ or $a^{-1} \in H$. Define the order as $a \le b$ iff a = b or $a^{-1} \cdot b \in H$. Then this order makes G into a linearly ordered group such H is the set of positive elements (and then of course $H \cup \{1\}$ is the set of nonnegative elements).

```
theorem (in group0) Group_ord_by_positive_set: assumes P {is commutative on} G and H \subseteq G H {is closed under} P 1 \notin H and \forall a \in G. a \neq 1 \longrightarrow (a \in H) Xor (a^{-1} \in H) shows IsAnOrdGroup(G,P,OrderFromPosSet(G,P,H)) OrderFromPosSet(G,P,H) {is total on} G PositiveSet(G,P,OrderFromPosSet(G,P,H)) = H Nonnegative(G,P,OrderFromPosSet(G,P,H)) = H \cup {1} using assms OrderFromPosSet_def OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L5 OrderedGroup_ZF_5_L6 by auto
```

37.4 Odd Extensions

In this section we verify properties of odd extensions of functions defined on G_+ . An odd extension of a function $f: G_+ \to G$ is a function $f^{\circ}: G \to G$ defined by $f^{\circ}(x) = f(x)$ if $x \in G_+$, f(1) = 1 and $f^{\circ}(x) = (f(x^{-1}))^{-1}$ for x < 1. Such function is the unique odd function that is equal to f when restricted to G_+ .

The next lemma is just to see the definition of the odd extension in the notation used in the group1 context.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_6_L1: shows f° = f \cup {\langlea, (f(a^{-1}))^{-1}\rangle. a \in -G<sub>+</sub>} \cup {\langle1,1\rangle} using OddExtension_def by simp
```

A technical lemma that states that from a function defined on G_+ with values in G we have $(f(a^{-1}))^{-1} \in G$.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_6_L2: assumes f: G_+ \rightarrow G and a \in -G_+ shows f(a^{-1}) \in G (f(a^{-1}))^{-1} \in G using assms OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L27 apply_funtype OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.inverse_in_group by auto
```

The main theorem about odd extensions. It basically says that the odd extension of a function is what we want to be.

```
lemma (in group3) odd_ext_props:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: f: G_+ \rightarrow G
  shows
  \mathtt{f}^{\, \mathtt{o}} \; : \; \mathtt{G} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{G}
  \forall a \in G_+. (f^\circ)(a) = f(a)
  \forall a \in (-G_+). (f^\circ)(a) = (f(a^{-1}))^{-1}
   (f^{\circ})(1) = 1
proof -
  from A1 A2 have I:
     \mathtt{f} \colon \mathtt{G}_{+} {\rightarrow} \mathtt{G}
     \forall\,\mathtt{a}{\in}\text{-}\mathtt{G}_{+}.\ (\mathtt{f}(\mathtt{a}^{-1}))^{-1}\,\in\,\mathtt{G}
     G_+ \cap (-G_+) = 0
     1 \notin G_+ \cup (-G_+)
     f^{\circ} = f \cup \{(a, (f(a^{-1}))^{-1}). a \in -G_{+}\} \cup \{(1,1)\}
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_6_L2 OrdGroup_decomp2 OrderedGroup_ZF_6_L1
     by auto
  then have f^{\circ}: G_{+} \cup (-G_{+}) \cup \{1\} \rightarrow G \cup G \cup \{1\}
     by (rule func1_1_L11E)
  moreover from A1 have
     G_{+} \cup (-G_{+}) \cup \{1\} = G
     {\tt G}{\cup}{\tt G}{\cup}\{1\} \; = \; {\tt G}
     using OrdGroup_decomp2 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2
     by auto
  ultimately show f°: G \rightarrow G by simp
  from I show \forall a \in G_+. (f°)(a) = f(a)
     by (rule func1_1_L11E)
  from I show \forall a \in (-G_+). (f^\circ)(a) = (f(a^{-1}))^{-1}
     by (rule func1_1_L11E)
  from I show (f^\circ)(1) = 1
     by (rule func1_1_L11E)
qed
Odd extensions are odd, of course.
lemma (in group3) oddext_is_odd:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: f: G_+ \rightarrow G
  and A3: a \in G
  shows (f^{\circ})(a^{-1}) = ((f^{\circ})(a))^{-1}
  from A1 A3 have a\in G_+ \ \lor \ a \in (-G_+) \ \lor \ a=1
     using OrdGroup_decomp2 by blast
  moreover
   { assume a \in G_+
     with A1 A2 have a^{-1} \in -G_+ and (f^\circ)(a) = f(a)
        using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L25 odd_ext_props by auto
     with A1 A2 have
        (f^{\circ})(a^{-1}) = (f((a^{-1})^{-1}))^{-1} and (f(a))^{-1} = ((f^{\circ})(a))^{-1}
        using odd_ext_props by auto
     with A3 have (f^\circ)(a^{-1}) = ((f^\circ)(a))^{-1}
        using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_inv
```

```
by simp }
  moreover
  { assume A4: a \in -G_+
     with A1 A2 have a^{-1} \in G_+ and (f^\circ)(a) = (f(a^{-1}))^{-1}
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L27 odd_ext_props
     with A1 A2 A4 have (f^{\circ})(a^{-1}) = ((f^{\circ})(a))^{-1}
       using odd_ext_props OrderedGroup_ZF_6_L2
 OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_inv
       by simp }
  moreover
  \{ assume a = 1 \}
     with A1 A2 have (f^{\circ})(a^{-1}) = ((f^{\circ})(a))^{-1}
       using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_one
 odd_ext_props by simp
  ultimately show (f^{\circ})(a^{-1}) = ((f^{\circ})(a))^{-1}
     by auto
qed
Another way of saying that odd extensions are odd.
lemma (in group3) oddext_is_odd_alt:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: f: G_+ \rightarrow G
  and A3: a \in G
  shows ((f^{\circ})(a^{-1}))^{-1} = (f^{\circ})(a)
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
     \mathtt{f}^{\,\circ}\ :\ \mathtt{G}\ \to\ \mathtt{G}
    \forall a \in G. (f^{\circ})(a^{-1}) = ((f^{\circ})(a))^{-1}
     using odd_ext_props oddext_is_odd by auto
  then have \forall a \in G. ((f^\circ)(a^{-1}))^{-1} = (f^\circ)(a)
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_6_L2 by simp
  with A3 show ((f^{\circ})(a^{-1}))^{-1} = (f^{\circ})(a) by simp
qed
```

37.5 Functions with infinite limits

In this section we consider functions $f: G \to G$ with the property that for f(x) is arbitrarily large for large enough x. More precisely, for every $a \in G$ there exist $b \in G_+$ such that for every $x \ge b$ we have $f(x) \ge a$. In a sense this means that $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = \infty$, hence the title of this section. We also prove dual statements for functions such that $\lim_{x\to-\infty} f(x) = -\infty$.

If an image of a set by a function with infinite positive limit is bounded above, then the set itself is bounded above.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_7_L1: assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: G \neq {1} and A3: f:G\rightarrowG and
```

```
A4: \forall a \in G. \exists b \in G_+. \forall x. b \le x \longrightarrow a \le f(x) and
  A5: A\subseteq G and
  A6: IsBoundedAbove(f(A),r)
  shows IsBoundedAbove(A,r)
proof -
  { assume ¬IsBoundedAbove(A,r)
     then have I: \forall u. \exists x \in A. \neg(x \le u)
       using IsBoundedAbove_def by auto
     have \forall a \in G. \exists y \in f(A). a \le y
     proof -
       \{ \text{ fix a assume a} \in G \}
 with A4 obtain b where
   II: b \in G_+ and III: \forall x. b \le x \longrightarrow a \le f(x)
   by auto
 from I obtain x where IV: x \in A and \neg(x \le b)
   by auto
 with A1 A5 II have
   r {is total on} G
   x \in G b \in G \neg (x \le b)
   using PositiveSet_def by auto
 with III have a \le f(x)
   using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8 by blast
 with A3 A5 IV have \exists y \in f(A). a \le y
   using func_imagedef by auto
       } thus thesis by simp
     qed
     with A1 A2 A6 have False using OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L2A
       by simp
  } thus thesis by auto
qed
```

If an image of a set defined by separation by a function with infinite positive limit is bounded above, then the set itself is bounded above.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_7_L2:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: G \neq \{1\} and
  A3: X\neq 0 and A4: f:G\rightarrow G and
  A5: \forall a \in G. \exists b \in G_+. \forall y. b \leq y \longrightarrow a \leq f(y) and
  A6: \forall x \in X. b(x) \in G \land f(b(x)) \leq U
  shows \exists u. \forall x \in X. b(x) \leq u
proof -
  let A = \{b(x) : x \in X\}
  from A6 have I: ACG by auto
  moreover note assms
  moreover have IsBoundedAbove(f(A),r)
  proof -
     from A4 A6 I have \forall z \in f(A). \langle z, U \rangle \in r
       using func_imagedef by simp
     then show IsBoundedAbove(f(A),r)
       by (rule Order_ZF_3_L10)
```

```
qed ultimately have IsBoundedAbove(A,r) using OrderedGroup_ZF_7_L1 by simp with A3 have \exists u. \forall y \in A. \ y \leq u using IsBoundedAbove_def by simp then show \exists u. \forall x \in X. \ b(x) \leq u by auto qed
```

If the image of a set defined by separation by a function with infinite negative limit is bounded below, then the set itself is bounded above. This is dual to OrderedGroup_ZF_7_L2.

```
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_7_L3:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: G \neq \{1\} and
  A3: X \neq 0 and A4: f: G \rightarrow G and
  A5: \forall a \in G. \exists b \in G_+. \forall y. b \le y \longrightarrow f(y^{-1}) \le a \text{ and }
  A6: \forall x \in X. b(x) \in G \land L \leq f(b(x))
  shows \exists 1. \forall x \in X. 1 \leq b(x)
proof -
  let g = GroupInv(G,P) 0 f 0 GroupInv(G,P)
  from ordGroupAssum have I: GroupInv(G,P) : G \rightarrow G
     using IsAnOrdGroup_def group0_2_T2 by simp
  with A4 have II: \forall x \in G. g(x) = (f(x^{-1}))^{-1}
     using func1_1_L18 by simp
  note A1 A2 A3
  moreover from A4 I have g: G \rightarrow G
     using comp_fun by blast
  moreover have \forall a \in G. \exists b \in G_+. \forall y. b \leq y \longrightarrow a \leq g(y)
  proof -
  { fix a assume A7: a \in G
     then have a^{-1} \in G
        using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inverse_in_group
        by simp
     with A5 obtain b where
        III: b \in G_+ and \forall y. b \le y \longrightarrow f(y^{-1}) \le a^{-1}
        by auto
     with II A7 have \forall y. b \le y \longrightarrow a \le g(y)
        using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AD OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4
        by simp
     with III have \exists b \in G_+. \forall y. b \le y \longrightarrow a \le g(y)
        by auto
   } then show \forall a \in G. \exists b \in G_+. \forall y. b \le y \longrightarrow a \le g(y)
     by simp
  moreover have \forall x \in X. b(x)^{-1} \in G \land g(b(x)^{-1}) \leq L^{-1}
  proof-
     { fix x assume x \in X
        with A6 have
 T: b(x) \in G \ b(x)^{-1} \in G \ and \ L \le f(b(x))
 using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.inverse_in_group
```

```
by auto
        then have (f(b(x)))^{-1} \leq L^{-1}
 using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5 by simp
        moreover from II T have (f(b(x)))^{-1} = g(b(x)^{-1})
 using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_inv
        ultimately have g(b(x)^{-1}) \le L^{-1} by simp
        with T have b(x)^{-1} \in G \land g(b(x)^{-1}) \leq L^{-1}
 by simp
     } then show \forall x \in X. b(x)^{-1} \in G \land g(b(x)^{-1}) \leq L^{-1}
        by simp
  ultimately have \exists u. \forall x \in X. (b(x))^{-1} \leq u
     by (rule OrderedGroup_ZF_7_L2)
  then have \exists u. \forall x \in X. \ u^{-1} \leq (b(x)^{-1})^{-1}
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5 by auto
  with A6 show \exists 1. \forall x \in X. \ 1 \leq b(x)
     using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 groupO.group_inv_of_inv
     by auto
qed
The next lemma combines OrderedGroup_ZF_7_L2 and OrderedGroup_ZF_7_L3
to show that if an image of a set defined by separation by a function with
infinite limits is bounded, then the set itself i bounded.
lemma (in group3) OrderedGroup_ZF_7_L4:
  assumes A1: r {is total on} G and A2: G \neq {1} and
  A3: X\neq 0 and A4: f:G\rightarrow G and
  A5: \forall a \in G. \exists b \in G_+. \forall y. b \leq y \longrightarrow a \leq f(y) and A6: \forall a \in G. \exists b \in G_+. \forall y. b \leq y \longrightarrow f(y^{-1}) \leq a and
  A7: \forall x \in X. b(x) \in G \land L \leq f(b(x)) \land f(b(x)) \leq U
shows \exists M. \forall x \in X. |b(x)| \leq M
proof -
  from A7 have
     I: \forall x \in X. b(x) \in G \land f(b(x)) \leq U and
     II: \forall x \in X. b(x) \in G \land L \leq f(b(x))
     by auto
  from A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 I have \exists u. \forall x \in X. b(x) \leq u
     by (rule OrderedGroup_ZF_7_L2)
  moreover from A1 A2 A3 A4 A6 II have \exists 1. \forall x \in X. 1 \leq b(x)
     by (rule OrderedGroup_ZF_7_L3)
```

 \mathbf{end}

qed

by auto

by auto

ultimately have $\exists u \ 1. \ \forall x \in X. \ 1 \le b(x) \land b(x) \le u$

using OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L10 by blast

then show $\exists M. \forall x \in X. |b(x)| \leq M$

with A1 have $\exists u \ 1. \forall x \in X. \ |b(x)| \leq GreaterOf(r, |1|, |u|)$

38 Rings - introduction

theory Ring_ZF imports AbelianGroup_ZF

begin

This theory file covers basic facts about rings.

38.1 Definition and basic properties

In this section we define what is a ring and list the basic properties of rings.

We say that three sets (R, A, M) form a ring if (R, A) is an abelian group, (R, M) is a monoid and A is distributive with respect to M on R. A represents the additive operation on R. As such it is a subset of $(R \times R) \times R$ (recall that in ZF set theory functions are sets). Similarly M represents the multiplicative operation on R and is also a subset of $(R \times R) \times R$. We don't require the multiplicative operation to be commutative in the definition of a ring.

definition

```
\label{eq:shannon} \begin{split} \text{IsAring}(R,A,M) &\equiv \text{IsAgroup}(R,A) \ \land \ (\text{A \{is commutative on}\}\ R) \ \land \\ \text{IsAmonoid}(R,M) \ \land \ \text{IsDistributive}(R,A,M) \end{split}
```

We also define the notion of having no zero divisors. In standard notation the ring has no zero divisors if for all $a, b \in R$ we have $a \cdot b = 0$ implies a = 0 or b = 0.

definition

```
\begin{split} & \text{HasNoZeroDivs}(R,A,M) \; \equiv \; (\forall \, a \in R. \; \; \forall \, b \in R. \\ & \text{M} \langle \, \, a,b \rangle \; = \; \text{TheNeutralElement}(R,A) \; \longrightarrow \\ & \text{a} \; = \; \text{TheNeutralElement}(R,A) \; \vee \; b \; = \; \text{TheNeutralElement}(R,A)) \end{split}
```

Next we define a locale that will be used when considering rings.

locale ring0 =

```
fixes R and A and M

assumes ringAssum: IsAring(R,A,M)

fixes ringa (infixl + 90)

defines ringa_def [simp]: a+b = A( a,b)

fixes ringminus (- _ 89)

defines ringminus_def [simp]: (-a) = GroupInv(R,A)(a)

fixes ringsub (infixl - 90)

defines ringsub_def [simp]: a-b = a+(-b)
```

```
fixes ringm (infixl · 95)
  defines ringm_def [simp]: a \cdot b \equiv M\langle a, b \rangle
  fixes ringzero (0)
  defines ringzero_def [simp]: 0 \equiv \text{TheNeutralElement(R,A)}
  fixes ringone (1)
  defines ringone_def [simp]: 1 \equiv \text{TheNeutralElement(R,M)}
  fixes ringtwo (2)
  defines ringtwo_def [simp]: 2 \equiv 1+1
  fixes ringsq (^2 [96] 97)
  defines ringsq_def [simp]: a^2 \equiv a \cdot a
In the ringO context we can use theorems proven in some other contexts.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L1: shows
  monoid0(R,M)
  groupO(R,A)
  A {is commutative on} R
  using ringAssum IsAring_def groupO_def monoidO_def by auto
```

The additive operation in a ring is distributive with respect to the multiplicative operation.

```
lemma (in ring0) ring_oper_distr: assumes A1: a∈R b∈R c∈R
    shows
    a·(b+c) = a·b + a·c
    (b+c)·a = b·a + c·a
    using ringAssum assms IsAring_def IsDistributive_def by auto
```

Zero and one of the ring are elements of the ring. The negative of zero is zero.

```
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L2:
    shows 0∈R 1∈R (-0) = 0
    using Ring_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L2 monoid0.unit_is_neutral
        group0.group_inv_of_one by auto
```

The next lemma lists some properties of a ring that require one element of a ring.

```
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L3: assumes a∈R
    shows
    (-a) ∈ R
    (-(-a)) = a
    a+0 = a
    0+a = a
    a·1 = a
    1·a = a
    a-a = 0
```

```
a-0 = a
  2 \cdot a = a + a
  (-a)+a = 0
  using assms Ring_ZF_1_L1 group0.inverse_in_group group0.group_inv_of_inv
    group0.group0_2_L6 group0.group0_2_L2 monoid0.unit_is_neutral
    Ring_{ZF_1_L} ring_{oper_distr}
  by auto
Properties that require two elements of a ring.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L4: assumes A1: a∈R b∈R
  shows
  a+b \in R
  \texttt{a-b} \, \in \, \texttt{R}
  \mathtt{a}{\cdot}\mathtt{b} \,\in\, \mathtt{R}
  a+b = b+a
  using ringAssum assms Ring_ZF_1_L1 Ring_ZF_1_L3
    group0.group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L1
    IsAring_def IsCommutative_def
  by auto
Cancellation of an element on both sides of equality. This is a property of
groups, written in the (additive) notation we use for the additive operation
in rings.
lemma (in ring0) ring_cancel_add:
  assumes A1: a \in R b \in R and A2: a + b = a
  shows b = 0
  using assms Ring_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L7 by simp
Any element of a ring multiplied by zero is zero.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L6:
  assumes A1: x \in \mathbb{R} shows 0 \cdot x = 0
                                       x \cdot 0 = 0
proof -
  let a = x \cdot 1
  let b = x \cdot 0
  let c = 1 \cdot x
  let d = 0 \cdot x
  from A1 have
    a + b = x \cdot (1 + 0)
                         c + d = (1 + 0) \cdot x
    using Ring_ZF_1_L2 ring_oper_distr by auto
  moreover have x \cdot (1 + 0) = a (1 + 0) \cdot x = c
    using Ring_ZF_1_L2 Ring_ZF_1_L3 by auto
  ultimately have a + b = a and T1: c + d = c
    by auto
  moreover from A1 have
    a \in R b \in R and T2: c \in R d \in R
```

using Ring_ZF_1_L2 Ring_ZF_1_L4 by auto ultimately have b = 0 using ring_cancel_add

```
by blast
  moreover from T2 T1 have d = 0 using ring_cancel_add
     by blast
  ultimately show x \cdot 0 = 0 0 \cdot x = 0 by auto
qed
Negative can be pulled out of a product.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L7:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathtt{A1:} \ \mathtt{a} {\in} \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{b} {\in} \mathtt{R}
  shows
  (-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)
  a \cdot (-b) = -(a \cdot b)
  (-a) \cdot b = a \cdot (-b)
proof -
  from A1 have I:
     a \cdot b \in R (-a) \in R ((-a) \cdot b) \in R
     (-b) \in R \ a \cdot (-b) \in R
     using Ring_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  moreover have (-a) \cdot b + a \cdot b = 0
     and II: a \cdot (-b) + a \cdot b = 0
  proof -
     from A1 I have
       (-a) \cdot b + a \cdot b = ((-a) + a) \cdot b
       a \cdot (-b) + a \cdot b = a \cdot ((-b) + b)
       using ring_oper_distr by auto
     moreover from A1 have
        ((-a) + a) \cdot b = 0
       a \cdot ((-b) + b) = 0
       using Ring_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L6 Ring_ZF_1_L6
       by auto
     ultimately show
        (-a) \cdot b + a \cdot b = 0
       a \cdot (-b) + a \cdot b = 0
       by auto
  qed
  ultimately show (-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)
     using Ring_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L9 by simp
  moreover from I II show a \cdot (-b) = -(a \cdot b)
     using Ring_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L9 by simp
  ultimately show (-a) \cdot b = a \cdot (-b) by simp
Minus times minus is plus.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L7A: assumes a∈R b∈R
  shows (-a) \cdot (-b) = a \cdot b
  using assms Ring_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L7 Ring_ZF_1_L4
  by simp
```

Subtraction is distributive with respect to multiplication.

```
shows
  a \cdot (b-c) = a \cdot b - a \cdot c
  (b-c)\cdot a = b\cdot a - c\cdot a
  using assms Ring_ZF_1_L3 ring_oper_distr Ring_ZF_1_L7 Ring_ZF_1_L4
  by auto
Other basic properties involving two elements of a ring.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L9: assumes a \in R b \in R
  shows
  (-b)-a = (-a)-b
  (-(a+b)) = (-a)-b
  (-(a-b)) = ((-a)+b)
  a-(-b) = a+b
  using assms ringAssum IsAring_def
    Ring_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_4_L4 group0.group_inv_of_inv
If the difference of two element is zero, then those elements are equal.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L9A:
  assumes A1: a \in R b \in R and A2: a-b = 0
  shows a=b
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
    groupO(R,A)
    a{\in}R\quad b{\in}R
    A(a,GroupInv(R,A)(b)) = TheNeutralElement(R,A)
    using Ring_ZF_1_L1 by auto
  then show a=b by (rule group0.group0_2_L11A)
qed
Other basic properties involving three elements of a ring.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L10:
  assumes a \in R b \in R c \in R
  shows
  a+(b+c) = a+b+c
  a-(b+c) = a-b-c
  a-(b-c) = a-b+c
  using assms ringAssum Ring_ZF_1_L1 group0.group_oper_assoc
    IsAring_def group0.group0_4_L4A by auto
Another property with three elements.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L10A:
  assumes A1: a\in R b\in R c\in R
  shows a+(b-c) = a+b-c
  using assms Ring_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L10 by simp
```

lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L8: assumes $a \in R$ $b \in R$ $c \in R$

Associativity of addition and multiplication.

```
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L11:
  assumes a \in R b \in R c \in R
  shows
  a+b+c = a+(b+c)
  a \cdot b \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)
  using assms ringAssum Ring_ZF_1_L1 group0.group_oper_assoc
    IsAring_def IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def
  by auto
An interpretation of what it means that a ring has no zero divisors.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L12:
  assumes HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M)
  and a \in \mathbb{R} a \neq 0 b \in \mathbb{R} b \neq 0
  shows a \cdot b \neq 0
  using assms HasNoZeroDivs_def by auto
In rings with no zero divisors we can cancel nonzero factors.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L12A:
  assumes A1: HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M) and A2: a \in R b \in R c \in R
  and A3: a \cdot c = b \cdot c and A4: c \neq 0
  shows a=b
proof -
  from A2 have T: a \cdot c \in R a - b \in R
    using Ring_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  with A1 A2 A3 have a-b = 0 \lor c=0
    using Ring_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L8 HasNoZeroDivs_def
    by simp
  with A2 A4 have a \in R b \in R a-b = 0
    by auto
  then show a=b by (rule Ring_ZF_1_L9A)
qed
In rings with no zero divisors if two elements are different, then after mul-
tiplying by a nonzero element they are still different.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L12B:
  assumes A1: HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M)
               c{\in}R
                        a\neq b c\neq 0
  a \in R
         b \in R
  shows a \cdot c \neq b \cdot c
  using A1 Ring_ZF_1_L12A by auto
In rings with no zero divisors multiplying a nonzero element by a nonone
element changes the value.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L12C:
  assumes A1: HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M) and
  A2: a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} and A3: 0 \neq a 1 \neq b
  shows a \neq a \cdot b
```

proof -

{ assume $a = a \cdot b$

```
with A1 A2 have a = 0 \lor b-1 = 0
      using Ring_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L2 Ring_ZF_1_L8
 Ring_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L2 Ring_ZF_1_L4 HasNoZeroDivs_def
      by simp
    with A2 A3 have False
      using Ring_ZF_1_L2 Ring_ZF_1_L9A by auto
  } then show a \neq a·b by auto
qed
If a square is nonzero, then the element is nonzero.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L13:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{R} and a^2 \neq 0
  shows a\neq 0
  using assms Ring_ZF_1_L2 Ring_ZF_1_L6 by auto
Square of an element and its opposite are the same.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L14:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{R} shows (-a)^2 = ((a)^2)
  using assms Ring_ZF_1_L7A by simp
Adding zero to a set that is closed under addition results in a set that is
also closed under addition. This is a property of groups.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L15:
  assumes H \subseteq R and H {is closed under} A
  shows (H \cup {0}) {is closed under} A
  using assms Ring_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_2_L17 by simp
Adding zero to a set that is closed under multiplication results in a set that
is also closed under multiplication.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L16:
  assumes A1: H \subseteq R and A2: H {is closed under} M
  shows (H \cup {0}) {is closed under} M
  using assms Ring_ZF_1_L2 Ring_ZF_1_L6 IsOpClosed_def
  by auto
The ring is trivial iff 0 = 1.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L17: shows R = \{0\} \longleftrightarrow 0=1
proof
  assume R = \{0\}
  then show 0=1 using Ring_ZF_1_L2
    by blast
next assume A1: 0 = 1
  then have R \subseteq \{0\}
    using Ring_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L6 by auto
  moreover have \{0\} \subseteq R using Ring_ZF_1_L2 by auto
  ultimately show R = \{0\} by auto
qed
```

```
The sets \{m \cdot x . x \in R\} and \{-m \cdot x . x \in R\} are the same.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_1_L18: assumes A1: m∈R
  shows \{m \cdot x : x \in R\} = \{(-m) \cdot x : x \in R\}
proof
  { fix a assume a \in \{m \cdot x. x \in R\}
     then obtain x where x \in \mathbb{R} and a = m \cdot x
        by auto
     with A1 have (-x) \in R and a = (-m) \cdot (-x)
        using Ring_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L7A by auto
     then have a \in \{(-m) \cdot x. x \in R\}
        by auto
   } then show \{m \cdot x : x \in R\} \subseteq \{(-m) \cdot x : x \in R\}
     by auto
next
  { fix a assume a \in \{(-m) \cdot x. x \in R\}
     then obtain x where x \in \mathbb{R} and a = (-m) \cdot x
        by auto
     with A1 have (-x) \in R and a = m \cdot (-x)
        using Ring_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L7 by auto
     then have a \in \{m \cdot x. x \in R\} by auto
  } then show \{(-m)\cdot x. x\in R\}\subseteq \{m\cdot x. x\in R\}
     by auto
qed
```

38.2 Rearrangement lemmas

In happens quite often that we want to show a fact like (a + b)c + d = (ac + d - e) + (bc + e)in rings. This is trivial in romantic math and probably there is a way to make it trivial in formalized math. However, I don't know any other way than to tediously prove each such rearrangement when it is needed. This section collects facts of this type.

Rearrangements with two elements of a ring.

```
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_2_L1: assumes a∈R b∈R
  shows a+b·a = (b+1)·a
  using assms Ring_ZF_1_L2 ring_oper_distr Ring_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L4
  by simp
```

Rearrangements with two elements and cancelling.

```
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_2_L1A: assumes a∈R b∈R
    shows
    a-b+b = a
    a+b-a = b
    (-a)+b+a = b
    (-a)+(b+a) = b
    a+(b-a) = b
    using assms Ring_ZF_1_L1 group0.inv_cancel_two group0.group0_4_L6A
    by auto
```

```
In commutative rings a-(b+1)c=(a-d-c)+(d-bc). For unknown reasons we have to use the raw set notation in the proof, otherwise all methods fail.
```

```
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_2_L2:
  assumes A1: a\in R b\in R c\in R d\in R
  shows a-(b+1)\cdot c = (a-d-c)+(d-b\cdot c)
proof -
  let B = b \cdot c
  from ringAssum have A {is commutative on} R
     using IsAring_def by simp
  moreover from A1 have a\inR B \in R c\inR d\inR
     using Ring_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  ultimately have A(a, GroupInv(R,A)(A(B, c))) =
     A(A(A(a, GroupInv(R, A)(d)), GroupInv(R, A)(c)),
     A\langle d, GroupInv(R, A)(B)\rangle\rangle
     using Ring_ZF_1_L1 group0.group0_4_L8 by blast
  with A1 show thesis
     using Ring_ZF_1_L2 ring_oper_distr Ring_ZF_1_L3 by simp
qed
Rerrangement about adding linear functions.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_2_L3:
  assumes A1: a\in R b\in R c\in R d\in R x\in R
  shows (a \cdot x + b) + (c \cdot x + d) = (a+c) \cdot x + (b+d)
proof -
  from A1 have
     groupO(R,A)
     A {is commutative on} R
     \mathtt{a}{\cdot}\mathtt{x} \,\in\, \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{b}{\in}\mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{c}{\cdot}\mathtt{x} \,\in\, \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{d}{\in}\mathtt{R}
     using Ring_ZF_1_L1 Ring_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  then have A\langle A\langle a \cdot x, b \rangle, A\langle c \cdot x, d \rangle = A\langle A\langle a \cdot x, c \cdot x \rangle, A\langle b, d \rangle
     by (rule group0.group0_4_L8)
   with A1 show
     (a \cdot x + b) + (c \cdot x + d) = (a+c) \cdot x + (b+d)
     using ring_oper_distr by simp
Rearrangement with three elements
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_2_L4:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathtt{M} \ \{ \mathtt{is} \ \mathtt{commutative} \ \mathtt{on} \} \ \mathtt{R}
  \mathbf{and} \ \mathbf{a} {\in} R \quad \mathbf{b} {\in} R \quad \mathbf{c} {\in} R
  shows a \cdot (b \cdot c) = a \cdot c \cdot b
  using assms IsCommutative_def Ring_ZF_1_L11
  by simp
Some other rearrangements with three elements.
lemma (in ring0) ring_rearr_3_elemA:
  assumes A1: M {is commutative on} R and
  A2: a \in R b \in R c \in R
```

```
a \cdot (a \cdot c) - b \cdot (-b \cdot c) = (a \cdot a + b \cdot b) \cdot c
  a \cdot (-b \cdot c) + b \cdot (a \cdot c) = 0
proof -
  from A2 have T:
     b{\cdot}c \,\in\, R \quad a{\cdot}a \,\in\, R \quad b{\cdot}b \,\in\, R
     b \cdot (b \cdot c) \in R \quad a \cdot (b \cdot c) \in R
     using Ring_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  with A2 show
     a \cdot (a \cdot c) - b \cdot (-b \cdot c) = (a \cdot a + b \cdot b) \cdot c
     using Ring_ZF_1_L7 Ring_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L11
        ring_oper_distr by simp
  from A2 T have
     a \cdot (-b \cdot c) + b \cdot (a \cdot c) = (-a \cdot (b \cdot c)) + b \cdot a \cdot c
     using Ring_ZF_1_L7 Ring_ZF_1_L11 by simp
  also from A1 A2 T have \dots = 0
     using IsCommutative_def Ring_ZF_1_L11 Ring_ZF_1_L3
     by simp
  finally show a \cdot (-b \cdot c) + b \cdot (a \cdot c) = 0
     by simp
qed
Some rearrangements with four elements. Properties of abelian groups.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_2_L5:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ a{\in}R \quad b{\in}R \quad c{\in}R \quad d{\in}R
  shows
  a - b - c - d = a - d - b - c
  a + b + c - d = a - d + b + c
  a + b - c - d = a - c + (b - d)
  a + b + c + d = a + c + (b + d)
  using assms Ring_ZF_1_L1 group0.rearr_ab_gr_4_elemB
     group0.rearr_ab_gr_4_elemA by auto
Two big rearrangements with six elements, useful for proving properties of
complex addition and multiplication.
lemma (in ring0) Ring_ZF_2_L6:
  assumes A1: a{\in}R b{\in}R c{\in}R d{\in}R e{\in}R f{\in}R
  shows
  a \cdot (c \cdot e - d \cdot f) - b \cdot (c \cdot f + d \cdot e) =
   (a \cdot c - b \cdot d) \cdot e - (a \cdot d + b \cdot c) \cdot f
  a \cdot (c \cdot f + d \cdot e) + b \cdot (c \cdot e - d \cdot f) =
   (a \cdot c - b \cdot d) \cdot f + (a \cdot d + b \cdot c) \cdot e
  a \cdot (c+e) - b \cdot (d+f) = a \cdot c - b \cdot d + (a \cdot e - b \cdot f)
  a \cdot (d+f) + b \cdot (c+e) = a \cdot d + b \cdot c + (a \cdot f + b \cdot e)
proof -
  from A1 have T:
```

```
\texttt{a} \cdot \texttt{c} \cdot \texttt{e} \; \in \; \texttt{R} \quad \texttt{a} \cdot \texttt{d} \cdot \texttt{f} \; \in \; \texttt{R}
        b{\cdot}c{\cdot}f \;\in\; R \quad b{\cdot}d{\cdot}e \;\in\; R
        b{\cdot}c{\cdot}e \;\in\; R \quad b{\cdot}d{\cdot}f \;\in\; R
        a{\cdot}c{\cdot}f \;\in\; R \quad a{\cdot}d{\cdot}e \;\in\; R
        \texttt{a} \cdot \texttt{c} \cdot \texttt{e} \ \texttt{-} \ \texttt{a} \cdot \texttt{d} \cdot \texttt{f} \ \in \ \texttt{R}
        a \cdot c \cdot e - b \cdot d \cdot e \in R
        a \cdot c \cdot f + a \cdot d \cdot e \in R
        a{\cdot}c{\cdot}f \ \text{-} \ b{\cdot}d{\cdot}f \ \in \ R
        a \cdot c + a \cdot e \in R
        a \cdot d + a \cdot f \in R
        using Ring_ZF_1_L4 by auto
    with A1 show a \cdot (c \cdot e - d \cdot f) - b \cdot (c \cdot f + d \cdot e) =
        (a \cdot c - b \cdot d) \cdot e - (a \cdot d + b \cdot c) \cdot f
        using Ring_ZF_1_L8 ring_oper_distr Ring_ZF_1_L11
            Ring_ZF_1_L10 Ring_ZF_2_L5 by simp
    from A1 T show
        a \cdot (c \cdot f + d \cdot e) + b \cdot (c \cdot e - d \cdot f) =
        (a \cdot c - b \cdot d) \cdot f + (a \cdot d + b \cdot c) \cdot e
        using Ring_ZF_1_L8 ring_oper_distr Ring_ZF_1_L11
        Ring_ZF_1_L10A Ring_ZF_2_L5 Ring_ZF_1_L10
        by simp
    from A1 T show
        a \cdot (c+e) - b \cdot (d+f) = a \cdot c - b \cdot d + (a \cdot e - b \cdot f)
        a \cdot (d+f) + b \cdot (c+e) = a \cdot d + b \cdot c + (a \cdot f + b \cdot e)
        using ring_oper_distr Ring_ZF_1_L10 Ring_ZF_2_L5
        by auto
qed
end
```

39 More on rings

theory Ring_ZF_1 imports Ring_ZF Group_ZF_3

begin

This theory is devoted to the part of ring theory specific the construction of real numbers in the Real_ZF_x series of theories. The goal is to show that classes of almost homomorphisms form a ring.

39.1 The ring of classes of almost homomorphisms

Almost homomorphisms do not form a ring as the regular homomorphisms do because the lifted group operation is not distributive with respect to composition – we have $s \circ (r \cdot q) \neq s \circ r \cdot s \circ q$ in general. However, we do have $s \circ (r \cdot q) \approx s \circ r \cdot s \circ q$ in the sense of the equivalence relation defined by the group of finite range functions (that is a normal subgroup of almost

homomorphisms, if the group is abelian). This allows to define a natural ring structure on the classes of almost homomorphisms.

The next lemma provides a formula useful for proving that two sides of the distributive law equation for almost homomorphisms are almost equal.

```
lemma (in group1) Ring_ZF_1_1_L1:
   assumes A1: s \in AH \ r \in AH \ q \in AH \ and \ A2: n \in G
   ((s\circ(r\cdot q))(n))\cdot(((s\circ r)\cdot(s\circ q))(n))^{-1}=\delta(s,\langle r(n),q(n)\rangle)
   ((r \cdot q) \circ s)(n) = ((r \circ s) \cdot (q \circ s))(n)
proof -
   from groupAssum isAbelian A1 have T1:
      r \cdot q \in AH \text{ sor } \in AH \text{ soq } \in AH \text{ (sor)} \cdot \text{(soq)} \in AH
      ros \in AH \ qos \in AH \ (ros) \cdot (qos) \in AH
      using Group_ZF_3_2_L15 Group_ZF_3_4_T1 by auto
   from A1 A2 have T2: r(n) \in G q(n) \in G s(n) \in G
      s(r(n)) \in G \ s(q(n)) \in G \ \delta(s,\langle \ r(n),q(n)\rangle) \in G
      \mathtt{s}(\mathtt{r}(\mathtt{n})) \cdot \mathtt{s}(\mathtt{q}(\mathtt{n})) \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \,\, \mathtt{r}(\mathtt{s}(\mathtt{n})) \, \in \, \mathtt{G} \,\, \mathtt{q}(\mathtt{s}(\mathtt{n})) \, \in \, \mathtt{G}
      r(s(n)) \cdot q(s(n)) \in G
      using AlmostHoms_def apply_funtype Group_ZF_3_2_L4B
      group0_2_L1 monoid0.group0_1_L1 by auto
   with T1 A1 A2 isAbelian show
      ((s\circ(r\cdot q))(n))\cdot(((s\circ r)\cdot(s\circ q))(n))^{-1}=\delta(s,\langle r(n),q(n)\rangle)
      ((r \cdot q) \circ s)(n) = ((r \circ s) \cdot (q \circ s))(n)
      using Group_ZF_3_2_L12 Group_ZF_3_4_L2 Group_ZF_3_4_L1 group0_4_L6A
      by auto
qed
```

The sides of the distributive law equations for almost homomorphisms are almost equal.

```
lemma (in group1) Ring_ZF_1_1_L2:
  assumes A1: s \in AH \ r \in AH \ q \in AH
  shows
  so(r \cdot q) \approx (sor) \cdot (soq)
  (r \cdot q) \circ s = (r \circ s) \cdot (q \circ s)
proof -
  from A1 have \forall n \in G. \langle r(n), q(n) \rangle \in G \times G
     using AlmostHoms_def apply_funtype by auto
  moreover from A1 have \{\delta(s,x): x \in G \times G\} \in Fin(G)
     using AlmostHoms_def by simp
  ultimately have \{\delta(s, \langle r(n), q(n) \rangle) : n \in G\} \in Fin(G)
     by (rule Finite1_L6B)
  with A1 have
     \{((s\circ(r\cdot q))(n))\cdot(((s\circ r)\cdot(s\circ q))(n))^{-1}.\ n\in G\}\in Fin(G)
     using Ring_ZF_1_1_L1 by simp
  moreover from groupAssum isAbelian A1 A1 have
     s \circ (r \cdot q) \in AH (s \circ r) \cdot (s \circ q) \in AH
     using Group_ZF_3_2_L15 Group_ZF_3_4_T1 by auto
  ultimately show so(r \cdot q) \approx (sor) \cdot (soq)
```

```
using Group_ZF_3_4_L12 by simp from groupAssum isAbelian A1 have  (r \cdot q) \circ s : G \rightarrow G \ (r \circ s) \cdot (q \circ s) : G \rightarrow G  using Group_ZF_3_2_L15 Group_ZF_3_4_T1 AlmostHoms_def by auto  moreover \ from \ A1 \ have \\ \forall n \in G. \ ((r \cdot q) \circ s)(n) = ((r \circ s) \cdot (q \circ s))(n)  using Ring_ZF_1_1_L1 by simp ultimately show (r \cdot q) \circ s = (r \circ s) \cdot (q \circ s)  using fun_extension_iff by simp qed
```

The essential condition to show the distributivity for the operations defined on classes of almost homomorphisms.

```
lemma (in group1) Ring_ZF_1_1_L3:
  assumes A1: R = QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR)
  and A2: a \in AH//R b \in AH//R c \in AH//R
  and A3: A = ProjFun2(AH,R,Op1) M = ProjFun2(AH,R,Op2)
  shows M(a,A(b,c)) = A(M(a,b),M(a,c)) \land
  M(A(b,c),a) = A(M(b,a),M(c,a))
proof
  from A2 obtain s q r where D1: s∈AH r∈AH q∈AH
    a = R\{s\} b = R\{q\} c = R\{r\}
    using quotient_def by auto
  from A1 have T1:equiv(AH,R)
      using Group_ZF_3_3_L3 by simp
  with A1 A3 D1 groupAssum isAbelian have
    M\langle a,A\langle b,c\rangle \rangle = R\{s\circ(q\cdot r)\}
    using Group_ZF_3_3_L4 EquivClass_1_L10
    Group_ZF_3_2_L15 Group_ZF_3_4_L13A by simp
  also have R\{s\circ(q\cdot r)\} = R\{(s\circ q)\cdot(s\circ r)\}
  proof -
    from T1 D1 have equiv(AH,R) so(q\cdot r) \approx (soq) \cdot (sor)
      using Ring_ZF_1_1_L2 by auto
    with A1 show thesis using equiv_class_eq by simp
  ged
  also from A1 T1 D1 A3 have
    R\{(s \circ q) \cdot (s \circ r)\} = A\langle M\langle a,b\rangle, M\langle a,c\rangle\rangle
    using Group_ZF_3_3_L4 Group_ZF_3_4_T1 EquivClass_1_L10
    Group_ZF_3_3_L3 Group_ZF_3_4_L13A EquivClass_1_L10 Group_ZF_3_4_T1
    by simp
  finally show M(a,A(b,c)) = A(M(a,b),M(a,c)) by simp
  from A1 A3 T1 D1 groupAssum isAbelian show
    M(A(b,c),a) = A(M(b,a),M(c,a))
    using Group_ZF_3_3_L4 EquivClass_1_L10 Group_ZF_3_4_L13A
      Group_ZF_3_2_L15 Ring_ZF_1_1_L2 Group_ZF_3_4_T1 by simp
qed
```

The projection of the first group operation on almost homomorphisms is

distributive with respect to the second group operation.

```
lemma (in group1) Ring_ZF_1_1_L4:
  assumes A1: R = QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR)
  and A2: A = ProjFun2(AH,R,Op1) M = ProjFun2(AH,R,Op2)
  shows IsDistributive(AH//R,A,M)
proof -
  from A1 A2 have \forall a \in (AH//R) . \forall b \in (AH//R) . \forall c \in (AH//R).
  M\langle a,A\langle b,c\rangle\rangle = A\langle M\langle a,b\rangle, M\langle a,c\rangle\rangle \wedge
  M(A(b,c), a) = A(M(b,a),M(c,a))
    using Ring_ZF_1_1_L3 by simp
  then show thesis using IsDistributive_def by simp
qed
The classes of almost homomorphisms form a ring.
theorem (in group1) Ring_ZF_1_1_T1:
  assumes R = QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR)
  and A = ProjFun2(AH,R,Op1) M = ProjFun2(AH,R,Op2)
  shows IsAring(AH//R,A,M)
  using assms QuotientGroupOp_def Group_ZF_3_3_T1 Group_ZF_3_4_T2
    Ring_ZF_1_1_L4 IsAring_def by simp
```

end

40 Ordered rings

theory OrderedRing_ZF imports Ring_ZF OrderedGroup_ZF_1

begin

In this theory file we consider ordered rings.

40.1 Definition and notation

This section defines ordered rings and sets up appriopriate notation.

We define ordered ring as a commutative ring with linear order that is preserved by translations and such that the set of nonnegative elements is closed under multiplication. Note that this definition does not guarantee that there are no zero divisors in the ring.

definition

```
\begin{split} & \text{IsAnOrdRing}(\textbf{R},\textbf{A},\textbf{M},\textbf{r}) \equiv \\ & ( \text{IsAring}(\textbf{R},\textbf{A},\textbf{M}) \ \land \ (\textbf{M} \ \{ \text{is commutative on} \} \ \textbf{R}) \ \land \\ & \textbf{r} \subseteq \textbf{R} \times \textbf{R} \ \land \ \textbf{IsLinOrder}(\textbf{R},\textbf{r}) \ \land \\ & (\forall \textbf{a} \ \textbf{b}. \ \forall \ \textbf{c} \in \textbf{R}. \ \langle \ \textbf{a},\textbf{b} \rangle \in \textbf{r} \longrightarrow \langle \textbf{A} \langle \ \textbf{a},\textbf{c} \rangle, \textbf{A} \langle \ \textbf{b},\textbf{c} \rangle \rangle \in \textbf{r}) \ \land \\ & (\text{Nonnegative}(\textbf{R},\textbf{A},\textbf{r}) \ \{ \text{is closed under} \} \ \textbf{M})) \end{split}
```

The next context (locale) defines notation used for ordered rings. We do that by extending the notation defined in the ring0 locale and adding some assumptions to make sure we are talking about ordered rings in this context.

locale ring1 = ring0 +

```
assumes mult_commut: M {is commutative on} R
  fixes r
  assumes ordincl: r \subseteq R \times R
  assumes linord: IsLinOrder(R,r)
  fixes lesseq (infix \leq 68)
  defines lesseq_def [simp]: a \le b \equiv \langle a,b \rangle \in r
  fixes sless (infix < 68)
  defines sless_def [simp]: a < b \equiv a \le b \land a \ne b
  assumes ordgroup: \forall a b. \ \forall \ c \in R. \ a \leq b \longrightarrow a + c \leq b + c
  assumes pos_mult_closed: Nonnegative(R,A,r) {is closed under} M
  fixes abs (| _ |)
  defines abs_def [simp]: |a| \equiv AbsoluteValue(R,A,r)(a)
  fixes positiveset (R_+)
  defines positiveset_def [simp]: R_+ \equiv PositiveSet(R,A,r)
The next lemma assures us that we are talking about ordered rings in the
ring1 context.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L1: shows IsAnOrdRing(R,A,M,r)
  using ringO_def ringAssum mult_commut ordincl linord ordgroup
    pos_mult_closed IsAnOrdRing_def by simp
We can use theorems proven in the ring1 context whenever we talk about
an ordered ring.
lemma OrdRing_ZF_1_L2: assumes IsAnOrdRing(R,A,M,r)
  shows ring1(R,A,M,r)
  using assms IsAnOrdRing_def ring1_axioms.intro ring0_def ring1_def
  by simp
```

Ordered ring is an ordered group, hence we can use theorems proven in the group3 context.

In the ring1 context $a \leq b$ implies that a, b are elements of the ring.

lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L3: assumes a≤b

 $\mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{a} {\in} \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{b} {\in} \mathtt{R}$

using assms ordincl by auto

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L4: shows
  IsAnOrdGroup(R,A,r)
  r {is total on} R
  A {is commutative on} R
  group3(R,A,r)
proof -
  { fix a b g assume A1: g \in \mathbb{R} and A2: a \le b
    with ordgroup have a+g \le b+g
       by simp
    moreover from ringAssum A1 A2 have
       a+g = g+a b+g = g+b
       using OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 IsAring_def IsCommutative_def by auto
    ultimately have
       a+g \le b+g \quad g+a \le g+b
       by auto
  } hence
    \forall\, g{\in}R. \ \forall\, a\ b.\ a{\leq}b \,\longrightarrow\, a{+}g \,\leq\, b{+}g \,\wedge\, g{+}a \,\leq\, g{+}b
    by simp
  with ringAssum ordincl linord show
    IsAnOrdGroup(R,A,r)
    group3(R,A,r)
    r \{ is total on \} R
    A {is commutative on} R
    using IsAring_def Order_ZF_1_L2 IsAnOrdGroup_def group3_def IsLinOrder_def
    by auto
qed
The order relation in rings is transitive.
lemma (in ring1) ring_ord_transitive: assumes A1: a≤b b≤c
  shows a \le c
proof -
  from A1 have
    group3(R,A,r) \langle a,b \rangle \in r
                                    \langle b,c \rangle \in r
    using OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  then have \langle a,c \rangle \in r by (rule group3.Group_order_transitive)
  then show a \le c by simp
qed
Transitivity for the strict order: if a < b and b \le c, then a < c. Property of
ordered groups.
lemma (in ring1) ring_strict_ord_trans:
  assumes A1: a<b and A2: b≤c
  shows a<c
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
    group3(R,A,r)
    \langle a,b \rangle \in r \land a \neq b \quad \langle b,c \rangle \in r
    using OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 by auto
    then have \langle a,c \rangle \in r \land a \neq c by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4A)
```

```
then show a<c by simp
qed
Another version of transitivity for the strict order: if a \leq b and b < c, then
a < c. Property of ordered groups.
lemma (in ring1) ring_strict_ord_transit:
  assumes A1: a≤b and A2: b<c
  shows a<c
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
    group3(R,A,r)
    \langle a,b \rangle \in r \quad \langle b,c \rangle \in r \land b \neq c
    using OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  then have \langle a,c \rangle \in r \land a \neq c by (rule group3.group_strict_ord_transit)
  then show a<c by simp
qed
The next lemma shows what happens when one element of an ordered ring
is not greater or equal than another.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L4A: assumes A1: a∈R b∈R
  and A2: \neg(a \le b)
  shows b \le a (-a) \le (-b) a \ne b
proof -
  from A1 A2 have I:
    group3(R,A,r)
    r {is total on} R
    a \in R \quad b \in R \quad \langle a, b \rangle \notin r
    using OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  then have \langle b,a \rangle \in r by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8)
  then show b \le a by simp
  from I have \langle GroupInv(R,A)(a), GroupInv(R,A)(b) \rangle \in r
    by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8)
  then show (-a) \le (-b) by simp
  from I show a\neqb by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8)
A special case of OrdRing_ZF_1_L4A when one of the constants is 0. This is
useful for many proofs by cases.
corollary (in ring1) ord_ring_split2: assumes A1: a∈R
  shows a \le 0 \lor (0 \le a \land a \ne 0)
proof -
  { from A1 have I: a \in R 0 \in R
      using Ring_ZF_1_L2 by auto
    moreover assume A2: \neg(a \le 0)
    ultimately have 0≤a by (rule OrdRing_ZF_1_L4A)
```

moreover from I A2 have a \neq 0 by (rule OrdRing_ZF_1_L4A)

ultimately have $0 \le a \land a \ne 0$ by simp

then show thesis by auto

qed

Taking minus on both sides reverses an inequality.

```
\begin{array}{ll} lemma \ (in \ ring1) \ OrdRing\_ZF\_1\_L4B\colon assumes \ a {\le} b \\ shows \ (-b) \ {\le} \ (-a) \\ using \ assms \ OrdRing\_ZF\_1\_L4 \ group3.OrderedGroup\_ZF\_1\_L5 \\ by \ simp \end{array}
```

The next lemma just expands the condition that requires the set of nonnegative elements to be closed with respect to multiplication. These are properties of totally ordered groups.

```
\begin{array}{lll} lemma & (in & ring1) & 0rdRing_ZF_1_L5: \\ assumes & 0 \leq a & 0 \leq b \\ shows & 0 \leq a \cdot b \\ using & pos_mult_closed & assms & 0rdRing_ZF_1_L4 & group3.0rderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 \\ Is0pClosed_def & by & simp \end{array}
```

Double nonnegative is nonnegative.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L5A: assumes A1: 0 \le a shows 0 \le 2 \cdot a using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5G OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L3 by simp
```

A sufficient (somewhat redundant) condition for a structure to be an ordered ring. It says that a commutative ring that is a totally ordered group with respect to the additive operation such that set of nonnegative elements is closed under multiplication, is an ordered ring.

```
lemma OrdRing_ZF_1_L6:
   assumes
   IsAring(R,A,M)
   M {is commutative on} R
   Nonnegative(R,A,r) {is closed under} M
   IsAnOrdGroup(R,A,r)
   r {is total on} R
   shows IsAnOrdRing(R,A,M,r)
   using assms IsAnOrdGroup_def Order_ZF_1_L3 IsAnOrdRing_def
   by simp
```

 $a \leq b$ iff $a - b \leq 0$. This is a fact from OrderedGroup.thy, where it is stated in multiplicative notation.

```
\begin{array}{ll} lemma \ (in \ ring1) \ 0rdRing\_ZF\_1\_L7: \\ assumes \ a\in R \quad b\in R \\ shows \ a\leq b \longleftrightarrow \ a-b \leq 0 \\ using \ assms \ 0rdRing\_ZF\_1\_L4 \ group3.0rderedGroup\_ZF\_1\_L9 \\ by \ simp \end{array}
```

Negative times positive is negative.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L8:
  assumes A1: a \le 0 and A2: 0 \le b
  shows \ \mathtt{a} {\cdot} \mathtt{b} \ \leq \ 0
proof -
  from A1 A2 have T1: a \in R b \in R a \cdot b \in R
     using OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  from A1 A2 have 0 \le (-a) \cdot b
     using OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5A OrdRing_ZF_1_L5
     by simp
  \mathbf{with} \ \mathtt{T1} \ \mathbf{show} \ \mathtt{a.b} \le \mathbf{0}
     using Ring_ZF_1_L7 OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AA
     by simp
qed
We can multiply both sides of an inequality by a nonnegative ring element.
This property is sometimes (not here) used to define ordered rings.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L9:
  assumes A1: a \le b and A2: 0 \le c
  shows
  a{\cdot}c \; \leq \; b{\cdot}c
  c{\cdot}a \; \leq \; c{\cdot}b
proof -
  from A1 A2 have T1:
     a{\in}R\quad b{\in}R\quad c{\in}R\quad a{\cdot}c\ \in\ R\quad b{\cdot}c\ \in\ R
     using OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  with A1 A2 have (a-b) \cdot c \leq 0
     using OrdRing_ZF_1_L7 OrdRing_ZF_1_L8 by simp
  with T1 show a \cdot c \le b \cdot c
     using Ring_ZF_1_L8 OrdRing_ZF_1_L7 by simp
  with mult_commut T1 show c \cdot a \leq c \cdot b
     using IsCommutative_def by simp
qed
A special case of OrdRing_ZF_1_L9: we can multiply an inequality by a posi-
tive ring element.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L9A:
  assumes A1: a \le b and A2: c \in R_+
  shows
  a{\cdot}c \; \leq \; b{\cdot}c
  c·a ≤ c·b
proof -
  from A2 have 0 \le c using PositiveSet_def
  with A1 show a \cdot c \le b \cdot c c \cdot a \le c \cdot b
     using OrdRing_ZF_1_L9 by auto
qed
A square is nonnegative.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L10:
```

```
assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R} shows 0 \le (a^2)
proof -
  { assume 0 \le a
    then have 0 \le (a^2) using OrdRing_ZF_1_L5 by simp}
  moreover
  { assume \neg (0 \le a)
    with A1 have 0 \le ((-a)^2)
      using OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8A
 OrdRing_ZF_1_L5 \ by \ simp
    with A1 have 0 \le (a^2) using Ring_ZF_1_L14 by simp }
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
1 is nonnegative.
corollary (in ring1) ordring_one_is_nonneg: shows 0 \le 1
proof -
  have 0 \le (1^2) using Ring_ZF_1_L2 OrdRing_ZF_1_L10
    by simp
  then show 0 \le 1 using Ring_ZF_1_L2 Ring_ZF_1_L3
    by simp
qed
In nontrivial rings one is positive.
lemma (in ring1) ordring_one_is_pos: assumes 0 \neq 1
  shows 1 \in R_+
  using assms Ring_ZF_1_L2 ordring_one_is_nonneg PositiveSet_def
  by auto
Nonnegative is not negative. Property of ordered groups.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L11: assumes 0≤a
  shows \neg(a \le 0 \land a \ne 0)
  using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AB
  by simp
A negative element cannot be a square.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L12:
  assumes A1: a \le 0 a \ne 0
  shows \neg(\exists b \in \mathbb{R}. a = (b^2))
proof -
  { assume \exists b \in \mathbb{R}. a = (b^2)
    with A1 have False using OrdRing_ZF_1_L10 OrdRing_ZF_1_L11
      by auto
  } then show thesis by auto
qed
If a \leq b, then 0 \leq b - a.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L13: assumes a≤b
  shows \ 0 \ \leq \ \texttt{b-a}
```

```
using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9D
  by simp
If a < b, then 0 < b - a.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L14: assumes a≤b a≠b
  shows
  0 \le b-a 0 \ne b-a
  b-a \in R_+
  using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9E
  by auto
If the difference is nonnegative, then a \leq b.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L15:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} and 0 \le b-a
  shows a≤b
  using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9F
  by simp
A nonnegative number is does not decrease when multiplied by a number
greater or equal 1.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L16:
  assumes A1: 0 \le a and A2: 1 \le b
  shows a \le a \cdot b
proof -
  from A1 A2 have T: a \in R b \in R a \cdot b \in R
    using OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  from A1 A2 have 0 \le a \cdot (b-1)
    using OrdRing_ZF_1_L13 OrdRing_ZF_1_L5 by simp
  with T show a≤a⋅b
    using Ring_ZF_1_L8 Ring_ZF_1_L2 Ring_ZF_1_L3 OrdRing_ZF_1_L15
    by simp
qed
We can multiply the right hand side of an inequality between nonnegative
ring elements by an element greater or equal 1.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L17:
  assumes A1: 0 \le a and A2: a \le b and A3: 1 \le c
  shows a \le b \cdot c
proof -
  from A1 A2 have 0 \le b by (rule ring_ord_transitive)
  with A3 have b \le b \cdot c using OrdRing_ZF_1_L16
  with A2 show a \le b \c by (rule ring_ord_transitive)
qed
Strict order is preserved by translations.
lemma (in ring1) ring_strict_ord_trans_inv:
  assumes a<b and c\inR
```

```
shows
a+c < b+c
c+a < c+b
using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.group_strict_ord_transl_inv
by auto</pre>
```

We can put an element on the other side of a strict inequality, changing its sign.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L18:
   assumes a∈R b∈R and a-b < c
   shows a < c+b
   using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L12B
   by simp</pre>
```

We can add the sides of two inequalities, the first of them strict, and we get a strict inequality. Property of ordered groups.

```
 \begin{array}{lll} lemma & (in \ ring1) \ 0 rdRing\_ZF\_1\_L19: \\ assumes \ a < b \ and \ c \leq d \\ shows \ a + c < b + d \\ using \ assms \ 0 rdRing\_ZF\_1\_L4 \ group3.0 rderedGroup\_ZF\_1\_L12C \\ by \ simp \\ \end{array}
```

We can add the sides of two inequalities, the second of them strict and we get a strict inequality. Property of ordered groups.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_1_L20:
   assumes a \leq b and c \leq d
   shows a + c < b + d
   using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L12D
   by simp</pre>
```

40.2 Absolute value for ordered rings

Absolute value is defined for ordered groups as a function that is the identity on the nonnegative set and the negative of the element (the inverse in the multiplicative notation) on the rest. In this section we consider properties of absolute value related to multiplication in ordered rings.

Absolute value of a product is the product of absolute values: the case when both elements of the ring are nonnegative.

```
\begin{array}{lll} lemma & (in \ ring1) \ 0 rdRing\_ZF\_2\_L1: \\ assumes \ 0 \leq a \ 0 \leq b \\ shows \ |a \cdot b| = |a| \cdot |b| \\ using \ assms \ 0 rdRing\_ZF\_1\_L5 \ 0 rdRing\_ZF\_1\_L4 \\ group3.0 rderedGroup\_ZF\_1\_L2 \ group3.0 rderedGroup\_ZF\_3\_L2 \\ by \ simp \end{array}
```

The absolue value of an element and its negative are the same.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_2_L2: assumes a∈R
  shows |-a| = |a|
  using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7A by simp
The next lemma states that |a \cdot (-b)| = |(-a) \cdot b| = |(-a) \cdot (-b)| = |a \cdot b|.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_2_L3:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathbf{a} {\in} \mathbf{R} \quad \mathbf{b} {\in} \mathbf{R}
  shows
  |(-a)\cdot b| = |a\cdot b|
  |a \cdot (-b)| = |a \cdot b|
  |(-a)\cdot(-b)| = |a\cdot b|
  using assms Ring_ZF_1_L4 Ring_ZF_1_L7 Ring_ZF_1_L7A
   OrdRing_ZF_2_L2 by auto
This lemma allows to prove theorems for the case of positive and negative
elements of the ring separately.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_2_L4: assumes a\inR and \neg(0\lea)
  shows 0 \le (-a) 0 \ne a
  using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8A
  by auto
Absolute value of a product is the product of absolute values.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_2_L5:
  assumes A1: a \in R b \in R
  shows |a \cdot b| = |a| \cdot |b|
proof -
  { assume A2: 0 \le a have |a \cdot b| = |a| \cdot |b|
    proof -
       { assume 0 \le b
 with A2 have |a \cdot b| = |a| \cdot |b|
   using OrdRing_ZF_2_L1 by simp }
       moreover
       { assume \neg (0 \le b)
 with A1 A2 have |a \cdot (-b)| = |a| \cdot |-b|
   using OrdRing_ZF_2_L4 OrdRing_ZF_2_L1 by simp
 with A1 have |a \cdot b| = |a| \cdot |b|
   using OrdRing_ZF_2_L2 OrdRing_ZF_2_L3 by simp }
       ultimately show thesis by blast
    qed }
  moreover
  { assume \neg (0 \le a)
    with A1 have A3: 0 \le (-a)
       using OrdRing_ZF_2_L4 by simp
    have |a \cdot b| = |a| \cdot |b|
    proof -
       { assume 0 \le b
 with A3 have |(-a)\cdot b| = |-a|\cdot |b|
   using OrdRing_ZF_2_L1 by simp
 with A1 have |a \cdot b| = |a| \cdot |b|
```

```
using OrdRing_ZF_2_L2 OrdRing_ZF_2_L3 by simp }
      moreover
      { assume \neg (0 \le b)
 with A1 A3 have |(-a) \cdot (-b)| = |-a| \cdot |-b|
   using OrdRing_ZF_2_L4 OrdRing_ZF_2_L1 by simp
 with A1 have |a \cdot b| = |a| \cdot |b|
   using OrdRing_ZF_2_L2 OrdRing_ZF_2_L3 by simp }
      ultimately show thesis by blast
    qed }
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
Triangle inequality. Property of linearly ordered abelian groups.
lemma (in ring1) ord_ring_triangle_ineq: assumes a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R}
  shows |a+b| < |a|+|b|
  using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrdGroup_triangle_ineq
  by simp
If a \le c and b \le c, then a + b \le 2 \cdot c.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_2_L6:
  assumes a<c b<c shows a+b < 2\cdotc
  using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5B
    OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L3 by simp
```

40.3 Positivity in ordered rings

This section is about properties of the set of positive elements R₊.

The set of positive elements is closed under ring addition. This is a property of ordered groups, we just reference a theorem from OrderedGroup_ZF theory in the proof.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L1: shows R_+ {is closed under} A using OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L13 by simp
```

Every element of a ring can be either in the positive set, equal to zero or its opposite (the additive inverse) is in the positive set. This is a property of ordered groups, we just reference a theorem from OrderedGroup_ZF theory.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L2: assumes a\inR shows Exactly_1_of_3_holds (a=0, a\inR<sub>+</sub>, (-a) \in R<sub>+</sub>) using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrdGroup_decomp by simp
```

If a ring element $a \neq 0$, and it is not positive, then -a is positive.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L2A: assumes a\inR a\neq0 a \notin R<sub>+</sub> shows (-a) \in R<sub>+</sub> using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrdGroup_cases
```

```
by simp
R<sub>+</sub> is closed under multiplication iff the ring has no zero divisors.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L3:
  \mathbf{shows} \ (\mathtt{R}_+ \ \{ \mathtt{is} \ \mathtt{closed} \ \mathtt{under} \} \ \mathtt{M}) \longleftrightarrow \ \mathtt{HasNoZeroDivs}(\mathtt{R},\mathtt{A},\mathtt{M})
proof
  assume A1: HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M)
  { fix a b assume a \in R_+ b \in R_+
    then have 0 \le a a \ne 0 0 \le b b \ne 0
       using PositiveSet_def by auto
    with A1 have a \cdot b \in R_+
       using OrdRing_ZF_1_L5 Ring_ZF_1_L2 OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L12
 OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2A
       by simp
  } then show R<sub>+</sub> {is closed under} M using IsOpClosed_def
    by simp
next assume A2: R_+ {is closed under} M
  { fix a b assume A3: a \in R b \in R and a \neq 0 b \neq 0
     with A2 have |a \cdot b| \in R_+
       \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{OrdRing\_ZF\_1\_L4} \ \mathtt{group3.0rderedGroup\_ZF\_3\_L12} \ \mathtt{IsOpClosed\_def}
         OrdRing_ZF_2_L5 by simp
    with A3 have a \cdot b \neq 0
       using PositiveSet_def Ring_ZF_1_L4
 OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2A
       by auto
  } then show HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M) using HasNoZeroDivs_def
    by auto
qed
Another (in addition to OrdRing_ZF_1_L6 sufficient condition that defines
order in an ordered ring starting from the positive set.
theorem (in ring0) ring_ord_by_positive_set:
  assumes
  A1: M {is commutative on} R and
  A2: P\subseteq R P {is closed under} A 0 \notin P and
  A3: \forall a \in R. a \neq 0 \longrightarrow (a \in P) Xor ((-a) \in P) and
  A4: P {is closed under} M and
  A5: r = OrderFromPosSet(R,A,P)
  IsAnOrdGroup(R,A,r)
  IsAnOrdRing(R,A,M,r)
  r {is total on} R
  PositiveSet(R,A,r) = P
  Nonnegative(R,A,r) = P \cup {0}
  HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M)
proof -
  from A2 A3 A5 show
    I: IsAnOrdGroup(R,A,r) r {is total on} R and
```

II: PositiveSet(R,A,r) = P and

```
III: Nonnegative(R,A,r) = P ∪ {0}
using Ring_ZF_1_L1 group0.Group_ord_by_positive_set
by auto
from A2 A4 III have Nonnegative(R,A,r) {is closed under} M
using Ring_ZF_1_L16 by simp
with ringAssum A1 I show IsAnOrdRing(R,A,M,r)
using OrdRing_ZF_1_L6 by simp
with A4 II show HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M)
using OrdRing_ZF_1_L2 ring1.OrdRing_ZF_3_L3
by auto
```

Nontrivial ordered rings are infinite. More precisely we assume that the neutral element of the additive operation is not equal to the multiplicative neutral element and show that the set of positive elements of the ring is not a finite subset of the ring and the ring is not a finite subset of itself.

```
theorem (in ring1) ord_ring_infinite: assumes 0 \neq 1 shows R_+ \notin Fin(R) R \notin Fin(R) using assms Ring_ZF_1_L17 OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.Linord_group_infinite by auto
```

If every element of a nontrivial ordered ring can be dominated by an element from B, then we B is not bounded and not finite.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L4:
   assumes 0≠1 and ∀a∈R. ∃b∈B. a≤b
   shows
   ¬IsBoundedAbove(B,r)
   B ∉ Fin(R)
   using assms Ring_ZF_1_L17 OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L2A
   by auto
```

If m is greater or equal the multiplicative unit, then the set $\{m \cdot n : n \in R\}$ is infinite (unless the ring is trivial).

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L5: assumes A1: 0 \neq 1 and A2: 1 \leq m shows \{m \cdot x : x \in R_+\} \notin Fin(R) \{m \cdot x : x \in R\} \notin Fin(R) \{(-m) \cdot x : x \in R\} \notin Fin(R) proof - from A2 have T: m \in R using OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 by simp from A2 have 0 \leq 1 1 \leq m using ordring_one_is_nonneg by auto then have I: 0 \leq m by (rule ring_ord_transitive) let B = \{m \cdot x : x \in R_+\} \{ fix a assume A3: a \in R then have a \leq 0 \lor (0 \leq a \land a \neq 0)
```

```
using ord_ring_split2 by simp
    moreover
     { assume A4: a \le 0
       from A1 have m \cdot 1 \in B using ordring_one_is_pos
       with T have m∈B using Ring_ZF_1_L3 by simp
       moreover from A4 I have a 

m by (rule ring_ord_transitive)
       ultimately have \exists b \in B. a \le b by blast }
    moreover
     { assume A4: 0 \le a \land a \ne 0
       with A3 have m⋅a ∈ B using PositiveSet_def
 by auto
       moreover
       from A2 A4 have 1.a \le m.a using OrdRing_ZF_1_L9
       with A3 have a \le m\a using Ring_ZF_1_L3
 by simp
       ultimately have \exists b \in B. a \le b by auto }
    ultimately have \exists b \in B. a \le b by auto
  } then have \forall a \in \mathbb{R}. \exists b \in \mathbb{B}. a \leq b
    by simp
  with A1 show B ∉ Fin(R) using OrdRing_ZF_3_L4
    by simp
  moreover have B \subseteq \{m \cdot x . x \in R\}
    using PositiveSet_def by auto
  ultimately show \{m \cdot x. x \in R\} \notin Fin(R) using Fin\_subset
  with T show \{(-m)\cdot x. x\in \mathbb{R}\} \notin Fin(\mathbb{R}) using Ring_ZF_1_L18
    by simp
qed
If m is less or equal than the negative of multiplicative unit, then the set
\{m \cdot n : n \in R\} is infinite (unless the ring is trivial).
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L6: assumes A1: 0 \neq 1 and A2: m \leq -1
  shows \{m \cdot x : x \in R\} \notin Fin(R)
proof -
  from A2 have (-(-1)) \le -m
    using OrdRing_ZF_1_L4B by simp
  with A1 have \{(-m)\cdot x. x\in R\} \notin Fin(R)
    using Ring_ZF_1_L2 Ring_ZF_1_L3 OrdRing_ZF_3_L5
    by simp
  with A2 show \{m \cdot x. x \in R\} \notin Fin(R)
    using OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L18 by simp
qed
All elements greater or equal than an element of R_+ belong to R_+. Property
of ordered groups.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L7: assumes A1: a \in R_+ and A2: a \le b
  shows b \in R_+
```

```
from A1 A2 have
    group3(R,A,r)
    a \in PositiveSet(R,A,r)
    \langle a,b\rangle \in r
    using OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  then have b ∈ PositiveSet(R,A,r)
    by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L19)
  then show b \in R_+ by simp
qed
A special case of OrdRing_ZF_3_L7: a ring element greater or equal than 1 is
positive.
corollary (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L8: assumes A1: 0 \neq 1 and A2: 1 \leq a
  shows a \in R_+
proof -
  from A1 A2 have 1 \in R_+ 1 \le a
    using ordring_one_is_pos by auto
  then show a \in R_{+} by (rule OrdRing_ZF_3_L7)
qed
Adding a positive element to a strictly increases a. Property of ordered
groups.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L9: assumes A1: a∈R b∈R<sub>+</sub>
  shows a \le a+b a \ne a+b
  using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L22
  by auto
A special case of OrdRing_{ZF_3_L9}: in nontrivial rings adding one to a in-
creases a.
corollary (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L10: assumes A1: 0 \neq 1 and A2: a \in \mathbb{R}
  shows a \leq a+1 a \neq a+1
  using assms ordring_one_is_pos OrdRing_ZF_3_L9
  by auto
If a is not greater than b, then it is strictly less than b + 1.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L11: assumes A1: 0 \neq 1 and A2: a \leq b
  shows a < b+1
proof -
  from A1 A2 have I: b < b+1
    using OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 OrdRing_ZF_3_L10 by auto
  with A2 show a < b+1 by (rule ring_strict_ord_transit)
qed
For any ring element a the greater of a and 1 is a positive element that is
greater or equal than m. If we add 1 to it we get a positive element that is
```

proof -

strictly greater than m. This holds in nontrivial rings.

```
shows
  a \leq GreaterOf(r,1,a)
  GreaterOf(r,1,a) \in R_+
  GreaterOf(r,1,a) + 1 \in R_+
  a \leq GreaterOf(r,1,a) + 1 a \neq GreaterOf(r,1,a) + 1
proof -
  from linord have r {is total on} R using IsLinOrder_def
  moreover from A2 have 1 \in R a\in R
    using Ring_ZF_1_L2 by auto
  ultimately have
    1 \leq GreaterOf(r,1,a) and
    I: a \leq GreaterOf(r,1,a)
    using Order_ZF_3_L2 by auto
  with A1 show
    a < GreaterOf(r,1,a) and
    GreaterOf(r,1,a) \in R_{+}
    using OrdRing_ZF_3_L8 by auto
  with A1 show GreaterOf(r,1,a) + 1 \in R_+
    using ordring_one_is_pos OrdRing_ZF_3_L1 IsOpClosed_def
    by simp
  from A1 I show
    a \leq GreaterOf(r,1,a) + 1 a \neq GreaterOf(r,1,a) + 1
    using OrdRing_ZF_3_L11 by auto
qed
We can multiply strict inequality by a positive element.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L13:
  assumes A1: HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M) and
  A2: a<br/>b and A3: c\inR<sub>+</sub>
  shows
  a·c < b·c
  c·a < c·b
proof -
  from A2 A3 have T: a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} c \in \mathbb{R} c \neq 0
    using OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 PositiveSet_def by auto
  from A2 A3 have a·c \le b·c using OrdRing_ZF_1_L9A
    by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 T have a \cdot c \neq b \cdot c
    using Ring_ZF_1_L12A by auto
  ultimately show a.c < b.c by simp
  moreover from mult_commut T have a \cdot c = c \cdot a and b \cdot c = c \cdot b
    using IsCommutative_def by auto
  ultimately show c \cdot a < c \cdot b by simp
A sufficient condition for an element to be in the set of positive ring elements.
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L14: assumes 0 \le a and a \ne 0
  shows a \in R_+
```

```
using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 PositiveSet_def
by auto
```

If a ring has no zero divisors, the square of a nonzero element is positive.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L15: assumes HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M) and a\inR a\neq0 shows 0 \leq a<sup>2</sup> a<sup>2</sup> \neq 0 a<sup>2</sup> \in R<sub>+</sub> using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L10 Ring_ZF_1_L12 OrdRing_ZF_3_L14 by auto
```

In rings with no zero divisors we can (strictly) increase a positive element by multiplying it by an element that is greater than 1.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L16: assumes HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M) and a \in R<sub>+</sub> and 1 \le b 1 \ne b shows a\le a \cdot b a \ne a \cdot b using assms PositiveSet_def OrdRing_ZF_1_L16 OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L12C by auto
```

If the right hand side of an inequality is positive we can multiply it by a number that is greater than one.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L17:
    assumes A1: HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M) and A2: b∈R+ and
    A3: a≤b and A4: 1<c
    shows a<bc
proof -
    from A1 A2 A4 have b < b⋅c
    using OrdRing_ZF_3_L16 by auto
    with A3 show a<bc by (rule ring_strict_ord_transit)
qed</pre>
```

We can multiply a right hand side of an inequality between positive numbers by a number that is greater than one.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L18:
   assumes A1: HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M) and A2: a ∈ R<sub>+</sub> and
   A3: a≤b and A4: 1<c
   shows a<bc
proof -
   from A2 A3 have b ∈ R<sub>+</sub> using OrdRing_ZF_3_L7
   by blast
   with A1 A3 A4 show a<bc
      using OrdRing_ZF_3_L17 by simp
qed</pre>
```

In ordered rings with no zero divisors if at least one of a, b is not zero, then $0 < a^2 + b^2$, in particular $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdRing_ZF_3_L19: assumes A1: HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M) and A2: a∈R b∈R and A3: a \neq 0 \vee b \neq 0
```

```
shows 0 < a^2 + b^2
proof -
  \{ \ assume \ a \, \neq \, 0
    with A1 A2 have 0 \le a^2 a^2 \ne 0
      using OrdRing_ZF_3_L15 by auto
    then have 0 < a^2 by auto
    moreover from A2 have 0 \le b^2
      using OrdRing_ZF_1_L10 by simp
    ultimately have 0 + 0 < a^2 + b^2
      using OrdRing_ZF_1_L19 by simp
    then have 0 < a^2 + b^2
      using Ring_ZF_1_L2 Ring_ZF_1_L3 by simp }
  moreover
  { assume A4: a = 0
    then have a^2 + b^2 = 0 + b^2
      using Ring_ZF_1_L2 Ring_ZF_1_L6 by simp
    also from A2 have ... = b^2
      using Ring_ZF_1_L4 Ring_ZF_1_L3 by simp
    finally have a^2 + b^2 = b^2 by simp
    moreover
    from A3 A4 have b \neq 0 by simp
    with A1 A2 have \mathbf{0} \leq b^2 and b^2 \neq \mathbf{0}
      using OrdRing_ZF_3_L15 by auto
    hence 0 < b^2 by auto
    ultimately have 0 < a^2 + b^2 by simp }
  ultimately show 0 < a^2 + b^2
    by auto
qed
```

end

41 Cardinal numbers

theory Cardinal_ZF imports ZF.CardinalArith func1

begin

This theory file deals with results on cardinal numbers (cardinals). Cardinals are a generalization of the natural numbers, used to measure the cardinality (size) of sets. Contributed by Daniel de la Concepcion.

41.1 Some new ideas on cardinals

All the results of this section are done without assuming the Axiom of Choice. With the Axiom of Choice in play, the proofs become easier and

some of the assumptions may be dropped.

Since General Topology Theory is closely related to Set Theory, it is very interesting to make use of all the possibilities of Set Theory to try to classify homeomorphic topological spaces. These ideas are generally used to prove that two topological spaces are not homeomorphic.

There exist cardinals which are the successor of another cardinal, but; as happens with ordinals, there are cardinals which are limit cardinal.

definition

```
\texttt{LimitC(i)} \equiv \texttt{Card(i)} \ \land \ \texttt{0} < \texttt{i} \ \land \ (\forall \, \texttt{y}. \ (\texttt{y} < \texttt{i} \land \texttt{Card(y)}) \ \longrightarrow \ \texttt{csucc(y)} < \texttt{i})
```

Simple fact used a couple of times in proofs.

```
lemma nat_less_infty: assumes nenat and InfCard(X) shows next proof -
```

from assms have n<nat and nat<X using lt_def InfCard_def by auto then show n<X using lt_trans2 by blast qed

There are three types of cardinals, the zero one, the succesors of other cardinals and the limit cardinals.

```
lemma Card_cases_disj:
  assumes Card(i)
  shows i=0 | (\exists j. Card(j) \land i=csucc(j)) | LimitC(i)
  from assms have D: Ord(i) using Card_is_Ord by auto
    assume F: i≠0
    assume Contr: ~LimitC(i)
    from F D have 0<i using Ord_0_1t by auto
    with Contr assms have \exists y. y < i \land Card(y) \land \neg csucc(y) < i
      using LimitC_def by blast
    then obtain y where y < i \land Card(y) \land \neg csucc(y) < i by blast
    with D have y < i i \le csucc(y) and O: Card(y)
      using not_lt_imp_le lt_Ord Card_csucc Card_is_Ord
      by auto
    with assms have csucc(y) \le ii \le csucc(y) using csucc_le by auto
    then have i=csucc(y) using le_anti_sym by auto
    with 0 have \exists j. Card(j) \land i=csucc(j) by auto
  } thus thesis by auto
qed
```

Given an ordinal bounded by a cardinal in ordinal order, we can change to the order of sets.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma le_imp_lesspoll:} \\ \textbf{assumes Card}(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \textbf{shows } \mathbb{A} \leq \mathbb{Q} \Longrightarrow \mathbb{A} \lesssim \mathbb{Q} \\ \textbf{proof -} \end{array}
```

```
assume A \leq Q
  then have A < Q \lor A = Q using le_iff by auto
  then have A\approxQ\veeA<Q using eqpoll_refl by auto
  with assms have A≈Q∨A≺ Q using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll by auto
  then show ASQ using lesspoll_def eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
qed
There are two types of infinite cardinals, the natural numbers and those that
have at least one infinite strictly smaller cardinal.
lemma InfCard_cases_disj:
  assumes InfCard(Q)
  shows Q=nat \lor (\exists j. csucc(j) \lesssim Q \land InfCard(j))
proof-
    \mathbf{assume} \ \forall \, \mathtt{j.} \ \neg \ \mathsf{csucc}(\mathtt{j}) \, \lesssim \, \mathtt{Q} \ \lor \ \neg \ \mathsf{InfCard}(\mathtt{j})
    then have D: \neg csucc(nat) \lesssim Q using InfCard_nat by auto
    with D assms have \neg(csucc(nat) \leq Q) using le_imp_lesspoll InfCard_is_Card
       by auto
    with assms have Q<(csucc(nat))
       using not_le_iff_lt Card_is_Ord Card_csucc Card_is_Ord
         Card_is_Ord InfCard_is_Card Card_nat by auto
    with assms have Q≤nat using Card_lt_csucc_iff InfCard_is_Card Card_nat
       by auto
    with assms have Q=nat using InfCard_def le_anti_sym by auto
  thus thesis by auto
qed
A more readable version of standard Isabelle/ZF Ord_linear_lt
lemma Ord_linear_lt_IML: assumes Ord(i) Ord(j)
  shows i < j \lor i = j \lor j < i
  using assms lt_def Ord_linear disjE by simp
A set is injective and not bijective to the successor of a cardinal if and only
if it is injective and possibly bijective to the cardinal.
lemma Card_less_csucc_eq_le:
  assumes Card(m)
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{A} \ \prec \ \mathtt{csucc}(\mathtt{m}) \ \longleftrightarrow \ \mathtt{A} \ \lesssim \ \mathtt{m}
  have S: Ord(csucc(m)) using Card_csucc Card_is_Ord assms by auto
    assume A: A \prec csucc(m)
    with S have |A|≈A using lesspoll_imp_eqpoll by auto
    also from A have ...≺ csucc(m) by auto
    finally have |A| \prec csucc(m) by auto
    then have |A|≲csucc(m)~(|A|≈csucc(m)) using lesspoll_def by auto
```

```
with S have ||A|| \le csucc(m)|A| \ne csucc(m) using lepoll_cardinal_le
by auto
    then have |A| \le csucc(m) |A| \ne csucc(m) using Card_def Card_cardinal
    then have I: ~(csucc(m)<|A|) |A| \neq csucc(m) using le_imp_not_lt by
auto
    from S have csucc(m)<|A| \vee |A|=csucc(m) \vee |A|<csucc(m)
      using Card_cardinal Card_is_Ord Ord_linear_lt_IML by auto
    with I have |A|<csucc(m) by simp
    with assms have |A| \le m using Card_lt_csucc_iff Card_cardinal
      by auto
    then have |A|=m \vee |A| < m using le_iff by auto
    then have |A| \approx m \lor |A| < m using eqpoll_refl by auto
    then have |A| \approx m \lor |A| \prec m using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll assms by auto
    then have T: |A| \int m using lesspoll_def eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
    from A S have A≈|A| using lesspoll_imp_eqpoll eqpoll_sym by auto
    also from T have \ldots \lesssim m by auto
    finally show A \( \sim \) by simp
    assume A: A \le m
    from assms have m<csucc(m) using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll Card_csucc
Card_is_Ord
      lt_csucc by auto
    with A show A-csucc(m) using lesspoll_trans1 by auto
qed
If the successor of a cardinal is infinite, so is the original cardinal.
lemma csucc_inf_imp_inf:
  assumes Card(j) and InfCard(csucc(j))
  shows InfCard(j)
proof-
    assume f:Finite (j)
    then obtain n where n∈nat j≈n using Finite_def by auto
    with assms(1) have TT: j=n n∈nat
      using cardinal_cong nat_into_Card Card_def by auto
    then have Q:succ(j) \in nat using nat_succI by auto
    with f TT have T: Finite(succ(j)) Card(succ(j))
      using nat_into_Card nat_succI by auto
    from T(2) have Card(succ(j)) \(\lambda\) j<succ(j) using Card_is_Ord by auto
    moreover from this have Ord(succ(j)) using Card_is_Ord by auto
    moreover
    { fix x
      assume A: x<succ(j)
        assume Card(x) \land j < x
        with A have False using lt_trans1 by auto
```

```
hence (Card(x) \land j < x) by auto
    ultimately have (\mu L. Card(L) \wedge j < L)=succ(j)
      by (rule Least_equality)
    then have csucc(j)=succ(j) using csucc_def by auto
    with Q have csucc(j)∈nat by auto
    then have csucc(j)<nat using lt_def Card_nat Card_is_Ord by auto
    with assms(2) have False using InfCard_def lt_trans2 by auto
 then have ~(Finite (j)) by auto
  with assms(1) show thesis using Inf_Card_is_InfCard by auto
qed
Since all the cardinals previous to nat are finite, it cannot be a successor
cardinal; hence it is a LimitC cardinal.
corollary LimitC_nat:
 shows LimitC(nat)
proof-
 note Card_nat
 moreover have 0<nat using lt_def by auto
 moreover
    fix y
    assume AS: y<natCard(y)</pre>
    then have ord: Ord(y) unfolding lt_def by auto
    then have Cacsucc: Card(csucc(y)) using Card_csucc by auto
      assume nat≤csucc(y)
      with Cacsucc have InfCard(csucc(y)) using InfCard_def by auto
      with AS(2) have InfCard(y) using csucc_inf_imp_inf by auto
      then have nat <y using InfCard_def by auto
      with AS(1) have False using lt_trans2 by auto
    hence ~(nat≤csucc(y)) by auto
    then have csucc(y)<nat using not_le_iff_lt Ord_nat Cacsucc Card_is_Ord
by auto
 ultimately show thesis using LimitC_def by auto
qed
```

41.2 Main result on cardinals (without the Axiom of Choice)

If two sets are strictly injective to an infinite cardinal, then so is its union. For the case of successor cardinal, this theorem is done in the isabelle library in a more general setting; but that theorem is of not use in the case where LimitC(Q) and it also makes use of the Axiom of Choice. The mentioned theorem is in the theory file Cardinal_AC.thy

Note that if Q is finite and different from 1, let's assume Q = n, then the union of A and B is not bounded by Q. Counterexample: two disjoint sets of n-1 elements each have a union of 2n-2 elements which are more than n.

Note also that if Q = 1 then A and B must be empty and the union is then empty too; and Q cannot be 0 because no set is injective and not bijective to 0.

The proof is divided in two parts, first the case when both sets A and B are finite; and second, the part when at least one of them is infinite. In the first part, it is used the fact that a finite union of finite sets is finite. In the second part it is used the linear order on cardinals (ordinals). This proof can not be generalized to a setting with an infinite union easily.

```
lemma less_less_imp_un_less:
  assumes A \prec Q and B \prec Q and InfCard(Q)
  shows A \cup B \prec Q
proof-
  assume Finite (A) \land Finite(B)
  then have Finite(A ∪ B) using Finite_Un by auto
  then obtain n where R: A \cup B \approxn n\innat using Finite_def
    by auto
  then have |A ∪ B|<nat using lt_def cardinal_cong
    nat_into_Card Card_def Card_nat Card_is_Ord by auto
  with assms(3) have T: |A \cup B|<Q using InfCard_def lt_trans2 by auto
  from R have Ord(n)A \cup B \lesssim n using nat_into_Card Card_is_Ord eqpoll_imp_lepoll
by auto
  then have A \cup B \approx |A \cup B| using lepoll_Ord_imp_eqpoll eqpoll_sym by
  also from T assms(3) have ... \prec Q using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll InfCard_is_Card
    by auto
  finally have A \cup B \prec Q by simp
moreover
{
  assume ~(Finite (A) \land Finite(B))
  hence A: ~Finite (A) ∨ ~Finite(B) by auto
  from assms have B: |A|≈A |B|≈B using lesspoll_imp_eqpoll lesspoll_imp_eqpoll
    InfCard_is_Card Card_is_Ord by auto
  from B(1) have Aeq: \forall x. (|A| \approx x) \longrightarrow (A \approx x)
    using eqpoll_sym eqpoll_trans by blast
  from B(2) have Beq: \forall x. (|B| \approx x) \longrightarrow (B \approx x)
    using eqpoll_sym eqpoll_trans by blast
  with A Aeq have "Finite(|A|) V "Finite(|B|) using Finite_def
    by auto
  then have D: InfCard(|A|)∨InfCard(|B|)
    using Inf_Card_is_InfCard Inf_Card_is_InfCard Card_cardinal by blast
  {
```

```
assume AS: |A| < |B|
      assume ~InfCard(|A|)
      with D have InfCard(|B|) by auto
    }
    moreover
      assume InfCard(|A|)
      then have nat≤|A| using InfCard_def by auto
      with AS have nat<|B| using lt_trans1 by auto
      then have nat≤|B| using leI by auto
      then have InfCard(|B|) using InfCard_def Card_cardinal by auto
    }
    ultimately have INFB: InfCard(|B|) by auto
    then have 2<|B| using nat_less_infty by simp
    then have AG: 25|B| using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll Card_cardinal lesspoll_def
      by auto
    from B(2) have |B| \approx B by simp
    also from assms(2) have ... \prec Q by auto
    finally have TTT: |B| \prec Q by simp
    from B(1) have Card(|B|) A ≲|A| using eqpoll_sym Card_cardinal eqpoll_imp_lepoll
      by auto
    with AS have A<|B| using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll lesspoll_trans1 by
auto
    then have I1: A \lesssim |B| using lesspoll_def by auto
    from B(2) have I2: B\(\simeq | B| \) using eqpoll_sym eqpoll_imp_lepoll by
auto
    have A \cup B\lesssimA+B using Un_lepoll_sum by auto
    also from I1 I2 have ...\lesssim |B| + |B| using sum_lepoll_mono by auto
    also from AG have \ldots \lesssim |\mathbf{B}| * |\mathbf{B}| using sum_lepoll_prod by auto
    also from assms(3) INFB have ...≈|B| using InfCard_square_eqpoll
      by auto
    finally have A \cup B \lesssim |B| by simp
    also from TTT have ... <Q by auto
    finally have A \cup B \prec Q by simp
  moreover
    assume AS: |B| < |A|
      assume ~InfCard(|B|)
      with D have InfCard(|A|) by auto
    }
    moreover
      assume InfCard(|B|)
      then have nat≤|B| using InfCard_def by auto
      with AS have nat<|A| using lt_trans1 by auto
```

```
then have nat≤|A| using leI by auto
      then have InfCard(|A|) using InfCard_def Card_cardinal by auto
    ultimately have INFB: InfCard(|A|) by auto
    then have 2<|A| using nat_less_infty by simp
    then have AG: 25|A| using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll Card_cardinal lesspoll_def
        by auto
    from B(1) have |A| \approx A by simp
    also from assms(1) have ... \prec Q by auto
    finally have TTT: |A| \prec Q by simp
    from B(2) have Card(|A|) B \lesssim |B| using eqpoll_sym Card_cardinal eqpoll_imp_lepoll
      by auto
    with AS have B<|A| using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll lesspoll_trans1 by
auto
    then have I1: BS|A| using lesspoll_def by auto
    from B(1) have I2: A≲|A| using eqpoll_sym eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
    have A \cup B\lesssimA+B using Un_lepoll_sum by auto
    also from I1 I2 have ... < |A| + |A| using sum_lepoll_mono by auto
    also from AG have ... \leq |A| * |A| using sum_lepoll_prod by auto
    also from INFB assms(3) have ... \approx |A| using InfCard_square_eqpoll
       by auto
    finally have A \cup B \lesssim |A| by simp
    also from TTT have ... \prec Q by auto
    finally have A \cup B \prec Q by simp
    }
    moreover
    {
      assume AS: |A|=|B|
      with D have INFB: InfCard(|A|) by auto
      then have 2<|A| using nat_less_infty by simp
      then have AG: 25/A/ using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll Card_cardinal us-
ing lesspoll_def
        by auto
      from B(1) have |A| \approx A by simp
      also from assms(1) have ... \prec Q by auto
      finally have TTT: |A| \prec Q by simp
      from AS B have I1: A > | A | and I2: B > | A | using eqpoll_refl eqpoll_imp_lepoll
        eqpoll_sym by auto
      have A \cup B\lesssimA+B using Un_lepoll_sum by auto
      also from I1 I2 have ...\lesssim |A| + |A| using sum_lepoll_mono by auto
      also from AG have ...≲|A| * |A| using sum_lepoll_prod by auto
      also from assms(3) INFB have ...≈|A| using InfCard_square_eqpoll
        by auto
      finally have A \cup B \lesssim |A| by simp
      also from TTT have ...≺Q by auto
      finally have A \cup B \prec Q by simp
    ultimately have A ∪ B≺Q using Ord_linear_lt_IML Card_cardinal Card_is_Ord
```

41.3 Choice axioms

We want to prove some theorems assuming that some version of the Axiom of Choice holds. To avoid introducing it as an axiom we will defin an appropriate predicate and put that in the assumptions of the theorems. That way technically we stay inside ZF.

The first predicate we define states that the axiom of Q-choice holds for subsets of K if we can find a choice function for every family of subsets of K whose (that family's) cardinality does not exceed Q.

definition

```
AxiomCardinalChoice ({the axiom of}_{choice holds for subsets}_) where {the axiom of} Q {choice holds for subsets}K \equiv Card(Q) \land (\forall M N. (M \lesssimQ \land (\forall t\inM. Nt\neq0 \land Nt\subseteqK)) \longrightarrow (\existsf. f:Pi(M,\lambdat. Nt) \land (\forall t\inM. ft\inNt)))
```

Next we define a general form of Q choice where we don't require a collection of files to be included in a file.

definition

```
AxiomCardinalChoiceGen ({the axiom of}_{choice holds}) where {the axiom of} Q {choice holds} \equiv Card(Q) \land (\forall M N. (M \lesssimQ \land (\forall t\inM. Nt\neq0)) \longrightarrow (\existsf. f:Pi(M,\lambdat. Nt) \land (\forall t\inM. ft\inNt)))
```

The axiom of finite choice always holds.

```
theorem finite_choice:
  assumes n \in nat
  shows {the axiom of} n {choice holds}
proof -
  note assms(1)
  moreover
     fix M N assume M\lesssim0 \forallt\inM. Nt\neq0
     then have M=0 using lepoll_0_is_0 by auto
     then have \{\langle t,0\rangle,\ t\in M\}: Pi(M,\lambda t. Nt) unfolding Pi_def domain_def function_def
Sigma_def by auto
     moreover from \langle M=0 \rangle have \forall t \in M. \{\langle t,0 \rangle, t \in M\}t \in Nt by auto
     ultimately have (\exists f. f: Pi(M, \lambda t. Nt) \land (\forall t \in M. ft \in Nt)) by auto
  then have (\forall M N. (M \lesssim0 \land (\forallt\inM. Nt\neq0)) \longrightarrow (\existsf. f:Pi(M,\lambdat. Nt)
\land (\forall t\inM. ft\inNt)))
     by auto
  then have {the axiom of} 0 {choice holds} using AxiomCardinalChoiceGen_def
nat_into_Card
     by auto
```

```
moreover {
     fix x
     assume as: x \in nat \{the axiom of\} x \{choice holds\}
        fix M N assume ass: M \leq succ(x) \ \forall t \in M. Nt \neq 0
          assume M≤x
          from as(2) ass(2) have
             (\texttt{M} \lesssim \texttt{x} \ \land \ (\forall \, \texttt{t} \in \texttt{M}. \ \texttt{N} \ \texttt{t} \neq \texttt{0})) \ \longrightarrow \ (\exists \, \texttt{f}. \ \texttt{f} \in \, \texttt{Pi}(\texttt{M}, \lambda \texttt{t}. \ \texttt{N} \ \texttt{t}) \ \land
(\forall t \in M. f t \in N t))
                unfolding AxiomCardinalChoiceGen_def by auto
          with \langle M \lesssim x \rangle ass(2) have (\exists f. f \in Pi(M, \lambda t. N t) \land (\forall t \in M. f t)
\in N t)
             by auto
        }
        moreover
        {
          assume M \approx succ(x)
          then obtain f where f:febij(succ(x),M) using eqpoll_sym eqpoll_def
by blast
          moreover
          have x \in succ(x) unfolding succ_def by auto
          ultimately have restrict(f, succ(x) - \{x\}) \in bij(succ(x) - \{x\}, M - \{fx\})
using bij_restrict_rem
             by auto
          moreover
          have x\epsilon x using mem_not_refl by auto
          then have succ(x)-\{x\}=x unfolding succ_{def} by auto
          ultimately have restrict(f,x) \in bij(x,M-\{fx\}) by auto
          then have x \approx M-\{fx\} unfolding eqpoll_def by auto
          then have M-{fx}≈x using eqpoll_sym by auto
          then have M-{fx}\lesssimx using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
          with as(2) ass(2) have (\exists g. g \in Pi(M-\{fx\}, \lambda t. N t) \land (\forall t \in M-\{fx\}. M t))
  t \in N t)
             unfolding AxiomCardinalChoiceGen_def by auto
          then obtain g where g: g\in Pi(M-{fx},\lambdat. N t) \forall t\inM-{fx}. g
t \in N t
          from f have ff: fx∈M using bij_def inj_def apply_funtype by auto
          with ass(2) have N(fx) \neq 0 by auto
          then obtain y where y: y \in N(fx) by auto
          from g(1) have gg: g\subseteq Sigma(M-\{fx\},()(N)) unfolding Pi_def by
auto
          with y ff have g \cup \{\langle fx, y \rangle\} \subseteq Sigma(M, ()(N)) unfolding Sigma_def
by auto
          moreover
          from g(1) have dom: M-{fx} \( \square\) domain(g) unfolding Pi_def by auto
          then have M\subseteq domain(g \cup \{\langle fx, y \rangle\}) unfolding domain_def by auto
```

```
moreover
          from gg g(1) have noe: (\exists t. \langle fx,t \rangle \in g) and function(g)
             unfolding domain_def Pi_def Sigma_def by auto
          with dom have fg: function(g \bigcup \{\langle fx, y \rangle \}) unfolding function_def
by blast
          ultimately have PP: g \cup \{\langle fx, y \rangle\} \in Pi(M, \lambda t. N t) unfolding Pi_def
by auto
          have \langle fx, y \rangle \in g \cup \{\langle fx, y \rangle\} by auto
          from this fg have (g \cup \{(fx, y)\})(fx)=y by (rule function_apply_equality)
          with y have (g \cup \{\langle fx, y \rangle\})(fx) \in \mathbb{N}(fx) by auto
          moreover
          {
             fix t assume A:t\in M-\{fx\}
             with g(1) have \langle t, gt \rangle \in g using apply_Pair by auto
             then have \langle t, gt \rangle \in (g \cup \{\langle fx, y \rangle\}) by auto
             then have (g \cup \{\langle fx, y \rangle\}) t=gt using apply_equality PP by auto
             with A have (g \cup \{\langle fx, y \rangle\}) t \in \mathbb{N}t using g(2) by auto
          ultimately have \forall t \in M. (g \cup \{\langle fx, y \rangle\}) t \in Nt by auto
          with PP have \exists g. g \in Pi(M, \lambda t. N t) \land (\forall t \in M. gt \in Nt) by auto
     ultimately have \exists g. g \in Pi(M, \lambda t. Nt) \land (\forall t \in M. g t \in N t) us-
ing as(1) ass(1)
        lepoll_succ_disj by auto
     then have \forall M \in \mathbb{N}. M \lesssim \operatorname{succ}(x) \land (\forall t \in M. Nt \neq 0) \longrightarrow (\exists g. g \in Pi(M, \lambda t. N))
t) \land (\forall t\inM. g t \in N t))
       by auto
     then have {the axiom of}succ(x){choice holds}
        using AxiomCardinalChoiceGen_def nat_into_Card as(1) nat_succI by
auto
  ultimately show thesis by (rule nat_induct)
The axiom of choice holds if and only if the AxiomCardinalChoice holds for
every couple of a cardinal Q and a set K.
lemma choice_subset_imp_choice:
  shows {the axiom of} Q {choice holds} \longleftrightarrow (\forall K. {the axiom of} Q {choice
holds for subsets}K)
  unfolding AxiomCardinalChoice_def AxiomCardinalChoiceGen_def by blast
A choice axiom for greater cardinality implies one for smaller cardinality
lemma greater_choice_imp_smaller_choice:
  assumes Q \lesssim Q1 \operatorname{Card}(Q)
  shows {the axiom of} Q1 {choice holds} \longrightarrow ({the axiom of} Q {choice
holds}) using assms
  AxiomCardinalChoiceGen_def lepoll_trans by auto
```

If we have a surjective function from a set which is injective to a set of ordinals, then we can find an injection which goes the other way.

```
lemma surj_fun_inv:
  assumes f \in surj(A,B) A\subseteq Q Ord(Q)
  shows BSA
proof-
  let g = \{(m, \mu j. j \in A \land f(j) = m\}. m \in B\}
  have g:B-range(g) using lam_is_fun_range by simp
  then have fun: g:B\rightarrow g(B) using range_image_domain by simp
  from assms(2,3) have OA: ∀j∈A. Ord(j) using lt_def Ord_in_Ord by auto
    fix x
    assume x \in g(B)
    then have x \in \text{range}(g) and \exists y \in B. \langle y, x \rangle \in g by auto
    then obtain y where T: x=(\mu j. j\in A \land f(j)=y) and y\in B by auto
    with assms(1) OA obtain z where P: z \in A \land f(z) = y \text{ Ord}(z) unfolding
surj_def
       by auto
    with T have x \in A \land f(x)=y using LeastI by simp
    hence x \in A by simp
  then have g(B) \subseteq A by auto
  with fun have fun2: g:B - A using fun_weaken_type by auto
  then have g∈inj(B,A)
  proof -
    {
       fix w x
       assume AS: gw=gx w \in B x \in B
       from assms(1) OA AS(2,3) obtain wz xz where
         P1: wz \in A \land f(wz) = w \quad Ord(wz) \quad and \quad P2: \quad xz \in A \land f(xz) = x \quad Ord(xz)
         unfolding surj_def by blast
       from P1 have (\mu j. j \in A \land fj=w) \in A \land f(\mu j. j \in A \land fj=w)=w
         by (rule LeastI)
       moreover from P2 have (\mu j. j \in A \land fj=x) \in A \land f(\mu j. j \in A \land fj=x)=x
         by (rule LeastI)
       ultimately have R: f(\mu j. j \in A \land fj=w)=w f(\mu j. j \in A \land fj=x)=x
         by auto
       from AS have (\mu j. j \in A \land f(j) = w) = (\mu j. j \in A \land f(j) = x)
         using apply_equality fun2 by auto
       hence f(\mu j. j \in A \land f(j)=w) = f(\mu j. j \in A \land f(j)=x) by auto
       with R(1) have w = f(\mu j. j \in A \land fj=x) by auto
       with R(2) have w=x by auto
    hence \forall w \in B. \forall x \in B. g(w) = g(x) \longrightarrow w = x
       by auto
     with fun2 show g∈inj(B,A) unfolding inj_def by auto
  then show thesis unfolding lepoll_def by auto
```

qed

The difference with the previous result is that in this one A is not a subset of an ordinal, it is only injective with one.

```
theorem surj_fun_inv_2:
  assumes f:surj(A,B) A \lesssim Q Ord(Q)
 shows B \lesssim A
proof-
  from assms(2) obtain h where h_def: heinj(A,Q) using lepoll_def by
 then have bij: h∈bij(A,range(h)) using inj_bij_range by auto
 then obtain h1 where h1\in bij(range(h), A) using bij_converse_bij by
  then have h1 ∈ surj(range(h),A) using bij_def by auto
  with assms(1) have (f 0 h1) \( \int \text{surj} \) (range(h), B) using comp_surj by auto
 moreover
  {
    fix x
    assume p: x∈range(h)
    from bij have h∈surj(A,range(h)) using bij_def by auto
    with p obtain q where q∈A and h(q)=x using surj_def by auto
    then have x \in Q using h_def inj_def by auto
 then have range(h) ⊂Q by auto
 ultimately have B\(\sigma\)range(h) using surj_fun_inv assms(3) by auto
 moreover have range(h)≈A using bij eqpoll_def eqpoll_sym by blast
 ultimately show BSA using lepoll_eq_trans by auto
qed
```

end

42 Groups 4

theory Group_ZF_4 imports Group_ZF_1 Group_ZF_2 Finite_ZF Ring_ZF
 Cardinal_ZF Semigroup_ZF

begin

This theory file deals with normal subgroup test and some finite group theory. Then we define group homomorphisms and prove that the set of endomorphisms forms a ring with unity and we also prove the first isomorphism theorem.

42.1 Conjugation of subgroups

The conjugate of a subgroup is a subgroup.

```
theorem(in group0) semigr0:
  shows semigr0(G,P)
   unfolding semigro_def using groupAssum IsAgroup_def IsAmonoid_def by
theorem (in group0) conj_group_is_group:
   assumes IsAsubgroup(H,P) g \in G
   shows IsAsubgroup(\{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) . h \in H\}, P)
proof-
   have sub:H⊆G using assms(1) group0_3_L2 by auto
   from assms(2) have g^{-1} \in G using inverse_in_group by auto
      fix r assume r \in \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot h \in H\}
      then obtain h where h:h\in H r=g\cdot (h\cdot (g^{-1})) by auto
      from h(1) have h^{-1} \in H using group0_3_T3A assms(1) by auto
      from h(1) sub have h \in G by auto
      then have h^{-1} \in G using inverse_in_group by auto
      with \langle g^{-1} \in G \rangle have ((h^{-1}) \cdot (g)^{-1}) \in G using group_op_closed by auto
      from h(2) have r^{-1}=(g\cdot(h\cdot(g^{-1})))^{-1} by auto moreover
      \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle h \in \mathsf{G} \rangle \ \ \langle g^{-1} \in \mathsf{G} \rangle \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ \mathbf{s} : h \cdot (g^{-1}) \in \mathsf{G} \ \ \mathbf{using} \ \ \mathsf{group\_op\_closed} \ \ \mathbf{by} \ \ \mathsf{blast}
      ultimately have r^{-1}=(h\cdot(g^{-1}))^{-1}\cdot(g)^{-1} using group_inv_of_two[OF assms(2)]
by auto
      moreover
      from s assms(2) h(2) have r:r\in G using group_op_closed by auto
      have (h \cdot (g^{-1}))^{-1} = (g^{-1})^{-1} \cdot h^{-1} using group_inv_of_two[OF \langle h \in G \rangle \langle g^{-1} \in G \rangle]
by auto
      moreover have (g^{-1})^{-1}=g using group_inv_of_inv[OF assms(2)] by auto ultimately have r^{-1}=(g\cdot(h^{-1}))\cdot(g)^{-1} by auto
      then have r^{-1}=g \cdot ((h^{-1}) \cdot (g)^{-1}) using group_oper_assoc[OF assms(2) \langle h^{-1} \in G \rangle \langle g^{-1} \in G \rangle]
by auto
      with \langle h^{-1} \in H \rangle r have r^{-1} \in \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot h \in H\} reg by auto
   then have \forall r \in \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) . h \in H\}. r^{-1} \in \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) . h \in H\} \text{ and } \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) .
h \in H \subseteq G by auto moreover
      fix s t assume s:s\in\{g\cdot(h\cdot g^{-1}).\ h\in H\} and t:t\in\{g\cdot(h\cdot g^{-1}).\ h\in H\}
      then obtain hs ht where hs:hs\inH s=g\cdot(hs\cdot(g<sup>-1</sup>)) and ht:ht\inH t=g\cdot(ht\cdot(g<sup>-1</sup>))
      from hs(1) have hs∈G using sub by auto
      then have g·hs∈G using group_op_closed assms(2) by auto
      then have (g\cdot hs)^{-1}\in G using inverse_in_group by auto
      from ht(1) have ht∈G using sub by auto
      with \langle g^{-1}:G \rangle have ht \cdot (g^{-1}) \in G using group_op_closed by auto
      from hs(2) ht(2) have s \cdot t = (g \cdot (hs \cdot (g^{-1}))) \cdot (g \cdot (ht \cdot (g^{-1}))) by auto more-
      have g \cdot (hs \cdot (g^{-1})) = g \cdot hs \cdot (g^{-1}) using group_oper_assoc[OF assms(2) \langle hs \in G \rangle
\langle g^{-1} \in G \rangle] by auto
      then have (g \cdot (hs \cdot (g^{-1}))) \cdot (g \cdot (ht \cdot (g^{-1}))) = (g \cdot hs \cdot (g^{-1})) \cdot (g \cdot (ht \cdot (g^{-1}))) by
auto
```

```
then have (g \cdot (hs \cdot (g^{-1}))) \cdot (g \cdot (ht \cdot (g^{-1}))) = (g \cdot hs \cdot (g^{-1})) \cdot (g^{-1-1} \cdot (ht \cdot (g^{-1})))
using group_inv_of_inv[OF assms(2)] by auto
           also have ...=g·hs·(ht·(g<sup>-1</sup>)) using group0_2_L14A(2)[0F \langle (g\cdot hs)^{-1} \in G \rangle
\langle g^{-1} \in G \rangle \langle ht \cdot (g^{-1}) \in G \rangle group_inv_of_inv[OF \langle (g \cdot hs) \in G \rangle]
           ultimately have s \cdot t = g \cdot hs \cdot (ht \cdot (g^{-1})) by auto moreover
           have hs\cdot(ht\cdot(g^{-1}))=(hs\cdot ht)\cdot(g^{-1}) using group_oper_assoc[OF \langle hs\in G\rangle\langle ht\in G\rangle\langle g^{-1}\in G\rangle]
by auto moreover
           \mathbf{have} \ \ \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{hs} \cdot (\mathbf{ht} \cdot (\mathbf{g}^{-1})) = \mathbf{g} \cdot (\mathbf{hs} \cdot (\mathbf{ht} \cdot (\mathbf{g}^{-1}))) \ \ \mathbf{using} \ \ \mathbf{group\_oper\_assoc} \\ [0F \ \ \langle \mathbf{g} \in \mathsf{G} \rangle \langle \mathbf{hs} \in \mathsf{G} \rangle \langle (\mathbf{ht} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1}) \in \mathsf{G} \rangle ]
           ultimately have s \cdot t = g \cdot ((hs \cdot ht) \cdot (g^{-1})) by auto moreover
           from hs(1) ht(1) have hs⋅ht∈H using assms(1) group0_3_L6 by auto
           ultimately have s \cdot t \in \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) : h \in H\} by auto
     then have \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}), h \in H\} {is closed under}P unfolding IsOpClosed_def
by auto moreover
     from assms(1) have 1 \in H using group0_3_L5 by auto
     then have g \cdot (1 \cdot g^{-1}) \in \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}), h \in H\} by auto
     then have \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}), h \in H\} \neq 0 by auto ultimately
     show thesis using group0_3_T3 by auto
qed
Every set is equipollent with its conjugates.
theorem (in group0) conj_set_is_eqpoll:
     assumes H\subseteq G g\in G
     shows H \approx \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot h \in H\}
     have fun:\{\langle h,g\cdot(h\cdot g^{-1})\rangle . h\in H\}: H\to \{g\cdot(h\cdot g^{-1}). h\in H\} unfolding Pi_def function_def
domain_def by auto
           fix h1 h2 assume h1\inHh2\inH{\langle h,g\cdot (h\cdot g^{-1})\rangle. h\inH}h1={\langle h,g\cdot (h\cdot g^{-1})\rangle. h\inH}h2
           with fun have g \cdot (h1 \cdot g^{-1}) = g \cdot (h2 \cdot g^{-1}) h1 \cdot g^{-1} \in Gh2 \cdot g^{-1} \in Gh1 \in Gh2 \in G using apply_equality
assms(1)
           \label{eq:group_op_closed_operator} $$group\_op\_closed[OF\_inverse\_in\_group[OF\ assms(2)]]$ by autothen have $h1\cdot g^{-1}=h2\cdot g^{-1}$ using $$group0\_2\_L19(2)[OF\ \langle h1\cdot g^{-1}\in G\rangle\ \langle h2\cdot g^{-1}\in G\rangle$ }
assms(2)] by auto
           then have h1=h2 using group0_2_L19(1)[OF \( \hbar{h}1 \in G \cdot \hbar{h}2 \in G \in G \cdot \hbar{h}2 \in G \cdot \hbar{h}2 \in G 
assms(2)]] by auto
     then have \forall h1 \in H. \forall h2 \in H. \{\langle h, g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \rangle. h \in H\}h1 = \{\langle h, g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \rangle. h \in H\}h2
 \longrightarrow h1=h2 by auto
     with fun have \{\langle h, g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \rangle . h \in H\} \in inj(H, \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) . h \in H\}) unfolding
inj_def by auto moreover
      {
           fix ghg assume ghg \in \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) : h \in H\}
           then obtain h where h\inH ghg=g\cdot(h\cdotg<sup>-1</sup>) by auto
           then have \langle h, ghg \rangle \in \{\langle h, g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \rangle . h \in H\} by auto
           then have \{(h,g\cdot(h\cdot g^{-1}))\}. h\in H\}h=ghg using apply_equality fun by auto
           with \langle h \in H \rangle have \exists h \in H. \{\langle h, g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \rangle . h \in H\} h = ghg by auto
```

```
with fun have \{\langle h, g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \rangle . h \in H\} \in surj(H, \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) . h \in H\}) unfolding
surj_def by auto
  ultimately have \{(h,g\cdot(h\cdot g^{-1})), h\in H\}\in bij(H,\{g\cdot(h\cdot g^{-1}), h\in H\}) unfolding
bij_def by auto
  then show thesis unfolding eqpoll_def by auto
qed
Every normal subgroup contains its conjugate subgroups.
theorem (in group0) norm_group_cont_conj:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathtt{IsAnormalSubgroup}(\mathtt{G},\mathtt{P},\mathtt{H}) \ \mathtt{g} {\in} \mathtt{G}
  shows \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot h \in H\} \subseteq H
proof-
     fix r assume r \in \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot h \in H\}
     then obtain h where r=g\cdot(h\cdot g^{-1}) h∈H by auto moreover
     then have h∈G using group0_3_L2 assms(1) unfolding IsAnormalSubgroup_def
by auto moreover
     from assms(2) have g^{-1} \in G using inverse_in_group by auto
     ultimately have r=g \cdot h \cdot g^{-1} h \in H using group_oper_assoc assms(2) by auto
     then have r \in H using assms unfolding IsAnormalSubgroup_def by auto
  then show \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot h \in H\} \subseteq H by auto
If a subgroup contains all its conjugate subgroups, then it is normal.
theorem (in group0) cont_conj_is_normal:
  assumes IsAsubgroup(H,P) \forall g \in G. \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot h \in H\} \subseteq H
  shows IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,H)
proof-
  {
     fix h g assume h \in H g \in G
     with assms(2) have g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \in H by auto
     moreover have h \in Gg^{-1} \in G using group0_3_L2 assms(1) \langle g \in G \rangle \langle h \in H \rangle inverse_in_group
by auto
     ultimately have g \cdot h \cdot g^{-1} \in H using group_oper_assoc \langle g \in G \rangle by auto
  then show thesis using assms(1) unfolding IsAnormalSubgroup_def by
auto
qed
If a group has only one subgroup of a given order, then this subgroup is
corollary(in group0) only_one_equipoll_sub:
  assumes IsAsubgroup(H,P) \forall M. IsAsubgroup(M,P)\land H\approxM \longrightarrow M=H
  shows IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,H)
proof-
  {
     fix g assume g:g\in G
```

```
with assms(1) have IsAsubgroup(\{g\cdot(h\cdot g^{-1}).\ h\in H\},P) using conj\_group\_is\_group
by auto
    moreover
    from assms(1) g have H \approx \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}), h \in H\} using conj_set_is_eqpoll
group0_3_L2 by auto
    ultimately have \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot h \in H\} = H \text{ using assms(2)} by auto
    then have \{g \cdot (h \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot h \in H\} \subseteq H by auto
  then show thesis using cont_conj_is_normal assms(1) by auto
qed
The trivial subgroup is then a normal subgroup.
corollary(in group0) trivial_normal_subgroup:
  shows IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,{1})
proof-
  have {1}⊆G using group0_2_L2 by auto
  moreover have \{1\}\neq 0 by auto moreover
  {
    fix a b assume a \in \{1\}b \in \{1\}
    then have a=1b=1 by auto
    then have P(a,b)=1\cdot 1 by auto
    then have P(a,b)=1 using group0_2_L2 by auto
    then have P(a,b) \in \{1\} by auto
  then have {1}{is closed under}P unfolding IsOpClosed_def by auto
  moreover
    fix a assume a \in \{1\}
    then have a=1 by auto
    then have a^{-1}=1^{-1} by auto
    then have a^{-1}=1 using group_inv_of_one by auto
    then have a^{-1} \in \{1\} by auto
  then have \forall a \in \{1\}. a^{-1} \in \{1\} by auto ultimately
  have IsAsubgroup({1},P) using group0_3_T3 by auto moreover
    fix M assume M:IsAsubgroup(M,P) {1}≈M
    then have 1 \in M \approx \{1\} using eqpoll_sym group0_3_L5 by auto
    then obtain f where f∈bij(M,{1}) unfolding eqpoll_def by auto
    then have inj:f∈inj(M,{1}) unfolding bij_def by auto
    then have fun:f:M\rightarrow\{1\} unfolding inj_def by auto
       fix b assume b \in Mb \neq 1
       then have fb \neq f1 using inj \langle 1 \in M \rangle unfolding inj_def by auto
       then have False using \langle b \in M \rangle \langle 1 \in M \rangle apply_type[OF fun] by auto
    then have M={1} using \langle 1 \in M \rangle by auto
  ultimately show thesis using only_one_equipoll_sub by auto
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma(in group0) whole_normal_subgroup:
  shows IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,G)
  unfolding IsAnormalSubgroup_def
  using group_op_closed inverse_in_group
  using group0_2_L2 group0_3_T3[of G] unfolding IsOpClosed_def
    by auto
Since the whole group and the trivial subgroup are normal, it is natural to
define simplicity of groups in the following way:
definition
  IsSimple ([_,_]{is a simple group} 89)
  where [G,f] {is a simple group} \equiv IsAgroup(G,f) \land (\forall M. IsAnormalSubgroup(G,f,M))
\longrightarrow M=G\lorM={TheNeutralElement(G,f)})
From the definition follows that if a group has no subgroups, then it is
simple.
corollary (in group0) noSubgroup_imp_simple:
  assumes \forall H. IsAsubgroup(H,P)\longrightarrow H=G\lorH={1}
  shows [G,P]{is a simple group}
proof-
  have IsAgroup(G,P) using groupAssum. moreover
    fix M assume IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,M)
    then have IsAsubgroup(M,P) unfolding IsAnormalSubgroup_def by auto
    with assms have M=G \lor M=\{1\} by auto
  ultimately show thesis unfolding IsSimple_def by auto
Since every subgroup is normal in abelian groups, it follows that commuta-
tive simple groups do not have subgroups.
corollary (in group0) abelian_simple_noSubgroups:
  assumes [G,P]{is a simple group} P{is commutative on}G
  shows \forall H. IsAsubgroup(H,P)\longrightarrow H=G\lorH={1}
proof(safe)
  fix H assume A:IsAsubgroup(H,P)H \neq {1}
  then have IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,H) using Group_ZF_2_4_L6(1) groupAssum
assms(2)
  with assms(1) A show H=G unfolding IsSimple_def by auto
qed
```

42.2 Finite groups

The subgroup of a finite group is finite.

lemma(in group0) finite_subgroup:

```
assumes Finite(G) IsAsubgroup(H,P)
  shows Finite(H)
   using group0_3_L2 subset_Finite assms by force
The space of cosets is also finite. In particular, quotient groups.
lemma(in group0) finite_cosets:
   assumes Finite(G) IsAsubgroup(H,P) r=QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)
  shows Finite(G//r)
proof-
  have fun:\{(g,r\{g\}), g\in G\}: G\rightarrow (G//r) \text{ unfolding Pi_def function_def domain_def}\}
by auto
   {
      fix C assume C:C∈G//r
      then obtain c where c:ceC using EquivClass_1_L5[0F Group_ZF_2_4_L1[0F
assms(2)]] assms(3) by auto
      with C have r{c}=C using EquivClass_1_L2[OF Group_ZF_2_4_L3] assms(2,3)
      with c C have \langle c, c \rangle \in \{\langle g, r\{g\} \rangle, g \in G\} using EquivClass_1_L1[0F Group_ZF_2_4_L3]
assms(2,3)
         by auto
      then have \{\langle g, r\{g\} \rangle, g \in G\} c = C c \in G using apply_equality fun by auto
      then have \exists c \in G. \{\langle g, r\{g\} \rangle : g \in G\} c = C by auto
  with fun have surj:\{\langle g,r\{g\}\rangle, g\in G\}\in surj(G,G//r) \text{ unfolding surj_def}
by auto moreover
   from assms(1) obtain n where n∈nat G≈n unfolding Finite_def by auto
  then have G:G\sin Ord(n) using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
  then have G//r \( \sigma \) using surj_fun_inv_2 surj by auto
   with G(1) have G//r \le n using lepoll_trans by blast
   then show Finite(G//r) using lepoll_nat_imp_Finite (n \in nat) by auto
qed
All the cosets are equipollent.
lemma(in group0) cosets_equipoll:
   assumes IsAsubgroup(H,P) r=QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H) g1\in Gg2\in G
   shows r\{g1\}\approx r\{g2\}
proof-
   from assms(3,4) have GG:(g1^{-1})\cdot g2\in G using inverse_in_group_group_op_closed
   then have RightTranslation(G,P,(g1<sup>-1</sup>)·g2) \in bij(G,G) using trans_bij(1)
by auto moreover
   have sub2:r{g2}⊆G using EquivClass_1_L1[OF Group_ZF_2_4_L3[OF assms(1)]]
assms(2,4) unfolding quotient_def by auto
   have sub:r{g1}G using EquivClass_1_L1[OF Group_ZF_2_4_L3[OF assms(1)]]
assms(2,3) unfolding quotient_def by auto
   ultimately have restrict(RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2)),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2)),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2)),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2)),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2)),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2)),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2)),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2)),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2)),r{g1})\inbij(r{g1},RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2)),r{g1})
      using restrict_bij unfolding bij_def by auto
   then have r{g1}≈RightTranslation(G,P,(g1<sup>-1</sup>)·g2)(r{g1}) unfolding eqpoll_def
by auto
```

```
then have A0:r{g1}\approx{RightTranslation(G,P,(g1<sup>-1</sup>)·g2)t. t\inr{g1}}
     using func_imagedef[OF groupO_5_L1(1)[OF GG] sub] by auto
     fix t assume t \in \{RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})\cdot g2)t.\ t \in r\{g1\}\}
     then obtain q where q:t=RightTranslation(G,P,(g1<sup>-1</sup>)·g2)q q\inr{g1}
     then have \langle g1,q \rangle \in r \in G using image_iff sub by auto
     then have g1 \cdot (q^{-1}) \in H q^{-1} \in G using assms(2) inverse_in_group unfold-
ing QuotientGroupRel_def by auto
     from q(1) have t:t=q\cdot((g1^{-1})\cdot g2) using group0_5_L2(1)[OF GG] q(2)
sub by auto
     then have g2 \cdot t^{-1} = g2 \cdot (q \cdot ((g1^{-1}) \cdot g2))^{-1} by auto
     then have g2 \cdot t^{-1} = g2 \cdot (((g1^{-1}) \cdot g2)^{-1} \cdot q^{-1}) using group_inv_of_two[OF \langle q \in G \rangle
GG] by auto
     then have g2 \cdot t^{-1} = g2 \cdot (((g2^{-1}) \cdot g1^{-1-1}) \cdot q^{-1}) using group_inv_of_two[OF
inverse_in_group[OF assms(3)]
        assms(4)] by auto
     then have g2 \cdot t^{-1} = g2 \cdot (((g2^{-1}) \cdot g1) \cdot q^{-1}) using group_inv_of_inv assms(3)
by auto moreover
     \mathbf{have} \ \ \mathsf{t} \in \!\! \mathtt{G} \ \ \mathsf{using} \ \ \mathsf{t} \ \ \langle \mathsf{q} \in \!\! \mathtt{G} \rangle \ \ \mathsf{inverse\_in\_group[OF} \ \ \mathsf{assms(3)]} \ \ \mathsf{group\_op\_closed}
     have (g2^{-1}) \cdot g1 \in G using assms(3) inverse_in_group[OF assms(4)] group_op_closed
     with assms(4) (q^{-1} \in G) have g2 \cdot (((g2^{-1}) \cdot g1) \cdot q^{-1}) = g2 \cdot ((g2^{-1}) \cdot g1) \cdot q^{-1} us-
ing group_oper_assoc by auto
     moreover have g2 \cdot ((g2^{-1}) \cdot g1) = g2 \cdot (g2^{-1}) \cdot g1 using assms(3) inverse_in_group[OF]
assms(4)] assms(4)
        group_oper_assoc by auto
     then have g2 \cdot ((g2^{-1}) \cdot g1) = g1 using group0_2\_L6[OF assms(4)] group0_2\_L2
assms(3) by auto ultimately
     have g2 \cdot t^{-1} = g1 \cdot q^{-1} by auto
     with \langle g1 \cdot (q^{-1}) \in H \rangle have g2 \cdot t^{-1} \in H by auto
     then have \langle g2,t\rangle \in r using assms(2) unfolding QuotientGroupRel_def us-
ing assms(4) \langle t \in G \rangle by auto
     then have t \in r\{g2\} using image_iff assms(4) by auto
  then have A1:{RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})·g2)t. t\inr{g1}}\subseteqr{g2} by auto
     fix t assume t \in r\{g2\}
     then have \langle g2,t\rangle \in r t\in G using sub2 image_iff by auto
     then have H:g2·t<sup>-1</sup>∈H using assms(2) unfolding QuotientGroupRel_def
     then have G:g2\cdot t^{-1}\in G using group 0_3_L2 assms(1) by auto
     then have g1 \cdot (g1^{-1} \cdot (g2 \cdot t^{-1})) = g1 \cdot g1^{-1} \cdot (g2 \cdot t^{-1}) using group_oper_assoc[OF
assms(3) inverse_in_group[OF assms(3)]]
        by auto
     then have g1 \cdot (g1^{-1} \cdot (g2 \cdot t^{-1})) = g2 \cdot t^{-1} using group0_2_L6[OF assms(3)]
group0_2_L2 G by auto
     with H have HH:g1\cdot(g1^{-1}\cdot(g2\cdot t^{-1}))\in H by auto
```

```
have GGG:t\cdot g2^{-1}\in G using \langle t\in G\rangle inverse_in_group[OF assms(4)] group_op_closed
by auto
     assms(4)]] by auto
     also have ...=g2·t<sup>-1</sup> using group_inv_of_inv[OF assms(4)] by auto
     ultimately have (t \cdot g2^{-1})^{-1} = g2 \cdot t^{-1} by auto
     then have g1^{-1} \cdot (t \cdot g2^{-1})^{-1} = g1^{-1} \cdot (g2 \cdot t^{-1}) by auto
     then have ((t \cdot g2^{-1}) \cdot g1)^{-1} = g1^{-1} \cdot (g2 \cdot t^{-1}) using group_inv_of_two[OF GGG
assms(3)] by auto
     then have \mathtt{HHH}: \mathtt{g1} \cdot ((\mathtt{t} \cdot \mathtt{g2}^{-1}) \cdot \mathtt{g1})^{-1} \in \mathtt{H} using \mathtt{HH} by auto
     \mathbf{have} \ (\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{g2}^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{g1} \in \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathbf{assms(3)} \ \ \langle \mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{G} \rangle \ \mathbf{inverse\_in\_group[OF} \ \mathbf{assms(4)]}
group_op_closed by auto
     with HHH have \langle g1, (t \cdot g2^{-1}) \cdot g1 \rangle \in r using assms(2,3) unfolding QuotientGroupRel_def
by auto
     then have rg1:t\cdot g2^{-1}\cdot g1\in r\{g1\} using image_iff by auto
     \mathbf{have}\ \mathbf{t}\cdot \mathbf{g2}^{-1}\cdot \mathbf{g1}\cdot ((\mathbf{g1}^{-1})\cdot \mathbf{g2}) = \mathbf{t}\cdot (\mathbf{g2}^{-1}\cdot \mathbf{g1})\cdot ((\mathbf{g1}^{-1})\cdot \mathbf{g2})\ \mathbf{using}\ \mathbf{group\_oper\_assoc} \ [\mathbf{0F}]
(t \in G) inverse_in_group[OF assms(4)] assms(3)]
        by auto
     also have ...=t \cdot ((g2^{-1} \cdot g1) \cdot ((g1^{-1}) \cdot g2)) using group_oper_assoc[OF \langle t \in G \rangle
group_op_closed[OF inverse_in_group[OF assms(4)] assms(3)] GG]
     also have ...=t \cdot (g2^{-1} \cdot (g1 \cdot ((g1^{-1}) \cdot g2))) using group_oper_assoc[OF inverse_in_group[OF inverse_in_group]]
assms(4)] assms(3) GG] by auto
     also have ...=t \cdot (g2^{-1} \cdot (g1 \cdot (g1^{-1}) \cdot g2)) using group_oper_assoc[OF assms(3)
inverse_in_group[OF assms(3)] assms(4)] by auto
     also have ...=t using group0_2_L6[OF assms(3)]group0_2_L6[OF assms(4)]
group0_2_L2 (t \in G) assms(4) by auto
     ultimately have t \cdot g2^{-1} \cdot g1 \cdot ((g1^{-1}) \cdot g2) = t by auto
     then have RightTranslation(G,P,(g1<sup>-1</sup>)·g2)(t·g2<sup>-1</sup>·g1)=t using group0_5_L2(1)[OF
GG] \langle (t \cdot g2^{-1}) \cdot g1 \in G \rangle by auto
     then have t \in \{RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})\cdot g2)t.\ t \in r\{g1\}\}\ using\ rg1
by force
  then have r\{g2\}\subseteq \{RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})\cdot g2)t.\ t\in r\{g1\}\}\ by blast
  with A1 have r\{g2\}=\{RightTranslation(G,P,(g1^{-1})\cdot g2)t.\ t\in r\{g1\}\} by auto
  with AO show thesis by auto
qed
The order of a subgroup multiplied by the order of the space of cosets is the
order of the group. We only prove the theorem for finite groups.
theorem(in group0) Lagrange:
  assumes Finite(G) IsAsubgroup(H,P) r=QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)
  shows |G|=|H| #* |G//r|
proof-
  have Finite(G//r) using assms finite_cosets by auto moreover
  have un: [](G//r)=G using Union_quotient Group_ZF_2_4_L3 assms(2,3) by
   then have Finite(\bigcup (G//r)) using assms(1) by auto moreover
  have \forall c1 \in (G//r). \forall c2 \in (G//r). c1 \neq c2 \longrightarrow c1 \cap c2 = 0 using quotient_disj[OF
```

```
Group_ZF_2_4_L3[OF assms(2)]]
    assms(3) by auto moreover
  have \forall aa \in G. aa \in H \longleftrightarrow \langle aa, 1 \rangle \in r using Group_ZF_2_4_L5C assms(3) by auto
  then have \forall aa \in G. aa \in H \longleftrightarrow \langle 1,aa \rangle \in r using Group_{ZF_2_4_L2} assms(2,3)
unfolding sym_def
    by auto
  then have \forall aa \in G. aa \in H \longleftrightarrow aa \in r\{1\} using image_iff by auto
  then have H:H=r{1} using group0_3_L2[OF assms(2)] assms(3) unfolding
QuotientGroupRel_def by auto
    fix c assume c \in (G//r)
    then obtain g where g \in G c=r{g} unfolding quotient_def by auto
    then have c≈r{1} using cosets_equipoll[OF assms(2,3)] group0_2_L2
by auto
    then have |c|=|H| using H cardinal_cong by auto
  then have \forall c \in (G//r). |c|=|H| by auto ultimately
  show thesis using card_partition un by auto
```

42.3 Subgroups generated by sets

Given a subset of a group, we can ask ourselves which is the smallest group that contains that set; if it even exists.

```
lemma(in group0) inter_subgroups:
   assumes \forall H \in \mathfrak{H}. Is Asubgroup (H,P) \mathfrak{H} \neq 0
  shows IsAsubgroup(\bigcap \mathfrak{H}, P)
   from assms have 1 \in \bigcap \mathfrak{H} using group0_3_L5 by auto
   then have \bigcap \mathfrak{H} \neq 0 by auto moreover
      fix A B assume A \in \bigcap \mathfrak{H}B \in \bigcap \mathfrak{H}
      then have \forall H \in \mathfrak{H}. A \in H \land B \in H by auto
      then have \forall H \in \mathfrak{H}. A·B∈H using assms(1) group0_3_L6 by auto
      then have A \cdot B \in \bigcap \mathfrak{H} using assms(2) by auto
  then have (\bigcap \mathfrak{H}){is closed under}P using IsOpClosed_def by auto more-
over
      fix A assume A \in \bigcap \mathfrak{H}
      then have \forall H \in \mathfrak{H}. A\in H by auto
      then have \forall H \in \mathfrak{H}. A^{-1} \in H using assms(1) group0_3_T3A by auto
      then have A^{-1} \in \bigcap \mathfrak{H} using assms(2) by auto
   }
   then have \forall A \in \bigcap \mathfrak{H}. A^{-1} \in \bigcap \mathfrak{H} by auto moreover
  have \bigcap \mathfrak{H}\subseteq G using assms(1,2) group0_3_L2 by force
   ultimately show thesis using group0_3_T3 by auto
qed
```

As the previous lemma states, the subgroup that contains a subset can be defined as an intersection of subgroups.

```
definition (in group0)
  SubgroupGenerated (\langle \_ \rangle_G 80)
  where \langle X \rangle_G \equiv \bigcap \{H \in Pow(G) . X \subseteq H \land IsAsubgroup(H,P)\}
theorem(in group0) subgroupGen_is_subgroup:
  assumes X\subseteq G
  shows IsAsubgroup(\langle X \rangle_G,P)
proof-
  have restrict(P,G\times G)=P using group_oper_fun restrict_idem unfolding
Pi_def by auto
  then have IsAsubgroup(G,P) unfolding IsAsubgroup_def using groupAssum
by auto
  with assms have G \in \{H \in Pow(G) : X \subseteq H \land IsAsubgroup(H,P)\} by auto
  then have \{H \in Pow(G) : X \subseteq H \land IsAsubgroup(H,P)\} \neq 0 by auto
  then show thesis using inter_subgroups unfolding SubgroupGenerated_def
by auto
qed
```

42.4 Homomorphisms

A homomorphism is a function between groups that preserves group operations.

definition

```
Homomor (_{is a homomorphism}{_,_}\rightarrow{_,_} 85) where IsAgroup(G,P) \Longrightarrow IsAgroup(H,F) \Longrightarrow Homomor(f,G,P,H,F) \equiv \forall g1\in G. \forall g2\in G. f(P\langle g1,g2\rangle)=F\langle fg1,fg2\rangle
```

Now a lemma about the definition:

```
lemma homomor_eq: assumes IsAgroup(G,P) IsAgroup(H,F) Homomor(f,G,P,H,F) g1\inG g2\inG shows f(P\(g1,g2\))=F\(fg1,fg2\) using assms Homomor_def by auto
```

An endomorphism is a homomorphism from a group to the same group. In case the group is abelian, it has a nice structure.

definition

```
End where End(G,P) \equiv \{f:G \rightarrow G. Homomor(f,G,P,G,P)\}\
```

The set of endomorphisms forms a submonoid of the monoid of function from a set to that set under composition.

```
 \begin{array}{l} lemma (in \ group 0) \ end\_composition: \\ assumes \ f1 \in End(G,P) f2 \in End(G,P) \\ shows \ Composition(G) \langle f1,f2 \rangle \in End(G,P) \\ proof- \end{array}
```

```
from assms have fun:f1:G \rightarrow Gf2:G \rightarrow G unfolding End_def by auto
  then have fun2:f1 0 f2:G \rightarrow G using comp_fun by auto
  have comp:Composition(G)\langle f1, f2 \rangle = f1 0 f2 using func_ZF_5_L2 fun by auto
    fix g1 g2 assume AS2:g1∈Gg2∈G
    then have g1g2:g1\cdot g2\in G using group_op_closed by auto
    from fun2 have (f1 0 f2)(g1·g2)=f1(f2(g1·g2)) using comp_fun_apply
fun(2) g1g2 by auto
    also have ...=f1((f2g1)·(f2g2)) using assms(2) unfolding End_def Homomor_def[OF
groupAssum groupAssum]
      using AS2 by auto moreover
    have f2g1∈Gf2g2∈G using fun(2) AS2 apply_type by auto ultimately
    have (f1\ 0\ f2)(g1\cdot g2)=(f1(f2g1))\cdot(f1(f2g2)) using assms(1) unfold-
ing End_def Homomor_def[OF groupAssum groupAssum]
      using AS2 by auto
    then have (f1\ 0\ f2)(g1\cdot g2)=((f1\ 0\ f2)g1)\cdot((f1\ 0\ f2)g2) using comp_fun_apply
fun(2) AS2 by auto
  then have \forall g1 \in G. \forall g2 \in G. (f1 0 f2)(g1·g2)=((f1 0 f2)g1)·((f1 0 f2)g2)
by auto
  then have (f1 0 f2) \in End(G,P) unfolding End_def Homomor_def[OF groupAssum
groupAssum] using fun2 by auto
  with comp show Composition(G)\langle f1, f2 \rangle \in End(G,P) by auto
qed
theorem(in group0) end_comp_monoid:
  shows IsAmonoid(End(G,P), restrict(Composition(G), End(G,P) \times End(G,P)))
  and TheNeutralElement(End(G,P),restrict(Composition(G),End(G,P)×End(G,P)))=id(G)
proof-
  have fun:id(G):G\rightarrow G unfolding id\_def by auto
    fix g h assume g \in Gh \in G
    then have id:g\cdot h\in Gid(G)g=gid(G)h=h using group_op_closed by auto
    then have id(G)(g·h)=g·h unfolding id_def by auto
    with id(2,3) have id(G)(g \cdot h) = (id(G)g) \cdot (id(G)h) by auto
  with fun have id(G)∈End(G,P) unfolding End_def Homomor_def[OF groupAssum
groupAssum] by auto moreover
  from Group\_ZF\_2\_5\_L2(2) have A0:id(G)=TheNeutralElement(G 	o G, Composition(G))
by auto ultimately
  have A1:TheNeutralElement(G \rightarrow G, Composition(G))\inEnd(G,P) by auto
moreover
  have A2:End(G,P)\subseteq G\rightarrow G unfolding End\_def by auto moreover
  from end_composition have A3:End(G,P){is closed under}Composition(G)
unfolding IsOpClosed_def by auto
  ultimately show IsAmonoid(End(G,P),restrict(Composition(G),End(G,P)×End(G,P)))
    using monoid0.group0_1_T1 unfolding monoid0_def using Group_ZF_2_5_L2(1)
    by force
```

```
have IsAmonoid(G→G,Composition(G)) using Group_ZF_2_5_L2(1) by auto
  with AO A1 A2 A3 show TheNeutralElement(End(G,P),restrict(Composition(G),End(G,P)×End(G,
    using group0_1_L6 by auto
qed
The set of endomorphisms is closed under pointwise addition. This is so
because the group is abelian.
theorem(in group0) end_pointwise_addition:
  assumes f \in End(G,P)g \in End(G,P)P{is commutative on}GF = P {lifted to function
space over} G
  shows F(f,g) \in End(G,P)
proof-
  from assms(1,2) have fun:f \in G \rightarrow Gg \in G \rightarrow G unfolding End_def by auto
  then have fun2:F(f,g):G\rightarrow G using monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_L0 group0_2_L1
assms(4) by auto
  {
    fix g1 g2 assume AS:g1∈Gg2∈G
    then have g1 \cdot g2 \in G using group_op_closed by auto
    then have (F\langle f,g\rangle)(g1\cdot g2)=(f(g1\cdot g2))\cdot(g(g1\cdot g2)) using Group_ZF_2_1_L3
fun assms(4) by auto
    also have \dots = (f(g1) \cdot f(g2)) \cdot (g(g1) \cdot g(g2)) using assms unfolding End_def
Homomor_def[OF groupAssum groupAssum]
       using AS by auto ultimately
    have (F\langle f,g\rangle)(g1\cdot g2)=(f(g1)\cdot f(g2))\cdot (g(g1)\cdot g(g2)) by auto moreover
    have fg1 \in Gfg2 \in Ggg1 \in Ggg2 \in G using fun apply_type AS by auto ultimately
    have (F\langle f,g\rangle)(g1\cdot g2)=(f(g1)\cdot g(g1))\cdot (f(g2)\cdot g(g2)) using group0_4_L8(3)
assms(3)
       by auto
    with AS have (F\langle f,g\rangle)(g1\cdot g2)=((F\langle f,g\rangle)g1)\cdot((F\langle f,g\rangle)g2)
       using Group_ZF_2_1_L3 fun assms(4) by auto
  with fun2 show thesis unfolding End_def Homomor_def[OF groupAssum groupAssum]
by auto
qed
The inverse of an abelian group is an endomorphism.
lemma(in group0) end_inverse_group:
  assumes P{is commutative on}G
  shows GroupInv(G,P) \in End(G,P)
proof-
    fix s t assume AS:s \in Gt \in G
    then have elinv: s^{-1} \in Gt^{-1} \in G using inverse_in_group by auto
    have (s \cdot t)^{-1} = t^{-1} \cdot s^{-1} using group_inv_of_two AS by auto
    then have (s \cdot t)^{-1} = s^{-1} \cdot t^{-1} using assms(1) elinv unfolding IsCommutative_def
by auto
  then have \forall s \in G. \forall t \in G. GroupInv(G,P)(s·t)=GroupInv(G,P)(s)·GroupInv(G,P)(t)
```

by auto

```
with group0_2_T2 groupAssum show thesis unfolding End_def using Homomor_def
by auto
qed
The set of homomorphisms of an abelian group is an abelian subgroup of
the group of functions from a set to a group, under pointwise multiplication.
theorem(in group0) end_addition_group:
  assumes P{is commutative on}G F = P {lifted to function space over}
G
 shows IsAgroup(End(G,P), restrict(F,End(G,P) \times End(G,P))) restrict(F,End(G,P) \times End(G,P)) {is
commutative on}End(G,P)
proof-
  from end_comp_monoid(1) monoid0.group0_1_L3A have End(G,P)\neq 0 unfold-
ing monoid0_def by auto
  moreover have End(G,P)\subseteq G\rightarrow G unfolding End\_def by auto moreover
  have End(G,P){is closed under}F unfolding IsOpClosed_def using end_pointwise_addition
    assms(1,2) by auto moreover
    fix ff assume AS:ff∈End(G,P)
    then have restrict(Composition(G), End(G,P) × End(G,P)) (GroupInv(G,P),
ff⟩∈End(G,P) using monoid0.group0_1_L1
      unfolding monoid0_def using end_composition(1) end_inverse_group[OF
assms(1)
      by force
    then have Composition(G) ⟨GroupInv(G,P), ff⟩∈End(G,P) using AS end_inverse_group[OF
assms(1)
      by auto
    then have GroupInv(G,P) O ff∈End(G,P) using func_ZF_5_L2 AS group0_2_T2
groupAssum unfolding
      End_def by auto
    then have GroupInv(G\rightarrow G,F)ff\in End(G,P) using Group\_ZF\_2\_1\_L6 assms(2)
AS unfolding End_def
      by auto
  then have \forall ff \in End(G,P). GroupInv(G\rightarrow G,F)ff\in End(G,P) by auto ultimately
 show IsAgroup(End(G,P),restrict(F,End(G,P)×End(G,P))) using group0.group0_3_T3
Group_ZF_2_1_T2[OF assms(2)] unfolding IsAsubgroup_def group0_def
    by auto
  show restrict(F,End(G,P)\timesEnd(G,P)){is commutative on}End(G,P) using
Group_ZF_2_1_L7[OF assms(2,1)] unfolding End_def IsCommutative_def by
auto
qed
lemma(in group0) distributive_comp_pointwise:
  assumes P{is commutative on}G F = P {lifted to function space over}
  shows IsDistributive(End(G,P), restrict(F,End(G,P))\times End(G,P)), restrict(Composition(G),End(G,P))
proof-
  {
```

```
fix b c d assume AS:b\in End(G,P)c\in End(G,P)d\in End(G,P)
               have ig1:Composition(G) \langle b, F \langle c, d \rangle \rangle = b \ 0 \ (F \langle c, d \rangle) using monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_L0[0F
group0_2_L1 assms(2)]
                       AS unfolding End_def using func_ZF_5_L2 by auto
               have ig2:F \langle Composition(G) \langle b, c \rangle, Composition(G) \langle b, d \rangle = F \langle b, 0, c, b \rangle
O d using AS unfolding End_def using func_ZF_5_L2 by auto
               have complfun:(b 0 (F(c,d))):G \rightarrow G using monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_L0[OF]
group0_2_L1 assms(2)] comp_fun AS unfolding End_def by force
               have comp2fun:(F \langle b \ 0 \ c,b \ 0 \ d \rangle):G\rightarrowG using monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_L0[OF]
group0_2_L1 assms(2)] comp_fun AS unfolding End_def by force
               {
                       fix g assume gG:g∈G
                       then have (b 0 (F(c,d)))g=b((F(c,d))g) using comp_fun_apply monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_L0[OF(c,d)]g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b((F(c,d))g=b
group0_2_L1 assms(2)]
                               AS(2,3) unfolding End_def by force
                       also have ...=b(c(g)\cdot d(g)) using Group_ZF_2_1_L3[OF assms(2)] AS(2,3)
gG unfolding End_def by auto
                       ultimately have (b 0 (F(c,d))g=b(c(g)\cdot d(g)) by auto moreover
                       have cg∈Gdg∈G using AS(2,3) unfolding End_def using apply_type
                       ultimately have (b \ 0 \ (F(c,d)))g=(b(cg))\cdot(b(dg)) using AS(1) unfold-
ing End_def
                               Homomor_def[OF groupAssum groupAssum] by auto
                       then have (b 0 (F(c,d))g=((b 0 c)g)\cdot((b 0 d)g) using comp_fun_apply
gG AS(2,3)
                               unfolding End_def by auto
                       then have (b \ 0 \ (F(c,d)))g=(F(b \ 0 \ c,b \ 0 \ d))g using gG Group_ZF_2_1_L3[0F
assms(2) comp_fun comp_fun gG]
                               AS unfolding End_def by auto
               then have \forall g \in G. (b 0 (F(c,d)))g=(F(b 0 c,b 0 d))g by auto
               then have b 0 (F(c,d))=F(b 0 c,b 0 d) using fun_extension[OF comp1fun
comp2fun] by auto
               with ig1 ig2 have Composition(G) \langle b, F \langle c, d \rangle \rangle =F \langle Composition(G) \rangle
\langle b, c \rangle, Composition(G) \langle b, d \rangle \rangle by auto moreover
               have F \langle c, d \rangle=restrict(F,End(G,P)\timesEnd(G,P)) \langle c, d \rangle using AS(2,3)
restrict by auto moreover
               have Composition(G) \langle b , c \rangle = restrict(Composition(G), End(G,P) \times End(G,P))
\langle b, c \rangle Composition(G) \langle b, d \rangle=restrict(Composition(G),End(G,P)\timesEnd(G,P))
\langle b , d \rangle
                       using restrict AS by auto moreover
               have Composition(G) \langle b, F \langle c, d \rangle \rangle =restrict(Composition(G),End(G,P)\timesEnd(G,P))
\langle b, F \langle c, d \rangle \rangle using AS(1)
                       end_pointwise_addition[OF AS(2,3) assms] by auto
               \mathbf{moreover} \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ \mathbf{F} \ \ \langle \mathtt{Composition}(\mathtt{G}) \ \ \langle \mathtt{b} \ \ , \ \ \mathsf{c} \rangle, \mathtt{Composition}(\mathtt{G}) \ \ \langle \mathtt{b} \ \ , \ \ \mathsf{d} \rangle \rangle \\ = \mathbf{restrict}(\mathtt{F},\mathtt{End}(\mathtt{G},\mathtt{P}) \times \mathtt{End}(\mathtt{G},\mathtt{P}) \times \mathtt{E
\langle Composition(G) \langle b, c \rangle, Composition(G) \langle b, d \rangle \rangle
                       using end_composition[OF AS(1,2)] end_composition[OF AS(1,3)] by
auto ultimately
```

have eq1:restrict(Composition(G),End(G,P) \times End(G,P)) $\langle b, restrict(F,End(G,P)\times End(G,P)) \rangle$

```
\langle c, d \rangle \rangle = \operatorname{restrict}(F, \operatorname{End}(G, P) \times \operatorname{End}(G, P)) / \operatorname{restrict}(\operatorname{Composition}(G), \operatorname{End}(G, P) \times \operatorname{End}(G, P))
\langle b, c \rangle, restrict(Composition(G), End(G,P)×End(G,P))\langle b, d \rangle
         by auto
      have ig1:Composition(G) \ \langle F \ \langle c, d \rangle, b \rangle = (F \langle c, d \rangle) \ 0 \ b \ using monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_LO[OF]
group0_2_L1 assms(2)]
         AS unfolding End_def using func_ZF_5_L2 by auto
      have ig2:F \langle Composition(G) \langle c , b \rangle, Composition(G) \langle d , b \rangle \rangle=F \langle c \ O \ b, d \rangle
O b) using AS unfolding End_def using func_ZF_5_L2 by auto
      have complfun: ((F\langle c,d\rangle) \ 0 \ b): G \rightarrow G \ using \ monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_L0[OF]
group0_2_L1 assms(2)] comp_fun AS unfolding End_def by force
      have comp2fun:(F \langle c \ 0 \ b,d \ 0 \ b \rangle):G\rightarrowG using monoid0.Group_ZF_2_1_L0[OF]
group0_2_L1 assms(2)] comp_fun AS unfolding End_def by force
      {
         fix g assume gG:g\in G
         then have bg:bg∈G using AS(1) unfolding End_def using apply_type
         from gG have ((f(c,d)) \cup b)g=(f(c,d)) (bg) using comp_fun_apply AS(1)
unfolding End_def by force
         also have ...=(c(bg))\cdot(d(bg)) using Group_ZF_2_1_L3[OF assms(2)]
AS(2,3) bg unfolding End_def by auto
         also have \dots = ((c \ 0 \ b)g) \cdot ((d \ 0 \ b)g) using comp_fun_apply gG AS un-
folding End_def by auto
         also have ...=(F(c \ 0 \ b,d \ 0 \ b))g using gG Group_ZF_2_1_L3[OF assms(2)]
comp_fun comp_fun gG]
            AS unfolding End_def by auto
         ultimately have((F\langle c,d\rangle) 0 b)g=(F\langle c \ 0 \ b,d \ 0 \ b\rangle)g by auto
      then have \forall g \in G. ((F(c,d)) 0 b)g = (F(c 0 b,d 0 b))g by auto
      then have (F\langle c,d\rangle) 0 b=F\langle c 0 b,d 0 b\rangle using fun_extension[OF comp1fun
comp2fun] by auto
      with ig1 ig2 have Composition(G) \langle F \langle c, d \rangle, b \rangle = F \langle Composition(G) \langle c \rangle
, b), Composition(G) \langle d, b \rangle by auto moreover
      have F \langle c, d \rangle=restrict(F,End(G,P)\timesEnd(G,P)) \langle c, d \rangle using AS(2,3)
restrict by auto moreover
      have Composition(G) \langle c, b \rangle=restrict(Composition(G),End(G,P)\timesEnd(G,P))
\langle c, b \rangle Composition(G) \langle d, b \rangle=restrict(Composition(G),End(G,P)\timesEnd(G,P))
\langle d , b \rangle
         using restrict AS by auto moreover
      \mathbf{have} \ \mathsf{Composition}(\mathtt{G}) \ \langle \mathtt{F} \ \langle \mathtt{c}, \ \mathtt{d} \rangle, \mathtt{b} \rangle \ \texttt{=restrict}(\mathsf{Composition}(\mathtt{G}), \mathsf{End}(\mathtt{G}, \mathtt{P}) \times \mathsf{End}(\mathtt{G}, \mathtt{P}))
     \langle c, d \rangle, b \rangle using AS(1)
         end_pointwise_addition[OF AS(2,3) assms] by auto
      moreover have F \langle Composition(G) \langle c, b \rangle, Composition(G) \langle d, b \rangle \rangle=restrict(F,End(G,P)\timesEnd
\langle Composition(G) \langle c, b \rangle, Composition(G) \langle d, b \rangle \rangle
         using end_composition[OF AS(2,1)] end_composition[OF AS(3,1)] by
auto ultimately
      \mathbf{have} \  \, \mathsf{eq2} \colon \mathsf{restrict}(\mathsf{Composition}(\mathsf{G}), \mathsf{End}(\mathsf{G}, \mathsf{P}) \times \mathsf{End}(\mathsf{G}, \mathsf{P})) \  \, \langle \  \, \mathsf{restrict}(\mathsf{F}, \mathsf{End}(\mathsf{G}, \mathsf{P}) \times \mathsf{End}(\mathsf{G}, \mathsf{P})) \\
\langle c, d \rangle, b \rangle = \operatorname{restrict}(F, \operatorname{End}(G, P) \times \operatorname{End}(G, P)) / \operatorname{restrict}(\operatorname{Composition}(G), \operatorname{End}(G, P) \times \operatorname{End}(G, P))
\langle c, b \rangle, restrict(Composition(G), End(G,P) \times End(G,P))\langle d, b \rangle
```

by auto

```
\langle c, d \rangle \rangle =restrict(F,End(G,P)×End(G,P)) \langle restrict(Composition(G),End(G,P)\times End(G,P)) \rangle
\label{eq:composition} $$ \langle b \ , \ c \rangle, restrict(Composition(G), End(G,P) \times End(G,P)) \langle b \ , \ d \rangle \rangle) \land $$ $$
                        (restrict(Composition(G), End(G,P) \times End(G,P)) \ (restrict(F,End(G,P) \times End(G,P)))
\langle c, d \rangle, b \rangle = \operatorname{restrict}(F, \operatorname{End}(G, P) \times \operatorname{End}(G, P)) / \operatorname{restrict}(\operatorname{Composition}(G), \operatorname{End}(G, P) \times \operatorname{End}(G, P))
\langle c, b \rangle, restrict(Composition(G), End(G,P) \times End(G,P))\langle d, b \rangle \rangle
                       by auto
       then show thesis unfolding IsDistributive_def by auto
The endomorphisms of an abelian group is in fact a ring with the previous
operations.
theorem(in group0) end_is_ring:
       assumes P\{is commutative on\}G F = P \{lifted to function space over\}
G
       shows \ IsAring(End(G,P),restrict(F,End(G,P)\times End(G,P)),restrict(Composition(G),End(G,P)\times End(G,P)),restrict(Composition(G),End(G,P)\times End(G,P)),restrict(Composition(G),End(G,P)\times End(G,P)),restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Restrict(End(G,P),Re
       unfolding IsAring_def using end_addition_group[OF assms] end_comp_monoid(1)
distributive_comp_pointwise[OF assms]
       by auto
```

42.5 First isomorphism theorem

Now we will prove that any homomorphism $f: G \to H$ defines a bijective homomorphism between G/H and f(G).

A group homomorphism sends the neutral element to the neutral element and commutes with the inverse.

```
lemma image_neutral:
         assumes IsAgroup(G,P) IsAgroup(H,F) Homomor(f,G,P,H,F) f:G \rightarrow H
        shows fTheNeutralElement(G,P)=TheNeutralElement(H,F)
proof-
        have g:TheNeutralElement(G,P)=P(TheNeutralElement(G,P),TheNeutralElement(G,P))
The Neutral Element (G,P) \in G
                  using assms(1) group0.group0_2_L2 unfolding group0_def by auto
         from g(1) have fTheNeutralElement(G,P)=f(P(TheNeutralElement(G,P),TheNeutralElement(G,P))
by auto
         also have ...=F\fTheNeutralElement(G,P),fTheNeutralElement(G,P)\
                  using assms(3) unfolding Homomor_def[OF assms(1,2)] using g(2) by
auto
         ultimately have fTheNeutralElement(G,P)=F(fTheNeutralElement(G,P),fTheNeutralElement(G,P)
by auto moreover
         have h:fTheNeutralElement(G,P) \in H using g(2) apply_type[OF assms(4)]
by auto
         then have fTheNeutralElement(G,P)=F\langle fTheNeutralElement(G,P), TheNeutralElement(H,F) \rangle
                  using assms(2) group0.group0_2_L2 unfolding group0_def by auto ul-
         \textbf{have } \texttt{F} \\ \texttt{f} \texttt{TheNeutralElement}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{P}) \\ \texttt{,} \texttt{TheNeutralElement}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{P}) \\ \texttt{,} \texttt{f} \texttt{f} \texttt{f} \texttt{heNeutralElement}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{P}) \\ \texttt{,} \texttt{f} \texttt{f} \texttt{f} \texttt{heNeutralElement}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{P}) \\ \texttt{,} \texttt{f} \texttt{f} \texttt{f} \texttt{heNeutralElement}(\texttt{G}, \texttt{P}) \\ \texttt{,} \texttt{heNeutralElement}
by auto
```

```
with h have LeftTranslation(H,F,fTheNeutralElement(G,P))TheNeutralElement(H,F)=LeftTransl
    using group0.group0_5_L2(2)[OF _ h] assms(2) group0.group0_2_L2 un-
folding group0_def by auto
  moreover have LeftTranslation(H,F,fTheNeutralElement(G,P))∈bij(H,H)
using group0.trans_bij(2)
    assms(2) h unfolding group0_def by auto
  then have LeftTranslation(H,F,fTheNeutralElement(G,P))∈inj(H,H) un-
folding bij_def by auto ultimately
  show fTheNeutralElement(G,P)=TheNeutralElement(H,F) using h assms(2)
group0.group0_2_L2 unfolding inj_def group0_def
    by force
lemma image_inv:
  assumes \  \, \texttt{IsAgroup}(\texttt{G},\texttt{P}) \  \, \texttt{IsAgroup}(\texttt{H},\texttt{F}) \  \, \texttt{Homomor}(\texttt{f},\texttt{G},\texttt{P},\texttt{H},\texttt{F}) \  \, \texttt{f}:\texttt{G} \rightarrow \texttt{H} \  \, \texttt{g} \in \texttt{G}
  shows f(GroupInv(G,P)g)=GroupInv(H,F) (fg)
proof-
  have im:fg∈H using apply_type[OF assms(4,5)].
  have inv:GroupInv(G,P)g∈G using group0.inverse_in_group[OF _ assms(5)]
assms(1) unfolding group0_def by auto
  then have inv2:f(GroupInv(G,P)g)∈Husing apply_type[OF assms(4)] by
auto
  have fTheNeutralElement(G,P)=f(P\langle g,GroupInv(G,P)g\rangle) using assms(1,5)
group0.group0_2_L6
    unfolding group0_def by auto
  also have ...=F(fg,f(GroupInv(G,P)g)) using assms(3) unfolding Homomor_def[OF
assms(1,2)] using
    assms(5) inv by auto
  ultimately have TheNeutralElement(H,F)=F\langle fg,f(GroupInv(G,P)g)\rangle using
image_neutral[OF assms(1-4)]
    by auto
  then show thesis using group0.group0_2_L9(2)[OF _ im inv2] assms(2)
unfolding group0_def by auto
The kernel of an homomorphism is a normal subgroup.
theorem kerner_normal_sub:
  assumes IsAgroup(G,P) IsAgroup(H,F) Homomor(f,G,P,H,F) f:G→H
  shows IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,f-{TheNeutralElement(H,F)})
proof-
  have y: \forall x y. \langle x, y \rangle \in f \longrightarrow (\forall y'. \langle x, y' \rangle \in f \longrightarrow y = y') using assms(4)
unfolding Pi_def function_def
    by force
  {
    fix g1 g2 assume g1∈f-{TheNeutralElement(H,F)}g2∈f-{TheNeutralElement(H,F)}
    then have \langle g1, TheNeutralElement(H,F) \rangle \in f \langle g2, TheNeutralElement(H,F) \rangle \in f
       using vimage_iff by auto moreover
    then have G:g1∈Gg2∈G using assms(4) unfolding Pi_def by auto
    then have \langle g1,fg1\rangle \in f\langle g2,fg2\rangle \in f using apply_Pair[OF assms(4)] by auto
```

```
moreover
    note xy ultimately
    have fg1=TheNeutralElement(H,F)fg2=TheNeutralElement(H,F) by auto
    have f(P(g1,g2))=F(fg1,fg2) using assms(3) G unfolding Homomor_def[OF
assms(1,2)] by auto
    ultimately have f(P(g1,g2))=F(TheNeutralElement(H,F),TheNeutralElement(H,F))
    also have ...=TheNeutralElement(H,F) using group0.group0_2_L2 assms(2)
unfolding group0_def
      by auto
    ultimately have f(P(g1,g2))=TheNeutralElement(H,F) by auto moreover
    from G have P(g1,g2) \in G using group0.group_op_closed assms(1) un-
folding group0_def by auto
    ultimately have \langle P(g1,g2), TheNeutralElement(H,F) \rangle \in f using apply_Pair[OF
assms(4)] by force
    then have P(g1,g2) \in f-{TheNeutralElement(H,F)} using vimage_iff by
auto
  then have f-{TheNeutralElement(H,F)} {is closed under}P unfolding IsOpClosed_def
  moreover have A:f-{TheNeutralElement(H,F)} \subseteq G using func1_1_L3 assms(4)
by auto
  moreover have fTheNeutralElement(G,P)=TheNeutralElement(H,F) using
image_neutral
    assms by auto
  then have ⟨TheNeutralElement(G,P), TheNeutralElement(H,F)⟩∈f using apply_Pair[OF
assms(4)
    group0.group0_2_L2 assms(1) unfolding group0_def by force
 then have TheNeutralElement(G,P) = f-{TheNeutralElement(H,F)} using vimage_iff
by auto
 then have f-{TheNeutralElement(H,F)}\neq0 by auto moreover
    fix x assume x \in f - \{TheNeutralElement(H,F)\}
    then have \langle x, \text{TheNeutralElement}(H,F) \rangle \in f and x:x\in G using vimage_iff
A by auto moreover
    from x have \langle x,fx\rangle \in f using apply_Pair[OF assms(4)] by auto ultimately
    have fx=TheNeutralElement(H,F) using xy by auto moreover
    have f(GroupInv(G,P)x)=GroupInv(H,F)(fx) using x image_inv assms by
auto
    ultimately have f(GroupInv(G,P)x)=GroupInv(H,F)TheNeutralElement(H,F)
by auto
    then have f(GroupInv(G,P)x)=TheNeutralElement(H,F) using groupO.group_inv_of_one
      assms(2) unfolding group0_def by auto moreover
    have \langle GroupInv(G,P)x,f(GroupInv(G,P)x)\rangle \in f using apply_Pair[OF assms(4)]
      x group0.inverse_in_group assms(1) unfolding group0_def by auto
    ultimately have \langle GroupInv(G,P)x, TheNeutralElement(H,F) \rangle \in f by auto
    then have GroupInv(G,P)xef-{TheNeutralElement(H,F)} using vimage_iff
by auto
```

```
then have \forall x \in f-{TheNeutralElement(H,F)}. GroupInv(G,P)x \in f-{TheNeutralElement(H,F)}
by auto
  ultimately have SS:IsAsubgroup(f-{TheNeutralElement(H,F)},P) using group0.group0_3_T3
    assms(1) unfolding group0_def by auto
    fix g h assume AS:g∈Gh∈f-{TheNeutralElement(H,F)}
    from AS(1) have im:fg∈H using assms(4) apply_type by auto
    then have iminv:GroupInv(H,F)(fg)∈H using assms(2) group0.inverse_in_group
unfolding group0_def by auto
    from AS have h∈G and inv:GroupInv(G,P)g∈G using A group0.inverse_in_group
assms(1) unfolding group0_def by auto
    then have P:P(h,GroupInv(G,P)g)\in G using assms(1) group0.group_op_closed
unfolding group0_def by auto
    with \langle g \in G \rangle have P \langle g, P \langle h, GroupInv(G, P)g \rangle \rangle \in G using assms(1) group0.group_op_closed
unfolding group0_def by auto
    then have f(P(g,P(h,GroupInv(G,P)g)))=F(fg,f(P(h,GroupInv(G,P)g)))
      using assms(3) unfolding Homomor_def[OF assms(1,2)] using \langle g \in G \rangle P
    also have ...=F(fg,F(\langle fh,f(GroupInv(G,P)g)\rangle)) using assms(3) unfold-
ing Homomor_def[OF assms(1,2)]
      using \langle h \in G \rangle inv by auto
    also have ...=F(fg,F(\langle fh,GroupInv(H,F)(fg)\rangle)) using image_inv[OF assms
(g \in G)] by auto
    ultimately have f(P(g,P(h,GroupInv(G,P)g)))=F(fg,F((fh,GroupInv(H,F)(fg)))
    moreover from AS(2) have fh=TheNeutralElement(H,F) using func1_1_L15[0F
assms(4)
      by auto ultimately
    have f(P(g,P(h,GroupInv(G,P)g)))=F(fg,F((TheNeutralElement(H,F),GroupInv(H,F)(fg)))
    also have ...=F\fg,GroupInv(H,F)(fg)\rangle using assms(2) im group0.group0_2_L2
{\bf unfolding \ group0\_def}
      using iminv by auto
    also have ...=TheNeutralElement(H,F) using assms(2) group0.group0_2_L6
im
      unfolding group0_def by auto
    ultimately have f(P(g,P(h,GroupInv(G,P)g))=TheNeutralElement(H,F)
by auto moreover
    from P (g \in G) have P(g, P(h, GroupInv(G, P)g)) \in G using group0.group_op_closed
assms(1) unfolding group0_def by auto
    ultimately have P(g,P(h,GroupInv(G,P)g)) \in f-\{TheNeutralElement(H,F)\}
using func1_1_L15[OF assms(4)]
      by auto
  then show thesis using group0.cont_conj_is_normal assms(1) SS unfold-
```

ing group0_def by auto

```
qed
The image of a homomorphism is a subgroup.
theorem image_sub:
  assumes IsAgroup(G,P) IsAgroup(H,F) Homomor(f,G,P,H,F) f:G\rightarrow H
 shows IsAsubgroup(fG,F)
proof-
 have TheNeutralElement(G,P)∈G using group0.group0_2_L2 assms(1) un-
folding group0_def by auto
  then have TheNeutralElement(H,F) \( \) fG using func_imagedef[OF assms(4), of
G] image_neutral[OF assms]
    by force
 then have fG \neq 0 by auto moreover
    fix h1 h2 assume h1 \in fGh2 \in fG
    then obtain g1 g2 where h1=fg1 h2=fg2 and p:g1∈Gg2∈G using func_imagedef[OF
assms(4)] by auto
    then have F(h1,h2)=F(fg1,fg2) by auto
    also have ...=f(P(g1,g2)) using assms(3) unfolding Homomor_def[OF assms(1,2)]
using p by auto
    ultimately have F(h1,h2)=f(P(g1,g2)) by auto
    moreover have P(g1,g2) \in G using p group0.group_op_closed assms(1)
unfolding group0_def
      by auto ultimately
   have F(h1,h2) \in fG using func_imagedef[OF assms(4)] by auto
 then have fG {is closed under} F unfolding IsOpClosed_def by auto
 moreover have fG⊆H using func1_1_L6(2) assms(4) by auto moreover
    fix h assume h \in fG
   then obtain g where h=fg and p:g∈G using func_imagedef[OF assms(4)]
    then have GroupInv(H,F)h=GroupInv(H,F)(fg) by auto
    then have GroupInv(H,F)h=f(GroupInv(G,P)g) using p image_inv[OF assms]
by auto
    then have GroupInv(H,F)h∈fG using p group0.inverse_in_group assms(1)
unfolding group0_def
      using func_imagedef[OF assms(4)] by auto
  }
 then have \forall hefg. GroupInv(H,F)hefg by auto ultimately
 show thesis using group0.group0_3_T3 assms(2) unfolding group0_def
by auto
qed
Now we are able to prove the first isomorphism theorem. This theorem
states that any group homomorphism f: G \to H gives an isomorphism
```

assumes IsAgroup(G,P) IsAgroup(H,F) Homomor(f,G,P,H,F) $f:G \rightarrow H$

```
defines r \equiv QuotientGroupRel(G,P,f-\{TheNeutralElement(H,F)\}) and
  PP \equiv QuotientGroupOp(G,P,f-\{TheNeutralElement(H,F)\})
  shows \existsff. Homomor(ff,G//r,PP,fG,restrict(F,(fG)×(fG))) \land ff\inbij(G//r,fG)
proof-
  let ff=\{\langle r\{g\},fg\rangle, g\in G\}
    fix t assume t \in \{\langle r\{g\}, fg \rangle, g \in G\}
    then obtain g where t=\langle r\{g\},fg\rangle geG by auto
    moreover then have r\{g\}\in G//r unfolding r_def quotient_def by auto
    moreover from \langle g \in G \rangle have fg \in fG using func_imagedef[OF assms(4)] by
auto
    ultimately have t \in (G//r) \times fG by auto
  then have ff \in Pow((G//r) \times fG) by auto
  moreover have (G//r) Cdomain(ff) unfolding domain_def quotient_def
by auto moreover
    fix x y t assume A:\langle x,y\rangle\in ff\ \langle x,t\rangle\in ff
    then obtain gy gr where \langle x, y \rangle = \langle r\{gy\}, fgy \rangle \langle x, t \rangle = \langle r\{gr\}, fgr \rangle and p:gr \in Ggy \in G
    then have B:r{gy}=r{gr}y=fgyt=fgr by auto
    from B(2,3) have q:y∈Ht∈H using apply_type p assms(4) by auto
    have ⟨gy,gr⟩∈r using eq_equiv_class[OF B(1) _ p(1)] group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L3
kerner_normal_sub[OF assms(1-4)]
       assms(1) unfolding group0_def IsAnormalSubgroup_def r_def by auto
    then have P(gy,GroupInv(G,P)gr) \in f-\{TheNeutralElement(H,F)\}\ unfold-
ing r_def QuotientGroupRel_def by auto
    then have eq:f(P(gy,GroupInv(G,P)gr))=TheNeutralElement(H,F) using
func1_1_L15[OF assms(4)] by auto
    from B(2,3) have F(y,GroupInv(H,F)t)=F(fgy,GroupInv(H,F)(fgr)) by
    also have ...=F\(fgy,f(GroupInv(G,P)gr)\) using image_inv[OF assms(1-4)]
p(1) by auto
    also have ...=f(P(gy,GroupInv(G,P)gr)) using assms(3) unfolding Homomor_def[OF
assms(1,2)] using p(2)
       group0.inverse_in_group assms(1) p(1) unfolding group0_def by auto
    ultimately have F(y,GroupInv(H,F)t)=TheNeutralElement(H,F) using eq
    then have y=t using assms(2) group0.group0_2_L11A q unfolding group0_def
by auto
  }
  then have \forall x y. \langle x,y \rangle \in ff \longrightarrow (\forall y'. \langle x,y' \rangle \in ff \longrightarrow y=y') by auto
  ultimately have ff_fun:ff:G//r \rightarrow fG unfolding Pi_def function_def by
auto
  {
    fix a1 a2 assume AS:a1\in G//ra2\in G//r
    then obtain g1 g2 where p:g1 \in Gg2 \in G and a:a1=r\{g1\}a2=r\{g2\} unfold-
ing quotient_def by auto
    have equiv(G,r) using group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L3 kerner_normal_sub[OF
```

```
assms(1-4)
      assms(1) unfolding group0_def IsAnormalSubgroup_def r_def by auto
moreover
    have Congruent2(r,P) using Group_ZF_2_4_L5A[OF assms(1) kerner_normal_sub[OF
assms(1-4)]
      unfolding r_def by auto moreover
    have PP=ProjFun2(G,r,P) unfolding PP_def QuotientGroupOp_def r_def
by auto moreover
    note a p ultimately have PP(a1,a2)=r\{P(g1,g2)\} using group0.Group_ZF_2_2_L2
assms(1)
      unfolding group0_def by auto
    then have \langle PP(a1,a2), f(P(g1,g2)) \rangle \in ff using group0.group_op_closed[OF]
_ p] assms(1) unfolding group0_def
      by auto
    then have eq:ff(PP(a1,a2))=f(P(g1,g2)) using apply_equality ff_fun
by auto
    from p a have \langle a1,fg1\rangle \in ff\langle a2,fg2\rangle \in ff by auto
    then have ffa1=fg1ffa2=fg2 using apply_equality ff_fun by auto
    then have F(ffa1,ffa2)=F(fg1,fg2) by auto
    also have ...=f(P(g1,g2)) using assms(3) unfolding Homomor_def[OF assms(1,2)]
using p by auto
    ultimately have F(ffa1,ffa2)=ff(PP(a1,a2)) using eq by auto more-
over
    have ffa1efGffa2efG using ff_fun apply_type AS by auto ultimately
    have restrict(F,fG×fG)\langleffa1,ffa2\rangle=ff(PP\langlea1,a2\rangle) by auto
  then have r: \forall a1 \in G//r. \forall a2 \in G//r. restrict(F,fG×fG)(ffa1,ffa2)=ff(PP(a1,a2))
by auto
 have G:IsAgroup(G//r,PP) using Group_ZF_2_4_T1[OF assms(1) kerner_normal_sub[OF
assms(1-4)]] unfolding r_def PP_def by auto
 have H:IsAgroup(fG, restrict(F,fG×fG)) using image_sub[0F assms(1-4)]
unfolding IsAsubgroup_def .
 have HOM:Homomor(ff,G//r,PP,fG,restrict(F,(fG)\times(fG))) using r unfold-
ing Homomor_def[OF G H] by auto
    fix b1 b2 assume AS:ffb1=ffb2b1∈G//rb2∈G//r
    have invb2:GroupInv(G//r,PP)b2∈G//r using group0.inverse_in_group[OF
_ AS(3)] G unfolding group0_def
      by auto
    with AS(2) have PP(b1,GroupInv(G//r,PP)b2)∈G//r using group0.group_op_closed
G unfolding group0_def by auto moreover
    then obtain gg where gg:gg∈GPP(b1,GroupInv(G//r,PP)b2)=r{gg} un-
folding quotient_def by auto
    ultimately have E:ff(PP\b1,GroupInv(G//r,PP)b2\)=fgg using apply_equality[OF
_ ff_fun] by auto
    from invb2 have pp:ff(GroupInv(G//r,PP)b2)efG using apply_type ff_fun
    from AS(2,3) have fff:ffb1efGffb2efG using apply_type[OF ff_fun]
by auto
```

```
from fff(1) pp have EE:F\ffb1,ff(GroupInv(G//r,PP)b2)\=restrict(F,fG\timesfG)\ffb1,ff(GroupInv(G//r,PP)b2)
      by auto
    from fff have fff2:ffb1∈Hffb2∈H using func1_1_L6(2)[OF assms(4)]
    with AS(1) have TheNeutralElement(H,F)=F(ffb1,GroupInv(H,F)(ffb2))
using group0.group0_2_L6(1)
      assms(2) unfolding group0_def by auto
    also have ...=F\(\ffb1\),restrict(GroupInv(H,F),fG)(ffb2)\(\rangle\) using restrict
fff(2) by auto
    also have ...=F\(ffb1,ff(GroupInv(G//r,PP)b2)\) using image_inv[OF G
H HOM ff_fun AS(3)]
      group0.group0_3_T1[OF _ image_sub[OF assms(1-4)]] assms(2) unfold-
ing group0_def by auto
    also have ...=restrict(F,fG\timesfG)\langleffb1,ff(GroupInv(G//r,PP)b2)\rangle using
EE by auto
    also have ...=ff(PP\b1,GroupInv(G//r,PP)b2\) using HOM unfolding Homomor_def[OF
G H] using AS(2)
      group0.inverse_in_group[OF _ AS(3)] G unfolding group0_def by auto
    also have ...=fgg using E by auto
    ultimately have fgg=TheNeutralElement(H,F) by auto
    then have gg∈f-{TheNeutralElement(H,F)} using func1_1_L15[OF assms(4)]
\langle gg \in G \rangle by auto
    then have r{gg}=TheNeutralElement(G//r,PP) using group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L5E[OF
_ kerner_normal_sub[OF assms(1-4)]
      \langle gg \in G \rangle ] using assms(1) unfolding group0_def r_def PP_def by auto
    with gg(2) have PP(b1,GroupInv(G//r,PP)b2)=TheNeutralElement(G//r,PP)
by auto
    then have b1=b2 using group0.group0_2_L11A[OF _ AS(2,3)] G unfold-
ing group0_def by auto
  then have ff∈inj(G//r,fG) unfolding inj_def using ff_fun by auto more-
over
    fix m assume m \in fG
    then obtain g where g \in Gm = fg using func_imagedef[OF assms(4)] by
auto
    then have \langle r\{g\}, m\rangle \in ff by auto
    then have ff(r\{g\})=m using apply_equality ff_fun by auto
    then have \exists \, A \in G//r. ffA=m unfolding quotient_def using \langle g \in G \rangle by auto
  ultimately have ff \in bij (G//r,fG) unfolding bij_def surj_def using ff_fun
  with HOM show thesis by auto
qed
```

As a last result, the inverse of a bijective homomorphism is an homomorphism. Meaning that in the previous result, the homomorphism we found is an isomorphism.

```
theorem bij_homomor:
  assumes f∈bij(G,H)IsAgroup(G,P)IsAgroup(H,F)Homomor(f,G,P,H,F)
 shows Homomor(converse(f),H,F,G,P)
proof-
    fix h1 h2 assume A:h1∈H h2∈H
    from A(1) obtain g1 where g1:g1 = G fg1 = h1 using assms(1) unfolding
bij_def surj_def by auto moreover
    from A(2) obtain g2 where g2:g2∈G fg2=h2 using assms(1) unfolding
bij_def surj_def by auto ultimately
    have F(fg1,fg2)=F(h1,h2) by auto
    then have f(P(g1,g2))=F(h1,h2) using assms(2,3,4) homomor_eq g1(1)
g2(1) by auto
    then have converse(f)(f(P\langleg1,g2\rangle))=converse(f)(F\langleh1,h2\rangle) by auto
    then have P(g1,g2)=converse(f)(F(h1,h2)) using left_inverse assms(1)
group0.group_op_closed
      assms(2) g1(1) g2(1) unfolding group0_def bij_def by auto more-
over
    from g1(2) have converse(f)(fg1)=converse(f)h1 by auto
    then have g1=converse(f)h1 using left_inverse assms(1) unfolding
bij_def using g1(1) by auto moreover
    from g2(2) have converse(f)(fg2)=converse(f)h2 by auto
    then have g2=converse(f)h2 using left_inverse assms(1) unfolding
bij_def using g2(1) by auto ultimately
    have P(converse(f)h1, converse(f)h2)=converse(f)(F(h1,h2)) by auto
  then show thesis using assms(2,3) Homomor_def by auto
qed
end
```

43 Fields - introduction

theory Field_ZF imports Ring_ZF

begin

This theory covers basic facts about fields.

43.1 Definition and basic properties

In this section we define what is a field and list the basic properties of fields.

Field is a notrivial commutative ring such that all non-zero elements have an inverse. We define the notion of being a field as a statement about three sets. The first set, denoted K is the carrier of the field. The second set, denoted A represents the additive operation on K (recall that in ZF set theory functions are sets). The third set M represents the multiplicative operation on K.

```
definition
  IsAfield(K,A,M) \equiv
  (IsAring(K,A,M) \land (M {is commutative on} K) \land
  TheNeutralElement(K,A) \neq TheNeutralElement(K,M) \wedge
  (\forall a \in K. a \neq TheNeutralElement(K,A) \longrightarrow
  (\exists b \in K. M(a,b) = TheNeutralElement(K,M)))
The field0 context extends the ring0 context adding field-related assump-
tions and notation related to the multiplicative inverse.
locale field0 = ring0 K A M for K A M +
  assumes mult_commute: M {is commutative on} K
  assumes not_triv: 0 \neq 1
  assumes inv_exists: \forall a \in K. a \neq 0 \longrightarrow (\exists b \in K. a \cdot b = 1)
  fixes non_zero (K<sub>0</sub>)
  defines non_zero_def[simp]: K_0 \equiv K-\{0\}
  fixes inv (_{-1} [96] 97)
  \mathbf{defines} \  \, \mathtt{inv\_def[simp]:} \  \, \mathtt{a}^{-1} \, \equiv \, \mathtt{GroupInv}(\mathtt{K}_0,\mathtt{restrict}(\mathtt{M},\mathtt{K}_0 \times \mathtt{K}_0))\,(\mathtt{a})
The next lemma assures us that we are talking fields in the field0 context.
lemma (in field0) Field_ZF_1_L1: shows IsAfield(K,A,M)
  using ringAssum mult_commute not_triv inv_exists IsAfield_def
  by simp
We can use theorems proven in the field context whenever we talk about
a field.
lemma field_field0: assumes IsAfield(K,A,M)
  shows field0(K,A,M)
  using assms IsAfield_def field0_axioms.intro ring0_def field0_def
Let's have an explicit statement that the multiplication in fields is commu-
tative.
lemma (in field0) field_mult_comm: assumes a \in K b \in K
  shows a \cdot b = b \cdot a
  using mult_commute assms IsCommutative_def by simp
Fields do not have zero divisors.
lemma (in field0) field_has_no_zero_divs: shows HasNoZeroDivs(K,A,M)
proof -
  { fix a b assume A1: a \in K b \in K and A2: a \cdot b = 0 and A3: b \neq 0
    from inv_exists A1 A3 obtain c where I: c∈K and II: b·c = 1
       by auto
    from A2 have a \cdot b \cdot c = 0 \cdot c by simp
    with A1 I have a \cdot (b \cdot c) = 0
```

```
using Ring_ZF_1_L11 Ring_ZF_1_L6 by simp
     with A1 II have a=0 using Ring_ZF_1_L3 by simp }
  then have \forall a \in K. \forall b \in K. a \cdot b = 0 \longrightarrow a=0 \lor b=0 by auto
     then show thesis using HasNoZeroDivs_def by auto
ged
K_0 (the set of nonzero field elements is closed with respect to multiplication.
lemma (in field0) Field_ZF_1_L2:
  shows K_0 {is closed under} M
  \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{Ring\_ZF\_1\_L4} \ \mathtt{field\_has\_no\_zero\_divs} \ \mathtt{Ring\_ZF\_1\_L12}
     IsOpClosed_def by auto
Any nonzero element has a right inverse that is nonzero.
lemma (in field0) Field_ZF_1_L3: assumes A1: a∈K<sub>0</sub>
  shows \exists b \in K_0. a \cdot b = 1
proof -
  from inv_exists A1 obtain b where b \in K and a \cdot b = 1
     by auto
  with not_triv A1 show \exists b \in K_0. a·b = 1
     using Ring_ZF_1_L6 by auto
If we remove zero, the field with multiplication becomes a group and we can
use all theorems proven in group0 context.
theorem (in field0) Field_ZF_1_L4: shows
  IsAgroup(K_0, restrict(M, K_0 \times K_0))
  group0(K_0, restrict(M, K_0 \times K_0))
  1 = TheNeutralElement(K_0,restrict(M,K_0 \times K_0))
proof-
  let f = restrict(M, K_0 \times K_0)
  have
    M {is associative on} K
     \mathtt{K}_0\subseteq\mathtt{K} \mathtt{K}_0 {is closed under} \mathtt{M}
     using Field_ZF_1_L1 IsAfield_def IsAring_def IsAgroup_def
       IsAmonoid_def Field_ZF_1_L2 by auto
  then have f {is associative on} \mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{K}}}_0
     using func_ZF_4_L3 by simp
  moreover
  from not_triv have
     I: 1 \in K_0 \land (\forall a \in K_0. f(1,a) = a \land f(a,1) = a)
     \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{Ring\_ZF\_1\_L2} \ \mathtt{Ring\_ZF\_1\_L3} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
  then have \exists n \in K_0. \forall a \in K_0. f(n,a) = a \land f(a,n) = a
     by blast
  ultimately have II: IsAmonoid(K<sub>0</sub>,f) using IsAmonoid_def
     by simp
  then have monoid0(K<sub>0</sub>,f) using monoid0_def by simp
  moreover note I
  ultimately show 1 = TheNeutralElement(K<sub>0</sub>,f)
     by (rule monoid0.group0_1_L4)
```

```
then have \forall a \in K_0 . \exists b \in K_0 . f(a,b) = TheNeutralElement(K_0,f)
    using Field_ZF_1_L3 by auto
  with II show IsAgroup(K<sub>0</sub>,f) by (rule definition_of_group)
  then show group0(K<sub>0</sub>,f) using group0_def by simp
ged
The inverse of a nonzero field element is nonzero.
lemma (in field0) Field_ZF_1_L5: assumes A1: a \in K a \neq 0
  shows a^{-1} \in K_0 (a^{-1})^2 \in K_0 a^{-1} \in K a^{-1} \neq 0
proof -
  from A1 have a \in K_0 by simp
  then show a^{-1} \in K_0 using Field_ZF_1_L4 group0.inverse_in_group
    by auto
  then show (a^{-1})^2 \in K_0 \ a^{-1} \in K \ a^{-1} \neq 0
    using Field_ZF_1_L2 IsOpClosed_def by auto
qed
The inverse is really the inverse.
lemma (in field0) Field_ZF_1_L6: assumes A1: a \in K a \neq 0
  shows a \cdot a^{-1} = 1 a^{-1} \cdot a = 1
proof -
  let f = restrict(M,K_0 \times K_0)
  from A1 have
    group0(K_0,f)
    \mathtt{a} \in \mathtt{K}_0
    using Field_ZF_1_L4 by auto
  then have
    f(a,GroupInv(K_0, f)(a)) = TheNeutralElement(K_0,f) \land
    f(GroupInv(K_0,f)(a),a) = TheNeutralElement(K_0, f)
    by (rule group0.group0_2_L6)
  with A1 show a \cdot a^{-1} = 1 a^{-1} \cdot a = 1
    using Field_ZF_1_L5 Field_ZF_1_L4 by auto
A lemma with two field elements and cancelling.
lemma (in field0) Field_ZF_1_L7: assumes a\inK b\inK b\neq0
  shows
  a \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} = a
  a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b = a
  using assms Field_ZF_1_L5 Ring_ZF_1_L11 Field_ZF_1_L6 Ring_ZF_1_L3
  by auto
```

43.2 Equations and identities

This section deals with more specialized identities that are true in fields.

```
a/(a^2)=1/a . lemma (in field0) Field_ZF_2_L1: assumes A1: a
∈K a≠0
```

```
shows a \cdot (a^{-1})^2 = a^{-1}

proof -

have a \cdot (a^{-1})^2 = a \cdot (a^{-1} \cdot a^{-1}) by simp

also from A1 have ... = (a \cdot a^{-1}) \cdot a^{-1}

using Field_ZF_1_L5 Ring_ZF_1_L11

by simp

also from A1 have ... = a^{-1}

using Field_ZF_1_L6 Field_ZF_1_L5 Ring_ZF_1_L3

by simp

finally show a \cdot (a^{-1})^2 = a^{-1} by simp

qed
```

If we multiply two different numbers by a nonzero number, the results will be different.

```
lemma (in field0) Field_ZF_2_L2: assumes a\inK b\inK c\inK a\neqb c\neq0 shows a\cdotc<sup>-1</sup> \neq b\cdotc<sup>-1</sup> using assms field_has_no_zero_divs Field_ZF_1_L5 Ring_ZF_1_L12B by simp
```

We can put a nonzero factor on the other side of non-identity (is this the best way to call it?) changing it to the inverse.

```
lemma (in field0) Field_ZF_2_L3: assumes A1: a\in K b\in K b\neq 0 c\in K and A2: a\cdot b\neq c shows a\neq c\cdot b^{-1} proof - from A1 A2 have a\cdot b\cdot b^{-1}\neq c\cdot b^{-1} using Ring_ZF_1_L4 Field_ZF_2_L2 by simp with A1 show a\neq c\cdot b^{-1} using Field_ZF_1_L7 by simp qed
```

If if the inverse of b is different than a, then the inverse of a is different than b.

```
lemma (in field0) Field_ZF_2_L4: assumes a\inK a\neq0 and b^{-1}\neq a shows a^{-1}\neq b using assms Field_ZF_1_L4 group0.group0_2_L11B by simp
```

An identity with two field elements, one and an inverse.

```
lemma (in field0) Field_ZF_2_L5: assumes a \in K b \in K b \neq 0 shows (1 + a \cdot b) \cdot b^{-1} = a + b^{-1} using assms Ring_ZF_1_L4 Field_ZF_1_L5 Ring_ZF_1_L2 ring_oper_distr Field_ZF_1_L7 Ring_ZF_1_L3 by simp
```

An identity with three field elements, inverse and cancelling.

```
lemma (in field0) Field_ZF_2_L6: assumes A1: a\inK b\inK b\neq0 c\inK
  shows a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot b^{-1}) = a \cdot c
proof -
  from A1 have T: a \cdot b \in K b^{-1} \in K
     using Ring_ZF_1_L4 Field_ZF_1_L5 by auto
  with mult_commute A1 have a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot b^{-1}) = a \cdot b \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot c)
     using IsCommutative_def by simp
  moreover
  from A1 T have a \cdot b \in K b^{-1} \in K c \in K
     by auto
  then have a \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c = a \cdot b \cdot (b^{-1} \cdot c)
     by (rule Ring_ZF_1_L11)
  ultimately have a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot b^{-1}) = a \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} \cdot c by simp
  with A1 show a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot b^{-1}) = a \cdot c
     using Field_ZF_1_L7 by simp
qed
```

$43.3 \quad 1/0=0$

In ZF if $f: X \to Y$ and $x \notin X$ we have $f(x) = \emptyset$. Since \emptyset (the empty set) in ZF is the same as zero of natural numbers we can claim that 1/0 = 0 in certain sense. In this section we prove a theorem that makes makes it explicit.

The next locale extends the field0 locale to introduce notation for division operation.

```
locale fieldd = field0 +
  fixes division
  defines division_def[simp]: division \equiv \{\langle p, fst(p) \cdot snd(p)^{-1} \rangle, p \in K \times K_0 \}
  fixes fdiv (infixl / 95)
  defines fdiv_def[simp]: x/y \equiv division\langle x,y\rangle
Division is a function on K \times K_0 with values in K.
\mathbf{lemma} (in fieldd) \mathbf{div\_fun:} shows \mathbf{division:} \mathtt{K} {	imes} \mathtt{K}_0 	o \mathtt{K}
proof -
  have \forall p \in K \times K_0. fst(p)·snd(p)<sup>-1</sup> \in K
  proof
     fix p assume p \in K \times K_0
     hence fst(p) \in K and snd(p) \in K_0 by auto
     then show fst(p) \cdot snd(p)^{-1} \in K using Ring_ZF_1_L4 Field_ZF_1_L5 by
auto
  qed
  then have \{\langle p, fst(p) \cdot snd(p)^{-1} \rangle : p \in K \times K_0 \} : K \times K_0 \rightarrow K
     by (rule ZF_fun_from_total)
  thus thesis by simp
qed
```

```
So, really 1/0 = 0. The essential lemma is apply_0 from standard Isabelle's func.thy.
```

```
theorem (in fieldd) one_over_zero: shows 1/0 = 0 proof-
have domain(division) = K \times K_0 using div_fun func1_1_L1
by simp
hence \langle 1,0 \rangle \notin \text{domain(division)} by auto
then show thesis using apply_0 by simp
qed
```

end

44 Ordered fields

theory OrderedField_ZF imports OrderedRing_ZF Field_ZF

begin

This theory covers basic facts about ordered fiels.

44.1 Definition and basic properties

Here we define ordered fields and proove their basic properties.

Ordered field is a notrivial ordered ring such that all non-zero elements have an inverse. We define the notion of being a ordered field as a statement about four sets. The first set, denoted K is the carrier of the field. The second set, denoted A represents the additive operation on K (recall that in ZF set theory functions are sets). The third set M represents the multiplicative operation on K. The fourth set \mathbf{r} is the order relation on K.

definition

```
\begin{split} & \text{IsAnOrdField}(\texttt{K},\texttt{A},\texttt{M},\texttt{r}) \equiv (\text{IsAnOrdRing}(\texttt{K},\texttt{A},\texttt{M},\texttt{r}) \; \land \\ & (\texttt{M} \; \{\text{is commutative on}\} \; \texttt{K}) \; \land \\ & \text{TheNeutralElement}(\texttt{K},\texttt{A}) \neq \text{TheNeutralElement}(\texttt{K},\texttt{M}) \; \land \\ & (\forall \texttt{a} {\in} \texttt{K}. \; \texttt{a} {\neq} \text{TheNeutralElement}(\texttt{K},\texttt{A}) {\longrightarrow} \\ & (\exists \texttt{b} {\in} \texttt{K}. \; \texttt{M} \langle \texttt{a},\texttt{b} \rangle \; = \; \text{TheNeutralElement}(\texttt{K},\texttt{M})))) \end{split}
```

The next context (locale) defines notation used for ordered fields. We do that by extending the notation defined in the ring1 context that is used for oredered rings and adding some assumptions to make sure we are talking about ordered fields in this context. We should rename the carrier from R used in the ring1 context to K, more appriopriate for fields. Theoretically the Isar locale facility supports such renaming, but we experienced difficulties using some lemmas from ring1 locale after renaming.

```
locale field1 = ring1 +
```

```
assumes mult_commute: M {is commutative on} R
  assumes not_triv: 0 \neq 1
  assumes inv_exists: \forall a \in \mathbb{R}. a \neq 0 \longrightarrow (\exists b \in \mathbb{R}. a \cdot b = 1)
  fixes non_zero (R_0)
  defines non_zero_def[simp]: R_0 \equiv R-\{0\}
  fixes inv (_{-1}^{-1} [96] 97)
  defines inv_def[simp]: a^{-1} \equiv GroupInv(R_0, restrict(M, R_0 \times R_0))(a)
The next lemma assures us that we are talking fields in the field1 context.
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_1_L1: shows IsAnOrdField(R,A,M,r)
  using OrdRing_ZF_1_L1 mult_commute not_triv inv_exists IsAnOrdField_def
  by simp
Ordered field is a field, of course.
lemma OrdField_ZF_1_L1A: assumes IsAnOrdField(K,A,M,r)
  shows IsAfield(K,A,M)
  using assms IsAnOrdField_def IsAnOrdRing_def IsAfield_def
  by simp
Theorems proven in field0 (about fields) context are valid in the field1
context (about ordered fields).
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_1_L1B: shows field0(R,A,M)
  using OrdField_ZF_1_L1 OrdField_ZF_1_L1A field_field0
  by simp
We can use theorems proven in the field1 context whenever we talk about
an ordered field.
lemma OrdField_ZF_1_L2: assumes IsAnOrdField(K,A,M,r)
  shows field1(K,A,M,r)
  using assms IsAnOrdField_def OrdRing_ZF_1_L2 ring1_def
    IsAnOrdField_def field1_axioms_def field1_def
  by auto
In ordered rings the existence of a right inverse for all positive elements
implies the existence of an inverse for all non zero elements.
lemma (in ring1) OrdField_ZF_1_L3:
  assumes A1: \forall a \in R_+. \exists b \in R. a \cdot b = 1 and A2: c \in R c \neq 0
  shows \exists b \in \mathbb{R}. c \cdot b = 1
proof -
  { assume c \in R_+
    with A1 have \exists b \in \mathbb{R}. c \cdot b = 1 by simp }
  moreover
  { assume c∉R<sub>+</sub>
    with A2 have (-c) \in R_+
```

```
using OrdRing_ZF_3_L2A by simp
with A1 obtain b where b∈R and (-c)·b = 1
  by auto
with A2 have (-b) ∈ R c·(-b) = 1
  using Ring_ZF_1_L3 Ring_ZF_1_L7 by auto
  then have ∃b∈R. c·b = 1 by auto }
ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
```

Ordered fields are easier to deal with, because it is sufficient to show the existence of an inverse for the set of positive elements.

```
lemma (in ring1) OrdField_ZF_1_L4: assumes 0 \neq 1 and M {is commutative on} R and \forall a \in R_+. \exists b \in R. a \cdot b = 1 shows IsAnOrdField(R,A,M,r) using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L1 OrdField_ZF_1_L3 IsAnOrdField_def by simp
```

The set of positive field elements is closed under multiplication.

```
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_1_L5: shows R<sub>+</sub> {is closed under} M
   using OrdField_ZF_1_L1B fieldO.field_has_no_zero_divs OrdRing_ZF_3_L3
   by simp
```

The set of positive field elements is closed under multiplication: the explicit version.

```
\label{eq:lemma} \begin{array}{lll} \text{lemma (in field1) pos_mul\_closed:} \\ & \text{assumes A1: } 0 < a \cdot 0 < b \\ & \text{shows } 0 < a \cdot b \\ & \text{proof -} \\ & \text{from A1 have } a \in R_+ \text{ and } b \in R_+ \\ & \text{using OrdRing\_ZF\_3\_L14 by auto} \\ & \text{then show } 0 < a \cdot b \\ & \text{using OrdField\_ZF\_1\_L5 IsOpClosed\_def PositiveSet\_def by simp} \\ & \text{qed} \end{array}
```

In fields square of a nonzero element is positive.

```
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_1_L6: assumes a\inR a\neq0 shows a^2 \in R_+ using assms OrdField_ZF_1_L1B field0.field_has_no_zero_divs OrdRing_ZF_3_L15 by simp
```

The next lemma restates the fact Field_ZF that out notation for the field inverse means what it is supposed to mean.

```
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_1_L7: assumes a\in \mathbb{R} a\neq 0 shows a\cdot (a^{-1})=1 (a^{-1})·a=1 using assms OrdField_ZF_1_L1B field0.Field_ZF_1_L6 by auto
```

A simple lemma about multiplication and cancelling of a positive field element.

```
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_1_L7A:
  assumes A1: a \in R b \in R_+
  shows
  a \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} = a
  a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b = a
proof -
  from A1 have b\inR b\neq0 using PositiveSet_def
  with A1 show a \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} = a and a \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b = a
    using OrdField_ZF_1_L1B fieldO.Field_ZF_1_L7
    by auto
qed
Some properties of the inverse of a positive element.
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_1_L8: assumes A1: a \in R_{+}
  shows a^{-1} \in R_+ a \cdot (a^{-1}) = 1 (a^{-1}) \cdot a = 1
proof -
  from A1 have I: a \in \mathbb{R} a \neq 0 using PositiveSet_def
    by auto
  with A1 have a \cdot (a^{-1})^2 \in R_+
    using OrdField_ZF_1_L1B fieldO.Field_ZF_1_L5 OrdField_ZF_1_L6
       OrdField_ZF_1_L5 IsOpClosed_def by simp
  with I show a^{-1} \in R_+
    using OrdField_ZF_1_L1B fieldO.Field_ZF_2_L1
    by simp
  from I show a \cdot (a^{-1}) = 1 (a^{-1}) \cdot a = 1
    using OrdField_ZF_1_L7 by auto
qed
If a is smaller than b, then (b-a)^{-1} is positive.
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_1_L9: assumes a<b
  shows (b-a)^{-1} \in R_{\perp}
  using assms OrdRing_ZF_1_L14 OrdField_ZF_1_L8
  by simp
In ordered fields if at least one of a, b is not zero, then a^2 + b^2 > 0, in
particular a^2 + b^2 \neq 0 and exists the (multiplicative) inverse of a^2 + b^2.
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_1_L10:
  assumes A1: a \in R b \in R and A2: a \neq 0 \vee b \neq 0 shows 0 < a² + b² and \exists c ∈ R. (a² + b²)·c = 1
proof -
  from A1 A2 show 0 < a^2 + b^2
    using OrdField_ZF_1_L1B fieldO.field_has_no_zero_divs
       OrdRing_ZF_3_L19 by simp
  then have
     (a^2 + b^2)^{-1} \in R and (a^2 + b^2) \cdot (a^2 + b^2)^{-1} = 1
```

```
using OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 PositiveSet_def OrdField_ZF_1_L8 by auto then show \exists\,c\in R.\ (a^2+b^2)\cdot c = 1 by auto qed
```

44.2 Inequalities

In this section we develop tools to deal inequalities in fields.

We can multiply strict inequality by a positive element.

```
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_2_L1:
   assumes a<b and c∈R<sub>+</sub>
   shows a·c < b·c
   using assms OrdField_ZF_1_L1B fieldO.field_has_no_zero_divs
   OrdRing_ZF_3_L13
   by simp</pre>
```

A special case of OrdField_ZF_2_L1 when we multiply an inverse by an element

```
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_2_L2: assumes A1: a \in R_+ and A2: a^{-1} < b shows 1 < b \cdot a proof - from A1 A2 have (a^{-1}) \cdot a < b \cdot a using OrdField_ZF_2_L1 by simp with A1 show 1 < b \cdot a using OrdField_ZF_1_L8 by simp qed
```

We can multiply an inequality by the inverse of a positive element.

```
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_2_L3: assumes a\leqb and c\inR_+ shows a\cdot(c^{-1}) \leq b\cdot(c^{-1}) using assms OrdField_ZF_1_L8 OrdRing_ZF_1_L9A by simp
```

We can multiply a strict inequality by a positive element or its inverse.

We can put a positive factor on the other side of an inequality, changing it to its inverse.

```
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_2_L5:
```

```
assumes A1: a\in R b\in R_+ and A2: a\cdot b\leq c shows a\leq c\cdot b^{-1} proof - from A1 A2 have a\cdot b\cdot b^{-1}\leq c\cdot b^{-1} using OrdField_ZF_2_L3 by simp with A1 show a\leq c\cdot b^{-1} using OrdField_ZF_1_L7A by simp qed
```

We can put a positive factor on the other side of an inequality, changing it to its inverse, version with a product initially on the right hand side.

```
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_2_L5A: assumes A1: b\in R c\in R_+ and A2: a \leq b·c shows a·c^{-1} \leq b proof - from A1 A2 have a·c^{-1} \leq b·c·c^{-1} using OrdField_ZF_2_L3 by simp with A1 show a·c^{-1} \leq b using OrdField_ZF_1_L7A by simp qed
```

We can put a positive factor on the other side of a strict inequality, changing it to its inverse, version with a product initially on the left hand side.

```
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_2_L6: assumes A1: a \in R b \in R_+ and A2: a \cdot b < c shows a < c \cdot b^{-1} proof - from A1 A2 have a \cdot b \cdot b^{-1} < c \cdot b^{-1} using OrdField_ZF_2_L4 by simp with A1 show a < c \cdot b^{-1} using OrdField_ZF_1_L7A by simp qed
```

We can put a positive factor on the other side of a strict inequality, changing it to its inverse, version with a product initially on the right hand side.

```
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_2_L6A: assumes A1: beR ceR_+ and A2: a < bec shows a·c^{-1} < b proof - from A1 A2 have a·c^{-1} < b·c·c^{-1} using OrdField_ZF_2_L4 by simp with A1 show a·c^{-1} < b using OrdField_ZF_1_L7A by simp qed
```

Sometimes we can reverse an inequality by taking inverse on both sides.

```
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_2_L7: assumes A1: a\in R_+ and A2: a^{-1}\leq b
```

```
\mathbf{shows} \ \mathbf{b}^{-1} \leq \mathbf{a}
proof -
  from A1 have a^{-1} \in R_+ using OrdField_ZF_1_L8
  with A2 have b \in R<sub>+</sub> using OrdRing_ZF_3_L7
     by blast
  then have T: b \in R_+ b^{-1} \in R_+ using OrdField_ZF_1_L8
  with A1 A2 have b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \cdot a \leq b^{-1} \cdot b \cdot a
     using OrdRing_ZF_1_L9A by simp
  moreover
  from A1 A2 T have
    \mathtt{b}^{-1} \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{a} {\in} \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{a} {\neq} \mathbf{0} \quad \mathtt{b} {\in} \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{b} {\neq} \mathbf{0}
     using PositiveSet_def OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 by auto
  then have b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \cdot a = b^{-1} and b^{-1} \cdot b \cdot a = a
     using OrdField_ZF_1_L1B fieldO.Field_ZF_1_L7
       fieldO.Field_ZF_1_L6 Ring_ZF_1_L3
     by auto
  ultimately show b^{-1} \le a by simp
Sometimes we can reverse a strict inequality by taking inverse on both sides.
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_2_L8:
  assumes A1: a \in R_+ and A2: a^{-1} < b
  \mathbf{shows}\ \mathbf{b}^{-1}\ \boldsymbol{<}\ \mathbf{a}
proof -
  from A1 A2 have a^{-1} \in R_+ a^{-1} \leq b
     using OrdField_ZF_1_L8 by auto
  then have b \in R_+ using OrdRing_ZF_3_L7
     by blast
  then have b \in \mathbb{R} b \neq 0 using PositiveSet_def by auto
  with A2 have b^{-1} \neq a
     using OrdField_ZF_1_L1B fieldO.Field_ZF_2_L4
     by simp
  with A1 A2 show b^{-1} < a
     using OrdField_ZF_2_L7 by simp
A technical lemma about solving a strict inequality with three field elements
and inverse of a difference.
lemma (in field1) OrdField_ZF_2_L9:
  assumes A1: a < b and A2: (b-a)^{-1} < c
  shows 1 + a \cdot c < b \cdot c
proof -
  from A1 A2 have (b-a)^{-1} \in R_+ (b-a)^{-1} \le c
     using OrdField_ZF_1_L9 by auto
  then have T1: c \in R_+ using OrdRing_ZF_3_L7 by blast
  with A1 A2 have T2:
     a \in R b \in R c \in R c \neq 0 c^{-1} \in R
```

```
using OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 OrdField_ZF_1_L8 PositiveSet_def
by auto
with A1 A2 have c<sup>-1</sup> + a < b-a + a
using OrdRing_ZF_1_L14 OrdField_ZF_2_L8 ring_strict_ord_trans_inv
by simp
with T1 T2 have (c<sup>-1</sup> + a)·c < b·c
using Ring_ZF_2_L1A OrdField_ZF_2_L1 by simp
with T1 T2 show 1 + a·c < b·c
using ring_oper_distr OrdField_ZF_1_L8
by simp
qed
```

44.3 Definition of real numbers

The only purpose of this section is to define what does it mean to be a model of real numbers.

We define model of real numbers as any quadruple of sets (K, A, M, r) such that (K, A, M, r) is an ordered field and the order relation r is complete, that is every set that is nonempty and bounded above in this relation has a supremum.

definition

```
IsAmodelOfReals(K,A,M,r) \equiv IsAnOrdField(K,A,M,r) \land (r \{is complete\})
```

end

45 Integers - introduction

```
theory Int_ZF_IML imports OrderedGroup_ZF_1 Finite_ZF_1 ZF.Int Nat_ZF_IML
```

begin

This theory file is an interface between the old-style Isabelle (ZF logic) material on integers and the IsarMathLib project. Here we redefine the meta-level operations on integers (addition and multiplication) to convert them to ZF-functions and show that integers form a commutative group with respect to addition and commutative monoid with respect to multiplication. Similarly, we redefine the order on integers as a relation, that is a subset of $Z \times Z$. We show that a subset of intergers is bounded iff it is finite. As we are forced to use standard Isabelle notation with all these dollar signs, sharps etc. to denote "type coercions" (?) the notation is often ugly and difficult to read.

45.1 Addition and multiplication as ZF-functions.

In this section we provide definitions of addition and multiplication as subsets of $(Z \times Z) \times Z$. We use the (higher order) relation defined in the standard

Int theory to define a subset of $Z \times Z$ that constitutes the ZF order relation corresponding to it. We define the set of positive integers using the notion of positive set from the OrderedGroup_ZF theory.

Definition of addition of integers as a binary operation on int. Recall that in standard Isabelle/ZF int is the set of integers and the sum of integers is denoted by prependig + with a dollar sign.

definition

```
IntegerAddition \equiv \{ \langle x,c \rangle \in (int \times int) \times int. fst(x) \$ + snd(x) = c \}
```

Definition of multiplication of integers as a binary operation on int. In standard Isabelle/ZF product of integers is denoted by prepending the dollar sign to *.

definition

```
IntegerMultiplication \equiv { \langle x,c \rangle \in (int \times int) \times int. fst(x) $* snd(x) = c}
```

Definition of natural order on integers as a relation on int. In the standard Isabelle/ZF the inequality relation on integers is denoted \leq prepended with the dollar sign.

definition

```
IntegerOrder \equiv \{p \in int \times int. fst(p) \le snd(p)\}\
```

This defines the set of positive integers.

definition

```
PositiveIntegers = PositiveSet(int,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder)
```

IntegerAddition and IntegerMultiplication are functions on int \times int.

```
lemma Int_ZF_1_L1: shows
   IntegerAddition : int×int \rightarrow int
   IntegerMultiplication : int×int \rightarrow int
proof -
   have
    \{\langle \ x,c \rangle \in (\text{int}\times\text{int})\times\text{int. } fst(x) \ \$+ \ snd(x) = c\} \in int\times\text{int}\rightarrow\text{int}
   \{\langle \ x,c \rangle \in (\text{int}\times\text{int})\times\text{int. } fst(x) \ \$+ \ snd(x) = c\} \in int\times\text{int}\rightarrow\text{int}
   using func1_1_L11A by auto
   then show IntegerAddition : int×int \rightarrow int
        IntegerMultiplication : int×int \rightarrow int
        using IntegerAddition_def IntegerMultiplication_def by auto
qed
```

The next context (locale) defines notation used for integers. We define $\mathbf{0}$ to denote the neutral element of addition, $\mathbf{1}$ as the unit of the multiplicative monoid. We introduce notation $\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}$ for integers and write $\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ to denote the integer interval with endpoints in m and n. abs(m) means the absolute value of m. This is a function defined in OrderedGroup that assigns x to itself if x is positive and assigns the opposite of x if $x \leq 0$. Unforumately we

cannot use the $|\cdot|$ notation as in the OrderedGroup theory as this notation has been hogged by the standard Isabelle's Int theory. The notation -A where A is a subset of integers means the set $\{-m: m \in A\}$. The symbol maxf(f,M) denotes the maximum of function f over the set A. We also introduce a similar notation for the minimum.

```
locale int0 =
  fixes ints (\mathbb{Z})
  defines ints_def [simp]: \mathbb{Z} \equiv \text{int}
  fixes ia (infixl + 69)
  \mathbf{defines} \ \mathsf{ia\_def} \ [\mathsf{simp}] \colon \, \mathsf{a+b} \, \equiv \, \mathsf{IntegerAddition} \langle \ \mathsf{a,b} \rangle
  fixes iminus (- _ 72)
  defines rminus_def [simp]: -a \equiv GroupInv(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition)(a)
  fixes isub (infixl - 69)
  defines isub_def [simp]: a-b \equiv a+ (-b)
  fixes imult (infixl · 70)
  defines imult_def [simp]: a \cdot b \equiv IntegerMultiplication \langle a, b \rangle
  fixes setneg (- _ 72)
  defines setneg_def [simp]: -A ≡ GroupInv(Z,IntegerAddition)(A)
  fixes izero (0)
  defines izero_def [simp]: 0 \equiv \text{TheNeutralElement}(\mathbb{Z}, \text{IntegerAddition})
  fixes ione (1)
  defines ione_def [simp]: 1 \equiv \text{TheNeutralElement}(\mathbb{Z}, \text{IntegerMultiplication})
  fixes itwo (2)
  defines itwo_def [simp]: 2 \equiv 1 + 1
  fixes ithree (3)
  defines ithree_def [simp]: 3 \equiv 2 + 1
  fixes nonnegative (\mathbb{Z}^+)
  defines nonnegative_def [simp]:
  \mathbb{Z}^+ \equiv \mathtt{Nonnegative}(\mathbb{Z},\mathtt{IntegerAddition},\mathtt{IntegerOrder})
  fixes positive (\mathbb{Z}_+)
  defines positive_def [simp]:
  \mathbb{Z}_+ \equiv PositiveSet(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder)
  fixes abs
  defines abs_def [simp]:
```

 $abs(m) \equiv AbsoluteValue(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder)(m)$

```
fixes lesseq (infix \leq 60) defines lesseq_def [simp]: m \leq n \equiv \langle m,n \rangle \in IntegerOrder fixes interval (infix .. 70) defines interval_def [simp]: m.n \equiv Interval(IntegerOrder,m,n) fixes maxf defines maxf_def [simp]: maxf(f,A) \equiv Maximum(IntegerOrder,f(A)) fixes minf defines minf_def [simp]: minf(f,A) \equiv Minimum(IntegerOrder,f(A))
```

IntegerAddition adds integers and IntegerMultiplication multiplies integers. This states that the ZF functions IntegerAddition and IntegerMultiplication give the same results as the higher-order equivalents defined in the standard Int theory.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_L2: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
   a+b = a + b
   a \cdot b = a * b
proof -
  let x = \langle a,b \rangle
  let c = a $+ b
  let d = a ** b
  from A1 have
      \langle x,c \rangle \in \{\langle x,c \rangle \in (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}. \text{ fst(x) $+$ snd(x) = c} \}
      \langle x,d \rangle \in \{\langle x,d \rangle \in (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}. \text{ fst}(x) \$* \text{snd}(x) = d\}
      by auto
  then show a+b = a + b a\cdot b = a + b
      using IntegerAddition_def IntegerMultiplication_def
         Int_ZF_1_L1 apply_iff by auto
qed
```

Integer addition and multiplication are associative.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{lemma (in int0) Int}_{ZF_1L3}: \\ \textbf{assumes } x \in \mathbb{Z} \quad y \in \mathbb{Z} \quad z \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \textbf{shows } x + y + z = x + (y + z) \quad x \cdot y \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) \\ \textbf{using assms Int}_{ZF_1L2} \ zadd_{assoc} \ zmult_{assoc} \ by \ auto \\ \end{array}
```

Integer addition and multiplication are commutative.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_L4: assumes x \in \mathbb{Z} \quad y \in \mathbb{Z} shows x+y = y+x \quad x\cdot y = y\cdot x using assms Int_ZF_1_L2 zadd_commute zmult_commute by auto
```

Zero is neutral for addition and one for multiplication.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_L5: assumes A1:x∈ℤ
  shows ($# 0) + x = x \wedge x + ($# 0) = x
  ($\# 1) \cdot x = x \wedge x \cdot ($\# 1) = x
proof -
  from A1 show ($# 0) + x = x \wedge x + ($# 0) = x
    using Int_ZF_1_L2 zadd_int0 Int_ZF_1_L4 by simp
  from A1 have ($\# 1)\cdot x = x
    using Int_ZF_1_L2 zmult_int1 by simp
  with A1 show ($\# 1) \cdot x = x \land x \cdot ($\# 1) = x
    using Int_ZF_1_L4 by simp
qed
Zero is neutral for addition and one for multiplication.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_L6: shows ($# 0)\in \mathbb{Z} \land
  (\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}. (\$\# 0) + x = x \land x + (\$\# 0) = x)
  ($\# 1)\in \mathbb{Z} \wedge
  (\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}. (\$\# 1) \cdot x = x \land x \cdot (\$\# 1) = x)
  using Int_ZF_1_L5 by auto
Integers with addition and integers with multiplication form monoids.
theorem (in int0) Int_ZF_1_T1: shows
  IsAmonoid(Z,IntegerAddition)
  IsAmonoid(Z,IntegerMultiplication)
proof -
   have
    \exists e \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}. e+x = x \land x+e = x
      \exists e \in \mathbb{Z}. \ \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}. \ e \cdot x = x \land x \cdot e = x
      using int0.Int_ZF_1_L6 by auto
   then show IsAmonoid(Z,IntegerAddition)
      IsAmonoid(Z,IntegerMultiplication) using
      IsAmonoid_def IsAssociative_def Int_ZF_1_L1 Int_ZF_1_L3
      by auto
qed
Zero is the neutral element of the integers with addition and one is the
neutral element of the integers with multiplication.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_L8: shows ($\# 0) = 0 ($\# 1) = 1
proof -
  have monoid0(Z,IntegerAddition)
    using Int_ZF_1_T1 monoid0_def by simp
  moreover have
     ($\# 0) \in \mathbb{Z} \land
     (\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}. \text{ IntegerAddition} \langle \# 0, x \rangle = x \land
    IntegerAddition\langle x, \# 0 \rangle = x)
    using Int_ZF_1_L6 by auto
  ultimately have ($# 0) = TheNeutralElement(Z,IntegerAddition)
    by (rule monoid0.group0_1_L4)
  then show ($\# 0) = 0 by simp
  have monoid0(int,IntegerMultiplication)
```

```
using Int_ZF_1_T1 monoid0_def by simp
  moreover have ($# 1) \in int \wedge
    (\forall x \in int. IntegerMultiplication \langle \# 1, x \rangle = x \land
    IntegerMultiplication\langle x, \# 1 \rangle = x
    using Int_ZF_1_L6 by auto
  ultimately have
    ($# 1) = TheNeutralElement(int,IntegerMultiplication)
    by (rule monoid0.group0_1_L4)
  then show ($\# 1) = 1 by simp
qed
0 and 1, as defined in int0 context, are integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_L8A: shows 0 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad 1 \in \mathbb{Z}
proof -
  have ($\# 0) \in \mathbb{Z} ($\# 1) \in \mathbb{Z} by auto
  then show 0 \in \mathbb{Z} 1 \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_1_L8 by auto
qed
Zero is not one.
lemma (in int0) int_zero_not_one: shows 0 \neq 1
proof -
  have ($# 0) \neq ($# 1) by simp
  then show 0 \neq 1 using Int_ZF_1_L8 by simp
The set of integers is not empty, of course.
lemma (in int0) int_not_empty: shows \mathbb{Z} \neq 0
  using Int_ZF_1_L8A by auto
The set of integers has more than just zero in it.
lemma (in int0) int_not_trivial: shows \mathbb{Z} \neq \{0\}
  using Int_ZF_1_L8A int_zero_not_one by blast
Each integer has an inverse (in the addition sense).
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_L9: assumes A1: g \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows \exists b \in \mathbb{Z}. g+b = 0
proof -
  from A1 have g+ \$-g = 0
    using Int_ZF_1_L2 Int_ZF_1_L8 by simp
  thus thesis by auto
qed
```

Integers with addition form an abelian group. This also shows that we can apply all theorems proven in the proof contexts (locales) that require the assumption that some pair of sets form a group like locale group0.

```
theorem Int_ZF_1_T2: shows
   IsAgroup(int,IntegerAddition)
```

```
IntegerAddition {is commutative on} int
  group0(int,IntegerAddition)
  using int0.Int_ZF_1_T1 int0.Int_ZF_1_L9 IsAgroup_def
  group0_def int0.Int_ZF_1_L4 IsCommutative_def by auto
What is the additive group inverse in the group of integers?
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_L9A: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z}
 shows -m = -m
proof -
   from A1 have m∈int $-m ∈ int IntegerAddition⟨ m,$-m⟩ =
     TheNeutralElement(int,IntegerAddition)
    using zminus_type Int_ZF_1_L2 Int_ZF_1_L8 by auto
 then have $-m = GroupInv(int,IntegerAddition)(m)
    using Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.group0_2_L9 by blast
  then show thesis by simp
qed
Subtracting integers corresponds to adding the negative.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_L10: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}
 shows m-n = m \$+ \$-n
  using assms Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.inverse_in_group Int_ZF_1_L9A Int_ZF_1_L2
 by simp
Negative of zero is zero.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_L11: shows (-0) = 0
  using Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.group_inv_of_one by simp
A trivial calculation lemma that allows to subtract and add one.
lemma Int_ZF_1_L12:
  assumes m∈int shows m $- $#1 $+ $#1 = m
  using assms eq_zdiff_iff by auto
A trivial calculation lemma that allows to subtract and add one, version
with ZF-operation.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_L13: assumes m∈Z
 shows (m \$- \$#1) + 1 = m
  using assms Int_ZF_1_L8A Int_ZF_1_L2 Int_ZF_1_L8 Int_ZF_1_L12
  by simp
Adding or subtracing one changes integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_L14: assumes A1: m∈Z
 shows
 m+1 \neq m
 \mathtt{m-1} \; \neq \; \mathtt{m}
proof -
  \{ assume m+1 = m \}
    with A1 have
      group0(Z,IntegerAddition)
```

```
m \in \mathbb{Z} 1 \in \mathbb{Z}
       IntegerAddition\langle m, 1 \rangle = m
       using Int_ZF_1_T2 Int_ZF_1_L8A by auto
     then have 1 = \text{TheNeutralElement}(\mathbb{Z}, \text{IntegerAddition})
       by (rule group0.group0_2_L7)
    then have False using int_zero_not_one by simp
  } then show I: m+1 \neq m by auto
  \{ \text{ from A1 have m - 1 + 1 = m} \}
       using Int_ZF_1_L8A Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.inv_cancel_two
       by simp
    moreover assume m-1 = m
    ultimately have m + 1 = m by simp
    with I have False by simp
  } then show m-1 \neq m by auto
qed
If the difference is zero, the integers are equal.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_L15:
  assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: m-n = 0
  shows m=n
proof -
  let G = \mathbb{Z}
  let f = IntegerAddition
  from A1 A2 have
    group0(G, f)
    \mathtt{m} \in \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{G}
    f(m, GroupInv(G, f)(n)) = TheNeutralElement(G, f)
    using Int_ZF_1_T2 by auto
  then show m=n by (rule group0.group0_2_L11A)
qed
```

45.2 Integers as an ordered group

In this section we define order on integers as a relation, that is a subset of $Z \times Z$ and show that integers form an ordered group.

The next lemma interprets the order definition one way.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L1: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: m \le n shows m \le n proof - from A1 A2 have \langle m,n \rangle \in \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : fst(x) \le snd(x)\} by simp then show thesis using IntegerOrder_def by simp qed

The next lemma interprets the definition the other way.
```

lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L1A: assumes A1: $m \le n$

```
shows m \leq n m\in \mathbb{Z} n\in \mathbb{Z}
proof -
  from A1 have \langle m,n \rangle \in \{p \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} . fst(p) \le snd(p)\}
     using IntegerOrder_def by simp
  thus m \$ \le n m\in \mathbb{Z} n\in \mathbb{Z} by auto
qed
Integer order is a relation on integers.
\mathbf{lemma} \  \, \mathtt{Int} \_ \mathtt{ZF} \_ \mathtt{L1B} \colon \mathbf{shows} \  \, \mathtt{Integer0rder} \subseteq \mathtt{int} \times \mathtt{int}
proof
  fix x assume x∈IntegerOrder
  then have x \in \{p \in int \times int. fst(p) \le snd(p)\}
     using IntegerOrder_def by simp
  then show x \in int \times int by simp
The way we define the notion of being bounded below, its sufficient for the
relation to be on integers for all bounded below sets to be subsets of integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L1C:
  assumes A1: IsBoundedBelow(A,IntegerOrder)
  shows A\subseteq \mathbb{Z}
proof -
  from A1 have
     IntegerOrder \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}
     IsBoundedBelow(A,IntegerOrder)
     using Int_ZF_2_L1B by auto
  then show A\subseteq \mathbb{Z} by (rule Order_ZF_3_L1B)
qed
The order on integers is reflexive.
lemma (in int0) int_ord_is_refl: shows refl(Z,IntegerOrder)
  using Int_ZF_2_L1 zle_refl refl_def by auto
The essential condition to show antisymmetry of the order on integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L3:
  assumes A1: m \le n n \le m
  shows m=n
proof -
  from A1 have m \$ \le n n \$ \le m m\in \mathbb{Z} n\in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_{ZF_2_L1A} by auto
  then show m=n using zle_anti_sym by auto
The order on integers is antisymmetric.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L4: shows antisym(IntegerOrder)
proof -
  \mathbf{have} \ \forall \mathtt{m} \ \mathtt{n}. \ \mathtt{m} \leq \mathtt{n} \ \land \mathtt{n} \leq \mathtt{m} \longrightarrow \mathtt{m=n}
     using Int_ZF_2_L3 by auto
```

```
then show thesis using imp_conj antisym_def by simp
qed
The essential condition to show that the order on integers is transitive.
lemma Int_ZF_2_L5:
  assumes A1: \langle m,n \rangle \in IntegerOrder \langle n,k \rangle \in IntegerOrder
  shows \langle m,k \rangle \in IntegerOrder
proof -
  from A1 have T1: m \leq n n \leq k and T2: m\inint k\inint
     using int0.Int_ZF_2_L1A by auto
  from T1 have m $\le k$ by (rule zle_trans)
  with T2 show thesis using int0.Int_ZF_2_L1 by simp
qed
The order on integers is transitive. This version is stated in the into context
using notation for integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_order_transitive:
  assumes A1: m \le n n \le k
  shows m≤k
proof -
  from A1 have \langle m,n \rangle \in IntegerOrder \langle n,k \rangle \in IntegerOrder
  then have ⟨ m,k⟩ ∈ IntegerOrder by (rule Int_ZF_2_L5)
  then show m \le k by simp
qed
The order on integers is transitive.
lemma Int_ZF_2_L6: shows trans(IntegerOrder)
proof -
  have \forall m n k.
     \langle \mathtt{m}, \mathtt{n} \rangle \in \mathtt{Integer0rder} \land \langle \mathtt{n}, \mathtt{k} \rangle \in \mathtt{Integer0rder} \longrightarrow
     \langle m, k \rangle \in IntegerOrder
     using Int_ZF_2_L5 by blast
  then show thesis by (rule Fol1_L2)
qed
The order on integers is a partial order.
lemma Int_ZF_2_L7: shows IsPartOrder(int,IntegerOrder)
  using int0.int_ord_is_refl int0.Int_ZF_2_L4
     Int_{ZF_2\_L6} IsPartOrder_def \ by \ simp
The essential condition to show that the order on integers is preserved by
translations.
lemma (in int0) int_ord_transl_inv:
  assumes A1: k \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: m \le n
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{m+k} \ \leq \ \mathtt{n+k} \qquad \mathtt{k+m} \leq \ \mathtt{k+n}
```

proof -

from A2 have $m \le n$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

```
with A1 show m+k \leq n+k
                                 k+m \le k+n
    using zadd_right_cancel_zle zadd_left_cancel_zle
    Int_ZF_1_L2 Int_ZF_1_L1 apply_funtype
    Int_ZF_1_L2 Int_ZF_2_L1 Int_ZF_1_L2 by auto
qed
Integers form a linearly ordered group. We can apply all theorems proven
in group3 context to integers.
theorem (in int0) Int_ZF_2_T1: shows
  Is \verb|AnOrdGroup($\mathbb{Z}$, Integer \verb|Addition, Integer Order)|
  IntegerOrder {is total on} Z
  group3(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder)
  IsLinOrder(Z,IntegerOrder)
proof -
  have \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall m \ n. \ m \leq n \longrightarrow
    \texttt{m+k} \; \leq \; \texttt{n+k} \; \wedge \; \texttt{k+m} \leq \; \texttt{k+n}
    using int_ord_transl_inv by simp
  then show T1: IsAnOrdGroup(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder) using
    Int_ZF_1_T2 Int_ZF_2_L1B Int_ZF_2_L7 IsAnOrdGroup_def
    by simp
  then show group3(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder)
    using group3_def by simp
  have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. n \le m \lor m \le n
    using zle_linear Int_ZF_2_L1 by auto
  then show IntegerOrder {is total on} Z
    using IsTotal_def by simp
  with T1 show IsLinOrder(Z,IntegerOrder)
    using IsAnOrdGroup_def IsPartOrder_def IsLinOrder_def by simp
qed
If a pair (i, m) belongs to the order relation on integers and i \neq m, then
i < m in the sense of defined in the standard Isabelle's Int.thy.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L9: assumes A1: i \le m and A2: i \ne m
  shows i $< m
proof -
  from A1 have i \leq m i\in \mathbb{Z} m\in \mathbb{Z}
    using Int_ZF_2_L1A by auto
  with A2 show i $< m using zle_def by simp
qed
This shows how Isabelle's $< operator translates to IsarMathLib notation.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L9AA: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}
  and A2: m $< n
  shows m \le n m \ne n
  using assms zle_def Int_ZF_2_L1 by auto
```

using Int_ZF_2_L1A by auto

A small technical lemma about putting one on the other side of an inequality.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L9A:
  assumes A1: k \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: m \le k \$- ($# 1)
  shows m+1 \leq k
proof -
  from A2 have m+1 \leq (k $- ($# 1)) + 1
    using Int_ZF_1_L8A int_ord_transl_inv by simp
  with A1 show m+1 \leq k
    using Int_ZF_1_L13 by simp
qed
We can put any integer on the other side of an inequality reversing its sign.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L9B: assumes i \in \mathbb{Z} m \in \mathbb{Z} k \in \mathbb{Z}
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{i+m} \, \leq \, \mathtt{k} \quad \longleftrightarrow \, \mathtt{i} \, \leq \, \mathtt{k-m}
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9A
  by simp
A special case of Int_ZF_2_L9B with weaker assumptions.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L9C:
  assumes i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } i-m \leq k
  shows i \leq k+m
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9B
  by simp
Taking (higher order) minus on both sides of inequality reverses it.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L10: assumes k \le i
  shows
  (-i) \leq (-k)
  s-i < s-k
  using assms Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_1_L9A Int_ZF_2_T1
    group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5 by auto
Taking minus on both sides of inequality reverses it, version with a negative
on one side.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L10AA: assumes n \in \mathbb{Z} m \le (-n)
  shows n \le (-m)
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AD
  by simp
We can cancel the same element on on both sides of an inequality, a version
with minus on both sides.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L10AB:
  assumes m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z} k \in \mathbb{Z} and m-n \le m-k
  shows k≤n
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AF
  by simp
If an integer is nonpositive, then its opposite is nonnegative.
```

lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L10A: assumes k ≤ 0

```
shows 0 < (-k)
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5A by simp
If the opposite of an integers is nonnegative, then the integer is nonpositive.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L10B:
  assumes k \in \mathbb{Z} and 0 \le (-k)
  shows k \le 0
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AA by simp
Adding one to an integer corresponds to taking a successor for a natural
number.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L11:
  shows i + # n + ($# 1) = i + # succ(n)
proof -
  have $# succ(n) = $#1 $+ $# n using int_succ_int_1 by blast
  then have i $+ $# succ(n) = i $+ ($# n $+ $#1)
    using zadd_commute by simp
  then show thesis using zadd_assoc by simp
qed
Adding a natural number increases integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L12: assumes A1: i \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: n \in nat
  shows i \leq i $+ $#n
proof -
  \{ assume n = 0 \}
    with A1 have i \leq i $+ $#n using zadd_int0 int_ord_is_refl refl_def
      by simp }
  moreover
  { assume n\neq 0
    with A2 obtain k where k nat n = succ(k)
      using Nat_ZF_1_L3 by auto
    with A1 have i \le i \$+ \$#n
      using zless_succ_zadd zless_imp_zle Int_ZF_2_L1 by simp }
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
Adding one increases integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L12A: assumes A1: j \le k
  shows j \le k + \#1 \quad j \le k+1
proof -
  from A1 have T1: j \in \mathbb{Z} \ k \in \mathbb{Z} \ j \le k
    using Int_ZF_2_L1A by auto
  moreover from T1 have k \leq k + $#1 using Int_ZF_2_L12 Int_ZF_2_L1A
  ultimately have j $\le k $+ $#1 using zle_trans by fast
  with T1 show j \leq k $+ $#1 using Int_ZF_2_L1 by simp
  with T1 have j \le k+$#1
    \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{Int} \mathtt{ZF} \mathtt{_1} \mathtt{_L2} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{simp}
```

```
then show j \le k+1 using Int_ZF_1_L8 by simp
qed
Adding one increases integers, yet one more version.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L12B: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} shows m \leq m+1
  using assms int_ord_is_refl refl_def Int_ZF_2_L12A by simp
If k+1=m+n, where n is a non-zero natural number, then m \leq k.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L13:
  assumes A1: k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ m} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and A2: } n \in \text{nat}
  and A3: k + (# 1) = m + # succ(n)
  shows m \leq k
proof -
  from A1 have k \in \mathbb{Z} m $+ $# n \in \mathbb{Z} by auto
  moreover from assms have k $+ $# 1 = m $+ $# n $+ $#1
    using Int_ZF_2_L11 by simp
  ultimately have k = m $+ $# n using zadd_right_cancel by simp
  with A1 A2 show thesis using Int_ZF_2_L12 by simp
qed
The absolute value of an integer is an integer.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L14: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows abs(m) \in \mathbb{Z}
proof -
  have AbsoluteValue(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder): \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
    using Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L1 by simp
  with A1 show thesis using apply_funtype by simp
ged
If two integers are nonnegative, then the opposite of one is less or equal than
the other and the sum is also nonnegative.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L14A:
  assumes 0 \le m 0 \le n
  shows
  (-m) \leq n
  0 \le m + n
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1
    group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AC group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L12
  by auto
We can increase components in an estimate.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L15:
  assumes b \le b_1 c \le c_1 and a \le b + c
  shows a \le b_1 + c_1
proof -
  from assms have group3(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder)
    \langle a, IntegerAddition \langle b, c \rangle \rangle \in IntegerOrder
    \langle b, b_1 \rangle \in IntegerOrder \langle c, c_1 \rangle \in IntegerOrder
```

```
using Int_ZF_2_T1 by auto
  then have \langle a, IntegerAddition \langle b_1, c_1 \rangle \rangle \in IntegerOrder
     by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5E)
  thus thesis by simp
ged
We can add or subtract the sides of two inequalities.
lemma (in int0) int_ineq_add_sides:
  assumes a \le b and c \le d
  shows
  a+c \le b+d
  \texttt{a-d} \, \leq \, \texttt{b-c}
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1
     group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5B group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5I
We can increase the second component in an estimate.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L15A:
  assumes b \in \mathbb{Z} and a \le b+c and A3: c \le c_1
  shows a \le b + c_1
proof -
  from assms have
     group3(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder)
     \langle a, IntegerAddition \langle b, c \rangle \rangle \in IntegerOrder
     \langle c, c_1 \rangle \in IntegerOrder
     using Int_ZF_2_T1 by auto
  then have \langle a, IntegerAddition \langle b, c_1 \rangle \rangle \in IntegerOrder
      by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5D)
    thus thesis by simp
qed
If we increase the second component in a sum of three integers, the whole
sum inceases.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L15C:
  assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: k < L
  {f shows} m+k+n \leq m+L+n
proof -
  let P = IntegerAddition
  from assms have
     group3(int,P,IntegerOrder)
     \mathtt{m} \, \in \, \mathtt{int} \quad \mathtt{n} \, \in \, \mathtt{int}
     \langle \mathtt{k,L} \rangle \in \mathtt{IntegerOrder}
     using Int_ZF_2_T1 by auto
  then have \langle P\langle P\langle m,k\rangle,n\rangle, P\langle P\langle m,L\rangle,n\rangle \rangle \in IntegerOrder
     by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L10)
  then show m+k+n \le m+L+n by simp
```

qed

```
We don't decrease an integer by adding a nonnegative one.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L15D:
  assumes 0 \le n \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows m \le n+m
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5F
  by simp
Some inequalities about the sum of two integers and its absolute value.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L15E:
  assumes m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  m+n \le abs(m)+abs(n)
  m-n \le abs(m)+abs(n)
  (-m)+n \leq abs(m)+abs(n)
  (-m)-n \leq abs(m)+abs(n)
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L6A
  by auto
We can add a nonnegative integer to the right hand side of an inequality.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L15F: assumes m<k and 0<n
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{m} \ \leq \ \mathtt{k+n} \quad \mathtt{m} \ \leq \ \mathtt{n+k}
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5G
  by auto
Triangle inequality for integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_triangle_ineq:
  assumes m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows abs(m+n) \le abs(m) + abs(n)
  using assms Int_ZF_1_T2 Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrdGroup_triangle_ineq
  by simp
Taking absolute value does not change nonnegative integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L16:
  assumes 0 \le m shows m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ and abs(m) = m
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2
    group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2 by auto
0 \le 1, so |1| = 1.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L16A: shows 0 \le 1 and abs(1) = 1
proof -
  have ($\# 0) \in \mathbb{Z} ($\# 1) \in \mathbb{Z}  by auto
  then have 0 \le 0 and T1: 1 \in \mathbb{Z}
    using Int_ZF_1_L8 int_ord_is_refl refl_def by auto
  then have 0 \le 0+1 using Int_ZF_2_L12A by simp
  with T1 show 0≤1 using Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.group0_2_L2
    by simp
  then show abs(1) = 1 using Int_ZF_2_L16 by simp
```

qed

```
1 \le 2.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L16B: shows 1 \le 2
proof -
  have ($\# 1) \in \mathbb{Z} by simp
  then show 1 \le 2
    using Int_ZF_1_L8 int_ord_is_refl refl_def Int_ZF_2_L12A
    by simp
qed
Integers greater or equal one are greater or equal zero.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L16C:
  assumes A1: 1<a shows
  0 \le a \quad a \ne 0
  2 \leq a+1
  1 < a+1
  0 \le a+1
proof -
  from A1 have 0 \le 1 and 1 \le a
    using Int_ZF_2_L16A by auto
  then show 0 \le a by (rule Int_order_transitive)
  have I: 0 \le 1 using Int_ZF_2_L16A by simp
  have 1 \le 2 using Int_ZF_2_L16B by simp
  moreover from A1 show 2 \le a+1
    using Int_ZF_1_L8A int_ord_transl_inv by simp
  ultimately show 1 \le a+1 by (rule Int_order_transitive)
  with I show 0 \le a+1 by (rule Int_order_transitive)
  from A1 show a\neq 0 using
    Int_ZF_2_L16A Int_ZF_2_L3 int_zero_not_one by auto
qed
Absolute value is the same for an integer and its opposite.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L17:
  assumes m \in \mathbb{Z} shows abs(-m) = abs(m)
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7A by simp
The absolute value of zero is zero.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L18: shows abs(0) = 0
  using Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2A by simp
A different version of the triangle inequality.
lemma (in int0) Int_triangle_ineq1:
  assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  abs(m-n) \leq abs(n)+abs(m)
  abs(m-n) \leq abs(m)+abs(n)
proof -
  have -n \in \mathbb{Z} by simp
  with A1 have abs(m-n) \le abs(m)+abs(-n)
```

```
using Int_ZF_1_L9A Int_triangle_ineq by simp
  with A1 show
    abs(m-n) \le abs(n)+abs(m)
    abs(m-n) \leq abs(m)+abs(n)
    using Int_ZF_2_L17 Int_ZF_2_L14 Int_ZF_1_T2 IsCommutative_def
    by auto
qed
Another version of the triangle inequality.
lemma (in int0) Int_triangle_ineq2:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathtt{m}{\in}\mathbb{Z}\quad\mathtt{n}{\in}\mathbb{Z}
  and abs(m-n) \le k
  shows
  abs(m) \leq abs(n)+k
  m-k < n
  m \leq n+k
  n-k \leq m
  using assms Int_ZF_1_T2 Int_ZF_2_T1
    group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7D group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7E
  by auto
```

Triangle inequality with three integers. We could use OrdGroup_triangle_ineq3, but since simp cannot translate the notation directly, it is simpler to reprove it for integers.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_triangle_ineq3: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z} k \in \mathbb{Z} shows abs(m+n+k) \leq abs(m)+abs(n)+abs(k) proof - from A1 have T: m+n \in \mathbb{Z} abs(k) \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_{ZF_1T_2} group0.group_op_closed Int_{ZF_2L_14} by auto with A1 have abs(m+n+k) \leq abs(m+n) + abs(k) using Int_{triangle_ineq} by simp moreover from A1 T have abs(m+n) + abs(k) \leq abs(m) + abs(n) + abs(k) using Int_{triangle_ineq} int_ord_transl_inv by simp ultimately show thesis by (rule Int_{triangle_ineq}) qed
```

The next lemma shows what happens when one integers is not greater or equal than another.

```
\begin{array}{lll} lemma & (in int0) & Int_ZF_2_L19: \\ & assumes & A1: & m\in \mathbb{Z} & n\in \mathbb{Z} & and & A2: & \neg(n\leq m) \\ & shows & m\leq n & (-n) & \leq & (-m) & m\neq n \\ \\ proof & - & from & A1 & A2 & show & m\leq n & using & Int_ZF_2_T1 & IsTotal_def \\ & by & auto \\ & then & show & (-n) & \leq & (-m) & using & Int_ZF_2_L10 \end{array}
```

```
by simp
  from A1 have n \le n using int_ord_is_refl refl_def
    by simp
  with A2 show m\neq n by auto
ged
If one integer is greater or equal and not equal to another, then it is not
smaller or equal.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L19AA: assumes A1: m \le n and A2: m \ne n
  shows \neg(n \le m)
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
     group3(Z, IntegerAddition, IntegerOrder)
     \langle \mathtt{m,n} \rangle \in \mathtt{IntegerOrder}
    m\neq n
     using Int_ZF_2_T1 by auto
  then have \langle n, m \rangle \notin IntegerOrder
     by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8AA)
  thus \neg(n \le m) by simp
qed
The next lemma allows to prove theorems for the case of positive and neg-
ative integers separately.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L19A: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: \neg (0 \le m)
  shows \ \mathtt{m}{\leq} 0 \quad 0 \ \leq \ \mathtt{(-m)} \quad \mathtt{m}{\neq} 0
proof -
  from A1 have T: 0 \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.group0_2_L2 by auto
  with A1 A2 show m≤0 using Int_ZF_2_L19 by blast
  from A1 T A2 show m\neq 0 by (rule Int_ZF_2_L19)
  from A1 T A2 have (-0) \le (-m) by (rule Int_ZF_2_L19)
  then show 0 < (-m)
     using Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.group_inv_of_one by simp
qed
We can prove a theorem about integers by proving that it holds for m=0,
m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ and } -m \in \mathbb{Z}_+.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L19B:
  assumes m \in \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Q}(0) and \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \mathbb{Q}(n) and \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \mathbb{Q}(-n)
  shows Q(m)
proof -
  let G = \mathbb{Z}
  let P = IntegerAddition
  let r = IntegerOrder
  let b = m
  from assms have
     group3(G, P, r)
    r {is total on} G
```

```
b \in G
    Q(TheNeutralElement(G, P))
    \forall a \in PositiveSet(G, P, r). Q(a)
    \forall a \in PositiveSet(G, P, r). Q(GroupInv(G, P)(a))
    using Int_ZF_2_T1 by auto
  then show Q(b) by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L18)
qed
An integer is not greater than its absolute value.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L19C: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  m \leq abs(m)
  (-m) \leq abs(m)
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1
    group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L5 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L6
  by auto
|m-n| = |n-m|.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L20: assumes m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows abs(m-n) = abs(n-m)
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7B by simp
We can add the sides of inequalities with absolute values.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L21:
  assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}
  and A2: abs(m) \le k \quad abs(n) \le 1
  shows
  abs(m+n) \le k + 1
  abs(m-n) \le k + 1
  using assms Int_ZF_1_T2 Int_ZF_2_T1
    group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7C group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7CA
  by auto
Absolute value is nonnegative.
lemma (in int0) int_abs_nonneg: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows abs(m) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad 0 \leq abs(m)
proof -
  have AbsoluteValue(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder) : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+
    using Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3C by simp
  with A1 show abs(m) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ using apply_funtype
    by simp
  then show 0 \le abs(m)
    using Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2 by simp
If an nonnegative integer is less or equal than another, then so is its absolute
value.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_2_L23:
```

```
assumes 0 \le m m \le k shows abs(m) \le k using assms Int_ZF_2_L16 by simp
```

45.3 Induction on integers.

In this section we show some induction lemmas for integers. The basic tools are the induction on natural numbers and the fact that integers can be written as a sum of a smaller integer and a natural number.

An integer can be written a a sum of a smaller integer and a natural number.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_3_L2: assumes A1: i \leq m
  shows \exists n \in nat. m = i \$+ \$# n
proof -
  let n = 0
  { assume A2: i=m
    from A1 A2 have n \in nat m = i \$+ \$\# n
       using Int_ZF_2_L1A zadd_int0_right by auto
    hence \exists n \in \text{nat. } m = i \$+ \$\# n \text{ by blast } \}
  moreover
  { assume A3: i\neq m
    with A1 have i s \le m i\in \mathbb{Z} m \in \mathbb{Z}
       using Int_ZF_2_L9 Int_ZF_2_L1A by auto
    then obtain k where D1: k∈nat m = i $+ $# succ(k)
       using zless_imp_succ_zadd_lemma by auto
    let n = succ(k)
    from D1 have n∈nat m = i $+ $# n by auto
    hence \exists n \in \text{nat.} m = i \$+ \$\# n \text{ by simp } 
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
Induction for integers, the induction step.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_3_L6: assumes A1: i∈ℤ
  and A2: \forall m. i \le m \land Q(m) \longrightarrow Q(m \$+ (\$\# 1))
  shows \forall k \in \text{nat. } Q(i \$+ (\$\# k)) \longrightarrow Q(i \$+ (\$\# succ(k)))
proof
  \mbox{fix k assume A3: k \in nat show Q(i \$+ \$\#\ k)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mbox{Q(i \$+ \$\#\ succ(k))}
  proof
    assume A4: Q(i $+ $# k)
    from A1 A3 have i \le i \ + \ (\$\#\ k) using Int_ZF_2_L12
       by simp
    with A4 A2 have Q(i $+ ($# k) $+ ($# 1)) by simp
    then show Q(i $+ ($# succ(k))) using Int_ZF_2_L11 by simp
  qed
qed
Induction on integers, version with higher-order increment function.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_3_L7:
```

```
assumes A1: i \le k and A2: Q(i)
  and A3: \forall m. i \leq m \land Q(m) \longrightarrow Q(m \$+ (\$\# 1))
  shows Q(k)
proof -
  from A1 obtain n where D1: n∈nat and D2: k = i $+ $# n
    using Int_ZF_3_L2 by auto
  from A1 have T1: i \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_L1A by simp
  note ⟨n∈nat⟩
  moreover from A1 A2 have Q(i $+ $#0)
    using Int_ZF_2_L1A zadd_int0 by simp
  moreover from T1 A3 have
    \forall k \in nat. Q(i $+ ($# k)) \longrightarrow Q(i $+ ($# succ(k)))
    by (rule Int_ZF_3_L6)
  ultimately have Q(i $+ ($# n)) by (rule ind_on_nat)
  with D2 show Q(k) by simp
qed
Induction on integer, implication between two forms of the induction step.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_3_L7A: assumes
  A1: \forall m. i \leq m \land Q(m) \longrightarrow Q(m+1)
  shows \forall m. i \leq m \land Q(m) \longrightarrow Q(m \$+ (\$\# 1))
proof -
  { fix m assume i \le m \land Q(m)
    with A1 have T1: m \in \mathbb{Z} Q(m+1) using Int_ZF_2_L1A by auto
    then have m+1 = m+($# 1) using Int_ZF_1_L8 by simp
    with T1 have Q(m $+ ($# 1)) using Int_ZF_1_L2
      by simp
  } then show thesis by simp
qed
Induction on integers, version with ZF increment function.
theorem (in int0) Induction_on_int:
  assumes A1: i \le k and A2: Q(i)
  and A3: \forall m. i \leq m \land Q(m) \longrightarrow Q(m+1)
  shows Q(k)
proof -
  from A3 have \forall m. i \le m \land Q(m) \longrightarrow Q(m \$+ (\$\# 1))
    by (rule Int_ZF_3_L7A)
  with A1 A2 show thesis by (rule Int_ZF_3_L7)
qed
Another form of induction on integers. This rewrites the basic theorem
Int_ZF_3_L7 substituting P(-k) for Q(k).
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_3_L7B: assumes A1: i≤k and A2: P($-i)
  and A3: \forall m. i \leq m \land P(\$-m) \longrightarrow P(\$-(m \$+ (\$\# 1)))
  shows P(\$-k)
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 show P($-k) by (rule Int_ZF_3_L7)
qed
```

```
Another induction on integers. This rewrites Int_ZF_3_L7 substituting -k for k and -i for i.
```

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_3_L8: assumes A1: k≤i and A2: P(i)
  and A3: \forall m. \$-i \le m \land P(\$-m) \longrightarrow P(\$-(m \$+ (\$\# 1)))
  shows P(k)
proof -
  from A1 have T1: $-i \le $-k using Int_ZF_2_L10 by simp
  from A1 A2 have T2: P($- $- i) using Int_ZF_2_L1A zminus_zminus
  from T1 T2 A3 have P($-($-k)) by (rule Int_ZF_3_L7)
  with A1 show P(k) using Int_ZF_2_L1A zminus_zminus by simp
qed
An implication between two forms of induction steps.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_3_L9: assumes A1: i \in \mathbb{Z}
  and A2: \forall n. n \le i \land P(n) \longrightarrow P(n \$+ \$-(\$\#1))
  shows \forall m. \$-i \le m \land P(\$-m) \longrightarrow P(\$-(m \$+ (\$\# 1)))
proof
  fix m show -i \le m \land P(-m) \longrightarrow P(-(m + (m + 1)))
    assume A3: - i \le m \land P(- m)
    then have -i \le m by simp
    with A1 A2 A3 show P($-(m $+ ($# 1)))
      using zminus_zminus zminus_zadd_distrib by simp
  qed
qed
Backwards induction on integers, version with higher-order decrement func-
tion.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_3_L9A: assumes A1: k≤i and A2: P(i)
  and A3: \forall n. n \le i \land P(n) \longrightarrow P(n \$+ \$-(\$\#1))
  shows P(k)
proof -
  from A1 have T1: i \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_L1A by simp
  from T1 A3 have T2: \forall m. \$-i \le m \land P(\$-m) \longrightarrow P(\$-(m \$+ (\$\# 1)))
    by (rule Int_ZF_3_L9)
  from A1 A2 T2 show P(k) by (rule Int_ZF_3_L8)
Induction on integers, implication between two forms of the induction step.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_3_L10: assumes
  A1: \forall n. n \leq i \land P(n) \longrightarrow P(n-1)
```

with A1 have T1: $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ P(n-1) using Int_ZF_2_L1A by auto then have n-1 = n-(\$\# 1) using Int_ZF_1_L8 by simp

shows $\forall n. n \le i \land P(n) \longrightarrow P(n \$+ \$-(\$\#1))$

{ fix n assume $n \le i \land P(n)$

proof -

```
with T1 have P(n $+ $-($#1)) using Int_ZF_1_L10 by simp
  } then show thesis by simp
qed
Backwards induction on integers.
theorem (in int0) Back_induct_on_int:
  assumes A1: k \le i and A2: P(i)
  and A3: \forall n. n \le i \land P(n) \longrightarrow P(n-1)
  shows P(k)
proof -
  from A3 have \forall n. n \le i \land P(n) \longrightarrow P(n \$+ \$-(\$\#1))
    by (rule Int_ZF_3_L10)
  with A1 A2 show P(k) by (rule Int_ZF_3_L9A)
qed
```

45.4 Bounded vs. finite subsets of integers

The goal of this section is to establish that a subset of integers is bounded is and only is it is finite. The fact that all finite sets are bounded is already shown for all linearly ordered groups in OrderedGroups_ZF.thy. To show the other implication we show that all intervals starting at 0 are finite and then use a result from OrderedGroups_ZF.thy.

There are no integers between k and k+1.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_4_L1:
  assumes A1: k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ } m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ } n \in \text{nat and A2: } k $+ $\#1 = m $+ $\#n
  shows m = k + \#1 \lor m \le k
proof -
  { assume n=0
    with A1 A2 have m = k + \#1 \lor m \le k
       using zadd_int0 by simp }
  moreover
  { assume n\neq 0
    with A1 obtain j where D1: j \in nat \ n = succ(j)
       using Nat_ZF_1_L3 by auto
    with A1 A2 D1 have m = k + \#1 \lor m \le k
       using Int_ZF_2_L13 by simp }
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
```

A trivial calculation lemma that allows to subtract and add one.

```
lemma Int_ZF_4_L1A:
 assumes m∈int shows m $- $#1 $+ $#1 = m
 using assms eq_zdiff_iff by auto
```

There are no integers between k and k+1, another formulation.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_4_L1B: assumes A1: m \le L
 shows
```

```
m = L \lor m+1 \le L
  \texttt{m} \; = \; \texttt{L} \; \vee \; \texttt{m} \; \leq \; \texttt{L-1}
proof -
  let k = L \$- \$#1
  from A1 have T1: m \in \mathbb{Z} L \in \mathbb{Z} L = k + \#1
    using Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_4_L1A by auto
  moreover from A1 obtain n where D1: n∈nat L = m $+ $# n
    using Int_ZF_3_L2 by auto
  ultimately have m = L \lor m \le k
    using Int_ZF_4_L1 by simp
  with T1 show m = L \vee m+1 \leq L
    using Int_ZF_2_L9A by auto
  with T1 show m = L \vee m < L-1
    using Int_ZF_1_L8A Int_ZF_2_L9B by simp
qed
If j \in m..k + 1, then j \in m..n or j = k + 1.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_4_L2: assumes A1: k \in \mathbb{Z}
  and A2: j \in m..(k \$+ \$#1)
  shows j \in m..k \lor j \in \{k \$+ \$\#1\}
proof -
  from A2 have T1: m \le j j \le (k + \#1) using Order_ZF_2_L1A
  then have T2: m \in \mathbb{Z} j \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_L1A by auto
  from T1 obtain n where n∈nat k $+ $#1 = j $+ $# n
    using Int_ZF_3_L2 by auto
  with A1 T1 T2 have (m \le j \land j \le k) \lor j \in \{k \$+ \$\#1\}
    using Int_ZF_4_L1 by auto
  then show thesis using Order_ZF_2_L1B by auto
Extending an integer interval by one is the same as adding the new endpoint.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_4_L3: assumes A1: m≤ k
  shows m..(k + \#1) = m..k \cup \{k + \#1\}
proof
  from A1 have T1: m \in \mathbb{Z} k \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_L1A by auto
  then show m .. (k $+ $# 1) \subseteq m .. k \cup {k $+ $# 1}
    using Int_ZF_4_L2 by auto
  from T1 have m≤ m using Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3
    by simp
  with T1 A1 have m .. k \subseteq m .. (k $+ $# 1)
    using Int_ZF_2_L12 Int_ZF_2_L6 Order_ZF_2_L3 by simp
  with T1 A1 show m..k \cup {k $+ $#1} \subseteq m..(k $+ $#1)
    using Int_ZF_2_L12A int_ord_is_refl Order_ZF_2_L2 by auto
qed
Integer intervals are finite - induction step.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_4_L4:
  assumes A1: i \le m and A2: i..m \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
```

```
shows i..(m + \#1) \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
  using assms Int_ZF_4_L3 by simp
Integer intervals are finite.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_4_L5: assumes A1: i \in \mathbb{Z} k \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows i..k \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
proof -
  { assume A2: i≤k
    moreover from A1 have i..i \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
       using int_ord_is_refl Int_ZF_2_L4 Order_ZF_2_L4 by simp
    moreover from A2 have
       \forall m. i \le m \land i..m \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow i..(m \$+ \$\#1) \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
       using Int_ZF_4_L4 by simp
    ultimately have i..k \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) by (rule Int_ZF_3_L7) }
  \{ assume \neg i \le k \}
    then have i..k ∈ Fin(ℤ) using Int_ZF_2_L6 Order_ZF_2_L5
       by simp }
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
Bounded integer sets are finite.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_4_L6: assumes A1: IsBounded(A,IntegerOrder)
  shows A \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
proof -
  have T1: \forall m \in Nonnegative(\mathbb{Z}, IntegerAddition, IntegerOrder).
    $\#0..m \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
    fix m assume m ∈ Nonnegative(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder)
    then have m∈Z using Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4E
       by auto
    then show \#0..m \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) using Int_ZF_4_L5 by simp
  have group3(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder)
    using Int_ZF_2_T1 by simp
  moreover from T1 have \forall m \in Nonnegative(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder).
    Interval(IntegerOrder, TheNeutralElement(Z, IntegerAddition), m)
    \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) using Int_ZF_1_L8 by simp
  moreover note A1
  ultimately show A \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) by (rule group3.0rderedGroup_ZF_2_T1)
A subset of integers is bounded iff it is finite.
theorem (in int0) Int_bounded_iff_fin:
  shows \ \texttt{IsBounded(A,IntegerOrder)} \longleftrightarrow \ \texttt{A}{\in}\texttt{Fin}(\mathbb{Z})
  using Int_ZF_4_L6 Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.ord_group_fin_bounded
  by blast
```

The image of an interval by any integer function is finite, hence bounded.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_4_L8: assumes A1: i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and A2: } f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} shows f(i..k) \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) IsBounded(f(i..k),IntegerOrder) using assms Int_ZF_4_L5 Finite1_L6A Int_bounded_iff_fin by auto
```

If for every integer we can find one in A that is greater or equal, then A is is not bounded above, hence infinite.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_4_L9: assumes A1: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \exists k \in A. m \leq k shows

¬IsBoundedAbove(A,IntegerOrder)

A \notin Fin(\mathbb{Z})

proof -

have \mathbb{Z} \neq \{0\}

using Int_ZF_1_L8A int_zero_not_one by blast

with A1 show

¬IsBoundedAbove(A,IntegerOrder)

A \notin Fin(\mathbb{Z})

using Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L2A

by auto

qed
```

end

46 Integers 1

```
theory Int_ZF_1 imports Int_ZF_IML OrderedRing_ZF
```

begin

This theory file considers the set of integers as an ordered ring.

46.1 Integers as a ring

In this section we show that integers form a commutative ring.

The next lemma provides the condition to show that addition is distributive with respect to multiplication.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_1_L1: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z} shows a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c (b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a using assms Int_ZF_1_L2 zadd_zmult_distrib zadd_zmult_distrib2 by auto
```

Integers form a commutative ring, hence we can use theorems proven in ring0 context (locale).

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_1_L2: shows
  IsAring(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerMultiplication)
  IntegerMultiplication {is commutative on} {\mathbb Z}
  ring0(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,IntegerMultiplication)
proof -
  have \forall a \in \mathbb{Z} . \forall b \in \mathbb{Z} . \forall c \in \mathbb{Z} .
     a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c \wedge (b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L1 by simp
  then have IsDistributive(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerMultiplication)
     using IsDistributive_def by simp
  then show IsAring(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerMultiplication)
     ring0(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,IntegerMultiplication)
     using Int_ZF_1_T1 Int_ZF_1_T2 IsAring_def ring0_def
     by auto
  have \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall b \in \mathbb{Z}. a \cdot b = b \cdot a \text{ using } Int_ZF_1_L4 \text{ by simp}
  then show IntegerMultiplication {is commutative on} {\mathbb Z}
     using IsCommutative_def by simp
qed
Zero and one are integers.
lemma (in int0) int_zero_one_are_int: shows 0 \in \mathbb{Z} 1 \in \mathbb{Z}
  using Int_ZF_1_1_L2 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L2 by auto
Negative of zero is zero.
lemma (in int0) int_zero_one_are_intA: shows (-0) = 0
  using Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.group_inv_of_one by simp
Properties with one integer.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_1_L4: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  a+0 = a
  0+a = a
  a \cdot 1 = a
            1·a = a
  0 \cdot a = 0 a \cdot 0 = 0
  (-a) \in \mathbb{Z} (-(-a)) = a
  a-a = 0 a-0 = a \cdot 2 \cdot a = a+a
proof -
  from A1 show
     a+0 = a \quad 0+a = a \quad a\cdot 1 = a
     1 \cdot a = a = a = 0
                           a-0 = a
     (-a) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad 2 \cdot a = a + a
                               (-(-a)) = a
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L2 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L3 by auto
  from A1 show 0 \cdot a = 0 a \cdot 0 = 0
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L2 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L6 by auto
qed
```

Properties that require two integers.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_1_L5: assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  a+b \in \mathbb{Z}
  a-b \in \mathbb{Z}
  a \cdot b \in \mathbb{Z}
  a+b = b+a
  a \cdot b = b \cdot a
  (-b)-a = (-a)-b
  (-(a+b)) = (-a)-b
  (-(a-b)) = ((-a)+b)
  (-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)
  a \cdot (-b) = -(a \cdot b)
  (-a) \cdot (-b) = a \cdot b
  using assms Int_ZF_1_1_L2 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L4 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L9
     ringO.Ring_ZF_1_L7 ringO.Ring_ZF_1_L7A Int_ZF_1_L4 by auto
2 and 3 are integers.
lemma (in int0) int_two_three_are_int: shows 2 \in \mathbb{Z} 3 \in \mathbb{Z}
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
Another property with two integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_1_L5B:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows a-(-b) = a+b
  using assms Int_ZF_1_1_L2 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L9
  by simp
Properties that require three integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_1_L6: assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  a-(b+c) = a-b-c
  a-(b-c) = a-b+c
  a \cdot (b-c) = a \cdot b - a \cdot c
  (b-c)\cdot a = b\cdot a - c\cdot a
  using assms Int_ZF_1_1_L2 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L10 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L8
  by auto
One more property with three integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_1_L6A: assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows a+(b-c) = a+b-c
  using assms Int_ZF_1_1_L2 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L10A by simp
Associativity of addition and multiplication.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_1_L7: assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  a+b+c = a+(b+c)
  a \cdot b \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)
  using assms Int_ZF_1_1_L2 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L11 by auto
```

46.2 Rearrangement lemmas

In this section we collect lemmas about identities related to rearranging the terms in expressions

A formula with a positive integer.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L1: assumes 0 \le a shows abs(a)+1 = abs(a+1) using assms Int_ZF_2_L16 Int_ZF_2_L12A by simp
```

A formula with two integers, one positive.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L2: assumes A1: a\in\mathbb{Z} and A2: 0\le b shows a+(abs(b)+1)\cdot a=(abs(b+1)+1)\cdot a proof - from A2 have abs(b+1)\in\mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_L12A Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_2_L14 by blast with A1 A2 show thesis using Int_ZF_1_2_L1 Int_ZF_1_1_L2 ring0.Ring_ZF_2_L1 by simp qed
```

A couple of formulae about canceling opposite integers.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L3: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
 shows
  a+b-a = b
  a+(b-a) = b
  a+b-b = a
 a-b+b = a
  (-a)+(a+b) = b
  a+(b-a) = b
  (-b)+(a+b) = a
  a-(b+a) = -b
  a-(a+b) = -b
  a-(a-b) = b
  a-b-a = -b
  a-b - (a+b) = (-b)-b
 using assms Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.group0_4_L6A group0.inv_cancel_two
    group0.group0_2_L16A group0.group0_4_L6AA group0.group0_4_L6AB
    group0.group0_4_L6F group0.group0_4_L6AC by auto
```

Subtracting one does not increase integers. This may be moved to a theory about ordered rings one day.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L3A: assumes A1: a left shows a-1 left b
proof -
  from A1 have b+1-1 = b
    using Int_ZF_2_L1A int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_2_L3 by simp
moreover from A1 have a-1 left b+1-1
```

```
using Int_ZF_2_L12A int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L4 int_ord_transl_inv
    by simp
  ultimately show a-1 \leq b by simp
Subtracting one does not increase integers, special case.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L3AA:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} shows
  a-1 \le a
  a-1 \neq a
  \neg(a \le a-1)
  \neg(a+1 \le a)
  \neg(1+a <a)
proof -
  from A1 have a 

a using int_ord_is_refl refl_def
    by simp
  then show a-1 \le a using Int_ZF_1_2_L3A
    by simp
  moreover from A1 show a-1 \neq a using Int_ZF_1_L14 by simp
  ultimately show I: ¬(a≤a-1) using Int_ZF_2_L19AA
    by blast
  with A1 show \neg(a+1 \le a)
    using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_2_L9B by simp
  with A1 show \neg (1+a \le a)
    using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
qed
A formula with a nonpositive integer.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L4: assumes a \le 0
  shows abs(a)+1 = abs(a-1)
  using assms int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_2_L3A Int_ZF_2_T1
      group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3A Int_ZF_2_L1A
      int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
A formula with two integers, one negative.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L5: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: b \le 0
  shows a+(abs(b)+1)\cdot a = (abs(b-1)+1)\cdot a
proof -
  from A2 have abs(b-1) \in \mathbb{Z}
    using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_2_L3A Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_2_L14
    by blast
  with A1 A2 show thesis
    using Int_ZF_1_2_L4 Int_ZF_1_1_L2 ring0.Ring_ZF_2_L1
    by simp
qed
A rearrangement with four integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L6:
```

```
assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z} d \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  a-(b-1)\cdot c = (d-b\cdot c)-(d-a-c)
proof -
  from A1 have T1:
     (d-b\cdot c) \in \mathbb{Z} d-a \in \mathbb{Z} (-(b\cdot c)) \in \mathbb{Z}
    using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_1_1_L4 by auto
  with A1 have
     (d-b\cdot c)-(d-a-c) = (-(b\cdot c))+a+c
    using Int_ZF_1_1_L6 Int_ZF_1_2_L3 by simp
  also from A1 T1 have (-(b \cdot c))+a+c = a-(b-1)\cdot c
    using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L6 Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_1_1_L5
    by simp
  finally show thesis by simp
Some other rearrangements with two integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L7: assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  a \cdot b = (a-1) \cdot b + b
  a \cdot (b+1) = a \cdot b + a
  (b+1)\cdot a = b\cdot a+a
  (b+1)\cdot a = a+b\cdot a
  using assms Int_ZF_1_1_L1 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 int_zero_one_are_int
    Int_ZF_1_1_L6 Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.inv_cancel_two
  by auto
Another rearrangement with two integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L8:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows a+1+(b+1) = b+a+2
  using assms int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.group0_4_L8
  by simp
A couple of rearrangement with three integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L9:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  (a-b)+(b-c) = a-c
  (a-b)-(a-c) = c-b
  a+(b+(c-a-b)) = c
  (-a)-b+c = c-a-b
  (-b)-a+c = c-a-b
  (-((-a)+b+c)) = a-b-c
  a+b+c-a = b+c
  a+b-(a+c) = b-c
  using assms Int_ZF_1_T2
    group0.group0_4_L4B group0.group0_4_L6D group0.group0_4_L4D
    group0.group0_4_L6B group0.group0_4_L6E
```

```
by auto
```

```
Another couple of rearrangements with three integers.
```

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L9A:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows (-(a-b-c)) = c+b-a
proof -
  from A1 have T:
     a-b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (-(a-b)) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (-b) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad using
     Int_{ZF_1_1_L4} Int_{ZF_1_1_L5} by auto
  with A1 have (-(a-b-c)) = c - ((-b)+a)
      using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
    also from A1 T have ... = c+b-a
      using Int_ZF_1_1_L6 Int_ZF_1_1_L5B
      by simp
  finally show (-(a-b-c)) = c+b-a
     by simp
qed
Another rearrangement with three integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L10:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows (a+1) \cdot b + (c+1) \cdot b = (c+a+2) \cdot b
proof -
  from A1 have a+1 \in \mathbb{Z} c+1 \in \mathbb{Z}
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
  with A1 have
     (a+1)\cdot b + (c+1)\cdot b = (a+1+(c+1))\cdot b
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L1 by simp
  also from A1 have ... = (c+a+2)\cdot b
     using Int_ZF_1_2_L8 by simp
  finally show thesis by simp
qed
A technical rearrangement involing inequalities with absolute value.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L10A:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z} e \in \mathbb{Z}
  and A2: abs(a\cdot b-c) \le d abs(b\cdot a-e) \le f
  shows abs(c-e) \leq f+d
proof -
  from A1 A2 have T1:
     d{\in}\mathbb{Z} \quad f{\in}\mathbb{Z} \quad a{\cdot}b \,\in\, \mathbb{Z} \quad a{\cdot}b{-}c \,\in\, \mathbb{Z} \quad b{\cdot}a{-}e \,\in\, \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
  with A2 have
     abs((b\cdot a-e)-(a\cdot b-c)) \le f +d
```

using Int_ZF_2_L21 by simp with A1 T1 show abs(c-e) \leq f+d

qed

using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_1_2_L9 by simp

```
Some arithmetics.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L11: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  a+1+2 = a+3
  a = 2 \cdot a - a
proof -
  from A1 show a+1+2 = a+3
     using int_zero_one_are_int int_two_three_are_int Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.group0_4_L4C
     by simp
  from A1 show a = 2 \cdot a - a
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L1 Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_1_T2
group0.inv_cancel_two
     by simp
qed
A simple rearrangement with three integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L12:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  (b-c)\cdot a = a\cdot b - a\cdot c
  using assms Int_ZF_1_1_L6 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
A big rearrangement with five integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L13:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z} d \in \mathbb{Z} x \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows (x+(a\cdot x+b)+c)\cdot d = d\cdot (a+1)\cdot x + (b\cdot d+c\cdot d)
proof -
  from A1 have T1:
     a \cdot x \in \mathbb{Z}
                 (a+1)\cdot x \in \mathbb{Z}
     (a+1)\cdot x + b \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 int_zero_one_are_int by auto
  with A1 have (x+(a\cdot x+b)+c)\cdot d = ((a+1)\cdot x + b)\cdot d + c\cdot d
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L7 Int_ZF_1_2_L7 Int_ZF_1_1_L1
     by simp
  also from A1 T1 have ... = (a+1)\cdot x \cdot d + b \cdot d + c \cdot d
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L1 by simp
  finally have (x+(a\cdot x+b)+c)\cdot d = (a+1)\cdot x\cdot d + b\cdot d + c\cdot d
     by simp
  moreover from A1 T1 have (a+1) \cdot x \cdot d = d \cdot (a+1) \cdot x
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_1_1_L7 by simp
  ultimately have (x+(a\cdot x+b)+c)\cdot d = d\cdot (a+1)\cdot x + b\cdot d + c\cdot d
     by simp
  moreover from A1 T1 have
     \mathtt{d}{\cdot}(\mathtt{a}{+}1){\cdot}\mathtt{x} \,\in\, \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathtt{b}{\cdot}\mathtt{d} \,\in\, \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathtt{c}{\cdot}\mathtt{d} \,\in\, \mathbb{Z}
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
```

Rerrangement about adding linear functions.

qed

ultimately show thesis using Int_ZF_1_1_L7 by simp

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L14:

assumes a∈Z b∈Z c∈Z d∈Z x∈Z

shows (a·x + b) + (c·x + d) = (a+c)·x + (b+d)

using assms Int_ZF_1_1_L2 ring0.Ring_ZF_2_L3 by simp

A rearrangement with four integers. Again we have to use the generic set notation to use a theorem proven in different context.
```

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L15: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z} d \in \mathbb{Z}
  and A2: a = b-c-d
  shows
  d = b-a-c
  d = (-a)+b-c
  b = a+d+c
proof -
  let G = int
  let f = IntegerAddition
  from A1 A2 have I:
    groupO(G, f) f {is commutative on} G
    \mathtt{a} \in \mathtt{G} \ \mathtt{b} \in \mathtt{G} \ \mathtt{c} \in \mathtt{G} \ \mathtt{d} \in \mathtt{G}
    a = f(f(b,GroupInv(G, f)(c)),GroupInv(G, f)(d))
    using Int_ZF_1_T2 by auto
  then have
    d = f(f(b,GroupInv(G, f)(a)),GroupInv(G,f)(c))
    by (rule group0.group0_4_L9)
  then show d = b-a-c by simp
  from I have d = f(f(GroupInv(G, f)(a),b), GroupInv(G, f)(c))
    by (rule group0.group0_4_L9)
  thus d = (-a)+b-c
    by simp
  from I have b = f(f(a, d), c)
    by (rule group0.group0_4_L9)
  thus b = a+d+c by simp
qed
A rearrangement with four integers. Property of groups.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L16:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z} d \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows a+(b-c)+d = a+b+d-c
  using assms Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.group0_4_L8 by simp
Some rearrangements with three integers. Properties of groups.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L17:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  a+b-c+(c-b) = a
  a+(b+c)-c = a+b
proof -
  let G = int
```

let f = IntegerAddition

```
from A1 have I:
    group0(G, f)
     \mathtt{a}\,\in\,\mathtt{G}\,\ \mathtt{b}\,\in\,\mathtt{G}\,\mathtt{c}\,\in\,\mathtt{G}
     using Int_ZF_1_T2 by auto
  then have
     f(f(a,b),GroupInv(G, f)(c)),f(c,GroupInv(G, f)(b)) = a
     by (rule group0.group0_2_L14A)
  thus a+b-c+(c-b) = a by simp
  from I have
     f(f(a,f(b,c)),GroupInv(G, f)(c)) = f(a,b)
     by (rule group0.group0_2_L14A)
  thus a+(b+c)-c = a+b by simp
qed
Another rearrangement with three integers. Property of abelian groups.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_2_L18:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows a+b-c+(c-a) = b
proof -
  let G = int
  let f = IntegerAddition
  from A1 have
     group0(G, f)
                     f {is commutative on} G
     \mathtt{a} \,\in\, \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{b} \,\in\, \mathtt{G} \,\,\mathtt{c} \,\in\, \mathtt{G}
     using Int_ZF_1_T2 by auto
  then have
     f(f(a,b),GroupInv(G, f)(c)),f(c,GroupInv(G, f)(a)) = b
     by (rule group0.group0_4_L6D)
  thus a+b-c+(c-a) = b by simp
qed
```

46.3 Integers as an ordered ring

We already know from Int_ZF that integers with addition form a linearly ordered group. To show that integers form an ordered ring we need the fact that the set of nonnegative integers is closed under multiplication.

We start with the property that a product of nonnegative integers is nonnegative. The proof is by induction and the next lemma is the induction step.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L1: assumes A1: 0 \le a 0 \le b and A3: 0 \le a \cdot b shows 0 \le a \cdot (b+1) proof - from A1 A3 have 0+0 \le a \cdot b+a using int_ineq_add_sides by simp with A1 show 0 \le a \cdot (b+1) using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_1_2_L7
```

```
by simp
qed
Product of nonnegative integers is nonnegative.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L2: assumes A1: 0 \le a 0 \le b
  shows 0 \le a \cdot b
proof -
  from A1 have 0 \le b by simp
  moreover from A1 have 0 \le a \cdot 0 using
    Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_1_1_L4 int_zero_one_are_int int_ord_is_refl refl_def
    by simp
  moreover from A1 have
    \forall m. \ 0 \le m \land \ 0 \le a \cdot m \longrightarrow 0 \le a \cdot (m+1)
    using Int_ZF_1_3_L1 by simp
  ultimately show 0 \le a \cdot by (rule Induction_on_int)
qed
The set of nonnegative integers is closed under multiplication.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L2A: shows
  \mathbb{Z}^+ {is closed under} IntegerMultiplication
proof -
  { fix a b assume a \in \mathbb{Z}^+ b \in \mathbb{Z}^+
    then have a \cdot b \in \mathbb{Z}^+
       using Int_ZF_1_3_L2 Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L2
       by simp
  } then have \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . \forall b \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . a \cdot b \in \mathbb{Z}^+ by simp
  then show thesis using IsOpClosed_def by simp
Integers form an ordered ring. All theorems proven in the ring1 context are
valid in int0 context.
theorem (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_T1: shows
  IsAnOrdRing(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,IntegerMultiplication,IntegerOrder)
  ring1(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerMultiplication,IntegerOrder)
  using Int_ZF_1_1_L2 Int_ZF_2_L1B Int_ZF_1_3_L2A Int_ZF_2_T1
    OrdRing_ZF_1_L6 OrdRing_ZF_1_L2 by auto
Product of integers that are greater that one is greater than one. The proof
is by induction and the next step is the induction step.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L3_indstep:
  assumes A1: 1<a 1<b
  and A2: 1 \le a \cdot b
  shows 1 \le a \cdot (b+1)
   from A1 A2 have 1 \le 2 and 2 \le a \cdot (b+1)
    using Int_ZF_2_L1A int_ineq_add_sides Int_ZF_2_L16B Int_ZF_1_2_L7
    by auto
```

```
then show 1 \le a \cdot (b+1) by (rule Int_order_transitive)
qed
Product of integers that are greater that one is greater than one.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L3:
  assumes A1: 1 \le a \ 1 \le b
  shows 1 \le a \cdot b
proof -
  from A1 have 1 \le b 1 \le a \cdot 1
     using Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_1_1_L4 by auto
  moreover from A1 have
     \forall \, \mathtt{m.} \ 1 {\leq} \mathtt{m} \ \land \ 1 \ \leq \ \mathtt{a} {\cdot} \mathtt{m} \ \longrightarrow \ 1 \ \leq \ \mathtt{a} {\cdot} (\mathtt{m+1})
     using Int_ZF_1_3_L3_indstep by simp
  ultimately show 1 \le a \cdot b by (rule Induction_on_int)
|a\cdot(-b)|=|(-a)\cdot b|=|(-a)\cdot(-b)|=|a\cdot b| This is a property of ordered
rings..
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L4: assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  abs((-a) \cdot b) = abs(a \cdot b)
  abs(a\cdot(-b)) = abs(a\cdot b)
  abs((-a)\cdot(-b)) = abs(a\cdot b)
  using assms Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_2_L17 by auto
Absolute value of a product is the product of absolute values. Property of
ordered rings.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L5:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows abs(a \cdot b) = abs(a) \cdot abs(b)
  using assms Int_ZF_1_3_T1 ring1.OrdRing_ZF_2_L5 by simp
Double nonnegative is nonnegative. Property of ordered rings.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L5A: assumes 0 \le a
  shows 0 \le 2 \cdot a
  using assms Int_ZF_1_3_T1 ring1.OrdRing_ZF_1_L5A by simp
The next lemma shows what happens when one integer is not greater or
equal than another.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L6:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows \neg(b \le a) \longleftrightarrow a+1 \le b
proof
  assume A3: \neg(b \le a)
  with A1 have a≤b by (rule Int_ZF_2_L19)
  then have a = b \lor a+1 \le b
     using Int_ZF_4_L1B by simp
  moreover from A1 A3 have a = b by (rule Int_ZF_2_L19)
```

```
ultimately show a+1 \leq b by simp
next assume A4: a+1 \leq b
  { assume b≤a
    with A4 have a+1 \le a by (rule Int_order_transitive)
    moreover from A1 have a \leq a+1
       using Int_ZF_2_L12B by simp
    ultimately have a+1 = a
       by (rule Int_ZF_2_L3)
    with A1 have False using Int_ZF_1_L14 by simp
  } then show \neg(b \le a) by auto
qed
Another form of stating that there are no integers between integers m and
m+1.
corollary (in int0) no_int_between: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows b \le a \lor a+1 \le b
  using A1 Int_ZF_1_3_L6 by auto
Another way of saying what it means that one integer is not greater or equal
than another.
corollary (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L6A:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: \neg(b \le a)
  shows \ \mathtt{a} \, \leq \, \mathtt{b}\text{--}1
proof -
  from A1 A2 have a+1 - 1 \leq b - 1
    using Int_ZF_1_3_L6 int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L4
       int_ord_transl_inv by simp
  with A1 show a < b-1
    using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_2_L3
    by simp
qed
Yet another form of stating that there are no ntegers between m and m+1.
lemma (in int0) no_int_between1:
  assumes A1: a \le b and A2: a \ne b
  shows
  a+1 \leq b
  a < b-1
proof -
  from A1 have T: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_L1A
    by auto
  { assume b≤a
    with A1 have a=b by (rule Int_ZF_2_L3)
    with A2 have False by simp }
  then have \neg(b \le a) by auto
  with T show
    a+1 \leq b
    \mathtt{a} \, \leq \, \mathtt{b}\text{-}1
```

```
using no_int_between Int_ZF_1_3_L6A by auto
qed
We can decompose proofs into three cases: a = b, a \le b - 1b or a \ge b + 1b.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L6B: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows a=b \lor (a \le b-1) \lor (b+1 \lea)
proof -
  from A1 have a=b \lor (a < b \land a \neq b) \lor (b < a \land b \neq a)
    using Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L31
    by simp
  then show thesis using no_int_between1
    by auto
qed
A special case of Int_ZF_1_3_L6B when b=0. This allows to split the proofs
in cases a \leq -1, a = 0 and a \geq 1.
corollary (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L6C: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows a=0 \lor (a \le -1) \lor (1\lea)
proof -
  from A1 have a=0 \lor (a < 0 -1) \lor (0 +1 < a)
    using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_3_L6B by simp
  then show thesis using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 int_zero_one_are_int
    by simp
qed
An integer is not less or equal zero iff it is greater or equal one.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L7: assumes a \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows \neg(a \le 0) \longleftrightarrow 1 \le a
  using assms int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_3_L6 Int_ZF_1_1_L4
  by simp
Product of positive integers is positive.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L8:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
  and \neg(a \le 0) \neg(b \le 0)
  shows \neg((a \cdot b) \leq 0)
  using assms Int_ZF_1_3_L7 Int_ZF_1_3_L3 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_1_3_L7
  by simp
If a \cdot b is nonnegative and b is positive, then a is nonnegative. Proof by
contradiction.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L9:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
  and A2: \neg(b \le 0) and A3: a \cdot b \le 0
  shows a≤0
proof -
  { assume \neg(a \le 0)
    with A1 A2 have \neg((a \cdot b) \leq 0) using Int_ZF_1_3_L8
```

```
by simp
  } with A3 show a \le 0 by auto
qed
One integer is less or equal another iff the difference is nonpositive.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L10:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows a \le b \longleftrightarrow a-b \le 0
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9
  by simp
Some conclusions from the fact that one integer is less or equal than another.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L10A: assumes a≤b
  shows 0 \le b-a
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L12A
  by simp
We can simplify out a positive element on both sides of an inequality.
lemma (in int0) Int_ineq_simpl_positive:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z}
  and A2: a \cdot c \le b \cdot c and A4: \neg(c \le 0)
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{a} \, \leq \, \mathtt{b}
proof -
  from A1 A4 have a-b \in \mathbb{Z} c\in\mathbb{Z} \neg(c\leq 0)
    using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
  moreover from A1 A2 have (a-b) \cdot c \leq 0
    using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_1_3_L10 Int_ZF_1_1_L6
    by simp
  ultimately have a-b \le 0 by (rule Int_ZF_1_3_L9)
  with A1 show a \le b using Int_ZF_1_3_L10 by simp
A technical lemma about conclusion from an inequality between absolute
values. This is a property of ordered rings.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L11:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
  and A2: \neg(abs(a) \leq abs(b))
  shows \neg(abs(a) \leq 0)
proof -
  \{ assume abs(a) \leq 0 \}
    moreover from A1 have 0 \le abs(a) using int_abs_nonneg
    ultimately have abs(a) = 0 by (rule Int_ZF_2_L3)
    with A1 A2 have False using int_abs_nonneg by simp
  } then show \neg(abs(a) \leq 0) by auto
```

Negative times positive is negative. This a property of ordered rings.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L12:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathbf{a}{\le}\mathbf{0}\quad \mathbf{and}\ \mathbf{0}{\le}\mathbf{b}
  shows \ \mathtt{a} {\cdot} \mathtt{b} \, \leq \, 0
  using assms Int_ZF_1_3_T1 ring1.OrdRing_ZF_1_L8
  by simp
We can multiply an inequality by a nonnegative number. This is a property
of ordered rings.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L13:
  assumes A1: a \le b and A2: 0 \le c
  shows
  a \cdot c \le b \cdot c
  c·a ≤ c·b
  using assms Int_ZF_1_3_T1 ring1.OrdRing_ZF_1_L9 by auto
A technical lemma about decreasing a factor in an inequality.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L13A:
  assumes 1 \le a and b \le c and (a+1) \cdot c \le d
  shows (a+1)\cdot b \le d
proof -
  from assms have
     (a+1)\cdot b \leq (a+1)\cdot c
     (\texttt{a+1}) \cdot \texttt{c} \; \leq \; \texttt{d}
     using Int_ZF_2_L16C Int_ZF_1_3_L13 by auto
  then show (a+1)\cdot b \le d by (rule Int_order_transitive)
We can multiply an inequality by a positive number. This is a property of
ordered rings.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L13B:
  assumes A1: a \le b and A2: c \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  shows
  a \cdot c \le b \cdot c
  c \cdot a \leq c \cdot b
proof -
  let R = \mathbb{Z}
  let A = IntegerAddition
  let M = IntegerMultiplication
  let r = IntegerOrder
  from A1 A2 have
     ring1(R, A, M, r)
     \langle a,b \rangle \in r
     c \in PositiveSet(R, A, r)
     using Int_ZF_1_3_T1 by auto
  then show
     a \cdot c \le b \cdot c
```

using ring1.OrdRing_ZF_1_L9A by auto

 $c{\cdot}a \; \leq \; c{\cdot}b$

```
qed
```

```
A rearrangement with four integers and absolute value.
```

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L14: assumes A1: a\in\mathbb{Z} b\in\mathbb{Z} c\in\mathbb{Z} d\in\mathbb{Z} shows abs(a\cdot b)+(abs(a)+c)\cdot d=(d+abs(b))\cdot abs(a)+c\cdot d proof - from A1 have T1: abs(a)\in\mathbb{Z} abs(b)\in\mathbb{Z} abs(a)\cdot abs(b)\in\mathbb{Z} abs(a)\cdot abs(b)\in\mathbb{Z} abs(a)\cdot d\in\mathbb{Z} c\cdot d\in\mathbb{Z} abs(b)+d\in\mathbb{Z} abs(b)+d\in\mathbb{Z} abs(b)+d\in\mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_L14 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto with A1 have abs(a\cdot b)+(abs(a)+c)\cdot d=abs(a)\cdot (abs(b)+d)+c\cdot d using Int_ZF_1_3_L5 Int_ZF_1_1_L1 Int_ZF_1_1_L7 by simp with A1 T1 show thesis using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp qed
```

A technical lemma about what happens when one absolute value is not greater or equal than another.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L15: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: \neg(abs(m) \leq abs(n)) shows n \leq abs(m) m \neq 0 proof -
from A1 have T1: n \leq abs(n) using Int_ZF_2_L19C by simp from A1 have abs(n) \in \mathbb{Z} abs(m) \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_L14 by auto moreover note A2 ultimately have abs(n) \leq abs(m) by (rule Int_ZF_2_L19) with T1 show n \leq abs(m) by (rule Int_order_transitive) from A1 A2 show m \neq 0 using Int_ZF_2_L18 int_abs_nonneg by auto qed
```

Negative of a nonnegative is nonpositive.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L16: assumes A1: 0 \le m shows (-m) \le 0 proof - from A1 have (-m) \le (-0) using Int_ZF_2_L10 by simp then show (-m) \le 0 using Int_ZF_1_L11 by simp qed
```

Some statements about intervals centered at 0.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L17: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z}
```

```
shows
  (-abs(m)) \leq abs(m)
  (-abs(m))..abs(m) \neq 0
proof -
  from A1 have (-abs(m)) \leq 0 \quad 0 \leq abs(m)
    using int_abs_nonneg Int_ZF_1_3_L16 by auto
  then show (-abs(m)) \le abs(m) by (rule Int_order_transitive)
  then have abs(m) \in (-abs(m))..abs(m)
    using int_ord_is_refl Int_ZF_2_L1A Order_ZF_2_L2 by simp
  thus (-abs(m))..abs(m) \neq 0 by auto
qed
The greater of two integers is indeed greater than both, and the smaller one
is smaller that both.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L18: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  m \le GreaterOf(IntegerOrder,m,n)
  n \le GreaterOf(IntegerOrder,m,n)
  SmallerOf(IntegerOrder,m,n) < m</pre>
  SmallerOf(IntegerOrder,m,n) < n</pre>
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 Order_ZF_3_L2 by auto
If |m| \leq n, then m \in -n..n.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L19:
  assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: abs(m) \leq n
  shows
  (-n) < m m < n
  m \in (-n)..n
  0 < n
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L8
    group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L8A Order_ZF_2_L1
  by auto
A slight generalization of the above lemma.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L19A:
  assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: abs(m) < n and A3: 0 \le k
  shows (-(n+k)) \le m
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L8B
  by simp
Sets of integers that have absolute value bounded are bounded.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L20:
  assumes A1: \forall x \in X. b(x) \in \mathbb{Z} \land abs(b(x)) \leq L
  shows IsBounded(\{b(x). x \in X\},IntegerOrder)
proof -
  let G = \mathbb{Z}
  let P = IntegerAddition
```

let r = IntegerOrder

If a set is bounded, then the absolute values of the elements of that set are bounded.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L20A: assumes IsBounded(A,Integer0rder) shows \exists L. \forall a\inA. abs(a) \leq L using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L10A by simp
```

Absolute vaues of integers from a finite image of integers are bounded by an integer.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L20AA: assumes A1: \{b(x). x \in \mathbb{Z}\} \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) shows \exists L \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(b(x)) \leq L using assms int_not_empty Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L11A by simp
```

If absolute values of values of some integer function are bounded, then the image a set from the domain is a bounded set.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L20B:
  assumes f:X\to\mathbb{Z} and A\subseteq X and \forall x\in A. abs(f(x)) \leq L
  shows IsBounded(f(A),IntegerOrder)
proof -
  let G = \mathbb{Z}
  let P = IntegerAddition
  let r = IntegerOrder
  from assms have
     group3(G, P, r)
     r {is total on} G
     \mathtt{f}:\mathtt{X}{
ightarrow}\mathtt{G}
     \mathtt{A} {\subseteq} \mathtt{X}
     \forall x \in A. \langle AbsoluteValue(G, P, r)(f(x)), L \rangle \in r
     using Int_ZF_2_T1 by auto
  then show IsBounded(f(A), r)
     by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L9B)
qed
```

A special case of the previous lemma for a function from integers to integers.

```
corollary (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L20C: assumes f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} and \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(f(m)) \leq L shows f(\mathbb{Z}) \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
```

```
proof -
  from assms have f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \ \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \ \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(f(m)) \le L
    by auto
  then have IsBounded(f(\mathbb{Z}),IntegerOrder)
     by (rule Int_ZF_1_3_L20B)
  then show f(\mathbb{Z}) \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) using Int_bounded_iff_fin
     by simp
A triangle inequality with three integers. Property of linearly ordered abelian
groups.
lemma (in int0) int_triangle_ineq3:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows abs(a-b-c) \le abs(a) + abs(b) + abs(c)
proof -
  from A1 have T: a-b \in \mathbb{Z} abs(c) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_2_L14 by auto
  with A1 have abs(a-b-c) \le abs(a-b) + abs(c)
     using Int_triangle_ineq1 by simp
  moreover from A1 T have
     abs(a-b) + abs(c) < abs(a) + abs(b) + abs(c)
     using Int_triangle_ineq1 int_ord_transl_inv by simp
  ultimately show thesis by (rule Int_order_transitive)
If a \le c and b \le c, then a + b \le 2 \cdot c. Property of ordered rings.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L21:
  assumes A1: a \le c b \le c shows a+b \le 2 \cdot c
  using assms Int_ZF_1_3_T1 ring1.OrdRing_ZF_2_L6 by simp
If an integer a is between b and b+c, then |b-a| \le c. Property of ordered
groups.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L22:
  assumes a \le b and c \in \mathbb{Z} and b \le c + a
  shows abs(b-a) < c
  \mathbf{using} \ \mathbf{assms} \ \mathsf{Int} \mathsf{_ZF} \mathsf{_2} \mathsf{_T1} \ \mathsf{group3.0rderedGroup} \mathsf{_ZF} \mathsf{_3} \mathsf{_L8C}
  by simp
An application of the triangle inequality with four integers. Property of
linearly ordered abelian groups.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L22A:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z} d \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows abs(a-c) \le abs(a+b) + abs(c+d) + abs(b-d)
  using assms Int_ZF_1_T2 Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7F
  by simp
```

If an integer a is between b and b+c, then $|b-a| \le c$. Property of ordered groups. A version of Int_ZF_1_3_L22 with sligtly different assumptions.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_3_L23: assumes A1: a \le b and A2: c \in \mathbb{Z} and A3: b \le a + c shows abs(b-a) \le c proof - from A1 have a \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_L1A by simp with A2 A3 have b \le c + a using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp with A1 A2 show abs(b-a) \le c using Int_ZF_1_3_L22 by simp qed
```

46.4 Maximum and minimum of a set of integers

In this section we provide some sufficient conditions for integer subsets to have extrema (maxima and minima).

Finite nonempty subsets of integers attain maxima and minima.

```
theorem (in int0) Int_fin_have_max_min:
  assumes A1: A \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) and A2: A \neq 0
  shows
  HasAmaximum(IntegerOrder,A)
  HasAminimum(IntegerOrder,A)
  Maximum(IntegerOrder, A) ∈ A
  Minimum(IntegerOrder, A) ∈ A
  \forall x \in A. x \leq Maximum(IntegerOrder, A)
  \forall x \in A. Minimum(IntegerOrder,A) \leq x
  Maximum(IntegerOrder,A) ∈ Z
  Minimum(IntegerOrder,A) ∈ Z
proof -
  from A1 have
    A=0 V HasAmaximum(IntegerOrder, A) and
    A=0 V HasAminimum(IntegerOrder,A)
    using Int_ZF_2_T1 Int_ZF_2_L6 Finite_ZF_1_1_T1A Finite_ZF_1_1_T1B
    by auto
  with A2 show
    HasAmaximum(IntegerOrder,A)
    HasAminimum(IntegerOrder,A)
    by auto
  from A1 A2 show
    Maximum(IntegerOrder, A) ∈ A
    Minimum(IntegerOrder, A) ∈ A
    \forall x \in A. x \leq Maximum(IntegerOrder, A)
    \forall x \in A. Minimum(IntegerOrder, A) < x
    using Int_ZF_2_T1 Finite_ZF_1_T2 by auto
  moreover from A1 have ACZ using FinD by simp
  ultimately show
    Maximum(IntegerOrder,A) ∈ Z
    Minimum(IntegerOrder,A) ∈ Z
```

```
by auto
qed
Bounded nonempty integer subsets attain maximum and minimum.
theorem (in int0) Int_bounded_have_max_min:
  assumes IsBounded(A,IntegerOrder) and A\neq 0
  shows
  HasAmaximum(IntegerOrder,A)
  HasAminimum(IntegerOrder,A)
  {\tt Maximum(IntegerOrder,A)} \, \in \, {\tt A}
  Minimum(IntegerOrder, A) \in A
  \forall x \in A. x < Maximum(IntegerOrder, A)
  \forall x \in A. Minimum(IntegerOrder,A) < x
  Maximum(IntegerOrder,A) ∈ Z
  Minimum(IntegerOrder,A) ∈ Z
  using assms Int_fin_have_max_min Int_bounded_iff_fin
  by auto
Nonempty set of integers that is bounded below attains its minimum.
theorem (in int0) int_bounded_below_has_min:
  assumes A1: IsBoundedBelow(A,IntegerOrder) and A2: A \neq 0
  shows
  HasAminimum(IntegerOrder,A)
  {\tt Minimum(IntegerOrder,A)} \, \in \, {\tt A}
  \forall x \in A. Minimum(IntegerOrder, A) \leq x
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
    IntegerOrder {is total on} \mathbb{Z}
    trans(IntegerOrder)
    IntegerOrder \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}
    \forall A. IsBounded(A,IntegerOrder) \land A \neq 0 \longrightarrow HasAminimum(IntegerOrder,A)
    A≠0 IsBoundedBelow(A,IntegerOrder)
    using Int_ZF_2_T1 Int_ZF_2_L6 Int_ZF_2_L1B Int_bounded_have_max_min
    by auto
  then show HasAminimum(IntegerOrder,A)
    by (rule Order_ZF_4_L11)
  then show
    Minimum(IntegerOrder,A) ∈ A
    \forall x \in A. Minimum(IntegerOrder,A) \leq x
    using Int_ZF_2_L4 Order_ZF_4_L4 by auto
qed
Nonempty set of integers that is bounded above attains its maximum.
theorem (in int0) int_bounded_above_has_max:
  assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(A,IntegerOrder) and A2: A≠0
  shows
  HasAmaximum(IntegerOrder,A)
  Maximum(IntegerOrder,A) ∈ A
```

```
Maximum(IntegerOrder,A) ∈ Z
  \forall x \in A. x \leq Maximum(IntegerOrder, A)
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
    IntegerOrder {is total on} Z
    trans(IntegerOrder) and
    I: IntegerOrder \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} and
    \forall A. IsBounded(A,IntegerOrder) \land A\neq 0 \longrightarrow HasAmaximum(IntegerOrder,A)
    A≠0 IsBoundedAbove(A,IntegerOrder)
    using Int_ZF_2_T1 Int_ZF_2_L6 Int_ZF_2_L1B Int_bounded_have_max_min
    by auto
  then show HasAmaximum(IntegerOrder, A)
    {f by} (rule Order_ZF_4_L11A)
  then show
    II: Maximum(IntegerOrder,A) ∈ A and
    \forall x \in A. x < Maximum(IntegerOrder, A)
    using Int_ZF_2_L4 Order_ZF_4_L3 by auto
  from I A1 have A \subseteq Z by (rule Order_ZF_3_L1A)
  with II show Maximum(IntegerOrder,A) ∈ Z by auto
qed
A set defined by separation over a bounded set attains its maximum and
minimum.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_4_L1:
  assumes A1: IsBounded(A,IntegerOrder) and A2: A≠0
  and A3: \forall q \in \mathbb{Z}. F(q) \in \mathbb{Z}
  and A4: K = \{F(q), q \in A\}
  shows
  HasAmaximum(IntegerOrder,K)
  HasAminimum(IntegerOrder,K)
  Maximum(IntegerOrder,K) ∈ K
  Minimum(IntegerOrder,K) ∈ K
  Maximum(IntegerOrder,K) ∈ Z
  Minimum(IntegerOrder,K) ∈ Z
  \forall q \in A. F(q) \leq Maximum(IntegerOrder, K)
  \forall q \in A. Minimum(IntegerOrder,K) \leq F(q)
  IsBounded(K,IntegerOrder)
proof -
  from A1 have A \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) using Int_bounded_iff_fin
    by simp
  with A3 have \{F(q). q \in A\} \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
    by (rule fin_image_fin)
  with A2 A4 have T1: K \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) K \neq 0 by auto
  then show T2:
    HasAmaximum(IntegerOrder,K)
    HasAminimum(IntegerOrder,K)
    and Maximum(IntegerOrder, K) ∈ K
    Minimum(IntegerOrder,K) \in K
    Maximum(IntegerOrder, K) \in \mathbb{Z}
```

```
Minimum(IntegerOrder,K) ∈ Z
    using Int_fin_have_max_min by auto
  { fix q assume q \in A
    with A4 have F(q) \in K by auto
    with T1 have
       F(q) \le Maximum(IntegerOrder,K)
       Minimum(IntegerOrder,K) \leq F(q)
       using Int_fin_have_max_min by auto
  } then show
       \forall q \in A. F(q) \leq Maximum(IntegerOrder, K)
       \forall q \in A. Minimum(IntegerOrder,K) \leq F(q)
  from T2 show IsBounded(K,IntegerOrder)
    using Order_ZF_4_L7 Order_ZF_4_L8A IsBounded_def
qed
A three element set has a maximum and minimum.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_4_L1A: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  Maximum(IntegerOrder, \{a,b,c\}) \in \mathbb{Z}
  a < Maximum(IntegerOrder, {a,b,c})</pre>
  b < Maximum(IntegerOrder, {a,b,c})</pre>
  c \le Maximum(IntegerOrder, \{a,b,c\})
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 Finite_ZF_1_L2A by auto
Integer functions attain maxima and minima over intervals.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_4_L2:
  assumes A1: f:\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and A2: a \le b
  shows
  \max(f,a..b) \in \mathbb{Z}
  \forall c \in a..b. f(c) \leq maxf(f,a..b)
  \exists c \in a..b. f(c) = maxf(f,a..b)
  minf(f,a..b) \in \mathbb{Z}
  \forall c \in a..b. \min(f,a..b) \leq f(c)
  \exists c \in a..b. f(c) = minf(f,a..b)
proof -
  from A2 have T: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z} a..b \subseteq \mathbb{Z}
    using Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_2_L1B Order_ZF_2_L6
    by auto
  with A1 A2 have
    Maximum(IntegerOrder, f(a..b)) \in f(a..b)
    \forall x \in f(a..b). x \leq Maximum(IntegerOrder, f(a..b))
    Maximum(IntegerOrder, f(a..b)) \in \mathbb{Z}
    {\tt Minimum(Integer0rder,f(a..b))} \, \in \, {\tt f(a..b)}
    \forall x \in f(a..b). Minimum(IntegerOrder, f(a..b)) \leq x
    Minimum(IntegerOrder, f(a..b)) \in \mathbb{Z}
    using Int_ZF_4_L8 Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L6
       Int_fin_have_max_min by auto
```

```
with A1 T show \max(f,a..b) \in \mathbb{Z}
\forall c \in a..b. \ f(c) \leq \max(f,a..b)
\exists c \in a..b. \ f(c) = \max(f,a..b)
\min(f,a..b) \in \mathbb{Z}
\forall c \in a..b. \ \min(f,a..b) \leq f(c)
\exists c \in a..b. \ f(c) = \min(f,a..b)
using func_imagedef by auto
qed
```

46.5 The set of nonnegative integers

The set of nonnegative integers looks like the set of natural numbers. We explore that in this section. We also rephrase some lemmas about the set of positive integers known from the theory of oredered grups.

The set of positive integers is closed under addition.

```
lemma (in int0) pos_int_closed_add:
    shows Z<sub>+</sub> {is closed under} IntegerAddition
    using Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L13 by simp
```

Text expended version of the fact that the set of positive integers is closed under addition

```
\begin{array}{ll} lemma \ (in \ int0) \ pos\_int\_closed\_add\_unfolded\colon \\ assumes \ a\in \mathbb{Z}_+ \ b\in \mathbb{Z}_+ \ shows \ a+b \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ using \ assms \ pos\_int\_closed\_add \ IsOpClosed\_def \\ by \ simp \end{array}
```

 \mathbb{Z}^+ is bounded below.

```
 \begin{array}{ll} lemma \;\; (in \;\; int0) \;\; Int_ZF_1_5\_L1\colon \; shows \\ IsBoundedBelow(\mathbb{Z}^+,IntegerOrder) \\ IsBoundedBelow(\mathbb{Z}_+,IntegerOrder) \\ using \;\; Nonnegative\_def \;\; PositiveSet\_def \;\; IsBoundedBelow\_def \;\; by \;\; auto \\ \end{array}
```

Subsets of \mathbb{Z}^+ are bounded below.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L1A: assumes A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+ shows IsBoundedBelow(A,IntegerOrder) using assms Int_ZF_1_5_L1 Order_ZF_3_L12 by blast
```

Subsets of \mathbb{Z}_+ are bounded below.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L1B: assumes A1: A ⊆ Z+
shows IsBoundedBelow(A,IntegerOrder)
using A1 Int_ZF_1_5_L1 Order_ZF_3_L12 by blast
```

Every nonempty subset of positive integers has a mimimum.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L1C: assumes A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+ and A \neq 0 shows
```

```
HasAminimum(IntegerOrder,A)
  Minimum(IntegerOrder, A) \in A
  \forall x \in A. Minimum(IntegerOrder, A) \leq x
  using assms Int_ZF_1_5_L1B int_bounded_below_has_min by auto
Infinite subsets of Z^+ do not have a maximum - If A \subseteq Z^+ then for every
integer we can find one in the set that is not smaller.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L2:
  assumes A1: A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+ and A2: A \notin Fin(\mathbb{Z}) and A3: D \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows \exists n \in A. D \le n
proof -
  { assume \forall n \in A. \neg (D \le n)
     moreover from A1 A3 have D \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall n \in A. n \in \mathbb{Z}
       using Nonnegative_def by auto
     ultimately have \forall n \in A. n \leq D
       using Int_ZF_2_L19 by blast
     hence \forall n \in A. \langle n, D \rangle \in IntegerOrder by simp
     then have IsBoundedAbove(A,IntegerOrder)
       by (rule Order_ZF_3_L10)
     with A1 have IsBounded(A, IntegerOrder)
       using Int_ZF_1_5_L1A IsBounded_def by simp
     with A2 have False using Int_bounded_iff_fin by auto
  } thus thesis by auto
qed
Infinite subsets of Z_+ do not have a maximum - If A \subseteq Z_+ then for every
integer we can find one in the set that is not smaller. This is very similar to
Int_ZF_1_5_L2, except we have \mathbb{Z}_+ instead of \mathbb{Z}^+ here.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L2A:
  assumes A1: A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+ and A2: A \notin Fin(\mathbb{Z}) and A3: D\in \mathbb{Z}
  shows \exists n \in A. D \le n
proof -
{ assume \forall n \in A. \neg (D \le n)
     moreover from A1 A3 have D \in \mathbb{Z} \forall n \in A. n \in \mathbb{Z}
       using PositiveSet_def by auto
     ultimately have \forall n \in A. n < D
       using Int_ZF_2_L19 by blast
     hence \forall n \in A. \langle n, D \rangle \in IntegerOrder by simp
     then have IsBoundedAbove(A,IntegerOrder)
       by (rule Order_ZF_3_L10)
     with A1 have IsBounded(A, IntegerOrder)
       using Int_ZF_1_5_L1B IsBounded_def by simp
     with A2 have False using Int_bounded_iff_fin by auto
  } thus thesis by auto
qed
An integer is either positive, zero, or its opposite is postitive.
lemma (in int0) Int_decomp: assumes m \in \mathbb{Z}
```

```
shows Exactly_1_of_3_holds (m=0, m\in \mathbb{Z}_+, (-m)\in \mathbb{Z}_+)
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrdGroup_decomp
  by simp
An integer is zero, positive, or it's inverse is positive.
lemma (in int0) int_decomp_cases: assumes m \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows m=0 \lor m\in\mathbb{Z}_+ <math>\lor (-m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L14
  by simp
An integer is in the positive set iff it is greater or equal one.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L3: shows m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \longleftrightarrow 1 \le m
proof
  assume m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ then have 0 \le m m \ne 0
    using PositiveSet_def by auto
  then have 0+1 \le m
    using Int_ZF_4_L1B by auto
  then show 1 \le m
    using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.group0_2_L2
    by simp
next assume 1 \le m
  then have m \in \mathbb{Z} 0 \le m m \ne 0
    using Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_2_L16C by auto
  then show m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ using PositiveSet_def by auto
qed
The set of positive integers is closed under multiplication. The unfolded
lemma (in int0) pos_int_closed_mul_unfold:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{Z}_+ b \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  \mathbf{shows}\ a{\cdot}b\ \in\ \pmb{\mathbb{Z}}_{+}
  using assms Int_ZF_1_5_L3 Int_ZF_1_3_L3 by simp
The set of positive integers is closed under multiplication.
lemma (in int0) pos_int_closed_mul: shows
  \mathbb{Z}_+ {is closed under} IntegerMultiplication
  using pos_int_closed_mul_unfold IsOpClosed_def
  by simp
It is an overkill to prove that the ring of integers has no zero divisors this
way, but why not?
lemma (in int0) int_has_no_zero_divs:
  shows HasNoZeroDivs(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerMultiplication)
  using pos_int_closed_mul Int_ZF_1_3_T1 ring1.OrdRing_ZF_3_L3
  by simp
Nonnegative integers are positive ones plus zero.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L3A: shows \mathbb{Z}^+ = \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{0\}
```

using Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L24 by simp

We can make a function smaller than any constant on a given interval of positive integers by adding another constant.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L4:
  assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and A2: K \in \mathbb{Z} N \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows \exists C \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq f(n) + C \longrightarrow N \leq n
proof -
  from A2 have N \le 1 \lor 2 \le N
     using int_zero_one_are_int no_int_between
     by simp
  moreover
  { assume A3: N \le 1
     let C = 0
     have C ∈ Z using int_zero_one_are_int
       by simp
     moreover
     { fix n assume n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
       then have 1 \le n using Int_ZF_1_5_L3
 by simp
       with A3 have N≤n by (rule Int_order_transitive)
     } then have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq f(n) + C \longrightarrow N \leq n
       by auto
     ultimately have \exists C \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq f(n) + C \longrightarrow N \leq n
       by auto }
  moreover
  \{ \text{ let } C = K - 1 - \max(f, 1..(N-1)) \}
     assume 2 \le N
     then have 2-1 \leq N-1
       using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L4 int_ord_transl_inv
       by simp
     then have I: 1 \leq N-1
       using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_2_L3 by simp
     with A1 A2 have T:
       \max f(f, 1..(N-1)) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{K-}1 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{C} \in \mathbb{Z}
       using Int_ZF_1_4_L2 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 int_zero_one_are_int
       by auto
     moreover
     { fix n assume A4: n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
       { assume A5: K \leq f(n) + C \text{ and } \neg(N \leq n)
 with A2 A4 have n \leq N-1
   using PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_1_3_L6A by simp
 with A4 have n \in 1..(N-1)
   using Int_ZF_1_5_L3 Interval_def by auto
 with A1 I T have f(n)+C \le maxf(f,1..(N-1)) + C
   using Int_ZF_1_4_L2 int_ord_transl_inv by simp
 with T have f(n)+C \leq K-1
    using Int_ZF_1_2_L3 by simp
 with A5 have K \leq K-1
```

```
by (rule Int_order_transitive)
 with A2 have False using Int_ZF_1_2_L3AA by simp
       } then have K \leq f(n) + C \longrightarrow N \leq n
 by auto
     } then have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq f(n) + C \longrightarrow N\leqn
       by simp
     ultimately have \exists C \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq f(n) + C \longrightarrow N \leq n
       by auto }
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
Absolute value is identity on positive integers.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L4A:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{Z}_+ shows abs(a) = a
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2B
  by simp
One and two are in \mathbb{Z}_+.
lemma (in int0) int_one_two_are_pos: shows 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  using int_zero_one_are_int int_ord_is_refl refl_def Int_ZF_1_5_L3
  Int_ZF_2_L16B by auto
The image of \mathbb{Z}_+ by a function defined on integers is not empty.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L5: assumes A1: f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow X
  shows f(\mathbb{Z}_+) \neq 0
proof -
  have \mathbb{Z}_+ \subseteq \mathbb{Z} using PositiveSet_def by auto
  with A1 show f(\mathbb{Z}_+) \neq 0
     using int_one_two_are_pos func_imagedef by auto
qed
If n is positive, then n-1 is nonnegative.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L6: assumes A1: n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  shows
  0\,\leq\,\mathtt{n-1}
  0\,\in\,0\mathinner{.\,.}({\tt n}{\scriptsize -1})
  0..(n-1) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}
proof -
  from A1 have 1 \le n (-1) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_5_L3 int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L4
     by auto
  then have 1-1 \leq n-1
     using int_ord_transl_inv by simp
  then show 0 \le n-1
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L4 by simp
  then show 0 \in 0..(n-1)
     using int_zero_one_are_int int_ord_is_refl refl_def Order_ZF_2_L1B
     by simp
```

```
show 0...(n-1) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_L1B Order_ZF_2_L6 by simp qed
```

Intgers greater than one in \mathbb{Z}_+ belong to \mathbb{Z}_+ . This is a property of ordered groups and follows from OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L19, but Isabelle's simplifier has problems using that result directly, so we reprove it specifically for integers.

```
\begin{array}{lll} lemma & (in \ int 0) \ Int_ZF_1_5_L7: & assumes \ a \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \ and \ a \leq b \\ & shows \ b \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ proof- \\ & from \ assms \ have \ 1 \leq a \quad a \leq b \\ & using \ Int_ZF_1_5_L3 \ by \ auto \\ & then \ have \ 1 \leq b \ by \ (rule \ Int_order_transitive) \\ & then \ show \ b \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \ using \ Int_ZF_1_5_L3 \ by \ simp \\ qed \end{array}
```

Adding a positive integer increases integers.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L7A: assumes a\in\mathbb{Z} b\in\mathbb{Z}_+ shows a\le a+b a\ne a+b a+b\in\mathbb{Z} using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L22 by auto
```

For any integer m the greater of m and 1 is a positive integer that is greater or equal than m. If we add 1 to it we get a positive integer that is strictly greater than m.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L7B: assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} shows a \leq GreaterOf(IntegerOrder,1,a) GreaterOf(IntegerOrder,1,a) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ GreaterOf(IntegerOrder,1,a) + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ a \leq GreaterOf(IntegerOrder,1,a) + 1  a \neq GreaterOf(IntegerOrder,1,a) + 1 using assms int_zero_not_one Int_ZF_1_3_T1 ring1.OrdRing_ZF_3_L12 by auto
```

The opposite of an element of \mathbb{Z}_+ cannot belong to \mathbb{Z}_+ .

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L8: assumes a \in \mathbb{Z}_+ shows (-a) \notin \mathbb{Z}_+ using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L20 by simp
```

For every integer there is one in \mathbb{Z}_+ that is greater or equal.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L9: assumes a \in \mathbb{Z} shows \exists b \in \mathbb{Z}_+. a \le b using assms int_not_trivial Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L23 by simp
```

A theorem about odd extensions. Recall from OrdereGroup_ZF.thy that the odd extension of an integer function f defined on \mathbb{Z}_+ is the odd function on \mathbb{Z} equal to f on \mathbb{Z}_+ . First we show that the odd extension is defined on \mathbb{Z} .

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L10: assumes f: \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
  shows \ \texttt{OddExtension}(\mathbb{Z}, \texttt{IntegerAddition}, \texttt{IntegerOrder}, \texttt{f}) \ : \ \mathbb{Z} {\rightarrow} \mathbb{Z}
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.odd_ext_props by simp
On \mathbb{Z}_+, the odd extension of f is the same as f.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L11: assumes f: \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and a \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and
  g = OddExtension(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,f)
  shows g(a) = f(a)
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.odd_ext_props by simp
On -\mathbb{Z}_+, the value of the odd extension of f is the negative of f(-a).
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L12:
  assumes f : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and a \in (-\mathbb{Z}_+) and
  g = OddExtension(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,f)
  shows g(a) = -(f(-a))
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.odd_ext_props by simp
Odd extensions are odd on \mathbb{Z}.
lemma (in int0) int_oddext_is_odd:
  assumes f : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and a \in \mathbb{Z} and
  g = OddExtension(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,f)
  shows g(-a) = -(g(a))
  using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.oddext_is_odd by simp
Alternative definition of an odd function.
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_5_L13: assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} shows
   (\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}. f(-a) = (-f(a))) \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}. (-(f(-a))) = f(a))
  using assms Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.group0_6_L2 by simp
Another way of expressing the fact that odd extensions are odd.
lemma (in int0) int_oddext_is_odd_alt:
  assumes f~:~\mathbb{Z}_+ {\rightarrow} \mathbb{Z} and a {\in} \mathbb{Z} and
  g = OddExtension(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,f)
  shows (-g(-a)) = g(a)
```

46.6 Functions with infinite limits

In this section we consider functions (integer sequences) that have infinite limits. An integer function has infinite positive limit if it is arbitrarily large for large enough arguments. Similarly, a function has infinite negative limit if it is arbitrarily small for small enough arguments. The material in this come mostly from the section in OrderedGroup_ZF.thy with he same title.

using assms Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.oddext_is_odd_alt by simp

Here we rewrite the theorems from that section in the notation we use for integers and add some results specific for the ordered group of integers.

If an image of a set by a function with infinite positive limit is bounded above, then the set itself is bounded above.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_6_L1: assumes f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} and \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}. \exists b \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall x. b \leq x \longrightarrow a \leq f(x) and A \subseteq \mathbb{Z} and IsBoundedAbove(f(A),IntegerOrder) shows IsBoundedAbove(A,IntegerOrder) using assms int_not_trivial Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_7_L1 by simp
```

If an image of a set defined by separation by a function with infinite positive limit is bounded above, then the set itself is bounded above.

lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_6_L2: assumes A1: $X\neq 0$ and A2: f: $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ and

```
A3: \forall a \in \mathbb{Z} . \exists b \in \mathbb{Z}_+ . \forall x. b \le x \longrightarrow a \le f(x) and
   A4: \forall x \in X. b(x) \in \mathbb{Z} \land f(b(x)) \leq U
  shows \exists u. \forall x \in X. b(x) \leq u
proof -
  let G = \mathbb{Z}
  let P = IntegerAddition
  let r = IntegerOrder
   from A1 A2 A3 A4 have
      group3(G, P, r)
      r {is total on} G
      G ≠ {TheNeutralElement(G, P)}
      X \neq 0 f: G \rightarrow G
      \forall a \in G. \exists b \in PositiveSet(G, P, r). \forall y. \langle b, y \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle a, f(y) \rangle \in r
      \forall x \in X. \ b(x) \in G \land \langle f(b(x)), U \rangle \in r
      using int_not_trivial Int_ZF_2_T1 by auto
   then have \exists u. \forall x \in X. \langle b(x), u \rangle \in r by (rule group3.0rderedGroup_ZF_7_L2)
   thus thesis by simp
qed
```

If an image of a set defined by separation by a integer function with infinite negative limit is bounded below, then the set itself is bounded above. This is dual to Int_ZF_1_6_L2.

lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_6_L3: assumes A1: $X\neq 0$ and A2: f: $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and

```
A3: \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}. \exists b \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall y. b \le y \longrightarrow f(-y) \le a and A4: \forall x \in X. b(x) \in \mathbb{Z} \land L \le f(b(x)) shows \exists 1. \forall x \in X. 1 \le b(x) proof - let G = \mathbb{Z} let P = IntegerAddition let r = IntegerOrder from A1 A2 A3 A4 have
```

```
group3(G, P, r)  
r {is total on} G  
G \neq {TheNeutralElement(G, P)}  
X\neq0 f: G\rightarrowG  
\forall a\inG. \exists b\inPositiveSet(G, P, r). \forall y.  
\langleb, y\rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langlef(GroupInv(G, P)(y)),a\rangle \in r  
\forall x\inX. b(x) \in G \wedge \langleL,f(b(x))\rangle \in r  
using int_not_trivial Int_ZF_2_T1 by auto  
then have \exists1. \forall x\inX. \langle1, b(x)\rangle \in r by (rule group3.0rderedGroup_ZF_7_L3)  
thus thesis by simp  
qed
```

The next lemma combines Int_ZF_1_6_L2 and Int_ZF_1_6_L3 to show that if the image of a set defined by separation by a function with infinite limits is bounded, then the set itself is bounded. The proof again uses directly a fact from OrderedGroup_ZF.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_6_L4:
   assumes A1: X\neq 0 and A2: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and
   A3: \forall a \in \mathbb{Z} . \exists b \in \mathbb{Z}_+ . \forall x. b \le x \longrightarrow a \le f(x) and
   A4: \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}. \exists b \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall y. b \leq y \longrightarrow f(-y) \leq a \text{ and }
   A5: \forall x \in X. b(x) \in \mathbb{Z} \land f(b(x)) \leq U \land L \leq f(b(x))
   shows \exists M. \forall x \in X. abs(b(x)) \leq M
proof -
   let G = \mathbb{Z}
   let P = IntegerAddition
   let r = IntegerOrder
   from A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 have
      group3(G, P, r)
      r {is total on} G
      G ≠ {TheNeutralElement(G, P)}
      X \neq 0 f: G \rightarrow G
      \forall a \in G. \exists b \in PositiveSet(G, P, r). \forall y. \langle b, y \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle a, f(y) \rangle \in r
      \forall a \in G. \exists b \in PositiveSet(G, P, r). \forall y.
      \langle b, y \rangle \in r \longrightarrow \langle f(GroupInv(G, P)(y)), a \rangle \in r
      \forall x \in X. \ b(x) \in G \land \langle L, f(b(x)) \rangle \in r \land \langle f(b(x)), U \rangle \in r
      using int_not_trivial Int_ZF_2_T1 by auto
   then have \exists M. \forall x \in X. \langle AbsoluteValue(G, P, r) b(x), M \in r
      by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_7_L4)
   thus thesis by simp
qed
```

If a function is larger than some constant for arguments large enough, then the image of a set that is bounded below is bounded below. This is not true for ordered groups in general, but only for those for which bounded sets are finite. This does not require the function to have infinite limit, but such functions do have this property.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_6_L5: assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and A2: \mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{Z} and
```

```
A3: \forall m. N \leq m \longrightarrow L \leq f(m) and
  A4: IsBoundedBelow(A,IntegerOrder)
  shows IsBoundedBelow(f(A),IntegerOrder)
  from A2 A4 have A = \{x \in A. x \le N\} \cup \{x \in A. N \le x\}
     using Int_ZF_2_T1 Int_ZF_2_L1C Order_ZF_1_L5
     by simp
  moreover have
     f(\{x\in A. x\leq N\} \cup \{x\in A. N\leq x\}) =
     \mathtt{f}\{\mathtt{x}{\in}\mathtt{A.}\ \mathtt{x}{\leq}\mathtt{N}\}\ \cup\ \mathtt{f}\{\mathtt{x}{\in}\mathtt{A.}\ \mathtt{N}{\leq}\mathtt{x}\}
     by (rule image_Un)
  ultimately have f(A) = f\{x \in A. x \le N\} \cup f\{x \in A. N \le x\}
     by simp
  moreover have IsBoundedBelow(f\{x \in A. x \le N\}, IntegerOrder)
  proof -
     let B = \{x \in A : x \le N\}
     from A4 have B \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
       using Order_ZF_3_L16 Int_bounded_iff_fin by auto
     with A1 have IsBounded(f(B),IntegerOrder)
       using Finite1_L6A Int_bounded_iff_fin by simp
     then show IsBoundedBelow(f(B),IntegerOrder)
       using IsBounded_def by simp
  moreover have IsBoundedBelow(f\{x \in A. N \le x\},IntegerOrder)
  proof -
    let C = \{x \in A : N \le x\}
     from A4 have C \subseteq \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_L1C by auto
     with A1 A3 have \forall y \in f(C). \langle L, y \rangle \in IntegerOrder
       using func_imagedef by simp
     then show IsBoundedBelow(f(C),IntegerOrder)
       by (rule Order_ZF_3_L9)
  qed
  ultimately show IsBoundedBelow(f(A),IntegerOrder)
     using Int_ZF_2_T1 Int_ZF_2_L6 Int_ZF_2_L1B Order_ZF_3_L6
     by simp
qed
```

A function that has an infinite limit can be made arbitrarily large on positive integers by adding a constant. This does not actually require the function to have infinite limit, just to be larger than a constant for arguments large enough.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_6_L6: assumes A1: \mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: \forall m. \mathbb{N} \leq m \longrightarrow L \leq f(m) and A3: f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} and A4: \mathbb{K} \in \mathbb{Z} shows \exists c \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \mathbb{K} \leq f(n) + c proof - have IsBoundedBelow(\mathbb{Z}_+,IntegerOrder) using Int_ZF_1_5_L1 by simp with A3 A1 A2 have IsBoundedBelow(f(\mathbb{Z}_+),IntegerOrder)
```

```
by (rule Int_ZF_1_6_L5)
with A1 obtain 1 where I: \forall y \in f(\mathbb{Z}_+). 1 \leq y
  using Int_ZF_1_5_L5 IsBoundedBelow_def by auto
let c = K-1
from A3 have f(\mathbb{Z}_+) \neq 0 using Int_ZF_1_5_L5
  by simp
then have \exists y. y \in f(\mathbb{Z}_+) by (rule nonempty_has_element)
then obtain y where y \in f(\mathbb{Z}_+) by auto
with A4 I have T: 1 \in \mathbb{Z} c \in \mathbb{Z}
  using Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
{ fix n assume A5: n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  have \mathbb{Z}_+ \subseteq \mathbb{Z} using PositiveSet_def by auto
  with A3 I T A5 have 1 + c \le f(n) + c
     using func_imagedef int_ord_transl_inv by auto
   with I T have 1 + c < f(n) + c
     using int_ord_transl_inv by simp
  with A4 T have K \leq f(n) + c
     using Int_ZF_1_2_L3 by simp
} then have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq f(n) + c by simp
with T show thesis by auto
```

If a function has infinite limit, then we can add such constant such that minimum of those arguments for which the function (plus the constant) is larger than another given constant is greater than a third constant. It is not as complicated as it sounds.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_6_L7:
   assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and A2: \mathbb{K} \in \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{Z} and
   A3: \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}.\exists b \in \mathbb{Z}_+.\forall x. b \leq x \longrightarrow a \leq f(x)
   shows \exists C \in \mathbb{Z}. \mathbb{N} \leq \text{Minimum}(\text{IntegerOrder}, \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \mathbb{K} \leq f(n) + C\})
proof -
   from A1 A2 have \exists C \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq f(n) + C \longrightarrow N \leq n
      using Int_ZF_1_5_L4 by simp
   then obtain C where I: C \in \mathbb{Z} and
      II: \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq f(n) + C \longrightarrow N \leq n
      by auto
   have antisym(IntegerOrder) using Int_ZF_2_L4 by simp
   moreover have HasAminimum(IntegerOrder, \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : K \leq f(n) + C\})
      from A2 A3 I have \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ . \forall x. n \leq x \longrightarrow K-C \leq f(x)
         using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
      then obtain n where
         n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and \forall x. n \le x \longrightarrow K-C \le f(x)
         by auto
      with A2 I have
         \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : K \leq f(n) + C\} \neq 0
         {n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq f(n)+C} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+
         using int_ord_is_refl refl_def PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_2_L9C
         by auto
```

```
then show HasAminimum(IntegerOrder,\{n\in \mathbb{Z}_+ : K \leq f(n)+C\}) using Int_ZF_1_5_L1C by simp qed moreover from II have \forall n \in \{n\in \mathbb{Z}_+ : K \leq f(n)+C\} : \langle \mathbb{N},n \rangle \in \text{IntegerOrder}  by auto ultimately have \langle \mathbb{N}, \text{Minimum}(\text{IntegerOrder}, \{n\in \mathbb{Z}_+ : K \leq f(n)+C\}) \rangle \in \text{IntegerOrder}  by (rule Order_ZF_4_L12) with I show thesis by auto qed
```

For any integer m the function $k \mapsto m \cdot k$ has an infinite limit (or negative of that). This is why we put some properties of these functions here, even though they properly belong to a (yet nonexistent) section on homomorphisms. The next lemma shows that the set $\{a \cdot x : x \in Z\}$ can finite only if a = 0.

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_6_L8:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: \{a \cdot x : x \in \mathbb{Z}\} \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
  shows a = 0
proof -
  from A1 have a=0 \lor (a \le -1) \lor (1\lea)
     using Int_ZF_1_3_L6C by simp
  moreover
  { assume a \leq -1
     then have \{a \cdot x : x \in \mathbb{Z}\} \notin Fin(\mathbb{Z})
       using int_zero_not_one Int_ZF_1_3_T1 ring1.OrdRing_ZF_3_L6
       by simp
     with A2 have False by simp }
  moreover
  { assume 1 \le a
     then have \{a \cdot x : x \in \mathbb{Z}\} \notin Fin(\mathbb{Z})
       using int_zero_not_one Int_ZF_1_3_T1 ring1.OrdRing_ZF_3_L5
    by simp
  with A2 have False by simp }
  ultimately show a = 0 by auto
qed
```

46.7 Miscelaneous

In this section we put some technical lemmas needed in various other places that are hard to classify.

Suppose we have an integer expression (a meta-function) F such that F(p)|p| is bounded by a linear function of |p|, that is for some integers A, B we have $F(p)|p| \leq A|p| + B$. We show that F is then bounded. The proof is easy, we just divide both sides by |p| and take the limit (just kidding).

```
lemma (in int0) Int_ZF_1_7_L1:
```

```
assumes A1: \forall q \in \mathbb{Z}. F(q) \in \mathbb{Z} and
  A2: \forall q \in \mathbb{Z}. F(q) \cdot abs(q) \leq A \cdot abs(q) + B and
  A3: A\inZ B\inZ
  shows \exists L. \ \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. \ F(p) \leq L
proof -
  let I = (-abs(B))..abs(B)
  let K = \{F(q) : q \in I\}
  let M = Maximum(IntegerOrder,K)
  let L = GreaterOf(IntegerOrder,M,A+1)
  from A3 A1 have C1:
     IsBounded(I,IntegerOrder)
     I \neq 0
    \forall q \in \mathbb{Z}. F(q) \in \mathbb{Z}
    K = \{F(q) : q \in I\}
     using Order_ZF_3_L11 Int_ZF_1_3_L17 by auto
  then have M \in \mathbb{Z} by (rule Int_ZF_1_4_L1)
  with A3 have T1: M \leq L A+1 \leq L
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_1_3_L18
     by auto
  from C1 have T2: \forall q \in I. F(q) \leq M
     by (rule Int_ZF_1_4_L1)
  { fix p assume A4: p \in \mathbb{Z} have F(p) \leq L
     proof -
       \{ assume abs(p) \leq abs(B) \}
 with A4 T1 T2 have F(p) \leq M M \leq L
   using Int_ZF_1_3_L19 by auto
 then have F(p) \le L by (rule Int_order_transitive) \right\}
       moreover
       { assume A5: \neg(abs(p) \leq abs(B))
 from A3 A2 A4 have
   A \cdot abs(p) \in \mathbb{Z} F(p) \cdot abs(p) \leq A \cdot abs(p) + B
   using Int_ZF_2_L14 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
 moreover from A3 A4 A5 have B \le abs(p)
   using Int_ZF_1_3_L15 by simp
 ultimately have
   F(p) \cdot abs(p) \leq A \cdot abs(p) + abs(p)
   using Int_ZF_2_L15A by blast
 with A3 A4 have F(p)\cdot abs(p) \leq (A+1)\cdot abs(p)
   using Int_ZF_2_L14 Int_ZF_1_2_L7 by simp
 moreover from A3 A1 A4 A5 have
   \mathtt{F}(\mathtt{p}) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathtt{A+1} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathtt{abs}(\mathtt{p}) \in \mathbb{Z}
   \neg(abs(p) \leq 0)
   using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_2_L14 Int_ZF_1_3_L11
   by auto
 ultimately have F(p) \leq A+1
   using Int_ineq_simpl_positive by simp
 moreover from T1 have A+1 \leq L by simp
 ultimately have F(p) \le L by (rule Int_order_transitive) }
       ultimately show thesis by blast
```

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{qed} \\ \text{$\}$ then have $\forall \, p \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\texttt{F}(p)$} \leq \texttt{L} \text{ by simp} \\ \text{thus thesis by auto} \\ \text{qed} \end{array}
```

A lemma about splitting (not really, there is some overlap) the $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ into six subsets (cases). The subsets are as follows: first and third qaudrant, and second and fourth quadrant farther split by the b = -a line.

end

47 Division on integers

theory IntDiv_ZF_IML imports Int_ZF_1 ZF.IntDiv

begin

This theory translates some results form the Isabelle's IntDiv.thy theory to the notation used by IsarMathLib.

47.1 Quotient and reminder

For any integers m, n, n > 0 there are unique integers q, p such that $0 \le p < n$ and $m = n \cdot q + p$. Number p in this decompsition is usually called m mod n. Standard Isabelle denotes numbers q, p as m zdiv n and m zmod n, resp., and we will use the same notation.

The next lemma is sometimes called the "quotient-reminder theorem".

```
lemma (in int0) IntDiv_ZF_1_L1: assumes m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z} shows m = n \cdot (m \text{ zdiv } n) + (m \text{ zmod } n) using assms Int_ZF_1_L2 raw_zmod_zdiv_equality by simp
```

If n is greater than 0 then m zmod n is between 0 and n-1.

```
from A2 have T: n \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_2_L1A by simp
  from A2 have #0 $< n using Int_ZF_2_L9 Int_ZF_1_L8
    by auto
  with T show
     0\,\leq\,\mathtt{m}\,\,\mathtt{zmod}\,\,\mathtt{n}
    \texttt{m} \texttt{ zmod } \texttt{n} \, \leq \, \texttt{n}
     m \mod n \neq n
     using pos_mod Int_ZF_1_L8 Int_ZF_1_L8A zmod_type
       Int_ZF_2_L1 Int_ZF_2_L9AA
     by auto
  then show m zmod n \leq n-1
     using Int_ZF_4_L1B by auto
qed
(m \cdot k) \operatorname{div} k = m.
lemma (in int0) IntDiv_ZF_1_L3:
  assumes m \in \mathbb{Z} k \in \mathbb{Z} and k \neq 0
  \mathbf{shows}
  (m \cdot k) zdiv k = m
  (k \cdot m) zdiv k = m
  using assms zdiv_zmult_self1 zdiv_zmult_self2
     Int_ZF_1_L8 Int_ZF_1_L2 by auto
The next lemma essentially translates zdiv_mono1 from standard Isabelle to
our notation.
lemma (in int0) IntDiv_ZF_1_L4:
  assumes A1: m \leq k and A2: 0 \leqn n\neq0
  shows m zdiv n \le k zdiv n
  from A2 have \#0 \le n \ \#0 \ne n
     using Int_ZF_1_L8 by auto
  with A1 have
     m zdiv n $\le k zdiv n
     \mathtt{m} \ \mathtt{zdiv} \ \mathtt{n} \in \mathbf{Z}
                        m zdiv k \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_2_L9 zdiv_mono1
     by auto
  then show (m zdiv n) \leq (k zdiv n)
     using Int_ZF_2_L1 by simp
qed
A quotient-reminder theorem about integers greater than a given product.
lemma (in int0) IntDiv_ZF_1_L5:
  assumes A1: n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and A2: n \le k and A3: k \cdot n \le m
  shows
  m = n \cdot (m \text{ zdiv } n) + (m \text{ zmod } n)
  m = (m zdiv n) \cdot n + (m zmod n)
  (\texttt{m} \texttt{ zmod } \texttt{n}) \ \in \ \textbf{0..} (\texttt{n-1})
  k \leq (m zdiv n)
```

```
\mathtt{m} \ \mathtt{zdiv} \ \mathtt{n} \in \, \mathbf{Z}_{+}
proof -
  from A2 A3 have T:
    m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z} k \in \mathbb{Z} m \text{ zdiv } n \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_2_L1A by auto
   then show m = n \cdot (m \text{ zdiv } n) + (m \text{ zmod } n)
      using IntDiv_ZF_1_L1 by simp
   with T show m = (m z div n) \cdot n + (m z mod n)
      using Int_ZF_1_L4 by simp
     from A1 have I: 0 \le n n \ne 0
      using PositiveSet_def by auto
   with T show (m zmod n) \in 0...(n-1)
     using IntDiv_ZF_1_L2 Order_ZF_2_L1
    by simp
  from A3 I have (k·n zdiv n) < (m zdiv n)
     using IntDiv_ZF_1_L4 by simp
  with I T show k \le (m zdiv n)
     using IntDiv_ZF_1_L3 by simp
  with A1 A2 show m zdiv n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
     using Int_ZF_1_5_L7 by blast
qed
```

end

48 Integers 2

```
theory Int_ZF_2 imports func_ZF_1 Int_ZF_1 IntDiv_ZF_IML Group_ZF_3
```

begin

In this theory file we consider the properties of integers that are needed for the real numbers construction in Real_ZF series.

48.1 Slopes

In this section we study basic properties of slopes - the integer almost homomorphisms. The general definition of an almost homomorphism f on a group G written in additive notation requires the set $\{f(m+n)-f(m)-f(n):m,n\in G\}$ to be finite. In this section we establish a definition that is equivalent for integers: that for all integer m,n we have $|f(m+n)-f(m)-f(n)|\leq L$ for some L.

First we extend the standard notation for integers with notation related to slopes. We define slopes as almost homomorphisms on the additive group of integers. The set of slopes is denoted S. We also define "positive" slopes as those that take infinite number of positive values on positive integers.

We write $\delta(s,m,n)$ to denote the homomorphism difference of s at m,n (i.e. the expression s(m+n) - s(m) - s(n)). We denote $\max \delta(s)$ the maximum absolute value of homomorphism difference of s as m, n range over integers. If s is a slope, then the set of homomorphism differences is finite and this maximum exists. In Group_ZF_3 we define the equivalence relation on almost homomorphisms using the notion of a quotient group relation and use "\approx" to denote it. As here this symbol seems to be hogged by the standard Isabelle, we will use " \sim " instead " \approx ". We show in this section that $s \sim r$ iff for some L we have $|s(m)-r(m)| \leq L$ for all integer m. The "+" denotes the first operation on almost homomorphisms. For slopes this is addition of functions defined in the natural way. The "o" symbol denotes the second operation on almost homomorphisms (see Group_ZF_3 for definition), defined for the group of integers. In short " \circ " is the composition of slopes. The " $^{-1}$ " symbol acts as an infix operator that assigns the value $\min\{n \in Z_+ : p \leq f(n)\}$ to a pair (of sets) f and p. In application f represents a function defined on Z_{+} and p is a positive integer. We choose this notation because we use it to construct the right inverse in the ring of classes of slopes and show that this ring is in fact a field. To study the homomorphism difference of the function defined by $p \mapsto f^{-1}(p)$ we introduce the symbol ε defined as $\varepsilon(f,\langle m,n\rangle)=f^{-1}(m+n)-f^{-1}(m)-f^{-1}(n)$. Of course the intention is to use the fact that $\varepsilon(f,\langle m,n\rangle)$ is the homomorphism difference of the function g defined as $g(m) = f^{-1}(m)$. We also define $\gamma(s, m, n)$ as the expression $\delta(f, m, -n) + s(0) - \delta(f, n, -n)$. This is useful because of the identity $f(m-n) = \gamma(m,n) + f(m) - f(n)$ that allows to obtain bounds on the value of a slope at the difference of of two integers. For every integer mwe introduce notation m^S defined by $m^E(n) = m \cdot n$. The mapping $q \mapsto q^S$ embeds integers into S preserving the order, (that is, maps positive integers into S_+).

```
locale int1 = int0 +

fixes slopes (S)

defines slopes_def[simp]: S \equiv \text{AlmostHoms}(\mathbb{Z}, \text{IntegerAddition})

fixes posslopes (S_+)

defines posslopes_def[simp]: S_+ \equiv \{s \in S. \ s(\mathbb{Z}_+) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+ \notin \text{Fin}(\mathbb{Z})\}

fixes \delta

defines \delta_def[simp]: \delta(s,m,n) \equiv s(m+n)-s(m)-s(n)

fixes maxhomdiff (max\delta)

defines maxhomdiff_def[simp]:

max\delta(s) \equiv \text{Maximum}(\text{IntegerOrder}, \{abs(\delta(s,m,n)). \langle m,n \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\})

fixes AlEqRel

defines AlEqRel_def[simp]:
```

```
AlEqRel \equiv QuotientGroupRel(S,AlHomOp1(Z,IntegerAddition),FinRangeFunctions(Z,Z))
  fixes AlEq (infix \sim 68)
  defines AlEq_def[simp]: s \sim r \equiv \langle s,r \rangle \in AlEqRel
  fixes slope_add (infix + 70)
  defines slope_add_def[simp]: s + r \equiv AlHomOp1(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition)\langle s,r\rangle
  fixes slope_comp (infix o 70)
  defines slope_comp_def[simp]: s \circ r \equiv AlHomOp2(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition)\langle
s,r
  fixes neg (-_ [90] 91)
  defines neg_def[simp]: -s \equiv GroupInv(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition) 0 s
  fixes slope_inv (infix ^{-1} 71)
  defines slope_inv_def[simp]:
  f^{-1}(p) \equiv Minimum(IntegerOrder, \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. p \leq f(n)\})
  fixes \varepsilon
  defines \varepsilon_{\text{def}}[\text{simp}]:
  \varepsilon(\mathtt{f,p}) \, \equiv \, \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{fst(p)+snd(p)}) \, - \, \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{fst(p)}) \, - \, \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{snd(p)})
  defines \gamma_{\text{def}}[\text{simp}]:
  \gamma(s,m,n) \equiv \delta(s,m,-n) - \delta(s,n,-n) + s(0)
  fixes intembed \binom{S}{1}
  defines intembed_def[simp]: m^S \equiv \{\langle n, m \cdot n \rangle, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}
We can use theorems proven in the group1 context.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L1: shows group1(Z,IntegerAddition)
  using Int_ZF_1_T2 group1_axioms.intro group1_def by simp
Type information related to the homomorphism difference expression.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L2: assumes f \in \mathcal{S} and n \in \mathbb{Z} m \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  \mathtt{m+n} \in \mathbf{Z}
  f(m+n) \in \mathbb{Z}
  f(m) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}
  f(m) + f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}
  HomDiff(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,f,\langle m,n\rangle) \in \mathbb{Z}
  using assms Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_2_L4A
  by auto
Type information related to the homomorphism difference expression.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L2A:
  assumes f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and n \in \mathbb{Z} m \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  \texttt{m+n} \, \in \, {\bf Z}\!\!\!\!{\bf Z}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} f(\texttt{m}+\texttt{n}) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad f(\texttt{m}) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad f(\texttt{n}) \in \mathbb{Z} \\ f(\texttt{m}) + f(\texttt{n}) \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{HomDiff}(\mathbb{Z}, \texttt{IntegerAddition}, \texttt{f}, \langle \texttt{m}, \texttt{n} \rangle) \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{using assms Int}_{\mathsf{ZF}} = 1_{\mathsf{L}} 1_{\mathsf{group1}} \cdot \texttt{Group}_{\mathsf{ZF}} = 3_{\mathsf{L}} = 1_{\mathsf{L}} \\ \text{by auto} \\ \\ Slopes map integers into integers.} \\ \\ lemma \ (\texttt{in int1}) \ \texttt{Int}_{\mathsf{ZF}} = 2_{\mathsf{L}} = 1_{\mathsf{L}} \\ \text{assumes A1: } f \in \mathcal{S} \ \text{and A2: } m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{shows } f(\texttt{m}) \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \\ proof - \\ from \ \texttt{A1 have } f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \ using \ \texttt{AlmostHoms}_{\mathsf{def}} \ \text{by simp} \\ \text{with A2 show } f(\texttt{m}) \in \mathbb{Z} \ using \ \text{apply}_{\mathsf{funtype}} \ \text{by simp} \\ \text{qed} \\ \end{array}
```

The homomorphism difference in multiplicative notation is defined as the expression $s(m \cdot n) \cdot (s(m) \cdot s(n))^{-1}$. The next lemma shows that in the additive notation used for integers the homomorphism difference is f(m+n) - f(m) - f(n) which we denote as $\delta(f, m, n)$.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L3: assumes f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} and m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z} shows HomDiff(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,f,\langle m,n \rangle) = \delta(f,m,n) using assms Int_ZF_2_1_L2A Int_ZF_1_T2 group0.group0_4_L4A HomDiff_def by auto
```

The next formula restates the definition of the homomorphism difference to express the value an almost homomorphism on a sum.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L3A: assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S} and A2: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z} shows f(m+n) = f(m) + (f(n) + \delta(f,m,n)) proof - from A1 A2 have T: f(m) \in \mathbb{Z} f(n) \in \mathbb{Z} \delta(f,m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} and HomDiff(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,f, \langle m,n \rangle = \delta(f,m,n) using Int_ZF_2_1_L2 AlmostHoms_def Int_ZF_2_1_L3 by auto with A1 A2 show f(m+n) = f(m) + (f(n) + \delta(f,m,n)) using Int_ZF_2_1_L3 Int_ZF_1_L3 Int_ZF_1_L3 Int_ZF_1_L3 Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_4_L1 by simp qed
```

The homomorphism difference of any integer function is integer.

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{lemma} \text{ (in int1) } \mathbf{Int}_{\mathsf{Z}}\mathbf{F}_{\mathsf{2}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{L}}\mathbf{3B}; \\ \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathbf{f} \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbf{shows} \ \delta(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) \ \in \ \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbf{using} \ \mathbf{assms} \ \mathbf{Int}_{\mathsf{Z}}\mathbf{F}_{\mathsf{2}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{L}}\mathbf{2A} \ \mathbf{Int}_{\mathsf{Z}}\mathbf{F}_{\mathsf{2}}\mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{L}}\mathbf{13} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathbf{simp} \end{array}
```

The value of an integer function at a sum expressed in terms of δ .

```
shows f(m+n) = \delta(f,m,n) + f(n) + f(m)
proof -
  from A1 A2 have T:
     \delta(f,m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad f(m+n) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad f(m) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 apply_funtype by auto
  then show f(m+n) = \delta(f,m,n) + f(n) + f(m)
     using Int_ZF_1_2_L15 by simp
qed
The next lemma presents two ways the set of homomorphism differences can
be written.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L4: assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
  shows {abs(HomDiff(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,f,x)). x \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} =
  \{abs(\delta(f,m,n)). \langle m,n \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\}
proof -
  from A1 have \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.
     abs(HomDiff(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,f,\langle m,n\rangle)) = abs(\delta(f,m,n))
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L3 by simp
  then show thesis by (rule ZF1_1_L4A)
qed
If f maps integers into integers and for all m, n \in \mathbb{Z} we have |f(m+n)|
|f(m)-f(n)| \leq L for some L, then f is a slope.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L5: assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
  and A2: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \leq L
  shows fe\mathcal{S}
proof -
  let Abs = AbsoluteValue(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder)
  have group3(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder)
     IntegerOrder {is total on} Z
     using Int_ZF_2_T1 by auto
  moreover from A1 A2 have
     \forall x \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}. HomDiff(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,f,x) \in \mathbb{Z} \land
     \langle \texttt{Abs}(\texttt{HomDiff}(\mathbb{Z}, \texttt{IntegerAddition}, f, x)), \texttt{L} \rangle \in \texttt{IntegerOrder}
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L2A Int_ZF_2_1_L3 by auto
  ultimately have
     IsBounded(\{HomDiff(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,f,x). x \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\},IntegerOrder)
     by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L9A)
  with A1 show f \in \mathcal{S} using Int_bounded_iff_fin AlmostHoms_def
     by simp
qed
The absolute value of homomorphism difference of a slope s does not exceed
\max \delta(s).
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L7:
  assumes A1: s \in S and A2: n \in \mathbb{Z} m \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
```

lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L3C: assumes A1: $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ and A2: $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

```
abs(\delta(s,m,n)) \le max\delta(s)
  \delta(s,m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \max \delta(s) \in \mathbb{Z}
  (-\max\delta(s)) \leq \delta(s,m,n)
proof -
  from A1 A2 show T: \delta(s,m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L2 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
  let A = {abs(HomDiff(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,s,x)). x \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}
  let B = {abs(\delta(s,m,n)). \langle m,n \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}
  let d = abs(\delta(s,m,n))
  have IsLinOrder(\mathbb{Z},IntegerOrder) using Int_{\mathbb{Z}F_2\_T1}
     by simp
  moreover have A \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
  proof -
     have \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(k) \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_L14 by simp
     moreover from A1 have
        \{HomDiff(\mathbb{Z}, IntegerAddition, s, x) : x \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\} \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
       using AlmostHoms_def by simp
     ultimately show A \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) by (rule Finite1_L6C)
  moreover have A\neq 0 by auto
  ultimately have \forall k \in A. \langle k, Maximum(IntegerOrder, A) \rangle \in IntegerOrder
     by (rule Finite_ZF_1_T2)
  moreover from A1 A2 have d∈A using AlmostHoms_def Int_ZF_2_1_L4
     by auto
  ultimately have d 

Maximum(IntegerOrder, A) by auto
  with A1 show d \leq \max \delta(s) \max \delta(s) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using AlmostHoms_def Int_ZF_2_1_L4 Int_ZF_2_L1A
     by auto
  with T show (-\max\delta(s)) \leq \delta(s,m,n)
     using Int_ZF_1_3_L19 by simp
A useful estimate for the value of a slope at 0, plus some type information
for slopes.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L8: assumes A1: s \in S
  shows
  abs(s(0)) \leq max\delta(s)
  0 \leq \max \delta(s)
  \mathtt{abs}(\mathtt{s}(0)) \in \mathbb{Z}
                      \max \delta(\mathtt{s}) \in \mathbf{Z}
  abs(s(0)) + max\delta(s) \in \mathbb{Z}
proof -
  from A1 have s(0) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_2_1_L2B by simp
  then have I: 0 \le abs(s(0))
     and abs(\delta(s,0,0)) = abs(s(0))
     using int_abs_nonneg int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L4
       Int_ZF_2_L17 by auto
  moreover from A1 have abs(\delta(s,0,0)) \leq max\delta(s)
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_2_1_L7 by simp
```

```
ultimately show II: abs(s(0)) \leq max\delta(s) by simp with I show 0 \leq max\delta(s) by (rule Int_order_transitive) with II show max\delta(s) \in \mathbb{Z} abs(s(0)) \in \mathbb{Z} abs(s(0)) + max\delta(s) \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto qed
```

Int Group_ZF_3.thy we show that finite range functions valued in an abelian group form a normal subgroup of almost homomorphisms. This allows to define the equivalence relation between almost homomorphisms as the relation resulting from dividing by that normal subgroup. Then we show in $Group_ZF_3_4_L12$ that if the difference of f and g has finite range (actually $f(n) \cdot g(n)^{-1}$ as we use multiplicative notation in $Group_ZF_3.thy$), then f and g are equivalent. The next lemma translates that fact into the notation used in int1 context.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L9: assumes A1: s \in \mathcal{S} re\mathcal{S} and A2: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(s(m)-r(m)) \leq L shows s \sim r proof - from A1 A2 have \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. s(m)-r(m) \in \mathbb{Z} \land abs(s(m)-r(m)) \leq L using Int_ZF_2_1_L2B Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp then have IsBounded(\{s(n)-r(n).\ n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, IntegerOrder) by (rule Int_ZF_1_3_L20) with A1 show s \sim r using Int_bounded_iff_fin Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_4_L12 by simp qed
```

A neccessary condition for two slopes to be almost equal. For slopes the definition postulates the set $\{f(m) - g(m) : m \in Z\}$ to be finite. This lemma shows that this implies that |f(m) - g(m)| is bounded (by some integer) as m varies over integers. We also mention here that in this context $s \sim r$ implies that both s and r are slopes.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L9A: assumes s \sim r shows \exists \, L \in \mathbb{Z}. \  \, \forall \, m \in \mathbb{Z}. \  \, abs(s(m)-r(m)) \, \leq \, L se\mathcal{S} re\mathcal{S} using assms Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_4_L11 Int_ZF_1_3_L20AA QuotientGroupRel_def by auto
```

Let's recall that the relation of almost equality is an equivalence relation on the set of slopes.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L9B: shows AlEqRel \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}
```

```
equiv(S,AlEqRel) using Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_3_L3 by auto
```

Another version of sufficient condition for two slopes to be almost equal: if the difference of two slopes is a finite range function, then they are almost equal.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L9C: assumes s \in \mathcal{S} r \in \mathcal{S} and s + (-r) \in FinRangeFunctions(<math>\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) shows s \sim r r \sim s using assms Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_2_L13 group1.Group_ZF_3_4_L12A by auto
```

If two slopes are almost equal, then the difference has finite range. This is the inverse of Int_ZF_2_1_L9C.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L9D: assumes A1: s ~ r
    shows s + (-r) ∈ FinRangeFunctions(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z})
proof -
    let G = \mathbb{Z}
    let f = IntegerAddition
    from A1 have AlHomOp1(G, f)\s\s\text{s,GroupInv(AlmostHoms(G, f),AlHomOp1(G, f))(r)}
        ∈ FinRangeFunctions(G, G)
        using Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_4_L12B by auto
    with A1 show s + (-r) ∈ FinRangeFunctions(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z})
        using Int_ZF_2_1_L9A Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_2_L13
        by simp
qed
```

What is the value of a composition of slopes?

```
\begin{array}{ll} lemma \ (in \ int1) \ Int_{ZF_2_1_L10} \colon \\ assumes \ s \in \mathcal{S} \ r \in \mathcal{S} \ and \ m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ shows \ (s \circ r) \ (m) \ = \ s (r (m)) \ s (r (m)) \ \in \ \mathbb{Z} \\ using \ assms \ Int_{ZF_2_1_L1} \ group1.Group_{ZF_3_4_L2} \ by \ auto \end{array}
```

Composition of slopes is a slope.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L11: assumes s \in S r \in S shows sor \in S using assms Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_4_T1 by simp
```

Negative of a slope is a slope.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L12: assumes s \in \mathcal{S} shows -s \in \mathcal{S} using assms Int_ZF_1_T2 Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_2_L13 by simp
```

```
What is the value of a negative of a slope?
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L12A:
  assumes s \in S and m \in \mathbb{Z} shows (-s)(m) = -(s(m))
  using assms Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_2_L5
  by simp
What are the values of a sum of slopes?
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L12B: assumes s \in S r \in S and m \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows (s+r)(m) = s(m) + r(m)
  using assms Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_2_L12
  by simp
Sum of slopes is a slope.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L12C: assumes s \in S r \in S
  shows s+r \in \mathcal{S}
  using assms Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_2_L16
  by simp
A simple but useful identity.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L13:
  assumes s \in S and n \in \mathbb{Z} m \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows s(n \cdot m) + (s(m) + \delta(s, n \cdot m, m)) = s((n+1) \cdot m)
  using assms Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_2_1_L2B Int_ZF_1_2_L9 Int_ZF_1_2_L7
  by simp
Some estimates for the absolute value of a slope at the opposite integer.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L14: assumes A1: s \in S and A2: m \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  s(-m) = s(0) - \delta(s,m,-m) - s(m)
  abs(s(m)+s(-m)) < 2 \cdot max\delta(s)
  abs(s(-m)) \leq 2 \cdot max\delta(s) + abs(s(m))
  s(-m) \le abs(s(0)) + max\delta(s) - s(m)
proof -
  from A1 A2 have T:
     (\text{-m}) \, \in \, \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{abs}(\text{s(m)}) \, \in \, \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{s(0)} \, \in \, \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{abs}(\text{s(0)}) \, \in \, \mathbb{Z}
     \delta(\mathtt{s},\mathtt{m},\mathtt{-m}) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathtt{s}(\mathtt{m}) \in \mathbb{Z}
                                         \mathtt{s}(\mathtt{-m}) \in \mathbb{Z}
     (-(s(m))) \in \mathbb{Z} s(0) - \delta(s,m,-m) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_2_1_L2B Int_ZF_2_L14 Int_ZF_2_1_L2
       Int_ZF_1_1_L5 int_zero_one_are_int by auto
  with A2 show I: s(-m) = s(0) - \delta(s,m,-m) - s(m)
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_1_2_L15 by simp
  from T have abs(s(0) - \delta(s,m,-m)) \le abs(s(0)) + abs(\delta(s,m,-m))
     using Int_triangle_ineq1 by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 T have abs(s(0)) + abs(\delta(s,m,-m)) \le 2 \cdot max \delta(s)
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L7 Int_ZF_2_1_L8 Int_ZF_1_3_L21 by simp
  ultimately have abs(s(0) - \delta(s,m,-m)) \leq 2 \cdot max \delta(s)
     by (rule Int_order_transitive)
  moreover
```

```
from I have s(m) + s(-m) = s(m) + (s(0) - \delta(s, m, -m) - s(m))
    by simp
  with T have abs(s(m) + s(-m)) = abs(s(0) - \delta(s,m,-m))
    using Int_ZF_1_2_L3 by simp
  ultimately show abs(s(m)+s(-m)) \leq 2 \cdot max \delta(s)
    by simp
  from I have abs(s(-m)) = abs(s(0) - \delta(s,m,-m) - s(m))
    by simp
  with T have
    abs(s(-m)) \le abs(s(0)) + abs(\delta(s,m,-m)) + abs(s(m))
    using int_triangle_ineq3 by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 T have
    abs(s(0)) + abs(\delta(s,m,-m)) + abs(s(m)) < 2 \cdot max\delta(s) + abs(s(m))
    using Int_ZF_2_1_L7 Int_ZF_2_1_L8 Int_ZF_1_3_L21 int_ord_transl_inv
by simp
  ultimately show abs(s(-m)) < 2 \cdot \max \delta(s) + abs(s(m))
    by (rule Int_order_transitive)
  from T have s(0) - \delta(s,m,-m) \leq abs(s(0)) + abs(\delta(s,m,-m))
    using Int_ZF_2_L15E by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 T have
    abs(s(0)) + abs(\delta(s,m,-m)) \le abs(s(0)) + max\delta(s)
    using Int_ZF_2_1_L7 int_ord_transl_inv by simp
  ultimately have s(0) - \delta(s,m,-m) \le abs(s(0)) + max\delta(s)
    by (rule Int_order_transitive)
  with T have
    s(0) - \delta(s,m,-m) - s(m) \le abs(s(0)) + max\delta(s) - s(m)
    using int_ord_transl_inv by simp
  with I show s(-m) \leq abs(s(0)) + max\delta(s) - s(m)
    by simp
qed
```

An identity that expresses the value of an integer function at the opposite integer in terms of the value of that function at the integer, zero, and the homomorphism difference. We have a similar identity in $Int_{ZF_2_1_L14}$, but over there we assume that f is a slope.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L14A: assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} and A2: m \in \mathbb{Z} shows f(-m) = (-\delta(f,m,-m)) + f(0) - f(m) proof - from A1 A2 have T: f(-m) \in \mathbb{Z} \delta(f,m,-m) \in \mathbb{Z} f(0) \in \mathbb{Z} f(m) \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 int_zero_one_are_int apply_funtype by auto with A2 show f(-m) = (-\delta(f,m,-m)) + f(0) - f(m) using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_1_2_L15 by simp qed
```

The next lemma allows to use the expression maxf(f,0..M-1). Recall that maxf(f,A) is the maximum of (function) f on (the set) A.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L15:
  assumes s \in S and M \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  shows
  \max(s, 0..(M-1)) \in \mathbb{Z}
  \forall n \in 0..(M-1). s(n) \leq maxf(s,0..(M-1))
  \texttt{minf(s,0..(M-1))} \, \in \, \mathbb{Z}
  \forall n \in 0..(M-1). \min(s,0..(M-1)) \leq s(n)
  using assms AlmostHoms_def Int_ZF_1_5_L6 Int_ZF_1_4_L2
  by auto
A lower estimate for the value of a slope at nM + k.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L16:
  assumes A1: s \in S and A2: m \in \mathbb{Z} and A3: M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and A4: k \in 0...(M-1)
  shows s(m \cdot M) + (minf(s, 0...(M-1)) - max\delta(s)) \le s(m \cdot M+k)
  from A3 have 0..(M-1) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_5_L6 by simp
  with A1 A2 A3 A4 have T: m \cdot M \in \mathbb{Z} k \in \mathbb{Z} s(m \cdot M) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_2_1_L2B
     by auto
  with A1 A3 A4 have
     \mathtt{s}(\mathtt{m}\cdot\mathtt{M}) \; + \; (\mathtt{minf}(\mathtt{s}, 0..(\mathtt{M}-1)) \; - \; \mathtt{max}\delta(\mathtt{s})) \; \leq \; \mathtt{s}(\mathtt{m}\cdot\mathtt{M}) \; + \; (\mathtt{s}(\mathtt{k}) \; + \; \delta(\mathtt{s}, \mathtt{m}\cdot\mathtt{M}, \mathtt{k}))
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L15 Int_ZF_2_1_L7 int_ineq_add_sides int_ord_transl_inv
     by simp
  with A1 T show thesis using Int_ZF_2_1_L3A by simp
qed
Identity is a slope.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L17: shows id(\mathbb{Z}) \in \mathcal{S}
  using Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_4_L15 by simp
Simple identities about (absolute value of) homomorphism differences.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L18:
  assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} and A2: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  abs(f(n) + f(m) - f(m+n)) = abs(\delta(f,m,n))
  abs(f(m) + f(n) - f(m+n)) = abs(\delta(f,m,n))
  (-(f(m))) - f(n) + f(m+n) = \delta(f,m,n)
  (-(f(n))) - f(m) + f(m+n) = \delta(f,m,n)
  abs((-f(m+n)) + f(m) + f(n)) = abs(\delta(f,m,n))
proof -
  from A1 A2 have T:
     f(m+n) \in \mathbb{Z} f(m) \in \mathbb{Z} f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}
     f(m+n) - f(m) - f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}
     (-(f(m))) \in \mathbb{Z}
     (-f(m+n)) + f(m) + f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using apply_funtype Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
  then have
     abs(-(f(m+n) - f(m) - f(n))) = abs(f(m+n) - f(m) - f(n))
```

```
using Int_ZF_2_L17 by simp
  moreover from T have
    (-(f(m+n) - f(m) - f(n))) = f(n) + f(m) - f(m+n)
    using Int_ZF_1_2_L9A by simp
  ultimately show abs(f(n) + f(m) - f(m+n)) = abs(\delta(f,m,n))
    by simp
  moreover from T have f(n) + f(m) = f(m) + f(n)
    using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
  ultimately show abs(f(m) + f(n) - f(m+n)) = abs(\delta(f,m,n))
    by simp
  from T show
    (-(f(m))) - f(n) + f(m+n) = \delta(f,m,n)
    (-(f(n))) - f(m) + f(m+n) = \delta(f,m,n)
    using Int_ZF_1_2_L9 by auto
  from T have
    abs((-f(m+n)) + f(m) + f(n)) =
    abs(-((-f(m+n)) + f(m) + f(n)))
    using Int_ZF_2_L17 by simp
  also from T have
    abs(-((-f(m+n)) + f(m) + f(n))) = abs(\delta(f,m,n))
    using Int_ZF_1_2_L9 by simp
  finally show abs((-f(m+n)) + f(m) + f(n)) = abs(\delta(f,m,n))
    by simp
qed
Some identities about the homomorphism difference of odd functions.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L19:
  assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} and A2: \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}. (-f(-x)) = f(x)
  and A3: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  abs(\delta(f,-m,m+n)) = abs(\delta(f,m,n))
  abs(\delta(f,-n,m+n)) = abs(\delta(f,m,n))
  \delta(f,n,-(m+n)) = \delta(f,m,n)
  \delta(f,m,-(m+n)) = \delta(f,m,n)
  abs(\delta(f,-m,-n)) = abs(\delta(f,m,n))
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 show
    abs(\delta(f,-m,m+n)) = abs(\delta(f,m,n))
    abs(\delta(f,-n,m+n)) = abs(\delta(f,m,n))
    using Int_ZF_1_2_L3 Int_ZF_2_1_L18 by auto
  from A3 have T: m+n \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
  from A1 A2 have I: \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}. f(-x) = (-f(x))
    using Int_ZF_1_5_L13 by simp
  with A1 A2 A3 T show
    \delta(f,n,-(m+n)) = \delta(f,m,n)
    \delta(f,m,-(m+n)) = \delta(f,m,n)
    using Int_ZF_1_2_L3 Int_ZF_2_1_L18 by auto
  from A3 have
    abs(\delta(f,-m,-n)) = abs(f(-(m+n)) - f(-m) - f(-n))
```

```
using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
also from A1 A2 A3 T I have ... = abs(\delta(f,m,n))
using Int_ZF_2_1_L18 by simp
finally show abs(\delta(f,-m,-n)) = abs(\delta(f,m,n)) by simp
ged
```

Recall that f is a slope iff f(m+n)-f(m)-f(n) is bounded as m, n ranges over integers. The next lemma is the first step in showing that we only need to check this condition as m, n ranges over positive integers. Namely we show that if the condition holds for positive integers, then it holds if one integer is positive and the second one is nonnegative.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L20: assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and
  A2: \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall b \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq L and
  A3: m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  shows
  0 \le L
  abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \leq L + abs(f(0))
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
     \delta(f,1,1) \in \mathbb{Z} and abs(\delta(f,1,1)) \leq L
     using int_one_two_are_pos PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_2_1_L3B
  then show I: 0 \le L using Int_ZF_1_3_L19 by simp
  from A1 A3 have T:
    n \in \mathbb{Z} f(n) \in \mathbb{Z} f(0) \in \mathbb{Z}
    \delta(f,m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using PositiveSet_def int_zero_one_are_int apply_funtype
       Nonnegative_def Int_ZF_2_1_L3B Int_ZF_2_L14 by auto
  from A3 have m=0 \vee m\inZ<sub>+</sub> using Int_ZF_1_5_L3A by auto
  moreover
  \{ assume m = 0 \}
     with T I have abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \leq L + abs(f(0))
       using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_1_2_L3 Int_ZF_2_L17
 int_ord_is_refl refl_def Int_ZF_2_L15F by simp }
  moreover
  { assume m \in \mathbb{Z}_+
     with A2 A3 T have abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \leq L + abs(f(0))
         using int_abs_nonneg Int_ZF_2_L15F by simp }
   ultimately show abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \leq L + abs(f(0))
      by auto
qed
```

If the slope condition holds for all pairs of integers such that one integer is positive and the second one is nonnegative, then it holds when both integers are nonnegative.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L21: assumes A1: f:\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} and A2: \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \forall b \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq L and A3: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ m \in \mathbb{Z}^+
```

```
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
     \delta(f,1,1) \in \mathbb{Z} and abs(\delta(f,1,1)) \leq L
     using int_one_two_are_pos PositiveSet_def Nonnegative_def Int_ZF_2_1_L3B
  then have I: 0 \le L using Int_ZF_1_3_L19 by simp
  from A1 A3 have T:
     \mathtt{m} \, \in \, \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathtt{f}(\mathtt{m}) \, \in \, \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathtt{f}(\mathtt{0}) \, \in \, \mathbb{Z} \quad (\mathtt{-f}(\mathtt{0})) \, \in \, \mathbb{Z}
     \delta(f,m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using int_zero_one_are_int apply_funtype Nonnegative_def
        Int_ZF_2_1_L3B Int_ZF_2_L14 Int_ZF_1_1_L4 by auto
  from A3 have n=0 \lor n∈\mathbb{Z}_+ using Int_ZF_1_5_L3A by auto
  moreover
   \{ assume n=0 \}
       with T have \delta(f,m,n) = -f(0)
        using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 by simp
     with T have abs(\delta(f,m,n)) = abs(f(0))
        using Int_ZF_2_L17 by simp
     with T have abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \leq abs(f(0))
        using int_ord_is_refl refl_def by simp
     with T I have abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \leq L + abs(f(0))
        using Int_ZF_2_L15F by simp }
  moreover
   { assume n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
     with A2 A3 T have abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \leq L + abs(f(0))
        using int_abs_nonneg Int_ZF_2_L15F by simp }
  ultimately show abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \leq L + abs(f(0))
     by auto
qed
If the homomorphism difference is bounded on \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+, then it is bounded
on \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L22: assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and
  A2: \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall b \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq L
  shows \exists M. \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \leq M
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
     \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \leq L + abs(f(0)) + abs(f(0))
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L20 Int_ZF_2_1_L21 by simp
  then show thesis by auto
qed
For odd functions we can do better than in Int_ZF_2_1_L22: if the homomor-
phism difference of f is bounded on \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+, then it is bounded on \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z},
hence f is a slope. Loong prof by splitting the \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} into six subsets.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L23: assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and
  A2: \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall b \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq L
  and A3: \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}. (-f(-x)) = f(x)
```

shows $abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \leq L + abs(f(0))$

```
shows f \in S
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
     \exists M. \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \forall b \in \mathbb{Z}^+. abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq M
     by (rule Int_ZF_2_1_L22)
  then obtain M where I: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \leq M
     by auto
   { fix a b assume A4: a \in \mathbb{Z} b \in \mathbb{Z}
     then have
        0{\le}\mathtt{a} \ \land \ 0{\le}\mathtt{b} \quad \lor \quad \mathtt{a}{\le}0 \ \land \ \mathtt{b}{\le}0 \quad \lor
        \mathtt{a} {\leq} 0 \ \land \ 0 {\leq} \mathtt{b} \ \land \ 0 \ \leq \ \mathtt{a+b} \quad \lor \ \mathtt{a} {\leq} 0 \ \land \ 0 {\leq} \mathtt{b} \ \land \ \mathtt{a+b} \ \leq \ 0 \quad \lor
        0 \le a \land b \le 0 \land 0 \le a + b \lor 0 \le a \land b \le 0 \land a + b \le 0
        using int_plane_split_in6 by simp
     moreover
     { assume 0 \le a \land 0 \le b
        then have a \in \mathbb{Z}^+ b \in \mathbb{Z}^+
 using Int_ZF_2_L16 by auto
        with I have abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq M by simp }
     moreover
     { assume a \le 0 \land b \le 0
        with I have abs(\delta(f,-a,-b)) \leq M
 using Int_ZF_2_L10A Int_ZF_2_L16 by simp
        with A1 A3 A4 have abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq M
 using Int_ZF_2_1_L19 by simp }
     moreover
     { assume a \le 0 \land 0 \le b \land 0 \le a+b
        with I have abs(\delta(f,-a,a+b)) \leq M
 using Int_ZF_2_L10A Int_ZF_2_L16 by simp
        with A1 A3 A4 have abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq M
 using Int_ZF_2_1_L19 by simp }
     moreover
     { assume a \le 0 \land 0 \le b \land a+b \le 0
        with I have abs(\delta(f,b,-(a+b))) \leq M
 using Int_ZF_2_L10A Int_ZF_2_L16 by simp
        with A1 A3 A4 have abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq M
 using Int_ZF_2_1_L19 by simp }
     moreover
     { assume 0 \le a \land b \le 0 \land 0 \le a+b
        with I have abs(\delta(f,-b,a+b)) \leq M
 using Int_ZF_2_L10A Int_ZF_2_L16 by simp
        with A1 A3 A4 have abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq M
 using Int_ZF_2_1_L19 by simp }
     moreover
     { assume 0 \le a \land b \le 0 \land a+b \le 0
        with I have abs(\delta(f,a,-(a+b))) \leq M
 using Int_ZF_2_L10A Int_ZF_2_L16 by simp
        with A1 A3 A4 have abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq M
 using Int_ZF_2_1_L19 by simp }
     ultimately have abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq M by auto }
```

```
qed
If the homomorphism difference of a function defined on positive integers is
bounded, then the odd extension of this function is a slope.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L24:
  assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z}_+ \to \mathbb{Z} and A2: \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall b \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq L
  shows OddExtension(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,f) \in \mathcal{S}
proof -
  let g = OddExtension(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,f)
  from A1 have g : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_5_L10 by simp
  moreover have \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall b \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\delta(g,a,b)) \leq L
     { fix a b assume A3: a \in \mathbb{Z}_+ b \in \mathbb{Z}_+
        with A1 have abs(\delta(f,a,b)) = abs(\delta(g,a,b))
 using pos_int_closed_add_unfolded Int_ZF_1_5_L11
 by simp
        moreover from A2 A3 have abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq L by simp
        ultimately have abs(\delta(g,a,b)) \leq L by simp
     } then show thesis by simp
  qed
  moreover from A1 have \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}. (-g(-x)) = g(x)
     using int\_oddext\_is\_odd\_alt by simp
  ultimately show g \in S by (rule Int_ZF_2_1_L23)
qed
Type information related to \gamma.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L25:
  assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} and A2: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  \delta(f,m,-n) \in \mathbb{Z}
  \delta(f,n,-n) \in \mathbb{Z}
  (-\delta(f,n,-n)) \in \mathbb{Z}
  f(0) \in \mathbb{Z}
  \gamma(f,m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}
proof -
  from A1 A2 show T1:
     \delta(f,m,-n) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad f(0) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_2_1_L3B int_zero_one_are_int apply_funtype
     by auto
  from A2 have (-n) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 by simp
  with A1 A2 show \delta(f,n,-n) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L3B by simp
  then show (-\delta(f,n,-n)) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 by simp
  with T1 show \gamma(f,m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}
```

then have $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$. $abs(\delta(f,m,n)) \leq M$ by simp

with A1 show $f \in S$ by (rule Int_ZF_2_1_L5)

```
using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
qed
A couple of formulae involving f(m-n) and \gamma(f,m,n).
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L26:
  assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and A2: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows
  f(m-n) = \gamma(f,m,n) + f(m) - f(n)
  f(m-n) = \gamma(f,m,n) + (f(m) - f(n))
  f(m-n) + (f(n) - \gamma(f,m,n)) = f(m)
proof -
  from A1 A2 have T:
     (-n) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \delta(f,m,-n) \in \mathbb{Z}
    f(0) \in \mathbb{Z} f(m) \in \mathbb{Z} f(n) \in \mathbb{Z} (-f(n)) \in \mathbb{Z}
     (-\delta(f,n,-n)) \in \mathbb{Z}
     (-\delta(f,n,-n)) + f(0) \in \mathbb{Z}
     \gamma(f,m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_2_1_L25 apply_funtype Int_ZF_1_1_L5
     by auto
   with A1 A2 have f(m-n) =
     \delta(f,m,-n) + ((-\delta(f,n,-n)) + f(0) - f(n)) + f(m)
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L3C Int_ZF_2_1_L14A by simp
  with T have f(m-n) =
     \delta(f,m,-n) + ((-\delta(f,n,-n)) + f(0)) + f(m) - f(n)
     using Int_ZF_1_2_L16 by simp
  moreover from T have
     \delta(f,m,-n) + ((-\delta(f,n,-n)) + f(0)) = \gamma(f,m,n)
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L7 by simp
  ultimately show I: f(m-n) = \gamma(f,m,n) + f(m) - f(n)
     by simp
  then have f(m-n) + (f(n) - \gamma(f,m,n)) =
     (\gamma(f,m,n) + f(m) - f(n)) + (f(n) - \gamma(f,m,n))
     by simp
  moreover from T have ... = f(m) using Int_ZF_1_2_L18
     by simp
  ultimately show f(m-n) + (f(n) - \gamma(f,m,n)) = f(m)
     by simp
  from T have \gamma(f,m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} f(m) \in \mathbb{Z} (-f(n)) \in \mathbb{Z}
    by auto
  then have
     \gamma(f,m,n) + f(m) + (-f(n)) = \gamma(f,m,n) + (f(m) + (-f(n)))
     by (rule Int_ZF_1_1_L7)
  with I show f(m-n) = \gamma(f,m,n) + (f(m) - f(n)) by simp
qed
A formula expressing the difference between f(m-n-k) and f(m)-f(n)
f(k) in terms of \gamma.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L26A:
  assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and A2: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z} k \in \mathbb{Z}
```

```
f(m-n-k) - (f(m)-f(n) - f(k)) = \gamma(f,m-n,k) + \gamma(f,m,n)
proof -
  from A1 A2 have
    T: m-n \in \mathbb{Z} \ \gamma(f,m-n,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \ f(m) - f(n) - f(k) \in \mathbb{Z} \ and
    T1: \gamma(f,m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} f(m) - f(n) \in \mathbb{Z} (-f(k)) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_2_1_L25 apply_funtype
     by auto
  from A1 A2 have
     f(m-n) - f(k) = \gamma(f,m,n) + (f(m) - f(n)) + (-f(k))
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L26 by simp
  also from T1 have ... = \gamma(f,m,n) + (f(m) - f(n) + (-f(k)))
     by (rule Int_ZF_1_1_L7)
  finally have
     f(m-n) - f(k) = \gamma(f,m,n) + (f(m) - f(n) - f(k))
     by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 T have
     f(m-n-k) = \gamma(f,m-n,k) + (f(m-n)-f(k))
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L26 by simp
  ultimately have
     f(m-n-k) - (f(m)-f(n) - f(k)) =
     \gamma(f,m-n,k) + (\gamma(f,m,n) + (f(m) - f(n) - f(k)))
     - (f(m) - f(n) - f(k))
    by simp
  with T T1 show thesis
     using Int_ZF_1_2_L17 by simp
If s is a slope, then \gamma(s, m, n) is uniformly bounded.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L27: assumes A1: s \in S
  shows \exists L \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(\gamma(s,m,n)) \leq L
proof -
  let L = \max \delta(s) + \max \delta(s) + abs(s(0))
  from A1 have T:
    \max \delta(s) \in \mathbb{Z} abs(s(0)) \in \mathbb{Z} L \in \mathbb{Z}
    using Int_ZF_2_1_L8 int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_2_1_L2B
       Int_ZF_2_L14 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
  moreover
  { fix m
     fix n
     assume A2: m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}
     with A1 have T:
       (-n) \in \mathbb{Z}
       \delta(s,m,-n) \in \mathbb{Z}
       \delta(s,n,-n) \in \mathbb{Z}
       (-\delta(s,n,-n)) \in \mathbb{Z}
       \mathtt{s}(0) \in \mathbb{Z} \mathtt{abs}(\mathtt{s}(0)) \in \mathbb{Z}
       using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 AlmostHoms_def Int_ZF_2_1_L25 Int_ZF_2_L14
       by auto
```

```
with T have
        abs(\delta(s,m,-n) - \delta(s,n,-n) + s(0)) \le
        abs(\delta(s,m,-n)) + abs(-\delta(s,n,-n)) + abs(s(0))
        using Int_triangle_ineq3 by simp
     moreover from A1 A2 T have
        abs(\delta(s,m,-n)) + abs(-\delta(s,n,-n)) + abs(s(0)) \le L
        using Int_ZF_2_1_L7 int_ineq_add_sides int_ord_transl_inv Int_ZF_2_L17
    ultimately have abs(\delta(s,m,-n) - \delta(s,n,-n) + s(0)) \le L
        by (rule Int_order_transitive)
     then have abs(\gamma(s,m,n)) \leq L by simp 
  ultimately show \exists L \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(\gamma(s,m,n)) \leq L
     by auto
qed
If s is a slope, then s(m) \leq s(m-1) + M, where L does not depend on m.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L28: assumes A1: s \in S
  shows \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. s(m) \leq s(m-1) + M
proof -
  from A1 have
     \exists L \in \mathbb{Z}. \ \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(\gamma(s,m,n)) \leq L
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L27 by simp
  then obtain L where T: L \in \mathbb{Z} and \forall m \in \mathbb{Z} . \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} . abs(\gamma(s,m,n)) \leq L
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L27 by auto
  then have I: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.abs(\gamma(s,m,1)) \leq L
     using int_zero_one_are_int by simp
  let M = s(1) + L
  from A1 T have M \in \mathbb{Z}
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_2_1_L2B Int_ZF_1_1_L5
     by simp
  moreover
  { fix m assume A2: m \in \mathbb{Z}
     with A1 have
        T1: s: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad m \in \mathbb{Z} \quad 1 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and }
        T2: \gamma(\mathtt{s},\mathtt{m},\mathtt{1}) \in \mathbb{Z} \mathtt{s}(\mathtt{1}) \in \mathbb{Z}
        using int_zero_one_are_int AlmostHoms_def
 Int_ZF_2_1_L25 by auto
     from A2 T1 have T3: s(m-1) \in \mathbb{Z}
        using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 apply_funtype by simp
     from I A2 T2 have
        (-\gamma(s,m,1)) \leq abs(\gamma(s,m,1))
        abs(\gamma(s,m,1)) \leq L
        using Int_ZF_2_L19C by auto
     then have (-\gamma(s,m,1)) \leq L
        by (rule Int_order_transitive)
     with T2 T3 have
        s(m-1) + (s(1) - \gamma(s,m,1)) \le s(m-1) + M
        using int_ord_transl_inv by simp
     moreover from T1 have
```

```
s(m-1) + (s(1) - \gamma(s,m,1)) = s(m)
                    by (rule Int_ZF_2_1_L26)
             ultimately have s(m) \le s(m-1) + M by simp }
      ultimately show \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. s(m) \leq s(m-1) + M
             by auto
qed
If s is a slope, then the difference between s(m-n-k) and s(m)-s(n)-s(k)
is uniformly bounded.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L29: assumes A1: s \in S
      shows
      \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}.
proof -
      from A1 have \exists L \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(\gamma(s,m,n)) \leq L
              using Int_ZF_2_1_L27 by simp
      then obtain L where I: L \in \mathbb{Z} and
             II: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z} . \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(\gamma(s,m,n)) \leq L
             by auto
      from I have L+L \in \mathbb{Z}
             using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
      moreover
       { fix m n k assume A2: m \in \mathbb{Z}  n \in \mathbb{Z}  k \in \mathbb{Z}
             with A1 have T:
                    \mathtt{m-n} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \gamma(\mathtt{s,m-n,k}) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \gamma(\mathtt{s,m,n}) \in \mathbb{Z}
                    using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 AlmostHoms_def Int_ZF_2_1_L25
                    by auto
             then have
                    I: abs(\gamma(s,m-n,k) + \gamma(s,m,n)) \leq abs(\gamma(s,m-n,k)) + abs(\gamma(s,m,n))
                    using Int_triangle_ineq by simp
             from II A2 T have
                    abs(\gamma(s,m-n,k)) \leq L
                    abs(\gamma(s,m,n)) \leq L
                    by auto
             then have abs(\gamma(s,m-n,k)) + abs(\gamma(s,m,n)) \le L+L
                    using int_ineq_add_sides by simp
             with I have abs(\gamma(s,m-n,k) + \gamma(s,m,n)) \leq L+L
                    by (rule Int_order_transitive)
             moreover from A1 A2 have
                    s(m-n-k) - (s(m)-s(n)-s(k)) = \gamma(s,m-n,k) + \gamma(s,m,n)
                    using AlmostHoms_def Int_ZF_2_1_L26A by simp
             ultimately have
                    abs(s(m-n-k) - (s(m)-s(n) - s(k))) \le L+L
                    by simp }
      ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
If s is a slope, then we can find integers M, K such that s(m-n-k) \leq
s(m) - s(n) - s(k) + M and s(m) - s(n) - s(k) + K \le s(m - n - k), for all
integer m, n, k.
```

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L30: assumes A1: s \in S
    shows
    \exists\, \texttt{M}{\in} \mathbb{Z}\,.\,\,\forall\, \texttt{m}{\in} \mathbb{Z}\,.\,\forall\, \texttt{n}{\in} \mathbb{Z}\,.\,\,\forall\, \texttt{k}{\in} \mathbb{Z}\,.\,\,\, \texttt{s(m-n-k)}\,\,\leq\,\, \texttt{s(m)-s(n)-s(k)+M}
    \exists \, \mathtt{K} \in \mathbb{Z} \,. \ \forall \, \mathtt{m} \in \mathbb{Z} \,. \, \forall \, \mathtt{n} \in \mathbb{Z} \,. \, \forall \, \mathtt{k} \in \mathbb{Z} \,. \ \mathtt{s(m)-s(n)-s(k)+K} \, \leq \, \mathtt{s(m-n-k)}
proof -
    from A1 have
         \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}. \ \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \ abs(s(m-n-k) - (s(m)-s(n)-s(k))) \leq M
         using Int_ZF_2_1_L29 by simp
    then obtain M where I: M \in \mathbb{Z} and II:
         \forall \, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z} \, . \, \forall \, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z} \, . \, \forall \, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z} \, . \, \, \text{abs(s(m-n-k) - (s(m)-s(n)-s(k)))} \, \leq \! M
         by auto
    from I have III: (-M) ∈ Z using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 by simp
    { fix m n k assume A2: m \in \mathbb{Z}  n \in \mathbb{Z}  k \in \mathbb{Z}
         with A1 have s(m-n-k) \in \mathbb{Z} and s(m)-s(n)-s(k) \in \mathbb{Z}
             using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_2_1_L2B by auto
        moreover from II A2 have
             abs(s(m-n-k) - (s(m)-s(n)-s(k))) \le M
             by simp
         ultimately have
             s(m-n-k) \leq s(m)-s(n)-s(k)+M \wedge
             s(m)-s(n)-s(k) - M \le s(m-n-k)
             using Int\_triangle\_ineq2 \ by simp
    } then have
             \forall\, \mathtt{m}{\in}\mathbb{Z}\,.\,\forall\, \mathtt{n}{\in}\mathbb{Z}\,.\,\forall\, \mathtt{k}{\in}\mathbb{Z}\,.\,\,\mathtt{s}(\mathtt{m}{-}\mathtt{n}{-}\mathtt{k})\,\,\leq\,\,\mathtt{s}(\mathtt{m}){-}\mathtt{s}(\mathtt{n}){-}\mathtt{s}(\mathtt{k}){+}\mathtt{M}
             \forall \, m \in \mathbb{Z} \,.\, \forall \, n \in \mathbb{Z} \,.\, \forall \, k \in \mathbb{Z} \,. \  \, s(m) - s(n) - s(k) \  \, - \, \, M \, \leq \, \, s(m - n - k)
         by auto
    with I III show
         \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}. \ \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \ s(m-n-k) \leq s(m)-s(n)-s(k)+M
         \exists \, \texttt{K} \in \mathbb{Z} \,. \,\, \forall \, \texttt{m} \in \mathbb{Z} \,. \, \forall \, \texttt{n} \in \mathbb{Z} \,. \,\, \forall \, \texttt{k} \in \mathbb{Z} \,. \,\, \texttt{s(m)-s(n)-s(k)+K} \,\, \leq \,\, \texttt{s(m-n-k)}
         by auto
qed
```

By definition functions f, g are almost equal if $f - g^*$ is bounded. In the next lemma we show it is sufficient to check the boundedness on positive integers.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L31: assumes A1: s \in \mathcal{S} re\mathcal{S} and A2: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(s(m)-r(m)) \leq L shows s \sim r proof - let a = abs(s(0) - r(0)) let c = 2 \cdot max \delta(s) + 2 \cdot max \delta(r) + L let M = Maximum(IntegerOrder, \{a, L, c\}) from A2 have abs(s(1)-r(1)) \leq L using int_one_two_are_pos by simp then have T: L \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_L1A by simp moreover from A1 have a \in \mathbb{Z} using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_2_1_L2B Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_2_L14 by simp moreover from A1 T have c \in \mathbb{Z}
```

```
using Int_ZF_2_1_L8 int_two_three_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L5
     by simp
  ultimately have
     I: a \leq M and
     II: L \leq M and
     III: c \leq M
     using Int_ZF_1_4_L1A by auto
  { fix m assume A5: m \in \mathbb{Z}
     with A1 have T:
       s(m) \in \mathbb{Z} r(m) \in \mathbb{Z} s(m) - r(m) \in \mathbb{Z}
       s(-m) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad r(-m) \in \mathbb{Z}
       \mathbf{using} \ \mathsf{Int} \_\mathsf{ZF} \_ 1 \_ \mathsf{L2B} \ \mathsf{Int} \_ \mathsf{ZF} \_ 1 \_ 1 \_ \mathsf{L4} \ \mathsf{Int} \_ \mathsf{ZF} \_ 1 \_ 1 \_ \mathsf{L5}
       by auto
     from A5 have m=0 \lor m\in \mathbb{Z}_+ \lor (-m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+
       using int_decomp_cases by simp
     moreover
     { assume m=0
       with I have abs(s(m) - r(m)) \le M
 by simp }
     moreover
     \{ \text{ assume } m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}
       with A2 II have
 abs(s(m)-r(m)) \le L \text{ and } L \le M
 by auto
       then have abs(s(m)-r(m)) \leq M
 by (rule Int_order_transitive) }
     moreover
     { assume A6: (-m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+
       from T have abs(s(m)-r(m)) \le
 abs(s(m)+s(-m)) + abs(r(m)+r(-m)) + abs(s(-m)-r(-m))
 using Int_ZF_1_3_L22A by simp
       moreover
       from A1 A2 III A5 A6 have
 abs(s(m)+s(-m)) + abs(r(m)+r(-m)) + abs(s(-m)-r(-m)) \le c
 c < M
 using Int_ZF_2_1_L14 int_ineq_add_sides by auto
       then have
 abs(s(m)+s(-m)) + abs(r(m)+r(-m)) + abs(s(-m)-r(-m)) \le M
 by (rule Int_order_transitive)
       ultimately have abs(s(m)-r(m)) \leq M
 by (rule Int_order_transitive) }
     ultimately have abs(s(m) - r(m)) \le M
       by auto
  } then have \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(s(m)-r(m)) \leq M
  with A1 show s \sim r by (rule Int_ZF_2_1_L9)
\mathbf{qed}
```

A sufficient condition for an odd slope to be almost equal to identity: If for

all positive integers the value of the slope at m is between m and m plus some constant independent of m, then the slope is almost identity.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L32: assumes A1: s \in \mathcal{S} M\in \mathbb{Z} and A2: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. m \leq s(m) \land s(m) \leq m+M shows s \sim id(\mathbb{Z}) proof - let r = id(\mathbb{Z}) from A1 have s \in \mathcal{S} r \in \mathcal{S} using Int_ZF_2_1_L17 by auto moreover from A1 A2 have \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(s(m)-r(m)) \leq M using Int_ZF_1_3_L23 PositiveSet_def id_conv by simp ultimately show s \sim id(\mathbb{Z}) by (rule Int_ZF_2_1_L31) qed
```

A lemma about adding a constant to slopes. This is actually proven in Group_ZF_3_5_L1, in Group_ZF_3.thy here we just refer to that lemma to show it in notation used for integers. Unfortunately we have to use raw set notation in the proof.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_1_L33:
        assumes A1: s \in S and A2: c \in \mathbb{Z} and
        A3: r = \{\langle m, s(m) + c \rangle . m \in \mathbb{Z}\}
        \mathbf{shows}
        \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. r(m) = s(m) + c
        r \in S
        s \sim r
proof -
        let G = \mathbb{Z}
        let f = IntegerAddition
        let AH = AlmostHoms(G, f)
        from assms have I:
                 group1(G, f)
                 s \in AlmostHoms(G, f)
                 r = \{\langle x, f(s(x), c) \rangle . x \in G\}
                 using Int_ZF_2_1_L1 by auto
        then have \forall x \in G. r(x) = f(s(x),c)
                 by (rule group1.Group_ZF_3_5_L1)
        moreover from I have r \in AlmostHoms(G, f)
                 by (rule group1.Group_ZF_3_5_L1)
        moreover from I have
                  \langle s, r \rangle \in QuotientGroupRel(AlmostHoms(G, f), AlHomOp1(G, f), FinRangeFunctions(G, f), FinRang
G))
                 by (rule group1.Group_ZF_3_5_L1)
        ultimately show
                \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. r(m) = s(m) + c
                r \in S
                 s \sim r
                by auto
```

48.2 Composing slopes

Composition of slopes is not commutative. However, as we show in this section if f and g are slopes then the range of $f \circ g - g \circ f$ is bounded. This allows to show that the multiplication of real numbers is commutative.

Two useful estimates.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_2_L1:
   assumes A1: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and A2: p \in \mathbb{Z} q \in \mathbb{Z}
   shows
   \mathsf{abs}(\mathsf{f}((\mathsf{p}+1)\cdot\mathsf{q})-(\mathsf{p}+1)\cdot\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{q})) \leq \mathsf{abs}(\delta(\mathsf{f},\mathsf{p}\cdot\mathsf{q},\mathsf{q}))+\mathsf{abs}(\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{p}\cdot\mathsf{q})-\mathsf{p}\cdot\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{q}))
   \mathsf{abs}(\mathsf{f}((\mathsf{p}\text{-}1)\cdot\mathsf{q})\text{-}(\mathsf{p}\text{-}1)\cdot\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{q})) \,\leq\, \mathsf{abs}(\delta(\mathsf{f},(\mathsf{p}\text{-}1)\cdot\mathsf{q},\mathsf{q})) + \mathsf{abs}(\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{p}\cdot\mathsf{q})\text{-}\mathsf{p}\cdot\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{q}))
proof -
   let R = \mathbb{Z}
   let A = IntegerAddition
   let M = IntegerMultiplication
   let I = GroupInv(R, A)
   let a = f((p+1)\cdot q)
   let b = p
   let c = f(q)
   let d = f(p \cdot q)
   from A1 A2 have T1:
       \texttt{ringO(R, A, M)} \quad \texttt{a} \in \texttt{R} \quad \texttt{b} \in \texttt{R} \quad \texttt{c} \in \texttt{R} \quad \texttt{d} \in \texttt{R}
       using Int_ZF_1_1_L2 int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L5 apply_funtype
       by auto
   then have
       A\langle a, I(M\langle A\langle b, TheNeutralElement(R, M)\rangle, c\rangle)\rangle =
       A\langle A\langle A\langle a,I(d)\rangle,I(c)\rangle,A\langle d,I(M\langle b,c\rangle)\rangle\rangle
       by (rule ring0.Ring_ZF_2_L2)
   with A2 have
       f((p+1)\cdot q)-(p+1)\cdot f(q) = \delta(f,p\cdot q,q)+(f(p\cdot q)-p\cdot f(q))
       using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L1 Int_ZF_1_1_L4 by simp
   moreover from A1 A2 T1 have \delta(f,p\cdot q,q)\in\mathbb{Z} f(p\cdot q)-p\cdot f(q)\in\mathbb{Z}
       using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 apply_funtype by auto
    ultimately show
       \mathsf{abs}(\mathsf{f}((\mathsf{p}+1)\cdot\mathsf{q})-(\mathsf{p}+1)\cdot\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{q})) \leq \mathsf{abs}(\delta(\mathsf{f},\mathsf{p}\cdot\mathsf{q},\mathsf{q}))+\mathsf{abs}(\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{p}\cdot\mathsf{q})-\mathsf{p}\cdot\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{q}))
       using Int_triangle_ineq by simp
   from A1 A2 have T1:
       f((p-1)\cdot q) \in \mathbb{Z}
                                    p \in \mathbb{Z} f(q) \in \mathbb{Z} f(p \cdot q) \in \mathbb{Z}
       using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L5 apply_funtype by auto
   then have
       f((p-1)\cdot q) - (p-1)\cdot f(q) = (f(p\cdot q) - p\cdot f(q)) - (f(p\cdot q) - f((p-1)\cdot q) - f(q))
       by (rule Int_ZF_1_2_L6)
   with A2 have f((p-1)\cdot q)-(p-1)\cdot f(q) = (f(p\cdot q)-p\cdot f(q))-\delta(f,(p-1)\cdot q,q)
       using Int_ZF_1_2_L7 by simp
   moreover from A1 A2 have
```

```
f(p\cdot q)-p\cdot f(q) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \delta(f,(p-1)\cdot q,q) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 int_zero_one_are_int apply_funtype by auto
  ultimately show
     abs(f((p-1)\cdot q)-(p-1)\cdot f(q)) \leq abs(\delta(f,(p-1)\cdot q,q))+abs(f(p\cdot q)-p\cdot f(q))
     using Int_triangle_ineq1 by simp
qed
If f is a slope, then |f(p \cdot q) - p \cdot f(q)| \le (|p|+1) \cdot \max \delta(f). The proof is by
induction on p and the next lemma is the induction step for the case when
0 \leq p.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_2_L2:
  assumes A1: f \in S and A2: 0 \le p q \in \mathbb{Z}
  and A3: abs(f(p\cdot q)-p\cdot f(q)) \le (abs(p)+1)\cdot max\delta(f)
  abs(f((p+1)\cdot q)-(p+1)\cdot f(q)) \le (abs(p+1)+1)\cdot max\delta(f)
proof -
  from A2 have q \in \mathbb{Z} p \cdot q \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
   with A1 have I: abs(\delta(f,p\cdot q,q)) \leq max\delta(f) by (rule Int_ZF_2_1_L7)
  moreover note A3
  moreover from A1 A2 have
     \mathsf{abs}(\mathsf{f}((\mathsf{p}+1)\cdot\mathsf{q})-(\mathsf{p}+1)\cdot\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{q})) \, \leq \, \mathsf{abs}(\delta(\mathsf{f},\mathsf{p}\cdot\mathsf{q},\mathsf{q})) + \mathsf{abs}(\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{p}\cdot\mathsf{q})-\mathsf{p}\cdot\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{q}))
     using AlmostHoms_def Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_2_L1 by simp
  ultimately have
     abs(f((p+1)\cdot q)-(p+1)\cdot f(q)) \leq max\delta(f)+(abs(p)+1)\cdot max\delta(f)
     by (rule Int_ZF_2_L15)
  moreover from I A2 have
     \max \delta(f) + (abs(p)+1) \cdot \max \delta(f) = (abs(p+1)+1) \cdot \max \delta(f)
     using Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_1_2_L2 by simp
  ultimately show
     abs(f((p+1)\cdot q)-(p+1)\cdot f(q)) \le (abs(p+1)+1)\cdot max\delta(f)
     by simp
qed
If f is a slope, then |f(p \cdot q) - p \cdot f(q)| \leq (|p| + 1) \cdot \max \delta. The proof is by
induction on p and the next lemma is the induction step for the case when
p \leq 0.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_2_L3:
  assumes A1: f \in S and A2: p \le 0 q \in \mathbb{Z}
  and A3: abs(f(p \cdot q) - p \cdot f(q)) \le (abs(p) + 1) \cdot max\delta(f)
  shows abs(f((p-1)\cdot q)-(p-1)\cdot f(q)) \le (abs(p-1)+1)\cdot max\delta(f)
proof -
  from A2 have q \in \mathbb{Z} (p-1) \cdot q \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_2_L1A int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
  with A1 have I: abs(\delta(f,(p-1)\cdot q,q)) \leq max\delta(f) by (rule Int_ZF_2_1_L7)
  moreover note A3
  moreover from A1 A2 have
     abs(f((p-1)\cdot q)-(p-1)\cdot f(q)) \leq abs(\delta(f,(p-1)\cdot q,q)) + abs(f(p\cdot q)-p\cdot f(q))
     using AlmostHoms_def Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_2_2_L1 by simp
```

```
ultimately have
      \mathtt{abs}(\mathtt{f}((\mathtt{p-1})\cdot\mathtt{q})\mathtt{-}(\mathtt{p-1})\cdot\mathtt{f}(\mathtt{q})) \; \leq \; \mathtt{max}\delta(\mathtt{f})\mathtt{+}(\mathtt{abs}(\mathtt{p})\mathtt{+}1)\cdot\mathtt{max}\delta(\mathtt{f})
      by (rule Int_ZF_2_L15)
   with I A2 show thesis using Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_1_2_L5 by simp
If f is a slope, then |f(p \cdot q) - p \cdot f(q)| \le (|p| + 1) \cdot \max \delta(f). Proof by cases
on 0 \le p.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_2_L4:
  assumes A1: f \in S and A2: p \in \mathbb{Z} q \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows abs(f(p\cdot q)-p\cdot f(q)) \le (abs(p)+1)\cdot max\delta(f)
proof -
   { assume 0 \le p
      moreover from A1 A2 have abs(f(0\cdot q)-0\cdot f(q)) \leq (abs(0)+1)\cdot max\delta(f)
         using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_2_1_L2B Int_ZF_1_1_L4
 Int_ZF_2_1_L8 Int_ZF_2_L18 by simp
      moreover from A1 A2 have
         \forall p. \ 0 \le p \land abs(f(p \cdot q) - p \cdot f(q)) \le (abs(p) + 1) \cdot max\delta(f) \longrightarrow
         \mathtt{abs}(\mathtt{f}((\mathtt{p+1})\cdot\mathtt{q}) - (\mathtt{p+1})\cdot\mathtt{f}(\mathtt{q})) \; \leq \; (\mathtt{abs}(\mathtt{p+1}) + \; 1) \cdot \mathtt{max} \delta(\mathtt{f})
         using Int_ZF_2_2_L2 by simp
      ultimately have abs(f(p\cdot q)-p\cdot f(q)) \le (abs(p)+1)\cdot max\delta(f)
         by (rule Induction_on_int) }
   moreover
   { assume \neg (0 \le p)
      with A2 have p \le 0 using Int_ZF_2_L19A by simp
      moreover from A1 A2 have abs(f(0\cdot q)-0\cdot f(q)) \leq (abs(0)+1)\cdot max\delta(f)
         using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_2_1_L2B Int_ZF_1_1_L4
 Int_ZF_2_1_L8 Int_ZF_2_L18 by simp
      moreover from A1 A2 have
         \forall p. p \leq 0 \land abs(f(p \cdot q) - p \cdot f(q)) \leq (abs(p) + 1) \cdot max\delta(f) \longrightarrow
         abs(f((p-1)\cdot q)-(p-1)\cdot f(q)) \leq (abs(p-1)+1)\cdot max\delta(f)
         using Int_ZF_2_2_L3 by simp
      ultimately have abs(f(p\cdot q)-p\cdot f(q)) \le (abs(p)+1)\cdot max\delta(f)
         by (rule Back_induct_on_int) }
   ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
The next elegant result is Lemma 7 in the Arthan's paper [2].
lemma (in int1) Arthan_Lem_7:
 assumes A1: f \in S and A2: p \in \mathbb{Z} q \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows abs(q \cdot f(p) - p \cdot f(q)) \le (abs(p) + abs(q) + 2) \cdot max\delta(f)
proof -
   from A1 A2 have T:
      q \cdot f(p) - f(p \cdot q) \in \mathbb{Z}
      \texttt{f}(p{\cdot}q){\,-}p{\cdot}\texttt{f}(q) \;\in\; \mathbb{Z}
      f(q \cdot p) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad f(p \cdot q) \in \mathbb{Z}
      q \cdot f(p) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad p \cdot f(q) \in \mathbb{Z}
      \max\delta(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathbf{Z}
      abs(q) \in \mathbb{Z} abs(p) \in \mathbb{Z}
```

```
using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_2_1_L2B Int_ZF_2_1_L7 Int_ZF_2_L14 by auto
  moreover\ have\ abs(q\cdot f(p) - f(p\cdot q)) \ \leq \ (abs(q) + 1) \cdot max\delta(f)
  proof -
     from A1 A2 have abs(f(q\cdot p)-q\cdot f(p)) \leq (abs(q)+1)\cdot max\delta(f)
       using Int_ZF_2_2_L4 by simp
     with T A2 show thesis
       using Int_ZF_2_L20 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 have abs(f(p\cdot q)-p\cdot f(q)) \leq (abs(p)+1)\cdot max\delta(f)
     using Int_ZF_2_2_L4 by simp
  ultimately have
     abs(q \cdot f(p) - f(p \cdot q) + (f(p \cdot q) - p \cdot f(q))) \leq (abs(q) + 1) \cdot max\delta(f) + (abs(p) + 1) \cdot max\delta(f)
     using Int_ZF_2_L21 by simp
  with T show thesis using Int_ZF_1_2_L9 int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_2_L10
     by simp
qed
This is Lemma 8 in the Arthan's paper.
lemma (in int1) Arthan_Lem_8: assumes A1: f \in S
  shows \exists A B. A \in \mathbb{Z} \land B \in \mathbb{Z} \land (\forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(f(p)) \leq A \cdot abs(p) + B)
proof -
  let A = \max \delta(f) + abs(f(1))
  let B = 3 \cdot \max \delta(f)
  from A1 have A \in \mathbb{Z} B \in \mathbb{Z}
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_2_1_L2B
       Int_ZF_2_1_L7 Int_ZF_2_L14 by auto
  moreover have \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(f(p)) \leq A \cdot abs(p) + B
  proof
     fix p assume A2: p \in \mathbb{Z}
     with A1 have T:
       f(p) \in \mathbb{Z} abs(p) \in \mathbb{Z} f(1) \in \mathbb{Z}
       p \cdot f(1) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad 3 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \max \delta(f) \in \mathbb{Z}
       using Int_ZF_2_1_L2B Int_ZF_2_L14 int_zero_one_are_int
 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_2_1_L7 by auto
     from A1 A2 have
       abs(1 \cdot f(p) - p \cdot f(1)) \le (abs(p) + abs(1) + 2) \cdot max\delta(f)
       using int_zero_one_are_int Arthan_Lem_7 by simp
     with T have abs(f(p)) \le abs(p \cdot f(1)) + (abs(p) + 3) \cdot max\delta(f)
       using Int_ZF_2_L16A Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_1_2_L11
 Int_triangle_ineq2 by simp
     with A2 T show abs(f(p)) \le A \cdot abs(p) + B
       using Int_ZF_1_3_L14 by simp
  ged
  ultimately show thesis by auto
If f and g are slopes, then f \circ g is equivalent (almost equal) to g \circ f. This
is Theorem 9 in Arthan's paper [2].
theorem (in int1) Arthan_Th_9: assumes A1: f \in S g \in S
```

```
shows fog \sim gof
proof -
    from A1 have
         \exists A B. A \in \mathbb{Z} \land B \in \mathbb{Z} \land (\forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(f(p)) \leq A \cdot abs(p) + B)
         \exists C D. C \in \mathbb{Z} \land D \in \mathbb{Z} \land (\forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(g(p)) \leq C \cdot abs(p) + D)
         using Arthan_Lem_8 by auto
      then obtain A B C D where D1: A \in \mathbb{Z} B \in \mathbb{Z} C \in \mathbb{Z} D \in \mathbb{Z} and D2:
         \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(f(p)) \leq A \cdot abs(p) + B
         \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(g(p)) \leq C \cdot abs(p) + D
         by auto
      let E = \max \delta(g) \cdot (A+1) + \max \delta(f) \cdot (C+1)
      let F = (B \cdot \max \delta(g) + 2 \cdot \max \delta(g)) + (D \cdot \max \delta(f) + 2 \cdot \max \delta(f))
   { fix p assume A2: p \in \mathbb{Z}
      with A1 have T1:
         g(p) \in \mathbb{Z} f(p) \in \mathbb{Z} abs(p) \in \mathbb{Z} 2 \in \mathbb{Z}
         f(g(p)) \in \mathbb{Z} \ g(f(p)) \in \mathbb{Z} \ f(g(p)) - g(f(p)) \in \mathbb{Z}
         p \cdot f(g(p)) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad p \cdot g(f(p)) \in \mathbb{Z}
         abs(f(g(p))-g(f(p))) \in \mathbb{Z}
         using Int_ZF_2_1_L2B Int_ZF_2_1_L10 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_2_L14 int_two_three_are_int
         by auto
      with A1 A2 have
         abs((f(g(p))-g(f(p)))\cdot p) \leq
          (abs(p)+abs(f(p))+2)\cdot max\delta(g) + (abs(p)+abs(g(p))+2)\cdot max\delta(f)
          using Arthan_Lem_7 Int_ZF_1_2_L10A Int_ZF_1_2_L12 by simp
      moreover have
          (abs(p)+abs(f(p))+2)\cdot max\delta(g) + (abs(p)+abs(g(p))+2)\cdot max\delta(f) \le (abs(p)+abs(g(p))+2)\cdot max\delta(f)
          ((\max \delta(g) \cdot (A+1) + \max \delta(f) \cdot (C+1))) \cdot abs(p) +
          ((B \cdot \max \delta(g) + 2 \cdot \max \delta(g)) + (D \cdot \max \delta(f) + 2 \cdot \max \delta(f)))
      proof -
         from D2 A2 T1 have
 abs(p)+abs(f(p))+2 \le abs(p)+(A \cdot abs(p)+B)+2
 abs(p)+abs(g(p))+2 \le abs(p)+(C\cdot abs(p)+D)+2
 using Int_ZF_2_L15C by auto
         with A1 have
 (abs(p)+abs(f(p))+2)\cdot max\delta(g) \leq (abs(p)+(A\cdot abs(p)+B)+2)\cdot max\delta(g)
 (abs(p)+abs(g(p))+2)\cdot max\delta(f) < (abs(p)+(C\cdot abs(p)+D)+2)\cdot max\delta(f)
 using Int_ZF_2_1_L8 Int_ZF_1_3_L13 by auto
         moreover from A1 D1 T1 have
 (abs(p)+(A \cdot abs(p)+B)+2) \cdot max\delta(g) =
 \max \delta(g) \cdot (A+1) \cdot abs(p) + (B \cdot \max \delta(g) + 2 \cdot \max \delta(g))
 (abs(p)+(C\cdot abs(p)+D)+2)\cdot max\delta(f) =
 \max \delta(f) \cdot (C+1) \cdot abs(p) + (D \cdot max \delta(f) + 2 \cdot max \delta(f))
 using Int_ZF_2_1_L8 Int_ZF_1_2_L13 by auto
         ultimately have
 (\mathsf{abs}(\mathsf{p}) + \mathsf{abs}(\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{p})) + 2) \cdot \mathsf{max} \delta(\mathsf{g}) \ + \ (\mathsf{abs}(\mathsf{p}) + \mathsf{abs}(\mathsf{g}(\mathsf{p})) + 2) \cdot \mathsf{max} \delta(\mathsf{f}) \ \leq \\
  (\max \delta(g) \cdot (A+1) \cdot abs(p) + (B \cdot \max \delta(g) + 2 \cdot \max \delta(g))) +
  (\max \delta(f) \cdot (C+1) \cdot abs(p) + (D \cdot \max \delta(f) + 2 \cdot \max \delta(f)))
 using int_ineq_add_sides by simp
         moreover from A1 A2 D1 have abs(p) \in \mathbb{Z}
```

```
\mathtt{max}\delta(\mathtt{g})\cdot(\mathtt{A+1})\ \in\ \mathbb{Z}\quad \mathtt{B}\cdot\mathtt{max}\delta(\mathtt{g})\ +\ \mathbf{2}\cdot\mathtt{max}\delta(\mathtt{g})\ \in\ \mathbb{Z}
 \max \delta(f) \cdot (C+1) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad D \cdot \max \delta(f) + 2 \cdot \max \delta(f) \in \mathbb{Z}
 using Int_ZF_2_L14 Int_ZF_2_1_L8 int_zero_one_are_int
    Int_ZF_1_1_L5 int_two_three_are_int by auto
        ultimately show thesis using Int_ZF_1_2_L14 by simp
     qed
     ultimately have
        abs((f(g(p))-g(f(p)))\cdot p) \le E \cdot abs(p) + F
        by (rule Int_order_transitive)
     with A2 T1 have
        abs(f(g(p)) \hbox{-} g(f(p))) \cdot abs(p) \ \le \ E \cdot abs(p) \ + \ F
        abs(f(g(p))-g(f(p))) \in \mathbb{Z}
        using Int_ZF_1_3_L5 by auto
   } then have
        \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(f(g(p))-g(f(p))) \in \mathbb{Z}
        \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(f(g(p))-g(f(p)))\cdot abs(p) \leq E \cdot abs(p) + F
     by auto
  moreover from A1 D1 have E \in \mathbb{Z} F \in \mathbb{Z}
     using int_zero_one_are_int int_two_three_are_int Int_ZF_2_1_L8 Int_ZF_1_1_L5
     by auto
   ultimately have
     \existsL. \forallp\inZ. abs(f(g(p))-g(f(p))) \leq L
     by (rule Int_ZF_1_7_L1)
  with A1 obtain L where \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. abs((fog)(p)-(gof)(p)) \leq L
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L10 by auto
  moreover from A1 have f \circ g \in \mathcal{S} gof \in \mathcal{S}
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L11 by auto
  ultimately show fog \sim gof using Int_ZF_2_1_L9 by auto
qed
```

49 Integers 3

theory Int_ZF_3 imports Int_ZF_2

begin

end

This theory is a continuation of Int_ZF_2. We consider here the properties of slopes (almost homomorphisms on integers) that allow to define the order relation and multiplicative inverse on real numbers. We also prove theorems that allow to show completeness of the order relation of real numbers we define in Real_ZF.

49.1 Positive slopes

This section provides background material for defining the order relation on real numbers.

```
Positive slopes are functions (of course.)
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L1: assumes A1: f \in S_+ shows f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}
   using assms AlmostHoms_def PositiveSet_def by simp
A small technical lemma to simplify the proof of the next theorem.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L1A:
   assumes A1: f \in S_+ and A2: \exists n \in f(\mathbb{Z}_+) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+. a \le n
  shows \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}_+. a \leq f(M)
proof -
 from A1 have f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z}_+ \subseteq \mathbb{Z}
      using AlmostHoms_def PositiveSet_def by auto
 with A2 show thesis using func_imagedef by auto
The next lemma is Lemma 3 in the Arthan's paper.
lemma (in int1) Arthan_Lem_3:
   assumes A1: f \in S_+ and A2: D \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  shows \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. (m+1) \cdot D \leq f(m \cdot M)
proof -
  let E = \max \delta(f) + D
  let A = f(\mathbb{Z}_+) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+
   from A1 A2 have I: D \le E
      using Int_ZF_1_5_L3 Int_ZF_2_1_L8 Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_2_L15D
   from A1 A2 have A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+ A \notin Fin(\mathbb{Z}) \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbb{E} \in \mathbb{Z}
      using int_two_three_are_int Int_ZF_2_1_L8 PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_1_1_L5
      by auto
   with A1 have \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}_+. 2 \cdot E < f(M)
      using Int_ZF_1_5_L2A Int_ZF_2_3_L1A by simp
   then obtain M where II: M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and III: 2 \cdot E \leq f(M)
      by auto
   { fix m assume m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ then have A4: 1 \le m
         using Int_ZF_1_5_L3 by simp
      moreover from II III have (1+1) \cdot E \leq f(1\cdot M)
         using PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_1_1_L4 by simp
      moreover have \forall k.
         1 {\leq} \texttt{k} \ \land \ (\texttt{k+1}) {\cdot} \texttt{E} \ {\leq} \ \texttt{f(k \cdot \texttt{M})} \ \longrightarrow \ (\texttt{k+1+1}) {\cdot} \texttt{E} \ {\leq} \ \texttt{f((\texttt{k+1}) \cdot \texttt{M})}
         { fix k assume A5: 1 \le k and A6: (k+1) \cdot E \le f(k \cdot M)
 with A1 A2 II have T:
    \texttt{k}{\in}\mathbb{Z} \quad \texttt{M}{\in}\mathbb{Z} \quad \texttt{k}{+}1 \ \in \ \mathbb{Z} \quad \texttt{E}{\in}\mathbb{Z} \quad (\texttt{k}{+}1){\cdot}\texttt{E} \ \in \ \mathbb{Z} \quad \textbf{2}{\cdot}\texttt{E} \ \in \ \mathbb{Z}
    using Int_ZF_2_L1A PositiveSet_def int_zero_one_are_int
       Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_2_1_L8 by auto
 from A1 A2 A5 II have
    \delta(f,k\cdot M,M) \in \mathbb{Z}
                              abs(\delta(f,k\cdot M,M)) \leq max\delta(f)
                                                                        0 < D
    using Int_ZF_2_L1A PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_1_1_L5
       Int_ZF_2_1_L7 Int_ZF_2_L16C by auto
 with III A6 have
    (k+1)\cdot E + (2\cdot E - E) \le f(k\cdot M) + (f(M) + \delta(f,k\cdot M,M))
```

```
using Int_ZF_1_3_L19A int_ineq_add_sides by simp
 with A1 T have (k+1+1)\cdot E \leq f((k+1)\cdot M)
   using Int_ZF_1_1_L1 int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L4
      Int_ZF_1_2_L11 Int_ZF_2_1_L13 by simp
       } then show thesis by simp
     ged
     ultimately have (m+1) \cdot E \le f(m \cdot M) by (rule Induction_on_int)
     with A4 I have (m+1)\cdot D \leq f(m\cdot M) using Int_ZF_1_3_L13A
       by simp
  } then have \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+.(m+1)·D \leq f(m·M) by simp
  with II show thesis by auto
A special case of Arthan_Lem_3 when D = 1.
corollary (in int1) Arthan_L_3_spec: assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S}_+
  shows \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ . \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ . n+1 \leq f(n \cdot M)
proof -
  have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. n+1 \in \mathbb{Z}
     using PositiveSet_def int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L5
     by simp
  then have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. (n+1)\cdot 1 = n+1
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 by simp
  moreover from A1 have \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. (n+1) \cdot 1 \leq f(n \cdot M)
     using int_one_two_are_pos Arthan_Lem_3 by simp
  ultimately show thesis by simp
qed
```

We know from Group_ZF_3.thy that finite range functions are almost homomorphisms. Besides reminding that fact for slopes the next lemma shows that finite range functions do not belong to S_+ . This is important, because the projection of the set of finite range functions defines zero in the real number construction in Real_ZF_x.thy series, while the projection of S_+ becomes the set of (strictly) positive reals. We don't want zero to be positive, do we? The next lemma is a part of Lemma 5 in the Arthan's paper [2].

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L1B: assumes A1: f \in FinRangeFunctions(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z}) shows f \in \mathcal{S} f \notin \mathcal{S}_+ proof - from A1 show f \in \mathcal{S} using Int_ZF_2_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_3_L1 by auto have \mathbb{Z}_+ \subseteq \mathbb{Z} using PositiveSet_def by auto with A1 have f(\mathbb{Z}_+) \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) using Finite1_L21 by simp then have f(\mathbb{Z}_+) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+ \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) using Fin_subset_lemma by blast thus f \notin \mathcal{S}_+ by auto qed
```

We want to show that if f is a slope and neither f nor -f are in S_+ , then

```
f is bounded. The next lemma is the first step towards that goal and shows
that if slope is not in S_+ then f(\mathbb{Z}_+) is bounded above.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L2: assumes A1: f \in S and A2: f \notin S_+
  shows IsBoundedAbove(f(\mathbb{Z}_+), IntegerOrder)
proof -
  from A1 have f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} using AlmostHoms_def by simp
  then have f(\mathbb{Z}_+) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} using func1_1_L6 by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 have f(\mathbb{Z}_+) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+ \in Fin(\mathbb{Z}) by auto
  ultimately show thesis using Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L4
     by simp
qed
If f is a slope and -f \notin S_+, then f(\mathbb{Z}_+) is bounded below.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L3: assumes A1: f \in S and A2: -f \notin S_+
  shows IsBoundedBelow(f(\mathbb{Z}_+), IntegerOrder)
proof -
  from A1 have T: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} using AlmostHoms_def by simp
  then have (-(f(\mathbb{Z}_+))) = (-f)(\mathbb{Z}_+)
     using Int_ZF_1_T2 group0_2_T2 PositiveSet_def func1_1_L15C
  with A1 A2 T show IsBoundedBelow(f(\mathbb{Z}_+), IntegerOrder)
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L12 Int_ZF_2_3_L2 PositiveSet_def func1_1_L6
       Int_ZF_2_T1 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L5 by simp
qed
A slope that is bounded on \mathbb{Z}_+ is bounded everywhere.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L4:
  assumes A1: f \in S and A2: m \in \mathbb{Z}
  and A3: \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(f(n)) \leq L
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{abs(f(m))} \ \leq \ \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathtt{max} \delta(\mathtt{f}) \ + \ \mathtt{L}
proof -
  from A1 A3 have
     0 \le abs(f(1)) \quad abs(f(1)) \le L
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_2_1_L2B int_abs_nonneg int_one_two_are_pos
     by auto
  then have II: 0 \le L by (rule Int_order_transitive)
  moreover have abs(f(0)) \leq 2 \cdot max \delta(f) + L
  proof -
     from A1 have
       abs(f(0)) \leq max\delta(f)
                                0 \leq \max \delta(f)
       and T: \max \delta(f) \in \mathbb{Z}
       using Int_ZF_2_1_L8 by auto
     with II have abs(f(0)) \le max\delta(f) + max\delta(f) + L
       using Int_ZF_2_L15F by simp
     with T show thesis using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 by simp
  qed
  moreover from A1 A3 II have
```

```
\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(f(n)) \leq 2 \cdot \max \delta(f) + L
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L8 Int_ZF_1_3_L5A Int_ZF_2_L15F
     by simp
  moreover have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(f(-n)) \leq 2 \cdot max \delta(f) + L
  proof
     fix n assume n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
     with A1 A3 have
       2 \cdot \max \delta(f) \in \mathbb{Z}
       abs(f(-n)) \leq 2 \cdot max\delta(f) + abs(f(n))
       abs(f(n)) \leq L
       using int_two_three_are_int Int_ZF_2_1_L8 Int_ZF_1_1_L5
 PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_2_1_L14 by auto
     then show abs(f(-n)) \leq 2 \cdot max \delta(f) + L
       using Int_ZF_2_L15A by blast
  qed
  ultimately show thesis by (rule Int_ZF_2_L19B)
A slope whose image of the set of positive integers is bounded is a finite
range function.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L4A:
  assumes A1: f \in S and A2: IsBounded(f(\mathbb{Z}_+), IntegerOrder)
  shows f \in FinRangeFunctions(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z})
proof -
  have T1: \mathbb{Z}_+ \subseteq \mathbb{Z} using PositiveSet_def by auto
  from A1 have T2: f:\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} using AlmostHoms_def by simp
  from A2 obtain L where \forall a \in f(\mathbb{Z}_+). abs(a) \leq L
     using Int_ZF_1_3_L20A by auto
  with T2 T1 have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(f(n)) \leq L
    by (rule func1_1_L15B)
  with A1 have \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(f(m)) \leq 2 \cdot \max \delta(f) + L
     using Int_ZF_2_3_L4 by simp
  with T2 have f(\mathbb{Z}) \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
     by (rule Int_ZF_1_3_L20C)
  with T2 show f \in FinRangeFunctions(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z})
     using FinRangeFunctions_def by simp
qed
A slope whose image of the set of positive integers is bounded below is a
finite range function or a positive slope.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L4B:
  assumes f \in S and IsBoundedBelow(f(\mathbb{Z}_+), IntegerOrder)
  shows f \in FinRangeFunctions(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z}) \vee f \in \mathcal{S}_{+}
  using assms Int_ZF_2_3_L2 IsBounded_def Int_ZF_2_3_L4A
  by auto
If one slope is not greater then another on positive integers, then they are
almost equal or the difference is a positive slope.
```

lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L4C: assumes A1: $f \in S$ $g \in S$ and

```
A2: \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. f(n) \leq g(n)
  shows f\simg \vee g + (-f) \in \mathcal{S}_+
proof -
  let h = g + (-f)
  from A1 have (-f) \in S using Int_ZF_2_1_L12
     by simp
  with A1 have I: h \in S using Int_ZF_2_1_L12C
  moreover have IsBoundedBelow(h(\mathbb{Z}_+), IntegerOrder)
  proof -
     from I have
       h: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \mathbb{Z}_+ \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \text{ using AlmostHoms\_def PositiveSet\_def}
       by auto
     moreover from A1 A2 have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \langle 0, h(n) \rangle \in IntegerOrder
       using Int_ZF_2_1_L2B PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_1_3_L10A
 Int_ZF_2_1_L12 Int_ZF_2_1_L12B Int_ZF_2_1_L12A
       by simp
     ultimately show IsBoundedBelow(h(\mathbb{Z}_+), IntegerOrder)
       by (rule func_ZF_8_L1)
  ultimately have h \in FinRangeFunctions(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z}) \lor h \in \mathcal{S}_+
     using Int_ZF_2_3_L4B by simp
  with A1 show f\simg \vee g + (-f) \in \mathcal{S}_+
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L9C by auto
Positive slopes are arbitrarily large for large enough arguments.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L5:
  assumes A1: f \in S_+ and A2: K \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows \exists N \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall m. N \leq m \longrightarrow K \leq f(m)
proof -
  from A1 obtain M where I: M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and II: \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. n+1 \leq f(n \cdot M)
     using Arthan_L_3_spec by auto
  let j = GreaterOf(IntegerOrder, M, K - (minf(f, 0..(M-1)) - \max \delta(f)) -
1)
  from A1 I have T1:
    \min(f, 0..(M-1)) - \max\delta(f) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad M \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L15 Int_ZF_2_1_L8 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 PositiveSet_def
    by auto
  with A2 I have T2:
    K - (\min(f, 0..(M-1)) - \max\delta(f)) \in \mathbb{Z}
     K - (minf(f,0..(M-1)) - max\delta(f)) - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 int_zero_one_are_int by auto
  with T1 have III: M \leq j and
    K - (\min(f, 0..(M-1)) - \max\delta(f)) - 1 \le j
     using Int_ZF_1_3_L18 by auto
  with A2 T1 T2 have
     IV: K \leq j+1 + (\min(f, 0..(M-1)) - \max\delta(f))
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_2_L9C by simp
```

```
from T1 III have T3: j \in \mathbb{Z} j \cdot M \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
  then have V: \mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and VI: j \cdot \mathbb{M} \leq \mathbb{N}
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_5_L3 Int_ZF_1_3_L18
     by auto
   { fix m
     let n = m zdiv M
     let k = m zmod M
     assume N \le m
     with VI have j \cdot M \le m by (rule Int_order_transitive)
     with I III have
        VII: m = n \cdot M + k
        \texttt{j} \, \leq \, \texttt{n} \quad \textbf{and} \quad
        VIII: n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ k \in 0..(M-1)
        using IntDiv_ZF_1_L5 by auto
     with II have
        \texttt{j + 1} \leq \texttt{n + 1} \quad \texttt{n+1} \leq \texttt{f(n\cdot M)}
        using int_zero_one_are_int int_ord_transl_inv by auto
     then have j + 1 \leq f(n \cdot M)
        by (rule Int_order_transitive)
     with T1 have
        j+1 + (\min(f, 0..(M-1)) - \max\delta(f)) \le
        f(n \cdot M) + (minf(f, 0..(M-1)) - max\delta(f))
        using int_ord_transl_inv by simp
     with IV have K \leq f(n \cdot M) + (minf(f, 0...(M-1)) - max\delta(f))
        by (rule Int_order_transitive)
     moreover from A1 I VIII have
        f(n\cdot M) + (minf(f,0..(M-1)) - max\delta(f)) \le f(n\cdot M+k)
        using PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_2_1_L16 by simp
     ultimately have K \leq f(n\cdot M+k)
        by (rule Int_order_transitive)
     with VII have K \leq f(m) by simp
     } then have \forall m. N \leq m \longrightarrow K \leq f(m)
        by simp
     with V show thesis by auto
qed
Positive slopes are arbitrarily small for small enough arguments. Kind of
dual to Int_ZF_2_3_L5.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L5A: assumes A1: f \in S_+ and A2: K \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows \exists N \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall m. N \leq m \longrightarrow f(-m) \leq K
  from A1 have T1: abs(f(0)) + max\delta(f) \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L8 by auto
  with A2 have abs(f(0)) + max\delta(f) - K \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
  with A1 have
     \exists\, \mathtt{N} {\in} \mathbf{Z}_{+}. \ \forall\, \mathtt{m}. \ \mathtt{N} {\leq} \mathtt{m} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{abs}(\mathtt{f}(\mathbf{0})) \ + \ \mathtt{max} \delta(\mathtt{f}) \ - \ \mathtt{K} \ \leq \ \mathtt{f}(\mathtt{m})
```

let N = GreaterOf(IntegerOrder,1,j.M)

```
using Int_ZF_2_3_L5 by simp
  then obtain N where I: \mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and II:
     \forall m. N \leq m \longrightarrow abs(f(0)) + max\delta(f) - K \leq f(m)
     by auto
   { fix m assume A3: N≤m
     with A1 have
        f(-m) \le abs(f(0)) + max\delta(f) - f(m)
        using Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_2_1_L14 by simp
     moreover
     from II T1 A3 have abs(f(0)) + max\delta(f) - f(m) \le
        (abs(f(0)) + max\delta(f)) - (abs(f(0)) + max\delta(f) - K)
        using Int_ZF_2_L10 int_ord_transl_inv by simp
     with A2 T1 have abs(f(0)) + max\delta(f) - f(m) \le K
        using Int_ZF_1_2_L3 by simp
     ultimately have f(-m) \leq K
        by (rule Int_order_transitive)
   } then have \forall m. \ \mathbb{N} \leq m \longrightarrow f(-m) \leq \mathbb{K}
     by simp
   with I show thesis by auto
qed
A special case of Int_ZF_2_3_L5 where K = 1.
corollary (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L6: assumes f \in S_+
  shows \exists \, \mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall \, \mathbb{m}. \mathbb{N} \leq \mathbb{m} \longrightarrow f(\mathbb{m}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  using assms int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_2_3_L5 Int_ZF_1_5_L3
  by simp
A special case of Int_ZF_2_3_L5 where m = N.
corollary (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L6A: assumes f \in S_+ and K \in \mathbb{Z}
    shows \exists N \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq f(N)
proof -
  from assms have \exists \, \mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall \, \mathbb{m}. \mathbb{N} \leq \mathbb{m} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \leq f(\mathbb{m})
     using Int_ZF_2_3_L5 by simp
  then obtain N where I: N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and II: \forall m. N \leq m \longrightarrow K \leq f(m)
     by auto
  then show thesis using PositiveSet_def int_ord_is_refl refl_def
     by auto
qed
If values of a slope are not bounded above, then the slope is positive.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L7: assumes A1: f \in S
  and A2: \forall K \in \mathbb{Z}. \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq f(n)
  shows f \in S_+
proof -
   \{ \text{ fix } K \text{ assume } K \in \mathbb{Z} \}
     with A2 obtain n where n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ K \leq f(n)
        by auto
     moreover from A1 have \mathbb{Z}_+ \subseteq \mathbb{Z} f:\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
        using PositiveSet_def AlmostHoms_def by auto
```

```
ultimately have \exists m \in f(\mathbb{Z}_+). K \leq m
        using func1_1_L15D by auto
  } then have \forall K \in \mathbb{Z}. \exists m \in f(\mathbb{Z}_+). K \leq m by simp
  with A1 show f \in \mathcal{S}_+ using Int_ZF_4_L9 Int_ZF_2_3_L2
     by auto
qed
For unbounded slope f either f \in S_+ of -f \in S_+.
theorem (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L8:
  assumes A1: f \in S and A2: f \notin FinRangeFunctions(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})
  shows (f \in \mathcal{S}_+) Xor ((-f) \in \mathcal{S}_+)
proof -
  have T1: \mathbb{Z}_+ \subseteq \mathbb{Z} using PositiveSet_def by auto
  from A1 have T2: f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} using AlmostHoms_def by simp
  then have I: f(\mathbb{Z}_+) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} using func1_1_L6 by auto
  from A1 A2 have f \in \mathcal{S}_+ \lor (\mathsf{-f}) \in \mathcal{S}_+
     using Int_ZF_2_3_L2 Int_ZF_2_3_L3 IsBounded_def Int_ZF_2_3_L4A
     by blast
  moreover have \neg(f \in \mathcal{S}_+ \land (\neg f) \in \mathcal{S}_+)
  proof -
     { assume A3: f \in \mathcal{S}_+ and A4: (-f) \in \mathcal{S}_+
        from A3 obtain N1 where
 I: N1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and II: \forall m. N1 \le m \longrightarrow f(m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+
 using Int_ZF_2_3_L6 by auto
        from A4 obtain N2 where
 III: N2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and IV: \forall m. N2 \le m \longrightarrow (-f)(m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+
 using Int_ZF_2_3_L6 by auto
        let N = GreaterOf(IntegerOrder,N1,N2)
        from I III have N1 \le N N2 \le N
 using PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_1_3_L18 by auto
        with A1 II IV have
 f(N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad (-f)(N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad (-f)(N) = -(f(N))
 using Int_ZF_2_L1A PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_2_1_L12A
 by auto
        then have False using Int_ZF_1_5_L8 by simp
     } thus thesis by auto
  qed
  ultimately show (f \in \mathcal{S}_+) Xor ((-f) \in \mathcal{S}_+)
     using Xor_def by simp
qed
The sum of positive slopes is a positive slope.
theorem (in int1) sum_of_pos_sls_is_pos_sl:
  assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S}_+ g \in \mathcal{S}_+
  shows f+g \in \mathcal{S}_+
proof -
  { fix K assume K \in \mathbb{Z}
     with A1 have \exists \, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall \, m. N \leq m \longrightarrow K \leq f(m)
        using Int_ZF_2_3_L5 by simp
```

```
then obtain N where I: N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and II: \forall m. N \le m \longrightarrow K \le f(m)
        by auto
     from A1 have \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall m. M \leq m \longrightarrow 0 \leq g(m)
        using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_2_3_L5 by simp
     then obtain M where III: M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and IV: \forall m. M \le m \longrightarrow 0 \le g(m)
        by auto
     let L = GreaterOf(IntegerOrder,N,M)
     from I III have V: L \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mathbb{Z}_+ \subseteq \mathbb{Z}
        using GreaterOf_def PositiveSet_def by auto
     moreover from A1 V have (f+g)(L) = f(L) + g(L)
        using Int_ZF_2_1_L12B by auto
     moreover from I II III IV have K \leq f(L) + g(L)
        using PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_1_3_L18 Int_ZF_2_L15F
        by simp
     ultimately have L \in \mathbb{Z}_+ K \leq (f+g)(L)
        by auto
     then have \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq (f+g)(n)
        by auto
  } with A1 show f+g \in \mathcal{S}_+
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L12C Int_ZF_2_3_L7 by simp
The composition of positive slopes is a positive slope.
theorem (in int1) comp_of_pos_sls_is_pos_sl:
  assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S}_+ g \in \mathcal{S}_+
  shows fog \in S_+
proof -
  { fix K assume K \in \mathbb{Z}
     with A1 have \exists N \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall m. N \leq m \longrightarrow K \leq f(m)
        using Int_ZF_2_3_L5 by simp
     then obtain N where N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and I: \forall m. N \leq m \longrightarrow K \leq f(m)
        by auto
     with A1 have \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}_+. N \leq g(M)
        using PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_2_3_L6A by simp
     then obtain M where M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ N \leq g(M)
        by auto
     with A1 I have \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq (f \circ g)(M)
        using PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_2_1_L10
        by auto
  } with A1 show f\circg \in \mathcal{S}_{+}
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L11 Int_ZF_2_3_L7
     by simp
qed
A slope equivalent to a positive one is positive.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L9:
  assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S}_+ and A2: \langle \texttt{f}, \texttt{g} \rangle \in \texttt{AlEqRel shows g} \in \mathcal{S}_+
proof -
  from A2 have T: g \in S and \exists L \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(f(m)-g(m)) \leq L
```

```
using Int_ZF_2_1_L9A by auto
   then obtain L where
      I: L \in \mathbb{Z} and II: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(f(m)-g(m)) \leq L
      by auto
  { fix K assume A3: K \in \mathbb{Z}
     with I have K+L \in \mathbb{Z}
       using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
     with A1 obtain M where III: M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and IV: K+L \leq f(M)
       using Int_ZF_2_3_L6A by auto
     with A1 A3 I have K \leq f(M)-L
       using PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_2_1_L2B Int_ZF_2_L9B
       by simp
     moreover from A1 T II III have
       f(M)-L \leq g(M)
       using PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_2_1_L2B Int_triangle_ineq2
       by simp
     ultimately have K \leq g(M)
       by (rule Int_order_transitive)
     with III have \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq g(n)
       by auto
  } with T show g \in \mathcal{S}_+
     using Int_ZF_2_3_L7 by simp
The set of positive slopes is saturated with respect to the relation of equiv-
alence of slopes.
lemma (in int1) pos_slopes_saturated: shows IsSaturated(AlEqRel,S_+)
proof -
  have
     equiv(S,AlEqRel)
    \texttt{AlEqRel} \,\subseteq\, \mathcal{S} \,\times\, \mathcal{S}
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L9B by auto
  moreover have \mathcal{S}_+ \subseteq \mathcal{S} by auto
  moreover have \forall \, f \in \mathcal{S}_+. \forall \, g \in \mathcal{S}. \langle f, g \rangle \in AlEqRel \longrightarrow g \in \mathcal{S}_+
     using Int_ZF_2_3_L9 by blast
  ultimately show IsSaturated(AlEqRel,S_+)
     by (rule EquivClass_3_L3)
qed
A technical lemma involving a projection of the set of positive slopes and a
logical epression with exclusive or.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L10:
  assumes A1: f \in S g \in S
  and A2: R = \{AlEqRel\{s\}. s \in S_+\}
  and A3: (f \in S_+) Xor (g \in S_+)
  shows (AlEqRel\{f\} \in R) Xor (AlEqRel\{g\} \in R)
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 have
     equiv(S, AlEqRel)
```

```
IsSaturated(AlEqRel,S_+)
     \mathcal{S}_+\,\subseteq\,\mathcal{S}
     \mathtt{f}{\in}\mathcal{S}\quad \mathtt{g}{\in}\mathcal{S}
     R = \{AlEqRel\{s\}. s \in S_+\}
     (f\in \mathcal{S}_+) Xor (g\in \mathcal{S}_+)
     using pos_slopes_saturated Int_ZF_2_1_L9B by auto
  then show thesis by (rule EquivClass_3_L7)
Identity function is a positive slope.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L11: shows id(\mathbb{Z}) \in \mathcal{S}_+
proof -
  let f = id(\mathbb{Z})
  { fix K assume K \in \mathbb{Z}
     then obtain n where T: n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and K \le n
       using Int_ZF_1_5_L9 by auto
     moreover from T have f(n) = n
       using PositiveSet_def by simp
     ultimately have n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and K \leq f(n)
       by auto
     then have \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. K \leq f(n) by auto
  } then show f \in S_+
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L17 Int_ZF_2_3_L7 by simp
qed
The identity function is not almost equal to any bounded function.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_3_L12: assumes A1: f ∈ FinRangeFunctions(Z,Z)
  shows \neg(id(\mathbb{Z}) \sim f)
proof -
  { from A1 have id(\mathbb{Z}) \in \mathcal{S}_+
       using Int_ZF_2_3_L11 by simp
     moreover assume \langle id(\mathbb{Z}), f \rangle \in AlEqRel
     ultimately have f \in S_+
       by (rule Int_ZF_2_3_L9)
     with A1 have False using Int_ZF_2_3_L1B
   } then show \neg(id(\mathbb{Z}) \sim f) by auto
qed
```

49.2 Inverting slopes

Not every slope is a 1:1 function. However, we can still invert slopes in the sense that if f is a slope, then we can find a slope g such that $f \circ g$ is almost equal to the identity function. The goal of this this section is to establish this fact for positive slopes.

If f is a positive slope, then for every positive integer p the set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : p \leq f(n)\}$ is a nonempty subset of positive integers. Recall that $f^{-1}(p)$ is the notation for the smallest element of this set.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_4_L1:
  assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S}_+ and A2: p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and A3: A = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. p \leq f(n)\}
  shows
  \mathtt{A} \,\subseteq\, \mathbf{Z}_+
  A \neq 0
  \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{p}) \in \mathtt{A}
  \forall \, \mathtt{m} \in \bar{\mathtt{A}}. \, \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{p}) \leq \mathtt{m}
proof -
  from A3 show I: A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+ by auto
  from A1 A2 have \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. p \leq f(n)
     using PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_2_3_L6A by simp
  with A3 show II: A \neq 0 by auto
  from A3 I II show
     \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{p}) \in \mathtt{A}
     \forall \, \mathbf{m} \in \bar{\mathbf{A}}. \, \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\mathbf{p}) \leq \mathbf{m}
     using Int_ZF_1_5_L1C by auto
qed
If f is a positive slope and p is a positive integer p, then f^{-1}(p) (defined as
the minimum of the set \{n \in Z_+ : p \le f(n)\}\) is a (well defined) positive
integer.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_4_L2:
  assumes f \in S_+ and p \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  shows
  f^{-1}(p) \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  p \leq f(f^{-1}(p))
  using assms Int_ZF_2_4_L1 by auto
If f is a positive slope and p is a positive integer such that n \leq f(p), then
f^{-1}(n) < p.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_4_L3:
  assumes f \in S_+ and m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and m \leq f(p)
  shows f^{-1}(m) \leq p
  using assms Int_ZF_2_4_L1 by simp
An upper bound f(f^{-1}(m) - 1) for positive slopes.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_4_L4:
  assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S}_+ and A2: m\in \mathbb{Z}_+ and A3: f^{-1}(m)-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  shows f(f^{-1}(m)-1) \leq m f(f^{-1}(m)-1) \neq m
proof -
  from A1 A2 have T: f^{-1}(m) \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_4_L2 PositiveSet_def
     by simp
  from A1 A3 have f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} and f^{-1}(m)-1 \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_2_3_L1 PositiveSet_def by auto
  with A1 A2 have T1: f(f^{-1}(m)-1) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}
     using apply_funtype PositiveSet_def by auto
   { assume m \leq f(f^{-1}(m)-1)
     with A1 A2 A3 have f^{-1}(m) \le f^{-1}(m)-1
```

```
by (rule Int_ZF_2_4_L3)
     with T have False using Int_ZF_1_2_L3AA
        by simp
   } then have I: \neg(m \le f(f^{-1}(m)-1)) by auto
   with T1 show f(f^{-1}(m)-1) < m
     by (rule Int_ZF_2_L19)
  from T1 I show f(f^{-1}(m)-1) \neq m
     by (rule Int_ZF_2_L19)
qed
The (candidate for) the inverse of a positive slope is nondecreasing.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_4_L5:
  assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S}_+ and A2: m\in \mathbb{Z}_+ and A3: m\len
  shows f^{-1}(m) \leq f^{-1}(n)
  from A2 A3 have T: n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ using Int_ZF_1_5_L7 by blast
  with A1 have n \le f(f^{-1}(n)) using Int_ZF_2_4_L2
     by simp
  with A3 have m \le f(f^{-1}(n)) by (rule Int_order_transitive)
  with A1 A2 T show f^{-1}(m) \leq f^{-1}(n)
     using Int_ZF_2_4_L2 Int_ZF_2_4_L3 by simp
If f^{-1}(m) is positive and n is a positive integer, then, then f^{-1}(m+n)-1
is positive.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_4_L6:
  assumes A1: f \in S_+ and A2: m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and
  A3: f^{-1}(m)-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  shows f^{-1}(m+n)-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  from A1 A2 have f^{-1}(m)-1 < f^{-1}(m+n) - 1
       using PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_1_5_L7A Int_ZF_2_4_L2
          Int_ZF_2_4_L5 int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L4
          int_ord_transl_inv by simp
  with A3 show f^{-1}(m+n)-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ using Int_ZF_1_5_L7
     by blast
If f is a slope, then f(f^{-1}(m+n)-f^{-1}(m)-f^{-1}(n)) is uniformly bounded
above and below. Will it be the messiest IsarMathLib proof ever? Only time
will tell.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_4_L7: assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S}_+ and
  A2: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. f^{-1}(m) - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  \exists\, \mathtt{U} {\in} \mathbf{Z}. \ \forall\, \mathtt{m} {\in} \mathbf{Z}_{+}. \ \forall\, \mathtt{n} {\in} \mathbf{Z}_{+}. \ \mathtt{f}(\mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{m} {+} \mathtt{n}) {-} \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{m}) {-} \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{n})) \ \leq \ \mathtt{U}
  \exists\, \mathtt{N} \in \mathbb{Z} \,. \ \forall\, \mathtt{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \,. \ \forall\, \mathtt{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \,. \ \mathsf{N} \,\leq\, \mathtt{f}(\mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{m} + \mathtt{n}) - \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{m}) - \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{n}))
proof -
  from A1 have \exists L \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall r \in \mathbb{Z}. f(r) \leq f(r-1) + L
```

```
using Int_ZF_2_1_L28 by simp
 then obtain L where
     I: L \in \mathbb{Z} and II: \forall r \in \mathbb{Z}. f(r) \leq f(r-1) + L
    by auto
 from A1 have
     \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}. \ \forall r \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall q \in \mathbb{Z}. \ f(r-p-q) \leq f(r)-f(p)-f(q)+M
     \exists \, \mathtt{K} \in \mathbb{Z}. \,\, \forall \, \mathtt{r} \in \mathbb{Z}. \, \forall \, \mathtt{p} \in \mathbb{Z}. \, \forall \, \mathtt{q} \in \mathbb{Z}. \,\, \mathtt{f(r)-f(p)-f(q)+K} \,\, \leq \,\, \mathtt{f(r-p-q)}
     using Int_ZF_2_1_L30 by auto
 then obtain M K where III: Me\mathbb{Z} and
     IV: \forall r \in \mathbb{Z} . \forall p \in \mathbb{Z} . \forall q \in \mathbb{Z} . f(r-p-q) \leq f(r)-f(p)-f(q)+M
    and
    V: K \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } VI: \forall r \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall q \in \mathbb{Z}. f(r) - f(p) - f(q) + K \leq f(r - p - q)
    by auto
 from I III V have
     L+M \in \mathbb{Z} (-L) - L + K \in \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
 moreover
     { fix m n
        assume A3: m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
        have f(f^{-1}(m+n)-f^{-1}(m)-f^{-1}(n)) \le L+M \land
(-L)-L+K \le f(f^{-1}(m+n)-f^{-1}(m)-f^{-1}(n))
        proof -
\mathbf{let} \ \mathtt{r} = \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{m+n})
let p = f^{-1}(m)
let q = f^{-1}(n)
from A1 A3 have T1:
   p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}_+
   using Int_ZF_2_4_L2 pos_int_closed_add_unfolded by auto
with A3 have T2:
   \mathtt{m} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathtt{n} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathtt{p} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathtt{q} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathtt{r} \in \mathbb{Z}
   using PositiveSet_def by auto
from A2 A3 have T3:
   \texttt{r-1} \,\in\, \pmb{\mathbb{Z}}_+ \,\, \texttt{p-1} \,\in\, \pmb{\mathbb{Z}}_+ \quad \texttt{q-1} \,\in\, \pmb{\mathbb{Z}}_+
   using pos_int_closed_add_unfolded by auto
from A1 A3 have VII:
   m+n \le f(r)
   m \leq f(p)
   n < f(q)
   using Int_ZF_2_4_L2 pos_int_closed_add_unfolded by auto
from A1 A3 T3 have VIII:
   \texttt{f(r-1)} \ \leq \ \texttt{m+n}
   f(p-1) \leq m
   f(q-1) \leq n
   using pos_int_closed_add_unfolded Int_ZF_2_4_L4 by auto
have f(r-p-q) \le L+M
proof -
   from IV T2 have f(r-p-q) \le f(r)-f(p)-f(q)+M
      by simp
   moreover
```

```
from I II T2 VIII have
    f(r) \leq f(r-1) + L
    \texttt{f(r-1)} + \texttt{L} \leq \texttt{m+n+L}
    using int_ord_transl_inv by auto
  then have f(r) \le m+n+L
    by (rule Int_order_transitive)
  with VII have f(r) - f(p) \le m+n+L-m
    using int_ineq_add_sides by simp
  with I T2 VII have f(r) - f(p) - f(q) \le n+L-n
    using Int_ZF_1_2_L9 int_ineq_add_sides by simp
  with I III T2 have f(r) - f(p) - f(q) + M \le L+M
    using Int_ZF_1_2_L3 int_ord_transl_inv by simp
  ultimately show f(r-p-q) \le L+M
    by (rule Int_order_transitive)
qed
moreover have (-L)-L+K \le f(r-p-q)
proof -
  from I II T2 VIII have
    f(p) \leq f(p-1) + L
    f(p-1) + L \le m + L
    using int_ord_transl_inv by auto
  then have f(p) \le m + L
    by (rule Int_order_transitive)
  with VII have m+n - (m+L) \le f(r) - f(p)
    using int_ineq_add_sides by simp
  with I T2 have n - L \le f(r) - f(p)
    using Int_ZF_1_2_L9 by simp
  moreover
  from I II T2 VIII have
    f(q) \leq f(q-1) + L
    f(q-1) + L \le n + L
    using int_ord_transl_inv by auto
  then have f(q) \le n + L
    by (rule Int_order_transitive)
  ultimately have
    n - L - (n+L) < f(r) - f(p) - f(q)
    using int_ineq_add_sides by simp
  with I V T2 have
    (-L)-L + K \le f(r) - f(p) - f(q) + K
    using Int_ZF_1_2_L3 int_ord_transl_inv by simp
  moreover from VI T2 have
    f(r) - f(p) - f(q) + K \le f(r-p-q)
    by simp
  ultimately show (-L)-L + K \le f(r-p-q)
    by (rule Int_order_transitive)
qed
ultimately show
  f(r-p-q) \le L+M \land
  (-L)^{-L}+K \le f(f^{-1}(m+n)-f^{-1}(m)-f^{-1}(n))
```

```
by simp
           qed
    ultimately show
        \exists U \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. f(f^{-1}(m+n)-f^{-1}(m)-f^{-1}(n)) \leq U
        \exists\, \mathtt{N} \in \mathbb{Z} \,. \ \forall\, \mathtt{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \,. \ \forall\, \mathtt{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \,. \ \mathsf{N} \,\leq\, \mathtt{f}(\mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{m} + \mathtt{n}) - \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{m}) - \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{n}))
        by auto
The expression f^{-1}(m+n) - f^{-1}(m) - f^{-1}(n) is uniformly bounded for all
pairs \langle m, n \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+. Recall that in the int1 context \varepsilon(f, x) is defined so
that \varepsilon(f, \langle m, n \rangle) = f^{-1}(m+n) - f^{-1}(m) - f^{-1}(n).
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_4_L8: assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S}_+ and
    A2: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. f^{-1}(m)-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+
   shows \exists M. \ \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\varepsilon(f,x)) \leq M
proof -
    from A1 A2 have
        \exists U \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. f(f^{-1}(m+n)-f^{-1}(m)-f^{-1}(n)) \leq U
        \exists\, \mathtt{N} \in \mathbb{Z} \,. \ \forall\, \mathtt{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \,. \ \forall\, \mathtt{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \,. \ \mathsf{N} \,\leq\, \mathtt{f}(\mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{m} + \mathtt{n}) - \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{m}) - \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{n}))
        using Int_ZF_2_4_L7 by auto
    then obtain U N where I:
        \forall\,\mathtt{m}{\in}\mathbb{Z}_{+}.\ \forall\,\mathtt{n}{\in}\mathbb{Z}_{+}.\ \mathtt{f}(\mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{m}{+}\mathtt{n}){-}\mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{m}){-}\mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{n}))\ \leq\ \mathtt{U}
        \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \mathbb{N} \leq f(f^{-1}(m+n)-f^{-1}(m)-f^{-1}(n))
    have \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \neq 0 using int_one_two_are_pos by auto
    moreover from A1 have f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
        using AlmostHoms_def by simp
   moreover from A1 have
        \forall a \in \mathbb{Z} . \exists b \in \mathbb{Z}_+ . \forall x. b \le x \longrightarrow a \le f(x)
        using Int_ZF_2_3_L5 by simp
   moreover from A1 have
        \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}.\exists b \in \mathbb{Z}_+.\forall y. b \leq y \longrightarrow f(-y) \leq a
        using Int_ZF_2_3_L5A by simp
    moreover have
        \forall \, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \times \mathbb{Z}_{+} . \ \varepsilon(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Z} \ \land \ \mathbf{f}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{x})) \leq \mathbf{U} \ \land \ \mathbf{N} \leq \mathbf{f}(\varepsilon(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{x}))
    proof -
        { fix x assume A3: x \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+
            let m = fst(x)
            let n = snd(x)
            from A3 have T: m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ m+n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  using pos_int_closed_add_unfolded by auto
            with A1 have
  \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{m+n}) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{m}) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \mathtt{f}^{-1}(\mathtt{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}
  using Int_ZF_2_4_L2 PositiveSet_def by auto
            with I T have
  \varepsilon(f,x) \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge f(\varepsilon(f,x)) \leq U \wedge N \leq f(\varepsilon(f,x))
  using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
        } thus thesis by simp
        qed
```

```
ultimately show \exists M. \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\varepsilon(f,x)) \leq M
      by (rule Int_ZF_1_6_L4)
qed
The (candidate for) inverse of a positive slope is a (well defined) function
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_4_L9:
   assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S}_+ and A2: g = \{\langle p, f^{-1}(p) \rangle. p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}
  g: \mathbb{Z}_+ {
ightarrow} \mathbb{Z}_+
  g: \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
proof -
   from A1 have
      \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}_+. f^{-1}(p) \in \mathbb{Z}_+
      \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}. f^{-1}(p) \in \mathbb{Z}
      using Int_ZF_2_4_L2 PositiveSet_def by auto
   with A2 show
      g: \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ and } g: \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
      using ZF_fun_from_total by auto
qed
What are the values of the (candidate for) the inverse of a positive slope?
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_4_L10:
   assumes A1: f \in S_+ and A2: g = \{\langle p, f^{-1}(p) \rangle, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} and A3: p \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  shows g(p) = f^{-1}(p)
  from A1 A2 have g: \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+ using Int_ZF_2_4_L9 by simp
   with A2 A3 show g(p) = f^{-1}(p) using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by simp
qed
The (candidate for) the inverse of a positive slope is a slope.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_4_L11: assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S}_+ and
   A2: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. f^{-1}(m)-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and
   A3: g = \{\langle p, f^{-1}(p) \rangle, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}
  shows OddExtension(\mathbb{Z},IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,g) \in \mathcal{S}
proof -
   from A1 A2 have \exists L. \ \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\varepsilon(f,x)) \leq L
      using Int_ZF_2_4_L8 by simp
   then obtain L where I: \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\varepsilon(f,x)) \leq L
      by auto
   from A1 A3 have g: \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_2_4_L9
  moreover have \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\delta(g,m,n)) \leq L
  proof-
      { fix m n
         assume A4: m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
         then have \langle \mathtt{m},\mathtt{n} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_+{\times}\mathbb{Z}_+ by simp
         with I have abs(\varepsilon(f,\langle m,n\rangle)) \leq L by simp
         moreover have \varepsilon(f,\langle m,n\rangle) = f^{-1}(m+n) - f^{-1}(m) - f^{-1}(n)
```

```
by simp
       moreover from A1 A3 A4 have
 f^{-1}(m+n) = g(m+n) f^{-1}(m) = g(m) f^{-1}(n) = g(n)
 using pos_int_closed_add_unfolded Int_ZF_2_4_L10 by auto
       ultimately have abs(\delta(g,m,n)) \leq L by simp
     \} thus \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\delta(g,m,n)) \leq L by simp
  qed
  ultimately show thesis by (rule Int_ZF_2_1_L24)
qed
Every positive slope that is at least 2 on positive integers almost has an
inverse.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_4_L12: assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S}_+ and
  A2: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. f^{-1}(m)-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  shows \exists \, h {\in} \mathcal{S} \,. foh \sim \, \text{id}(\mathbb{Z})
proof -
  let g = \{\langle p, f^{-1}(p) \rangle, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}
  let h = OddExtension(Z,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,g)
  from A1 have
     \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. f(n) < f(n-1) + M
    using Int_ZF_2_1_L28 by simp
  then obtain M where
     I: M \in \mathbb{Z} and II: \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. f(n) \leq f(n-1) + M
    by auto
  from A1 A2 have T: h \in \mathcal{S}
     using Int_ZF_2_4_L11 by simp
  moreover have f \circ h \sim id(\mathbb{Z})
     from A1 T have f \circ h \in \mathcal{S} using Int_ZF_2_1_L11
       by simp
     moreover note I
     moreover
     { fix m assume A3: m \in \mathbb{Z}_+
       with A1 have f^{-1}(m) \in \mathbb{Z}
 using Int_ZF_2_4_L2 PositiveSet_def by simp
       with II have f(f^{-1}(m)) \leq f(f^{-1}(m)-1) + M
 by simp
       moreover from A1 A2 I A3 have f(f^{-1}(m)-1) + M \leq m+M
 using Int_ZF_2_4_L4 int_ord_transl_inv by simp
       ultimately have f(f^{-1}(m)) \leq m+M
 by (rule Int_order_transitive)
       moreover from A1 A3 have m \leq f(f^{-1}(m))
 using Int_ZF_2_4_L2 by simp
       moreover from A1 A2 T A3 have f(f^{-1}(m)) = (f \circ h)(m)
 using Int_ZF_2_4_L9 Int_ZF_1_5_L11
   Int_ZF_2_4_L10 PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_2_1_L10
       ultimately have m \le (f \circ h)(m) \land (f \circ h)(m) \le m+M
 by simp }
```

```
ultimately show foh \sim id(\mathbb{Z}) using Int_ZF_2_1_L32 by simp qed ultimately show \exists \, h \in \mathcal{S}. foh \sim id(\mathbb{Z}) by auto qed
```

Int_ZF_2_4_L12 is almost what we need, except that it has an assumption that the values of the slope that we get the inverse for are not smaller than 2 on positive integers. The Arthan's proof of Theorem 11 has a mistake where he says "note that for all but finitely many $m, n \in N$ p = g(m) and q = g(n) are both positive". Of course there may be infinitely many pairs $\langle m, n \rangle$ such that p, q are not both positive. This is however easy to workaround: we just modify the slope by adding a constant so that the slope is large enough on positive integers and then look for the inverse.

```
theorem (in int1) pos_slope_has_inv: assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S}_+
  shows \exists g \in S. f \sim g \land (\exists h \in S. g \circ h \sim id(\mathbb{Z}))
proof -
  from A1 have f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} 1 \in \mathbb{Z} 2 \in \mathbb{Z}
     using AlmostHoms_def int_zero_one_are_int int_two_three_are_int
     by auto
  moreover from A1 have
      \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}. \exists b \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall x. b \le x \longrightarrow a \le f(x)
     using Int_ZF_2_3_L5 by simp
  ultimately have
     \exists c \in \mathbb{Z}. \ 2 \leq \text{Minimum(IntegerOrder, } \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \ 1 \leq f(n) + c\})
     by (rule Int_ZF_1_6_L7)
  then obtain c where I: c \in \mathbb{Z} and
     II: 2 \leq \text{Minimum}(\text{IntegerOrder}, \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 1 \leq f(n) + c\})
     by auto
  let g = \{(m,f(m)+c) : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}\
  from A1 I have III: g \in S and IV: f \sim g using Int_ZF_2_1_L33
  from IV have \langle f, g \rangle \in AlEqRel by simp
  with A1 have T: g \in S_+ by (rule Int_ZF_2_3_L9)
  moreover have \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. g^{-1}(m)-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  proof
     fix m assume A2: m \in \mathbb{Z}_+
     from A1 I II have V: \mathbf{2} \leq g^{-1}(\mathbf{1})
        using Int_ZF_2_1_L33 PositiveSet_def by simp
     moreover from A2 T have g^{-1}(1) \leq g^{-1}(m)
        using Int_ZF_1_5_L3 int_one_two_are_pos Int_ZF_2_4_L5
        by simp
     ultimately have 2 \le g^{-1}(m)
        by (rule Int_order_transitive)
     then have 2-1 \le g^{-1}(m)-1
        using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_1_L4 int_ord_transl_inv
        by simp
```

```
then show g^{-1}(m)-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_1_2_L3 Int_ZF_1_5_L3 by simp qed ultimately have \exists h \in \mathcal{S}. goh \sim id(\mathbb{Z}) by (rule Int_ZF_2_4_L12) with III IV show thesis by auto qed
```

49.3 Completeness

In this section we consider properties of slopes that are needed for the proof of completeness of real numbers constructred in Real_ZF_1.thy. In particular we consider properties of embedding of integers into the set of slopes by the mapping $m\mapsto m^S$, where m^S is defined by $m^S(n)=m\cdot n$.

If m is an integer, then m^S is a slope whose value is $m \cdot n$ for every integer.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_5_L1: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z}
  \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. (m^S)(n) = m \cdot n
  \mathtt{m}^S \in \mathcal{S}
proof -
  from A1 have I: m^S: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 ZF_fun_from_total by simp
  then show II: \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. (m^S)(n) = m \cdot n using ZF_fun_from_tot_val
     by simp
   { fix n k
     assume A2: n \in \mathbb{Z} k \in \mathbb{Z}
     with A1 have T: m \cdot n \in \mathbb{Z} m \cdot k \in \mathbb{Z}
        using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
     from A1 A2 II T have \delta(m^S, n, k) = m \cdot k - m \cdot k
        using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 Int_ZF_1_1_L1 Int_ZF_1_2_L3
        by simp
     also from T have ... = 0 using Int_ZF_1_1_L4
        by simp
     finally have \delta(m^S,n,k) = 0 by simp
     then have abs(\delta(m^S,n,k)) \leq 0
        using Int_ZF_2_L18 int_zero_one_are_int int_ord_is_refl refl_def
        by simp
  \} then have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} . \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(\delta(m^S, n, k)) \leq 0
     by simp
  with I show m^S \in \mathcal{S} by (rule Int_ZF_2_1_L5)
```

For any slope f there is an integer m such that there is some slope g that is almost equal to m^S and dominates f in the sense that $f \leq g$ on positive integers (which implies that either g is almost equal to f or g-f is a positive slope. This will be used in Real_ZF_1.thy to show that for any real number there is an integer that (whose real embedding) is greater or equal.

```
proof -
  from A1 have
     \exists m \ k. \ m \in \mathbb{Z} \ \land \ k \in \mathbb{Z} \ \land \ (\forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. \ abs(f(p)) \le m \cdot abs(p) + k)
     using Arthan_Lem_8 by simp
  then obtain m k where I: m \in \mathbb{Z} and II: k \in \mathbb{Z} and
     III: \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}. abs(f(p)) \leq m \cdot abs(p) + k
     by auto
  let g = \{\langle n, m^S(n) + k \rangle . n \in \mathbb{Z}\}
  from I have IV: \mathtt{m}^S \in \mathcal{S} using Int_ZF_2_5_L1 by simp
  with II have V: g \in S and VI: m^S \sim g using Int_ZF_2_1_L33
     by auto
  { fix n assume A2: n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
     with A1 have f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}
       using Int_ZF_2_1_L2B PositiveSet_def by simp
     then have f(n) \le abs(f(n)) using Int_ZF_2_L19C
       by simp
     moreover
     from III A2 have abs(f(n)) \le m \cdot abs(n) + k
       using PositiveSet_def by simp
     with A2 have abs(f(n)) \le m \cdot n + k
       using Int_ZF_1_5_L4A by simp
     ultimately have f(n) \le m \cdot n + k
       by (rule Int_order_transitive)
     moreover
     from II IV A2 have g(n) = (m^S)(n)+k
       using Int_ZF_2_1_L33 PositiveSet_def by simp
     with I A2 have g(n) = m \cdot n + k
       using Int_ZF_2_5_L1 PositiveSet_def by simp
     ultimately have f(n) \leq g(n)
       by simp
  \} then have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. f(n) \leq g(n)
     by simp
  with A1 V have f \sim g \vee g + (-f) \in \mathcal{S}_+
     using Int_ZF_2_3_L4C by simp
  with I V VI show thesis by auto
The negative of an integer embeds in slopes as a negative of the orgiginal
embedding.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_5_L3: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows (-m)^S = -(m^S)
proof -
  from A1 have (-m)^S \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} and (-(m^S)) \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_2_5_L1 AlmostHoms_def Int_ZF_2_1_L12
  moreover have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. ((-m)^S)(n) = (-(m^S))(n)
  proof
```

lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_5_L2: assumes A1: $f \in S$ shows $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\exists g \in S$. $(m^S \sim g \land (f \sim g \lor g + (-f) \in S_+))$

```
fix n assume A2: n \in \mathbb{Z}
     with A1 have
        ((-\mathbf{m})^S)(\mathbf{n}) = (-\mathbf{m}) \cdot \mathbf{n}
        (-(m^S))(n) = -(m \cdot n)
        using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_2_5_L1 Int_ZF_2_1_L12A
        by auto
     with A1 A2 show ((-m)^S)(n) = (-(m^S))(n)
        using Int_{ZF_1_1_L} by simp
  ultimately show (-m)^S = -(m^S) using fun_extension_iff
     by simp
The sum of embeddings is the embeding of the sum.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_5_L3A: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} k \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows (m^S) + (k^S) = ((m+k)^S)
proof -
  from A1 have T1: m+k \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_1_1_L5
     by simp
  with A1 have T2:
     (\mathtt{m}^S) \in \mathcal{S} \quad (\mathtt{k}^S) \in \mathcal{S}
     (m+k)^S \in \mathcal{S}
     (\mathbf{m}^S) + (\mathbf{k}^S) \in \mathcal{S}
     using Int_ZF_2_5_L1 Int_ZF_2_1_L12C by auto
  then have
     (\mathbf{m}^S) + (\mathbf{k}^S) : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
     (m+k)^S : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
     using AlmostHoms_def by auto
  moreover have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. ((m^S) + (k^S))(n) = ((m+k)^S)(n)
     fix n assume A2: n \in \mathbb{Z}
     with A1 T1 T2 have ((m^S) + (k^S))(n) = (m+k)\cdot n
        using Int_ZF_2_1_L12B Int_ZF_2_5_L1 Int_ZF_1_1_L1
        \mathbf{b}\mathbf{v} simp
     also from T1 A2 have ... = ((m+k)^S)(n)
        using Int_ZF_2_5_L1 by simp
     finally show ((m^S) + (k^S))(n) = ((m+k)^S)(n)
        by simp
  \mathbf{qed}
  ultimately show (m^S) + (k^S) = ((m+k)^S)
     using fun_extension_iff by simp
qed
The composition of embeddings is the embeding of the product.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_5_L3B: assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} k \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows (m^S) \circ (k^S) = ((m \cdot k)^S)
proof -
  from A1 have T1: m \cdot k \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_1_1_L5
     by simp
```

```
(\mathtt{m}^S) \in \mathcal{S} \quad (\mathtt{k}^S) \in \mathcal{S}
      (\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{k})^S \in \mathcal{S}
      (\mathtt{m}^S) \circ (\mathtt{k}^S) \in \mathcal{S}
     using Int_ZF_2_5_L1 Int_ZF_2_1_L11 by auto
  then have
      (\mathbf{m}^S) \circ (\mathbf{k}^S) : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
      (\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{k})^S : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
     using AlmostHoms_def by auto
  moreover have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. ((m^S) \circ (k^S))(n) = ((m \cdot k)^S)(n)
  proof
     fix n assume A2: n \in \mathbb{Z}
     with A1 T2 have
         ((\mathbf{m}^S) \circ (\mathbf{k}^S))(\mathbf{n}) = (\mathbf{m}^S)(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n})
          using Int_ZF_2_1_L10 Int_ZF_2_5_L1 by simp
     from A1 A2 have k \cdot n \in \mathbb{Z} using Int_ZF_1_1_L5
        by simp
      with A1 A2 have (m^S)(k \cdot n) = m \cdot k \cdot n
        using Int_ZF_2_5_L1 Int_ZF_1_1_L7 by simp
     ultimately have ((m^S) \circ (k^S))(n) = m \cdot k \cdot n
        by simp
     also from T1 A2 have m \cdot k \cdot n = ((m \cdot k)^S)(n)
        using Int_ZF_2_5_L1 by simp
     finally show ((m^S) \circ (k^S))(n) = ((m \cdot k)^S)(n)
        by simp
  ultimately show (m^S) \circ (k^S) = ((m \cdot k)^S)
     using fun_extension_iff by simp
Embedding integers in slopes preserves order.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_5_L4: assumes A1: m \le n
  shows (m^S) \sim (n^S) \vee (n^S) + (-(m^S)) \in \mathcal{S}_+
proof -
  from A1 have \mathtt{m}^S \in \mathcal{S} and \mathtt{n}^S \in \mathcal{S}
     using Int_ZF_2_L1A Int_ZF_2_5_L1 by auto
  moreover from A1 have \forall \, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. (m^S)(k) \leq (n^S)(k)
     using Int_ZF_1_3_L13B Int_ZF_2_L1A PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_2_5_L1
     by simp
  ultimately show thesis using Int_ZF_2_3_L4C
     by simp
We aim at showing that m \mapsto m^S is an injection modulo the relation of
almost equality. To do that we first show that if m^S has finite range, then
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_5_L5:
  assumes m \in \mathbb{Z} and m^S \in FinRangeFunctions(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})
```

with A1 have T2:

```
shows m=0
  using assms FinRangeFunctions_def Int_ZF_2_5_L1 AlmostHoms_def
     func_imagedef Int_ZF_1_6_L8 by simp
Embeddings of two integers are almost equal only if the integers are equal.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_5_L6:
  assumes A1: m \in \mathbb{Z} k \in \mathbb{Z} and A2: (m^S) \sim (k^S)
  shows m=k
proof -
  from A1 have T: m-k \in Z using Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
  from A1 have (-(k^S)) = ((-k)^S)
     using Int_ZF_2_5_L3 by simp
  then have \mathbf{m}^S + (-(\mathbf{k}^S)) = (\mathbf{m}^S) + ((-\mathbf{k})^S)
     by simp
  with A1 have m^S + (-(k^S)) = ((m-k)^S)
     using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Int_ZF_2_5_L3A by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 have m^S + (-(k^S)) \in FinRangeFunctions(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z})
     using Int_ZF_2_5_L1 Int_ZF_2_1_L9D by simp
  ultimately have (m-k)^S \in FinRangeFunctions(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z})
     by simp
  with T have m-k = 0 using Int_ZF_2_5_L5
     by simp
  with A1 show m=k by (rule Int_ZF_1_L15)
Embedding of 1 is the identity slope and embedding of zero is a finite range
function.
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_5_L7: shows
  \mathbf{1}^S = id(\mathbb{Z})
  \mathbf{0}^S \in 	ext{FinRangeFunctions}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z})
proof -
  have id(\mathbb{Z}) = \{\langle x, x \rangle . x \in \mathbb{Z}\}\
     using id_def by blast
  then show 1^S = id(\mathbb{Z}) using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 by simp
  have \{0^S(n) \cdot n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{0 \cdot n \cdot n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_2_5_L1 by simp
  also have ... = {0} using Int_ZF_1_1_L4 int_not_empty
     by simp
  finally have \{0^S(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{0\} by simp
  then have \{0^S(n), n\in \mathbb{Z}\} \in Fin(\mathbb{Z})
     using int_zero_one_are_int Finite1_L16 by simp
  moreover have \mathbf{0}^S \colon \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
     using int_zero_one_are_int Int_ZF_2_5_L1 AlmostHoms_def
     by simp
  ultimately show \mathbf{0}^S \in \text{FinRangeFunctions}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})
     using Finite1_L19 by simp
qed
```

A somewhat technical condition for a embedding of an integer to be "less or

equal" (in the sense apriopriate for slopes) than the composition of a slope and another integer (embedding).

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_5_L8: assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S} and A2: N \in \mathbb{Z} M \in \mathbb{Z} and A3: \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. M \cdot n \leq f(N \cdot n) shows M^S \sim f \circ (N^S) \vee (f \circ (N^S)) + (-(M^S)) \in \mathcal{S}_+ proof - from A1 A2 have M^S \in \mathcal{S} f \circ (N^S) \in \mathcal{S} using Int_ZF_2_5_L1 Int_ZF_2_1_L11 by auto moreover from A1 A2 A3 have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. (M^S)(n) \leq (f \circ (N^S))(n) using Int_ZF_2_5_L1 PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_2_1_L10 by simp ultimately show thesis using Int_ZF_2_3_L4C by simp qed
```

Another technical condition for the composition of a slope and an integer (embedding) to be "less or equal" (in the sense apriopriate for slopes) than embedding of another integer.

```
lemma (in int1) Int_ZF_2_5_L9: assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S} and A2: N \in \mathbb{Z} M \in \mathbb{Z} and A3: \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. f(N \cdot n) \leq M \cdot n shows f \circ (N^S) \sim (M^S) \vee (M^S) + (-(f \circ (N^S))) \in \mathcal{S}_+ proof - from A1 A2 have f \circ (N^S) \in \mathcal{S} M^S \in \mathcal{S} using Int_ZF_2_5_L1 Int_ZF_2_1_L11 by auto moreover from A1 A2 A3 have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. (f \circ (N^S))(n) \leq (M^S)(n) using Int_ZF_2_5_L1 PositiveSet_def Int_ZF_2_1_L10 by simp ultimately show thesis using Int_ZF_2_3_L4C by simp qed
```

50 Construction real numbers - the generic part

theory Real_ZF imports Int_ZF_IML Ring_ZF_1

begin

end

The goal of the Real_ZF series of theory files is to provide a contruction of the set of real numbers. There are several ways to construct real numbers. Most common start from the rational numbers and use Dedekind cuts or Cauchy sequences. Real_ZF_x.thy series formalizes an alternative approach that constructs real numbers directly from the group of integers. Our formalization is mostly based on [2]. Different variants of this contruction are

also described in [1] and [3]. I recommend to read these papers, but for the impatient here is a short description: we take a set of maps $s: Z \to Z$ such that the set $\{s(m+n)-s(m)-s(n)\}_{n,m\in Z}$ is finite (Z means the integers here). We call these maps slopes. Slopes form a group with the natural addition (s+r)(n)=s(n)+r(n). The maps such that the set s(Z) is finite (finite range functions) form a subgroup of slopes. The additive group of real numbers is defined as the quotient group of slopes by the (sub)group of finite range functions. The multiplication is defined as the projection of the composition of slopes into the resulting quotient (coset) space.

50.1 The definition of real numbers

This section contains the construction of the ring of real numbers as classes of slopes - integer almost homomorphisms. The real definitions are in <code>Group_ZF_2</code> theory, here we just specialize the definitions of almost homomorphisms, their equivalence and operations to the additive group of integers from the general case of abelian groups considered in <code>Group_ZF_2</code>.

The set of slopes is defined as the set of almost homomorphisms on the additive group of integers.

definition

```
Slopes = AlmostHoms(int,IntegerAddition)
```

The first operation on slopes (pointwise addition) is a special case of the first operation on almost homomorphisms.

definition

```
SlopeOp1 = AlHomOp1(int,IntegerAddition)
```

The second operation on slopes (composition) is a special case of the second operation on almost homomorphisms.

definition

```
SlopeOp2 \equiv AlHomOp2(int,IntegerAddition)
```

Bounded integer maps are functions from integers to integers that have finite range. They play a role of zero in the set of real numbers we are constructing.

definition

```
BoundedIntMaps = FinRangeFunctions(int,int)
```

Bounded integer maps form a normal subgroup of slopes. The equivalence relation on slopes is the (group) quotient relation defined by this subgroup.

definition

```
SlopeEquivalenceRel = QuotientGroupRel(Slopes,SlopeOp1,BoundedIntMaps)
```

The set of real numbers is the set of equivalence classes of slopes.

definition

```
RealNumbers 

Slopes//SlopeEquivalenceRel
```

The addition on real numbers is defined as the projection of pointwise addition of slopes on the quotient. This means that the additive group of real numbers is the quotient group: the group of slopes (with pointwise addition) defined by the normal subgroup of bounded integer maps.

definition

```
RealAddition = ProjFun2(Slopes,SlopeEquivalenceRel,SlopeOp1)
```

Multiplication is defined as the projection of composition of slopes on the quotient. The fact that it works is probably the most surprising part of the construction.

definition

```
RealMultiplication = ProjFun2(Slopes,SlopeEquivalenceRel,SlopeOp2)
```

We first show that we can use theorems proven in some proof contexts (locales). The locale group1 requires assumption that we deal with an abelian group. The next lemma allows to use all theorems proven in the context called group1.

```
lemma Real_ZF_1_L1: shows group1(int,IntegerAddition)
  using group1_axioms.intro group1_def Int_ZF_1_T2 by simp
```

Real numbers form a ring. This is a special case of the theorem proven in Ring_ZF_1.thy, where we show the same in general for almost homomorphisms rather than slopes.

```
theorem Real_ZF_1_T1: shows IsAring(RealNumbers, RealAddition, RealMultiplication)
proof -
```

```
let AH = AlmostHoms(int,IntegerAddition)
let Op1 = AlHomOp1(int,IntegerAddition)
let FR = FinRangeFunctions(int,int)
let Op2 = AlHomOp2(int,IntegerAddition)
let R = QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR)
let A = ProjFun2(AH,R,Op1)
let M = ProjFun2(AH,R,Op2)
have IsAring(AH//R,A,M) using Real_ZF_1_L1 group1.Ring_ZF_1_1_T1
by simp
then show thesis using Slopes_def SlopeOp2_def SlopeOp1_def
BoundedIntMaps_def SlopeEquivalenceRel_def RealNumbers_def
RealAddition_def RealMultiplication_def by simp
qed
```

We can use theorems proven in group0 and group1 contexts applied to the group of real numbers.

```
lemma Real_ZF_1_L2: shows
  group0(RealNumbers,RealAddition)
  RealAddition {is commutative on} RealNumbers
  group1(RealNumbers,RealAddition)
```

```
proof -
  have
    IsAgroup(RealNumbers,RealAddition)
    RealAddition {is commutative on} RealNumbers
    using Real_ZF_1_T1 IsAring_def by auto
  then show
    group0(RealNumbers,RealAddition)
    RealAddition {is commutative on} RealNumbers
    group1(RealNumbers,RealAddition)
    using group1_axioms.intro group0_def group1_def
    by auto
Let's define some notation.
locale real0 =
  fixes real (\mathbb{R})
  defines real_def [simp]: \mathbb{R} \equiv \texttt{RealNumbers}
  fixes ra (infixl + 69)
  defines ra_def [simp]: a+ b \equiv RealAddition\langle a,b \rangle
  fixes rminus (- _ 72)
  defines rminus_def [simp]:-a \equiv GroupInv(\mathbb{R},RealAddition)(a)
  fixes rsub (infixl - 69)
  defines rsub_def [simp]: a-b \equiv a+(-b)
  fixes rm (infixl \cdot 70)
  defines rm_def [simp]: a \cdot b \equiv RealMultiplication(a,b)
  fixes rzero (0)
  defines rzero_def [simp]:
  0 \equiv 	ext{TheNeutralElement(RealNumbers,RealAddition)}
  fixes rone (1)
  defines rone_def [simp]:
  1 ≡ TheNeutralElement(RealNumbers, RealMultiplication)
  fixes rtwo (2)
  defines rtwo_def [simp]: 2 \equiv 1+1
  fixes non_zero (\mathbb{R}_0)
  defines non_zero_def[simp]: \mathbb{R}_0 \equiv \mathbb{R}-{0}
  fixes inv (_{-1} [90] 91)
  defines inv_def[simp]:
  \mathtt{a}^{-1} \equiv \mathtt{GroupInv}(\mathbb{R}_0,\mathtt{restrict}(\mathtt{RealMultiplication},\mathbb{R}_0{\times}\mathbb{R}_0)) (a)
In real0 context all theorems proven in the ring0, context are valid.
```

```
lemma (in real0) Real_ZF_1_L3: shows
  ring0(R,RealAddition,RealMultiplication)
  using Real_ZF_1_T1 ring0_def ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L1
  by auto
```

Lets try out our notation to see that zero and one are real numbers.

```
lemma (in real0) Real_ZF_1_L4: shows 0\in\mathbb{R} 1\in\mathbb{R} using Real_ZF_1_L3 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L2 by auto
```

The lemma below lists some properties that require one real number to state.

```
lemma (in real0) Real_ZF_1_L5: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R} shows  (-a) \in \mathbb{R}   (-(-a)) = a   a+0=a   0+a=a   a\cdot 1=a   1\cdot a=a   a-a=0   a-0=a  using assms Real_ZF_1_L3 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L3 by auto
```

The lemma below lists some properties that require two real numbers to state.

```
lemma (in real0) Real_ZF_1_L6: assumes a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} shows a+b \in \mathbb{R} a-b \in \mathbb{R} a-b \in \mathbb{R} a \cdot b \in \mathbb{R} a+b = b+a (-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b) a \cdot (-b) = -(a \cdot b) using assms Real_ZF_1_L3 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L4 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L7 by auto
```

Multiplication of reals is associative.

```
lemma (in real0) Real_ZF_1_L6A: assumes a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} c \in \mathbb{R} shows a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c using assms Real_ZF_1_L3 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L11 by simp
```

Addition is distributive with respect to multiplication.

```
lemma (in real0) Real_ZF_1_L7: assumes a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} c \in \mathbb{R} shows a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c (b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a a \cdot (b-c) = a \cdot b - a \cdot c (b-c) \cdot a = b \cdot a - c \cdot a
```

```
using assms Real_ZF_1_L3 ring0.ring_oper_distr ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L8 by auto
```

A simple rearrangement with four real numbers.

```
lemma (in real0) Real_ZF_1_L7A: assumes a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} c \in \mathbb{R} d \in \mathbb{R} shows a-b+(c-d)=a+c-b-d using assms Real_ZF_1_L2 group0.group0_4_L8A by simp
```

RealAddition is defined as the projection of the first operation on slopes (that is, slope addition) on the quotient (slopes divided by the "almost equal" relation. The next lemma plays with definitions to show that this is the same as the operation induced on the appriopriate quotient group. The names AH, Op1 and FR are used in group1 context to denote almost homomorphisms, the first operation on AH and finite range functions resp.

```
lemma Real_ZF_1_L8: assumes
AH = AlmostHoms(int,IntegerAddition) and
Op1 = AlHomOp1(int,IntegerAddition) and
FR = FinRangeFunctions(int,int)
shows RealAddition = QuotientGroupOp(AH,Op1,FR)
using assms RealAddition_def SlopeEquivalenceRel_def
    QuotientGroupOp_def Slopes_def SlopeOp1_def BoundedIntMaps_def
by simp
```

The symbol 0 in the real 0 context is defined as the neutral element of real addition. The next lemma shows that this is the same as the neutral element of the apprioriate quotient group.

```
lemma (in real0) Real_ZF_1_L9: assumes
  AH = AlmostHoms(int,IntegerAddition) and
  Op1 = AlHomOp1(int,IntegerAddition) and
 FR = FinRangeFunctions(int,int) and
 r = QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR)
 shows
 TheNeutralElement(AH//r,QuotientGroupOp(AH,Op1,FR)) = 0
  SlopeEquivalenceRel = r
  using assms Slopes_def Real_ZF_1_L8 RealNumbers_def
    SlopeEquivalenceRel_def SlopeOp1_def BoundedIntMaps_def
  by auto
Zero is the class of any finite range function.
lemma (in real0) Real_ZF_1_L10:
  assumes A1: s \in Slopes
 shows SlopeEquivalenceRel\{s\} = 0 \longleftrightarrow s \in BoundedIntMaps
proof -
 let AH = AlmostHoms(int,IntegerAddition)
 let Op1 = AlHomOp1(int,IntegerAddition)
```

let FR = FinRangeFunctions(int,int)

```
let r = QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR)
 let e = TheNeutralElement(AH//r,QuotientGroupOp(AH,Op1,FR))
  from A1 have
    group1(int,IntegerAddition)
    using Real_ZF_1_L1 Slopes_def
    by auto
  then have r\{s\} = e \longleftrightarrow s \in FR
    using group1.Group_ZF_3_3_L5 by simp
  moreover have
    r = SlopeEquivalenceRel
    e = 0
    FR = BoundedIntMaps
    using SlopeEquivalenceRel_def Slopes_def SlopeOp1_def
      BoundedIntMaps_def Real_ZF_1_L9 by auto
 ultimately show thesis by simp
qed
```

We will need a couple of results from <code>Group_ZF_3.thy</code> The first two that state that the definition of addition and multiplication of real numbers are consistent, that is the result does not depend on the choice of the slopes representing the numbers. The second one implies that what we call <code>SlopeEquivalenceRel</code> is actually an equivalence relation on the set of slopes. We also show that the neutral element of the multiplicative operation on reals (in short number 1) is the class of the identity function on integers.

```
lemma Real_ZF_1_L11: shows
  Congruent2(SlopeEquivalenceRel,SlopeOp1)
  Congruent2(SlopeEquivalenceRel,SlopeOp2)
  {\tt SlopeEquivalenceRel} \subseteq {\tt Slopes} \ \times \ {\tt Slopes}
  equiv(Slopes, SlopeEquivalenceRel)
  SlopeEquivalenceRel{id(int)} =
  TheNeutralElement(RealNumbers, RealMultiplication)
  BoundedIntMaps \subseteq Slopes
proof -
  let G = int
  let f = IntegerAddition
  let AH = AlmostHoms(int,IntegerAddition)
  let Op1 = AlHomOp1(int,IntegerAddition)
  let Op2 = AlHomOp2(int,IntegerAddition)
  let FR = FinRangeFunctions(int,int)
  let R = QuotientGroupRel(AH,Op1,FR)
   have
     Congruent2(R,Op1)
     Congruent2(R,Op2)
    using Real_ZF_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_4_L13A group1.Group_ZF_3_3_L4
    by auto
  then show
    Congruent2(SlopeEquivalenceRel,SlopeOp1)
```

```
Congruent2(SlopeEquivalenceRel,SlopeOp2)
    using SlopeEquivalenceRel_def SlopeOp1_def Slopes_def
      BoundedIntMaps_def SlopeOp2_def by auto
  have equiv(AH,R)
    using Real_ZF_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_3_L3 by simp
  then show equiv(Slopes,SlopeEquivalenceRel)
    using BoundedIntMaps_def SlopeEquivalenceRel_def SlopeOp1_def Slopes_def
  then show SlopeEquivalenceRel \subseteq Slopes \times Slopes
    using equiv_type by simp
  have R{id(int)} = TheNeutralElement(AH//R,ProjFun2(AH,R,Op2))
    using Real_ZF_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_4_T2 by simp
  then show SlopeEquivalenceRel{id(int)} =
    TheNeutralElement(RealNumbers,RealMultiplication)
    using Slopes_def RealNumbers_def
    SlopeEquivalenceRel_def SlopeOp1_def BoundedIntMaps_def
    RealMultiplication_def SlopeOp2_def
    by simp
  have FR ⊆ AH using Real_ZF_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_3_L1
    by simp
  then show BoundedIntMaps \subseteq Slopes
    using BoundedIntMaps_def Slopes_def by simp
qed
```

A one-side implication of the equivalence from Real_ZF_1_L10: the class of a bounded integer map is the real zero.

```
 \begin{array}{ll} lemma \ (in \ real0) \ Real_ZF_1\_L11A: \ assumes \ s \in \ BoundedIntMaps \\ shows \ SlopeEquivalenceRel\{s\} = 0 \\ using \ assms \ Real_ZF_1\_L11 \ Real_ZF_1\_L10 \ by \ auto \\ \end{array}
```

The next lemma is rephrases the result from $Group_ZF_3$.thy that says that the negative (the group inverse with respect to real addition) of the class of a slope is the class of that slope composed with the integer additive group inverse. The result and proof is not very readable as we use mostly generic set theory notation with long names here. Real_ZF_1.thy contains the same statement written in a more readable notation: [-s] = -[s].

```
lemma (in real0) Real_ZF_1_L12: assumes A1: s ∈ Slopes and
   Dr: r = QuotientGroupRel(Slopes,SlopeOp1,BoundedIntMaps)
   shows r{GroupInv(int,IntegerAddition) 0 s} = -(r{s})
proof -
   let G = int
   let f = IntegerAddition
   let AH = AlmostHoms(int,IntegerAddition)
   let Op1 = AlHomOp1(int,IntegerAddition)
   let FR = FinRangeFunctions(int,int)
   let F = ProjFun2(Slopes,r,SlopeOp1)
   from A1 Dr have
      group1(G, f)
```

```
s ∈ AlmostHoms(G, f)
r = QuotientGroupRel(
AlmostHoms(G, f), AlHomOp1(G, f), FinRangeFunctions(G, G))
and F = ProjFun2(AlmostHoms(G, f), r, AlHomOp1(G, f))
using Real_ZF_1_L1 Slopes_def SlopeOp1_def BoundedIntMaps_def
by auto
then have
r{GroupInv(G, f) 0 s} =
GroupInv(AlmostHoms(G, f) // r, F)(r {s})
using group1.Group_ZF_3_3_L6 by simp
with Dr show thesis
using RealNumbers_def Slopes_def SlopeEquivalenceRel_def RealAddition_def
by simp
qed
```

Two classes are equal iff the slopes that represent them are almost equal.

```
lemma Real_ZF_1_L13: assumes s \in Slopes p \in Slopes and r = SlopeEquivalenceRel shows r\{s\} = r\{p\} \longleftrightarrow \langle s,p\rangle \in r using assms Real_ZF_1_L11 eq_equiv_class equiv_class_eq by blast
```

Identity function on integers is a slope. Thislemma concludes the easy part of the construction that follows from the fact that slope equivalence classes form a ring. It is easy to see that multiplication of classes of almost homomorphisms is not commutative in general. The remaining properties of real numbers, like commutativity of multiplication and the existence of multiplicative inverses have to be proven using properties of the group of integers, rather that in general setting of abelian groups.

```
lemma Real_ZF_1_L14: shows id(int) ∈ Slopes
proof -
  have id(int) ∈ AlmostHoms(int,IntegerAddition)
    using Real_ZF_1_L1 group1.Group_ZF_3_4_L15
    by simp
    then show thesis using Slopes_def by simp
qed
```

51 Construction of real numbers

end

```
theory Real_ZF_1 imports Real_ZF Int_ZF_3 OrderedField_ZF begin
```

In this theory file we continue the construction of real numbers started in Real_ZF to a successful conclusion. We put here those parts of the construc-

tion that can not be done in the general settings of abelian groups and require integers.

51.1 Definitions and notation

In this section we define notions and notation needed for the rest of the construction.

We define positive slopes as those that take an infinite number of positive values on the positive integers (see Int_ZF_2 for properties of positive slopes).

definition

```
PositiveSlopes \equiv {s \in Slopes.
s(PositiveIntegers) \cap PositiveIntegers \notin Fin(int)}
```

The order on the set of real numbers is constructed by specifying the set of positive reals. This set is defined as the projection of the set of positive slopes.

definition

```
PositiveReals \equiv {SlopeEquivalenceRel{s}. s \in PositiveSlopes}
```

The order relation on real numbers is constructed from the set of positive elements in a standard way (see section "Alternative definitions" in OrderedGroup_ZF.)

definition

```
OrderOnReals = OrderFromPosSet(RealNumbers,RealAddition,PositiveReals)
```

The next locale extends the locale real0 to define notation specific to the construction of real numbers. The notation follows the one defined in Int_ZF_2.thy. If m is an integer, then the real number which is the class of the slope $n\mapsto m\cdot n$ is denoted \mathtt{m}^R . For a real number a notation $\lfloor a\rfloor$ means the largest integer m such that the real version of it (that is, m^R) is not greater than a. For an integer m and a subset of reals S the expression $\Gamma(S,m)$ is defined as $\max\{\lfloor p^R\cdot x\rfloor:x\in S\}$. This is plays a role in the proof of completeness of real numbers. We also reuse some notation defined in the int0 context, like \mathbb{Z}_+ (the set of positive integers) and $\mathrm{abs}(m)$ (the absolute value of an integer, and some defined in the int1 context, like the addition (+) and composition (\circ of slopes.

```
fixes AlEq (infix \sim 68) defines AlEq_def[simp]: s \sim r \equiv \langle s,r \rangle \in SlopeEquivalenceRel fixes slope_add (infix + 70)
```

```
defines slope_add_def[simp]:
s + r \equiv SlopeOp1\langles,r\rangle
```

locale real1 = real0 +

```
fixes slope_comp (infix \circ 71)
defines slope_comp_def[simp]: s \circ r \equiv SlopeOp2\langle s,r \rangle
fixes slopes (S)
\mathbf{defines} \ \mathtt{slopes\_def[simp]:} \ \mathcal{S} \ \equiv \ \mathtt{AlmostHoms(int,IntegerAddition)}
fixes posslopes (S_+)
defines posslopes\_def[simp]: \mathcal{S}_+ \equiv PositiveSlopes
fixes slope_class ([ _ ])
defines slope\_class\_def[simp]: [f] \equiv SlopeEquivalenceRel{f}
fixes slope_neg (-_ [90] 91)
defines slope_neg_def[simp]: -s = GroupInv(int,IntegerAddition) 0 s
fixes lesseqr (infix \leq 60)
defines lesseqr_def[simp]: a \le b \equiv \langle a,b \rangle \in OrderOnReals
fixes sless (infix < 60)
\mathbf{defines} \ \mathtt{sless\_def[simp]:} \ \mathtt{a} \ \lessdot \ \mathtt{b} \ \equiv \ \mathtt{a} {\leq} \mathtt{b} \ \land \ \mathtt{a} {\neq} \mathtt{b}
fixes positivereals (\mathbb{R}_+)
defines positivereals\_def[simp]: \mathbb{R}_+ \equiv PositiveSet(\mathbb{R},RealAddition,OrderOnReals)
fixes intembed (_{-}^{R} [90] 91)
defines intembed_def[simp]:
m^R \equiv \{\{(n, IntegerMultiplication(m,n) \}. n \in int\}\}
fixes floor ([ _ ])
defines floor_def[simp]:
[a] \equiv Maximum(IntegerOrder, \{m \in int. m^R \le a\})
fixes \Gamma
defines \Gamma_{\text{def}}[\text{simp}]: \Gamma(S,p) \equiv \text{Maximum}(\text{IntegerOrder}, \{|p^R \cdot x|. x \in S\})
fixes ia (infixl + 69)
defines ia_def[simp]: a+b \equiv IntegerAddition\langle a,b\rangle
fixes iminus (- _ 72)
\mathbf{defines} \ \mathtt{iminus\_def[simp]: -a} \ \equiv \ \mathsf{GroupInv(int,IntegerAddition)(a)}
fixes isub (infixl - 69)
defines isub_def[simp]: a-b \equiv a+ (-b)
fixes intpositives (\mathbb{Z}_+)
defines intpositives_def[simp]:
\mathbb{Z}_+ \equiv 	ext{PositiveSet(int,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder)}
```

```
fixes zlesseq (infix \leq 60) defines lesseq_def[simp]: m \leq n \equiv \langle m,n \rangle \in IntegerOrder fixes imult (infixl \cdot 70) defines imult_def[simp]: a \cdot b \equiv IntegerMultiplication \langle a,b \rangle fixes izero (0_Z) defines izero_def[simp]: 0_Z \equiv TheNeutralElement(int,IntegerAddition) fixes ione (1_Z) defines ione_def[simp]: 1_Z \equiv TheNeutralElement(int,IntegerMultiplication) fixes itwo (2_Z) defines itwo_def[simp]: 2_Z \equiv 1_Z + 1_Z fixes abs defines abs_def[simp]: abs(m) \equiv AbsoluteValue(int,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder)(m) fixes \delta defines \delta_def[simp]: \delta(s,m,n) \equiv s(m+n)-s(m)-s(n)
```

51.2 Multiplication of real numbers

Multiplication of real numbers is defined as a projection of composition of slopes onto the space of equivalence classes of slopes. Thus, the product of the real numbers given as classes of slopes s and r is defined as the class of $s \circ r$. The goal of this section is to show that multiplication defined this way is commutative.

Let's recall a theorem from Int_ZF_2.thy that states that if f, g are slopes, then $f \circ g$ is equivalent to $g \circ f$. Here we conclude from that that the classes of $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ are the same.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \text{lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_1_L2: assumes A1: } f \in \mathcal{S} \quad g \in \mathcal{S} \\ \text{shows } [f \circ g] = [g \circ f] \\ \text{proof -} \\ \text{from A1 have } f \circ g \sim g \circ f \\ \text{using Slopes_def int1.Arthan_Th_9 SlopeOp1_def BoundedIntMaps_def} \\ \text{SlopeEquivalenceRel_def SlopeOp2_def by simp} \\ \text{then show thesis using Real_ZF_1_L11 equiv_class_eq} \\ \text{by simp} \\ \text{qed} \\ \\ \text{Classes of slopes are real numbers.} \\ \\ \text{lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_1_L3: assumes A1: } f \in \mathcal{S} \\ \text{shows } [f] \in \mathbb{R} \\ \\ \text{proof -} \\ \end{array}
```

```
from A1 have [f] ∈ Slopes//SlopeEquivalenceRel
    using Slopes_def quotientI by simp
  then show [f] \in \mathbb{R} using RealNumbers_def by simp
Each real number is a class of a slope.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_1_L3A: assumes A1: a∈ℝ
  shows \exists f \in S . a = [f]
proof -
  from A1 have a \in \mathcal{S}//\texttt{SlopeEquivalenceRel}
    using RealNumbers_def Slopes_def by simp
  then show thesis using quotient_def
    by simp
qed
It is useful to have the definition of addition and multiplication in the real1
context notation.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_1_L4:
  assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S} g \in \mathcal{S}
  shows
  [f] + [g] = [f+g]
  [f] \cdot [g] = [f \circ g]
proof -
  let r = SlopeEquivalenceRel
  have [f] \cdot [g] = ProjFun2(S,r,SlopeOp2)\langle [f],[g] \rangle
    using RealMultiplication_def Slopes_def by simp
  also from A1 have ... = [fog]
    using Real_ZF_1_L11 EquivClass_1_L10 Slopes_def
    by simp
  finally show [f] \cdot [g] = [f \circ g] by simp
  have [f] + [g] = ProjFun2(S,r,SlopeOp1)\langle[f],[g]\rangle
    using RealAddition_def Slopes_def by simp
  also from A1 have \dots = [f+g]
    using Real_ZF_1_L11 EquivClass_1_L10 Slopes_def
    by simp
  finally show [f] + [g] = [f+g] by simp
The next lemma is essentially the same as Real_ZF_1_L12, but written in the
notation defined in the real1 context. It states that if f is a slope, then
-[f] = [-f].
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_1_L4A: assumes f \in \mathcal{S}
  shows [-f] = -[f]
  using assms Slopes_def SlopeEquivalenceRel_def Real_ZF_1_L12
  by simp
Subtracting real numbers correspods to adding the opposite slope.
```

lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_1_L4B: assumes A1: f $\in \mathcal{S}$ g $\in \mathcal{S}$

```
shows [f] - [g] = [f+(-g)]
proof -
  from A1 have [f+(-g)] = [f] + [-g]
    using Slopes_def BoundedIntMaps_def int1.Int_ZF_2_1_L12
      Real_{ZF_1_1_L} = by simp
  with A1 show [f] - [g] = [f+(-g)]
    using Real_ZF_1_1_L4A by simp
Multiplication of real numbers is commutative.
theorem (in real1) real_mult_commute: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R}
  shows a \cdot b = b \cdot a
proof -
  from A1 have
    \exists f \in S . a = [f]
    \exists g \in S . b = [g]
    using Real_ZF_1_1_L3A by auto
  then obtain f g where
    f \in \mathcal{S} \ g \in \mathcal{S} \ and \ a = [f] \ b = [g]
    by auto
  then show a \cdot b = b \cdot a
    using Real_ZF_1_1_L4 Real_ZF_1_1_L2 by simp
qed
Multiplication is commutative on reals.
lemma real_mult_commutative: shows
  RealMultiplication {is commutative on} RealNumbers
  using real1.real_mult_commute IsCommutative_def
```

The neutral element of multiplication of reals (denoted as 1 in the real1 context) is the class of identity function on integers. This is really shown in Real_ZF_1_L11, here we only rewrite it in the notation used in the real1 context.

```
lemma (in real1) real_one_cl_identity: shows [id(int)] = 1
  using Real_ZF_1_L11 by simp
```

If f is bounded, then its class is the neutral element of additive operation on reals (denoted as $\mathbf{0}$ in the real1 context).

```
lemma (in real1) real_zero_cl_bounded_map:
    assumes f ∈ BoundedIntMaps shows [f] = 0
    using assms Real_ZF_1_L11A by simp
```

Two real numbers are equal iff the slopes that represent them are almost equal. This is proven in Real_ZF_1_L13, here we just rewrite it in the notation used in the real1 context.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_1_L5:
```

by simp

```
 \begin{array}{ll} assumes \ f \in \mathcal{S} & g \in \mathcal{S} \\ shows \ [f] \ = \ [g] \longleftrightarrow f \sim g \\ using \ assms \ Slopes\_def \ Real\_ZF\_1\_L13 \ by \ simp \\ \end{array}
```

If the pair of function belongs to the slope equivalence relation, then their classes are equal. This is convenient, because we don't need to assume that f, g are slopes (follows from the fact that $f \sim g$).

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_1_L5A: assumes f \sim g shows [f] = [g] using assms Real_ZF_1_L11 Slopes_def Real_ZF_1_L5 by auto
```

Identity function on integers is a slope. This is proven in Real_ZF_1_L13, here we just rewrite it in the notation used in the real1 context.

```
lemma (in real1) id_on_int_is_slope: shows id(int) \in S using Real_ZF_1_L14 Slopes_def by simp
```

A result from Int_ZF_2.thy: the identity function on integers is not almost equal to any bounded function.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_1_L7:
   assumes A1: f ∈ BoundedIntMaps
   shows ¬(id(int) ~ f)
   using assms Slopes_def SlopeOp1_def BoundedIntMaps_def
        SlopeEquivalenceRel_def BoundedIntMaps_def int1.Int_ZF_2_3_L12
   by simp
```

Zero is not one.

```
lemma (in real1) real_zero_not_one: shows 1\neq 0 proof - { assume A1: 1=0 have \exists f \in \mathcal{S}. \ 0 = [f] using Real_ZF_1_L4 Real_ZF_1_1_L3A by simp with A1 have \exists f \in \mathcal{S}. \ [id(int)] = [f] \land [f] = 0 using real_one_cl_identity by auto then have False using Real_ZF_1_1_L5 Slopes_def Real_ZF_1_L10 Real_ZF_1_1_L7 id_on_int_is_slope by auto } then show 1\neq 0 by auto
```

Negative of a real number is a real number. Property of groups.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_1_L8: assumes a\in \mathbb{R} shows (-a) \in \mathbb{R} using assms Real_ZF_1_L2 group0.inverse_in_group by simp
```

An identity with three real numbers.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_1_L9: assumes a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} c \in \mathbb{R}
```

```
shows a (b·c) = a·c·b
using assms real_mult_commutative Real_ZF_1_L3 ring0.Ring_ZF_2_L4
by simp
```

51.3 The order on reals

In this section we show that the order relation defined by prescribing the set of positive reals as the projection of the set of positive slopes makes the ring of real numbers into an ordered ring. We also collect the facts about ordered groups and rings that we use in the construction.

Positive slopes are slopes and positive reals are real.

```
lemma Real_ZF_1_2_L1: shows
  PositiveSlopes \subseteq Slopes
  PositiveReals \subseteq RealNumbers
proof -
  have PositiveSlopes =
    \{s \in Slopes. \ s(PositiveIntegers) \cap PositiveIntegers \notin Fin(int)\}
    using PositiveSlopes_def by simp
  then show PositiveSlopes ⊆ Slopes by (rule subset_with_property)
  then have
    {
m SlopeEquivalenceRel\{s\}.\ s\in PositiveSlopes\ }\subseteq
    Slopes//SlopeEquivalenceRel
    using EquivClass_1_L1A by simp
  then show PositiveReals \subseteq RealNumbers
    using PositiveReals_def RealNumbers_def by simp
qed
Positive reals are the same as classes of a positive slopes.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L2:
  shows a \in PositiveReals \longleftrightarrow (\exists f \in S_+. a = [f])
proof
  assume a \in PositiveReals
  then have a \in {([s]). s \in S_+} using PositiveReals_def
    \mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} simp
  then show \exists f \in S_+. a = [f] by auto
next assume \exists f \in S_+. a = [f]
  then have a \in \{([s]), s \in S_+\} by auto
  then show a \in PositiveReals using PositiveReals_def
    by simp
qed
Let's recall from Int_ZF_2.thy that the sum and composition of positive
slopes is a positive slope.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L3:
  assumes f \in \mathcal{S}_+ g \in \mathcal{S}_+
  shows
  \texttt{f+g}\,\in\,\mathcal{S}_{+}
```

```
\texttt{f} \circ \texttt{g} \in \mathcal{S}_+
  using assms Slopes_def PositiveSlopes_def PositiveIntegers_def
     SlopeOp1_def int1.sum_of_pos_sls_is_pos_sl
     SlopeOp2_def int1.comp_of_pos_sls_is_pos_sl
  by auto
Bounded integer maps are not positive slopes.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L5:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathtt{f} \ \in \ \mathtt{BoundedIntMaps}
  shows f \notin S_+
  using assms BoundedIntMaps_def Slopes_def PositiveSlopes_def
     PositiveIntegers_def int1.Int_ZF_2_3_L1B by simp
The set of positive reals is closed under addition and multiplication. Zero
(the neutral element of addition) is not a positive number.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L6: shows
  PositiveReals {is closed under} RealAddition
  PositiveReals {is closed under} RealMultiplication
  \mathbf{0} \notin 	exttt{PositiveReals}
proof -
  { fix a fix b
     \mathbf{assume} \ \mathbf{a} \in \mathtt{PositiveReals} \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathbf{b} \in \mathtt{PositiveReals}
     then obtain f g where
       \mathtt{I} \colon \ f \, \in \, \mathcal{S}_{+} \quad \mathsf{g} \, \in \, \mathcal{S}_{+} \ \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \\
       II: a = [f] b = [g]
       using Real_ZF_1_2_L2 by auto
     then have f \in \mathcal{S} g \in \mathcal{S} using Real_ZF_1_2_L1 Slopes_def
       by auto
     with I II have
       \texttt{a+b} \, \in \, \texttt{PositiveReals} \, \land \, \texttt{a} \cdot \texttt{b} \, \in \, \texttt{PositiveReals}
         using Real_ZF_1_1_L4 Real_ZF_1_2_L3 Real_ZF_1_2_L2
         by auto
  } then show
       PositiveReals {is closed under} RealAddition
       PositiveReals {is closed under} RealMultiplication
     using IsOpClosed_def
     by auto
  \{ \ 	ext{assume} \ 0 \in 	ext{PositiveReals} \ 
     then obtain f where f \in \mathcal{S}_+ and 0 = [f]
       using Real_ZF_1_2_L2 by auto
     then have False
       using Real_ZF_1_2_L1 Slopes_def Real_ZF_1_L10 Real_ZF_1_2_L5
  } then show 0 \notin PositiveReals by auto
qed
If a class of a slope f is not zero, then either f is a positive slope or -f is
a positive slope. The real proof is in Int_ZF_2.thy.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L7:
```

```
assumes A1: f \in S and A2: [f] \neq 0
  shows (f \in \mathcal{S}_+) Xor ((-f) \in \mathcal{S}_+)
  using assms Slopes_def SlopeEquivalenceRel_def BoundedIntMaps_def
    PositiveSlopes_def PositiveIntegers_def
    Real_ZF_1_L10 int1.Int_ZF_2_3_L8 by simp
The next lemma rephrases Int_ZF_2_3_L10 in the notation used in real1
context.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L8:
  assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S} g \in \mathcal{S}
  and A2: (f \in \mathcal{S}_+) Xor (g \in \mathcal{S}_+)
  {
m shows} ([f] \in PositiveReals) Xor ([g] \in PositiveReals)
  using assms PositiveReals_def SlopeEquivalenceRel_def Slopes_def
    SlopeOp1_def BoundedIntMaps_def PositiveSlopes_def PositiveIntegers_def
    int1.Int_ZF_2_3_L10 by simp
The trichotomy law for the (potential) order on reals: if a \neq 0, then either
a is positive or -a is positive.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L9:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R} and A2: a \neq 0
  {
m shows} (a \in PositiveReals) Xor ((-a) \in PositiveReals)
  from A1 obtain f where I: f \in \mathcal{S} a = [f]
    using Real_ZF_1_1_L3A by auto
  with A2 have ([f] \in PositiveReals) Xor ([-f] \in PositiveReals)
    using Slopes_def BoundedIntMaps_def int1.Int_ZF_2_1_L12
      Real_ZF_1_2_L7 Real_ZF_1_2_L8 by simp
  with I show (a \in PositiveReals) Xor ((-a) \in PositiveReals)
    using Real_ZF_1_1_L4A by simp
qed
Finally we are ready to prove that real numbers form an ordered ring with
no zero divisors.
theorem reals_are_ord_ring: shows
  IsAnOrdRing(RealNumbers, RealAddition, RealMultiplication, OrderOnReals)
  OrderOnReals {is total on} RealNumbers
  PositiveSet(RealNumbers,RealAddition,OrderOnReals) = PositiveReals
  HasNoZeroDivs(RealNumbers, RealAddition, RealMultiplication)
proof -
  let R = RealNumbers
  let A = RealAddition
  let M = RealMultiplication
  let P = PositiveReals
  let r = OrderOnReals
  let z = TheNeutralElement(R, A)
  have I:
    ringO(R, A, M)
    M {is commutative on} R
```

```
P {is closed under} A
    The Neutral Element (R, A) \notin P
    \forall a \in R. \ a \neq z \longrightarrow (a \in P) \ Xor \ (GroupInv(R, A)(a) \in P)
    P {is closed under} M
    r = OrderFromPosSet(R, A, P)
    using realO.Real_ZF_1_L3 real_mult_commutative Real_ZF_1_2_L1
      real1.Real_ZF_1_2_L6 real1.Real_ZF_1_2_L9 OrderOnReals_def
    by auto
  then show IsAnOrdRing(R, A, M, r)
    by (rule ring0.ring_ord_by_positive_set)
  from I show r {is total on} R
    by (rule ring0.ring_ord_by_positive_set)
  from I show PositiveSet(R,A,r) = P
    by (rule ring0.ring_ord_by_positive_set)
  from I show HasNoZeroDivs(R,A,M)
    by (rule ring0.ring_ord_by_positive_set)
qed
All theorems proven in the ring1 (about ordered rings), group3 (about or-
dered groups) and group1 (about groups) contexts are valid as applied to
ordered real numbers with addition and (real) order.
lemma Real_ZF_1_2_L10: shows
  ring1(RealNumbers, RealAddition, RealMultiplication, OrderOnReals)
  IsAnOrdGroup(RealNumbers,RealAddition,OrderOnReals)
  group3(RealNumbers, RealAddition, OrderOnReals)
  OrderOnReals {is total on} RealNumbers
proof -
  show ring1(RealNumbers, RealAddition, RealMultiplication, OrderOnReals)
    using reals_are_ord_ring OrdRing_ZF_1_L2 by simp
  then show
    IsAnOrdGroup(RealNumbers,RealAddition,OrderOnReals)
    group3(RealNumbers, RealAddition, OrderOnReals)
    OrderOnReals {is total on} RealNumbers
    using ring1.OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 by auto
qed
If a = b or b - a is positive, then a is less or equal b.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L11: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} and
  A3: a=b \lor b-a \in PositiveReals
  shows a≤b
  using assms reals_are_ord_ring Real_ZF_1_2_L10
    group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L30 by simp
A sufficient condition for two classes to be in the real order.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L12: assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S} g \in \mathcal{S} and
  A2: f \sim g \lor (g + (-f)) \in S_+
  shows [f] \leq [g]
```

 $\mathtt{P} \,\subseteq\, \mathtt{R}$

```
proof -
  from A1 A2 have [f] = [g] \lor [g]-[f] \in PositiveReals
    using Real_ZF_1_1_L5A Real_ZF_1_2_L2 Real_ZF_1_1_L4B
  with A1 show [f] \leq [g] using Real_ZF_1_1_L3 Real_ZF_1_2_L11
    by simp
qed
Taking negative on both sides reverses the inequality, a case with an inverse
on one side. Property of ordered groups.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L13:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R} and A2: (-a) \leq b
  shows (-b) \le a
  using assms Real_ZF_1_2_L10 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AG
  by simp
Real order is antisymmetric.
lemma (in real1) real_ord_antisym:
  assumes A1: a \le b b \le a shows a=b
proof -
  from A1 have
    group3(RealNumbers, RealAddition, OrderOnReals)
    \langle a,b \rangle \in OrderOnReals \langle b,a \rangle \in OrderOnReals
    using Real_ZF_1_2_L10 by auto
  then show a=b by (rule group3.group_order_antisym)
qed
Real order is transitive.
lemma (in real1) real_ord_transitive: assumes A1: a < b b < c
  shows a≤c
proof -
  from A1 have
    group3(RealNumbers,RealAddition,OrderOnReals)
    \langle a,b \rangle \in OrderOnReals \quad \langle b,c \rangle \in OrderOnReals
    using Real_ZF_1_2_L10 by auto
  then have \langle a,c \rangle \in OrderOnReals
    by (rule group3.Group_order_transitive)
  then show a \le c by simp
qed
We can multiply both sides of an inequality by a nonnegative real number.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L14:
  assumes a \le b and 0 \le c
  shows
  a{\cdot}c \; \leq \; b{\cdot}c
  using assms Real_ZF_1_2_L10 ring1.OrdRing_ZF_1_L9
  by auto
```

```
A special case of Real_ZF_1_2_L14: we can multiply an inequality by a real number.
```

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L14A: assumes A1: a\leqb and A2: c\inR_+ shows c·a \leq c·b using assms Real_ZF_1_2_L10 ring1.OrdRing_ZF_1_L9A by simp
```

In the real1 context notation $a \leq b$ implies that a and b are real numbers.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L15: assumes a\leqb shows a\inR b\inR using assms Real_ZF_1_2_L10 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L4 by auto
```

 $a \leq b$ implies that $0 \leq b - a$.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L16: assumes a\leqb shows 0 \leq b-a using assms Real_ZF_1_2_L10 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L12A by simp
```

A sum of nonnegative elements is nonnegative.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L17: assumes 0\le a 0\le b shows 0\le a+b using assms Real_ZF_1_2_L10 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L12 by simp
```

We can add sides of two inequalities

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L18: assumes a\leqb c\leqd shows a+c \leq b+d using assms Real_ZF_1_2_L10 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5B by simp
```

The order on real is reflexive.

```
lemma (in real1) real_ord_refl: assumes a\inR shows a\lea using assms Real_ZF_1_2_L10 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L3 by simp
```

We can add a real number to both sides of an inequality.

```
lemma (in real1) add_num_to_ineq: assumes a≤b and c∈R
  shows a+c ≤ b+c
  using assms Real_ZF_1_2_L10 IsAnOrdGroup_def by simp
```

We can put a number on the other side of an inequality, changing its sign.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L19: assumes a\in \mathbb{R} b\in \mathbb{R} and c \leq a+b shows c-b \leq a using assms Real_ZF_1_2_L10 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L9C by simp
```

```
What happens when one real number is not greater or equal than another?
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L20: assumes a \in \mathbb{R} _ b \in \mathbb{R} and \neg (a \leq b)
  shows b < a
proof -
  from assms have I:
    group3(R,RealAddition,OrderOnReals)
    OrderOnReals {is total on} {\rm I\!R}
    a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} \neg (\langle a, b \rangle \in \text{OrderOnReals})
    using Real_ZF_1_2_L10 by auto
  then have \langle b,a \rangle \in {\tt OrderOnReals}
    {f by} (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8)
  then have b \le a by simp
  moreover from I have a\neq b by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8)
  ultimately show b < a by auto
qed
We can put a number on the other side of an inequality, changing its sign,
version with a minus.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L21:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} and c \leq a-b
  shows c+b \le a
  using assms Real_ZF_1_2_L10 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5J
  by simp
The order on reals is a relation on reals.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L22: shows OrderOnReals \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}
  using Real_ZF_1_2_L10 IsAnOrdGroup_def
  by simp
A set that is bounded above in the sense defined by order on reals is a subset
of real numbers.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L23:
  assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(A,OrderOnReals)
  shows A \subseteq \mathbb{R}
  using A1 Real_ZF_1_2_L22 Order_ZF_3_L1A
  by blast
Properties of the maximum of three real numbers.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L24:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} c \in \mathbb{R}
  shows
  Maximum(OrderOnReals, \{a,b,c\}) \in \{a,b,c\}
  \texttt{Maximum}(\texttt{OrderOnReals}, \texttt{\{a,b,c\}}) \in {\rm I\!R}
  a \le Maximum(OrderOnReals, \{a,b,c\})
  b \le Maximum(OrderOnReals, \{a,b,c\})
  c \le Maximum(OrderOnReals,{a,b,c})
proof -
```

have IsLinOrder(R,OrderOnReals)

```
using Real_ZF_1_2_L10 group3.group_ord_total_is_lin
    by simp
  with A1 show
    Maximum(OrderOnReals, \{a,b,c\}) \in \{a,b,c\}
    Maximum(OrderOnReals, \{a,b,c\}) \in \mathbb{R}
    a \le Maximum(OrderOnReals, \{a,b,c\})
    b \le Maximum(OrderOnReals, \{a,b,c\})
    c \le Maximum(OrderOnReals, \{a,b,c\})
    using Finite_ZF_1_L2A by auto
qed
A form of transitivity for the order on reals.
lemma (in real1) real_strict_ord_transit:
  assumes A1: a≤b and A2: b<c
  shows a<c
proof -
  from A1 A2 have I:
    group3(R,RealAddition,OrderOnReals)
    \langle a,b \rangle \in OrderOnReals \langle b,c \rangle \in OrderOnReals \wedge b \neq c
    using Real_ZF_1_2_L10 by auto
  then have \langle a,c \rangle \in OrderOnReals \land a \neq c by (rule group3.group_strict_ord_transit)
  then show a<c by simp
qed
We can multiply a right hand side of an inequality between positive real
numbers by a number that is greater than one.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L25:
  assumes b \in \mathbb{R}_+ and a \le b and 1 < c
  shows a < b · c
  using assms reals_are_ord_ring Real_ZF_1_2_L10 ring1.OrdRing_ZF_3_L17
  by simp
We can move a real number to the other side of a strict inequality, changing
its sign.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L26:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} and a-b < c
  shows a < c+b
  using assms Real_ZF_1_2_L10 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L12B
  by simp
Real order is translation invariant.
lemma (in real1) real_ord_transl_inv:
  assumes a \le b and c \in \mathbb{R}
  shows c+a \le c+b
  using assms Real_ZF_1_2_L10 IsAnOrdGroup_def
```

It is convenient to have the transitivity of the order on integers in the notation specific to real1 context. This may be confusing for the presentation

readers: even though \leq and \leq are printed in the same way, they are different symbols in the source. In the real1 context the former denotes inequality between integers, and the latter denotes inequality between real numbers (classes of slopes). The next lemma is about transitivity of the order relation on integers.

```
\begin{array}{lll} lemma & (in \ real1) \ int\_order\_transitive: \\ & assumes \ A1: \ a \leq b \ b \leq c \\ & shows \ a \leq c \\ proof - \\ & from \ A1 \ have \\ & \langle a,b \rangle \in Integer0rder \ and \ \langle b,c \rangle \in Integer0rder \\ & by \ auto \\ & then \ have \ \langle a,c \rangle \in Integer0rder \\ & by \ (rule \ Int\_ZF\_2\_L5) \\ & then \ show \ a \leq c \ by \ simp \\ & qed \end{array}
```

A property of nonempty subsets of real numbers that don't have a maximum: for any element we can find one that is (strictly) greater.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L27: assumes A\subseteqR and \negHasAmaximum(OrderOnReals,A) and x\inA shows \exists y\inA. x<y using assms Real_ZF_1_2_L10 group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_2_L2B by simp
```

The next lemma shows what happens when one real number is not greater or equal than another.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L28: assumes a\in\mathbb{R} b\in\mathbb{R} and \neg(a\leq b) shows b<a proof - from assms have group3(\mathbb{R},RealAddition,OrderOnReals) OrderOnReals {is total on} \mathbb{R} a\in\mathbb{R} b\in\mathbb{R} \langle a,b\rangle\notin \text{OrderOnReals} using Real_ZF_1_2_L10 by auto then have \langle b,a\rangle\in \text{OrderOnReals} \wedge b\neq a by (rule group3.OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L8) then show b<a by simp qed
```

If a real number is less than another, then the second one can not be less or equal that the first.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_2_L29:
   assumes a<b shows ¬(b≤a)
proof -
   from assms have</pre>
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} \texttt{group3}(\mathbb{R},\texttt{RealAddition},\texttt{OrderOnReals}) \\ \langle \texttt{a},\texttt{b} \rangle \in \texttt{OrderOnReals} & \texttt{a} \neq \texttt{b} \\ \texttt{using Real}\_\texttt{ZF}\_1\_2\_\texttt{L10} & \texttt{by} \text{ auto} \\ \texttt{then have} & \langle \texttt{b},\texttt{a} \rangle \notin \texttt{OrderOnReals} \\ \texttt{by} & (\texttt{rule group3}.\texttt{OrderedGroup}\_\texttt{ZF}\_1\_\texttt{L8AA}) \\ \texttt{then show} & \neg(\texttt{b} \leq \texttt{a}) & \texttt{by simp} \\ \texttt{qed} \end{array}
```

51.4 Inverting reals

In this section we tackle the issue of existence of (multiplicative) inverses of real numbers and show that real numbers form an ordered field. We also restate here some facts specific to ordered fields that we need for the construction. The actual proofs of most of these facts can be found in Field_ZF.thy and OrderedField_ZF.thy

We rewrite the theorem from Int_ZF_2.thy that shows that for every positive slope we can find one that is almost equal and has an inverse.

```
lemma (in real1) pos_slopes_have_inv: assumes f \in S_+ shows \exists g \in S. f \sim g \land (\exists h \in S. g \circ h \sim id(int)) using assms PositiveSlopes_def Slopes_def PositiveIntegers_def int1.pos_slope_has_inv SlopeOp1_def SlopeOp2_def BoundedIntMaps_def SlopeEquivalenceRel_def by simp
```

The set of real numbers we are constructing is an ordered field.

```
theorem (in real1) reals_are_ord_field: shows
  IsAnOrdField(RealNumbers,RealAddition,RealMultiplication,OrderOnReals)
proof -
  let R = RealNumbers
  let A = RealAddition
  let M = RealMultiplication
  let r = OrderOnReals
  have ring1(R,A,M,r) and 0 \neq 1
    using reals_are_ord_ring OrdRing_ZF_1_L2 real_zero_not_one
    by auto
  moreover have M {is commutative on} R
    using real_mult_commutative by simp
  moreover have
    \forall a \in PositiveSet(R,A,r). \exists b \in R. a \cdot b = 1
    fix a assume a \in PositiveSet(R,A,r)
    then obtain f where I: f \in S_+ and II: a = [f]
      using reals_are_ord_ring Real_ZF_1_2_L2
      by auto
    then have \exists g \in S. f \sim g \land (\exists h \in S. g \circ h \sim id(int))
      using pos_slopes_have_inv by simp
    then obtain g where
```

```
III: g \in S and IV: f \sim g and V: \exists h \in S. g \circ h \sim id(int)
      by auto
    from V obtain h where VII: h \in \mathcal{S} and VIII: g \circ h \sim id(int)
      by auto
    from I III IV have [f] = [g]
      using Real_ZF_1_2_L1 Slopes_def Real_ZF_1_1_L5
      by auto
    with II III VII VIII have a [h] = 1
      using Real_ZF_1_1_L4 Real_ZF_1_1_L5A real_one_cl_identity
      by simp
    with VII show \exists b \in \mathbb{R}. a \cdot b = 1 using Real_ZF_1_1_L3
      by auto
  qed
  ultimately show thesis using ring1.OrdField_ZF_1_L4
    by simp
qed
Reals form a field.
lemma reals_are_field:
  shows IsAfield(RealNumbers, RealAddition, RealMultiplication)
  using real1.reals_are_ord_field OrdField_ZF_1_L1A
  by simp
Theorem proven in field0 and field1 contexts are valid as applied to real
numbers.
lemma field_cntxts_ok: shows
  fieldO(RealNumbers,RealAddition,RealMultiplication)
  field1(RealNumbers, RealAddition, RealMultiplication, OrderOnReals)
  using reals_are_field real1.reals_are_ord_field
     field_field0 OrdField_ZF_1_L2 by auto
If a is positive, then a^{-1} is also positive.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_3_L1: assumes a \in \mathbb{R}_+
  shows a^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}_+ a^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}
  using assms field_cntxts_ok field1.OrdField_ZF_1_L8 PositiveSet_def
  by auto
A technical fact about multiplying strict inequality by the inverse of one of
the sides.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_3_L2:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{R}_+ and a^{-1} < b
  shows 1 < b \cdot a
  using assms field_cntxts_ok field1.OrdField_ZF_2_L2
  by simp
If a is smaller than b, then (b-a)^{-1} is positive.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_3_L3: assumes a<b
  shows (b-a)^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}_+
```

```
using assms field_cntxts_ok field1.OrdField_ZF_1_L9
by simp
```

We can put a positive factor on the other side of a strict inequality, changing it to its inverse.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_3_L4: assumes A1: a\in\mathbb{R} b\in\mathbb{R}_+ and A2: a\cdot b < c shows a < c\cdot b^{-1} using assms field_cntxts_ok field1.OrdField_ZF_2_L6 by simp
```

We can put a positive factor on the other side of a strict inequality, changing it to its inverse, version with the product initially on the right hand side.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_3_L4A: assumes A1: b\in\mathbb{R} c\in\mathbb{R}_+ and A2: a < b·c shows a·c<sup>-1</sup> < b using assms field_cntxts_ok field1.0rdField_ZF_2_L6A by simp
```

We can put a positive factor on the other side of an inequality, changing it to its inverse, version with the product initially on the right hand side.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_3_L4B: assumes A1: b\in\mathbb{R} c\in\mathbb{R}_+ and A2: a \leq b·c shows a·c<sup>-1</sup> \leq b using assms field_cntxts_ok field1.0rdField_ZF_2_L5A by simp
```

We can put a positive factor on the other side of an inequality, changing it to its inverse, version with the product initially on the left hand side.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_3_L4C: assumes A1: a\in\mathbb{R} b\in\mathbb{R}_+ and A2: a\cdot b\leq c shows a\leq c\cdot b^{-1} using assms field_cntxts_ok field1.OrdField_ZF_2_L5 by simp
```

A technical lemma about solving a strict inequality with three real numbers and inverse of a difference.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_3_L5: assumes a<br/>b and (b-a)^-1 < c shows 1 + a·c < b·c using assms field_cntxts_ok field1.0rdField_ZF_2_L9 by simp
```

We can multiply an inequality by the inverse of a positive number.

```
 \begin{array}{ll} lemma \ (in \ real1) \ Real\_ZF\_1\_3\_L6: \\ assumes \ a \leq b \ and \ c \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ shows \ a \cdot c^{-1} \leq b \cdot c^{-1} \\ using \ assms \ field\_cntxts\_ok \ field1.0rdField\_ZF\_2\_L3 \\ \end{array}
```

```
by simp
```

We can multiply a strict inequality by a positive number or its inverse.

```
\begin{array}{lll} lemma & (in \ real1) \ Real_ZF_1_3_L7: \\ & assumes \ a < b \ and \ c \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ shows \\ & a \cdot c \ < b \cdot c \\ & c \cdot a \ < c \cdot b \\ & a \cdot c^{-1} \ < b \cdot c^{-1} \\ & using \ assms \ field_cntxts_ok \ field1.0rdField_ZF_2_L4 \\ & by \ auto \end{array}
```

An identity with three real numbers, inverse and cancelling.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_3_L8: assumesa\in \mathbb{R} b\in \mathbb{R} b\neq 0 c\in \mathbb{R} shows a·b·(c·b<sup>-1</sup>) = a·c using assms field_cntxts_ok field0.Field_ZF_2_L6 by simp
```

51.5 Completeness

This goal of this section is to show that the order on real numbers is complete, that is every subset of reals that is bounded above has a smallest upper bound.

If m is an integer, then m^R is a real number. Recall that in real1 context m^R denotes the class of the slope $n \mapsto m \cdot n$.

```
lemma (in real1) real_int_is_real: assumes m \in \text{int} shows m^R \in \mathbb{R} using assms int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L1 Real_ZF_1_1_L3 by simp
```

The negative of the real embedding of an integer is the embedding of the negative of the integer.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L1: assumes m \in int shows (-m)^R = -(m^R) using assms int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L3 int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L1 Real_ZF_1_1_L4A by simp
```

The embedding of sum of integers is the sum of embeddings.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L1A: assumes m \in \text{int} \quad k \in \text{int} shows m^R + k^R = ((m+k)^R) using assms int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L1 SlopeOp1_def int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L3A Real_ZF_1_1_L4 by simp
```

The embedding of a difference of integers is the difference of embeddings.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L1B: assumes A1: m \in int k \in int shows m^R - k^R = (m-k)^R proof - from A1 have (-k) \in int using int0.Int_ZF_1_1_L4
```

```
by simp
  with A1 have (m-k)^R = m^R + (-k)^R
    using Real_ZF_1_4_L1A by simp
  with A1 show m^R - k^R = (m-k)^R
    using Real_ZF_1_4_L1 by simp
qed
```

The embedding of the product of integers is the product of embeddings.

```
lemma \ (in \ real1) \ Real\_ZF\_1\_4\_L1C \colon assumes \ m \in \ int \quad k \in \ int
  shows m^R \cdot k^R = (m \cdot k)^R
  using assms int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L1 SlopeOp2_def int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L3B
    Real_ZF_1_1_L4 by simp
```

For any real numbers there is an integer whose real version is greater or

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L2: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R}
   shows \exists m \in int. a \leq m^R
proof -
   from A1 obtain f where I: f \in S and II: a = [f]
      using Real_ZF_1_1_L3A by auto
   then have \exists m \in \text{int. } \exists g \in S.
       \{\langle \mathtt{n},\mathtt{m}\cdot\mathtt{n}
angle \ . \ \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{int}\} \sim \mathtt{g} \land (\mathtt{f}{\sim}\mathtt{g} \lor (\mathtt{g} + (\mathtt{-f})) \in \mathcal{S}_{+})
      using int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L2 Slopes_def SlopeOp1_def
          BoundedIntMaps_def SlopeEquivalenceRel_def
         PositiveIntegers_def PositiveSlopes_def
   then obtain m g where III: meint and IV: geS and
     \{\langle \mathtt{n},\mathtt{m}\cdot\mathtt{n}\rangle \ . \ \mathtt{n} \ \in \ \mathtt{int}\} \ \sim \ \mathtt{g} \ \land \ (\mathtt{f}{\sim}\mathtt{g} \ \lor \ (\mathtt{g} \ + \ (\mathtt{-f})) \ \in \ \mathcal{S}_{+})
      by auto
   then have \mathtt{m}^R = [g] and \mathtt{f} \sim \mathtt{g} \vee (\mathtt{g} + (\mathtt{-f})) \in \mathcal{S}_+
      using Real_ZF_1_1_L5A by auto
   with I II IV have a \leq m^R using Real_ZF_1_2_L12
      by simp
   with III show \exists m \in int. a \leq m^R by auto
```

For any real numbers there is an integer whose real version (embedding) is less or equal.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L3: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R}
  shows \{m \in int. m^R \le a\} \ne 0
proof -
  from A1 have (-a) \in \mathbb{R} using Real_ZF_1_1_L8
    by simp
  then obtain m where I: meint and II: (-a) \leq m^R
    using Real_ZF_1_4_L2 by auto
  let k = GroupInv(int,IntegerAddition)(m)
  from A1 I II have k \in \text{int and } k^R \leq a
    using Real_ZF_1_2_L13 Real_ZF_1_4_L1 int0.Int_ZF_1_1_L4
```

```
by auto
  then show thesis by auto
qed
Embeddings of two integers are equal only if the integers are equal.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L4:
  assumes A1: m \in int \ k \in int \ and \ A2: m^R = k^R
  shows m=k
proof -
  let r = \{\langle n, \text{ IntegerMultiplication } \langle m, n \rangle \rangle : n \in \text{int} \}
  let s = \{\langle n, \text{ IntegerMultiplication } \langle k, n \rangle \rangle : n \in \text{int} \}
  from A1 A2 have r \sim s
    using int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L1 AlmostHoms_def Real_ZF_1_1_L5
    by simp
  with A1 have
    \mathtt{m} \, \in \, \mathtt{int} \quad \mathtt{k} \, \in \, \mathtt{int}
    \langle r,s \rangle \in QuotientGroupRel(AlmostHoms(int, IntegerAddition),
    AlHomOp1(int, IntegerAddition),FinRangeFunctions(int, int))
    using SlopeEquivalenceRel_def Slopes_def SlopeOp1_def
       BoundedIntMaps_def by auto
  then show m=k by (rule int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L6)
qed
The embedding of integers preserves the order.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L5: assumes A1: m≤k
  shows m^R \leq k^R
proof -
  let r = \{(n, m \cdot n) : n \in int\}
  let s = \{\langle n, k \cdot n \rangle : n \in int\}
  from A1 have r \in \mathcal{S} s \in \mathcal{S}
    using int0.Int_ZF_2_L1A int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L1 by auto
  moreover from A1 have r \sim s \lor s + (-r) \in \mathcal{S}_+
    using Slopes_def SlopeOp1_def BoundedIntMaps_def SlopeEquivalenceRel_def
       PositiveIntegers_def PositiveSlopes_def
       int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L4 by simp
  ultimately show m^R \leq k^R using Real_ZF_1_2_L12
    by simp
qed
The embedding of integers preserves the strict order.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L5A: assumes A1: m \le k  m \ne k
  \mathbf{shows}\ \mathbf{m}^R\ \boldsymbol{<}\ \mathbf{k}^R
  from A1 have m^R \leq k^R using Real_ZF_1_4_L5
    by simp
  moreover
  from A1 have T: m \in int k \in int
    using int0.Int_ZF_2_L1A by auto
  with A1 have m^R \neq k^R using Real_ZF_1_4_L4
```

```
by auto
  ultimately show m^R < k^R by simp
\mathbf{qed}
For any real number there is a positive integer whose real version is (strictly)
greater. This is Lemma 14 i) in [2].
lemma (in real1) Arthan_Lemma14i: assumes A1: a\in \mathbb{R}
  shows \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. a < n^R
proof -
  from A1 obtain m where I: m\inint and II: a \leq m<sup>R</sup>
    using Real_ZF_1_4_L2 by auto
  let n = GreaterOf(IntegerOrder, 1_Z, m) + 1_Z
  using int0.Int_ZF_1_5_L7B by auto
  then have III: m^R < n^R
    using Real_ZF_1_4_L5A by simp
  with \overline{\text{II}} have a < n^R by (rule real_strict_ord_transit)
  with T show thesis by auto
If one embedding is less or equal than another, then the integers are also
less or equal.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L6:
  assumes A1: k \in int m \in int and A2: m^R \leq k^R
  shows m \le k
proof -
  { assume A3: ⟨m,k⟩ ∉ IntegerOrder
    with A1 have \langle k, m \rangle \in IntegerOrder
      by (rule int0.Int_ZF_2_L19)
    then have k^R \leq m^R using Real_ZF_1_4_L5
      by simp
    with A2 have m^R = k^R by (rule real_ord_antisym)
    with A1 have k = m using Real_ZF_1_4_L4
      by auto
    moreover from A1 A3 have k\neq m by (rule int0.Int_ZF_2_L19)
    ultimately have False by simp
  } then show m≤k by auto
qed
The floor function is well defined and has expected properties.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L7: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R}
  shows
  IsBoundedAbove(\{m \in int. m^R \leq a\},IntegerOrder)
  \{m \in int. m^R \le a\} \ne 0
  |a| \in int
  |\mathbf{a}|^R \leq \mathbf{a}
proof -
  let A = \{m \in int. m^R \le a\}
```

```
using Real_ZF_1_4_L2 by auto
  \{ \text{ fix n assume n} \in A \}
    then have III: n \in int and IV: n^R \leq a
      by auto
    from IV II have (n^R) \leq (K^R)
      by (rule real_ord_transitive)
    with I III have n 

K using Real_ZF_1_4_L6
      by simp
  } then have \forall n \in A. \langle n, K \rangle \in IntegerOrder
    by simp
  then show IsBoundedAbove(A,IntegerOrder)
    by (rule Order_ZF_3_L10)
  moreover from A1 show A \neq 0 using Real_ZF_1_4_L3
    by simp
  ultimately have Maximum(IntegerOrder,A) ∈ A
    by (rule int0.int_bounded_above_has_max)
  then show [a] \in int [a]^R \le a by auto
Every integer whose embedding is less or equal a real number a is less or
equal than the floor of a.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L8:
  assumes A1: m \in int and A2: m^R \leq a
  shows m \leq |a|
proof -
  let A = \{m \in \text{int. } m^R \leq a\}
  from A2 have IsBoundedAbove(A,IntegerOrder) and A\neq0
    using Real_ZF_1_2_L15 Real_ZF_1_4_L7 by auto
  then have \forall x \in A. \langle x, Maximum(IntegerOrder, A) \rangle \in IntegerOrder
    by (rule int0.int_bounded_above_has_max)
  with A1 A2 show m \leq |a| by simp
qed
Integer zero and one embed as real zero and one.
lemma (in real1) int_0_1_are_real_zero_one:
  shows \mathbf{0}_Z^R = \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{1}_Z^R = \mathbf{1}
  using int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L7 BoundedIntMaps_def
    real_one_cl_identity real_zero_cl_bounded_map
  by auto
Integer two embeds as the real two.
lemma (in real1) int_two_is_real_two: shows 2Z^R = 2
proof -
  have \mathbf{2}_Z{}^R = \mathbf{1}_Z{}^R + \mathbf{1}_Z{}^R
    using intO.int_zero_one_are_int Real_ZF_1_4_L1A
  also have ... = 2 using int_0_1_are_real_zero_one
    by simp
```

from A1 obtain K where I: $K \in \text{int and II: a} \leq (K^R)$

```
finally show 2z^R = 2 by simp
A positive integer embeds as a positive (hence nonnegative) real.
lemma (in real1) int_pos_is_real_pos: assumes A1: p∈Z+
  shows
  \mathbf{p}^R \in 
lap{R}
  egin{aligned} \mathbf{ar{0}} &\leq \mathbf{p}^R \\ \mathbf{p}^R &\in \mathrm{I\!R}_+ \end{aligned}
proof -
  from A1 have I: p \in int \mid \mathbf{0}_Z \leq p \mid \mathbf{0}_Z \neq p
     using PositiveSet_def by auto
  then have p^R \in \mathbb{R} \quad \mathbf{0}_Z^R \leq p^R
     using real_int_is_real Real_ZF_1_4_L5 by auto
  then show p^R \in \mathbb{R} \quad \mathbf{0} \leq p^R
     using int_0_1_are_real_zero_one by auto
  moreover have 0 \neq p^R
  proof -
     { assume 0 = p^R
        with I have False using int_0_1_are_real_zero_one
 \verb|int0.int_zero_one_are_int Real_ZF_1_4_L4| by auto
     } then show 0 \neq p^R by auto
  ultimately show p^R \in \mathbb{R}_+ using PositiveSet_def
     by simp
qed
The ordered field of reals we are constructing is archimedean, i.e., if x, y are
its elements with y positive, then there is a positive integer M such that x
is smaller than M^R y. This is Lemma 14 ii) in [2].
lemma (in real1) Arthan_Lemma14ii: assumes A1: x \in \mathbb{R} y \in \mathbb{R}_+
  shows \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}_+. x < M^R \cdot y
proof -
  from A1 have
     \exists C \in \mathbb{Z}_+. x < C^R and \exists D \in \mathbb{Z}_+. y^{-1} < D^R
     using Real_ZF_1_3_L1 Arthan_Lemma14i by auto
  then obtain C D where
     I: C \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and II: x < C^R and
     III: D \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and IV: y^{-1} < D^R
     by auto
  let M = C \cdot D
  from I III have
     \mathtt{T} \colon \, \mathtt{M} \, \in \, \mathbb{Z}_{+} \quad \mathtt{C}^{R} \, \in \, \mathrm{I\!R} \quad \mathtt{D}^{R} \, \in \, \mathrm{I\!R}
     using intO.pos_int_closed_mul_unfold PositiveSet_def real_int_is_real
     by auto
  with A1 I III have C^R \cdot (D^R \cdot y) = M^R \cdot y
     using PositiveSet_def Real_ZF_1_L6A Real_ZF_1_4_L1C
     by simp
```

moreover from A1 I II IV have

```
x < C^R \cdot (D^R \cdot y)
    using int_pos_is_real_pos Real_ZF_1_3_L2 Real_ZF_1_2_L25
    by auto
  ultimately have x < M^R \cdot y
    by auto
  with T show thesis by auto
qed
Taking the floor function preserves the order.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L9: assumes A1: a≤b
  shows |a| \leq |b|
proof -
  from A1 have T: a \in \mathbb{R} using Real_ZF_1_2_L15
    by simp
  with A1 have |a|^R \le a and a \le b
    using Real_ZF_1_4_L7 by auto
  then have |a|^R \le b by (rule real_ord_transitive)
  moreover from T have |a| ∈ int using Real_ZF_1_4_L7
 ultimately show |a| \le |b| using Real_ZF_1_4_L8
   by simp
qed
If S is bounded above and p is a positive intereger, then \Gamma(S,p) is well
defined.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L10:
  assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(S,OrderOnReals) S\neq0 and A2: p\inZ<sub>+</sub>
  IsBoundedAbove(\{|p^R \cdot x|. x \in S\},IntegerOrder)
  \Gamma(S,p) \in \{|p^R \cdot x|. x \in S\}
  \Gamma(\mathtt{S},\mathtt{p}) \in \mathtt{int}
proof -
  let A = \{|p^R \cdot x| : x \in S\}
  from A1 obtain X where I: \forall x \in S. x \leq X
    using IsBoundedAbove_def by auto
  \{ \text{ fix m assume m} \in A \}
    then obtain x where x \in S and II: m = |p^R \cdot x|
       by auto
    with I have x<X by simp
    moreover from A2 have 0 \le p^R using int_pos_is_real_pos
       by simp
    ultimately have p^R \cdot x \leq p^R \cdot X using Real_ZF_1_2_L14
    with II have m \leq \lfloor p^R \cdot X \rfloor using Real_ZF_1_4_L9
       by simp
  } then have \forall m \in A. \langle m, |p^R \cdot X| \rangle \in Integer Order
  then show II: IsBoundedAbove(A,IntegerOrder)
    by (rule Order_ZF_3_L10)
```

```
moreover from A1 have III: A \neq 0 by simp
  ultimately have Maximum(IntegerOrder, A) \in A
     by (rule int0.int_bounded_above_has_max)
  moreover from II III have Maximum(IntegerOrder,A) ∈ int
     by (rule int0.int_bounded_above_has_max)
  ultimately show \Gamma(S,p) \in \{|p^R \cdot x| | x \in S\} \text{ and } \Gamma(S,p) \in \text{int}
     by auto
If p is a positive integer, then for all s \in S the floor of p \cdot x is not greater
that \Gamma(S, p).
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L11:
  assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(S,OrderOnReals) and A2: x \in S and A3: p \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  shows |p^R \cdot x| \leq \Gamma(S,p)
  let A = \{|p^R \cdot x| : x \in S\}
  from A2 have S \neq 0 by auto
  with A1 A3 have IsBoundedAbove(A,IntegerOrder) A \neq 0
     using Real_ZF_1_4_L10 by auto
  then have \forall x \in A. \langle x, Maximum(IntegerOrder, A) \rangle \in IntegerOrder
     by (rule int0.int_bounded_above_has_max)
  with A2 show |p^R \cdot x| < \Gamma(S,p) by simp
qed
The candidate for supremum is an integer mapping with values given by \Gamma.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L12:
  assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(S,OrderOnReals) S≠0 and
  A2: g = \{\langle p, \Gamma(S,p) \rangle . p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}
  shows
  g: \mathbb{Z}_{+} \rightarrow int
  \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. g(n) = \Gamma(S,n)
proof -
  from A1 have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \Gamma(S,n) \in \text{int using Real}_{ZF_1_4_L10}
     by simp
  with A2 show I: g : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \text{int using ZF\_fun\_from\_total by simp}
  { fix n assume n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
     with A2 I have g(n) = \Gamma(S,n) using ZF_fun_from_tot_val
       by simp
  } then show \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. g(n) = \Gamma(S,n) by simp
qed
Every integer is equal to the floor of its embedding.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L14: assumes A1: m ∈ int
  shows |\mathbf{m}^R| = \mathbf{m}
proof -
  \mathbf{let}\ \mathtt{A}\ \mathtt{=}\ \{\mathtt{n}\ \in\ \mathtt{int.}\ \mathtt{n}^{R}\ \leq\ \mathtt{m}^{R}\ \}
  have antisym(IntegerOrder) using int0.Int_ZF_2_L4
     by simp
  moreover from A1 have m \in A
```

```
using real_int_is_real real_ord_refl by auto
  moreover from A1 have \forall n \in A. \langle n,m \rangle \in IntegerOrder
     using Real_ZF_1_4_L6 by auto
  ultimately show |m^R| = m using Order_ZF_4_L14
     by auto
qed
Floor of (real) zero is (integer) zero.
lemma (in real1) floor_01_is_zero_one: shows
  |\mathbf{0}| = \mathbf{0}_Z
                |\mathbf{1}| = \mathbf{1}_Z
proof -
  have |(\mathbf{0}_Z)^R| = \mathbf{0}_Z and |(\mathbf{1}_Z)^R| = \mathbf{1}_Z
     using intO.int_zero_one_are_int Real_ZF_1_4_L14
     by auto
  then show |\mathbf{0}| = \mathbf{0}_Z and |\mathbf{1}| = \mathbf{1}_Z
     using int_0_1_are_real_zero_one
     by auto
qed
Floor of (real) two is (integer) two.
lemma (in real1) floor_2_is_two: shows |2| = 2Z
proof -
  have |({\bf 2}_Z)^R| = {\bf 2}_Z
     using int0.int_two_three_are_int Real_ZF_1_4_L14
  then show [2] = 2_Z using int_two_is_real_two
     by simp
Floor of a product of embeddings of integers is equal to the product of
integers.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L14A: assumes A1: m \in int k \in int
  shows |\mathbf{m}^R \cdot \mathbf{k}^R| = \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{k}
proof -
  from A1 have T: m \cdot k \in \text{int}
     using int0.Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
  from A1 have |\mathbf{m}^R \cdot \mathbf{k}^R| = |(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{k})^R| using Real_ZF_1_4_L1C
     by simp
  with T show \lfloor m^R \cdot k^R \rfloor = m·k using Real_ZF_1_4_L14
qed
Floor of the sum of a number and the embedding of an integer is the floor
of the number plus the integer.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L15: assumes A1: x \in \mathbb{R} and A2: p \in \text{int}
  shows |x + p^R| = |x| + p
proof -
  \mathbf{let} \ \mathtt{A} \ \texttt{=} \ \{\mathtt{n} \ \in \ \mathtt{int.} \ \mathtt{n}^R \ \leq \ \mathtt{x} \ + \ \mathtt{p}^R \}
```

```
have antisym(IntegerOrder) using int0.Int_ZF_2_L4
    by simp
  moreover have |x| + p \in A
  proof -
    from A1 A2 have [x]^R \leq x and p^R \in \mathbb{R}
      using Real_ZF_1_4_L7 real_int_is_real by auto
    then have |x|^R + p^R \le x + p^R
      using add_num\_to\_ineq by simp
    moreover from A1 A2 have (\lfloor \mathbf{x} \rfloor + \mathbf{p})^R = \lfloor \mathbf{x} \rfloor^R + \mathbf{p}^R
       using Real_ZF_1_4_L7 Real_ZF_1_4_L1A by simp
    ultimately have ([x] + p)^R \le x + p^R
    moreover from A1 A2 have [x] + p \in int
      using Real_ZF_1_4_L7 int0.Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
    ultimately show |x| + p \in A by auto
  moreover have \forall n \in A. n \leq |x| + p
  proof
    fix n assume n \in A
    then have I: n \in int and n^R \leq x + p^R
      by auto
    with A1 A2 have n^R - p^R \le x
      using real_int_is_real Real_ZF_1_2_L19
      by simp
    with A2 I have |(n-p)^R| \leq |x|
      using Real_ZF_1_4_L1B Real_ZF_1_4_L9
      by simp
    moreover
    from A2 I have n-p \in int
      using int0.Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by simp
    then have \lfloor (n-p)^R \rfloor = n-p
      using Real_ZF_1_4_L14 by simp
    ultimately have n-p \leq \lfloor x \rfloor
      by simp
    with A2 I show n \le |x| + p
      using int0.Int_ZF_2_L9C by simp
  ultimately show |x + p^R| = |x| + p
    using Order_ZF_4_L14 by auto
qed
```

Floor of the difference of a number and the embedding of an integer is the floor of the number minus the integer.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L16: assumes A1: x \in \mathbb{R} and A2: p \in \text{int shows } \lfloor x - p^R \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor - p
proof -
from A2 have \lfloor x - p^R \rfloor = \lfloor x + (-p)^R \rfloor
using Real_ZF_1_4_L1 by simp
with A1 A2 show \lfloor x - p^R \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor - p
```

```
using intO.Int_ZF_1_1_L4 Real_ZF_1_4_L15 by simp
qed
The floor of sum of embeddings is the sum of the integers.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L17: assumes m \in \text{int} \quad n \in \text{int}
  shows |(\mathbf{m}^R) + \mathbf{n}^R| = \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}
  using assms real_int_is_real Real_ZF_1_4_L15 Real_ZF_1_4_L14
  by simp
A lemma about adding one to floor.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L17A: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R}
  shows 1 + |a|^R = (1_Z + |a|)^R
proof -
  have 1 + |a|^R = 1_Z^R + |a|^R
     using int_0_1_are_real_zero_one by simp
  with A1 show 1 + \lfloor a \rfloor^R = (1_Z + \lfloor a \rfloor)^R
     using intO.int_zero_one_are_int Real_ZF_1_4_L7 Real_ZF_1_4_L1A
     by simp
qed
The difference between the a number and the embedding of its floor is
(strictly) less than one.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L17B: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R}
  shows
  a - \lfloor \mathtt{a} 
vert^R < 1
  \mathtt{a} \, \mathrel{<} \, (\mathbf{1}_Z \, + \, \lfloor \mathtt{a} \rfloor)^R
proof -
  from A1 have T1: [a] \in \text{int} \quad [a]^R \in \mathbb{R} and
    T2: 1 \in \mathbb{R} a - |\mathtt{a}|^R \in \mathbb{R}
     using Real_ZF_1_4_L7 real_int_is_real Real_ZF_1_L6 Real_ZF_1_L4
     by auto
  \{ \text{ assume } 1 \leq a - |a|^R 
     with A1 T1 have \lfloor \mathbf{1}_Z^R + \lfloor \mathbf{a} \rfloor^R \rfloor \leq \lfloor \mathbf{a} \rfloor
        using Real_ZF_1_2_L21 Real_ZF_1_4_L9 int_0_1_are_real_zero_one
        by simp
     with T1 have False
        using intO.int_zero_one_are_int Real_ZF_1_4_L17
        intO.Int_ZF_1_2_L3AA by simp
  } then have I: \neg (1 \le a - \lfloor a \rfloor^R) by auto with T2 show II: a - \lfloor a \rfloor^R < 1
     by (rule Real_ZF_1_2_L20)
    with A1 T1 I II have
     a < 1 + |a|^R
     using Real_ZF_1_2_L26 by simp
  with A1 show a < (1_Z + |a|)^R
```

The next lemma corresponds to Lemma 14 iii) in [2]. It says that we can

using Real_ZF_1_4_L17A by simp

qed

```
find a rational number between any two different real numbers.
```

```
lemma (in real1) Arthan_Lemma14iii: assumes A1: x<y
  shows \exists M \in \text{int.} \exists M \in \mathbb{Z}_+. x \cdot N^R < M^R \land M^R < y \cdot N^R
  from A1 have (y-x)^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}_+ using Real_ZF_1_3_L3
     by simp
  then have
     \exists\, \mathtt{N} {\in} \mathbf{Z}_{+}. (\mathtt{y-x})^{-1} < \mathtt{N}^{R}
     using Arthan_Lemma14i PositiveSet_def by simp
  then obtain N where I: \mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and II: (y-x)^{-1} < \mathbb{N}^R
     by auto
  let M = \mathbf{1}_Z + |\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{N}^R|
  from A1 I have
     T1: \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R} \mathbf{N}^R \in \mathbb{R} \mathbf{N}^R \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{N}^R \in \mathbb{R}
     using Real_ZF_1_2_L15 PositiveSet_def real_int_is_real
        Real_ZF_1_L6 int_pos_is_real_pos by auto
  then have T2: M \in int using
     intO.int_zero_one_are_int Real_ZF_1_4_L7 intO.Int_ZF_1_1_L5
     by simp
  from T1 have III: x \cdot N^R < M^R
     using Real_ZF_1_4_L17B by simp
  from T1 have (1 + |\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{N}^R|^R) \leq (1 + \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{N}^R)
     using Real_ZF_1_4_L7 Real_ZF_1_L4 real_ord_transl_inv
     by simp
   with T1 have M^R \leq (1 + x \cdot N^R)
     using Real_ZF_1_4_L17A by simp
  moreover from A1 II have (1 + \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{N}^R) < \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{N}^R
     using Real_ZF_1_3_L5 by simp
  ultimately have M^R < y \cdot N^R
     by (rule real_strict_ord_transit)
   with I T2 III show thesis by auto
Some estimates for the homomorphism difference of the floor function.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L18: assumes A1: x \in \mathbb{R} y \in \mathbb{R}
  shows
   abs(|x+y| - |x| - |y|) \leq 2_Z
proof -
  from A1 have T:
     [x]^R \in \mathbb{R} \quad [y]^R \in \mathbb{R}
     \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} - (\lfloor \mathbf{x} \rfloor^R) \in \mathbb{R}
       using Real_ZF_1_4_L7 real_int_is_real Real_ZF_1_L6
       by auto
  from A1 have
     \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{x} - (\lfloor \mathbf{x} \rfloor^R) + (\mathbf{y} - (\lfloor \mathbf{y} \rfloor^R))
     x - (\lfloor x \rfloor^R) + (y - (\lfloor y \rfloor^R)) \le 2
     using Real_ZF_1_4_L7 Real_ZF_1_2_L16 Real_ZF_1_2_L17
        Real_ZF_1_4_L17B Real_ZF_1_2_L18 by auto
  moreover from A1 T have
```

```
x - (\lfloor x \rfloor^R) + (y - (\lfloor y \rfloor^R)) = x+y - (\lfloor x \rfloor^R) - (\lfloor y \rfloor^R)
      using Real_ZF_1_L7A by simp
   ultimately have
      0 \le x+y - (|x|^R) - (|y|^R)
      x+y - (|x|^R) - (|y|^R) \le 2
      by auto
   then have
      \begin{array}{l} \lfloor \mathbf{0} \rfloor \, \leq \, \lfloor \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \, - \, (\lfloor \mathbf{x} \rfloor^R) \, - \, (\lfloor \mathbf{y} \rfloor^R) \rfloor \\ \lfloor \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \, - \, (\lfloor \mathbf{x} \rfloor^R) \, - \, (\lfloor \mathbf{y} \rfloor^R) \rfloor \, \leq \, \lfloor \mathbf{2} \rfloor \end{array}
      using Real_ZF_1_4_L9 by auto
   then have
      \mathbf{0}_Z \leq \lfloor \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} - (\lfloor \mathbf{x} \rfloor^R) - (\lfloor \mathbf{y} \rfloor^R) \rfloor
      \lfloor x+y - (\lfloor x \rfloor^R) - (\lfloor y \rfloor^R) \rfloor \leq \mathbf{2}_Z
      using floor_01_is_zero_one floor_2_is_two by auto
   moreover from A1 have
      \lfloor x+y - (\lfloor x \rfloor^R) - (\lfloor y \rfloor^R) \rfloor = |x+y| - |x| - |y|
      using Real_ZF_1_L6 Real_ZF_1_4_L7 real_int_is_real Real_ZF_1_4_L16
      by simp
   ultimately have
      \mathbf{0}_Z \leq |\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}| - |\mathbf{x}| - |\mathbf{y}|
      |x+y| - |x| - |y| \leq 2_Z
      by auto
   then show abs(|x+y| - |x| - |y|) \leq 2_Z
      using int0.Int_ZF_2_L16 by simp
qed
Suppose S \neq \emptyset is bounded above and \Gamma(S, m) = |m^R \cdot x| for some positive
integer m and x \in S. Then if y \in S, x \leq y we also have \Gamma(S, m) = \lfloor m^R \cdot y \rfloor.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L20:
   assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(S,OrderOnReals) S \neq 0 and
   A2: n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ x \in S and
   A3: \Gamma(S,n) = |n^R \cdot x| and
   A4: y \in S x < y
   shows \Gamma(S,n) = |n^R \cdot y|
proof -
   from A2 A4 have |\mathbf{n}^R \cdot \mathbf{x}| \leq |(\mathbf{n}^R) \cdot \mathbf{y}|
      using int_pos_is_real_pos Real_ZF_1_2_L14 Real_ZF_1_4_L9
      by simp
   with A3 have \langle \Gamma(S,n), |(n^R)\cdot y| \rangle \in IntegerOrder
      by simp
   moreover from A1 A2 A4 have \langle |n^R \cdot y|, \Gamma(S,n) \rangle \in \text{IntegerOrder}
      using Real_ZF_1_4_L11 by simp
   ultimately show \Gamma(S,n) = |n^R \cdot y|
      by (rule int0.Int_ZF_2_L3)
The homomorphism difference of n \mapsto \Gamma(S, n) is bounded by 2 on positive
integers.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L21:
```

```
assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(S,OrderOnReals) S≠0 and
A2: m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
shows abs(\Gamma(S,m+n) - \Gamma(S,m) - \Gamma(S,n)) \leq 2_Z
from A2 have T: m+n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ using int0.pos_int_closed_add_unfolded
  by simp
with A1 A2 have
  \Gamma(S,m) \in \{|m^R \cdot x| : x \in S\} \text{ and }
  \Gamma(S,n) \in \{[n^R \cdot x] : x \in S\} \text{ and }
  \Gamma(S,m+n) \in \{\lfloor (m+n)^R \cdot x \mid . x \in S\}
  using Real_ZF_1_4_L10 by auto
then obtain a b c where I: a \in S b \in S c \in S
  and II:
  \Gamma(S,m) = |m^R \cdot a|
  \Gamma(S,n) = |n^R \cdot b|
  \Gamma(S,m+n) = |(m+n)^R \cdot c|
  by auto
let d = Maximum(OrderOnReals, {a,b,c})
from A1 I have a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} c \in \mathbb{R}
  using Real_ZF_1_2_L23 by auto
then have IV:
  d \in \{a,b,c\}
  \mathtt{d}\,\in\,{\rm I\!R}
  \mathtt{a}\,\leq\,\mathtt{d}
  b\,\leq\,d
  c\,\leq\,d
  using Real_ZF_1_2_L24 by auto
with I have V: d \in S by auto
from A1 T I II IV V have \Gamma(S,m+n) = |(m+n)^R \cdot d|
  using Real_ZF_1_4_L20 by blast
also from A2 have ... = \lfloor ((\mathbf{m}^R) + (\mathbf{n}^R)) \cdot \mathbf{d} \rfloor
  using Real_ZF_1_4_L1A PositiveSet_def by simp
also from A2 IV have ... = \lfloor (m^R) \cdot d + (n^R) \cdot d \rfloor
  using PositiveSet_def real_int_is_real Real_ZF_1_L7
  by simp
finally have \Gamma(S,m+n) = |(m^R) \cdot d + (n^R) \cdot d|
  by simp
moreover from A1 A2 I II IV V have \Gamma(S,m) = |m^R \cdot d|
   using Real_ZF_1_4_L20 by blast
moreover from A1 A2 I II IV V have \Gamma(S,n) = |n^R \cdot d|
  using Real_ZF_1_4_L20 by blast
moreover from A1 T I II IV V have \Gamma(S,m+n) = |(m+n)^R \cdot d|
  using Real_ZF_1_4_L20 by blast
ultimately have abs(\Gamma(S,m+n) - \Gamma(S,m) - \Gamma(S,n)) =
  abs(|(m^R)\cdot d + (n^R)\cdot d| - |m^R\cdot d| - |n^R\cdot d|)
  by simp
with A2 IV show
  abs(\Gamma(S,m+n) - \Gamma(S,m) - \Gamma(S,n)) \leq 2_Z
  using PositiveSet_def real_int_is_real Real_ZF_1_L6
```

```
\label{eq:real_ZF_1_4_L18} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{simp} \mathbf{qed}
```

The next lemma provides sufficient condition for an odd function to be an almost homomorphism. It says for odd functions we only need to check that the homomorphism difference (denoted δ in the real1 context) is bounded on positive integers. This is really proven in Int_ZF_2.thy, but we restate it here for convenience. Recall from Group_ZF_3.thy that OddExtension of a function defined on the set of positive elements (of an ordered group) is the only odd function that is equal to the given one when restricted to positive elements.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L21A: assumes A1: f:\mathbb{Z}_+\rightarrowint \forall a\in\mathbb{Z}_+. \forall b\in\mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\delta(f,a,b)) \leq L shows OddExtension(int,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,f) \in \mathcal{S} using A1 int1.Int_ZF_2_1_L24 by auto
```

The candidate for (a representant of) the supremum of a nonempty bounded above set is a slope.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L22:
  assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(S,OrderOnReals) S≠0 and
  A2: g = \{\langle p, \Gamma(S,p) \rangle : p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}
  	ext{shows} OddExtension(int,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,g) \in \mathcal{S}
proof -
  from A1 A2 have g: \mathbb{Z}_+\rightarrowint by (rule Real_ZF_1_4_L12)
  moreover have \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\delta(g,m,n)) \leq 2Z
  proof -
     { fix m n assume A3: m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
        then have m+n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
 using int0.pos_int_closed_add_unfolded
 by auto
        moreover from A1 A2 have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. g(n) = \Gamma(S,n)
 by (rule Real_ZF_1_4_L12)
        ultimately have \delta(g,m,n) = \Gamma(S,m+n) - \Gamma(S,m) - \Gamma(S,n)
 by simp
        moreover from A1 A3 have
 abs(\Gamma(S,m+n) - \Gamma(S,m) - \Gamma(S,n)) \le
 by (rule Real_ZF_1_4_L21)
        ultimately have abs(\delta(g,m,n)) \leq 2_Z
     \} then show \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. abs(\delta(g,m,n)) \leq 2_Z
        by simp
  ultimately show thesis by (rule Real_ZF_1_4_L21A)
```

A technical lemma used in the proof that all elements of S are less or equal than the candidate for supremum of S.

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L23:
```

```
assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S} and A2: N \in int M \in int and
  A3: \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. M \cdot n \leq f(N \cdot n)
  shows M^R \leq [f] \cdot (N^R)
proof -
  let M_S = \{\langle n, M \cdot n \rangle : n \in int\}
  let N_S = \{\langle n, N \cdot n \rangle : n \in int\}
  from A1 A2 have T: \mathtt{M}_S \in \mathcal{S} \quad \mathtt{N}_S \in \mathcal{S} \quad \mathtt{f} \circ \mathtt{N}_S \in \mathcal{S}
     using int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L1 int1.Int_ZF_2_1_L11 SlopeOp2_def
     by auto
  moreover from A1 A2 A3 have M_S \sim \text{foN}_S \ \lor \ \text{foN}_S + \text{(-M}_S) \in \mathcal{S}_+
     using int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L8 SlopeOp2_def SlopeOp1_def Slopes_def
       BoundedIntMaps_def SlopeEquivalenceRel_def PositiveIntegers_def
       PositiveSlopes_def by simp
  ultimately have [M_S] \leq [f \circ N_S] using Real_ZF_1_2_L12
     by simp
  with A1 T show M^R < [f] \cdot (N^R) using Real_ZF_1_1_L4
     by simp
qed
A technical lemma aimed used in the proof the candidate for supremum of
S is less or equal than any upper bound for S.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L23A:
  assumes A1: f \in \mathcal{S} and A2: N \in int M \in int and
  A3: \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. f(N \cdot n) \leq M \cdot n
  shows [f] \cdot (N^R) \leq M^R
proof -
  let M_S = \{\langle n, M \cdot n \rangle : n \in int\}
  let N_S = \{\langle n, N \cdot n \rangle : n \in int\}
  from A1 A2 have T: M_S \in \mathcal{S} N_S \in \mathcal{S} foN_S \in \mathcal{S}
     using int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L1 int1.Int_ZF_2_1_L11 SlopeOp2_def
     by auto
  moreover from A1 A2 A3 have
     f \circ N_S \sim M_S \vee M_S + (-(f \circ N_S)) \in S_+
     using int1.Int_ZF_2_5_L9 SlopeOp2_def SlopeOp1_def Slopes_def
       BoundedIntMaps_def SlopeEquivalenceRel_def PositiveIntegers_def
       PositiveSlopes_def by simp
  ultimately have [f \circ N_S] \leq [M_S] using Real_ZF_1_2_L12
     by simp
  with A1 T show [f] \cdot (N^R) \le M^R using Real_ZF_1_1_L4
     by simp
The essential condition to claim that the candidate for supremum of S is
greater or equal than all elements of S.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L24:
  assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(S,OrderOnReals) and
  A2: x \le y \in S and
  A4: N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ M \in int and
  A5: M^R < y N^R and A6: p \in \mathbb{Z}_+
```

```
proof -
  from A2 A4 A6 have T1:
     N^R \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad y \in \mathbb{R} \quad p^R \in \mathbb{R}_+
                      (\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{N})^R\in\mathbf{R}_+
     \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}
     using int_pos_is_real_pos Real_ZF_1_2_L15
     int0.pos_int_closed_mul_unfold by auto
  with A4 A6 have T2:
                                 \mathbf{N}^R \in \mathbb{R} \quad \mathbf{N}^R \neq \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{M}^R \in \mathbb{R}
                   \mathsf{p}^R \in {
m I\!R}
     p \in int
     using real_int_is_real PositiveSet_def by auto
  from T1 A5 have \lfloor (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbb{N})^R \cdot (\mathbb{N}^R \cdot (\mathbb{N}^R)^{-1}) \rfloor \leq \lfloor (\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbb{N})^R \cdot \mathbf{y} \rfloor
     using Real_ZF_1_3_L4A Real_ZF_1_3_L7 Real_ZF_1_4_L9
     by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 T1 have |(p\cdot N)^R \cdot y| \leq \Gamma(S, p\cdot N)
     using Real_ZF_1_4_L11 by simp
  ultimately have I: |(p \cdot N)^{R} \cdot (M^{R} \cdot (N^{R})^{-1})| \leq \Gamma(S, p \cdot N)
     by (rule int_order_transitive)
  from A4 A6 have (p \cdot N)^R \cdot (M^R \cdot (N^R)^{-1}) = p^R \cdot N^R \cdot (M^R \cdot (N^R)^{-1})
     using PositiveSet_def Real_ZF_1_4_L1C by simp
  with A4 T2 have |(p \cdot N)^R \cdot (M^R \cdot (N^R)^{-1})| = p \cdot M
     using Real_ZF_1_3_L8 Real_ZF_1_4_L14A by simp
  with I show p \cdot M \leq \Gamma(S, p \cdot N) by simp
qed
An obvious fact about odd extension of a function p \mapsto \Gamma(s,p) that is used
a couple of times in proofs.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L24A:
  assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(S,OrderOnReals) S\neq0 and A2: p \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  h = OddExtension(int,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,\{\langle p,\Gamma(S,p)\rangle.\ p\in\mathbb{Z}_+\})
  shows h(p) = \Gamma(S,p)
  let g = \{\langle p, \Gamma(S,p) \rangle . p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}
  from A1 have I: g : \mathbb{Z}_+\rightarrowint using Real_ZF_1_4_L12
     by blast
  with A2 A3 show h(p) = \Gamma(S,p)
     using int0.Int_ZF_1_5_L11 ZF_fun_from_tot_val
     by simp
qed
The candidate for the supremum of S is not smaller than any element of S.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L25:
  assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(S,OrderOnReals) and
  A2: ¬HasAmaximum(OrderOnReals,S) and
  A3: x \in S and A4:
  h = OddExtension(int,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,\{\langle p, \Gamma(S,p) \rangle. p \in \mathbb{Z}_+\})
  shows x \leq [h]
proof -
  from A1 A2 A3 have
```

shows $p \cdot M \leq \Gamma(S, p \cdot N)$

```
S \subseteq \mathbb{R} \neg HasAmaximum(OrderOnReals,S) x \in S
  using Real_ZF_1_2_L23 by auto
then have \exists y \in S. x < y by (rule Real_ZF_1_2_L27)
then obtain y where I: y \in S and II: x < y
  by auto
from II have
  \exists\, \mathbf{M} {\in} \mathtt{int.} \ \exists\, \mathbf{N} {\in} \mathbb{Z}_{+}. \quad \mathbf{x}{\cdot} \mathbf{N}^{R} \ {<} \ \mathbf{M}^{R} \ {\wedge} \ \mathbf{M}^{R} \ {<} \ \mathbf{y}{\cdot} \mathbf{N}^{R}
  using Arthan_Lemma14iii by simp
then obtain M N where III: M \in Int N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ and
  IV: x \cdot N^R < M^R M^R < y \cdot N^R
  by auto
from II III IV have V: x \leq M^R \cdot (N^R)^{-1}
  using int_pos_is_real_pos Real_ZF_1_2_L15 Real_ZF_1_3_L4
  by auto
from A3 have VI: S≠0 by auto
with A1 A4 have T1: h \in S using Real_ZF_1_4_L22
  by simp
moreover from III have N \in \text{int} M \in \text{int}
  using PositiveSet_def by auto
moreover have \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. M·n \leq h(\mathbb{N} \cdot n)
  let g = \{\langle p, \Gamma(S,p) \rangle : p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}
  fix n assume A5: n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  with III have T2: N \cdot n \in \mathbb{Z}_+
     using int0.pos_int_closed_mul_unfold by simp
  from III A5 have
     N \cdot n = n \cdot N and n \cdot M = M \cdot n
     using PositiveSet_def intO.Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
  moreover
  from A1 I II III IV A5 have
     IsBoundedAbove(S,OrderOnReals)
     x < y y \in S
     {\tt N}\,\in\,{\tt Z}_+\quad{\tt M}\,\in\,{\tt int}
     \mathbf{M}^{R} \, \leftarrow \, \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{N}^{R} \quad \mathbf{n} \, \in \, \mathbf{Z}_{+}
     by auto
  then have n \cdot M < \Gamma(S, n \cdot N) by (rule Real_ZF_1_4_L24)
  moreover from A1 A4 VI T2 have h(N \cdot n) = \Gamma(S, N \cdot n)
     using Real_ZF_1_4_L24A by simp
  ultimately show M \cdot n \leq h(N \cdot n) by auto
qed
ultimately have M^R \leq [h] \cdot N^R using Real_ZF_1_4_L23
  by simp
with III T1 have M^R \cdot (N^R)^{-1} \leq [h]
  using int_pos_is_real_pos Real_ZF_1_1_L3 Real_ZF_1_3_L4B
  by simp
with V show x \le [h] by (rule real_ord_transitive)
```

The essential condition to claim that the candidate for supremum of S is

```
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L26:
  assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(S,OrderOnReals) and
  A2: x \le y x \in S and
  A4: N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ M \in \text{int and}
  A5: y \cdot N^R < M^R and A6: p \in \mathbb{Z}_+
  shows \lfloor (\mathbb{N} \cdot p)^R \cdot x \rfloor \leq \mathbb{M} \cdot p
proof -
  from A2 A4 A6 have T:
     p{\cdot} {\tt N} \, \in \, {\tt Z}_+ \quad p \, \in \, {\tt int} \quad {\tt N} \, \in \, {\tt int}
     p^R \in \mathbb{R}_+ \ p^R \in \mathbb{R} \ \mathbb{N}^R \in \mathbb{R} \ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R} \ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}
     using intO.pos_int_closed_mul_unfold PositiveSet_def
        real_int_is_real Real_ZF_1_2_L15 int_pos_is_real_pos
     by auto
   with A2 have (p\cdot N)^R \cdot x \leq (p\cdot N)^R \cdot y
     \mathbf{using} \ \mathsf{int\_pos\_is\_real\_pos} \ \mathsf{Real\_ZF\_1\_2\_L14A}
     by simp
  moreover from A4 T have I:
     (p \cdot N)^R = p^R \cdot N^R

(p \cdot M)^R = p^R \cdot M^R
     using Real_ZF_1_4_L1C by auto
  ultimately have (p\cdot N)^R \cdot x \leq p^R \cdot N^R \cdot y
     by simp
  moreover
  from A5 T I have p^R \cdot (y \cdot N^R) < (p \cdot M)^R
     using Real_ZF_1_3_L7 by simp
   with T have p^R \cdot N^R \cdot y < (p \cdot M)^R using Real_ZF_1_1_L9
     by simp
   ultimately have (p \cdot N)^R \cdot x < (p \cdot M)^R
     by (rule real_strict_ord_transit)
  then have |(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{N})^R\cdot\mathbf{x}| \leq |(\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{M})^R|
     using Real_ZF_1_4_L9 by simp
  moreover
  from A4 T have p⋅M ∈ int using int0.Int_ZF_1_1_L5
     by simp
  then have |(p \cdot M)^R| = p \cdot M using Real_ZF_1_4_L14
     by simp
    moreover from A4 A6 have p \cdot N = N \cdot p and p \cdot M = M \cdot p
     using PositiveSet_def intO.Int_ZF_1_1_L5 by auto
   ultimately show \lfloor (N \cdot p)^R \cdot x \rfloor \leq M \cdot p by simp
A piece of the proof of the fact that the candidate for the supremum of S
is not greater than any upper bound of S, done separately for clarity (of
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L27:
  assumes IsBoundedAbove(S,OrderOnReals) S≠0 and
  h = OddExtension(int,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,\{\langle p, \Gamma(S,p) \rangle . p \in \mathbb{Z}_+\})
  and p \in \mathbb{Z}_+
```

less or equal than any upper bound of S.

```
shows \exists x \in S. h(p) = |p^R \cdot x|
  using assms Real_ZF_1_4_L10 Real_ZF_1_4_L24A by auto
The candidate for the supremum of S is not greater than any upper bound
of S.
lemma (in real1) Real_ZF_1_4_L28:
  assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(S,OrderOnReals) S\neq 0
  and A2: \forall x \in S. x \le y and A3:
  h = OddExtension(int,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,\{\langle p,\Gamma(S,p)\rangle, p\in\mathbb{Z}_+\})
  shows [h] \leq y
proof -
  from A1 obtain a where a S by auto
  with A1 A2 A3 have T: y \in \mathbb{R} h \in \mathcal{S} [h] \in \mathbb{R}
     using Real_ZF_1_2_L15 Real_ZF_1_4_L22 Real_ZF_1_1_L3
   { assume \neg([h] \leq y)
     with T have y < [h] using Real_ZF_1_2_L28
       by blast
     then have \exists\, \mathtt{M} \in \mathtt{int}. \ \exists\, \mathtt{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \ y \cdot \mathtt{N}^R < \mathtt{M}^R \ \land \ \mathtt{M}^R < \mathtt{[h]} \cdot \mathtt{N}^R
       using Arthan_Lemma14iii by simp
     then obtain M N where I: M \in I and
       II: y \cdot N^R < M^R - M^R < [h] \cdot N^R
       by auto
     from I have III: N^R \in \mathbb{R}_+ using int_pos_is_real_pos
       by simp
     have \forall p \in \mathbb{Z}_+. h(N \cdot p) \leq M \cdot p
     proof
       fix p assume A4: p \in \mathbb{Z}_+
       with A1 A3 I have \exists x \in S. h(N \cdot p) = |(N \cdot p)^R \cdot x|
 using intO.pos_int_closed_mul_unfold Real_ZF_1_4_L27
 by simp
       with A1 A2 I II A4 show h(N \cdot p) \leq M \cdot p
 using Real_ZF_1_4_L26 by auto
     with T I have [h] \cdot N^R \leq M^R
       using PositiveSet_def Real_ZF_1_4_L23A
       by simp
     with T III have [h] \leq M^R \cdot (N^R)^{-1}
       using Real_ZF_1_3_L4C by simp
     moreover from T II III have M^R \cdot (N^R)^{-1} < [h]
       using Real_ZF_1_3_L4A by simp
```

Now we can prove that every nonempty subset of reals that is bounded above has a supremum. Proof by considering two cases: when the set has a maximum and when it does not.

ultimately have False using Real_ZF_1_2_L29 by blast

lemma (in real1) real_order_complete:

} then show [h] \leq y by auto

qed

```
assumes A1: IsBoundedAbove(S,OrderOnReals) S≠0
  shows HasAminimum(OrderOnReals, \bigcap a \in S. OrderOnReals\{a\})
proof -
  { assume HasAmaximum(OrderOnReals,S)
     with A1 have HasAminimum(OrderOnReals, \bigcap a \in S. OrderOnReals\{a\})
       {\bf using} \ {\tt Real\_ZF\_1\_2\_L10} \ {\tt IsAnOrdGroup\_def} \ {\tt IsPartOrder\_def}
 Order_ZF_5_L6 by simp }
  moreover
  { assume A2: ¬HasAmaximum(OrderOnReals,S)
    let h = OddExtension(int,IntegerAddition,IntegerOrder,\{\langle p,\Gamma(S,p)\rangle\}.
p \in \mathbb{Z}_+
    let r = OrderOnReals
    from A1 have antisym(OrderOnReals) S≠0
       using Real_ZF_1_2_L10 IsAnOrdGroup_def IsPartOrder_def by auto
    moreover from A1 A2 have \forall x \in S. \langle x, [h] \rangle \in r
       using Real_ZF_1_4_L25 by simp
    moreover from A1 have \forall y. (\forall x \in S. \langle x,y \rangle \in r) \longrightarrow \langle [h],y \rangle \in r
       using Real_ZF_1_4_L28 by simp
     ultimately have HasAminimum(OrderOnReals, \bigcap a \in S. OrderOnReals\{a\})
       by (rule Order_ZF_5_L5) }
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
```

Finally, we are ready to formulate the main result: that the construction of real numbers from the additive group of integers results in a complete ordered field. This theorem completes the construction. It was fun.

```
theorem eudoxus_reals_are_reals: shows
   IsAmodelOfReals(RealNumbers,RealAddition,RealMultiplication,OrderOnReals)
   using real1.reals_are_ord_field real1.real_order_complete
    IsComplete_def IsAmodelOfReals_def by simp
```

 \mathbf{end}

52 Topology - introduction

theory Topology_ZF imports ZF1 Finite_ZF Fol1

begin

This theory file provides basic definitions and properties of topology, open and closed sets, closure and boundary.

52.1 Basic definitions and properties

A typical textbook defines a topology on a set X as a collection T of subsets of X such that $X \in T$, $\emptyset \in T$ and T is closed with respect to arbitrary unions and intersection of two sets. One can notice here that since we always

have $\bigcup T = X$, the set on which the topology is defined (the "carrier" of the topology) can always be constructed from the topology itself and is superfluous in the definition. Moreover, as Marnix Klooster pointed out to me, the fact that the empty set is open can also be proven from other axioms. Hence, we define a topology as a collection of sets that is closed under arbitrary unions and intersections of two sets, without any mention of the set on which the topology is defined. Recall that Pow(T) is the powerset of T, so that if $M \in Pow(T)$ then M is a subset of T. The sets that belong to a topology T will be sometimes called "open in" T or just "open" if the topology is clear from the context.

Topology is a collection of sets that is closed under arbitrary unions and intersections of two sets.

definition

```
IsATopology (_ {is a topology} [90] 91) where T {is a topology} \equiv ( \forall M \in Pow(T). \bigcup M \in T ) \land ( \forall U \in T. \forall V \in T. U \cap V \in T)
```

We define interior of a set A as the union of all open sets contained in A. We use Interior(A,T) to denote the interior of A.

definition

```
Interior(A,T) \equiv \bigcup \{U \in T. U \subseteq A\}
```

A set is closed if it is contained in the carrier of topology and its complement is open.

definition

```
IsClosed (infix1 {is closed in} 90) where D {is closed in} T \equiv (D \subseteq \ \| \JT - D \in T)
```

To prove various properties of closure we will often use the collection of closed sets that contain a given set A. Such collection does not have a separate name in informal math. We will call it ClosedCovers(A,T).

${f definition}$

```
ClosedCovers(A,T) \equiv \{D \in Pow(||T). D \{is closed in\} T \land A \subseteq D\}
```

The closure of a set A is defined as the intersection of the collection of closed sets that contain A.

definition

```
Closure(A,T) \equiv \bigcap ClosedCovers(A,T)
```

We also define boundary of a set as the intersection of its closure with the closure of the complement (with respect to the carrier).

definition

```
Boundary(A,T) \equiv Closure(A,T) \cap Closure(\bigcup T - A,T)
```

A set K is compact if for every collection of open sets that covers K we can choose a finite one that still covers the set. Recall that FinPow(M) is the collection of finite subsets of M (finite powerset of M), defined in IsarMathLib's $Finite_ZF$ theory.

```
definition
  IsCompact (infixl {is compact in} 90) where
  K {is compact in} T \equiv (K \subseteq \bigcup T \land I)
  (\forall \ \texttt{M} \in \texttt{Pow}(\texttt{T}). \ \texttt{K} \subseteq \bigcup \texttt{M} \longrightarrow (\exists \ \texttt{N} \in \texttt{FinPow}(\texttt{M}). \ \texttt{K} \subseteq \bigcup \texttt{N})))
A basic example of a topology: the powerset of any set is a topology.
lemma Pow_is_top: shows Pow(X) {is a topology}
proof -
  have \forall A \in Pow(Pow(X)). \bigcup A \in Pow(X) by fast
  moreover have \forall U \in Pow(X). \forall V \in Pow(X). U \cap V \in Pow(X) by fast
  ultimately show Pow(X) {is a topology} using IsATopology_def
qed
Empty set is open.
lemma empty_open:
  assumes T {is a topology} shows 0 \in T
proof -
  have 0 \in Pow(T) by simp
  with assms have \bigcup O \in T using IsATopology_def by blast
  thus 0 \in T by simp
qed
The carrier is open.
lemma carr_open: assumes T {is a topology} shows ((∫T) ∈ T
  using assms IsATopology_def by auto
Union of a collection of open sets is open.
lemma union_open: assumes T {is a topology} and \forall A \in A. A \in T
  shows (|JA| \in T using assms IsATopology_def by auto
Union of a indexed family of open sets is open.
lemma union_indexed_open: assumes A1: T {is a topology} and A2: \forall i \in I.
P(i) \in T
  shows (\bigcup i \in I. P(i)) \in T using assms union_open by simp
The intersection of any nonempty collection of topologies on a set X is a
topology.
lemma Inter_tops_is_top:
  assumes A1: \mathcal{M} \neq 0 and A2: \forall T \in \mathcal{M}. T {is a topology}
  shows (\bigcap \mathcal{M}) {is a topology}
proof -
  { fix A assume A \in Pow(\bigcap M)
```

```
with A1 have ∀T∈M. A∈Pow(T) by auto
with A1 A2 have ∪A ∈ ∩M using IsATopology_def
    by auto
} then have ∀A. A∈Pow(∩M) → ∪A ∈ ∩M by simp
hence ∀A∈Pow(∩M). ∪A ∈ ∩M by auto
moreover
{ fix U V assume U ∈ ∩M and V ∈ ∩M
    then have ∀T∈M. U ∈ T ∧ V ∈ T by auto
    with A1 A2 have ∀T∈M. U∩V ∈ T using IsATopology_def
    by simp
} then have ∀ U ∈ ∩M. ∀ V ∈ ∩M. U∩V ∈ ∩M
    by auto
ultimately show (∩M) {is a topology}
    using IsATopology_def by simp

ged
```

We will now introduce some notation. In Isar, this is done by definining a "locale". Locale is kind of a context that holds some assumptions and notation used in all theorems proven in it. In the locale (context) below called topology0 we assume that T is a topology. The interior of the set A (with respect to the topology in the context) is denoted int(A). The closure of a set $A \subseteq \bigcup T$ is denoted c1(A) and the boundary is ∂A .

```
locale topology0 =
    fixes T
    assumes topSpaceAssum: T {is a topology}

fixes int
    defines int_def [simp]: int(A) ≡ Interior(A,T)

fixes cl
    defines cl_def [simp]: cl(A) ≡ Closure(A,T)

fixes boundary (∂_ [91] 92)
    defines boundary_def [simp]: ∂A ≡ Boundary(A,T)

Intersection of a finite nonempty collection of open sets is open.

lemma (in topology0) fin_inter_open_open: assumes N≠0 N ∈ FinPow(T)
    shows ∩N ∈ T
```

Having a topology T and a set X we can define the induced topology as the one consisting of the intersections of X with sets from T. The notion of a collection restricted to a set is defined in ZF1.thy.

using topSpaceAssum assms IsATopology_def inter_two_inter_fin

```
lemma (in topology0) Top_1_L4:
    shows (T {restricted to} X) {is a topology}
proof -
    let S = T {restricted to} X
```

by simp

```
have \forall A \in Pow(S). \bigcup A \in S
  proof
     fix A assume A1: A∈Pow(S)
     have \forall V \in A. [] \{U \in T : V = U \cap X\} \in T
     proof -
         { fix V
 let M = \{U \in T. V = U \cap X\}
 have M \in Pow(T) by auto
 with topSpaceAssum have \bigcup M \in T using IsATopology_def by simp
        } thus thesis by simp
     qed
     hence \{\bigcup \{U \in T. \ V = U \cap X\}. V \in A\} \subseteq T \text{ by auto}
     with topSpaceAssum have (\bigcup V \in A. \bigcup \{U \in T. V = U \cap X\}) \in T
        using IsATopology_def by auto
     then have (\bigcup {\tt V}{\in} {\tt A}.\ \bigcup \{{\tt U}{\in} {\tt T}.\ {\tt V} = {\tt U}{\cap} {\tt X}\}) \cap {\tt X} \in {\tt S}
        using RestrictedTo_def by auto
     moreover
     from A1 have \forall V \in A. \exists U \in T. V = U \cap X
        using RestrictedTo_def by auto
     hence (\bigcup V \in A. \bigcup \{U \in T. V = U \cap X\}) \cap X = \bigcup A by blast
     ultimately show \bigcup A \in S by simp
  qed
  moreover have \forall U \in S. \forall V \in S. U \cap V \in S
  proof -
      \{ \  \, \text{fix} \  \, \textbf{U} \  \, \textbf{V} \  \, \text{assume} \  \, \textbf{U} \in \textbf{S} \quad \textbf{V} \in \textbf{S} \\
        then obtain U_1 V_1 where
 U_1 \in T \land U = U_1 \cap X \text{ and } V_1 \in T \land V = V_1 \cap X
 using RestrictedTo_def by auto
        with topSpaceAssum have U_1 \cap V_1 \in T and U \cap V = (U_1 \cap V_1) \cap X
 using IsATopology_def by auto
        then have U \cap V \in S using RestrictedTo_def by auto
      } thus \forall U \in S. \forall V \in S. U \cap V \in S
        by simp
  qed
  ultimately show S {is a topology} using IsATopology_def
     by simp
qed
          Interior of a set
In this section we show basic properties of the interior of a set.
```

Interior of a set A is contained in A.

```
lemma (in topology0) Top_2_L1: shows int(A) ⊆ A
  using Interior_def by auto
Interior is open.
```

lemma (in topology0) Top_2_L2: shows int(A) ∈ T proof -

```
have \{U \in T. \ U \subseteq A\} \in Pow(T) by auto
  with topSpaceAssum show int(A) \in T
    using IsATopology_def Interior_def by auto
qed
A set is open iff it is equal to its interior.
lemma (in topology0) Top_2_L3: shows U \in T \longleftrightarrow int(U) = U
proof
  assume U \in T then show int(U) = U
    using Interior_def by auto
next assume A1: int(U) = U
  have int(U) \in T using Top_2_L2 by simp
  with A1 show U \in T by simp
Interior of the interior is the interior.
lemma (in topology0) Top_2_L4: shows int(int(A)) = int(A)
proof -
  let U = int(A)
  from topSpaceAssum have U∈T using Top_2_L2 by simp
  then show int(int(A)) = int(A) using Top_2_L3 by simp
Interior of a bigger set is bigger.
lemma (in topology0) interior_mono:
  assumes A1: A\subseteq B shows int(A) \subseteq int(B)
proof -
  from A1 have \forall U\inT. (U\subseteqA \longrightarrow U\subseteqB) by auto
  then show int(A) ⊆ int(B) using Interior_def by auto
qed
An open subset of any set is a subset of the interior of that set.
lemma (in topology0) Top_2_L5: assumes U⊂A and U∈T
  \mathbf{shows}\ \mathtt{U}\ \subseteq\ \mathtt{int}(\mathtt{A})
  using assms Interior_def by auto
```

If a point of a set has an open neighboorhood contained in the set, then the point belongs to the interior of the set.

```
lemma (in topology0) Top_2_L6: assumes \exists U \in T. (x \in U \land U \subseteq A) shows x \in int(A) using assms Interior_def by auto
```

A set is open iff its every point has a an open neighbourhood contained in the set. We will formulate this statement as two lemmas (implication one way and the other way). The lemma below shows that if a set is open then every point has a an open neighbourhood contained in the set.

lemma (in topology0) open_open_neigh:

```
assumes A1: V \in T
  shows \forall x \in V. \exists U \in T. (x \in U \land U \subseteq V)
  from A1 have \forall x \in V. V \in T \land x \in V \land V \subseteq V by simp
  thus thesis by auto
qed
If every point of a set has a an open neighbourhood contained in the set
then the set is open.
lemma (in topology0) open_neigh_open:
  assumes A1: \forall x \in V. \exists U \in T. (x \in U \land U \subseteq V)
  shows V \in T
proof -
  from A1 have V = int(V) using Top_2_L1 Top_2_L6
     by blast
  then show V∈T using Top_2_L3 by simp
The intersection of interiors is a equal to the interior of intersections.
lemma (in topology0) int_inter_int: shows int(A) \cap int(B) = int(A\capB)
proof
  have int(A) \cap int(B) \subseteq A \cap B using Top_2_L1 by auto
  moreover have int(A) ∩ int(B) ∈ T using Top_2_L2 IsATopology_def topSpaceAssum
     by auto
  ultimately show int(A) \cap int(B) \subseteq int(A\capB) using Top_2_L5 by simp
  have A \cap B \subseteq A and A \cap B \subseteq B by auto
  then have int(A \cap B) \subseteq int(A) and int(A \cap B) \subseteq int(B) using interior_mono
by auto
  thus int(A \cap B) \subseteq int(A) \cap int(B) by auto
qed
```

52.3 Closed sets, closure, boundary.

This section is devoted to closed sets and properties of the closure and boundary operators.

The carrier of the space is closed.

```
lemma (in topology0) Top_3_L1: shows (UT) {is closed in} T
proof -
   have UT - UT = 0 by auto
   with topSpaceAssum have UT - UT ∈ T using IsATopology_def by auto
   then show thesis using IsClosed_def by simp
qed

Empty set is closed.

lemma (in topology0) Top_3_L2: shows 0 {is closed in} T
   using topSpaceAssum IsATopology_def IsClosed_def by simp
```

The collection of closed covers of a subset of the carrier of topology is never empty. This is good to know, as we want to intersect this collection to get the closure.

```
lemma (in topology0) Top_3_L3:
  assumes A1: A \subseteq \bigcup T shows ClosedCovers(A,T) \neq 0
proof -
  from A1 have | | T ∈ ClosedCovers(A,T) using ClosedCovers_def Top_3_L1
    by auto
  thus thesis by auto
qed
Intersection of a nonempty family of closed sets is closed.
lemma (in topology0) Top_3_L4: assumes A1: K≠0 and
  A2: \forall D \in K. D {is closed in} T
  shows (\bigcap K) {is closed in} T
proof -
  from A2 have I: \forall D \in K. (D \subseteq \bigcup T \land (\bigcup T - D) \in T)
    using IsClosed_def by simp
  then have \{\bigcup T - D. D \in K\} \subseteq T by auto
  with topSpaceAssum have (\bigcup \{\bigcup T - D. D \in K\}) \in T
    using IsATopology_def by auto
  moreover from A1 have [ ] \{ [ ] T - D. D \in K \} = [ ] T - \bigcap K  by fast
  moreover from A1 I have \bigcap K \subseteq \bigcup T by blast
  ultimately show (∩K) {is closed in} T using IsClosed_def
    by simp
qed
The union and intersection of two closed sets are closed.
lemma (in topology0) Top_3_L5:
  assumes A1: D_1 {is closed in} T
                                           D_2 {is closed in} T
  shows
  (D_1\cap D_2) {is closed in} T
  (D_1 \cup D_2) {is closed in} T
proof -
  have \{D_1,D_2\} \neq 0 by simp
  with A1 have (\bigcap \{D_1,D_2\}) {is closed in} T using Top_3_L4
    by fast
  thus (D_1 \cap D_2) {is closed in} T by simp
  from topSpaceAssum A1 have (\bigcup T - D_1) \cap (\bigcup T - D_2) \in T
    \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{IsClosed\_def} \ \mathtt{IsATopology\_def} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{simp}
  moreover have (\bigcup T - D_1) \cap (\bigcup T - D_2) = \bigcup T - (D_1 \cup D_2)
    by auto
  moreover from A1 have D_1 \cup D_2 \subseteq \bigcupT using IsClosed_def
    by auto
  ultimately show (D_1 \cup D_2) {is closed in} T using IsClosed_def
    by simp
qed
```

Finite union of closed sets is closed. To understand the proof recall that

```
D \in Pow(JT) means that D is a subset of the carrier of the topology.
lemma (in topology0) fin_union_cl_is_cl:
  assumes
  A1: N \in FinPow(\{D \in Pow(\{J\})\}) (is closed in) T)
  shows (\bigcup N) {is closed in} T
proof -
  let C = \{D \in Pow(\bigcup T) : D \{is closed in\} T\}
  have 0∈C using Top_3_L2 by simp
  \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ \forall \, \mathtt{A} {\in} \mathtt{C}. \ \forall \, \mathtt{B} {\in} \mathtt{C}. \ \mathtt{A} {\cup} \mathtt{B} \, \in \, \mathtt{C}
     using Top_3_L5 by auto
  moreover note A1
  ultimately have | | N \in C by (rule union_two_union_fin)
  thus ([]N) {is closed in} T by simp
qed
Closure of a set is closed.
lemma (in topology0) cl_is_closed: assumes A ⊆ ∪T
  shows cl(A) {is closed in} T
  using assms Closure_def Top_3_L3 ClosedCovers_def Top_3_L4
  by simp
Closure of a bigger sets is bigger.
lemma (in topology0) top_closure_mono:
  assumes A1: A \subseteq \bigcup T B \subseteq \bigcup T and A2:A\subseteq B
  shows cl(A) \subseteq cl(B)
proof -
  from A2 have ClosedCovers(B,T)⊆ ClosedCovers(A,T)
     using ClosedCovers_def by auto
  with A1 show thesis using Top_3_L3 Closure_def by auto
qed
Boundary of a set is closed.
lemma (in topology0) boundary_closed:
  assumes A1: A \subseteq \bigcup T shows \partial A {is closed in} T
proof -
  from A1 have \bigcup T - A \subseteq \bigcup T by fast
  with A1 show \partial A {is closed in} T
     using cl_is_closed Top_3_L5 Boundary_def by auto
qed
A set is closed iff it is equal to its closure.
lemma (in topology0) Top_3_L8: assumes A1: A ⊆ []T
  shows A {is closed in} T \longleftrightarrow cl(A) = A
proof
  assume A {is closed in} T
  with A1 show cl(A) = A
     \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{Closure\_def} \ \mathtt{ClosedCovers\_def} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
next assume cl(A) = A
```

```
then have \bigcup T - A = \bigcup T - cl(A) by simp
  with A1 show A {is closed in} T using cl_is_closed IsClosed_def
    by simp
qed
Complement of an open set is closed.
lemma (in topology0) Top_3_L9:
  assumes A1: A \in T
  shows (\bigcup T - A) {is closed in} T
proof -
  from topSpaceAssum A1 have \bigcup T - (\bigcup T - A) = A and \bigcup T - A \subseteq \bigcup T
    using IsATopology_def by auto
  with A1 show (UT - A) {is closed in} T using IsClosed_def by simp
qed
A set is contained in its closure.
lemma (in topology0) cl_contains_set: assumes A \subseteq \bigcup T shows A \subseteq cl(A)
  using assms Top_3_L1 ClosedCovers_def Top_3_L3 Closure_def by auto
Closure of a subset of the carrier is a subset of the carrier and closure of the
complement is the complement of the interior.
lemma (in topology0) Top_3_L11: assumes A1: A ⊆ ∪T
  shows
  cl(A) \subseteq \bigcup T
  cl(\bigcup T - A) = \bigcup T - int(A)
proof -
  from A1 show cl(A) G | | T using Top_3_L1 Closure_def ClosedCovers_def
  from A1 have \bigcup T - A \subseteq \bigcup T - int(A) using Top_2L1
    by auto
  moreover have I: \bigcup T - int(A) \subseteq \bigcup T - A \subseteq \bigcup T by auto
  ultimately have cl(\bigcup T - A) \subseteq cl(\bigcup T - int(A))
    using top_closure_mono by simp
  moreover
  from I have ([]T - int(A)) {is closed in} T
    using Top_2_L2 Top_3_L9 by simp
  with I have cl(( | JT) - int(A)) = ( JT - int(A) 
    using Top_3_L8 by simp
  ultimately have cl(\bigcup T - A) \subseteq \bigcup T - int(A) by simp
  moreover
  from I have \bigcup T - A \subseteq cl(\bigcup T - A) using cl_contains_set by simp
  hence \bigcup T - cl(\bigcup T - A) \subseteq A and \bigcup T - A \subseteq \bigcup T by auto
  then have \bigcup T - cl(\bigcup T - A) \subseteq int(A)
    using cl_is_closed IsClosed_def Top_2_L5 by simp
  hence \bigcup T - int(A) \subseteq cl(\bigcup T - A) by auto
  ultimately show cl(||T - A|) = ||T - int(A)| by auto
qed
```

Boundary of a set is the closure of the set minus the interior of the set.

```
lemma (in topology0) Top_3_L12: assumes A1: A \subseteq \bigcupT shows \partialA = cl(A) - int(A) proof - from A1 have \partialA = cl(A) \cap (\bigcupT - int(A)) using Boundary_def Top_3_L11 by simp moreover from A1 have cl(A) \cap (\bigcupT - int(A)) = cl(A) - int(A) using Top_3_L11 by blast ultimately show \partialA = cl(A) - int(A) by simp qed

If a set A is contained in a closed set B, then the closure of A is contained in B.

lemma (in topology0) Top_3_L13: assumes A1: B {is closed in} T A \subseteqB shows cl(A) \subseteq B
```

proof -

from A1 have B $\subseteq \bigcup T$ using IsClosed_def by simp with A1 show cl(A) \subseteq B using ClosedCovers_def Closure_def by auto qed

If a set is disjoint with an open set, then we can close it and it will still be disjoint.

```
lemma (in topology0) disj_open_cl_disj:
    assumes A1: A ⊆ ∪T V∈T and A2: A∩V = 0
    shows cl(A) ∩ V = 0
proof -
    from assms have A ⊆ ∪T - V by auto
    moreover from A1 have (∪T - V) {is closed in} T using Top_3_L9 by
simp
    ultimately have cl(A) - (∪T - V) = 0
        using Top_3_L13 by blast
    moreover from A1 have cl(A) ⊆ ∪T using cl_is_closed IsClosed_def
by simp
    then have cl(A) -(∪T - V) = cl(A) ∩ V by auto
    ultimately show thesis by simp
qed
```

A reformulation of disj_open_cl_disj: If a point belongs to the closure of a set, then we can find a point from the set in any open neighboorhood of the point.

```
lemma (in topology0) cl_inter_neigh: assumes A \subseteq \bigcup T and U \in T and x \in cl(A) \cap U shows A \cap U \neq 0 using assms disj_open_cl_disj by auto
```

A reverse of cl_inter_neigh: if every open neiboorhood of a point has a nonempty intersection with a set, then that point belongs to the closure of the set.

```
lemma (in topology0) inter_neigh_cl:
    assumes A1: A ⊆ ∪T and A2: x∈∪T and A3: ∀U∈T. x∈U → U∩A ≠ 0
    shows x ∈ cl(A)
proof -
    { assume x ∉ cl(A)
        with A1 obtain D where D {is closed in} T and A⊆D and x∉D
            using Top_3_L3 Closure_def ClosedCovers_def by auto
        let U = (∪T) - D
        from A2 ① {is closed in} T ⟨x∉D⟩ ⟨A⊆D⟩ have U∈T x∈U and U∩A = 0
            unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
        with A3 have False by auto
    } thus thesis by auto
end
```

53 Topology 1

theory Topology_ZF_1 imports Topology_ZF

begin

In this theory file we study separation axioms and the notion of base and subbase. Using the products of open sets as a subbase we define a natural topology on a product of two topological spaces.

53.1 Separation axioms.

Topological spaces can be classified according to certain properties called "separation axioms". In this section we define what it means that a topological space is T_0 , T_1 or T_2 .

A topology on X is T_0 if for every pair of distinct points of X there is an open set that contains only one of them.

definition

```
isT0 (_ {is T<sub>0</sub>} [90] 91) where 
T {is T<sub>0</sub>} \equiv \forall x y. ((x \in \bigcup T \land y \in \bigcup T \land x \neq y) \longrightarrow (\exists U \in T. (x \in U \land y \notin U) \lor (y \in U \land x \notin U)))
```

A topology is T_1 if for every such pair there exist an open set that contains the first point but not the second.

definition

```
isT1 (_ {is T<sub>1</sub>} [90] 91) where T {is T<sub>1</sub>} \equiv \forall x y. ((x \in \bigcup T \land y \in \bigcup T \land x \neq y) \longrightarrow (\exists U \in T. (x \in U \land y \notin U)))
```

A topology is T_2 (Hausdorff) if for every pair of points there exist a pair of

disjoint open sets each containing one of the points. This is an important class of topological spaces. In particular, metric spaces are Hausdorff.

definition

```
isT2 (_ {is T<sub>2</sub>} [90] 91) where 
 T {is T<sub>2</sub>} \equiv \forall x y. ((x \in \bigcup T \land y \in \bigcup T \land x \neq y) \longrightarrow (\exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0))
```

If a topology is T_1 then it is T_0 . We don't really assume here that T is a topology on X. Instead, we prove the relation between is T_0 condition and is T_1 .

```
lemma T1_is_T0: assumes A1: T {is T_1} shows T {is T_0}
proof -
   from A1 have \forall x y. x \in \bigcupT \land y \in \bigcupT \land x\neqy \longrightarrow
      (\exists U \in T. x \in U \land y \notin U)
      using isT1_def by simp
   then have \forall x y. x \in \bigcupT \land y \in \bigcupT \land x\neqy \longrightarrow
      (\exists \, \mathtt{U} {\in} \mathtt{T}. \ \mathtt{x} {\in} \mathtt{U} \ \land \ \mathtt{y} {\notin} \mathtt{U} \ \lor \ \mathtt{y} {\in} \mathtt{U} \ \land \ \mathtt{x} {\notin} \mathtt{U})
      by auto
   then show T {is T_0} using isT0_def by simp
qed
If a topology is T_2 then it is T_1.
lemma T2_{is_T1}: assumes A1: T {is T_2} shows T {is T_1}
proof -
   { fix x y assume x \in \bigcup T \ y \in \bigcup T \ x \neq y
      with A1 have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0
          using isT2_def by auto
      then have \exists U \in T. x \in U \land y \notin U by auto
   } then have \forall x y. x \in \bigcupT \land y \in \bigcupT \land x\neqy \longrightarrow
          (\exists U \in T. x \in U \land y \notin U) by simp
   then show T {is T_1} using isT1_def by simp
```

In a T_0 space two points that can not be separated by an open set are equal. Proof by contradiction.

```
lemma Top_1_1_L1: assumes A1: T {is T_0} and A2: x \in \bigcup T y \in \bigcup T and A3: \forall U \in T. (x \in U \longleftrightarrow y \in U) shows x = y proof - { assume x \neq y with A1 A2 have \exists U \in T. x \in U \land y \notin U \lor y \in U \land x \notin U using isT0_def by simp with A3 have False by auto } then show x = y by auto qed
```

53.2 Bases and subbases.

Sometimes it is convenient to talk about topologies in terms of their bases and subbases. These are certain collections of open sets that define the whole topology.

A base of topology is a collection of open sets such that every open set is a union of the sets from the base.

definition

```
IsAbaseFor (infixl {is a base for} 65) where B {is a base for} T \equiv B\subseteqT \land T = {\ \] A. A\inPow(B)}
```

A subbase is a collection of open sets such that finite intersection of those sets form a base.

definition

```
IsAsubBaseFor (infix] {is a subbase for} 65) where B {is a subbase for} T \equiv B \subseteq T \land {\bigcap A. A \in FinPow(B)} {is a base for} T
```

Below we formulate a condition that we will prove to be necessary and sufficient for a collection B of open sets to form a base. It says that for any two sets U, V from the collection B we can find a point $x \in U \cap V$ with a neighboorhood from B contained in $U \cap V$.

definition

```
SatisfiesBaseCondition (_ {satisfies the base condition} [50] 50) where B {satisfies the base condition} \equiv \forall U \ V. \ ((U \in B \ \land \ V \in B) \longrightarrow (\forall \ x \in U \cap V. \ \exists \ W \in B. \ x \in W \ \land \ W \subseteq U \cap V))
```

A collection that is closed with respect to intersection satisfies the base condition.

```
lemma inter_closed_base: assumes \forall U \in B. (\forall V \in B. U \cap V \in B) shows B {satisfies the base condition} proof - { fix U V x assume U \in B and V \in B and x \in U \cap V with assms have \exists W \in B. x \in W \land W \subseteq U \cap V by blast } then show thesis using SatisfiesBaseCondition_def by simp qed
```

Each open set is a union of some sets from the base.

```
lemma Top_1_2_L1: assumes B {is a base for} T and U\inT shows \exists A\inPow(B). U = \bigcup A using assms IsAbaseFor_def by simp
```

Elements of base are open.

```
lemma base_sets_open: assumes B {is a base for} T and U \in B
```

```
shows U ∈ T
  using assms IsAbaseFor_def by auto
A base defines topology uniquely.
lemma same_base_same_top:
  assumes B {is a base for} T and B {is a base for} S
  shows T = S
  using assms IsAbaseFor_def by simp
```

Every point from an open set has a neighboorhood from the base that is contained in the set.

```
lemma point_open_base_neigh:
   assumes A1: B {is a base for} T and A2: U∈T and A3: x∈U
   shows ∃V∈B. V⊆U ∧ x∈V
proof -
   from A1 A2 obtain A where A ∈ Pow(B) and U = ∪A
      using Top_1_2_L1 by blast
   with A3 obtain V where V∈A and x∈V by auto
   with ⟨A ∈ Pow(B)⟩ ⟨U = ∪A⟩ show thesis by auto
   qed
```

A criterion for a collection to be a base for a topology that is a slight reformulation of the definition. The only thing different that in the definition is that we assume only that every open set is a union of some sets from the base. The definition requires also the opposite inclusion that every union of the sets from the base is open, but that we can prove if we assume that T is a topology.

```
lemma is_a_base_criterion: assumes A1: T {is a topology}
  and A2: B \subseteq T and A3: \forall V \in T. \exists A \in Pow(B). V = \bigcup A
  shows B {is a base for} T
proof -
  from A3 have T \subseteq \{ | A. A \in Pow(B) \} by auto
  moreover have \{( A. A \in Pow(B) \} \subseteq T \}
  proof
     fix U assume U \in \{\bigcup A. A \in Pow(B)\}
     then obtain A where A \in Pow(B) and U = \bigcup A
       by auto
     with \langle B \subset T \rangle have A \in Pow(T) by auto
     with A1 \langle U = | JA \rangle show U \in T
       unfolding IsATopology_def by simp
  ultimately have T = \{ \bigcup A. A \in Pow(B) \} by auto
  with A2 show B {is a base for} T
     unfolding IsAbaseFor_def by simp
```

A necessary condition for a collection of sets to be a base for some topology: every point in the intersection of two sets in the base has a neighboorhood from the base contained in the intersection.

```
lemma Top_1_2_L2: assumes A1:\existsT. T {is a topology} \land B {is a base for} T and A2: V\inB W\inB shows \forall x \in V\capW. \existsU\inB. x\inU \land U \subseteq V \cap W proof - from A1 obtain T where D1: T {is a topology} B {is a base for} T by auto then have B \subseteq T using IsAbaseFor_def by auto with A2 have V\inT and W\inT using IsAbaseFor_def by auto with D1 have \existsA\inPow(B). V\capW = \bigcupA using IsATopology_def Top_1_2_L1 by auto then obtain A where A \subseteq B and V \cap W = \bigcupA by auto then show \forall x \in V\capW. \existsU\inB. (x\inU \land U \subseteq V \cap W) by auto qed
```

We will construct a topology as the collection of unions of (would-be) base. First we prove that if the collection of sets satisfies the condition we want to show to be sufficient, the the intersection belongs to what we will define as topology (am I clear here?). Having this fact ready simplifies the proof of the next lemma. There is not much topology here, just some set theory.

```
lemma Top_1_2_L3: assumes A1: \forall x \in V \cap W . \exists U \in B. x \in U \land U \subseteq V \cap W shows V \cap W \in \{\bigcup A. A \in Pow(B)\} proof let A = \bigcup x \in V \cap W. \{U \in B. x \in U \land U \subseteq V \cap W\} show A \in Pow(B) by auto from A1 show V \cap W = \bigcup A by blast qed
```

The next lemma is needed when proving that the would-be topology is closed with respect to taking intersections. We show here that intersection of two sets from this (would-be) topology can be written as union of sets from the topology.

```
lemma Top_1_2_L4: assumes A1: U_1 \in \{\bigcup A. A \in Pow(B)\} U_2 \in \{\bigcup A. A \in Pow(B)\} and A2: B {satisfies the base condition} shows \exists C. C \subseteq \{\bigcup A. A \in Pow(B)\} \land U_1 \cap U_2 = \bigcup C proof - from A1 A2 obtain A_1 A_2 where D1: A_1 \in Pow(B) U_1 = \bigcup A_1 A_2 \in Pow(B) U_2 = \bigcup A_2 by auto let C = \bigcup U \in A_1. \{U \cap V. V \in A_2\} from D1 have (\forall U \in A_1. U \in B) \land (\forall V \in A_2. V \in B) by auto with A2 have C \subseteq \{\bigcup A. A \in Pow(B)\} using Top_1_2_L3 SatisfiesBaseCondition_def by auto moreover from D1 have U_1 \cap U_2 = \bigcup C by auto ultimately show thesis by auto
```

```
qed
```

If B satisfies the base condition, then the collection of unions of sets from B is a topology and B is a base for this topology.

```
theorem Top_1_2_T1:
  assumes A1: B {satisfies the base condition}
  and A2: T = \{ \bigcup A. A \in Pow(B) \}
  shows T {is a topology} and B {is a base for} T
proof -
  show T {is a topology}
  proof -
     have I: \forall C \in Pow(T). \bigcup C \in T
     proof -
        { fix C assume A3: C \in Pow(T)
          let Q = \{ \bigcup \{ \bigcup \{A \in Pow(B) : U = \bigcup A\} : U \in C \} \}
          from A2 A3 have \forall U \in C. \exists A \in Pow(B). U = \bigcup A by auto
          then have \bigcup Q = \bigcup C using ZF1_1_L10 by simp
          moreover from A2 have \bigcup Q \in T by auto
          ultimately have \bigcup C \in T by simp
       } thus \forall C \in Pow(T). \bigcup C \in T by auto
     qed
     moreover have \forall U \in T. \forall V \in T. U \cap V \in T
     proof -
       \{ \ \text{fix} \ \mathtt{U} \ \mathtt{V} \ \text{assume} \quad \mathtt{U} \in \mathtt{T} \quad \mathtt{V} \in \mathtt{T} \\
          with A1 A2 have \exists C. (C \subseteq T \land U \cap V = \bigcup C)
          using Top_1_2_L4 by simp
          then obtain C where C\subseteq T and \ U\cap V=\bigcup C
             by auto
             with I have U \cap V \in T by simp
       } then show \forall U \in T. \forall V \in T. U \cap V \in T by simp
     qed
     ultimately show T {is a topology} using IsATopology_def
       by simp
  from A2 have B\subseteq T by auto
  with A2 show B {is a base for} T using IsAbaseFor_def
     by simp
qed
The carrier of the base and topology are the same.
lemma Top_1_2_L5: assumes B {is a base for} T
  shows | T = | B|
  using assms IsAbaseFor_def by auto
If B is a base for T, then T is the smallest topology containing B.
lemma base_smallest_top:
  assumes A1: B {is a base for} T and A2: S {is a topology} and A3:
\mathsf{B}{\subset}\mathsf{S}
  shows T\subseteq S
```

```
proof
fix U assume U\inT
with A1 obtain B<sub>U</sub> where B<sub>U</sub> \subseteq B and U = \bigcupB<sub>U</sub> using IsAbaseFor_def
by auto
with A3 have B<sub>U</sub> \subseteq S by auto
with A2 \langleU = \bigcupB<sub>U</sub>\rangle show U\inS using IsATopology_def by simp
qed

If B is a base for T and B is a topology, then B = T.
lemma base_topology: assumes B {is a topology} and B {is a base for}
T
shows B=T using assms base_sets_open base_smallest_top by blast
```

53.3 Product topology

In this section we consider a topology defined on a product of two sets.

Given two topological spaces we can define a topology on the product of the carriers such that the cartesian products of the sets of the topologies are a base for the product topology. Recall that for two collections S, T of sets the product collection is defined (in ZF1.thy) as the collections of cartesian products $A \times B$, where $A \in S, B \in T$.

definition

```
ProductTopology(T,S) \equiv \{ \bigcup W. W \in Pow(ProductCollection(T,S)) \}
```

The product collection satisfies the base condition.

```
lemma Top_1_4_L1:
  assumes A1: T {is a topology}
                                            S {is a topology}
  and A2: A \in ProductCollection(T,S) B \in ProductCollection(T,S)
  shows \forall x \in (A \cap B). \exists W \in ProductCollection(T,S). (x \in W \land W \subseteq A \cap B)
proof
  fix x assume A3: x \in A \cap B
  from A2 obtain U_1 V_1 U_2 V_2 where
     D1: U_1 \in T V_1 \in S A=U_1 \times V_1 U_2 \in T V_2 \in S
                                                        B=U_2\times V_2
     using ProductCollection_def by auto
  let W = (U_1 \cap U_2) \times (V_1 \cap V_2)
  from A1 D1 have U_1 \cap U_2 \in T and V_1 \cap V_2 \in S
     using IsATopology_def by auto
  then have W \in ProductCollection(T,S) using ProductCollection_def
  moreover from A3 D1 have x \in W and W \subseteq A \cap B by auto
  ultimately have \exists W. (W \in ProductCollection(T,S) \land x \in W \land W \subseteq A \cap B)
     by auto
  thus \exists W \in ProductCollection(T,S). (x \in W \land W \subseteq A \cap B) by auto
```

The product topology is indeed a topology on the product.

```
theorem Top_1_4_T1: assumes A1: T {is a topology} S {is a topology}
```

```
ProductTopology(T,S) {is a topology}
  ProductCollection(T,S) {is a base for} ProductTopology(T,S)
  [] ProductTopology(T,S) = []T \times []S
proof -
  from A1 show
    ProductTopology(T,S) {is a topology}
    ProductCollection(T,S) {is a base for} ProductTopology(T,S)
    using Top_1_4_L1 ProductCollection_def
      SatisfiesBaseCondition_def ProductTopology_def Top_1_2_T1
    by auto
  then show \bigcup ProductTopology(T,S) = \bigcupT \times \bigcupS
    using Top_1_2_L5 ZF1_1_L6 by simp
qed
Each point of a set open in the product topology has a neighborhood which
is a cartesian product of open sets.
lemma prod_top_point_neighb:
  assumes A1: T {is a topology} S {is a topology} and
  A2: U \in ProductTopology(T,S) and A3: x \in U
  shows \exists V \ W. \ V \in T \land W \in S \land V \times W \subseteq U \land x \in V \times W
proof -
  from A1 have
    ProductCollection(T,S) {is a base for} ProductTopology(T,S)
    using Top_1_4_T1 by simp
  with A2 A3 obtain Z where
    Z \in ProductCollection(T,S) and Z \subseteq U \land x \in Z
    using point_open_base_neigh by blast
  then obtain V W where V \in T and WeS and V×W \subseteq U \land x \in V×W
    using ProductCollection_def by auto
  thus thesis by auto
ged
Products of open sets are open in the product topology.
lemma prod_open_open_prod:
  assumes A1: T {is a topology} S {is a topology} and
  A2: U \in T V \in S
  shows U \times V \in ProductTopology(T,S)
proof -
  from A1 have
    ProductCollection(T,S) {is a base for} ProductTopology(T,S)
    using Top_1_4_T1 by simp
  moreover from A2 have U \times V \in ProductCollection(T,S)
    unfolding ProductCollection_def by auto
  ultimately show U \times V \in ProductTopology(T,S)
    using base_sets_open by simp
qed
```

shows

Sets that are open in th product topology are contained in the product of

the carrier.

```
lemma prod_open_type: assumes A1: T {is a topology} S {is a topology}
and
    A2: V ∈ ProductTopology(T,S)
    shows V ⊆ ∪T × ∪S
proof -
    from A2 have V ⊆ ∪ ProductTopology(T,S) by auto
    with A1 show thesis using Top_1_4_T1 by simp
qed
```

Suppose we have subsets $A \subseteq X$, $B \subseteq Y$, where X,Y are topological spaces with topologies T,S. We can the consider relative topologies on T_A,S_B on sets A,B and the collection of cartesian products of sets open in T_A,S_B , (namely $\{U \times V : U \in T_A, V \in S_B\}$. The next lemma states that this collection is a base of the product topology on $X \times Y$ restricted to the product $A \times B$.

```
lemma prod_restr_base_restr:
   assumes A1: T {is a topology} S {is a topology}
  ProductCollection(T {restricted to} A, S {restricted to} B)
   {is a base for} (ProductTopology(T,S) {restricted to} A \times B)
proof -
   let \mathcal{B} = ProductCollection(T {restricted to} A, S {restricted to} B)
  let \tau = ProductTopology(T,S)
   from A1 have (\tau {restricted to} A×B) {is a topology}
      using Top_1_4_T1 topology0_def topology0.Top_1_L4
  moreover have \mathcal{B} \subseteq (\tau \text{ {restricted to}}) \text{ A} \times \text{B})
   proof
      fix U assume U \in \mathcal{B}
      then obtain U_A U_B where U = U_A \times U_B and
         \mathtt{U}_A \in (\mathtt{T} \ \{\mathtt{restricted} \ \mathtt{to}\} \ \mathtt{A}) \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathtt{U}_B \in (\mathtt{S} \ \{\mathtt{restricted} \ \mathtt{to}\} \ \mathtt{B})
         using ProductCollection_def by auto
      then obtain W_A W_B where
         \mathtt{W}_A \in \mathtt{T} \quad \mathtt{U}_A = \mathtt{W}_A \, \cap \, \mathtt{A} \, \, \, \mathbf{and} \, \, \mathtt{W}_B \, \in \, \mathtt{S} \quad \mathtt{U}_B = \mathtt{W}_B \, \cap \, \mathtt{B}
         using RestrictedTo_def by auto
      with \langle U = U_A \times U_B \rangle have U = W_A \times W_B \cap (A \times B) by auto
      moreover from A1 \langle \mathtt{W}_A \in \mathtt{T} \rangle and \langle \mathtt{W}_B \in \mathtt{S} \rangle have \mathtt{W}_A \! \times \! \mathtt{W}_B \in \tau
         using prod_open_open_prod by simp
      ultimately show U \in \tau {restricted to} A×B
         using RestrictedTo_def by auto
   moreover have \forall \mathtt{U} \in \tau {restricted to} A×B.
      \exists\,\mathtt{C}\,\in\,\mathtt{Pow}(\mathcal{B})\,.\,\,\mathtt{U}\,=\,\mathsf{UC}
   proof
      fix U assume U \in \tau {restricted to} A	imesB
      then obtain W where W \in \tau and U = W \cap (A \times B)
         using RestrictedTo_def by auto
```

```
from A1 \langle W \in \tau \rangle obtain A_W where
       \mathtt{A}_W \in \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{ProductCollection}(\mathtt{T},\mathtt{S})) \ \ \mathbf{and} \ \ \mathtt{W} = \bigcup \mathtt{A}_W
        using Top_1_4_T1 IsAbaseFor_def by auto
    let C = \{V \cap A \times B. \ V \in A_W\}
    have C \in Pow(B) and U = \bigcup C
    proof -
       \{ \text{ fix R assume R} \in C \}
 then obtain V where V \in A_W and R = V \cap A \times B
   by auto
 with (A_W \in Pow(ProductCollection(T,S))) obtain V_T V_S where
   V_T \in T and V_S \in S and V = V_T \times V_S
   using ProductCollection_def by auto
 with \langle R = V \cap A \times B \rangle have R \in \mathcal{B}
   using ProductCollection_def RestrictedTo_def
   by auto
       } then show C \in Pow(B) by auto
       from \langle U = W \cap (A \times B) \rangle and \langle W = \bigcup A_W \rangle
       show U = \bigcup C by auto
    thus \exists C \in Pow(B). U = \bigcup C by blast
  aed
  ultimately show thesis by (rule is_a_base_criterion)
We can commute taking restriction (relative topology) and product topology.
The reason the two topologies are the same is that they have the same base.
lemma prod_top_restr_comm:
  assumes A1: T {is a topology} S {is a topology}
  ProductTopology(T {restricted to} A,S {restricted to} B) =
  ProductTopology(T,S) {restricted to} (A \times B)
  let B = ProductCollection(T {restricted to} A, S {restricted to} B)
  from A1 have
     {\cal B} {is a base for} ProductTopology(T {restricted to} A,S {restricted
    using topology0_def topology0.Top_1_L4 Top_1_4_T1 by simp
  moreover from A1 have
     {\cal B} {is a base for} ProductTopology(T,S) {restricted to} (A	imesB)
     using prod_restr_base_restr by simp
  ultimately show thesis by (rule same_base_same_top)
qed
Projection of a section of an open set is open.
lemma prod_sec_open1: assumes A1: T {is a topology} S {is a topology}
and
  A2: V \in ProductTopology(T,S) and A3: x \in \bigcup T
  shows \{y \in \bigcup S. \langle x,y \rangle \in V\} \in S
proof -
```

```
let A = \{y \in \bigcup S. \langle x,y \rangle \in V\}
  from A1 have topology0(S) using topology0_def by simp
  moreover have \forall y \in A.\exists W \in S. (y \in W \land W \subseteq A)
     proof
        fix y assume y \in A
        then have \langle x,y \rangle \in V by simp
        with A1 A2 have \langle x,y \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup S using prod_open_type by blast
        hence x \in \bigcup T and y \in \bigcup S by auto
        from A1 A2 \langle \langle x,y \rangle \in V \rangle have \exists U \ W. \ U \in T \land W \in S \land U \times W \subseteq V \land \langle x,y \rangle
\in \, \mathtt{U} {\times} \mathtt{W}
           by (rule prod_top_point_neighb)
        then obtain U W where U \in T \ W \in S \ U \times W \subseteq V \ \langle x,y \rangle \in U \times W
        with A1 A2 show \exists W \in S. (y \in W \land W \subseteq A) using prod_open_type section_proj
           by auto
     qed
  ultimately show thesis by (rule topology0.open_neigh_open)
qed
Projection of a section of an open set is open. This is dual of prod_sec_open1
with a very similar proof.
lemma prod_sec_open2: assumes A1: T {is a topology} S {is a topology}
  A2: V \in ProductTopology(T,S) and A3: y \in \bigcup S
  shows \{x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,y \rangle \in V\} \in T
proof -
  let A = \{x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,y \rangle \in V\}
  from A1 have topologyO(T) using topologyO_def by simp
  moreover have \forall x \in A . \exists W \in T. (x \in W \land W \subseteq A)
     proof
        fix x assume x \in A
        then have \langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{y} \rangle \in \mathtt{V} by simp
        with A1 A2 have \langle x,y \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup S using prod_open_type by blast
        hence x \in \bigcup T and y \in \bigcup S by auto
        from A1 A2 \langle \langle x,y \rangle \in V \rangle have \exists U \ W. \ U \in T \land W \in S \land U \times W \subseteq V \land \langle x,y \rangle
\in U \times W
           by (rule prod_top_point_neighb)
        then obtain U W where U\inT W\inS U\timesW \subseteq V \langlex,y\rangle \in U\timesW
        with A1 A2 show \exists W \in T. (x \in W \land W \subseteq A) using prod_open_type section_proj
           by auto
     qed
  ultimately show thesis by (rule topology0.open_neigh_open)
qed
```

end

54 Metric spaces

theory MetricSpace_ZF imports Topology_ZF_1 OrderedLoop_ZF Lattice_ZF begin

A metric space is a set on which a distance between points is defined as a function $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$. With this definition each metric space is a topological space which is paracompact and Hausdorff (T_2) , hence normal (in fact even perfectly normal).

54.1 Pseudometric - definition and basic properties

A metric on X is usually defined as a function $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ that satisfies the conditions d(x,x) = 0, $d(x,y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$ (identity of indiscernibles), d(x,y) = d(y,x) (symmetry) and $d(x,y) \leq d(x,z) + d(z,y)$ (triangle inequality) for all $x,y \in X$. Here we are going to be a bit more general and define metric and pseudo-metric as a function valued in an ordered loop.

First we define a pseudo-metric, which has the axioms of a metric, but without the second part of the identity of indiscernibles. In our definition ${\tt IsApseudoMetric}$ is a predicate on five sets: the function d, the set X on which the metric is defined, the loop carrier G, the loop operation A and the order r on G.

definition

```
\begin{split} & \text{IsApseudoMetric(d,X,G,A,r)} \equiv \text{d:X} \times \text{X} \rightarrow \text{Nonnegative(G,A,r)} \\ & \wedge \  \, (\forall \, \text{x} \in \text{X}. \, \, \text{d} \langle \text{x}, \text{x} \rangle \, = \, \text{TheNeutralElement(G,A))} \\ & \wedge \  \, (\forall \, \text{x} \in \text{X}. \, \forall \, \text{y} \in \text{X}. \, \, \text{d} \langle \text{x}, \text{y} \rangle \, = \, \text{d} \langle \text{y}, \text{x} \rangle) \\ & \wedge \  \, (\forall \, \text{x} \in \text{X}. \, \forall \, \text{y} \in \text{X}. \, \forall \, \text{z} \in \text{X}. \, \, \langle \text{d} \langle \text{x}, \text{z} \rangle, \, \, \text{A} \langle \text{d} \langle \text{x}, \text{y} \rangle, \text{d} \langle \text{y}, \text{z} \rangle \rangle \rangle \, \in \, \text{r}) \end{split}
```

We add the full axiom of identity of indiscernibles to the definition of a pseudometric to get the definition of metric.

definition

```
 \begin{array}{l} \text{IsAmetric(d,X,G,A,r)} \equiv \\ \text{IsApseudoMetric(d,X,G,A,r)} \ \land \ (\forall \, x{\in}X. \, \forall \, y{\in}X. \, \, d\langle x,y\rangle \, = \, \text{TheNeutralElement(G,A)} \\ \longrightarrow \, x{=}y) \end{array}
```

A disk is defined as set of points located less than the radius from the center.

```
definition Disk(X,d,r,c,R) \equiv \{x \in X : \langle d(c,x),R \rangle \in StrictVersion(r)\}
```

Next we define notation for metric spaces. We will reuse the additive notation defined in the loop1 locale adding only the assumption about d being a pseudometric and notation for a disk centered at c with radius R. Since for many theorems it is sufficient to assume the pseudometric axioms we will assume in this context that the sets d, X, L, A, r form a pseudometric raher than a metric.

```
locale pmetric_space = loop1 +
  fixes d and X
  assumes pmetricAssum: IsApseudoMetric(d,X,L,A,r)
  fixes disk
  defines disk_def [simp]: disk(c,R) \equiv Disk(X,d,r,c,R)
The next lemma shows the definition of the pseudometric in the notation
used in the metric_space context.
lemma (in pmetric_space) pmetric_properties: shows
  d: X \times X \rightarrow L^+
  \forall x \in X. d\langle x, x \rangle = 0
  \forall x \in X. \forall y \in X. d\langle x, y \rangle = d\langle y, x \rangle
  \forall x \in X. \forall y \in X. \forall z \in X. d\langle x, z \rangle \leq d\langle x, y \rangle + d\langle y, z \rangle
  using pmetricAssum unfolding IsApseudoMetric_def by auto
The definition of the disk in the notation used in the pmetric_space context:
lemma (in pmetric_space) disk_definition: shows disk(c,R) = \{x \in X : d(c,x)\}
< R}
proof -
  have disk(c,R) = Disk(X,d,r,c,R) by simp
  then have disk(c,R) = \{x \in X. \langle d(c,x),R \rangle \in StrictVersion(r)\} unfolding
Disk_def by simp
  moreover have \forall x \in X. \langle d(c,x),R \rangle \in StrictVersion(r) \longleftrightarrow d(c,x) < R
     using def_of_strict_ver by simp
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
If the radius is positive then the center is in disk.
lemma (in pmetric_space) center_in_disk: assumes c \in X and R \in L_+ shows
c ∈ disk(c,R)
  using pmetricAssum assms IsApseudoMetric_def PositiveSet_def disk_definition
by simp
A technical lemma that allows us to shorten some proofs:
lemma (in pmetric_space) radius_in_loop: assumes c \in X and x \in disk(c,R)
  shows R\inL 0<R R\inL_+ (-d\langle c, x \rangle + R) \in L_+
proof -
  from assms(2) have x \in X and d(c,x) < R using disk_definition by auto
  with assms(1) show 0<R using pmetric_properties(1) apply_funtype
       nonneg_definition loop_strict_ord_trans by blast
  then show R \in L and R \in L_+ using posset_definition PositiveSet_def by
  from \langle d(c,x) \rangle \langle R \rangle show (-d(c,x) + R) \in L_+
    using ls_other_side(2) by simp
qed
```

If a point x is inside a disk B and $m \leq R - d(c, x)$ then the disk centered at the point x and with radius m is contained in the disk B.

```
lemma (in pmetric_space) disk_in_disk:
  assumes c \in X and x \in disk(c,R) and m \leq (-d\langle c,x \rangle + R)
  shows disk(x,m) \subseteq disk(c,R)
proof
  fix y assume y \in disk(x,m)
  then have d(x,y) < m using disk_definition by simp
  from assms(1,2) \langle y \in disk(x,m) \rangle have R \in L x \in X y \in X
     using radius_in_loop(1) disk_definition by auto
  with assms(1) have d(c,y) \le d(c,x) + d(x,y) using pmetric_properties(4)
by simp
  from assms(1) \langle x \in X \rangle have d\langle c, x \rangle \in L
     using pmetric_properties(1) apply_funtype nonneg_subset by auto
  with \langle d(x,y) \rangle < m \rangle assms(3) have d(c,x) + d(x,y) \langle d(c,x) \rangle + (-d(c,x) \rangle + R
     using loop_strict_ord_trans1 strict_ord_trans_inv(2) by blast
  with \langle d(c,x) \in L \rangle \langle R \in L \rangle \langle d(c,y) \leq d(c,x) + d(x,y) \rangle \langle y \in X \rangle show y \in disk(c,R)
     using lrdiv_props(6) loop_strict_ord_trans disk_definition by simp
qed
```

If we assume that the order on the group makes the positive set a meet semilattice (i.e. every two-element subset of G_+ has a greatest lower bound) then the collection of disks centered at points of the space and with radii in the positive set of the group satisfies the base condition. The meet semi-lattice assumption can be weakened to "each two-element subset of G_+ has a lower bound in G_+ ", but we don't do that here.

```
lemma (in pmetric_space) disks_form_base:
    assumes IsMeetSemilattice(L_+, r \cap L_+ \times L_+)
    defines B \equiv \bigcup c \in X. {disk(c,R). R \in L_+}
   shows B {satisfies the base condition}
proof -
    { fix U V assume U \in B V \in B
       fix x assume x \in U \cap V
       have \exists W \in B. x \in W \land W \subseteq U \cap V
        proof -
            \mathbf{from} \  \, \mathbf{assms(2)} \  \, \langle \mathtt{U} {\in} \mathtt{B} \rangle \  \, \langle \mathtt{V} {\in} \mathtt{B} \rangle \  \, \mathbf{obtain} \  \, \mathtt{c}_{U} \quad \mathtt{c}_{V} \  \, \mathtt{R}_{U} \quad \mathtt{R}_{V}
                where c_U \in X R_U \in L_+ c_V \in X R_V \in L_+ U = disk(c_U,R_U) V = disk(c_V,R_V)
            with (x \in U \cap V) have x \in disk(c_U, R_U) and x \in disk(c_V, R_V) by auto
            then have x \in X \ d\langle c_U, x \rangle < R_U \ d\langle c_V, x \rangle < R_V \ using disk_definition by
auto
            \mathbf{let} \ \mathbf{m}_U = - \ \mathbf{d} \langle \mathbf{c}_U, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \mathbf{R}_U
            let m_V = - d\langle c_V, x \rangle + R_V
            \mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{c}_U \in \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{disk}(\mathtt{c}_U\,,\mathtt{R}_U) \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{c}_V \in \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{disk}(\mathtt{c}_V\,,\mathtt{R}_V) \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{m}_U \in \mathtt{L}_+ \ \mathbf{and}
m_V \in L_+
                using radius_in_loop(4) by auto
            let m = Meet(L_+,r \cap L_+ \times L_+)\langle m_U,m_V \rangle
            let W = disk(x,m)
```

```
from assms(1) \langle m_U \in L_+ \rangle \langle m_V \in L_+ \rangle have \langle m, m_U \rangle \in r \cap L_+ \times L_+
                using meet_val(3) by blast
            moreover from assms(1) \langle \mathtt{m}_U \in \mathtt{L}_+ \rangle \langle \mathtt{m}_V \in \mathtt{L}_+ \rangle have \langle \mathtt{m}, \mathtt{m}_V \rangle \in \mathtt{r} \cap
L_+ \times L_+
                using meet_val(4) by blast
            moreover from assms(1) \langle \mathtt{m}_U \in \mathtt{L}_+ \rangle \langle \mathtt{m}_V \in \mathtt{L}_+ \rangle have \mathtt{m} \in \mathtt{L}_+
                using meet_val(1) by simp
            ultimately have m \in L_+ m \le m_U m \le m_V by auto
            \mathbf{with} \ \langle \mathtt{c}_U \in \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{disk}(\mathtt{c}_U,\mathtt{R}_U) \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{c}_V \in \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{disk}(\mathtt{c}_V,\mathtt{R}_V) \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{U} = \mathtt{disk}(\mathtt{c}_U,\mathtt{R}_U) \rangle
\langle V = disk(c_V, R_V) \rangle
            \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{W} \subseteq \mathtt{U} \cap \mathtt{V} \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{disk\_in\_disk} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{blast}
            moreover from assms(2) \langle x \in X \rangle \langle m \in L_+ \rangle have W \in B and x \in W using
center_in_disk
                by auto
            ultimately show thesis by auto
    } then show thesis unfolding SatisfiesBaseCondition_def by auto
qed
Unions of disks form a topology, hence (pseudo)metric spaces are topological
```

Unions of disks form a topology, hence (pseudo)metric spaces are topological spaces. We have to add the assumption that the positive set is not empty. This is necessary to show that we can cover the space with disks and it does not look like it follows from anything we have assumed so far.

```
theorem (in pmetric_space) pmetric_is_top:
  assumes IsMeetSemilattice(L_+,r \cap L_+ \times L_+) L_+ \neq 0
  defines B \equiv \bigcup c \in X. {disk(c,R). R \in L_+}
  defines T \equiv \{ \bigcup A. A \in Pow(B) \}
  shows T (is a topology) B (is a base for) T \bigcup T = X
proof -
  from assms(1,3,4) show T {is a topology} B {is a base for} T
    using disks_form_base Top_1_2_T1 by auto
  then have []T = []B using Top_1_2_L5 by simp
  moreover have \bigcup B = X
  proof
    from assms(3) show \bigcup B \subseteq X using disk_definition by auto
    { fix x assume x \in X
       from assms(2) obtain R where R \in L_+ by auto
       with assms(3) \langle x \in X \rangle have x \in \bigcup B using center_in_disk by auto
    \} thus X \subseteq \bigcup B by auto
  qed
  ultimately show | | T = X by simp
```

55 Basic properties of real numbers

end

theory Real_ZF_2 imports OrderedField_ZF MetricSpace_ZF

begin

Isabelle/ZF and IsarMathLib do not have a set of real numbers built-in. The Real_ZF and Real_ZF_1 theories provide a construction but here we do not use it in any way and we just assume that we have a model of real numbers (i.e. a completely ordered field) as defined in the Ordered_Field theory. The construction only assures us that objects with the desired properties exist in the ZF world.

55.1 Basic notation for real numbers

In this section we define notation that we will use whenever real numbers play a role, i.e. most of mathematics.

The next locale sets up notation for contexts where real numbers are used.

```
fixes Reals(\mathbb{R}) and Add and Mul and ROrd
assumes R_are_reals: IsAmodelOfReals(R,Add,Mul, ROrd)
defines zero_def[simp]: 0 \equiv \text{TheNeutralElement}(\mathbb{R}, \text{Add})
fixes one (1)
defines one_def[simp]: 1 \equiv \text{TheNeutralElement}(\mathbb{R}, \text{Mul})
fixes realmul (infixl \cdot 71)
defines realmul_def[simp]: x \cdot y \equiv Mul\langle x, y \rangle
fixes realadd (infixl + 69)
defines realadd_def[simp]: x + y \equiv Add\langle x, y \rangle
fixes realminus(- _ 89)
defines realminus_def[simp]: (-x) \equiv GroupInv(\mathbb{R}, Add)(x)
fixes realsub (infixl - 90)
defines realsub_def [simp]: x-y \equiv x+(-y)
fixes lesseq (infix \leq 68)
defines lesseq_def [simp]: x \le y \equiv \langle x, y \rangle \in ROrd
fixes sless (infix < 68)
defines sless_def [simp]: x < y \equiv x \le y \land x \ne y
fixes nonnegative (\mathbb{R}^+)
defines nonnegative_def[simp]: \mathbb{R}^+ \equiv \mathtt{Nonnegative}(\mathbb{R},\mathtt{Add},\,\mathtt{ROrd})
fixes positiveset (\mathbb{R}_+)
defines positiveset\_def[simp]: \mathbb{R}_+ \equiv PositiveSet(\mathbb{R},Add, ROrd)
```

```
fixes setinv (- _ 72)
   defines setninv_def [simp]: -A \equiv GroupInv(\mathbb{R}, Add)(A)
  fixes non_zero (\mathbb{R}_0)
   defines non_zero_def[simp]: \mathbb{R}_0 \equiv \mathbb{R}-{0}
   fixes abs (| _ |)
   defines abs_def [simp]: |x| \equiv AbsoluteValue(\mathbb{R},Add,ROrd)(x)
  fixes dist
   defines dist_def[simp]: dist \equiv \{\langle p, | fst(p) - snd(p) | \rangle : p \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \}
  fixes two (2)
  defines two_def[simp]: 2 \equiv 1 + 1
  fixes inv (_{-1} [96] 97)
   defines inv_def[simp]:
     \mathtt{x}^{-1} \equiv \mathtt{GroupInv}(\mathbb{R}_0,\mathtt{restrict}(\mathtt{Mul},\mathbb{R}_0{	imes}\mathbb{R}_0)) (x)
   fixes realsq (_{2} [96] 97)
   defines realsq_def [simp]: x^2 \equiv x \cdot x
   fixes oddext (_ °)
   defines oddext_def [simp]: f^* \equiv OddExtension(\mathbb{R},Add,ROrd,f)
  fixes disk
   defines disk_def [simp]: disk(c,r) \equiv Disk(\mathbb{R},dist,ROrd,c,r)
The assumtions of the field1 locale (that sets the context for ordered fields)
hold in the reals locale
lemma (in reals) field1_is_valid: shows field1(R, Add, Mul,ROrd)
proof
   from R_are_reals show IsAring(R, Add, Mul) and Mul {is commutative
on} R
      and ROrd \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} and IsLinOrder(\mathbb{R}, ROrd)
      \mathbf{and} \ \forall \mathtt{x} \ \mathtt{y}. \ \forall \mathtt{z} \in \mathbb{R}. \ \langle \mathtt{x}, \ \mathtt{y} \rangle \ \in \ \mathtt{ROrd} \ \longrightarrow \ \langle \mathtt{Add} \langle \mathtt{x}, \ \mathtt{z} \rangle, \ \mathtt{Add} \langle \mathtt{y}, \ \mathtt{z} \rangle \rangle \ \in \ \mathtt{ROrd}
      and Nonnegative (\mathbb{R}, Add, ROrd) {is closed under} Mul
      and TheNeutralElement(\mathbb{R}, Add) \neq TheNeutralElement(\mathbb{R}, Mul)
      and \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. x \neq \text{TheNeutralElement}(\mathbb{R}, \text{Add}) \longrightarrow (\exists y \in \mathbb{R}. \text{Mul}(x, y)) =
TheNeutralElement(\mathbb{R},Mul))
      using IsAmodelOfReals_def IsAnOrdField_def IsAnOrdRing_def by auto
We can use theorems proven in the field1 locale in the reals locale. Note
```

We can use theorems proven in the field1 locale in the reals locale. Note that since the field1 locale is an extension of the ring1 locale, which is an extension of ring0 locale, this makes available also the theorems proven in the ring1 and ring0 locales.

sublocale reals < field1 Reals Add Mul realadd realminus realsub realmul

```
zero one two realsq ROrd using field1_is_valid by auto
```

The group3 locale from the OrderedGroup_ZF theory defines context for theorems about ordered groups. We can use theorems proven in there in the reals locale as real numbers with addition form an ordered group.

 ${\bf sublocale}$ reals

 group3 Reals Add ROrd zero realadd realminus lesseq sless nonnegative positive
set

```
unfolding group3_def using OrdRing_ZF_1_L4 by auto
```

Since real numbers with addition form a group we can use the theorems proven in the group0 locale defined in the Group_ZF theory in the reals locale.

```
sublocale reals < group0 Reals Add zero realadd realminus
unfolding group3_def using OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L1 by auto</pre>
```

Let's recall basic properties of the real line.

```
lemma (in reals) basic_props: shows ROrd {is total on} \mathbb{R} and Add {is commutative on} \mathbb{R} using OrdRing_ZF_1_L4(2,3) by auto
```

The distance function dist defined in the reals locale is a metric.

```
lemma (in reals) dist_is_metric: shows
   \texttt{dist} \,:\, {\rm I\!R}{\times}{\rm I\!R} \,\to\, {\rm I\!R}^+
   \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. dist(x,y) = |x - y|
   \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. dist(x,x) = 0
   \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. dist(x,y) = dist(y,x)
   \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \forall z \in \mathbb{R}. |x - z| \le |x - y| + |y - z|
   \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \forall z \in \mathbb{R}. dist(x,z) \leq dist(x,y) + dist(y,z)
   \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. dist(x,y) = 0 \longrightarrow x=y
   IsApseudoMetric(dist, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, Add, ROrd)
   IsAmetric(dist, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, Add, ROrd)
proof -
   show I: dist: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+ using group_op_closed inverse_in_group OrdRing_ZF_1_L4
          OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3B ZF_fun_from_total by simp
   then show II: \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. dist\langle x, y \rangle = |x-y| using ZF_fun_from_tot_val0
   then show III: \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.dist\langle x, x \rangle = 0 using group0_2_L6 OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L2A
by simp
   { fix x y
      assume x \in \mathbb{R} y \in \mathbb{R}
      then have (-(x-y)) = y-x using group0_2_L12 by simp
      moreover from \langle x \in \mathbb{R} \rangle \langle y \in \mathbb{R} \rangle have |-(x-y)| = |x-y|
          using group_op_closed inverse_in_group basic_props(1) OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L7A
          by simp
      ultimately have |y-x| = |x-y| by simp
      with \langle x \in \mathbb{R} \rangle \langle y \in \mathbb{R} \rangle II have dist\langle x, y \rangle = dist\langle y, x \rangle by simp
```

```
} thus IV: \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ dist}(x,y) = \text{dist}(y,x) \text{ by simp}
   \{ fix x y \}
     assume x \in \mathbb{R} y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ dist}\langle x, y \rangle = 0
     with II have |x-y| = 0 by simp
      with \langle x \in \mathbb{R} \rangle \langle y \in \mathbb{R} \rangle have x-y = 0
        using group_op_closed inverse_in_group OrderedGroup_ZF_3_L3D by
auto
      with \langle x \in \mathbb{R} \rangle \langle y \in \mathbb{R} \rangle have x = y using group 0_2_L11A by simp
   } thus V: \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ dist}(x,y) = 0 \longrightarrow x=y \text{ by auto}
   { fix x y z
     assume x \in \mathbb{R} y \in \mathbb{R} z \in \mathbb{R}
     then have |x-z| = |(x-y)+(y-z)| using cancel_middle(5) by simp
     with \langle x \in \mathbb{R} \rangle \langle y \in \mathbb{R} \rangle \langle z \in \mathbb{R} \rangle have |x-z| \leq |x-y| + |y-z|
        using group_op_closed inverse_in_group OrdRing_ZF_1_L4(2,3) OrdGroup_triangle_ineq
        by simp
   } thus \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \forall z \in \mathbb{R}. |x - z| \le |x - y| + |y - z| by simp
   with II show \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \forall z \in \mathbb{R}. \operatorname{dist}\langle x, z \rangle \leq \operatorname{dist}\langle x, y \rangle + \operatorname{dist}\langle y, z \rangle
by auto
  with I III IV V show IsApseudoMetric(dist, R, R, Add, ROrd) and IsAmetric(dist, R, R, Add, RO
      unfolding IsApseudoMetric_def IsAmetric_def by auto
qed
Real numbers form an ordered loop.
lemma (in reals) reals_loop: shows IsAnOrdLoop(R,Add,ROrd)
proof -
  have IsAloop(\mathbb{R},Add) using group_is_loop by simp
  moreover from R_are_reals have ROrd \subseteq \ \mathbb{R} \ \times \ \mathbb{R} and IsPartOrder(\mathbb{R}, ROrd)
     using IsAmodelOfReals_def IsAnOrdField_def IsAnOrdRing_def Order_ZF_1_L2
     by auto
  moreover
   { fix x y z assume A: x \in \mathbb{R} y \in \mathbb{R} z \in \mathbb{R}
     then have x \le y \longleftrightarrow x+z \le y+z
        using \ {\tt ord\_transl\_inv} \ {\tt ineq\_cancel\_right} \ by \ {\tt blast}
     moreover from A have x \le y \longleftrightarrow z+x \le z+y
        using ord_transl_inv OrderedGroup_ZF_1_L5AE by blast
     ultimately have (x \le y \longleftrightarrow x+z \le y+z) \land (x \le y \longleftrightarrow z+x \le z+y)
        by simp
   }
  ultimately show IsAnOrdLoop(R,Add,ROrd) unfolding IsAnOrdLoop_def by
qed
The assumptions of the pmetric_space locale hold in the reals locale.
lemma (in reals) pmetric_space_valid: shows pmetric_space(R,Add, ROrd,dist,R)
  unfolding pmetric_space_def pmetric_space_axioms_def loop1_def
  using reals_loop dist_is_metric(8)
  by blast
```

```
Some properties of the order relation on reals:
lemma (in reals) pos_is_lattice: shows
  IsLinOrder(\mathbb{R},ROrd)
  IsLinOrder(\mathbb{R}_+, ROrd \cap \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)
  (ROrd \cap \mathbb{R}_+{	imes}\mathbb{R}_+) {is a lattice on} \mathbb{R}_+
proof -
  show IsLinOrder(R,ROrd) using OrdRing_ZF_1_L1 unfolding IsAnOrdRing_def
by simp
  moreover have \mathbb{R}_+ \subseteq \mathbb{R} using pos_set_in_gr by simp
  ultimately show IsLinOrder(\mathbb{R}_+,ROrd \cap \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+) using ord_linear_subset(2)
  moreover have (ROrd \cap \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ by auto
  ultimately show (ROrd \cap \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+) {is a lattice on} \mathbb{R}_+ using lin_is_latt
by simp
qed
We define the topology on reals as one consisting of the unions of open disks.
definition (in reals) RealTopology (\tau_{\mathbb{R}})
  where \tau_{\mathbb{R}} \equiv \{\bigcup A. A \in Pow(\bigcup c \in \mathbb{R}. \{disk(c,r). r \in \mathbb{R}_+\})\}
Real numbers form a topological space with topology generated by open
theorem (in reals) reals_is_top: shows \tau_{\mathbb{R}} {is a topology} and \bigcup \tau_{\mathbb{R}}
= IR
proof -
  let B = \bigcup c \in \mathbb{R} . \{disk(c,r). r \in \mathbb{R}_+\}
  have pmetric_space(\mathbb{R},Add, ROrd,dist,\mathbb{R}) using pmetric_space_valid by
simp
  moreover have IsMeetSemilattice(\mathbb{R}_+,ROrd \cap \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)
     using pos_is_lattice(3) unfolding IsAlattice_def by simp
  moreover from R_are_reals have \mathbb{R}_+\neq 0
     unfolding IsAmodelOfReals_def IsAnOrdField_def using ordring_one_is_pos
  moreover have B = (\bigcup c \in \mathbb{R}. \{disk(c,r). r \in \mathbb{R}_+\}) by simp
  moreover have 	au_{\mathbb{R}} = \{\bigcup A. A \in Pow(B)\} unfolding RealTopology_def by
  ultimately show \tau_{\mathbb{R}} {is a topology} and \bigcup \tau_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} using pmetric_space.pmetric_is_top
     by auto
qed
end
56
        Complex numbers
```

theory Complex_ZF imports func_ZF_1 OrderedField_ZF

begin

The goal of this theory is to define complex numbers and prove that the Metamath complex numbers axioms hold.

56.1 From complete ordered fields to complex numbers

This section consists mostly of definitions and a proof context for talking about complex numbers. Suppose we have a set R with binary operations A and M and a relation r such that the quadruple (R,A,M,r) forms a complete ordered field. The next definitions take (R,A,M,r) and construct the sets that represent the structure of complex numbers: the carrier $(\mathbb{C} = R \times R)$, binary operations of addition and multiplication of complex numbers and the order relation on $\mathbb{R} = R \times 0$. The ImCxAdd, ReCxAdd, ImCxMul, ReCxMul are helper meta-functions representing the imaginary part of a sum of complex numbers, the real part of a sum of real numbers, the imaginary part of a product of complex numbers and the real part of a product of real numbers, respectively. The actual operations (subsets of $(R \times R) \times R$ are named CplxAdd and CplxMul.

When R is an ordered field, it comes with an order relation. This induces a natural strict order relation on $\{\langle x,0\rangle:x\in R\}\subseteq R\times R$. We call the set $\{\langle x,0\rangle:x\in R\}$ ComplexReals(R,A) and the strict order relation CplxROrder(R,A,r). The order on the real axis of complex numbers is defined as the relation induced on it by the canonical projection on the first coordinate and the order we have on the real numbers. OK, lets repeat this slower. We start with the order relation r on a (model of) real numbers R. We want to define an order relation on a subset of complex numbers, namely on $R \times \{0\}$. To do that we use the notion of a relation induced by a mapping. The mapping here is $f: R \times \{0\} \to R, f(x,0) = x$ which is defined under a name of SliceProjection in func_ZF.thy. This defines a relation r_1 (called InducedRelation(f,r), see func_ZF) on $R \times \{0\}$ such that $\langle \langle x, 0 \rangle, \langle y, 0 \rangle \in r_1$ iff $\langle x,y\rangle \in r$. This way we get what we call CplxROrder(R,A,r). However, this is not the end of the story, because Metamath uses strict inequalities in its axioms, rather than weak ones like IsarMathLib (mostly). So we need to take the strict version of this order relation. This is done in the syntax definition of $<_{\mathbb{R}}$ in the definition of complex0 context. Since Metamath proves a lot of theorems about the real numbers extended with $+\infty$ and $-\infty$, we define the notation for inequalities on the extended real line as well.

A helper expression representing the real part of the sum of two complex numbers.

definition

```
ReCxAdd(R,A,a,b) \equiv A(fst(a),fst(b))
```

An expression representing the imaginary part of the sum of two complex numbers.

definition

```
ImCxAdd(R,A,a,b) \equiv A(snd(a),snd(b))
```

The set (function) that is the binary operation that adds complex numbers.

definition

```
 \begin{array}{l} {\tt CplxAdd(R,A)} \equiv \\ \{\langle p, \ \langle \ {\tt ReCxAdd(R,A,fst(p),snd(p)),ImCxAdd(R,A,fst(p),snd(p))} \ \rangle \ . \\ p \in (R \times R) \times (R \times R)\} \end{array}
```

The expression representing the imaginary part of the product of complex numbers.

definition

```
ImCxMul(R,A,M,a,b) \equiv A\langle M\langle fst(a),snd(b)\rangle, M\langle snd(a),fst(b)\rangle
```

The expression representing the real part of the product of complex numbers.

definition

```
 \begin{split} & \texttt{ReCxMul}(\texttt{R},\texttt{A},\texttt{M},\texttt{a},\texttt{b}) \equiv \\ & \texttt{A} \langle \texttt{M} \langle \texttt{fst}(\texttt{a}),\texttt{fst}(\texttt{b}) \rangle, \texttt{GroupInv}(\texttt{R},\texttt{A}) \left( \texttt{M} \langle \texttt{snd}(\texttt{a}),\texttt{snd}(\texttt{b}) \rangle \right) \rangle \end{aligned}
```

The function (set) that represents the binary operation of multiplication of complex numbers.

definition

```
 \begin{split} & \text{CplxMul}(R,A,M) \equiv \\ & \{ \ \langle p, \ \langle \text{ReCxMul}(R,A,M,\text{fst}(p),\text{snd}(p)), \text{ImCxMul}(R,A,M,\text{fst}(p),\text{snd}(p)) \rangle \ \rangle. \\ & p \in (R \times R) \times (R \times R) \} \end{split}
```

The definition real numbers embedded in the complex plane.

definition

```
ComplexReals(R,A) \equiv R \times \{TheNeutralElement(R,A)\}
```

Definition of order relation on the real line.

definition

The next locale defines proof context and notation that will be used for complex numbers.

```
locale complex0 = fixes R and A and M and r assumes R_are_reals: IsAmodelOfReals(R,A,M,r) fixes complex (\mathbb C) defines complex_def[simp]: \mathbb C \equiv \mathbb R \times \mathbb R fixes rone (\mathbb 1_R) defines rone_def[simp]: \mathbb 1_R \equiv \mathbb TheNeutralElement(R,M)
```

```
fixes rzero (\mathbf{0}_R)
defines rzero_def[simp]: \mathbf{0}_R \equiv \mathtt{TheNeutralElement}(\mathtt{R},\mathtt{A})
fixes one (1)
defines one_def[simp]: \mathbf{1} \equiv \langle \mathbf{1}_R, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle
fixes zero (0)
defines zero_def[simp]: \mathbf{0} \equiv \langle \mathbf{0}_R, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle
fixes iunit (i)
defines iunit_def[simp]: i \equiv \langle \mathbf{0}_R, \mathbf{1}_R \rangle
fixes creal (\mathbb{R})
defines creal_def[simp]: \mathbb{R} \equiv \{\langle \mathtt{r}, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle, \mathtt{r} \in \mathbb{R}\}
fixes rmul (infixl · 71)
\mathbf{defines} \ \mathtt{rmul\_def[simp]:} \ \mathtt{a} \ \cdot \ \mathtt{b} \ \equiv \ \mathtt{M} \langle \mathtt{a}, \mathtt{b} \rangle
fixes radd (infixl + 69)
defines radd_def[simp]: a + b \equiv A\langle a, b \rangle
fixes rneg (- _ 70)
defines rneg_def[simp]: - a \equiv GroupInv(R,A)(a)
fixes ca (infixl + 69)
defines ca_def[simp]: a + b \equiv CplxAdd(R,A)\langle a,b\rangle
fixes cm (infixl \cdot 71)
defines cm_def[simp]: a \cdot b \equiv CplxMul(R,A,M)\langle a,b \rangle
fixes cdiv (infixl / 70)
defines cdiv_def[simp]: a / b \equiv \bigcup \{ x \in \mathbb{C}. b \cdot x = a \}
fixes sub (infixl - 69)
defines sub_def[simp]: a - b \equiv \{ \} \{ x \in \mathbb{C}. b + x = a \}
fixes cneg (-_ 95)
defines cneg_def[simp]: - a \equiv 0 - a
fixes lessr (infix <_{\mathbb{R}} 68)
defines lessr_def[simp]:
a <_{\mathbb{R}} b \equiv \langle a,b \rangle \in StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
fixes cpnf (+\infty)
defines cpnf_def[simp]: +\infty \equiv \mathbb{C}
fixes cmnf (-\infty)
defines cmnf_def[simp]: -\infty \equiv \{\mathbb{C}\}\
```

```
fixes cxr (\mathbb{R}^*)
defines cxr_def[simp]: \mathbb{R}^* \equiv \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}
fixes cxn (N)
defines cxn_def[simp]:
\mathbb{N} \equiv \bigcap \ \{ \mathbb{N} \in \mathsf{Pow}(\mathbb{R}) \, . \ 1 \in \mathbb{N} \ \land \ (\forall \, \mathtt{n}. \ \mathtt{n} \in \mathbb{N} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{n} + 1 \in \mathbb{N}) \}
fixes cltrrset (<)</pre>
defines cltrrset_def[simp]:
	extsf{def} 	ext
\{\langle -\infty, +\infty \rangle\} \cup (\mathbb{R} \times \{+\infty\}) \cup (\{-\infty\} \times \mathbb{R})
fixes cltrr (infix < 68)
defines cltrr_def[simp]: a < b \equiv \langle a,b \rangle \in \langle a,b \rangle \in \langle a,b \rangle
fixes lsq (infix \leq 68)
defines lsq_def[simp]: a \le b \equiv \neg (b < a)
fixes two (2)
defines two_def[simp]: 2 \equiv 1 + 1
fixes three (3)
defines three_def[simp]: 3 \equiv 2 + 1
fixes four (4)
defines four_def[simp]: 4 \equiv 3 + 1
fixes five (5)
defines five_def[simp]: 5 \equiv 4 + 1
fixes six (6)
defines six_def[simp]: 6 \equiv 5 + 1
fixes seven (7)
defines seven_def[simp]: 7 \equiv 6 + 1
fixes eight (8)
defines eight_def[simp]: 8 \equiv 7 + 1
fixes nine (9)
defines nine_def[simp]: 9 \equiv 8 + 1
```

56.2 Axioms of complex numbers

In this section we will prove that all Metamath's axioms of complex numbers hold in the complex0 context.

The next lemma lists some contexts that are valid in the complex0 context.

```
lemma (in complex0) valid_cntxts: shows
  field1(R,A,M,r)
  field0(R,A,M)
 ring1(R,A,M,r)
 group3(R,A,r)
 ringO(R,A,M)
 M {is commutative on} R
  groupO(R,A)
proof -
  from R_are_reals have I: IsAnOrdField(R,A,M,r)
    using IsAmodelOfReals_def by simp
 then show field1(R,A,M,r) using OrdField_ZF_1_L2 by simp
  then show ring1(R,A,M,r) and I: field0(R,A,M)
    using field1.axioms ring1_def field1.OrdField_ZF_1_L1B
    by auto
  then show group3(R,A,r) using ring1.OrdRing_ZF_1_L4
    by simp
  from I have IsAfield(R,A,M) using field0.Field_ZF_1_L1
    by simp
  then have IsAring(R,A,M) and M (is commutative on) R
    using IsAfield_def by auto
  then show ringO(R,A,M) and M {is commutative on} R
    using ring0_def by auto
  then show group0(R,A) using ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L1
    \mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} \text{ simp }
qed
```

The next lemma shows the definition of real and imaginary part of complex sum and product in a more readable form using notation defined in complex0 locale.

```
lemma (in complex0) cplx_mul_add_defs: shows
  ReCxAdd(R,A,\langle a,b\rangle,\langle c,d\rangle) = a + c
  ImCxAdd(R,A,\langle a,b\rangle,\langle c,d\rangle) = b + d
  ImCxMul(R,A,M,\langle a,b\rangle,\langle c,d\rangle) = a\cdot d + b\cdot c
  ReCxMul(R,A,M,\langle a,b\rangle,\langle c,d\rangle) = a\cdot c + (-b\cdot d)
proof -
  let z_1 = \langle a, b \rangle
  let z_2 = \langle c, d \rangle
  have ReCxAdd(R,A,z_1,z_2) \equiv A\langlefst(z_1),fst(z_2)\rangle
    by (rule ReCxAdd_def)
  moreover have ImCxAdd(R,A,z_1,z_2) \equiv A\langle snd(z_1),snd(z_2)\rangle
     by (rule ImCxAdd_def)
  moreover have
      ImCxMul(R,A,M,z_1,z_2) \equiv A(M < fst(z_1), snd(z_2) > M < snd(z_1), fst(z_2) > M
     by (rule ImCxMul_def)
  moreover have
     ReCxMul(R,A,M,z_1,z_2) \equiv
     A(M \leq fst(z_1), fst(z_2) > GroupInv(R, A)(M \leq nd(z_1), snd(z_2)))
     by (rule ReCxMul_def)
```

```
ultimately show
     ReCxAdd(R,A,z_1,z_2) = a + c
     ImCxAdd(R,A,\langle a,b\rangle,\langle c,d\rangle) = b + d
     ImCxMul(R,A,M,\langle a,b\rangle,\langle c,d\rangle) = a\cdot d + b\cdot c
     ReCxMul(R,A,M,\langle a,b\rangle,\langle c,d\rangle) = a\cdot c + (-b\cdot d)
     by auto
qed
Real and imaginary parts of sums and products of complex numbers are
lemma (in complex0) cplx_mul_add_types:
  assumes A1: z_1 \in \mathbb{C} z_2 \in \mathbb{C}
  shows
  ReCxAdd(R,A,z_1,z_2) \in R
  ImCxAdd(R,A,z_1,z_2) \in R
  ImCxMul(R,A,M,z_1,z_2) \in R
  ReCxMul(R,A,M,z_1,z_2) \in R
proof -
  let a = fst(z_1)
  let b = snd(z_1)
  let c = fst(z_2)
  let d = snd(z_2)
  \mathbf{from} \ \mathtt{A1} \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{a} \in \mathtt{R} \ \mathtt{b} \in \mathtt{R} \ \mathtt{c} \in \mathtt{R} \ \mathtt{d} \in \mathtt{R}
     by auto
  then have
     \mathtt{a}\,+\,\mathtt{c}\,\in\,\mathtt{R}
     b + d \in R
     a{\cdot}d \ + \ b{\cdot}c \ \in \ R
     a{\cdot}c \ + \ (\text{-}\ b{\cdot}d) \ \in \ R
     using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L4 by auto
   with A1 show
     \texttt{ReCxAdd}(\texttt{R,A,z}_1,\texttt{z}_2) \; \in \; \texttt{R}
     ImCxAdd(R,A,z_1,z_2) \in R
     \texttt{ImCxMul}(\texttt{R},\texttt{A},\texttt{M},\texttt{z}_1,\texttt{z}_2) \; \in \; \texttt{R}
     ReCxMul(R,A,M,z_1,z_2) \in R
     using cplx_mul_add_defs by auto
Complex reals are complex. Recall the definition of \mathbb{R} in the complex0 locale.
lemma (in complex0) axresscn: shows \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}
  using valid_cntxts group0.group0_2_L2 by auto
Complex 1 is not complex 0.
lemma (in complex0) ax1ne0: shows 1 \neq 0
proof -
  have IsAfield(R,A,M) using valid_cntxts field0.Field_ZF_1_L1
     by simp
  then show 1 \neq 0 using IsAfield_def by auto
qed
```

Complex addition is a complex valued binary operation on complex numbers.

```
\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{lemma} \ (\operatorname{in} \ \operatorname{complex0}) \ \operatorname{axaddopr:} \ \operatorname{shows} \ \operatorname{CplxAdd}(R,A) \colon \mathbb{C} \ \times \mathbb{C} \ \to \mathbb{C} \\ \operatorname{proof} \ - \\ \operatorname{have} \ \forall p \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}. \\ \left\langle \operatorname{ReCxAdd}(R,A,\operatorname{fst}(p),\operatorname{snd}(p)),\operatorname{ImCxAdd}(R,A,\operatorname{fst}(p),\operatorname{snd}(p)) \right\rangle \in \mathbb{C} \\ \operatorname{using} \ \operatorname{cplx\_mul\_add\_types} \ \operatorname{by} \ \operatorname{simp} \\ \operatorname{then} \ \operatorname{have} \\ \left\{ \left\langle p, \left\langle \operatorname{ReCxAdd}(R,A,\operatorname{fst}(p),\operatorname{snd}(p)),\operatorname{ImCxAdd}(R,A,\operatorname{fst}(p),\operatorname{snd}(p)) \right\rangle \right. \right. \\ \operatorname{p} \ \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \right\} \colon \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \ \to \mathbb{C} \\ \operatorname{by} \ (\operatorname{rule} \ \operatorname{ZF\_fun\_from\_total}) \\ \operatorname{then} \ \operatorname{show} \ \operatorname{CplxAdd}(R,A) \colon \mathbb{C} \ \times \mathbb{C} \ \to \mathbb{C} \ \operatorname{using} \ \operatorname{CplxAdd\_def} \ \operatorname{by} \ \operatorname{simp} \\ \operatorname{qed} \end{array}
```

Complex multiplication is a complex valued binary operation on complex numbers.

```
lemma (in complex0) axmulopr: shows CplxMul(R,A,M): \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} proof - have \forall p \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}. \langle ReCxMul(R,A,M,fst(p),snd(p)),ImCxMul(R,A,M,fst(p),snd(p)) \rangle \in \mathbb{C} using cplx_mul_add_types by simp then have \{\langle p,\langle ReCxMul(R,A,M,fst(p),snd(p)),ImCxMul(R,A,M,fst(p),snd(p)) \rangle \rangle. p \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \}: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} by (rule\ ZF_fun_from_total) then show CplxMul(R,A,M): \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} using CplxMul_def by Simp Qed
```

What are the values of omplex addition and multiplication in terms of their real and imaginary parts?

```
lemma (in complex0) cplx_mul_add_vals:
  assumes A1: a\in R b\in R c\in R d\in R
   \langle a,b \rangle + \langle c,d \rangle = \langle a+c,b+d \rangle
   \langle a,b \rangle \cdot \langle c,d \rangle = \langle a \cdot c + (-b \cdot d), a \cdot d + b \cdot c \rangle
proof -
  let S = CplxAdd(R,A)
  let P = CplxMul(R,A,M)
  let p = \langle \langle a,b \rangle, \langle c,d \rangle \rangle
  from A1 have S : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} and p \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}
      using axaddopr by auto
   moreover have
     S = \{ (p, \langle ReCxAdd(R, A, fst(p), snd(p)), ImCxAdd(R, A, fst(p), snd(p)) \} \}
      p \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}
     using CplxAdd_def by simp
   ultimately have S(p) = (ReCxAdd(R,A,fst(p),snd(p)),ImCxAdd(R,A,fst(p),snd(p)))
      by (rule ZF_fun_from_tot_val)
   then show \langle a,b \rangle + \langle c,d \rangle = \langle a+c,b+d \rangle
      using cplx_mul_add_defs by simp
```

```
from A1 have P:\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C} and p\in\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}
      using axmulopr by auto
   moreover have
      P = \{ \langle p, \langle ReCxMul(R,A,M,fst(p),snd(p)), ImCxMul(R,A,M,fst(p),snd(p)) \rangle \}
>.
      p \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}
      using CplxMul_def by simp
   ultimately have
      P(p) = \langle ReCxMul(R,A,M,fst(p),snd(p)),ImCxMul(R,A,M,fst(p),snd(p)) \rangle
      by (rule ZF_fun_from_tot_val)
   then show \langle a,b \rangle \cdot \langle c,d \rangle = \langle a \cdot c + (-b \cdot d), a \cdot d + b \cdot c \rangle
      using cplx_mul_add_defs by simp
qed
Complex multiplication is commutative.
lemma (in complex0) axmulcom: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{C} b \in \mathbb{C}
   shows a \cdot b = b \cdot a
   using assms cplx_mul_add_vals valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L4
          field0.field_mult_comm by auto
A sum of complex numbers is complex.
lemma (in complex0) axaddcl: assumes a \in \mathbb{C} b \in \mathbb{C}
   \mathbf{shows}\ \mathtt{a+b}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}
   using assms axaddopr apply_funtype by simp
A product of complex numbers is complex.
lemma (in complex0) axmulcl: assumes a \in \mathbb{C} b \in \mathbb{C}
   shows a \cdot b \in \mathbb{C}
   \mathbf{using} \ \mathbf{assms} \ \mathbf{axmulopr} \ \mathbf{apply\_funtype} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathbf{simp}
Multiplication is distributive with respect to addition.
lemma (in complex0) axdistr:
   assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{C} b \in \mathbb{C} c \in \mathbb{C}
   shows a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c
proof -
   let a_r = fst(a)
   let a_i = snd(a)
   let b_r = fst(b)
   let b_i = snd(b)
   let c_r = fst(c)
   let c_i = snd(c)
   from A1 have T:
      \mathtt{a}_r \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{a}_i \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{b}_r \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{b}_i \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{c}_r \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{c}_i \in \mathtt{R}
      b_r + c_r \in R \quad b_i + c_i \in R
      \mathtt{a}_r{\cdot}\mathtt{b}_r \; + \; (\mathtt{-a}_i{\cdot}\mathtt{b}_i) \; \in \; \mathtt{R}
      \mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{c}_r + (-\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{c}_i) \in \mathbf{R}
      \mathtt{a}_r{\cdot}\mathtt{b}_i + \mathtt{a}_i{\cdot}\mathtt{b}_r \in R
      \mathtt{a}_r{\cdot}\mathtt{c}_i + \mathtt{a}_i{\cdot}\mathtt{c}_r \in R
```

```
using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L4 by auto
   with A1 have a \cdot (b + c) =
      \langle a_r \cdot (b_r + c_r) + (-a_i \cdot (b_i + c_i)), a_r \cdot (b_i + c_i) + a_i \cdot (b_r + c_r) \rangle
      using cplx_mul_add_vals by auto
   moreover from T have
      a_r \cdot (b_r + c_r) + (-a_i \cdot (b_i + c_i)) =
      \mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{b}_r + (-\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_i) + (\mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{c}_r + (-\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{c}_i))
      a_r \cdot (b_i + c_i) + a_i \cdot (b_r + c_r) =
      a_r \cdot b_i + a_i \cdot b_r + (a_r \cdot c_i + a_i \cdot c_r)
      using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_2_L6 by auto
   moreover from A1 T have
      \langle \mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{b}_r + (-\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_i) + (\mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{c}_r + (-\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{c}_i)),
      a_r \cdot b_i + a_i \cdot b_r + (a_r \cdot c_i + a_i \cdot c_r) =
      a·b + a·c
      using cplx_mul_add_vals by auto
   ultimately show a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c
      by simp
qed
Complex addition is commutative.
lemma (in complex0) axaddcom: assumes a \in \mathbb{C} b \in \mathbb{C}
  shows a+b = b+a
   using assms cplx_mul_add_vals valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L4
  by auto
Complex addition is associative.
lemma (in complex0) axaddass: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{C} b \in \mathbb{C} c \in \mathbb{C}
  shows a + b + c = a + (b + c)
proof -
  let a_r = fst(a)
  let a_i = snd(a)
  let b_r = fst(b)
  let b_i = snd(b)
  let c_r = fst(c)
  let c_i = snd(c)
  from A1 have T:
      \mathtt{a}_r \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{a}_i \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{b}_r \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{b}_i \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{c}_r \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{c}_i \in \mathtt{R}
      a_r + b_r \in R a_i + b_i \in R
      b_r + c_r \in R \quad b_i + c_i \in R
      using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L4 by auto
   with A1 have a + b + c = \langle a_r + b_r + c_r, a_i + b_i + c_i \rangle
      using cplx_mul_add_vals by auto
  also from A1 T have \dots = a + (b + c)
      using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L11 cplx_mul_add_vals
      by auto
   finally show a + b + c = a + (b + c)
      by simp
qed
```

Complex multiplication is associative.

```
lemma (in complex0) axmulass: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{C} b \in \mathbb{C} c \in \mathbb{C}
   shows a \cdot b \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)
proof -
   let a_r = fst(a)
   let a_i = snd(a)
   let b_r = fst(b)
   let b_i = snd(b)
   let c_r = fst(c)
   let c_i = snd(c)
   from A1 have T:
       \mathtt{a}_r \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{a}_i \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{b}_r \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{b}_i \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{c}_r \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{c}_i \in \mathtt{R}
       a_r \cdot b_r + (-a_i \cdot b_i) \in R
       a_r \cdot b_i + a_i \cdot b_r \in R
       b_r \cdot c_r + (-b_i \cdot c_i) \in R
       b_r \cdot c_i + b_i \cdot c_r \in R
       using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L4 by auto
   with A1 have a \cdot b \cdot c =
       \langle (\mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{b}_r + (-\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_i)) \cdot \mathbf{c}_r + (-(\mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_r) \cdot \mathbf{c}_i),
        (\mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{b}_r + (-\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_i)) \cdot \mathbf{c}_i + (\mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_r) \cdot \mathbf{c}_r
       using cplx_mul_add_vals by auto
   moreover from A1 T have
        \langle \mathbf{a}_r \cdot (\mathbf{b}_r \cdot \mathbf{c}_r + (-\mathbf{b}_i \cdot \mathbf{c}_i)) + (-\mathbf{a}_i \cdot (\mathbf{b}_r \cdot \mathbf{c}_i + \mathbf{b}_i \cdot \mathbf{c}_r)),
       \mathbf{a}_r \cdot (\mathbf{b}_r \cdot \mathbf{c}_i + \mathbf{b}_i \cdot \mathbf{c}_r) + \mathbf{a}_i \cdot (\mathbf{b}_r \cdot \mathbf{c}_r + (-\mathbf{b}_i \cdot \mathbf{c}_i)) =
       a \cdot (b \cdot c)
       using cplx_mul_add_vals by auto
   moreover from T have
       \mathbf{a}_r \cdot (\mathbf{b}_r \cdot \mathbf{c}_r + (-\mathbf{b}_i \cdot \mathbf{c}_i)) + (-\mathbf{a}_i \cdot (\mathbf{b}_r \cdot \mathbf{c}_i + \mathbf{b}_i \cdot \mathbf{c}_r)) =
        (\mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{b}_r + (-\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_i)) \cdot \mathbf{c}_r + (-(\mathbf{a}_r \cdot \mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_r) \cdot \mathbf{c}_i)
       a_r \cdot (b_r \cdot c_i + b_i \cdot c_r) + a_i \cdot (b_r \cdot c_r + (-b_i \cdot c_i)) =
        (a_r \cdot b_r + (-a_i \cdot b_i)) \cdot c_i + (a_r \cdot b_i + a_i \cdot b_r) \cdot c_r
       using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_2_L6 by auto
   ultimately show a \cdot b \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)
       by auto
Complex 1 is real. This really means that the pair \langle 1,0 \rangle is on the real axis.
lemma (in complex0) ax1re: shows 1 \in \mathbb{R}
   using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L2 by simp
The imaginary unit is a "square root" of -1 (that is, i^2 + 1 = 0).
lemma (in complex0) axi2m1: shows i \cdot i + 1 = 0
   using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L2 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L3
    cplx_mul_add_vals ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L6 group0.group0_2_L6
   by simp
0 is the neutral element of complex addition.
lemma (in complex0) ax0id: assumes a \in \mathbb{C}
```

```
shows a + 0 = a
  \mathbf{using} \ \mathbf{assms} \ \mathbf{cplx\_mul\_add\_vals} \ \mathbf{valid\_cntxts}
     ringO.Ring_ZF_1_L2 ringO.Ring_ZF_1_L3
  by auto
The imaginary unit is a complex number.
lemma (in complex0) axicn: shows i \in \mathbb{C}
  using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L2 by auto
All complex numbers have additive inverses.
lemma (in complex0) axnegex: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{C}
  shows \exists x \in \mathbb{C}. a + x = 0
proof -
  let a_r = fst(a)
  let a_i = snd(a)
  let x = \langle -a_r, -a_i \rangle
  from A1 have T:
                             (-a_r) \in R \quad (-a_r) \in R
     \mathtt{a}_r \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathtt{a}_i \in \mathtt{R}
     using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L3 by auto
  then have x \in \mathbb{C} using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L3
     by auto
  moreover from A1 T have a + x = 0
     using cplx_mul_add_vals valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L3
     by auto
  ultimately show \exists x \in \mathbb{C}. a + x = 0
     by auto
qed
A non-zero complex number has a multiplicative inverse.
lemma (in complex0) axrecex: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{C} and A2: a \neq 0
  shows \exists x \in \mathbb{C}. a \cdot x = 1
proof -
  let a_r = fst(a)
  let a_i = snd(a)
  \mathbf{let} \ \mathtt{m} = \mathtt{a}_r \cdot \mathtt{a}_r + \mathtt{a}_i \cdot \mathtt{a}_i
  from A1 have T1: a_r \in R a_i \in R by auto
  moreover from A1 A2 have a_r \neq \mathbf{0}_R \vee a_i \neq \mathbf{0}_R
     by auto
  ultimately have \exists c \in \mathbb{R}. m \cdot c = 1_R
     using valid_cntxts field1.OrdField_ZF_1_L10
     by auto
  then obtain c where I: c \in \mathbb{R} and II: m \cdot c = 1_R
     by auto
  let x = \langle a_r \cdot c, -a_i \cdot c \rangle
  from T1 I have T2: a_r \cdot c \in R (-a_i \cdot c) \in R
     using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L4 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L3
     by auto
  then have x \in \mathbb{C} by auto
  moreover from A1 T1 T2 I II have a \cdot x = 1
```

```
using cplx_mul_add_vals valid_cntxts ring0.ring_rearr_3_elemA
     by auto
  ultimately show \exists x \in \mathbb{C}. a \cdot x = 1 by auto
Complex 1 is a right neutral element for multiplication.
lemma (in complex0) ax1id: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{C}
  shows a \cdot 1 = a
  using assms valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L2 cplx_mul_add_vals
     \verb|ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L3| \verb|ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L6| by | \verb|auto||
A formula for sum of (complex) real numbers.
lemma (in complex0) sum_of_reals: assumes a\in \mathbb{R} b\in \mathbb{R}
  shows
  a + b = \langle fst(a) + fst(b), \mathbf{0}_R \rangle
  using assms valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L2 cplx_mul_add_vals
     ring0.Ring_{ZF_1_L3} by auto
The sum of real numbers is real.
lemma (in complex0) axaddrcl: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R}
  shows a + b \in \mathbb{R}
  using assms sum_of_reals valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L4
  by auto
The formula for the product of (complex) real numbers.
lemma (in complex0) prod_of_reals: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R}
  shows a \cdot b = \langle fst(a) \cdot fst(b), \mathbf{0}_R \rangle
proof -
  let a_r = fst(a)
  let b_r = fst(b)
  from A1 have T:
     \mathbf{a}_r \, \in \, \mathbf{R} \, \ \mathbf{b}_r \, \in \, \mathbf{R} \quad \mathbf{0}_R \, \in \, \mathbf{R} \quad \mathbf{a}_r {\cdot} \mathbf{b}_r \, \in \, \mathbf{R}
     using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L2 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L4
     by auto
  with A1 show a \cdot b = \langle a_r \cdot b_r, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle
     using cplx_mul_add_vals valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L2
       ringO.Ring_ZF_1_L6 ringO.Ring_ZF_1_L3 by auto
qed
The product of (complex) real numbers is real.
lemma (in complex0) axmulrcl: assumes a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R}
  shows a \cdot b \in \mathbb{R}
  using assms prod_of_reals valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L4
  by auto
The existence of a real negative of a real number.
lemma (in complex0) axrnegex: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R}
```

shows $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}$. a + x = 0

```
proof -
  let a_r = fst(a)
  let x = \langle -a_r, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle
  from A1 have T:
     \mathtt{a}_r \in \mathtt{R} \quad (\mathtt{-a}_r) \in \mathtt{R} \quad \mathbf{0}_R \in \mathtt{R}
     using valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L3 ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L2
     by auto
  then have x \in \mathbb{R} by auto
  moreover from A1 T have a + x = 0
     using cplx_mul_add_vals valid_cntxts ring0.Ring_ZF_1_L3
     by auto
  ultimately show \exists x \in \mathbb{R}. a + x = 0 by auto
qed
Each nonzero real number has a real inverse
lemma (in complex0) axrrecex:
  assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R}
  shows \exists x \in \mathbb{R}. a · x = 1
proof -
  \mathbf{let} \ \mathtt{R}_0 = \mathtt{R} - \{ \mathbf{0}_R \}
  let a_r = fst(a)
  let y = GroupInv(R_0, restrict(M, R_0 \times R_0))(a_r)
  from A1 have T: \langle \mathtt{y}, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle \in \mathbb{R} using valid_cntxts field0.Field_ZF_1_L5
     by auto
  moreover from A1 T have a \cdot \langle y, 0_R \rangle = 1
     using prod_of_reals valid_cntxts
     field0.Field_ZF_1_L5 field0.Field_ZF_1_L6 by auto
  ultimately show \exists x \in \mathbb{R}. a \cdot x = 1 by auto
qed
Our \mathbb{R} symbol is the real axis on the complex plane.
lemma (in complex0) real_means_real_axis: shows \mathbb{R} = ComplexReals(R,A)
  using ComplexReals_def by auto
The CplxROrder thing is a relation on the complex reals.
lemma (in complex0) cplx_ord_on_cplx_reals:
  shows CplxROrder(R,A,r) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}
  using ComplexReals_def slice_proj_bij real_means_real_axis
     CplxROrder_def InducedRelation_def by auto
The strict version of the complex relation is a relation on complex reals.
lemma (in complex0) cplx_strict_ord_on_cplx_reals:
  shows StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r)) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}
  using cplx_ord_on_cplx_reals strict_ver_rel by simp
```

The CplxROrder thing is a relation on the complex reals. Here this is formulated as a statement that in complex0 context a < b implies that a, b are complex reals

```
\label{eq:lemma} \begin{array}{ll} \textbf{lemma (in complex0) strict\_cplx\_ord\_type: assumes a} <_{\mathbb{R}} \textbf{ b} \\ \textbf{shows a} \in \mathbb{R} & \textbf{b} \in \mathbb{R} \\ \textbf{using assms CplxROrder\_def def\_of\_strict\_ver InducedRelation\_def} \\ \textbf{slice\_proj\_bij ComplexReals\_def real\_means\_real\_axis} \\ \textbf{by auto} \end{array}
```

A more readable version of the definition of the strict order relation on the real axis. Recall that in the complex0 context r denotes the (non-strict) order relation on the underlying model of real numbers.

```
lemma (in complex0) def_of_real_axis_order: shows
   \langle \mathtt{x}, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle <_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \mathtt{y}, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle \longleftrightarrow \langle \mathtt{x}, \mathtt{y} \rangle \in \mathtt{r} \wedge \mathtt{x} \neq \mathtt{y}
proof
  let f = SliceProjection(ComplexReals(R,A))
  assume A1: \langle x, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle <_{\mathbb{R}} \langle y, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle
  then have \langle f(x, \mathbf{0}_R), f(y, \mathbf{0}_R) \rangle \in r \land x \neq y
      using CplxROrder_def def_of_strict_ver def_of_ind_relA
      by simp
  moreover from A1 have \langle \mathtt{x}, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle \in \mathbb{R} \ \langle \mathtt{y}, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle \in \mathbb{R}
      using strict_cplx_ord_type by auto
   ultimately show \langle x,y \rangle \in r \land x \neq y
      using slice_proj_bij ComplexReals_def by simp
next assume A1: \langle x,y \rangle \in r \land x \neq y
  let f = SliceProjection(ComplexReals(R,A))
  have f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}
      using ComplexReals_def slice_proj_bij real_means_real_axis
      by simp
   moreover from A1 have T: \langle x, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle \in \mathbb{R} \langle y, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle \in \mathbb{R}
      using valid_cntxts ring1.OrdRing_ZF_1_L3 by auto
   moreover from A1 T have \langle f(x, \mathbf{0}_R), f(y, \mathbf{0}_R) \rangle \in r
      using slice_proj_bij ComplexReals_def by simp
   ultimately have \langle \langle x, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle, \langle y, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle \rangle \in InducedRelation(f,r)
      using def_of_ind_relB by simp
   with A1 show \langle \mathtt{x}, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle <_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \mathtt{y}, \mathbf{0}_R \rangle
      using CplxROrder_def def_of_strict_ver
      by simp
qed
The (non strict) order on complex reals is antisymmetric, transitive and
lemma (in complex0) cplx_ord_antsym_trans_tot: shows
   antisym(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
   trans(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
   CplxROrder(R,A,r) {is total on} IR
proof -
  let f = SliceProjection(ComplexReals(R,A))
  have f \in ord_iso(\mathbb{R},CplxROrder(\mathbb{R},A,r),\mathbb{R},r)
      using ComplexReals_def slice_proj_bij real_means_real_axis
         bij_is_ord_iso CplxROrder_def by simp
  moreover have CplxROrder(R,A,r) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}
```

```
using cplx_ord_on_cplx_reals by simp
  moreover have I:
     antisym(r)
                    r {is total on} R
                                            trans(r)
     using valid_cntxts ring1.OrdRing_ZF_1_L1 IsAnOrdRing_def
       IsLinOrder_def by auto
  ultimately show
     antisym(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
     trans(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
     CplxROrder(R,A,r) {is total on} IR
     using ord_iso_pres_antsym ord_iso_pres_tot ord_iso_pres_trans
     by auto
The trichotomy law for the strict order on the complex reals.
lemma (in complex0) cplx_strict_ord_trich:
  assumes a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R}
  shows Exactly_1_of_3_holds(a<_{\mathbb{R}}b, a=b, b<_{\mathbb{R}}a)
  using assms cplx_ord_antsym_trans_tot strict_ans_tot_trich
  by simp
The strict order on the complex reals is kind of antisymetric.
lemma (in complex0) pre_axlttri: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R}
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{a} \ <_{\mathbb{R}} \ \mathtt{b} \ \longleftrightarrow \ \lnot(\mathtt{a=b} \ \lor \ \mathtt{b} \ <_{\mathbb{R}} \ \mathtt{a})
  from A1 have Exactly_1_of_3_holds(a<_{\mathbb{R}}b, a=b, b<_{\mathbb{R}}a)
     by (rule cplx_strict_ord_trich)
  then show a <_{\mathbb{R}} b \longleftrightarrow \neg(a=b \lor b <_{\mathbb{R}} a)
     by (rule Fol1_L8A)
qed
The strict order on complex reals is transitive.
lemma (in complex0) cplx_strict_ord_trans:
  shows trans(StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r)))
  using cplx_ord_antsym_trans_tot strict_of_transB by simp
The strict order on complex reals is transitive - the explicit version of
cplx_strict_ord_trans.
lemma (in complex0) pre_axlttrn:
  assumes A1: a <_{\mathbb{R}} b b <_{\mathbb{R}} c
  shows a <_{\mathbb{R}} c
proof -
  let s = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
  from A1 have
                  \langle a,b \rangle \in s \land \langle b,c \rangle \in s
     trans(s)
     using cplx_strict_ord_trans by auto
  then have \langle a,c \rangle \in s by (rule Fol1_L3)
  then show a <_{\mathbb{R}} c by simp
qed
```

```
The strict order on complex reals is preserved by translations.
lemma (in complex0) pre_axltadd:
  assumes A1: a <_{\mathbb{R}} b and A2: c \in \mathbb{R}
  shows c+a <_{\mathbb{R}} c+b
proof -
  from A1 have T: a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} using strict_cplx_ord_type
    by auto
  with A1 A2 show c+a <_{\mathbb{R}} c+b
    using def_of_real_axis_order valid_cntxts
       group3.group_strict_ord_transl_inv sum_of_reals
    by auto
qed
The set of positive complex reals is closed with respect to multiplication.
lemma (in complex0) pre_axmulgt0: assumes A1: 0<_{\mathbb{R}} a 0<_{\mathbb{R}} b
  shows 0 <_{\mathbb{R}} a \cdot b
proof -
  from A1 have T: a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} using strict_cplx_ord_type
    by auto
  with A1 show 0 <_{\mathbb{R}} a \cdot b
    using def_of_real_axis_order valid_cntxts field1.pos_mul_closed
       def_of_real_axis_order prod_of_reals
    by auto
qed
The order on complex reals is linear and complete.
lemma (in complex0) cmplx_reals_ord_lin_compl: shows
  CplxROrder(R,A,r) {is complete}
  IsLinOrder(\mathbb{R},CplxROrder(\mathbb{R},A,r))
proof -
  have SliceProjection(\mathbb{R}) \in bij(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})
    using slice_proj_bij ComplexReals_def real_means_real_axis
  moreover have r ⊆ R×R using valid_cntxts ring1.OrdRing_ZF_1_L1
    IsAnOrdRing_def by simp
  moreover from R_are_reals have
    r {is complete} and IsLinOrder(R,r)
    using IsAmodelOfReals_def valid_cntxts ring1.OrdRing_ZF_1_L1
    IsAnOrdRing_def by auto
  ultimately show
    CplxROrder(R,A,r) {is complete}
    IsLinOrder(\mathbb{R},CplxROrder(\mathbb{R},A,r))
    using CplxROrder_def real_means_real_axis ind_rel_pres_compl
```

The property of the strict order on complex reals that corresponds to completeness.

ind_rel_pres_lin by auto

qed

```
lemma (in complex0) pre_axsup: assumes A1: X \subseteq \mathbb{R}  X \neq 0 and
   A2: \exists x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in X. y <_{\mathbb{R}} x
   shows
   \exists x \in \mathbb{R}. (\forall y \in X. \neg (x <_{\mathbb{R}} y)) \land (\forall y \in \mathbb{R}. (y <_{\mathbb{R}} x \longrightarrow (\exists z \in X. y <_{\mathbb{R}} z)))
   let s = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
       CplxROrder(R,A,r) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}
       IsLinOrder(\mathbb{R},CplxROrder(\mathbb{R},A,r))
      CplxROrder(R,A,r) {is complete}
       using cplx_ord_on_cplx_reals cmplx_reals_ord_lin_compl
       by auto
   moreover note A1
   moreover have s = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
   moreover from A2 have \exists u \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in X. \langle y, u \rangle \in s
       by simp
   ultimately have
       \exists x \in \mathbb{R}. ( \forall y \in X. \langle x, y \rangle \notin s ) \land
       (\forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \langle y, x \rangle \in s \longrightarrow (\exists z \in X. \langle y, z \rangle \in s))
       \mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} (rule strict_of_compl)
   then show (\exists x \in \mathbb{R}. (\forall y \in X. \neg (x <_{\mathbb{R}} y)) \land
       (\forall y \in \mathbb{R}. (y <_{\mathbb{R}} x \longrightarrow (\exists z \in X. y <_{\mathbb{R}} z))))
       by simp
qed
end
```

57 Topology 1b

theory Topology_ZF_1b imports Topology_ZF_1

begin

One of the facts demonstrated in every class on General Topology is that in a T_2 (Hausdorff) topological space compact sets are closed. Formalizing the proof of this fact gave me an interesting insight into the role of the Axiom of Choice (AC) in many informal proofs.

A typical informal proof of this fact goes like this: we want to show that the complement of K is open. To do this, choose an arbitrary point $y \in K^c$. Since X is T_2 , for every point $x \in K$ we can find an open set U_x such that $y \notin \overline{U_x}$. Obviously $\{U_x\}_{x \in K}$ covers K, so select a finite subcollection that covers K, and so on. I had never realized that such reasoning requires the Axiom of Choice. Namely, suppose we have a lemma that states "In T_2 spaces, if $x \neq y$, then there is an open set U such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin \overline{U}$ " (like our lemma T2_cl_open_sep below). This only states that the set of such open sets U is not empty. To get the collection $\{U_x\}_{x \in K}$ in this proof we

have to select one such set among many for every $x \in K$ and this is where we use the Axiom of Choice. Probably in 99/100 cases when an informal calculus proof states something like $\forall \varepsilon \exists \delta_{\varepsilon} \cdots$ the proof uses AC. Most of the time the use of AC in such proofs can be avoided. This is also the case for the fact that in a T_2 space compact sets are closed.

57.1 Compact sets are closed - no need for AC

In this section we show that in a T_2 topological space compact sets are closed.

First we prove a lemma that in a T_2 space two points can be separated by the closure of an open set.

```
lemma (in topology0) T2_cl_open_sep: assumes T {is T2} and x \in \bigcup T y \in \bigcup T x \neq y shows \exists U \in T. (x \in U \land y \notin cl(U)) proof - from assms have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 using isT2_def by simp then obtain U V where U \in T V \in T x \in U y \in V U \cap V = 0 by auto then have U \in T \land x \in U \land y \in V \land cl(U) \cap V = 0 using disj_open_cl_disj by auto thus \exists U \in T. (x \in U \land y \notin cl(U)) by auto qed
```

AC-free proof that in a Hausdorff space compact sets are closed. To understand the notation recall that in Isabelle/ZF Pow(A) is the powerset (the set of subsets) of A and FinPow(A) denotes the set of finite subsets of A in IsarMathLib.

```
theorem (in topology0) in_t2_compact_is_cl:
   assumes A1: T {is T_2} and A2: K {is compact in} T
   shows K {is closed in} T
proof -
   let X = \bigcup T
   have \forall y \in X - K. \exists U \in T. y \in U \land U \subseteq X - K
   proof -
        { fix y assume y \in X y \notin K
           have \exists U \in T. y \in U \land U \subseteq X - K
           proof -
  let B = \bigcup x \in K. {V \in T. x \in V \land y \notin cl(V)}
 \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{I:} \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{T}) \quad \mathtt{FinPow}(\mathtt{B}) \subseteq \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{B})
      using FinPow_def by auto
  \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle \mathtt{K} \ \ \{ \mathtt{is} \ \ \mathsf{compact} \ \ \mathtt{in} \} \ \ \mathtt{T} \rangle \ \ \langle \mathtt{y} \in \mathtt{X} \rangle \quad \  \langle \mathtt{y} \notin \mathtt{K} \rangle \ \ \mathbf{have}
     \forall x \in K. x \in X \land y \in X \land x \neq y
     using IsCompact_def by auto
  with \langle T \text{ {is }} T_2 \rangle \rangle have \forall x \in K. \{V \in T. x \in V \land y \notin cl(V)\} \neq 0
```

```
using T2_cl_open_sep by auto
 hence K \subseteq \bigcup B by blast
 \mathbf{with} \  \, \langle \mathtt{K} \  \, \{\mathtt{is} \  \, \mathtt{compact} \  \, \mathtt{in}\} \  \, \mathtt{T} \rangle \  \, \mathtt{I} \  \, \mathbf{have}
    \exists N \in FinPow(B). K \subseteq \bigcup N
    using IsCompact_def by auto
 then obtain N where N \in FinPow(B) K \subseteq \bigcup N
    by auto
 with I have N \subseteq B by auto
 hence \forall V \in \mathbb{N}. V \in \mathbb{B} by auto
 let M = \{cl(V). V \in N\}
 let C = \{D \in Pow(X). D \{is closed in\} T\}
 from \langle N \in FinPow(B) \rangle have \forall V \in B. cl(V) \in C N \in FinPow(B)
    using cl_is_closed IsClosed_def by auto
 then have M ∈ FinPow(C) by (rule fin_image_fin)
 then have X - [JM ∈ T using fin_union_cl_is_cl IsClosed_def
    by simp
 moreover from \langle y \in X \rangle \langle y \notin K \rangle \langle \forall V \in N. V \in B \rangle have
    y \in X - \bigcup M \ by \ simp
 moreover have X - \bigcup M \subseteq X - K
 proof -
    from \forall \forall \forall \in \mathbb{N}. \forall \in \mathbb{B} have \bigcup \mathbb{N} \subseteq \bigcup \mathbb{M} using cl_contains_set by auto
    with \langle K \subseteq \bigcup N \rangle show X - \bigcup M \subseteq X - K by auto
 ultimately have \exists U. \ U \in T \land y \in U \land U \subseteq X - K
    by auto
 thus \exists U \in T. y \in U \land U \subseteq X - K by auto
      } thus \forall y \in X - K. \exists U \in T. y \in U \land U \subseteq X - K
         by auto
   qed
   with A2 show K {is closed in} T
      using open_neigh_open IsCompact_def IsClosed_def by auto
qed
```

end

58 Topology 2

theory Topology_ZF_2 imports Topology_ZF_1 func1 Fol1

begin

This theory continues the series on general topology and covers the definition and basic properties of continuous functions. We also introduce the notion of homeomorphism an prove the pasting lemma.

58.1 Continuous functions.

In this section we define continuous functions and prove that certain conditions are equivalent to a function being continuous.

In standard math we say that a function is continuous with respect to two topologies τ_1, τ_2 if the inverse image of sets from topology τ_2 are in τ_1 . Here we define a predicate that is supposed to reflect that definition, with a difference that we don't require in the definition that τ_1, τ_2 are topologies. This means for example that when we define measurable functions, the definition will be the same.

The notation f-(A) means the inverse image of (a set) A with respect to (a function) f.

definition

```
IsContinuous(\tau_1,\tau_2,f) \equiv (\forall U\in\tau_2. f-(U) \in \tau_1)
```

A trivial example of a continuous function - identity is continuous.

```
lemma id_cont: shows IsContinuous(\tau, \tau, id(\bigcup \tau)) proof - 
 { fix U assume U\in \tau then have id(\bigcup \tau)-(U) = U using vimage_id_same by auto with \langle U \in \tau \rangle have id(\bigcup \tau)-(U) \in \tau by simp } then show IsContinuous(\tau, \tau, id(\bigcup \tau)) using IsContinuous_def by simp qed
```

We will work with a pair of topological spaces. The following locale sets up our context that consists of two topologies τ_1, τ_2 and a continuous function $f: X_1 \to X_2$, where X_i is defined as $\bigcup \tau_i$ for i = 1, 2. We also define notation $\operatorname{cl}_1(A)$ and $\operatorname{cl}_2(A)$ for closure of a set A in topologies τ_1 and τ_2 , respectively.

```
locale two_top_spaces0 =  \begin{aligned} & \text{fixes } \tau_1 \\ & \text{assumes tau1\_is\_top: } \tau_1 \text{ {is a topology}} \end{aligned} \\ & \text{fixes } \tau_2 \\ & \text{assumes tau2\_is\_top: } \tau_2 \text{ {is a topology}} \end{aligned} \\ & \text{fixes X}_1 \\ & \text{defines X1\_def [simp]: X}_1 \equiv \bigcup \tau_1 \\ & \text{fixes X}_2 \\ & \text{defines X2\_def [simp]: X}_2 \equiv \bigcup \tau_2 \end{aligned} \\ & \text{fixes f} \\ & \text{assumes fmapAssum: f: X}_1 \rightarrow X}_2
```

```
fixes isContinuous (_ {is continuous} [50] 50)
  \textbf{defines isContinuous\_def [simp]: g \{is continuous\} \equiv IsContinuous(\tau_1,\tau_2,g)
  fixes cl<sub>1</sub>
  defines cl1_def [simp]: cl_1(A) \equiv Closure(A, \tau_1)
  defines cl2_def [simp]: cl_2(A) \equiv Closure(A, \tau_2)
First we show that theorems proven in locale topology0 are valid when
applied to topologies \tau_1 and \tau_2.
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) topol_cntxs_valid:
  shows topology0(\tau_1) and topology0(\tau_2)
  using tau1_is_top tau2_is_top topology0_def by auto
For continuous functions the inverse image of a closed set is closed.
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) TopZF_2_1_L1:
  assumes A1: f {is continuous} and A2: D {is closed in} 	au_2
  shows f-(D) {is closed in} \tau_1
proof -
  from fmapAssum have f-(D) \subseteq X_1 using func1_1_L3 by simp
  moreover from fmapAssum have f-(X_2 - D) = X_1 - f-(D)
    using Pi_iff function_vimage_Diff func1_1_L4 by auto
  ultimately have X_1 - f(X_2 - D) = f(D) by auto
  moreover from A1 A2 have (X_1 - f-(X_2 - D)) {is closed in} 	au_1
    using IsClosed_def IsContinuous_def topol_cntxs_valid topology0.Top_3_L9
    by simp
  ultimately show f-(D) {is closed in} \tau_1 by simp
qed
If the inverse image of every closed set is closed, then the image of a closure
is contained in the closure of the image.
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) Top_ZF_2_1_L2:
  assumes A1: \forall D. ((D {is closed in} 	au_2) \longrightarrow f-(D) {is closed in} 	au_1)
  and A2: A \subseteq X_1
  shows f(cl_1(A)) \subseteq cl_2(f(A))
proof -
  from fmapAssum have f(A) \subseteq cl_2(f(A))
    using func1_1_L6 topol_cntxs_valid topology0.cl_contains_set
    by simp
  with fmapAssum have f-(f(A)) \subseteq f-(cl_2(f(A)))
    by auto
  moreover from fmapAssum A2 have A \subseteq f-(f(A))
    using func1_1_L9 by simp
  ultimately have A \subseteq f-(cl_2(f(A))) by auto
  with fmapAssum A1 have f(cl_1(A)) \subseteq f(f-(cl_2(f(A))))
    using func1_1_L6 func1_1_L8 IsClosed_def
```

```
topol_cntxs_valid topology0.cl_is_closed topology0.Top_3_L13
    by simp
  moreover from fmapAssum have f(f-(cl_2(f(A)))) \subseteq cl_2(f(A))
     using fun_is_function function_image_vimage by simp
  ultimately show f(cl_1(A)) \subseteq cl_2(f(A))
     by auto
qed
If f(\overline{A}) \subseteq f(A) (the image of the closure is contained in the closure of the
image), then \overline{f^{-1}(B)} \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B}) (the inverse image of the closure contains
the closure of the inverse image).
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) Top_ZF_2_1_L3:
  assumes A1: \forall A. ( A \subseteq X<sub>1</sub> \longrightarrow f(cl<sub>1</sub>(A)) \subseteq cl<sub>2</sub>(f(A)))
  shows \forall B. ( B \subseteq X_2 \longrightarrow \mathsf{cl}_1(\mathsf{f}\text{-}(B)) \subseteq \mathsf{f}\text{-}(\mathsf{cl}_2(B)) )
  \{  fix B assume B \subseteq X_2
     from fmapAssum A1 have f(cl_1(f-(B))) \subseteq cl_2(f(f-(B)))
       using func1_1_L3 by simp
     moreover from fmapAssum \langle B \subseteq X_2 \rangle have cl_2(f(f-(B))) \subseteq cl_2(B)
       using fun_is_function function_image_vimage func1_1_L6
 topol_cntxs_valid topology0.top_closure_mono
       by simp
     ultimately have f-(f(cl_1(f-(B)))) \subseteq f-(cl_2(B))
       using fmapAssum fun_is_function by auto
     moreover from fmapAssum \langle B \subseteq X_2 \rangle have
       cl_1(f-(B)) \subseteq f-(f(cl_1(f-(B))))
       using func1_1_L3 func1_1_L9 IsClosed_def
 topol_cntxs_valid topology0.cl_is_closed by simp
     ultimately have cl_1(f-(B)) \subseteq f-(cl_2(B)) by auto
  } then show thesis by simp
qed
If \overline{f^{-1}(B)} \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B}) (the inverse image of a closure contains the clo-
sure of the inverse image), then the function is continuous. This lemma
closes a series of implications in lemmas Top_ZF_2_1_L1, Top_ZF_2_1_L2 and
Top_ZF_2_1_L3 showing equivalence of four definitions of continuity.
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) Top_ZF_2_1_L4:
  assumes A1: \forallB. (B \subseteq X2 \longrightarrow cl<sub>1</sub>(f-(B)) \subseteq f-(cl<sub>2</sub>(B)) )
  shows f {is continuous}
proof -
  { fix U assume U \in \tau_2
     then have (X_2 - U) {is closed in} \tau_2
       using topol_cntxs_valid topology0.Top_3_L9 by simp
     moreover have X_2 - U \subseteq \bigcup \tau_2 by auto
     ultimately have cl_2(X_2 - U) = X_2 - U
       using topol_cntxs_valid topology0.Top_3_L8 by simp
     moreover from A1 have cl_1(f-(X_2 - U)) \subseteq f-(cl_2(X_2 - U))
       by auto
```

```
ultimately have cl_1(f^-(X_2 - U)) \subseteq f^-(X_2 - U) by simp moreover from fmapAssum have f^-(X_2 - U) \subseteq cl_1(f^-(X_2 - U)) using func1_1_L3 topol_cntxs_valid topology0.cl_contains_set by simp ultimately have f^-(X_2 - U) {is closed in} \tau_1 using fmapAssum func1_1_L3 topol_cntxs_valid topology0.Top_3_L8 by auto with fmapAssum have f^-(U) \in \tau_1 using fun_is_function function_vimage_Diff func1_1_L4 func1_1_L3 IsClosed_def double_complement by simp } then have \forall U \in \tau_2. f^-(U) \in \tau_1 by simp then show thesis using IsContinuous_def by simp qed
```

Another condition for continuity: it is sufficient to check if the inverse image of every set in a base is open.

```
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) Top_ZF_2_1_L5:
  assumes A1: B {is a base for} 	au_2 and A2: \forall 	exttt{U} \in 	exttt{B}. f-(U) \in 	au_1
  shows f {is continuous}
proof -
  { fix V assume A3: V \in \tau_2
     with A1 obtain A where A \subseteq B V = \bigcup A
       using IsAbaseFor_def by auto
     with A2 have \{f-(U), U\in A\} \subseteq \tau_1 by auto
     with tau1_is_top have [\ ] {f-(U). U\inA} \in \tau_1
       using IsATopology_def by simp
     moreover from \langle A \subseteq B \rangle \langle V = \bigcup A \rangle have f(V) = \bigcup \{f(U) : U \in A\}
       by auto
     ultimately have f-(V) \in 	au_1 by simp
  } then show f {is continuous} using IsContinuous_def
     by simp
qed
```

We can strenghten the previous lemma: it is sufficient to check if the inverse image of every set in a subbase is open. The proof is rather awkward, as usual when we deal with general intersections. We have to keep track of the case when the collection is empty.

```
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) Top_ZF_2_1_L6: assumes A1: B {is a subbase for} \tau_2 and A2: \forall U \in B. f-(U) \in \tau_1 shows f {is continuous} proof - let C = {\int A. A \in \text{FinPow}(B)} from A1 have C {is a base for} \tau_2 using IsAsubBaseFor_def by simp moreover have \forall U \in C. f-(U) \in \tau_1 proof fix U assume U \in C { assume f-(U) = 0 with tau1_is_top have f-(U) \in \tau_1
```

```
using empty_open by simp }
     moreover
     { assume f-(U) \neq 0
       then have U\neq 0 by (rule func1_1_L13)
       moreover from \langle U \in C \rangle obtain A where
 A \in FinPow(B) and U = \bigcap A
 by auto
       ultimately have \bigcap A \neq 0 by simp
       then have A \neq 0 by (rule inter_nempty_nempty)
       then have \{f-(W).\ W\in A\} \neq 0 by simp
       moreover from A2 \langle A \in FinPow(B) \rangle have \{f-(W), W \in A\} \in FinPow(\tau_1)
 by (rule fin_image_fin)
       ultimately have \bigcap \{f \text{-(W)} . \text{ W} \in A\} \in \tau_1
 using topol_cntxs_valid topology0.fin_inter_open_open by simp
       moreover
       from (A \in FinPow(B)) have A \subseteq B using FinPow_def by simp
       with tau2_is_top A1 have A \subseteq Pow(X_2)
 using IsAsubBaseFor_def IsATopology_def by auto
       with fmapAssum \langle A \neq 0 \rangle \langle U = \bigcap A \rangle have f-(U) = \bigcap \{f-(W) : W \in A\}
 using func1_1_L12 by simp
       ultimately have f^-(U) \in \tau_1 by simp \}
     ultimately show f-(U) \in \tau_1 by blast
  ultimately show f {is continuous}
     using Top_ZF_2_1_L5 by simp
qed
A dual of Top_ZF_2_1_L5: a function that maps base sets to open sets is open.
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) base_image_open:
  assumes A1: \mathcal B {is a base for} 	au_1 and A2: \forall\, B{\in}\mathcal B. f(B) \in\,	au_2 and A3:
U \in \tau_1
  shows f(U) \in \tau_2
proof -
  from A1 A3 obtain \mathcal{E} where \mathcal{E} \in Pow(\mathcal{B}) and U = \bigcup \mathcal{E} using Top_1_2_L1
  with A1 have f(U) = \bigcup \{f(E) : E \in \mathcal{E}\}\ using Top_1_2_L5 \ fmapAssum image_of_Union
     by auto
  moreover
  from A2 \langle \mathcal{E} \in Pow(\mathcal{B}) \rangle have \{f(E), E \in \mathcal{E}\} \in Pow(\tau_2) by auto
  then have \bigcup \{f(E) : E \in \mathcal{E}\} \in \tau_2 using tau2_is_top IsATopology_def by
  ultimately show thesis using tau2_is_top IsATopology_def by auto
A composition of two continuous functions is continuous.
lemma comp_cont: assumes IsContinuous(T,S,f) and IsContinuous(S,R,g)
  shows IsContinuous(T,R,g 0 f)
  using assms IsContinuous_def vimage_comp by simp
A composition of three continuous functions is continuous.
```

```
lemma comp_cont3:
   assumes IsContinuous(T,S,f) and IsContinuous(S,R,g) and IsContinuous(R,P,h)
   shows IsContinuous(T,P,h 0 g 0 f)
   using assms IsContinuous_def vimage_comp by simp
```

58.2 Homeomorphisms

This section studies "homeomorphisms" - continuous bijections whose inverses are also continuous. Notions that are preserved by (commute with) homeomorphisms are called "topological invariants".

Homeomorphism is a bijection that preserves open sets.

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \textbf{definition} \  \, \textbf{IsAhomeomorphism}(\textbf{T},\textbf{S},\textbf{f}) \ \equiv \\ & \textbf{f} \ \in \  \, \textbf{bij}(\bigcup \textbf{T},\bigcup \textbf{S}) \ \land \  \, \textbf{IsContinuous}(\textbf{T},\textbf{S},\textbf{f}) \ \land \  \, \textbf{IsContinuous}(\textbf{S},\textbf{T},\textbf{converse}(\textbf{f})) \end{array}
```

Inverse (converse) of a homeomorphism is a homeomorphism.

```
lemma homeo_inv: assumes IsAhomeomorphism(T,S,f)
    shows IsAhomeomorphism(S,T,converse(f))
    using assms IsAhomeomorphism_def bij_converse_bij bij_converse_converse
    by auto
```

Homeomorphisms are open maps.

```
lemma homeo_open: assumes IsAhomeomorphism(T,S,f) and U\inT shows f(U) \in S using assms image_converse IsAhomeomorphism_def IsContinuous_def by simp
```

A continuous bijection that is an open map is a homeomorphism.

```
lemma bij_cont_open_homeo: assumes f \in bij(\bigcup T,\bigcup S) and IsContinuous(T,S,f) and \forallU\inT. f(U) \in S shows IsAhomeomorphism(T,S,f) using assms image_converse IsAhomeomorphism_def IsContinuous_def by auto
```

A continuous bijection that maps base to open sets is a homeomorphism.

```
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) bij_base_open_homeo: assumes A1: f \in bij(X<sub>1</sub>,X<sub>2</sub>) and A2: \mathcal{B} {is a base for} \tau_1 and A3: \mathcal{C} {is a base for} \tau_2 and A4: \forall U \in \mathcal{C}. f-(U) \in \tau_1 and A5: \forall V \in \mathcal{B}. f(V) \in \tau_2 shows IsAhomeomorphism(\tau_1,\tau_2,f) using assms tau2_is_top tau1_is_top bij_converse_bij bij_is_fun two_top_spaces0_def image_converse two_top_spaces0.Top_ZF_2_1_L5 IsAhomeomorphism_def by
```

A bijection that maps base to base is a homeomorphism.

```
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) bij_base_homeo:
```

simp

```
assumes A1: f \in bij(X_1,X_2) and A2: \mathcal{B} {is a base for} \tau_1 and
  A3: {f(B). B\inB} {is a base for} \tau_2
  shows IsAhomeomorphism(\tau_1, \tau_2, f)
proof -
  note A1
  moreover have f {is continuous}
  proof -
     { fix C assume C \in \{f(B) . B \in B\}
       then obtain B where B \in \mathcal{B} and I: C = f(B) by auto
       with A2 have B \subseteq X<sub>1</sub> using Top_1_2_L5 by auto
       with A1 A2 \langle B \in \mathcal{B} \rangle I have f-(C) \in \tau_1
           using bij_def inj_vimage_image base_sets_open by auto
     } hence \forall C ∈ {f(B). B∈\mathcal{B}}. f-(C) ∈ \tau_1 by auto
     with A3 show thesis by (rule Top_ZF_2_1_L5)
  qed
  moreover
  from A3 have \forall B \in \mathcal{B}. f(B) \in \tau_2 using base_sets_open by auto
  with A2 have \forall \, \mathtt{U} {\in} \tau_1. f(U) \in \, \tau_2 \, \, \mathbf{using} \, \, \mathsf{base\_image\_open} \, \, \mathsf{by} \, \, \mathsf{simp}
  ultimately show thesis using bij_cont_open_homeo by simp
qed
Interior is a topological invariant.
theorem int_top_invariant: assumes A1: A⊆( JT and A2: IsAhomeomorphism(T,S,f)
  shows f(Interior(A,T)) = Interior(f(A),S)
proof -
  let A = \{U \in T : U \subseteq A\}
  have I: \{f(U).\ U \in A\} = \{V \in S.\ V \subseteq f(A)\}
     from A2 show \{f(U).\ U\in A\}\subseteq \{V\in S.\ V\subseteq f(A)\}
       using homeo_open by auto
     { fix V assume V \in \{V \in S. \ V \subseteq f(A)\}
       hence V \in S and II: V \subseteq f(A) by auto
       let U = f - (V)
       from II have U \subseteq f-(f(A)) by auto
       moreover from assms have f-(f(A)) = A
          using IsAhomeomorphism_def bij_def inj_vimage_image by auto
       moreover from A2 \langle V \in S \rangle have U \in T
          using IsAhomeomorphism_def IsContinuous_def by simp
       moreover
       from (V \in S) have V \subseteq \bigcup S by auto
       with A2 have V = f(U)
          using IsAhomeomorphism_def bij_def surj_image_vimage by auto
       ultimately have {\tt V} \in \{{\tt f(U)}\,.\,\,{\tt U} {\in} \mathcal{A}\} by auto
     \{ V \in S. \ V \subseteq f(A) \} \subseteq \{ f(U). \ U \in A \}  by auto
  have f(Interior(A,T)) = f(|A|) unfolding Interior_def by simp
  also from A2 have ... = \bigcup \{f(U) : U \in A\}
     using IsAhomeomorphism_def bij_def inj_def image_of_Union by auto
  also from I have ... = Interior(f(A),S) unfolding Interior_def by simp
```

```
finally show thesis by simp qed
```

58.3 Topologies induced by mappings

In this section we consider various ways a topology may be defined on a set that is the range (or the domain) of a function whose domain (or range) is a topological space.

A bijection from a topological space induces a topology on the range.

```
theorem bij_induced_top: assumes A1: T {is a topology} and A2: f ∈ bij(⟨∫T,Y⟩)
  shows
  \{f(U).\ U \in T\}\ \{is\ a\ topology\}\ and
  { \{f(x).x\in U\}. U\in T\} {is a topology} and
   (\bigcup \{f(U) : U \in T\}) = Y \text{ and }
  IsAhomeomorphism(T, \{f(U): U \in T\}, f\}
proof -
  from A2 have f \in inj(\bigcup T,Y) using bij_def by simp
  then have f: | JT \rightarrow Y \text{ using inj_def by simp}
  let S = \{f(U) : U \in T\}
   { fix M assume M \in Pow(S)
     let M_T = \{f-(V) : V \in M\}
     have M_T \subseteq T
     proof
        fix W assume W \in M_T
        then obtain V where V \in M and I: W = f-(V) by auto
        with \langle M \in Pow(S) \rangle have V \in S by auto
        then obtain U where U \in T and V = f(U) by auto
        with I have W = f-(f(U)) by simp
        with \langle f \in inj(\bigcup T, Y) \rangle \quad \langle U \in T \rangle have W = U using inj_vimage_image by
blast
        with \langle U \in T \rangle show W \in T by simp
     with A1 have (\bigcup M_T) \in T using IsATopology_def by simp
     hence f([]M_T) \in S by auto
     moreover have f(\bigcup M_T) = \bigcup M
     proof -
        from \langle f: | JT \rightarrow Y \rangle \langle M_T \subseteq T \rangle have f(| JM_T) = | J\{f(U) : U \in M_T\}
            using image_of_Union by auto
        moreover have \{f(U): U \in M_T\} = M
        proof -
           from (f:\bigcup T \rightarrow Y) have \forall U \in T. f(U) \subseteq Y using func1_1_L6 by simp
           \mathbf{with} \ \langle \mathtt{M} \in \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{S}) \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{M} \subseteq \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{Y}) \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
           with A2 show \{f(U): U \in M_T\} = M \text{ using bij_def surj_subsets by }
auto
        ultimately show f(\bigcup M_T) = \bigcup M by simp
     ultimately have |M \in S| by auto
```

```
} then have \forall M \in Pow(S). \bigcup M \in S by auto
  moreover
  \{ \text{ fix U V assume U} \in S \text{ V} \in S \}
     then obtain U_T V_T where U_T \in T V_T \in T and
        I: U = f(U_T) \quad V = f(V_T)
        by auto
     with A1 have U_T \cap V_T \in T using IsATopology_def by simp
     hence f(U_T \cap V_T) \in S by auto
     moreover have f(U_T \cap V_T) = U \cap V
     proof -
        \mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{U}_T \in \mathtt{T} \rangle \quad \langle \mathtt{V}_T \in \mathtt{T} \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{U}_T \subseteq \bigcup \mathtt{T} \quad \mathtt{V}_T \subseteq \bigcup \mathtt{T}
           using bij_def by auto
        with \langle f \in inj(\bigcup T, Y) \rangle I show f(U_T \cap V_T) = U \cap V using inj_image_inter
        \mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} simp
     qed
     ultimately have U \cap V \in S by simp
  } then have \forall U \in S. \ \forall V \in S. \ U \cap V \in S by auto
  ultimately show S {is a topology} using IsATopology_def by simp
  moreover from \langle f: | JT \rightarrow Y \rangle have \forall U \in T. f(U) = \{f(x) . x \in U\}
     using func_imagedef by blast
  ultimately show { \{f(x).x\in U\}. U\in T\} {is a topology} by simp
  show \bigcup S = Y
  proof
     from (f:\bigcup T \rightarrow Y) have \forall U \in T. f(U) \subseteq Y using func1_1_L6 by simp
     thus \bigcup S \subseteq Y by auto
     from A1 have f(\bigcup T) \subseteq \bigcup S using IsATopology_def by auto
     with A2 show Y ⊆ ∪S using bij_def surj_range_image_domain
        by auto
  qed
  show IsAhomeomorphism(T,S,f)
  proof -
     from A2 \langle \bigcup S = Y \rangle have f \in bij(\bigcup T, \bigcup S) by simp
     moreover have IsContinuous(T,S,f)
     proof -
        { fix V assume V \in S
           then obtain U where U \in T and V = f(U) by auto
           hence U \subseteq \bigcup T and f-(V) = f-(f(U)) by auto
           with (f \in inj([]T,Y)) (U \in T) have f - (V) \in T using inj_vimage_image
             by simp
        } then show IsContinuous(T,S,f) unfolding IsContinuous_def by auto
     ultimately showIsAhomeomorphism(T,S,f) using bij_cont_open_homeo
        by auto
  ged
qed
```

58.4 Partial functions and continuity

Suppose we have two topologies τ_1, τ_2 on sets $X_i = \bigcup \tau_i, i = 1, 2$. Consider some function $f: A \to X_2$, where $A \subseteq X_1$ (we will call such function "partial"). In such situation we have two natural possibilities for the pairs of topologies with respect to which this function may be continuous. One is obviously the original τ_1, τ_2 and in the second one the first element of the pair is the topology relative to the domain of the function: $\{A \cap U | U \in \tau_1\}$. These two possibilities are not exactly the same and the goal of this section is to explore the differences.

If a function is continuous, then its restriction is continuous in relative topology.

```
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) restr_cont: assumes A1: A \subseteq X1 and A2: f {is continuous} shows IsContinuous(\tau_1 {restricted to} A, \tau_2,restrict(f,A)) proof - let g = restrict(f,A) { fix U assume U \in \tau_2 with A2 have f-(U) \in \tau_1 using IsContinuous_def by simp moreover from A1 have g-(U) = f-(U) \cap A using fmapAssum func1_2_L1 by simp ultimately have g-(U) \in (\tau_1 {restricted to} A) using RestrictedTo_def by auto} then show thesis using IsContinuous_def by simp qed
```

If a function is continuous, then it is continuous when we restrict the topology on the range to the image of the domain.

```
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) restr_image_cont:
  assumes A1: f {is continuous}
  shows IsContinuous(	au_1, 	au_2 {restricted to} f(	exttt{X}_1),f)
proof -
  have \forall \mathtt{U} \in \tau_2 {restricted to} f(\mathtt{X}_1). f-(\mathtt{U}) \in \tau_1
  proof
     \mathbf{fix}\ \mathtt{U}\ \mathbf{assume}\ \mathtt{U}\ \in\ \tau_2\ \{\mathtt{restricted}\ \mathtt{to}\}\ \mathtt{f}(\mathtt{X}_1)
     then obtain V where V \in \tau_2 and U = V \cap f(X_1)
       using RestrictedTo_def by auto
     with A1 show f-(U) \in 	au_1
       using fmapAssum inv_im_inter_im IsContinuous_def
       by simp
  then show thesis using IsContinuous_def by simp
A combination of restr_cont and restr_image_cont.
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) restr_restr_image_cont:
  assumes A1: A \subseteq X<sub>1</sub> and A2: f {is continuous} and
```

```
A3: g = restrict(f,A) and
  A4: \tau_3 = \tau_1 {restricted to} A
  shows IsContinuous(\tau_3, \tau_2 {restricted to} g(A),g)
  from A1 A4 have \bigcup \tau_3 = A
    using union_restrict by auto
  have two_top_spaces0(\tau_3, \tau_2, g)
  proof -
    from A4 have
       	au_3 {is a topology} and 	au_2 {is a topology}
       using tau1_is_top tau2_is_top
 topology0_def topology0.Top_1_L4 by auto
    moreover from A1 A3 \langle \bigcup \tau_3 = A \rangle have g: \bigcup \tau_3 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau_2
       using fmapAssum restrict_type2 by simp
    ultimately show thesis using two_top_spaces0_def
       by simp
  qed
  moreover from assms have IsContinuous(\tau_3, \tau_2, g)
    using restr_cont by simp
  ultimately have IsContinuous(\tau_3, \tau_2 {restricted to} g([\tau_3],g)
    by (rule two_top_spaces0.restr_image_cont)
  moreover note \langle \bigcup \tau_3 = A \rangle
  ultimately show thesis by simp
qed
We need a context similar to two_top_spaces0 but without the global func-
tion f: X_1 \to X_2.
locale two_top_spaces1 =
  fixes \tau_1
  assumes tau1_is_top: \tau_1 {is a topology}
  fixes \tau_2
  assumes tau2_is_top: \tau_2 {is a topology}
  defines X1_def [simp]: X_1 \equiv \bigcup \tau_1
  fixes X_2
  defines X2_def [simp]: X_2 \equiv \bigcup \tau_2
If a partial function g: X_1 \supseteq A \to X_2 is continuous with respect to (\tau_1, \tau_2),
then A is open (in \tau_1) and the function is continuous in the relative topology.
lemma (in two_top_spaces1) partial_fun_cont:
  assumes A1: g:A\rightarrowX<sub>2</sub> and A2: IsContinuous(\tau_1, \tau_2, g)
  shows A \in \tau_1 and IsContinuous(\tau_1 {restricted to} A, \tau_2, g)
proof -
  from A2 have g^-(X_2) \in \tau_1
    using tau2_is_top IsATopology_def IsContinuous_def by simp
```

```
with A1 show A \in \tau_1 using func1_1_L4 by simp { fix V assume V \in \tau_2 with A2 have g-(V) \in \tau_1 using IsContinuous_def by simp moreover from A1 have g-(V) \subseteq A using func1_1_L3 by simp hence g-(V) = A \cap g-(V) by auto ultimately have g-(V) \in (\tau_1 {restricted to} A) using RestrictedTo_def by auto } then show IsContinuous(\tau_1 {restricted to} A, \tau_2, g) using IsContinuous_def by simp qed
```

For partial function defined on open sets continuity in the whole and relative topologies are the same.

```
lemma (in two_top_spaces1) part_fun_on_open_cont:
  assumes A1: g:A\rightarrowX2 and A2: A \in \tau_1
  shows IsContinuous(\tau_1, \tau_2, g) \longleftrightarrow
          IsContinuous(\tau_1 {restricted to} A, \tau_2, g)
proof
  assume IsContinuous (\tau_1, \tau_2, g)
  with A1 show IsContinuous(\tau_1 {restricted to} A, \tau_2, g)
    using partial_fun_cont by simp
  next
    assume I: IsContinuous(\tau_1 {restricted to} A, \tau_2, g)
    { fix V assume V \in \tau_2
       with I have g-(V) \in (	au_1 {restricted to} A)
         using IsContinuous_def by simp
       then obtain W where W \in \tau_1 and g-(V) = A \cap W
         using RestrictedTo_def by auto
       with A2 have g-(V) \in 	au_1 using tau1_is_top IsATopology_def
    } then show IsContinuous(\tau_1, \tau_2, g) using IsContinuous_def
       by simp
qed
```

58.5 Product topology and continuity

We start with three topological spaces $(\tau_1, X_1), (\tau_2, X_2)$ and (τ_3, X_3) and a function $f: X_1 \times X_2 \to X_3$. We will study the properties of f with respect to the product topology $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ and τ_3 . This situation is similar as in locale two_top_spaces0 but the first topological space is assumed to be a product of two topological spaces.

First we define a locale with three topological spaces.

```
locale prod_top_spaces0 = fixes \tau_1 assumes tau1_is_top: \tau_1 {is a topology}
```

```
fixes \tau_2
  assumes tau2_is_top: \tau_2 {is a topology}
  fixes \tau_3
  assumes tau3_is_top: \tau_3 {is a topology}
  defines X1_def [simp]: X_1 \equiv \bigcup \tau_1
  fixes X_2
  defines X2_def [simp]: X_2 \equiv \bigcup \tau_2
  fixes X_3
  defines X3_def [simp]: X_3 \equiv \bigcup \tau_3
  fixes \eta
  defines eta_def [simp]: \eta \equiv \text{ProductTopology}(\tau_1, \tau_2)
Fixing the first variable in a two-variable continuous function results in a
continuous function.
lemma (in prod_top_spaces0) fix_1st_var_cont:
  assumes f: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_3 and IsContinuous(\eta, \tau_3, f)
  and x \in X_1
  shows IsContinuous(\tau_2,\tau_3,Fix1stVar(f,x))
  using assms fix_1st_var_vimage IsContinuous_def tau1_is_top tau2_is_top
     prod_sec_open1 by simp
Fixing the second variable in a two-variable continuous function results in
a continuous function.
lemma (in prod_top_spaces0) fix_2nd_var_cont:
  assumes f: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_3 and IsContinuous(\eta, \tau_3, f)
  and y \in X_2
  shows IsContinuous(\tau_1,\tau_3,Fix2ndVar(f,y))
  using assms fix_2nd_var_vimage IsContinuous_def tau1_is_top tau2_is_top
     prod_sec_open2 by simp
Having two constinuous mappings we can construct a third one on the carte-
sian product of the domains.
lemma cart_prod_cont:
  assumes A1: \tau_1 {is a topology} \tau_2 {is a topology} and
  A2: \eta_1 {is a topology} \eta_2 {is a topology} and
  A3a: f_1: \bigcup \tau_1 \rightarrow \bigcup \eta_1 and A3b: f_2: \bigcup \tau_2 \rightarrow \bigcup \eta_2 and
  A4: IsContinuous(\tau_1,\eta_1,f<sub>1</sub>) IsContinuous(\tau_2,\eta_2,f<sub>2</sub>) and
  A5: g = {\langle p, \langle f_1(fst(p)), f_2(snd(p)) \rangle \rangle. p \in \bigcup \tau_1 \times \bigcup \tau_2}
  shows IsContinuous(ProductTopology(\tau_1, \tau_2),ProductTopology(\eta_1, \eta_2),g)
proof -
  let \tau = ProductTopology(\tau_1,\tau_2)
```

```
let X_2 = \bigcup \tau_2
  let Y_1 = \bigcup \eta_1
  let Y_2 = \bigcup \eta_2
  let B = ProductCollection(\eta_1,\eta_2)
  from A1 A2 have \tau {is a topology} and \eta {is a topology}
     using Top_1_4_T1 by auto
  moreover have g: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2
  proof -
     { fix p assume p \in X_1 \times X_2
        hence fst(p) \in X_1 and snd(p) \in X_2 by auto
        \mathbf{from} \ \mathsf{A3a} \ \langle \mathtt{fst(p)} \ \in \ \mathtt{X}_1 \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{f}_1(\mathtt{fst(p))} \ \in \ \mathtt{Y}_1
           by (rule apply_funtype)
        moreover from A3b \langle \operatorname{snd}(p) \in X_2 \rangle have f_2(\operatorname{snd}(p)) \in Y_2
           by (rule apply_funtype)
        ultimately have \langle f_1(fst(p)), f_2(snd(p)) \rangle \in \bigcup \eta_1 \times \bigcup \eta_2 by auto
     \} hence \forall p \in X_1 \times X_2. \langle f_1(fst(p)), f_2(snd(p)) \rangle \in Y_1 \times Y_2
        by simp
     with A5 show g: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2 using ZF_fun_from_total
        by simp
  qed
  moreover from A1 A2 have \bigcup \tau = X_1 \times X_2 and \bigcup \eta = Y_1 \times Y_2
     using Top_1_4_T1 by auto
  ultimately have two_top_spaces0(\tau,\eta,g) using two_top_spaces0_def
     by simp
  moreover from A2 have B {is a base for} \eta using Top_1_4_T1
     by simp
  moreover have \forall U \in B. g-(U) \in \tau
  proof
     fix U assume U∈B
     then obtain V W where V \in \eta_1 W \in \eta_2 and U = V\timesW
        using ProductCollection_def by auto
     with A3a A3b A5 have g-(U) = f_1-(V) \times f_2-(W)
        using cart_prod_fun_vimage by simp
     moreover from A1 A4 \langle V \in \eta_1 \rangle \langle W \in \eta_2 \rangle have f_1-(V) \times f_2-(W) \in \tau
        using IsContinuous_def prod_open_open_prod by simp
     ultimately show g-(U) \in \tau by simp
  ultimately show thesis using two_top_spaces0.Top_ZF_2_1_L5
     by simp
A reformulation of the cart_prod_cont lemma above in slightly different
notation.
theorem (in two_top_spaces0) product_cont_functions:
  assumes f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2 g: \bigcup \tau_3 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau_4
     IsContinuous(\tau_1, \tau_2, f) IsContinuous(\tau_3, \tau_4, g)
     \tau_4\{\text{is a topology}\}\ \tau_3\{\text{is a topology}\}
```

let $\eta = ProductTopology(\eta_1, \eta_2)$

let $X_1 = \bigcup \tau_1$

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{shows} \  \, \textbf{IsContinuous}(\textbf{ProductTopology}(\tau_1,\tau_3)\,, \textbf{ProductTopology}(\tau_2,\tau_4)\,, \{\langle\langle \textbf{x},\textbf{y}\rangle,\langle \textbf{fx},\textbf{gy}\rangle\rangle\,. \\ \langle \textbf{x},\textbf{y}\rangle \in \textbf{X}_1 \times \bigcup \tau_3\}) \\ \textbf{proof} \  \, - \\ \  \, \textbf{have} \  \, \{\langle\langle \textbf{x},\textbf{y}\rangle,\langle \textbf{fx},\textbf{gy}\rangle\rangle\,. \  \, \langle \textbf{x},\textbf{y}\rangle \in \textbf{X}_1 \times \bigcup \tau_3\} \  \, = \, \{\langle \textbf{p},\langle \textbf{f}(\textbf{fst}(\textbf{p}))\,, \textbf{g}(\textbf{snd}(\textbf{p}))\rangle\rangle\,. \  \, \textbf{p} \\ \in \  \, \textbf{X}_1 \times \bigcup \tau_3\} \\ \  \, \textbf{by} \  \, \textbf{force} \\ \  \, \textbf{with} \  \, \textbf{tau1\_is\_top} \  \, \textbf{tau2\_is\_top} \  \, \textbf{assms} \  \, \textbf{show} \  \, \textbf{thesis} \  \, \textbf{using} \  \, \textbf{cart\_prod\_cont} \\ \  \, \textbf{by} \  \, \textbf{simp} \\ \  \, \textbf{qed} \\ \end{array}
```

A special case of cart_prod_cont when the function acting on the second axis is the identity.

```
lemma cart_prod_cont1:
 assumes A1: \tau_1 {is a topology} and A1a: \tau_2 {is a topology} and
   A2: \eta_1 {is a topology} and
   A3: f_1: \bigcup \tau_1 \rightarrow \bigcup \eta_1 and A4: IsContinuous(\tau_1, \eta_1, f_1) and
   A5: g = \{\langle p, \langle f_1(fst(p)), snd(p) \rangle \rangle, p \in \bigcup \tau_1 \times \bigcup \tau_2 \}
  shows IsContinuous(ProductTopology(\tau_1, \tau_2),ProductTopology(\eta_1, \tau_2),g)
proof -
  let f_2 = id(||\tau_2|)
  have \forall x \in \bigcup \tau_2. f_2(x) = x using id_conv by blast
  hence I: \forall p \in \bigcup \tau_1 \times \bigcup \tau_2. snd(p) = f_2(\text{snd}(p)) by simp
  note A1 A1a A2 A1a A3
  moreover have f_2: \bigcup \tau_2 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau_2 using id_type by simp
   moreover note A4
  moreover have IsContinuous(\tau_2,\tau_2,f_2) using id_cont by simp
   moreover have g = \{\langle p, \langle f_1(fst(p)), f_2(snd(p)) \rangle \}. p \in \{ J\tau_1 \times \{ J\tau_2 \} \}
      from A5 I show g \subseteq \{\langle p, \langle f_1(fst(p)), f_2(snd(p)) \rangle \}. p \in \bigcup \tau_1 \times \bigcup \tau_2 \}
      from A5 I show \{\langle p, \langle f_1(fst(p)), f_2(snd(p)) \rangle \rangle \}. p \in \{ | |\tau_1 \times | | |\tau_2 \} \subseteq g \}
         by auto
  qed
   ultimately show thesis by (rule cart_prod_cont)
```

58.6 Pasting lemma

The classical pasting lemma states that if U_1, U_2 are both open (or closed) and a function is continuous when restricted to both U_1 and U_2 then it is continuous when restricted to $U_1 \cup U_2$. In this section we prove a generalization statement stating that the set $\{U \in \tau_1 | f|_U \text{ is continuous }\}$ is a topology.

A typical statement of the pasting lemma uses the notion of a function restricted to a set being continuous without specifying the topologies with respect to which this continuity holds. In two_top_spaces0 context the notation g {is continuous} means continuity wth respect to topologies τ_1, τ_2 .

The next lemma is a special case of partial_fun_cont and states that if for some set $A \subseteq X_1 = \bigcup \tau_1$ the function $f|_A$ is continuous (with respect to (τ_1, τ_2)), then A has to be open. This clears up terminology and indicates why we need to pay attention to the issue of which topologies we talk about when we say that the restricted (to some closed set for example) function is continuos.

```
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) restriction_continuous1: assumes A1: A \subseteq X<sub>1</sub> and A2: restrict(f,A) {is continuous} shows A \in \tau_1 proof - from assms have two_top_spaces1(\tau_1,\tau_2) and restrict(f,A):A\rightarrowX<sub>2</sub> and restrict(f,A) {is continuous} using tau1_is_top tau2_is_top two_top_spaces1_def fmapAssum restrict_fun by auto then show thesis using two_top_spaces1.partial_fun_cont by simp qed
```

If a fuction is continuous on each set of a collection of open sets, then it is continuous on the union of them. We could use continuity with respect to the relative topology here, but we know that on open sets this is the same as the original topology.

```
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) pasting_lemma1:
  assumes A1: M \subseteq \tau_1 and A2: \forallU\inM. restrict(f,U) {is continuous}
  shows restrict(f,\bigcup M) {is continuous}
proof -
  { fix V assume V \in \tau_2
     from A1 have \bigcup M \subseteq X_1 by auto
     then have restrict(f,\bigcup M)-(V) = f-(V) \cap (\bigcup M)
       using func1_2_L1 fmapAssum by simp
     also have ... = [] {f-(V) \cap U. U\inM} by auto
     finally have restrict(f,(M)-(V) = (M) + (F-(V) \cap U \cap U) + (F-(V) \cap U) by simp
     moreover
     have \{f-(V) \cap U. U \in M\} \in Pow(\tau_1)
     proof -
       { fix W assume W \in \{f-(V) \cap U. U \in M\}
          then obtain U where U \in M and I: W = f - (V) \cap U by auto
          with A2 have restrict(f,U) {is continuous} by simp
          with \langle V \in \tau_2 \rangle have restrict(f,U)-(V) \in \tau_1
            using IsContinuous_def by simp
          moreover from \langle \bigcup M \subseteq X_1 \rangle and \langle U \in M \rangle
          have restrict(f,U)-(V) = f-(V) \cap U
            using fmapAssum func1_2_L1 by blast
          ultimately have f-(V) \cap U \in \tau_1 by simp
          with I have W \in \tau_1 by simp
       } then show thesis by auto
     qed
     then have \{f - (V) \cap U. \ U \in M\} \in \tau_1
        using tau1_is_top IsATopology_def by auto
```

```
ultimately have restrict(f, |M\rangle - (V) \in \tau_1
       by simp
  } then show thesis using IsContinuous_def by simp
If a function is continuous on two sets, then it is continuous on intersection.
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) cont_inter_cont:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathtt{A1:}\ \mathtt{A}\ \subseteq\ \mathtt{X}_1\ \mathtt{B}\ \subseteq\ \mathtt{X}_1\ \mathbf{and}
  A2: restrict(f,A) {is continuous} restrict(f,B) {is continuous}
  shows restrict(f,A \cap B) {is continuous}
proof -
  { fix V assume V \in \tau_2
     with assms have
       restrict(f,A)-(V) = f-(V) \cap A \quad restrict(f,B)-(V) = f-(V) \cap B \text{ and }
       restrict(f,A)-(V) \in \tau_1 and restrict(f,B)-(V) \in \tau_1
          using func1_2_L1 fmapAssum IsContinuous_def by auto
     then have (restrict(f,A)-(V)) \cap (restrict(f,B)-(V)) = f-(V) \cap (A\cap B)
       by auto
     moreover
     from A2 \langle V \in \tau_2 \rangle have
       \operatorname{restrict}(\mathtt{f},\mathtt{A})\text{-}(\mathtt{V}) \in \tau_1 \ \operatorname{and} \ \operatorname{restrict}(\mathtt{f},\mathtt{B})\text{-}(\mathtt{V}) \in \tau_1
       using IsContinuous_def by auto
     then have (\mathsf{restrict}(\mathsf{f},\mathtt{A})\text{-}(\mathtt{V})) \cap (\mathsf{restrict}(\mathsf{f},\mathtt{B})\text{-}(\mathtt{V})) \in \tau_1
       using tau1_is_top IsATopology_def by simp
     moreover
     from A1 have (A \cap B) \subseteq X_1 by auto
     then have restrict(f,A\capB)-(V) = f-(V) \cap (A\capB)
       using func1_2_L1 fmapAssum by simp
  ultimately have restrict(f,A\capB)-(V) \in \tau_1 by simp
  } then show thesis using IsContinuous_def by auto
qed
The collection of open sets U such that f restricted to U is continuous, is a
topology.
theorem (in two_top_spaces0) pasting_theorem:
  shows {U \in \tau_1. restrict(f,U) {is continuous}} {is a topology}
  let T = {U \in \tau_1. restrict(f,U) {is continuous}}
  have \forall M \in Pow(T). \bigcup M \in T
  proof
     fix M assume M \in Pow(T)
     then have restrict(f, \bigcup M) {is continuous}
       using pasting_lemma1 by auto
     with \langle M \in Pow(T) \rangle show \bigcup M \in T
       using tau1_is_top IsATopology_def by auto
  moreover have \forall U \in T. \forall V \in T. U \cap V \in T
     using cont_inter_cont tau1_is_top IsATopology_def by auto
  ultimately show thesis using IsATopology_def by simp
```

```
qed
```

```
0 is continuous.
```

```
corollary (in two_top_spaces0) zero_continuous: shows 0 {is continuous} proof - let T = \{U \in \tau_1. \ restrict(f,U) \ \{is \ continuous\}\} \} have T \in \{U \in \tau_1. \ restrict(f,U) \ \{is \ continuous\}\} \} then have 0 \in T by (rule empty_open) hence restrict(f,0) {is continuous} by simp moreover have restrict(f,0) = 0 by simp ultimately show thesis by simp qed
```

end

59 Topology 3

theory Topology_ZF_3 imports Topology_ZF_2 FiniteSeq_ZF

begin

Topology_ZF_1 theory describes how we can define a topology on a product of two topological spaces. One way to generalize that is to construct topology for a cartesian product of n topological spaces. The cartesian product approach is somewhat inconvenient though. Another way to approach product topology on X^n is to model cartesian product as sets of sequences (of length n) of elements of X. This means that having a topology on X we want to define a toplogy on the space $n \to X$, where n is a natural number (recall that $n = \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ in ZF). However, this in turn can be done more generally by defining a topology on any function space $I \to X$, where I is any set of indices. This is what we do in this theory.

59.1 The base of the product topology

In this section we define the base of the product topology.

Suppose $\mathcal{X} = I \to \bigcup T$ is a space of functions from some index set I to the carrier of a topology T. Then take a finite collection of open sets $W: N \to T$ indexed by $N \subseteq I$. We can define a subset of \mathcal{X} that models the cartesian product of W.

definition

```
FinProd(\mathcal{X}, W) \equiv \{x \in \mathcal{X}. \ \forall \ i \in domain(W). \ x(i) \in W(i)\}
```

Now we define the base of the product topology as the collection of all finite products (in the sense defined above) of open sets.

definition

```
ProductTopBase(I,T) \equiv \{ \exists N \in FinPow(I) . \{ FinProd(I \rightarrow \bigcup T, W) . W \in N \rightarrow T \} \}
```

Finally, we define the product topology on sequences. We use the "Seq" prefix although the definition is good for any index sets, not only natural numbers.

```
definition
   SeqProductTopology(I,T) \equiv \{\bigcup B. B \in Pow(ProductTopBase(I,T))\}
Product topology base is closed with respect to intersections.
lemma prod_top_base_inter:
   assumes A1: T {is a topology} and
   A2: V \in ProductTopBase(I,T) V \in ProductTopBase(I,T)
   shows U \cap V \in ProductTopBase(I,T)
proof -
   let \mathcal{X} = I \rightarrow \bigcup T
   from A2 obtain N_1 W_1 N_2 W_2 where
      I: N_1 \in FinPow(I) W_1 \in N_1 \rightarrow T U = FinProd(\mathcal{X}, W_1) and
      II: N_2 \in \text{FinPow}(I) W_2 \in N_2 \rightarrow T V = \text{FinProd}(\mathcal{X}, W_2)
      using ProductTopBase_def by auto
   \mathbf{let} \ \mathtt{N}_3 \ \texttt{=} \ \mathtt{N}_1 \ \cup \ \mathtt{N}_2
   let W_3 = \{(i, if i \in N_1-N_2 \text{ then } W_1(i)\}
             else if i \in N_2-N_1 then W_2(i)
             else (W_1(i)) \cap (W_2(i)). i \in N_3
   from A1 I II have \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_1 \cap \mathbb{N}_2. (\mathbb{W}_1(i) \cap \mathbb{W}_2(i)) \in \mathbb{T}
          using apply_funtype IsATopology_def by auto
   moreover from I II have \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_1 - \mathbb{N}_2. \mathbb{W}_1(i) \in \mathbb{T} and \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_2 - \mathbb{N}_1. \mathbb{W}_2(i) \in \mathbb{N}_2 - \mathbb{N}_1.
Т
          using apply_funtype by auto
   ultimately have W_3:N_3\rightarrow T by (rule fun_union_overlap)
   with I II have FinProd(\mathcal{X}, W_3) \in ProductTopBase(I,T) using union_finpow
ProductTopBase_def
      by auto
   moreover have U \cap V = FinProd(\mathcal{X}, W_3)
   proof
       { fix x assume x \in U and x \in V
          \mathbf{with} \  \, \langle \mathtt{U} = \mathtt{FinProd}(\mathcal{X}, \mathtt{W}_1) \rangle \quad \, \langle \mathtt{W}_1 \in \mathtt{N}_1 \rightarrow \mathtt{T} \rangle \  \, \mathbf{and} \quad \, \langle \mathtt{V} = \mathtt{FinProd}(\mathcal{X}, \mathtt{W}_2) \rangle \quad \, \langle \mathtt{W}_2 \in \mathtt{N}_2 \rightarrow \mathtt{T} \rangle \\
          have x \in \mathcal{X} and \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_1. x(i) \in \mathbb{W}_1(i) and \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_2. x(i) \in \mathbb{W}_2(i)
             using func1_1_L1 FinProd_def by auto
          with \langle W_3: N_3 \rightarrow T \rangle \langle x \in \mathcal{X} \rangle have x \in \text{FinProd}(\mathcal{X}, W_3)
             using ZF_fun_from_tot_val func1_1_L1 FinProd_def by auto
       } thus U \cap V \subseteq FinProd(\mathcal{X}, W_3) by auto
       { fix x assume x \in FinProd(\mathcal{X}, W_3)
          with \langle W_3:N_3 \rightarrow T \rangle have x:I \rightarrow \bigcup T and III: \forall i \in N_3. x(i) \in W_3(i)
             using FinProd_def func1_1_L1 by auto
        { fix i assume i \in \mathbb{N}_1
            with (W_3:N_3\rightarrow T) have W_3(i)\subseteq W_1(i) using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by
auto
           with III \langle i \in \mathbb{N}_1 \rangle have x(i) \in \mathbb{W}_1(i) by auto
```

} with $\langle W_1 \in \mathbb{N}_1 \to \mathbb{T} \rangle \langle x : \mathbb{I} \to \bigcup \mathbb{T} \rangle \langle \mathbb{U} = \text{FinProd}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{W}_1) \rangle$

```
have x ∈ U using func1_1_L1 FinProd_def by auto
       moreover
       { fix i assume i \in N_2
          with \langle W_3: N_3 \rightarrow T \rangle have W_3(i) \subseteq W_2(i) using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by
auto
          with III \langle i \in \mathbb{N}_2 \rangle have x(i) \in \mathbb{W}_2(i) by auto
       } with \langle W_2 \in N_2 \rightarrow T \rangle \langle x: I \rightarrow \bigcup T \rangle \langle V = FinProd(\mathcal{X}, W_2) \rangle have x \in V
             using func1_1_L1 FinProd_def by auto
       ultimately have x \in U \cap V by simp
     \} thus FinProd(\mathcal{X}, W_3) \subseteq U\capV by auto
  qed
     ultimately show thesis by simp
qed
In the next theorem we show the collection of sets defined above as ProductTopBase(X,T)
satisfies the base condition. This is a condition, defined in Topology_ZF_1
that allows to claim that this collection is a base for some topology.
theorem prod_top_base_is_base: assumes T {is a topology}
  shows ProductTopBase(I,T) {satisfies the base condition}
  using assms prod_top_base_inter inter_closed_base by simp
The (sequence) product topology is indeed a topology on the space of se-
quences. In the proof we are using the fact that (\emptyset \to X) = \{\emptyset\}.
theorem seq_prod_top_is_top: assumes T {is a topology}
  shows
  SeqProductTopology(I,T) {is a topology} and
  ProductTopBase(I,T) {is a base for} SeqProductTopology(I,T) and
  \bigcup SeqProductTopology(I,T) = (I \rightarrow \bigcup T)
proof -
  from assms show SeqProductTopology(I,T) {is a topology} and
     I: ProductTopBase(I,T) {is a base for} SeqProductTopology(I,T)
       using prod_top_base_is_base SeqProductTopology_def Top_1_2_T1
          by auto
  from I have USeqProductTopology(I,T) = UProductTopBase(I,T)
     using Top_1_2_L5 by simp
  also have \bigcup ProductTopBase(I,T) = (I \rightarrow \bigcup T)
  proof
     show | ProductTopBase(I,T) \subseteq (I \rightarrow | JT)  using ProductTopBase\_def FinProd\_def
     have 0 ∈ FinPow(I) using empty_in_finpow by simp
     hence \{\text{FinProd}(I \rightarrow | JT, W) : W \in 0 \rightarrow T\} \subseteq (|JN \in \text{FinPow}(I) : \{\text{FinProd}(I \rightarrow |JT, W) : W \in 0 \rightarrow T\}\}
W \in \mathbb{N} \to T
     then show (I \rightarrow \bigcup T) \subseteq \bigcup ProductTopBase(I,T) using ProductTopBase_def
FinProd_def
       by auto
  finally show \bigcup SeqProductTopology(I,T) = (I \rightarrow \bigcup T) by simp
qed
```

59.2 Finite product of topologies

As a special case of the space of functions $I \to X$ we can consider space of lists of elements of X, i.e. space $n \to X$, where n is a natural number (recall that in ZF set theory $n = \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$). Such spaces model finite cartesian products X^n but are easier to deal with in formalized way (than the said products). This section discusses natural topology defined on $n \to X$ where X is a topological space.

When the index set is finite, the definition of ProductTopBase(I,T) can be simplifed.

```
lemma fin_prod_def_nat: assumes A1: n∈nat and A2: T {is a topology}
  shows ProductTopBase(n,T) = \{FinProd(n \rightarrow \bigcup T, W) : W \in n \rightarrow T\}
  from A1 have n \in FinPow(n) using nat_finpow_nat fin_finpow_self by
  then show \{FinProd(n \rightarrow | JT,W). W \in n \rightarrow T\} \subseteq ProductTopBase(n,T) using ProductTopBase_def
     by auto
   { fix B assume B ∈ ProductTopBase(n,T)
     then obtain N W where N \in FinPow(n) and W \in N \rightarrow T and B = FinProd(n \rightarrow \bigcup T, W)
        using ProductTopBase_def by auto
     let W_n = \{(i, if i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ then } W(i) \text{ else } | JT \rangle. i \in n\}
     from A2 \langle N \in FinPow(n) \rangle \langle W \in N \rightarrow T \rangle have \forall i \in n. (if i \in N then W(i) else
\bigcup T) \in T
        using apply_funtype FinPow_def IsATopology_def by auto
      then have W_n: n \rightarrow T by (rule ZF_fun_from_total)
     moreover have B = FinProd(n \rightarrow (JT, W_n))
     proof
         { fix x assume x \in B
           with \langle B = FinProd(n \rightarrow \bigcup T, W) \rangle have x \in n \rightarrow \bigcup T using FinProd_def
by simp
           moreover have \forall i \in domain(W_n). x(i) \in W_n(i)
           proof
              fix i assume i \in domain(W_n)
              with \langle W_n : n \rightarrow T \rangle have i \in n using func1_1_L1 by simp
              with \langle x:n \rightarrow \bigcup T \rangle have x(i) \in \bigcup T using apply_funtype by blast
              with \langle x \in B \rangle \langle B = FinProd(n \rightarrow \bigcup T, W) \rangle \langle W \in N \rightarrow T \rangle \langle W_n : n \rightarrow T \rangle \langle i \in n \rangle
              show x(i) \in W_n(i) using func1_1_L1 FinProd_def ZF_fun_from_tot_val
                 by simp
           qed
           ultimately have x \in FinProd(n \rightarrow \bigcup T, W_n) using FinProd_def by simp
         \} thus B \subseteq FinProd(n \rightarrow \bigcup T, W_n) by auto
        next
         { fix x assume x \in FinProd(n \rightarrow \bigcup T, W_n)
           then have x \in n \rightarrow \bigcup T and \forall i \in domain(W_n). x(i) \in W_n(i)
              using FinProd_def by auto
```

with $\langle W_n : n \rightarrow T \rangle$ and $\langle N \in FinPow(n) \rangle$ have $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$. $x(i) \in W_n(i)$

```
using func1_1_L1 FinPow_def by auto moreover from \langle W_n: n \to T \rangle and \langle N \in FinPow(n) \rangle have \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. W_n(i) = W(i) using ZF_fun_from_tot_val FinPow_def by auto ultimately have \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. x(i) \in W(i) by simp with \langle W \in \mathbb{N} \to T \rangle \langle x \in n \to \bigcup T \rangle \langle B = FinProd(n \to \bigcup T, W) \rangle have x \in B using func1_1_L1 FinProd_def by simp } thus FinProd(n \to \bigcup T, W_n) \subseteq B by auto qed ultimately have B \in \{FinProd(n \to \bigcup T, W) : W \in n \to T\} by auto } thus ProductTopBase(n,T) \subseteq \{FinProd(n \to \bigcup T, W) : W \in n \to T\} by auto qed
```

A technical lemma providing a formula for finite product on one topological space.

```
lemma single_top_prod: assumes A1: W:1 \rightarrow \tau
  shows FinProd(1 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W) = \{ \{\langle 0, y \rangle\} \}. y \in W(0) \}
proof -
  have 1 = \{0\} by auto
  from A1 have domain(W) = {0} using func1_1_L1 by auto
   then have FinProd(1 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W) = \{x \in 1 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau. \ x(0) \in W(0)\}
      using FinProd_def by simp
   also have \{x \in 1 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau. \ x(0) \in W(0)\} = \{ \{\langle 0, y \rangle\}. \ y \in W(0)\}
   proof
      \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle 1 = \{0\} \rangle \ \mathbf{show} \ \ \{x \in 1 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau. \ x(0) \in \mathbb{W}(0)\} \subseteq \{ \ \{\langle 0,y \rangle\}. \ y \in \mathbb{W}(0)\}
         using func_singleton_pair by auto
      { fix x assume x \in \{ \{(0,y)\}\}. y \in W(0) \}
         then obtain y where x = \{(0,y)\}\ and II: y \in W(0) by auto
         with A1 have y \in \bigcup \tau using apply_funtype by auto
         with \langle x = \{(0,y)\}\rangle \langle 1 = \{0\}\rangle have x:1 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau using pair_func_singleton
            by auto
         with \langle x = \{\langle 0, y \rangle\} \rangle II have x \in \{x \in 1 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau. \ x(0) \in W(0)\}
            using pair_val by simp
      } thus { \{\langle 0,y\rangle\}. y \in W(0)\} \subseteq \{x \in 1 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau. x(0) \in W(0)\} by auto
   qed
  finally show thesis by simp
qed
```

Intuitively, the topological space of singleton lists valued in X is the same as X. However, each element of this space is a list of length one, i.e a set consisting of a pair $\langle 0, x \rangle$ where x is an element of X. The next lemma provides a formula for the product topology in the corner case when we have only one factor and shows that the product topology of one space is essentially the same as the space.

```
lemma singleton_prod_top: assumes A1: \tau {is a topology} shows SeqProductTopology(1,\tau) = { { \{\langle 0,y \rangle\}. y \in U }. U \in \tau} and IsAhomeomorphism(\tau,SeqProductTopology(1,\tau),\{\langle y, \{\langle 0,y \rangle\} \rangle, y \in \bigcup \tau\})
```

```
proof -
     have \{0\} = 1 by auto
    let b = \{\langle y, \{\langle 0, y \rangle\} \rangle : y \in \bigcup \tau\}
    have b \in bij([]\tau,1\rightarrow[]\tau) using list_singleton_bij by blast
     with A1 have \{b(U), U \in \tau\} {is a topology} and IsAhomeomorphism(\tau, \{b(U), U \in \tau\}) and IsAhomeomorphism(\tau) and IsA
U \in \tau},b)
          using bij_induced_top by auto
     moreover have \forall U \in \tau. b(U) = \{ \{\langle 0, y \rangle \} \}. y \in U \}
    proof
          fix U assume U \in \tau
          from (b \in bij(\bigcup \tau, 1 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau)) have b:\bigcup \tau \rightarrow (1 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau) using bij_def inj_def
               by simp
          { fix y assume y \in \bigcup \tau
               with \langle b: | J\tau \rightarrow (1 \rightarrow (J\tau)) \rangle have b(y) = \{\langle 0, y \rangle\} using ZF_fun_from_tot_val
                    by simp
          } hence \forall y \in \{ \int \tau. \ b(y) = \{ \langle 0, y \rangle \}  by auto
          with \langle U \in \tau \rangle \langle b : \bigcup \tau \rightarrow (1 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau) \rangle show b(U) = \{ \{\langle 0, y \rangle\} \}. y \in U \}
               using func_imagedef by auto
     qed
     moreover have ProductTopBase(1,\tau) = { { {\langle 0,y \rangle }}. y \in U }. U \in \tau}
    proof
          \{ \text{ fix V assume V} \in \text{ProductTopBase}(1,\tau) \}
               with A1 obtain W where W:1\rightarrow \tau and V = FinProd(1\rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W)
                     using fin_prod_def_nat by auto
               then have V \in \{ \{ \{ \langle 0,y \rangle \}. y \in U \}. U \in \tau \} using apply_funtype single_top_prod
                    by auto
          } thus ProductTopBase(1,\tau) \subseteq { { {\langle 0,y \rangle \}}. y \in U }. U \in \tau} by auto
     { fix V assume V \in { {\langle 0,y \rangle}. y \in U }. U \in \tau}
          then obtain U where U \in \tau and V = \{ \{(0,y)\}, y \in U \} by auto
          let W = \{\langle 0, U \rangle\}
          from \langle U \in \tau \rangle have W: \{0\} \rightarrow \tau using pair_func_singleton by simp
          with (\{0\} = 1) have \forall : 1 \rightarrow \tau and \forall (0) = U using pair_val by auto
          with \forall V = \{ \{(0,y)\}, y \in U \} \} have V = \text{FinProd}(1 \rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W)
               using single_top_prod by simp
          with A1 \langle W: 1 \rightarrow \tau \rangle have V \in ProductTopBase(1,\tau) using fin_prod_def_nat
               by auto
       } thus { { \{\langle 0,y\rangle\}. y\in U }. U\in \tau} \subseteq ProductTopBase(1,\tau) by auto
     ultimately have I: ProductTopBase(1,\tau) {is a topology} and
          II: IsAhomeomorphism(\tau, ProductTopBase(1,\tau),b) by auto
     from A1 have ProductTopBase(1,\tau) {is a base for} SeqProductTopology(1,\tau)
          using seq_prod_top_is_top by simp
     with I have ProductTopBase(1,\tau) = SeqProductTopology(1,\tau) by (rule
base_topology)
     with \langle ProductTopBase(1,\tau) = \{ \{ \{\langle 0,y \rangle\} \}. y \in U \}. U \in \tau \} \rangle II show
          SeqProductTopology(1,\tau) = { { {\langle 0,y \rangle }}. y \in U }. U \in \tau} and
          IsAhomeomorphism(\tau,SeqProductTopology(1,\tau),{\langle y, \{\langle 0,y \rangle \} \rangle.y \in \bigcup \tau \}) by
auto
```

```
qed
```

```
A special corner case of finite_top_prod_homeo: a space X is homeomorphic to the space of one element lists of X.
```

```
theorem singleton_prod_top1: assumes A1: \tau {is a topology} shows IsAhomeomorphism(SeqProductTopology(1,\tau),\tau,{\langle x,x(0)\rangle. x\in 1\to \bigcup \tau}) proof - have {\langle x,x(0)\rangle. x\in 1\to \bigcup \tau} = converse({\langle y,\{\langle 0,y\rangle\}\rangle.y\in \bigcup \tau}) using list_singleton_bij by blast with A1 show thesis using singleton_prod_top homeo_inv by simp qed
```

A technical lemma describing the carrier of a (cartesian) product topology of the (sequence) product topology of n copies of topology τ and another copy of τ .

```
lemma finite_prod_top: assumes \tau {is a topology} and T = SeqProductTopology(n, \tau) shows (\bigcup ProductTopology(T, \tau)) = (n \rightarrow \bigcup \tau) \times \bigcup \tau using assms Top_1_4_T1 seq_prod_top_is_top by simp
```

If U is a set from the base of X^n and V is open in X, then $U \times V$ is in the base of X^{n+1} . The next lemma is an analogue of this fact for the function space approach.

```
lemma finite_prod_succ_base: assumes A1: \tau {is a topology} and A2:
n \in nat and
  A3: U \in ProductTopBase(n,	au) and A4: V\in	au
  shows \{x \in succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau. \ Init(x) \in U \land x(n) \in V\} \in ProductTopBase(succ(n), \tau)
     let B = \{x \in succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau. Init(x) \in U \land x(n) \in V\}
     from A1 A2 have ProductTopBase(n,\tau) = {FinProd(n\rightarrow[]\tau,W). W\inn\rightarrow\tau}
        using fin_prod_def_nat by simp
     with A3 obtain W_U where W_U: n \rightarrow \tau and U = FinProd(n \rightarrow [\ ]\tau, W_U) by auto
     let W = Append(W_U, V)
     from A4 and \langle W_U: n \rightarrow \tau \rangle have W: succ(n) \rightarrow \tau using append_props by simp
     moreover have B = FinProd(succ(n)\rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W)
     proof
        \{ \text{ fix x assume } x \in B \}
           with \langle W: succ(n) \rightarrow \tau \rangle have x \in succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau and domain(W) = succ(n)
using func1_1_L1
              by auto
           moreover from A2 A4 \langle x \in B \rangle \langle U = FinProd(n \rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W_U) \rangle \langle W_U : n \rightarrow \tau \rangle \langle x \rangle
\in succ(n)\rightarrow| | \tau \rangle
           have \forall i \in succ(n). x(i) \in W(i) using func1_1_L1 FinProd_def init_props
append_props
           ultimately have x \in FinProd(succ(n) \rightarrow (J\tau, W) using FinProd_def
         } thus B \subseteq FinProd(succ(n)\rightarrow[]\tau,W) by auto
```

next

```
{ fix x assume x \in FinProd(succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W)
          then have x:succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau and I: \forall i \in domain(W). x(i) \in W(i)
             using FinProd_def by auto
          moreover have Init(x) \in U
          proof -
             from A2 and \langle x:succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau \rangle have Init(x):n \rightarrow \bigcup \tau using init\_props
by simp
             moreover have \forall i \in domain(W_U). Init(x)(i) \in W_U(i)
             proof -
                from A2 \langle x \in FinProd(succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W) \rangle \langle W: succ(n) \rightarrow \tau \rangle have \forall i \in n.
x(i) \in W(i)
                   using FinProd_def func1_1_L1 by simp
                moreover from A2 \langle x : succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau \rangle have \forall i \in n. Init(x)(i)
= x(i)
                   using init_props by simp
                moreover from A4 and \langle W_U: n \rightarrow \tau \rangle have \forall i \in n. W(i) = W_U(i)
                   using append_props by simp
                ultimately have \forall i \in n. Init(x)(i) \in W_U(i) by simp
                with \langle W_U: n \rightarrow \tau \rangle show thesis using func1_1_L1 by simp
             ultimately have Init(x) \in FinProd(n \rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W_U) using FinProd_def
by simp
             with \langle U = FinProd(n \rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W_U) \rangle show thesis by simp
          qed
          moreover have x(n) \in V
          proof -
             from \langle W: succ(n) \rightarrow \tau \rangle I have x(n) \in W(n) using func1_1_L1 by
simp
             moreover from A4 \langle W_U : n \rightarrow \tau \rangle have W(n) = V using append_props
by simp
             ultimately show thesis by simp
          ultimately have x \in B by simp
        \} thus FinProd(succ(n)\rightarrow[]\tau,W) \subseteq B by auto
     qed
     moreover from A1 A2 have
        ProductTopBase(succ(n),\tau) = \{FinProd(succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W) : W \in succ(n) \rightarrow \tau\}
        using fin_prod_def_nat by simp
     ultimately show thesis by auto
 qed
If U is open in X^n and V is open in X, then U \times V is open in X^{n+1}. The
next lemma is an analogue of this fact for the function space approach.
lemma finite_prod_succ: assumes A1: 	au {is a topology} and A2: n \in nat
  A3: U \in SeqProductTopology(n,\tau) and A4: V \in \tau
  shows \{x \in succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau. Init(x) \in U \land x(n) \in V\} \in SeqProductTopology(succ(n), <math>\tau)
  proof -
      from A1 have ProductTopBase(n,\tau) {is a base for} SeqProductTopology(n,\tau)
```

```
and
        I: ProductTopBase(succ(n),\tau) {is a base for} SeqProductTopology(succ(n),\tau)
and
        II: SeqProductTopology(succ(n),\tau) {is a topology}
           using seq_prod_top_is_top by auto
     with A3 have \exists \mathcal{B} \in Pow(ProductTopBase(n,\tau)). U = \bigcup \mathcal{B} using Top_1_2_L1
by simp
     then obtain \mathcal B where \mathcal B\subseteq \mathtt{ProductTopBase}(\mathtt n,\tau) and \mathtt U=\bigcup \mathcal B by auto
     then have
     \{x: succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau. \ Init(x) \in U \land x(n) \in V\} = (\bigcup B \in \mathcal{B}. \{x: succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau.\}\}
Init(x) \in B \land x(n) \in V\})
        by auto
     moreover from A1 A2 A4 \langle \mathcal{B} \subseteq ProductTopBase(n,\tau) \rangle have
        \forall B \in \mathcal{B}. (\{x: succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau. Init(x) \in B \land x(n) \in V\} \in ProductTopBase(succ(n), \tau))
        using finite_prod_succ_base by auto
     with I II have
        ([]B \in \mathcal{B}. \{x: succ(n) \rightarrow []\tau. Init(x) \in B \land x(n) \in V\}) \in SeqProductTopology(succ(n), \tau)
        using base_sets_open union_indexed_open by auto
     ultimately show thesis by simp
  qed
```

In the Topology_ZF_2 theory we define product topology of two topological spaces. The next lemma explains in what sense the topology on finite lists of length n of elements of topological space X can be thought as a model of the product topology on the cartesian product of n copies of that space. Namely, we show that the space of lists of length n+1 of elements of X is homeomorphic to the product topology (as defined in Topology_ZF_2) of two spaces: the space of lists of length n and X. Recall that if \mathcal{B} is a base (i.e. satisfies the base condition), then the collection $\{\bigcup B|B \in Pow(\mathcal{B})\}$ is a topology (generated by \mathcal{B}).

```
theorem finite_top_prod_homeo: assumes A1: \tau {is a topology} and A2:
n \in nat and
  A3: f = \{\langle x, \langle Init(x), x(n) \rangle \rangle : x \in succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau \} and
  A4: T = SeqProductTopology(n, \tau) and
  A5: S = SeqProductTopology(succ(n),\tau)
shows IsAhomeomorphism(S,ProductTopology(T,\tau),f)
proof -
  let C = ProductCollection(T, \tau)
  let B = ProductTopBase(succ(n),\tau)
  from A1 A4 have T {is a topology} using seq_prod_top_is_top by simp
  with A1 A5 have S {is a topology} and ProductTopology(T,\tau) {is a
topology}
         using seq_prod_top_is_top Top_1_4_T1 by auto
  from assms have f \in bij(\bigcup S, \bigcup ProductTopology(T, \tau))
    using lists_cart_prod seq_prod_top_is_top Top_1_4_T1 by simp
  then have f: \bigcup S \rightarrow \bigcup ProductTopology(T,\tau) using bij_is_fun by simp
  ultimately have two_top_spaces0(S,ProductTopology(T,\tau),f) using two_top_spaces0_def
```

```
by simp
  moreover note \langle f \in bij(\bigcup S, \bigcup ProductTopology(T, \tau)) \rangle
  moreover from A1 A5 have B {is a base for} S
     using seq_prod_top_is_top by simp
  moreover from A1 (T {is a topology}) have C {is a base for} ProductTopology(T,\tau)
     using Top_1_4_T1 by auto
  moreover have \forall W \in C. f-(W) \in S
  proof
       fix W assume W \in C
       then obtain U V where U \in T V \in \tau and W = U \times V using ProductCollection_def
        from A1 A5 \langle f \colon \bigcup S \to \bigcup ProductTopology(T, \tau) \rangle \ have \ f \colon \ (succ(n) \to \bigcup \tau) \to \bigcup ProductTopology(T, \tau) \rangle 
          using seq_prod_top_is_top by simp
       with assms \langle W = U \times V \rangle \langle U \in T \rangle \langle V \in \tau \rangle show f-(W) \in S
          using ZF_fun_from_tot_val func1_1_L15 finite_prod_succ by simp
  qed
  moreover have \forall V \in B. f(V) \in ProductTopology(T, \tau)
  proof
     fix V assume V \in B
     with A1 A2 obtain W_V where W_V \in \text{succ}(n) \rightarrow \tau and V = \text{FinProd}(\text{succ}(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W_V)
       using fin_prod_def_nat by auto
    let U = FinProd(n \rightarrow \bigcup \tau, Init(W_V))
    let W = W_V(n)
    have U \in T
     proof -
       from A1 A2 \langle \mathtt{W}_V \in \mathtt{succ(n)} {
ightarrow} 	au \rangle have \mathtt{U} \in \mathtt{ProductTopBase(n, } 	au)
          using fin_prod_def_nat init_props by auto
       with A1 A4 show thesis using seq_prod_top_is_top base_sets_open
by blast
     qed
     using apply_funtype prod_open_open_prod by simp
     moreover have f(V) = U \times W
     proof -
       from A2 \langle W_V : succ(n) \rightarrow \tau \rangle have Init(W_V) : n \rightarrow \tau and III : \forall k \in n. Init(W_V)(k)
= W_V(k)
          using init_props by auto
       then have domain(Init(W_V)) = n using func1_1_L1 by simp
       have f(V) = {\langle Init(x), x(n) \rangle . x \in V}
       proof -
          have f(V) = \{f(x) : x \in V\}
          proof -
            from A1 A5 have B {is a base for} S using seq_prod_top_is_top
by simp
            with \langle V \in B \rangle have V \subseteq \bigcup S using IsAbaseFor_def by auto
            with \langle f: | JS \rightarrow J \rangle ProductTopology(T,\tau) show thesis using func_imagedef
```

```
by simp
           qed
           moreover have \forall x \in V. f(x) = \langle Init(x), x(n) \rangle
           proof -
              fdef: f = \{\langle x, \langle Init(x), x(n) \rangle \}. x \in \bigcup S\} using seq_prod_top_is_top
FinProd_def
                 by auto
              from \langle f: \bigcup S \rightarrow \bigcup ProductTopology(T, \tau) \rangle fdef have \forall x \in \bigcup S. f(x)
= \langle Init(x), x(n) \rangle
                 by (rule ZF_fun_from_tot_val0)
              with \langle V \subseteq \bigcup S \rangle show thesis by auto
           qed
           ultimately show thesis by simp
        also have \{\langle \text{Init}(x), x(n) \rangle : x \in V\} = U \times W
        proof
           { fix y assume y \in \{(Init(x), x(n)) . x \in V\}
              then obtain x where I: y = \langle Init(x), x(n) \rangle and x \in V by auto
              with \langle V = FinProd(succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W_V) \rangle have
                 x:succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau \text{ and } II: \forall k \in domain(W_V). x(k) \in W_V(k)
                 unfolding FinProd_def by auto
              with A2 \langle W_V : succ(n) \rightarrow \tau \rangle have IV: \forall k \in n. Init(x)(k) = x(k)
                 using init_props by simp
              have Init(x) \in U
              proof -
                 from A2 \langle x: succ(n) \rightarrow | J\tau \rangle have Init(x): n \rightarrow | J\tau \text{ using init\_props}
by simp
                 moreover have \forall k \in \text{domain}(\text{Init}(W_V)). \text{Init}(x)(k) \in \text{Init}(W_V)(k)
                 proof -
                    from A2 (W_V : succ(n) \rightarrow \tau) have Init(W_V) : n \rightarrow \tau using init_props
by simp
                    then have domain(Init(W_V)) = n using func1_1_L1 by simp
                    note III IV \langle domain(Init(W_V)) = n \rangle
                    moreover from II \langle W_V \in succ(n) \rightarrow \tau \rangle have \forall k \in n. \ x(k) \in W_V(k)
                       using func1_1_L1 by simp
                    ultimately show thesis by simp
                 ultimately show Init(x) ∈ U using FinProd_def by simp
              moreover from \langle W_V \colon \mathsf{succ}(\mathsf{n}) {	o} 	au \rangle II have \mathsf{x}(\mathsf{n}) \in \mathsf{W} using func1_1_L1
by simp
              ultimately have \langle Init(x), x(n) \rangle \in U \times W by simp
              with I have y \in U \times W by simp
           } thus {\langle Init(x), x(n) \rangle . x \in V} \subseteq U \times W by auto
           { fix y assume y \in U \times W
```

```
then have fst(y) \in U and snd(y) \in W by auto
               with \langle domain(Init(W_V)) = n \rangle have fst(y): n \rightarrow \bigcup \tau and
                  \forall k \in n. fst(y)(k) \in Init(W_V)(k)
                  using FinProd_def by auto
               from \langle \mathtt{W}_V \colon \mathtt{succ(n)} \! 	o \! 	au \rangle have \mathtt{W} \in \tau using apply_funtype by simp
               with \langle \operatorname{snd}(y) \in \mathbb{W} \rangle have \operatorname{snd}(y) \in \bigcup \tau by auto
               let x = Append(fst(y), snd(y))
               have x \in V
               proof -
                  from \langle fst(y): n \rightarrow \bigcup \tau \rangle \langle snd(y) \in \bigcup \tau \rangle have x:succ(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau us-
ing append_props by simp
                  moreover have \forall i \in domain(W_V). x(i) \in W_V(i)
                  proof -
                     from \langle fst(y): n \rightarrow \bigcup \tau \rangle \langle snd(y) \in \bigcup \tau \rangle
                        have \forall k \in n. x(k) = fst(y)(k) and x(n) = snd(y)
                        using append_props by auto
                     moreover from III V have \forall k \in n. fst(y)(k) \in W_V(k) by simp
                     moreover note \langle snd(y) \in W \rangle
                     ultimately have \forall i \in succ(n). x(i) \in W_V(i) by simp
                     with \langle W_V \in \text{succ(n)} \rightarrow \tau \rangle show thesis using func1_1_L1 by
simp
                  ultimately have x \in \text{FinProd}(\text{succ}(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W_V) using FinProd_def
by simp
                  with \langle V = \text{FinProd}(\text{succ}(n) \rightarrow \bigcup \tau, W_V) \rangle show x \in V by simp
               moreover from A2 \langle y \in U \times W \rangle \langle fst(y) : n \rightarrow \bigcup \tau \rangle \langle snd(y) \in \bigcup \tau \rangle have
y = \langle Init(x), x(n) \rangle
                  using init_append append_props by auto
               ultimately have y \in \{(Init(x), x(n)) | x \in V\} by auto
            } thus U \times W \subseteq \{\langle Init(x), x(n) \rangle . x \in V\} by auto
         qed
         finally show f(V) = U \times W by simp
      ultimately show f(V) \in ProductTopology(T,\tau) by simp
  ultimately show thesis using two_top_spaces0.bij_base_open_homeo by
simp
qed
```

end

60 Topology 4

theory Topology_ZF_4 imports Topology_ZF_1 Order_ZF func1 NatOrder_ZF begin

This theory deals with convergence in topological spaces. Contributed by Daniel de la Concepcion.

60.1 Nets

Nets are a generalization of sequences. It is known that sequences do not determine the behavior of the topological spaces that are not first countable; i.e., have a countable neighborhood base for each point. To solve this problem, nets were defined so that the behavior of any topological space can be thought in terms of convergence of nets.

First we need to define what a directed set is:

```
definition
  IsDirectedSet (_ directs _ 90)
  where r directs D \equiv refl(D,r) \wedge trans(r) \wedge (\forall x \in D. \forall y \in D. \exists z \in D. \langle x,z\rangle \in r
\wedge \langle y,z \rangle \in r
Any linear order is a directed set; in particular (\mathbb{N}, \leq).
lemma linorder_imp_directed:
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r)
  shows r directs X
proof-
  from assms have trans(r) using IsLinOrder_def by auto
  from assms have r:refl(X,r) using IsLinOrder_def total_is_refl by auto
  moreover
     fix x y
     assume R: x \in X y \in X
     with assms have \langle x,y\rangle \in r \lor \langle y,x\rangle \in r using IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def
     with r have (\langle x,y\rangle \in r \land \langle y,y\rangle \in r) \lor (\langle y,x\rangle \in r \land \langle x,x\rangle \in r) using R refl_def
by auto
     then have \exists z \in X. \langle x,z \rangle \in r \land \langle y,z \rangle \in r using R by auto
  ultimately show thesis using IsDirectedSet_def function_def by auto
qed
Natural numbers are a directed set.
corollary Le_directs_nat:
  shows IsLinOrder(nat,Le) Le directs nat
proof -
  show IsLinOrder(nat,Le) by (rule NatOrder_ZF_1_L2)
  then show Le directs nat using linorder_imp_directed by auto
```

We are able to define the concept of net, now that we now what a directed set is.

```
definition
  IsNet (_ {is a net on} _ 90)
  where N {is a net on} X \equiv fst(N):domain(fst(N))\rightarrowX \land (snd(N) directs
domain(fst(N))) \land domain(fst(N)) \neq 0
Provided a topology and a net directed on its underlying set, we can talk
about convergence of the net in the topology.
definition (in topology0)
  NetConverges (_ \rightarrow_N _ 90)
  where N {is a net on} \bigcup \mathtt{T} \implies \mathtt{N} \to_N \mathtt{x} \equiv
  (x \in [\ ]T) \land (\forall U \in Pow([\ ]T). (x \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists t \in domain(fst(N)). \forall m \in domain(fst(N)).
     (\langle t,m\rangle \in snd(N) \longrightarrow fst(N)m\in U)))
One of the most important directed sets, is the neighborhoods of a point.
theorem (in topology0) directedset_neighborhoods:
  assumes x \in JT
  defines Neigh \equiv \{U \in Pow(\bigcup T) : x \in int(U)\}
  defines r \equiv \{\langle U, V \rangle \in (\text{Neigh} \times \text{Neigh}) . V \subseteq U\}
  shows r directs Neigh
proof-
  {
     fix U
     \mathbf{assume}\ \mathtt{U}\ \in\ \mathtt{Neigh}
     then have \langle \mathtt{U}, \mathtt{U} \rangle \in \mathtt{r} using r_def by auto
  then have refl(Neigh,r) using refl_def by auto
  moreover
  {
     \mathbf{fix} U V W
     assume \langle U, V \rangle \in r \langle V, W \rangle \in r
     then have U ∈ Neigh W ∈ Neigh W⊆U using r_def by auto
     then have \langle U,W \rangle \in r using r_def by auto
  then have trans(r) using trans_def by blast
  moreover
     fix A B
     assume p: A∈Neigh B∈Neigh
     \mathbf{have}\ \mathtt{A} \cap \mathtt{B} \in \mathtt{Neigh}
     proof-
        from p have A\capB \in Pow(\bigcupT) using Neigh_def by auto
        { from p have x \in int(A)x \in int(B) using Neigh_def by auto
           then have x \in int(A) \cap int(B) by auto
           moreover
           { have int(A)\cap int(B)\subseteq A\cap B using Top_2_L1 by auto
             moreover have int(A)\cap int(B) \in T
                using Top_2_L2 Top_2_L2 topSpaceAssum IsATopology_def by blast
```

```
ultimately have int(A)\cap int(B)\subseteq int(A\cap B)
             using Top_2_L5 by auto
          ultimately have x \in int(A \cap B) by auto
       ultimately show thesis using Neigh_def by auto
     moreover from \langle A \cap B \in Neigh \rangle have \langle A, A \cap B \rangle \in r \land \langle B, A \cap B \rangle \in r
       using r_def p by auto
     ultimately
     have \exists z \in \text{Neigh}. \langle A, z \rangle \in r \land \langle B, z \rangle \in r by auto
  }
  ultimately show thesis using IsDirectedSet_def by auto
qed
There can be nets directed by the neighborhoods that converge to the point;
if there is a choice function.
theorem (in topology0) net_direct_neigh_converg:
  assumes x \in \bigcup T
  defines Neigh \equiv \{U \in Pow(| T) : x \in int(U)\}
  defines r \equiv \{\langle U, V \rangle \in (\text{Neigh} \times \text{Neigh}) . V \subseteq U\}
  assumes f:Neigh \rightarrow \bigcup T \ \forall \ U \in Neigh. \ f(U) \in U
  shows \langle f, r \rangle \rightarrow_N x
proof -
  from assms(4) have dom_def: Neigh = domain(f) using Pi_def by auto
  moreover
     have []TeT using topSpaceAssum IsATopology_def by auto
     then have int([]T)=[]T using Top_2_L3 by auto
     with assms(1) have UT∈Neigh using Neigh_def by auto
     then have \bigcup T \in domain(fst(\langle f,r \rangle)) using dom_def by auto
  moreover from assms(4) dom_def have fst(\langle f,r \rangle):domain(fst(\langle f,r \rangle))\rightarrow []T
     by auto
  moreover from assms(1,2,3) dom_def have snd(\langle f,r \rangle) directs domain(fst(\langle f,r \rangle))
       using directedset_neighborhoods by simp
  ultimately have Net: (f,r) {is a net on} []T unfolding IsNet_def by
auto
  {
     fix U
     assume U \in Pow(\bigcup T) x \in int(U)
     then have U ∈ Neigh using Neigh_def by auto
     then have t: U ∈ domain(f) using dom_def by auto
     {
       fix W
       assume A: W \in domain(f) \langle U, W \rangle \in r
       then have W∈Neigh using dom_def by auto
       with assms(5) have fWEW by auto
       with A(2) r_def have fW \in U by auto
```

```
} then have \forall W \in \text{domain}(f). (\langle U,W \rangle \in r \longrightarrow fW \in U) by auto with t have \exists V \in \text{domain}(f). \forall W \in \text{domain}(f). (\langle V,W \rangle \in r \longrightarrow fW \in U) by auto } then have \forall U \in \text{Pow}(\bigcup T). (x \in \text{int}(U) \longrightarrow (\exists V \in \text{domain}(f)). \forall W \in \text{domain}(f). (\langle V,W \rangle \in r \longrightarrow f(W) \in U))) by auto with assms(1) Net show thesis using NetConverges_def by auto qed
```

60.2 Filters

Nets are a generalization of sequences that can make us see that not all topological spaces can be described by sequences. Nevertheless, nets are not always the tool used to deal with convergence. The reason is that they make use of directed sets which are completely unrelated with the topology.

The topological tools to deal with convergence are what is called filters.

definition

```
IsFilter (_ {is a filter on} _ 90) where \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} X \equiv (0 \notin \mathfrak{F}) \land (X \in \mathfrak{F}) \land (\mathfrak{F} \subseteq Pow(X)) \land (\forall A \in \mathfrak{F}. \ \forall B \in \mathfrak{F}. \ A \cap B \in \mathfrak{F}) \land (\forall B \in \mathfrak{F}. \ \forall C \in Pow(X). \ B \subseteq C \longrightarrow C \in \mathfrak{F})
```

Not all the sets of a filter are needed to be consider at all times; as it happens with a topology we can consider bases.

definition

```
IsBaseFilter (_ {is a base filter} _ 90) where C {is a base filter} \mathfrak{F} \equiv C \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \land \mathfrak{F} = \{A \in Pow(\bigcup \mathfrak{F}). (\exists D \in C. D \subseteq A)\}
```

Not every set is a base for a filter, as it happens with topologies, there is a condition to be satisfied.

definition

```
SatisfiesFilterBase (_ {satisfies the filter base condition} 90) where C {satisfies the filter base condition} \equiv (\forall A\inC. \forall B\inC. \exists D\inC. D\subseteqA\capB) \land C\neq0 \land 0\notinC
```

Every set of a filter contains a set from the filter's base.

```
lemma basic_element_filter: assumes A\in \mathfrak{F} and C {is a base filter} \mathfrak{F} shows \exists D\in C. D\subseteq A proof-
from assms(2) have t: \mathfrak{F}=\{A\in Pow(\bigcup \mathfrak{F}): (\exists D\in C.\ D\subseteq A)\} using IsBaseFilter_def by auto
with assms(1) have A\in \{A\in Pow(\bigcup \mathfrak{F}): (\exists D\in C.\ D\subseteq A)\} by auto
then have A\in Pow(\bigcup \mathfrak{F}): \exists D\in C.\ D\subseteq A by auto
then show thesis by auto
qed
```

The following two results state that the filter base condition is necessary and sufficient for the filter generated by a base, to be an actual filter. The third result, rewrites the previous two.

```
theorem basic_filter_1:
  assumes C {is a base filter} \mathfrak{F} and C {satisfies the filter base condition}
  shows \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} \bigcup \mathfrak{F}
proof-
     fix A B
     assume AF: A \in \mathfrak{F} and BF: B \in \mathfrak{F}
     with assms(1) have ∃DA∈C. DA⊆A using basic_element_filter by simp
     then obtain DA where perA: DA \in C and subA: DA \subseteq A by auto
     from BF assms have ∃DB∈C. DB⊆B using basic_element_filter by simp
     then obtain DB where perB: DB\inC and subB: DB\subseteqB by auto
     from assms(2) perA perB have \exists D \in C. D\subseteq DA \cap DB
       unfolding SatisfiesFilterBase_def by auto
     then obtain D where D\inC D\subseteqDA\capDB by auto
     with subA subB AF BF have A\capB\in{A \in Pow(\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) . \existsD\inC. D \subseteq A} by auto
     with assms(1) have A∩B∈ unfolding IsBaseFilter_def by auto
  moreover
     fix A B
     assume AF: A \in \mathfrak{F} and BS: B \in Pow(\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) and sub: A \subseteq B
     from assms(1) AF have \exists D \in C. D\subseteq A using basic_element_filter by auto
     then obtain D where D\subseteq A D\in C by auto
     with sub BS have B \in \{A \in Pow(\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : \exists D \in C : D \subseteq A\} by auto
     with assms(1) have Be\mathfrak{F} unfolding IsBaseFilter_def by auto
     }
  moreover
  from assms(2) have C \neq 0 using SatisfiesFilterBase_def by auto
  then obtain D where D \in C by auto
  with assms(1) have D\subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{F} using IsBaseFilter_def by auto
  with \langle D \in C \rangle have \bigcup \mathfrak{F} \in \{A \in Pow(\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : \exists D \in C : D \subseteq A\} by auto
  with assms(1) have \bigcup \mathfrak{F} \in \mathfrak{F} unfolding IsBaseFilter_def by auto
  moreover
     assume 0∈₹
     with assms(1) have \exists D \in \mathbb{C}. D \subseteq 0 using basic_element_filter by simp
     then obtain D where D \in CD \subseteq O by auto
     then have D \in C D=0 by auto
     with assms(2) have False using SatisfiesFilterBase_def by auto
  then have 0 \notin \mathfrak{F} by auto
  ultimately show thesis using IsFilter_def by auto
qed
```

A base filter satisfies the filter base condition.

```
theorem basic_filter_2:
  assumes C (is a base filter) \mathfrak F and \mathfrak F (is a filter on) \bigcup \mathfrak F
  shows C {satisfies the filter base condition}
proof-
     fix A B
    assume AF: A \in C and BF: B \in C
     then have A \in \mathfrak{F} and B \in \mathfrak{F} using assms(1) IsBaseFilter_def by auto
     then have A \cap B \in \mathfrak{F} using assms(2) IsFilter_def by auto
     then have \exists D \in C. D \subseteq A \cap B using assms(1) basic_element_filter by blast
  then have \forall A \in C. \forall B \in C. \exists D \in C. D \subseteq A \cap B by auto
  moreover
     assume 0 \in C
     then have 0∈ wing assms(1) IsBaseFilter_def by auto
     then have False using assms(2) IsFilter_def by auto
  then have 0∉C by auto
  moreover
     assume C=0
     then have \mathfrak{F}=0 using assms(1) IsBaseFilter_def by auto
     then have False using assms(2) IsFilter_def by auto
  then have C \neq 0 by auto
  ultimately show thesis using SatisfiesFilterBase_def by auto
A base filter for a collection satisfies the filter base condition iff that collec-
tion is in fact a filter.
theorem basic_filter:
  assumes C {is a base filter} \mathfrak{F}
  shows (C {satisfies the filter base condition}) \longleftrightarrow (F {is a filter
on} \bigcup \mathfrak{F})
using assms basic_filter_1 basic_filter_2 by auto
A base for a filter determines a filter up to the underlying set.
theorem base_unique_filter:
  assumes C {is a base filter} \mathfrak{F}1and C {is a base filter} \mathfrak{F}2
  shows \mathfrak{F}1=\mathfrak{F}2 \longleftrightarrow \bigcup \mathfrak{F}1=\bigcup \mathfrak{F}2
using assms unfolding IsBaseFilter_def by auto
Suppose that we take any nonempty collection C of subsets of some set X.
Then this collection is a base filter for the collection of all supersets (in X)
of sets from C.
theorem base_unique_filter_set1:
  assumes C \subseteq Pow(X) and C \neq 0
```

```
shows C {is a base filter} \{A \in Pow(X) : \exists D \in C : D \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : D \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \in A\}
\exists D \in C. D\subseteq A}=X
proof-
      from assms(1) have C\subseteq \{A\in Pow(X). \exists D\in C. D\subseteq A\} by auto
      from assms(2) obtain D where D∈C by auto
      then have D\subseteq X using assms(1) by auto
      with \langle D \in C \rangle have X \in \{A \in Pow(X). \exists D \in C. D \subseteq A\} by auto
      then show \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : \exists D \in C : D \subseteq A\} = X \text{ by auto}
      ultimately
      show C {is a base filter} \{A \in Pow(X). \exists D \in C. D \subseteq A\} using IsBaseFilter_def
by auto
qed
A collection C that satisfies the filter base condition is a base filter for some
other collection \mathfrak{F} iff \mathfrak{F} is the collection of supersets of C.
theorem base_unique_filter_set2:
      assumes C\subseteq Pow(X) and C {satisfies the filter base condition}
      shows ((C {is a base filter} \mathfrak{F}) \land \bigcup \mathfrak{F}=X) \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{F}=\{A \in Pow(X). \exists D \in C. D \subseteq A\}
      using assms IsBaseFilter_def SatisfiesFilterBase_def base_unique_filter_set1
          by auto
A simple corollary from the previous lemma.
corollary base_unique_filter_set3:
      assumes C\subseteq Pow(X) and C {satisfies the filter base condition}
      shows C {is a base filter} \{A \in Pow(X) : \exists D \in C : D \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : D \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in C : B \subseteq A\} and \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : B \in
\exists D \in C. D \subseteq A = X
proof -
      let \mathfrak{F} = \{A \in Pow(X) : \exists D \in C : D \subseteq A\}
      from assms have (C {is a base filter} \mathfrak{F}) \wedge | \mathfrak{F} = X
             using base_unique_filter_set2 by simp
      thus C {is a base filter} \mathfrak{F} and \bigcup \mathfrak{F} = X
             by auto
qed
The convergence for filters is much easier concept to write. Given a topol-
ogy and a filter on the same underlying set, we can define convergence as
containing all the neighborhoods of the point.
definition (in topology0)
      FilterConverges (\_\rightarrow_F \_ 50) where
      \mathfrak{F}\{\text{is a filter on}\}\bigcup \mathtt{T} \implies \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow_F \mathtt{x} \equiv
      x \in \bigcup T \land (\{U \in Pow(\bigcup T) . x \in int(U)\} \subseteq \mathfrak{F})
The neighborhoods of a point form a filter that converges to that point.
```

lemma (in topology0) neigh_filter:

defines $Neigh=\{U \in Pow(\bigcup T) : x \in int(U)\}$

shows Neigh {is a filter on} $\bigcup T$ and Neigh \rightarrow_F x

assumes $x \in JT$

```
proof-
  {
    fix A B
    assume p:A∈Neigh B∈Neigh
    have A∩B∈Neigh
    proof-
      from p have A \cap B \in Pow(\bigcup T) using Neigh_def by auto
      {from p have x \in int(A) x \in int(B) using Neigh_def by auto
      then have x \in int(A) \cap int(B) by auto
      moreover
      { have int(A)\cap int(B)\subseteq A\cap B using Top_2_L1 by auto
        moreover have int(A)\cap int(B) \in T
          using Top_2_L2 topSpaceAssum IsATopology_def by blast
        ultimately have int(A)\cap int(B)\subseteq int(A\cap B) using Top_2_L5 by auto}
        ultimately have x \in int(A \cap B) by auto
      ultimately show thesis using Neigh_def by auto
    qed
    }
  moreover
    fix A B
    assume A: A \in Neigh and B: B \in Pow(\bigcup T) and sub: A \subseteq B
    from sub have int(A)∈T int(A)⊆B using Top_2_L2 Top_2_L1
      by auto
    then have int(A)⊆int(B) using Top_2_L5 by auto
    with A have x∈int(B) using Neigh_def by auto
    with B have B∈Neigh using Neigh_def by auto
  moreover
    assume 0∈Neigh
    then have x \in Interior(0,T) using Neigh_def by auto
    then have x \in 0 using Top_2_L1 by auto
    then have False by auto
    }
  then have 0∉Neigh by auto
  moreover
  have \bigcup T \in T using topSpaceAssum IsATopology_def by auto
  then have Interior(\bigcup T,T)=\bigcup T using Top_2_L3 by auto
  with assms(1) have ab: UT∈Neigh unfolding Neigh_def by auto
  moreover have Neigh⊆Pow(UT) using Neigh_def by auto
  ultimately show Neigh {is a filter on} UT using IsFilter_def
    by auto
  moreover from ab have UNeigh=UT unfolding Neigh_def by auto
  ultimately show Neigh \rightarrow_F x using FilterConverges_def assms(1) Neigh_def
by auto
qed
```

Note that with the net we built in a previous result, it wasn't clear that we could construct an actual net that converged to the given point without the axiom of choice. With filters, there is no problem.

Another positive point of filters is due to the existence of filter basis. If we have a basis for a filter, then the filter converges to a point iff every neighborhood of that point contains a basic filter element.

```
theorem (in topology0) convergence_filter_base1:
   assumes \mathfrak F {is a filter on} \bigcup \mathtt T and \mathtt C {is a base filter} \mathfrak F and \mathfrak F 	o_F
  shows \forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists D \in C. D \subseteq U) and x \in \bigcup T
proof -
   { fix U
      assume U\subseteq (\bigcup T) and x\in int(U)
      with assms(1,3) have U \in \mathfrak{F} using FilterConverges_def by auto
      with assms(2) have \exists D \in C. D\subseteq U using basic_element_filter by blast
   } thus \forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists D \in C. D \subseteq U) by auto
   from assms(1,3) show x \in \bigcup T using FilterConverges_def by auto
A sufficient condition for a filter to converge to a point.
theorem (in topology0) convergence_filter_base2:
   assumes \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} \bigcup T and C {is a base filter} \mathfrak{F}
      and \forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists D \in C. D \subseteq U) and x \in \bigcup T
  shows \mathfrak{F} \to_F \mathsf{x}
proof-
   {
      fix U
      assume AS: U \in Pow(\bigcup T) x \in int(U)
      then obtain D where pD:D\inC and s:D\subseteqU using assms(3) by blast
      with assms(2) AS have D \in \mathfrak{F} and D \subseteq U and U \in Pow(| T)
         using IsBaseFilter_def by auto
      with assms(1) have U \in \mathfrak{F} using IsFilter_def by auto
   }
   with assms(1,4) show thesis using FilterConverges_def by auto
qed
A necessary and sufficient condition for a filter to converge to a point.
theorem (in topology0) convergence_filter_base_eq:
   assumes \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} \bigcup T and C {is a base filter} \mathfrak{F}
  \mathbf{shows} \ (\mathfrak{F} \to_F \mathbf{x}) \ \longleftrightarrow \ ((\forall \, \mathtt{U} \in \mathtt{Pow}(\bigcup \mathtt{T}) \, . \, \, \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{int}(\mathtt{U}) \ \longrightarrow \ (\exists \, \mathtt{D} \in \mathtt{C} \, . \, \, \mathtt{D} \subseteq \mathtt{U})) \ \land \ \, \mathtt{x} \in \bigcup \mathtt{T})
proof
   assume \mathfrak{F} \to_F \mathbf{x}
   with assms show ((\forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists D \in C. D \subseteq U)) \land x \in \bigcup T)
      using convergence_filter_base1 by simp
  assume (\forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists D \in C. D \subseteq U)) \land x \in \bigcup T
   with assms show \mathfrak{F} \to_F \mathtt{x} using convergence_filter_base2
      by auto
```

60.3 Relation between nets and filters

In this section we show that filters do not generalize nets, but still nets and filter are in w way equivalent as far as convergence is considered.

Let's build now a net from a filter, such that both converge to the same points.

```
definition
   NetOfFilter (Net(_) 40) where
   \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} \bigcup \mathfrak{F} \Longrightarrow \mathtt{Net}(\mathfrak{F}) \equiv
       \langle \{ \langle A, \mathsf{fst}(A) \rangle, A \in \{ \langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F}, x \in F \} \}, \{ \langle A, B \rangle \in \{ \langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F}, x \in F \} \times \{ \langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F}.
x \in F}. snd(B) \subseteq snd(A)}
Net of a filter is indeed a net.
theorem net_of_filter_is_net:
   assumes § {is a filter on} X
   shows (Net(\mathfrak{F})) {is a net on} X
proof-
   from assms have X \in \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{F} \subseteq Pow(X) using IsFilter_def by auto
   then have uu:\bigcup \mathfrak{F}=X by blast
   let f = \{\langle A, fst(A) \rangle. A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F}. x \in F\}\}
   let r = \{\langle A, B \rangle \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F}. x \in F\} \times \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F}. x \in F\}. snd(B) \subseteq snd(A)\}
   have function(f) using function_def by auto
   moreover have relation(f) using relation_def by auto
   ultimately have f:domain(f)→range(f) using function_imp_Pi
       by auto
   have dom:domain(f)=\{\langle x,F\rangle\in(\bigcup\mathfrak{F})\times\mathfrak{F}.\ x\in F\} by auto
   have range(f) \subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{F} by auto
   with \langle f: domain(f) \rightarrow range(f) \rangle have f: domain(f) \rightarrow \bigcup \mathcal{F} using fun_weaken_type
by auto
   moreover
   {
       {
          fix t
          assume pp:t∈domain(f)
          then have snd(t)\subseteq snd(t) by auto
          with dom pp have \langle t, t \rangle \in r by auto
       then have refl(domain(f),r) using refl_def by auto
       moreover
          fix t1 t2 t3
          assume \langle t1, t2 \rangle \in r \langle t2, t3 \rangle \in r
          then have snd(t3)\subseteq snd(t1) t1\in domain(f) t3\in domain(f) using dom
by auto
          then have \langle t1,t3 \rangle \in r by auto
       }
```

```
then have trans(r) using trans_def by auto
                 moreover
                  {
                          fix x y
                          assume as:xedomain(f)yedomain(f)
                          then have \operatorname{snd}(x) \in \mathfrak{F} \operatorname{snd}(y) \in \mathfrak{F} \operatorname{by} auto
                          then have p:snd(x)\cap snd(y)\in \mathfrak{F} using assms IsFilter_def by auto
                                   assume snd(x) \cap snd(y) = 0
                                   with p have 0 \in \mathfrak{F} by auto
                                   then have False using assms IsFilter_def by auto
                          then have snd(x)\cap snd(y)\neq 0 by auto
                          then obtain xy where xy \in snd(x) \cap snd(y) by auto
                          then have xy \in snd(x) \cap snd(y) \langle xy, snd(x) \cap snd(y) \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} using p
by auto
                          then have \langle xy, snd(x) \cap snd(y) \rangle \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F}. x \in F\} by auto
                          with dom have d:\langle xy, snd(x) \cap snd(y) \rangle \in domain(f) by auto
                          with as have \langle x, \langle xy, snd(x) \cap snd(y) \rangle \in r \land \langle y, \langle xy, snd(x) \cap snd(y) \rangle \in r
by auto
                          with d have \exists z \in domain(f). \langle x,z \rangle \in r \land \langle y,z \rangle \in r by blast
                 then have \forall x \in domain(f). \forall y \in domain(f). \exists z \in domain(f). \langle x, z \rangle \in r \land \langle y, z \rangle \in r
by blast
                 ultimately have r directs domain(f) using IsDirectedSet_def by blast
        moreover
                 have p:X\in\mathfrak{F} and 0\notin\mathfrak{F} using assms IsFilter_def by auto
                 then have X \neq 0 by auto
                 then obtain q where q \in X by auto
                 with p dom have \langle q, X \rangle \in domain(f) by auto
                 then have domain(f) \neq 0 by blast
        ultimately have \langle f,r \rangle {is a net on} \bigcup \mathfrak{F} using IsNet_def by auto
        then show (Net(3)) {is a net on} X using NetOfFilter_def assms uu by
auto
qed
If a filter converges to some point then its net converges to the same point.
theorem (in topology0) filter_conver_net_of_filter_conver:
        assumes \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} \bigcup \mathtt{T} and \mathfrak{F} \to_F \mathtt{x}
        shows (Net(\mathfrak{F})) \rightarrow_N x
proof-
        from assms have | \exists T \in \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{F} \subseteq Pow(| \exists T) using IsFilter_def by auto
        then have uu: \iint \mathfrak{F} = \iint T by blast
        from assms(1) have func: fst(Net(\mathfrak{F})) = \{\langle A, fst(A) \rangle : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F} : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle x, F \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) : A \in \{\langle 
x \in F}
                  \text{and dir: snd}(\texttt{Net}(\mathfrak{F})) = \{\langle \texttt{A}, \texttt{B} \rangle \in \{\langle \texttt{x}, \texttt{F} \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F}. \ \texttt{x} \in \texttt{F}\} \times \{\langle \texttt{x}, \texttt{F} \rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F}) \times \mathfrak{F}.
```

```
x \in F}. snd(B) \subseteq snd(A)}
      using NetOfFilter_def uu by auto
   then have dom_def: domain(fst(Net(\mathfrak{F})))={\langle x,F\rangle \in (\bigcup \mathfrak{F})\times \mathfrak{F}. x\in F} by auto
   from func have fun: fst(Net(\mathfrak{F})): \{\langle x,F\rangle\in(\bigcup\mathfrak{F})\times\mathfrak{F}.\ x\in F\}\rightarrow(\bigcup\mathfrak{F})
      using ZF_fun_from_total by simp
   from assms(1) have NN: (Net(3)) {is a net on} UT using net_of_filter_is_net
   moreover from assms have x∈[ ]T using FilterConverges_def
      by auto
   moreover
   {
      fix U
      assume AS: U \in Pow(\bigcup T) x \in int(U)
      with assms have U∈₹ x∈U using Top_2_L1 FilterConverges_def by auto
      then have pp: \langle x,U\rangle \in domain(fst(Net(\mathfrak{F}))) using dom_def by auto
         fix m
         assume ASS: m \in domain(fst(Net(\mathfrak{F}))) \langle \langle x, U \rangle, m \rangle \in snd(Net(\mathfrak{F}))
         from ASS(1) fun func have fst(Net(\mathfrak{F}))(m) = fst(m)
             using func1_1_L1 ZF_fun_from_tot_val by simp
         with dir ASS have fst(Net(\mathfrak{F}))(m) \in U using dom_def by auto
      then have \forall m \in \text{domain}(\text{fst}(\text{Net}(\mathfrak{F}))). (\langle \langle x, U \rangle, m \rangle \in \text{snd}(\text{Net}(\mathfrak{F})) \longrightarrow \text{fst}(\text{Net}(\mathfrak{F}))m \in U)
      with pp have \exists t \in \text{domain}(\text{fst}(\text{Net}(\mathfrak{F}))). \forall m \in \text{domain}(\text{fst}(\text{Net}(\mathfrak{F}))). (\langle t, m \rangle \in \text{snd}(\text{Net}(\mathfrak{F}))
\longrightarrow \mathsf{fst}(\mathsf{Net}(\mathfrak{F}))\mathsf{m}{\in}\mathsf{U})
         by auto
   then have \forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T).
          (x \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists t \in domain(fst(Net(\mathfrak{F}))). \forall m \in domain(fst(Net(\mathfrak{F}))).
(\langle \mathsf{t}, \mathsf{m} \rangle \in \mathsf{snd}(\mathsf{Net}(\mathfrak{F})) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fst}(\mathsf{Net}(\mathfrak{F})) \mathsf{m} \in \mathsf{U})))
         by auto
   ultimately show thesis using NetConverges_def by auto
qed
If a net converges to a point, then a filter also converges to a point.
theorem (in topology0) net_of_filter_conver_filter_conver:
   assumes \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on}\bigcup \mathtt{T} and (\mathtt{Net}(\mathfrak{F})) \to_N \mathtt{x}
   shows \mathfrak{F} \to_F \mathsf{x}
proof-
   from assms have \bigcup T \in \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{F} \subseteq Pow(\bigcup T) using IsFilter_def by auto
   then have uu: \iint \mathfrak{F} = \iint T by blast
   have x \in \begin{aligned} | T using assms NetConverges_def net_of_filter_is_net by auto
   moreover
      fix U
      assume U \in Pow(\bigcup T) x \in int(U)
      then obtain t where t: t \in domain(fst(Net(\mathfrak{F}))) and
```

```
reg: \forall m \in \text{domain}(\text{fst}(\text{Net}(\mathfrak{F}))). \langle t, m \rangle \in \text{snd}(\text{Net}(\mathfrak{F})) \longrightarrow \text{fst}(\text{Net}(\mathfrak{F})) m \in U
           using assms net_of_filter_is_net NetConverges_def by blast
     with assms(1) uu obtain t1 t2 where t_def: t=\langle t1,t2\rangle and t1\in t2 and
tFF: t2∈₹
        using NetOfFilter_def by auto
        fix s
        assume s \in t2
        then have \langle s,t2 \rangle \in \{\langle q1,q2 \rangle \in \bigcup \mathfrak{F} \times \mathfrak{F}. q1 \in q2 \} using tFF by auto
        from assms(1) uu have domain(fst(Net(\mathfrak{F})))={\langle q1,q2 \rangle \in \bigcup \mathfrak{F} \times \mathfrak{F}. q1 \in q2}
using NetOfFilter_def
           by auto
        ultimately
        have tt: \langle s,t2 \rangle \in domain(fst(Net(\mathfrak{F}))) by auto
        from assms(1) uu t t_def tt have \langle \langle t1,t2 \rangle, \langle s,t2 \rangle \rangle \in \operatorname{snd}(\operatorname{Net}(\mathfrak{F})) us-
ing NetOfFilter_def
           by auto
        ultimately
        have fst(Net(\mathfrak{F}))\langle s,t2\rangle \in U using reg t_def by auto
        from assms(1) uu have function(fst(Net(\mathfrak{F}))) using NetOfFilter_def
function_def
           by auto
        moreover
        from tt assms(1) uu have (\langle s,t2\rangle,s)\in fst(Net(\mathfrak{F})) using NetOfFilter_def
by auto
        ultimately
        have s∈U using NetOfFilter_def function_apply_equality by auto
     then have t2\(\subseteq\)U by auto
     with tFF assms(1) \langle U \in Pow(\bigcup T) \rangle have U \in \mathfrak{F} using IsFilter_def by auto
  then have \{U \in Pow(\bigcup T) : x \in int(U)\} \subseteq \mathfrak{F} by auto
  ultimately
  show thesis using FilterConverges_def assms(1) by auto
qed
A filter converges to a point if and only if its net converges to the point.
theorem (in topology0) filter_conver_iff_net_of_filter_conver:
  assumes \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} | \mathsf{JT} |
  shows (\mathfrak{F} \to_F \mathtt{x}) \longleftrightarrow ((\mathtt{Net}(\mathfrak{F})) \to_N \mathtt{x})
  using filter_conver_net_of_filter_conver net_of_filter_conver_filter_conver
assms
     by auto
```

The previous result states that, when considering convergence, the filters do not generalize nets. When considering a filter, there is always a net that

converges to the same points of the original filter.

Now we see that with nets, results come naturally applying the axiom of choice; but with filters, the results come, may be less natural, but with no choice. The reason is that Net(3) is a net that doesn't come into our attention as a first choice; maybe because we restrict ourselves to the antisymmetry property of orders without realizing that a directed set is not an order.

The following results will state that filters are not just a subclass of nets, but that nets and filters are equivalent on convergence: for every filter there is a net converging to the same points, and also, for every net there is a filter converging to the same points.

```
definition
  FilterOfNet (Filter (_ .. _) 40) where
  (N {is a net on} X) \Longrightarrow Filter N.X \equiv {A\inPow(X). \existsD\in{fst(N)snd(s).
s \in \{s \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N)), s \in snd(N) \land fst(s) = t0\}\}. t0 \in domain(fst(N))\}.
D\subseteq A
Filter of a net is indeed a filter
theorem filter_of_net_is_filter:
  assumes N {is a net on} X
  shows (Filter N..X) {is a filter on} X and
     \{\{fst(N)snd(s). s\in \{s\in domain(fst(N))\times domain(fst(N)). s\in snd(N) \land fst(s)=t0\}\}.
t0 \in domain(fst(N)) {is a base filter} (Filter N..X)
  let C = \{ fst(N)(snd(s)) : s \in \{ s \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N)) : s \in snd(N) \} \}
\land fst(s)=t0}}. t0\indomain(fst(N))}
  have C⊆Pow(X)
  proof -
     {
        fix t
        assume t \in C
        then obtain t1 where t1 \ind domain(fst(N)) and
          t_Def: t=\{fst(N)snd(s). s\in\{s\in domain(fst(N))\times domain(fst(N)). s\in snd(N)\}\}
\land fst(s)=t1}}
          by auto
        {
          fix x
          assume x∈t
          with t_Def obtain ss where ss \in \{s \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N))\}.
s \in snd(N) \land fst(s)=t1 and
             x_{def}: x = fst(N)(snd(ss)) by blast
          then have snd(ss) \in domain(fst(N)) by auto
          from assms have fst(N):domain(fst(N)) \rightarrow X unfolding IsNet_def
by simp
             \mathbf{with} \  \, \langle \mathtt{snd}(\mathtt{ss}) \ \in \  \, \mathtt{domain}(\mathtt{fst}(\mathtt{N})) \rangle \  \, \mathbf{have} \  \, \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{X} \  \, \mathbf{using} \  \, \mathtt{apply\_funtype}
x_def
```

by auto

```
hence t\subseteq X by auto
             thus thesis by blast
      ged
      have sat: C {satisfies the filter base condition}
      proof -
              from assms obtain t1 where t1∈domain(fst(N)) using IsNet_def by
blast
              hence \ \{ \texttt{fst}(\texttt{N}) \texttt{snd}(\texttt{s}) . \ \texttt{s} \in \{ \texttt{s} \in \texttt{domain}(\texttt{fst}(\texttt{N})) \times \texttt{domain}(\texttt{fst}(\texttt{N})) . \ \texttt{s} \in \texttt{snd}(\texttt{N}) \}
\land fst(s)=t1}}\in C
                     by auto
             hence C \neq 0 by auto
             moreover
                     fix U
                     assume U \in C
                     then obtain q where q_{dom}: q \in domain(fst(N)) and
                            U_{def}: U=\{fst(N)snd(s). s\in \{s\in domain(fst(N))\times domain(fst(N)). s\in snd(N)\}\}
\land fst(s)=q}}
                            by blast
                     with assms have \langle \mathtt{q},\mathtt{q}\rangle \in \mathtt{snd}(\mathtt{N}) \ \land \ \mathtt{fst}(\langle \mathtt{q},\mathtt{q}\rangle) \texttt{=} \mathtt{q} \ \mathtt{unfolding} \ \mathtt{IsNet\_def}
IsDirectedSet_def refl_def
                            by auto
                     with q_dom have \langle q,q \rangle \in \{s \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N)). s \in snd(N)\}
\land fst(s)=q}
                            by auto
                     with U_def have fst(N)(snd(\langle q,q \rangle)) \in U by blast
                     hence U \neq 0 by auto
              then have 0∉C by auto
              moreover
              have \forall A \in C. \forall B \in C. (\exists D \in C. D \subseteq A \cap B)
              proof
                     fix A
                     assume pA: A \in C
                     show \forall B \in C. \exists D \in C. D \subseteq A \cap B
                     proof
                            {
                                   fix B
                                   \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{B} {\in} \mathtt{C}
                                   with pA obtain qA qB where per: qA = domain(fst(N)) qB = domain(fst(N))
and
                                          A_def: A={fst(N)snd(s). s \in \{s \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N))\}.
s \in snd(N) \land fst(s)=qA} and
                                          B_{def} \colon B = \{ fst(N) snd(s) : s \in \{ s \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N)) : s \in \{ s \in domain(fst(N))
s \in snd(N) \land fst(s)=qB}
                                                 by blast
                                   have dir: snd(N) directs domain(fst(N)) using assms IsNet_def
```

```
by auto
             with per obtain qD where ine: \langle qA, qD \rangle \in snd(N) \ \langle qB, qD \rangle \in snd(N)
and
             perD: qD∈domain(fst(N)) unfolding IsDirectedSet_def
                by blast
             let D = \{fst(N)snd(s). s\in \{s\in domain(fst(N))\times domain(fst(N)). s\in snd(N)\}
\land fst(s)=qD}}
              from perD have D∈C by auto
             moreover
              {
                fix d
                assume d \in D
                then obtain sd where sd \in \{s \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N))\}.
s \in snd(N) \land fst(s)=qD and
                   d_def: d=fst(N)snd(sd) by blast
                then have sdN: sd \in snd(N) and qdd: fst(sd) = qD and sd \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N)) = qD
                   by auto
                then obtain qI as where sd = \langle aa,qI \rangle qI \in domain(fst(N))
aa \in domain(fst(N))
                   by auto
                with qdd have sd_def: sd=\langle qD, qI \rangle and qIdom: qI \in domain(fst(N))
by auto
                with sdN have \langle qD, qI \rangle \in snd(N) by auto
                from dir have trans(snd(N)) unfolding IsDirectedSet_def by
auto
                then have \langle qA, qD \rangle \in snd(N) \land \langle qD, qI \rangle \in snd(N) \longrightarrow \langle qA, qI \rangle \in snd(N)
and
                   \langle qB, qD \rangle \in snd(N) \land \langle qD, qI \rangle \in snd(N) \longrightarrow \langle qB, qI \rangle \in snd(N)
                   using trans_def by auto
                with ine \langle \langle qD, qI \rangle \in snd(N) \rangle have \langle qA, qI \rangle \in snd(N) \langle qB, qI \rangle \in snd(N)
by auto
                with qIdom per have \langle qA, qI \rangle \in \{s \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N))\}.
s \in snd(N) \land fst(s)=qA
                   \langle qB,qI \rangle \in \{s \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N)). s \in snd(N) \land fst(s) = qB\}
                   by auto
                then have fst(N)(qI) \in A \cap B using A_def B_def by auto
                then have fst(N)(snd(sd)) \in A \cap B using sd_def by auto
                then have d \in A \cap B using d_def by auto
             then have D \subseteq A \cap B by blast
              ultimately show \exists D \in C. D \subseteq A \cap B by blast
           }
        qed
     qed
     ultimately
     show thesis unfolding SatisfiesFilterBase_def by blast
  qed
```

```
Base: C {is a base filter} {A \in Pow(X). \exists D \in C. D \subseteq A} \bigcup {A \in Pow(X)}. \exists D \in C.
D\subseteq A}=X
  proof -
     from (C \subseteq Pow(X)) sat show C {is a base filter} {A \in Pow(X). \exists D \in C. D \subseteq A}
        {f by} (rule base_unique_filter_set3)
     from \langle C \subseteq Pow(X) \rangle sat show \bigcup \{A \in Pow(X) : \exists D \in C : D \subseteq A\} = X
        by (rule base_unique_filter_set3)
  ged
  with sat show (Filter N..X) {is a filter on} X
     using sat basic_filter FilterOfNet_def assms by auto
  from Base(1) show C {is a base filter} (Filter N..X)
     using FilterOfNet_def assms by auto
qed
Convergence of a net implies the convergence of the corresponding filter.
theorem (in topology0) net_conver_filter_of_net_conver:
  assumes N {is a net on} \bigcupT and N \rightarrow_N x
  shows (Filter N..(\bigcupT)) \rightarrow_F x
proof -
  let C = \{\{fst(N)snd(s). s\in \{s\in domain(fst(N))\times domain(fst(N)). s\in snd(N)\}\}
\land fst(s)=t}}.
        t∈domain(fst(N))}
  from assms(1) have
     (Filter N..(\bigcup T)) {is a filter on} (\bigcup T) and C {is a base filter}
Filter N..(∪T)
     using filter_of_net_is_filter by auto
  moreover have \forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists D \in C. D \subseteq U)
  proof -
     {
        fix U
        assume U \in Pow(| JT) x \in int(U)
        with assms have \exists t \in domain(fst(N)). (\forall m \in domain(fst(N))). (\langle t, m \rangle \in snd(N))
\longrightarrow \mathtt{fst}(\mathtt{N})\mathtt{m}{\in}\mathtt{U}))
          using NetConverges_def by auto
          then obtain t where t \in domain(fst(N)) and
             reg: \forall m \in domain(fst(N)). (\langle t, m \rangle \in snd(N) \longrightarrow fst(N)m \in U) by auto
        {
          fix f
          assume f \in \{fst(N)snd(s), s \in \{s \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N))\}\}
s \in snd(N) \land fst(s)=t\}
          then obtain s where s \in \{s \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N)), s \in snd(N)\}
\land fst(s)=t} and
             f_def: f=fst(N)snd(s) by blast
          hence s \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N)) and s \in snd(N) and fst(s) = t
             by auto
          hence s=\langle t, snd(s) \rangle and snd(s) \in domain(fst(N)) by auto
```

```
with \langle s \in snd(N) \rangle reg have fst(N)snd(s) \in U by auto
          with f_{def} have f \in U by auto
       hence \{fst(N)snd(s). s\in \{s\in domain(fst(N))\times domain(fst(N)). s\in snd(N)\}
\land fst(s)=t}} \subset U
          by blast
       with \langle t \in domain(fst(N)) \rangle have \exists D \in C. D \subseteq U
     \} thus \forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists D \in C. D \subseteq U) by auto
  moreover from assms have x \in \bigcup T using NetConverges_def by auto
  qed
Convergence of a filter corresponding to a net implies convergence of the
net.
 theorem (in topology0) filter_of_net_conver_net_conver:
  assumes N {is a net on} \bigcupT and (Filter N..(\bigcupT)) \rightarrow_F x
  shows \mathbb{N} \to_N \mathbb{X}
proof -
  let C = \{\{fst(N)snd(s) : s \in \{s \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N)) : s \in snd(N)\}\}
\land fst(s)=t}}.
       t∈domain(fst(N))}
  from assms have I: (Filter N..(\bigcup T)) {is a filter on} (\bigcup T)
    C {is a base filter} (Filter N..(\bigcupT)) (Filter N..(\bigcupT)) \rightarrow_F x
     using filter_of_net_is_filter by auto
  then have reg: \forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists D \in C. D \subseteq U)
     by (rule convergence_filter_base1)
  from I have x \in \bigcup T by (rule convergence_filter_base1)
  moreover
  {
     fix U
     assume U \in Pow(\bigcup T) x \in int(U)
     with reg have \exists D \in C. D \subseteq U by auto
     then obtain D where D \in C D \subseteq U
       by auto
     then obtain td where td \( \)domain(fst(N)) and
       D_{def}: D=\{fst(N)snd(s). s\in \{s\in domain(fst(N))\times domain(fst(N)). s\in snd(N)\}\}
\land fst(s)=td}}
       by auto
     {
       fix m
       assume m \in domain(fst(N)) \langle td, m \rangle \in snd(N)
       with <td \ind domain(fst(N)) \) have
          \langle td,m \rangle \in \{s \in domain(fst(N)) \times domain(fst(N)). s \in snd(N) \land fst(s) = td\}
          by auto
       with D_def have fst(N)m∈D by auto
       with \langle D \subseteq U \rangle have fst(N)m\inU by auto
     }
```

```
then have \forall m \in \text{domain}(\text{fst}(N)). \langle \text{td}, m \rangle \in \text{snd}(N) \longrightarrow \text{fst}(N) m \in U by auto with \langle \text{td} \in \text{domain}(\text{fst}(N)) \rangle have \exists \text{t} \in \text{domain}(\text{fst}(N)). \forall \text{m} \in \text{domain}(\text{fst}(N)). \langle \text{t}, m \rangle \in \text{snd}(N) \longrightarrow \text{fst}(N) m \in U by auto }

} then have \forall \text{U} \in \text{Pow}(\bigcup T). \text{x} \in \text{int}(U) \longrightarrow (\exists \text{t} \in \text{domain}(\text{fst}(N)). \forall \text{m} \in \text{domain}(\text{fst}(N)). \langle \text{t}, m \rangle \in \text{snd}(N) \longrightarrow \text{fst}(N) m \in U) by auto ultimately show thesis using NetConverges_def assms(1) by auto qed }

Filter of net converges to a point x if and only the net converges to x. theorem (in topology0) filter_of_net_conv_iff_net_conv: assumes N {is a net on} \bigcup T shows ((Filter N..(\bigcup T)) \rightarrow_F x) \longleftrightarrow (N \rightarrow_N x) using assms filter_of_net_conver_net_conver_net_conver_filter_of_net_conver_by auto
```

We know now that filters and nets are the same thing, when working convergence of topological spaces. Sometimes, the nature of filters makes it easier to generalized them as follows.

Instead of considering all subsets of some set X, we can consider only open sets (we get an open filter) or closed sets (we get a closed filter). There are many more useful examples that characterize topological properties.

This type of generalization cannot be done with nets.

Also a filter can give us a topology in the following way:

```
theorem top_of_filter:
   assumes \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} \bigcup \mathfrak{F}
   shows (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\}) {is a topology}
proof -
   {
      fix A B
      assume A \in (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\}) B \in (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\})
      then have (A \in \mathfrak{F} \land B \in \mathfrak{F}) \lor (A \cap B = 0) by auto
      with assms have A \cap B \in (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\}) unfolding IsFilter_def
   then have \forall A \in (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\}). \forall B \in (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\}). A \cap B \in (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\}) by auto
   moreover
      fix M
      assume A:M\in Pow(\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\})
      then have M=0\lor M=\{0\}\lor (\exists T\in M. T\in \mathfrak{F}) by blast
      then have []M=0\lor(\exists T\in M. T\in \mathfrak{F}) by auto
      then obtain T where []M=0\lor(T\in\mathfrak{F}\land T\in M) by auto
      then have \bigcup M=0 \lor (T \in \mathfrak{F} \land T \subseteq \bigcup M) by auto
```

```
moreover from this A have \bigcup M \subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{F} by auto
     ultimately have \bigcup M \in (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\}) using IsFilter_def assms by auto
  then have \forall M \in Pow(\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\}). |M \in (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\})| by auto
  ultimately show thesis using IsATopology_def by auto
qed
We can use topology0 locale with filters.
lemma topology0_filter:
  assumes \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} \bigcup \mathfrak{F}
  shows topology0(\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\})
  using top_of_filter topology0_def assms by auto
The next abbreviation introduces notation where we want to specify the
space where the filter convergence takes place.
abbreviation FilConvTop(\_ \rightarrow_F \_ \{in\} \_)
  where \mathfrak{F} \to_F \mathtt{x} \{ \mathtt{in} \} \mathtt{T} \equiv \mathtt{topology0.FilterConverges}(\mathtt{T}, \mathfrak{F}, \mathtt{x})
The next abbreviation introduces notation where we want to specify the
space where the net convergence takes place.
abbreviation NetConvTop(\_ \rightarrow_N \_ \{in\} \_)
   where \mathbb{N} \to_N \mathbb{X} \{\text{in}\}\ \mathbb{T} \equiv \text{topology0.NetConverges}(\mathbb{T},\mathbb{N},\mathbb{X})
Each point of a the union of a filter is a limit of that filter.
lemma lim_filter_top_of_filter:
  assumes \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} \bigcup \mathfrak{F} and x \in \bigcup \mathfrak{F}
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathfrak{F} \ \to_F \ \mathtt{x} \ \{\mathtt{in}\} \ (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{\mathtt{0}\})
proof-
  have \iint \mathfrak{F} = \iint (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\}) by auto
   with assms(1) have assms1: \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} \bigcup (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\}) by auto
   {
     fix U
     assume U \in Pow(\bigcup (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\})) \times \in Interior(U, (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\}))
     with assms(1) have Interior(U,(\mathfrak{F}\cup\{0\}))\in\mathfrak{F} using topology0_def top_of_filter
        topology0.Top_2_L2 by blast
     moreover
     from assms(1) have Interior(U,(\mathfrak{F}\cup\{0\}))\subseteqU using topology0_def top_of_filter
        topology0.Top_2_L1 by auto
     moreover
     from \langle U \in Pow(||(\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\})) \rangle have U \in Pow(||\mathfrak{F}) by auto
     ultimately have U \in \mathfrak{F} using assms(1) IsFilter_def by auto
  with assms assms1 show thesis using topology0.FilterConverges_def top_of_filter
     topology0_def by auto
qed
```

end

61 Topology and neighborhoods

```
theory Topology_ZF_4a imports Topology_ZF_4 begin
```

This theory considers the relations between topology and systems of neighborhood filters.

61.1 Neighborhood systems

The standard way of defining a topological space is by specifying a collection of sets that we consider "open" (see the Topology_ZF theory). An alternative of this approach is to define a collection of neighborhoods for each point of the space.

We define a neighborhood system as a function that takes each point $x \in X$ and assigns it a collection of subsets of X which is called the neighborhoods of x. The neighborhoods of a point x form a filter that satisfies an additional axiom that for every neighborhood N of x we can find another one U such that N is a neighborhood of every point of U.

definition

```
IsNeighSystem (_ {is a neighborhood system on} _ 90) where \mathcal{M} {is a neighborhood system on} X \equiv (\mathcal{M} : X\rightarrowPow(Pow(X))) \wedge (\forall x\inX. (\mathcal{M}(x) {is a filter on} X) \wedge (\forall N\in\mathcal{M}(x). x\inN \wedge (\exists U\in\mathcal{M}(x).\forall y\inU.(N\in\mathcal{M}(y)))))
```

A neighborhood system on X consists of collections of subsets of X.

```
lemma neighborhood_subset:
```

```
assumes \mathcal{M} {is a neighborhood system on} X and x \in X and \mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{M}(x) shows \mathbb{N} \subseteq X and x \in \mathbb{N} proof - from \langle \mathcal{M}  {is a neighborhood system on} X\rangle have \mathcal{M}: X \to Pow(Pow(X)) unfolding IsNeighSystem_def by simp with \langle x \in X \rangle have \mathcal{M}(x) \in Pow(Pow(X)) using apply_funtype by blast with \langle \mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{M}(x) \rangle show \mathbb{N} \subseteq X by blast from assms show x \in \mathbb{N} using IsNeighSystem_def by simp qed
```

Some sources (like Wikipedia) use a bit different definition of neighborhood systems where the U is required to be contained in N. The next lemma shows that this stronger version can be recovered from our definition.

```
lemma neigh_def_stronger:
```

```
assumes \mathcal{M} {is a neighborhood system on} X and x\inX and N\in\mathcal{M}(x) shows \existsU\in\mathcal{M}(x).U\subseteqN \land (\forally\inU.(N\in\mathcal{M}(y))) proof - from assms obtain W where W\in\mathcal{M}(x) and areNeigh:\forally\inW.(N\in\mathcal{M}(y)) using IsNeighSystem_def by blast
```

```
let U = N \cap W from assms (W \in \mathcal{M}(x)) have U \in \mathcal{M}(x) unfolding IsNeighSystem_def IsFilter_def by blast moreover have U \subseteq N by blast moreover from areNeigh have \forall y \in U.(N \in \mathcal{M}(y)) by auto ultimately show thesis by auto qed
```

61.2 Topology from neighborhood systems

Given a neighborhood system $\{\mathcal{M}_x\}_{x\in X}$ we can define a topology on X. Namely, we consider a subset of X open if $U\in \mathcal{M}_x$ for every element x of U.

The collection of sets defined as above is indeed a topology.

```
theorem topology_from_neighs:
   assumes \mathcal M {is a neighborhood system on} X
  defines Tdef: T \equiv \{U \in Pow(X). \ \forall x \in U. \ U \in \mathcal{M}(x)\}
  shows T {is a topology} and | T = X
proof -
   { fix \mathfrak{U} assume \mathfrak{U} \in Pow(T)
      have \bigcup \mathfrak{U} \in \mathtt{T}
      proof -
         from \langle \mathfrak{U} \in Pow(T) \rangle Tdef have \bigcup \mathfrak{U} \in Pow(X) by blast
         moreover
         { fix x assume x \in \bigcup \mathfrak{U}
            then obtain U where U \in \mathfrak{U} and x \in U by blast
            with assms \langle \mathfrak{U} \in Pow(T) \rangle
            have \mathtt{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathtt{x}) and \mathtt{U} \subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{U} and \mathcal{M}(\mathtt{x}) {is a filter on} X
               unfolding IsNeighSystem_def by auto
            with \langle \bigcup \mathfrak{U} \in Pow(X) \rangle have \bigcup \mathfrak{U} \in \mathcal{M}(x) unfolding IsFilter_def
               by simp
         ultimately show \bigcup \mathfrak{U} \in \mathtt{T} using Tdef by blast
      qed
   }
  moreover
   { fix U V assume U \in T and V \in T
      have U \cap V \in T
      proof -
         from Tdef \langle U \in T \rangle \langle U \in T \rangle have U \cap V \in Pow(X) by auto
         moreover
         { fix x assume x \in U \cap V
            with assms \langle U \in T \rangle \langle V \in T \rangle Tdef have U \in \mathcal{M}(x) V \in \mathcal{M}(x) and \mathcal{M}(x)
{is a filter on} X
               unfolding IsNeighSystem_def by auto
            then have \mathtt{U} \cap \mathtt{V} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathtt{x}) unfolding IsFilter_def by simp
         ultimately show U \cap V \in T using Tdef by simp
```

```
qed
}
ultimately show T {is a topology} unfolding IsATopology_def by blast
from assms show UT = X unfolding IsNeighSystem_def IsFilter_def by
blast
qed
```

Some sources (like Wikipedia) define the open sets generated by a neighborhood system "as those sets containing a neighborhood of each of their points". The next lemma shows that this definition is equivalent to the one we are using.

```
lemma topology_from_neighs1:
   assumes \mathcal M {is a neighborhood system on} X
   \mathbf{shows} \ \{\mathtt{U} \in \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \,. \ \forall \, \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{U} \,. \ \mathtt{U} \,\in\, \mathcal{M}(\mathtt{x}) \} \,=\, \{\mathtt{U} \in \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \,. \ \forall \, \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{U} \,. \ \exists \, \mathtt{V} \,\in\, \mathcal{M}(\mathtt{x}) \,.
V\subseteq U
proof
   let T = {U\inPow(X). \forall x\inU. U \in \mathcal{M}(x)}
   let S = \{U \in Pow(X). \forall x \in U. \exists V \in \mathcal{M}(x). V \subseteq U\}
   show S\subseteq T
   proof -
       { fix U assume U∈S
           then have U∈Pow(X) by simp
           from assms \langle U \in S \rangle \langle U \in Pow(X) \rangle have \forall x \in U. U \in \mathcal{M}(x)
              unfolding IsNeighSystem_def IsFilter_def by blast
           ultimately have UET by auto
       } thus thesis by auto
   qed
   show T\subseteq S by auto
qed
```

61.3 Neighborhood system from topology

Once we have a topology T we can define a natural neighborhood system on $X = \bigcup T$. In this section we define such neighborhood system and prove its basic properties.

For a topology T we define a neighborhood system of T as a function that takes an $x \in X = \bigcup T$ and assigns it a collection supersets of open sets containing x. We call that the "neighborhood system of T"

definition

```
NeighSystem ({neighborhood system of} _ 91) where {neighborhood system of} T \equiv { \langle x, \{V \in Pow(\bigcup T). \exists U \in T. (x \in U \land U \subseteq V)\} \rangle. x \in \bigcup T }
```

The next lemma shows that open sets are members of (what we will prove later to be) the natural neighborhood system on $X = \bigcup T$.

```
lemma open_are_neighs:
   \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathtt{U} {\in} \mathtt{T} \ \mathtt{x} {\in} \mathtt{U}
   shows x \in \bigcup T and U \in \{V \in Pow(\bigcup T) . \exists U \in T . (x \in U \land U \subseteq V)\}
   using assms by auto
Another fact we will need is that for every x \in X = \bigcup T the neighborhoods
of x form a filter
lemma neighs_is_filter:
   assumes T {is a topology} and x \in \bigcup T
   \mathbf{defines} Mdef: \mathcal{M} \equiv \{ \mathtt{neighborhood} \ \mathtt{system} \ \mathtt{of} \} T
   shows \mathcal{M}(x) {is a filter on} (\bigcup T)
proof -
   let X = | T
   let \mathfrak{F} = \{V \in Pow(X) . \exists U \in T . (x \in U \land U \subseteq V)\}
   have 0 \notin \mathfrak{F} by blast
   moreover have X \in \mathfrak{F}
   proof -
       from assms \langle x \in X \rangle have X \in Pow(X) X \in T and x \in X \wedge X \subseteq X using carr_open
          by auto
      hence \exists U \in T. (x \in U \land U \subseteq X) by auto
      thus thesis by auto
   moreover have \forall A \in \mathfrak{F}. \forall B \in \mathfrak{F}. A \cap B \in \mathfrak{F}
   proof -
       { fix A B assume A \in \mathfrak{F} B \in \mathfrak{F}
          then obtain U_A U_B where U_A \in T x \in U_A U_A \subseteq A U_B \in T x \in U_B U_B \subseteq B
          with \langle T \text{ {is a topology}} \rangle \langle A \in \mathfrak{F} \rangle \langle B \in \mathfrak{F} \rangle \text{ have } A \cap B \in Pow(X) \text{ and }
              \mathtt{U}_A\cap\mathtt{U}_B\in\mathtt{T}\ \mathtt{x}\in\mathtt{U}_A\cap\mathtt{U}_B\ \mathtt{U}_A\cap\mathtt{U}_B\subseteq\mathtt{A}\cap\mathtt{B}\ \mathbf{using}\ \mathtt{IsATopology\_def}
              by auto
          hence A \cap B \in \mathfrak{F} by blast
       } thus thesis by blast
   qed
   moreover have \forall B \in \mathfrak{F}. \forall C \in Pow(X). B \subseteq C \longrightarrow C \in \mathfrak{F}
   proof -
       \{ \text{ fix B C assume B} \in \mathfrak{F} \text{ C} \in Pow(X) \text{ B} \subseteq C \}
          then obtain U where U=T and x=U U=B by blast
          with \langle C \in Pow(X) \rangle \langle B \subseteq C \rangle have C \in \mathfrak{F} by blast
       } thus thesis by auto
   qed
   ultimately have F {is a filter on} X unfolding IsFilter_def by blast
   with Mdef (x \in X) show \mathcal{M}(x) {is a filter on} X using ZF_fun_from_tot_val1
NeighSystem_def
       by simp
qed
```

The next theorem states that the natural neighborhood system on $X = \bigcup T$ indeed is a neighborhood system.

```
theorem neigh_from_topology:
  assumes T {is a topology}
  shows ({neighborhood system of} T) {is a neighborhood system on} (\bigcup T)
proof -
  let X = | T
  let \mathcal{M} = {neighborhood system of} T
  have \mathcal{M} : X \rightarrow Pow(Pow(X))
  proof -
      { fix x assume x \in X
         hence \{V \in Pow(\bigcup T) . \exists U \in T . (x \in U \land U \subseteq V)\} \in Pow(Pow(X)) by auto
      \} hence \forall x \in X. \{V \in Pow(\bigcup T) . \exists U \in T . (x \in U \land U \subseteq V)\} \in Pow(Pow(X)) by auto
      then show thesis using ZF_fun_from_total NeighSystem_def by simp
   qed
  moreover from assms have \forall x \in X. (\mathcal{M}(x) {is a filter on} X)
      using neighs_is_filter NeighSystem_def by auto
   moreover have \forall x \in X. \forall N \in \mathcal{M}(x). x \in N \land (\exists U \in \mathcal{M}(x). \forall y \in U. (N \in \mathcal{M}(y)))
   proof -
      { fix x N assume x \in X N \in \mathcal{M}(x)
         let \mathfrak{F} = \{V \in Pow(X) : \exists U \in T : (x \in U \land U \subseteq V)\}
         from (x \in X) have \mathcal{M}(x) = \mathfrak{F} using ZF_fun_from_tot_val1 NeighSystem_def
            by simp
         with \langle N \in \mathcal{M}(x) \rangle have N \in \mathfrak{F} by simp
         hence x \in \mathbb{N} by blast
         from \langle {\tt N}{\in}\mathfrak{F}\rangle obtain U where U=T x=U and U=N by blast
         with \langle \mathbb{N} \in \mathfrak{F} \rangle \langle \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{x}) = \mathfrak{F} \rangle have \mathbb{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{x}) by auto
         moreover from assms \langle U \in T \rangle \quad \langle U \subseteq N \rangle \quad \langle N \in \mathfrak{F} \rangle \text{ have } \forall y \in U. (N \in \mathcal{M}(y))
            using ZF_fun_from_tot_val1 open_are_neighs neighs_is_filter
                         NeighSystem_def IsFilter_def by auto
         ultimately have \exists U \in \mathcal{M}(x). \forall y \in U. (N \in \mathcal{M}(y)) by blast
         with \langle x \in \mathbb{N} \rangle have x \in \mathbb{N} \wedge (\exists U \in \mathcal{M}(x). \forall y \in U. (\mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{M}(y))) by simp
      } thus thesis by auto
  qed
  ultimately show thesis unfolding IsNeighSystem_def by blast
qed
end
```

62 Topology - examples

theory Topology_ZF_examples imports Topology_ZF Cardinal_ZF

begin

This theory deals with some concrete examples of topologies.

62.1 CoCardinal Topology

In this section we define and prove the basic properties of the co-cardinal topology on a set X.

The collection of subsets of a set whose complement is strictly bounded by a cardinal is a topology given some assumptions on the cardinal.

definition

```
CoCardinal(X,T) \equiv \{F \in Pow(X) . X-F \prec T\} \cup \{0\}
```

For any set and any infinite cardinal we prove that CoCardinal(X,Q) forms a topology. The proof is done with an infinite cardinal, but it is obvious that the set Q can be any set equipollent with an infinite cardinal. It is a topology also if the set where the topology is defined is too small or the cardinal too large; in this case, as it is later proved the topology is a discrete topology. And the last case corresponds with Q=1 which translates in the indiscrete topology.

```
lemma CoCar_is_topology:
 assumes InfCard (Q)
 shows CoCardinal(X,Q) {is a topology}
proof -
 let T = CoCardinal(X,Q)
    fix M
    assume A:M∈Pow(T)
    hence M\subseteq T by auto
    then have M⊆Pow(X) using CoCardinal_def by auto
    then have []M∈Pow(X) by auto
    moreover
    {
      assume B:M=0
      then have | JM∈T using CoCardinal_def by auto
    }
    moreover
      assume B:M=\{0\}
      then have UM∈T using CoCardinal_def by auto
    moreover
    {
      assume B:M \neq0 M\neq{0}
      from B obtain T where C:T\in M and T\neq 0 by auto
      with A have D:X-T \prec (Q) using CoCardinal_def by auto
      from C have X-\bigcup M\subseteq X-T by blast
      with D have X-\bigcupM\prec (Q) using subset_imp_lepoll lesspoll_trans1
by blast
    ultimately have UM∈T using CoCardinal_def by auto
```

```
}
moreover
{
    fix U and V
    assume U∈T and V∈T
    then have A:U=0 ∨ (U∈Pow(X) ∧ X-U≺ (Q)) and
        B:V=0 ∨ (V∈Pow(X) ∧ X-V≺ (Q)) using CoCardinal_def by auto
    hence D:U∈Pow(X)V∈Pow(X) by auto
    have C:X-(U ∩ V)=(X-U)∪(X-V) by fast
    with A B C have U∩V=0∨(U∩V∈Pow(X) ∧ X-(U ∩ V)≺ (Q)) using less_less_imp_un_less
assms
    by auto
    then have U∩V∈T using CoCardinal_def by auto
}
ultimately show thesis using IsATopology_def by auto
qed
```

We can use theorems proven in topology0 context for the co-cardinal topology.

```
theorem topology0_CoCardinal:
   assumes InfCard(T)
   shows topology0(CoCardinal(X,T))
   using topology0_def CoCar_is_topology assms by auto
```

It can also be proven that if CoCardinal(X,T) is a topology, $X\neq 0$, Card(T) and $T\neq 0$; then T is an infinite cardinal, $X\prec T$ or T=1. It follows from the fact that the union of two closed sets is closed. Choosing the appropriate cardinals, the cofinite and the cocountable topologies are obtained.

The cofinite topology is a very special topology because it is closely related to the separation axiom T_1 . It also appears naturally in algebraic geometry.

definition

```
Cofinite (CoFinite \_ 90) where CoFinite X \equiv CoCardinal(X,nat)
```

Cocountable topology in fact consists of the empty set and all cocountable subsets of X.

definition

```
Cocountable (CoCountable _ 90) where CoCountable X = CoCardinal(X,csucc(nat))
```

62.2 Total set, Closed sets, Interior, Closure and Boundary

There are several assertions that can be done to the CoCardinal(X,T) topology. In each case, we will not assume sufficient conditions for CoCardinal(X,T) to be a topology, but they will be enough to do the calculations in every posible case.

The topology is defined in the set X

```
lemma union_cocardinal:
  assumes T\neq 0
  shows \bigcup CoCardinal(X,T) = X
proof-
  have X:X-X=0 by auto
  have 0 \lesssim 0 by auto
  with assms have 0≺11 ≲T using not_0_is_lepoll_1 lepoll_imp_lesspoll_succ
  then have 0≺T using lesspoll_trans2 by auto
  with X have (X-X) \prec T by auto
  then have X∈CoCardinal(X,T) using CoCardinal_def by auto
  hence X\subseteq \bigcup CoCardinal(X,T) by blast
  then show [] CoCardinal(X,T)=X using CoCardinal_def by auto
qed
The closed sets are the small subsets of X and X itself.
lemma closed_sets_cocardinal:
  assumes T≠0
  shows D {is closed in} CoCardinal(X,T) \longleftrightarrow (D\inPow(X) \land D\precT) \lor D=X
proof-
  {
    assume A:D \subseteq X X - D \in CoCardinal(X,T) D \neq X
    from A(1,3) have X-(X-D)=D X-D\neq 0 by auto
    with A(2) have D \prec T using CoCardinal_def by simp
  with assms have D (is closed in) CoCardinal(X,T) \longrightarrow (D\inPow(X) \land D\precT)\lor
D=X using IsClosed_def
    union_cocardinal by auto
  moreover
    \mathbf{assume}\ \mathtt{A:D}\ \prec\ \mathtt{TD}\ \subseteq\ \mathtt{X}
    from A(2) have X-(X-D)=D by blast
    with A(1) have X-(X-D) \prec T by auto
    then have X-D \in CoCardinal(X,T) using CoCardinal_def by auto
  with assms have (D \in Pow(X) \land D \prec T) \longrightarrow D {is closed in} CoCardinal(X,T)
using union_cocardinal
    IsClosed_def by auto
  moreover
  have X-X=0 by auto
  then have X-X∈ CoCardinal(X,T)using CoCardinal_def by auto
  with assms have X{is closed in} CoCardinal(X,T) using union_cocardinal
    IsClosed_def by auto
  ultimately show thesis by auto
The interior of a set is itself if it is open or 0 if it isn't open.
lemma interior_set_cocardinal:
  assumes noC: T\neq 0 and A\subseteq X
```

```
shows Interior(A,CoCardinal(X,T))= (if ((X-A) \prec T) then A else 0)
proof-
  from assms(2) have dif_dif:X-(X-A)=A by blast
    assume (X-A) \prec T
    then have (X-A) \in Pow(X) \land (X-A) \prec T by auto
    with noC have (X-A) {is closed in} CoCardinal(X,T) using closed_sets_cocardinal
    with noC have X-(X-A) ∈ CoCardinal(X,T) using IsClosed_def union_cocardinal
       by auto
    with dif_dif have A∈CoCardinal(X,T) by auto
    hence A \in \{U \in CoCardinal(X,T) : U \subseteq A\} by auto
    hence a1:A\subseteq[]{U\inCoCardinal(X,T). U \subseteq A} by auto
    have a2:\bigcup \{U \in CoCardinal(X,T) : U \subseteq A\} \subseteq A by blast
    from a1 a2 have Interior(A,CoCardinal(X,T))=A using Interior_def
by auto}
  moreover
    assume as: ((X-A) \prec T)
    {
       fix U
       \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{U} \ \subseteq \mathtt{A}
       hence X-A \subseteq X-U by blast
       then have Q:X-A \lesssim X-U using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
         assume X-U≺ T
         with Q have X-A< T using lesspoll_trans1 by auto
         with as have False by auto
       hence \tilde{(X-U)} \prec T by auto
       then have U∉CoCardinal(X,T)∨U=0 using CoCardinal_def by auto
    hence {U \in CoCardinal(X,T). U \subseteq A}\subseteq{0} by blast
    then have Interior(A,CoCardinal(X,T))=0 using Interior_def by auto
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
X is a closed set that contains A. This lemma is necessary because we
cannot use the lemmas proven in the topology0 context since T\neq 0} is too
weak for CoCardinal(X,T) to be a topology.
lemma X_closedcov_cocardinal:
  assumes T≠0 A⊂X
  shows \ {\tt X} {\in} {\tt ClosedCovers}({\tt A}, {\tt CoCardinal}({\tt X}, {\tt T})) \ using \ {\tt ClosedCovers\_def}
  using union_cocardinal closed_sets_cocardinal assms by auto
The closure of a set is itself if it is closed or X if it isn't closed.
lemma closure_set_cocardinal:
  assumes T \neq 0 A \subseteq X
```

```
proof-
    assume A \prec T
    with assms have A {is closed in} CoCardinal(X,T) using closed_sets_cocardinal
    with assms(2) have A \in \{D \in Pow(X). D \text{ (is closed in) } CoCardinal(X,T)\}
\land A\subseteqD\} by auto
    with assms(1) have S:A ∈ Closed Covers(A, CoCardinal(X,T)) using Closed Covers_def
      using union_cocardinal by auto
    hence l1: \bigcap ClosedCovers(A,CoCardinal(X,T))\subseteqA by blast
    from S have 12:A \subseteq \bigcap ClosedCovers(A,CoCardinal(X,T))
      unfolding ClosedCovers_def by auto
    from 11 12 have Closure(A,CoCardinal(X,T))=A using Closure_def
      by auto
  }
  moreover
    assume as:\neg A \prec T
    {
      fix U
      \mathbf{assume}\ \mathtt{A} \subseteq \mathtt{U}
      then have Q:A ≤ U using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
      {
         assume U \prec T
         with Q have A < T using lesspoll_trans1 by auto
         with as have False by auto
      hence \neg U \prec T by auto
      with assms(1) have \neg(U \text{ (is closed in) CoCardinal(X,T))} \lor U=X \text{ us-}
ing closed_sets_cocardinal
      by auto
    with assms(1) have \forall U \in Pow(X). U(is closed in)CoCardinal(X,T) \land A \subseteq U \longrightarrow U = X
    with assms(1) have ClosedCovers(A,CoCardinal(X,T))\subseteq{X}
      using union_cocardinal using ClosedCovers_def by auto
    with assms have ClosedCovers(A,CoCardinal(X,T))={X} using X_closedcov_cocardinal
      by auto
    then have Closure(A,CoCardinal(X,T)) = X using Closure_def by auto
  ultimately show thesis by auto
The boundary of a set is empty if A and X - A are closed, X if not A
neither X - A are closed and; if only one is closed, then the closed one is its
boundary.
lemma boundary_cocardinal:
  assumes T \neq 0A \subseteq X
```

shows Closure(A,CoCardinal(X,T))=(if (A < T) then A else X)

```
shows Boundary(A,CoCardinal(X,T)) = (if A \prec T then (if (X-A) \prec T then
0 else A) else (if (X-A) \prec T then X-A else X)
proof-
  from assms(2) have X-A \subseteq X by auto
    assume AS: A\precT X-A \prec T
    with assms (X-A \subseteq X) have
       Closure(X-A,CoCardinal(X,T)) = X-A  and Closure(A,CoCardinal(X,T))
= A
       using closure_set_cocardinal by auto
    with assms(1) have Boundary(A,CoCardinal(X,T)) = 0
       using Boundary_def union_cocardinal by auto
  }
  moreover
    assume AS: ^{\sim}(A \prec T) X-A \prec T
    \mathbf{with} \ \mathbf{assms} \ \langle \mathtt{X-A} \subseteq \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \mathbf{have}
       Closure(X-A,CoCardinal(X,T)) = X-A and Closure(A,CoCardinal(X,T))
= X
       using closure_set_cocardinal by auto
    with assms(1) have Boundary(A,CoCardinal(X,T))=X-A using Boundary_def
       union_cocardinal by auto
  moreover
    assume AS:^{\sim}(A \prec T)^{\sim}(X-A \prec T)
    with assms (X-A \subseteq X) have
       Closure(X-A,CoCardinal(X,T))=X and Closure(A,CoCardinal(X,T))=X
       using closure_set_cocardinal by auto
    with assms(1) have Boundary(A,CoCardinal(X,T))=X using Boundary_def
union_cocardinal
       by auto
  }
  moreover
    assume AS:A \prec T \sim (X-A \prec T)
    \mathbf{with} \ \mathbf{assms} \ \ \langle \mathtt{X-A} \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \mathbf{have}
       Closure(X-A,CoCardinal(X,T))=X and Closure(A,CoCardinal(X,T))=X
Α
       using closure_set_cocardinal by auto
    with assms have Boundary(A,CoCardinal(X,T))=A using Boundary_def
union_cocardinal
       by auto
  }
  ultimately show thesis by auto
```

If the set is too small or the cardinal too large, then the topology is just the discrete topology.

```
lemma discrete_cocardinal:
  assumes X \prec T
  shows CoCardinal(X,T) = Pow(X)
proof
  {
    fix U
    assume U \in CoCardinal(X,T)
    then have U ∈ Pow(X) using CoCardinal_def by auto
  then show CoCardinal(X,T) \subseteq Pow(X) by auto
    fix U
    assume A:U \in Pow(X)
    then have X-U \subseteq X by auto
    then have X-U <X using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
    then have X-U≺ T using lesspoll_trans1 assms by auto
    with A have U∈CoCardinal(X,T) using CoCardinal_def
      by auto
  then show Pow(X) \subseteq CoCardinal(X,T) by auto
If the cardinal is taken as T=1 then the topology is indiscrete.
lemma indiscrete_cocardinal:
  shows CoCardinal(X,1) = \{0,X\}
proof
  {
    fix Q
    assume Q \in CoCardinal(X,1)
    then have Q \in Pow(X) and Q=0 \lor X-Q \prec 1 using CoCardinal_def by auto
    then have Q \in Pow(X) and Q=0 \lor X-Q=0 using lesspoll_succ_iff lepoll_0_iff
    then have Q=0 \lor Q=X by blast
  then show CoCardinal(X,1) \subseteq \{0, X\} by auto
  have 0 \in CoCardinal(X,1) using CoCardinal_def by auto
  moreover
  have 0≺1 and X-X=0 using lesspoll_succ_iff by auto
  then have X \in CoCardinal(X,1) using CoCardinal\_def by auto
  ultimately show \{0, X\} \subseteq CoCardinal(X,1) by auto
The topological subspaces of the CoCardinal (X,T) topology are also CoCar-
dinal topologies.
lemma subspace_cocardinal:
  shows CoCardinal(X,T) {restricted to} Y = CoCardinal(Y \cap X,T)
proof
  {
    fix M
```

```
assume M \in (CoCardinal(X,T) \{restricted to\} Y)
    then obtain A where A1:A \in CoCardinal(X,T) M=Y \cap A using RestrictedTo_def
by auto
    then have M \in Pow(X \cap Y) using CoCardinal_def by auto
    moreover
    from A1 have (Y \cap X)-M = (Y \cap X)-A using CoCardinal_def by auto
    with \langle (Y \cap X) - M = (Y \cap X) - A \rangle have (Y \cap X) - M \subseteq X - A by auto
    then have (Y \cap X)-M \lesssim X-A using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
    with A1 have (Y \cap X)-M \prec T \lor M=0 using lesspoll_trans1 CoCardinal_def
      by auto
    ultimately have M \in CoCardinal(Y \cap X, T) using CoCardinal_def
      by auto
  then show CoCardinal(X,T) {restricted to} Y \subseteq CoCardinal(Y \cap X,T) by
auto
  {
    fix M
    let A = M \cup (X-Y)
    assume A:M \in CoCardinal(Y \cap X,T)
      assume M=0
      hence M=0 \cap Y by auto
      then have M∈CoCardinal(X,T) {restricted to} Y using RestrictedTo_def
        CoCardinal_def by auto
    }
    moreover
    {
      assume AS:M≠0
      from A AS have A1: (M\inPow(Y \cap X) \wedge (Y \cap X)-M\precT) using CoCardinal_def
by auto
      hence A∈Pow(X) by blast
      moreover
      have X-A=(Y \cap X)-M by blast
      with A1 have X-A< T by auto
      ultimately have A∈CoCardinal(X,T) using CoCardinal_def by auto
      then have AT:Y \cap A\inCoCardinal(X,T) {restricted to} Y using RestrictedTo_def
        by auto
      have Y \cap A=Y \cap M by blast
      also from A1 have ...=M by auto
      finally have Y \cap A=M by simp
      with AT have MeCoCardinal(X,T) {restricted to} Y
        by auto
    }
    ultimately have M∈CoCardinal(X,T) {restricted to} Y by auto
  then show CoCardinal(Y \cap X, T) \subseteq CoCardinal(X,T) {restricted to} Y
by auto
\mathbf{qed}
```

62.3 Excluded Set Topology

In this section, we consider all the subsets of a set which have empty intersection with a fixed set.

The excluded set topology consists of subsets of X that are disjoint with a fixed set U.

```
definition ExcludedSet(X,U) \equiv {F\inPow(X). U \cap F=0}\cup {X}
For any set; we prove that ExcludedSet(X,Q) forms a topology.
theorem excludedset_is_topology:
  shows ExcludedSet(X,Q) {is a topology}
proof-
  {
     assume M ∈ Pow(ExcludedSet(X,Q))
     then have A:M\subseteq{F\inPow(X). Q \cap F=0}\cup {X} using ExcludedSet_def by
     hence [ ]M∈Pow(X) by auto
     moreover
     {
       have B:Q \cap \bigcup M=\bigcup \{Q \cap T. T \in M\} by auto
          assume X∉M
          with A have M\subseteq{F\inPow(X). Q \cap F=0} by auto
          with B have Q \cap \bigcup M=0 by auto
       moreover
       {
          assume X \in M
          with A have []M=X by auto
       ultimately have Q \cap []M=0 \lor []M=X by auto
     ultimately have UM∈ExcludedSet(X,Q) using ExcludedSet_def by auto
  }
  moreover
     fix U V
     \mathbf{assume} \ \ \mathtt{U} {\in} \mathtt{ExcludedSet}(\mathtt{X}, \mathtt{Q}) \ \ \mathtt{V} {\in} \mathtt{ExcludedSet}(\mathtt{X}, \mathtt{Q})
     then have U \in Pow(X) V \in Pow(X) U = X \lor U \cap Q = 0 V = X \lor V \cap Q = 0 using ExcludedSet_def
     hence U \in Pow(X) V \in Pow(X) (U \cap V) = X \vee Q \cap (U \cap V) = 0 by auto
     then have (U \cap V) \in ExcludedSet(X,Q) using ExcludedSet_def by auto
  ultimately show thesis using IsATopology_def by auto
qed
```

We can use topology0 when discussing excluded set topology.

```
theorem topology0_excludedset:
    shows topology0(ExcludedSet(X,T))
    using topology0_def excludedset_is_topology by auto

Choosing a singleton set, it is considered a point in excluded topology.

definition
    ExcludedPoint(X,p) = ExcludedSet(X,{p})
```

62.4 Total set, closed sets, interior, closure and boundary

Here we discuss what are closed sets, interior, closure and boundary in excluded set topology.

```
The topology is defined in the set X
lemma union_excludedset:
  shows | \text{JExcludedSet}(X,T) = X
proof-
  have X ∈ExcludedSet(X,T) using ExcludedSet_def by auto
  then show thesis using ExcludedSet_def by auto
The closed sets are those which contain the set (X \cap T) and 0.
lemma closed_sets_excludedset:
  shows D {is closed in}ExcludedSet(X,T) \longleftrightarrow (D\inPow(X) \land (X \cap T) \subseteq D)
∨ D=0
proof-
  {
    fix x
    assume A:D \subseteq X X-D \inExcludedSet(X,T) D\neq0 x\inT x\inX
    from A(1) have B:X-(X-D)=D by auto
    from A(2) have T∩(X-D)=0∨ X-D=X using ExcludedSet_def by auto
    hence T \cap (X-D) = 0 \vee X - (X-D) = X - X by auto
    with B have T \cap (X-D) = 0 \lor D = X-X by auto
    hence T \cap (X-D) = 0 \lor D = 0 by auto
    with A(3) have T\cap(X-D)=0 by auto
    with A(4) have x \notin X-D by auto
    with A(5) have x \in D by auto
  }
  moreover
    assume A:X\cap T\subseteq D D\subseteq X
    from A(1) have X-D\subseteq X-(X\cap T) by auto
    also have ... = X-T by auto
    finally have T \cap (X-D) = 0 by auto
    moreover
    have X-D \in Pow(X) by auto
    ultimately have X-D ExcludedSet(X,T) using ExcludedSet_def by auto
  }
```

```
ultimately show thesis using IsClosed_def union_excludedset ExcludedSet_def
    by auto
qed
The interior of a set is itself if it is X or the difference with the set T
lemma interior_set_excludedset:
  assumes A\subseteq X
 shows Interior(A,ExcludedSet(X,T)) = (if A=X then X else A-T)
proof-
  {
    assume A:A\neq X
    from assms have A-T ExcludedSet(X,T) using ExcludedSet_def by auto
    then have A-T⊆Interior(A,ExcludedSet(X,T))
    using Interior_def by auto
    moreover
      fix U
      assume U \in ExcludedSet(X,T) U\subseteq A
      then have T\capU=0 \vee U=XU\subseteqA using ExcludedSet_def by auto
      with A assms have T∩U=0U⊆A by auto
      then have U-T=UU-T⊂A-T by auto
      then have U\subseteq A-T by auto
    then have Interior(A,ExcludedSet(X,T))⊆A-T using Interior_def by
    ultimately have Interior(A, ExcludedSet(X,T))=A-T by auto
  }
  moreover
 have X∈ExcludedSet(X,T) using ExcludedSet_def
 union\_excludedset\ by\ auto
 then have Interior(X,ExcludedSet(X,T)) = X using topology0.Top_2_L3
 topology0_excludedset by auto
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
The closure of a set is itself if it is 0 or the union with T.
lemma closure_set_excludedset:
 assumes A\subseteq X
 shows Closure(A,ExcludedSet(X,T))=(if A=0 then 0 else A \cup(X\cap T))
proof-
 have 0∈ClosedCovers(0,ExcludedSet(X,T)) using ClosedCovers_def
    closed_sets_excludedset by auto
  then have Closure(0,ExcludedSet(X,T))⊆0 using Closure_def by auto
 hence Closure(0,ExcludedSet(X,T))=0 by blast
 moreover
  {
    assume A:A≠0
    with assms have (A \cup (X \cap T)) {is closed in}ExcludedSet(X,T) using closed_sets_excludedset
```

```
by blast
    then have (A \cup (X \cap T)) \in \{D \in Pow(X). D \text{ (is closed in)} ExcludedSet(X,T)\}
\land A \subseteq D
    using assms by auto
    then have (A \cup (X \cap T)) \in ClosedCovers(A, ExcludedSet(X,T)) unfolding
ClosedCovers_def
    using union_excludedset by auto
    then have 11:\bigcap ClosedCovers(A,ExcludedSet(X,T)) \subseteq (A \cup (X \cap T)) by
blast
    {
      fix U
      assume U∈ClosedCovers(A,ExcludedSet(X,T))
      then have U{is closed in}ExcludedSet(X,T) and A⊆U using ClosedCovers_def
       union_excludedset by auto
      then have U=0\lor(X\cap T)\subseteq U and A\subseteq U using closed_sets_excludedset
       by auto
      with A have (X \cap T) \subseteq UA \subseteq U by auto
      hence (X \cap T) \cup A \subseteq U by auto
    with assms have (A \cup (X \cap T)) \subseteq \bigcap ClosedCovers(A, ExcludedSet(X,T))
      using topology0.Top_3_L3 topology0_excludedset union_excludedset
      by auto
    with 11 have \bigcap ClosedCovers(A,ExcludedSet(X,T)) = (A\bigcup(X\bigcapT)) by auto
    then have Closure(A, ExcludedSet(X,T)) = A \cup (X \cap T) using Closure\_def
      by auto
  }
  ultimately show thesis by auto
The boundary of a set is 0 if A is X or 0, and X \cap T in other case.
lemma boundary_excludedset:
  assumes A\subseteq X
  shows Boundary(A,ExcludedSet(X,T)) = (if A=0\lorA=X then 0 else X\capT)
proof-
  {
    have Closure(0,ExcludedSet(X,T))=OClosure(X - 0,ExcludedSet(X,T))=X
    using closure_set_excludedset by auto
    then have Boundary(0,ExcludedSet(X,T)) = Ousing Boundary_def using
      union_excludedset assms by auto
  }
  moreover
    have X-X=0 by blast
    then have Closure(X,ExcludedSet(X,T)) = X and Closure(X-X,ExcludedSet(X,T))
```

```
using closure_set_excludedset by auto
     then have Boundary(X,ExcludedSet(X,T)) = Ounfolding Boundary_def
using
       union_excludedset by auto
  }
  moreover
     assume A \neq 0 and A \neq X
     then have X-A\neq 0 using assms by auto
     with assms (A \neq 0) (A \subseteq X) have Closure(A,ExcludedSet(X,T)) = A \cup (X\capT)
       using closure_set_excludedset by simp
     moreover
     \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle \mathtt{A} \subseteq \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{X-A} \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{X} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{blast}
     with (X-A\neq 0) have Closure(X-A,ExcludedSet(X,T)) = (X-A) \cup (X\capT)
       using closure_set_excludedset by simp
     ultimately have Boundary(A, ExcludedSet(X,T)) = X \cap T
       using Boundary_def union_excludedset by auto
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
```

62.5 Special cases and subspaces

This section provides some miscellaneous facts about excluded set topologies.

The excluded set topology is equal in the sets T and $X \cap T$.

```
lemma smaller_excludedset:
    shows ExcludedSet(X,T) = ExcludedSet(X,(X∩T))
proof
    show ExcludedSet(X,T) ⊆ ExcludedSet(X, X∩T) and ExcludedSet(X, X∩T)
    ⊆ExcludedSet(X,T)
        unfolding ExcludedSet_def by auto
qed
If the set which is evaluded is disjoint with X, then the topology is discrete.
```

If the set which is excluded is disjoint with X, then the topology is discrete.

```
lemma empty_excludedset:
   assumes T∩X=0
   shows ExcludedSet(X,T) = Pow(X)
proof
   from assms show ExcludedSet(X,T) ⊆ Pow(X) using smaller_excludedset
ExcludedSet_def
   by auto
   from assms show Pow(X) ⊆ExcludedSet(X,T) unfolding ExcludedSet_def
by blast
qed
```

The topological subspaces of the ExcludedSet X T topology are also ExcludedSet topologies.

```
lemma subspace_excludedset:
  shows ExcludedSet(X,T) {restricted to} Y = ExcludedSet(Y \cap X, T)
proof
  {
    \mathbf{fix} M
    assume M \in (ExcludedSet(X,T) \{restricted to\} Y)
    then obtain A where A1:A:ExcludedSet(X,T) M=Y ∩ A unfolding RestrictedTo_def
    then have M \in Pow(X \cap Y) unfolding ExcludedSet_def by auto
    moreover
    from A1 have T∩M=0∨M=Y∩X unfolding ExcludedSet_def by blast
    ultimately have M \in ExcludedSet(Y \cap X,T) unfolding ExcludedSet\_def
      by auto
  then show ExcludedSet(X,T) {restricted to} Y \subseteq ExcludedSet(Y\capX,T)
by auto
  {
    fix M
    let A = M \cup ((X \cap Y - T) - Y)
    assume A:M \in ExcludedSet(Y \cap X,T)
      assume M = Y \cap X
      then have M ∈ ExcludedSet(X,T) {restricted to} Y unfolding RestrictedTo_def
        ExcludedSet_def by auto
    }
    moreover
    {
      assume AS:M \neq Y \cap X
      from A AS have A1:(M\inPow(Y \cap X) \wedge T\capM=0) unfolding ExcludedSet_def
by auto
      then have A∈Pow(X) by blast
      moreover
      have T \cap A = T \cap M by blast
      with A1 have T \cap A=0 by auto
      ultimately have A ∈ExcludedSet(X,T) unfolding ExcludedSet_def by
auto
      then have AT:Y \cap A \inExcludedSet(X,T) {restricted to} Y unfold-
\mathbf{ing} RestrictedTo_def
        by auto
      have Y \cap A=Y \cap M by blast
      also have ...=M using A1 by auto
      finally have Y \cap A = M by simp
      with AT have M ∈ExcludedSet(X,T) {restricted to} Y by auto
    }
    ultimately have M ∈ExcludedSet(X,T) {restricted to} Y by auto
  then show ExcludedSet(Y \cap X,T) \subseteq ExcludedSet(X,T) {restricted to}
Y by auto
qed
```

62.6 Included Set Topology

In this section we consider the subsets of a set which contain a fixed set. The family defined in this section and the one in the previous section are dual; meaning that the closed set of one are the open sets of the other.

We define the included set topology as the collection of supersets of some fixed subset of the space X.

definition

```
IncludedSet(X,U) \equiv \{F \in Pow(X). U \subseteq F\} \cup \{0\}
```

In the next theorem we prove that IncludedSet X Q forms a topology.

```
theorem includedset_is_topology:
  shows IncludedSet(X,Q) {is a topology}
proof-
  {
    fix M
    assume M ∈ Pow(IncludedSet(X,Q))
    then have A:M\subseteq \{F\in Pow(X): Q\subseteq F\}\cup \{0\} using IncludedSet_def by auto
    then have []M\in Pow(X) by auto
    moreover
    have Q \subseteq M \setminus M = 0 using A by blast
    ultimately have UM∈IncludedSet(X,Q) using IncludedSet_def by auto
  moreover
    fix U V
    assume U∈IncludedSet(X,Q) V∈IncludedSet(X,Q)
    then have U \in Pow(X) V \in Pow(X) U = 0 \lor Q \subseteq UV = 0 \lor Q \subseteq V using IncludedSet_def
    then have U \in Pow(X) V \in Pow(X) (U \cap V) = 0 \lor Q \subset (U \cap V) by auto
    then have (U \cap V) \in IncludedSet(X,Q) using IncludedSet_def by auto
  ultimately show thesis using IsATopology_def by auto
```

We can reference the theorems proven in the topology0 context when discussing the included set topology.

```
theorem topology0_includedset:
    shows topology0(IncludedSet(X,T))
    using topology0_def includedset_is_topology by auto
```

Choosing a singleton set, it is considered a point excluded topology. In the following lemmas and theorems, when necessary it will be considered that $T\neq 0$ and $T\subseteq X$. These cases will appear in the special cases section.

definition

```
IncludedPoint (IncludedPoint _ _ 90) where
IncludedPoint X p = IncludedSet(X,{p})
```

62.7 Basic topological notions in included set topology

This section discusses total set, closed sets, interior, closure and boundary for included set topology.

```
The topology is defined in the set X.
lemma union_includedset:
  assumes T\subseteq X
  shows []IncludedSet(X,T) = X
proof-
  from \ assms \ have \ \texttt{X} \in \texttt{IncludedSet}(\texttt{X},\texttt{T}) \ using \ \texttt{IncludedSet\_def} \ by \ auto
  then show []IncludedSet(X,T) = X using IncludedSet_def by auto
The closed sets are those which are disjoint with T and X.
lemma closed_sets_includedset:
  assumes T\subseteq X
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{D} \ \{ \mathtt{is} \ \mathtt{closed} \ \mathtt{in} \} \ \mathtt{IncludedSet}(\mathtt{X},\mathtt{T}) \ \longleftrightarrow \ (\mathtt{D} \in \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{X}) \ \land \ (\mathtt{D} \ \cap \ \mathtt{T}) = \mathtt{0}) \lor \\
D=X
proof-
  have X-X=0 by blast
  then have X-X \in IncludedSet(X,T) using IncludedSet\_def by auto
  moreover
     \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{A:D} \subseteq \mathtt{X} \ \mathtt{X} \ \mathtt{-} \ \mathtt{D} \in \mathtt{IncludedSet}(\mathtt{X},\mathtt{T}) \quad \mathtt{D} \neq \mathtt{X}
     from A(2) have T⊆(X-D)\lor X-D=0 using IncludedSet_def by auto
     with A(1) have T\subseteq (X-D) \vee D=X by blast
     with A(3) have T\subseteq (X-D) by auto
     hence D \cap T=0 by blast
  moreover
     assume A:D\cap T=0D\subseteq X
     from A(1) assms have T\subseteq (X-D) by blast
     then have X-D∈IncludedSet(X,T) using IncludedSet_def by auto
  ultimately show thesis using IsClosed_def union_includedset assms by
auto
qed
The interior of a set is itself if it is open or the empty set if it isn't.
lemma interior_set_includedset:
  assumes A\subseteq X
  shows Interior(A,IncludedSet(X,T))= (if T\subseteq A then A else 0)
proof-
   {
     assume A:Interior(A,IncludedSet(X,T)) \neq 0 x\inT
     have Interior(A,IncludedSet(X,T)) ∈ IncludedSet(X,T) using
```

```
topology0.Top_2_L2 topology0_includedset by auto
    with A(1) have T \subseteq Interior(A,IncludedSet(X,T)) using IncludedSet_def
      by auto
    with A(2) have x \in Interior(A, IncludedSet(X,T)) by auto
    then have x∈A using topology0.Top_2_L1 topology0_includedset by auto}
    moreover
    assume T\subseteq A
    with assms have A∈IncludedSet(X,T) using IncludedSet_def by auto
    then have Interior(A,IncludedSet(X,T)) = A using topology0.Top_2_L3
      topology0\_includedset\ by\ auto
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
The closure of a set is itself if it is closed or the whole space if it is not.
lemma closure_set_includedset:
  assumes A\subseteq X T\subseteq X
  shows Closure(A,IncludedSet(X,T)) = (if T \cap A=0 then A else X)
proof-
  {
    assume AS:T\cap A=0
    then have A {is closed in} IncludedSet(X,T) using closed_sets_includedset
      assms by auto
    with assms(1) have Closure(A,IncludedSet(X,T))=A using topology0.Top_3_L8
      topology0_includedset union_includedset assms(2) by auto
  }
  moreover
    assume AS:T\cap A \neq 0
    have \ \texttt{X} {\in} \texttt{ClosedCovers}(\texttt{A}, \texttt{IncludedSet}(\texttt{X}, \texttt{T})) \ using \ \texttt{ClosedCovers\_def}
      closed_sets_includedset union_includedset assms by auto
    then have 11:∩ClosedCovers(A,IncludedSet(X,T))⊆X using Closure_def
      by auto
    moreover
    {
      fix U
      assume U∈ClosedCovers(A,IncludedSet(X,T))
      then have U{is closed in}IncludedSet(X,T)A⊆U using ClosedCovers_def
        by auto
      then have U=X\vee(T\cap U)=0A\subseteq U using closed_sets_includedset assms(2)
        by auto
      then have U=X\vee(T\cap A)=0 by auto
      then have U=X using AS by auto
    then have X \subseteq \bigcap ClosedCovers(A,IncludedSet(X,T)) using topology0.Top_3_L3
      topologyO_includedset union_includedset assms by auto
    ultimately have \bigcap ClosedCovers(A,IncludedSet(X,T))=X by auto
    then have Closure(A, IncludedSet(X,T)) = X
```

```
using Closure_def by auto
  }
  ultimately show thesis by auto
The boundary of a set is X-A if A contains T completely, is A if X-A contains
T completely and X if T is divided between the two sets. The case where T=0
is considered as a special case.
lemma boundary_includedset:
  assumes A \subseteq X T \subseteq X T \neq 0
  shows Boundary(A,IncludedSet(X,T))=(if T\subseteq A then X-A else (if T\cap A=0
then A else X))
proof -
  from (A\subseteq X) have X-A \subseteq X by auto
    \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{T} \subseteq \mathtt{A}
    with assms(2,3) have T \cap A \neq 0 and T \cap (X-A) = 0 by auto
    with assms(1,2) (X-A \subseteq X) have
       Closure(A,IncludedSet(X,T)) = X and Closure(X-A,IncludedSet(X,T))
= (X-A)
       using closure_set_includedset by auto
    with assms(2) have Boundary(A, IncludedSet(X,T)) = X-A
       using Boundary_def union_includedset by auto
  moreover
    assume ~(T\subseteq A) and T\cap A=0
    with assms(2) have T \cap (X-A) \neq 0 by auto
    with assms(1,2) \langle T \cap A=0 \rangle \langle X-A \subseteq X \rangle have
       Closure(A,IncludedSet(X,T)) = A and Closure(X-A,IncludedSet(X,T))
= X
       using closure_set_includedset by auto
    with assms(1,2) have Boundary(A,IncludedSet(X,T))=A using Boundary_def
union_includedset
       by auto
  }
  moreover
    assume ~(T\subseteqA) and T\capA \neq 0
    with assms(1,2) have T \cap (X-A) \neq 0 by auto
    with assms(1,2) \langle T \cap A \neq 0 \rangle \langle X - A \subseteq X \rangle have
       Closure(A,IncludedSet(X,T)) = X and Closure(X-A,IncludedSet(X,T))
= X
       using closure_set_includedset by auto
    with assms(2) have Boundary(A,IncludedSet(X,T)) = X
       using Boundary_def union_includedset by auto
  ultimately show thesis by auto
```

qed

62.8 Special cases and subspaces

In this section we discuss some corner cases when some parameters in our definitions are empty and provide some facts about subspaces in included set topologies.

```
The topology is discrete if T=0
lemma smaller_includedset:
  shows IncludedSet(X,0) = Pow(X)
proof
  show IncludedSet(X,0) \subseteq Pow(X) and Pow(X) \subseteq IncludedSet(X,0)
    unfolding IncludedSet_def by auto
qed
If the set which is included is not a subset of X, then the topology is trivial.
lemma empty_includedset:
  assumes \tilde{T}(T\subseteq X)
  shows IncludedSet(X,T) = \{0\}
proof
  from assms show IncludedSet(X,T) \subseteq {0} and {0} \subseteq IncludedSet(X,T)
    unfolding IncludedSet_def by auto
The topological subspaces of the IncludedSet(X,T) topology are also Includ-
edSet topologies. The trivial case does not fit the idea in the demonstration
because if Y\subseteq X then IncludedSet(Y\cap X, Y\cap T) is never trivial. There is no
need for a separate proof because the only subspace of the trivial topology
is itself.
lemma subspace_includedset:
  assumes T\subseteq X
  shows IncludedSet(X,T) {restricted to} Y = IncludedSet(Y \cap X,Y \cap T)
proof
  {
    fix M
    assume M ∈ (IncludedSet(X,T) {restricted to} Y)
    then obtain A where A1:A:IncludedSet(X,T) M = Y∩A unfolding RestrictedTo_def
      by auto
    then have M \in Pow(X \cap Y) unfolding IncludedSet_def by auto
    from A1 have Y \cap T \subseteq M \lor M=0 unfolding IncludedSet_def by blast
    ultimately have M \in IncludedSet(Y \cap X, Y \cap T) unfolding IncludedSet\_def
      by auto
  then show IncludedSet(X,T) {restricted to} Y \subseteq IncludedSet(Y \cap X, Y \cap T)
    by auto
```

```
let A = M \cup T
   assume A:M \in IncludedSet(Y \cap X, Y \cap T)
      assume M=0
      then have M∈IncludedSet(X,T) {restricted to} Y unfolding RestrictedTo_def
        IncludedSet_def by auto
   moreover
    {
      assume AS:M≠0
      from A AS have A1:M\inPow(Y\capX) \land Y\capT\subseteqM unfolding IncludedSet_def
      then have A∈Pow(X) using assms by blast
      moreover
      have T\subseteq A by blast
      auto
      then have AT:Y \cap A \in IncludedSet(X,T) {restricted to} Yunfolding
RestrictedTo_def
        by auto
      from A1 have Y \cap A=Y \cap M by blast
      also from A1 have ...=M by auto
      finally have Y \cap A = M by simp
      with AT have M ∈ IncludedSet(X,T) {restricted to} Y
        by auto
    }
    ultimately have M \in IncludedSet(X,T) {restricted to} Y by auto
 thus IncludedSet(Y \cap X, Y \cap T) \subseteq IncludedSet(X,T) {restricted to} Y by
auto
qed
end
```

63 More examples in topology

```
theory Topology_ZF_examples_1
imports Topology_ZF_1 Order_ZF
begin
```

In this theory file we reformulate the concepts related to a topology in relation with a base of the topology and we give examples of topologies defined by bases or subbases.

63.1 New ideas using a base for a topology

63.2 The topology of a base

Given a family of subsets satisfying the base condition, it is possible to construct a topology where that family is a base of. Even more, it is the only topology with such characteristics.

```
definition
```

```
TopologyWithBase (TopologyBase \_ 50) where U {satisfies the base condition} \Longrightarrow TopologyBase U \equiv THE T. U {is a base for} T
```

If a collection U of sets satisfies the base condition then the topology constructed from it is indeed a topology and U is a base for this topology.

```
theorem Base_topology_is_a_topology:
  assumes U {satisfies the base condition}
  shows (TopologyBase U) {is a topology} and U {is a base for} (TopologyBase
U)
proof-
  from assms obtain T where U {is a base for} T using
    Top_1_2_T1(2) by blast
  then have \exists ! T. U {is a base for} T using same_base_same_top ex1I[where
    \lambda T. U {is a base for} T] by blast
  with assms show U {is a base for} (TopologyBase U) using theI[where
    \lambda T. U {is a base for} T] TopologyWithBase_def by auto
  with assms show (TopologyBase U) {is a topology} using Top_1_2_T1(1)
    IsAbaseFor_def by auto
A base doesn't need the empty set.
lemma base_no_0:
  shows B{is a base for}T \longleftrightarrow (B-\{0\}){is a base for}T
proof-
  {
    fix M
    assume M \in \{ \bigcup A : A \in Pow(B) \}
    then obtain Q where M=( JQQ \in Pow(B) by auto
    hence M=\bigcup (Q-\{0\})Q-\{0\}\in Pow(B-\{0\}) by auto
    hence M \in \{\bigcup A : A \in Pow(B - \{0\})\} by auto
  hence \{ | A : A \in Pow(B) \} \subseteq \{ | A : A \in Pow(B - \{0\}) \} by blast
  moreover
  {
    fix M
    assume M \in \{ ( A : A \in Pow(B-\{0\}) \} 
    then obtain Q where M=\bigcup QQ \in Pow(B-\{0\}) by auto
```

hence $M=(J(Q)Q \in Pow(B))$ by auto

```
hence M \in \{ \{ \} A : A \in Pow(B) \}  by auto
  hence \{\bigcup A : A \in Pow(B - \{0\})\} \subseteq \{\bigcup A : A \in Pow(B)\}
     by auto
  ultimately have \{ | A : A \in Pow(B - \{0\}) \} = \{ | A : A \in Pow(B) \} by auto
  then show B{is a base for}T \longleftrightarrow (B-\{0\}){is a base for}T using IsAbaseFor_def
by auto
qed
The interior of a set is the union of all the sets of the base which are fully
contained by it.
lemma interior_set_base_topology:
  assumes U {is a base for} T T{is a topology}
  shows Interior(A,T) = \bigcup \{T \in U. T \subseteq A\}
proof
  have \{T \in U : T \subseteq A\} \subseteq U by auto
  with assms(1) have \iint \{T \in U : T \subseteq A\} \in T
     using IsAbaseFor_def by auto
  moreover have \bigcup \{T \in U. T \subseteq A\} \subseteq A by blast
  ultimately show \bigcup \{T \in U. T \subseteq A\} \subseteq Interior(A,T)
     using assms(2) topology0.Top_2_L5 topology0_def by auto
     fix x
    assume x \in Interior(A,T)
     with assms obtain V where V \in U V \subseteq Interior(A,T) x \in V
       using point_open_base_neigh topology0.Top_2_L2 topology0_def
       by blast
     with assms have V∈U x∈V V⊆A using topology0.Top_2_L1 topology0_def
       by auto
    hence x \in \{ \{ T \in U : T \subseteq A \} \} by auto
  thus Interior(A, T) \subseteq \bigcup \{T \in U : T \subseteq A\} by auto
qed
In the following, we offer another lemma about the closure of a set given a ba-
sis for a topology. This lemma is based on cl_inter_neigh and inter_neigh_cl.
It states that it is only necessary to check the sets of the base, not all the
open sets.
lemma closure_set_base_topology:
  assumes U {is a base for} Q Q{is a topology} A \subseteq \bigcup Q
  shows Closure(A,Q) = \{x \in \bigcup Q. \forall T \in U. x \in T \longrightarrow A \cap T \neq 0\}
proof
  {
    fix x
    assume A:x∈Closure(A,Q)
     with assms(2,3) have B:x∈[ ]Q using topology0_def topology0.Top_3_L11(1)
       by blast
    moreover
     {
```

```
fix T
        \mathbf{assume} \ T{\in} \mathtt{U} \ \mathtt{x}{\in} \mathtt{T}
        with assms(1) have T \in Qx \in T using base_sets_open by auto
        with assms(2,3) A have A \cap T \neq 0 using topology0_def topology0.cl_inter_neigh
           by auto
     hence \forall \, T {\in} U. \ x {\in} T {\longrightarrow} A {\cap} T {\neq} 0 \ by \ auto
     ultimately have x \in \{x \in \bigcup Q : \forall T \in U : x \in T \longrightarrow A \cap T \neq 0\} by auto
  thus Closure(A, Q) \subseteq \{x \in \bigcup Q. \forall T \in U. x \in T \longrightarrow A \cap T \neq 0\}
     by auto
   {
     fix x
     \mathbf{assume}\ \mathtt{AS} \colon \mathtt{x} \in \{\mathtt{x} \in \bigcup \mathtt{Q}\ .\ \forall\, \mathtt{T} \in \mathtt{U}.\ \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{T} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{A}\ \cap\ \mathtt{T} \ \neq\ \mathtt{0}\}
     hence x \in \bigcup Q by blast
     moreover
        fix R
        assume R \in Q
        with assms(1) obtain W where RR:WCU R=| |W using
           IsAbaseFor_def by auto
           \mathbf{assume} \ \ x{\in}R
           with RR(2) obtain WW where TT:WWeWxeWW by auto
              assume R∩A=0
              with RR(2) TT(1) have WW∩A=0 by auto
                with TT(1) RR(1) have WW∈U WW∩A=0 by auto
              with AS have x \in \bigcup Q-WW by auto
              with TT(2) have False by auto
           hence R \cap A \neq 0 by auto
        }
     hence \forall U \in Q. x \in U \longrightarrow U \cap A \neq 0 by auto
     ultimately have x \in Closure(A,Q) using assms(2,3) topology0_def topology0.inter_neigh_cl
        by auto
  then show \{x \in \bigcup Q : \forall T \in U : x \in T \longrightarrow A \cap T \neq 0\} \subseteq Closure(A,Q)
     by auto
qed
The restriction of a base is a base for the restriction.
lemma subspace_base_topology:
  assumes B {is a base for} T
  shows (B {restricted to} Y) {is a base for} (T {restricted to} Y)
proof -
  from assms have (B {restricted to} Y) \subseteq (T {restricted to} Y)
```

```
unfolding IsAbaseFor_def RestrictedTo_def by auto
  moreover have (T {restricted to} Y) = {\bigcup A. A \in Pow(B \{restricted to\})\}
Y)}
  proof
     { fix U assume U \in (T \{ restricted to \} Y)
       then obtain W where W∈T and U = W∩Y unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by blast
       with assms obtain C where C∈Pow(B) and W=[ JC unfolding IsAbaseFor_def
          by blast
       let A={V \cap Y. V \in C}
       \mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{C} \in \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{B}) \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{U} = \mathtt{W} \cap \mathtt{Y} \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{W} = \bigcup \mathtt{C} \rangle \ \mathbf{have}
          A \in Pow(B \{restricted to\} Y) \text{ and } U=(\bigcup A)
          unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
       hence U \in \{ | A. A \in Pow(B \{restricted to\} Y) \} by blast
     } thus (T {restricted to} Y) \subseteq {\lambda A. A \in Pow(B {restricted to} Y)}
       by auto
     { fix U assume U \in \{\bigcup A. A \in Pow(B \{restricted to\} Y)\}
       then obtain A where A: A \subseteq (B \{ restricted to \} Y) \text{ and } U = (\bigcup A)
       let A_0 = \{C \in B : Y \cap C \in A\}
       from A have A_0 \subseteq B and A = A_0 {restricted to} Y unfolding RestrictedTo_def
       with \langle U = (\bigcup A) \rangle have A_0 \subseteq B and U = \bigcup (A_0 \{ restricted to \} Y)
       with assms have U ∈ (T {restricted to} Y) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
IsAbaseFor_def
     } thus {| JA. A ∈ Pow(B {restricted to} Y)} ⊆ (T {restricted to} Y)
by blast
  qed
  ultimately show thesis unfolding IsAbaseFor_def by simp
If the base of a topology is contained in the base of another topology, then
the topologies maintain the same relation.
theorem base_subset:
  assumes B{is a base for}TB2{is a base for}T2B⊆B2
  shows T\subseteq T2
proof
  {
    fix x
    assume x \in T
     with assms(1) obtain M where MCBx=| |M using IsAbaseFor_def by auto
     with assms(3) have M⊆B2x=[ ]M by auto
     with assms(2) show x \in T2 using IsAbaseFor_def by auto
qed
```

63.3 Dual Base for Closed Sets

A dual base for closed sets is the collection of complements of sets of a base for the topology.

```
definition
  DualBase (DualBase _ _ 80) where
  B{is a base for}T \Longrightarrow DualBase B T\equiv{\bigcupT-U. U\inB}\cup{\bigcupT}
lemma closed_inter_dual_base:
  assumes D{is closed in}TB{is a base for}T
  obtains M where M⊂DualBase B TD=∩M
  assume K: M. M \subseteq DualBase B T \Longrightarrow D = M \Longrightarrow thesis
    assume AS:D≠[JT
    from assms(1) have D:D\in Pow(\bigcup T)\bigcup T-D\in T using IsClosed_def by auto
    hence A: \bigcup T-(\bigcup T-D)=D\bigcup T-D\in T by auto
    with assms(2) obtain Q where QQ:Q∈Pow(B) | JT-D=| JQ using IsAbaseFor_def
by auto
    {
      assume Q=0
      then have | |Q=0 by auto
      with QQ(2) have \bigcup T-D=0 by auto
      with D(1) have D=\bigcup T by auto
      with AS have False by auto
    hence QNN:Q\neq 0 by auto
    from QQ(2) A(1) have D=\bigcup T-\bigcup Q by auto
    with QNN have D=\bigcap \{\bigcup T-R. R \in Q\} by auto
    moreover
    with assms(2) QQ(1) have {[\ \ ]T-R. R\inQ}\subseteqDualBase B T using DualBase_def
    with calculation K have thesis by auto
  }
  moreover
    assume AS:D=[]T
    moreover
    have \bigcup T = \bigcap \{\bigcup T\} by auto
    with calculation K AS have thesis by auto
  ultimately show thesis by auto
```

We have already seen for a base that whenever there is a union of open sets, we can consider only basic open sets due to the fact that any open set is a union of basic open sets. What we should expect now is that when there is

```
an intersection of closed sets, we can consider only dual basic closed sets.
lemma closure_dual_base:
      assumes U (is a base for) QQ(is a topology)A \subseteq \bigcup Q
      shows Closure(A,Q)=\bigcap \{T \in DualBase U Q. A \subseteq T\}
proof
      from assms(1) have T: ∪Q∈DualBase U Q using DualBase_def by auto
      moreover
             fix T
            assume A:T\in DualBase\ U\ Q\ A\subseteq T
             with assms(1) obtain R where (T=\bigcup Q-R \land R \in U) \lor T=\bigcup Q using DualBase_def
             with A(2) assms(1,2) have (T\{is\ closed\ in\}Q)A\subseteq TT\in Pow(\bigcup Q) using
topology0.Top_3_L1 topology0_def
                    topology0.Top_3_L9 base_sets_open by auto
      then have SUB:\{T \in Dual Base \ U \ Q. \ A \subseteq T\} \subseteq \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q). \ (T\{is \ closed \ in\}Q) \land A \subseteq T\}
            by blast
      with calculation assms(3) have \bigcap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : (T\{is closed in}Q) \land A \subseteq T\} \subseteq \bigcap \{T \in DualBase \} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in DualBase \} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{is closed in}Q\} \cap \{T \in Pow(\bigcup Q) : T\{i
U Q. A\subseteq T
            by auto
      then show Closure(A,Q)\subseteq \bigcap \{T\in DualBase\ U\ Q.\ A\subseteq T\}\ using\ Closure_def\ ClosedCovers_def
            by auto
       {
             fix x
             assume A:x\in \bigcap \{T\in DualBase\ U\ Q.\ A\subseteq T\}
             {
                    fix T
                    assume B:x\in TT\in U
                          assume C:A∩T=0
                          from B(2) assms(1) have | |Q-T∈DualBase U Q using DualBase_def
                                 by auto
                          moreover
                          from C assms(3) have A\subseteq \bigcup Q-T by auto
                          moreover
                          from B(1) have x \notin \bigcup Q-T by auto
                          ultimately have x \notin \bigcap \{T \in DualBase U Q. A \subseteq T\} by auto
                          with A have False by auto
                    hence A \cap T \neq 0 by auto
             hence \forall T \in U. x \in T \longrightarrow A \cap T \neq 0 by auto
             moreover
             from T A assms(3) have x \in \bigcup Q by auto
             with calculation assms have x \in Closure(A,Q) using closure_set_base_topology
                by auto
      thus \bigcap \{T \in DualBase\ U\ Q\ .\ A \subseteq T\} \subseteq Closure(A,\ Q)\ by auto
```

63.4 Partition topology

In the theory file Partitions_ZF.thy; there is a definition to work with partitions. In this setting is much easier to work with a family of subsets.

definition

```
IsAPartition (_{is a partition of}_ 90) where (U {is a partition of} X) \equiv (\bigcup U=X \land (\forall A\in U. \forall B\in U. A=B\lor A\cap B=0)\land 0\notin U)
```

A subcollection of a partition is a partition of its union.

```
lemma subpartition:
```

```
assumes U {is a partition of} X V \subseteq U shows V{is a partition of} \bigcup V using assms unfolding IsAPartition_def by auto
```

A restriction of a partition is a partition. If the empty set appears it has to be removed.

```
lemma restriction_partition:
   assumes U {is a partition of}X
   shows ((U {restricted to} Y)-{0}) {is a partition of} (X∩Y)
   using assms unfolding IsAPartition_def RestrictedTo_def
   by fast
```

Given a partition, the complement of a union of a subfamily is a union of a subfamily.

```
lemma diff_union_is_union_diff:
  assumes R\subseteq P P {is a partition of} X
  shows X - \bigcup R = \bigcup (P-R)
proof
  {
    fix x
    assume x \in X - \bigcup R
    hence P:x\in Xx\notin \bigcup R by auto
     {
       fix T
       assume T \in R
       with P(2) have x \notin T by auto
     with P(1) assms(2) obtain Q where Q \in (P-R) \times Q using IsAPartition_def
     hence x \in (J(P-R)) by auto
  thus X - \bigcup R \subseteq \bigcup (P-R) by auto
  {
    fix x
     assume x \in J(P-R)
     then obtain Q where Q \in P-Rx \in Q by auto
```

```
hence C: \mathbb{Q} \in \mathbb{P} \mathbb{Q} \notin \mathbb{R} \times \in \mathbb{Q} by auto then have x \in \mathbb{U} \mathbb{P} by auto with assms(2) have x \in \mathbb{X} using IsAPartition_def by auto moreover { assume x \in \mathbb{U} \mathbb{R} then obtain t where G: t \in \mathbb{R} \times \in t by auto with C(3) assms(1) have t \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq 0 t \in \mathbb{P} by auto with assms(2) C(1,3) have t = \mathbb{Q} using IsAPartition_def by blast with C(2) G(1) have False by auto } thence x \notin \mathbb{U} \mathbb{R} by auto ultimately have x \in \mathbb{X} - \mathbb{U} \mathbb{R} by auto } thus \mathbb{U}(\mathbb{P} - \mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathbb{X} - \mathbb{U} \mathbb{R} by auto qed
```

63.5 Partition topology is a topology.

A partition satisfies the base condition.

```
lemma partition_base_condition:
  assumes P {is a partition of} X
  shows P {satisfies the base condition}
proof-
     fix U V
     \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{AS:U} {\in} \mathtt{P} {\wedge} \mathtt{V} {\in} \mathtt{P}
     with assms have A:U=V∨ U∩V=0 using IsAPartition_def by auto
     {
        fix x
        assume ASS:x\in U\cap V
        with A have U=V by auto
        with AS ASS have U \in Px \in U \land U \subseteq U \cap V by auto
        hence \exists W \in P. x \in W \land W \subseteq U \cap V by auto
     hence (\forall x \in U \cap V. \exists W \in P. x \in W \land W \subseteq U \cap V) by auto
  then show thesis using SatisfiesBaseCondition_def by auto
qed
```

Since a partition is a base of a topology, and this topology is uniquely determined; we can built it. In the definition we have to make sure that we have a partition.

definition

```
PartitionTopology (PTopology _ _ 50) where (U {is a partition of} X) \Longrightarrow PTopology X U \equiv TopologyBase U
```

```
theorem Ptopology_is_a_topology:
  assumes U {is a partition of} X
  shows (PTopology X U) {is a topology} and U {is a base for} (PTopology
X U)
  using assms Base_topology_is_a_topology partition_base_condition
    PartitionTopology_def by auto
lemma topology0_ptopology:
  assumes U {is a partition of} X
  shows topology (PTopology X U)
  \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{Ptopology\_is\_a\_topology} \ \mathtt{topology0\_def} \ \mathtt{assms} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
63.6
       Total set, Closed sets, Interior, Closure and Boundary
The topology is defined in the set X
lemma union_ptopology:
  assumes U {is a partition of} X
  shows [](PTopology X U)=X
  using assms Ptopology_is_a_topology(2) Top_1_2_L5
    IsAPartition_def by auto
The closed sets are the open sets.
lemma closed_sets_ptopology:
  assumes T {is a partition of} X
  {f shows}{f D} {is closed in} (PTopology X T) \longleftrightarrow D\in(PTopology X T)
proof
  from assms
  have B:T{is a base for}(PTopology X T) using Ptopology_is_a_topology(2)
by auto
  {
    fix D
    assume D {is closed in} (PTopology X T)
    with assms have A:D∈Pow(X)X-D∈(PTopology X T)
      using IsClosed_def union_ptopology by auto
    from A(2) B obtain R where Q:RCT X-D=\( \) R using Top_1_2_L1[where
B=T and U=X-D]
      by auto
    from A(1) have X-(X-D)=D by blast
    with Q(2) have D=X-| JR by auto
    with Q(1) assms have D=∪(T-R) using diff_union_is_union_diff
      by auto
    with B show D∈(PTopology X T) using IsAbaseFor_def by auto
    \mathbf{fix} \ \mathtt{D}
    assume D∈(PTopology X T)
    with B obtain R where Q:R\subseteq TD=\bigcup R using IsAbaseFor_def by auto
    hence X-D=X-\bigcup R by auto
    with Q(1) assms have X-D=[](T-R) using diff_union_is_union_diff
```

```
by auto
with B have X-D∈(PTopology X T) using IsAbaseFor_def by auto
moreover
from Q have D⊆∪T by auto
with assms have D⊆X using IsAPartition_def by auto
with calculation assms show D{is closed in} (PTopology X T)
using IsClosed_def union_ptopology by auto
}
qed

There is a formula for the interior given by an intersection of sets of the definition.
```

There is a formula for the interior given by an intersection of sets of the dual base. Is the intersection of all the closed sets of the dual basis such that they do not complement A to X. Since the interior of X must be inside X, we have to enter X as one of the sets to be intersected.

```
lemma interior_set_ptopology:
  assumes U {is a partition of} XA⊆X
  shows Interior(A,(PTopology X U))=∩{T∈DualBase U (PTopology X U).
T=X \lor T \cup A \neq X
proof
  {
    fix x
    assume x∈Interior(A,(PTopology X U))
    with assms obtain R where A:x∈RR∈(PTopology X U)R⊆A
      using topology0.open_open_neigh topology0_ptopology
      topology0.Top_2_L2 topology0.Top_2_L1
      by auto
    with assms obtain B where B:BCUR=( JB using Ptopology_is_a_topology(2)
      IsAbaseFor_def by auto
    from A(1,3) assms have XX:x \in XX \in \{T \in Dual Base U (PTopology X U). T = X \lor T \cup A \neq X\}
      using union_ptopology[of UX] DualBase_def[ofU] Ptopology_is_a_topology(2)[of
UX] by (safe, blast, auto)
    moreover
    from B(2) A(1) obtain S where C:S\in Bx\in S by auto
    {
      fix T
      assume AS:T\in DualBase\ U\ (PTopology\ X\ U)T\ \cup A\neq X
      from AS(1) assms obtain w where (T=X-w \land w \in U) \lor (T=X)
        using DualBase_def union_ptopology Ptopology_is_a_topology(2)
      with assms(2) AS(2) have D:T=X-ww∈U by auto
      from D(2) have w\subseteq \bigcup U by auto
      with assms(1) have w⊆( J(PTopology X U) using Ptopology_is_a_topology(2)
Top_1_2_L5[of UPTopology X U]
        by auto
      with assms(1) have w \( \subseteq X \) using union_ptopology by auto
      with D(1) have X-T=w by auto
      with D(2) have X-T∈U by auto
        assume x \in X-T
```

```
with C B(1) have S \in US \cap (X-T) \neq 0 by auto
                  with (X-T\in U) assms(1) have X-T=S using IsAPartition_def by auto
                  with \langle X-T=w \rangle \langle T=X-w \rangle have X-S=T by auto
                  with AS(2) have X-S \cup A \neq X by auto
                  from A(3) B(2) C(1) have S\subseteq A by auto
                  hence X-A\subseteq X-S by auto
                  with assms(2) have X-SUA=X by auto
                  with (X-S\cup A\neq X) have False by auto
              then have x \in T using XX by auto
         ultimately have x \in \bigcap \{T \in DualBase\ U\ (PTopology\ X\ U).\ T=X \lor T \cup A \neq X\}
              by auto
    thus Interior(A,(PTopology X U))\subseteq \cap \{T \in DualBase\ U\ (PTopology\ X\ U).\ T=X \lor T \cup A \neq X\}
by auto
     {
         fix x
         assume p:x\in \bigcap \{T\in DualBase\ U\ (PTopology\ X\ U).\ T=X\lor T\cup A\neq X\}
         hence noE: \bigcap \{T \in DualBase\ U\ (PTopology\ X\ U).\ T=X \lor T \cup A \neq X\} \neq 0\ by\ auto
              fix T
              assume T∈DualBase U (PTopology X U)
              with assms(1) obtain w where T=X\vee(w\in U\wedge T=X-w) using DualBase_def
                  Ptopology_is_a_topology(2) union_ptopology by auto
              with assms(1) have T=X∨(w∈(PTopology X U)∧T=X-w) using base_sets_open
                  Ptopology_is_a_topology(2) by blast
              with assms(1) have T{is closed in}(PTopology X U) using topology0.Top_3_L1[where
T=PTopology X U]
                  topology0_ptopology topology0.Top_3_L9[where T=PTopology X U]
union_ptopology
                  by auto
         moreover
         from assms(1) p have X \in \{T \in DualBase\ U\ (PTopology\ X\ U).\ T=X \lor T \cup A \neq X\} and
X:x∈X using Ptopology_is_a_topology(2)
              DualBase_def union_ptopology by auto
         with calculation assms(1) have (∩{T∈DualBase U (PTopology X U).
T=X \lor T \cup A \neq X) {is closed in}(PTopology X U)
              using topology0.Top_3_L4[where K={T∈DualBase U (PTopology X U).
T=X \lor T \cup A \neq X}] topology0_ptopology[where U=U and X=X]
              by auto
         with assms(1) have ab:(\bigcap \{T \in DualBase\ U\ (PTopology\ X\ U).\ T=X \lor T \cup A \neq X\})\in (PTopology\ X)
X U)
              using closed_sets_ptopology by auto
         with assms(1) obtain B where B \in Pow(U) \cap \{T \in DualBase\ U\ (PTopology\ PTopology\ PTo
X U). T=X \lor T \cup A \neq X \rbrace) = \bigcup B
              using Ptopology_is_a_topology(2) IsAbaseFor_def by auto
         with p obtain R where x \in RR \in UR \subseteq (\bigcap \{T \in DualBase\ U\ (PTopology\ X\ U).
```

```
T=X \lor T \cup A \neq X
       by auto
    with assms(1) have R:x\in RR\in (PTopology\ X\ U)R\subseteq (\bigcap\{T\in DualBase\ U\ (PTopology\ X\ U\}, G\in U\})
X U). T=X \lor T \cup A \neq X) X-R \in DualBase U (PTopology X U)
       using base_sets_open Ptopology_is_a_topology(2) DualBase_def union_ptopology
       by (safe,blast,simp,blast)
       assume (X-R) \cup A \neq X
       with R(4) have X-Re{TeDualBase U (PTopology X U). T=X \lor T \cup A \neq X} by
auto
       hence \bigcap \{T \in DualBase U (PTopology X U). T=X \lor T \cup A \neq X\} \subseteq X-R by auto
       with R(3) have R\subseteq X-R using subset_trans[where A=R and C=X-R] by
auto
       hence R=0 by blast
       with R(1) have False by auto
    hence I:(X-R) \cup A=X by auto
       fix y
       assume ASR:y \in R
       with R(2) have y \in \bigcup (PTopology X U) by auto
       with assms(1) have XX:y∈X using union_ptopology by auto
       with I have y \in (X-R) \cup A by auto
       with XX have y \notin R \lor y \in A by auto
       with ASR have y∈A by auto
    hence R\subseteq A by auto
    with R(1,2) have \exists R \in (PTopology X U). (x \in R \land R \subseteq A) by auto
    with assms(1) have x ∈ Interior(A, (PTopology X U)) using topology0.Top_2_L6
       topology0_ptopology by auto
  thus \bigcap \{T \in Dual Base \ U \ PTopology \ X \ U \ . \ T = X \ \lor \ T \ \cup \ A \ \neq \ X\} \subseteq Interior(A, Context)
PTopology X U)
    by auto
qed
The closure of a set is the union of all the sets of the partition which intersect
with A.
lemma closure_set_ptopology:
  assumes U {is a partition of} XA⊆X
  shows Closure(A,(PTopology X U))=\{ \{ T \in U : T \cap A \neq 0 \} \}
proof
    fix x
    assume A:x∈Closure(A,(PTopology X U))
     with assms have x∈[ ](PTopology X U) using topology0.Top_3_L11(1)[where
T=PTopology X U
       and A=A] topology0_ptopology union_ptopology by auto
     with assms(1) have x∈∪U using Top_1_2_L5[where B=U and T=PTopology
```

```
X U] Ptopology_is_a_topology(2) by auto
    then obtain W where B:x∈WW∈U by auto
    with A have x \in Closure(A, (PTopology X U)) \cap W by auto
    from assms B(2) have W∈(PTopology X U)A⊆X using base_sets_open Ptopology_is_a_topology
       by (safe, blast)
    with calculation assms(1) have A∩W≠0 using topology0_ptopology[where
U=U and X=X]
       topology0.cl_inter_neigh union_ptopology by auto
    with B have x \in \bigcup \{T \in U. T \cap A \neq 0\} by blast
  thus Closure(A, PTopology X U) \subseteq \bigcup \{T \in U : T \cap A \neq 0\} by auto
  {
    fix x
    assume x \in \{ J \{ T \in U : T \cap A \neq 0 \} \}
    then obtain T where A:x\in TT\in UT\cap A\neq 0 by auto
    from assms have A \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) Using union_ptopology by auto
    moreover
    from A(1,2) assms(1) have x \in \bigcup (PTopology X U) using Top_1_2_L5[where
B=U and T=PTopology X U]
       Ptopology_is_a_topology(2) by auto
    moreover
       fix Q
       assume B:Q\in (PTopology\ X\ U)x\in Q
       with assms(1) obtain M where C:Q=\ \]MM\subseteq U using
         Ptopology_is_a_topology(2)
         IsAbaseFor_def by auto
       from B(2) C(1) obtain R where D:R\in Mx\in R by auto
       with C(2) A(1,2) have R \cap T \neq 0 R \in U T \in U by auto
       with assms(1) have R=T using IsAPartition_def by auto
       with C(1) D(1) have T\subseteq Q by auto
       with A(3) have Q \cap A \neq 0 by auto
    then have \forall Q \in (PTopology X U). x \in Q \longrightarrow Q \cap A \neq 0 by auto
    with calculation assms(1) have x \in Closure(A, (PTopology X U)) using
topology0.inter_neigh_cl
       topology0_ptopology by auto
  then show \bigcup \{T \in U : T \cap A \neq 0\} \subseteq Closure(A, PTopology X U) by auto
qed
The boundary of a set is given by the union of the sets of the partition which
have non empty intersection with the set but that are not fully contained in
```

it. Another equivalent statement would be: the union of the sets of the partition which have non empty intersection with the set and its complement.

```
lemma boundary_set_ptopology:
  assumes U (is a partition of) XA\subseteq X
  shows Boundary(A,(PTopology X U))=\{ \{ T \in U : T \cap A \neq 0 \land (T \subseteq A) \} \}
```

```
proof-
        from assms have Closure(A,(PTopology X U))=\bigcup \{T \in U : T \cap A \neq 0\} us-
ing
                 closure_set_ptopology by auto
        moreover
        from assms(1) have Interior(A,(PTopology X U))=\bigcup \{T \in U : T \subseteq A\} us-
ing
                  interior_set_base_topology Ptopology_is_a_topology[where U=U and
X=X] by auto
        with calculation assms have A:Boundary(A,(PTopology X U))=\bigcup \{T \in U\}
 . T \cap A \neq 0 - \bigcup \{T \in U : T \subseteq A\}
                 using topology0.Top_3_L12 topology0_ptopology union_ptopology
                 by auto
        from assms(1) have ({T \in U . T \cap A \neq 0}) {is a partition of} []({T
\in U . T \cap A \neq 0})
                 using subpartition by blast
        moreover
                 fix T
                 assume T \in UT \subseteq A
                 with assms(1) have T \cap A = TT \neq 0 using IsAPartition_def by auto
                 with \langle T \in U \rangle have T \cap A \neq 0 T \in U by auto
        then have \{\mathtt{T}\,\in\,\mathtt{U}\,:\,\mathtt{T}\,\subseteq\,\mathtt{A}\}{\subseteq}\{\mathtt{T}\,\in\,\mathtt{U}\,:\,\mathtt{T}\,\cap\,\mathtt{A}\,\neq\,\mathtt{0}\} by auto
        ultimately have \bigcup \{T \in U : T \cap A \neq 0\} - \bigcup \{T \in U : T \subseteq A\} = \bigcup ((\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (\{T \in U : T \in A\}) = \bigcup (
U . T \cap A \neq 0)-({T \in U . T \subseteq A}))
        using diff_union_is_union_diff by auto
        also have ...=[ ](\{T \in U : T \cap A \neq 0 \land `(T \subseteq A)\}) by blast
        with calculation A show thesis by auto
qed
```

63.7 Special cases and subspaces

The discrete and the indiscrete topologies appear as special cases of this partition topologies.

```
lemma discrete_partition:
    shows {{x}.x∈X} {is a partition of}X
    using IsAPartition_def by auto

lemma indiscrete_partition:
    assumes X≠0
    shows {X} {is a partition of} X
    using assms IsAPartition_def by auto

theorem discrete_ptopology:
    shows (PTopology X {{x}.x∈X})=Pow(X)
proof
    {
        fix t
```

```
assume t \in (PTopology X \{\{x\}.x \in X\})
    hence t\subseteq \bigcup (PTopology X \{\{x\}.x\in X\}) by auto
    then have t∈Pow(X) using union_ptopology
      discrete_partition by auto
  thus (PTopology X \{\{x\}.x\in X\}\}) \subseteq Pow(X) by auto
    fix t
    assume A:t∈Pow(X)
    have \bigcup (\{\{x\}, x \in t\})=t by auto
    moreover
    from A have \{\{x\}.\ x\in t\}\in Pow(\{\{x\}.x\in X\}) by auto
    hence \bigcup (\{\{x\}, x \in t\}) \in \{\bigcup A, A \in Pow(\{\{x\}, x \in X\})\} by auto
    ultimately
    have t \in (PTopology X \{\{x\} . x \in X\}) using Ptopology_is_a_topology(2)
      discrete_partition IsAbaseFor_def by auto
  thus Pow(X) \subseteq (PTopology X \{\{x\} . x \in X\}) by auto
theorem indiscrete_ptopology:
  assumes X≠0
  shows (PTopology X \{X\})=\{0,X\}
proof
  {
    fix T
    assume T∈(PTopology X {X})
    with assms obtain M where MC{X}| JM=T using Ptopology_is_a_topology(2)
      indiscrete_partition IsAbaseFor_def by auto
    then have T=0 \lor T=X by auto
  then show (PTopology X \{X\})\subseteq \{0,X\} by auto
  from assms have 0∈(PTopology X {X}) using Ptopology_is_a_topology(1)
empty_open
    indiscrete_partition by auto
  moreover
  from assms have [ ](PTopology X {X})∈(PTopology X {X}) using union_open
Ptopology_is_a_topology(1)
    indiscrete_partition by auto
  with assms have X ∈ (PTopology X {X}) using union_ptopology indiscrete_partition
    by auto
  ultimately show {0,X}⊆(PTopology X {X}) by auto
The topological subspaces of the (PTopology X U) are partition topologies.
lemma subspace_ptopology:
  assumes U{is a partition of}X
  shows (PTopology X U) {restricted to} Y=(PTopology(X\cap Y)) ((U {restricted to})
to Y - \{0\})
```

```
proof-
  from assms have U{is a base for}(PTopology X U) using Ptopology_is_a_topology(2)
    by auto
  then have (U{restricted to} Y){is a base for}(PTopology X U){restricted
    using subspace_base_topology by auto
  then have ((U{restricted to} Y)-{0}){is a base for}(PTopology X U){restricted
to} Y using base_no_0
    by auto
 moreover
  from assms have ((U{restricted to} Y)-{0}) {is a partition of} (X \cap Y)
    using restriction_partition by auto
  then have ((U{restricted to} Y)-{0}){is a base for}(PTopology (X \cap Y)
((U {restricted to} Y)-{0}))
    using Ptopology_is_a_topology(2) by auto
  ultimately show thesis using same_base_same_top by auto
qed
```

63.8 Order topologies

63.9 Order topology is a topology

Given a totally ordered set, several topologies can be defined using the order relation. First we define an open interval, notice that the set defined as Interval is a closed interval; and open rays.

```
definition
  IntervalX where
  IntervalX(X,r,b,c) \equiv (Interval(r,b,c) \cap X) - \{b,c\}
definition
  LeftRayX where
  LeftRayX(X,r,b)\equiv{c\inX. \langlec,b\rangle\inr}-{b}
definition
  RightRayX where
  RightRayX(X,r,b) \equiv \{c \in X. \langle b,c \rangle \in r\} - \{b\}
Intersections of intervals and rays.
lemma inter_two_intervals:
  assumes bu∈Xbv∈Xcu∈Xcv∈XIsLinOrder(X,r)
  shows IntervalX(X,r,bu,cu)∩IntervalX(X,r,bv,cv)=IntervalX(X,r,GreaterOf(r,bu,bv),SmallerOf(r,bu,cv))
proof
  have T:GreaterOf(r,bu,bv)∈XSmallerOf(r,cu,cv)∈X using assms
    GreaterOf_def SmallerOf_def by (cases (bu,bv) \in r, simp, simp, cases (cu,cv) \in r, simp, simp)
    fix x
    assume ASS:x∈IntervalX(X,r,bu,cu)∩IntervalX(X,r,bv,cv)
    then have x ∈ IntervalX(X,r,bu,cu)x ∈ IntervalX(X,r,bv,cv) by auto
    then have BB:x \in Xx \in Interval(r, bu, cu) x \neq bux \neq cux \in Interval(r, bv, cv) x \neq bvx \neq cv
    using IntervalX_def assms by auto
    then have x \in X by auto
```

```
moreover
     have x \neq GreaterOf(r, bu, bv) x \neq SmallerOf(r, cu, cv)
     proof-
       show x \neq GreaterOf(r,bu,bv) using GreaterOf_def BB(6,3) by (cases
\langle bu, bv \rangle \in r, simp+)
       show x \neq SmallerOf(r,cu,cv) using SmallerOf_def BB(7,4) by (cases
\langle cu, cv \rangle \in r, simp+)
     qed
     moreover
     have \langle bu, x \rangle \in r\langle x, cu \rangle \in r\langle bv, x \rangle \in r\langle x, cv \rangle \in r using BB(2,5) Order_ZF_2_L1A
     then have \langle \text{GreaterOf}(r,bu,bv),x\rangle \in r\langle x,\text{SmallerOf}(r,cu,cv)\rangle \in r \text{ using GreaterOf\_def}
SmallerOf_def
       by (cases \langle bu, bv \rangle \in r, simp, simp, cases \langle cu, cv \rangle \in r, simp, simp)
     then have x∈Interval(r,GreaterOf(r,bu,bv),SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)) us-
ing Order_ZF_2_L1 by auto
     ultimately
     have x∈IntervalX(X,r,GreaterOf(r,bu,bv),SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)) using
IntervalX_def T by auto
  then show IntervalX(X, r, bu, cu) \cap IntervalX(X, r, bv, cv) \subseteq IntervalX(X, r, bv, cv)
r, GreaterOf(r, bu, bv), SmallerOf(r, cu, cv))
     by auto
     fix x
    assume x∈IntervalX(X,r,GreaterOf(r,bu,bv),SmallerOf(r,cu,cv))
     then have BB:x∈Xx∈Interval(r,GreaterOf(r,bu,bv),SmallerOf(r,cu,cv))x≠GreaterOf(r,bu,bv
     using IntervalX_def T by auto
     then have x \in X by auto
     moreover
     from BB(2) have CC:\langle GreaterOf(r,bu,bv),x\rangle \in r\langle x,SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)\rangle \in r
using Order_ZF_2_L1A by auto
     {
       {
          assume AS:⟨bu,bv⟩∈r
          then have GreaterOf(r,bu,bv)=bv using GreaterOf_def by auto
          then have \langle bv, x \rangle \in r using CC(1) by auto
          with AS have \( \bu, x \rangle \in \text{\( bv, x \rangle \in using assms IsLinOrder_def trans_def \)
by (safe, blast)
       }
       moreover
       {
          assume AS:⟨bu,bv⟩∉r
          then have GreaterOf(r,bu,bv)=bu using GreaterOf_def by auto
          then have \langle bu, x \rangle \in r using CC(1) by auto
          from AS have ⟨bv,bu⟩∈r using assms IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def
          with \langle (bu,x) \in r \rangle have \langle bu,x \rangle \in r (bv,x)\in r using assms IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by (safe, blast)
```

```
ultimately have R:\langle bu,x\rangle \in r \ \langle bv,x\rangle \in r \ by auto
       moreover
       {
          assume AS:x=bu
          then have \langle bv, bu \rangle \in r using R(2) by auto
          then have GreaterOf(r,bu,bv)=bu using GreaterOf_def assms IsLinOrder_def
          antisym_def by auto
          then have False using AS BB(3) by auto
       }
       moreover
       {
          assume AS:x=bv
          then have \langle bu, bv \rangle \in r using R(1) by auto
          then have GreaterOf(r,bu,bv)=bv using GreaterOf_def by auto
          then have False using AS BB(3) by auto
       ultimately have \langle bu, x \rangle \in r \langle bv, x \rangle \in rx \neq bux \neq bv by auto
    moreover
     {
          assume AS:⟨cu,cv⟩∈r
          then have SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)=cu using SmallerOf_def by auto
          then have \langle x, cu \rangle \in r using CC(2) by auto
          with AS have \langle x,cu \rangle \in r \ \langle x,cv \rangle \in r \ using assms IsLinOrder_def trans_def
by(safe ,blast)
       }
       moreover
          assume AS:⟨cu,cv⟩∉r
          then have SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)=cv using SmallerOf_def by auto
          then have \langle x, cv \rangle \in r using CC(2) by auto
          from AS have \langle cv, cu \rangle \in r using assms IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def
by auto
          with \langle (x,cv) \in r \rangle have \langle x,cv \rangle \in r \langle x,cu \rangle \in r using assms IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by(safe ,blast)
       ultimately have R:\langle x,cv\rangle\in r\ \langle x,cu\rangle\in r by auto
       moreover
       {
          assume AS:x=cv
          then have \langle cv, cu \rangle \in r using R(2) by auto
          then have SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)=cv using SmallerOf_def assms IsLinOrder_def
          antisym_def by auto
          then have False using AS BB(4) by auto
       }
       moreover
       {
```

```
assume AS:x=cu
         then have \langle cu, cv \rangle \in r using R(1) by auto
         then have SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)=cu using SmallerOf_def by auto
         then have False using AS BB(4) by auto
       ultimately have \langle x, cu \rangle \in r \langle x, cv \rangle \in rx \neq cux \neq cv by auto
    ultimately
    have x ∈ IntervalX(X,r,bu,cu) x ∈ IntervalX(X,r,bv,cv) using Order_ZF_2_L1
{\tt IntervalX\_def}
       assms by auto
    then have x \in IntervalX(X, r, bu, cu) \cap IntervalX(X, r, bv, cv) by
auto
  then show IntervalX(X,r,GreaterOf(r,bu,bv),SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)) ⊆ IntervalX(X,
r, bu, cu) ∩ IntervalX(X, r, bv, cv)
    by auto
qed
lemma inter_rray_interval:
  assumes bv∈Xbu∈Xcv∈XIsLinOrder(X,r)
  shows RightRayX(X,r,bu) \cap IntervalX(X,r,bv,cv) = IntervalX(X,r,GreaterOf(r,bu,bv),cv)
proof
  {
    fix x
    assume x \in RightRayX(X,r,bu) \cap IntervalX(X,r,bv,cv)
    then have x \in RightRayX(X,r,bu)x \in IntervalX(X,r,bv,cv) by auto
    IntervalX_def
       by auto
    then have \langle bv, x \rangle \in r\langle x, cv \rangle \in r using Order_ZF_2_L1A by auto
     with \langle (bu,x) \in r \rangle have \langle GreaterOf(r,bu,bv),x \rangle \in r using GreaterOf_def by
(cases \langle bu, bv \rangle \in r, simp+)
    with \langle\langle x, cv\rangle \in r\rangle have x \in Interval(r, GreaterOf(r, bu, bv), cv) using Order_zF_2_L1
    then have x \in IntervalX(X,r,GreaterOf(r,bu,bv),cv) using BB(1-4) IntervalX_def
GreaterOf_def
       by (simp)
  then show RightRayX(X, r, bu) \cap IntervalX(X, r, bv, cv) \subseteq IntervalX(X,
r, GreaterOf(r, bu, bv), cv) by auto
  {
    fix x
    assume \ x{\in} \texttt{IntervalX}(\texttt{X}, \ \texttt{r}, \ \texttt{GreaterOf}(\texttt{r}, \ \texttt{bu}, \ \texttt{bv}), \ \texttt{cv})
    then have x \in Xx \in Interval(r, GreaterOf(r, bu, bv), cv)x \neq cvx \neq GreaterOf(r, bu, bv)
bu, bv) using IntervalX_def by auto
    then have R:\langle GreaterOf(r, bu, bv), x \rangle \in r \langle x, cv \rangle \in r using Order_ZF_2_L1A
by auto
    with \langle x \neq cv \rangle have \langle x, cv \rangle \in rx \neq cv by auto
```

```
moreover
     {
         assume AS:⟨bu,bv⟩∈r
         then have GreaterOf(r,bu,bv)=bv using GreaterOf_def by auto
         then have \langle bv, x \rangle \in r using R(1) by auto
         with AS have \langle bu, x \rangle \in r \ \langle bv, x \rangle \in r \ using assms unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by (safe, blast)
       }
       moreover
       {
         assume AS:⟨bu,bv⟩∉r
         then have GreaterOf(r,bu,bv)=bu using GreaterOf_def by auto
         then have \langle bu, x \rangle \in r using R(1) by auto
         from AS have \langle bv, bu \rangle \in r using assms unfolding IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def
using assms by auto
         with \langle \langle bu, x \rangle \in r \rangle have \langle bu, x \rangle \in r (bv, x) \in r using assms unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by (safe,blast)
       ultimately have T:\langle bu,x\rangle \in r \ \langle bv,x\rangle \in r \ by auto
       moreover
         assume AS:x=bu
         then have \langle bv, bu \rangle \in r using T(2) by auto
         then have GreaterOf(r,bu,bv)=bu unfolding GreaterOf_def using
assms unfolding IsLinOrder_def
         antisym_def by auto
         with ⟨x≠GreaterOf(r,bu,bv)⟩ have False using AS by auto
       }
       moreover
         assume AS:x=bv
         then have \langle bu, bv \rangle \in r using T(1) by auto
         then have GreaterOf(r,bu,bv)=bv unfolding GreaterOf_def by auto
         with <x \neq GreaterOf(r, bu, bv) > have False using AS by auto
       ultimately have \langle bu, x \rangle \in r \langle bv, x \rangle \in rx \neq bux \neq bv by auto
     with calculation (x \in X) have x \in RightRayX(X, r, bu)x \in IntervalX(X, r, bu)
bv, cv) unfolding RightRayX_def IntervalX_def
       using Order_ZF_2_L1 by auto
    then have x∈RightRayX(X, r, bu) ∩ IntervalX(X, r, bv, cv) by auto
  }
  then show IntervalX(X, r, GreaterOf(r, bu, bv), cv) \subseteq RightRayX(X,
r, bu) \cap IntervalX(X, r, bv, cv) by auto
qed
```

lemma inter_lray_interval:

```
assumes bv∈Xcu∈Xcv∈XIsLinOrder(X,r)
  shows \ LeftRayX(X,r,cu) \cap IntervalX(X,r,bv,cv) = IntervalX(X,r,bv,SmallerOf(r,cu,cv))
proof
     fix x assume x \in LeftRayX(X,r,cu) \cap IntervalX(X,r,bv,cv)
     then have B:x\neq cux\in X\langle x, cu\rangle\in r\langle bv, x\rangle\in r\langle x, cv\rangle\in rx\neq bvx\neq cv unfolding LeftRayX_def
IntervalX_def Interval_def
        by auto
     \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle \langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{cu} \rangle \in \mathtt{r} \rangle \ \ \langle \langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{cv} \rangle \in \mathtt{r} \rangle \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ \mathtt{C} : \langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{SmallerOf}(\mathtt{r},\ \mathtt{cu},\ \mathtt{cv}) \rangle \in \mathtt{r} \ \ \mathbf{using} \ \ \mathtt{SmallerOf\_def}
by (cases \langle cu, cv \rangle \in r, simp+)
     from B(7,1) have x\neq SmallerOf(r,cu,cv) using SmallerOf_def by (cases
\langle cu, cv \rangle \in r, simp+)
     then have x 		EInterval X (X,r,bv,SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)) using B C Interval X_def
Order_ZF_2_L1 by auto
  then show LeftRayX(X, r, cu) ∩ IntervalX(X, r, bv, cv) ⊂ IntervalX(X,
r, bv, SmallerOf(r, cu, cv)) by auto
     fix x assume x \in IntervalX(X,r,bv,SmallerOf(r,cu,cv))
     then have R:x\in X\langle bv,x\rangle\in r\langle x,SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)\rangle\in rx\neq bvx\neq SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)
using IntervalX_def Interval_def
        by auto
     then have \langle bv, x \rangle \in rx \neq bv by auto
     moreover
     {
           assume AS:⟨cu,cv⟩∈r
           then have SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)=cu using SmallerOf_def by auto
           then have \langle x, cu \rangle \in r using R(3) by auto
           with AS have \langle x,cu \rangle \in r \ \langle x,cv \rangle \in r \ using \ assms \ unfolding \ IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by (safe, blast)
        }
        moreover
        {
           assume AS:⟨cu,cv⟩∉r
           then have SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)=cv using SmallerOf_def by auto
           then have \langle x, cv \rangle \in r using R(3) by auto
           from AS have ⟨cv,cu⟩∈r using assms IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def
assms by auto
           with \langle (x,cv) \in r \rangle have \langle x,cv \rangle \in r \langle x,cu \rangle \in r using assms IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by (safe, blast)
        ultimately have T:\langle x,cv\rangle\in r\ \langle x,cu\rangle\in r by auto
        moreover
           assume AS:x=cu
           then have \langle cu, cv \rangle \in r using T(1) by auto
           then have SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)=cu using SmallerOf_def assms IsLinOrder_def
              antisym_def by auto
```

```
with ⟨x≠SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)⟩ have False using AS by auto
      }
      moreover
      {
         assume AS:x=cv
         then have \langle cv, cu \rangle \in r using T(2) by auto
         then have SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)=cv using SmallerOf_def assms IsLinOrder_def
         antisym_def by auto
         with ⟨x≠SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)⟩ have False using AS by auto
      ultimately have \langle x, cu \rangle \in r \langle x, cv \rangle \in rx \neq cux \neq cv by auto
    with calculation \langle x \in X \rangle have x \in \text{LeftRay}(X, r, cu) \times \text{Interval}(X, r, bv, r, bv, r)
cv) using LeftRayX_def IntervalX_def Interval_def
      by auto
    then have x \in LeftRayX(X, r, cu) \cap IntervalX(X, r, bv, cv) by auto
  then show IntervalX(X, r, bv, SmallerOf(r, cu, cv)) \subseteq LeftRayX(X, r,
cu) \cap IntervalX(X, r, bv, cv) by auto
qed
lemma inter_lray_rray:
  assumes bu∈Xcv∈XIsLinOrder(X,r)
  shows LeftRayX(X,r,bu) \cap RightRayX(X,r,cv) = IntervalX(X,r,cv,bu)
  unfolding LeftRayX_def RightRayX_def IntervalX_def Interval_def by auto
lemma inter_lray_lray:
  assumes bu∈Xcv∈XIsLinOrder(X,r)
  shows LeftRayX(X,r,bu)∩LeftRayX(X,r,cv)=LeftRayX(X,r,SmallerOf(r,bu,cv))
proof
  {
    fix x
    assume x \in LeftRayX(X,r,bu) \cap LeftRayX(X,r,cv)
    then have B:x\in X(x,bu)\in r(x,cv)\in rx\neq bux\neq cv using LeftRayX_def by auto
    then have C:⟨x,SmallerOf(r,bu,cv)⟩∈r using SmallerOf_def by (cases
\langle bu, cv \rangle \in r, auto)
    from B have D:x\neq SmallerOf(r,bu,cv) using SmallerOf_def by (cases
\langle bu, cv \rangle \in r, auto)
    from B C D have x∈LeftRayX(X,r,SmallerOf(r,bu,cv)) using LeftRayX_def
by auto
  }
  then show LeftRayX(X, r, bu) ∩ LeftRayX(X, r, cv) ⊆ LeftRayX(X, r,
SmallerOf(r, bu, cv)) by auto
  {
    fix x
    assume x∈LeftRayX(X, r, SmallerOf(r, bu, cv))
    then have R:x\in X(x,SmallerOf(r,bu,cv))\in rx\neq SmallerOf(r,bu,cv) using
LeftRayX_def by auto
    {
```

```
assume AS:\langle bu, cv \rangle \in r
          then have SmallerOf(r,bu,cv)=bu using SmallerOf_def by auto
          then have \langle x, bu \rangle \in r using R(2) by auto
          with AS have \langle x, bu \rangle \in r \ \langle x, cv \rangle \in r \ using \ assms \ IsLinOrder_def \ trans_def
by(safe, blast)
       }
       moreover
       {
          \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{AS:} \langle \mathtt{bu,cv} \rangle \notin \mathtt{r}
          then have SmallerOf(r,bu,cv)=cv using SmallerOf_def by auto
          then have \langle x, cv \rangle \in r using R(2) by auto
          from AS have \( cv, bu \) \( e r \) using assms IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def
assms by auto
          with \langle (x,cv) \in r \rangle have \langle x,cv \rangle \in r \langle x,bu \rangle \in r using assms IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by(safe, blast)
       ultimately have T:\langle x,cv\rangle\in r\ \langle x,bu\rangle\in r by auto
       moreover
        {
          assume AS:x=bu
          then have \langle bu, cv \rangle \in r using T(1) by auto
          then have SmallerOf(r,bu,cv)=bu using SmallerOf_def assms IsLinOrder_def
             antisym_def by auto
          with ⟨x≠SmallerOf(r,bu,cv)⟩ have False using AS by auto
       moreover
        {
          assume AS:x=cv
          then have \langle cv, bu \rangle \in r using T(2) by auto
          then have SmallerOf(r,bu,cv)=cv using SmallerOf_def assms IsLinOrder_def
             antisym_def by auto
          with \langle x \neq SmallerOf(r,bu,cv) \rangle have False using AS by auto
       ultimately have \langle x,bu \rangle \in r \langle x,cv \rangle \in rx \neq bux \neq cv by auto
     with \langle x \in X \rangle have x \in LeftRayX(X, r, bu) \cap LeftRayX(X, r, cv) using LeftRayX_def
by auto
  then show LeftRayX(X, r, SmallerOf(r, bu, cv)) \subseteq LeftRayX(X, r, bu)
\cap LeftRayX(X, r, cv) by auto
qed
lemma inter_rray_rray:
  assumes bu∈Xcv∈XIsLinOrder(X,r)
  shows RightRayX(X,r,bu)∩RightRayX(X,r,cv)=RightRayX(X,r,GreaterOf(r,bu,cv))
proof
     fix x
```

```
assume x \in RightRayX(X,r,bu) \cap RightRayX(X,r,cv)
    then have B:x\in X\langle bu,x\rangle\in r\langle cv,x\rangle\in rx\neq bux\neq cv using RightRayX_def by auto
    then have C:(GreaterOf(r,bu,cv),x)\in r using GreaterOf\_def by (cases
\langle bu, cv \rangle \in r, auto \rangle
    from B have D:x \neq GreaterOf(r, bu, cv) using GreaterOf_def by (cases
\langle bu, cv \rangle \in r, auto)
    from B C D have x∈RightRayX(X,r,GreaterOf(r,bu,cv)) using RightRayX_def
by auto
  }
  then show RightRayX(X, r, bu) \cap RightRayX(X, r, cv) \subseteq RightRayX(X,
r, GreaterOf(r, bu, cv)) by auto \,
  {
    fix x
    assume x∈RightRayX(X, r, GreaterOf(r, bu, cv))
    then have R:x\in X(GreaterOf(r,bu,cv),x)\in rx\neq GreaterOf(r,bu,cv) using
RightRayX_def by auto
    {
         assume AS:⟨bu,cv⟩∈r
         then have GreaterOf(r,bu,cv)=cv using GreaterOf_def by auto
         then have \langle cv, x \rangle \in r using R(2) by auto
         with AS have \langle bu, x \rangle \in r \langle cv, x \rangle \in r using assms IsLinOrder_def trans_def
by(safe, blast)
       }
       moreover
       {
         assume AS:⟨bu,cv⟩∉r
         then have GreaterOf(r,bu,cv)=bu using GreaterOf_def by auto
         then have \langle bu, x \rangle \in r using R(2) by auto
         from AS have ⟨cv,bu⟩∈r using assms IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def
assms by auto
         with \langle (bu, x) \in r \rangle have \langle cv, x \rangle \in r \langle bu, x \rangle \in r using assms IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by(safe, blast)
       ultimately have T:\langle cv,x\rangle \in r \ \langle bu,x\rangle \in r \ by auto
       moreover
         assume AS:x=bu
         then have \langle cv, bu \rangle \in r using T(1) by auto
         then have GreaterOf(r,bu,cv)=bu using GreaterOf_def assms IsLinOrder_def
            antisym_def by auto
         with <x \neq GreaterOf(r, bu, cv) > have False using AS by auto
       }
       moreover
         assume AS:x=cv
         then have \langle bu, cv \rangle \in r using T(2) by auto
         then have GreaterOf(r,bu,cv)=cv using GreaterOf_def assms IsLinOrder_def
            antisym_def by auto
```

```
with ⟨x≠GreaterOf(r,bu,cv)⟩ have False using AS by auto
                  ultimately have \langle bu, x \rangle \in r \langle cv, x \rangle \in rx \neq bux \neq cv by auto
             with \langle x \in X \rangle have x \in RightRayX(X, r, bu) \cap RightRayX(X, r, cv) us-
ing RightRayX_def by auto
      then show RightRayX(X, r, GreaterOf(r, bu, cv)) ⊆ RightRayX(X, r, bu)
\cap RightRayX(X, r, cv) by auto
qed
The open intervals and rays satisfy the base condition.
lemma intervals_rays_base_condition:
      assumes IsLinOrder(X,r)
     \mathbf{shows} \ \{ \mathtt{IntervalX}(\mathtt{X},\mathtt{r},\mathtt{b},\mathtt{c}) \, . \ \langle \mathtt{b},\mathtt{c} \rangle \in \mathtt{X} \times \mathtt{X} \} \cup \{ \mathtt{LeftRayX}(\mathtt{X},\mathtt{r},\mathtt{b}) \, . \ \mathtt{b} \in \mathtt{X} \} \cup \{ \mathtt{RightRayX}(\mathtt{X},\mathtt{r},\mathtt{b}) \, . \ 
b \in X {satisfies the base condition}
proof-
      let I=\{IntervalX(X,r,b,c). \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X\}
     let R=\{RightRayX(X,r,b). b\in X\}
     let L={LeftRayX(X,r,b). b \in X}
     let B=\{IntervalX(X,r,b,c). \langle b,c\rangle \in X\times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X,r,b). b\in X\} \cup \{RightRayX(X,r,b). b\in X\} \cup \{Ri
b \in X
      {
            fix U V
            \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{A}\!:\!\mathtt{U}\!\!\in\!\!\mathtt{BV}\!\!\in\!\!\mathtt{B}
            then have dU:U\in I\lor U\in L\lor U\in R and dV:V\in I\lor V\in R by auto
                  assume S:V \in I
                  {
                         assume U \in I
                         with S obtain bu cu bv cv where A:U=IntervalX(X,r,bu,cu)V=IntervalX(X,r,bv,cv)bu∈X
                         then have SmallerOf(r,cu,cv)∈XGreaterOf(r,bu,bv)∈X by (cases
⟨cu,cv⟩∈r,simp add:SmallerOf_def A,simp add:SmallerOf_def A,
                               cases \( \bu, \bv \rangle \in \, \simp \add: \GreaterOf_def \) A, simp add: GreaterOf_def
A)
                         with A have U∩V∈B using inter_two_intervals assms by auto
                  }
                  moreover
                         assume U∈L
                         with S obtain bu bv cv where A:U=LeftRayX(X, r,bu)V=IntervalX(X,r,bv,cv)bu∈Xbv∈Xcv
                         by auto
                         then have SmallerOf(r,bu,cv)∈X using SmallerOf_def by (cases
\langle bu, cv \rangle \in r, auto)
                         with A have U∩V∈B using inter_lray_interval assms by auto
                   }
                  moreover
                   {
```

```
assume U \in R
         with S obtain cu bv cv where A:U=RightRayX(X,r,cu)V=IntervalX(X,r,bv,cv)cu∈Xbv∈Xcv
         by auto
         then have GreaterOf(r,cu,bv)∈X using GreaterOf_def by (cases
\langle cu, bv \rangle \in r, auto)
         with A have U \cap V \in B using inter_rray_interval assms by auto
       ultimately have U∩V∈B using dU by auto
    moreover
     {
       assume S:V \in L
         \mathbf{assume}\ \mathtt{U}{\in}\mathtt{I}
         with S obtain bu bv cv where A:V=LeftRayX(X, r,bu)U=IntervalX(X,r,bv,cv)bu∈Xbv∈Xcv
         then have SmallerOf(r,bu,cv)∈X using SmallerOf_def by (cases
\langle bu, cv \rangle \in r, auto)
         have U \cap V = V \cap U by auto
         with A \( SmallerOf(r, bu, cv) \( \)\( X \)\\ have \( U \cap V \in B \)\ using inter_lray_interval
assms by auto
       }
       moreover
       {
         \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{U} {\in} \mathtt{R}
         with S obtain bu cv where A:V=LeftRayX(X,r,bu)U=RightRayX(X,r,cv)bu∈Xcv∈X
         have U \cap V = V \cap U by auto
         with A have U \cap V \in B using inter_lray_rray assms by auto
       moreover
         \mathbf{assume}\ \mathtt{U}{\in}\mathtt{L}
         with S obtain bu bv where A:U=LeftRayX(X,r,bu)V=LeftRayX(X,r,bv)bu∈Xbv∈X
         then have SmallerOf(r,bu,bv)∈X using SmallerOf_def by (cases
\langle bu, bv \rangle \in r, auto)
         with A have U∩V∈B using inter_lray_lray assms by auto
       ultimately have U \cap V \in B using dU by auto
    }
    moreover
     {
       assume S:V \in \mathbb{R}
         with S obtain cu bv cv where A:V=RightRayX(X,r,cu)U=IntervalX(X,r,bv,cv)cu∈Xbv∈Xcv
         by auto
         then have GreaterOf(r,cu,bv)∈X using GreaterOf_def by (cases
```

```
\langle cu, bv \rangle \in r, auto)
          have U \cap V = V \cap U by auto
          with A (GreaterOf(r,cu,bv) \in X) have U \cap V \in B using inter_rray_interval
assms by auto
       }
       moreover
          assume U∈L
          with S obtain bu cv where A:U=LeftRayX(X,r,bu)V=RightRayX(X,r,cv)bu∈Xcv∈X
          then have U∩V∈B using inter_lray_rray assms by auto
       }
       moreover
          assume U \in R
          with S obtain cu cv where A:U=RightRayX(X,r,cu)V=RightRayX(X,r,cv)cu∈Xcv∈X
          then have GreaterOf(r,cu,cv)∈X using GreaterOf_def by (cases
\langle cu, cv \rangle \in r, auto)
          with A have U∩V∈B using inter_rray_rray assms by auto
       ultimately have U∩V∈B using dU by auto
     ultimately have S:U∩V∈B using dV by auto
     {
       fix x
       assume x \in U \cap V
       then have x \in U \cap V \wedge U \cap V \subseteq U \cap V by auto
       then have \exists W. W \in (B) \land x \in W \land W \subseteq U \cap V \text{ using S by blast}
       then have \exists W \in (B). x \in W \land W \subseteq U \cap V by blast
     hence (\forall x \in U \cap V. \exists W \in (B). x \in W \land W \subseteq U \cap V) by auto
  then show thesis using SatisfiesBaseCondition_def by auto
qed
Since the intervals and rays form a base of a topology, and this topology is
uniquely determined; we can built it. In the definition we have to make sure
that we have a totally ordered set.
definition
  OrderTopology (OrdTopology _ _ 50) where
  IsLinOrder(X,r) \implies OrdTopology X r \equiv TopologyBase \{IntervalX(X,r,b,c).
(b,c)\in X\times X\}\cup \{LeftRayX(X,r,b).\ b\in X\}\cup \{RightRayX(X,r,b).\ b\in X\}
theorem Ordtopology_is_a_topology:
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r)
  shows (OrdTopology X r) {is a topology} and {IntervalX(X,r,b,c). \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X}\cup{LeftRayX(X,r,b,c).
b \in X} \cup \{RightRayX(X,r,b). b \in X\}  {is a base for} (OrdTopology X r)
  {\bf using} \ {\tt assms} \ {\tt Base\_topology\_is\_a\_topology} \ {\tt intervals\_rays\_base\_condition}
```

```
lemma topology0_ordtopology:
     assumes IsLinOrder(X,r)
     shows topology0(OrdTopology X r)
     using Ordtopology_is_a_topology topology0_def assms by auto
63.10
                        Total set
The topology is defined in the set X, when X has more than one point
lemma union_ordtopology:
     assumes IsLinOrder(X,r)\existsx y. x\neqy \land x\inX\land y\inX
     shows ∪ (OrdTopology X r)=X
proof
     let \ B=\{IntervalX(X,r,b,c). \ \langle b,c\rangle \in X\times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X,r,b). \ b\in X\} \cup \{RightRayX(X,r,b). \ b\in X
     have base:B {is a base for} (OrdTopology X r) using Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)
assms(1)
           by auto
     from assms(2) obtain x y where T: x \neq y \land x \in X \land y \in X by auto
     then have B:x \in LeftRayX(X,r,y)\vx \in RightRayX(X,r,y) using LeftRayX_def
RightRayX_def
           assms(1) IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def by auto
     then have x \in \bigcup B using T by auto
     then have x:x∈∪ (OrdTopology X r) using Top_1_2_L5 base by auto
      {
           fix z
           assume z:z∈X
            {
                 assume x=z
                 then have z \in \bigcup (OrdTopology X r) using x by auto
           }
           moreover
                 assume x≠z
                 with z T have z\inLeftRayX(X,r,x)\lorz\inRightRayX(X,r,x)x\inX using LeftRayX_def
RightRayX_def
                       assms(1) IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def by auto
                 then have z \in \bigcup B by auto
                 then have z∈[ ](OrdTopology X r) using Top_1_2_L5 base by auto
           ultimately have z \in \bigcup (OrdTopology X r) by auto
     then show X \subseteq \bigcup (OrdTopology X r) by auto
     have UB⊆X using IntervalX_def LeftRayX_def RightRayX_def by auto
     then show ∪ (OrdTopology X r)⊆X using Top_1_2_L5 base by auto
```

 $OrderTopology_def by auto$

qed

The interior, closure and boundary can be calculated using the formulas proved in the section that deals with the base.

The subspace of an order topology doesn't have to be an order topology.

63.11 Right order and Left order topologies.

Notice that the left and right rays are closed under intersection, hence they form a base of a topology. They are called right order topology and left order topology respectively.

If the order in X has a minimal or a maximal element, is necessary to consider X as an element of the base or that limit point wouldn't be in any basic open set.

63.11.1 Right and Left Order topologies are topologies

```
lemma leftrays_base_condition:
assumes IsLinOrder(X,r)
shows {LeftRayX(X,r,b). b \in X}\cup \{X\} {satisfies the base condition}
proof-
              fix U V
             assume U \in \{LeftRayX(X,r,b) : b \in X\} \cup \{X\}V \in \{LeftRayX(X,r,b) : b \in X\} \cup \{X\}\}
              then obtain b c where A:(b\in X \land U=LeftRayX(X,r,b)) \lor U=X(c\in X \land V=LeftRayX(X,r,c)) \lor V=XU\subseteq XV\subseteq X
              unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
              then have (U \cap V = LeftRayX(X,r,SmallerOf(r,b,c)) \land b \in X \land c \in X) \lor U \cap V = X \lor (U \cap V = LeftRayX(X,r,c) \land c \in X)
                     using inter_lray_lray assms by auto
              moreover
             have b \in X \land c \in X \longrightarrow SmallerOf(r,b,c) \in X unfolding SmallerOf_def by (cases
\langle b, c \rangle \in r, auto \rangle
              ultimately have U \cap V \in \{LeftRayX(X,r,b) : b \in X\} \cup \{X\} by auto
              hence \forall x \in U \cap V. \exists W \in \{LeftRayX(X,r,b). b \in X\} \cup \{X\}. x \in W \land W \subseteq U \cap V by blast
       }
      moreover
       then show thesis using SatisfiesBaseCondition_def by auto
qed
lemma rightrays_base_condition:
assumes IsLinOrder(X,r)
shows {RightRayX(X,r,b). b \in X} \cup \{X\} {satisfies the base condition}
proof-
              fix U V
             assume U \in \{RightRayX(X,r,b). b \in X\} \cup \{X\} V \in \{RightRayX(X,r,b). b \in X\} \cup \{X\}\}
              then obtain b c where A:(b\in X \land U=RightRayX(X,r,b)) \lor U=X(c\in X \land V=RightRayX(X,r,c)) \lor V=XU\subseteq XV
              unfolding RightRayX_def by auto
              then have (U \cap V = RightRayX(X,r,GreaterOf(r,b,c)) \land b \in X \land c \in X) \lor U \cap V = X \lor (U \cap V = RightRayX(X,r,c) \land (U
```

```
using inter_rray_rray assms by auto
    moreover
    have b \in X \land c \in X \longrightarrow GreaterOf(r,b,c) \in X using GreaterOf\_def by (cases
\langle b,c\rangle \in r, auto)
    ultimately have U \cap V \in \{RightRayX(X,r,b). b \in X\} \cup \{X\} by auto
    hence \forall x \in U \cap V. \exists W \in \{RightRayX(X,r,b). b \in X\} \cup \{X\}. x \in W \land W \subseteq U \cap V by blast
  then show thesis using SatisfiesBaseCondition_def by auto
qed
definition
  LeftOrderTopology (LOrdTopology _ _ 50) where
  IsLinOrder(X,r) \implies LOrdTopology X r \equiv TopologyBase \{LeftRayX(X,r,b).
b \in X \cup \{X\}
definition
  RightOrderTopology (ROrdTopology _ _ 50) where
  IsLinOrder(X,r) \implies ROrdTopology X r \equiv TopologyBase \{RightRayX(X,r,b).
b \in X \cup \{X\}
theorem LOrdtopology_ROrdtopology_are_topologies:
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r)
  shows (LOrdTopology X r) {is a topology} and {LeftRayX(X,r,b). b \in X}\cup \{X\}
{is a base for} (LOrdTopology X r)
  and (ROrdTopology X r) {is a topology} and {RightRayX(X,r,b). b \in X}\cup \{X\}
{is a base for} (ROrdTopology X r)
  using Base_topology_is_a_topology leftrays_base_condition assms rightrays_base_condition
     LeftOrderTopology_def RightOrderTopology_def by auto
lemma topology0_lordtopology_rordtopology:
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r)
  shows topology0(LOrdTopology X r) and topology0(ROrdTopology X r)
  using LOrdtopology_ROrdtopology_are_topologies topology0_def assms by
auto
63.11.2 Total set
```

The topology is defined on the set X

```
lemma union_lordtopology_rordtopology:
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r)
 shows [](LOrdTopology X r)=X and [](ROrdTopology X r)=X
  using Top_1_2_L5[OF LOrdtopology_ROrdtopology_are_topologies(2)[OF assms]]
    Top_1_2_L5[OF LOrdtopology_ROrdtopology_are_topologies(4)[OF assms]]
  unfolding LeftRayX_def RightRayX_def by auto
```

Union of Topologies 63.12

The union of two topologies is not a topology. A way to overcome this fact is to define the following topology:

```
definition joinT (joinT _ 90) where (\forall T ∈ M. T{is a topology} \land (\forall Q ∈ M. \bigcup Q = \bigcup T)) \Longrightarrow (joinT M \equiv THE T. (\bigcup M){is a subbase for } T)
```

First let's proof that given a family of sets, then it is a subbase for a topology.

The first result states that from any family of sets we get a base using finite intersections of them. The second one states that any family of sets is a subbase of some topology.

```
theorem subset_as_subbase:
  shows \{ \bigcap A. A \in FinPow(B) \} {satisfies the base condition}
proof-
     fix U V
     assume A:U\in\{\bigcap A. A \in FinPow(B)\} \land V\in\{\bigcap A. A \in FinPow(B)\}
     then obtain M R where MR:Finite(M)Finite(R)M⊆BR⊆B
     U = \bigcap MV = \bigcap R
     using FinPow_def by auto
     {
        fix x
        assume AS:x\in U\cap V
        then have N:M\neq 0R\neq 0 using MR(5,6) by auto
        have Finite(M \cupR) using MR(1,2) by auto
        moreover
        have M \cup R \in Pow(B) using MR(3,4) by auto
        ultimately have M∪R∈FinPow(B) using FinPow_def by auto
        then have \bigcap (M \cup R) \in \{\bigcap A. A \in FinPow(B)\}\ by auto
        moreover
        from N have \bigcap (M \cup R) \subseteq \bigcap M \bigcap (M \cup R) \subseteq \bigcap R by auto
        then have \bigcap (M \cup R) \subseteq U \cap V using MR(5,6) by auto
        moreover
        {
           fix S
           \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{S} {\in} \mathtt{M} \ \cup \ \mathtt{R}
           then have S \in M \lor S \in R by auto
           then have x \in S using AS MR(5,6) by auto
        then have x \in \bigcap (M \cup R) using N by auto
        ultimately have \exists W \in \{ \bigcap A. A \in FinPow(B) \}. x \in W \land W \subseteq U \cap V by blast
     then have (\forall x \in U \cap V. \exists W \in \{ \bigcap A. A \in FinPow(B) \}. x \in W \land W \subseteq U \cap V) by
auto
  then have \forall U \ V. \ ((U \in \{ \bigcap A. \ A \in FinPow(B) \} \land \ V \in \{ \bigcap A. \ A \in FinPow(B) \})
      (\forall x \in U \cap V. \exists W \in \{ \cap A. A \in FinPow(B) \}. x \in W \land W \subseteq U \cap V)) by auto
  then show \{ \bigcap A. A \in FinPow(B) \} {satisfies the base condition}
     using SatisfiesBaseCondition_def by auto
```

```
qed
theorem Top_subbase:
  assumes T = \{ | A. A \in Pow(\{ \cap A. A \in FinPow(B) \}) \}
  shows T {is a topology} and B {is a subbase for} T
proof-
  {
    fix S
    assume S \in B
    then have \{S\}\in FinPow(B)\cap \{S\}=S using FinPow_def by auto
    then have \{S\}\in Pow(\{\bigcap A. A \in FinPow(B)\}) by (blast+)
    then have \bigcup \{S\} \in \{\bigcup A. A \in Pow(\{\bigcap A. A \in FinPow(B)\})\}\ by blast
    then have S \in \{ \bigcup A. A \in Pow(\{ \bigcap A. A \in FinPow(B) \}) \} by auto
    then have S \in T using assms by auto
  then have BCT by auto
  moreover
  have \{ \bigcap A. A \in FinPow(B) \} {satisfies the base condition}
    using subset_as_subbase by auto
  then have T (is a topology) and \{ \bigcap A : A \in FinPow(B) \} (is a base for)
    using Top_1_2_T1 assms by auto
  ultimately show T {is a topology} and B{is a subbase for}T
    using IsAsubBaseFor_def by auto
qed
A subbase defines a unique topology.
theorem same_subbase_same_top:
  assumes B {is a subbase for} T and B {is a subbase for} {\tt S}
  shows T = S
  using IsAsubBaseFor_def assms same_base_same_top
  by auto
end
```

64 Properties in Topology

theory Topology_ZF_properties imports Topology_ZF_examples Topology_ZF_examples_1 begin

This theory deals with topological properties which make use of cardinals.

64.1 Properties of compactness

It is already defined what is a compact topological space, but the is a generalization which may be useful sometimes.

definition

```
IsCompactOfCard (_{is compact of cardinal}_ {in}_ 90) where K{is compact of cardinal} Q{in}T \equiv (Card(Q) \land K \subseteq \bigcupT \land (\forall M\inPow(T). K \subseteq \bigcupM \longrightarrow (\exists N \in Pow(M). K \subseteq \bigcupN \land N\precQ)))
```

The usual compact property is the one defined over the cardinal of the natural numbers.

```
lemma Compact_is_card_nat:
  shows K{is compact in}T \longleftrightarrow (K{is compact of cardinal} nat {in}T)
proof
  {
     assume K{is compact in}T
     then have sub: K \subseteq \bigcup T and reg: (\forall M \in Pow(T). K \subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists N \in M)
FinPow(M). K \subseteq [JN)
        using IsCompact_def by auto
        fix M
        assume M \in Pow(T) K \subseteq \bigcup M
        with reg obtain N where N \in FinPow(M) K \subseteq \bigcup N by blast
        then have Finite(N) using FinPow_def by auto
        then obtain n where A:n∈natN ≈n using Finite_def by auto
        from A(1) have n-nat using n_lesspoll_nat by auto
        with A(2) have N≤nat using lesspoll_def eq_lepoll_trans by auto
        moreover
          assume \mathbb{N} \approx \text{nat}
          then have nat \approx N using eqpoll_sym by auto
          with A(2) have nat ≈n using eqpoll_trans by blast
          then have n ≈nat using eqpoll_sym by auto
          with <n<nat> have False using lesspoll_def by auto
        then have ~(N ≈nat) by auto
        with calculation \langle K \subseteq | JN \rangle \langle N \in FinPow(M) \rangle have N \prec natK \subseteq JNN \in Pow(M) us-
ing lesspoll_def
          FinPow_def by auto
        hence (\exists \ \mathbb{N} \in Pow(\mathbb{M}). \ \mathbb{K} \subseteq \bigcup \mathbb{N} \land \mathbb{N} \prec nat) by auto
     with sub show K{is compact of cardinal} nat {in}T using IsCompactOfCard_def
Card_nat by auto
     assume (K{is compact of cardinal} nat {in}T)
     then have sub: K \subseteq \bigcup T and reg: (\forall M \in Pow(T). K \subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists N \in Pow(M).
K \subseteq \{JN \land N \prec nat\}\}
        using IsCompactOfCard_def by auto
        fix M
        assume M \in Pow(T) K \subseteq \bigcup M
        with reg have (\exists \ \mathbb{N} \in Pow(\mathbb{M}). \ \mathbb{K} \subseteq \bigcup \mathbb{N} \land \mathbb{N} \prec nat) by auto
        then obtain N where N \in Pow(M) K \subseteq \bigcup NN \prec nat by blast
```

```
then have N∈FinPow(M)K⊆∪N using lesspoll_nat_is_Finite FinPow_def
by auto
hence ∃N∈FinPow(M). K⊆∪N by auto
}
with sub show K{is compact in}T using IsCompact_def by auto
}
qed
```

Another property of this kind widely used is the Lindeloef property; it is the one on the successor of the natural numbers.

definition

```
IsLindeloef (_{is lindeloef in}_ 90) where K {is lindeloef in} T \equiv K\{is \text{ compact of cardinal}\} csucc(nat)\{in\}T\}
```

It would be natural to think that every countable set with any topology is Lindeloef; but this statement is not provable in ZF. The reason is that to build a subcover, most of the time we need to *choose* sets from an infinite collection which cannot be done in ZF. Additional axioms are needed, but strictly weaker than the axiom of choice.

However, if the topology has not many open sets, then the topological space is indeed compact.

```
theorem card_top_comp: assumes Card(Q) T\precQ K\subseteqUT shows (K){is compact of cardinal}Q{in}T proof- { fix M assume M:M\subseteqT K\subseteqUM from M(1) assms(2) have M\precQ using subset_imp_lepoll lesspoll_trans1 by blast with M(2) have \exists N\inPow(M). K\subseteqUN \land N\precQ by auto } with assms(1,3) show thesis unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def by auto qed
```

The union of two compact sets, is compact; of any cardinality.

```
theorem union_compact:
```

```
assumes K{is compact of cardinal}Q{in}T K1{is compact of cardinal}Q{in}T InfCard(Q)
```

shows (K \cup K1){is compact of cardinal}Q{in}T unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def proof(safe)

from assms(1) show Card(Q) unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def by auto

fix x assume x \in K then show x \in UT using assms(1) unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def by blast

 \mathbf{next}

fix x assume x \in K1 then show x \in UT using assms(2) unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def by blast next

```
fix M assume M\subseteq T K\cup K1\subseteq \bigcup M
  then have K\subseteq\bigcup MK1\subseteq\bigcup M by auto
  with (M\subseteq T) have \exists\, N\in Pow(M). K\subseteq \bigcup N\ \wedge\ N\ \prec\ Q\exists\, N\in Pow(M). K1\subseteq\bigcup N\ \wedge\ N

≺ Q using assms unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def

     by auto
  then obtain NK NK1 where NK \in Pow(M)NK1 \in Pow(M)K \subseteq \bigcup NKK1 \subseteq \bigcup NK1NK \prec
QNK1 \prec Q by auto
  then have NK \cup NK1 \prec QK \cup K1 \subseteq [\ ](NK \cup NK1)NK \cup NK1 \in Pow(M) using assms(3) less_less_imp_un_less
  then show \exists \, \mathbb{N} \in Pow(\mathbb{M}). \mathbb{K} \cup \mathbb{K} 1 \subseteq \bigcup \mathbb{N} \, \land \, \mathbb{N} \prec \mathbb{Q} by auto
qed
If a set is compact of cardinality Q for some topology, it is compact of car-
dinality Q for every coarser topology.
theorem compact_coarser:
  assumes T1\subseteqT and \bigcupT1=\bigcupT and (K){is compact of cardinal}Q{in}T
  shows (K){is compact of cardinal}Q{in}T1
proof-
  {
     fix M
     assume AS:M∈Pow(T1)K⊂| JM
     then have M \in Pow(T) K \subseteq JM using assms(1) by auto
     then have \exists N \in Pow(M) . K \subseteq \bigcup N \land N \prec Q  using assms(3) unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def
by auto
  then show (K) (is compact of cardinal) Q(in) T1 using assms(3,2) unfold-
ing IsCompactOfCard_def by auto
If some set is compact for some cardinal, it is compact for any greater
cardinal.
theorem compact_greater_card:
  assumes Q \lesssim Q1 and (K){is compact of cardinal}Q{in}T and Card(Q1)
  shows (K){is compact of cardinal}Q1{in}T
proof-
  {
     fix M
     assume AS: M∈Pow(T)K⊂| JM
     then have \exists N \in Pow(M).K \subseteq \bigcup N \land N \prec Q using assms(2) unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def
by auto
     then have \exists N \in Pow(M) . K \subseteq \bigcup N \land N \prec Q1 using assms(1) lesspoil_trans2
        unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def by auto
  then show thesis using assms(2,3) unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def by
auto
qed
```

A closed subspace of a compact space of any cardinality, is also compact of the same cardinality.

```
theorem compact_closed:
  assumes K {is compact of cardinal} Q {in} T
     and R {is closed in} T
  shows (K \cap R) {is compact of cardinal} Q {in} T
proof-
     fix M
     assume AS:M \in Pow(T) K \cap R \subseteq \bigcup M
     have UT-R∈T using assms(2) IsClosed_def by auto
     have K-R⊆(UT-R) using assms(1) IsCompactOfCard_def by auto
     with \langle \bigcup T - R \in T \rangle have K \subseteq \bigcup (M \cup \{\bigcup T - R\}) and M \cup \{\bigcup T - R\} \in Pow(T)
     proof (safe)
        {
           fix x
           assume x \in M
           with AS(1) show x \in T by auto
           fix x
           assume x \in K
           have x \in \mathbb{R} \lor x \notin \mathbb{R} by auto
           with \langle x \in K \rangle have x \in K \cap R \lor x \in K - R by auto
           with AS(2) \langle K-R \subseteq (\bigcup T-R) \rangle have x \in \bigcup M \lor x \in (\bigcup T-R) by auto
           then show x \in \bigcup (M \cup \{\bigcup T-R\}) by auto
     qed
     with assms(1) have \exists N \in Pow(M \cup \{ | T-R \}). K \subseteq | JN \land N \prec Q unfolding
IsCompactOfCard_def by auto
     then obtain N where cub:N\inPow(M\cup{\bigcupT-R}) K\subseteq\bigcupN N\precQ by auto
     have N-\{\bigcup T-R\}\in Pow(M) \ K\cap R\subseteq \bigcup (N-\{\bigcup T-R\}) \ N-\{\bigcup T-R\}\prec Q
     proof (safe)
        {
           fix x
           assume x \in Nx \notin M
           then show x=[]T-R using cub(1) by auto
           fix x
           assume x \in Kx \in R
           then have x \notin \bigcup T-Rx \in K by auto
           then show x \in \bigcup (N-\{\bigcup T-R\}) using cub(2) by blast
        have N-\{|T-R\}\subseteq N by auto
        with cub(3) show N-{\bigcup T-R}\prec Q using subset_imp_lepoll lesspoll_trans1
by blast
     then have \exists N \in Pow(M). K \cap R \subseteq \bigcup N \land N \prec Q by auto
  then have \forall M \in Pow(T). (K \cap R \subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists N \in Pow(M). K \cap R \subseteq \bigcup N \land N)
```

```
\prec Q)) by auto
 then show thesis using IsCompactOfCard_def assms(1) by auto
qed
```

Properties of numerability 64.2

The properties of numerability deal with cardinals of some sets built from the topology. The properties which are normally used are the ones related to the cardinal of the natural numbers or its successor.

```
definition
  IsFirstOfCard (_ {is of first type of cardinal}_ 90) where
  (T {is of first type of cardinal} Q) \equiv \forall x \in \bigcup T. (\exists B. (B {is a base for})
T) \land ({b \in B. x \in b} \prec Q))
definition
  IsSecondOfCard (_ {is of second type of cardinal}_ 90) where
  (T {is of second type of cardinal}Q) \equiv (\existsB. (B {is a base for} T) \land
(B \prec Q))
definition
  IsSeparableOfCard (_{is separable of cardinal}_ 90) where
  T{is separable of cardinal}Q \equiv \exists U \in Pow(\bigcup T). Closure(U,T)=\bigcup T \land U \prec Q
definition
  IsFirstCountable (_ {is first countable} 90) where
  (T (is first countable)) \equiv T (is of first type of cardinal) csucc(nat)
  IsSecondCountable (_ {is second countable} 90) where
  (T (is second countable)) \equiv (T (is of second type of cardinal)csucc(nat))
definition
  IsSeparable (_{is separable} 90) where
  T{is separable} ≡ T{is separable of cardinal}csucc(nat)
If a set is of second type of cardinal Q, then it is of first type of that same
```

cardinal.

```
theorem second_imp_first:
  assumes T{is of second type of cardinal}Q
  shows T{is of first type of cardinal}Q
proof-
  from assms have \existsB. (B {is a base for} T) \land (B \prec Q) using IsSecondOfCard_def
  then obtain B where base:(B {is a base for} T) \land (B \prec Q) by auto
    fix x
    assume x \in JT
    have \{b\in B. x\in b\}\subseteq B by auto
```

```
then have \{b \in B. x \in b\} \lesssim B using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
     with base have \{b \in B. x \in b\} \prec Q using lesspoll_trans1 by auto
     with base have (B {is a base for} T) \land {b \in B. x \in b} \prec Q by auto
  then have \forall x \in [T]. \exists B. (B {is a base for} T) \land \{b \in B : x \in b\} \prec Q by auto
  then show thesis using IsFirstOfCard_def by auto
qed
A set is dense iff it intersects all non-empty, open sets of the topology.
lemma dense_int_open:
  assumes T{is a topology} and A \subseteq \bigcup T
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{Closure}(\mathtt{A},\mathtt{T}) = \bigcup \mathtt{T} \ \longleftrightarrow \ (\forall \, \mathtt{U} \in \mathtt{T}. \ \mathtt{U} \neq \mathtt{0} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{A} \cap \mathtt{U} \neq \mathtt{0})
  assume AS:Closure(A,T)= | JT
     fix U
     assume Uopen:U \in T and U \neq 0
     then have U \cap \bigcup T \neq 0 by auto
     with AS have U \cap Closure(A,T) \neq 0 by auto
     with assms Uopen have U \cap A \neq 0 using topology0.cl_inter_neigh topology0_def
by blast
   }
  then show \forall U \in T. U \neq 0 \longrightarrow A \cap U \neq 0 by auto
  assume AS: \forall U \in T. U \neq 0 \longrightarrow A \cap U \neq 0
     fix x
     assume A:x\in\bigcup T
     then have \forall U \in T. x \in U \longrightarrow U \cap A \neq 0 using AS by auto
     with assms A have x ∈ Closure (A,T) using topology 0.inter_neigh_cl topology 0_def
by auto
  then have []T⊆Closure(A,T) by auto
  with assms show Closure(A,T)=| | T using topology0.Top_3_L11(1) topology0_def
by blast
qed
```

64.3 Relations between numerability properties and choice principles

It is known that some statements in topology aren't just derived from choice axioms, but also equivalent to them. Here is an example

The following are equivalent:

- Every topological space of second cardinality csucc(Q) is separable of cardinality csucc(Q).
- The axiom of Q choice.

In the article [4] there is a proof of this statement for $Q = \mathbb{N}$, with more equivalences.

If a topology is of second type of cardinal csucc(Q), then it is separable of the same cardinal. This result makes use of the axiom of choice for the cardinal Q on subsets of $\bigcup T$.

```
theorem Q_choice_imp_second_imp_separable:
  assumes T{is of second type of cardinal}csucc(Q)
     and {the axiom of} Q {choice holds for subsets} | |T
     and T{is a topology}
  shows T{is separable of cardinal}csucc(Q)
proof-
  from assms(1) have \existsB. (B {is a base for} T) \land (B \prec csucc(Q)) us-
ing IsSecondOfCard_def by auto
  then obtain B where base: (B {is a base for} T) \land (B \prec csucc(Q)) by
auto
  let N=\lambdab\inB. b
  let B=B-{0}
  have B-\{0\}\subseteq B by auto
  with base have prec:B-{0}-csucc(Q) using subset_imp_lepoll lesspoll_trans1
by blast
  from base have baseOpen:∀b∈B. Nb∈T using base_sets_open by auto
  from assms(2) have car:Card(Q) and reg:(\forall M N. (M \leqQ \land (\forallt\inM. Nt\neq0
\land Nt\subseteq[JT)) \longrightarrow (\existsf. f:Pi(M,\lambdat. Nt) \land (\forallt\inM. ft\inNt)))
  using AxiomCardinalChoice_def by auto
  then have (B \leq Q \land (\forall t \in B. Nt \neq 0 \land Nt \subseteq \bigcup T)) \longrightarrow (\exists f. f:Pi(B, \lambda t. Nt))
\land (\forall t\inB. ft\inNt)) by blast
  with prec have (\forall t \in B. Nt \subseteq [JT) \longrightarrow (\exists f. f:Pi(B, \lambda t. Nt) \land (\forall t \in B. ft \in Nt))
using Card_less_csucc_eq_le car by auto
  with baseOpen have \exists f. f: Pi(B, \lambda t. Nt) \land (\forall t \in B. ft \in Nt) by blast
  then obtain f where f:f:Pi(B,\lambdat. Nt) and f2:\forallt\inB. ft\inNt by auto
     fix U
     assume U \in T and U \neq 0
     then obtain b where A1:b∈B-{0} and b⊆U using Top_1_2_L1 base by
blast
     with f2 have fb∈U by auto
     with A1 have {fb. b \in B}\cap U \neq 0 by auto
  then have r: \forall U \in T. U \neq 0 \longrightarrow \{fb. b \in B\} \cap U \neq 0 by auto
  have {fb. b \in B}\subseteq \bigcup T using f2 baseOpen by auto
  moreover
  with r have Closure(\{fb. b \in B\}, T) = \bigcup T using dense_int_open assms(3)
by auto
  moreover
  have ffun:f:B\rightarrow\range(f) using f range_of_fun by auto
  then have f∈surj(B,range(f)) using fun_is_surj by auto
  then have des1:range(f) \( \Sigma \) using surj_fun_inv_2[of fBrange(f)Q] prec
Card_less_csucc_eq_le car
```

```
Card_is_Ord by auto
  then have {fb. beB}
 \subseteqrange(f) using apply_rangeI[OF ffun] by auto
  then have {fb. b \in B}\lesssimrange(f) using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
  with des1 have {fb. b \in B}\leq B using lepoll_trans by blast
  with prec have {fb. b \in B}\prec csucc(Q) using lesspoll_trans1 by auto
  ultimately show thesis using IsSeparableOfCard_def by auto
qed
The next theorem resolves that the axiom of Q choice for subsets of UT
is necessary for second type spaces to be separable of the same cardinal
csucc(Q).
theorem second_imp_separable_imp_Q_choice:
  assumes \forall T. (T{is a topology} \land (T{is of second type of cardinal}csucc(Q)))
\longrightarrow (T{is separable of cardinal}csucc(Q))
  and Card(Q)
  shows {the axiom of} Q {choice holds}
proof-
  {
    fix N M
    assume AS:M \lesssim Q \land (\forall t \in M. Nt \neq 0)
    then obtain h where inj:h∈inj(M,Q) using lepoll_def by auto
    then have bij:converse(h):bij(range(h),M) using inj_bij_range bij_converse_bij
by auto
    let T=\{(N(converse(h)i))\times\{i\}.\ i\in range(h)\}
      fix j
      assume AS2:j∈range(h)
      from bij have converse(h):range(h) \( \to M \) using bij_def inj_def by
auto
      with AS2 have converse(h) j∈M by simp
      with AS have N(converse(h)j) \neq 0 by auto
      then have (N(converse(h)j)) \times \{j\} \neq 0 by auto
    then have noEmpty:0∉T by auto
    moreover
      fix A B
      \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{AS2:A} {\in} \mathtt{TB} {\in} \mathtt{TA} {\cap} \mathtt{B} {\neq} \mathtt{0}
      then obtain j t where A_def:A=N(converse(h)j)×{j} and B_def:B=N(converse(h)t)×{t}
         and Range: j∈range(h) t∈range(h) by auto
      from AS2(3) obtain x where x \in A \cap B by auto
      with A_def B_def have j=t by auto
      with A_def B_def have A=B by auto
    then have (\forall A \in T. \forall B \in T. A=B \lor A \cap B=0) by auto
    ultimately
    have Part:T {is a partition of} []T unfolding IsAPartition_def by
auto
```

```
let \tau=PTopology | |T T
    from Part have top:\tau {is a topology} and base:T {is a base for}\tau
      using Ptopology_is_a_topology by auto
    let f=\{(i,(N(converse(h)i))\times\{i\})\}. i\in range(h)\}
    have f:range(h)→T using functionI[of f] Pi_def by auto
    then have f∈surj(range(h),T) unfolding surj_def using apply_equality
by auto
    moreover
    have range(h) Q using inj unfolding inj_def range_def domain_def
Pi_def by auto
    ultimately have T Q using surj_fun_inv[of frange(h)TQ] assms(2)
Card_is_Ord lepoll_trans
      subset_imp_lepoll by auto
    then have T≺csucc(Q) using Card_less_csucc_eq_le assms(2) by auto
    with base have (\tau{is of second type of cardinal}csucc(Q)) using IsSecondOfCard_def
    with top have \tau{is separable of cardinal}csucc(Q) using assms(1)
by auto
    then obtain D where sub:D\inPow(\bigcup \tau) and clos:Closure(D,\tau)=\bigcup \tau and
cardd:D≺csucc(Q)
      using IsSeparableOfCard_def by auto
    then have D\(\sigma\) using Card_less_csucc_eq_le assms(2) by auto
    then obtain r where r:r∈inj(D,Q) using lepoll_def by auto
    then have bij2:converse(r):bij(range(r),D) using inj_bij_range bij_converse_bij
by auto
    then have surj2:converse(r):surj(range(r),D) using bij_def by auto
    let R=\lambda i \in range(h). \{j \in range(r) : converse(r) \in (N(converse(h)i)) \times \{i\}\}\}
    {
      fix i
      assume AS:i∈range(h)
      then have T:(N(converse(h)i))\times\{i\}\in T by auto
      then have P: (N(converse(h)i)) \times \{i\} \in \tau \text{ using base unfolding IsAbaseFor_def}
by blast
      with top sub clos have \forall U \in \tau. U \neq 0 \longrightarrow D \cap U \neq 0 using dense_int_open
by auto
      with P have (N(converse(h)i)) \times \{i\} \neq 0 \longrightarrow D \cap (N(converse(h)i)) \times \{i\} \neq 0
      with T noEmpty have D \cap (N(converse(h)i)) \times \{i\} \neq 0 by auto
      then obtain x where x \in D and px: x \in (N(converse(h)i)) \times \{i\} by auto
      with surj2 obtain j where j∈range(r) and converse(r)j=x unfold-
ing surj_def by blast
      with px have j \in \{j \in range(r) : converse(r) \in ((N(converse(h)i)) \times \{i\})\}
by auto
      then have Ri \neq 0 using beta_if[of range(h) _ i] AS by auto
    then have nonE:\forall i \in range(h). Ri\neq 0 by auto
      fix i j
```

```
assume i:i∈range(h) and j:j∈Ri
      from j i have converse(r)j \in ((N(converse(h)i)) \times \{i\}) using beta_if
by auto
    then have pp: \forall i \in range(h). \forall j \in Ri. converse(r) \in ((N(converse(h)i)) \times \{i\})
    let E=\{(m,fst(converse(r)(\mu j. j\in R(hm)))\}. m\in M\}
    have ff:function(E) unfolding function_def by auto
    moreover
    {
      fix m
      assume M:m\in M
      with inj have hm:hmerange(h) using apply_rangeI inj_def by auto
        fix j
         assume j \in R(hm)
         with hm have j∈range(r) using beta_if by auto
         from r have r:surj(D,range(r)) using fun_is_surj inj_def by auto
         with ⟨j∈range(r)⟩ obtain d where d∈D and rd=j using surj_def
by auto
         then have j \in Q using r inj_def by auto
      then have subcar:R(hm) Q by blast
      from nonE hm obtain ee where P:ee \in R(hm) by blast
      with subcar have ee∈Q by auto
      then have Ord(ee) using assms(2) Card_is_Ord Ord_in_Ord by auto
      with P have (\mu j. j \in R(hm)) \in R(hm) using LeastI[where i=ee and P=\lambda j.
j \in R(hm)]
      by auto
      with pp hm have converse(r)(\mu j. j\inR(hm))\in((N(converse(h)(hm)))\times{(hm)})
      then have converse(r)(\mu j. j\in R(hm))\in ((N(m))\times \{(hm)\}) using left_inverse[OF
inj M]
         by simp
      then have fst(converse(r)(\mu j. j\inR(hm)))\in(N(m)) by auto
    ultimately have thesis1:∀m∈M. Em∈(N(m)) using function_apply_equality
by auto
    {
      fix e
      assume e \in E
      then obtain m where m∈M and e=⟨m,Em⟩ using function_apply_equality
ff by auto
      with thesis1 have e \in Sigma(M, \lambda t. Nt) by auto
    then have E \in Pow(Sigma(M, \lambda t. Nt)) by auto
    with ff have E \in Pi(M, \lambda m. Nm) using Pi_iff by auto
    then have (\exists f. f:Pi(M, \lambda t. Nt) \land (\forall t \in M. ft \in Nt)) using thesis1 by
```

```
auto
  then show thesis using AxiomCardinalChoiceGen_def assms(2) by auto
Here is the equivalence from the two previous results.
theorem Q_choice_eq_secon_imp_sepa:
  assumes Card(Q)
  shows (\forall T. (T\{is \ a \ topology\} \land (T\{is \ of \ second \ type \ of \ cardinal\}csucc(Q)))
\longrightarrow (T{is separable of cardinal}csucc(Q)))
    \longleftrightarrow({the axiom of} Q {choice holds})
  using Q_choice_imp_second_imp_separable choice_subset_imp_choice
  using second_imp_separable_imp_Q_choice assms by auto
Given a base injective with a set, then we can find a base whose elements
are indexed by that set.
lemma base_to_indexed_base:
  assumes B \leq Q B {is a base for}T
  shows \exists N. \{Ni. i \in Q\}\{is a base for\}T
proof-
  from assms obtain f where f_def:feinj(B,Q) unfolding lepoll_def by
  let ff=\{\langle b, fb \rangle . b \in B\}
  have domain(ff)=B by auto
  moreover
  have relation(ff) unfolding relation_def by auto
  have function(ff) unfolding function_def by auto
  ultimately
  have fun:ff:B-range(ff) using function_imp_Pi[of ff] by auto
  then have injj:ff∈inj(B,range(ff)) unfolding inj_def
  proof
    {
       fix w x
       assume AS: w \in Bx \in B\{\langle b, f b \rangle : b \in B\} w = \{\langle b, f b \rangle : b \in B\} x \in B
       then have fw=fx using apply_equality[OF \_ fun] by auto
       then have w=x using f_def inj_def AS(1,2) by auto
    then show \forall w \in B. \forall x \in B. \{\langle b, f b \rangle : b \in B\} w = \{\langle b, f b \rangle : b \in B\}
B} x \longrightarrow w = x by auto
  then have bij:ffebij(B,range(ff)) using inj_bij_range by auto
  from fun have range(ff)={fb. b \in B} by auto
  with f_def have ran:range(ff) Q using inj_def by auto
  let N={\langle i, (if i \in range(ff) then converse(ff) i else 0) \rangle. i \in \mathbb{Q}}
  have FN:function(N) unfolding function_def by auto
  have B \subseteq \{Ni. i \in Q\}
  proof
    fix t
```

```
assume a:t\in B
    from bij have rr:ff:B->range(ff) unfolding bij_def inj_def by auto
    have ig:fft=ft using a apply_equality[OF _ rr] by auto
    have r:fft∈range(ff) using apply_type[OF rr a].
    from ig have t:fft∈Q using apply_type[OF _ a] f_def unfolding inj_def
    with r have N(fft)=converse(ff)(fft) using function_apply_equality[OF
_ FN] by auto
    then have N(fft)=t using left_inverse[OF injj a] by auto
    then have t=N(fft) by auto
    then have \exists i \in Q. t=Ni using t(1) by auto
    then show t \in \{Ni. i \in Q\} by simp
  qed
  moreover
  have \forall r \in \{Ni. i \in Q\} - B. r = 0
  proof
    fix r
    assume r \in \{Ni. i \in Q\}-B
    then obtain j where R:j∈Qr=Njr∉B by auto
      assume AS:j∈range(ff)
      with R(1) have Nj=converse(ff)j using function_apply_equality[OF
_ FN] by auto
      then have Nj EB using apply_funtype[OF inj_is_fun[OF bij_is_inj[OF
bij_converse_bij[OF bij]]] AS]
      by auto
      then have False using R(3,2) by auto
    then have j∉range(ff) by auto
    then show r=0 using function_apply_equality[OF _ FN] R(1,2) by auto
  ultimately have \{Ni. i \in Q\} = B \vee \{Ni. i \in Q\} = B \cup \{0\} by blast
  moreover
  have (B \cup \{0\}) - \{0\} = B - \{0\} by blast
  then have (B \cup \{0\})-\{0\} {is a base for}T using base_no_0[of BT] assms(2)
  then have B \cup{0} {is a base for}T using base_no_0[of B \cup{0}T] by auto
  ultimately
  have {Ni. i \in \mathbb{Q}}{is a base for}T using assms(2) by auto
  then show thesis by auto
qed
```

64.4 Relation between numerability and compactness

If the axiom of Q choice holds, then any topology of second type of cardinal csucc(Q) is compact of cardinal csucc(Q)

```
theorem compact_of_cardinal_Q:
   assumes {the axiom of} Q {choice holds for subsets} (Pow(Q))
   T{is of second type of cardinal}csucc(Q)
```

```
T{is a topology}
      shows ((\bigcup T)\{\text{is compact of cardinal}\}\text{csucc}(Q)\{\text{in}\}T)
proof-
       from assms(1) have CC:Card(Q) and reg: \bigwedge M N. (M \lesssimQ \land (\forall t \in M. Nt \neq0 \landNt \subseteqPow(Q)))
\longrightarrow (\existsf. f:Pi(M,\lambdat. Nt) \land (\forallt\inM. ft\inNt)) using
      AxiomCardinalChoice_def by auto
       from assms(2) obtain R where R<QR{is a base for}T unfolding IsSecondOfCard_def
using Card_less_csucc_eq_le CC by auto
       with base_to_indexed_base obtain N where base:{Ni. i \in Q}{is a base for}T
by blast
       {
              fix M
              assume A: \bigcup T \subseteq \bigcup MM \in Pow(T)
             let \alpha = \lambda U \in M. {i\in Q. N(i)\subseteq U}
              have inj: \alpha \in inj(M, Pow(Q)) unfolding inj_def
              proof
                    \mathbf{show} \ \ (\lambda \mathtt{U} \in \mathtt{M}. \ \ \mathtt{\{i} \ \in \ \mathtt{Q} \ \ . \ \ \mathtt{N} \quad \mathtt{i} \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{U}\}) \ \in \ \mathtt{M} \ \to \ \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{Q}) \ \ \mathbf{using} \ \ \mathtt{lam\_type}[\mathtt{of} \ \ ]
M\lambda U. {i \in Q . N(i) \subseteq U}%t. Pow(Q)] by auto
                     {
                            fix w x
                            \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{AS:w} \in \mathtt{Mx} \in \mathtt{M} \\ \mathtt{i} \ \in \ \mathtt{Q} \ . \ \mathtt{N(i)} \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{w} \} \ = \ \{\mathtt{i} \ \in \ \mathtt{Q} \ . \ \mathtt{N(i)} \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{x} \}
                            from AS(1,2) A(2) have w \in Tx \in T by auto
                            then have w=Interior(w,T)x=Interior(x,T) using assms(3) topology0.Top_2_L3[of
T]
                                   topology0_def[of T] by auto
                            then have UN: w=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\})x=(\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\}
B\subseteq x
                                   using interior_set_base_topology assms(3) base by auto
                                  fix b
                                  \mathbf{assume}\ b{\in}\mathtt{w}
                                  then have b \in \bigcup \{B \in \{N(i). i \in Q\}. B \subseteq w\} using UN(1) by auto
                                  then obtain S where S:S\in{N(i). i\inQ} b\inS S\subseteqw by blast
                                  then obtain j where j:j\in QS=N(j) by auto
                                  then have j \in \{i \in Q : N(i) \subseteq w\} using S(3) by auto
                                  then have N(j)\subseteq xb\in N(j)j\in Q using S(2) AS(3) j by auto
                                  then have b \in (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i). i \in Q\}. B \subseteq x\}) by auto
                                   then have b \in x using UN(2) by auto
                            moreover
                            {
                                   fix b
                                  assume b∈x
                                   then have b \in \bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq x\} using UN(2) by auto
                                   then obtain S where S:S\in{N(i). i\inQ} b\inS S\subseteqx by blast
                                  then obtain j where j:j\in QS=N(j) by auto
                                   then have j{\in}\{i~\in~Q~.~N(i)~\subseteq~x\} using S(3) by auto
                                   then have j \in \{i \in Q : N(i) \subseteq w\} using AS(3) by auto
```

```
then have N(j)\subseteq wb\in N(j)j\in Q using S(2) j(2) by auto
              then have b \in (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i). i \in Q\}. B \subseteq w\}) by auto
              then have b \in w using UN(2) by auto
           ultimately have w=x by auto
        then show \forall w \in M. \forall x \in M. (\lambda U \in M. {i \in Q . N i \subseteq U}) w = (\lambda U \in M.
\{i \in Q : N : i \subseteq U\}) x \longrightarrow w = x by auto
     }
     qed
     let X=\lambda i\in Q. {\alpha U. U\in \{V\in M. N(i)\subseteq V\}}
     let M=\{i\in Q: Xi\neq 0\}
     have subMQ:M\subseteq Q by auto
     then have ddd:M \leqQ using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
     then have M \lesssim Q \forall i \in M. Xi\neq 0 \forall i \in M. Xi\subseteq Pow(Q) by auto
     then have M \leq Q \forall i \in M. Xi\neq 0 \forall i \in M. Xi \leq Pow(Q) using subset_imp_lepoll
by auto
     then have (\exists f. f: Pi(M, \lambda t. Xt) \land (\forall t \in M. ft \in Xt)) using reg[of MX]
     then obtain f where f:f:Pi(M,\lambdat. Xt)(!!t. t\inM \Longrightarrow ft\inXt) by auto
        fix m
        assume S:m\in M
        from f(2) S obtain YY where YY: (YY \in M) (fm = \alpha YY) by auto
        then have Y:(YY \in M) \land (fm = \alpha YY) by auto
        moreover
        {
           fix U
           assume U \in M \land (fm = \alpha U)
           then have U=YY using inj inj_def YY by auto
        then have r: \Lambda x. x \in M \land (fm = \alpha x) \implies x = YY by blast
        have \exists ! YY. YY \in M \land fm = \alpha YY using exil[of \%Y. Y \in M \hat{fm} = \alpha Y, OF Y r]
by auto
     then have ex1YY:\forall m \in M. \exists !YY. YY \in M \land fm = \alpha YY by auto
     let YYm=\{\langle m, (THE YY. YY \in M \land fm=\alpha YY) \rangle. m \in M\}
     have aux: \Lambda m. m \in M \implies YYmm = (THE YY. YY \in M \land fm = \alpha YY) unfolding apply_def
by auto
     have ree:\forall m \in M. (YYmm)\in M \land fm=\alpha(YYmm)
     proof
        fix m
        \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{C:m} {\in} \mathtt{M}
        then have \exists ! YY. YY \in M \land fm = \alpha YY using ex1YY by auto
        then have (THE YY. YY\inM \land fm=\alphaYY)\inM\landfm=\alpha(THE YY. YY\inM \land fm=\alphaYY)
           using the I[of %Y. Y\inM\land fm=\alphaY] by blast
        then show (YYmm) \in M \land fm = \alpha(YYmm) apply (simp only: aux[OF C]) done
     ged
     have tt: M = M \implies N(m) \subseteq YYmm
```

```
proof-
       fix m
       assume D:m\in M
       then have QQ:m∈Q by auto
       from D have t:(YYmm) \in M \land fm=\alpha(YYmm) using ree by blast
       then have fm=\alpha(YYmm) by blast
       then have (\alpha(YYmm)) \in (\lambda i \in \mathbb{Q}. \{\alpha U. U \in \{V \in M. N(i) \subseteq V\}\}) m using f(2)[OF
D]
          by auto
       then have (\alpha(YYmm)) \in \{\alpha U. U \in \{V \in M. N(m) \subseteq V\}\}\ using QQ by auto
       then obtain U where U \in \{V \in M. \ N(m) \subseteq V\} \alpha (YYmm) = \alpha U by auto
       then have r:U\in MN(m)\subseteq U\alpha(YYmm)=\alpha U(YYmm)\in M using t by auto
       then have YYmm=U using inj_apply_equality[OF inj] by blast
       then show N(m)⊆YYmm using r by auto
     then have (| \ | m \in M. \ N(m)) \subseteq (| \ | m \in M. \ YYmm)
     proof-
       {
          fix s
          assume s \in (\bigcup m \in M. N(m))
          then obtain t where r:t\in Ms\in N(t) by auto
          then have s∈YYmt using tt[OF r(1)] by blast
          then have s \in (\bigcup m \in M. YYmm) using r(1) by blast
       then show thesis by blast
     \mathbf{qed}
     moreover
     {
       fix x
       assume AT:x\in JT
       with A obtain U where BB:U∈MU∈Tx∈U by auto
       then obtain j where BC: j \in Q \ N(j) \subseteq Ux \in N(j) using point_open_base_neigh[OF
base, of Ux] by auto
       then have Xj\neq 0 using BB(1) by auto
       then have j \in M using BC(1) by auto
       then have x \in (| | m \in M. N(m)) using BC(3) by auto
     then have \bigcup T \subseteq (\bigcup m \in M. N(m)) by blast
     ultimately have covers: | |T⊆(| |m∈M. YYmm) using subset_trans[of | |T(| |m∈M.
N(m)(m \in M. YYmm)
       by auto
     have relation(YYm) unfolding relation_def by auto
     have f:function(YYm) unfolding function_def by auto
     moreover
     have d:domain(YYm)=M by auto
     moreover
     have r:range(YYm)=YYmM by auto
     ultimately
```

```
have fun:YYm:M->YYmM using function_imp_Pi[of YYm] by auto
    have YYm∈surj(M,YYmM) using fun_is_surj[OF fun] r by auto
    with surj_fun_inv[OF this subMQ Card_is_Ord[OF CC]]
    have YYmM \leq M by auto
     with ddd have Rw:YYmM ≤Q using lepoll_trans by blast
       fix m assume m \in M
       then have \langle m, YYmm\rangle \in YYm using function_apply_Pair[OF f] d by blast
       then have YYmm∈YYmM by auto}
       then have 11:\{YYmm. m \in M\}\subseteq YYmM by blast
       {
         fix t assume t∈YYmM
         then have \exists x \in M. \langle x,t \rangle \in YYm unfolding image_def by auto
         then obtain r where S:r\in M(r,t)\in YYm by auto
         have YYmr=t using apply_equality[OF S(2) fun] by auto
         with S(1) have t \in \{YYmm. m \in M\} by auto
       with 11 have \{YYmm. m \in M\} = YYmM by blast
       with Rw have \{YYmm. m \in M\} \lesssim Q by auto
       with covers have \{YYmm. m \in M\} \in Pow(M) \land | T \subseteq | \{YYmm. m \in M\} \land \{YYmm. m \in M\}
≺csucc(Q) using ree
         {\tt Card\_less\_csucc\_eq\_le[OF\ CC]\ by\ blast}
       then have \exists N \in Pow(M). \bigcup T \subseteq \bigcup N \land N \prec csucc(Q) by auto
  then have \forall M \in Pow(T). \bigcup T \subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists N \in Pow(M)). \bigcup T \subseteq \bigcup M \land N \prec csucc(Q)
by auto
  then show thesis using IsCompactOfCard_def Card_csucc CC Card_is_Ord
by auto
qed
In the following proof, we have chosen an infinite cardinal to be able to apply
the equation Q \times Q \approx Q. For finite cardinals; both, the assumption and the
axiom of choice, are always true.
theorem second_imp_compact_imp_Q_choice_PowQ:
  assumes \forall T. (T{is a topology} \land (T{is of second type of cardinal}csucc(Q)))

ightarrow ((igcup T){is compact of cardinal}csucc(Q){in}T)
  and InfCard(Q)
  shows {the axiom of} Q {choice holds for subsets} (Pow(Q))
proof-
  {
    fix N M
    assume AS:M \leq Q \land (\forall t \in M. Nt \neq 0 \land Nt \subseteq Pow(Q))
    then obtain h where h∈inj(M,Q) using lepoll_def by auto
    have discTop:Pow(Q×M) {is a topology} using Pow_is_top by auto
     {
       fix A
       assume AS:A \in Pow(Q \times M)
       have A=\{\{i\}, i\in A\} by auto
```

```
with AS have \exists T \in Pow(\{\{i\}.\ i \in Q \times M\}). A=\bigcup T by auto
               then have A\in{\bigcupU. U\inPow({{i}. i}\inQ×M})} by auto
          }
          moreover
          {
               fix A
               assume AS:A \in \{\bigcup U.\ U \in Pow(\{\{i\}.\ i \in Q \times M\})\}
               then have A \in Pow(Q \times M) by auto
          ultimately
         have base:\{\{x\}. x \in Q \times M\} {is a base for} Pow(Q \times M) unfolding IsAbaseFor_def
by blast
          let f=\{\langle i,\{i\}\rangle: i\in Q\times M\}
          have fff:f\in Q\times M\to \{\{i\}.\ i\in Q\times M\}\ using\ Pi_def\ function_def\ by\ auto
          then have f \in inj(Q \times M, \{\{i\}. i \in Q \times M\}) unfolding inj_def using apply_equality
          then have f \in bij(Q \times M, \{\{i\}. i \in Q \times M\}) unfolding bij_def surj_def us-
ing fff
               apply_equality fff by auto
          then have Q \times M \approx \{\{i\}.\ i \in Q \times M\} using eqpoll_def by auto
          then have \{\{i\}, i \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{M}\} \approx \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{M} using eqpoll_sym by auto
          then have \{\{i\}.\ i\in Q\times M\}\lesssim Q\times M\ using\ eqpoll_imp_lepoll\ by\ auto
          then have \{\{i\}.\ i\in Q\times M\}\lesssim Q\times Q\ using\ AS\ prod_lepoll_mono[of\ QQMQ]\ lepoll_refl[of\ QQMQ]\ lepoll_refl[of\ QQMQ]\ lepoll_refl[of\ QQMQ]\ lepoll_refl[of\ QMQ]\ lepoll_refl
Q]
               lepoll_trans by blast
          then have \{\{i\}, i \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{M}\} \lesssim \mathbb{Q} using InfCard_square_eqpoll assms(2) lepoll_eq_trans
          then have \{\{i\}.\ i \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{M}\} \prec csucc(\mathbb{Q}) using Card_less_csucc_eq_le assms(2)
InfCard_is_Card by auto
          then have Pow(Q \times M) {is of second type of cardinal} csucc(Q) using
IsSecondOfCard_def base by auto
          then have comp:(Q\times M) {is compact of cardinal}csucc(Q){in}Pow(Q\times M)
using discTop assms(1) by auto
               fix W
               assume W \in Pow(Q \times M)
               then have T:W{is closed in} Pow(Q \times M) and (Q \times M) \cap W = W using IsClosed_def
               with compact_closed[OF comp T] have (W {is compact of cardinal}csucc(Q){in}Pow(Q×M))
by auto
          }
          then have subCompact: \forall W \in Pow(Q \times M). (W {is compact of cardinal}csucc(Q){in}Pow(Q \times M))
          let cub=\bigcup \{\{(U) \times \{t\}. U \in \mathbb{N}t\}. t \in \mathbb{M}\}
          from AS have (\bigcup cub) \in Pow((Q) \times M) by auto
          with subCompact have Ncomp:((\bigcup cub) \{is compact of cardinal\}csucc(Q)\{in\}Pow(Q\times M))
          have cond: (cub) \in Pow(Pow(Q \times M)) \land \bigcup cub \subseteq \bigcup cub using AS by auto
          have \exists S \in Pow(cub). ([]cub) \subseteq []S \land S \prec csucc(Q)
```

```
proof-
            have ((\bigcup cub) {is compact of cardinal}csucc(Q){in}Pow(Q×M)) us-
ing Ncomp by auto
            then have \forall M \in Pow(Pow(Q \times M)). \bigcup cub \subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists Na \in Pow(M)). \bigcup cub
\subseteq \bigcup \mathbb{N}  \wedge \mathbb{N}  \wedge \mathbb{N}  \vee \mathbb{N}  \vee \mathbb{N}  \vee \mathbb{N} 
               unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def by auto
            with cond have \exists S \in Pow(cub). \bigcup cub \subseteq \bigcup S \land S \prec csucc(Q) by auto
         then show thesis by auto
      qed
      then have ttt:\exists S \in Pow(cub). ([]cub) \subseteq []S \land S \lesssim Q using Card_less_csucc_eq_le
assms(2) InfCard_is_Card by auto
      then obtain S where S_def:S\in Pow(cub)(\bigcup cub)\subseteq\bigcup S S \lesssim Q by auto
         assume AA:t\in MNt\neq \{0\}
         from AA(1) AS have Nt≠0 by auto
         with AA(2) obtain U where G:U∈Nt and notEm:U≠0 by blast
         then have U \times \{t\} \in \text{cub using AA by auto}
         then have U \times \{t\} \subseteq \bigcup cub by auto
         with G notEm AA have \exists s. \langle s,t \rangle \in \bigcup cub by auto
      then have \forall t \in M. (Nt \neq \{0\}) \longrightarrow (\exists s. \langle s, t \rangle \in \bigcup cub) by auto
      then have A: \forall t \in M. (Nt \neq \{0\}) \longrightarrow (\exists s. \langle s, t \rangle \in \bigcup S) using S_{def}(2) by
blast
      from S_def(1) have B: \forall f \in S. \exists t \in M. \exists U \in Nt. f = U \times \{t\} by blast
      from A B have \forall t \in M. (Nt \neq \{0\}) \longrightarrow (\exists U \in Nt. U \times \{t\} \in S) by blast
      then have noEmp:\forall t \in M. (Nt \neq \{0\}) \longrightarrow (S \cap (\{U \times \{t\}.\ U \in Nt\}) \neq 0) by auto
      from S_def(3) obtain r where r:r:inj(S,Q) using lepoll_def by auto
      then have bij2:converse(r):bij(range(r),S) using inj_bij_range bij_converse_bij
by auto
      then have surj2:converse(r):surj(range(r),S) using bij_def by auto
      let \ \texttt{R=}\lambda \texttt{t} \in \texttt{M}. \ \{\texttt{j} \in \texttt{range(r)}. \ \texttt{converse(r)} \ \texttt{j} \in (\{\texttt{U} \times \{\texttt{t}\}. \ \texttt{U} \in \texttt{Nt}\})\}
      {
         fix t
         assume AA:t\in MNt\neq \{0\}
         then have (S \cap (\{U \times \{t\}, U \in \mathbb{N}t\}) \neq 0) using noEmp by auto
         then obtain s where ss:s\in Ss\in \{U\times \{t\}.\ U\in Nt\} by blast
         then obtain j where converse(r) j=s j∈range(r) using surj2 unfold-
ing surj_def by blast
         then have j \in \{j \in range(r), converse(r), j \in (\{U \times \{t\}, U \in Nt\})\}\ using ss
         then have Rt \neq 0 using beta_if AA by auto
      then have nonE:\forall t \in M. Nt\neq \{0\} \longrightarrow Rt \neq 0 by auto
         fix t j
         assume t \in Mj \in Rt
```

```
then have converse(r)j\in\{U\times\{t\}.\ U\in\mathbb{N}t\} using beta_if by auto
     then have pp: \forall t \in M. \forall j \in Rt. converse(r) j \in \{U \times \{t\}\}. U \in Nt\} by auto
     have reg:\forall t \ U \ V. \ U \times \{t\} = V \times \{t\} \longrightarrow U = V
     proof-
           \mathbf{fix} \ \mathbf{t} \ \mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{V}
           assume AA:U\times\{t\}=V\times\{t\}
           {
              fix v
              assume v \in V
              then have \langle v,t \rangle \in V \times \{t\} by auto
              then have \langle v,t \rangle \in U \times \{t\} using AA by auto
              then have v \in U by auto
           then have V⊆U by auto
           moreover
              fix u
              \mathbf{assume}\ u{\in} U
              then have \langle \mathtt{u},\mathtt{t}\rangle{\in}\mathtt{U}\ \times{\{\mathtt{t}\}}\ \mathrm{by} auto
              then have \langle u,t \rangle \in V \times \{t\} using AA by auto
              then have u \in V by auto
           then have U\subseteq V by auto
           ultimately have U=V by auto
        then show thesis by auto
     qed
     let E=\{\langle t, \text{if Nt}=\{0\} \text{ then 0 else (THE U. converse(r)} (\mu j. j \in \mathbb{R}t)=U \times \{t\}\}\rangle.
t \in M
     have ff:function(E) unfolding function_def by auto
     moreover
     {
        fix t
        assume pm:t \in M
          { assume nonEE:Nt\neq{0}
           fix j
           assume j \in Rt
           with pm(1) have j∈range(r) using beta_if by auto
           from r have r:surj(S,range(r)) using fun_is_surj inj_def by auto
           with \langle j \in range(r) \rangle obtain d where deS and rd=j using surj_def
by auto
           then have j \in Q using r inj_def by auto
        then have sub:Rt⊆Q by blast
        from nonE pm nonEE obtain ee where P:ee∈Rt by blast
```

```
with sub have ee∈Q by auto
       then have Ord(ee) using assms(2) Card_is_Ord Ord_in_Ord InfCard_is_Card
by blast
       with P have (\mu j. j \in \mathbb{R}t) \in \mathbb{R}t using LeastI[where i=ee and P=\lambda j.
i∈Rt] by auto
       with pp pm have converse(r)(\mu j. j\inRt)\in{U\times{t}}. U\inNt} by auto
       then obtain W where converse(r)(\mu j. j\inRt)=W\times{t} and s:W\inNt by
auto
       then have (THE U. converse(r)(\mu j. j\inRt)=U\times{t})=W using reg by
auto
       with s have (THE U. converse(r)(\mu j. j\inRt)=U\times{t})\inNt by auto
    then have (if Nt={0} then 0 else (THE U. converse(r)(\mu j. jeRt)=U×{t}))eNt
by auto
    ultimately have thesis1:∀t∈M. Et∈Nt using function_apply_equality
by auto
    {
       fix e
       assume e \in E
       then obtain m where m∈M and e=⟨m,Em⟩ using function_apply_equality
ff by auto
       with thesis1 have e \in Sigma(M, \lambda t. Nt) by auto
    then have E \in Pow(Sigma(M, \lambda t. Nt)) by auto
    with ff have E \in Pi(M, \lambda m. Nm) using Pi_iff by auto
    then have (\exists f. f: Pi(M, \lambda t. Nt) \land (\forall t \in M. ft \in Nt)) using thesis1 by
auto}
    then show thesis using AxiomCardinalChoice_def assms(2) InfCard_is_Card
by auto
qed
The two previous results, state the following equivalence:
theorem Q_choice_Pow_eq_secon_imp_comp:
  assumes InfCard(Q)
  shows (\forall T. (T\{is \ a \ topology\} \land (T\{is \ of \ second \ type \ of \ cardinal\}csucc(Q)))
\longrightarrow ((| |T){is compact of cardinal}csucc(Q){in}T))
    \longleftrightarrow (\{\text{the axiom of}\}\ \ \ \ \{\text{choice holds for subsets}\}\ \ (\text{Pow}(\ \ \ \ \ \ )))
    using second_imp_compact_imp_Q_choice_PowQ compact_of_cardinal_Q assms
by auto
In the next result we will prove that if the space (\kappa, Pow(\kappa)), for \kappa an infinite
cardinal, is compact of its successor cardinal; then all topologycal spaces
which are of second type of the successor cardinal of \kappa are also compact of
that cardinal.
theorem Q_csuccQ_comp_eq_Q_choice_Pow:
  assumes InfCard(Q) (Q){is compact of cardinal}csucc(Q){in}Pow(Q)
  shows \forall T. (T{is a topology} \land (T{is of second type of cardinal}csucc(Q)))
 \rightarrow (([]T){is compact of cardinal}csucc(Q){in}T)
```

```
proof
          fix T
                       assume top:T {is a topology} and sec:T{is of second type of cardinal}csucc(Q)
                       from assms have Card(csucc(Q)) Card(Q) using InfCard_is_Card Card_is_Ord
Card_csucc by auto
                       moreover
                       have \bigcup T \subseteq \bigcup T by auto
                       moreover
                       {
                                  fix M
                                  assume MT:M \in Pow(T) and cover:[JT \subseteq JM]
                                  from sec obtain B where B {is a base for} T B<csucc(Q) using IsSecondOfCard_def
by auto
                                   with \langle Card(Q) \rangle obtain N where base:{Ni. i \in Q}{is a base for}T us-
ing Card_less_csucc_eq_le
                                             base\_to\_indexed\_base\ by\ blast
                                  let S=\{\langle u, \{i\in Q. \ Ni\subseteq u\}\rangle. \ u\in M\}
                                  have function(S) unfolding function_def by auto
                                  then have S:M→Pow(Q) using Pi_iff by auto
                                  then have S∈inj(M,Pow(Q)) unfolding inj_def
                                              proof
                                                         fix w x
                                                         assume AS: w \in Mx \in M\{\langle u, \{i \in Q : N : i \subseteq u\} \rangle : u \in M\} w = \{\langle u, \{i \in Q : N : i \subseteq u\} \rangle : u \in M\}
\{\mathtt{i}\,\in\,\mathtt{Q}\,\,.\,\,\mathtt{N}\quad\mathtt{i}\,\subseteq\,\mathtt{u}\}\rangle\,\,.\,\,\mathtt{u}\,\in\,\mathtt{M}\}\quad\mathtt{x}
                                                         with \langle S:M \rightarrow Pow(Q) \rangle have ASS:\{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : N \mid i \subseteq w\} = \{i \in Q : 
i \subseteq x} using apply_equality by auto
                                                          from AS(1,2) MT have w∈Tx∈T by auto
                                                          then have w=Interior(w,T)x=Interior(x,T) using top topology0.Top_2_L3[of
T]
                                                                      topology0_def[of T] by auto
                                                         then have UN: w = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) x = (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq
B\subseteq x)
                                                                    using interior_set_base_topology top base by auto
                                                                    fix b
                                                                      assume b∈w
                                                                      then have b \in [ ] \{ B \in \{ N(i) . i \in Q \} . B \subseteq w \}  using UN(1) by auto
                                                                      then obtain S where S:S\in\{N(i).\ i\in Q\}\ b\in S \subseteq w by blast
                                                                      then obtain j where j:j\in QS=N(j) by auto
                                                                      then have j \in \{i \in Q : N(i) \subseteq w\} using S(3) by auto
                                                                      then have N(j)\subseteq xb\in N(j)j\in Q using S(2) ASS j by auto
                                                                     then have b \in (\bigcup \{B \in \{N(i). i \in Q\}. B \subseteq x\}) by auto
                                                                     then have b \in x using UN(2) by auto
                                                          }
                                                         moreover
                                                                    fix b
```

```
assume b∈x
              then have b \in \bigcup \{B \in \{N(i). i \in Q\}. B \subseteq x\} using UN(2) by auto
              then obtain S where S:S\in\{N(i).\ i\in Q\}\ b\in S\ S\subseteq x by blast
              then obtain j where j:j∈QS=N(j) by auto
              then have j \in \{i \in Q : N(i) \subseteq x\} using S(3) by auto
              then have j \in \{i \in Q : N(i) \subseteq w\} using ASS by auto
              then have N(j)\subseteq wb\in N(j)j\in Q using S(2) j(2) by auto
              then have b \in (| \{B \in \{N(i) : i \in Q\} : B \subseteq w\}) by auto
              then have b∈w using UN(2) by auto
           ultimately have w=x by auto
         then show \forall w \in M. \forall x \in M. \{\langle u, \{i \in Q : N : i \subseteq u\} \rangle : u \in M\} w
= \{\langle u, \{i \in Q : N : i \subseteq u\} \rangle : u \in M\} x \longrightarrow w = x by auto
      qed
      then have S∈bij(M,range(S)) using fun_is_surj unfolding bij_def
inj_def surj_def by force
      have range(S)⊆Pow(Q) by auto
      then have range(S) \in Pow(Pow(Q)) by auto
      have (\bigcup (range(S))) {is closed in} Pow(Q) Q\cap(\bigcup range(S))=(\bigcup range(S))
using IsClosed_def by auto
      from this(2) compact_closed[OF assms(2) this(1)] have (Urange(S)){is
compact of cardinal}csucc(Q) {in}Pow(Q)
         by auto
      moreover
      have [](range(S))\subseteq[](range(S)) by auto
      using IsCompactOfCard_def by auto
      then obtain SS where SS_def:SS⊆range(S) ([](range(S)))⊆[]SS SS≺
csucc(Q) by auto
      with ⟨S∈bij(M,range(S))⟩ have con:converse(S)∈bij(range(S),M) us-
ing bij_converse_bij by auto
      then have r1:restrict(converse(S),SS)∈bij(SS,converse(S)SS) us-
ing restrict_bij bij_def SS_def(1) by auto
      then have rr:converse(restrict(converse(S),SS)) <br/> = bij(converse(S)SS,SS)
using bij_converse_bij by auto
         fix x
         assume x \in JT
         with cover have x \in \bigcup M by auto
         then obtain R where R \in M x \in R by auto
         with MT have R \in T x \in R by auto
         then have \exists V \in \{Ni. i \in Q\}. V \subseteq R \land x \in V using point_open_base_neigh
base by force
         then obtain j where j \in Q Nj\subseteq R and x_p:x\in Nj by auto
         with (R \in M) (S:M \rightarrow Pow(Q)) (S \in bij(M,range(S))) have SR \in range(S) \land M \in M
j \in SR using apply_equality
           bij_def inj_def by auto
```

```
from exI[where P=\lambdat. t\inrange(S) \land j\int, OF this] have \exists A\inrange(S).
j∈A unfolding Bex_def
          by auto
        then have j \in ((J(range(S)))) by auto
        then have j \in \bigcup SS \text{ using } SS_{def}(2) by blast
        then obtain SR where SRESS jesR by auto
        moreover
        have converse(restrict(converse(S),SS)) \in surj(converse(S)SS,SS)
using rr bij_def by auto
        ultimately obtain RR where converse(restrict(converse(S),SS))RR=SR
and p:RR∈converse(S)SS unfolding surj_def by blast
        then have converse(converse(restrict(converse(S),SS)))(converse(restrict(converse(S),SS)))
          by auto
        moreover
        have converse(restrict(converse(S),SS))∈inj(converse(S)SS,SS)
using rr unfolding bij_def by auto
        moreover
        ultimately have RR=converse(converse(restrict(converse(S),SS)))SR
using left_inverse[OF _ p]
          by force
        moreover
        with r1 have restrict(converse(S),SS)∈SS→converse(S)SS unfold-
ing bij_def inj_def by auto
        then have relation(restrict(converse(S),SS)) using Pi_def relation_def
by auto
        then have converse(converse(restrict(converse(S),SS)))=restrict(converse(S),SS)
using relation_converse_converse by auto
        ultimately have RR=restrict(converse(S),SS)SR by auto
        with ⟨SR∈SS⟩ have eq:RR=converse(S)SR unfolding restrict by auto
        then have converse(converse(S))RR=converse(converse(S))(converse(S)SR)
by auto
        moreover
        with \langle SR \in SS \rangle have SR \in range(S) using SS_def(1) by auto
        from con left_inverse[OF _ this] have converse(converse(S))(converse(S)SR)=SR
unfolding bij_def
          by auto
        ultimately have converse(converse(S))RR=SR by auto
        then have SRR=SR using relation_converse_converse[of S] unfold-
ing relation_def by auto
        have converse(S):range(S)→M using con bij_def inj_def by auto
        with (SR∈range(S)) have converse(S)SR∈M using apply_funtype
          by auto
        with eq have RR∈M by auto
        ultimately have SR=\{i\in Q. Ni\subseteq RR\} \text{ using } (S:M\rightarrow Pow(Q)) \text{ apply_equality}
by auto
        then have Nj⊆RR using ⟨j∈SR⟩ by auto
        with x_p have x \in RR by auto
        with p have x \in (] (converse(S)SS) by auto
```

```
then have \bigcup T \subseteq \bigcup (converse(S)SS) by blast
       moreover
         from con have converse(S)SS={converse(S)R. R∈SS} using image_function[of
converse(S) SS]
            SS_def(1) unfolding range_def bij_def inj_def Pi_def by auto
         have {converse(S)R. R∈SS}⊆{converse(S)R. R∈range(S)} using SS_def(1)
by auto
         moreover
         have converse(S):range(S)→M using con unfolding bij_def inj_def
by auto
         then have {converse(S)R. R∈range(S)}⊆M using apply_funtype by
force
         ultimately
         have (converse(S)SS)⊂M by auto
       then have converse(S)SS∈Pow(M) by auto
       with rr have converse(S)SS≈SS using eqpoll_def by auto
       then have converse(S)SS<csucc(Q) using SS_def(3) eq_lesspoll_trans
by auto
       ultimately
       have \exists \, \mathbb{N} \in Pow(\mathbb{M}). \bigcup \, \mathbb{T} \subseteq \bigcup \, \mathbb{N} \, \wedge \, \mathbb{N} \prec csucc(\mathbb{Q}) by auto
    then have \forall M \in Pow(T). \bigcup T \subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists N \in Pow(M)). \bigcup T \subseteq \bigcup N \land N \prec csucc(Q)
    ultimately have (\bigcup T) {is compact of cardinal}csucc(Q){in}T unfold-
ing IsCompactOfCard_def
       by auto
  then show (T {is a topology}) \land (T {is of second type of cardinal}csucc(Q))
\longrightarrow ((\bigcup T){is compact of cardinal}csucc(Q) {in}T)
  by auto
qed
theorem Q_disc_is_second_card_csuccQ:
  assumes InfCard(Q)
  shows Pow(Q){is of second type of cardinal}csucc(Q)
proof-
  {
    fix A
    assume AS:A∈Pow(Q)
    have A=\bigcup\{\{i\}, i\in A\} by auto
    with AS have \exists T \in Pow(\{\{i\}, i \in Q\}). A=\bigcup T by auto
    then have A \in \{\bigcup U. \ U \in Pow(\{\{i\}. \ i \in Q\})\}\ by auto
  moreover
```

```
fix A
          assume AS:A \in \{\bigcup U. \ U \in Pow(\{\{i\}. \ i \in Q\})\}
          then have A∈Pow(Q) by auto
     ultimately
    have base:{{x}. x \in Q} {is a base for} Pow(Q) unfolding IsAbaseFor_def
by blast
    let f=\{\langle i,\{i\}\rangle, i\in \mathbb{Q}\}
     have f \in Q \rightarrow \{\{x\}. x \in Q\} unfolding Pi_def function_def by auto
    then have f \in inj(Q, \{\{x\}. x \in Q\}) unfolding inj_def using apply_equality
by auto
    moreover
    from \ \langle f \in \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \{\{x\}. \ x \in \mathbb{Q}\}\rangle \ have \ f \in \text{surj}(\mathbb{Q}, \{\{x\}. \ x \in \mathbb{Q}\}) \ unfolding \ \text{surj\_def}
using apply_equality
          by auto
     ultimately have f \in bij(Q, \{\{x\}. x \in Q\}) unfolding bij_def by auto
    then have \mathbb{Q} \approx \{\{x\}.\ x \in \mathbb{Q}\}\ using eqpoll_def by auto
    then have \{\{x\}.\ x\in \mathbb{Q}\}\approx \mathbb{Q} using eqpoll_sym by auto
    then have \{\{x\}, x \in \mathbb{Q}\} \lesssim \mathbb{Q} using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
     then have \{\{x\}. x \in Q\} \prec csucc(Q) using Card_less_csucc_eq_le assms InfCard_is_Card
     with base show thesis using IsSecondOfCard_def by auto
qed
This previous results give us another equivalence of the axiom of Q choice
that is apparently weaker (easier to check) to the previous one.
theorem Q_disc_comp_csuccQ_eq_Q_choice_csuccQ:
     assumes InfCard(Q)
     shows \ (\mathbb{Q} \{ is \ compact \ of \ cardinal \} csucc(\mathbb{Q}) \{ in \} (Pow(\mathbb{Q}))) \ \longleftrightarrow \ (\{ the \ axiom 
of}Q{choice holds for subsets}(Pow(Q)))
     proof
     assume Q{is compact of cardinal}csucc(Q) {in}Pow(Q)
     with assms show {the axiom of}Q{choice holds for subsets}(Pow(Q)) us-
ing Q_choice_Pow_eq_secon_imp_comp Q_csuccQ_comp_eq_Q_choice_Pow
          by auto
     next
    assume {the axiom of}Q{choice holds for subsets}(Pow(Q))
     with assms show Q{is compact of cardinal}csucc(Q){in}(Pow(Q)) using
Q_disc_is_second_card_csuccQ Q_choice_Pow_eq_secon_imp_comp Pow_is_top[of
Q]
          by force
qed
```

 \mathbf{end}

65 Topology 5

theory Topology_ZF_5 imports Topology_ZF_properties Topology_ZF_examples_1
Topology_ZF_4
begin

65.1 Some results for separation axioms

First we will give a global characterization of T_1 -spaces; which is interesting because it involves the cardinal \mathbb{N} .

```
lemma (in topology0) T1_cocardinal_coarser:
  shows (T {is T_1}) \longleftrightarrow (CoFinite (\bigcup T))\subseteq T
proof
  {
    assume AS:T {is T_1}
     {
       fix x assume p:x \in I 
         fix y assume y \in (| JT) - \{x\}
         with AS p obtain U where U∈T y∈U x∉U using isT1_def by blast
         then have U \in T y \in U U \subseteq (\bigcup T) - \{x\} by auto
         then have \exists U \in T. y \in U \land U \subseteq (\bigcup T) - \{x\} by auto
       then have \forall y \in (\bigcup T) - \{x\}. \exists U \in T. y \in U \land U \subseteq (\bigcup T) - \{x\} by auto
       then have []T-{x}∈T using open_neigh_open by auto
       with p have {x} {is closed in}T using IsClosed_def by auto
    then have pointCl:\forall x \in \bigcup T. {x} {is closed in} T by auto
     {
       fix A
       assume AS2:A∈FinPow(| JT)
       let p=\{\langle x,\{x\}\rangle, x\in A\}
       have p \in A \rightarrow \{\{x\}. x \in A\} using Pi_def unfolding function_def by auto
       then have p:bij(A,\{\{x\}.\ x\in A\}) unfolding bij_def inj_def surj_def
using apply_equality
         by auto
       then have A \approx \{\{x\}. x \in A\} unfolding eqpoll_def by auto
       with AS2 have Finite(\{\{x\}.\ x \in A\}) unfolding FinPow_def using eqpoll_imp_Finite_iff
       then have \{\{x\}.\ x\in A\}\in FinPow(\{D\in Pow(|T) . D \{is closed in\} T\})
using AS2 pointCl unfolding FinPow_def
       by (safe, blast+)
       then have ([]\{\{x\}, x \in A\}) {is closed in} T using fin_union_cl_is_cl
by auto
       moreover
       have \{ \{x\}, x \in A\} = A by auto
       ultimately have A {is closed in} T by simp
    then have reg:∀A∈FinPow([JT). A {is closed in} T by auto
```

```
{
      fix U
      assume AS2:U ∈ CoCardinal(UT,nat)
      then have U \in Pow(|T|) U=0 \lor ((|T|)-U) \prec nat using CoCardinal_def by
auto
      then have U \in Pow(\bigcup T) U=0 \lor Finite(\bigcup T-U) using lesspoll_nat_is_Finite
by auto
      then have U \in Pow([\ ]T) U \in T \lor ([\ ]T - U) {is closed in} T using empty_open
{\tt topSpaceAssum}
         reg unfolding FinPow_def by auto
      then have U \in Pow(\bigcup T) U \in T \lor (\bigcup T - (\bigcup T - U)) \in T using IsClosed_def by
auto
      moreover
      then have ([]T-([]T-U])=U by blast
      ultimately have UET by auto
    then show (CoFinite ([]T))⊆T using Cofinite_def by auto
    assume (CoFinite (| JT))⊆T
    then have AS:CoCardinal(∪T,nat) ⊆ T using Cofinite_def by auto
    {
      fix x y
      assume AS2:x\in\bigcup T y\in\bigcup Tx\neq y
      have Finite({y}) by auto
      then obtain n where {y}≈n n∈nat using Finite_def by auto
      then have {y} \( \sim \) nat using n_lesspoll_nat eq_lesspoll_trans by auto
      AS2(2) by auto
      then have (\bigcup T)-\{y\}\in CoCardinal(\bigcup T,nat) using union_cocardinal
IsClosed_def by auto
      with AS have ([\ ]T)-\{y\}\in T by auto
      moreover
      with AS2(1,3) have x \in ((\bigcup T) - \{y\}) \land y \notin ((\bigcup T) - \{y\}) by auto
      ultimately have \exists V \in T. x \in V \land y \notin V by (safe, auto)
    then show T {is T_1} using isT1_def by auto
qed
In the previous proof, it is obvious that we don't need to check if ever cofinite
set is open. It is enough to check if every singleton is closed.
corollary(in topology0) T1_iff_singleton_closed:
  shows (T {is T_1}) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \bigcup T. {x}{is closed in}T)
proof
  assume AS:T {is T_1}
    fix x assume p:x\in\bigcup T
```

```
fix y assume y \in (\bigcup T) - \{x\}
       with AS p obtain U where U\inT y\inU using isT1_def by blast
       then have U \in T y \in U U \subseteq (\bigcup T) - \{x\} by auto
       then have \exists U \in T. y \in U \land U \subseteq ([T] - \{x\}] by auto
     then have \forall y \in (\bigcup T) - \{x\}. \exists U \in T. y \in U \land U \subseteq (\bigcup T) - \{x\} by auto
     then have \bigcup T-\{x\}\in T using open_neigh_open by auto
     with p have {x} {is closed in}T using IsClosed_def by auto
  then show pointCl:\forall x \in \bigcup T. {x} {is closed in} T by auto
  assume pointCl:\forall x \in \{ \} T. \{x\} \{ \text{is closed in} \} T
  {
    fix A
     assume AS2:A∈FinPow([]T)
     let p=\{\langle x,\{x\}\rangle, x\in A\}
     have p \in A \rightarrow \{\{x\}. x \in A\} using Pi_def unfolding function_def by auto
     then have p:bij(A,\{x\}. x \in A\}) unfolding bij_def inj_def surj_def
using apply_equality
       by auto
     then have A \approx \{\{x\}.\ x \in A\} unfolding eqpoll_def by auto
     with AS2 have Finite({{x}. x∈A}) unfolding FinPow_def using eqpoll_imp_Finite_iff
     then have \{x\}. x \in A\} \in FinPow(\{D \in Pow(\bigcup T) : D \{is closed in\} T\})
using AS2 pointCl unfolding FinPow_def
     by (safe, blast+)
     then have ([\] \{x\}.\ x \in A\}) {is closed in} T using fin_union_cl_is_cl
by auto
     moreover
     have \{ \{x\}, x \in A\} = A by auto
     ultimately have A {is closed in} T by simp
  then have reg: \forall A \in FinPow(\bigcup T). A {is closed in} T by auto
    fix U
     assume AS2:U∈CoCardinal(| |T,nat)
     then have U \in Pow(\bigcup T) U=0 \lor ((\bigcup T)-U) \prec nat using CoCardinal_def by
auto
     then have U∈Pow(| |T) U=0 ∨ Finite(| |T-U) using lesspoll_nat_is_Finite
by auto
     then have U \in Pow(\bigcup T) U \in T \lor (\bigcup T - U) {is closed in} T using empty_open
topSpaceAssum
       reg unfolding FinPow_def by auto
     then have U \in Pow(\bigcup T) U \in T \lor (\bigcup T - (\bigcup T - U)) \in T using IsClosed_def by auto
     moreover
     then have (\bigcup T-(\bigcup T-U))=U by blast
     ultimately have UET by auto
  then have (CoFinite ([]T))⊆T using Cofinite_def by auto
```

```
then show T {is T_1} using T1_cocardinal_coarser by auto
qed
Secondly, let's show that the CoCardinal X Q topologies for different sets
Q are all ordered as the partial order of sets. (The order is linear when
considering only cardinals)
lemma order_cocardinal_top:
  fixes X
  assumes Q1≤Q2
  shows CoCardinal(X,Q1) \subseteq CoCardinal(X,Q2)
  fix x
  assume x \in CoCardinal(X,Q1)
  then have x \in Pow(X) x=0 \lor (X-x) \prec Q1 using CoCardinal_def by auto
  with assms have x \in Pow(X) x=0 \lor (X-x) \prec Q2 using lesspoll_trans2 by auto
  then show x \in CoCardinal(X,Q2) using CoCardinal_def by auto
qed
corollary cocardinal_is_T1:
  fixes X K
  assumes InfCard(K)
  \mathbf{shows} \ \texttt{CoCardinal}(\texttt{X},\texttt{K}) \ \{ \texttt{is} \ \texttt{T}_1 \}
proof-
  \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{nat} \underline{\leq} \mathtt{K} \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{InfCard\_def} \ \mathtt{assms} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
  then have nat⊆K using le_imp_subset by auto
  then have nat≲K K≠Ousing subset_imp_lepoll by auto
  then have CoCardinal(X,nat) \subseteq CoCardinal(X,K) \bigcup CoCardinal(X,K)=X us-
ing order_cocardinal_top
     union_cocardinal by auto
  then show thesis using topology0.T1_cocardinal_coarser topology0_CoCardinal
assms Cofinite_def
     by auto
qed
In T_2-spaces, filters and nets have at most one limit point.
lemma (in topology0) T2_imp_unique_limit_filter:
  assumes T {is T<sub>2</sub>} \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on}\bigcupT \mathfrak{F} \to_F x \mathfrak{F} \to_F y
  shows x=y
proof-
     assume x≠y
     from assms(3,4) have x \in \bigcup T y \in \bigcup T using FilterConverges_def assms(2)
     with \langle x \neq y \rangle have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 using assms(1) is T2_def
     then obtain U V where x \in U y \in V U \cap V = 0 U \in T V \in T by auto
     then have U \in \{A \in Pow(\bigcup T) : x \in Interior(A,T)\}\ V \in \{A \in Pow(\bigcup T) : y \in Interior(A,T)\}
using Top_2_L3 by auto
     then have U \in \mathfrak{F} \ V \in \mathfrak{F} \ using \ FilterConverges_def \ assms(2) \ assms(3,4)
```

```
by auto
     then have U \cap V \in \mathfrak{F} using IsFilter_def assms(2) by auto
     with \langle U \cap V = 0 \rangle have 0 \in \mathfrak{F} by auto
     then have False using IsFilter_def assms(2) by auto
  then show thesis by auto
qed
lemma (in topology0) T2_imp_unique_limit_net:
  assumes T {is T<sub>2</sub>} N {is a net on}\bigcupT N \rightarrow_N x N \rightarrow_N y
  shows x=y
proof-
  have (Filter N..(\bigcupT)) {is a filter on} (\bigcupT) (Filter N..(\bigcupT)) \rightarrow_F
x (Filter N..(\bigcup T)) \rightarrow_F y
     using filter_of_net_is_filter(1) net_conver_filter_of_net_conver assms(2)
     assms(3,4) by auto
  with assms(1) show thesis using T2_imp_unique_limit_filter by auto
qed
In fact, T_2-spaces are characterized by this property. For this proof we build
a filter containing the union of two filters.
lemma (in topology0) unique_limit_filter_imp_T2:
  assumes \forall x \in [JT. \forall y \in [JT. \forall \mathfrak{F}. ((\mathfrak{F} \text{ is a filter on}) \in JT) \land (\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow_F x)
\wedge (\mathfrak{F} \to_F \mathsf{y})) \longrightarrow \mathsf{x=y}
  shows T {is T_2}
proof-
   {
     fix x y
     assume x \in \bigcup T y \in \bigcup T x \neq y
        assume \forall U \in T. \forall V \in T. (x \in U \land y \in V) \longrightarrow U \cap V \neq 0
        let Ux=\{A \in Pow(\bigcup T) : x \in int(A)\}
        let Uy = \{A \in Pow(\bigcup T) : y \in int(A)\}
        let FF=Ux \cup Uy \cup {A\capB. \langleA,B\rangle\inUx \times Uy}
        have sat:FF {satisfies the filter base condition}
        proof-
           {
              \mathbf{fix} \ \mathsf{A} \ \mathsf{B}
              \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{A}{\in}\mathtt{FF} \ \mathtt{B}{\in}\mathtt{FF}
                 assume A \in Ux
                    assume B \in Ux
                    with \langle x \in | JT \rangle \ \langle A \in Ux \rangle have A \cap B \in Ux using neigh_filter(1) IsFilter_def
by auto
                    then have A \cap B \in FF by auto
                  }
                 moreover
                  {
```

```
assume B \in Uy
                      with \langle A \in Ux \rangle have A \cap B \in FF by auto
                   }
                  moreover
                     assume B \in \{A \cap B : \langle A, B \rangle \in Ux \times Uy\}
                      then obtain AA BB where B=AA\capBB AA\inUx BB\inUy by auto
                      with \forall x \in [JT) \forall A \in Ux have A \cap B = (A \cap AA) \cap BB A \cap AA \in Ux using neigh_filter(1)
IsFilter_def by auto
                     with \langle BB \in Uy \rangle have A \cap B \in \{A \cap B. \langle A, B \rangle \in Ux \times Uy\} by auto
                      then have A \cap B \in FF by auto
                  ultimately have A \cap B \in FF using \langle B \in FF \rangle by auto
               }
               moreover
                {
                   assume A∈Uy
                     \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{B}{\in}\mathtt{U}\mathtt{y}
                      with \forall y \in | JT \rangle \ \langle A \in Uy \rangle \ have \ A \cap B \in Uy \ using neigh_filter(1) \ IsFilter_def
by auto
                      then have A \cap B \in FF by auto
                   moreover
                   {
                      assume B∈Ux
                      with \langle A \in Uy \rangle have B \cap A \in FF by auto
                     moreover have A \cap B = B \cap A by auto
                      ultimately have A \cap B \in FF by auto
                  moreover
                   {
                     assume B \in \{A \cap B. \langle A, B \rangle \in Ux \times Uy\}
                      then obtain AA BB where B=AA\capBB AA\inUx BB\inUy by auto
                      with \forall y \in (JT) \ (A \in Uy) \ have \ A \cap B = AA \cap (A \cap BB) \ A \cap BB \in Uy \ using \ neigh_filter(1)
IsFilter_def by auto
                     with \langle AA \in Ux \rangle have A \cap B \in \{A \cap B. \langle A, B \rangle \in Ux \times Uy\} by auto
                      then have A \cap B \in FF by auto
                  ultimately have A \cap B \in FF using \langle B \in FF \rangle by auto
               }
               moreover
                {
                   assume A \in \{A \cap B : \langle A, B \rangle \in Ux \times Uy\}
                   then obtain AA BB where A=AA\capBB AA\inUx BB\inUy by auto
                     assume B∈Uv
                      with \langle BB \in Uy \rangle \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle have B \cap BB \in Uy using neigh_filter(1)
IsFilter_def by auto
```

```
moreover from (A=AA\cap BB) have A\cap B=AA\cap (B\cap BB) by auto
                    ultimately have A \cap B \in FF using (AA \in Ux) (B \cap BB \in Uy) by auto
                 }
                 moreover
                    assume B∈Ux
                    with \langle AA \in Ux \rangle \langle x \in JT \rangle have B \cap AA \in Ux using neigh_filter(1)
IsFilter_def by auto
                    moreover from (A=AA\cap BB) have A\cap B=(B\cap AA)\cap BB by auto
                    ultimately have A \cap B \in FF using (B \cap AA \in Ux) (BB \in Uy) by auto
                 moreover
                 {
                    assume B \in \{A \cap B : \langle A, B \rangle \in Ux \times Uy\}
                    then obtain AA2 BB2 where B=AA2\capBB2 AA2\inUx BB2\inUy by auto
                    from \langle B=AA2 \cap BB2 \rangle \langle A=AA \cap BB \rangle have A \cap B=(AA \cap AA2) \cap (BB \cap BB2) by
auto
                    moreover
                    from \langle AA \in Ux \rangle \langle AA2 \in Ux \rangle \langle x \in JT \rangle have AA \cap AA2 \in Ux using neigh_filter(1)
IsFilter_def by auto
                    moreover
                    from \ \langle BB \in Uy \rangle \langle BB2 \in Uy \rangle \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle \ have \ BB \cap BB2 \in Uy \ using \ neigh\_filter(1)
IsFilter_def by auto
                    ultimately have A \cap B \in FF by auto
                 ultimately have A \cap B \in FF using (B \in FF) by auto
              ultimately have A \cap B \in FF using (A \in FF) by auto
              then have \exists D \in FF. D \subseteq A \cap B unfolding Bex_def by auto
           then have \forall A \in FF. \forall B \in FF. \exists D \in FF. D \subseteq A \cap B by force
           moreover
           have \bigcup T \in Ux using \langle x \in \bigcup T \rangle neigh_filter(1) IsFilter_def by auto
           then have FF \neq 0 by auto
           moreover
              assume 0∈FF
              moreover
              have 0 \notin Ux using \langle x \in | JT \rangle neigh_filter(1) IsFilter_def by auto
              have 0\notin Uy using (y\in \bigcup T) neigh_filter(1) IsFilter_def by auto
              ultimately have 0 \in \{A \cap B. \langle A, B \rangle \in Ux \times Uy\} by auto
              then obtain A B where O=A∩B A∈UxB∈Uy by auto
              then have x \in int(A)y \in int(B) by auto
              moreover
              with \langle 0=A\cap B \rangle have int(A)\capint(B)=0 using Top_2_L1 by auto
              moreover
              have int(A)∈Tint(B)∈T using Top_2_L2 by auto
              ultimately have False using \forall V \in T. \forall V \in T. x \in U \land y \in V \longrightarrow U \cap V \neq 0
```

```
by auto
            then have 0 ¢FF by auto
            ultimately show thesis using SatisfiesFilterBase_def by auto
         ged
         moreover
         have FF⊆Pow([]T) by auto
         ultimately have bas: FF {is a base filter} \{A \in Pow(| JT). \exists D \in FF. D \subseteq A\}
 | \exists A \in Pow(\bigcup T). \exists D \in FF. D \subseteq A = \bigcup T 
            using base_unique_filter_set2[of FF] by auto
         then have fil:{A\inPow(\bigcupT). \existsD\inFF. D\subseteqA} {is a filter on} \bigcupT us-
ing basic_filter sat by auto
         have \forall \, U \in Pow(\bigcup T). \, x \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists \, D \in FF. \, D \subseteq U) by auto
         then have \{A \in Pow([\ ]T). \exists D \in FF. D \subseteq A\} \rightarrow_F x using convergence_filter_base2[OF]
fil bas(1)  (x \in []T)]  by auto
         moreover
         then have \forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). y \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists D \in FF. D \subseteq U) by auto
         then have \{A \in Pow([\ ]T) : \exists D \in FF. D \subseteq A\} \rightarrow_F y using convergence_filter_base2[OF]
fil bas(1) \_ \langle y \in | JT \rangle ] by auto
         ultimately have x=y using assms fil \langle x \in | JT \rangle \langle y \in | JT \rangle by blast
         with \langle x \neq y \rangle have False by auto
      then have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 by blast
   then show thesis using isT2_def by auto
qed
lemma (in topology0) unique_limit_net_imp_T2:
  assumes \forall x \in \bigcup T. \forall y \in \bigcup T. \forall N. ((N {is a net on}\bigcup T) \land (N \rightarrow_N x) \land (N
\rightarrow_N y)) \longrightarrow x=y
  shows T {is T_2}
proof-
      fix x y 3
      assume x \in \bigcup T y \in \bigcup T \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} \bigcup T \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow_F x \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow_F y
      then have (Net(\mathfrak{F})) {is a net on} []T(Net \mathfrak{F}) \to_N x(Net \mathfrak{F}) \to_N y
         using filter_conver_net_of_filter_conver net_of_filter_is_net by
auto
      with \langle x \in | JT \rangle \langle y \in | JT \rangle have x=y using assms by blast
  then have \forall x \in \bigcup T. \forall y \in \bigcup T. \forall \mathfrak{F}. ((\mathfrak{F} {is a filter on}\bigcup T) \land (\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow_F x)
\wedge (\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow_F \mathtt{y})) \longrightarrow \mathtt{x=y} by auto
   then show thesis using unique_limit_filter_imp_T2 by auto
qed
This results make easy to check if a space is T_2.
```

The topology which comes from a filter as in $\mathfrak F$ (is a filter on) $\bigcup \mathfrak F \Longrightarrow$ $(\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\})$ {is a topology} is not T_2 generally. We will see in this file later on, that the exceptions are a consequence of the spectrum.

```
corollary filter_T2_imp_card1:
  assumes (\mathfrak{F}\cup\{0\}) {is T_2} \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} \bigcup\mathfrak{F} x\in\bigcup\mathfrak{F}
  shows \bigcup \mathfrak{F}=\{x\}
proof-
     fix y assume y∈U₹
     then have \mathfrak{F} \to_F y {in} (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\}) using lim_filter_top_of_filter assms(2)
     moreover
     have \mathfrak{F} \to_F x \text{ in} (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\}) \text{ using lim_filter_top_of_filter assms(2,3)}
by auto
     moreover
     have \bigcup \mathfrak{F} = \bigcup (\mathfrak{F} \cup \{0\}) by auto
     ultimately
     have y=x using topology0.T2_imp_unique_limit_filter[OF topology0_filter[OF
assms(2)] assms(1)] assms(2)
        by auto
  then have \bigcup \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \{x\} by auto
  with assms(3) show thesis by auto
There are more separation axioms that just T_0, T_1 or T_2
definition
  IsRegular (_{is regular} 90)
  where T{is regular} \equiv \forall A. A{is closed in}T \longrightarrow (\forall x \in \bigcup T-A. \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T.
A\subseteq U \land x \in V \land U \cap V = 0)
definition
  isT3 (_{is} T_3) 90)
  where T{is T_3} \equiv (T{is T_1}) \land (T{is regular})
definition
   IsNormal (_{is normal} 90)
  where T{is normal} \equiv \forall A. A{is closed in}T \longrightarrow (\forall B. B{is closed in}T
\wedge A\capB=0 \longrightarrow
   (\exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. A \subseteq U \land B \subseteq V \land U \cap V = 0))
definition
  isT4 (_{is} T_4) 90)
  where T\{is T_4\} \equiv (T\{is T_1\}) \land (T\{is normal\})
lemma (in topology0) T4_is_T3:
  assumes T\{is T_4\} shows T\{is T_3\}
  from assms have nor:T(is normal) using isT4_def by auto
  from assms have T\{is T_1\} using isT4\_def by auto
  then have Cofinite (∪T)⊆T using T1_cocardinal_coarser by auto
   {
```

```
assume AS:A{is closed in}T
     {
       fix x
       assume x∈l JT-A
       have Finite({x}) by auto
       then obtain n where {x}≈n n∈nat unfolding Finite_def by auto
       then have \{x\} \lesssim n nenat using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
       then have \{x\} \prec \text{nat using n\_lesspoll\_nat lesspoll\_trans1 by auto}
       with \langle x \in \bigcup T-A \rangle have \{x\} {is closed in} (Cofinite (\bigcup T)) using Cofinite_def
          closed_sets_cocardinal by auto
       then have []T-{x}<Cofinite([]T) unfolding IsClosed_def using union_cocardinal
Cofinite_def
          by auto
       with \langle x \in | JT-A \rangle have \{x\}\{is closed in\}T A \cap \{x\}=0 using IsClosed_def
by auto
       with nor AS have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. A \subseteq U \land \{x\} \subseteq V \land U \cap V = 0 unfolding IsNormal_def
by blast
       then have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. A \subseteq U \land x \in V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
     then have \forall x \in \bigcup T-A. \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. A \subseteq U \land x \in V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
  then have T{is regular} using IsRegular_def by blast
  with \langle T\{is T_1\} \rangle show thesis using isT3_def by auto
qed
lemma (in topology0) T3_is_T2:
  assumes T\{is T_3\} shows T\{is T_2\}
proof-
  from assms have T{is regular} using isT3_def by auto
  from assms have T\{is T_1\} using isT3\_def by auto
  then have Cofinite (∪T)⊆T using T1_cocardinal_coarser by auto
    fix x y
     assume x \in \bigcup Ty \in \bigcup Tx \neq y
     have Finite({x}) by auto
     then obtain n where {x}≈n n∈nat unfolding Finite_def by auto
     then have \{x\} \lesssim n \in \text{net using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto}
     then have \{x\} \prec \text{nat using n\_lesspoll\_nat lesspoll\_trans1 by auto}
     with (x \in \bigcup T) have \{x\} {is closed in} (Cofinite (\bigcup T)) using Cofinite_def
       closed_sets_cocardinal by auto
     then have \bigcup T-\{x\}\in Cofinite(\bigcup T) unfolding IsClosed_def using union_cocardinal
Cofinite_def
        by auto
     with (Cofinite (\bigcup T)\subseteq T) have \bigcup T - \{x\} \in T by auto
     with \langle x \in [\ ] T \rangle \langle y \in [\ ] T \rangle \langle x \neq y \rangle have \{x\} is closed in \} T \in [\ ] T - \{x\} using IsClosed_def
```

```
by auto
      with \langle T\{\text{is regular}\}\rangle have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. \{x\} \subseteq U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 unfolding
IsRegular_def by force
     then have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
  then show thesis using isT2_def by auto
qed
Regularity can be rewritten in terms of existence of certain neighboorhoods.
lemma (in topology0) regular_imp_exist_clos_neig:
   assumes T{is regular} and U \in T and x \in U
  shows \exists V \in T. x \in V \land cl(V) \subseteq U
proof-
   from assms(2) have (| |T-U){is closed in}T using Top_3_L9 by auto more-
   from assms(2,3) have x \in \bigcup T by auto moreover
  note assms(1,3) ultimately obtain A B where A\inT and B\inT and A\capB=0
and (\bigcup T-U)\subseteq A and x\in B
      unfolding IsRegular_def by blast
  \mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{A} \cap \mathtt{B=0} \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{B} \in \mathtt{T} \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{B} \subseteq \bigcup \mathtt{T-A} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
   with (A \in T) have cl(B) \subseteq \bigcup T-A using Top_3_L9 Top_3_L13 by auto
  moreover from \langle (| T-U) \subseteq A \rangle assms(3) have | T-A \subseteq U by auto
  moreover note \langle x \in B \rangle \langle B \in T \rangle
  ultimately have B \in T \land x \in B \land cl(B) \subseteq U by auto
  then show thesis by auto
lemma (in topology0) exist_clos_neig_imp_regular:
  assumes \forall x \in \bigcup T. \forall U \in T. x \in U \longrightarrow (\exists V \in T. x \in V \land cl(V) \subseteq U)
  shows T{is regular}
proof-
      fix F
      assume F{is closed in}T
      {
         fix x assume x \in JT-F
         with (F\{\text{is closed in}\}T) have x \in \bigcup T \bigcup T - F \in T F \subseteq \bigcup T \text{ unfolding IsClosed_def}
         with assms \langle x \in \bigcup T - F \rangle have \exists V \in T. x \in V \land cl(V) \subseteq \bigcup T - F by auto
         then obtain V where V \in T x \in V cl(V) \subseteq \bigcup T - F by auto
         from \langle cl(V) \subseteq | JT - F \rangle \langle F \subseteq | JT \rangle have F \subseteq | JT - cl(V) \rangle by auto
         moreover from (V \in T) have []T - ([]T - V) = V by auto
         then have cl(V) = \int T - int(\int T - V) using Top_3 = L11(2) [of \int T - V] by
auto
         ultimately have F⊆int([]T-V) by auto moreover
         have int([]T-V) \( \] [] T-V using Top_2_L1 by auto
         then have V \cap (int(\bigcup T - V)) = 0 by auto moreover
         note \langle x \in V \rangle \langle V \in T \rangle ultimately
         have V \in T int([T-V] \in T F \subseteq int([T-V]) \land x \in V \land (int([T-V])) \cap V = 0 us-
```

```
ing Top_2_L2
           by auto
        then have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. F \subseteq U \land x \in V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
     then have \forall x \in [\ ]T-F. \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. F \subseteq U \land x \in V \land U \cap V=0 by auto
  then show thesis using IsRegular_def by blast
qed
lemma (in topology0) regular_eq:
  shows T{is regular} \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in [\ ]T. \ \forall U \in T. \ x \in U \longrightarrow (\exists V \in T. \ x \in V \land \ cl(V) \subseteq U))
  using regular_imp_exist_clos_neig exist_clos_neig_imp_regular by force
A Hausdorff space separates compact spaces from points.
theorem (in topology0) T2_compact_point:
  assumes T{is T<sub>2</sub>} A{is compact in}T x \in \bigcup T x \notin A
  shows \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. A \subseteq U \land x \in V \land U \cap V = 0
proof-
   {
     assume A=0
     then have A\subseteq 0 \land x \in \bigcup T \land (0 \cap (\bigcup T)=0) using assms(3) by auto
     then have thesis using empty_open topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto
  }
  moreover
     assume noEmpty: A≠0
     let U=\{\langle U,V\rangle\in T\times T. x\in U\wedge U\cap V=0\}
      {
        fix y assume y \in A
        with \langle x \notin A \rangle assms(4) have x \neq y by auto
        moreover from \langle y \in A \rangle have x \in \bigcup Ty \in \bigcup T using assms(2,3) unfolding
IsCompact_def by auto
        ultimately obtain U V where U∈TV∈TU∩V=0x∈Uy∈V using assms(1) un-
folding isT2_def by blast
        then have \exists \langle U, V \rangle \in U. y \in V by auto
     then have \forall y \in A. \exists \langle U, V \rangle \in U. y \in V by auto
     then have A\subseteq\bigcup \{snd(B), B\in U\} by auto
     moreover have \{snd(B), B\in U\}\in Pow(T) by auto
     ultimately have \exists N \in FinPow(\{snd(B). B \in U\}). A \subseteq \bigcup N using assms(2) un-
folding IsCompact_def by auto
     then obtain N where ss:N\in FinPow(\{snd(B). B\in U\}) A\subseteq \{JN by auto B\in U\}
      with \  \, \langle \{ \verb"snd(B) \, . \, \, B \in U \} \in Pow(T) \rangle \  \, \mathbf{have} \  \, \mathtt{A} \subseteq \bigcup \, \mathtt{N} \  \, \mathtt{N} \in Pow(T) \  \, \mathbf{unfolding} \  \, \mathtt{FinPow\_def} 
     then have NN:AC| JN | JNET using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
     from ss have Finite(N)N\subseteq \{snd(B). B\in U\} unfolding FinPow\_def by auto
     then obtain n where n∈nat N≈n unfolding Finite_def by auto
```

```
then have N≲n using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
     \mathbf{from} \  \, \mathsf{noEmpty} \  \, \langle \mathtt{A} \subseteq \bigcup \mathtt{N} \rangle \  \, \mathbf{have} \  \, \mathtt{NnoEmpty:N} \neq \hspace{-0.5em} 0 \  \, \mathbf{by} \  \, \mathsf{auto}
     let QQ=\{\langle n, \{fst(B) . B\in \{A\in U. snd(A)=n\}\}\rangle. n\in \mathbb{N}\}
     have QQPi:QQ:N \rightarrow \{\{fst(B). B\in \{A\in U. snd(A)=n\}\}\}. n\in N\} unfolding Pi\_def
function_def domain_def by auto
        fix n assume n \in \mathbb{N}
        with (N\subseteq \{snd(B), B\in U\}) obtain B where n=snd(B) B\in U by auto
        then have fst(B) \in \{fst(B) . B \in \{A \in U. snd(A) = n\}\}\ by auto
        then have \{fst(B). B\in \{A\in U. snd(A)=n\}\}\neq 0 by auto moreover
        from (n \in \mathbb{N}) have (n,\{fst(B), B \in \{A \in U, snd(A) = n\}\}) \in QQ by auto
        with QQPi have QQn={fst(B). B\in\{A\in U.\ snd(A)=n\}} using apply_equality
by auto
        ultimately have QQn≠0 by auto
     then have \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. QQn\neq 0 by auto
      with (n \in nat) (N \le n) have \exists f. f \in Pi(N, \lambda t. QQt) \land (\forall t \in N. ft \in QQt) us-
ing finite_choice unfolding AxiomCardinalChoiceGen_def
        by auto
      then obtain f where fPI:f\in Pi(N, \lambda t. QQt) (\forall t\in N. ft\in QQt) by auto
      from fPI(1) NnoEmpty have range(f) \neq 0 unfolding Pi_def range_def domain_def
converse_def by (safe,blast)
        fix t assume t \in \mathbb{N}
        then have ft∈QQt using fPI(2) by auto
        with \forall t \in \mathbb{N} have ft \in \bigcup (QQN) QQt \subseteq \bigcup (QQN) using func_imagedef QQPi
by auto
     then have reg:\forall t \in \mathbb{N}. ft\in \bigcup (QQN) \forall t \in \mathbb{N}. QQt\subseteq \bigcup (QQN) by auto
        fix tt assume tt∈f
        with fPI(1) have tt∈Sigma(N, ()(QQ)) unfolding Pi_def by auto
        then have tt \in (\bigcup xa \in \mathbb{N}. \bigcup y \in QQxa. \{\langle xa, y \rangle\}) unfolding Sigma_def by
auto
        then obtain xa y where xa \in \mathbb{N} y \in \mathbb{Q}Qxa tt = \langle xa, y \rangle by auto
        with reg(2) have y \in \bigcup (QQN) by blast
        with \langle tt = \langle xa, y \rangle \rangle \langle xa \in \mathbb{N} \rangle have tt \in (\{ \}xa \in \mathbb{N} \}, \{ \}y \in \{ \}(\mathbb{QQN}) \} by
auto
        then have tt \in \mathbb{N} \times ([\ ](QQN)) unfolding Sigma_def by auto
     then have ffun:f:\mathbb{N}\to\bigcup(\mathbb{QQN}) using fPI(1) unfolding Pi_def by auto
     then have f∈surj(N,range(f)) using fun_is_surj by auto
      with (N \le n) (n \in nat) have range(f) \le N using surj_fun_inv_2 nat_into_Ord
by auto
     with \langle N \lesssim n \rangle have range(f)\lesssim n using lepoll_trans by blast
     with \(n \in nat\) have Finite(range(f)) using n_lesspoll_nat lesspoll_nat_is_Finite
lesspoll_trans1 by auto
     moreover from ffun have rr:range(f) \( \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) unfolding Pi_def by
auto
```

```
then have range(f)\subseteqT by auto
     ultimately have range(f)∈FinPow(T) unfolding FinPow_def by auto
     then have \bigcap range(f) \in T using fin_inter_open_open \langle range(f) \neq 0 \rangle by
auto moreover
        fix S assume S∈range(f)
        with rr have S \in \bigcup (QQN) by blast
        then have \exists B \in (QQN). S \in B using Union_iff by auto
        then obtain B where B \in (QQN) S \in B by auto
        then have \exists rr \in \mathbb{N}. \langle rr, B \rangle \in QQ unfolding image_def by auto
        then have \exists rr \in \mathbb{N}. B = \{fst(B) . B \in \{A \in \mathbb{U} . snd(A) = rr\}\}\ by auto
        with \langle S \in B \rangle obtain rr where \langle S, rr \rangle \in U by auto
        then have x \in S by auto
     then have x \in \bigcap range(f) using \langle range(f) \neq 0 \rangle by auto moreover
        fix y assume y \in (\bigcup \mathbb{N}) \cap (\bigcap range(f))
        then have reg: (\forall S \in range(f). y \in S) \land (\exists t \in N. y \in t) by auto
        then obtain t where t \in \mathbb{N} yet by auto
        then have \langle t, \{fst(B), B\in \{A\in U, snd(A)=t\}\} \rangle \in QQ by auto
        then have ft∈range(f) using apply_rangeI ffun by auto
        with reg have yft:y∈ft by auto
        with \langle t \in \mathbb{N} \rangle fPI(2) have ft \in \mathbb{QQ}t by auto
        with \forall t \in \mathbb{N} have ft \in \{fst(B) . B \in \{A \in U. snd(A) = t\}\} using apply_equality
QQPi by auto
        then have \langle ft,t \rangle \in U by auto
        then have ft \cap t=0 by auto
        with \(\sigma \in t\) yft have False by auto
     then have ([]N)\cap(\bigcap range(f))=0 by blast moreover
     note NN
     ultimately have thesis by auto
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
A Hausdorff space separates compact spaces from other compact spaces.
theorem (in topology0) T2_compact_compact:
  assumes T{is T_2} A{is compact in}T B{is compact in}T A\capB=0
  shows \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. A \subseteq U \land B \subseteq V \land U \cap V = 0
proof-
 {
     assume B=0
     then have A\subseteq J \land B\subseteq O \land ((J \land D)\cap O=0) using assms(2) unfolding IsCompact_def
     have 0 \in T using empty_open topSpaceAssum by auto moreover
     have UT∈T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
ultimately
     have thesis by auto
```

```
moreover
     assume noEmpty:B≠0
     let U=\{\langle U,V\rangle\in T\times T.\ A\subseteq U \land U\cap V=0\}
        fix y assume y \in B
        then have y \in | JT \text{ using assms}(3) \text{ unfolding IsCompact_def by auto}
        with \langle y \in B \rangle have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. A \subseteq U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 using T2_compact_point
assms(1,2,4) by auto
        then have \exists \langle U, V \rangle \in U. y \in V by auto
     then have \forall y \in B. \exists \langle U, V \rangle \in U. y \in V by auto
     then have B\subseteq \bigcup \{snd(B) : B\in U\} by auto
     moreover have \{\operatorname{snd}(B) : B \in U\} \in Pow(T) by auto
     ultimately have \exists N \in FinPow(\{snd(B). B \in U\}). B \subseteq \bigcup N using assms(3) un-
folding IsCompact_def by auto
     then obtain N where ss:N\in FinPow(\{snd(B). B\in U\}) B\subseteq \bigcup N by auto
     with \{ snd(B) : B \in U \} \in Pow(T) \} have B \subseteq \bigcup \bigcup N \in Pow(T) \} unfolding FinPow_def
     then have NN:B⊆∪N ∪N∈T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
     from ss have Finite(N)N\subseteq \{snd(B). B\in U\} unfolding FinPow_def by auto
     then obtain n where n∈nat N≈n unfolding Finite_def by auto
     then have N≲n using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
     from noEmpty \langle B \subseteq \bigcup N \rangle have NnoEmpty: N \neq 0 by auto
     let QQ=\{\langle n, \{fst(B) . B \in \{A \in U. snd(A) = n\}\} \rangle . n \in \mathbb{N}\}
     have QQPi:QQ:N \rightarrow \{\{fst(B). B\in \{A\in U. snd(A)=n\}\}\}. n\in N\} unfolding Pi\_def
function_def domain_def by auto
     {
        fix n assume n \in \mathbb{N}
        with \langle N \subseteq \{ snd(B), B \in U \} \rangle obtain B where n=snd(B) B \in U by auto
        then have fst(B) \in \{fst(B) . B \in \{A \in U. snd(A) = n\}\}\ by auto
        then have \{fst(B) : B \in \{A \in U : snd(A) = n\}\} \neq 0 by auto moreover
        from \langle n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle have \langle n, \{fst(B), B \in \{A \in U, snd(A) = n\}\} \rangle \in \mathbb{QQ} by auto
        with QQPi have QQn={fst(B). B \in \{A \in U. \text{ snd}(A) = n\}\}\ using apply_equality
by auto
        ultimately have QQn≠0 by auto
     then have \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. QQn\neq 0 by auto
     with \langle n \in nat \rangle \langle N \leq n \rangle have \exists f. f \in Pi(N, \lambda t. QQt) \land (\forall t \in N. ft \in QQt) us-
ing finite_choice unfolding AxiomCardinalChoiceGen_def
     then obtain f where fPI:f\in Pi(N, \lambda t. QQt) (\forall t\in N. ft\in QQt) by auto
     from fPI(1) NnoEmpty have range(f) \neq 0 unfolding Pi_def range_def domain_def
converse_def by (safe,blast)
     {
        fix t assume t \in \mathbb{N}
        then have ft∈QQt using fPI(2) by auto
```

```
by auto
     then have reg: \forall t \in \mathbb{N}. ft \in [\ ](QQN) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{N}. QQt \subseteq [\ ](QQN) by auto
        fix tt assume tt∈f
        with fPI(1) have tt∈Sigma(N, ()(QQ)) unfolding Pi_def by auto
        then have tt \in (\bigcup xa \in \mathbb{N}. \bigcup y \in QQxa. \{\langle xa, y \rangle\}) unfolding Sigma_def by
auto
        then obtain xa y where xa \in \mathbb{N} y \in \mathbb{Q}Qxa tt = \langle xa, y \rangle by auto
        with reg(2) have y \in \bigcup (QQN) by blast
        with \langle tt = \langle xa, y \rangle \rangle \langle xa \in \mathbb{N} \rangle have tt \in (\bigcup xa \in \mathbb{N}. \bigcup y \in \bigcup (QQN). \{\langle xa, y \rangle\}) by
auto
        then have tt \in N \times (\bigcup (QQN)) unfolding Sigma_def by auto
     then have ffun:f:N→[](QQN) using fPI(1) unfolding Pi_def by auto
     then have f∈surj(N,range(f)) using fun_is_surj by auto
     with (N \le n) (n \in nat) have range(f) \le N using surj_fun_inv_2 nat_into_Ord
     with \langle N \leq n \rangle have range(f) \leq n using lepoll_trans by blast
     with (n \in nat) have Finite(range(f)) using n_lesspoll_nat lesspoll_nat_is_Finite
lesspoll_trans1 by auto
     moreover from ffun have rr:range(f) \( \bigcup \left( QQN \right) \) unfolding Pi_def by
auto
     then have range(f)⊆T by auto
     ultimately have range(f)∈FinPow(T) unfolding FinPow_def by auto
     then have \bigcap \text{range}(f) \in T using fin_inter_open_open \langle \text{range}(f) \neq 0 \rangle by
auto moreover
     {
        fix S assume S∈range(f)
        with rr have S∈[ ](QQN) by blast
        then have \exists B \in (QQN). S \in B using Union_iff by auto
        then obtain B where B \in (QQN) S \in B by auto
        then have \exists rr \in \mathbb{N}. \langle rr, B \rangle \in QQ unfolding image_def by auto
        then have \exists rr \in \mathbb{N}. B = \{fst(B) . B \in \{A \in \mathbb{U}. snd(A) = rr\}\}\ by auto
        with \langle S \in B \rangle obtain rr where \langle S, rr \rangle \in U by auto
        then have ASS by auto
     then have A \subseteq \bigcap range(f) using \langle range(f) \neq 0 \rangle by auto moreover
     {
        fix y assume y \in (\bigcup N) \cap (\bigcap range(f))
        then have reg:(\forall S \in range(f). y \in S)\land(\exists t \in N. y \in t) by auto
        then obtain t where t \in \mathbb{N} yet by auto
        then have \langle t, \{fst(B). B\in \{A\in U. snd(A)=t\}\} \rangle \in QQ by auto
        then have fterange(f) using apply_rangeI ffun by auto
        with reg have yft:yeft by auto
        with \langle t \in \mathbb{N} \rangle fPI(2) have ft \in \mathbb{QQ}t by auto
        with (t \in \mathbb{N}) have ft \in \{fst(B) . B \in \{A \in U. snd(A) = t\}\} using apply_equality
QQPi by auto
```

```
then have \langle ft, t \rangle \in U by auto
      then have ft\cap t=0 by auto
      with \langle y \in t \rangle yft have False by auto
    then have (\bigcap range(f)) \cap (\bigcup N) = 0 by blast moreover
    ultimately have thesis by auto
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
A compact Hausdorff space is normal.
corollary (in topology0) T2_compact_is_normal:
  assumes T\{is T_2\} (||T){is compact in}T
  shows T{is normal} unfolding IsNormal_def
proof-
  from assms(2) have car_nat:(| JT){is compact of cardinal}nat{in}T us-
ing Compact_is_card_nat by auto
    fix A B assume A{is closed in}T B{is closed in}TA\capB=0
    then have com:((\bigcup T)\cap A){is compact of cardinal}nat{in}T ((\bigcup T)\cap B){is
compact of cardinal nat {in} T using compact_closed[OF car_nat]
      by auto
    folding IsClosed_def by auto
    with com have A{is compact of cardinal}nat{in}T B{is compact of cardinal}nat{in}T
by auto
    then have A{is compact in}TB{is compact in}T using Compact_is_card_nat
    with (A \cap B = 0) have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. A \subseteq U \land B \subseteq V \land U \cap V = 0 using T2_compact_compact
assms(1) by auto
  \cap \ B = 0 \ \longrightarrow \ (\exists \ U \in T. \ \exists \ V \in T. \ A \subseteq U \ \land \ B \subseteq V \ \land \ U \ \cap \ V = 0))
    by auto
qed
       Hereditability
65.2
```

A topological property is hereditary if whenever a space has it, every subspace also has it.

```
definition IsHer (_{is hereditary} 90)
  where P {is hereditary} \equiv \forall T. T{is a topology} \land P(T) \longrightarrow (\forall A \in Pow(\bigcup JT).
P(T{restricted to}A))
lemma subspace_of_subspace:
  assumes ACBBC JT
  shows T{restricted to}A=(T{restricted to}B){restricted to}A
```

```
proof
  from assms have S: \forall S \in T. A \cap (B \cap S) = A \cap S by auto
  then show T {restricted to} A \subseteq T {restricted to} B {restricted to}
A unfolding RestrictedTo_def
     by auto
  from S show T {restricted to} B {restricted to} A \subseteq T {restricted
to} A unfolding RestrictedTo_def
     by auto
qed
The separation properties T_0, T_1, T_2 y T_3 are hereditary.
theorem regular_here:
  assumes T{is regular} A∈Pow(| |T) shows (T{restricted to}A){is regular}
proof-
     fix C
     assume A:C(is closed in)(T(restricted to)A)
     {fix y assume y \in \bigcup (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A)y \notin C
     with A have ([](T{restricted to}A))-C∈(T{restricted to}A)C⊆[](T{restricted
to}A) y \in \bigcup (T\{restricted to}A)y \notin C unfolding IsClosed_def
        by auto
     moreover
     with assms(2) have [](T{restricted to}A)=A unfolding RestrictedTo_def
     ultimately have A-C∈T{restricted to}A y∈Ay∉CC∈Pow(A) by auto
     then obtain S where S∈T A∩S=A-C y∈Ay∉C unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
     then have y \in A-CA \cap S=A-C by auto
     with \langle C \in Pow(A) \rangle have y \in A \cap SC = A - A \cap S by auto
     then have y \in S C=A-S by auto
     with assms(2) have y \in S \subset \bigcup T-S by auto
     moreover
     from \langle S \in T \rangle have [ ]T - ([ ]T - S) = S  by auto
     moreover
     with (S \in T) have ([T-S) {is closed in}T using IsClosed_def by auto
     ultimately have y \in []T - ([]T - S) ([]T - S) {is closed in}T by auto
     with assms(1) have \forall y \in []T-([]T-S). \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. ([]T-S) \subseteq U \land y \in V \land U \cap V=0
unfolding IsRegular_def by auto
     with \langle y \in | JT - (| JT - S) \rangle have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. (| JT - S) \subseteq U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
     then obtain U V where U=TV=T \bigcupT-S\subseteqUy=VU\capV=0 by auto
     then have A \cap U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) A \cap V \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) \ C \subseteq Uy \in V(A \cap U) \cap (A \cap V) = 0
        unfolding RestrictedTo_def using ⟨C⊆( JT-S⟩ by auto
     moreover
     with \langle C \in Pow(A) \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle have C \subseteq A \cap Uy \in A \cap V by auto
     ultimately have \exists U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A). \exists V \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A). C \subseteq U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0
by auto
     then have \forall x \in \bigcup (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) - C. \exists U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A). \exists V \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A)
to}A). C\subseteq U \land x \in V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
```

```
then have \forall C. C{is closed in}(T{restricted to}A) \longrightarrow (\forall x \in \bigcup (T{restricted}
to}A)-C. \exists U ∈ (T{restricted to}A). \exists V ∈ (T{restricted to}A). C\subseteq U \land x \in V \land U \cap V = 0)
   by blast
  then show thesis using IsRegular_def by auto
qed
corollary here_regular:
  shows IsRegular {is hereditary} using regular_here IsHer_def by auto
theorem T1_here:
  assumes T{is T_1} A\inPow((\int T) shows (T{restricted to}A){is T_1}
proof-
  from assms(2) have un:[](T{restricted to}A)=A unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
  {
     fix x y
     assume x \in Ay \in Ax \neq y
     with \langle A \in Pow(\bigcup T) \rangle have x \in \bigcup Ty \in \bigcup Tx \neq y by auto
     then have \exists U \in T. x \in U \land y \notin U using assms(1) is T1_def by auto
     then obtain U where U∈Tx∈Uy∉U by auto
     with \langle x \in A \rangle have A \cap U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) \ x \in A \cap U \ y \notin A \cap U \ unfolding \ Restricted To_def
by auto
     then have \exists U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A). x \in U \land y \notin U \text{ by blast}
  with un have \forall x y. x \in \bigcup (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) \land y \in \bigcup (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A)
\land x \neq y \longrightarrow (\exists U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A). x \in U \land y \notin U)
     by auto
  then show thesis using isT1_def by auto
qed
corollary here_T1:
  shows isT1 {is hereditary} using T1_here IsHer_def by auto
lemma here_and:
  assumes P {is hereditary} Q {is hereditary}
  shows (\lambda T. P(T) \wedge Q(T)) {is hereditary} using assms unfolding IsHer_def
by auto
corollary here_T3:
  shows isT3 {is hereditary} using here_and[OF here_T1 here_regular]
unfolding IsHer_def isT3_def.
lemma T2_here:
  assumes T\{is T_2\} A \in Pow(\bigcup T) \text{ shows } (T\{restricted to\}A)\{is T_2\}
  from assms(2) have un: [](T{restricted to}A)=A unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
  {
```

```
fix x y
      assume x \in Ay \in Ax \neq y
      with (A \in Pow(\bigcup T)) have x \in \bigcup Ty \in \bigcup Tx \neq y by auto
      then have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 using assms(1) is T2_def by
auto
      then obtain U V where U\inT V\inTx\inUy\inVU\capV=0 by auto
      with \langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle have A \cap U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) A \cap V \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A)
x \in A \cap U y \in A \cap V (A \cap U) \cap (A \cap V) = 0 unfolding Restricted To_def by auto
      then have \exists U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A). \exists V \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A). x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0
unfolding Bex_def by auto
  }
   with un have \forall x \ y. \ x \in \bigcup (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) \land y \in \bigcup (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A)
\land x\neq y \longrightarrow (\(\exists U\in (T\{restricted to}\A).\(\exists U\in (T\{restricted to}\A).\(x\in U\\neq V\in U\neq V\in U\neq V=0\)
      by auto
  then show thesis using isT2_def by auto
qed
corollary here_T2:
  shows isT2 {is hereditary} using T2_here IsHer_def by auto
lemma TO_here:
   assumes T{is T<sub>0</sub>} A \in Pow(\bigcup T) shows (T{restricted to}A){is T<sub>0</sub>}
   from assms(2) have un: U(T{restricted to}A)=A unfolding RestrictedTo_def
\mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} auto
   {
      \mathbf{fix} \times \mathbf{y}
      assume x \in Ay \in Ax \neq y
      with (A \in Pow(\bigcup T)) have x \in \bigcup Ty \in \bigcup Tx \neq y by auto
      then have \exists U \in T. (x \in U \land y \notin U) \lor (y \in U \land x \notin U) using assms(1) is TO_def by
auto
      then obtain U where U \in T (x \in U \land y \notin U) \lor (y \in U \land x \notin U) by auto
      with \langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle have A \cap U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) (x \in A \cap U \land y \notin A \cap U) \lor (y \in A \cap U \land x \notin A \cap U)
unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
      then have \exists U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A). (x \in U \land y \notin U) \lor (y \in U \land x \notin U) unfolding
Bex_def by auto
   }
   with un have \forall x \ y. \ x \in [\ ](T\{restricted \ to\}A) \land y \in [\ ](T\{restricted \ to\}A)
\land x \neq y \longrightarrow (\exists U \in (T\{restricted\ to\}A).\ (x \in U \land y \notin U) \lor (y \in U \land x \notin U))
      by auto
   then show thesis using isTO_def by auto
qed
corollary here_T0:
  shows isTO {is hereditary} using TO_here IsHer_def by auto
```

65.3 Spectrum and anti-properties

The spectrum of a topological property is a class of sets such that all topologies defined over that set have that property.

The spectrum of a property gives us the list of sets for which the property doesn't give any topological information. Being in the spectrum of a topological property is an invariant in the category of sets and function; mening that equipollent sets are in the same spectra.

```
definition Spec (_ {is in the spectrum of} _ 99)
  where Spec(K,P) \equiv \forall T. ((T{is a topology} \land \bigcup T \approx K) \longrightarrow P(T))
lemma equipollent_spect:
  assumes ApproxB B {is in the spectrum of} P
  shows A {is in the spectrum of} P
proof-
  from assms(2) have \forall T. ((T{is a topology} \land \exists T \approx B) \longrightarrow P(T)) using
Spec_def by auto
  then have \forall T. ((T{is a topology} \land \bigcup T \approx A) \longrightarrow P(T)) using eqpoll_trans[OF
_{\rm assms}(1)] by auto
  then show thesis using Spec_def by auto
theorem eqpoll_iff_spec:
  assumes A \approx B
  shows (B (is in the spectrum of) P) \longleftrightarrow (A (is in the spectrum of)
P)
proof
  assume B {is in the spectrum of} P
  with assms equipollent_spect show A {is in the spectrum of} P by auto
  assume A {is in the spectrum of} P
  moreover
  from assms have B≈A using eqpoll_sym by auto
  ultimately show B (is in the spectrum of) P using equipollent_spect
by auto
qed
```

From the previous statement, we see that the spectrum could be formed only by representative of clases of sets. If AC holds, this means that the spectrum can be taken as a set or class of cardinal numbers.

Here is an example of the spectrum. The proof lies in the indiscrite filter {A} that can be build for any set. In this proof, we see that without choice, there is no way to define the sepctrum of a property with cardinals because if a set is not comparable with any ordinal, its cardinal is defined as 0 without the set being empty.

theorem T4_spectrum:

```
shows (A {is in the spectrum of} isT4) \longleftrightarrow A \lesssim 1
proof
  assume A \{is\ in\ the\ spectrum\ of\}\ isT4
  then have reg:\forall T. ((T{is a topology} \land \mid JT \approx A) \longrightarrow (T {is T_4})) us-
ing Spec_def by auto
    assume A≠0
    then obtain x where x \in A by auto
    then have x \in \bigcup \{A\} by auto
    moreover
    then have \{A\} {is a filter on}\bigcup \{A\} using IsFilter_def by auto
    then have ({A}\cup{0}) {is a topology} \wedge \bigcup ({A}\cup{0})=A using top_of_filter
    then have top:(\{A\}\cup\{0\}) {is a topology} \{J(\{A\}\cup\{0\})\approx A \text{ using eqpoll\_refl}\}
    then have ({A}\cup{0}) {is T<sub>4</sub>} using reg by auto
    then have (\{A\}\cup\{0\}) {is T_2} using topology0.T3_is_T2 topology0.T4_is_T3
topology0_def top by auto
    ultimately have \bigcup \{A\}=\{x\} using filter_T2_imp_card1[of \{A\}x] by auto
    then have A=\{x\} by auto
    then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
  moreover
  have A=0 \longrightarrow A\approx0 by auto
  ultimately have A \approx 1 \lor A \approx 0 by blast
  then show A < 1 using empty_lepollI eqpoll_imp_lepoll eq_lepoll_trans
by auto
next
  assume A≲1
  have A=0 \lor A \neq 0 by auto
  then obtain E where A=0 \lor E \in A by auto
  then have A \approx 0 \lor E \in A by auto
  with \langle A {\lesssim} 1 \rangle have A {\approx} 0 \, \forall \, A {=} \{E\} using lepoll_1_is_sing by auto
  then have A≈0∨A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
    fix T
    assume AS:T{is a topology}{ ∫T≈A
    {
       assume A≈0
       with AS have T{is a topology} and empty: ∪ T=0 using eqpoll_trans
eqpoll_0_is_0 by auto
       then have T\{is T_2\} using isT2\_def by auto
       then have T\{is T_1\} using T2\_is\_T1 by auto
       moreover
       from empty have T\subseteq\{0\} by auto
       with AS(1) have T={0} using empty_open by auto
       from empty have \operatorname{rr}: \forall A. A{is closed in}T \longrightarrow A=0 using IsClosed_def
by auto
```

```
have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. 0 \subseteq U \land 0 \subseteq V \land U \cap V = 0 using empty_open AS(1) by auto
         with rr have \forall \, A. \, A \{ \text{is closed in} \} T \, \longrightarrow \, (\forall \, B. \, B \{ \text{is closed in} \} T \, \land \,
A \cap B = 0 \longrightarrow (\exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. A \subseteq U \land B \subseteq V \land U \cap V = 0))
           by blast
         then have T{is normal} using IsNormal_def by auto
         with \langle T\{\text{is }T_1\}\rangle have T\{\text{is }T_4\} using is T_4\_ def by auto
      moreover
      {
         assume A \approx 1
         with AS have T{is a topology} and NONempty: | JT≈1 using eqpoll_trans[of
[]TA1] by auto
         then have \bigcup T \lesssim 1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
         moreover
            assume | | T=0
            then have 0 \approx \bigcup T by auto
            with NONempty have 0≈1 using eqpoll_trans by blast
            then have 0=1 using eqpoll_0_is_0 eqpoll_sym by auto
            then have False by auto
         then have \bigcup T \neq 0 by auto
         then obtain R where R∈∪T by blast
         ultimately have UT={R} using lepoll_1_is_sing by auto
         {
            \mathbf{fix} \times \mathbf{y}
            assume x\{is\ closed\ in\}Ty\{is\ closed\ in\}T\ x\cap y=0
            then have x\subseteq\bigcup Ty\subseteq\bigcup T using IsClosed_def by auto
            then have x=0 \lor y=0 using \langle x \cap y=0 \rangle \langle \bigcup T=\{R\} \rangle by force
               assume x=0
              then have x\subseteq 0y\subseteq \bigcup T using \langle y\subseteq \bigcup T\rangle by auto
              moreover
              have 0 \in T \bigcup T \in T using AS(1) IsATopology_def empty_open by auto
              ultimately have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. x \subseteq U \land y \subseteq V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
            }
            moreover
              assume x\neq 0
              with \langle x=0 \forall y=0 \rangle have y=0 by auto
              then have x\subseteq\bigcup Ty\subseteq 0 using \langle x\subseteq\bigcup T\rangle by auto
              moreover
              have 0 \in T \mid T \in T using AS(1) IsATopology_def empty_open by auto
               ultimately have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. x \subseteq U \land y \subseteq V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
           ultimately
            have (\exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. x \subseteq U \land y \subseteq V \land U \cap V = 0) by blast
         then have T{is normal} using IsNormal_def by auto
```

```
moreover
       {
         fix x y
         assume x \in | JTy \in | JTx \neq y
         then have \exists U \in T. x \in U \land y \notin U by auto
       then have T\{is T_1\} using isT1\_def by auto
       ultimately have T\{is T_4\} using isT4\_def by auto
    ultimately have T{is T<sub>4</sub>} using \langle A \approx 0 \lor A \approx 1 \rangle by auto
  then have \forall T. (T{is a topology} \land \bigcup T \approx A) \longrightarrow (T{is T<sub>4</sub>}) by auto
  then show A {is in the spectrum of} isT4 using Spec_def by auto
If the topological properties are related, then so are the spectra.
lemma P_imp_Q_spec_inv:
  assumes \forall T. T(is a topology) \longrightarrow (Q(T) \longrightarrow P(T)) A (is in the spectrum
of} Q
  shows A {is in the spectrum of} P
proof-
  from assms(2) have \forall T. T{is a topology} \land \bigcup T \approx A \longrightarrow Q(T) using Spec_def
by auto
  with assms(1) have \forall T. T{is a topology} \land \bigcup T \approx A \longrightarrow P(T) by auto
  then show thesis using Spec_def by auto
qed
Since we already now the spectrum of T_4; if we now the spectrum of T_0, it
should be easier to compute the spectrum of T_1, T_2 and T_3.
theorem TO_spectrum:
  shows (A {is in the spectrum of} isT0) \longleftrightarrow A \lesssim 1
proof
  assume A {is in the spectrum of} isTO
  then have reg:\forall T. ((T{is a topology} \land \bigcup T \approx A) \longrightarrow (T {is T_0})) us-
ing Spec_def by auto
  {
    assume A≠0
    then obtain x where x \in A by auto
    then have x \in \bigcup \{A\} by auto
    moreover
    then have {A} {is a filter on}| | {A} using IsFilter_def by auto
    then have ({A}\cup{0}) {is a topology} \wedge \bigcup ({A}\cup{0})=A using top_of_filter
by auto
    then have ({A}\cup{0}) {is a topology} \wedge \bigcup ({A}\cup{0})\approx A using eqpoll_refl
    then have ({A}\cup{0}) {is T<sub>0</sub>} using reg by auto
     {
```

```
fix y
       \mathbf{assume} \ \ \mathbf{y} {\in} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} {\neq} \mathbf{y}
       with ((\{A\}\cup\{0\})\ \{is\ T_0\}) obtain U where U\in({A}\cup{0}) and dis:(x
\in U \land y \notin U) \lor (y \in U \land x \notin U) using isT0_def by auto
       then have U=A by auto
       with dis \langle y \in A \rangle \langle x \in \bigcup \{A\} \rangle have False by auto
     then have \forall y \in A. y=x by auto
     with \langle x \in \bigcup \{A\} \rangle have A={x} by blast
     then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
  }
  moreover
  have A=0 \longrightarrow A\approx0 by auto
  ultimately have A \approx 1 \lor A \approx 0 by blast
  then show A\lesssim1 using empty_lepollI eqpoll_imp_lepoll eq_lepoll_trans
by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume A≲1
     fix T
     assume T{is a topology}
     then have (T\{is\ T_4\}) \longrightarrow (T\{is\ T_0\}) using topology0.T4_is_T3 topology0.T3_is_T2
T2_is_T1 T1_is_T0
       topology0_def by auto
  then have \forall T. T{is a topology} \longrightarrow ((T{is T<sub>4</sub>})\longrightarrow(T{is T<sub>0</sub>})) by auto
  then have (A {is in the spectrum of} isT4) \longrightarrow (A {is in the spectrum
of} isT0)
     using P_imp_Q_spec_inv[of \lambda T. (T{is T<sub>4</sub>})\lambda T. T{is T<sub>0</sub>}] by auto
  then show (A {is in the spectrum of} isTO) using T4_spectrum \langle A\lesssim 1\rangle
by auto
\mathbf{qed}
theorem T1_spectrum:
  shows (A {is in the spectrum of} isT1) \longleftrightarrow A \lesssim 1
  note T2_is_T1 topology0.T3_is_T2 topology0.T4_is_T3
  then have (A {is in the spectrum of} isT4) \longrightarrow (A {is in the spectrum
of} isT1)
     using P_imp_Q_spec_inv[of isT4isT1] topologyO_def by auto
  moreover
  note T1_is_T0
  then have (A {is in the spectrum of} isT1) \longrightarrow (A {is in the spectrum
of}isT0)
     using P_imp_Q_spec_inv[of isT1isT0] by auto
  moreover
  note T0_spectrum T4_spectrum
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
```

```
theorem T2_spectrum:
  shows (A {is in the spectrum of} isT2) \longleftrightarrow A \lesssim 1
  note topology0.T3_is_T2 topology0.T4_is_T3
  then have (A {is in the spectrum of} isT4) \longrightarrow (A {is in the spectrum
of} isT2)
    using P_imp_Q_spec_inv[of isT4isT2] topologyO_def by auto
  moreover
  note T2_is_T1
  then have (A {is in the spectrum of} isT2) \longrightarrow (A {is in the spectrum
of}isT1)
    using P_imp_Q_spec_inv[of isT2isT1] by auto
  moreover
  note T1_spectrum T4_spectrum
  ultimately show thesis by blast
qed
theorem T3_spectrum:
  shows (A {is in the spectrum of} isT3) \longleftrightarrow A \lesssim 1
  note topology0.T4_is_T3
  then have (A {is in the spectrum of} isT4) \longrightarrow (A {is in the spectrum
of} isT3)
    using P_imp_Q_spec_inv[of isT4isT3] topology0_def by auto
  moreover
  note topology0.T3_is_T2
  then have (A {is in the spectrum of} isT3) \longrightarrow (A {is in the spectrum
of}isT2)
    using P_imp_Q_spec_inv[of isT3isT2] topologyO_def by auto
  moreover
  note T2_spectrum T4_spectrum
  ultimately show thesis by blast
theorem compact_spectrum:
  shows (A {is in the spectrum of} (\lambdaT. ([]T) {is compact in}T)) \longleftrightarrow
Finite(A)
proof
  assume A {is in the spectrum of} (\lambda T. (\bigcup T) {is compact in}T)
  then have reg:\forall T. T{is a topology} \land \bigcup T \approx A \longrightarrow ((\bigcup T) \text{ {is compact}})
in}T) using Spec_def by auto
  have Pow(A) {is a topology} \land \bigcup Pow(A) = A using Pow_is_top by auto
  then have Pow(A){is a topology} \land \bigcup Pow(A) \approx A using eqpoll_refl by
auto
  with reg have A{is compact in}Pow(A) by auto
  moreover
  have \{\{x\}.\ x\in A\}\in Pow(Pow(A)) by auto
  moreover
```

```
have \bigcup \{\{x\}, x \in A\} = A by auto
  ultimately have \exists N \in FinPow(\{\{x\}. x \in A\}). A \subseteq \bigcup N using IsCompact_def by
  then obtain N where N \in FinPow(\{\{x\}, x \in A\}) A \subseteq \bigcup N by auto
  then have N\subseteq \{\{x\}.\ x\in A\} Finite(N) A\subseteq \bigcup N using FinPow_def by auto
     fix t
     assume t \in \{\{x\}. x \in A\}
     then obtain x where x \in At = \{x\} by auto
     with \langle A \subseteq \bigcup N \rangle have x \in \bigcup N by auto
     then obtain B where B∈Nx∈B by auto
     with \langle N \subseteq \{\{x\}, x \in A\} \rangle have B=\{x\} by auto
     with \langle t=\{x\}\rangle\langle B\in \mathbb{N}\rangle have t\in \mathbb{N} by auto
  with \langle N \subset \{\{x\}, x \in A\} \rangle have N = \{\{x\}, x \in A\} by auto
  with \langle Finite(\mathbb{N}) \rangle have Finite(\{\{x\}, x \in A\}) by auto
  let B=\{\langle x,\{x\}\rangle: x\in A\}
  have B:A \rightarrow \{\{x\}.\ x \in A\} unfolding Pi_def function_def by auto
  then have B:bij(A,{{x}. x \in A}) unfolding bij_def inj_def surj_def us-
ing apply_equality by auto
  then have A \approx \{\{x\}, x \in A\} using eqpoll_def by auto
  with (Finite({{x}. x∈A})) show Finite(A) using eqpoll_imp_Finite_iff
by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume Finite(A)
     fix T assume T{is a topology} | JT \approx A
     with (Finite(A)) have Finite([]T) using eqpoll_imp_Finite_iff by auto
     then have Finite(Pow(∪T)) using Finite_Pow by auto
     moreover
     have T\subseteq Pow([\ ]T) by auto
     ultimately have Finite(T) using subset_Finite by auto
     {
       fix M
       assume M \in Pow(T) \bigcup T \subseteq \bigcup M
       with (Finite(T)) have Finite(M) using subset_Finite by auto
       with \langle | JT \subseteq | JM \rangle have \exists N \in FinPow(M). | JT \subseteq | JN \text{ using FinPow_def by auto}
     then have (| |T){is compact in}T unfolding IsCompact_def by auto
  then show A {is in the spectrum of} (\lambda T. (\bigcup T) {is compact in}T) us-
ing Spec_def by auto
qed
It is, at least for some people, surprising that the spectrum of some properties
cannot be completely determined in ZF.
theorem compactK_spectrum:
  assumes {the axiom of}K{choice holds for subsets}(Pow(K)) Card(K)
  shows (A {is in the spectrum of} (\lambda T. ((\bigcup T){is compact of cardinal}
```

```
csucc(K)\{in\}T))) \longleftrightarrow (A \leq K)
proof
  assume A {is in the spectrum of} (\lambda T. ((\bigcup T){is compact of cardinal}
csucc(K){in}T))
  then have reg:\forall T. T{is a topology}\land | T \approx A \longrightarrow ((|T) \text{ (is compact of } T))
cardinal csucc(K)(in)T) using Spec_def by auto
  then have A{is compact of cardinal} csucc(K) {in} Pow(A) using Pow_is_top[of
  then have \forall M \in Pow(Pow(A)). A \subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists N \in Pow(M). A \subseteq \bigcup N \land N \prec csucc(K))
unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def by auto
  moreover
  have \{\{x\}.\ x\in A\}\in Pow(Pow(A)) by auto
  moreover
  have A=\{\{x\}, x\in A\} by auto
  ultimately have \exists N \in Pow(\{\{x\}. x \in A\}). A \subseteq \bigcup N \land N \prec csucc(K) by auto
  then obtain N where N\inPow({{x}. x\inA}) A\subseteq[]N N\preccsucc(K) by auto
  then have N\subseteq\{\{x\}.\ x\in A\}\ N\prec csucc(K)\ A\subseteq\bigcup N\ using\ FinPow_def\ by\ auto
     fix t
     assume t \in \{\{x\}. x \in A\}
     then obtain x where x \in At = \{x\} by auto
     with \langle A \subseteq \bigcup N \rangle have x \in \bigcup N by auto
     then obtain B where B \in Nx \in B by auto
     with (N\subseteq \{\{x\}, x\in A\}) have B=\{x\} by auto
     with \langle t=\{x\}\rangle\langle B\in \mathbb{N}\rangle have t\in \mathbb{N} by auto
  with (N\subseteq \{\{x\}, x\in A\}) have N=\{\{x\}, x\in A\} by auto
  let B=\{\langle x,\{x\}\rangle, x\in A\}
  from (N=\{\{x\}, x\in A\}) have B:A\to N unfolding Pi_def function_def by auto
  with \{N=\{\{x\}, x\in A\}\} have B:inj(A,N) unfolding inj_def using apply_equality
by auto
  then have ASN using lepoll_def by auto
  with \langle N \prec csucc(K) \rangle have A \prec csucc(K) using lesspoll_trans1 by auto
  then show ASK using Card_less_csucc_eq_le assms(2) by auto
  assume ASK
  {
     fix T
     assume T{is a topology}| JT≈A
     have Pow(\(\)T){is a topology} using Pow_is_top by auto
     {
        fix B
        assume AS:B∈Pow(| JT)
        then have \{\{i\}.\ i\in B\}\subseteq \{\{i\}\ .i\in \bigcup T\} by auto
        moreover
        have B=\bigcup\{\{i\}, i\in B\} by auto
        ultimately have \exists S \in Pow(\{\{i\}, i \in | JT\}). B=\{JS \text{ by auto}\}
        then have B \in \{\bigcup U. \ U \in Pow(\{\{i\}. \ i \in \bigcup T\})\}\ by auto
     }
```

```
moreover
     {
        fix B
        then have B∈Pow([]T) by auto
     ultimately
     have base: \{\{x\}.\ x \in JT\} {is a base for}Pow(\{JT\}) unfolding IsAbaseFor_def
by auto
     let f=\{\langle i,\{i\}\rangle: i\in \bigcup T\}
     have f:f:\bigcup T \rightarrow \{\{x\}. x \in \bigcup T\} using Pi_def function_def by auto
     moreover
     {
        fix w x
        assume as:w \in \bigcup Tx \in \bigcup Tfw = fx
        with f have fw={w} fx={x} using apply_equality by auto
        with as(3) have w=x by auto
     with f have f:inj([]T,\{\{x\}.\ x\in[]T\}) unfolding inj\_def by auto
     moreover
        fix xa
        assume xa \in \{\{x\}. x \in \bigcup T\}
        then obtain x where x \in \bigcup Txa=\{x\} by auto
        with f have fx=xa using apply_equality by auto
        with \langle x \in \bigcup T \rangle have \exists x \in \bigcup T. fx=xa by auto
     then have \forall xa \in \{\{x\}. x \in | JT\}. \exists x \in | JT. fx=xa by blast
     ultimately have f:bij(\bigcup T,{{x}. x\in \bigcup T}) unfolding bij_def surj_def
     then have \iint \mathbb{T} \times \{\{x\}, x \in \bigcup \mathbb{T}\} using eqpoll_def by auto
     then have \{\{x\}.\ x \in \bigcup T\} \approx \bigcup T \text{ using eqpoll\_sym by auto}
     with \langle \bigcup T \approx A \rangle have \{\{x\}, x \in \bigcup T\} \approx A \text{ using eqpoll\_trans by blast}
     then have \{\{x\}.\ x \in \bigcup T\} \lesssim A \text{ using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto}
     with \langle A \lesssim K \rangle have \{\{x\}, x \in \bigcup T\} \lesssim K \text{ using lepoll\_trans by blast}
     then have \{\{x\}.\ x \in \bigcup T\} \prec csucc(K) \text{ using assms(2) Card_less_csucc_eq_le}
by auto
     with base have Pow([]T) {is of second type of cardinal}csucc(K) un-
folding IsSecondOfCard_def by auto
     moreover
     have \bigcup Pow(\bigcup T) = \bigcup T by auto
     with calculation assms(1) \langle Pow(\bigcup T) \{ is a topology \} \rangle have (\bigcup T) \{ is a topology \} \rangle
compact of cardinal csucc(K) {in } Pow(| | T)
        using compact_of_cardinal_Q[of KPow(\( \) T)] by auto
     moreover
     have T\subseteq Pow(\bigcup T) by auto
     ultimately have (| |T) {is compact of cardinal}csucc(K){in}T using
compact_coarser by auto
  }
```

```
then show A {is in the spectrum of} (\lambda T. ((\bigcup T){is compact of cardinal}csucc(K)
{in}T)) using Spec_def by auto
qed
theorem compactK_spectrum_reverse:
  assumes \forall A. (A {is in the spectrum of} (\lambdaT. ((\bigcupT){is compact of cardinal}
\texttt{csucc}(\texttt{K})\{\texttt{in}\}\texttt{T}))) \;\longleftrightarrow\; (\texttt{A} {\lesssim} \texttt{K}) \;\; \texttt{InfCard}(\texttt{K})
  shows {the axiom of}K{choice holds for subsets}(Pow(K))
proof-
  have K\subseteq K using lepoll_refl by auto
  then have K (is in the spectrum of) (\lambda T. ((\bigcup T)(is compact of cardinal)
csucc(K){in}T)) using assms(1) by auto
  moreover
  have Pow(K){is a topology} using Pow_is_top by auto
  moreover
  have | | Pow(K)=K by auto
  then have []Pow(K)≈K using eqpoll_refl by auto
  ultimately
  have K {is compact of cardinal} csucc(K){in}Pow(K) using Spec_def by
  then show thesis using Q_disc_comp_csuccQ_eq_Q_choice_csuccQ assms(2)
by auto
qed
```

This last theorem states that if one of the forms of the axiom of choice related to this compactness property fails, then the spectrum will be different. Notice that even for Lindelöf spaces that will happend.

The spectrum gives us the posibility to define what an anti-property means. A space is anti-P if the only subspaces which have the property are the ones in the spectrum of P. This concept tries to put together spaces that are completely opposite to spaces where P(T).

definition

```
antiProperty (_{is anti-}_ 50) where T{is anti-}P \equiv \forall A \in Pow(\bigcup T). P(T{restricted to}A) \longrightarrow (A {is in the spectrum of} P)
```

abbreviation

```
ANTI(P) \equiv \lambda T. (T{is anti-}P)
```

A first, very simple, but very useful result is the following: when the properties are related and the spectra are equal, then the anti-properties are related in the oposite direction.

```
theorem (in topology0) eq_spect_rev_imp_anti: assumes \forall T. T{is a topology} \longrightarrow P(T) \longrightarrow Q(T) \ \forall A. (A{is in the spectrum of}Q) \longrightarrow (A{is in the spectrum of}P) and T{is anti-}Q shows T{is anti-}P
```

```
proof-
  {
    fix A
    assume A∈Pow(| JT)P(T{restricted to}A)
    with assms(1) have Q(T{restricted to}A) using Top_1_L4 by auto
    with assms(3) (A∈Pow(| JT)) have A{is in the spectrum of}Q using antiProperty_def
by auto
     with assms(2) have A{is in the spectrum of}P by auto
  then show thesis using antiProperty_def by auto
qed
If a space can be P(T) \land Q(T) only in case the underlying set is in the spectrum
of P; then Q(T) \longrightarrow ANTI(P,T) when Q is hereditary.
theorem Q_P_imp_Spec:
  assumes \forall T. ((T{is a topology}\land P(T) \land Q(T)) \longrightarrow ((\bigcup T){is in the spectrum
of}P))
    and Q{is hereditary}
  \mathbf{shows} \ \forall \, \mathtt{T.} \ \mathtt{T\{is \ a \ topology\}} \ \longrightarrow \ (\mathtt{Q(T)} {\longrightarrow} (\mathtt{T\{is \ anti-\}P)})
proof
  fix T
    assume T{is a topology}
       assume Q(T)
       {
         assume \neg(T\{is anti-\}P)
         then obtain A where A \in Pow(\bigcup T) P(T{restricted to}A)¬(A{is in
the spectrum of }P)
            unfolding antiProperty_def by auto
         from (Q(T))(T\{is \ a \ topology\})(A \in Pow([]T)) assms(2) have Q(T\{restricted \ a \ topology\})(A \in Pow([]T))
to}A)
            unfolding IsHer_def by auto
         moreover
         note <P(T{restricted to}A)) assms(1)</pre>
         moreover
         from (T{is a topology}) have (T{restricted to}A){is a topology}
using topology0.Top_1_L4
           topology0_def by auto
         moreover
         from <A < Pow(( | T)) have ( | (T{restricted to}A) = A unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
         ultimately have A{is in the spectrum of}P by auto
         with (¬(A{is in the spectrum of}P)) have False by auto
       then have T{is anti-}P by auto
    then have Q(T) \longrightarrow (T\{is anti-\}P) by auto
```

```
then show (T {is a topology}) \longrightarrow (Q(T) \longrightarrow (T{is anti-}P)) by auto
qed
If a topologycal space has an hereditary property, then it has its double-anti
property.
theorem (in topology0)her_P_imp_anti2P:
  assumes P{is hereditary} P(T)
  shows T{is anti-}ANTI(P)
proof-
    assume ¬(T{is anti-}ANTI(P))
    then have \exists A \in Pow([]T). ((T{restricted to}A){is anti-}P)\land \neg(A{is in
the spectrum of \( ANTI(P) \)
       unfolding antiProperty_def[of _ ANTI(P)] by auto
     then obtain A where A_{def}:A \in Pow(\bigcup T) \neg (A\{is in the spectrum of\}ANTI(P))(T\{restricted in the spectrum of\}ANTI(P))
to}A){is anti-}P
       by auto
    from \  \, \langle A \in Pow(\bigcup T) \rangle \  \, have \  \, tot: \bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}A) = A \  \, unfolding \  \, RestrictedTo\_def
    from A_def have reg:∀B∈Pow([](T{restricted to}A)). P((T{restricted
to}A){restricted to}B) \longrightarrow (B{is in the spectrum of}P)
       unfolding antiProperty_def by auto
    have \forall B \in Pow(A). (T{restricted to}A){restricted to}B=T{restricted}
to}B using subspace_of_subspace ⟨A∈Pow([]T)⟩ by auto
    of}P) using reg tot
       by force
    moreover
    have \forall B \in Pow(A). P(T\{restricted\ to\}B) using assms (A \in Pow(\bigcup T)) un-
folding IsHer_def using topSpaceAssum by blast
     ultimately have reg2:∀B∈Pow(A). (B{is in the spectrum of}P) by auto
     from \langle \neg(A\{\text{is in the spectrum of}\}ANTI(P))\rangle have \exists T. T\{\text{is a topology}\}
\wedge | \mathsf{T} \approx \mathsf{A} \wedge \neg (\mathsf{T} \{ \mathsf{is anti-} \} \mathsf{P}) 
       unfolding Spec_def by auto
     then obtain S where S{is a topology} | \ | \ S \approx A \neg (S\{ is anti-\}P)  by auto
    from \langle \neg (S\{is\ anti-\}P) \rangle have \exists B \in Pow(\bigcup S). P(S\{restricted\ to\}B) \land \neg (B\{is\ anti-\}P) \rangle
in the spectrum of P) unfolding antiProperty_def by auto
    then obtain B where B_def:\neg(B{is in the spectrum of}P) B\inPow(\bigcup S)
by auto
    then have B \lesssim \bigcup S using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
    with \langle \bigcup S \approx A \rangle have B \lesssim A using lepoll_eq_trans by auto
    then obtain f where f∈inj(B,A) unfolding lepoll_def by auto
    then have f∈bij(B,range(f)) using inj_bij_range by auto
    then have B≈range(f) unfolding eqpoll_def by auto
    with B_def(1) have ¬(range(f){is in the spectrum of}P) using eqpoll_iff_spec
by auto
     with (f \in inj(B,A)) have range(f) \subseteq A unfolding inj_def Pi_def by auto
```

with reg2 have range(f){is in the spectrum of}P by auto

```
ultimately have False by auto
  }
  then show thesis by auto
The anti-properties are always hereditary
theorem anti_here:
  shows ANTI(P){is hereditary}
proof-
  {
    fix T
    assume T {is a topology}ANTI(P,T)
       fix A
       assume A∈Pow([ ]T)
       then have [](T{restricted to}A)=A unfolding RestrictedTo_def by
auto
       moreover
       {
         fix B
         \mathbf{assume} \ \ \mathsf{B} {\in} \mathsf{Pow}(\mathtt{A}) \, \mathsf{P}((\mathsf{T} \{ \mathsf{restricted} \ \ \mathsf{to} \} \mathtt{A}) \, \{ \mathsf{restricted} \ \ \mathsf{to} \} \mathtt{B})
         with (A \in Pow(| | T)) have B \in Pow(| | T)P(T{restricted to}B) using subspace_of_subspace
by auto
         with (ANTI(P,T)) have B{is in the spectrum of}P unfolding antiProperty_def
by auto
       ultimately have \forall B \in Pow(\bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}A)). (P((T{restricted}))
to}A){restricted to}B)) \longrightarrow (B{is in the spectrum of}P)
         by auto
       then have ANTI(P,(T{restricted to}A)) unfolding antiProperty_def
by auto
    then have \forall A \in Pow([]T). ANTI(P,(T{restricted to}A)) by auto
  then show thesis using IsHer_def by auto
qed
corollary (in topology0) anti_imp_anti3:
  assumes T{is anti-}P
  shows T{is anti-}ANTI(ANTI(P))
  using anti_here her_P_imp_anti2P assms by auto
In the article [5], we can find some results on anti-properties.
theorem (in topology0) anti_T0:
  shows (T{is anti-}isT0) \longleftrightarrow T={0,\bigcupT}
proof
  assume T=\{0, | JT\}
    fix A
```

```
assume A \in Pow([]T)(T\{restricted\ to\}A)\ \{is\ T_0\}
        fix B
        assume B \in T\{\text{restricted to}\}A
        then obtain S where S\inT and B=A\capS unfolding RestrictedTo_def by
auto
        with \langle T=\{0,\bigcup T\}\rangle have S\in\{0,\bigcup T\} by auto
        then have S=0 \lor S=[\ ]T by auto
        with \langle B=A \cap S \rangle \langle A \in Pow([]T) \rangle have B=0 \vee B=A by auto
     }
     moreover
     {
        have 0 \in \{0, \bigcup T\} \bigcup T \in \{0, \bigcup T\} by auto
        with \langle T=\{0, | JT \} \rangle have 0 \in T(| JT) \in T by auto
        then have A \cap 0 \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) \ A \cap (\bigcup T) \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A)
using RestrictedTo_def by auto
        moreover
        from (A \in Pow([]T)) have A \cap ([]T) = A by auto
        ultimately have 0 \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) \ A \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) \ by
auto
     ultimately have (T{restricted to}A)={0,A} by auto
     with \langle (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) \text{ {is }} T_0 \} \rangle have \{0,A\} \{\text{is }} T_0\} by auto
     {
        assume A \neq 0
        then obtain x where x \in A by blast
        {
          fix y
          \mathbf{assume} \ \ y {\in} \mathtt{Ax} {\neq} \mathtt{y}
          with \langle \{\text{0,A}\} \ \{\text{is} \ T_0\} \rangle obtain U where U=\{0,A\} and dis:(x \in U \wedge
y \notin U) \lor (y \in U \land x \notin U) using isT0_def by auto
          then have U=A by auto
          with dis \langle y \in A \rangle \langle x \in A \rangle have False by auto
        then have \forall y \in A. y=x by auto
        with \langle x \in A \rangle have A = \{x\} by blast
        then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
        then have A\less1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
        then have A{is in the spectrum of}isTO using TO_spectrum by auto
     }
     moreover
     {
        assume A=0
        then have A \approx 0 by auto
        then have A\less1 using empty_lepollI eq_lepoll_trans by auto
        then have A{is in the spectrum of}isTO using TO_spectrum by auto
     ultimately have A{is in the spectrum of}isTO by auto
```

```
then show T{is anti-}isTO using antiProperty_def by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume T{is anti-}isT0
  then have \forall A \in Pow([]T). (T{restricted to}A){is T_0} \longrightarrow (A{is in the
spectrum of \isT0) using antiProperty_def by auto
  then have reg:\forall A\inPow(\bigcupT). (T{restricted to}A){is T}_0} \longrightarrow (A\lesssim1) us-
ing TO_spectrum by auto
  {
     assume \exists A \in T. A \neq 0 \land A \neq \bigcup T
     then obtain A where A \in TA \neq 0A \neq | JT  by auto
     then obtain x y where x \in A y \in \bigcup T-A by blast
     with (A \in T) have s:\{x,y\} \in Pow(\bigcup T) x \neq y by auto
     note s
     moreover
        fix b1 b2
        assume b1 \in \bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\})b2 \in \bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\})b1 \neq b2
        moreover
        from s have [](T{restricted to}{x,y})={x,y} unfolding RestrictedTo_def
        ultimately have (b1=x \land b2=y) \lor (b1=y \land b2=x) by auto
        with \langle x \neq y \rangle have (b1 \in \{x\} \land b2 \notin \{x\}) \lor (b2 \in \{x\} \land b1 \notin \{x\}) by auto
        moreover
        from \langle y \in \bigcup T - A \rangle \langle x \in A \rangle have \{x\} = \{x,y\} \cap A by auto
        with (A \in T) have \{x\} \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
        ultimately have \exists U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}). (b1 \in U \land b2 \notin U) \lor (b2 \in U \land b1 \notin U)
by auto
     then have (T{\text{restricted to}}\{x,y\})\{\text{is }T_0\} \text{ using is}T0_{\text{def by auto}}
     ultimately have \{x,y\}\lesssim 1 using reg by auto
     moreover
     have x \in \{x,y\} by auto
     ultimately have \{x,y\}=\{x\} using lepoll_1_is_sing[of \{x,y\}x] by auto
     have y \in \{x,y\} by auto
     ultimately have y \in \{x\} by auto
     then have y=x by auto
     with \langle x \neq y \rangle have False by auto
  then have T\subseteq\{0,\bigcup T\} by auto
  moreover
  from topSpaceAssum have 0 \in T \bigcup T \in T using IsATopology_def empty_open by
  ultimately show T=\{0,\bigcup T\} by auto
lemma indiscrete_spectrum:
```

```
shows (A {is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. T={0,( T \})) \longleftrightarrow A\lesssim 1
proof
  assume (A {is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. T={0,\bigcup T}))
  then have reg:\forall T. ((T{is a topology} \land \bigcup T \approx A) \longrightarrow T = \{0,\bigcup T\}) using
Spec_def by auto
  moreover
  have \bigcup Pow(A) = A by auto
  then have \bigcup Pow(A) \approx A by auto
  moreover
  have Pow(A) {is a topology} using Pow_is_top by auto
  ultimately have P:Pow(A)={0,A} by auto
  {
    assume A≠0
    then obtain x where x \in A by blast
    then have \{x\} \in Pow(A) by auto
    with P have \{x\}=A by auto
    then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
    then have A\le 1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
  moreover
    assume A=0
    then have A \approx 0 by auto
    then have A\less1 using empty_lepollI eq_lepoll_trans by auto
  ultimately show A≲1 by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume A\le 1
    fix T
    assume T{is a topology}{ ∫T≈A
       assume A=0
       with \langle \bigcup T \approx A \rangle have \bigcup T \approx 0 by auto
       then have ∪T=0 using eqpoll_0_is_0 by auto
       then have T\subseteq\{0\} by auto
       with (T{is a topology}) have T={0} using empty_open by auto
       then have T=\{0, | JT\} by auto
    moreover
     {
       assume A≠0
       then obtain E where EEA by blast
       with (A \lesssim 1) have A={E} using lepoll_1_is_sing by auto
       then have Approx 1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
       with \langle \bigcup T \approx A \rangle have NONempty: \bigcup T \approx 1 using eqpoll_trans by blast
       then have \bigcup T \lesssim 1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
       moreover
       {
```

```
assume []T=0
          then have 0 \approx \bigcup T by auto
          with NONempty have 0 \approx 1 using eqpoll_trans by blast
          then have 0=1 using eqpoll_0_is_0 eqpoll_sym by auto
          then have False by auto
       then have \bigcup T \neq 0 by auto
       then obtain R where R∈[ ]T by blast
       ultimately have UT={R} using lepoll_1_is_sing by auto
       moreover
       have T\subseteq Pow(\bigcup T) by auto
       ultimately have T\subseteq Pow(\{R\}) by auto
       then have T\subseteq\{0,\{R\}\}\ by blast
       moreover
       with ⟨T{is a topology}⟩ have 0∈T[ ]T∈T using IsATopology_def by auto
       moreover
       note \langle | T=\{R\} \rangle
       ultimately have T=\{0, | JT\} by auto
     ultimately have T={0,||T} by auto
  then show A {is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. T={0,\bigcup T}) using Spec_def by
auto
qed
theorem (in topology0) anti_indiscrete:
  shows (T{is anti-}(\lambdaT. T={0,||T})) \longleftrightarrow T{is T<sub>0</sub>}
proof
  assume T\{is T_0\}
  {
     fix A
     assume A \in Pow(\bigcup T)T\{restricted\ to\}A=\{0,\bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}A)\}
     then have un: U(T{restricted to}A)=A T{restricted to}A={0,A} using
RestrictedTo_def by auto
     from \ \langle T\{is \ T_0\} \rangle \langle A \in Pow(\bigcup T) \rangle \ have \ (T\{restricted \ to\}A) \{is \ T_0\} \ using \ T0\_here 
by auto
       assume A=0
       then have A\approx 0 by auto
       then have A\less1 using empty_lepollI eq_lepoll_trans by auto
     moreover
     {
       assume A≠0
       then obtain E where E \in A by blast
       {
          fix v
          \mathbf{assume} \ \ \mathbf{y} {\in} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} {\neq} \mathbf{E}
          with \langle E \in A \rangle un have y \in \bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}A)E \in \bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}A)
```

```
by auto
           with \langle (T\{restricted\ to\}A)\{is\ T_0\}\rangle\langle y\neq E\rangle\ have\ \exists\ U\in (T\{restricted\ to\}A).
(\texttt{E}{\in} \texttt{U}{\wedge} \texttt{y}{\notin} \texttt{U}) \vee (\texttt{E}{\notin} \texttt{U}{\wedge} \texttt{y}{\in} \texttt{U})
              unfolding isTO_def by blast
           then obtain U where U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) (E \in U \land y \notin U) \lor (E \notin U \land y \in U)
by auto
           with \langle T\{\text{restricted to}\}A=\{0,A\}\rangle have U=0\veeU=A by auto
           with \langle (E \in U \land y \notin U) \lor (E \notin U \land y \in U) \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle \langle E \in A \rangle have False by auto
        then have \forall y \in A. y=E by auto
        with \langle E \in A \rangle have A={E} by blast
        then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
        then have A\lesssim1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
     ultimately have A\less1 by auto
     then have A{is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. T={0,||T}) using indiscrete_spectrum
by auto
  then show T{is anti-}(\lambda T. T={0,\|\]T}) unfolding antiProperty_def by
auto
next
  assume T{is anti-}(\lambdaT. T={0,\bigcupT})
  then have \forall A \in Pow(\bigcup T). (T{restricted to}A)={0,} (T{restricted to}A)}
\longrightarrow (A {is in the spectrum of} (\lambdaT. T={0,\bigcupT})) using antiProperty_def
by auto
  then have \forall A \in Pow([T]). (T{restricted to}A)={0,[T{restricted to}A)}
\rightarrow A\lesssim1 using indiscrete_spectrum by auto
  moreover
  have \forall A \in Pow(\bigcup T). \bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}A)=A\ unfolding\ RestrictedTo\_def
  ultimately have reg:\forall A \in Pow([\ ]T). (T{restricted to}A)=\{0,A\} \longrightarrow A \lesssim 1
by auto
  {
     fix x y
     assume x \in \bigcup Ty \in \bigcup Tx \neq y
        assume \forall U \in T. (x \in U \land y \in U) \lor (x \notin U \land y \notin U)
        then have T{restricted to}{x,y}⊆{0,{x,y}} unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
        moreover
        from \langle x \in \bigcup T \rangle \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle have emp: 0 \in T\{x,y\} \cap 0=0 and tot: \{x,y\} = \{x,y\} \cap \bigcup T
UT∈T using topSpaceAssum empty_open IsATopology_def by auto
        from emp have 0∈T{restricted to}{x,y} unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
        moreover
        from tot have \{x,y\}\in T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\} unfolding RestrictedTo_def
        ultimately have T{restricted to}\{x,y\}=\{0,\{x,y\}\}\ by auto
        with reg \langle x \in | JT \rangle \langle y \in | JT \rangle have \{x,y\} \lesssim 1 by auto
```

```
moreover
                            have x \in \{x,y\} by auto
                            ultimately have \{x,y\}=\{x\} using lepoll_1_is_sing[of \{x,y\}x] by auto
                            have y \in \{x,y\} by auto
                            ultimately have y \in \{x\} by auto
                            then have y=x by auto
                            then have False using \langle x \neq y \rangle by auto
                   then have \exists U \in T. (x \notin U \lor y \notin U) \land (x \in U \lor y \in U) by auto
                   then have \exists\, U{\in}T. (x{\in}U{\wedge}y{\notin}U){\vee}(x{\notin}U{\wedge}y{\in}U) by auto
          }
        then have \forall x y. x \in \bigcup T \land y \in \bigcup T \land x \neq y \longrightarrow (\exists U \in T. (x \in U \land y \notin U) \lor (y \in U \land x \notin U))
by auto
        then show T {is T_0} using isT0_def by auto
qed
The conclusion is that being T_0 is just the opposite to being indiscrete.
Next, let's compute the anti-T_i for i=1, 2, 3 or 4. Surprisingly, they are
all the same. Meaning, that the total negation of T_1 is enough to negate all
of these axioms.
theorem anti_T1:
        \mathbf{shows} \ \ (\texttt{T\{is anti-\}isT1}) \ \longleftrightarrow \ \ (\texttt{IsLinOrder}(\texttt{T}, \{ \langle \texttt{U}, \texttt{V} \rangle \in \texttt{Pow}(\bigcup \texttt{T}) \times \texttt{Pow}(\bigcup \texttt{T}) \ .
U⊂\}))
proof
        assume T{is anti-}isT1
        let r=\{\langle U,V\rangle\in Pow(\bigcup T)\times Pow(\bigcup T).\ U\subseteq V\}
        have antisym(r) unfolding antisym_def by auto
        moreover
        have trans(r) unfolding trans_def by auto
        moreover
          {
                   fix A B
                   assume A \in TB \in T
                   {
                            assume \neg(A\subseteq B \lor B\subseteq A)
                            then have A-B\neq 0B-A\neq 0 by auto
                            then obtain x y where x \in Ax \notin By \in By \notin A x \neq y by blast
                            then have \{x,y\}\cap A=\{x\}\{x,y\}\cap B=\{y\} by auto
                            moreover
                            \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle \mathtt{A} \in \mathtt{T} \rangle \langle \mathtt{B} \in \mathtt{T} \rangle \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ \{\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}\} \cap \mathtt{A} \in \mathtt{T} \{\mathtt{restricted} \ \ \mathsf{to}\} \{\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}\} \cap \mathtt{B} \in \mathtt{T} \{\mathtt{restricted} \ \ \mathsf{to}\} \in \mathtt{A} \cap \mathtt{
to{x,y} unfolding
                                      RestrictedTo_def by auto
                            ultimately have open_set:\{x\}\in T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}\{y\}\in T\{\text{restricted to}\}\}
to\{x,y\} by auto
                            have x \in \bigcup Ty \in \bigcup T using (A \in T) (B \in T) (x \in A) (y \in B) by auto
                            then have sub:\{x,y\}\in Pow(\bigcup T) by auto
```

then have tot: U(T{restricted to}{x,y})={x,y} unfolding RestrictedTo_def

```
by auto
          fix s t
          assume s \in [\int (T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\})t \in [\int (T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\})s \neq t]
          with tot have s \in \{x,y\}t \in \{x,y\}s \neq t by auto
          then have (s=x \land t=y) \lor (s=y \land t=x) by auto
          with open_set have \exists U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}).\ s \in U \land t \notin U \text{ using }
\langle x \neq y \rangle by auto
       }
       then have (T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\})\{is\ T_1\}\ unfolding\ isT1\_def\ by
auto
       with sub (T{is anti-}isT1) tot have {x,y} {is in the spectrum of}isT1
using antiProperty_def
          by auto
       then have \{x,y\}\lesssim 1 using T1_spectrum by auto
       moreover
       have x \in \{x,y\} by auto
       ultimately have \{x\}=\{x,y\} using lepoll_1_is_sing[of \{x,y\}x] by auto
       have y \in \{x,y\} by auto
       ultimately
       have y \in \{x\} by auto
       then have x=y by auto
       then have False using \langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \notin A \rangle by auto
     then have A\subseteq B\lor B\subseteq A by auto
  then have r {is total on}T using IsTotal_def by auto
  ultimately
  show IsLinOrder(T,r) using IsLinOrder_def by auto
  assume IsLinOrder(T, \{\langle U, V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T) . U \subseteq V\})
  then have ordTot:\forall S \in T. \forall B \in T. S \subseteq B \lor B \subseteq S unfolding IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def
by auto
  {
     fix A
     assume A \in Pow(\bigcup T) and T1:(T\{restricted\ to\}A)\ \{is\ T_1\}
     then have tot:[](T{restricted to}A)=A unfolding RestrictedTo_def by
auto
       fix U V
       assume U∈T{restricted to}AV∈T{restricted to}A
       then obtain AU AV where AU∈TAV∈TU=A∩AUV=A∩AV unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
       with ordTot have U\subseteq V\vee V\subseteq U by auto
     then have ordTotSub:\forall S \in T\{\text{restricted to}\}A. \forall B \in T\{\text{restricted to}\}A.
S\subseteq B\lor B\subseteq S by auto
     {
```

```
assume A=0
        then have A \approx 0 by auto
        moreover
        have 0\less1 using empty_lepollI by auto
        ultimately have A\le 1 using eq_lepoll_trans by auto
        then have A{is in the spectrum of}isT1 using T1_spectrum by auto
     moreover
     {
        assume A \neq 0
        then obtain t where t \in A by blast
        {
           fix y
           assume y \in Ay \neq t
           with \langle t \in A \rangle tot T1 obtain U where U\in(T{restricted to}A)y\inUt\notinU
unfolding isT1_def
              by auto
           from \langle y \neq t \rangle have t \neq y by auto
           with \langle y \in A \rangle \langle t \in A \rangle tot T1 obtain V where V \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A)t \in Vy \notin V
unfolding isT1_def
              by auto
           with \langle y \in U \rangle \langle t \notin U \rangle have \neg (U \subseteq V \lor V \subseteq U) by auto
           with \  \, \text{ordTotSub} \  \, \langle \text{U} \in (\text{T{restricted to}}) \rangle \langle \text{V} \in (\text{T{restricted to}}) \rangle \  \, \text{have}
False by auto
        then have \forall y \in A. y=t by auto
        with \langle t \in A \rangle have A={t} by blast
        then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
        then have A≲1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
        then have A{is in the spectrum of}isT1 using T1_spectrum by auto
     ultimately
     have A{is in the spectrum of}isT1 by auto
  then show T{is anti-}isT1 using antiProperty_def by auto
qed
corollary linordtop_here:
  shows (\lambdaT. IsLinOrder(T,{\langle U, V \rangle \in Pow(| | T) \times Pow(| T). U \subseteq V})){is hereditary}
  using anti_T1 anti_here[of isT1] by auto
theorem (in topology0) anti_T4:
  \mathbf{shows} \ \ (\texttt{T\{is\ anti-\}isT4}) \ \longleftrightarrow \ \ (\texttt{IsLinOrder}(\texttt{T}, \{ \langle \texttt{U}, \texttt{V} \rangle \in \texttt{Pow}(\bigcup \texttt{T}) \times \texttt{Pow}(\bigcup \texttt{T}) \,.
U⊂\}))
proof
  assume T{is anti-}isT4
  let r=\{\langle U,V\rangle\in Pow([]T)\times Pow([]T).\ U\subseteq V\}
  have antisym(r) unfolding antisym_def by auto
  moreover
```

```
have trans(r) unfolding trans_def by auto
        moreover
                 fix A B
                 assume A \in TB \in T
                          assume \neg(A\subseteq B \lor B\subseteq A)
                          then have A-B\neq 0B-A\neq 0 by auto
                          then obtain x y where x \in Ax \notin By \in By \notin A x \neq y by blast
                          then have \{x,y\}\cap A=\{x\}\{x,y\}\cap B=\{y\} by auto
                          moreover
                          \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle \mathtt{A} \in \mathtt{T} \rangle \langle \mathtt{B} \in \mathtt{T} \rangle \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ \{\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}\} \cap \mathtt{A} \in \mathtt{T} \{\mathtt{restricted} \ \ \mathsf{to}\} \{\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}\} \cap \mathtt{B} \in \mathtt{T} \{\mathtt{restricted} \ \ \mathsf{to}\} \in \mathtt{A} \cap \mathtt{A} \cap \mathtt{A} \in \mathtt{A} \cap \mathtt{
to}{x,y} unfolding
                                   RestrictedTo_def by auto
                          ultimately have open_set:\{x\}\in T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}\{y\}\in T\{\text{restricted to}\}\}
to \{x,y\} by auto
                          have x \in \bigcup Ty \in \bigcup T using (A \in T)(B \in T)(x \in A)(y \in B) by auto
                          then have sub:\{x,y\}\in Pow(\bigcup T) by auto
                          then have tot: ()(T{restricted to}{x,y})={x,y} unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
                                   fix s t
                                   assume s \in \bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\}) \in \bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\}) s \neq t
                                   with tot have s \in \{x,y\}t \in \{x,y\}s \neq t by auto
                                   then have (s=x \land t=y) \lor (s=y \land t=x) by auto
                                   with open_set have \exists U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}).\ s \in U \land t \notin U \text{ using }
\langle x \neq y \rangle by auto
                          then have (T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\})\{is\ T_1\}\ unfolding\ isT1\_def\ by
auto
                          moreover
                                   fix s
                                   assume AS:s{is closed in}(T{restricted to}{x,y})
                                            assume AS2:t{is closed in}(T{restricted to}{x,y})s\capt=0
                                            have (T{restricted to}{x,y}){is a topology} using Top_1_L4 by
auto
                                             with tot have 0 \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\})\{x,y\} \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\})\}
to}{x,y}) using empty_open
                                                     union_open[where A=T\{restricted to\}\{x,y\}] by auto
                                            moreover
                                            note open_set
                                            moreover
                                            have T{\text{restricted to}}\{x,y\}\subseteq Pow(\bigcup (T{\text{restricted to}}\{x,y\})) by
blast
                                            with tot have T{restricted to}{x,y}\subseteqPow({x,y}) by auto
                                            ultimately have T{restricted to}\{x,y\}=\{0,\{x\},\{y\},\{x,y\}\}\ by blast
```

```
moreover have \{0,\{x\},\{y\},\{x,y\}\}=Pow(\{x,y\}) by blast
             ultimately have P:T{restricted to}\{x,y\}=Pow(\{x,y\}) by simp
             with tot have \{A \in Pow(\{x,y\})\}. A{is closed in}(T{restricted to}\{x,y\})={A
\in Pow(\{x, y\}) . A \subseteq \{x, y\} \land \{x, y\} - A \in Pow(\{x, y\})\} using IsClosed_def
by simp
             with P have S:\{A \in Pow(\{x,y\}). A\{\text{is closed in}\}(T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\})\}=T\{\text{restricted to}\}(x,y)\}
to \{x,y\} by auto
             from AS AS2(1) have s \in Pow(\{x,y\}) t \in Pow(\{x,y\}) using IsClosed_def
tot by auto
             moreover
             note AS2(1) AS
             ultimately have s \in \{A \in Pow(\{x,y\})\}. A{is closed in}(T{restricted})
to \} \{x,y\}) \} t \in \{A \in Pow(\{x,y\}). A \{is closed in\}(T\{restricted to\}\{x,y\})\}
                by auto
             with S AS2(2) have s \in T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}\ t \in T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}s \cap t = 0
by auto
             then have \exists U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}). \exists V \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}).
s\subseteq U \land t\subseteq V \land U \cap V=0 by auto
          then have \forall t. t{is closed in}(T{restricted to}{x,y})\land s \cap t=0 \longrightarrow
\exists U \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}). \exists V \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}). s \subseteq U \land t \subseteq V \land U \cap V = 0)
             by auto
        then have \forall s. s\{is closed in\}(T\{restricted to\}\{x,y\}) \longrightarrow (\forall t. t\{is t\})
closed in T{\text{restricted to}}\{x,y\} \land x = 0 \longrightarrow (\exists U \in T{\text{restricted to}}\{x,y\}).
\exists V \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}). \ s \subseteq U \land t \subseteq V \land U \cap V=0))
          by auto
        then have (T{restricted to}{x,y}){is normal} using IsNormal_def
by auto
        ultimately have (T{restricted to}\{x,y\}){is T_4} using isT4_def by
auto
        with sub (T{is anti-}isT4) tot have {x,y} {is in the spectrum of}isT4
using antiProperty_def
          by auto
        then have \{x,y\} \lesssim 1 using T4_spectrum by auto
        have x \in \{x,y\} by auto
        ultimately have \{x\}=\{x,y\} using lepoll_1_is_sing[of \{x,y\}x] by auto
        moreover
        have y \in \{x,y\} by auto
        ultimately
        have y \in \{x\} by auto
        then have x=y by auto
        then have False using \langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \notin A \rangle by auto
     then have A\subseteq B\lor B\subseteq A by auto
  then have r {is total on}T using IsTotal_def by auto
  ultimately
```

```
show IsLinOrder(T,r) using IsLinOrder_def by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume IsLinOrder(T, \{\langle U, V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T) : U \subseteq V\})
  then have T{is anti-}isT1 using anti_T1 by auto
  have \forall T. T \{ \text{is a topology} \} \longrightarrow (T \{ \text{is } T_4 \}) \longrightarrow (T \{ \text{is } T_1 \}) \text{ using topology0.} T4_is_T3
     topology0.T3_is_T2 T2_is_T1 topology0_def by auto
  moreover
  have \forall A. (A {is in the spectrum of} isT1) \longrightarrow (A {is in the spectrum
of} isT4) using T1_spectrum T4_spectrum
  ultimately show T{is anti-}isT4 using eq_spect_rev_imp_anti[of isT4isT1]
by auto
qed
theorem (in topology0) anti_T3:
  shows (T{is anti-}isT3) \longleftrightarrow (IsLinOrder(T,{\langle U,V\rangle} = Pow(\left| JT) \times Pow(\left| JT).
U({\J\))
proof
  assume T{is anti-}isT3
  moreover
  have \forall T. T{is a topology} \longrightarrow (T{is T<sub>4</sub>}) \longrightarrow (T{is T<sub>3</sub>}) using topology0.T4_is_T3
     topology0_def by auto
  moreover
  have \forall A. (A {is in the spectrum of} isT3) \longrightarrow (A {is in the spectrum
of} isT4) using T3_spectrum T4_spectrum
     by auto
  ultimately have T{is anti-}isT4 using eq_spect_rev_imp_anti[of isT4isT3]
  then show IsLinOrder(T, \{\langle U, V \rangle \in Pow([]T) \times Pow([]T). U \subseteq V\}) using anti_T4
by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume IsLinOrder(T, \{\langle U, V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T) . U \subseteq V\})
  then have T{is anti-}isT1 using anti_T1 by auto
  moreover
  have \forall T. T{is a topology} \longrightarrow (T{is T<sub>3</sub>}) \longrightarrow (T{is T<sub>1</sub>}) using
     topology0.T3_is_T2 T2_is_T1 topology0_def by auto
  moreover
  have \forall A. (A {is in the spectrum of} isT1) \longrightarrow (A {is in the spectrum
of} isT3) using T1_spectrum T3_spectrum
  ultimately show T{is anti-}isT3 using eq_spect_rev_imp_anti[of isT3isT1]
by auto
qed
theorem (in topology0) anti_T2:
  shows (T{is anti-}isT2) \longleftrightarrow (IsLinOrder(T,{\langle U,V\rangle} = Pow(\left) \times Pow(\left) \times Pow(\left) \times \text{.}
```

```
U \subset V \}))
proof
  assume T{is anti-}isT2
  moreover
  have \forall T. T \{ \text{is a topology} \} \longrightarrow (T \{ \text{is } T_4 \}) \longrightarrow (T \{ \text{is } T_2 \}) \text{ using topology0.} T4_is_T3
     topology0.T3_is_T2 topology0_def by auto
  have \forall A. (A {is in the spectrum of} isT2) \longrightarrow (A {is in the spectrum
of} isT4) using T2_spectrum T4_spectrum
     by auto
  ultimately have T{is anti-}isT4 using eq_spect_rev_imp_anti[of isT4isT2]
  then show IsLinOrder(T, \{\langle U, V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T). U \subseteq V\}) using anti_T4
by auto
next
  assume IsLinOrder(T, \{\langle U, V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T). U \subseteq V\})
  then have T{is anti-}isT1 using anti_T1 by auto
  have \forall T. T{is a topology} \longrightarrow (T{is T<sub>2</sub>}) \longrightarrow (T{is T<sub>1</sub>}) using T2_is_T1
by auto
  moreover
  have \forall A. (A {is in the spectrum of} isT1) \longrightarrow (A {is in the spectrum
of} isT2) using T1_spectrum T2_spectrum
  ultimately show T{is anti-}isT2 using eq_spect_rev_imp_anti[of isT2isT1]
by auto
qed
lemma linord_spectrum:
  shows (A{is in the spectrum of}(\lambdaT. IsLinOrder(T,{\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow([]T) \times Pow([]T).
U\subseteq V\}))) \longleftrightarrow A\lesssim 1
proof
  assume A{is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. IsLinOrder(T,{\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T)}.
  then have reg: \forall T. T{is a topology}\land \bigcup T \approx A \longrightarrow IsLinOrder(T, \{\langle U, V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup JT) .
U⊂\})
     using Spec_def by auto
     assume A=0
     moreover
     have 0≲1 using empty_lepollI by auto
     ultimately have A≤1 using eq_lepoll_trans by auto
  }
  moreover
     assume A≠0
     then obtain x where x \in A by blast
     moreover
```

```
fix y
        assume y \in A
        have Pow(A) {is a topology} using Pow_is_top by auto
        moreover
        have \bigcup Pow(A)=A by auto
        then have \bigcup Pow(A) \approx A by auto
        note reg
        ultimately have IsLinOrder(Pow(A), \{\langle U, V \rangle \in Pow(| JPow(A)) \times Pow(| JPow(A)).
U\subseteq V) by auto
        then have IsLinOrder(Pow(A), \{\langle U, V \rangle \in Pow(A) \times Pow(A) . U \subseteq V\}) by auto
        with \langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle have \{x\} \subseteq \{y\} \vee \{y\} \subseteq \{x\} unfolding IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def
        then have x=y by auto
     ultimately have A={x} by blast
     then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
     then have A\less1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
  ultimately show A\lesssim1 by auto
next
  assume A≲1
  then have ind:A{is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. T={0,\bigcup T}) using indiscrete_spectrum
by auto
  {
     fix T
     assume AS:T{is a topology} T={0,||T}
     have trans(\{\langle U,V\rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T). U\subseteq V\}) unfolding trans_def by
auto
     moreover
     have antisym(\{\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow([]T) \times Pow([]T). U \subseteq V\}) unfolding antisym\_def
     moreover
     have \{\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T) . U \subseteq V \} \{is total on\}T \}
     proof-
           fix aa b
           assume aa \in Tb \in T
           with AS(2) have aa \in \{0, | T\}b \in \{0, | T\} by auto
           then have aa=0 \lor aa=\bigcup Tb=0 \lor b=\bigcup T by auto
           then have aa\subseteq b\lor b\subseteq aa by auto
           then have \langle aa, b \rangle \in Collect(Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T), split((\subseteq)))
\vee \langle b, aa \rangle \in Collect(Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T), split((\subseteq)))
           using \langle aa \in T \rangle \langle b \in T \rangle \ by \ auto
        then show thesis using IsTotal_def by auto
     ultimately have IsLinOrder(T, \{\langle U, V \rangle \in Pow([]T) \times Pow([]T). U \subseteq V\}) un-
folding IsLinOrder_def by auto
```

```
then have \forall T. T \text{ is a topology} \rightarrow T = \{0, \bigcup T\} \rightarrow IsLinOrder(T, T)
\{\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T) . U \subseteq V\}) by auto
   then show A{is in the spectrum of}(\lambdaT. IsLinOrder(T,{\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow([\ ]T) \times Pow([\ ]T).
U\subset V))
      using P_imp_Q_spec_inv[of \lambda T. T={0,\bigcup T}\lambda T. IsLinOrder(T,{\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T).
U({\∂U
      ind by auto
qed
theorem (in topology0) anti_linord:
  shows (T{is anti-}(\lambdaT. IsLinOrder(T,{\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T). U \subseteq V})))
\longleftrightarrow T{is T<sub>1</sub>}
proof
  assume AS:T{is anti-}(\lambdaT. IsLinOrder(T,{\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow([]T) \times Pow([]T). U \subseteq V}))
      assume \neg(T\{is T_1\})
      then obtain x y where x \in \bigcup Ty \in \bigcup Tx \neq y \forall U \in T. x \notin U \lor y \in U unfolding isT1_def
by auto
      {
         assume \{x\}\in T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}
         then obtain U where U \in T \{x\} = \{x,y\} \cap U \text{ unfolding RestrictedTo_def}
by auto
         moreover
         have x \in \{x\} by auto
         ultimately have UETxEU by auto
         moreover
         {
            \mathbf{assume} \ \ y{\in} \mathtt{U}
            then have y \in \{x,y\} \cap U by auto
            with \langle \{x\} = \{x,y\} \cap U \rangle have y \in \{x\} by auto
            with \langle x \neq y \rangle have False by auto
         then have y \notin U by auto
         moreover
         \mathbf{note} \ \langle \forall \, \mathtt{U} {\in} \mathtt{T.} \ \mathtt{x} {\notin} \mathtt{U} {\vee} \mathtt{y} {\in} \mathtt{U} \rangle
         ultimately have False by auto
      then have \{x\}\notin T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\} by auto
      have tot: \bigcup (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\})=\{x,y\} \text{ using } (x\in\bigcup T)(y\in\bigcup T) \text{ unfold-}
ing RestrictedTo_def by auto
      moreover
      have T{restricted to}{x,y}\subseteqPow(\bigcup(T{restricted to}{x,y})) by auto
      ultimately have T{restricted to}\{x,y\}\subseteq Pow(\{x,y\})-\{\{x\}\} by auto
      moreover
      have Pow(\{x,y\})=\{0,\{x,y\},\{x\},\{y\}\}\} by blast
      ultimately have T{restricted to}\{x,y\}\subseteq\{0,\{x,y\},\{y\}\}\ by auto
      moreover
```

```
have IsLinOrder(\{0,\{x,y\},\{y\}\},\{\langle U,V\rangle\in Pow(\{x,y\})\times Pow(\{x,y\}).\ U\subseteq V\})
                   proof-
                             have antisym(Collect(Pow(\{x, y\}) \times Pow(\{x, y\}), split((\subseteq)))) us-
ing antisym_def by auto
                             have trans(Collect(Pow(\{x, y\}) \times Pow(\{x, y\}), split((\subseteq)))) us-
ing trans_def by auto
                             moreover
                             have Collect(Pow(\{x, y\}) \times Pow(\{x, y\}), split((\subseteq))) {is total on}
{0, {x, y}, {y}} using IsTotal_def by auto
                             ultimately show IsLinOrder(\{0,\{x,y\},\{y\}\},\{\langle U,V\rangle\in Pow(\{x,y\})\times Pow(\{x,y\}).
U⊆V}) using IsLinOrder_def by auto
                   qed
                   ultimately have IsLinOrder(T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\},\{\langle U,V\rangle\in Pow(\{x,y\})\times Pow(\{x,y\}).
U⊆V}) using ord_linear_subset
                             by auto
                   with tot have IsLinOrder(T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\},\{\langle U,V\rangle\in Pow(\bigcup\ (T\{restricted\ (T\{re
to\{x,y\})×Pow(\bigcup (T\{restricted to\}\{x,y\})). U\subseteq V\})
                    then have IsLinOrder(T{restricted to}{x,y},Collect(Pow([](T {restricted
to} \{x,y\})) \times Pow(\bigcup (T {restricted to} \{x,y\})), split((\subseteq)))) by auto
                   moreover
                   from \langle x \in \bigcup T \rangle \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle have \{x,y\} \in Pow(\bigcup T) by auto
                   moreover
                   note AS
                   ultimately have \{x,y\} is in the spectrum of \{(\lambda T) : \text{IsLinOrder}(T, \{(U,V) \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T))\}.
U⊆V})) unfolding antiProperty_def
                             by simp
                   then have \{x,y\}\lesssim 1 using linord_spectrum by auto
                   moreover
                   have x \in \{x,y\} by auto
                   ultimately have \{x\}=\{x,y\} using lepoll_1_is_sing[of \{x,y\}x] by auto
                   moreover
                   have y \in \{x,y\} by auto
                   ultimately
                   have y \in \{x\} by auto
                   then have x=y by auto
                   then have False using \langle x \neq y \rangle by auto
         then show T {is T_1} by auto
         assume T1:T {is T_1}
          {
                   assume \ A\_def: A \in Pow(\bigcup T) \\ Is Lin Order((T\{restricted\ to\}A)\ , \{\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T\{restricted\ to\}A)\ , \{\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup
to}A))\timesPow(\bigcup (T{restricted to}A)). U\subseteqV})
                   {
                             fix x
                             assume AS1:x\in A
```

```
fix y
                                               assume AS: y \in Ax \neq y
                                               with AS1 have \{x,y\}\in Pow([]T) using (A\in Pow([]T)) by auto
                                               from \langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle have \{x,y\} \in Pow(A) by auto
                                               from (\{x,y\} \in Pow([]T)) have T11:(T{restricted to}\{x,y\}){is T_1}
using T1_here T1 by auto
                                               moreover
                                               have tot: \bigcup (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\})=\{x,y\} \text{ unfolding RestrictedTo\_def}
using \langle \{x,y\} \in Pow(\bigcup T) \rangle by auto
                                               moreover
                                               note AS(2)
                                               ultimately obtain U where x \in Uy \notin UU \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}) un-
folding isT1_def by auto
                                               moreover
                                               from AS(2) tot T11 obtain V where y \in Vx \notin VV \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\})
unfolding isT1_def by auto
                                               ultimately have x \in U - Vy \in V - UU \in (T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\}) V \in (T\{re
to\{x,y\}) by auto
                                               then have \neg(U\subseteq V \lor V\subseteq U)U\in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\})V\in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\})V
to\{x,y\}) by auto
                                               then have \neg(\{\langle U,V\rangle \in Pow(\bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\})) \times Pow(\bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\}))) \times Pow(\bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\})))
to\{x,y\})). U\subseteq V\ {is total on\} (T\{restricted to\{x,y\}))
                                                            unfolding IsTotal_def by auto
                                               then have \neg(IsLinOrder((T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\}),\{\langle U,V\rangle\in Pow(\bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,
to\{x,y\}) × Pow(\{\int (T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\})\}). U\subseteq V\}))
                                                           unfolding IsLinOrder_def by auto
                                               moreover
                                                           have (T{restricted to}A) {is a topology} using Top_1_L4 by
auto
                                                           moreover
                                                           note A_def(2) linordtop_here
                                                           ultimately have ∀B∈Pow([](T{restricted to}A)). IsLinOrder((T{restricted
to}A){restricted to}B ,{\langle U,V\rangle \in Pow(\bigcup ((T\{restricted to\}A)\{restricted to\}B))\times Pow(\bigcup ((T\{restricted to\}A)\{restri
to}A){restricted to}B)). U⊂V})
                                                                        unfolding IsHer_def by auto
                                                           moreover
                                                           have tot: | | (T{restricted to}A)=A unfolding RestrictedTo_def
using \langle A \in Pow(| JT) \rangle by auto
                                                            ultimately have ∀B∈Pow(A). IsLinOrder((T{restricted to}A){restricted
to}B ,\{\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup ((T\{restricted to}A)\{restricted to}B))) \times Pow(\bigcup ((T\{restricted to}A)\{restricted to}B))))
to}A)\{restricted to}B)). U\subseteq V\}) by auto
                                                            moreover
                                                           have \forall B \in Pow(A). (T{restricted to}A){restricted to}B=T{restricted}
to}B using subspace_of_subspace \langle A \in Pow(\bigcup T) \rangle by auto
                                                           ultimately
                                                           \mathbf{have} \ \forall \, \mathtt{B} \in \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{A}) \, . \ \ \mathsf{IsLinOrder}((\mathtt{T}\{\mathtt{restricted} \ \ \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{B}) \ \ , \\ \{\langle \mathtt{U}, \mathtt{V} \rangle \in \mathtt{Pow}(\bigcup \ ((\mathtt{T}\{\mathtt{restricted} \ \ \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{B}) \ \ , \\ \{\langle \mathtt{U}, \mathtt{V} \rangle \in \mathtt{Pow}(\bigcup \ ((\mathtt{T}\{\mathtt{restricted} \ \ \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{B}) \ \ , \\ \{\langle \mathtt{U}, \mathtt{V} \rangle \in \mathtt{Pow}(\bigcup \ ((\mathtt{T}\{\mathtt{restricted} \ \ \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{B}) \ \ , \\ \{\langle \mathtt{U}, \mathtt{V} \rangle \in \mathtt{Pow}(\bigcup \ ((\mathtt{U}, \mathtt{V}) \cap \mathtt{U}) \ \ ((\mathtt{U}, \mathtt{V}) \cap \mathtt{U}) \ \ \}) \} \}
to}A){restricted to}B))\timesPow([]((T{restricted to}A){restricted to}B)).
```

```
U\subseteq V) by auto
            moreover
            have \forall B \in Pow(A). \bigcup ((T\{restricted\ to\}A)\{restricted\ to\}B)=B\ us-
ing ⟨A∈Pow([]T)⟩ unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
            ultimately have \forall B \in Pow(A). IsLinOrder((T{restricted to}B), \{(V, V) \in Pow(B) \times Pow(B)).
U\subseteq V) by auto
            with \(\lambda(x,y)\in Pow(A)\) have IsLinOrder((T\{restricted to\}\{x,y\})
\{(U,V)\in Pow(\{x,y\})\times Pow(\{x,y\}): U\subseteq V\}\} by auto
         ultimately have False using tot by auto
       then have A=\{x\} using AS1 by auto
       then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
       then have A\less1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
       then have A{is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. IsLinOrder(T,{\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup JT).
U⊆V})) using linord_spectrum
         by auto
    }
    moreover
       assume A=0
       then have A \approx 0 by auto
       moreover
       have 0≲1 using empty_lepollI by auto
       ultimately have A\lessim 1 using eq_lepoll_trans by auto
       then have A{is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. IsLinOrder(T,{\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow([]T) \times Pow([]T).
U\subseteq V)) using linord_spectrum
         by auto
    ultimately have A{is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. IsLinOrder(T, \{\langle U, V \rangle \in Pow([\ ]T) \times Pow([\ ]T).
U\subseteq V)) by blast
  then show T{is anti-}(\lambdaT. IsLinOrder(T, {\langle U,V \rangle \in Pow(\bigcup T) \times Pow(\bigcup T)
. U ⊆ V})) unfolding antiProperty_def
    by auto
qed
In conclusion, T_1 is also an anti-property.
Let's define some anti-properties that we'll use in the future.
definition
  IsAntiComp (_{is anti-compact})
  where T{is anti-compact} \equiv T{is anti-}(\lambdaT. (\bigcupT){is compact in}T)
definition
  IsAntiLin (_{is anti-lindeloef})
  where T{is anti-lindeloef} \equiv T{is anti-}(\lambdaT. ((\bigcupT){is lindeloef in}T))
Anti-compact spaces are also called pseudo-finite spaces in literature before
the concept of anti-property was defined.
```

66 Topology 6

theory Topology_ZF_6 imports Topology_ZF_4 Topology_ZF_2 Topology_ZF_1

begin

This theory deals with the relations between continuous functions and convergence of filters. At the end of the file there some results about the building of functions in cartesian products.

66.1 Image filter

First of all, we will define the appropriate tools to work with functions and filters together.

We define the image filter as the collections of supersets of of images of sets from a filter.

definition

```
ImageFilter (_[_].._ 98) where \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} X \Longrightarrow f:X \to Y \Longrightarrow f[\mathfrak{F}]..Y \equiv \{A \in Pow(Y). \exists D \in \{f(B) .B \in \mathfrak{F}\}. D \subseteq A\}
```

Note that in the previous definition, it is necessary to state Y as the final set because f is also a function to every superset of its range. X can be changed by $\mathtt{domain}(\mathtt{f})$ without any change in the definition.

```
lemma base_image_filter:
  assumes \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} X f: X \rightarrow Y
  shows \{fB : B \in \mathfrak{F}\}\ is a base filter\} (f[\mathfrak{F}] : Y) and (f[\mathfrak{F}] : Y) is a filter
on} Y
proof-
  {
     assume 0 \in \{fB .B \in \mathfrak{F}\}
     then obtain B where B \in \mathfrak{F} and f_B:fB=0 by auto
     then have B∈Pow(X) using assms(1) IsFilter_def by auto
     then have fB={fb. b\in B} using image_fun assms(2) by auto
     with f_B have {fb. b \in B}=0 by auto
     then have B=0 by auto
     with \langle B \in \mathfrak{F} \rangle have False using IsFilter_def assms(1) by auto
  then have 0 \notin \{fB : B \in \mathfrak{F}\}\ by auto
  moreover
  from assms(1) obtain S where S \in \mathfrak{F} using IsFilter_def by auto
  then have fS \in \{fB : B \in \mathcal{F}\}\ by auto
  then have nA:\{fB : B \in \mathfrak{F}\} \neq 0 by auto
  moreover
```

```
{
      fix A B
     assume A \in \{fB : B \in \mathfrak{F}\}\ and B \in \{fB : B \in \mathfrak{F}\}\ 
      then obtain AB BB where A=fAB B=fBB AB \in \mathfrak{F} BB \in \mathfrak{F} by auto
      then have A \cap B = (fAB) \cap (fBB) by auto
      then have I: f(AB \cap BB) \subseteq A \cap B by auto
      moreover
      from assms(1) I \langle AB \in \mathfrak{F} \rangle \langle BB \in \mathfrak{F} \rangle have AB \cap BB \in \mathfrak{F} using IsFilter_def by auto
      ultimately have \exists D \in \{fB : B \in \mathcal{F}\}. D \subseteq A \cap B by auto
   then have \forall A \in \{fB \ .B \in \mathcal{F}\}.\ \forall B \in \{fB \ .B \in \mathcal{F}\}.\ \exists D \in \{fB \ .B \in \mathcal{F}\}.\ D \subseteq A \cap B by auto
   ultimately have sbc:\{fB . B\in \mathcal{F}\}\ {satisfies the filter base condition}
      using SatisfiesFilterBase_def by auto
  moreover
      fix t
     assume t \in \{fB : B \in \mathfrak{F}\}
      then obtain B where B \in \mathfrak{F} and im_def:fB=t by auto
      with assms(1) have B∈Pow(X) unfolding IsFilter_def by auto
      with im_def assms(2) have t={fx. x \in B} using image_fun by auto
      with assms(2) \langle B \in Pow(X) \rangle have t \subseteq Y using apply_funtype by auto
  then have nB:{fB . B\in \mathfrak{F}}\subseteqPow(Y) by auto
   ultimately
  have (({fB .B\in{\mathfrak{F}}} {is a base filter} {A \in Pow(Y) . \exists D\in{fB .B\in{\mathfrak{F}}}. D
\subseteq A}) \land (| |{A \in Pow(Y) . \exists D\in {fB .B\in \certif{S}}. D \in A}=Y)) using base_unique_filter_set2
      by force
  then have \{fB : B \in \mathcal{F}\}\ is a base filter \{A \in Pow(Y) : \exists D \in \{fB : B \in \mathcal{F}\}.
D \subseteq A} by auto
   with assms show {fB .B\in\mathfrak{F}} {is a base filter} (f[\mathfrak{F}]..Y) using ImageFilter_def
by auto
  moreover
  note sbc
  moreover
      from nA obtain D where I: D \in \{fB : B \in \mathcal{F}\}\ by blast
      moreover from I nB have DCY by auto
      ultimately have Y \in \{A \in Pow(Y) : \exists D \in \{fB : B \in \mathcal{F}\} : D \subseteq A\} by auto
   then have \bigcup \{A \in Pow(Y) : \exists D \in \{fB : B \in \mathcal{F}\} : D \subseteq A\} = Y \text{ by auto}
   ultimately show (f[\mathfrak{F}]...Y) {is a filter on} Y using basic_filter
      ImageFilter_def assms by auto
qed
```

66.2 Continuous at a point vs. globally continuous

In this section we show that continuity of a function implies local continuity (at a point) and that local continuity at all points implies (global) continuity.

If a function is continuous, then it is continuous at every point.

```
lemma cont_global_imp_continuous_x:
  assumes x \in \bigcup \tau_1 IsContinuous(\tau_1, \tau_2, f) f:(\bigcup \tau_1) \to (\bigcup \tau_2) x \in \bigcup \tau_1
  shows \forall U \in \tau_2. f(x) \in U \longrightarrow (\exists V \in \tau_1. x \in V \land f(V) \subseteq U)
proof-
  {
     fix U
     assume AS:U\in\tau_2 f(x)\in U
     then have f^-(U) \in \tau_1 using assms(2) IsContinuous_def by auto
     from assms(3) have f(f-(U)) \( \subseteq U \) using function_image_vimage fun_is_fun
        by auto
     moreover
     from assms(3) assms(4) AS(2) have x \in f^-(U) using func1_1_L15 by auto
     ultimately have \exists V \in \tau_1. x \in V \land fV \subseteq U by auto
  then show \forall U \in \tau_2. f(x) \in U \longrightarrow (\exists V \in \tau_1, x \in V \land f(V) \subseteq U) by auto
qed
A function that is continuous at every point of its domain is continuous.
lemma ccontinuous_all_x_imp_cont_global:
  assumes \forall x \in \bigcup \tau_1. \forall U \in \tau_2. fx \in U \longrightarrow (\exists V \in \tau_1. x \in V \land fV \subseteq U) f \in (\bigcup \tau_1) \rightarrow (\bigcup \tau_2)
and
     \tau_1 {is a topology}
  shows IsContinuous(\tau_1, \tau_2, f)
proof-
  {
     fix U
     assume U \in \tau_2
      {
        fix x
        assume AS: x \in f-U
        note \langle U \in \tau_2 \rangle
        moreover
        from assms(2) have f - U \subseteq \bigcup \tau_1 using func1_1_L6A by auto
        with AS have x \in \bigcup \tau_1 by auto
        with assms(1) have \forall U \in \tau_2. fx\in U \longrightarrow (\exists V \in \tau_1. x \in V \land fV \subseteq U) by auto
        moreover
        from AS assms(2) have fx∈U using func1_1_L15 by auto
        ultimately have \exists V \in \tau_1. x \in V \land fV \subseteq U by auto
        moreover
        from I have V\subseteq \bigcup \tau_1 by auto
```

```
moreover from assms(2) \langle V \subseteq \bigcup \tau_1 \rangle have V \subseteq f^-(fV) using func1_1_L9 by auto ultimately have V \subseteq f^-(U) by blast with \langle V \in \tau_1 \rangle \langle x \in V \rangle have \exists V \in \tau_1. x \in V \wedge V \subseteq f^-(U) by auto \{ \} hence \forall x \in f^-(U). \exists V \in \tau_1. x \in V \wedge V \subseteq f^-(U) by auto with assms(3) have f^-(U) \in \tau_1 using topology0.open_neigh_open topology0_def by auto \{ \} hence \forall U \in \tau_2. f^-U \in \tau_1 by auto then show thesis using IsContinuous_def by auto qed
```

66.3 Continuous functions and filters

In this section we consider the relations between filters and continuity.

If the function is continuous then if the filter converges to a point the image filter converges to the image point.

```
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) cont_imp_filter_conver_preserved:
  assumes \mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} X<sub>1</sub> f {is continuous} \mathfrak{F} \to_F \mathtt{x} {in} \tau_1
  shows (f[\mathfrak{F}]..X_2) \rightarrow_F (f(x)) \{in\} \tau_2
proof -
  from assms(1) assms(3) have x \in X_1
     using topology0.FilterConverges_def topol_cntxs_valid(1) X1_def by
  have topology0(\tau_2) using topol_cntxs_valid(2) by simp
  moreover from assms(1) have (f[\mathfrak{F}]..X_2) {is a filter on} (\lfloor J\tau_2) and
{fB .B \in \mathfrak{F}} {is a base filter} (f[\mathfrak{F}]..\mathfrak{X}_2)
     using base_image_filter fmapAssum X1_def X2_def by auto
  \mathbf{moreover} \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ \forall \, \mathbf{U} \in \mathsf{Pow}(\bigcup \tau_2) \, . \ \ (\mathbf{fx}) \in \mathsf{Interior}(\mathbf{U}, \tau_2) \ \longrightarrow \ (\exists \, \mathbf{D} \in \{\mathbf{fB} \ . \, \mathbf{B} \in \mathfrak{F}\} \, .
D\subset\Omega)
  proof -
     { fix U
     assume U \in Pow(X_2) (fx)\in Interior(U, \tau_2)
     with (x \in X_1) have xim: x \in f-(Interior(U,\tau_2)) and sub: f-(Interior(U,\tau_2))\inPow(X_1)
        using func1_1_L6A fmapAssum func1_1_L15 fmapAssum by auto
     note sub
     moreover
     have Interior(U, \tau_2) \in \tau_2 using topology0.Top_2_L2 topol_cntxs_valid(2)
     with assms(2) have f-(Interior(U,\tau_2))\in \tau_1 unfolding isContinuous_def
IsContinuous_def
        by auto
     with xim have x\inInterior(f-(Interior(U,\tau_2)),\tau_1)
        using topology0.Top_2_L3 topol_cntxs_valid(1) by auto
     moreover from assms(1) assms(3) have \{U \in Pow(X_1) : x \in Interior(U, \tau_1)\} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}
```

```
using topology0.FilterConverges_def topol_cntxs_valid(1) X1_def
by auto
     ultimately have f-(Interior(U,\tau_2))\in \mathfrak{F} by auto
     moreover have f(f-(Interior(U,\tau_2)))\subseteq Interior(U,\tau_2)
       using function_image_vimage fun_is_fun fmapAssum by auto
     then have f(f-(Interior(U, \tau_2)))\subseteq U
       using topology0.Top_2_L1 topol_cntxs_valid(2) by auto
     ultimately have \exists D \in \{f(B) : B \in \mathcal{F}\}. D \subseteq U by auto
     } thus thesis by auto
  qed
  moreover from fmapAssum \langle x \in X_1 \rangle have f(x) \in X_2
     by (rule apply_funtype)
  hence f(x) \in \bigcup \tau_2 by simp
  ultimately show thesis by (rule topology0.convergence_filter_base2)
qed
Continuity in filter at every point of the domain implies global continuity.
lemma (in two_top_spaces0) filter_conver_preserved_imp_cont:
  assumes \forall x \in \bigcup \tau_1. \forall \mathfrak{F}. ((\mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} X_1) \land (\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow_F x {in} \tau_1))
\longrightarrow ((f[\mathfrak{F}]..\mathtt{X}_2) \rightarrow_F (fx) {in} 	au_2)
  shows f{is continuous}
proof-
  {
     fix x
     assume as2: x \in J_{\tau_1}
     with assms have reg:
       orall \mathfrak{F}. ((\mathfrak{F} {is a filter on} \mathtt{X}_1) \wedge (\mathfrak{F} 	o_F \mathtt{x} {in} \tau_1)) \longrightarrow ((\mathtt{f}[\mathfrak{F}]...\mathtt{X}_2)

ightarrow_F (fx) {in} 	au_2)
       by auto
     let Neig = \{U \in Pow(\bigcup \tau_1) : x \in Interior(U, \tau_1)\}
     from as 2 have NFil: Neig{is a filter on}X_1 and NCon: Neig \rightarrow_F x {in}
	au_1
       using topol_cntxs_valid(1) topology0.neigh_filter by auto
     {
       fix U
       assume U \in \tau_2 fx\in U
       then have U \in Pow([] J\tau_2) fx\in Interior(U, \tau_2) using topol_cntxs_valid(2)
topology0.Top_2_L3 by auto
       moreover
       from NCon NFil reg have (f[Neig]..X_2) \rightarrow_F (fx) {in} \tau_2 by auto
       moreover have (f[Neig]..X2) {is a filter on} X2
          using base_image_filter(2) NFil fmapAssum by auto
       ultimately have U \in (f[Neig]..X_2)
          using topology0.FilterConverges_def topol_cntxs_valid(2) unfold-
ing X1_def X2_def
          by auto
       moreover
```

```
from fmapAssum NFil have {fB .B∈Neig} {is a base filter} (f[Neig]..X<sub>2</sub>)
           using base_image_filter(1) X1_def X2_def by auto
        ultimately have ∃V∈{fB .B∈Neig}. V⊆U using basic_element_filter
        then obtain B where B \in Neig fB \subseteq U by auto
        moreover
        \mathbf{have} \  \, \mathbf{Interior}(\mathtt{B},\tau_1) \subseteq \mathtt{B} \  \, \mathbf{using} \  \, \mathbf{topology0}. \\ \mathbf{Top\_2\_L1} \  \, \mathbf{topol\_cntxs\_valid(1)}
by auto
        hence fInterior(B,\tau_1) \subseteq f(B) by auto
        moreover have Interior(B,\tau_1)\in \tau_1
           using topology0.Top_2_L2 topol_cntxs_valid(1) by auto
        ultimately have \exists V \in \tau_1. x \in V \land fV \subseteq U by force
     hence \forall U \in \tau_2. fx\in U \longrightarrow (\exists V \in \tau_1. x\in V \land fV \subseteq U) by auto
  hence \forall x \in \bigcup \tau_1. \forall U \in \tau_2. fx \in U \longrightarrow (\exists V \in \tau_1. x \in V \land fV \subseteq U) by auto
  then show thesis
     using ccontinuous_all_x_imp_cont_global fmapAssum X1_def X2_def isContinuous_def
tau1_is_top
     by auto
qed
end
```

67 Topology 7

theory Topology_ZF_7 imports Topology_ZF_5 begin

67.1 Connection Properties

Another type of topological properties are the connection properties. These properties establish if the space is formed of several pieces or just one.

A space is connected iff there is no clopen set other that the empty set and the total set.

```
definition IsConnected (_{is connected} 70)
   where T {is connected} ≡ ∀U. (U∈T ∧ (U {is closed in}T)) → U=0∀U=∪T
lemma indiscrete_connected:
   shows {0,X} {is connected}
   unfolding IsConnected_def IsClosed_def by auto

The anti-property of connectedness is called total-diconnectedness.
definition IsTotDis (_ {is totally-disconnected} 70)
   where IsTotDis ≡ ANTI(IsConnected)
```

```
lemma conn_spectrum:
  shows (A{is in the spectrum of}IsConnected) \longleftrightarrow A\lesssim1
proof
  assume A{is in the spectrum of}IsConnected
  then have \forall T. (T{is a topology}\land \bigcup T \approx A) \longrightarrow (T{is connected}) using
Spec_def by auto
  moreover
  have Pow(A){is a topology} using Pow_is_top by auto
  moreover
  have \bigcup (Pow(A))=A by auto
  then have \bigcup (Pow(A)) \approx A by auto
  ultimately have Pow(A) {is connected} by auto
  {
    assume A \neq 0
    then obtain E where E \in A by blast
    then have {E}∈Pow(A) by auto
    moreover
    have A-\{E\}\in Pow(A) by auto
    ultimately have {E}∈Pow(A) ∧{E}{is closed in}Pow(A) unfolding IsClosed_def
    with <Pow(A) {is connected} have {E}=A unfolding IsConnected_def
by auto
    then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
    then have A\lesssim 1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
  }
  moreover
    assume A=0
    then have A\less1 using empty_lepollI[of 1] by auto
  ultimately show A\less1 by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume A \lesssim 1
    assume T{is a topology}{ ∫T≈A
       assume []T=0
       with \langle T \{ \text{is a topology} \} \rangle have T={0} using empty_open by auto
       then have T{is connected} unfolding IsConnected_def by auto
    }
    moreover
    {
       assume UT≠0
       moreover
       from \langle A \lesssim 1 \rangle \langle \bigcup T \approx A \rangle have \bigcup T \lesssim 1 using eq_lepoll_trans by auto
       ultimately
       obtain E where UT={E} using lepoll_1_is_sing by blast
       moreover
```

```
have T\subseteq Pow([\ ]T) by auto
      ultimately have T\subseteq Pow(\{E\}) by auto
      then have T\subseteq\{0,\{E\}\}\ by blast
      with \langle T\{\text{is a topology}\}\rangle have \{0\}\subseteq T T\subseteq\{0,\{E\}\}\} using empty_open by
auto
      then have T{is connected} unfolding IsConnected_def by auto
    ultimately have T{is connected} by auto
  then show A{is in the spectrum of}IsConnected unfolding Spec_def by
auto
qed
The discrete space is a first example of totally-disconnected space.
lemma discrete_tot_dis:
  shows Pow(X) {is totally-disconnected}
proof-
  {
    fix A assume A \in Pow(X) and con: (Pow(X) \{ restricted to \} A \} \{ is connected \}
    have res:(Pow(X){restricted to}A)=Pow(A) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
using \langle A \in Pow(X) \rangle
      by blast
    {
      assume A=0
      then have A\lesssim1 using empty_lepollI[of 1] by auto
      then have A{is in the spectrum of}IsConnected using conn_spectrum
by auto
    }
    moreover
    {
      assume A \neq 0
      then obtain E where E∈A by blast
      then have \{E\}\in Pow(A) by auto
      moreover
      have A-\{E\}\in Pow(A) by auto
      ultimately have \{E\}\in Pow(A) \land \{E\} is closed in Pow(A) unfolding IsClosed\_def
by auto
      with con res have {E}=A unfolding IsConnected_def by auto
      then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
      then have A≲1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
      then have A{is in the spectrum of}IsConnected using conn_spectrum
by auto
    ultimately have A{is in the spectrum of}IsConnected by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsTotDis_def antiProperty_def by auto
```

An space is hyperconnected iff every two non-empty open sets meet.

```
definition IsHConnected (_{is hyperconnected}90)
  where T{is hyperconnected} \equiv \forall U \ V. \ U \in T \ \land \ V \in T \ \land \ U \cap V = 0 \longrightarrow U = 0 \lor V = 0
Every hyperconnected space is connected.
lemma HConn_imp_Conn:
  assumes T{is hyperconnected}
  shows T{is connected}
proof-
  {
    fix U
    assume U \in TU {is closed in}T
    then have []T-U=TU=T using IsClosed_def by auto
    moreover
    have ([]T-U)\cap U=0 by auto
    moreover
    note assms
    ultimately
    have U=0 \lor (\bigcup T-U)=0 using IsHConnected_def by auto
    with \langle U \in T \rangle have U = 0 \lor U = \bigcup T by auto
  then show thesis using IsConnected_def by auto
lemma Indiscrete_HConn:
  shows {0,X}{is hyperconnected}
  unfolding IsHConnected_def by auto
A first example of an hyperconnected space but not indiscrete, is the cofinite
topology on the natural numbers.
lemma Cofinite_nat_HConn:
  assumes \neg(X \prec nat)
  shows (CoFinite X){is hyperconnected}
proof-
  {
    fix U V
    \mathbf{assume}\ \mathtt{U}{\in}(\mathtt{CoFinite}\ \mathtt{X})\mathtt{V}{\in}(\mathtt{CoFinite}\ \mathtt{X})\mathtt{U}{\cap}\mathtt{V}{=}0
    then have eq:(X-U)\precnat\lorU=0(X-V)\precnat\lorV=0 unfolding Cofinite_def
       CoCardinal_def by auto
    from \langle U \cap V = 0 \rangle have un: (X-U) \cup (X-V) = X by auto
       assume AS:(X-U)\prec nat(X-V)\prec nat
       from un have X-nat using less_less_imp_un_less[OF AS InfCard_nat]
by auto
       then have False using assms by auto
     with eq(1,2) have U=0 \lor V=0 by auto
  then show (CoFinite X){is hyperconnected} using IsHConnected_def by
auto
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma HConn_spectrum:
  shows (A{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected) \longleftrightarrow A\lesssim1
proof
  assume A{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected
  then have \forall T. (T{is a topology}\land \bigcup T \approx A) \longrightarrow (T{is hyperconnected})
using Spec_def by auto
  moreover
  have Pow(A){is a topology} using Pow_is_top by auto
  moreover
  have [](Pow(A))=A by auto
  then have \bigcup (Pow(A)) \approx A by auto
  ultimately
  have HC_Pow:Pow(A){is hyperconnected} by auto
    assume A=0
    then have A\lesssim1 using empty_lepollI by auto
  moreover
    assume A \neq 0
    then obtain e where e∈A by blast
    then have {e}∈Pow(A) by auto
    moreover
    have A-{e}∈Pow(A) by auto
    moreover
    have \{e\}\cap (A-\{e\})=0 by auto
    moreover
    note HC_Pow
    ultimately have A-{e}=0 unfolding IsHConnected_def by blast
    with \langle e \in A \rangle have A={e} by auto
    then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
    then have A\lesssim 1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
  ultimately show A\less1 by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume A≲1
  {
    fix T
    assume T{is a topology}\bigcup T \approx A
    {
      assume | JT=0
      with \langle T\{\text{is a topology}\}\rangle have T=\{0\} using empty_open by auto
      then have T{is hyperconnected} unfolding IsHConnected_def by auto
    }
    moreover
      assume [JT≠0
```

```
moreover
from ⟨A≲1⟩⟨∪T≈A⟩ have ∪T≲1 using eq_lepoll_trans by auto
ultimately
obtain E where ∪T={E} using lepoll_1_is_sing by blast
moreover
have T⊆Pow(∪T) by auto
ultimately have T⊆Pow({E}) by auto
then have T⊆{0,{E}} by blast
with ⟨T{is a topology}⟩ have {0}⊆T T⊆{0,{E}} using empty_open by
auto
then have T{is hyperconnected} unfolding IsHConnected_def by auto
}
ultimately have T{is hyperconnected} by auto
}
then show A{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected unfolding Spec_def by
auto
qed
```

In the following results we will show that anti-hyperconnectedness is a separation property between T_1 and T_2 . We will show also that both implications are proper.

First, the closure of a point in every topological space is always hyperconnected. This is the reason why every anti-hyperconnected space must be T_1 : every singleton must be closed.

```
lemma (in topology0)cl_point_imp_HConn:
           assumes x \in JT
          shows (T{restricted to}Closure({x},T)){is hyperconnected}
proof-
           from assms have sub:Closure({x},T) \subseteq \bigcup T using Top_3_L11 by auto
          then have tot:\|\( (T{restricted to}Closure({x},T)) = Closure({x},T) un-
folding RestrictedTo_def by auto
            {
                      assume AS:A \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}Closure(\{x\},T))B \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}Closure(\{x\},T))A \cap B=0
                      then have B\subseteq\bigcup ((T\{restricted\ to\}Closure(\{x\},T)))A\subseteq\bigcup ((T\{restricted\ to\}Closure(\{x\},T))A)A\subseteq\bigcup ((T\{restricted\ to\}Closure(\{x\},T))A)A
to)Closure({x},T)))
                                  by auto
                       with tot have B\subseteq Closure(\{x\},T)A\subseteq Closure(\{x\},T) by auto
                      from AS(1,2) obtain UA UB where UAUB:UA = TUB = TA = UA ∩ Closure({x},T)B = UB ∩ Closure({x},T)
                                  unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
                      then have Closure(\{x\},T)-A=Closure(\{x\},T)-(UA\cap Closure(\{x\},T)) Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure
                      then have Closure(\{x\},T)-A=Closure(\{x\},T)-(UA) Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)-(UB)
                      with sub have Closure(\{x\},T)-A=Closure(\{x\},T)\cap(\bigcup T-UA) Closure(\{x\},T)-B=Closure(\{x\},T)\cap(\bigcup T-UA)
by auto
```

from UAUB have (| |T-UA){is closed in}T(| |T-UB){is closed in}T us-

moreover

```
ing Top_3_L9 by auto
    moreover
    have Closure(\{x\},T)\{is\ closed\ in\}T\ using\ cl_is\_closed\ assms\ by\ auto
    ultimately have (Closure({x},T)-A){is closed in}T(Closure({x},T)-B){is
closed in T
       using Top_3_L5(1) by auto
    moreover
       have x \in Closure(\{x\},T) using cl_contains_set assms by auto
       from AS(3) have x \notin A \lor x \notin B by auto
       ultimately have x \in (Closure(\{x\},T)-A) \lor x \in (Closure(\{x\},T)-B) by auto
    ultimately have Closure(\{x\},T)\subseteq(Closure(\{x\},T)-A) \lor Closure(\{x\},T)\subseteq(Closure(\{x\},T)-B)
       using Top_3_L13 by auto
    then have A \cap Closure(\{x\},T)=0 \lor B \cap Closure(\{x\},T)=0 by auto
     with \  \, \langle B \subseteq Closure(\{x\},T) \rangle \langle A \subseteq Closure(\{x\},T) \rangle \  \, have \  \, A=0 \  \, \forall B=0 \  \, using \  \, cl\_contains\_set 
assms by blast
  then show thesis unfolding IsHConnected_def by auto
qed
A consequence is that every totally-disconnected space is T_1.
lemma (in topology0) tot_dis_imp_T1:
  assumes T{is totally-disconnected}
  shows T\{is T_1\}
proof-
    \mathbf{fix} \times \mathbf{y}
    assume y \in \bigcup Tx \in \bigcup Ty \neq x
    then have (T{\text{restricted to}}Closure({x},T)){\text{is hyperconnected}} us-
ing cl_point_imp_HConn by auto
    then have (T{restricted to}Closure({x},T)){is connected} using HConn_imp_Conn
by auto
    moreover
    from \langle x \in | JT \rangle have Closure(\{x\},T\} \subseteq | JT using Top_3_L11(1) by auto
    moreover
    note assms
    ultimately have Closure(\{x\},T)\{is\ in\ the\ spectrum\ of\}\ IsConnected\ un-
folding IsTotDis_def antiProperty_def
       by auto
    then have Closure(\{x\},T) \lesssim 1 using conn_spectrum by auto
    moreover
    from \langle x \in | JT \rangle have x \in Closure(\{x\},T) using cl_contains_set by auto
    ultimately have Closure({x},T)={x} using lepoll_1_is_sing[of Closure({x},T)
x] by auto
    then have \{x\}\{is closed in\}T using Top_3_L8 (x\in JT) by auto
     then have \bigcup T-\{x\}\in T unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
    moreover
```

```
from \forall y \in \bigcup T \land \forall y \neq x \land \text{have } y \in \bigcup T - \{x\} \land x \notin \bigcup T - \{x\} \text{ by auto}
     ultimately have \exists U \in T. y \in U \land x \notin U by force
  then show thesis unfolding isT1_def by auto
ged
In the literature, there exists a class of spaces called sober spaces; where the
only non-empty closed hyperconnected subspaces are the closures of points
and closures of different singletons are different.
definition IsSober (_{is sober}90)
  where T{is sober} \equiv \forall A \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\}. (A{is closed in}T \land ((T{restricted})
to}A){is hyperconnected})) \longrightarrow (\exists x \in \bigcup T. A=Closure(\{x\},T) \land (\forall y \in \bigcup T. A=Closure(\{y\},T))
\longrightarrow y=x) )
Being sober is weaker than being anti-hyperconnected.
theorem (in topology0) anti_HConn_imp_sober:
  assumes T{is anti-}IsHConnected
  shows T{is sober}
proof-
     fix A assume A \in Pow(|T) - \{0\}A\{is closed in\}T(T\{restricted to\}A)\{is \}
hyperconnected}
     with assms have A{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected unfolding antiProperty_def
     then have A\lesssim1 using HConn_spectrum by auto
     moreover
     with (A \in Pow([]T) - \{0\}) have A \neq 0 by auto
     then obtain x where x \in A by auto
     ultimately have A={x} using lepoll_1_is_sing by auto
     with \langle A\{\text{is closed in}\}T\rangle have \{x\}\{\text{is closed in}\}T by auto
     moreover from \langle x \in A \rangle \langle A \in Pow([]T) - \{0\} \rangle have \{x\} \in Pow([]T) by auto
     ultimately
     have Closure({x},T)={x} unfolding Closure_def ClosedCovers_def by
     with (A=\{x\}) have A=Closure(\{x\},T) by auto
     moreover
       fix y assume y \in \bigcup TA = Closure(\{y\}, T)
       then have {y} \( \subseteq \text{Closure}(\{y\}, T) \) using cl_contains_set by auto
       with \langle A=Closure(\{y\},T)\rangle have y\in A by auto
       with (A=\{x\}) have y=x by auto
     then have \forall y \in \bigcup T. A=Closure(\{y\},T) \longrightarrow y=x by auto
     moreover note \langle \{x\} \in Pow(\bigcup T) \rangle
     ultimately have \exists x \in \bigcup T. A=Closure(\{x\},T)\land (\forall y \in \bigcup T. A=Closure(\{y\},T)
  \rightarrow y=x) by auto
  then show thesis using IsSober_def by auto
qed
```

```
Every sober space is T_0.
lemma (in topology0) sober_imp_T0:
  assumes T{is sober}
  shows T\{is T_0\}
proof-
  {
     fix x y
     assume AS: x \in \bigcup Ty \in \bigcup Tx \neq y \forall U \in T. x \in U \longleftrightarrow y \in U
     from \langle x \in | JT \rangle have clx:Closure(\{x\},T) {is closed in}T using cl_is_closed
     with \langle x \in | JT \rangle have (| JT-Closure(\{x\},T)) \in T using Top_3\_L11(1) unfold-
ing IsClosed_def by auto
     moreover
     from \langle x \in | T \rangle have x \in Closure(\{x\},T) using cl_contains_set by auto
     moreover
     note AS(1,4)
     ultimately have y \notin (\bigcup T\text{-Closure}(\{x\},T)) by auto
     with AS(2) have y \in Closure(\{x\},T) by auto
     with clx have ineq1:Closure(\{y\},T)\subseteqClosure(\{x\},T) using Top_3_L13
by auto
     from \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle have cly:Closure(\{y\},T) {is closed in}T using cl_is_closed
by auto
     with \langle y \in | JT \rangle have (| JT-Closure(\{y\},T)) \in T using Top_3_L11(1) unfold-
ing IsClosed_def by auto
     moreover
     from \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle have y \in Closure(\{y\},T) using cl_contains_set by auto
     moreover
     note AS(2,4)
     ultimately have x\notin(\bigcup T\text{-Closure}(\{y\},T)) by auto
     with AS(1) have x \in Closure(\{y\},T) by auto
     with cly have Closure(\{x\},T) \subseteq Closure(\{y\},T) using Top_3_L13 by auto
     with ineq1 have eq:Closure({x},T)=Closure({y},T) by auto
     have Closure(\{x\},T) \in Pow([]T) - \{0\}  using Top_3 = L11(1)  (x \in []T)  (x \in Closure(\{x\},T)) 
by auto
     moreover note assms clx
     ultimately have \exists t \in \bigcup T. (Closure(\{x\},T) = Closure(\{t\},T) \land (\forall y \in \bigcup T.
Closure(\{x\},T) = Closure(\{y\}, T) \longrightarrow y = t))
        unfolding IsSober_def using cl_point_imp_HConn[OF \langle x \in JT \rangle] by auto
     then obtain t where t_{def:t\in\bigcup TClosure(\{x\},T)} = Closure(\{t\}, T) \forall y\in\bigcup T.
Closure(\{x\},T) = Closure(\{y\}, T) \longrightarrow y = t
        by blast
     with eq have y=t using \langle y \in | JT \rangle by auto
     moreover from t_def \langle x \in \bigcup T \rangle have x=t by blast
     ultimately have y=x by auto
     with \langle x \neq y \rangle have False by auto
  then have \forall x y. x \in \bigcup T \land y \in \bigcup T \land x \neq y \longrightarrow (\exists U \in T. (x \in U \land y \notin U) \lor (y \in U \land x \notin U))
by auto
  then show thesis using isTO_def by auto
```

```
qed
Every T_2 space is anti-hyperconnected.
theorem (in topology0) T2_imp_anti_HConn:
  assumes T\{is T_2\}
  shows T{is anti-}IsHConnected
proof-
  {
    fix TT
    assume TT{is a topology} TT{is hyperconnected}TT{is T2}
      assume []TT=0
      then have UTT≲1 using empty_lepollI by auto
      then have (| JTT) {is in the spectrum of} IsHConnected using HConn_spectrum
by auto
    }
    moreover
      assume []TT≠0
      then obtain x where x \in \bigcup TT by blast
      {
         fix y
         assume y \in \bigcup TTx \neq y
         with \langle TT\{is\ T_2\}\rangle\langle x\in \bigcup TT\rangle obtain U V where U\in TTV\in TTx\in Uy\in VU\cap V=0
unfolding isT2_def by blast
         with (TT{is hyperconnected}) have False using IsHConnected_def
by auto
      with \langle x \in \bigcup TT \rangle have \bigcup TT = \{x\} by auto
      then have UTT≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
      then have UTT≲1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
      then have ([]TT){is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected using HConn_spectrum
by auto
    ultimately have ([]TT){is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected by blast
  then have \forall T. ((T{is a topology}\land(T{is hyperconnected})\land(T{is T<sub>2</sub>}))\longrightarrow
(([]T){is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected))
    by auto
  moreover
  note here_T2
  ultimately
  have \forall T. T(is a topology) \longrightarrow ((T(is T_2))\longrightarrow(T(is anti-)IsHConnected))
using Q_P_imp_Spec[where P=IsHConnected and Q=isT2]
  then show thesis using assms topSpaceAssum by auto
```

Every anti-hyperconnected space is T_1 .

qed

```
theorem anti_HConn_imp_T1:
  assumes T{is anti-}IsHConnected
  shows T\{is T_1\}
proof-
     fix x y
     assume x \in \bigcup Ty \in \bigcup Tx \neq y
        assume AS:\forall U \in T. x \notin U \lor y \in U
        from \langle x \in \bigcup T \rangle \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle have \{x,y\} \in Pow(\bigcup T) by auto
        then have sub:(T{restricted to}{x,y})\subseteq Pow({x,y}) using RestrictedTo_def
by auto
        {
          assume H:U\in T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}\ V\in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\})U\cap V=0
          with AS have x \in U \longrightarrow y \in Ux \in V \longrightarrow y \in V unfolding RestrictedTo_def by
auto
          with H(1,2) sub have x \in U \longrightarrow U = \{x,y\}x \in V \longrightarrow V = \{x,y\} by auto
          with H sub have x \in U \longrightarrow (U = \{x,y\} \land V = 0) x \in V \longrightarrow (V = \{x,y\} \land U = 0) by auto
          then have (x \in U \lor x \in V) \longrightarrow (U = 0 \lor V = 0) by auto
          from sub H have (x\notin U \land x\notin V) \longrightarrow (U=0 \lor V=0) by blast
          ultimately have U=0\V=0 by auto
        then have (T{restricted to}{x,y}){is hyperconnected} unfolding IsHConnected_def
        with assms(\{x,y\} \in Pow(\{J\})) have \{x,y\} \{is in the spectrum of\} IsHConnected
unfolding antiProperty_def
          by auto
        then have \{x,y\} \lesssim 1 using HConn_spectrum by auto
        moreover
        have x \in \{x,y\} by auto
        ultimately have \{x,y\}=\{x\} using lepoll_1_is_sing[of \{x,y\}x] by auto
        moreover
        have y \in \{x,y\} by auto
        ultimately have y \in \{x\} by auto
        then have y=x by auto
        with \langle x \neq y \rangle have False by auto
     then have \exists U \in T. x \in U \land y \notin U by auto
  then show thesis using isT1_def by auto
There is at least one topological space that is T_1, but not anti-hyperconnected.
This space is the cofinite topology on the natural numbers.
lemma Cofinite_not_anti_HConn:
  shows \neg((CoFinite nat){is anti-}IsHConnected) and (CoFinite nat){is
T_1
```

```
proof-
  {
    assume (CoFinite nat){is anti-}IsHConnected
    have [](CoFinite nat)=nat unfolding Cofinite_def using union_cocardinal
by auto
    moreover
    have (CoFinite nat) {restricted to}nat=(CoFinite nat) using subspace_cocardinal
unfolding Cofinite_def
      by auto
    moreover
    have \neg(\text{nat} \prec \text{nat}) by auto
    then have (CoFinite nat){is hyperconnected} using Cofinite_nat_HConn[of
nat] by auto
    ultimately have nat{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected unfolding antiProperty_def
    then have nat 1 using HConn_spectrum by auto
    moreover
    have 1∈nat by auto
    then have 1-nat using n_lesspoll_nat by auto
    ultimately have nat a using lesspoll_trans1 by auto
    then have False by auto
 then show ¬((CoFinite nat){is anti-}IsHConnected) by auto
  show (CoFinite nat){is T<sub>1</sub>} using cocardinal_is_T1 InfCard_nat unfold-
ing Cofinite_def by auto
qed
The join-topology build from the cofinite topology on the natural numbers,
and the excluded set topology on the natural numbers excluding {0,1}; is
just the union of both.
lemma join_top_cofinite_excluded_set:
 shows (joinT {CoFinite nat, ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1})})=(CoFinite nat)∪
ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1})
proof-
 have coftop:(CoFinite nat){is a topology} unfolding Cofinite_def us-
\operatorname{ing} CoCar_is_topology InfCard_nat by auto
 moreover
 have ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1}) {is a topology} using excludedset_is_topology
by auto
 moreover
 have exuni: | | ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1}) = nat using union_excludedset by auto
  have cofuni: [] (CoFinite nat) = nat using union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def
by auto
 ultimately have (joinT {CoFinite nat, ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1})}) = (THE
T. (CoFinite nat)∪ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1}) {is a subbase for} T)
    using joinT_def by auto
```

```
moreover
  have U(CoFinite nat)∈CoFinite nat using CoCar_is_topology[OF InfCard_nat]
unfolding Cofinite_def IsATopology_def
  with cofuni have n:nat∈CoFinite nat by auto
  have Pa:(CoFinite nat)\cupExcludedSet(nat,{0,1}) {is a subbase for}{\bigcupA.
A \in Pow(\{ \cap B. B \in FinPow((CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat, \{0,1\})) \}) \}
     using Top_subbase(2) by auto
  have \{\bigcup A. A \in Pow(\{\bigcap B. B \in FinPow((CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat,\{0,1\}))\})\}=(THE)\}
T. (CoFinite nat)\cupExcludedSet(nat,{0,1}) {is a subbase for} T)
    \mathbf{using} \  \, \mathsf{same\_subbase\_same\_top[where} \  \, \mathsf{B=(CoFinite} \  \, \mathsf{nat}) \cup \mathsf{ExcludedSet(nat,\{0,1\})} \,,
OF _ Pa] the_equality[where a={\bigcup A. A\inPow({\bigcap B. B\inFinPow((CoFinite nat)\cupExcludedSet(nat,{0})
and P=\lambda T. ((CoFinite nat)\cupExcludedSet(nat,{0,1})){is a subbase for}T,
       OF Pa] by auto
  ultimately have equal:(joinT {CoFinite nat,ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1})})
=\{ | A. A \in Pow(\{ \cap B. B \in FinPow((CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat, \{0,1\})) \}) \}
    by auto
    fix U assume U \in \{ \bigcup A. A \in Pow(\{ \cap B. B \in FinPow((CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat, \{0,1\})) \} \} \}
    then obtain AU where U=[ JAU and base:AU∈Pow({∩B. B∈FinPow((CoFinite
nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat, \{0,1\}))
       by auto
    have (CoFinite nat)⊆Pow(U(CoFinite nat)) by auto
    have ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1}) \( \) Pow(\| \] ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1})) by auto
    moreover
    note cofuni exuni
    ultimately have sub: (CoFinite nat) ∪ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1}) ⊆Pow(nat)
    from base have \forall S \in AU. S \in \{ \cap B : B \in FinPow((CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat, \{0,1\})) \}
by blast
    then have \forall S \in AU. \exists B \in FinPow((CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat, \{0,1\})).
S = \bigcap B by blast
    then have eq:\forall S \in AU. \exists B \in Pow((CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat, \{0,1\})).
S=\(\Omega\) B unfolding FinPow_def by blast
       fix S assume S∈AU
       with eq obtain B where B∈Pow((CoFinite nat)∪ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1}))S=∩B
       with sub have B∈Pow(Pow(nat)) by auto
       {
         fix x assume x \in \bigcap B
         then have \forall N \in B. x \in NB \neq 0 by auto
         with \langle B \in Pow(Pow(nat)) \rangle have x \in nat by blast
       with \langle S=\bigcap B \rangle have S\in Pow(nat) by auto
    then have \forall S \in AU. S \in Pow(nat) by blast
     with ⟨U=( JAU⟩ have U∈Pow(nat) by auto
```

```
{
       assume 0 \in U \lor 1 \in U
       with \langle U=\bigcup AU \rangle obtain S where S \in AU0 \in S \lor 1 \in S by auto
       with base obtain BS where S=∩BS and bsbase:BS∈FinPow((CoFinite
nat)∪ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1})) by auto
       with \langle 0 \in S \lor 1 \in S \rangle have \forall M \in BS. 0 \in M \lor 1 \in M by auto
       then have ∀M∈BS. M∉ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1})-{nat} unfolding ExcludedPoint_def
ExcludedSet_def by auto
       moreover
       note bsbase n
       ultimately have BS∈FinPow(CoFinite nat) unfolding FinPow_def by
auto
       moreover
       from \langle 0 \in S \lor 1 \in S \rangle have S \neq 0 by auto
       with \langle S=\bigcap BS \rangle have BS\neq 0 by auto
       moreover
       note coftop
       ultimately have \(\Omega BS \in CoFinite\) nat using topology0.fin_inter_open_open[OF
topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat]]
          unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
       with \langle S=\bigcap BS \rangle have S\in CoFinite nat by auto
       with \ \langle 0{\in}S \lor 1{\in}S \rangle \ have \ nat-S \prec nat \ unfolding \ \texttt{Cofinite\_def} \ \texttt{CoCardinal\_def}
by auto
       moreover
       from \langle U = \bigcup AU \rangle \langle S \in AU \rangle have S \subseteq U by auto
       then have nat-UCnat-S by auto
       then have nat-U\(\sing\) nat-S using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
       ultimately
       have nat-U≺nat using lesspoll_trans1 by auto
       with (U=Pow(nat)) have U=CoFinite nat unfolding Cofinite_def CoCardinal_def
       with \langle U \in Pow(nat) \rangle have U \in (CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1})
by auto
     with ⟨U∈Pow(nat)⟩ have U∈(CoFinite nat)∪ ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1}) un-
folding ExcludedSet_def by blast
  then have (\{\bigcup A : A \in Pow(\{\bigcap B : B \in FinPow((CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat,\{0,1\}))\})\}
\subseteq (CoFinite nat)\cup ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1})
     by blast
  moreover
  {
     fix U
     assume U∈(CoFinite nat)∪ ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1})
     then have \{U\}\in FinPow((CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat,\{0,1\})) un-
folding FinPow_def by auto
     then have \{U\} \in Pow(\{ \cap B : B \in FinPow((CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat, \{0,1\})) \})
by blast
    moreover
```

```
have U=\{\{U\}\} by auto
    ultimately have U \in \{\bigcup A : A \in Pow(\{\bigcap B : B \in FinPow((CoFinite nat))\}\}
∪ ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1}))})} by blast
  then have (CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1}) \subseteq {| ]A . A \in Pow({\cap B
. B \in FinPow((CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat, \{0,1\}))))
    by auto
  ultimately have (CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1}) = {\bigcup A : A \in Pow(\{ \cap B \})
. B ∈ FinPow((CoFinite nat) ∪ ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1}))})
    by auto
  with equal show thesis by auto
The previous topology in not T_2, but is anti-hyperconnected.
theorem join_Cofinite_ExclPoint_not_T2:
  shows
     \neg((joinT {CoFinite nat, ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1})}){is T<sub>2</sub>}) and
     (joinT {CoFinite nat, ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1})}) {is anti-} IsHConnected
  have (CoFinite nat) \subseteq (CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1}) by auto
  have []((CoFinite nat)∪ ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1}))=([](CoFinite nat))∪
(| | ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1}))
    by auto
  moreover
  have ...=nat unfolding Cofinite_def using union_cocardinal union_excludedset
  ultimately have tot: | ((CoFinite nat) ∪ ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1})) = nat by
auto
    assume (joinT {CoFinite nat,ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1})}) {is T<sub>2</sub>}
    then have t2:((CoFinite nat)\cup ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1})){is T<sub>2</sub>} using
join_top_cofinite_excluded_set
       by auto
     with tot have \exists U \in ((CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat, \{0,1\})). \exists V \in ((CoFinite nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat, \{0,1\})).
nat) \cup ExcludedSet(nat,\{0,1\})). 0 \in U \land 1 \in V \land U \cap V = 0  using isT2_def by auto
    then obtain U V where U \in (CoFinite nat) \lor (0 \notin U\land1\notinU)V \in (CoFinite
nat) \lor (0 \notin V \land 1 \notin V) 0 \in U1 \in VU \cap V=0
       unfolding ExcludedSet_def by auto
    then have U \in (CoFinite nat) V \in (CoFinite nat) by auto
    with \langle 0 \in U \rangle \langle 1 \in V \rangle have U \cap V \neq 0 using Cofinite_nat_HConn IsHConnected_def
    with \langle U \cap V = 0 \rangle have False by auto
  then show \neg((joinT \{CoFinite nat,ExcludedSet(nat,\{0,1\})\})\{is T_2\}) by
auto
    fix A assume AS:A∈Pow(()((CoFinite nat)∪ ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1})))(((CoFinite
nat)∪ ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1})){restricted to}A){is hyperconnected}
     with tot have A∈Pow(nat) by auto
```

```
then have sub:A∩nat=A by auto
    have ((CoFinite nat)∪ ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1})){restricted to}A=((CoFinite
nat){restricted to}A)\cup (ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1}){restricted to}A)
      unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
    also from sub have ...=(CoFinite A) UExcludedSet(A, {0,1}) using subspace_excludedset[ofn
subspace_cocardinal[of natnatA] unfolding Cofinite_def
      by auto
    finally have ((CoFinite nat)∪ ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1})){restricted to}A=(CoFinite
A)\cupExcludedSet(A,{0,1}) by auto
    with AS(2) have eq:((CoFinite A)∪ExcludedSet(A,{0,1})){is hyperconnected}
by auto
    {
      assume \{0,1\} \cap A=0
      then have (CoFinite A) UExcludedSet(A, {0,1}) = Pow(A) using empty_excludedset[of
{0,1}A] unfolding Cofinite_def CoCardinal_def
      with eq have Pow(A){is hyperconnected} by auto
      then have Pow(A){is connected} using HConn_imp_Conn by auto
      have Pow(A){is anti-}IsConnected using discrete_tot_dis unfold-
ing IsTotDis_def by auto
      moreover
      have \bigcup (Pow(A)) \in Pow(\bigcup (Pow(A))) by auto
      have Pow(A){restricted to}[](Pow(A))=Pow(A) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by blast
      ultimately have (| | (Pow(A))) {is in the spectrum of} IsConnected un-
folding antiProperty_def
        by auto
      then have A{is in the spectrum of}IsConnected by auto
      then have A\sing conn_spectrum by auto
      then have A{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected using HConn_spectrum
by auto
    }
    moreover
    {
      assume AS:\{0,1\}\cap A\neq 0
        assume A=\{0\} \lor A=\{1\}
        then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
        then have A\lesssim1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
        then have A{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected using HConn_spectrum
by auto
      }
      moreover
        assume AS2:\neg(A=\{0\}\vee A=\{1\})
          assume AS3:A\subseteq\{0,1\}
```

```
with AS AS2 have A_def:A={0,1} by blast
                        then have ExcludedSet(A, {0,1}) = ExcludedSet(A, A) by auto
                        moreover have ExcludedSet(A,A)={0,A} unfolding ExcludedSet_def
by blast
                        ultimately have ExcludedSet(A, {0,1})={0,A} by auto
                        moreover
                        have 0 \in (CoFinite A) using empty_open[of CoFinite A]
                             CoCar_is_topology[OF InfCard_nat,of A] unfolding Cofinite_def
by auto
                        moreover
                        have [](CoFinite A)=A using union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def
by auto
                        then have A∈(CoFinite A) using CoCar_is_topology[OF InfCard_nat,of
A] unfolding Cofinite_def
                              IsATopology_def by auto
                        ultimately have (CoFinite A) UExcludedSet(A, {0,1}) = (CoFinite
A) by auto
                        with eq have(CoFinite A){is hyperconnected} by auto
                        with A_def have hyp:(CoFinite {0,1}){is hyperconnected} by
auto
                        have \{0\}\approx 1\{1\}\approx 1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
                        moreover
                        have 1-\tau using n_lesspoll_nat by auto
                        ultimately have {0}<nat{1}<nat using eq_lesspoll_trans by auto
                        moreover
                        have \{0,1\}-\{1\}=\{0\}\{0,1\}-\{0\}=\{1\} by auto
                        ultimately have \{1\} \in (\text{CoFinite } \{0,1\}) \{0\} \in (\text{CoFinite } \{0,1\}) \{1\} \cap \{0\} = 0
unfolding Cofinite_def CoCardinal_def
                             by auto
                        with hyp have False unfolding IsHConnected_def by auto
                   then obtain t where t \in A t \neq 0 t \neq 1 by auto
                   then have {t}∈ExcludedSet(A,{0,1}) unfolding ExcludedSet_def
by auto
                   moreover
                        have {t}≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
                        have 1-nat using n_lesspoll_nat by auto
                        ultimately have \{t\}\prec nat using eq_lesspoll_trans by auto
                        moreover
                        with \langle t \in A \rangle have A-(A-\{t\})=\{t\} by auto
                        ultimately have A-{t}∈(CoFinite A) unfolding Cofinite_def CoCardinal_def
                              by auto
                   ultimately have \{t\} \in ((CoFinite\ A) \cup ExcludedSet(A,\{0,1\})) A - \{t\} \in ((CoFinite\ A
A) \cup ExcludedSet(A, \{0,1\}))
                         \{t\}\cap (A-\{t\})=0 by auto
                   with eq have A-{t}=0 unfolding IsHConnected_def by auto
```

```
with \langle t \in A \rangle have A={t} by auto
        then have Approx 1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
        then have A≤1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
        then have A{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected using HConn_spectrum
by auto
      ultimately have A{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected by auto
    ultimately have A{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected by auto
  then have ((CoFinite nat)∪ExcludedSet(nat,{0,1})){is anti-}IsHConnected
unfolding antiProperty_def
    by auto
  then show (joinT {CoFinite nat, ExcludedSet(nat, {0,1})}) {is anti-}IsHConnected
using join_top_cofinite_excluded_set
    by auto
qed
Let's show that anti-hyperconnected is in fact T_1 and sober. The trick of
the proof lies in the fact that if a subset is hyperconnected, its closure is so
too (the closure of a point is then always hyperconnected because singletons
are in the spectrum); since the closure is closed, we can apply the sober
property on it.
theorem (in topology0) T1_sober_imp_anti_HConn:
  assumes T\{is T_1\} and T\{is sober\}
 shows T{is anti-}IsHConnected
proof-
    fix A assume AS:A∈Pow([]T)(T{restricted to}A){is hyperconnected}
    {
      assume A=0
      then have A≲1 using empty_lepollI by auto
      then have A{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected using HConn_spectrum
by auto
    moreover
      assume A≠0
      then obtain x where x \in A by blast
        assume ¬((T{restricted to}Closure(A,T)){is hyperconnected})
        then obtain U V where UV_def:UE(T{restricted to}Closure(A,T))VE(T{restricted
to \Closure(A,T))
          U∩V=0U≠0V≠0 using IsHConnected_def by auto
        then obtain UCA VCA where UCA∈TVCA∈TU=UCA∩Closure(A,T)V=VCA∩Closure(A,T)
          unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
        from (A \in Pow([]T)) have A \subseteq Closure(A,T) using cl_contains_set by
auto
        then have UCA \cap A \subseteq UCA \cap Closure(A,T) VCA \cap A \subseteq VCA \cap Closure(A,T) by auto
```

```
with \langle U=UCA\cap Closure(A,T)\rangle\langle V=VCA\cap Closure(A,T)\rangle\langle U\cap V=0\rangle have (UCA\cap A)\cap (VCA\cap A)=0
by auto
                                                   moreover
                                                   \mathbf{from} \  \  \langle \mathtt{UCA} \in \mathtt{T} \rangle \langle \mathtt{VCA} \in \mathtt{T} \rangle \  \, \mathbf{have} \  \, \mathtt{UCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathtt{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})} \  \, \mathsf{VCA} \cap \mathtt{A} \in (\mathtt{T\{restricted} \  \, \mathsf{to}\}\mathtt{A})
to}A)
                                                                unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
                                                   moreover
                                                   note AS(2)
                                                   ultimately have UCA \cap A=0 \times VCA \cap A=0 using IsHConnected_def by auto
                                                   with (A\subseteq Closure(A,T)) have A\subseteq Closure(A,T)-UCA \lor A\subseteq Closure(A,T)-VCA
by auto
                                                   moreover
                                                               \textbf{have } \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) - \texttt{UCA} = \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) \cap ( \bigcup \texttt{T} - \texttt{UCA}) \\ \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) - \texttt{VCA} = \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) \cap ( \bigcup \texttt{T} - \texttt{VCA}) \\ \textbf{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) - \texttt{VCA} = \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) \cap ( \bigcup \texttt{T} - \texttt{VCA}) \\ \textbf{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) - \texttt{VCA} = \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) \cap ( \bigcup \texttt{T} - \texttt{VCA}) \\ \textbf{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) - \texttt{VCA} = \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) \cap ( \bigcup \texttt{T} - \texttt{VCA}) \\ \textbf{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) - \texttt{VCA} = \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) \cap ( \bigcup \texttt{T} - \texttt{VCA}) \\ \textbf{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) - \texttt{VCA} = \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) \cap ( \bigcup \texttt{T} - \texttt{VCA}) \\ \textbf{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) - \texttt{VCA} = \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) \cap ( \bigcup \texttt{T} - \texttt{VCA}) \\ \textbf{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) - \texttt{VCA} = \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) \cap ( \bigcup \texttt{T} - \texttt{VCA}) \\ \textbf{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) - \texttt{VCA} = \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) \cap ( \bigcup \texttt{T} - \texttt{VCA}) \\ \textbf{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) - \texttt{VCA} = \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) \cap ( \bigcup \texttt{T} - \texttt{VCA}) \\ \textbf{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) - \texttt{VCA} = \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) \cap ( \bigcup \texttt{T} - \texttt{VCA}) \\ \textbf{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) - \texttt{VCA} = \texttt{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) \cap ( \bigcup \texttt{T} - \texttt{VCA}) \\ \textbf{Closure}(\texttt{A},\texttt{T}) \cap ( \bigcup \texttt{T
                                                                             using Top_3_L11(1) AS(1) by auto
                                                                moreover
                                                                 with \langle UCA \in T \rangle \langle VCA \in T \rangle have ([]T-UCA){is closed in}T([]T-VCA){is
closed in}TClosure(A,T){is closed in}T
                                                                            using Top_3_L9 cl_is_closed AS(1) by auto
                                                               ultimately have (Closure(A,T)-UCA)(is closed in)T(Closure(A,T)-VCA)(is
closed in T
                                                                             using Top_3_L5(1) by auto
                                                   ultimately
                                                   have Closure(A,T)\subseteq Closure(A,T)-UCA\lor Closure(A,T)\subseteq Closure(A,T)-VCA
using Top_3_L13
                                                   then have UCA\cap Closure(A,T)=0 \lor VCA\cap Closure(A,T)=0 by auto
                                                   with \langle U=UCA\cap Closure(A,T)\rangle\langle V=VCA\cap Closure(A,T)\rangle have U=0 \lor V=0 by
auto
                                                   with \langle U \neq 0 \rangle \langle V \neq 0 \rangle have False by auto
                                      then have (T{restricted to}Closure(A,T)){is hyperconnected} by
auto
                                      moreover
                                      have Closure(A,T){is closed in}T using cl_is_closed AS(1) by auto
                                      from (x \in A) have Closure(A,T)\neq 0 using cl_contains_set AS(1) by auto
                                      moreover
                                      from AS(1) have Closure(A,T)\subseteq\bigcup T using Top_3\_L11(1) by auto
                                      ultimately have Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(\bigcup T) - \{0\} (T \{restricted to\} Closure(A,T) \in Pow(
T)){is hyperconnected} Closure(A, T) {is closed in} T
                                                   by auto
                                      moreover note assms(2)
                                      ultimately have \exists x \in \bigcup T. (Closure(A,T)=Closure(\{x\},T)\land (\forall y \in \bigcup T.
Closure(A,T) = Closure(\{y\}, T) \longrightarrow y = x)) unfolding IsSober_def
                                       then obtain y where y \in ||TClosure(A,T)=Closure(\{y\},T)|| by auto
                                      moreover
```

```
fix z assume z \in (| JT) - \{y\}
          with assms(1) \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle obtain U where U \in T z \in U y \notin U using is T1_def
by blast
          then have U \in T z \in U U \subseteq (| T) - \{y\} by auto
          then have \exists U \in T. z \in U \land U \subseteq (\bigcup T) - \{y\} by auto
       then have \forall z \in ([\ ]T) - \{y\}. \exists U \in T. z \in U \land U \subseteq ([\ ]T) - \{y\} by auto
       then have \bigcup T-\{y\}\in T using open_neigh_open by auto
       with \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle have \{y\} {is closed in}T using IsClosed_def by auto
       with \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle have Closure(\{y\},T)=\{y\} using Top_3_L8 by auto
       with \langle Closure(A,T) = Closure(\{y\},T) \rangle have Closure(A,T) = \{y\} by auto
       with AS(1) have A\subseteq{y} using cl_contains_set[of A] by auto
       with (A \neq 0) have A = \{y\} by auto
       then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
       then have A\le 1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
       then have A{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected using HConn_spectrum
by auto
     ultimately have A{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected by blast
  then show thesis using antiProperty_def by auto
qed
theorem (in topology0) anti_HConn_iff_T1_sober:
  shows (T{is anti-}IsHConnected) \longleftrightarrow (T{is sober}\landT{is T<sub>1</sub>})
  using T1_sober_imp_anti_HConn anti_HConn_imp_T1 anti_HConn_imp_sober
by auto
A space is ultraconnected iff every two non-empty closed sets meet.
definition IsUConnected (_{is ultraconnected}80)
  where T{is ultraconnected} \equiv \forall A B. A{is closed in}T\landB{is closed in}T\landA\capB=0
\longrightarrow A=0\lorB=0
Every ultraconnected space is trivially normal.
lemma (in topology0)UConn_imp_normal:
  assumes T{is ultraconnected}
  shows T{is normal}
proof-
  {
     assume AS:A{is closed in}T B{is closed in}TA\capB=0
     with assms have A=0VB=0 using IsUConnected_def by auto
     with AS(1,2) have (A\subseteq 0 \land B\subseteq \bigcup T) \lor (A\subseteq \bigcup T \land B\subseteq 0) unfolding IsClosed_def
by auto
     moreover
     have 0∈T using empty_open topSpaceAssum by auto
     have \bigcup T \in T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
```

```
ultimately have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. A \subseteq U \land B \subseteq V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
  }
  then show thesis unfolding IsNormal_def by auto
Every ultraconnected space is connected.
lemma UConn_imp_Conn:
  assumes T{is ultraconnected}
  shows T{is connected}
proof-
  {
    fix U V
    assume U \in TU\{is closed in\}T
    then have []T-([]T-U)=U by auto
    with (U \in T) have (\bigcup T - U) (is closed in)T unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
    with (U(is closed in)T) assms have U=0\/[]T-U=0 unfolding IsUConnected_def
    with \langle U \in T \rangle have U = 0 \lor U = \bigcup T by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsConnected_def by auto
qed
lemma UConn_spectrum:
  shows (A{is in the spectrum of}IsUConnected) \longleftrightarrow A\lesssim1
  assume A_spec:(A{is in the spectrum of}IsUConnected)
    assume A=0
    then have A\less1 using empty_lepollI by auto
  moreover
    assume A≠0
    from A_spec have \forall T. (T{is a topology}\land \bigcup T \approx A) \longrightarrow (T{is ultraconnected})
unfolding Spec_def by auto
    moreover
    have Pow(A){is a topology} using Pow_is_top by auto
    moreover
    have \bigcup Pow(A)=A by auto
    then have [JPow(A)≈A by auto
    ultimately have ult:Pow(A){is ultraconnected} by auto
    moreover
    from (A \neq 0) obtain b where beA by auto
    then have {b}{is closed in}Pow(A) unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
      fix c
      assume c∈Ac≠b
      then have \{c\}\{is\ closed\ in\}Pow(A)\{c\}\cap\{b\}=0\ unfolding\ IsClosed\_def
by auto
```

```
with ult ({b}{is closed in}Pow(A)) have False using IsUConnected_def
by auto
    with \langle b \in A \rangle have A={b} by auto
    then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
    then have A\lesssim 1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
  ultimately show A≲1 by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume A≲1
  {
    fix T
    assume T{is a topology}\bigcup T \approx A
       assume []T=0
       with (T{is a topology}) have T={0} using empty_open by auto
       then have T{is ultraconnected} unfolding IsUConnected_def IsClosed_def
by auto
    }
    moreover
       assume \bigcup T \neq 0
       moreover
       from \langle A \lesssim 1 \rangle \langle \bigcup T \approx A \rangle have \bigcup T \lesssim 1 using eq_lepoll_trans by auto
       ultimately
       obtain E where eq: ( ) T={E} using lepoll_1_is_sing by blast
       moreover
       have T\subseteq Pow(\bigcup T) by auto
       ultimately have T\subseteq Pow(\{E\}) by auto
       then have T\subseteq\{0,\{E\}\}\ by blast
       with \langle T\{\text{is a topology}\}\rangle have \{0\}\subseteq T T\subseteq \{0, \{E\}\}\} using empty_open by
auto
       then have T{is ultraconnected} unfolding IsUConnected_def IsClosed_def
by (simp only: eq, safe, force)
    ultimately have T{is ultraconnected} by auto
  then show A{is in the spectrum of}IsUConnected unfolding Spec_def by
auto
qed
This time, anti-ultraconnected is an old property.
theorem (in topology0) anti_UConn:
  \mathbf{shows} \text{ (T\{is anti-\}IsUConnected)} \ \longleftrightarrow \ T\{is \ T_1\}
  assume T\{is T_1\}
    fix TT
```

```
assume TT{is a topology}TT{is T<sub>1</sub>}TT{is ultraconnected}
         assume ∪TT=0
         then have | | TT\sim 1 using empty_lepollI by auto
         then have ((| JTT) {is in the spectrum of} Is UConnected) using UConn_spectrum
by auto
       moreover
         assume \bigcup TT \neq 0
         then obtain t where t \in \bigcup TT by blast
         {
           fix x
           assume p:x∈[ ]TT
              fix y assume y \in (||TT) - \{x\}
              with \langle TT\{is T_1\} \rangle p obtain U where U\in TT y \in U x \notin U using is T1_def
by blast
              then have U \in TT \ y \in U \ U \subseteq (\bigcup TT) - \{x\} by auto
              then have \exists U \in TT. y \in U \land U \subseteq (\bigcup TT) - \{x\} by auto
           then have \forall y \in (\bigcup TT) - \{x\}. \exists U \in TT. y \in U \land U \subseteq (\bigcup TT) - \{x\} by auto
           with ⟨TT{is a topology}⟩ have UTT-{x}∈TT using topology0.open_neigh_open
unfolding topology0_def by auto
           with p have {x} {is closed in}TT using IsClosed_def by auto
         then have reg:\forall x \in [\ ]TT. \{x\}\{is closed in\}TT by auto
         fix y
           assume y∈[ ]TT
           with reg have {y}{is closed in}TT by auto
           with (TT{is ultraconnected}) t_cl have y=t unfolding IsUConnected_def
by auto
         with \langle t \in | JTT \rangle have | JTT = \{t\} by blast
         then have []TT≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
         then have []TT\foints1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
         then have (| | TT) {is in the spectrum of } IsUConnected using UConn_spectrum
by auto
       ultimately have (UTT) (is in the spectrum of) IsUConnected by blast
    then have (TT{is a topology}\landTT{is T<sub>1</sub>}\land(TT{is ultraconnected}))\longrightarrow
((∪TT){is in the spectrum of}IsUConnected)
       by auto
  then have \forall TT. (TT{is a topology}\land TT{is T_1}\land (TT{is ultraconnected}))\longrightarrow
(([]TT){is in the spectrum of}IsUConnected)
```

```
by auto
  moreover
  note here_T1
  ultimately have \forall T. T{is a topology} \longrightarrow ((T{is T<sub>1</sub>})\longrightarrow(T{is anti-}IsUConnected))
using Q_P_imp_Spec[where Q=isT1 and P=IsUConnected]
  with topSpaceAssum have (T{is T_1})\longrightarrow(T{is anti-}IsUConnected) by auto
   with \langle T\{is T_1\} \rangle show T\{is anti-\}IsUConnected by auto-
  assume ASS:T{is anti-}IsUConnected
   {
     \mathbf{fix} \times \mathbf{y}
     \mathbf{assume} \ x \in \bigcup Ty \in \bigcup Tx \neq y
     then have tot: \[ \] (T{restricted to}{\x,y})={\x,y} unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
     {
        assume AS:\forall U \in T. x \in U \longrightarrow y \in U
           assume {y}{is closed in}(T{restricted to}{x,y})
           \mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{x} \neq \mathtt{y} \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \{\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}\} - \{\mathtt{y}\} = \{\mathtt{x}\} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
           ultimately have \{x\} \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}) unfolding IsClosed_def
by (simp only:tot)
           then obtain U where U \in T\{x\} = \{x,y\} \cap U unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
           moreover
           with \langle x \neq y \rangle have y \notin \{x\} y \in \{x,y\} by (blast+)
           with \langle \{x\}=\{x,y\}\cap U \rangle have y\notin U by auto
           moreover have x \in \{x\} by auto
           with \langle \{x\}=\{x,y\}\cap U \rangle have x\in U by auto
           ultimately have x∈Uy∉UU∈T by auto
           with AS have False by auto
        then have y_{no_cl:\neg(\{y\}\{is\ closed\ in\}(T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\}))} by
auto
           fix A B
           assume cl:A{is closed in}(T{restricted to}{x,y})B{is closed in}(T{restricted
to\{x,y\})A\\\B=0
           with tot have A\subseteq\{x,y\}B\subseteq\{x,y\}A\cap B=0 unfolding IsClosed_def by
auto
           then have x \in A \longrightarrow x \notin By \in A \longrightarrow y \notin BA \subseteq \{x,y\}B \subseteq \{x,y\} by auto
              assume x \in A
              with \langle x \in A \longrightarrow x \notin B \rangle \langle B \subseteq \{x,y\} \rangle have B \subseteq \{y\} by auto
              then have B=0\lor B=\{y\} by auto
              with y_no_cl cl(2) have B=0 by auto
           }
           moreover
```

```
assume x∉A
           with \langle A \subseteq \{x,y\} \rangle have A \subseteq \{y\} by auto
           then have A=0 \lor A=\{y\} by auto
            with y_no_cl cl(1) have A=0 by auto
         ultimately have A=0\lorB=0 by auto
       then have (T{restricted to}{x,y}){is ultraconnected} unfolding IsUConnected_def
by auto
       with ASS \langle x \in \bigcup T \rangle \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle have \{x,y\} is in the spectrum of \} IsUConnected
unfolding antiProperty_def
         by auto
       then have \{x,y\} \lesssim 1 using UConn_spectrum by auto
       moreover have x \in \{x,y\} by auto
       ultimately have \{x\}=\{x,y\} using lepoll_1_is_sing[of \{x,y\}x] by auto
       moreover
       have y \in \{x,y\} by auto
       ultimately have y \in \{x\} by auto
       then have y=x by auto
       then have False using \langle x \neq y \rangle by auto
    then have \exists U \in T. x \in U \land y \notin U by auto
  then show T\{is T_1\} unfolding isT1\_def by auto
qed
Is is natural that separation axioms and connection axioms are anti-properties
of each other; as the concepts of connectedness and separation are opposite.
To end this section, let's try to charaterize anti-sober spaces.
lemma sober_spectrum:
  shows (A{is in the spectrum of}IsSober) \longleftrightarrow A\lesssim1
  assume AS:A{is in the spectrum of}IsSober
    assume A=0
    then have A\less1 using empty_lepollI by auto
  moreover
    assume A \neq 0
    note AS
    moreover
    have top:{0,A}{is a topology} unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
    moreover
    have \{\ \ \ \ \} = A by auto
    then have \bigcup \{0,A\} \approx A by auto
    ultimately have {0,A}{is sober} using Spec_def by auto
```

```
moreover
     have {0,A}{is hyperconnected} using Indiscrete_HConn by auto
     moreover
     have {0,A}{restricted to}A={0,A} unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
     have A{is closed in}{0,A} unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
     moreover
     note \langle A \neq 0 \rangle
     ultimately have \exists x \in A. A=Closure(\{x\}, \{0,A\})\land (\forall y \in \bigcup \{0,A\}. A = Closure(\{y\},
\{0, A\}) \longrightarrow y = x) unfolding IsSober_def by auto
     then obtain x where x \in A A=Closure({x},{0,A}) and reg:\forall y \in A. A = Closure({y},
\{0, A\}) \longrightarrow y = x by auto
     {
       fix y assume y \in A
       with top have Closure({y},{0,A}){is closed in}{0,A} using topology0.cl_is_closed
          topology0_def by auto
       moreover
       from (y \in A) top have y \in Closure(\{y\}, \{0,A\}) using topology0.cl_contains_set
          topology0_def by auto
       ultimately have A-Closure(\{y\},\{0,A\})\in \{0,A\}Closure(\{y\},\{0,A\})\cap A \neq 0
unfolding IsClosed_def
          by auto
       then have A-Closure({y},{0,A})=A \lor A-Closure({y},{0,A})=0
          by auto
       moreover
        \mathbf{from} \  \  \langle y \in \mathtt{A} \rangle \langle y \in \mathtt{Closure}(\{y\}, \{\mathtt{0},\mathtt{A}\}) \rangle \  \, \mathbf{have} \  \, y \in \mathtt{A} y \notin \mathtt{A} - \mathtt{Closure}(\{y\}, \{\mathtt{0},\mathtt{A}\}) ) 
       ultimately have A-Closure({y},{0,A})=0 by (cases A-Closure({y},{0,A})=A,
simp, auto)
       moreover
       from \langle y \in A \rangle top have Closure(\{y\},\{0,A\}\}\subseteq A using topology0_def topology0.Top_3_L11(1)
by blast
       then have A-(A-Closure(\{y\},\{0,A\}))=Closure(\{y\},\{0,A\}) by auto
       ultimately have A=Closure({y},{0,A}) by auto
     with reg have \forall y \in A. x=y by auto
     with \langle x \in A \rangle have A = \{x\} by blast
     then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
     then have A\le 1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
  ultimately show A≲1 by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume A\le 1
     fix T assume T{is a topology}\bigcup T \approx A
     {
       assume | |T=0
       then have T{is sober} unfolding IsSober_def by auto
     }
```

```
moreover
     {
       assume \bigcup T \neq 0
       then obtain x where x \in \bigcup T by blast
       from \langle \bigcup T \approx A \rangle \langle A \lesssim 1 \rangle have \bigcup T \lesssim 1 using eq_lepoll_trans by auto
       ultimately have UT={x} using lepoll_1_is_sing by auto
       moreover
       have T\subseteq Pow(\bigcup T) by auto
       ultimately have T\subseteq Pow(\{x\}) by auto
       then have T\subseteq\{0,\{x\}\}\ by blast
       from ⟨T{is a topology}⟩ have 0∈T using empty_open by auto
       moreover
       from (T{is a topology}) have []TET unfolding IsATopology_def by
auto
       with \langle \bigcup T = \{x\} \rangle have \{x\} \in T by auto
       ultimately have T_def:T={0,{x}} by auto
       then have dd:Pow([]T)-\{0\}=\{\{x\}\}\ by auto
          fix B assume B \in Pow(| JT) - \{0\}
          with dd have B_def:B={x} by auto
          from (T{is a topology}) have (UT){is closed in}T using topology0_def
topology0.Top_3_L1
            by auto
          with \langle | T=\{x\} \rangle \langle T\{is \ a \ topology\} \rangle have Closure(\{x\},T)=\{x\} using
topology0.Top_3_L8
            unfolding topology0_def by auto
          with B_def have B=Closure({x},T) by auto
          moreover
            fix y assume y \in \bigcup T
            with \langle \bigcup T = \{x\} \rangle have y=x by auto
          then have (\forall y \in \bigcup T. B = Closure(\{y\}, T) \longrightarrow y = x) by auto
          moreover note \langle x \in | JT \rangle
          ultimately have (\exists x \in \bigcup T. B = Closure(\{x\}, T) \land (\forall y \in \bigcup T. B = Closure(\{y\}, T))
T) \longrightarrow y = x))
            by auto
       then have T{is sober} unfolding IsSober_def by auto
     ultimately have T{is sober} by blast
  then show A {is in the spectrum of} IsSober unfolding Spec_def by auto
qed
theorem (in topology0)anti_sober:
  shows (T{is anti-}IsSober) \longleftrightarrow T={0,||T}
```

```
proof
       assume T=\{0,\bigcup T\}
                fix A assume A∈Pow(| JT)(T{restricted to}A){is sober}
                        assume A=0
                        then have A≲1 using empty_lepollI by auto
                        then have A{is in the spectrum of}IsSober using sober_spectrum
by auto
                }
               moreover
                {
                        assume A \neq 0
                        have \bigcup T \in \{0, \bigcup T\} \in \{0, \bigcup T\} by auto
                        with \langle T=\{0, | JT\} \rangle have (| JT) \in T 0 \in T by auto
                        with (A∈Pow(| |T)) have {0,A}⊂(T{restricted to}A) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
                        moreover
                        have \forall B \in \{0, | JT\}. B=0\forall B = | JT by auto
                        with \langle T=\{0,||T\}\rangle have \forall B\in T. B=0 \lor B=||T| by auto
                         with \  \, \langle A \in Pow(\bigcup T) \rangle \  \, have \  \, T\{restricted \  \, to\} \\ A \subseteq \{0,A\} \  \, unfolding \  \, Restricted \\ To\_def \  \, def \
by auto
                        ultimately have top_def:T{restricted to}A={0,A} by auto
                        moreover
                        have A{is closed in}{0,A} unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
                        moreover
                        have {0,A}{is hyperconnected} using Indiscrete_HConn by auto
                        moreover
                        from \ (A \in Pow(\bigcup T)) \ have \ (T\{restricted\ to\}A)\{restricted\ to\}A=T\{restricted\ to\}A
to}A using subspace_of_subspace[of AAT]
                               by auto
                        moreover
                        note \langle A \neq 0 \rangle \langle A \in Pow(\bigcup T) \rangle
                        ultimately have A 

Pow(U(T{restricted to}A))-{0}A{is closed in}(T{restricted to}A))
to}A)((T{restricted to}A){restricted to}A){is hyperconnected}
                        with \langle (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A)\{\text{is sober}\}\rangle \text{ have } \exists x \in \bigcup (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A).
A=Closure(\{x\},T\{restricted\ to\}A) \land (\forall\ y\in \bigcup T\{restricted\ to\}A). A=Closure(\{y\},T\{restricted\ to\}A)
to}A) \longrightarrow y=x)
                                unfolding IsSober_def by auto
                        with top_def have \exists x \in A. A=Closure(\{x\},\{0,A\})\land (\forall y \in A. A=Closure(\{y\},\{0,A\})
\rightarrow y=x) by auto
                        then obtain x where x \in AA = Closure(\{x\}, \{0,A\}) and reg: \forall y \in A. A = Closure(\{y\}, \{0,A\})
  \longrightarrow y=x \mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} auto
                                fix y assume y \in A
                                from ⟨A≠0⟩ have top:{0,A}{is a topology} using indiscrete_ptopology[of
A] indiscrete_partition[of A] Ptopology_is_a_topology(1)[of {A}A]
                                       by auto
```

```
with (y \in A) have Closure(\{y\}, \{0,A\}) (is closed in) \{0,A\} using topology0.cl_is_closed
                                topology0_def by auto
                         moreover
                         from (y \in A) top have y \in Closure(\{y\}, \{0, A\}) using topology0.cl_contains_set
                                topology0_def by auto
                         ultimately have A-Closure({y},{0,A})\in{0,A}Closure({y},{0,A})\capA\neq0
unfolding IsClosed_def
                                by auto
                         then have A-Closure({y},{0,A})=A \lor A-Closure({y},{0,A})=0
                               by auto
                         moreover
                         from \langle y \in A \rangle \langle y \in Closure(\{y\}, \{0,A\}) \rangle have y \in Ay \notin A-Closure(\{y\}, \{0,A\})
                         ultimately have A-Closure({y},{0,A})=0 by (cases A-Closure({y},{0,A})=A,
simp, auto)
                         moreover
                         from \langle y \in A \rangle top have Closure(\{y\},\{0,A\})\subseteq A using topology0_def
topology0.Top_3_L11(1) by blast
                         then have A-(A-Closure(\{y\},\{0,A\}))=Closure(\{y\},\{0,A\}) by auto
                         ultimately have A=Closure({y},{0,A}) by auto
                   }
                   with reg \langle x \in A \rangle have A={x} by blast
                   then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
                   then have A≲1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
                   then have A{is in the spectrum of}IsSober using sober_spectrum
by auto
            ultimately have A{is in the spectrum of}IsSober by auto
      then show T{is anti-}IsSober using antiProperty_def by auto
      assume T{is anti-}IsSober
            fix A
            assume A \in TA \neq 0A \neq \bigcup T
            then have \{x\}=\{x,y\}\cap A by auto
            with (A \in T) have \{x\} \in T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\} unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
            {
                   assume \{y\}\in T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}
                   from \langle y \in \bigcup T - A \rangle \langle x \in A \rangle \langle A \in T \rangle have \bigcup (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}) = \{x,y\} un-
folding RestrictedTo_def
                         by auto
                   \mathbf{with} \  \, \langle x \neq y \rangle \langle \{y\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \{x,y\} \rangle \langle \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \{x,y\} \rangle \langle \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \{x,y\} \rangle \langle \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \{x,y\} \rangle \langle \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \{x,y\} \rangle \langle \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \{x,y\} \rangle \langle \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \{x,y\} \rangle \langle \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \{x,y\} \rangle \langle \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \{x,y\} \rangle \langle \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \{x,y\} \rangle \langle \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \langle \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \{x\} \rangle \langle \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \rangle \langle \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \langle \{x\} \in T\{ \texttt{restricted to} \} \rangle \langle \{x\} \in T
have (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\})\{\text{is }T_2\}
                         unfolding isT2_def by auto
                   then have (T{restricted to}{x,y}){is sober} using topology0.T2_imp_anti_HConn[of
T{restricted to}{x,y}]
```

```
Top_1_L4 topology0_def topology0.anti_HConn_iff_T1_sober[of T{restricted
to\{x,y\}] by auto
     }
     moreover
       assume \{y\}\notin T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}
       moreover
       from \langle y \in | JT-A \rangle \langle x \in A \rangle \langle A \in T \rangle have T{restricted to}{x,y}\subseteq Pow(\{x,y\}) un-
folding RestrictedTo_def by auto
       then have T{restricted to}\{x,y\}\subseteq\{0,\{x\},\{y\},\{x,y\}\}\ by blast
       moreover
       note (\{x\}\in T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}) = \text{mpty\_open}[0F Top\_1\_L4[of \{x,y\}]]
       from \langle y \in | JT-A \rangle \langle x \in A \rangle \langle A \in T \rangle have tot: | J(T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\}) = \{x,y\}
unfolding RestrictedTo_def
          by auto
       from Top_1_L4[of \{x,y\}] have \bigcup (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}) \in T\{\text{restricted to}\}
to}{x,y} unfolding IsATopology_def
          by auto
       with tot have \{x,y\}\in T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\} by auto
       ultimately have top_d_def:T{restricted to}\{x,y\}=\{0,\{x\},\{x,y\}\}\ by
auto
          fix B assume B \in Pow(\{x,y\}) - \{0\}B\{is closed in\}(T\{restricted to\}\{x,y\})
          with top_d_def have ([\](T{restricted to}{x,y}))-B\in{0,{x},{x,y}}
unfolding IsClosed_def by simp
          moreover have B \in \{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{x,y\}\}\ using \langle B \in Pow(\{x,y\}) - \{0\} \rangle by blast
          moreover note tot
          ultimately have \{x,y\}-B\in\{0,\{x\},\{x,y\}\}\ by auto
          have xin:x∈Closure({x},T{restricted to}{x,y}) using topology0.cl_contains_set[of
T\{\text{restricted to}\{x,y\}\{x\}\}\
            Top_1_L4[of {x,y}] unfolding topology0_def[of (T {restricted
to} {x, y})] using tot by auto
            assume {x}{is closed in}(T{restricted to}{x,y})
            then have \{x,y\}-\{x\}\in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}) unfolding IsClosed_def
using tot
               by auto
            moreover
            from \langle x \neq y \rangle have \{x,y\}-\{x\}=\{y\} by auto
            ultimately have \{y\} \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\}) by auto
            then have False using (\{y\} \notin (T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\})) by auto
          then have \neg(\{x\}\{\text{is closed in}\}(T\{\text{restricted to}\}\{x,y\})) by auto
          moreover
          from tot have (Closure(\{x\}, T\{restricted to\}\{x,y\}))\{is closed\}
in \ (T \{ restricted to \} \{ x, y \})
            using topology0.cl_is_closed unfolding topology0_def using Top_1_L4[of
\{x,y\}]
```

```
tot by auto
         ultimately have \neg(Closure(\{x\},T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\})=\{x\}) by
auto
         moreover note xin topology0.Top_3_L11(1)[of T{restricted to}{x,y}{x}]
tot
         ultimately have cl_x:Closure({x},T{restricted to}{x,y})={x,y}
unfolding topology0_def
            using Top_1_L4[of {x,y}] by auto
         have \{y\}\{is\ closed\ in\}\{T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\}\}\} unfolding IsClosed_def
using tot
            \texttt{top\_d\_def} \ \ \langle x \neq y \rangle \ \ \mathbf{by} \ \ \mathsf{auto}
         then have cl_y:Closure(\{y\},T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\})=\{y\}\ using\ topology0.Top_3_L8[of\ topology0]
T{restricted to}{x,y}]
            unfolding topology0_def using Top_1_L4[of {x,y}] tot by auto
            assume \{x,y\}-B=0
            with \langle B \in Pow(\{x,y\}) - \{0\} \rangle have B: \{x,y\} = B by auto
            {
              fix m
              assume dis:m∈{x,y} and B_def:B=Closure({m},T{restricted}
to{x,y})
                 assume m=y
                 with B_def have B=Closure({y},T{restricted to}{x,y}) by
auto
                 with cl_y have B={y} by auto
                 with B have \{x,y\}=\{y\} by auto
                 moreover have x \in \{x,y\} by auto
                 ultimately
                 have x \in \{y\} by auto
                 with \langle x \neq y \rangle have False by auto
               with dis have m=x by auto
            then have (\forall m \in \{x,y\}. B = Closure(\{m\}, T\{restricted to\}\{x,y\}) \longrightarrow m = x
) by auto
            moreover
            have B=Closure(\{x\}, T\{restricted\ to\}\{x,y\}) using cl_x B by auto
            ultimately have \exists t \in \{x,y\}. B=Closure(\{t\}, T{restricted to}\{x,y\})
\land (\forall m \in \{x,y\}. B=Closure(\{m\}, T{restricted to}\{x,y\})\longrightarrow m=t)
              by auto
         }
         moreover
            assume \{x,y\}-B\neq 0
            with (\{x,y\}-B\in\{0,\{x\},\{x,y\}\}) have or:\{x,y\}-B=\{x\}\vee\{x,y\}-B=\{x,y\}
by auto
              assume \{x,y\}-B=\{x\}
```

```
then have x \in \{x,y\}-B by auto
                with \langle B \in \{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{x,y\}\} \rangle \langle x \neq y \rangle have B:B=\{y\} by blast
                {
                  fix m
                  assume dis:m∈{x,y} and B_def:B=Closure({m},T{restricted}
to{x,y})
                     assume m=x
                     with B_def have B=Closure({x},T{restricted to}{x,y})
by auto
                     with cl_x have B=\{x,y\} by auto
                     with B have \{x,y\}=\{y\} by auto
                     moreover have x \in \{x,y\} by auto
                     ultimately
                     have x \in \{y\} by auto
                     with \langle x \neq y \rangle have False by auto
                  with dis have m=y by auto
               moreover
               have B=Closure({y},T{restricted to}{x,y}) using cl_y B by
auto
                ultimately have \exists t \in \{x,y\}. B=Closure(\{t\},T{restricted to}\{x,y\})
\land (\forall m \in \{x,y\}. B=Closure(\{m\}, T{restricted to}\{x,y\})\longrightarrow m=t)
                  by auto
             }
             moreover
             {
               assume \{x,y\}-B\neq\{x\}
               with or have \{x,y\}-B=\{x,y\} by auto
               then have x \in \{x,y\}-By \in \{x,y\}-B by auto
                with \langle B \in \{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{x,y\}\} \rangle \langle x \neq y \rangle have False by auto
             ultimately have \exists t \in \{x,y\}. B=Closure(\{t\},T{restricted to}\{x,y\})
\land (\forall m \in \{x,y\}. B=Closure(\{m\}, T{restricted to}\{x,y\})\longrightarrow m=t)
               by auto
          ultimately have \exists t \in \{x,y\}. B=Closure(\{t\}, T{restricted to}\{x,y\})
\land (\forall m \in \{x,y\}. B=Closure(\{m\}, T{restricted to}\{x,y\})\longrightarrow m=t)
             by auto
       then have (T{restricted to}{x,y}){is sober} unfolding IsSober_def
using tot by auto
     ultimately have (T{restricted to}{x,y}){is sober} by auto
     with \langle T\{\text{is anti-}\} IsSober \rangle have \{x,y\}\{\text{is in the spectrum of}\} IsSober
unfolding antiProperty_def
       using \langle x \in A \rangle \langle A \in T \rangle \langle y \in \bigcup T - A \rangle by auto
     then have \{x,y\} \lesssim 1 using sober_spectrum by auto
```

```
moreover have x \in \{x,y\} by auto ultimately have \{x,y\}=\{x\} using lepoll_1_is_sing[of \{x,y\}x] by auto moreover have y \in \{x,y\} by auto ultimately have y \in \{x\} by auto then have False using \langle x \neq y \rangle by auto \{x,y\} by auto \{x,y\} by auto with empty_open[OF topSpaceAssum] topSpaceAssum show T=\{0,\bigcup T\} unfolding IsATopology_def by auto qed
```

end

68 Topology 8

theory Topology_ZF_8 imports Topology_ZF_6 EquivClass1 begin

This theory deals with quotient topologies.

68.1 Definition of quotient topology

Given a surjective function $f: X \to Y$ and a topology τ in X, it is possible to consider a special topology in Y. f is called quotient function.

```
definition(in topology0)
  QuotientTop ({quotient topology in}_{by}_ 80)
  where f \in surj([]T,Y) \Longrightarrow \{quotient topology in\}Y\{by\}f \equiv
     \{U \in Pow(Y). f-U \in T\}
abbreviation QuotientTopTop ({quotient topology in}_{by}_{from}_)
  where QuotientTopTop(Y,f,T) \equiv topology0.QuotientTop(T,Y,f)
The quotient topology is indeed a topology.
theorem(in topology0) quotientTop_is_top:
  assumes f∈surj([]T,Y)
  shows ({quotient topology in} Y {by} f) {is a topology}
  have ({quotient topology in} Y {by} f)={U \in Pow(Y) . f - U \in T} us-
ing QuotientTop_def assms
     by auto moreover
     \mathbf{fix}\ \mathtt{M}\ \mathtt{x}\ \mathtt{B}\ \mathbf{assume}\ \mathtt{M}\mathtt{:}\mathtt{M}\ \subseteq\ \{\mathtt{U}\ \in\ \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{Y})\ .\ \mathtt{f}\ \mathtt{-}\ \mathtt{U}\ \in\ \mathtt{T}\}
     then have []MCY by blast moreover
     have A1:f - ([]M)=([]y\in([]M). f-{y}) using vimage_eq_UN by blast
     {
```

```
fix A assume A \in M
       with M have A\inPow(Y) f - A\inT by auto
       have f - A=(\bigcup y \in A. f-\{y\}) using vimage_eq_UN by blast
     then have ( | A \in M. f - A) = ( | A \in M. ( | y \in A. f - \{y\}) ) by auto
     then have (\bigcup A \in M. f-A) = (\bigcup y \in \bigcup M. f-\{y\}) by auto
     with A1 have A2:f - (\bigcup M) = \bigcup \{f - A. A \in M\} by auto
       fix A assume A \in M
       with M have f - A\inT by auto
     then have \forall A \in M. f - A \in T by auto
     then have {f- A. A \in M}\subseteq T by auto
     then have ([]{f- A. A∈M})∈T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto
     with A2 have (f - (\lfloor M \rfloor)) \in T by auto
     ultimately have \bigcup M \in \{U \in Pow(Y). f - U \in T\} by auto
  }
  moreover
     fix U V assume U \in \{U \in Pow(Y) : f-U \in T\} V \in \{U \in Pow(Y) : f-U \in T\}
     then have U \in Pow(Y)V \in Pow(Y)f-U \in Tf-V \in T by auto
     then have (f-U)∩(f-V)∈T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
     then have f- (U \cap V) \in T using invim_inter_inter_invim assms unfold-
ing surj_def
     with \langle U \in Pow(Y) \rangle \langle V \in Pow(Y) \rangle have U \cap V \in \{U \in Pow(Y) . f - U \in T\} by auto
  ultimately show thesis using IsATopology_def by auto
qed
The quotient function is continuous.
lemma (in topology0) quotient_func_cont:
  assumes f∈surj([]T,Y)
  shows IsContinuous(T,({quotient topology in} Y {by} f),f)
     unfolding IsContinuous_def using QuotientTop_def assms by auto
One of the important properties of this topology, is that a function from the
quotient space is continuous iff the composition with the quotient function
is continuous.
theorem(in two_top_spaces0) cont_quotient_top:
  assumes h \in \text{surj}(\bigcup \tau_1, Y) \text{ g}: Y \rightarrow \bigcup \tau_2 \text{ IsContinuous}(\tau_1, \tau_2, g \text{ O h})
  shows IsContinuous(({quotient topology in} Y {by} h {from} \tau_1),\tau_2,g)
proof-
  {
     fix U assume U \in \tau_2
     with assms(3) have (g 0 h)-(U)\in \tau_1 unfolding IsContinuous_def by auto
     then have h-(g-(U))\in\tau_1 using vimage_comp by auto
```

```
then have g-(U) \in ({quotient topology in} Y {by} h {from} \tau_1) using
topology0.QuotientTop_def
      tau1_is_top assms(1) using func1_1_L3 assms(2) unfolding topology0_def
by auto
 then show thesis unfolding IsContinuous_def by auto
qed
The underlying set of the quotient topology is Y.
lemma(in topology0) total_quo_func:
  assumes f \in surj(\bigcup T, Y)
 shows ([]({quotient topology in}Y{by}f))=Y
  from assms have f-Y=UT using func1_1_L4 unfolding surj_def by auto
moreover
 have | | TeT using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def by auto ul-
timately
  have Y ∈ ({quotient topology in}Y{by}f{from}T) using QuotientTop_def
assms by auto
 then show thesis using QuotientTop_def assms by auto
qed
```

68.2 Quotient topologies from equivalence relations

In this section we will show that the quotient topologies come from an equivalence relation.

```
First, some lemmas for relations.
```

```
lemma quotient_proj_fun:
  shows \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in A\}: A\to A//r unfolding Pi_def function_def domain_def
     unfolding quotient_def by auto
lemma quotient_proj_surj:
  shows \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle \ b\in A\}\in surj(A,A//r)
proof-
  {
     fix y assume y∈A//r
     then obtain yy where A:yy A y=r{yy} unfolding quotient_def by auto
     then have \langle yy,y\rangle \in \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle .\ b\in A\} by auto
     then have \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in A\} yy=y using apply_equality[OF _ quotient_proj_fun]
     with A(1) have \exists yy \in A. \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle. b \in A\}yy=y by auto
  with quotient_proj_fun show thesis unfolding surj_def by auto
qed
lemma preim_equi_proj:
  assumes U\subseteq A//r equiv(A,r)
  shows \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in A\}-U=\bigcup U
```

```
proof
     fix y assume y∈∪U
     then obtain V where V:y∈VV∈U by auto
     with \langle U \subseteq (A//r) \rangle have y \in A using EquivClass_1_L1 assms(2) by auto more-
over
     from \langle U \subseteq (A//r) \rangle V have r\{y\}=V using EquivClass_1_L2 assms(2) by auto
     moreover note V(2) ultimately have y \in \{x \in A : r\{x\} \in U\} by auto
     then have y \in \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle . b \in A\} - U by auto
  then show | JU \subseteq \{(b,r\{b\}), b \in A\} - U by blast moreover
   {
     fix y assume y \in \{(b,r\{b\}) : b \in A\}-U
     then have yy:y\in\{x\in A. r\{x\}\in U\} by auto
     then have r\{y\}\in U by auto moreover
     from yy have y∈r{y} using assms equiv_class_self by auto ultimately
     have y \in \bigcup U by auto
  then show \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in A\}-U\subseteq \bigcup U by blast
qed
Now we define what a quotient topology from an equivalence relation is:
definition (in topology0)
  EquivQuo ({quotient by}_ 70)
  where equiv([JT,r) \Longrightarrow (\{\text{quotient by}\}r) \equiv \{\text{quotient topology in}\}([JT)//r\{by\}\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle\}).
b \in \{ \ \ \} T \}
abbreviation
  EquivQuoTop (_{quotient by}_ 60)
  where EquivQuoTop(T,r) ≡topology0.EquivQuo(T,r)
First, another description of the topology (more intuitive):
theorem (in topology0) quotient_equiv_rel:
  assumes equiv(\bigcup T,r)
  shows ({quotient by}r)={U \in Pow((\bigcup T)//r). \bigcup U \in T}
proof-
  have (\{\text{quotient topology in}\}(\bigcup T)//r\{by\}\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in\bigcup T\}\}=\{U\in Pow((\bigcup T)//r).\}
\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in\bigcup T\}-U\in T\}
     using QuotientTop_def quotient_proj_surj by auto moreover
  have \{U \in Pow(([]T)//r). \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b \in []T\}-U \in T\}=\{U \in Pow(([]T)//r). []U \in T\}
     proof
         fix U assume U \in \{U \in Pow((\bigcup T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} - U \in T\}
         then have U \in \{U \in Pow((\bigcup T)//r) : \bigcup U \in T\} using preim_equi_proj assms
by auto
       }
      then show \{U \in Pow((\lfloor T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \lfloor T\} - U \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((\lfloor T)//r) : b \in L\} = T\}
\{\bigcup U \in T\} by auto
       {
```

```
fix U assume U \in \{U \in Pow((\bigcup T)//r) . \bigcup U \in T\}
                       then have U \in \{U \in Pow((\bigcup T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} - U \in T\} using preim_equi_proj
assms by auto
                then show \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : |U \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)//r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)/r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)/r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)/r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)/r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)/r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)/r) : \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)/r) : \{\langle b, r\} \rangle : v \in T\} \subseteq \{U \in Pow((|T)/r) : \{\langle b, r\} \rangle : \{\langle 
b \in \{ \ \ \} T = U \in T \} by auto
          aed
       ultimately show thesis using EquivQuo_def assms by auto
We apply previous results to this topology.
theorem(in topology0) total_quo_equi:
      assumes equiv(| JT,r)
      shows [ ]({\text{quotient by}}r)=([ ]T)//r
      using total_quo_func quotient_proj_surj EquivQuo_def assms by auto
theorem(in topology0) equiv_quo_is_top:
      assumes equiv([]T,r)
      shows ({quotient by}r){is a topology}
      using quotientTop_is_top quotient_proj_surj EquivQuo_def assms by auto
MAIN RESULT: All quotient topologies arise from an equivalence relation
given by the quotient function f: X \to Y. This means that any quotient
topology is homeomorphic to a topology given by an equivalence relation
quotient.
theorem(in topology0) equiv_quotient_top:
      assumes f∈surj([]T,Y)
      defines r \equiv \{\langle x, y \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T. f(x) = f(y)\}
      defines g \equiv \{\langle y, f - \{y\} \rangle, y \in Y\}
      shows equiv(|| JT,r) and IsAhomeomorphism(({quotient topology in}Y{by}f),({quotient
by}r),g)
proof-
      have ff:f:\bigcup T \rightarrow Y using assms(1) unfolding surj_def by auto
      show B:equiv([]T,r) unfolding equiv_def refl_def sym_def trans_def
unfolding r_def by auto
      have gg:g:Y\to((\bigcup T)//r)
             proof-
                           fix B assume B∈g
                           then obtain y where Y:y \in Y \ B=\langle y,f-\{y\} \rangle unfolding g_def by auto
                           then have f-\{y\}\subseteq\bigcup T using func1_1_L3 ff by blast
                           then have eq:f-{y}={x\in\text{\text{U}}T. \langle x,y \rangle \inf} using vimage_iff by auto
                           from Y obtain A where A1:A∈( JTfA=y using assms(1) unfolding surj_def
by blast
                           with eq have A:A∈f-{y} using apply_Pair[OF ff] by auto
                                 fix t assume t \in f - \{y\}
                                 with A have t \in \bigcup TA \in \bigcup T(t,y) \in f(A,y) \in f using eq by auto
```

```
then have ft=fA using apply_equality assms(1) unfolding surj_def
by auto
             with \langle t \in \bigcup T \rangle \langle A \in \bigcup T \rangle have \langle A, t \rangle \in r using r_def by auto
             then have t \in r\{A\} using image_iff by auto
          then have f-\{y\}\subseteq r\{A\} by auto moreover
             fix t assume t \in r\{A\}
             then have \langle A,t \rangle \in r using image_iff by auto
             then have un:t\in\bigcup TA\in\bigcup T and eq2:ft=fA unfolding r_def by auto
moreover
             from un have \langle t,ft \rangle \in f using apply_Pair[OF ff] by auto
             with eq2 A1 have \langle t,y \rangle \in f by auto
             with un have t \in f - \{y\} using eq by auto
          then have r\{A\}\subseteq f-\{y\} by auto ultimately
          have f-{y}=r{A} by auto
          then have f-\{y\} \in (\bigcup T)//r using A1(1) unfolding quotient_def
by auto
          with Y have B \in Y \times (| T)/r by auto
       then have \forall A \in g. A \in Y \times (\bigcup T)//r by auto
       then have g\subseteq (Y\times(\bigcup T)//r) by auto moreover
       then show thesis unfolding Pi_def function_def domain_def g_def
by auto
     qed
  then have gg2:g:Y->([]({quotient by}r)) using total_quo_equi B by auto
     fix s assume S:s∈({quotient topology in}Y{by}f)
     then have s \in Pow(Y) and P:f-s \in T using QuotientTop_def topSpaceAssum
assms(1)
       by auto
     have f-s=(\bigcup y \in s. f-\{y\}) using vimage\_eq\_UN by blast moreover
     from \langle s \in Pow(Y) \rangle have \forall y \in s. \langle y, f - \{y\} \rangle \in g unfolding g_def by auto
     then have \forall y \in s. gy=f-{y} using apply_equality gg by auto ultimately
     have f-s=(\bigcup y \in s. gy) by auto
     with P have (\bigcup y \in s. gy) \in T by auto moreover
     from \langle s \in Pow(Y) \rangle have \forall y \in s. gy \in (\bigcup T)//r using apply_type gg by auto
     ultimately have {gy. y \in s} \in ({quotient by}r) using quotient_equiv_rel
B by auto
     with \langle s \in Pow(Y) \rangle have gs \in (\{quotient by\}r) using func_imagedef gg by
auto
  then have gopen: \forall s \in (\{\text{quotient topology in}\}Y\{\text{by}\}f). gs \in (T\{\text{quotient by}\}r)
by auto
  have pr_fun: \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle . b \in \bigcup T\} : \bigcup T \rightarrow (\bigcup T) / / r \text{ using quotient\_proj\_fun}
by auto
     fix b assume b:b∈[ ]T
```

```
have bY:fb∈Y using apply_funtype ff b by auto
    with b have com:(g O f)b=g(fb) using comp_fun_apply ff by auto
    from bY have pg:\langle fb,f-(\{fb\})\rangle \in g unfolding g_def by auto
    then have g(fb)=f-({fb}) using apply_equality gg by auto
    with com have comeq:(g O f)b=f-({fb}) by auto
    from b have A:f{b}={fb} {b}⊆∪T using func_imagedef ff by auto
    from A(2) have b \in f - (f \{b\}) using func1_1_L9 ff by blast
    then have b \in f^{-}(\{fb\}) using A(1) by auto moreover
    from pg have f-(\{fb\})\in(\bigcup T)//r using gg unfolding Pi_def by auto
    ultimately have r{b}=f-({fb}) using EquivClass_1_L2 B by auto
    then have (g O f)b=r{b} using comeq by auto moreover
    from b have \langle b,r\{b\}\rangle \in \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in JT\} by auto
    with pr_fun have \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in\bigcup T\}b=r\{b\} using apply_equality by
auto ultimately
    have (g \ 0 \ f)b=\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in \bigcup T\}b by auto
  then have reg:\forall b \in (]T. (g 0 f)b=\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b \in (]T\}b by auto moreover
  have compp:g 0 fe[]T \rightarrow ([]T)//r using comp_fun ff gg by auto
  have feq:(g 0 f)=\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in J\} using fun_extension[OF compp pr_fun]
reg by auto
  then have IsContinuous(T, {quotient by}r, (g 0 f)) using quotient_func_cont
quotient_proj_surj
    EquivQuo_def topSpaceAssum B by auto moreover
  have (g \ 0 \ f): \bigcup T \rightarrow \bigcup (\{quotient \ by\}r) \ using comp_fun \ ff \ gg2 \ by \ auto
  ultimately have gcont:IsContinuous({quotient topology in}Y{by}f,{quotient
by}r,g)
    using two_top_spaces0.cont_quotient_top assms(1) gg2 unfolding two_top_spaces0_def
    using topSpaceAssum equiv_quo_is_top B by auto
    fix x y assume T:x\in Yy\in Ygx=gy
      then have f-\{x\}=f-\{y\} using apply_equality gg unfolding g_def by
auto
      then have f(f-\{x\})=f(f-\{y\}) by auto
      with T(1,2) have \{x\}=\{y\} using surj_image_vimage assms(1) by auto
      then have x=y by auto
  with gg2 have g∈inj(Y, ((quotient by)r)) unfolding inj_def by auto
moreover
  have g 0 f \in \sur j(| |T, (| |T)//r) using feq quotient_proj_surj by auto
  then have g \in surj(Y, (\bigcup T)//r) using comp_mem_surjD1 ff gg by auto
  then have g∈surj(Y, ∪ (T{quotient by}r)) using total_quo_equi B by auto
  ultimately have g∈bij(∪({quotient topology in}Y{by}f),∪({quotient
by}r)) unfolding bij_def using total_quo_func assms(1) by auto
  with gcont gopen show IsAhomeomorphism(({quotient topology in}Y{by}f),({quotient
by}r),g)
    using bij_cont_open_homeo by auto
ged
lemma product_equiv_rel_fun:
```

```
shows \{\langle \langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \rangle \}. \langle b,c \rangle \in [JT \times JT] : (JT \times JT) \to ((JT)//r \times (JT)//r)
proof-
       have \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in\bigcup T\}\in\bigcup T\rightarrow(\bigcup T)//r \text{ using quotient\_proj\_fun by auto}
moreover
       have \forall A \in []T. \langle A, r\{A\} \rangle \in \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle. b \in []T\} by auto
        ultimately have \forall A \in \{ \}T. \{ \langle b, r\{b\} \rangle. b \in \{ \}T\}A = r\{A\} \text{ using apply_equality} \}
by auto
        then have IN: \{\langle \langle b, c \rangle, r \ \{b\}, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b, c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \} = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \}
\{\langle b, r \mid \{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \quad x, \{\langle b, r \mid \{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \quad y \rangle : \langle x, y \rangle \in \bigcup T \times T\}
\{T\}
                by force
       then show thesis using prod_fun quotient_proj_fun by auto
qed
lemma(in topology0) prod_equiv_rel_surj:
       shows \{\langle (b,c), (r\{b\}, r\{c\}) \rangle. \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \}: surj(\bigcup (ProductTopology(T,T)), ((\bigcup T)//r \times (\bigcup T)/r \times (\bigcup
proof-
       have fun:\{\langle \langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \rangle. \langle b,c \rangle \in JT \times JT : (JT \times JT) \to ((JT)//r \times (JT)//r)
using
               product_equiv_rel_fun by auto moreover
                fix M assume M \in ((\bigcup T)//r \times (\bigcup T)//r)
                then obtain M1 M2 where M:M=\langle M1,M2 \rangle M1\in(\bigcup T)//rM2\in(\bigcup T)//r by auto
                then obtain m1 m2 where m:m1 \in \bigcup Tm2 \in \bigcup TM1 = r\{m1\}M2 = r\{m2\} unfolding
quotient_def
                        by auto
                then have mm: \langle m1, m2 \rangle \in (||T \times ||T|) by auto
                then have \langle (m1, m2), (r\{m1\}, r\{m2\}) \rangle \in \{ \langle (b, c), (r\{b\}, r\{c\}) \rangle . \langle b, c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \}
by auto
                then have \{\langle \langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \rangle : \langle b,c \rangle \in | JT \times [JT] \times [m1,m2] = \langle r\{m1\}, r\{m2\} \rangle \}
                        using apply_equality fun by auto
                then have \{\langle \langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \}. \langle b,c \rangle \in [JT \times [JT] \times [m1,m2] = M \text{ using } M(1)
m(3,4) by auto
               then have \exists R \in (\bigcup T \times \bigcup T). \{\langle \langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \rangle. \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\}R = M us-
ing mm by auto
       ultimately show thesis unfolding surj_def using Top_1_4_T1(3) topSpaceAssum
by auto
qed
lemma(in topology0) product_quo_fun:
        assumes equiv(| JT,r)
        shows IsContinuous(ProductTopology(T,T),ProductTopology({quotient by}r,({quotient
byr)), \{\langle \langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \rangle : \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\})
proof-
       have \{(b,r\{b\}), b \in \bigcup T\}: \bigcup T \rightarrow (\bigcup T)//r \text{ using quotient\_proj\_fun by auto}\}
moreover
       have \forall A \in \bigcup T. \langle A, r\{A\} \rangle \in \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle . b \in \bigcup T\} by auto ultimately
       have \forall A \in \{JT. \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in \{JT\}A = r\{A\} \text{ using apply_equality by auto}\}
```

```
then have IN: \{\langle \langle b, c \rangle, r \ \{b\}, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle \langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \rangle \ . \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} = \{\langle x, y \rangle, r \ \{c\} \} =
\{\langle b, r \mid \{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \quad x, \{\langle b, r \mid \{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \quad y \rangle : \langle x, y \rangle \in \bigcup T \times T\}
\bigcup T
            by force
      have cont:IsContinuous(T,{quotient by}r,\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in \bigcup T\}) using quotient_func_cont
quotient_proj_surj
            EquivQuo_def assms \mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} auto
      have tot: \bigcup (T\{\text{quotient by}\}r) = (\bigcup T) // r \text{ and top:}(\{\text{quotient by}\}r)
{is a topology} using total_quo_equi equiv_quo_is_top assms by auto
      then have fun:\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in\bigcup T\}:\bigcup T\rightarrow\bigcup (\{\text{quotient by}\}r) \text{ using quotient\_proj\_fun}
by auto
      then have two:two_top_spaces0(T,{quotient by}r,\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in J\}) un-
folding two_top_spaces0_def using topSpaceAssum top by auto
      show thesis using two_top_spaces0.product_cont_functions two fun fun
cont cont top topSpaceAssum IN by auto
qed
The product of quotient topologies is a quotient topology given that the
quotient map is open. This isn't true in general.
theorem(in topology0) prod_quotient:
      assumes equiv(\bigcup T,r) \forall A \in T. \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b \in \bigcup T\}A \in (\{quotient by\}r)\}
      shows (ProductTopology({quotient by}r,{quotient by}r)) = ({quotient
topology in \{((( \mid T)//r) \times ((\mid T)//r)) \{by\} (\{\langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle) . \langle b,c \rangle \in |T \times |T\} \} \{from\} (ProductTotal forms) \}
proof
            fix A assume A:A∈ProductTopology({quotient by}r,{quotient by}r)
            from assms have IsContinuous(ProductTopology(T,T),ProductTopology({quotient
by}r,({quotient by}r)),{\langle\langle b,c\rangle,\langle r\{b\},r\{c\}\rangle\rangle. \langle b,c\rangle\in\bigcup T\times\bigcup T} using product_quo_fun
                  by auto
            with A have \{\langle \langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \rangle : \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} - A \in ProductTopology(T,T)
                  unfolding IsContinuous_def by auto moreover
            from A have A⊆[ ]ProductTopology(T{quotient by}r,T{quotient by}r)
by auto
            then have A\subseteq \bigcup (T\{\text{quotient by}\}r) \times \bigcup (T\{\text{quotient by}\}r) \text{ using Top}_1_4_T1(3)
equiv_quo_is_top equiv_quo_is_top
                  using assms by auto
            then have A \in Pow(((\bigcup T)//r) \times ((\bigcup T)//r)) using total_quo_equi assms
by auto
            ultimately have A \in (\{\text{quotient topology in}\}((([]T)//r)\times(([]T)//r))\{by\}\{(\langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle)\}\}
\langle b, c \rangle \in [JT \times [JT] \{from\} (ProductTopology(T,T)))
                  using topology0.QuotientTop_def Top_1_4_T1(1) topSpaceAssum prod_equiv_rel_surj
assms(1) unfolding topology0_def by auto
      then show ProductTopology(T{quotient by}r,T{quotient by}r)⊆({quotient
by auto
             \text{fix A assume A} \in (\{\text{quotient topology in}\}(((\bigcup \mathtt{T})//\mathtt{r}) \times ((\bigcup \mathtt{T})//\mathtt{r})) \\ \{b\} \\ \{\langle b,c \rangle, \langle \mathtt{r}\{b\},\mathtt{r}\{c\} \rangle \rangle. 
\langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \} \{from\} (ProductTopology(T,T)))
```

```
then have A:A\subseteq ((\bigcup T)//r)\times ((\bigcup T)//r) \{\langle (b,c), (r\{b\}, r\{c\}) \rangle : \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} - A \in Product Topol
                                       using topology0.QuotientTop_def Top_1_4_T1(1) topSpaceAssum prod_equiv_rel_surj
assms(1) unfolding topology0_def by auto
                                       fix CC assume CC \in A
                                       with A(1) obtain C1 C2 where CC:CC=\langle C1,C2 \rangle C1\in (([T]//r)C2\in (([T]//r)/r)
                                       then obtain c1 c2 where CC1:c1\in ||Tc2\in||T|| and CC2:C1=r\{c1\}C2=r\{c2\}
unfolding quotient_def
                                                     by auto
                                       then have \langle \mathtt{c1},\mathtt{c2}\rangle {\in} \bigcup \mathtt{T} {\times} \bigcup \mathtt{T} by auto
                                       then have \langle \langle c1, c2 \rangle, \langle r\{c1\}, r\{c2\} \rangle \rangle \in \{\langle \langle b, c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \rangle. \langle b, c \rangle \in [JT \times JT]
                                       with CC2 CC have \langle\langle c1, c2\rangle, CC\rangle \in \{\langle\langle b, c\rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\}\rangle\rangle\}. \langle b, c\rangle \in [JT \times JT]
by auto
                                       with \langle CC \in A \rangle have \langle c1, c2 \rangle \in \{ \langle \langle b, c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \} \}. \langle b, c \rangle \in \{ JT \times \{ JT \} - A \} \}
                                                     using vimage_iff by auto
                                       with A(2) have \exists V \ W. \ V \in T \land W \in T \land V \times W \subseteq \{\langle \langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \rangle.
\langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T - A \wedge \langle c1,c2 \rangle \in V \times W
                                                            using prod_top_point_neighb topSpaceAssum by blast
                                       then obtain V W where VW:V \in TW \in TV \times W \subseteq \{\langle \langle b, c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \rangle. \langle b, c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\}-Ac1\in Vc2\in Vc3\in Vc4\in Vc4\in Vc5\in Vc6\in Vc6\in Vc7\in 
by auto
                                        with assms(2) have \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \} \lor \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in (T\{quotient by\}r) \} \lor (T\{quotient by\}r) \} \lor
b \in \bigcup T\}W \in (T\{quotient by\}r) by auto
                                       then have P:\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle | b\in\bigcup T\}V\times\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle | b\in\bigcup T\}W\in ProductTopology(T\{quotient b,r\{b\}\})\}
by}r,T{quotient by}r) using prod_open_open_prod equiv_quo_is_top
                                                     assms(1) by auto
                                        {
                                                     fix S assume S \in \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \forall x \in \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} \forall x 
                                                     then obtain s1 s2 where S:S=\langle s1,s2\rangle s1\in \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in J\} \
b \in \{ \int T \} W  by blast
                                                     then obtain t1 t2 where T:\langle t1,s1\rangle \in \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in JT\}\langle t2,s2\rangle \in \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in JT\}\langle t2,s2\rangle \in \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in JT\}\rangle
b∈[JT}t1∈Vt2∈W using image_iff by auto
                                                     then have \langle t1,t2 \rangle \in V \times W by auto
                                                     with VW(3) have \langle t1,t2 \rangle \in \{\langle \langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \rangle \}. \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\} - A
by auto
                                                     then have \exists SS \in A. \langle (t1,t2),SS \rangle \in \{\langle (b,c), (r\{b\},r\{c\}) \rangle. \langle b,c \rangle \in [JT \times [JT]\}\}
using vimage_iff by auto
                                                     then obtain SS where SS\in A(\langle t1,t2\rangle,SS)\in \{\langle b,c\rangle,\langle r\{b\},r\{c\}\rangle\rangle. \langle b,c\rangle\in []T\times[]T\}
                                                     from T VW(1,2) have \langle t1,t2 \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \langle s1,s2 \rangle = \langle r\{t1\},r\{t2\} \rangle by auto
                                                     with S(1) have \langle \langle t1, t2 \rangle, S \rangle \in \{ \langle \langle b, c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \rangle \}. \langle b, c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \}
                                                     ultimately have S∈A using product_equiv_rel_fun unfolding Pi_def
function_def
                                                                 by auto
                                       then have sub: \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in \bigcup T\} \forall x \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in \bigcup T\} \forall x \in A by blast
                                       have \langle c1,C1\rangle \in \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in JT\} \langle c2,C2\rangle \in \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in JT\} \text{ using CC2}
```

```
CC1
                                     by auto
                            with \langle c1 \in V \rangle \langle c2 \in W \rangle have C1 \in \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in \bigcup T\} VC2 \in \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in \bigcup T\} W
                                     using image_iff by auto
                            then have CC \in \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in JT\} V \times \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in JT\} W using CC by
auto
                            with sub P have ∃00∈ProductTopology(T{quotient by}r,T{quotient
byr). CC \in OO \land OO \subseteq A
                                     using exI[where x={\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle. b\in \bigcup T}V\times{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle. b\in \bigcup T}W and P=\lambda00.
00 \in ProductTopology(T{quotient by}r, T{quotient by}r) \land CC \in OO \land OO \subseteq A]
                                     by auto
                  then have \forall C \in A. \exists OO \in ProductTopology(T{quotient by}r, T{quotient by}r).
C \in OO \land OO \subseteq A \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathbf{auto}
                  then have A∈ProductTopology(T{quotient by}r,T{quotient by}r) us-
ing topology0.open_neigh_open
                            unfolding topology0_def using Top_1_4_T1 equiv_quo_is_top assms
by auto
        then show ({quotient topology in}(((\|T\)/r)×((\|T\)/r)){by}{\langle\langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle\rangle.
(b,c) \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \setminus \{from\} (ProductTopology(T,T))) \subseteq ProductTopology(T \in \{quotient\}) \cap \{from\} \cap \{quotient\} 
by}r,T{quotient by}r)
                  by auto
qed
end
69
                             Topology 9
```

proof-

```
theory Topology_ZF_9
imports Topology_ZF_2 Group_ZF_2 Topology_ZF_7 Topology_ZF_8
begin
```

69.1 Group of homeomorphisms

This theory file deals with the fact the set homeomorphisms of a topological space into itself forms a group.

First, we define the set of homeomorphisms.

```
definition
  HomeoG(T) \equiv \{f: \bigcup T \rightarrow \bigcup T. IsAhomeomorphism(T,T,f)\}
The homeomorphisms are closed by composition.
lemma (in topology0) homeo_composition:
  assumes f \in HomeoG(T)g \in HomeoG(T)
  shows Composition(\bigcup T)\langle f, g \rangle \in HomeoG(T)
```

```
unfolding HomeoG_def
    by auto
  from fun have f 0 gel T \rightarrow I using comp_fun by auto moreover
  from homeo have bij:f \in bij(\bigcup T, \bigcup T)g \in bij(\bigcup T, \bigcup T) and cont:IsContinuous(T,T,f)IsContinuous(T,T,f)
and contconv:
    IsContinuous(T,T,converse(f))IsContinuous(T,T,converse(g)) unfold-
ing IsAhomeomorphism_def by auto
  from bij have f 0 g\inbij(\bigcup T, \bigcup T) using comp_bij by auto moreover
  from cont have IsContinuous(T,T,f 0 g) using comp_cont by auto more-
over
  have converse(f 0 g)=converse(g) 0 converse(f) using converse_comp by
  with contconv have IsContinuous(T,T,converse(f 0 g)) using comp_cont
by auto ultimately
  have f O geHomeoG(T) unfolding HomeoG_def IsAhomeomorphism_def by auto
  then show thesis using func_ZF_5_L2 fun by auto
qed
The identity function is a homeomorphism.
lemma (in topology0) homeo_id:
  shows id(|T) \in HomeoG(T)
proof-
  have converse(id([]T)) 0 id([]T)=id([]T) using left_comp_inverse id_bij
by auto
  then have converse(id(||T))=id(||T) using right_comp_id by auto
  then show thesis unfolding HomeoG_def IsAhomeomorphism_def using id_cont
id_type id_bij
    by auto
qed
The homeomorphisms form a monoid and its neutral element is the identity.
theorem (in topology0) homeo_submonoid:
  shows \ \texttt{IsAmonoid}(\texttt{HomeoG}(\texttt{T}), \texttt{restrict}(\texttt{Composition}(\bigcup \texttt{T}), \texttt{HomeoG}(\texttt{T}) \times \texttt{HomeoG}(\texttt{T})))
   The \texttt{NeutralElement}(\texttt{HomeoG}(T), \texttt{restrict}(\texttt{Composition}(\bigcup T), \texttt{HomeoG}(T) \times \texttt{HomeoG}(T))) = id(\bigcup T) 
proof-
  have cl:HomeoG(T) {is closed under} Composition(\( \begin{cases} \text{T} \end{cases} \) unfolding IsOpClosed_def
using homeo_composition by auto
  moreover have sub:HomeoG(T)\subseteq \bigcup T \to \bigcup T unfolding HomeoG\_def by auto
  have ne:TheNeutralElement([\]T\rightarrow[\]T, Composition([\]T))\inHomeoG(T) us-
ing homeo_id Group_ZF_2_5_L2(2) by auto
  ultimately show IsAmonoid(HomeoG(T), restrict(Composition([]T), HomeoG(T) × HomeoG(T)))
using Group_ZF_2_5_L2(1)
    monoid0.group0_1_T1 unfolding monoid0_def by force
  from cl sub ne have TheNeutralElement(HomeoG(T), restrict(Composition([]T), HomeoG(T) \times HomeoG(T))
Composition(( )T))
    using Group_ZF_2_5_L2(1) group0_1_L6 by blast moreover
```

```
have id([]T)=TheNeutralElement([]T \rightarrow []T, Composition([]T)) using Group_ZF_2_5_L2(2)
by auto
  \mathbf{ultimately} \ \mathbf{show} \ \mathbf{The Neutral Element} ( \mathbf{HomeoG}(\mathbf{T}), \mathbf{restrict} ( \mathbf{Composition}(\bigcup \mathbf{T}), \mathbf{HomeoG}(\mathbf{T}) \times \mathbf{HomeoG}(\mathbf{T}) )
by auto
qed
The homeomorphisms form a group, with the composition.
theorem(in topology0) homeo_group:
  shows IsAgroup(HomeoG(T), restrict(Composition(\bigcup T), HomeoG(T) \times HomeoG(T)))
proof-
  {
    fix x assume AS:x∈HomeoG(T)
    then have surj:x\in surj(\bigcup T,\bigcup T) and bij:x\in bij(\bigcup T,\bigcup T) unfolding HomeoG_def
IsAhomeomorphism_def bij_def by auto
    from bij have converse(x) \in bij(\bigcup T, \bigcup T) using bij_converse_bij by
auto
     with bij have conx_fun:converse(x)\in |JT \to JTx \in JT \to JT unfolding bij_def
inj_def by auto
    from surj have id:x 0 converse(x)=id(| JT) using right_comp_inverse
by auto
    from conx_fun have Composition(|JT\rangle\langle x, converse(x)\rangle = x \ 0 \ converse(x)
using func_ZF_5_L2 by auto
    moreover have converse(x) ∈ HomeoG(T) unfolding HomeoG_def using conx_fun(1)
homeo_inv AS unfolding HomeoG_def
       by auto
    ultimately have \exists M \in HomeoG(T). Composition(\bigcup T)\langle x, M \rangle = id(\bigcup T) by auto
  then have \forall x \in HomeoG(T). \exists M \in HomeoG(T). Composition(\bigcup T)\langle x, M \rangle = id(\bigcup T)
  then show thesis using homeo_submonoid definition_of_group by auto
qed
```

69.2 Examples computed

As a first example, we show that the group of homeomorphisms of the cocardinal topology is the group of bijective functions.

```
theorem homeo_cocardinal:
   assumes InfCard(Q)
   shows HomeoG(CoCardinal(X,Q))=bij(X,X)
proof
   from assms have n:Q≠0 unfolding InfCard_def by auto
    then show HomeoG(CoCardinal(X,Q)) ⊆ bij(X, X) unfolding HomeoG_def
IsAhomeomorphism_def
    using union_cocardinal by auto
   {
      fix f assume a:f∈bij(X,X)
      then have converse(f)∈bij(X,X) using bij_converse_bij by auto
```

```
then have cinj:converse(f)∈inj(X,X) unfolding bij_def by auto
        from a have fun:f \in X \rightarrow X unfolding bij_def inj_def by auto
        then have two:two\_top\_spacesO((CoCardinal(X,Q)),(CoCardinal(X,Q)),f)
unfolding two_top_spaces0_def
            using union_cocardinal assms n CoCar_is_topology by auto
            fix N assume N{is closed in}(CoCardinal(X,Q))
            then have N_{def}:N=X \lor (N \in Pow(X) \land N \prec Q) using closed_sets_cocardinal
n by auto
            then have restrict(converse(f),N)∈bij(N,converse(f)N) using cinj
restrict_bij by auto
            then have N≈f-N unfolding vimage_def eqpoll_def by auto
            then have f-N\approxN using eqpoll_sym by auto
            with N_def have N=X \lor (f-N\precQ \land N\inPow(X)) using eq_lesspoll_trans
by auto
            with fun have f-N=X \vee (f-N\precQ \wedge (f-N)\inPow(X)) using func1_1_L3
func1_1_L4 by auto
            then have f-N {is closed in}(CoCardinal(X,Q)) using closed_sets_cocardinal
n by auto
        then have \forall N. N \text{ (is closed in) (CoCardinal(X,Q))} \longrightarrow f-N \text{ (is closed)}
in \( (CoCardinal(X,Q)) by auto
        then have IsContinuous((CoCardinal(X,Q)),(CoCardinal(X,Q)),f) us-
ing two_top_spaces0.Top_ZF_2_1_L4
            two_top_spaces0.Top_ZF_2_1_L3 two_top_spaces0.Top_ZF_2_1_L2 two
by auto
    }
   then have \forall f \in bij(X,X). IsContinuous((CoCardinal(X,Q)),(CoCardinal(X,Q)),f)
by auto
   then have \forall f \in bij(X,X). IsContinuous((CoCardinal(X,Q)),(CoCardinal(X,Q)),f)
∧ IsContinuous((CoCardinal(X,Q)),(CoCardinal(X,Q)),converse(f))
        using bij_converse_bij by auto
    then have \forall f \in bij(X,X). IsAhomeomorphism((CoCardinal(X,Q)),(CoCardinal(X,Q)),f)
unfolding IsAhomeomorphism_def
        using n union_cocardinal by auto
    then show bij(X,X)\subseteq HomeoG((CoCardinal(X,Q))) unfolding HomeoG\_def\ bij\_def
inj_def using n union_cocardinal
        by auto
qed
The group of homeomorphism of the excluded set is a direct product of the
bijections on X \setminus T and the bijections on X \cap T.
theorem homeo_excluded:
    shows HomeoG(ExcludedSet(X,T))=\{f \in bij(X,X). f(X-T)=(X-T)\}
proof
   have sub1:X-T\subseteq X by auto
        fix g assume g \in HomeoG(ExcludedSet(X,T))
        then have fun:g:X\to X and bij:g\in bij(X,X) and hom:IsAhomeomorphism((ExcludedSet(X,T)),(Institute of the first of the
```

```
unfolding HomeoG_def
      using union_excludedset unfolding IsAhomeomorphism_def by auto
      assume A:g(X-T)=X and B:X\capT\neq0
      have rfun:restrict(g,X-T):X-T\rightarrowX using fun restrict_fun sub1 by
auto moreover
      from A fun have {gaa. aa∈X-T}=X using func_imagedef sub1 by auto
      then have \forall x \in X. x \in \{gaa. aa \in X-T\} by auto
      then have \forall x \in X. \exists aa \in X-T. x=gaa by auto
      then have \forall x \in X. \exists aa \in X-T. x=restrict(g,X-T)aa by auto
      with A have surj:restrict(g,X-T)∈surj(X-T,X) using rfun unfold-
ing surj_def by auto
      from B obtain d where d \in Xd \in T by auto
      with bij have gd∈X using apply_funtype unfolding bij_def inj_def
by auto
      then obtain s where restrict(g, X-T)s=gds∈X-T using surj unfold-
ing surj_def by blast
      then have gs=gd by auto
      with (d \in X)(s \in X-T) have s=d using bij unfolding bij_def inj_def by
auto
      then have False using \langle s \in X-T \rangle \langle d \in T \rangle by auto
    then have g(X-T)=X \longrightarrow X\cap T=0 by auto
    then have reg:g(X-T)=X \longrightarrow X-T=X by auto
    then have g(X-T)=X \longrightarrow g(X-T)=X-T by auto
    then have g(X-T)=X \longrightarrow g\in\{f\in bij(X,X).\ f(X-T)=(X-T)\} using bij by
auto moreover
    {
      fix gg
      assume A:gg(X-T)\neq X and hom2:IsAhomeomorphism((ExcludedSet(X,T)),(ExcludedSet(X,T)),
      from hom2 have fun:gg∈X→X and bij:gg∈bij(X,X) unfolding IsAhomeomorphism_def
bij_def inj_def using union_excludedset by auto
      have sub:X-T\subseteq\bigcup (ExcludedSet(X,T)) using union_excludedset by auto
      with hom2 have gg(Interior(X-T,(ExcludedSet(X,T))))=Interior(gg(X-T),(ExcludedSet(X,T)))
         using int_top_invariant by auto moreover
      from sub1 have Interior(X-T,(ExcludedSet(X,T)))=X-T using interior_set_excludedset
by auto
      ultimately have gg(X-T)=Interior(gg(X-T),(ExcludedSet(X,T))) by
auto moreover
      have ss:gg(X-T)\subseteq X using fun func1_1_L6(2) by auto
      then have Interior(gg(X-T),(ExcludedSet(X,T))) = (gg(X-T))-T us-
\operatorname{ing} interior_set_excludedset A
         by auto
      ultimately have eq:gg(X-T)=(gg(X-T))-T by auto
         assume (gg(X-T))\cap T\neq 0
         then obtain t where t \in T and im: t \in gg(X-T) by blast
         then have t\notin(gg(X-T))-T by auto
         then have False using eq im by auto
```

```
then have (gg(X-T))\cap T=0 by auto
       then have gg(X-T)\subseteq X-T using ss by blast
    then have \forall gg. gg(X-T)\neq X \land IsAhomeomorphism(ExcludedSet(X,T),ExcludedSet(X,T),gg) \longrightarrow
gg(X-T)\subseteq X-T by auto moreover
    from bij have conbij:converse(g)∈bij(X,X) using bij_converse_bij
    then have confun:converse(g)\in X \rightarrow X unfolding bij_def inj_def by auto
       assume A:converse(g)(X-T)=X and B:X\capT\neq0
       have rfun:restrict(converse(g), X-T):X-T→X using confun restrict_fun
sub1 by auto moreover
       from A confun have {converse(g)aa. aa \in X-T}=X using func_imagedef
sub1 by auto
       then have \forall x \in X. x \in \{\text{converse}(g) \text{ aa. aa} \in X - T\} by auto
       then have \forall x \in X. \exists aa \in X-T. x=converse(g)aa by auto
       then have \forall x \in X. \exists aa \in X-T. x=restrict(converse(g), X-T)aa by auto
       with A have surj:restrict(converse(g), X-T)∈surj(X-T,X) using rfun
unfolding surj_def by auto
       from B obtain d where d∈Xd∈T by auto
       with conbij have converse(g)deX using apply_funtype unfolding bij_def
inj_def by auto
       then obtain s where restrict(converse(g),X-T)s=converse(g)ds∈X-T
using surj unfolding surj_def by blast
       then have converse(g)s=converse(g)d by auto
       with \(\delta \in X\)\(\seX - T\) have s=d using conbij unfolding bij_def inj_def
by auto
       then have False using \langle s \in X-T \rangle \langle d \in T \rangle by auto
    then have converse(g)(X-T)=X \longrightarrow X\capT=0 by auto
    then have converse(g)(X-T)=X \longrightarrow X-T=X by auto
    then have converse(g)(X-T)=X \longrightarrow g-(X-T)=(X-T) unfolding vimage\_def
    then have G:converse(g)(X-T)=X \longrightarrow g(g-(X-T))=g(X-T) by auto
    have GG:g(g-(X-T))=(X-T) using sub1 surj_image_vimage bij unfold-
ing bij_def by auto
    with G have converse(g)(X-T)=X \longrightarrow g(X-T)=X-T by auto
    then have converse(g)(X-T)=X \longrightarrow g\in{f\inbij(X,X). f(X-T)=(X-T)} us-
ing bij by auto moreover
    from hom have IsAhomeomorphism(ExcludedSet(X,T), ExcludedSet(X,T),
converse(g)) using homeo_inv by auto
    moreover note hom ultimately have g \in \{f \in bij(X,X) : f(X-T)=(X-T)\} \lor
(g(X-T)\subseteq X-T \land converse(g)(X-T)\subseteq X-T)
       by force
    then have g \in \{f \in bij(X,X). f(X-T) = (X-T)\} \lor (g(X-T)\subseteq X-T \land g-(X-T)\subseteq X-T)
unfolding vimage_def by auto moreover
    have g-(X-T)\subseteq X-T \longrightarrow g(g-(X-T))\subseteq g(X-T) using func1_1_L8 by auto
    with GG have g-(X-T)\subseteq X-T \longrightarrow (X-T)\subseteq g(X-T) by force
```

```
ultimately have g \in \{f \in bij(X,X). f(X-T) = (X-T)\} \lor (g(X-T) \subseteq X-T \land (X-T) \subseteq g(X-T))
by auto
    then have g \in \{f \in bij(X,X). f(X-T)=(X-T)\}\ using bij by auto
  then show HomeoG(ExcludedSet(X,T))\subseteq \{f\in bij(X,X).\ f(X-T)=(X-T)\}\ by auto
    fix g assume as:g \in bij(X,X)g(X-T)=X-T
    then have inj:g\in inj(X,X) and im:g-(g(X-T))=g-(X-T) unfolding bij\_def
by auto
    from inj have g-(g(X-T))=X-T using inj_vimage_image sub1 by force
    with im have as_3:g-(X-T)=X-T by auto
    {
      fix A
      assume A \in (ExcludedSet(X,T))
      then have A=XVA∩T=0 A⊆X unfolding ExcludedSet_def by auto
      then have A\subseteq X-T\vee A=X by auto moreover
        assume A=X
         with as(1) have gA=X using surj_range_image_domain unfolding bij_def
by auto
      moreover
         assume A⊆X-T
         then have gA\subseteq g(X-T) using func1_1_L8 by auto
         then have gA\subseteq (X-T) using as(2) by auto
      ultimately have gA\subseteq(X-T) \vee gA=X by auto
      then have gA \in (ExcludedSet(X,T)) unfolding ExcludedSet_def by auto
    then have \forall A \in (ExcludedSet(X,T)). gA \in (ExcludedSet(X,T)) by auto more-
over
      fix A assume A∈(ExcludedSet(X,T))
      then have A=XVA∩T=0 A⊆X unfolding ExcludedSet_def by auto
      then have A\subseteq X-T\vee A=X by auto moreover
         assume A=X
         with as(1) have g-A=X using func1_1_L4 unfolding bij_def inj_def
by auto
      }
      moreover
      {
         assume A\subseteq X-T
         then have g-A\subseteq g-(X-T) using func1_1_L8 by auto
         then have g-A\subseteq(X-T) using as_3 by auto
      ultimately have g-A\subseteq (X-T) \vee g-A=X by auto
      then have g-A∈(ExcludedSet(X,T)) unfolding ExcludedSet_def by auto
```

```
then have IsContinuous(ExcludedSet(X,T),ExcludedSet(X,T),g) unfold-
ing IsContinuous_def by auto moreover
    note as(1) ultimately have IsAhomeomorphism(ExcludedSet(X,T),ExcludedSet(X,T),g)
       using union_excludedset bij_cont_open_homeo by auto
    with as(1) have g∈HomeoG(ExcludedSet(X,T)) unfolding bij_def inj_def
HomeoG_def using union_excludedset by auto
  }
  then show \{f \in bij(X, X) : f(X - T) = X - T\} \subseteq HomeoG(ExcludedSet(X,T))
by auto
qed
We now give some lemmas that will help us compute HomeoG(IncludedSet(X,T)).
lemma cont_in_cont_ex:
  assumes \ \texttt{IsContinuous}(\texttt{IncludedSet}(\texttt{X},\texttt{T}),\texttt{IncludedSet}(\texttt{X},\texttt{T}),\texttt{f}) \ \texttt{f} : \texttt{X} \to \texttt{X} \ \texttt{T} \subseteq \texttt{X}
  shows IsContinuous(ExcludedSet(X,T),ExcludedSet(X,T),f)
proof-
  from assms(2,3) have two:two_top_spaces0(IncludedSet(X,T),IncludedSet(X,T),f)
using union_includedset includedset_is_topology
    unfolding two_top_spaces0_def by auto
  {
    fix A assume A∈(ExcludedSet(X,T))
    then have A∩T=0 ∨ A=XA⊆X unfolding ExcludedSet_def by auto
    then have A{is closed in}(IncludedSet(X,T)) using closed_sets_includedset
assms by auto
    then have f-A{is closed in}(IncludedSet(X,T)) using two_top_spaces0.TopZF_2_1_L1
assms(1)
       two assms includedset_is_topology by auto
    then have (f-A)\cap T=0 \lor f-A=Xf-A\subseteq X using closed_sets_includedset assms(1,3)
    then have f-A ∈ (ExcludedSet(X,T)) unfolding ExcludedSet_def by auto
  then show IsContinuous(ExcludedSet(X,T),ExcludedSet(X,T),f) unfold-
ing IsContinuous_def by auto
qed
lemma cont_ex_cont_in:
  assumes \ \texttt{IsContinuous}(\texttt{ExcludedSet}(\texttt{X},\texttt{T}),\texttt{ExcludedSet}(\texttt{X},\texttt{T}),\texttt{f}) \ \texttt{f} : \texttt{X} \to \texttt{X} \ \texttt{T} \subseteq \texttt{X}
  shows IsContinuous(IncludedSet(X,T),IncludedSet(X,T),f)
  from assms(2) have two:two_top_spaces0(ExcludedSet(X,T),ExcludedSet(X,T),f)
using union_excludedset excludedset_is_topology
    unfolding two_top_spaces0_def by auto
  {
    fix A assume A∈(IncludedSet(X,T))
    then have T\subseteq A \vee A=0A\subseteq X unfolding IncludedSet_def by auto
    then have A{is closed in}(ExcludedSet(X,T)) using closed_sets_excludedset
assms by auto
```

```
then have f-A{is closed in}(ExcludedSet(X,T)) using two_top_spaces0.TopZF_2_1_L1
assms(1)
      two assms excludedset_is_topology by auto
    then have T\subseteq (f-A) \vee f-A=0f-A\subseteq X using closed_sets_excludedset assms(1,3)
    then have f-A \in (IncludedSet(X,T)) unfolding IncludedSet_def by auto
  then show IsContinuous(IncludedSet(X,T),IncludedSet(X,T),f) unfold-
ing IsContinuous_def by auto
qed
The previous lemmas imply that the group of homeomorphisms of the in-
cluded set topology is the same as the one of the excluded set topology.
lemma homeo_included:
  assumes T\subseteq X
  shows HomeoG(IncludedSet(X,T))=\{f \in bij(X, X) : f (X - T) = X - T\}
proof-
  {
    fix f assume f∈HomeoG(IncludedSet(X,T))
    then have hom: IsAhomeomorphism(IncludedSet(X,T),IncludedSet(X,T),f)
and fun:f \in X \rightarrow X and
      bij:febij(X,X) unfolding HomeoG_def IsAhomeomorphism_def using union_includedset
assms by auto
    then have cont: IsContinuous(IncludedSet(X,T),IncludedSet(X,T),f)
unfolding IsAhomeomorphism_def by auto
    then have IsContinuous(ExcludedSet(X,T),ExcludedSet(X,T),f) using
cont_in_cont_ex fun assms by auto moreover
      from hom have cont1:IsContinuous(IncludedSet(X,T),IncludedSet(X,T),converse(f))
unfolding IsAhomeomorphism_def by auto moreover
      have converse(f):X→X using bij_converse_bij bij unfolding bij_def
inj_def by auto moreover
      note assms ultimately
      have IsContinuous(ExcludedSet(X,T),ExcludedSet(X,T),converse(f))
using cont_in_cont_ex assms by auto
    then have IsContinuous(ExcludedSet(X,T),ExcludedSet(X,T),converse(f))
by auto
    moreover note bij ultimately
    have IsAhomeomorphism(ExcludedSet(X,T),ExcludedSet(X,T),f) unfold-
ing IsAhomeomorphism_def
      using union_excludedset by auto
    with fun have f∈HomeoG(ExcludedSet(X,T)) unfolding HomeoG_def us-
ing union_excludedset by auto
  then have HomeoG(IncludedSet(X,T)) \( \) HomeoG(ExcludedSet(X,T)) by auto
moreover
  {
    fix f assume f∈HomeoG(ExcludedSet(X,T))
```

```
then have hom: IsAhomeomorphism(ExcludedSet(X,T),ExcludedSet(X,T),f)
and fun:f \in X \rightarrow X and
      bij:febij(X,X) unfolding HomeoG_def IsAhomeomorphism_def using union_excludedset
assms by auto
    then have cont:IsContinuous(ExcludedSet(X,T),ExcludedSet(X,T),f)
unfolding IsAhomeomorphism_def by auto
    then have IsContinuous(IncludedSet(X,T),IncludedSet(X,T),f) using
cont_ex_cont_in fun assms by auto moreover
    {
      from \ hom \ have \ cont1: Is Continuous (\texttt{ExcludedSet}(\texttt{X},\texttt{T}), \texttt{ExcludedSet}(\texttt{X},\texttt{T}), converse(\texttt{f}))
unfolding IsAhomeomorphism_def by auto moreover
      have converse(f):X→X using bij_converse_bij bij unfolding bij_def
inj_def by auto moreover
      note assms ultimately
      have IsContinuous(IncludedSet(X,T),IncludedSet(X,T),converse(f))
using cont_ex_cont_in assms by auto
    then have IsContinuous(IncludedSet(X,T),IncludedSet(X,T),converse(f))
by auto
    moreover note bij ultimately
    have IsAhomeomorphism(IncludedSet(X,T),IncludedSet(X,T),f) unfold-
ing IsAhomeomorphism_def
      using union_includedset assms by auto
    with fun have f∈HomeoG(IncludedSet(X,T)) unfolding HomeoG_def us-
ing union_includedset assms by auto
  then have HomeoG(ExcludedSet(X,T)) \( \) HomeoG(IncludedSet(X,T)) by auto
ultimately
  show thesis using homeo_excluded by auto
qed
Finally, let's compute part of the group of homeomorphisms of an order
topology.
lemma homeo_order:
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r)\exists x y. x \neq y \land x \in X \land y \in X
  shows ord_iso(X,r,X,r)⊆HomeoG(OrdTopology X r)
proof
  fix f assume f \in \text{ord_iso}(X,r,X,r)
  then have bij:f\inbij(X,X) and ord:\forallx\inX. \forally\inX. \langlex,y\rangle\inr \longleftrightarrow \langlef x,
f y \in r
    unfolding ord_iso_def by auto
  have twoSpac:two_top_spacesO(OrdTopology X r,OrdTopology X r,f) un-
folding two_top_spaces0_def
    using bij unfolding bij_def inj_def using union_ordtopology[OF assms]
Ordtopology_is_a_topology(1)[OF assms(1)]
    by auto
    fix c d assume A:c\in Xd\in X
    {
```

```
fix x assume AA: x \in Xx \neq cx \neq d(c,x) \in r(x,d) \in r
       then have \langle fc,fx \rangle \in r\langle fx,fd \rangle \in r using A(2,1) ord by auto moreover
         assume fx=fc \lor fx=fd
         then have x=c\z=d using bij unfolding bij_def inj_def using A(2,1)
AA(1) by auto
         then have False using AA(2,3) by auto
       then have fx\neq fcfx\neq fd by auto moreover
       have fx∈X using bij unfolding bij_def inj_def using apply_type
AA(1) by auto
       ultimately have fx∈IntervalX(X,r,fc,fd) unfolding IntervalX_def
Interval_def by auto
    then have \{fx. x \in IntervalX(X,r,c,d)\} \subseteq IntervalX(X,r,fc,fd)  unfold-
ing IntervalX_def Interval_def by auto
    moreover
       fix y assume y∈IntervalX(X,r,fc,fd)
       then have y:y\in Xy\neq fcy\neq fd\langle fc,y\rangle\in r\langle y,fd\rangle\in r unfolding IntervalX_def
Interval_def by auto
       then obtain s where s:s∈Xy=fs using bij unfolding bij_def surj_def
by auto
       {
         assume s=c∨s=d
         then have fs=fc\fs=fd by auto
         then have False using s(2) y(2,3) by auto
       then have s \neq cs \neq d by auto moreover
       have (c,s)\in r(s,d)\in r using y(4,5) s ord A(2,1) by auto moreover
       note s(1) ultimately have s∈IntervalX(X,r,c,d) unfolding IntervalX_def
Interval_def by auto
       then have y \in \{fx. x \in IntervalX(X,r,c,d)\} using s(2) by auto
    ultimately have {fx. x \in Interval X(X,r,c,d)} = Interval X(X,r,fc,fd) by
auto moreover
    have IntervalX(X,r,c,d)⊆X unfolding IntervalX_def by auto more-
    have f:X is using bij unfolding bij_def surj_def by auto ultimately
    have \ \texttt{fIntervalX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{c},\texttt{d}) = \texttt{IntervalX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{fc},\texttt{fd}) \ \ using \ \ \texttt{func\_imagedef}
\mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} auto
  then have inter:\forall c \in X. \forall d \in X. fIntervalX(X,r,c,d)=IntervalX(X,r,fc,fd)
\land fc\inX \land fd\inX using bij
    unfolding bij_def inj_def by auto
  {
    fix c assume A:c\in X
       fix x assume AA:x\in Xx\neq c\langle c,x\rangle\in r
```

```
then have \langle fc,fx \rangle \in r using A ord by auto moreover
        assume fx=fc
        then have x=c using bij unfolding bij_def inj_def using A AA(1)
by auto
        then have False using AA(2) by auto
      then have fx\neq fc by auto moreover
      have fx∈X using bij unfolding bij_def inj_def using apply_type
AA(1) by auto
      ultimately have fx∈RightRayX(X,r,fc) unfolding RightRayX_def by
auto
    then have {fx. x \in RightRayX(X,r,c)} \subseteq RightRayX(X,r,fc) unfolding RightRayX_def
by auto
    moreover
      fix y assume y \in RightRayX(X,r,fc)
      then have y:y\in Xy\neq fc\langle fc,y\rangle\in r unfolding RightRayX_def by auto
      then obtain s where s:seXy=fs using bij unfolding bij_def surj_def
by auto
        assume s=c
        then have fs=fc by auto
        then have False using s(2) y(2) by auto
      then have s\u2225c by auto moreover
      have \langle c, s \rangle \in r using y(3) s ord A by auto moreover
      note s(1) ultimately have s∈RightRayX(X,r,c) unfolding RightRayX_def
by auto
      then have y \in \{fx. x \in RightRayX(X,r,c)\}\ using s(2) by auto
    ultimately have {fx. x \in RightRay X(X,r,c)} = RightRay X(X,r,fc) by auto
moreover
    have RightRayX(X,r,c)⊆X unfolding RightRayX_def by auto moreover
    have f:X-X using bij unfolding bij_def surj_def by auto ultimately
    have fRightRayX(X,r,c)=RightRayX(X,r,fc) using func_imagedef by auto
  then have rray: \forall c \in X. fRightRayX(X,r,c)=RightRayX(X,r,fc) \land fc\in X us-
ing bij
    unfolding bij_def inj_def by auto
    fix c assume A:c∈X
      fix x assume AA:x\in Xx\neq c\langle x,c\rangle\in r
      then have \langle fx,fc \rangle \in r using A ord by auto moreover
        assume fx=fc
        then have x=c using bij unfolding bij_def inj_def using A AA(1)
```

```
by auto
         then have False using AA(2) by auto
      then have fx\neq fc by auto moreover
      have fx∈X using bij unfolding bij_def inj_def using apply_type
AA(1) by auto
      ultimately have fx∈LeftRayX(X,r,fc) unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
    then have {fx. x \( \) LeftRayX(X,r,c) \( \) LeftRayX(X,r,fc) unfolding LeftRayX_def
by auto
    moreover
    {
      fix \ y \ assume \ y{\in} \texttt{LeftRayX(X,r,fc)}
      then have y:y\in Xy\neq fc\langle y,fc\rangle\in r unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
      then obtain s where s:s
 \texttt{SXy=fs} using bij unfolding bij_def surj_def
by auto
      {
         assume s=c
         then have fs=fc by auto
         then have False using s(2) y(2) by auto
      then have s\u2225c by auto moreover
      have \langle s,c \rangle \in r using y(3) s ord A by auto moreover
      note s(1) ultimately have s∈LeftRayX(X,r,c) unfolding LeftRayX_def
by auto
      then have y \in \{fx. x \in LeftRayX(X,r,c)\}\ using s(2) by auto
    ultimately have \{fx. x \in LeftRayX(X,r,c)\}=LeftRayX(X,r,fc) by auto
moreover
    have LeftRayX(X,r,c)⊆X unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto moreover
    have f:X-X using bij unfolding bij_def surj_def by auto ultimately
    have fLeftRayX(X,r,c)=LeftRayX(X,r,fc) using func_imagedef by auto
  then have lray:∀c∈X. fLeftRayX(X,r,c)=LeftRayX(X,r,fc)∧fc∈X using
    unfolding bij_def inj_def by auto
  have r1:\forallU\in{IntervalX(X, r, b, c) . \langleb,c\rangle \in X \times X} \cup {LeftRayX(X, r,
b) . b \in X \cup
    {RightRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X}. fU \in ({IntervalX(X, r, b, c) . \langle b,c \rangle} \in {RightRayX(X, r, b, c) . \langle b,c \rangle}
X \times X} \cup {LeftRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X} \cup
    {RightRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X}) apply safe prefer 3 using rray apply
blast prefer 2 using lray apply blast
    using inter apply auto
    proof-
     fix xa y assume xa \in Xy \in X
     then have fxa∈Xfy∈X using bij unfolding bij_def inj_def by auto
     then show \exists x \in X. \exists ya \in X. IntervalX(X, r, f xa, f y) = IntervalX(X,
r, x, ya) by auto
    qed
```

```
have r2:{IntervalX(X, r, b, c) . \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X} \cup {LeftRayX(X, r, b)
. b \in X} \cup {RightRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X}\subseteq(OrdTopology X r)
    using base_sets_open[OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]]
by blast
    fix U assume U\in{IntervalX(X, r, b, c) . \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X} \cup {LeftRayX(X,
r, b) . b \in X} \cup \{RightRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X\}
    with r1 have fU \in \{IntervalX(X, r, b, c) : \langle b, c \rangle \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, r, b, c) : \langle b, c \rangle \in X \times X\}
r, b) . b \in X} \cup {RightRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X}
      by auto
    with r2 have fU∈(OrdTopology X r) by blast
  }
  then have \forall U \in \{IntervalX(X, r, b, c) : \langle b, c \rangle \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c)\}
r, b) . b \in X} \cup
    {RightRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X}. fU \in (OrdTopology X r) by blast
  then have f_open:∀U∈(OrdTopology X r). fU∈(OrdTopology X r) using two_top_spaces0.base_i
twoSpac Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]]
    by auto
  {
    fix c d assume A:c\in Xd\in X
    then obtain cc dd where pre:fcc=cfdd=dcc∈Xdd∈X using bij unfold-
ing bij_def surj_def by blast
    with inter have f IntervalX(X, r, cc, dd) = IntervalX(X, r, c,
d) by auto
    then have f-(fIntervalX(X, r, cc, dd)) = f-(IntervalX(X, r, c, d))
by auto
    have IntervalX(X, r, cc, dd)⊆X unfolding IntervalX_def by auto more-
over
    have f∈inj(X,X) using bij unfolding bij_def by auto ultimately
    have IntervalX(X, r, cc, dd)=f-IntervalX(X, r, c, d) using inj_vimage_image
by auto
    moreover
    from pre(3,4) have IntervalX(X, r, cc, dd)∈{IntervalX(X,r,e1,e2).
\langle e1, e2 \rangle \in X \times X} by auto
    ultimately have f-IntervalX(X, r, c, d)∈(OrdTopology X r) using
      base_sets_open[OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]] by
auto
  then have inter:\forall c \in X. \forall d \in X. f-IntervalX(X, r, c, d)\in(OrdTopology
X r) by auto
    fix c assume A:c∈X
    then obtain cc where pre:fcc=ccc∈X using bij unfolding bij_def surj_def
by blast
    with rray have f RightRayX(X, r, cc) = RightRayX(X, r, c) by auto
    then have f-(fRightRayX(X, r, cc)) = f-(RightRayX(X, r, c)) by auto
```

moreover

```
have RightRayX(X, r, cc)⊆X unfolding RightRayX_def by auto more-
over
         have f∈inj(X,X) using bij unfolding bij_def by auto ultimately
         have RightRayX(X, r, cc)=f-RightRayX(X, r, c) using inj_vimage_image
by auto
         moreover
         from pre(2) have RightRayX(X, r, cc)∈{RightRayX(X,r,e2). e2∈X} by
          ultimately have f-RightRayX(X, r, c)∈(OrdTopology X r) using
              base_sets_open[OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]] by
auto
     }
    then have rray: ∀c∈X. f-RightRayX(X, r, c)∈(OrdTopology X r) by auto
         fix c assume A:c∈X
         then obtain cc where pre:fcc=ccc∈X using bij unfolding bij_def surj_def
         with lray have f LeftRayX(X, r, cc) = LeftRayX(X, r, c) by auto
         then have f-(fLeftRayX(X, r, cc)) = f-(LeftRayX(X, r, c)) by auto
         moreover
         have LeftRayX(X, r, cc)⊆X unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto moreover
         have f∈inj(X,X) using bij unfolding bij_def by auto ultimately
         have LeftRayX(X, r, cc)=f-LeftRayX(X, r, c) using inj_vimage_image
by auto
         moreover
         from pre(2) have LeftRayX(X, r, cc)\in{LeftRayX(X,r,e2). e2\inX} by
         ultimately have f-LeftRayX(X, r, c)∈(OrdTopology X r) using
              base_sets_open[OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]] by
auto
    then have lray: \forall c \in X. f-LeftRayX(X, r, c) \in (OrdTopology X r) by auto
         r, b) . b \in X \cup \{RightRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X\}
         with lray inter rray have f-U∈(OrdTopology X r) by auto
    then have \forall U \in \{IntervalX(X, r, b, c) : \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c) \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, 
r, b) . b \in X} \cup {RightRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X}.
         f-U \in (OrdTopology X r) by blast
    then have fcont:IsContinuous(OrdTopology X r,OrdTopology X r,f) us-
ing two_top_spaces0.Top_ZF_2_1_L5[OF twoSpac
         Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]] by auto
    from fcont f_open bij have IsAhomeomorphism(OrdTopology X r,OrdTopology
X r,f) using bij_cont_open_homeo
          union_ordtopology[OF assms] by auto
    then show f∈HomeoG(OrdTopology X r) unfolding HomeoG_def using bij
union_ordtopology[OF assms]
```

```
\begin{array}{c} unfolding \ \mbox{bij\_def inj\_def by auto} \\ \mbox{qed} \end{array}
```

This last example shows that order isomorphic sets give homeomorphic topological spaces.

69.3 Properties preserved by functions

```
The continuous image of a connected space is connected.
theorem (in two_top_spaces0) cont_image_conn:
  assumes IsContinuous(\tau_1, \tau_2, f) f \in surj(X_1, X_2) \tau_1{is connected}
  shows \tau_2 {is connected}
proof-
  {
    fix U
    assume Uop:U\in \tau_2 and Ucl:U{is closed in}\tau_2
    from Uop assms(1) have f-Ue \tau_1 unfolding IsContinuous_def by auto
    from Ucl assms(1) have f-U(is closed in)\tau_1 using TopZF_2_1_L1 by
auto ultimately
    have disj:f-U=0 \vee f-U=\bigcup \tau_1 using assms(3) unfolding IsConnected_def
by auto moreover
    {
      assume as:f-U≠0
      then have U\neq 0 using func1_1_L13 by auto
      from as disj have f-U=\bigcup \tau_1 by auto
      then have f(f-U)=f(||\tau_1|) by auto moreover
      have U \subseteq \bigcup \tau_2 using Uop by blast ultimately
      have U=f(\bigcup \tau_1) using surj_image_vimage assms(2) Uop by force
      then have \bigcup \tau_2=U using surj_range_image_domain assms(2) by auto
    moreover
      assume as:U\neq 0
      from Uop have s:U\subseteq \bigcup \tau_2 by auto
      with as obtain u where uU:u∈U by auto
      with s have u \in \bigcup \tau_2 by auto
      with assms(2) obtain w where fw=uw\in (]\tau_1 unfolding surj_def X1_def
X2_{def} by blast
      with uU have w∈f-U using func1_1_L15 assms(2) unfolding surj_def
by auto
      then have f-U\neq 0 by auto
    ultimately have U=0 \lor U=\bigcup \tau_2 by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsConnected_def by auto
```

Every continuous function from a space which has some property P and a

qed

space which has the property anti(P), given that this property is preserved by continuous functions, if follows that the range of the function is in the spectrum. Applied to connectedness, it follows that continuous functions from a connected space to a totally-disconnected one are constant.

```
corollary(in two_top_spaces0) cont_conn_tot_disc:
  assumes IsContinuous(\tau_1, \tau_2, f) \tau_1 {is connected} \tau_2 {is totally-disconnected}
f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \quad X_1 \neq 0
  shows \exists q \in X_2. \forall w \in X_1. f(w) = q
proof-
  from assms(4) have surj:fesurj(X1,range(f)) using fun_is_surj by auto
  have sub:range(f)\subseteq X_2 using func1_1_L5B assms(4) by auto
  from assms(1) have cont:IsContinuous(\tau_1, \tau_2{restricted to}range(f),f)
using restr_image_cont range_image_domain
    assms(4) by auto
  have union: [\int (\tau_2\{\text{restricted to}\}\text{range}(f)) = \text{range}(f) \text{ unfolding RestrictedTo\_def}]
using sub by auto
  then have two_top_spaces0(\tau_1,\tau_2{restricted to}range(f),f) unfolding
two_top_spaces0_def
    using surj unfolding surj_def using tau1_is_top topology0.Top_1_L4
unfolding topology0_def using tau2_is_top
    by auto
  then have conn: (\tau_2\{\text{restricted to}\}\text{range(f)})\{\text{is connected}\}\ \text{using two\_top\_spaces0.cont\_image})
surj assms(2) cont
    union by auto
  then have range(f){is in the spectrum of}IsConnected using assms(3)
sub unfolding IsTotDis_def antiProperty_def
    using union by auto
  then have range(f) \( \leq 1 \) using conn_spectrum by auto moreover
  from assms(5) have fX_1 \neq 0 using func1_1_L15A assms(4) by auto
  then have range(f) \neq 0 using range_image_domain assms(4) by auto
  ultimately obtain q where uniq:range(f)={q} using lepoll_1_is_sing
by blast
  {
    fix w assume w \in X_1
    then have fw∈range(f) using func1_1_L5A(2) assms(4) by auto
    with uniq have fw=q by auto
  then have \forall w \in X_1. fw=q by auto
  then show thesis using uniq sub by auto
qed
The continuous image of a compact space is compact.
theorem (in two_top_spaces0) cont_image_com:
  assumes IsContinuous(\tau_1, \tau_2,f) f\insurj(X_1,X_2) X_1{is compact of cardinal}K{in}\tau_1
  shows X_2{is compact of cardinal}K{in}\tau_2
  have X_2 \subseteq \bigcup \tau_2 by auto moreover
    fix U assume as:X_2 \subseteq \bigcup U U \subseteq \tau_2
```

```
then have P:\{f-V.\ V\in U\}\subseteq \tau_1 using assms(1) unfolding IsContinuous_def
by auto
     from as(1) have f-X_2 \subseteq f-(\bigcup U) by blast
     then have f-X_2 \subseteq converse(f)([]U) unfolding vimage_def by auto more-
     have converse(f)(\bigcup U)=(\bigcup V \in U. converse(f)V) using image_UN by force
ultimately
     have f-X_2 \subseteq (\bigcup V \in U. converse(f)V) by auto
     then have f-X_2 \subseteq (\bigcup V\inU. f-V) unfolding vimage_def by auto
     then have X_1 \subseteq (\bigcup V \in U. f-V) using func1_1_L4 assms(2) unfolding surj_def
by force
     then have X_1 \subseteq \{f-V, V \in U\} by auto
     with P assms(3) have \exists N \in Pow(\{f-V. V \in U\}). X_1 \subseteq \bigcup N \land N \prec K unfold-
ing IsCompactOfCard_def by auto
     then obtain N where N\inPow({f-V. V\inU}) X<sub>1</sub> \subseteq \ \]N N\precK by auto
     then have fin:\mathbb{N} \prec \mathbb{K} and sub:\mathbb{N} \subseteq \{f-V. V \in \mathbb{U}\} and cov: \mathbb{X}_1 \subseteq \bigcup \mathbb{N} unfold-
ing FinPow_def by auto
     from sub have \{fR. R \in \mathbb{N}\}\subseteq \{f(f-V). V \in \mathbb{U}\}\ by auto moreover
     have \forall V \in U. V \subseteq \bigcup \tau_2 using as (2) by auto ultimately
     have {fR. R∈N}⊆U using surj_image_vimage assms(2) by auto more-
     let FN=\{\langle R, fR \rangle . R \in N\}
     have FN:FN:N\rightarrow \{fR. R\in N\} unfolding Pi_def function_def domain_def by
auto
        fix S assume S \in \{fR. R \in N\}
        then obtain R where R_def:R \in NfR = S by auto
        then have \langle R, fR \rangle \in FN by auto
        then have FNR=fR using FN apply_equality by auto
        then have ∃R∈N. FNR=S using R_def by auto
     then have surj:FNesurj(N, {fR. ReN}) unfolding surj_def using FN by
     from fin have N:NSK Ord(K) using assms(3) lesspoll_imp_lepoll un-
folding IsCompactOfCard_def
        using Card_is_Ord by auto
     then have \{fR. R \in \mathbb{N}\} \lesssim \mathbb{N} using surj_fun_inv_2 surj by auto
     then have {fR. R \in \mathbb{N}} \prec K using fin lesspoll_trans1 by blast
     moreover
     have \bigcup \{fR. R \in \mathbb{N}\}=f(\bigcup \mathbb{N}) using image_UN by auto
     then have fX_1 \subseteq \bigcup \{fR. R \in \mathbb{N}\} using cov by blast
     then have X_2 \subseteq \bigcup \{fR. R \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ using assms(2) surj_range_image_domain}
by auto
     ultimately have \exists\, \mathtt{NN} \in \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{U})\,.\,\,\mathtt{X}_2\,\subseteq\,\bigcup\,\mathtt{NN}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{NN} {\prec} \mathtt{K}\,\,\mathbf{by} auto
  then have \forall U \in Pow(\tau_2). X_2 \subseteq \bigcup U \longrightarrow (\exists NN \in Pow(U)). X_2 \subseteq \bigcup NN \land NN \prec K)
  ultimately show thesis using assms(3) unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def
by auto
```

```
qed
```

auto

```
As it happends to connected spaces, a continuous function from a compact
space to an anti-compact space has finite range.
corollary (in two_top_spaces0) cont_comp_anti_comp:
  assumes IsContinuous(\tau_1, \tau_2, f) X_1{is compact in}\tau_1 \ \tau_2{is anti-compact}
f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \ X_1 \neq 0
  shows Finite(range(f)) and range(f)\neq 0
proof-
  from assms(4) have surj:f∈surj(X1,range(f)) using fun_is_surj by auto
  have sub:range(f)\subseteq X_2 using func1_1\_L5B assms(4) by auto
  from assms(1) have cont:IsContinuous(\tau_1, \tau_2{restricted to}range(f),f)
using restr_image_cont range_image_domain
    assms(4) by auto
  have union: \int (\tau_2\{\text{restricted to}\}\text{range}(f)) = \text{range}(f) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
using sub by auto
  then have two_top_spaces0(\tau_1, \tau_2{restricted to}range(f),f) unfolding
two_top_spaces0_def
    using surj unfolding surj_def using tau1_is_top topology0.Top_1_L4
unfolding topology0_def using tau2_is_top
  then have range(f){is compact in}(\tau_2{restricted to}range(f)) using surj
two_top_spaces0.cont_image_com cont union
    assms(2) Compact_is_card_nat by force
  then have range(f)(is in the spectrum of)(\lambda T. (||T) (is compact in)T)
using assms(3) sub unfolding IsAntiComp_def antiProperty_def
    using union by auto
  then show Finite(range(f)) using compact_spectrum by auto moreover
  from assms(5) have fX_1 \neq 0 using func1_1_L15A assms(4) by auto
  then show range(f)\neq0 using range_image_domain assms(4) by auto
qed
As a consequence, it follows that quotient topological spaces of compact
(connected) spaces are compact (connected).
corollary(in topology0) compQuot:
  assumes (\bigcup T){is compact in}T equiv(\bigcup T,r)
  shows (\bigcup T)//r\{is compact in\}(\{quotient by\}r)
proof-
  have \sup_{i \in \{b, r\{b\}\}} b \in [JT] \in \sup_{i \in JT} (JT, (JT)//r) using quotient_proj_surj
  moreover have tot: U({quotient by}r)=(UT)//r using total_quo_equi
assms(2) by auto
  ultimately have cont: IsContinuous (T, {quotient by}r, \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in | JT \})
using quotient_func_cont
    EquivQuo_def assms(2) by auto
  from surj tot have two_top_spaces0(T,{quotient by}r,{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle. b∈[]T})
unfolding two_top_spaces0_def
```

using topSpaceAssum equiv_quo_is_top assms(2) unfolding surj_def by

```
with surj cont tot assms(1) show thesis using two_top_spaces0.cont_image_com
Compact_is_card_nat by force
\mathbf{qed}
corollary(in topology0) ConnQuot:
  assumes T{is connected} equiv(| JT,r)
  shows ({quotient by}r){is connected}
proof-
  have surj: \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in \bigcup T\} \in surj(\bigcup T, (\bigcup T)//r) \text{ using quotient\_proj\_surj}\}
by auto
  moreover have tot: ({quotient by}r)=(UT)//r using total_quo_equi
assms(2) by auto
  ultimately have cont:IsContinuous(T,{quotient by}r,{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle. b∈[]T})
using quotient_func_cont
    EquivQuo_def assms(2) by auto
  from surj tot have two_top_spaces0(T,{quotient by}r,\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in [JT\})
unfolding two_top_spaces0_def
    using topSpaceAssum equiv_quo_is_top assms(2) unfolding surj_def by
auto
  with surj cont tot assms(1) show thesis using two_top_spaces0.cont_image_conn
by force
\mathbf{qed}
end
```

70 Topology 10

```
theory Topology_ZF_10 imports Topology_ZF_7 begin
```

This file deals with properties of product spaces. We only consider product of two spaces, and most of this proofs, can be used to prove the results in product of a finite number of spaces.

70.1 Closure and closed sets in product space

The closure of a product, is the product of the closures.

```
lemma cl_product:
   assumes T{is a topology} S{is a topology} A⊆UT B⊆US
   shows Closure(A×B,ProductTopology(T,S))=Closure(A,T)×Closure(B,S)
proof
   have A×B⊆UT×US using assms(3,4) by auto
   then have sub:A×B⊆UProductTopology(T,S) using Top_1_4_T1(3) assms(1,2)
by auto
   have top:ProductTopology(T,S){is a topology} using Top_1_4_T1(1) assms(1,2)
by auto
  {
```

```
fix x assume asx:x∈Closure(A×B,ProductTopology(T,S))
        then have reg:\forall U \in ProductTopology(T,S). x \in U \longrightarrow U \cap (A \times B) \neq 0 using
topology0.cl_inter_neigh
             sub top unfolding topology0_def by blast
         from asx have x \( \) \] \[ \] \] \] \[ \] \] \] \[ \] \] \[ \] \[ \] \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \] \[ \]
top unfolding topology0_def
             using sub by blast
         then have xSigma: x \in ||T \times ||S||  using Top_1_4_T1(3) assms(1,2) by auto
         then have \langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup S using Pair_fst_snd_eq by auto
         then have xT:fst(x) \in \bigcup T and xS:snd(x) \in \bigcup S by auto
         {
             fix U V assume as:U \in T fst(x)\in U
             have []S∈S using assms(2) unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
             with as have U \times (\lfloor JS) \in ProductCollection(T,S) unfolding ProductCollection_def
                 by auto
             then have P:U×(||S)∈ProductTopology(T,S) using Top_1_4_T1(2) assms(1,2)
base_sets_open by blast
             with xS as(2) have \langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S) by auto
             then have x \in U \times (\bigcup S) using Pair_fst_snd_eq xSigma by auto
             with P reg have U \times (|S|) \cap A \times B \neq 0 by auto
             then have noEm:U\cap A\neq 0 by auto
        then have \forall U \in T. fst(x)\in U \longrightarrow U \cap A \neq 0 by auto moreover
         {
             fix U V assume as:U \in S snd(x)\in U
             have | | TeT using assms(1) unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
             with as have ([]T)×U∈ProductCollection(T,S) unfolding ProductCollection_def
                 by auto
             then have P:(\bigcup T)\times U\in ProductTopology(T,S) using Top_1_4_T1(2) assms(1,2)
base_sets_open by blast
             with xT as(2) have \langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \in (\bigcup T) \times U by auto
             then have x \in ([]T) \times U using Pair_fst_snd_eq xSigma by auto
             with P reg have (\bigcup T) \times U \cap A \times B \neq 0 by auto
             then have noEm:U\cap B\neq 0 by auto
        then have \forall U \in S. snd(x) \in U \longrightarrow U \cap B \neq 0 by auto
        ultimately have fst(x) \in Closure(A,T) snd(x) \in Closure(B,S) using
             topology0.inter_neigh_cl assms(3,4) unfolding topology0_def
             using assms(1,2) xT xS by auto
         then have \langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \in Closure(A,T) \times Closure(B,S) by auto
        with xSigma have x \in Closure(A,T) \times Closure(B,S) by auto
    then show Closure(A \times B, ProductTopology(T,S)) \subseteq Closure(A,T) \times Closure(B,S)
by auto
    {
        fix x assume x:x \in Closure(A,T) \times Closure(B,S)
        then have xcl:fst(x) \in Closure(A,T) snd(x) \in Closure(B,S) by auto
        from xcl(1) have regT: \forall U \in T. fst(x) \in U \longrightarrow U \cap A \neq 0 using topology0.cl_inter_neigh
             unfolding topology0_def using assms(1,3) by blast
```

```
from xc1(2) have regS:\forall U \in S. snd(x)\in U \longrightarrow U \cap B \neq 0 using topology0.cl_inter_neigh
       unfolding topology0_def using assms(2,4) by blast
    from x assms(3,4) have x \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup S using topology0.Top_3_L11(1) un-
folding topology0_def
       using assms(1,2) by blast
    then have xtot:x∈∪ProductTopology(T,S) using Top_1_4_T1(3) assms(1,2)
by auto
       fix P0 assume as:P0 \in ProductTopology(T,S) x \in P0
       then obtain POB where base:POB\inProductCollection(T,S) x\inPOBPOB\subseteqPO
using point_open_base_neigh
         Top_1_4_T1(2) assms(1,2) base_sets_open by blast
       then obtain VT VS where V:VT \in T VS \in S x \in VT \times VS POB=VT \times VS unfold-
ing ProductCollection_def
         by auto
       from V(3) have x:fst(x)\inVT snd(x)\inVS by auto
       from V(1) regT x(1) have VT\cap A \neq 0 by auto moreover
       from V(2) regS x(2) have VS \cap B \neq 0 by auto ultimately
       have VT \times VS \cap A \times B \neq 0 by auto
       with V(4) base(3) have P0 \cap A \times B \neq 0 by blast
    then have \forall P \in ProductTopology(T,S). x \in P \longrightarrow P \cap A \times B \neq 0 by auto
    then have x ∈ Closure (A × B, ProductTopology (T,S)) using topology 0.inter_neigh_cl
       unfolding topology0_def using top sub xtot by auto
  then show Closure(A,T) \times Closure(B,S) \subseteq Closure(A \times B, ProductTopology(T,S))
by auto
qed
The product of closed sets, is closed in the product topology.
corollary closed_product:
  assumes T{is a topology} S{is a topology} A{is closed in}TB{is closed
in}S
  shows (A×B) {is closed in}ProductTopology(T,S)
proof-
  from assms(3,4) have sub:A\subseteq \bigcup TB\subseteq \bigcup S unfolding IsClosed\_def by auto
  then have A \times B \subseteq \bigcup T \times \bigcup S by auto
  then have sub1:A×B⊆[ ]ProductTopology(T,S) using Top_1_4_T1(3) assms(1,2)
by auto
  from sub assms have Closure(A,T)=AClosure(B,S)=B using topology0.Top_3_L8
    unfolding topology0_def by auto
  then have Closure(A×B, ProductTopology(T,S))=A×B using cl_product
    assms(1,2) sub by auto
  then show thesis using topology0.Top_3_L8 unfolding topology0_def
    using sub1 Top_1_4_T1(1) assms(1,2) by auto
qed
```

70.2 Separation properties in product space

```
The product of T_0 spaces is T_0.
theorem TO_product:
     assumes T\{is a topology\}S\{is a topology\}T\{is T_0\}S\{is T_0\}
     shows ProductTopology(T,S){is T<sub>0</sub>}
proof-
           fix x y assume x \in \bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)y \in \bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)x \neq y
           then have tot:x\in JT\times JSy\in JT\times JSx\neq y using Top_1_4_T1(3) assms(1,2)
           then have \langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup S \langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup S and disj:fst(x) \neq fst(y) \vee snd(x)
                using Pair_fst_snd_eq by auto
           then have T:fst(x) \in \bigcup Tfst(y) \in \bigcup T and S:snd(y) \in \bigcup Ssnd(x) \in \bigcup S and
p:fst(x)\neq fst(y) \vee snd(x)\neq snd(y)
                by auto
                assume fst(x) \neq fst(y)
                with T assms(3) have (\exists U \in T. (fst(x) \in U \land fst(y) \notin U) \lor (fst(y) \in U \land fst(x) \notin U))
unfolding
                      isT0_def by auto
                then obtain U where U \in T (fst(x) \in U \land fst(y) \notin U) \lor (fst(y) \in U \land fst(x) \notin U)
by auto
                with S have (\langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S) \land \langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \notin U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S)) \vee (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle (\bigcup S)) \vee (\bigcup S)
\land \langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \notin U \times (\bigcup S)
                      by auto
                then have (x \in U \times (\bigcup S) \land y \notin U \times (\bigcup S)) \lor (y \in U \times (\bigcup S) \land x \notin U \times (\bigcup S)) us-
ing Pair_fst_snd_eq tot(1,2) by auto
                moreover have ([JS)∈S using assms(2) unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto
                with (U \in T) have U \times (\bigcup S) \in ProductTopology(T,S) using prod_open_open_prod
assms(1,2) by auto
                ultimately
                have \exists V \in ProductTopology(T,S). (x \in V \land y \notin V) \lor (y \in V \land x \notin V) proof qed
           } moreover
                assume snd(x) \neq snd(y)
                with S assms(4) have (\exists U \in S. (snd(x) \in U \land snd(y) \notin U) \lor (snd(y) \in U \land snd(x) \notin U))
unfolding
                      isT0_def by auto
                then obtain U where U\inS (snd(x)\inU\wedgesnd(y)\notinU)\vee(snd(y)\inU\wedgesnd(x)\notinU)
by auto
                with T have (\langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \in ([]T) \times U \land \langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \notin ([]T) \times U) \lor (\langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in ([]T) \lor U)
\land \langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \notin (\bigcup T) \times U)
                      by auto
                then have (x \in (\bigcup T) \times U \land y \notin (\bigcup T) \times U) \lor (y \in (\bigcup T) \times U \land x \notin (\bigcup T) \times U) us-
ing Pair_fst_snd_eq tot(1,2) by auto
                moreover have ([]T)∈T using assms(1) unfolding IsATopology_def
```

```
by auto
        with \langle U \in S \rangle have (\bigcup T) \times U \in ProductTopology(T,S) using prod_open_open_prod
assms(1,2) by auto
        ultimately
        have \exists V \in ProductTopology(T,S). (x \in V \land y \notin V) \lor (y \in V \land x \notin V) proof qed
     }moreover
     note disj
     ultimately have \exists V \in ProductTopology(T,S). (x \in V \land y \notin V) \lor (y \in V \land x \notin V)
by auto
  then show thesis unfolding isTO_def by auto
The product of T_1 spaces is T_1.
theorem T1_product:
  assumes T{is a topology}S{is a topology}T{is T_1}S{is T_1}
  shows ProductTopology(T,S){is T_1}
proof-
     fix x y assume x \in \bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)y \in \bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)x \neq y
     then have tot:x\in JT\times JSy\in JT\times JSx\neq y using Top_1_4_T1(3) assms(1,2)
     then have \langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup S \langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup S and disj:fst(x) \neq fst(y) \vee snd(x)
        using Pair_fst_snd_eq by auto
     then have T:fst(x) \in \bigcup Tfst(y) \in \bigcup T and S:snd(y) \in \bigcup Ssnd(x) \in \bigcup S and
p:fst(x)\neq fst(y) \vee snd(x)\neq snd(y)
        by auto
     {
        assume fst(x) \neq fst(y)
        with T assms(3) have (\exists U \in T. (fst(x) \in U \land fst(y) \notin U)) unfolding
           isT1_def by auto
        then obtain U where U \in T (fst(x)\in U \land fst(y) \notin U) by auto
        with S have (\langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \in U \times (| JS) \land \langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \notin U \times (| JS))
by auto
        then have (x \in U \times (\bigcup S) \land y \notin U \times (\bigcup S)) using Pair_fst_snd_eq tot(1,2)
        moreover have ([JS)∈S using assms(2) unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto
        with \forall U \in T have U \times (\bigcup S) \in ProductTopology(T,S) using prod_open_open_prod
assms(1,2) by auto
        ultimately
        have \exists V \in ProductTopology(T,S). (x \in V \land y \notin V) proof qed
     } moreover
        assume snd(x) \neq snd(y)
        with S assms(4) have (\exists U \in S. (snd(x) \in U \land snd(y) \notin U)) unfolding
           isT1_def by auto
        then obtain U where U \in S (snd(x) \in U \land snd(y) \notin U) by auto
```

```
with T have (\langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \in (\bigcup T) \times U \land \langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \notin (\bigcup T) \times U)
by auto
        then have (x \in (\bigcup T) \times U \land y \notin (\bigcup T) \times U) using Pair_fst_snd_eq tot(1,2)
by auto
        moreover have ([ ]T)∈T using assms(1) unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto
        with \langle U \in S \rangle have (\bigcup T) \times U \in ProductTopology(T,S) using prod_open_open_prod
assms(1,2) by auto
        ultimately
        have \exists V \in ProductTopology(T,S). (x \in V \land y \notin V) proof qed
      }moreover
     note disj
     ultimately have \exists V \in ProductTopology(T,S). (x \in V \land y \notin V) by auto
  then show thesis unfolding isT1_def by auto
qed
The product of T_2 spaces is T_2.
theorem T2_product:
  assumes T{is a topology}S{is a topology}T{is T_2}S{is T_2}
  shows ProductTopology(T,S){is T<sub>2</sub>}
proof-
     fix x y assume x \in \bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)y \in \bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)x \neq y
     then have tot:x\in JT\times JSy\in JT\times JSx\neq y using Top_1_4_T1(3) assms(1,2)
     then have \langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup S \langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup S and disj:fst(x) \neq fst(y) \vee snd(x)
        using Pair_fst_snd_eq by auto
      then have T:fst(x) \in \bigcup Tfst(y) \in \bigcup T and S:snd(y) \in \bigcup Ssnd(x) \in \bigcup S and
p:fst(x)\neq fst(y) \lor snd(x)\neq snd(y)
        by auto
        assume fst(x) \neq fst(y)
        with T assms(3) have (\exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. (fst(x)\in U \land fst(y) \in V) \land U \cap V=0)
unfolding
           isT2_def by auto
        then obtain U V where U \in T V \in T fst(x) \in U fst(y) \in V U \cap V = 0 by auto
        with S have \langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \in U \times (\bigcup S) \langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in V \times (\bigcup S) and
disjoint: (U \times \bigcup S) \cap (V \times \bigcup S) = 0 by auto
        then have x \in U \times (\bigcup S) y \in V \times (\bigcup S) using Pair_fst_snd_eq tot(1,2) by
auto
        moreover have ([JS)∈S using assms(2) unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto
        with \langle U \in T \rangle \langle V \in T \rangle have P: U \times (\bigcup S) \in ProductTopology(T,S) V \times (\bigcup S) \in ProductTopology(T,S)
           using prod_open_open_prod assms(1,2) by auto
        note disjoint ultimately
        have x \in U \times (\bigcup S) \land y \in V \times (\bigcup S) \land (U \times (\bigcup S)) \cap (V \times (\bigcup S)) = 0 by auto
```

```
with P(2) have \exists UU \in ProductTopology(T,S). (x \in U \times (\bigcup S) \land y \in UU \land Y \in UV \land Y
(U \times (|JS)) \cap UU = 0)
                         using exI[where x=V\times(\| \| S) and P=\lambdat. t\inProductTopology(T,S) \wedge
 (x \in U \times (|S|) \land y \in t \land (U \times (|S|)) \cap t = 0) by auto
                  with P(1) have \exists VV \in ProductTopology(T,S). \exists UU \in ProductTopology(T,S).
 (x \in VV \land y \in UU \land VV \cap UU = 0)
                         using exI[where x=U×(\bigcup S) and P=\lambda t. t\inProductTopology(T,S) \wedge
 (\exists UU \in ProductTopology(T,S). (x \in t \land y \in UU \land (t) \cap UU = 0))] by auto
             } moreover
                  assume snd(x) \neq snd(y)
                  with S assms(4) have (\exists U \in S. \exists V \in S. (snd(x) \in U \land snd(y) \in V) \land U \cap V = 0)
unfolding
                         isT2\_def by auto
                  then obtain U V where U\inS V\inS snd(x)\inU snd(y)\inV U\capV=0 by auto
                  with T have \langle fst(x), snd(x) \rangle \in ([]T) \times U \langle fst(y), snd(y) \rangle \in ([]T) \times V and
disjoint:((\bigcup T) \times U) \cap ((\bigcup T) \times V) = 0 by auto
                  then have x \in ([]T) \times Uy \in ([]T) \times V using Pair_fst_snd_eq tot(1,2) by
auto
                  moreover have (| | T) \in T using assms(1) unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto
                  with \langle U \in S \rangle \langle V \in S \rangle have P: (\bigcup T) \times U \in ProductTopology(T,S) (\bigcup T) \times V \in ProductTopology(T,S)
                         using prod_open_open_prod assms(1,2) by auto
                  note disjoint ultimately
                  have x \in ([]T) \times U \land y \in ([]T) \times V \land (([]T) \times U) \cap (([]T) \times V) = 0 by auto
                  with P(2) have \exists UU \in ProductTopology(T,S). (x \in (| JT) \times U \land y \in UU \land y \in UU)
(( | T) \times U) \cap UU = 0)
                         using exI[where x=(\bigcup T)×V and P=\lambda t. t\inProductTopology(T,S) \wedge
(x \in (\bigcup T) \times U \land y \in t \land ((\bigcup T) \times U) \cap t = 0)] by auto
                  with P(1) have \exists VV \in ProductTopology(T,S). \exists UU \in ProductTopology(T,S).
(x \in VV \land y \in UU \land VV \cap UU = 0)
                         using exI[where x=(\bigcup T)×U and P=\lambda t. t∈ProductTopology(T,S) \wedge
 (\exists UU \in ProductTopology(T,S). (x \in t \land y \in UU \land (t) \cap UU = 0))] by auto
             } moreover
            note disj
            ultimately have ∃VV∈ProductTopology(T, S). ∃UU∈ProductTopology(T,
S). x \in VV \land y \in UU \land VV \cap UU = 0 by auto
      then show thesis unfolding isT2_def by auto
qed
The product of regular spaces is regular.
theorem regular_product:
      assumes T{is a topology} S{is a topology} T{is regular} S{is regular}
      shows ProductTopology(T,S){is regular}
proof-
      {
            fix x U assume x \in JProductTopology(T,S) U\in ProductTopology(T,S) x \in U
```

```
then obtain V W where VW:V\in TW\in S V\times W\subseteq U and x:x\in V\times W using prod_top_point_neighb
        assms(1,2) by blast
     then have p:fst(x) \in Vsnd(x) \in W by auto
     from p(1) \langle V \in T \rangle obtain VV where VV:fst(x) \in VV Closure(VV,T) \subseteq V VV \in T
using
        assms(1,3) topology0.regular_imp_exist_clos_neig unfolding topology0_def
        by force moreover
     from p(2) ⟨W∈S⟩ obtain WW where WW:snd(x)∈WW Closure(WW,S)⊆W WW∈S
using
        assms(2,4) topology0.regular_imp_exist_clos_neig unfolding topology0_def
        by force ultimately
     have x \in VV \times WW using x by auto
     \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{from} \quad \langle \mathtt{Closure}(\mathtt{VV},\mathtt{T}) \subseteq \mathtt{V} \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{Closure}(\mathtt{WW},\mathtt{S}) \subseteq \mathtt{W} \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{Closure}(\mathtt{VV},\mathtt{T}) \times \mathtt{Closure}(\mathtt{WW},\mathtt{S})
\subset V\timesW
     moreover from VV(3) WW(3) have VVC[]TWWC[]S by auto
     ultimately have x \in VV \times WW Closure(VV \times WW, ProductTopology(T,S)) \subseteq V \times W
using cl_product assms(1,2)
        by auto
     moreover have VV×WW∈ProductTopology(T,S) using prod_open_open_prod
assms(1,2)
        VV(3) WW(3) by auto
     ultimately have \exists Z \in ProductTopology(T,S). x \in Z \land Closure(Z, ProductTopology(T,S)) \subseteq V \times W
     with VW(3) have \exists Z \in ProductTopology(T,S). x \in Z \land Closure(Z,ProductTopology(T,S)) \subseteq U
by auto
   }
  then have \forall x \in \bigcup ProductTopology(T,S). \forall U \in ProductTopology(T,S) . x \in U \longrightarrow
(\exists Z \in ProductTopology(T,S). x \in Z \land Closure(Z,ProductTopology(T,S)) \subseteq U)
     by auto
  then show thesis using topology0.exist_clos_neig_imp_regular unfold-
ing topology0_def
     using assms(1,2) Top_1_4_T1(1) by auto
qed
70.3
          Connection properties in product space
First, we prove that the projection functions are open.
lemma projection_open:
  assumes T{is a topology}S{is a topology}B∈ProductTopology(T,S)
  shows \{y \in \bigcup T. \exists x \in \bigcup S. \langle y, x \rangle \in B\} \in T
proof-
   {
     fix z assume z \in \{y \in \bigcup T. \exists x \in \bigcup S. \langle y, x \rangle \in B\}
     then obtain x where x:x\in\bigcup S and z:z\in\bigcup T and p:\langle z,x\rangle\in B by auto
     then have z \in \{y \in \bigcup T. \langle y, x \rangle \in B\} \{y \in \bigcup T. \langle y, x \rangle \in B\} \subseteq \{y \in \bigcup T. \exists x \in \bigcup S. \langle y, x \rangle \in B\}
by auto moreover
     from x have \{y \in | T. \langle y, x \rangle \in B\} \in T using prod_sec_open2 assms by auto
```

```
ultimately have \exists V \in T. z \in V \land V \subseteq \{y \in \bigcup T : \exists x \in \bigcup S : \langle y, x \rangle \in B\} unfolding
Bex_def by auto
   then show \{y \in JT. \exists x \in JS. \langle y, x \rangle \in B\} \in T using topology0.open_neigh_open
unfolding topology0_def
      using assms(1) by blast
qed
lemma projection_open2:
   assumes \ T \{ \texttt{is a topology} \} S \{ \texttt{is a topology} \} B \in \texttt{ProductTopology}(\texttt{T}, \texttt{S})
  shows \{y \in \bigcup S. \exists x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,y \rangle \in B\} \in S
proof-
   {
      fix z assume z \in \{y \in \bigcup S. \exists x \in \bigcup T. \langle x, y \rangle \in B\}
      then obtain x where x:x\in \bigcup T and z:z\in \bigcup S and p:\langle x,z\rangle\in B by auto
      then have z \in \{y \in \bigcup S. \langle x, y \rangle \in B\} \{y \in \bigcup S. \langle x, y \rangle \in B\} \subseteq \{y \in \bigcup S. \exists x \in \bigcup T. \langle x, y \rangle \in B\}
by auto moreover
      from x have \{y \in | JS. (x,y) \in B\} \in S \text{ using prod\_sec\_open1 assms by auto}
      ultimately have \exists V \in S. z \in V \land V \subseteq \{y \in \bigcup S. \exists x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,y \rangle \in B\} unfolding
Bex_def by auto
   then show \{y \in \bigcup S. \exists x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,y \rangle \in B\} \in S \text{ using topology0.open_neigh_open}
unfolding topology0_def
      using assms(2) by blast
qed
The product of connected spaces is connected.
theorem compact_product:
   assumes T{is a topology}S{is a topology}T{is connected}S{is connected}
   shows ProductTopology(T,S){is connected}
proof-
      fix U assume U:U∈ProductTopology(T,S) U{is closed in}ProductTopology(T,S)
      then have P:U \in ProductTopology(T,S) \setminus JProductTopology(T,S) - U \in ProductTopology(T,S)
         unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
         fix s assume s:s∈[]S
         with P(1) have p:\{x \in [JT. \langle x,s \rangle \in U\} \in T \text{ using prod\_sec\_open2 assms}(1,2)
         from s P(2) have oop:\{y \in \bigcup T. \langle y,s \rangle \in (\bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)-U)\} \in T
using prod_sec_open2
            assms(1,2) by blast
         then have [\]T-(\]T-\{y\in\]T. \langle y,s\rangle\in(\]ProductTopology(T,S)-U)\}=\{y\in\]T.
\langle y,s \rangle \in ([JProductTopology(T,S)-U)\} by auto
         with oop have cl:(||T-\{y \in || T. \langle y,s \rangle \in (|| ProductTopology(T,S)-U)\})
{is closed in}T unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
            fix t assume t \in \bigcup T - \{y \in \bigcup T. \langle y, s \rangle \in (\bigcup ProductTopology(T,S) - U)\}
            then have tt:t\in\bigcup T t\notin\{y\in\bigcup T. \langle y,s\rangle\in(\bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)-U)\}
```

```
by auto
                              then have \langle t,s \rangle \notin (\bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)-U) by auto
                              then have \langle t,s \rangle \in U \lor \langle t,s \rangle \notin \bigcup ProductTopology(T,S) by auto
                              by auto
                              with tt(1) s have \langle t, s \rangle \in U by auto
                              with tt(1) have t \in \{x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,s \rangle \in U\} by auto
                             moreover
                              fix t assume t \in \{x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,s \rangle \in U\}
                              then have tt:t\in\bigcup T\ \langle t,s\rangle\in U by auto
                              then have \langle t, s \rangle \notin \bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)-U by auto
                              then have t\notin\{y\in\bigcup T. \langle y,s\rangle\in(\bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)-U)\} by auto
                              with tt(1) have te[]T-{y\in[]T. \langle y,s\rangle\in([]ProductTopology(T,S)-U)}
by auto
                      ultimately have \{x \in JT. \langle x,s \rangle \in U\} = JT - \{y \in JT. \langle y,s \rangle \in (JProductTopology(T,S) - U)\}
by blast
                      with cl have \{x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,s \rangle \in U\} {is closed in}T by auto
                      with p assms(3) have \{x \in [JT. \langle x,s \rangle \in U\} = 0 \lor \{x \in [JT. \langle x,s \rangle \in U\} = [JT. \langle x,s \rangle \in U\} = [JT. \langle x,s \rangle \in U\} = [JT. \langle x,s \rangle \in U] = [JT. \langle x,
unfolding IsConnected_def
                              by auto moreover
                              assume \{x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,s \rangle \in U\} = 0
                              then have \forall x \in \bigcup T. \langle x, s \rangle \notin U by auto
                      moreover
                       {
                              assume AA:\{x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,s \rangle \in U\} = \bigcup T
                                     fix x assume x \in \bigcup T
                                     with AA have x \in \{x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,s \rangle \in U\} by auto
                                     then have \langle x,s \rangle \in U by auto
                              then have \forall x \in \bigcup T. \langle x, s \rangle \in U by auto
                      ultimately have (\forall x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,s \rangle \notin U) \lor (\forall x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,s \rangle \in U) by blast
               then have reg:\forall s \in \{ JS. (\forall x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,s \rangle \notin U) \lor (\forall x \in \bigcup T. \langle x,s \rangle \in U) \text{ by auto} \}
               {
                      fix q assume qU:q\in\bigcup T\times\{snd(qq), qq\in U\}
                      then obtain t u where t:t\in\bigcup T\ u\in U\ q=\langle t,\operatorname{snd}(u)\rangle by auto
                      with U(1) have u \in \bigcup ProductTopology(T,S) by auto
                      then have u \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup S using Top_1_4_T1(3) assms(1,2) by auto more-
over
                      then have uu:u=\langle fst(u), snd(u) \rangle using Pair_fst_snd_eq by auto ul-
timately
                      have fu:fst(u)\in \bigcup Tsnd(u)\in \bigcup S by (safe,auto)
                      with reg have (\forall tt \in [JT. \langle tt, snd(u) \rangle \notin U) \vee (\forall tt \in [JT. \langle tt, snd(u) \rangle \in U)
```

```
by auto
                      with \langle u \in U \rangle uu fu(1) have \forall tt \in \bigcup T. \langle tt, snd(u) \rangle \in U by force
                      with t(1,3) have q \in U by auto
               then have U1:\bigcup T\times \{snd(qq), qq\in U\}\subseteq U by auto
                      fix t assume t:t∈[ ]T
                      with P(1) have p:\{x \in [JS. \langle t, x \rangle \in U\} \in S \text{ using prod\_sec\_open1 assms}(1,2)
by auto
                      from t P(2) have oop:\{x \in \bigcup S. \langle t, x \rangle \in (\bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)-U)\} \in S
using prod_sec_open1
                              assms(1,2) by blast
                      then have \bigcup S - (\bigcup S - \{x \in \bigcup S. \langle t, x \rangle \in (\bigcup ProductTopology(T, S) - U)\}) = \{y \in \bigcup S.
\langle t,y \rangle \in (\{JProductTopology(T,S)-U\}\} by auto
                      with oop have cl:(|S-\{y\in |S. \langle t,y\rangle\in (|ProductTopology(T,S)-U)\})
{is closed in}S unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
                      {
                              fix s assume s \in \bigcup S - \{y \in \bigcup S. \langle t, y \rangle \in (\bigcup ProductTopology(T,S) - U)\}
                              then have tt:s\in [\ ]S \ s\notin \{y\in [\ ]S. \ \langle t,y\rangle\in ([\ ]ProductTopology(T,S)-U)\}
by auto
                              then have \langle t,s \rangle \notin (\bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)-U) by auto
                              then have \langle t,s \rangle \in U \lor \langle t,s \rangle \notin \bigcup ProductTopology(T,S) by auto
                              then have \langle t,s \rangle \in U \lor \langle t,s \rangle \notin \bigcup T \times \bigcup S using Top_1_4_T1(3) assms(1,2)
by auto
                              with tt(1) t have \langle t,s \rangle \in U by auto
                              with tt(1) have s \in \{x \in \bigcup S. \langle t, x \rangle \in U\} by auto
                             moreover
                              fix s assume s \in \{x \in \bigcup S. \langle t, x \rangle \in U\}
                              then have tt:s\in \bigcup S \langle t,s \rangle \in U by auto
                              then have \langle t, s \rangle \notin \bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)-U by auto
                              then have s \notin \{y \in \bigcup S. \langle t,y \rangle \in (\bigcup ProductTopology(T,S)-U)\} by auto
                              with tt(1) have s \in \bigcup S - \{y \in \bigcup S. \langle t, y \rangle \in (\bigcup ProductTopology(T,S) - U)\}
by auto
                      ultimately have \{x \in \{ JS. \langle t, x \rangle \in U \} = \{ JS. \langle t, y \rangle \in \{ JS. \langle t, y \rangle \in \{ JProductTopology(T,S)-U \} \}
by blast
                      with cl have \{x \in \bigcup S. \langle t, x \rangle \in U\} (is closed in)S by auto
                       with p assms(4) have \{x \in [JS. \langle t, x \rangle \in U\} = 0 \lor \{x \in [JS. \langle t, x \rangle \in U\} = [JS. \langle t, x \rangle \in U] = [JS. \langle t, x \rangle 
unfolding IsConnected_def
                              by auto moreover
                              assume \{x \in [JS. \langle t, x \rangle \in U\} = 0
                              then have \forall x \in \bigcup S. \langle t, x \rangle \notin U by auto
                      moreover
                              assume AA:\{x \in \bigcup S. \langle t, x \rangle \in U\} = \bigcup S
```

```
fix x assume x \in \bigcup S
               with AA have x \in \{x \in \bigcup S. \langle t, x \rangle \in U\} by auto
               then have \langle t, x \rangle \in U by auto
            then have \forall x \in [\ ]S. \ \langle t,x \rangle \in U by auto
         ultimately have (\forall x \in \bigcup S. \langle t, x \rangle \notin U) \lor (\forall x \in \bigcup S. \langle t, x \rangle \in U) by blast
      then have reg:\forall s \in \bigcup T. (\forall x \in \bigcup S. \langle s, x \rangle \notin U) \lor (\forall x \in \bigcup S. \langle s, x \rangle \in U) by auto
      {
         fix q assume qU:q\in\{fst(qq), qq\in U\}\times\bigcup S
         then obtain qq s where t:q=\langle fst(qq), s \rangle qq\in U s\in \bigcup S by auto
         with U(1) have qq∈[ ]ProductTopology(T,S) by auto
         then have qq \in |T \times JS \text{ using Top_1_4_T1(3) assms(1,2)} by auto more-
over
         then have qq:qq=\(fst(qq),snd(qq)\) using Pair_fst_snd_eq by auto
ultimately
         have fq:fst(qq) \in \bigcup Tsnd(qq) \in \bigcup S by (safe, auto)
         from fq(1) reg have (\forall tt \in JS. \langle fst(qq), tt \rangle \notin U) \vee (\forall tt \in JS. \langle fst(qq), tt \rangle \in U)
by auto moreover
         with \langle qq \in U \rangle qq fq(2) have \forall tt \in \bigcup S. \langle fst(qq), tt \rangle \in U by force
         with t(1,3) have q \in U by auto
      then have U2:\{fst(qq), qq\in U\}\times\bigcup S\subseteq U by blast
      {
         assume U≠0
         then obtain u where u:u∈U by auto
            fix as assume aa \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup S
            then obtain t s where t \in |\int Ts \in \int Saa=\langle t,s \rangle by auto
            with u have \langle t, snd(u) \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \{ snd(qq) . qq \in U \} by auto
            with U1 have \langle t, snd(u) \rangle \in U by auto
            moreover have t=fst(\langle t,snd(u)\rangle) by auto moreover note \langle s \in \bigcup S \rangle
ultimately
            have \langle t, s \rangle \in \{fst(qq), qq \in U\} \times \bigcup S by blast
            with U2 have \langle t, s \rangle \in U by auto
            with \langle aa = \langle t, s \rangle \rangle have aa \in U by auto
         then have \ |\ T \times |\ S \subseteq U  by auto moreover
         with U(1) have U⊆∪ProductTopology(T,S) by auto ultimately
         have \bigcup T \times \bigcup S=U using Top_1_4_T1(3) assms(1,2) by auto
      then have (U=0)\vee(U=\bigcup T\times\bigcup S) by auto
   }
  then show thesis unfolding IsConnected_def using Top_1_4_T1(3) assms(1,2)
by auto
qed
```

end

71 Topology 11

theory Topology_ZF_11 imports Topology_ZF_7 Finite_ZF_1

begin

This file deals with order topologies. The order topology is already defined in Topology_ZF_examples_1.thy.

71.1 Order topologies

We will assume most of the time that the ordered set has more than one point. It is natural to think that the topological properties can be translated to properties of the order; since every order rises one and only one topology in a set.

71.2 Separation properties

Order topologies have a lot of separation properties.

```
Every order topology is Hausdorff.
```

```
theorem order_top_T2:
   assumes IsLinOrder(X,r) \exists x y. x \neq y \land x \in X \land y \in X
   shows (OrdTopology X r){is T<sub>2</sub>}
proof-
   {
      fix x y assume A1:x\in[](OrdTopology X r)y\in[](OrdTopology X r)x\neqy
      then have AS:x\in Xy\in Xx\neq y using union_ordtopology[OF assms(1) assms(2)]
by auto
       {
          \mathbf{assume} \ \ \mathtt{A2:} \exists \ \mathbf{z} \in \mathtt{X-\{x,y\}}. \ \ (\langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{y} \rangle \in \mathtt{r} \longrightarrow \langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{z} \rangle \in \mathtt{r} \land \langle \mathtt{z},\mathtt{y} \rangle \in \mathtt{r}) \land (\langle \mathtt{y},\mathtt{x} \rangle \in \mathtt{r} \longrightarrow \langle \mathtt{y},\mathtt{z} \rangle \in \mathtt{r} \land \langle \mathtt{z},\mathtt{x} \rangle \in \mathtt{r})
          \mathbf{from} \  \, \mathsf{AS(1,2)} \  \, \mathbf{assms(1)} \  \, \mathbf{have} \  \, \langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{y} \rangle \in \mathtt{r} \lor \langle \mathtt{y},\mathtt{x} \rangle \in \mathtt{r} \  \, \mathbf{unfolding} \  \, \mathsf{IsLinOrder\_def}
{\tt IsTotal\_def\ by\ auto\ moreover}
             assume \langle x, y \rangle \in r
             with AS A2 obtain z where z:\langle x,z\rangle\in r\langle z,y\rangle\in rz\in Xz\neq xz\neq y by auto
             with AS(1,2) have x \in LeftRayX(X,r,z)y \in RightRayX(X,r,z) unfold-
ing LeftRayX_def RightRayX_def
                 by auto moreover
             have LeftRayX(X,r,z)∩RightRayX(X,r,z)=0 using inter_lray_rray[OF
z(3) z(3) assms(1)
                 unfolding IntervalX_def using Order_ZF_2_L4[OF total_is_refl
_ z(3)] assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
                 by auto moreover
             have LeftRayX(X,r,z)∈(OrdTopology X r)RightRayX(X,r,z)∈(OrdTopology
Xr)
                 using z(3) base_sets_open[OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF
assms(1)]] by auto
```

```
ultimately have \exists U \in (OrdTopology X r). \exists V \in (OrdTopology X r). x \in U
\land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
               }
               moreover
                {
                    \mathbf{assume}\ \langle \mathtt{y},\mathtt{x}\rangle{\in}\mathtt{r}
                     with AS A2 obtain z where z:\langle y,z\rangle\in r\langle z,x\rangle\in rz\in Xz\neq xz\neq y by auto
                     with AS(1,2) have y \in LeftRayX(X,r,z)x \in RightRayX(X,r,z) unfold-
ing LeftRayX_def RightRayX_def
                          by auto moreover
                     have LeftRayX(X,r,z)∩RightRayX(X,r,z)=0 using inter_lray_rray[OF
z(3) z(3) assms(1)
                          unfolding IntervalX_def using Order_ZF_2_L4[OF total_is_refl
_ z(3)] assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
                          by auto moreover
                     have LeftRayX(X,r,z)∈(OrdTopology X r)RightRayX(X,r,z)∈(OrdTopology
Xr)
                          using z(3) base_sets_open[OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF
assms(1)]] by auto
                     ultimately have \exists U \in (OrdTopology X r). \exists V \in (OrdTopology X r). x \in U
\land y\inV \land U\capV=0 by auto
               }
               ultimately have \exists U \in (OrdTopology \ X \ r). \ \exists V \in (OrdTopology \ X \ r). \ x \in U
\land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
          }
          moreover
               assume A2: \forall z \in X - \{x, y\}. (\langle x, y \rangle \in r \land (\langle x, z \rangle \notin r \lor \langle z, y \rangle \notin r))
\lor (\langle y, x \rangle \in r \land (\langle y, z \rangle \notin r \lor \langle z, x \rangle \notin r))
                from \ AS(1,2) \ assms(1) \ have \ disj: \\ \langle \texttt{x}, \texttt{y} \rangle \in \texttt{r} \lor \langle \texttt{y}, \texttt{x} \rangle \in \texttt{r} \ unfolding \ IsLinOrder\_def 
IsTotal_def by auto moreover
               {
                     assume TT:\langle x,y\rangle \in r
                     with AS assms(1) have T:\langle y,x\rangle\notin r unfolding IsLinOrder_def antisym_def
by auto
                     from TT AS(1-3) have x∈LeftRayX(X,r,y)y∈RightRayX(X,r,x) un-
folding LeftRayX_def RightRayX_def
                          by auto moreover
                          fix z assume z \in LeftRayX(X,r,y) \cap RightRayX(X,r,x)
                          then have \langle z,y\rangle\in r\langle x,z\rangle\in rz\in X-\{x,y\} unfolding RightRayX_def LeftRayX_def
by auto
                          with A2 T have False by auto
                     then have LeftRayX(X,r,y)∩RightRayX(X,r,x)=0 by auto moreover
                     have LeftRayX(X,r,y) \in (OrdTopology X r)RightRayX(X,r,x) \in (OrdT
Xr)
                          using base_sets_open[OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]]
AS by auto
```

```
ultimately have \exists U \in (OrdTopology X r). \exists V \in (OrdTopology X r). x \in U
\wedge y \in V \wedge U \cap V=0 by auto
        }
        moreover
          assume TT:\langle y,x\rangle \in r
          with AS assms(1) have T:\langle x,y\rangle\notin r unfolding IsLinOrder_def antisym_def
by auto
          from TT AS(1-3) have y \in LeftRayX(X,r,x)x \in RightRayX(X,r,y) un-
folding LeftRayX_def RightRayX_def
             by auto moreover
             \label{eq:continuous_likelihood_state} \mbox{fix z assume } \mbox{z} \in \mbox{LeftRayX(X,r,x)} \cap \mbox{RightRayX(X,r,y)}
             then have \langle z, x \rangle \in r \langle y, z \rangle \in rz \in X - \{x, y\} unfolding RightRayX_def LeftRayX_def
by auto
             with A2 T have False by auto
          then have LeftRayX(X,r,x)∩RightRayX(X,r,y)=0 by auto moreover
          have LeftRayX(X,r,x)∈(OrdTopology X r)RightRayX(X,r,y)∈(OrdTopology
Xr)
             using base_sets_open[OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]]
AS by auto
          ultimately have \exists U \in (OrdTopology X r). \exists V \in (OrdTopology X r). x \in U
\wedge y \in V \wedge U \cap V=0 by auto
        }
        ultimately have \exists U \in (OrdTopology \ X \ r). \exists V \in (OrdTopology \ X \ r). x \in U
\land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
     ultimately have \exists U \in (OrdTopology \ X \ r). \exists V \in (OrdTopology \ X \ r). x \in U
\land y\inV \land U\capV=0 by auto
  then show thesis unfolding isT2_def by auto
qed
```

Every order topology is T_4 , but the proof needs lots of machinery. At the end of the file, we will prove that every order topology is normal; sooner or later.

71.3 Connectedness properties

Connectedness is related to two properties of orders: completeness and density

Some order-dense properties:

definition

```
IsDenseSub (_ {is dense in}_{with respect to}_) where A {is dense in}X{with respect to}r \equiv \forall x \in X. \ \forall y \in X. \ \langle x,y \rangle \in r \land x \neq y \longrightarrow (\exists z \in A - \{x,y\}. \ \langle x,z \rangle \in r \land \langle z,y \rangle \in r)
```

```
definition
  IsDenseUnp (_ {is not-properly dense in}_{with respect to}_) where
  A {is not-properly dense in}X{with respect to}r \equiv
  \forall \, x \in X. \ \forall \, y \in X. \ \langle x,y \rangle \in r \ \land \ x \neq y \ \longrightarrow \ (\exists \, z \in A. \ \langle x,z \rangle \in r \land \langle z,y \rangle \in r)
definition
  IsWeaklyDenseSub (_ {is weakly dense in}_{with respect to}_) where
  A {is weakly dense in}X{with respect to}r \equiv
  \forall x \in X. \ \forall y \in X. \ \langle x,y \rangle \in r \ \land \ x \neq y \ \longrightarrow \ ((\exists z \in A - \{x,y\}. \ \langle x,z \rangle \in r \land \langle z,y \rangle \in r) \lor \ Interval X(X,r,x,y) = 0)
definition
  IsDense (_ {is dense with respect to}_) where
  X {is dense with respect to}r \equiv
  \forall x \in X. \ \forall y \in X. \ \langle x,y \rangle \in r \land x \neq y \longrightarrow (\exists z \in X - \{x,y\}. \ \langle x,z \rangle \in r \land \langle z,y \rangle \in r)
lemma dense_sub:
  shows (X {is dense with respect to}r) \longleftrightarrow (X {is dense in}X{with respect
  unfolding IsDenseSub_def IsDense_def by auto
lemma not_prop_dense_sub:
  shows (A {is dense in}X{with respect to}r) \longrightarrow (A {is not-properly
dense in}X{with respect to}r)
  unfolding IsDenseSub_def IsDenseUnp_def by auto
In densely ordered sets, intervals are infinite.
theorem dense_order_inf_intervals:
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r) IntervalX(X, r, b, c) \neq 0b \in Xc \in X X{is dense with
respect to}r
  shows ¬Finite(IntervalX(X, r, b, c))
  assume fin:Finite(IntervalX(X, r, b, c))
  have sub:IntervalX(X, r, b, c) \( \subseteq X \) unfolding IntervalX_def by auto
  have p:Minimum(r,IntervalX(X, r, b, c))∈IntervalX(X, r, b, c) using
Finite_ZF_1_T2(2)[OF assms(1) Finite_Fin[OF fin sub] assms(2)]
     by auto
  then have ⟨b,Minimum(r,IntervalX(X, r, b, c))⟩∈rb≠Minimum(r,IntervalX(X,
r, b, c))
     unfolding IntervalX_def using Order_ZF_2_L1 by auto
  with assms(3,5) sub p obtain z1 where z1:z1 \in Xz1 \neq bz1 \neq Minimum(r, IntervalX(X,
r, b, c))\langle b,z1\rangle \in r\langle z1,Minimum(r,IntervalX(X, r, b, c))\rangle \in r
     unfolding IsDense_def by blast
  from p have B:\langle Minimum(r,IntervalX(X, r, b, c)),c\rangle \in r unfolding IntervalX_def
using Order_ZF_2_L1 by auto moreover
  have trans(r) using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def by auto more-
  note z1(5) ultimately have z1a: \( \z1, c \) \( \in \) unfolding trans_def by fast
```

{

```
assume z1=c
    with B have \langle Minimum(r,IntervalX(X, r, b, c)),z1\rangle \in r by auto
    with z1(5) have z1=Minimum(r,IntervalX(X, r, b, c)) using assms(1)
unfolding IsLinOrder_def antisym_def by auto
    then have False using z1(3) by auto
  then have z1 \neq c by auto
  with z1(1,2,4) z1a have z1∈IntervalX(X, r, b, c) unfolding IntervalX_def
using Order_ZF_2_L1 by auto
  then have \(\text{Minimum(r,IntervalX(X, r, b, c)),z1}\)∈r using Finite_ZF_1_T2(4)[OF
assms(1) Finite_Fin[OF fin sub] assms(2)] by auto
  with z1(5) have z1=Minimum(r,IntervalX(X, r, b, c)) using assms(1)
unfolding IsLinOrder_def antisym_def by auto
  with z1(3) show False by auto
qed
Left rays are infinite.
theorem dense_order_inf_lrays:
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r) LeftRayX(X,r,c) \neq 0c \in X X{is dense with respect
to}r
  shows ¬Finite(LeftRayX(X,r,c))
  from assms(2) obtain b where b∈X⟨b,c⟩∈rb≠c unfolding LeftRayX_def
by auto
  with assms(3) obtain z where z \in X - \{b,c\} \langle b,z \rangle \in r \langle z,c \rangle \in r using assms(4)
unfolding IsDense_def by auto
  then have IntervalX(X, r, b, c)≠0 unfolding IntervalX_def using Order_ZF_2_L1
by auto
  then have nFIN: ¬Finite(IntervalX(X, r, b, c)) using dense_order_inf_intervals[OF
assms(1) _ assms(3,4)]
    \langle b \in X \rangle by auto
    fix d assume d∈IntervalX(X, r, b, c)
    then have \langle b,d \rangle \in r \langle d,c \rangle \in r d \in Xd \neq bd \neq c unfolding IntervalX_def using Order_ZF_2_L1
by auto
    then have d∈LeftRayX(X,r,c) unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
  then have IntervalX(X, r, b, c)⊆LeftRayX(X,r,c) by auto
  with nFIN show thesis using subset_Finite by auto
qed
Right rays are infinite.
theorem dense_order_inf_rrays:
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r) RightRayX(X,r,b) \neq 0b \in X \text{ is dense with respect}
to}r
  shows ¬Finite(RightRayX(X,r,b))
  from assms(2) obtain c where c \in X(b,c) \in rb \neq c unfolding RightRayX_def
by auto
```

```
with assms(3) obtain z where z \in X - \{b,c\} \langle b,z \rangle \in r \langle z,c \rangle \in r using assms(4)
unfolding IsDense_def by auto
  then have IntervalX(X, r, b, c)≠0 unfolding IntervalX_def using Order_ZF_2_L1
by auto
  then have nFIN: ¬Finite(IntervalX(X, r, b, c)) using dense_order_inf_intervals[OF
assms(1) _ assms(3) _ assms(4)]
    \langle c \in X \rangle by auto
    fix d assume d∈IntervalX(X, r, b, c)
    then have \langle b,d \rangle \in r \langle d,c \rangle \in r d \in Xd \neq bd \neq c unfolding IntervalX_def using Order_ZF_2_L1
by auto
    then have d∈RightRayX(X,r,b) unfolding RightRayX_def by auto
  then have IntervalX(X, r, b, c)⊆RightRayX(X,r,b) by auto
  with nFIN show thesis using subset_Finite by auto
qed
The whole space in a densely ordered set is infinite.
corollary dense_order_infinite:
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r) X{is dense with respect to}r
     \exists x y. x \neq y \land x \in X \land y \in X
  shows \neg(X \prec nat)
proof-
  from assms(3) obtain b c where B:b∈Xc∈Xb≠c by auto
    assume ⟨b,c⟩∉r
     with assms(1) have ⟨c,b⟩∈r unfolding IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def us-
ing \langle b \in X \rangle \langle c \in X \rangle by auto
    with assms(2) B obtain z where z \in X - \{b,c\} \langle c,z \rangle \in r \langle z,b \rangle \in r unfolding
IsDense_def by auto
    then have IntervalX(X,r,c,b) \neq 0 unfolding IntervalX_def using Order_ZF_2_L1
    then have ¬(Finite(IntervalX(X,r,c,b))) using dense_order_inf_intervals[OF
assms(1) \_ \langle c \in X \rangle \langle b \in X \rangle assms(2)]
       by auto moreover
    have IntervalX(X,r,c,b)⊆X unfolding IntervalX_def by auto
    ultimately have ¬(Finite(X)) using subset_Finite by auto
    then have ¬(X<nat) using lesspoll_nat_is_Finite by auto
  }
  moreover
    assume \langle b, c \rangle \in r
    with assms(2) B obtain z where z \in X - \{b,c\} \langle b,z \rangle \in r \langle z,c \rangle \in r unfolding
IsDense_def by auto
    then have IntervalX(X,r,b,c)≠0 unfolding IntervalX_def using Order_ZF_2_L1
    then have ¬(Finite(IntervalX(X,r,b,c))) using dense_order_inf_intervals[OF
assms(1) \ \_ \ \langle b \in X \rangle \langle c \in X \rangle \ assms(2)]
       by auto moreover
```

```
have IntervalX(X,r,b,c)⊆X unfolding IntervalX_def by auto
     ultimately have ¬(Finite(X)) using subset_Finite by auto
     then have ¬(X<nat) using lesspoll_nat_is_Finite by auto
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
If an order topology is connected, then the order is complete. It is equivalent
to assume that r \subseteq X \times X or prove that r \cap X \times X is complete.
theorem conn_imp_complete:
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r) \existsx y. x \neq y \land x \in X \land y \in X r \subseteq X \times X
      (OrdTopology X r){is connected}
  shows r{is complete}
proof-
     assume ¬(r{is complete})
     then obtain A where A:A\neqOIsBoundedAbove(A,r)\neg(HasAminimum(r, \bigcapb\inA.
r {b})) unfolding
       IsComplete_def by auto
     from A(3) have r1: \forall m \in \bigcap b \in A. r {b}. \exists x \in \bigcap b \in A. r {b}. \langle m, x \rangle \notin r un-
folding HasAminimum_def
       by force
     from A(1,2) obtain b where r2: \forall x \in A. \langle x, b \rangle \in r unfolding IsBoundedAbove_def
     with assms(3) A(1) have A\subseteq Xb\in X by auto
     with assms(3) have r3: \forall c \in A. r {c}\subseteq X using image_iff by auto
     from r2 have \forall x \in A. b \in r\{x\} using image_iff by auto
     then have noE:b\in(\bigcap b\in A. r \{b\}) using A(1) by auto
       fix x assume x \in (\bigcap b \in A. r \{b\})
       then have \forall c \in A. x \in r\{c\} by auto
       with A(1) obtain c where c \in A \times \{c\} by auto
       with r3 have x \in X by auto
     then have sub: (\bigcap b \in A. r \{b\}) \subseteq X by auto
       fix x assume x:x\in(\bigcap b\in A. r \{b\})
       with r1 have \exists z \in \bigcap b \in A. r {b}. \langle x, z \rangle \notin r by auto
       then obtain z where z:z\in (\bigcap b\in A. r \{b\})\langle x,z\rangle \notin r by auto
       from x z(1) sub have x \in Xz \in X by auto
       with z(2) have \langle z, x \rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def
by auto
       then have xx:x \in RightRayX(X,r,z) unfolding RightRayX_def using \langle x \in X \rangle \langle \langle x,z \rangle \notin r \rangle
          assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def using total_is_refl unfold-
ing refl_def by auto
          fix m assume m∈RightRayX(X,r,z)
          then have m:m\in X-\{z\}\langle z,m\rangle\in r unfolding RightRayX_def by auto
          {
```

```
fix c assume c∈A
             with z(1) have \langle c,z\rangle \in r using image_iff by auto
             with m(2) have \langle c,m\rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by fast
             then have m∈r{c} using image_iff by auto
          with A(1) have m \in (\bigcap b \in A. r \{b\}) by auto
       then have sub1:RightRayX(X,r,z)\subseteq(\bigcap b\in A. r \{b\}) by auto
       have RightRayX(X,r,z)∈(OrdTopology X r) using
          \verb|base_sets_open[OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]]| \  \  \langle z{\in}X\rangle|
       with sub1 xx have \exists U \in (OrdTopology X r). x \in U \land U \subseteq (\bigcap b \in A. r \{b\})
by auto
     then have (\bigcap b \in A. \ r \{b\}) \in (OrdTopology \ X \ r) using topology0.open_neigh_open[OF
topology0_ordtopology[OF assms(1)]]
       by auto moreover
     {
       fix x assume x \in X - (\bigcap b \in A. r \{b\})
       then have x \in Xx \notin (\bigcap b \in A. r \{b\}) by auto
       with A(1) obtain b where x∉r{b}b∈A by auto
       then have \langle b, x \rangle \notin r using image_iff by auto
       with \langle A \subseteq X \rangle \langle b \in A \rangle \langle x \in X \rangle have \langle x, b \rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
          IsTotal_def by auto
       then have xx:x∈LeftRayX(X,r,b) unfolding LeftRayX_def using ⟨x∈X⟩
          assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def using total_is_refl unfold-
ing refl_def by auto
       {
          fix y assume y \in LeftRayX(X,r,b) \cap (\bigcap b \in A. r \{b\})
          then have y \in X - \{b\} \langle y, b \rangle \in r \forall c \in A. y \in r\{c\} unfolding LeftRayX_def by
auto
          then have y \in X(y,b) \in r \forall c \in A. (c,y) \in r using image_iff by auto
          with (beA) have y=b using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def antisym_def
by auto
          then have False using \langle y \in X - \{b\} \rangle by auto
       then have sub1:LeftRayX(X,r,b)\subseteq X-(\bigcap b\in A. r \{b\}) unfolding LeftRayX\_def
by auto
       have LeftRayX(X,r,b)∈(OrdTopology X r) using
          base\_sets\_open[OF Ordtopology\_is\_a\_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]] \  \  \langle b \in A \rangle \langle A \subseteq X \rangle 
       with sub1 xx have \exists U \in (OrdTopology X r). x \in U \land U \subseteq X - (\bigcap b \in A. r \{b\})
by auto
     then have X - (\bigcap b \in A. r \{b\}) \in (OrdTopology X r) using topology0.open_neigh_open[OF
topology0_ordtopology[OF assms(1)]]
       by auto
```

```
then have \bigcup (OrdTopology X r) - (\bigcap b \in A. r \{b\}) \in (OrdTopology X r) us-
\inf \ union\_ordtopology[OF \ assms(1,2)] \ by \ auto
     then have (\bigcap b \in A. \ r \ \{b\}) (is closed in) (OrdTopology X r) unfolding
IsClosed_def using union_ordtopology[OF assms(1,2)]
        sub by auto
     moreover note assms(4) ultimately
     have (\bigcap b \in A. \ r \ \{b\}) = 0 \lor (\bigcap b \in A. \ r \ \{b\}) = X \ using union_ordtopology[OF]
assms(1,2)] unfolding IsConnected_def
        by auto
     then have e1: (\bigcap b \in A. r \{b\})=X  using noE by auto
     then have \forall x \in X. \forall b \in A. x \in r\{b\} by auto
     then have r4: \forall x \in X. \forall b \in A. \langle b, x \rangle \in r using image_iff by auto
     {
        fix a1 a2 assume aA:a1 \in Aa2 \in Aa1 \neq a2
        with \langle A \subseteq X \rangle have aX:a1 \in Xa2 \in X by auto
        with r4 aA(1,2) have \langle a1,a2\rangle \in r\langle a2,a1\rangle \in r by auto
        then have a1=a2 using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def antisym_def
by auto
        with aA(3) have False by auto
     }
     moreover
     from A(1) obtain t where t\in A by auto
     ultimately have A={t} by auto
     with r4 have \forall x \in X. \langle t, x \rangle \in rt \in X using \langle A \subseteq X \rangle by auto
     then have HasAminimum(r,X) unfolding HasAminimum_def by auto
     with e1 have HasAminimum(r, \bigcap b \in A. r \{b\}) by auto
     with A(3) have False by auto
  then show thesis by auto
qed
If an order topology is connected, then the order is dense.
theorem conn_imp_dense:
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r) \exists x y. x \neq y \land x \in X \land y \in X
       (OrdTopology X r){is connected}
  shows X {is dense with respect to}r
proof-
  {
     assume \neg(X \{is dense with respect to\}r)
     then have \exists x1 \in X. \exists x2 \in X. \langle x1, x2 \rangle \in r \land x1 \neq x2 \land (\forall z \in X - \{x1, x2\} . \langle x1, z \rangle \notin r \lor \langle z, x2 \rangle \notin r)
        unfolding IsDense_def by auto
     then obtain x1 x2 where x:x1\in Xx2\in X\langle x1,x2\rangle\in rx1\neq x2 (\forall z\in X-\{x1,x2\}. \langle x1,z\rangle\notin r\vee\langle z,x2\rangle\notin r)
by auto
     from x(1,2) have P:LeftRayX(X,r,x2)∈(OrdTopology X r)RightRayX(X,r,x1)∈(OrdTopology
Xr)
        using base_sets_open[OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]]
by auto
        fix x assume x \in X-LeftRayX(X,r,x2)
```

```
then have x \in X \ x \notin LeftRayX(X,r,x2) by auto
      then have \langle x,x2\rangle \notin r \lor x=x2 unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
      then have \langle x2,x\rangle \in r \lor x=x2 using assms(1) \langle x\in X\rangle \ \langle x2\in X\rangle \ unfolding \ IsLinOrder_def
         IsTotal_def by auto
      then have s:\langle x2,x\rangle\in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def us-
ing total_is_refl ⟨x2∈X⟩
         unfolding refl_def by auto
      with x(3) have \langle x1,x\rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by fast
      then have x=x1 \lor x \in RightRayX(X,r,x1) unfolding RightRayX_def us-
ing \langle x \in X \rangle by auto
      with s have \langle x2,x1\rangle \in r \lor x \in RightRay X(X,r,x1) by auto
      with x(3) have x1=x2 \lor x\inRightRayX(X,r,x1) using assms(1) unfold-
ing IsLinOrder_def
         antisym_def by auto
      with x(4) have x \in RightRayX(X,r,x1) by auto
    then have X-LeftRayX(X,r,x2) \( \)RightRayX(X,r,x1) by auto moreover
      fix x assume x \in RightRayX(X,r,x1)
      then have xr:x\in X-\{x1\}\langle x1,x\rangle\in r unfolding RightRayX_def by auto
         assume x∈LeftRayX(X,r,x2)
         then have x1:x\in X-\{x2\}\langle x,x2\rangle\in r unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
         from xl xr x(5) have False by auto
      with xr(1) have x \in X-LeftRayX(X,r,x2) by auto
    }
    ultimately have RightRayX(X,r,x1)=X-LeftRayX(X,r,x2) by auto
    then have LeftRayX(X,r,x2){is closed in}(OrdTopology X r) using P(2)
union_ordtopology[
      OF assms(1,2)] unfolding IsClosed_def LeftRayX_def by auto
    with P(1) have LeftRayX(X,r,x2)=0\LeftRayX(X,r,x2)=X using union_ordtopology[
      OF assms(1,2)] assms(3) unfolding IsConnected_def by auto
    with x(1,3,4) have LeftRayX(X,r,x2)=X unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
    then have x2 \in LeftRayX(X,r,x2) using x(2) by auto
    then have False unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
  then show thesis by auto
qed
```

Actually a connected order topology is one that comes from a dense and complete order.

First a lemma. In a complete ordered set, every non-empty set bounded from below has a maximum lower bound.

```
lemma complete_order_bounded_below: assumes r{is complete} IsBoundedBelow(A,r) A \neq 0 r\subseteq X \times X shows HasAmaximum(r,\cap c\in A. r-{c})
```

```
proof-
  let M=\bigcap c\in A. r-\{c\}
  from assms(3) obtain t where A:t\in A by auto
     fix m assume m \in M
     with A have m∈r-{t} by auto
     then have \langle m,t \rangle \in r by auto
  then have (\forall x \in \bigcap c \in A. r - \{c\}. \langle x, t \rangle \in r) by auto
  then have IsBoundedAbove(M,r) unfolding IsBoundedAbove_def by auto
  moreover
  from assms(2,3) obtain 1 where \forall x \in A. \langle 1, x \rangle \in r unfolding IsBoundedBelow_def
by auto
  then have \forall x \in A. 1 \in r-\{x\} using vimage_iff by auto
  with assms(3) have 1∈M by auto
  then have M\neq 0 by auto moreover note assms(1)
  ultimately have \operatorname{HasAminimum}(r, \bigcap c \in M. r \{c\}) unfolding \operatorname{IsComplete\_def}
by auto
  then obtain rr where rr:rre(( c \in M. r \{c\}) \forall s \in ( c \in M. r \{c\}). \langle rr, s \in R \}
unfolding HasAminimum_def
     by auto
     fix aa assume A:aa∈A
        fix c assume M:c\in M
        with A have \langle c,aa \rangle \in r by auto
        then have aa \in r\{c\} by auto
     then have aa \in (\bigcap c \in M. r \{c\}) using rr(1) by auto
  then have A\subseteq (\bigcap c\in M. r \{c\}) by auto
  with rr(2) have \forall s \in A. \langle rr, s \rangle \in r by auto
  then have rr∈M using assms(3) by auto
  moreover
     \mathbf{fix}\ \mathtt{m}\ \mathbf{assume}\ \mathtt{m}{\in}\mathtt{M}
     then have rr \in r\{m\} using rr(1) by auto
     then have \langle m, rr \rangle \in r by auto
  then have \forall m \in M. \langle m, rr \rangle \in r by auto
  ultimately show thesis unfolding HasAmaximum_def by auto
qed
theorem comp_dense_imp_conn:
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r) \exists x y. x \neq y \land x \in X \land y \in X r \subseteq X \times X
      X {is dense with respect to}r r{is complete}
  shows (OrdTopology X r){is connected}
proof-
  {
```

```
assume ¬((OrdTopology X r){is connected})
             then obtain U where U:U≠OU≠XU∈(OrdTopology X r)U{is closed in}(OrdTopology
Xr)
                    unfolding IsConnected_def using union_ordtopology[OF assms(1,2)]
             from U(4) have A:X-U∈(OrdTopology X r)U⊆X unfolding IsClosed_def
using union_ordtopology[OF assms(1,2)] by auto
             from U(1) obtain u where u \in U by auto
             from A(2) U(1,2) have X-U\neq 0 by auto
             then obtain v where v \in X-U by auto
             with \langle u \in U \rangle \langle U \subseteq X \rangle have \langle u, v \rangle \in r \vee \langle v, u \rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
IsTotal_def
                    by auto
              {
                    assume \langle u, v \rangle \in r
                    have LeftRayX(X,r,v)∈(OrdTopology X r) using base_sets_open[OF
Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]]
                           \langle v \in X - U \rangle by auto
                    then have U∩LeftRayX(X,r,v)∈(OrdTopology X r) using U(3) using
Ordtopology_is_a_topology(1)
                            [OF assms(1)] unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
                           fix b assume b∈(U)∩LeftRayX(X,r,v)
                           then have \langle b, v \rangle \in r unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
                    then have bound:IsBoundedAbove(U∩LeftRayX(X,r,v),r) unfolding IsBoundedAbove_def
by auto moreover
                    with \langle \langle u,v \rangle \in r \rangle \langle u \in U \rangle \langle U \subseteq X \rangle \langle v \in X - U \rangle have nE:U\rangle LeftRayX(X,r,v)\neq 0 unfold-
ing LeftRayX_def by auto
                    ultimately have Hmin: HasAminimum(r, \bigcap c \in U \cap LeftRayX(X,r,v). r\{c\})
using assms(5) unfolding IsComplete_def
                           by auto
                    let min=Supremum(r,U∩LeftRayX(X,r,v))
                          fix c assume c∈U∩LeftRayX(X,r,v)
                           then have \langle c, v \rangle \in r unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
                    then have a1:\(\min,v\)\(\)\(\)\(\)\(\) using Order_ZF_5_L3[OF _ nE Hmin] assms(1)
unfolding IsLinOrder_def
                          by auto
                    {
                           assume ass:min \in U
                           then obtain V where V:min∈VV⊆U
                                  V \! \in \! \{ \texttt{IntervalX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b},\texttt{c}) \! : \ \langle \texttt{b},\texttt{c} \rangle \! \in \! \texttt{X} \times \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{LeftRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{X} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{A} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{A} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{A} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{A} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{A} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r},\texttt{b}) \: : \: \texttt{b} \! \in \! \texttt{A} \} \cup \{ \texttt{RightRayX}(\texttt{X},
b∈X} using point_open_base_neigh
                                  [OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)] ⟨U∈(OrdTopology
X r) ass] by blast
                                  assume V \in \{RightRayX(X,r,b) : b \in X\}
```

```
then obtain b where b:b∈X V=RightRayX(X,r,b) by auto
            note a1 moreover
            from V(1) b(2) have a2: \( b, \min \) \( \) \( \) ermin \( \neq b \) unfolding RightRayX_def
by auto
            ultimately have \( \bar{b}, \bar{v} \) \( \in \) using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by blast moreover
              assume b=v
               with a1 a2(1) have b=min using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
{\tt antisym\_def}\ {\tt by}\ {\tt auto}
              with a2(2) have False by auto
            ultimately have False using V(2) b(2) unfolding RightRayX_def
using \langle v \in X - U \rangle by auto
         moreover
            assume V \in \{LeftRayX(X,r,b) : b \in X\}
            then obtain b where b: V=LeftRayX(X,r,b) b∈X by auto
              assume \langle v, b \rangle \in r
              then have b=v \lor v \in LeftRayX(X,r,b) unfolding LeftRayX_def us-
ing \langle v \in X - U \rangle by auto
              then have b=v using b(1) V(2) \langle v \in X-U \rangle by auto
            then have bv: \( b, v \) \( \) \( e r \) using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
IsTotal_def using b(2)
              \langle v \in X - U \rangle by auto
            from b(1) V(1) have ⟨min,b⟩∈rmin≠b unfolding LeftRayX_def by
auto
            with assms(4) obtain z where z:\langle \min, z \rangle \in r\langle z, b \rangle \in rz \in X - \{b, \min\}
unfolding IsDense_def
              using b(2) V(1,2) \langle U \subseteq X \rangle by blast
            then have rayb:z\in LeftRayX(X,r,b) unfolding LeftRayX\_def by
auto
            from z(2) by have \langle z, v \rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by fast
            moreover
               assume z=v
              with by have \langle b,z \rangle \in r by auto
              with z(2) have b=z using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
{\tt antisym\_def}\ {\tt by}\ {\tt auto}
              then have False using z(3) by auto
            ultimately have z∈LeftRayX(X,r,v) unfolding LeftRayX_def us-
ing z(3) by auto
            with rayb have z \in U \cap LeftRayX(X,r,v) using V(2) b(1) by auto
            then have min∈r{z} using Order_ZF_4_L4(1)[OF _ Hmin] assms(1)
```

```
unfolding Supremum_def IsLinOrder_def
              by auto
            then have \langle z, min \rangle \in r by auto
            with z(1,3) have False using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
         moreover
            assume V \in \{IntervalX(X,r,b,c). \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X\}
            then obtain b c where b:V=IntervalX(X,r,b,c) beXc\in X by auto
            from b V(1) have m:\langle \min, c \rangle \in r\langle b, \min \rangle \in r\min \neq b \min \neq c unfolding
IntervalX_def Interval_def by auto
            {
              assume A:\langle c,v\rangle \in r
              from m obtain z where z:\langle z,c\rangle\in r \langle \min,z\rangle\in rz\in X-\{c,\min\} us-
ing assms(4) unfolding IsDense_def
                 using b(3) V(1,2) \langle U \subseteq X \rangle by blast
              from z(2) have \langle b,z \rangle \in r using m(2) assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def
                 by fast
               with z(1) have z \in IntervalX(X,r,b,c) \lor z=b using z(3) unfold-
\inf IntervalX_def
                 Interval_def by auto
               then have z \in IntervalX(X,r,b,c) using m(2) z(2,3) using assms(1)
unfolding IsLinOrder_def
                 antisym_def by auto
              with b(1) V(2) have z \in U by auto moreover
              from A z(1) have \langle z, v \rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by fast
              moreover have z\u00e1v using A z(1,3) assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
              ultimately have z∈U∩LeftRayX(X,r,v) unfolding LeftRayX_def
using z(3) by auto
              then have min∈r{z} using Order_ZF_4_L4(1)[OF _ Hmin] assms(1)
unfolding Supremum_def IsLinOrder_def
                 by auto
              then have \langle z, min \rangle \in r by auto
               with z(2,3) have False using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
            then have vc:\langle v,c\rangle \in rv \neq c using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
IsTotal_def using \langle v \in X - U \rangle
              b(3) by auto
            {
              assume min=v
               with V(2,1) \langle v \in X - U \rangle have False by auto
            then have min \neq v by auto
            with all obtain z where z:\langle \min, z \rangle \in r\langle z, v \rangle \in rz \in X-\{\min, v\} using
```

```
assms(4) unfolding IsDense_def
                                using V(1,2) \langle U \subseteq X \rangle \langle v \in X - U \rangle by blast
                           from z(2) vc(1) have zc:\langle z,c\rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def
                                 by fast moreover
                           from m(2) z(1) have \langle b,z \rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def
                                 by fast ultimately
                           have z∈Interval(r,b,c) using Order_ZF_2_L1B by auto moreover
                                assume z=c
                                then have False using z(2) vc using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def
                                      by fast
                          then have z\u222\u222c by auto moreover
                                assume z=b
                                then have z=min using m(2) z(1) using assms(1) unfolding
IsLinOrder_def
                                      antisym_def by auto
                                 with z(3) have False by auto
                          then have z\neq b by auto moreover
                          have z \in X using z(3) by auto ultimately
                          have z \in IntervalX(X,r,b,c) unfolding IntervalX\_def by auto
                           then have z \in V using b(1) by auto
                           then have z \in U using V(2) by auto moreover
                          from z(2,3) have z \in LeftRayX(X,r,v) unfolding LeftRayX_def by
auto ultimately
                          have z \in U \cap LeftRayX(X,r,v) by auto
                          then have min∈r{z} using Order_ZF_4_L4(1)[OF _ Hmin] assms(1)
unfolding Supremum_def IsLinOrder_def
                                by auto
                          then have \langle z, \min \rangle \in r by auto
                           with z(1,3) have False using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
                     ultimately have False using V(3) by auto
                then have ass:mineX-U using a1 assms(3) by auto
                then obtain V where V:min∈VV⊆X-U
                      V \in \{IntervalX(X,r,b,c) : \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X,r,b) : b \in X\} \cup \{RightRayX(X,r,b) : b \in X\} 
b∈X} using point_open_base_neigh
                      [OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)] \forall X-U \in (OrdTopology)
X r) ass] by blast
                {
                     assume V \in \{IntervalX(X,r,b,c). \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X\}
                     then obtain b c where b:V=IntervalX(X,r,b,c)b∈Xc∈X by auto
```

```
from b V(1) have m:\langle \min, c \rangle \in r \langle b, \min \rangle \in r \min \neq b \min \neq c \text{ unfolding IntervalX_def}
Interval_def by auto
             fix x assume A:x\in U\cap LeftRayX(X,r,v)
             then have \langle x,v \rangle \in rx \in U unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
             then have x\notin V using V(2) by auto
             then have x\notin Interval(r, b, c) \cap X \lor x=b \lor x=c using b(1) unfold-
ing IntervalX_def by auto
             then have (\langle b, x \rangle \notin r \lor \langle x, c \rangle \notin r) \lor x = b \lor x = cx \in X \text{ using Order_ZF_2_L1B}
\langle x \in U \rangle \langle U \subseteq X \rangle by auto
             then have (\langle x,b\rangle \in r \lor \langle c,x\rangle \in r) \lor x=b \lor x=c using assms(1) unfold-
ing IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def
                using b(2,3) by auto
             then have (\langle x,b\rangle \in r \lor \langle c,x\rangle \in r) using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
using total_is_refl
                unfolding refl_def using b(2,3) by auto moreover
             from A have \langle x, \min \rangle \in r using Order_ZF_4_L4(1)[OF _ Hmin] assms(1)
unfolding Supremum_def IsLinOrder_def
                by auto
             ultimately have (\langle x,b\rangle \in r \lor \langle c,min\rangle \in r) using assms(1) unfolding
IsLinOrder_def trans_def
                by fast
             with m(1) have (\langle x,b\rangle \in r \lor c=min) using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
             with m(4) have \langle x,b\rangle \in r by auto
          then have \( \min, b \) \( \) \( \) using \( \) Order_ZF_5_L3[OF _ nE \( \) Hmin] \( \) assms(1)
unfolding IsLinOrder_def by auto
          with m(2,3) have False using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
        }
        moreover
        {
          assume V \in \{RightRayX(X,r,b). b \in X\}
          then obtain b where b:V=RightRayX(X,r,b) b∈X by auto
          from b V(1) have m: \( b, \min \) \( \) \( \) ermin \( \) b unfolding RightRayX_def by
auto
             fix x assume A:x\in U\cap LeftRayX(X,r,v)
             then have \langle x,v \rangle \in rx \in U unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
             then have x\notin V using V(2) by auto
             then have x∉RightRayX(X,r, b) using b(1) by auto
             then have (\langle b, x \rangle \notin r \lor x = b) x \in X unfolding RightRayX_def using \langle x \in U \lor U \subseteq X \rangle
by auto
             then have \langle x,b\rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def us-
ing total_is_refl unfolding
                refl_def unfolding IsTotal_def using b(2) by auto
          then have \( \min, b \) \( \) \( \) using \( \) Order_ZF_5_L3[OF _ nE \( \) Hmin] \( \) assms(1)
```

```
unfolding IsLinOrder_def by auto
          with m(2,1) have False using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
       } moreover
           \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{V} {\in} \{\mathtt{LeftRayX}(\mathtt{X},\mathtt{r},\mathtt{b}) \,.\ \mathtt{b} {\in} \mathtt{X}\}
          then obtain b where b:V=LeftRayX(X,r,b) b\inX by auto
          from b V(1) have m: \(\mathre{m}\)in,b\\(\in\)ermin\(\neq\)b unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
            fix x assume A:x\in U\cap LeftRayX(X,r,v)
            then have \langle x,v \rangle \in rx \in U unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
            then have x\notin V using V(2) by auto
            then have x∉LeftRayX(X,r, b) using b(1) by auto
            then have (\langle x,b\rangle \notin r \lor x=b)x \in X unfolding LeftRayX_def using \langle x \in U \lor U \subseteq X \rangle
by auto
            then have \langle b,x\rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def us-
ing total_is_refl unfolding
               refl_def unfolding IsTotal_def using b(2) by auto
            with m(1) have \langle \min, x \rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by fast
             moreover
            from bound A have \exists g. \forall y \in U \cap LeftRayX(X,r,v). \langle y,g \rangle \in r using
nΕ
               unfolding IsBoundedAbove_def by auto
            then obtain g where g: \forall y \in U \cap LeftRayX(X,r,v). \langle y,g \rangle \in r by auto
            with nE obtain t where t \in U \cap LeftRayX(X,r,v) by auto
            with g have \langle t,g \rangle \in r by auto
             with assms(3) have g \in X by auto
            with g have boundX: \exists g \in X. \forall y \in U \cap LeftRayX(X,r,v). \langle y,g \rangle \in r by
auto
            have \langle x, min \rangle \in r using Order_ZF_5_L7(2)[OF assms(3) _ assms(5)
   boundX]
               assms(1) ⟨U⊆X⟩ A unfolding LeftRayX_def IsLinOrder_def by
auto
            ultimately have x=min using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
          then have U \cap LeftRayX(X,r,v) \subseteq \{min\} by auto moreover
            assume min \in U \cap LeftRayX(X,r,v)
            then have \min \in U by auto
            then have False using V(1,2) by auto
          }
          ultimately have False using nE by auto
       moreover note V(3)
       ultimately have False by auto
     with assms(1) have \langle v,u \rangle \in r unfolding IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def us-
```

```
ing \langle u \in U \rangle \langle U \subseteq X \rangle
                 \langle v \in X - U \rangle by auto
           have RightRayX(X,r,v)∈(OrdTopology X r) using base_sets_open[OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology
                 \langle v \in X - U \rangle \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathbf{auto}
           then have U∩RightRayX(X,r,v)∈(OrdTopology X r) using U(3) using Ordtopology_is_a_topol
                  [OF assms(1)] unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
                 fix b assume b \in (U) \cap RightRayX(X,r,v)
                 then have \langle v,b\rangle \in r unfolding RightRayX_def by auto
           then have bound: IsBoundedBelow(U∩RightRayX(X,r,v),r) unfolding IsBoundedBelow_def
by auto
            with \langle \langle v, u \rangle \in r \rangle \langle u \in U \rangle \langle U \subseteq X \rangle \langle v \in X - U \rangle have nE:U\RightRayX(X,r,v)\neq 0 unfold-
ing RightRayX_def by auto
           have \mbox{Hmax:HasAmaximum}(r, \bigcap c \in U \cap \mbox{RightRayX}(X, r, v). r - \{c\}) using complete_order_bounded_be
assms(5) bound nE assms(3)].
           let max=Infimum(r,U∩RightRayX(X,r,v))
                 fix c assume c∈U∩RightRayX(X,r,v)
                 then have \langle v,c \rangle \in r unfolding RightRayX_def by auto
           then have a1: \(\nabla_max\) \(\infty \) using Order_ZF_5_L4[OF _ nE Hmax] assms(1)
unfolding IsLinOrder_def
                 by auto
            {
                 assume ass:max∈U
                 then obtain V where V:max \in VV \CU
                        V \in \{IntervalX(X,r,b,c) : \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X,r,b) : b \in X\} \cup \{RightRayX(X,r,b) : b \in X\} 
b∈X} using point_open_base_neigh
                        [OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)] ⟨U∈(OrdTopology
X r) ass] by blast
                 {
                       \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{V} {\in} \{\mathtt{RightRayX}(\mathtt{X},\mathtt{r},\mathtt{b}) \,. \ \mathtt{b} {\in} \mathtt{X}\}
                       then obtain b where b:b∈X V=RightRayX(X,r,b) by auto
                       from V(1) b(2) have a2: \( \dots, \max \rangle \) = rmax\( \neq \dots \) unfolding RightRayX_def
by auto
                             assume \langle b, v \rangle \in r
                             then have b=v\v∈RightRayX(X,r,b) unfolding RightRayX_def us-
ing \langle v \in X - U \rangle by auto
                             then have b=v using b(2) V(2) \langle v \in X-U \rangle by auto
                       then have bv: \( \nabla \, , \nabla \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def
using b(1)
                             \langle v \in X - U \rangle by auto
                       from a2 assms(4) obtain z where z:\langle b,z\rangle\in r\langle z,max\rangle\in rz\in X-\{b,max\}
unfolding IsDense_def
                            using b(1) V(1,2) \langle U \subseteq X \rangle by blast
```

```
then have rayb:z∈RightRayX(X,r,b) unfolding RightRayX_def by
auto
         from z(1) by have \langle v,z\rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by fast moreover
           assume z=v
           with by have \langle z,b\rangle \in r by auto
           with z(1) have b=z using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
           then have False using z(3) by auto
         ultimately have z∈RightRayX(X,r,v) unfolding RightRayX_def us-
ing z(3) by auto
         with rayb have z \in U \cap RightRayX(X,r,v) using V(2) b(2) by auto
         then have maxer-{z} using Order_ZF_4_L3(1)[OF _ Hmax] assms(1)
unfolding Infimum_def IsLinOrder_def
           by auto
         then have \langle \max, z \rangle \in r by auto
         with z(2,3) have False using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
       }
       moreover
         assume V \in \{LeftRayX(X,r,b). b \in X\}
         then obtain b where b: V=LeftRayX(X,r,b) b∈X by auto
         note a1 moreover
         from V(1) b(1) have a2:\(\max,b\)\(\infty\) unfolding LeftRayX_def
by auto
         ultimately have \langle v,b \rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by blast moreover
         {
           assume b=v
           with a1 a2(1) have b=max using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
           with a2(2) have False by auto
         ultimately have False using V(2) b(1) unfolding LeftRayX_def us-
ing \langle v \in X - U \rangle by auto
       }
       moreover
       {
         assume V \in \{IntervalX(X,r,b,c). \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X\}
         then obtain b c where b:V=IntervalX(X,r,b,c) b∈Xc\in X by auto
         from b V(1) have m:\langle \max, c \rangle \in r\langle b, \max \rangle \in r\max \neq b \max \neq c unfolding IntervalX_def
Interval_def by auto
         {
           assume A:\langle v,b\rangle \in r
           from m obtain z where z:\langle z, max \rangle \in r \langle b, z \rangle \in rz \in X - \{b, max\} using
assms(4) unfolding IsDense_def
```

```
using b(2) V(1,2) \langle U \subseteq X \rangle by blast
           from z(1) have \langle z,c\rangle \in r using m(1) assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def
              by fast
           with z(2) have z \in IntervalX(X,r,b,c) \lor z=c using z(3) unfold-
ing IntervalX_def
              Interval_def by auto
           then have z ∈ IntervalX(X,r,b,c) using m(1) z(1,3) using assms(1)
unfolding IsLinOrder_def
              antisym_def by auto
           with b(1) V(2) have z \in U by auto moreover
           from A z(2) have \langle v,z\rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by fast
           moreover have z\u00e1v using A z(2,3) assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
           ultimately have z∈U∩RightRayX(X,r,v) unfolding RightRayX_def
using z(3) by auto
           then have maxer-{z} using Order_ZF_4_L3(1)[OF _ Hmax] assms(1)
unfolding Infimum_def IsLinOrder_def
              by auto
           then have \langle \max, z \rangle \in r by auto
           with z(1,3) have False using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def \ by \ auto
         then have vc:⟨b,v⟩∈rv≠b using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
IsTotal_def using \langle v \in X-U \rangle
           b(2) by auto
           assume max=v
           with V(2,1) \langle v \in X - U \rangle have False by auto
         then have v\neq max by auto moreover
         note a1 moreover
         have \max \in X using V(1,2) \langle U \subseteq X \rangle by auto
         moreover have v \in X using \langle v \in X - U \rangle by auto
         ultimately obtain z where z:\langle v,z\rangle\in r\langle z,max\rangle\in rz\in X-\{v,max\} using
assms(4) unfolding IsDense_def
           by auto
         from z(1) vc(1) have zc:\langle b,z\rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def
           by fast moreover
         from m(1) z(2) have \langle z,c\rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def
           by fast ultimately
         have z∈Interval(r,b,c) using Order_ZF_2_L1B by auto moreover
           then have False using z(1) vc using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def
```

```
by fast
                       then have z\neq b by auto moreover
                            assume z=c
                            then have z=max using m(1) z(2) using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
                                   antisym_def by auto
                             with z(3) have False by auto
                       then have z\neq c by auto moreover
                       have z \in X using z(3) by auto ultimately
                       have z∈IntervalX(X,r,b,c) unfolding IntervalX_def by auto
                       then have z \in V using b(1) by auto
                       then have z \in U using V(2) by auto moreover
                       from z(1,3) have z∈RightRayX(X,r,v) unfolding RightRayX_def by
auto ultimately
                       have z \in U \cap RightRayX(X,r,v) by auto
                       then have maxer-{z} using Order_ZF_4_L3(1)[OF _ Hmax] assms(1)
unfolding Infimum_def IsLinOrder_def
                            by auto
                       then have \langle \max, z \rangle \in r by auto
                       with z(2,3) have False using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
                 }
                 ultimately have False using V(3) by auto
           then have ass:max \in X-U using a1 assms(3) by auto
           then obtain V where V:max \in VVCX-U
                  \forall \in \{\text{IntervalX}(X,r,b,c) : \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X\} \cup \{\text{LeftRayX}(X,r,b) : b \in X\} \cup \{\text{RightRayX}(X,r,b) : b \in X\} \cup \{\text{RightRayX
b∈X} using point_open_base_neigh
                  [OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)] ⟨X-U∈(OrdTopology
X r) ass] by blast
           {
                 assume V \in \{IntervalX(X,r,b,c). \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X\}
                 then obtain b c where b:V=IntervalX(X,r,b,c)b∈Xc∈X by auto
                 \mathbf{from} \ \ \mathbf{b} \ \ \mathbf{V(1)} \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ \mathbf{m:} \\ \langle \mathbf{max}, \mathbf{c} \rangle \\ \in \mathbf{r} \\ \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{max} \rangle \\ \in \mathbf{rmax} \\ \neq \mathbf{b} \ \ \mathbf{max} \\ \neq \mathbf{c} \ \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ \ \mathbf{IntervalX\_def} 
Interval_def by auto
                       fix x assume A:x\in U\cap RightRayX(X,r,v)
                       then have \langle v, x \rangle \in rx \in U unfolding RightRayX_def by auto
                       then have x\notin V using V(2) by auto
                       then have x\notin Interval(r, b, c) \cap X \lor x=b \lor x=c using b(1) unfold-
ing IntervalX_def by auto
                       then have (\langle b, x \rangle \notin r \lor \langle x, c \rangle \notin r) \lor x = b \lor x = cx \in X using Order_ZF_2_L1B \langle x \in U \lor U \subseteq X \rangle
by auto
                       then have (\langle x,b\rangle \in r \lor \langle c,x\rangle \in r) \lor x=b \lor x=c using assms(1) unfolding
IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def
                             using b(2,3) by auto
                       then have (\langle x,b\rangle \in r \lor \langle c,x\rangle \in r) using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
```

```
using total_is_refl
            unfolding refl_def using b(2,3) by auto moreover
         from A have \langle max, x \rangle \in r using Order_ZF_4_L3(1)[OF _ Hmax] assms(1)
unfolding Infimum_def IsLinOrder_def
           by auto
         ultimately have (\langle \max, b \rangle \in r \lor \langle c, x \rangle \in r) using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def
         with m(2) have (max=b\lor(c,x)\inr) using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
         with m(3) have \langle c, x \rangle \in r by auto
       then have \langle c, max \rangle \in r using Order_ZF_5_L4[OF _ nE Hmax] assms(1) un-
folding IsLinOrder_def by auto
       with m(1,4) have False using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by auto
    }
    moreover
       assume V \in \{RightRayX(X,r,b). b \in X\}
       then obtain b where b:V=RightRayX(X,r,b) b∈X by auto
       from b V(1) have m: \( b, max \rangle \) ermax\( \neq b \) unfolding RightRayX_def by auto
         fix x assume A:x\in U\cap RightRayX(X,r,v)
         then have \langle v, x \rangle \in rx \in U unfolding RightRayX_def by auto
         then have x\notin V using V(2) by auto
         then have x∉RightRayX(X,r, b) using b(1) by auto
         then have (\langle b, x \rangle \notin r \lor x = b) x \in X unfolding RightRayX_def using \langle x \in U \lor U \subseteq X \rangle
by auto
         then have \langle x,b\rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def us-
ing total_is_refl unfolding
           refl_def unfolding IsTotal_def using b(2) by auto moreover
         from A have \langle max, x \rangle \in r using Order_ZF_4_L3(1)[OF _ Hmax] assms(1)
unfolding Infimum_def IsLinOrder_def
           by auto ultimately
         have ⟨max,b⟩∈r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def trans_def
by fast
         with m have False using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def antisym_def
by auto
       then have False using nE by auto
    } moreover
        assume V \in \{LeftRayX(X,r,b). b \in X\}
       then obtain b where b:V=LeftRayX(X,r,b) b∈X by auto
       from b V(1) have m:\max,b\end{ermax}b unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
         fix x assume A:x\in U\cap RightRayX(X,r,v)
         then have \langle v, x \rangle \in rx \in U unfolding RightRayX_def by auto
```

```
then have x\notin V using V(2) by auto
         then have x∉LeftRayX(X,r, b) using b(1) by auto
         then have (\langle x,b\rangle\notin r \lor x=b)x\in X unfolding LeftRayX_def using \langle x\in U\rangle \langle U\subseteq X\rangle
by auto
         then have \langle b,x\rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def us-
ing total_is_refl unfolding
            refl_def unfolding IsTotal_def using b(2) by auto
         then have b \in r - \{x\} by auto
       with nE have b \in (\bigcap c \in U \cap RightRayX(X,r,v). r-\{c\}) by auto
       then have \( \bar{b}, \max \) \( \) = r unfolding Infimum_def using Order_ZF_4_L3(2) [OF
_ Hmax] assms(1)
         unfolding IsLinOrder_def by auto
       with m have False using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def antisym_def
by auto
    moreover note V(3)
    ultimately have False by auto
  then show thesis by auto
qed
```

71.4 Numerability axioms

A κ -separable order topology is in relation with order density.

If an order topology has a subset A which is topologically dense, then that subset is weakly order-dense in X.

```
lemma dense_top_imp_Wdense_ord:
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r) Closure(A,OrdTopology X r)=X A\subseteq X \exists x y. x \neq x \in A
y \land x \in X \land y \in X
  shows A{is weakly dense in}X{with respect to}r
proof-
    fix r1 r2 assume r1\inXr2\inXr1\neqr2 \langler1,r2\rangle\inr
    then have IntervalX(X,r,r1,r2)\in{IntervalX(X, r, b, c) . \langle b,c \rangle \in X
\times X} \cup {LeftRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X} \cup
      {RightRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X} by auto
    then have P:IntervalX(X,r,r1,r2)∈(OrdTopology X r) using base_sets_open[OF
Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]]
    have IntervalX(X,r,r1,r2)⊆X unfolding IntervalX_def by auto
    then have int:Closure(A,OrdTopology X r)∩IntervalX(X,r,r1,r2)=IntervalX(X,r,r1,r2)
using assms(2) by auto
      assume IntervalX(X,r,r1,r2)\neq0
      then have A∩(IntervalX(X,r,r1,r2))≠0 using topology0.cl_inter_neigh[OF
topology0_ordtopology[OF assms(1)] _ P , of A]
```

using assms(3) union_ordtopology[OF assms(1,4)] int by auto

Conversely, a weakly order-dense set is topologically dense if it is also considered that: if there is a maximum or a minimum elements whose singletons are open, this points have to be in A. In conclusion, weakly order-density is a property closed to topological density.

Another way to see this: Consider a weakly order-dense set A:

- If X has a maximum and a minimum and $\{min, max\}$ is open: A is topologically dense in $X \setminus \{min, max\}$, where min is the minimum in X and max is the maximum in X.
- If X has a maximum, $\{max\}$ is open and X has no minimum or $\{min\}$ isn't open: A is topologically dense in $X \setminus \{max\}$, where max is the maximum in X.
- If X has a minimum, $\{min\}$ is open and X has no maximum or $\{max\}$ isn't open A is topologically dense in $X \setminus \{min\}$, where min is the minimum in X.
- If X has no minimum or maximum, or $\{min, max\}$ has no proper open sets: A is topologically dense in X.

```
lemma Wdense_ord_imp_dense_top:
   assumes IsLinOrder(X,r) A{is weakly dense in}X{with respect to}r A\subseteq X
\exists x y. x \neq y \land x \in X \land y \in X
       \texttt{HasAminimum(r,X)} \longrightarrow \{\texttt{Minimum(r,X)}\} \in (\texttt{OrdTopology X r}) \longrightarrow \texttt{Minimum(r,X)} \in \texttt{A}
       \operatorname{HasAmaximum}(r,X) \longrightarrow \{\operatorname{Maximum}(r,X)\} \in (\operatorname{OrdTopology} X r) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Maximum}(r,X) \in A
   shows Closure(A,OrdTopology X r)=X
proof-
   {
       fix x assume x \in X
       fix U assume ass:x∈UU∈(OrdTopology X r)
       then have \exists V \in \{IntervalX(X, r, b, c) : \langle b, c \rangle \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, c, b, c)\}
r, b) . b \in X} \cup {RightRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X} . V\subseteqU\landx\inV
          using point_open_base_neigh[OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]]
       then obtain V where V:V \in \{IntervalX(X, r, b, c) : \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X\} \cup \{IntervalX(X, r, b, c) : \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X\}
\{ \text{LeftRayX}(\texttt{X}, \texttt{r}, \texttt{b}) \ . \ \texttt{b} \in \texttt{X} \} \ \cup \ \{ \text{RightRayX}(\texttt{X}, \texttt{r}, \texttt{b}) \ . \ \texttt{b} \in \texttt{X} \} \ \texttt{V} \subseteq \texttt{U} \ \texttt{x} \in \texttt{V} \}
          by blast
       note V(1) moreover
```

```
{
        \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{V} {\in} \{\mathtt{IntervalX}(\mathtt{X}, \ \mathtt{r}, \ \mathtt{b}, \ \mathtt{c}) \ . \ \langle \mathtt{b}, \mathtt{c} \rangle \ \in \ \mathtt{X} \ \times \ \mathtt{X} \}
        then obtain b c where b:b∈Xc∈XV=IntervalX(X, r, b, c) by auto
        with V(3) have x:\langle b,x\rangle\in r \langle x,c\rangle\in r x\neq b x\neq c unfolding IntervalX_def
Interval_def by auto
        then have \( \bar{b}, c \) \( \) \( \) \( \) using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def trans_def
by fast
        moreover from x(1-3) have b\u2225c using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by fast
        moreover note assms(2) b V(3)
        ultimately have \exists z \in A - \{b,c\}. \langle b,z \rangle \in r \land \langle z,c \rangle \in r unfolding IsWeaklyDenseSub_def
        then obtain z where z \in Az \neq bz \neq c\langle b, z \rangle \in r\langle z, c \rangle \in r by auto
        with assms(3) have z \in Az \in IntervalX(X, r, b, c) unfolding IntervalX\_def
Interval_def by auto
        then have A \cap U \neq 0 using V(2) b(3) by auto
     moreover
        assume V \in \{RightRayX(X, r, b) : b \in X\}
        then obtain b where b:b∈XV=RightRayX(X, r, b) by auto
        with V(3) have x:\langle b,x\rangle\in r b\neq x unfolding RightRayX_def by auto more-
over
        note b(1) moreover
        have U⊆∪ (OrdTopology X r) using ass(2) by auto
        then have U⊆X using union_ordtopology[OF assms(1,4)] by auto
        then have x \in X using ass(1) by auto moreover
        note assms(2) ultimately
        have disj: (\exists z \in A - \{b,x\}. \langle b,z \rangle \in r \land \langle z,x \rangle \in r) \lor IntervalX(X, r, b, x)
= 0 unfolding IsWeaklyDenseSub_def by auto
        {
          assume B:IntervalX(X, r, b, x) = 0
             assume \exists y \in X. \langle x, y \rangle \in r \land x \neq y
             then obtain y where y:y\in X\langle x,y\rangle\in x\neq y by auto
             with x have x∈IntervalX(X,r,b,y) unfolding IntervalX_def Interval_def
                using \langle x \in X \rangle by auto moreover
             have \langle b, y \rangle \in r using y(2) x(1) assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by fast
             moreover have b≠y using y(2,3) x(1) assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
antisym_def by fast
             ultimately
             have (\exists z \in A - \{b,y\}. \langle b,z \rangle \in r \land \langle z,y \rangle \in r) using assms(2) unfolding
IsWeaklyDenseSub_def
               using y(1) b(1) by auto
             then obtain z where z \in A(b,z) \in rb \neq z by auto
             then have z \in A \cap V using b(2) unfolding RightRayX_def using assms(3)
by auto
             then have z \in A \cap U using V(2) by auto
```

```
then have A \cap U \neq 0 by auto
          moreover
            assume R: \forall y \in X. \langle x,y \rangle \in r \longrightarrow x=y
               fix y assume y∈RightRayX(X,r,b)
               then have y:\langle b,y\rangle \in r y\in X-\{b\} unfolding RightRayX_def by auto
               {
                 assume A:y≠x
                 then have \langle x,y \rangle \notin r using R y(2) by auto
                 then have \langle y, x \rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
IsTotal_def
                   using \langle x \in X \rangle y(2) by auto
                 with A y have y∈IntervalX(X,r,b,x) unfolding IntervalX_def
Interval_def
                   by auto
                 then have False using B by auto
               then have y=x by auto
            then have RightRayX(X,r,b)=\{x\} using V(3) b(2) by blast
            moreover
            {
               fix t assume T:t \in X
                 assume t=x
                 then have \langle t, x \rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
                    using Order_ZF_1_L1 T by auto
              moreover
                 assume t≠x
                 then have \langle x,t\rangle \notin r using R T by auto
                 then have \langle t,x \rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
IsTotal_def
                   using T \langle x \in X \rangle by auto
               ultimately have \langle t, x \rangle \in r by auto
            with (x \in X) have HM:HasAmaximum(r,X) unfolding HasAmaximum_def
by auto
            then have Maximum(r,X) \in X \forall t \in X. \langle t, Maximum(r,X) \rangle \in r using Order_ZF_4_L3
assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
               by auto
            with R \langle x \in X \rangle have xm:x=Maximum(r,X) by auto
            moreover note b(2)
            ultimately have V={Maximum(r,X)} by auto
            then have {Maximum(r,X)} \in (OrdTopology X r) using base_sets_open[OF
```

```
Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]]
               V(1) by auto
            with HM have \text{Maximum}(r,X) \in A using assms(6) by auto
            with xm have x \in A by auto
            with V(2,3) have A \cap U \neq 0 by auto
          ultimately have A∩U≠0 by auto
       moreover
       {
          assume IntervalX(X, r, b, x) \neq 0
          with disj have \exists z \in A - \{b,x\}. \langle b,z \rangle \in r \land \langle z,x \rangle \in r by auto
          then obtain z where z \in Az \neq b\langle b, z \rangle \in r by auto
          then have z∈Az∈RightRayX(X,r,b) unfolding RightRayX_def using
assms(3) by auto
          then have z \in A \cap U using V(2) b(2) by auto
          then have A \cap U \neq 0 by auto
       ultimately have A∩U≠0 by auto
     }
    moreover
       assume V \in \{LeftRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X\}
       then obtain b where b:b \in XV = LeftRay X(X, r, b) by auto
       with V(3) have x:\langle x,b\rangle\in r b\neq x unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto more-
over
       note b(1) moreover
       have U⊆∪ (OrdTopology X r) using ass(2) by auto
       then have U⊆X using union_ordtopology[OF assms(1,4)] by auto
       then have x \in X using ass(1) by auto moreover
       note assms(2) ultimately
       have disj: (\exists z \in A - \{b,x\}. \langle x,z \rangle \in r \land \langle z,b \rangle \in r) \lor IntervalX(X, r, x, b)
= 0 unfolding IsWeaklyDenseSub_def by auto
          assume B:IntervalX(X, r, x, b) = 0
            assume \exists y \in X. \langle y, x \rangle \in r \land x \neq y
            then obtain y where y:y\in X\langle y,x\rangle\in r\ x\neq y by auto
            with x have x \in IntervalX(X,r,y,b) unfolding IntervalX_{def} Interval_{def}
               using \langle x \in X \rangle by auto moreover
            have \langle y,b\rangle \in r using y(2) x(1) assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
trans_def by fast
            moreover have b \( \neq y \) using y(2,3) x(1) assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
\verb"antisym_def" by fast"
            ultimately
            have (\exists z \in A - \{b,y\}. \langle y,z \rangle \in r \land \langle z,b \rangle \in r) using assms(2) unfolding
IsWeaklyDenseSub_def
               using y(1) b(1) by auto
            then obtain z where z \in A(z,b) \in rb \neq z by auto
```

```
then have z \in A \cap V using b(2) unfolding LeftRayX_def using assms(3)
by auto
             then have z \in A \cap U using V(2) by auto
             then have A \cap U \neq 0 by auto
          moreover
             assume R: \forall y \in X. \langle y, x \rangle \in r \longrightarrow x=y
                fix y assume y∈LeftRayX(X,r,b)
                then have y:\langle y,b\rangle\in r y\in X-\{b\} unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
                  assume A:y≠x
                  then have \langle y, x \rangle \notin r using R y(2) by auto
                  then have \langle x,y \rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
IsTotal_def
                     using \langle x \in X \rangle y(2) by auto
                  with A y have y∈IntervalX(X,r,x,b) unfolding IntervalX_def
Interval_def
                     by auto
                  then have False using B by auto
                then have y=x by auto
             then have LeftRayX(X,r,b)=\{x\} using V(3) b(2) by blast
             moreover
             {
                fix t assume T:t\in X
                  assume t=x
                  then have \langle x,t\rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
                     using Order_ZF_1_L1 T by auto
                }
                moreover
                  assume t \neq x
                  then have \langle t, x \rangle \notin r using R T by auto
                  then have \langle x,t\rangle \in r using assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
IsTotal_def
                     \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{T} \ \langle \mathtt{x} {\in} \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
               ultimately have \langle x,t \rangle \in r by auto
             with \langle x \in X \rangle have HM:HasAminimum(r,X) unfolding HasAminimum_def
by auto
             then have Minimum(r,X) \in X \forall t \in X. \langle Minimum(r,X), t \rangle \in r using Order_ZF_4_L4
assms(1) unfolding IsLinOrder_def
                by auto
             with R \langle x \in X \rangle have xm:x=Minimum(r,X) by auto
```

```
moreover note b(2)
           ultimately have V={Minimum(r,X)} by auto
           then have {Minimum(r,X)}∈(OrdTopology X r) using base_sets_open[OF
Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(1)]]
              V(1) by auto
           with HM have Minimum(r,X) \in A using assms(5) by auto
           with xm have x \in A by auto
           with V(2,3) have A \cap U \neq 0 by auto
         ultimately have A∩U≠0 by auto
       }
       moreover
       {
         assume IntervalX(X, r, x, b) \neq 0
         with disj have \exists z \in A - \{b,x\}. \langle x,z \rangle \in r \land \langle z,b \rangle \in r by auto
         then obtain z where z \in Az \neq b\langle z, b \rangle \in r by auto
         then have z∈Az∈LeftRayX(X,r,b) unfolding LeftRayX_def using assms(3)
by auto
         then have z \in A \cap U using V(2) b(2) by auto
         then have A \cap U \neq 0 by auto
       ultimately have A \cap U \neq 0 by auto
    ultimately have A \cap U \neq 0 by auto
  then have \forall U \in (OrdTopology X r). x \in U \longrightarrow U \cap A \neq 0 by auto
  moreover note \langle x \in X \rangle moreover
  note assms(3) topology0.inter_neigh_cl[0F topology0_ordtopology[0F assms(1)]]
  union_ordtopology[OF assms(1,4)] ultimately have x \( \)Closure(A,OrdTopology
Xr)
    by auto
  then have X⊆Closure(A,OrdTopology X r) by auto
  with topology0.Top_3_L11(1)[OF topology0_ordtopology[OF assms(1)]]
    assms(3) union_ordtopology[OF assms(1,4)] show thesis by auto
qed
The conclusion is that an order topology is \kappa-separable iff there is a set A
with cardinality strictly less than \kappa which is weakly-dense in X.
theorem separable_imp_wdense:
  assumes (OrdTopology X r){is separable of cardinal}Q \exists x \ y. \ x \neq y \ \land
x \in X \land y \in X
    IsLinOrder(X,r)
  shows \exists \, A \in Pow(X). A \prec Q \wedge (A \{ is weakly dense in \}X \{ with respect to \}r)
  from assms obtain U where U 

Pow([](OrdTopology X r)) Closure(U,OrdTopology
X r) = \bigcup (OrdTopology X r) U \prec Q
    unfolding IsSeparableOfCard_def by auto
  then have U∈Pow(X) Closure(U,OrdTopology X r)=X U≺Q using union_ordtopology[OF
```

```
assms(3,2)
    by auto
  with dense_top_imp_Wdense_ord[OF assms(3) _ _ assms(2)] show thesis
by auto
qed
theorem wdense_imp_separable:
  assumes \exists x \ y. \ x \neq y \land x \in X \land y \in X \ (A\{\text{is weakly dense in}\}X\{\text{with }
respect to}r)
     \texttt{IsLinOrder}(\texttt{X},\texttt{r}) \ \texttt{A} {\prec} \texttt{Q} \ \texttt{InfCard}(\texttt{Q}) \ \texttt{A} {\subseteq} \texttt{X} 
  shows (OrdTopology X r){is separable of cardinal}Q
proof-
  {
    assume Hmin:HasAmaximum(r,X)
    then have MaxX:Maximum(r,X)∈X using Order_ZF_4_L3(1) assms(3) un-
folding IsLinOrder_def
      by auto
    {
      assume HMax: HasAminimum(r,X)
      then have MinX:Minimum(r,X)∈X using Order_ZF_4_L4(1) assms(3) un-
folding IsLinOrder_def
         by auto
      let A=A \cup \{Maximum(r,X),Minimum(r,X)\}
      have Finite(\{Maximum(r,X),Minimum(r,X)\}) by auto
      then have \{Maximum(r,X),Minimum(r,X)\}\ at using n_lesspoll_nat
         unfolding Finite_def using eq_lesspoll_trans by auto
      moreover
      from assms(5) have nat<Q\nat=Q unfolding InfCard_def
         using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll[of Qnat] unfolding lt_def succ_def
         using Card_is_Ord[of Q] by auto
      ultimately have \{Maximum(r,X),Minimum(r,X)\} \prec Q using lesspoll_trans
by auto
      with assms(4,5) have C:A \prec Q using less_less_imp_un_less
         by auto
      have WeakDense: A{is weakly dense in}X{with respect to}r using assms(2)
unfolding
         IsWeaklyDenseSub_def by auto
      from MaxX MinX assms(6) have S:A⊆X by auto
      then have Closure(A,OrdTopology X r)=X using Wdense_ord_imp_dense_top
         [OF assms(3) WeakDense \_ assms(1)] by auto
      then have thesis unfolding IsSeparableOfCard_def using union_ordtopology[OF
assms(3,1)
        S C by auto
    }
    moreover
      assume nmin: ¬HasAminimum(r,X)
       let A=A \cup \{Maximum(r,X)\}
      have Finite({Maximum(r,X)}) by auto
```

```
then have {Maximum(r,X)}≺nat using n_lesspoll_nat
        unfolding Finite_def using eq_lesspoll_trans by auto
      moreover
      from assms(5) have nat<Q\nat=Q unfolding InfCard_def
        using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll[of Qnat] unfolding lt_def succ_def
        using Card_is_Ord[of Q] by auto
      ultimately have {Maximum(r,X)} < Q using lesspoll_trans by auto
      with assms(4,5) have C:A≺Q using less_less_imp_un_less
        by auto
      have WeakDense:A{is weakly dense in}X{with respect to}r using assms(2)
unfolding
        IsWeaklyDenseSub_def by auto
      from MaxX assms(6) have S:A⊆X by auto
      then have Closure(A,OrdTopology X r)=X using Wdense_ord_imp_dense_top
        [OF assms(3) WeakDense _ assms(1)] nmin by auto
      then have thesis unfolding IsSeparableOfCard_def using union_ordtopology[OF
assms(3.1)
        S C by auto
    ultimately have thesis by auto
 moreover
    assume nmax:¬HasAmaximum(r,X)
      assume HMin: Has Aminimum (r, X)
      then have MinX:Minimum(r,X)∈X using Order_ZF_4_L4(1) assms(3) un-
folding IsLinOrder_def
        by auto
      let A=A \cup \{Minimum(r,X)\}
      have Finite({Minimum(r,X)}) by auto
      then have {Minimum(r,X)}≺nat using n_lesspoll_nat
        unfolding Finite_def using eq_lesspoll_trans by auto
      moreover
      from assms(5) have nat<Q\nat=Q unfolding InfCard_def
        using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll[of Qnat] unfolding lt_def succ_def
        using Card_is_Ord[of Q] by auto
      ultimately have \{Minimum(r,X)\} \prec Q \text{ using lesspoll\_trans by auto}
      with assms(4,5) have C:A<Q using less_less_imp_un_less
      have WeakDense:A{is weakly dense in}X{with respect to}r using assms(2)
unfolding
        IsWeaklyDenseSub_def by auto
      from MinX assms(6) have S:A⊆X by auto
      then have Closure(A,OrdTopology X r)=X using Wdense_ord_imp_dense_top
        [OF assms(3) WeakDense _ assms(1)] nmax by auto
      then have thesis unfolding IsSeparableOfCard_def using union_ordtopology[OF
assms(3,1)
        {\tt S} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt by} \ {\tt auto}
```

```
moreover
    {
      assume nmin: ¬HasAminimum(r,X)
      from assms(4,5) have C:A \prec Q by auto
      have WeakDense: A{is weakly dense in}X{with respect to}r using assms(2)
unfolding
        IsWeaklyDenseSub_def by auto
      from assms(6) have S:A\subseteq X by auto
      then have Closure(A,OrdTopology X r)=X using Wdense_ord_imp_dense_top
        [OF assms(3) WeakDense _ assms(1)] nmin nmax by auto
      then have thesis unfolding IsSeparableOfCard_def using union_ordtopology[OF
assms(3,1)
        S C by auto
    ultimately have thesis by auto
  ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
```

end

72 Properties in topology 2

```
theory Topology_ZF_properties_2 imports Topology_ZF_7 Topology_ZF_1b
Finite_ZF_1 Topology_ZF_11
```

begin

72.1 Local properties.

This theory file deals with local topological properties; and applies local compactness to the one point compactification.

We will say that a topological space is locally @term"P" iff every point has a neighbourhood basis of subsets that have the property @term"P" as subspaces.

definition

```
IsLocally (_{is locally}_ 90) where T{is a topology} \Longrightarrow T{is locally}P \equiv (\forall x \in \bigcup T. \forall b \in T. x \in b \longrightarrow (\exists c \in Pow(b). x \in Interior(c,T) \land P(c,T)))
```

72.2 First examples

Our first examples deal with the locally finite property. Finiteness is a property of sets, and hence it is preserved by homeomorphisms; which are

```
in particular bijective.
The discrete topology is locally finite.
lemma discrete_locally_finite:
  shows Pow(A){is locally}(\lambdaA.(\lambdaB. Finite(A)))
proof-
  have ∀b∈Pow(A). U(Pow(A){restricted to}b)=b unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by blast
  then have \forall b \in \{\{x\}. x \in A\}. Finite(b) by auto moreover
  have reg:∀S∈Pow(A). Interior(S,Pow(A))=S unfolding Interior_def by
auto
     fix x b assume x \in |Pow(A)| b \in Pow(A) x \in b
     then have \{x\}\subseteq b x\in Interior(\{x\}, Pow(A)) Finite(\{x\}) using reg by
     then have \exists c \in Pow(b). x \in Interior(c, Pow(A)) \land Finite(c) by blast
  then have \forall x \in [] Pow(A). \forall b \in Pow(A). x \in b \longrightarrow (\exists c \in Pow(b)). x \in Interior(c, Pow(A))
\wedge Finite(c)) by auto
  then show thesis using IsLocally_def[OF Pow_is_top] by auto
The included set topology is locally finite when the set is finite.
lemma included_finite_locally_finite:
  assumes Finite(A) and A\subseteq X
  shows (IncludedSet(X,A)){is locally}(\lambdaA.(\lambdaB. Finite(A)))
proof-
  have \forall b \in Pow(X). b \cap A \subseteq b by auto moreover
  note assms(1)
  ultimately have rr: \forall b \in \{A \cup \{x\}. x \in X\}. Finite(b) by force
     fix x b assume x \in \bigcup (IncludedSet(X,A)) b \in (IncludedSet(X,A)) x \in b
     then have A \cup \{x\} \subseteq b A \cup \{x\} \in \{A \cup \{x\}\}. x \in X\} and sub: b \subseteq X unfolding IncludedSet_def
by auto
     moreover have A \cup {x} \subseteq X using assms(2) sub \langle x \in b \rangle by auto
     then have x ∈ Interior(A ∪ {x}, IncludedSet(X, A)) using interior_set_includedset[of
A \cup \{x\}XA] by auto
     ultimately have \exists c \in Pow(b). x \in Interior(c, IncludedSet(X, A)) \land Finite(c)
using rr by blast
  then have \forall x \in J (IncludedSet(X,A)). \forall b \in (IncludedSet(X,A)). x \in b \longrightarrow
(\exists c \in Pow(b). x \in Interior(c, IncludedSet(X, A)) \land Finite(c)) by auto
  then show thesis using IsLocally_def includedset_is_topology by auto
qed
72.3
        Local compactness
definition
```

IsLocallyComp (_{is locally-compact} 70)

```
where T{is locally-compact}\equivT{is locally}(\lambdaB. \lambdaT. B{is compact in}T)
```

We center ourselves in local compactness, because it is a very important tool in topological groups and compactifications.

If a subset is compact of some cardinal for a topological space, it is compact of the same cardinal in the subspace topology.

```
lemma compact_imp_compact_subspace:
  assumes A{is compact of cardinal}K{in}T A\subseteqB
  shows A{is compact of cardinal}K{in}(T{restricted to}B) unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def
proof
  from assms show C:Card(K) unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def by auto
  from assms have A\subseteq \bigcup T unfolding <code>IsCompactOfCard_def</code> by auto
  then have AA:AC[](T{restricted to}B) using assms(2) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto moreover
     fix M assume M∈Pow(T{restricted to}B) A⊆[ ]M
     let M=\{S\in T. B\cap S\in M\}
     from ⟨M∈Pow(T{restricted to}B)⟩ have [ ]M⊆[ ]M unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
     with \langle A \subseteq \bigcup M \rangle have A \subseteq \bigcup MM \in Pow(T) by auto
     with assms have ∃N∈Pow(M). A⊂UN∧N≺K unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def
     then obtain N where N \in Pow(M) A \subseteq \bigcup N N \prec K by auto
     then have N{restricted to}B⊆M unfolding RestrictedTo_def FinPow_def
     moreover
    let f=\{\langle \mathfrak{B}, B\cap \mathfrak{B} \rangle : \mathfrak{B} \in \mathbb{N}\}
     have f:N->(N{restricted to}B) unfolding Pi_def function_def domain_def
RestrictedTo_def by auto
     then have f∈surj(N,N{restricted to}B) unfolding surj_def RestrictedTo_def
using apply_equality
       by auto
     from (N-K) have NSK unfolding lesspoll_def by auto
     with ⟨f∈surj(N,N{restricted to}B)⟩ have N{restricted to}B≲N using
surj_fun_inv_2 Card_is_Ord C by auto
     with (NKK) have N{restricted to}BKK using lesspoll_trans1 by auto
     moreover from (A \subseteq \bigcup N) have A \subseteq \bigcup (N\{\text{restricted to}\}B) using assms(2)
unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
     ultimately have \exists \, \mathbb{N} \in Pow(\mathbb{M}). \mathbb{A} \subseteq \bigcup \, \mathbb{N} \, \land \, \mathbb{N} \prec \mathbb{K} \, by auto
  with AA show A \subseteq \bigcup (T {restricted to} B) \land (\forallM\inPow(T {restricted to})
B). A \subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists N \in Pow(M). A \subseteq \bigcup N \land N \prec K)) by auto
qed
The converse of the previous result is not always true. For compactness, it
holds because the axiom of finite choice always holds.
```

lemma compact_subspace_imp_compact:

assumes A{is compact in}(T{restricted to}B) $A\subseteq B$

```
shows A{is compact in}T unfolding IsCompact_def
proof
  from assms show A \subseteq \bigcup T unfolding IsCompact_def RestrictedTo_def by
auto
next
     fix M assume M \in Pow(T) A \subseteq \bigcup M
     let M=M{restricted to}B
     from (MEPow(T)) have MEPow(T{restricted to}B) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
     from \langle A \subseteq | M \rangle have A \subseteq | M \rangle unfolding RestrictedTo_def using assms(2)
by auto
     with assms (M \in Pow(T\{restricted\ to\}B)) obtain N where N \in FinPow(M)
A C | JN unfolding IsCompact_def by blast
     from ⟨N∈FinPow(M)⟩ have N≺nat unfolding FinPow_def Finite_def us-
ing n_lesspoll_nat eq_lesspoll_trans
        by auto
     then have Finite(N) using lesspoll_nat_is_Finite by auto
     then obtain n where n∈nat N≈n unfolding Finite_def by auto
     then have N≲n using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
     moreover
        fix BB assume BB \in \mathbb{N}
        with ⟨N∈FinPow(M)⟩ have BB∈M unfolding FinPow_def by auto
        then obtain S where S\inM and BB=B\capS unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
        then have S \in \{S \in M. B \cap S = BB\} by auto
        then obtain \{S \in M. B \cap S = BB\} \neq 0 by auto
     then have \forall BB \in \mathbb{N}. ((\lambda W \in \mathbb{N}. {S \in M. B \cap S = W})BB)\neq 0 by auto moreover
     \mathbf{from} \  \, \langle \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{nat} \rangle \  \, \mathbf{have} \quad (\mathtt{N} \ \lesssim \ \mathtt{n} \ \land \ (\forall \, \mathtt{t} \in \mathtt{N}. \  \, (\lambda \mathtt{W} \in \mathtt{N}. \  \, \mathtt{S} \in \mathtt{M}. \  \, \mathtt{B} \cap \mathtt{S} = \mathtt{W}\}) \quad \mathtt{t} \ \neq \ \mathtt{0})
\longrightarrow (\exists f. f \in Pi(N, \lambda t. (\lambda W \in N. \{S \in M. B \cap S = W\}) t) \land (\forall t \in N. f t \in (\lambda W \in N.
{S∈M. B∩S=W}) t))) using finite_choice unfolding AxiomCardinalChoiceGen_def
\mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} blast
     ultimately
     obtain f where AA:f\inPi(N,\lambdat. (\lambdaW\inN. {S\inM. B\capS=W}) t) \forallt\inN. ft\in(\lambdaW\inN.
{S\inM. B\capS=W}) t by blast
     from AA(2) have ss:\forall t \in \mathbb{N}. ft\in \{S \in M. B \cap S = t\} using beta_if by auto
     then have \{ft. t \in \mathbb{N}\}\subseteq M by auto
        fix t assume t \in \mathbb{N}
        with ss have ft \in \{S \in M. B \cap S \in N\} by auto
     with AA(1) have FF:f:N \rightarrow \{S \in M. B \cap S \in N\} unfolding Pi_def Sigma_def us-
ing beta_if by auto moreover
        fix aa bb assume AAA:aa∈N bb∈N faa=fbb
        from AAA(1) ss have B∩ (faa) =aa by auto
        with AAA(3) have B∩(fbb)=aa by auto
```

```
with ss AAA(2) have aa=bb by auto
     ultimately have f \in inj(N, \{S \in M. B \cap S \in N\}) unfolding inj_{def} by auto
     then have f \in bij(N, range(f)) using inj_bij_range by auto
     then have f∈bij(N,fN) using range_image_domain FF by auto
     then have f \in bij(N, \{ft. t \in N\}) using func_imagedef FF by auto
     then have N\approx{ft. t\inN} unfolding eqpoll_def by auto
     with (N \approx n) have {ft. teN} \approx n using eqpoll_sym eqpoll_trans by blast
     with (n \in nat) have Finite(\{ft. t \in N\}) unfolding Finite_def by auto
     with ss have \{ft. t \in N\} \in FinPow(M) unfolding FinPow_def by auto more-
over
     {
       fix aa assume aa\inA
       with \langle A \subseteq | JN \rangle obtain b where b \in N and aa \in b by auto
       with ss have B\cap(fb)=b by auto
       with \langle aa \in b \rangle have aa \in B \cap (fb) by auto
       then have aa∈ fb by auto
       with \langle b \in \mathbb{N} \rangle have aa \in \bigcup \{ft. t \in \mathbb{N}\}\ by auto
     then have A\subseteq \{ \{ft. t\in \mathbb{N} \} \} by auto ultimately
     have \exists R \in FinPow(M). A \subseteq \bigcup R by auto
  then show \forall M \in Pow(T). A \subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists N \in FinPow(M). A \subseteq \bigcup N) by auto
qed
If the axiom of choice holds for some cardinal, then we can drop the compact
sets of that cardial are compact of the same cardinal as subspaces of every
superspace.
lemma Kcompact_subspace_imp_Kcompact:
  assumes A{is compact of cardinal}Q{in}(T{restricted to}B) A\subseteq B ({the
axiom of} Q {choice holds})
  shows A{is compact of cardinal}Q{in}T
  from assms(1) have a1:Card(Q) unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def RestrictedTo_def
by auto
  from assms(1) have a2:A \( \subseteq \)T unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def RestrictedTo_def
by auto
  {
     fix M assume M \in Pow(T) A \subseteq \bigcup M
    let M=M{restricted to}B
    from ⟨M∈Pow(T)⟩ have M∈Pow(T{restricted to}B) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
     from (A \subseteq \bigcup M) have A \subseteq \bigcup M unfolding RestrictedTo_def using assms(2)
     with assms (M \in Pow(T\{restricted\ to\}B)) obtain N where N: N \in Pow(M) A \subseteq []N
\mathbb{N} \prec \mathbb{Q} unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def by blast
     from N(3) have NSQ using lesspoll_imp_lepoll by auto moreover
       fix BB assume BB \in \mathbb{N}
```

```
with ⟨N∈Pow(M)⟩ have BB∈M unfolding FinPow_def by auto
         then obtain S where S\inM and BB=B\capS unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
         then have S \in \{S \in M. B \cap S = BB\} by auto
         then obtain \{S \in M. B \cap S = BB\} \neq 0 by auto
      then have \forall BB \in \mathbb{N}. ((\lambda W \in \mathbb{N}. {S \in M. B \cap S = W})BB)\neq 0 by auto moreover
      \mathbf{have} \quad (\mathtt{N} \lesssim \mathtt{Q} \ \land \ (\forall \, \mathtt{t} \in \mathtt{N}. \ (\lambda \mathtt{W} \in \mathtt{N}. \ \{\mathtt{S} \in \mathtt{M}. \ \mathtt{B} \cap \mathtt{S} = \mathtt{W}\}) \quad \mathtt{t} \ \neq \ \mathtt{0}) \ \longrightarrow \ (\exists \, \mathtt{f}. \ \mathtt{f} \in \mathtt{M}) \quad (\exists \, \mathtt{f}. \ \mathtt{f} \in \mathtt{M}) \quad (\exists \, \mathtt{f}. \ \mathtt{f} \in \mathtt{M})
\mathtt{Pi}(\mathtt{N},\lambda\mathtt{t}.\ (\lambda\mathtt{W}\in\mathtt{N}.\ \{\mathtt{S}\in\mathtt{M}.\ \mathtt{B}\cap\mathtt{S}=\mathtt{W}\}) \ \mathtt{t}) \ \land \ (\forall\mathtt{t}\in\mathtt{N}.\ \mathtt{f}\ \mathtt{t} \in (\lambda\mathtt{W}\in\mathtt{N}.\ \{\mathtt{S}\in\mathtt{M}.\ \mathtt{B}\cap\mathtt{S}=\mathtt{W}\})
t)))
         using assms(3) unfolding AxiomCardinalChoiceGen_def by blast
      ultimately
      obtain f where AA:f\inPi(N,\lambdat. (\lambdaW\inN. {S\inM. B\capS=W}) t) \forallt\inN. ft\in(\lambdaW\inN.
\{S \in M. B \cap S = W\}) t by blast
      from AA(2) have ss:\forall t \in \mathbb{N}. ft\in \{S \in M. B \cap S = t\} using beta_if by auto
      then have \{ft. t \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq M by auto
         fix t assume t \in \mathbb{N}
         with ss have ft \in \{S \in M. B \cap S \in N\} by auto
      with AA(1) have FF:f:N \rightarrow \{S \in M. B \cap S \in N\} unfolding Pi_def Sigma_def us-
ing beta_if by auto moreover
         fix aa bb assume AAA:aa \in \mathbb{N} bb\in \mathbb{N} faa=fbb
         from AAA(1) ss have B\cap (faa) =aa by auto
         with AAA(3) have B∩(fbb)=aa by auto
         with ss AAA(2) have aa=bb by auto
      }
      ultimately have f \in inj(N, \{S \in M. B \cap S \in N\}) unfolding inj_{def} by auto
      then have f∈bij(N,range(f)) using inj_bij_range by auto
      then have f∈bij(N,fN) using range_image_domain FF by auto
      then have f \in bij(N, \{ft. t \in N\}) using func_imagedef FF by auto
      then have N\approx{ft. t\inN} unfolding eqpoll_def by auto
      with (N \prec Q) have {ft. t\inN}\precQ using eqpoll_sym eq_lesspoll_trans by
blast moreover
      with ss have {ft. t∈N}∈Pow(M) unfolding FinPow_def by auto more-
over
         fix aa assume aa\inA
         with (A \subseteq \bigcup N) obtain b where b \in N and aa \in b by auto
         with ss have B\cap(fb)=b by auto
         with \langle aa \in b \rangle have aa \in B \cap (fb) by auto
         then have aa∈ fb by auto
         with \langle b \in \mathbb{N} \rangle have aa \in \bigcup \{ft. t \in \mathbb{N}\}\ by auto
      then have A\subseteq\bigcup\{\text{ft. }t\in\mathbb{N}\}\ by auto ultimately
      have \exists R \in Pow(M). A \subseteq \bigcup R \land R \prec Q by auto
   then show thesis using a1 a2 unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def by auto
```

```
qed
```

Every set, with the cofinite topology is compact.

```
lemma cofinite_compact:
  shows X {is compact in}(CoFinite X) unfolding IsCompact_def
proof
  show X (CoFinite X) using union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def
by auto
next
  {
    fix M assume M\inPow(CoFinite X) X\subseteq| JM
    {
       assume M=0 \lor M=\{0\}
       then have M∈FinPow(M) unfolding FinPow_def by auto
       with \langle X \subseteq | M \rangle have \exists N \in FinPow(M). X \subseteq | M \rangle by auto
    }
    moreover
       assume M \neq 0M \neq \{0\}
       then obtain U where U \in MU \neq 0 by auto
       with (M \in Pow(CoFinite X)) have U \in CoFinite X by auto
       with ⟨U≠0⟩ have U⊂X (X-U)≺nat unfolding Cofinite_def CoCardinal_def
by auto
       then have Finite(X-U) using lesspoll_nat_is_Finite by auto
       then have (X-U){is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. ([]T){is compact in}T)
using compact_spectrum
         by auto
       then have ((\bigcup (CoFinite (X-U))) \approx X-U) \longrightarrow ((\bigcup (CoFinite (X-U))) {is
compact in}(CoFinite (X-U))) unfolding Spec_def
         using InfCard_nat CoCar_is_topology unfolding Cofinite_def by
auto
       then have com:(X-U){is compact in}(CoFinite (X-U)) using union_cocardinal
unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
       have (X-U)\cap X=X-U by auto
       then have (CoFinite X){restricted to}(X-U)=(CoFinite (X-U)) us-
ing subspace_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
       with com have (X-U){is compact in}(CoFinite X) using compact_subspace_imp_compact[of
X-UCoFinite XX-U] by auto
       moreover have X-U\subseteq\bigcup M using \langle X\subseteq\bigcup M\rangle by auto
       moreover note ⟨M∈Pow(CoFinite X)⟩
       ultimately have ∃N∈FinPow(M). X-U⊆| JN unfolding IsCompact_def by
auto
       then obtain N where NCM Finite(N) X-UC()N unfolding FinPow_def
by auto
       with \langle U \in M \rangle have N \cup \{U\} \subseteq M Finite(N \cup \{U\}) X \subseteq \bigcup \{N \cup \{U\}\}\} by auto
       then have \exists N \in FinPow(M). X \subseteq \bigcup N unfolding FinPow_def by blast
    ultimately
    have \exists N \in FinPow(M). X \subseteq \bigcup N by auto
```

```
then show \forall M \in Pow(CoFinite X). X \subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists N \in FinPow(M)). X \subseteq \bigcup N
by auto
qed
A corollary is then that the cofinite topology is locally compact; since every
subspace of a cofinite space is cofinite.
corollary cofinite_locally_compact:
  shows (CoFinite X){is locally-compact}
proof-
  have cof:topology0(CoFinite X) and cof1:(CoFinite X){is a topology}
     using CoCar_is_topology InfCard_nat Cofinite_def unfolding topology0_def
by auto
    fix x B assume x \in \bigcup (CoFinite X) B \in (CoFinite X) x \in B
     then have x ∈ Interior (B, CoFinite X) using topology 0. Top_2_L3[OF cof]
by auto moreover
     \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle \mathtt{B} {\in} (\mathtt{CoFinite} \ \mathtt{X}) \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{B} {\subseteq} \mathtt{X} \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ \mathtt{Cofinite\_def} \ \mathtt{CoCardinal\_def}
by auto
     then have B \cap X = B by auto
     then have (CoFinite X){restricted to}B=CoFinite B using subspace_cocardinal
{\bf unfolding} \ {\tt Cofinite\_def} \ {\bf by} \ {\tt auto}
     then have B{is compact in}((CoFinite X){restricted to}B) using cofinite_compact
       union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
     then have B{is compact in}(CoFinite X) using compact_subspace_imp_compact
     ultimately have \exists c \in Pow(B). x \in Interior(c, CoFinite X) \land c\{is compact\}
in (CoFinite X) by auto
  then have (\forall x \in \bigcup (CoFinite X). \forall b \in (CoFinite X). x \in b \longrightarrow (\exists c \in Pow(b).
x \interior(c, CoFinite X) \land c(is compact in)(CoFinite X)))
     by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsLocallyComp_def IsLocally_def[OF cof1]
by auto
qed
In every locally compact space, by definition, every point has a compact
neighbourhood.
theorem (in topology0) locally_compact_exist_compact_neig:
  assumes T{is locally-compact}
  shows \forall x \in \bigcup T. \exists A \in Pow(\bigcup T). A{is compact in}T \land x \in int(A)
proof-
  {
     fix x assume x \in \bigcup T moreover
     then have \bigcup T \neq 0 by auto
     have | | TeT using union_open topSpaceAssum by auto
     ultimately have \exists c \in Pow([\ ]T). x \in int(c) \land c\{is\ compact\ in\}T using assms
```

```
IsLocally_def topSpaceAssum unfolding IsLocallyComp_def by auto
    then have \exists c \in Pow(\bigcup T). c{is compact in}T \land x\inint(c) by auto
  then show thesis by auto
ged
In Hausdorff spaces, the previous result is an equivalence.
theorem (in topology0) exist_compact_neig_T2_imp_locally_compact:
  assumes \forall x \in \bigcup T. \exists A \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(A) \land A \{is compact in\}T T \{is T_2\}
  shows T{is locally-compact}
proof-
    fix x assume x \in \bigcup T
    with assms(1) obtain A where A∈Pow([]T) x∈int(A) and Acom:A{is compact
    then have Acl:A{is closed in}T using in_t2_compact_is_cl assms(2)
    then have sub:AC()T unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
      fix U assume U \in T x \in U
      let V=int(A∩U)
      from \langle x \in U \rangle \langle x \in int(A) \rangle have x \in U \cap (int(A)) by auto
      moreover from (U \in T) have U \cap (int(A)) \in T using Top_2_L2 topSpaceAssum
unfolding IsATopology_def
         by auto moreover
      have U \cap (int(A)) \subseteq A \cap U using Top_2_L1 by auto
      ultimately have x \in V using Top_2_L5 by blast
      have V⊆A using Top_2_L1 by auto
      then have cl(V)⊆A using Acl Top_3_L13 by auto
      then have A \cap cl(V) = cl(V) by auto moreover
      have clcl:cl(V){is closed in}T using cl_is_closed (V\subseteq A) (A\subseteq \bigcup T) by
auto
      ultimately have comp:cl(V){is compact in}T using Acom compact_closed[of
AnatTcl(V)] Compact_is_card_nat
         by auto
         then have cl(V){is compact in}(T{restricted to}cl(V)) using compact_imp_compact_sub
cl(V)natT] Compact_is_card_nat
           by auto moreover
         have \bigcup (T\{\text{restricted to}\}cl(V))=cl(V) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
using clcl unfolding IsClosed_def by auto moreover
         ultimately have ([](T{restricted to}cl(V))){is compact in}(T{restricted
to}cl(V)) by auto
       }
      then have ([](T{restricted to}cl(V))){is compact in}(T{restricted
to}cl(V)) by auto moreover
      have (T{\text{restricted to}}cl(V)){\text{is }}T_2} using assms(2) T2_here clcl
unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
      ultimately have (T{restricted to}cl(V)){is T<sub>4</sub>} using topology0.T2_compact_is_normal
```

```
using Top_1_L4 unfolding isT4_def using T2_is_T1 by auto
      then have clvreg:(T{restricted to}cl(V)){is regular} using topology0.T4_is_T3
unfolding topology0_def isT3_def using Top_1_L4
         by auto
      have V\subseteq cl(V) using cl_contains_set \langle V\subseteq A \rangle \langle A\subseteq \bigcup T \rangle by auto
      then have V∈(T{restricted to}cl(V)) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
using Top_2_L2 by auto
      with \langle x \in V \rangle obtain W where Wop:We(T{restricted to}cl(V)) and clcont:Closure(W,(T{restricted to}cl(V)))
to\{cl(V)\}\subseteq V and cinW:x\in W
      using topology0.regular_imp_exist_clos_neig unfolding topology0_def
using Top_1_L4 clvreg
         by blast
      from clcont Wop have WCV using topology0.cl_contains_set unfold-
ing topology0_def using Top_1_L4 by auto
      with Wop have W∈(T{restricted to}cl(V)){restricted to}V unfold-
ing RestrictedTo_def by auto
      moreover from \langle V \subseteq A \rangle \langle A \subseteq \bigcup T \rangle have V \subseteq \bigcup T by auto
      then have V\subseteq cl(V)cl(V)\subseteq \bigcup T using \langle V\subseteq cl(V)\rangle Top_3_L11(1) by auto
      then have (T{restricted to}cl(V)){restricted to}V=(T{restricted
to}V) using subspace_of_subspace by auto
      ultimately have W∈(T{restricted to}V) by auto
      then obtain UU where UU∈T W=UU∩V unfolding RestrictedTo_def by
auto
      then have W∈T using Top_2_L2 topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto moreover
      have W⊆Closure(W,(T{restricted to}cl(V))) using topology0.cl_contains_set
unfolding topology0_def
         using Top_1_L4 Wop by auto
      ultimately have A1:x∈int(Closure(W,(T{restricted to}cl(V)))) us-
ing Top_2_L6 cinW by auto
      from clcont have A2:Closure(W,(T{restricted to}cl(V)))⊆U using
Top_2_L1 by auto
      have clwcl:Closure(W,(T{restricted to}cl(V))) {is closed in}(T{restricted
to}cl(V))
         using \ topology 0.cl\_is\_closed \ Top\_1\_L4 \ Wop \ unfolding \ topology 0\_def
by auto
      from comp have cl(V){is compact in}(T{restricted to}cl(V)) us-
ing compact_imp_compact_subspace[of cl(V)natT] Compact_is_card_nat
           by auto
      with clwcl have ((cl(V)\cap(Closure(W,(T{restricted to}cl(V)))))){is
compact in}(T{restricted to}cl(V))
         using compact_closed Compact_is_card_nat by auto moreover
      from clcont have cont:(Closure(W,(T{restricted to}cl(V))))⊆cl(V)
using cl_contains_set \langle V \subseteq A \rangle \langle A \subseteq \bigcup T \rangle
         by blast
      then have ((cl(V)\cap(Closure(W,(T{restricted to}cl(V))))))=Closure(W,(T{restricted to}cl(V)))))
to}cl(V))) by auto
      ultimately have Closure(W,(T{restricted to}cl(V))){is compact in}(T{restricted
```

unfolding topology0_def

```
then have Closure(W,(T{restricted to}cl(V))){is compact in}T using compact_subspace_imp_compact[of Closure(W,T{restricted to}cl(V))]
        cont by auto
        with A1 A2 have ∃c∈Pow(U). x∈int(c)∧c{is compact in}T by auto
    }
    then have ∀U∈T. x∈U → (∃c∈Pow(U). x∈int(c)∧c{is compact in}T)

by auto
    }
    then show thesis unfolding IsLocally_def[OF topSpaceAssum] IsLocallyComp_def
by auto
qed
```

72.4 Compactification by one point

Given a topological space, we can always add one point to the space and get a new compact topology; as we will check in this section.

```
definition
```

```
OPCompactification ({one-point compactification of}_ 90) where {one-point compactification of}T\equivT\cup{{\bigcupT}\cup((\bigcupT)-K). K\in{B\inPow(\bigcupT). B{is compact in}T \wedge B{is closed in}T}}
```

Firstly, we check that what we defined is indeed a topology.

```
theorem (in topology0) op_comp_is_top:
  shows ({one-point compactification of}T){is a topology} unfolding IsATopology_def
proof(safe)
  fix M assume M⊆{one-point compactification of}T
  then have disj:M\subseteq T\cup \{\{\bigcup T\}\cup ((\bigcup T)-K): K\in \{B\in Pow(\bigcup T): B\{is compact in\}T\}
∧ B{is closed in}T}} unfolding OPCompactification_def by auto
  let MT=\{A \in M. A \in T\}
  have MT⊆T by auto
  then have c1:∪MT∈T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def by
  let MK={A \in M. A \notin T}
  have []M=[]MK \cup []MT by auto
  from disj have MK \subseteq \{A \in M. A \in \{\{\bigcup T\} \cup ((\bigcup T) - K). K \in \{B \in Pow(\bigcup T). B\}\} is compact
in)T \land B{is closed in}T}}} by auto
  moreover have N: \bigcup T \notin (\bigcup T) using mem_not_refl by auto
  {
     fix B assume B\inM B\in{{\bigcup T}\cup((\bigcup T)-K). K\in{B\inPow(\bigcup T). B{is compact
in}T \land B\{is closed in}T\}\}
     then obtain K where K \in Pow(\bigcup T) B = \{\bigcup T\} \cup ((\bigcup T) - K) by auto
     with N have [ ]T∈B by auto
     with N have B∉T by auto
     with \langle B \in M \rangle have B \in MK by auto
  then have {A\inM. A\in{{\bigcup T}}\cup((\bigcup T)-K). K\in{B\inPow(\bigcup T). B{is compact in}T
\land B{is closed in}T}}}\subseteq MK by auto
```

```
ultimately have MK_def:MK={A\inM. A\in{{\bigcupT}\cup((\bigcupT)-K). K\in{B\inPow(\bigcupT).
B{is compact in}T \land B{is closed in}T}}} by auto
  let KK = \{K \in Pow(\bigcup T) : \{\bigcup T\} \cup ((\bigcup T) - K) \in MK\}
     assume MK=0
     then have \bigcup M = \bigcup MT by auto
     then have \bigcup M \in T using c1 by auto
     then have []Me{one-point compactification of}T unfolding OPCompactification_def
by auto
   }
  moreover
   {
     assume MK \neq 0
     then obtain A where A\inMK by auto
     then obtain K1 where A=\{[\ ]T\}\cup(([\ ]T)-K1)\ K1\in Pow([\ ]T)\ K1\{is\ closed\}\}
in)T K1{is compact in}T using MK_def by auto
     with \langle A \in MK \rangle have \bigcap KK \subseteq K1 by auto
     from (A \in MK) (A = \{[JT] \cup (([JT) - K1)) | (K1 \in Pow([JT)) | have KK \neq 0 by blast) \}
        fix K assume K∈KK
        then have \{\bigcup T\} \cup ((\bigcup T) - K) \in MK \ K \subseteq \bigcup T \ by \ auto
        then obtain KK where A:\{\bigcup T\}\cup((\bigcup T)-K)=\{\bigcup T\}\cup((\bigcup T)-KK)\ KK\subseteq\bigcup T\}
KK{is compact in}T KK{is closed in}T using MK_def by auto
        note A(1) moreover
        have (\bigcup T)-K\subseteq \{\bigcup T\}\cup ((\bigcup T)-K) (\bigcup T)-KK\subseteq \{\bigcup T\}\cup ((\bigcup T)-KK) \text{ by auto}
        ultimately have (\bigcup T)-K\subseteq \{\bigcup T\}\cup ((\bigcup T)-KK) (\bigcup T)-KK\subseteq \{\bigcup T\}\cup ((\bigcup T)-K)\}
by auto moreover
        from N have | JT \notin (| JT) - K | JT \notin (| JT) - KK by auto ultimately
        have (\bigcup T) - K \subseteq ((\bigcup T) - KK) (\bigcup T) - KK \subseteq ((\bigcup T) - K) by auto
        then have (\bigcup T) - K = (\bigcup T) - KK by auto moreover
        from \langle K \subseteq | JT \rangle have K = (| JT \rangle - ((| JT \rangle - K)) by auto ultimately
        have K=(\bigcup T)-((\bigcup T)-KK) by auto
        with \langle KK \subseteq \bigcup T \rangle have K=KK by auto
        with A(4) have K{is closed in}T by auto
     then have \forall K \in KK. K{is closed in}T by auto
     with ⟨KK≠0⟩ have (∩KK){is closed in}T using Top_3_L4 by auto
     with ⟨K1{is compact in}T⟩ have (K1∩(∩KK)){is compact in}T using Compact_is_card_nat
        compact_closed[of K1natT NK] by auto moreover
     from \langle \bigcap KK \subseteq K1 \rangle have K1 \cap (\bigcap KK) = (\bigcap KK) by auto ultimately
     have (\bigcap KK){is compact in}T by auto
     with ((\bigcap KK)) is closed in T (\bigcap KK \subseteq K1) (K1 \in Pow(\bigcup T)) have (\{\bigcup T\} \cup ((\bigcup T) - (\bigcap KK))\} \in (\{one-power T\})
compactification of}T)
        unfolding OPCompactification_def by blast
     have t:\bigcup MK = \bigcup \{A \in M. A \in \{\{\bigcup T\} \cup ((\bigcup T) - K). K \in \{B \in Pow(\bigcup T). B \} is compact
in}T \land B{is closed in}T}}}
        using MK_def by auto
        fix x assume x \in \bigcup MK
```

```
with t have x \in \bigcup \{A \in M. A \in \{\{\bigcup T\} \cup ((\bigcup T) - K). K \in \{B \in Pow(\bigcup T). B \}\} is
compact in)T \land B{is closed in)T}}} by auto
          then have \exists AA \in \{A \in M. A \in \{\{\bigcup T\} \cup ((\bigcup T) - K). K \in \{B \in Pow(\bigcup T). B \} \} is compact
in}T \land B{is closed in}T}}}. x\inAA
              using Union_iff by auto
          then obtain AA where AAp: AA \in \{A \in M. A \in \{\{\bigcup T\} \cup ((\bigcup T) - K). K \in \{B \in Pow(\bigcup T).\}\}
B{is compact in}T \land B{is closed in}T}}} x \in AA by auto
          then obtain K2 where AA={\bigcup T}\cup((\bigcup T)-K2) K2\inPow(\bigcup T)K2{is compact
in}T K2{is closed in}T by auto
          with \langle x \in AA \rangle have x = \bigcup T \lor (x \in (\bigcup T) \land x \notin K2) by auto
          \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle \mathtt{K2} \in \mathtt{Pow}(\bigcup \mathtt{T}) \rangle \ \ \langle \mathtt{AA} = \{\bigcup \mathtt{T}\} \cup ((\bigcup \mathtt{T}) - \mathtt{K2}) \rangle \ \ \mathtt{AAp}(\mathtt{1}) \ \ \mathtt{MK\_def} \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ \mathtt{K2} \in \mathtt{KK}
          then have \bigcap KK \subseteq K2 by auto
          with \langle x=| JT \lor (x\in (|JT) \land x\notin K2) \rangle have x=| JT \lor (x\in |JT \land x\notin KK)  by
auto
        then have x \in \{ | T \} \cup ((| T) - (\bigcap KK))  by auto
       then have []MK\subseteq\{[]T\}\cup(([]T)-(\bigcap KK)) by auto
       moreover
       {
          fix x assume x \in \{\bigcup T\} \cup ((\bigcup T) - (\bigcap KK))
          then have x=\bigcup T \lor (x \in (\bigcup T) \land x \notin \bigcap KK) by auto
          with \langle KK \neq 0 \rangle obtain K2 where K2\inKK x=\bigcup T \lor (x \in \bigcup T \land x \notin K2) by auto
          then have \{\bigcup T\} \cup ((\bigcup T) - K2) \in MK by auto
          with \langle x=| JT \lor (x \in JT \land x \notin K2) \rangle have x \in JMK by auto
       then have \{ | \ | \ T \} \cup ((| \ | \ T) - (\bigcap \ KK)) \subseteq | \ MK  by (safe, auto)
       ultimately have []MK=\{[]T\}\cup(([]T)-(\bigcap KK)) by blast
       from \langle \bigcup MT \in T \rangle have \bigcup T - (\bigcup T - \bigcup MT) = \bigcup MT by auto
       with \langle \bigcup MT \in T \rangle have (\bigcup T - \bigcup MT) {is closed in}T unfolding IsClosed_def
by auto
       have (( \mid \exists T) - ( \mid KK) ) \cup ( \mid \exists T - ( \mid \exists T - \mid MT) ) = ( \mid \exists T) - (( \mid KK) \cap ( \mid \exists T - \mid MT) ) by
auto
       then have (\{\bigcup T\} \cup ((\bigcup T) - (\bigcap KK))) \cup (\bigcup T - (\bigcup T - \bigcup MT)) = \{\bigcup T\} \cup ((\bigcup T) - ((\bigcap KK) \cap (\bigcup T - \bigcup MT)))
       with \langle JMK = \{ JT \} \cup ((JT) - (\bigcap KK)) \rangle \langle JT - (JT - JMT) = JMT \rangle have JMK \cup JMT = \{ JT \} \cup ((JT) - ((\bigcap KK)) \rangle \langle JT - (JT - JMT) = JMT \rangle
       by auto
       with \langle M=|MK \cup MT \rangle have unM:|M=\{|T\}\cup((|T)-((\bigcap KK)\cap(|T-|MT)))
       have ((\bigcap KK) \cap (\bigcup T - \bigcup MT)) {is closed in}T using ((\bigcap KK){is closed in}T)((\bigcup T - (\bigcup MT)){is
closed in T
          Top_3_L5 by auto
       moreover
       \mathbf{note} \ \langle (\bigcup \mathtt{T-}(\bigcup \mathtt{MT})) \{ \mathtt{is \ closed \ in} \} \mathtt{T} \rangle \ \langle (\bigcap \mathtt{KK}) \{ \mathtt{is \ compact \ in} \} \mathtt{T} \rangle
       then have ((\bigcap KK) \cap (\bigcup T - \bigcup MT)){is compact of cardinal}nat{in}T us-
ing compact\_closed[of \bigcap KKnatT(\bigcup T-\bigcup MT)] Compact\_is\_card\_nat
       then have ((\bigcap KK) \cap (\bigcup T - \bigcup MT)){is compact in}T using Compact_is_card_nat
by auto
```

```
ultimately have \{[T] \cup ([T - (MK) \cap ([T - MT))) \in \{\text{one-point compactification } \}\}
of}T
                     unfolding OPCompactification_def IsClosed_def by auto
              with unM have | JM∈{one-point compactification of}T by auto
       ultimately show | JM∈{one-point compactification of}T by auto
next
       fix U V assume U∈{one-point compactification of}T and V∈{one-point
compactification of \T
       then have A:U\in T\lor (\exists KU\in Pow(\bigcup T).\ U=\{\bigcup T\}\cup (\bigcup T-KU)\land KU\{is\ closed\ in\}T\land KU\{is\ closed\ in\}T\land U=\{\bigcup T\}\cup (\bigcup T-KU\}\land U=\{\bigcup T\}\cup (\bigcup T-KU)\land U=\{\bigcup T\}\cup (\bigcup T-KU)\cup (\bigcup
compact in}T)
              V \in T \lor (\exists KV \in Pow([]T). V = \{[]T\} \cup ([]T - KV) \land KV \{is closed in\}T \land KV \{is compact \}\}
in}T) unfolding OPCompactification_def
              by auto
   have N:[JT∉([JT) using mem_not_refl by auto
              assume U \in TV \in T
              then have U∩V∈T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def by
              then have U∩V∈{one-point compactification of}T unfolding OPCompactification_def
              by auto
       moreover
       {
              \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{U} {\in} \mathtt{T} \mathtt{V} {\notin} \mathtt{T}
              then obtain KV where V:KV{is closed in}TKV{is compact in}TV={| JT}∪(| JT-KV)
              using A(2) by auto
              with N (U \in T) have \bigcup T \notin U by auto
              then have \bigcup T \notin U \cap V by auto
              then have U \cap V = U \cap (\bigcup T - KV) using V(3) by auto
              moreover have []T-KVET using V(1) unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
              with (U \in T) have U \cap (\bigcup T - KV) \in T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto
              with \langle U \cap V = U \cap (\bigcup T - KV) \rangle have U \cap V \in T by auto
              then have U∩V∈{one-point compactification of}T unfolding OPCompactification_def
by auto
              }
      moreover
              \mathbf{assume}\ \mathtt{U}  \!\!\!/\!\!\!/ \mathtt{TV} \!\!\!\! \in \!\! \mathtt{T}
              then obtain KV where V:KV{is closed in}TKV{is compact in}TU={\bigcup T}\cup(\bigcup T-KV)
              using A(1) by auto
              with \mathbb{N} \langle \mathbb{V} \in \mathbb{T} \rangle have \bigcup \mathbb{T} \notin \mathbb{V} by auto
              then have UT∉U∩V by auto
              then have U \cap V = (\bigcup T - KV) \cap V using V(3) by auto
              moreover have UT-KV∈T using V(1) unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
               with \forall V \in T have ([]T-KV) \cap V \in T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto
              with \langle U \cap V = (\bigcup T - KV) \cap V \rangle have U \cap V \in T by auto
```

```
then have U∩V∈{one-point compactification of}T unfolding OPCompactification_def
by auto
    }
    moreover
         assume U∉TV∉T
         then obtain KV KU where V:KV{is closed in}TKV{is compact in}TV={\| \| JT}\( \| \| JT-KV)
           and U:KU{is closed in}TKU{is compact in}TU={| |T}∪(| |T-KU)
         using A by auto
         with V(3) U(3) have \bigcup T \in U \cap V by auto
         then have U \cap V = \{ \bigcup T \} \cup ((\bigcup T - KV) \cap (\bigcup T - KU)) \text{ using } V(3) \text{ } U(3) \text{ by auto} \}
         moreover have []T-KV\in T] T-KU\in T using V(1) U(1) unfolding IsClosed_def
by auto
         then have ([JT-KV)∩([JT-KU)∈T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
         then have (||T-KV)\cap(||T-KU)=||T-(||T-((||T-KV)\cap(||T-KU))) by auto
moreover
         with \langle (|T-KV) \cap (|T-KU) \in T \rangle have (|T-KV) \cap (|T-KU) \in T \rangle (||T-KV) have (||T-KV) \cdot (||T-KU) \cdot (||T-KU| \cdot (||T-KU) \cdot (||T-KU| \cdot (||T-KU) \cdot (||T-KU| \cdot (||T-KU| \cdot (|
in}T unfolding IsClosed_def
             by auto moreover
         from V(1) U(1) have (\bigcup T-(\bigcup T-KV)\cap(\bigcup T-KU))=KV\cup KU unfolding IsClosed_def
         with V(2) U(2) have (\bigcup T - (\bigcup T - KV) \cap (\bigcup T - KU)){is compact in}T using
union_compact[of KVnatTKU] Compact_is_card_nat
             InfCard_nat by auto ultimately
         have U∩V∈{one-point compactification of}T unfolding OPCompactification_def
by auto
    }
    ultimately show U \cap V \in \{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T by auto
The original topology is an open subspace of the new topology.
theorem (in topology0) open_subspace:
    shows | | Te{one-point compactification of}T and ({one-point compactification
of}T){restricted to}(JT=T
    show \bigcup T \in \{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T
    unfolding OPCompactification_def using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
    have T\subseteq (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T) \{\text{restricted to}\} \cup T \text{ unfold-
ing OPCompactification_def RestrictedTo_def by auto
    moreover
         fix A assume A∈({one-point compactification of}T){restricted to}||T
         then obtain R where R \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T) A = \bigcup T \cap R
unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
         then obtain K where K:R\in T \lor (R=\{\bigcup T\}\cup(\bigcup T-K) \land K\{is closed in\}T)
unfolding OPCompactification_def by auto
         with \langle A = \bigcup T \cap R \rangle have (A = R \wedge R \in T) \vee (A = \bigcup T - K \wedge K \{ \text{is closed in} \} T) using
```

```
mem_not_refl unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
         with K have A∈T unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
     ultimately
    show ({one-point compactification of}T){restricted to}{ | T=T by auto
We added only one new point to the space.
lemma (in topology0) op_compact_total:
    shows \bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}T)=\{\bigcup T\}\cup(\bigcup T)
proof-
    have O{is compact in}T unfolding IsCompact_def FinPow_def by auto
     moreover note Top_3_L2 ultimately have TT:0 \( \{ A \in Pow( | JT ) \). A{is compact
in)T \landA{is closed in}T} by auto
     have [](\{one-point compactification of}T)=([]T)\cup([]\{\{[]T}\cup([]T-K). K\in\{B\in Pow([]T).
B{is compact in}T\B{is closed in}T}}) unfolding OPCompactification_def
          by blast
     also have ...=([\ ]T)\cup\{[\ ]T\}\cup([\ ]\{([\ ]T-K).\ K\in\{B\in Pow([\ ]T).\ B\{is\ compact\ in\}T\wedge B\{i
closed in \T\}) using TT by auto
     ultimately show \bigcup (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T) = \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T) \text{ by }
auto
qed
The one point compactification, gives indeed a compact topological space.
theorem (in topology0) compact_op:
    shows (\{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T))\{\text{is compact in}\}(\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T)
unfolding IsCompact_def
proof(safe)
     have O{is compact in}T unfolding IsCompact_def FinPow_def by auto
     moreover note Top_3_L2 ultimately have 0∈{A∈Pow([]T). A{is compact
in)T \landA{is closed in}T} by auto
     then have \{|T\}\cup(|T)\in\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T unfolding OPCompactification_def
by auto
    then show \bigcup T \in \bigcup \{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T by auto
next
    fix x B assume x \in BB \in T
    then show x \in \bigcup ({one-point compactification of}T) using open_subspace
by auto
\mathbf{next}
    fix M assume A:M\subset({one-point compactification of}T) {||T} \cup ||T \subset ||M
    then obtain R where REM | TER by auto
    have []T∉[]T using mem_not_refl by auto
     with \langle R \in M \rangle \langle JT \in R \rangle A(1) obtain K where K:R={JT \cup (JT - K) K{is compact
in}TK{is closed in}T
          unfolding OPCompactification_def by auto
    from K(1,2) have B:\{[\]T\} \cup ([\]T) = R \cup K \text{ unfolding IsCompact_def by }
     with A(2) have K⊆[JM by auto
     from K(2) have K{is compact in}(({one-point compactification of}T){restricted
```

```
to}(JT) using open_subspace(2)
     by auto
  then have K{is compact in}({one-point compactification of}T) using
compact_subspace_imp_compact
     <K{is closed in}T> unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
  with \langle K \subseteq \bigcup M \rangle A(1) have (\exists N \in FinPow(M)). K \subseteq \bigcup M \rangle unfolding IsCompact_def
by auto
  then obtain N where N∈FinPow(M) K⊆[]N by auto
  with (R \in M) have (N \cup \{R\}) \in FinPow(M)R \cup K \subseteq \bigcup (N \cup \{R\}) unfolding FinPow_def
  with B show \exists N \in FinPow(M). \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T) \subseteq \bigcup N by auto
The one point compactification is Hausdorff iff the original space is also
Hausdorff and locally compact.
lemma (in topology0) op_compact_T2_1:
  assumes ({one-point compactification of}T){is T_2}
  shows T\{is T_2\}
  using T2_here[OF assms, of \bigcupT] open_subspace by auto
lemma (in topology0) op_compact_T2_2:
  assumes ({one-point compactification of}T){is T_2}
  shows T{is locally-compact}
proof-
     fix x assume x \in \bigcup T
     then have x \in \{ ( \ \ )T \} \cup ( \ \ \ )T \} by auto
     moreover have \bigcup T \in \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T) by auto moreover
     from \langle x \in \bigcup T \rangle have x \neq \bigcup T using mem_not_refl by auto
     ultimately have \exists U \in \{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T. \exists V \in \{\text{one-point point of}\}T.
compactification of T. x \in U \land (|T|) \in V \land U \cap V = 0
        using assms op_compact_total unfolding isT2_def by auto
     then obtain U V where UV:U∈{one-point compactification of}TV∈{one-point
compactification of \T
        x \in U \mid T \in VU \cap V = 0 by auto
     from \forall V \in \{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T \rangle \langle \bigcup T \in V \rangle \text{ mem_not_refl ob-
tain K where K:V=\{\bigcup T\}\cup(\bigcup T-K)K{is closed in}TK{is compact in}T
        unfolding OPCompactification_def by auto
     from \langle U \in \{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T \rangle have U \subseteq \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T) un-
folding OPCompactification_def
        using op_compact_total by auto
     with ⟨U∩V=0⟩ K have U⊆KK⊆[JT unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
     then have (|T) \cap U = U by auto moreover
     from UV(1) have ((\bigcup T) \cap U) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \{restricted\}
to}[]T
        unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
     ultimately have U∈T using open_subspace(2) by auto
     with \langle x \in U \rangle \langle U \subseteq K \rangle have x \in int(K) using Top_2_L6 by auto
     with \langle K \subseteq \bigcup T \rangle \langle K \{ \text{is compact in} \} T \rangle have \exists A \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(A) \land A \{ \text{is } \} \}
```

```
compact in T by auto
           then have \forall x \in \bigcup T. \exists A \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(A) \land A\{is compact in\}T by auto
           then show thesis using op_compact_T2_1[OF assms] exist_compact_neig_T2_imp_locally_compa
                       by auto
qed
lemma (in topology0) op_compact_T2_3:
           assumes T{is locally-compact} T{is T_2}
           shows ({one-point compactification of}T){is T_2}
proof-
            {
                      fix x y assume x \neq yx \in \bigcup \{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T\}y \in \bigcup \{\text{one-point compactification of}\}Ty of the point compactification of the point compactification of}\}Ty of the point compactification of the point compactificatio
compactification of}T)
                       then have S:x\in\{[\ ]T\}\cup([\ ]T)y\in\{[\ ]T\}\cup([\ ]T) using op_compact_total by
auto
                                   assume x \in \bigcup Ty \in \bigcup T
                                   with \langle x \neq y \rangle have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 using assms(2) un-
folding isT2_def by auto
                                   then have \exists U \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T). \exists V \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T)
compactification of}T). x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0
                                               unfolding OPCompactification_def by auto
                       moreover
                        {
                                   assume x∉[ JT∨y∉[ JT
                                   with S have x=[]T\lor y=[]T by auto
                                   with \langle x \neq y \rangle have (x = \bigcup T \land y \neq \bigcup T) \lor (y = \bigcup T \land x \neq \bigcup T) by auto
                                   with S have (x=\bigcup T \land y \in \bigcup T) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land x \in \bigcup T) by auto
                                   then obtain Ky Kx where (x=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land Ky \{ is compact in \}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=| JT \land K
Kx\{is\ compact\ in\}T\land x\in int(Kx))
                                               using assms(1) locally_compact_exist_compact_neig by blast
                                   then have (x=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is compact in\}T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Ky\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in int(Ky)) \lor (y=\bigcup T \land Xy\{is closed in\}T \land y \in 
Kx\{is\ compact\ in\}T\land\ Kx\{is\ closed\ in\}T\land x\in int(Kx)\}
                                               using in_t2_compact_is_cl assms(2) by auto
                                   then have (x \in \{ | T \} \cup (| T - Ky) \land y \in int(Ky) \land Ky \{ is compact in \} T \land Ky \{ is \} \}
closed in)T)\lor(y\in{\bigcupT}\cup(\bigcupT-Kx)\landx\inint(Kx)\land Kx{is compact in}T\land Kx{is
closed in T)
                                               by auto moreover
                                    {
                                               fix K
                                               assume A:K{is closed in}TK{is compact in}T
                                               then have K⊆∪T unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
                                               moreover have UT∉UT using mem_not_refl by auto
                                               ultimately have (\{\bigcup T\}\cup(\bigcup T-K))\cap K=0 by auto
                                               then have (\{|T\}\cup(|T-K|)\cap int(K)=0 \text{ using Top_2_L1 by auto more-}\}
over
                                               from A have \{ | T \} \cup (| T - K) \in (\{ \text{one-point compactification of} \} T \}
```

```
unfolding OPCompactification_def
                                  IsClosed_def by auto moreover
                           have int(K)∈({one-point compactification of}T) using Top_2_L2
unfolding OPCompactification_def
                                 by auto ultimately
                           have int(K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-K) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) \land \{\bigcup T\} \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup
compactification of T \land (\{ | T\} \cup (| T-K)) \cap int(K) = 0
                                 by auto
                    }
                    ultimately have (\{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T - Ky) \in (\{one-point compactification\})
of}T)\landint(Ky)\in({one-point compactification of}T)\landx \in {| |T} \cup (| |T - Ky)
\land y \in int(Ky) \land (\{[]T\} \cup ([]T-Ky)) \cap int(Ky)=0) \lor
                            (\{ | T\} \cup (| T - Kx) \in (\{ \text{one-point compactification of} \} T) \land \text{int}(Kx) \in (\{ \text{one-point } T \in T \} T)
compactification of T) \land y \in { | JT} \cup (| JT - Kx) \land x \in int(Kx) \land ({ | JT}\cup(| JT-Kx))\capint(Kx)=0)
by auto
                    moreover
                           assume (\{ | T\} \cup (|T - Ky) \in (\text{one-point compactification of} T) \land \text{int}(Ky) \in (\text{one-point of} T) \land \text{one-point of} T
compactification of T \land x \in \{ \bigcup T \} \cup (\bigcup T - Ky) \land y \in int(Ky) \land (\{\bigcup T - Ky)) \cap int(Ky) = 0 \}
                           then have \exists U \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T). \exists V \in (\{\text{one-point point of}\}T)
compactification of}T). x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 using exI[OF exI[of _ int(Ky)], of
\lambda U V. Ue({one-point compactification of}T)\wedge Ve({one-point compactification
of T) \land x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 \{ \bigcup T \} \cup (\bigcup T - Ky) \}
                                 by auto
                    } moreover
                    {
                           assume (\{|T\} \cup (|T - Kx) \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T) \land \text{int}(Kx) \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T)
compactification of T Y \in \{ | T \} \cup (| T - Kx) \land x \in int(Kx) \land (\{ | T \} \cup (| T - Kx)) \cap int(Kx) = 0 \}
                           then have \exists U \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T). \exists V \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T)
compactification of}T). x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 using exI[OF \ exI[of \ \ \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-Kx)], of
\lambda U V. Ue({one-point compactification of}T)\land Ve({one-point compactification
of}T) \land x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0int(Kx)]
                                 by blast
                    ultimately have \exists U \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T). \exists V \in (\{\text{one-point point of}\}T)
compactification of \( T \). x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0  by auto
             ultimately have \exists U \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T). \exists V \in (\{\text{one-point point of}\}T)
compactification of \( \text{T} \) . x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0  by auto
      then show thesis unfolding isT2_def by auto
In conclusion, every locally compact Hausdorff topological space is regular;
since this property is hereditary.
corollary (in topology0) locally_compact_T2_imp_regular:
      assumes T\{is locally-compact\} T\{is T_2\}
      shows T{is regular}
proof-
```

```
from assms have ( {one-point compactification of}T) {is T2} using op_compact_T2_3
by auto
  then have ({one-point compactification of}T) {is T_4} unfolding is T_4_def
using T2_is_T1 topology0.T2_compact_is_normal
    op_comp_is_top unfolding topology0_def using op_compact_total compact_op
  then have ({one-point compactification of}T) {is T<sub>3</sub>} using topology0.T4_is_T3
op_comp_is_top unfolding topology0_def
    by auto
  then have ({one-point compactification of}T) {is regular} using isT3_def
by auto moreover
  have []TG[]({one-point compactification of}T) using op_compact_total
by auto
  ultimately have (({one-point compactification of}T){restricted to}(|T)
{is regular} using regular_here by auto
  then show T{is regular} using open_subspace(2) by auto
qed
This last corollary has an explanation: In Hausdorff spaces, compact sets
are closed and regular spaces are exactly the "locally closed spaces" (those
which have a neighbourhood basis of closed sets). So the neighbourhood
basis of compact sets also works as the neighbourhood basis of closed sets
we needed to find.
definition
  IsLocallyClosed (_{is locally-closed})
  where T{is locally-closed} \equiv T{is locally}(\lambda B TT. B{is closed in}TT)
lemma (in topology0) regular_locally_closed:
  shows T{is regular} \longleftrightarrow (T{is locally-closed})
proof
  assume T{is regular}
  then have a:\forall x \in \{ \exists T. \forall U \in T. (x \in U) \longrightarrow (\exists V \in T. x \in V \land cl(V) \subseteq U) \text{ us-} \}
ing regular_imp_exist_clos_neig by auto
    fix x b assume x \in \bigcup Tb \in Tx \in b
    with a obtain V where V∈Tx∈Vcl(V)⊆b by blast
    \mathbf{note} \ \langle \mathtt{cl}(\mathtt{V}) \subseteq \mathtt{b} \rangle \ \mathbf{moreover}
    from (V \in T) have V \subseteq \bigcup T by auto
    then have V⊆cl(V) using cl_contains_set by auto
    with (x \in V) (V \in T) have x \in int(cl(V)) using Top_2_L6 by auto moreover
     from \  \, \langle V \subseteq \bigcup T \rangle \  \, have \  \, cl(V) \{is \  \, closed \  \, in\}T \  \, using \  \, cl_is\_closed \  \, by \  \, auto
     ultimately have x \in int(cl(V))cl(V)\subseteq bcl(V) {is closed in}T by auto
    then have \exists K \in Pow(b). x \in int(K) \land K\{is closed in\}T by auto
  then show T{is locally-closed} unfolding IsLocally_def[OF topSpaceAssum]
IsLocallyClosed_def
    by auto
\mathbf{next}
```

assume T{is locally-closed}

```
then have a: \forall x \in \bigcup T. \forall b \in T. x \in b \longrightarrow (\exists K \in Pow(b). x \in int(K) \land K \{ is closed in \}T \} unfolding IsLocally_def[OF topSpaceAssum]

IsLocallyClosed_def by auto

{
    fix x b assume x \in \bigcup Tb \in Tx \in b
    with a obtain K where K:K \subseteq bx \in int(K) \setminus K \{ is closed in \}T \} by blast have int(K) \subseteq K  using Top_2 = L1  by auto
    with K(3) have cl(int(K)) \subseteq K  using Top_3 = L13  by auto
    with K(1) have cl(int(K)) \subseteq b  by auto moreover
    have int(K) \in T  using Top_2 = L2  by auto moreover
    note (x \in int(K))  ultimately have \exists V \in T . x \in V \land cl(V) \subseteq b  by auto
    }

    then have \forall x \in \bigcup T . \forall b \in T . x \in b \longrightarrow (\exists V \in T . x \in V \land cl(V) \subseteq b  by auto
    then show T \{ is regular \}  using exist_clos_neig_imp_regular by auto
    qed
```

72.5 Hereditary properties and local properties

In this section, we prove a relation between a property and its local property for hereditary properties. Then we apply it to locally-Hausdorff or locally- T_2 . We also prove the relation between locally- T_2 and another property that appeared when considering anti-properties, the anti-hyperconnectness.

If a property is hereditary in open sets, then local properties are equivalent to find just one open neighbourhood with that property instead of a whole local basis.

```
lemma (in topology0) her_P_is_loc_P:
         \mathbf{assumes} \ \forall \, \mathsf{TT.} \ \forall \, \mathsf{B} \in \mathsf{Pow}(\bigcup \, \mathsf{TT}) \, . \ \forall \, \mathsf{A} \in \mathsf{TT.} \ \mathsf{TT} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B \cap A,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B \cap A,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B \cap A,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B \cap A,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B \cap A,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B \cap A,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B \cap A,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B \cap A,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B \cap A,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B \cap A,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B \cap A,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B \cap A,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B \cap A,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B \cap A,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \land \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)} \ \to \ \mathsf{P(B,TT)} \\ \mathsf{(is a topology)}
         shows (T{is locally}P) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \bigcup T. \exists A \in T. x \in A \land P(A,T))
proof
         assume A:T{is locally}P
                   fix x assume x:x\in JT
                   with A have \forall b \in T. x \in b \longrightarrow (\exists c \in Pow(b). x \in int(c) \land P(c,T)) unfolding
IsLocally_def[OF topSpaceAssum]
                             by auto moreover
                   note x moreover
                   have | JT∈T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
                   ultimately have \exists c \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(c) \land P(c,T) by auto
                   then obtain c where c:c\subseteq\bigcup Tx\in int(c)P(c,T) by auto
                   have P:int(c)∈T using Top_2_L2 by auto moreover
                   from c(1,3) topSpaceAssum assms have \forall A \in T. P(c\cap A,T) by auto
                   ultimately have P(c∩int(c),T) by auto moreover
                   from Top_2_L1[of c] have int(c)⊆c by auto
                   then have c\cap int(c)=int(c) by auto
                   ultimately have P(int(c),T) by auto
                    with P c(2) have \exists V \in T. x \in V \land P(V,T) by auto
          }
```

```
then show \forall x \in \bigcup T. \exists V \in T. x \in V \land P(V,T) by auto
     assume A: \forall x \in \bigcup T. \exists A \in T. x \in A \land P(A, T)
           fix x assume x:x\in I
                 fix b assume b:x \in bb \in T
                 from x A obtain A where A_{def}:A\in Tx\in AP(A,T) by auto
                 from A_def(1,3) assms topSpaceAssum have \forall \, G \in T. P(A\cap G,T) by auto
                 with b(2) have P(A \cap b,T) by auto
                 moreover from b(1) A_def(2) have x \in A \cap b by auto moreover
                 have A∩b∈T using b(2) A_def(1) topSpaceAssum IsATopology_def by
auto
                 then have int(A \cap b) = A \cap b using Top_2_L3 by auto
                 ultimately have x \in int(A \cap b) \land P(A \cap b, T) by auto
                 then have \exists c \in Pow(b). x \in int(c) \land P(c,T) by auto
           then have \forall b \in T. x \in b \longrightarrow (\exists c \in Pow(b). x \in int(c) \land P(c,T)) by auto
     then show T{is locally}P unfolding IsLocally_def[OF topSpaceAssum]
by auto
qed
definition
      IsLocallyT2 (_{is locally-T<sub>2</sub>} 70)
      where T{is locally-T<sub>2</sub>}\equivT{is locally}(\lambdaB. \lambdaT. (T{restricted to}B){is
Since T_2 is an hereditary property, we can apply the previous lemma.
corollary (in topology0) loc_T2:
     shows (T{is locally-T<sub>2</sub>}) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x\in[ ]T. \exists A\inT. x\inA\land(T{restricted to}A){is
T_2
proof-
     {
           fix TT B A assume TT:TT{is a topology} (TT{restricted to}B){is T2}
A \in TTB \in Pow(||TT||
           then have s:B\cap A\subseteq BB\subseteq \bigcup TT by auto
           then have (TT{restricted to}(B \cap A))=(TT{restricted to}B){restricted}
to}(B∩A) using subspace_of_subspace
                 by auto moreover
           have [](TT{restricted to}B)=B unfolding RestrictedTo_def using s(2)
           then have B \cap A \subseteq I (TT{restricted to}B) using s(1) by auto moreover
           note TT(2) ultimately have (TT{restricted to}(B\cap A)){is T<sub>2</sub>} using T2_here
                 by auto
     then have \forall TT. \forall B \in Pow(\bigcup TT). \forall A \in TT. TT\{is a topology\} \land (TT\{restricted)\} \land (TT\{r
to}B){is T_2} \longrightarrow (TT{restricted to}(B\capA)){is T_2}
```

```
with her_P_is_loc_P[where P=\lambdaA. \lambdaTT. (TT{restricted to}A){is T<sub>2</sub>}] show
thesis unfolding IsLocallyT2_def by auto
First, we prove that a locally-T_2 space is anti-hyperconnected.
Before starting, let's prove that an open subspace of an hyperconnected
space is hyperconnected.
lemma(in topology0) open_subspace_hyperconn:
  assumes T{is hyperconnected} U \in T
  shows (T{restricted to}U){is hyperconnected}
proof-
    fix A B assume A∈(T{restricted to}U)B∈(T{restricted to}U)A∩B=0
    then obtain AU BU where A=U∩AUB=U∩BU AU∈TBU∈T unfolding RestrictedTo_def
    then have A∈TB∈T using topSpaceAssum assms(2) unfolding IsATopology_def
    with (A \cap B=0) have A=0 \lor B=0 using assms(1) unfolding IsHConnected_def
by auto
  }
  then show thesis unfolding IsHConnected_def by auto
qed
lemma(in topology0) locally_T2_is_antiHConn:
  assumes T{is locally-T<sub>2</sub>}
  shows T{is anti-}IsHConnected
proof-
    fix A assume A:A∈Pow([]T)(T{restricted to}A){is hyperconnected}
      fix x assume x \in A
      with A(1) have x \in \bigcup T by auto moreover
      have | | TeT using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
ultimately
      have \exists c \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(c) \land (T \{restricted to\} c) \{is T_2\} us-
ing assms
        unfolding IsLocallyT2_def IsLocally_def[OF topSpaceAssum] by auto
      then obtain c where c:c\in Pow(\bigcup T)x\in int(c)(T \{restricted to\} c) \{is
T_2} by auto
      have [](T {restricted to} c)=([]T)∩c unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
      with \langle c \in Pow([\ ]T) \rangle \langle [\ ]T \in T \rangle have tot: [\ ](T \{ restricted to \} c) = c by auto
      have int(c)∈T using Top_2_L2 by auto
      then have A∩(int(c))∈(T{restricted to}A) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
```

by auto

hyperconnected}

with A(2) have ((T{restricted to}A){restricted to}(A \cap (int(c)))){is

```
using topology0.open_subspace_hyperconn unfolding topology0_def
using Top_1_L4
          by auto
       then have (T\{\text{restricted to}\}(A\cap(\text{int(c)})))\{\text{is hyperconnected}\}\ us-
ing subspace_of_subspace[of A∩(int(c))
          AT] A(1) by force moreover
       have int(c)⊆c using Top_2_L1 by auto
       then have sub:A\cap(int(c))\subseteq c by auto
       then have A \cap (int(c)) \subseteq \bigcup (T \{restricted to\} c) using tot by auto
       then have ((T {restricted to} c){restricted to}(A\cap(int(c)))) {is
T_2} using
          T2_here[OF c(3)] by auto
       with sub have (T {restricted to}(A\cap(int(c)))){is T_2} using subspace_of_subspace[of
A\cap(int(c))
          cT] \langle c \in Pow(\bigcup T) \rangle by auto
       ultimately have (T{restricted to}(A∩(int(c)))){is hyperconnected}(T
{restricted to}(A \cap (int(c))){is T_2}
          by auto
       then have (T{\text{restricted to}}(A\cap(\text{int(c)}))) is hyperconnected}(T {restricted
to\{(A \cap (int(c)))\} is anti-\{IsHConnected\}
          using topology0.T2_imp_anti_HConn unfolding topology0_def us-
ing Top_1_L4 by auto
       moreover
       have \bigcup (T\{\text{restricted to}\}(A\cap(\text{int(c)})))=(\bigcup T)\cap A\cap(\text{int(c)}) unfold-
ing RestrictedTo_def by auto
       with A(1) Top_2_L2 have [ ](T\{restricted\ to\}(A\cap(int(c))))=A\cap(int(c))
       then have A \cap (int(c)) \subseteq \bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}(A \cap (int(c)))) by auto
moreover
       have A \cap (int(c)) \subseteq \bigcup T using A(1) Top_2_L2 by auto
       then have (T{\text{restricted to}}(A\cap(\text{int(c)}))){\text{restricted to}}(A\cap(\text{int(c)}))=(T{\text{restricted to}}(A\cap(\text{int(c)})))
to (A\cap(int(c))))
          using subspace_of_subspace[of A \cap (int(c))A \cap (int(c))T] by auto
       ultimately have (A∩(int(c))){is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected
unfolding antiProperty_def
          by auto
       then have A \cap (int(c)) \lesssim 1 using HConn\_spectrum by auto
       then have (A \cap (int(c)) = \{x\}) using lepoll_1_is_sing \langle x \in A \rangle \langle x \in int(c) \rangle
       then have \{x\} \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) \text{ using } (A \cap (\text{int}(c)) \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A))
to}A))) by auto
     then have pointOpen:\forall x \in A. \{x\} \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A) by auto
       fix x y assume x \neq yx \in Ay \in A
       with pointOpen have \{x\} \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A)\{y\} \in (T\{\text{restricted to}\}A)\{x\} \cap \{y\} = 0
       with A(2) have \{x\}=0 \lor \{y\}=0 unfolding IsHConnected_def by auto
       then have False by auto
```

```
then have uni:\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. x=y by auto
      assume A≠0
      then obtain x where x \in A by auto
      with uni have A={x} by auto
      then have A≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
      then have A\le 1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
    moreover
    {
      assume A=0
      then have A≈0 by auto
      then have A\less1 using empty_lepollI eq_lepoll_trans by auto
    ultimately have A\le 1 by auto
    then have A{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected using HConn_spectrum
by auto
 then show thesis unfolding antiProperty_def by auto
qed
```

Now we find a counter-example for: Every anti-hyperconnected space is locally-Hausdorff.

The example we are going to consider is the following. Put in X an antihyperconnected topology, where an infinite number of points don't have finite sets as neighbourhoods. Then add a new point to the set, $p \notin X$. Consider the open sets on $X \cup p$ as the anti-hyperconnected topology and the open sets that contain p are $p \cup A$ where $X \setminus A$ is finite.

This construction equals the one-point compactification iff X is anti-compact; i.e., the only compact sets are the finite ones. In general this topology is contained in the one-point compactification topology, making it compact too.

It is easy to check that any open set containing p meets infinite other nonempty open set. The question is if such a topology exists.

```
theorem (in topology0) COF_comp_is_top: assumes T{is T_1}¬(\bigcupT¬nat) shows ((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (\bigcupT)))-{{\bigcupT}})∪T) {is a topology} proof- have N:\bigcupT¢(\bigcupT) using mem_not_refl by auto { fix M assume M:M⊆((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (\bigcupT)))-{{\bigcupT}})∪T) let MT={A∈M. A∈T} let MK={A∈M. A¢T} have MM:(\bigcupMT)∪(\bigcupMK)=\bigcupM by auto
```

```
have MN: | JMT et using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
    then have sub:MK\subseteq (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))-\{\{\bigcup T\}\}
       using M by auto
     then have MK⊆({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (| |T))) by
    then have CO: | MK \in (\{\) one-point compactification of \} (CoFinite (\| \| T)))
using
       topology0.op_comp_is_top[OF topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat]]
unfolding Cofinite_def
       IsATopology_def by auto
    {
       assume AS:\bigcup MK=\{\bigcup T\}
       moreover have \forall R \in MK. R \subseteq \bigcup MK by auto
       ultimately have \forall R \in MK. R \subseteq \{ \bigcup T \} by auto
       then have \forall R \in MK. R = \{ \bigcup T \} \forall R = 0 \text{ by force moreover } 
       with sub have \forall R \in MK. R=0 by auto
       then have []MK=0 by auto
       with AS have False by auto
    with CO have CO2: | |MK∈({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (| |T)))-{{| |T}}
by auto
       assume \bigcup MK \in (CoFinite (\bigcup T))
       then have ∪MK∈T using assms(1) T1_cocardinal_coarser by auto
       with MN have \{\bigcup MT, \bigcup MK\}\subseteq (T) by auto
       then have ([ ]MT)∪([ ]MK)∈T using union_open[OF topSpaceAssum, of
\{[]MT, \bigcup MK\}] by auto
       then have | JMET using MM by auto
     }
    moreover
       assume []MK∉(CoFinite ([]T))
       with CO obtain B where B{is compact in}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))B{is closed
in}(CoFinite ([]T))
         []MK={[]CoFinite []T}-([](CoFinite []T)-B) unfolding OPCompactification_def
       then have MK:[JMK=\{[JT\}\cup([JT-B)B\{is closed in\}(CoFinite ([JT))\}\}]
         using union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
       then have B:B\subseteq \bigcup T B \prec nat \lor B = \bigcup T using closed_sets_cocardinal un-
folding Cofinite_def by auto
       {
         assume B=| JT
         with MK have \bigcup MK={\bigcupT} by auto
         then have False using CO2 by auto
       with B have B\subseteq\bigcup T and natB:B\precnat by auto
       have (\bigcup T - (\bigcup MT)) \cap B \subseteq B by auto
       then have (\bigcup T - (\bigcup MT)) \cap B \lesssim B using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
       then have ([]T-([]MT))\cap B\prec nat using natB lesspoll_trans1 by auto
```

```
then have (([]T-([]MT))\cap B){is closed in}(CoFinite ([]T)) using
closed_sets_cocardinal
                 B(1) unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
             then have [T-([MT)] \cap B] \in (CoFinite([T)) \text{ unfolding } IsClosed\_def
using union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
             also have \bigcup T - ((\bigcup T - (\bigcup MT)) \cap B) = (\bigcup T - (\bigcup T - (\bigcup MT))) \cup (\bigcup T - B) by auto
             also have \dots = (\bigcup MT) \cup (\bigcup T-B) by auto
             ultimately have P:(\bigcup MT)\cup(\bigcup T-B)\in(CoFinite\ (\bigcup T)) by auto
             then have eq:\bigcup T - (\bigcup T - ((\bigcup MT) \cup (\bigcup T - B))) = (\bigcup MT) \cup (\bigcup T - B) by auto
             from P eq have (\bigcup T - ((\bigcup MT) \cup (\bigcup T - B))){is closed in}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))
unfolding IsClosed_def
                 using union_cocardinal[of nat()T] unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
moreover
             have ([]T-(([]MT)\cup([]T-B)))\cap[]T=([]T-(([]MT)\cup([]T-B))) by auto
             (| | T-((| | MT)∪(| | T-B))) using subspace_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def
             then have ([T-((]MT)\cup([T-B))) is compact in ((CoFinite[T]) restricted
to\{(|T-((|MT)\cup(|T-B)))\} using cofinite_compact
                 union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
             then have (\bigcup T - ((\bigcup MT) \cup (\bigcup T - B))){is compact in}(CoFinite \bigcup T) us-
ing compact_subspace_imp_compact by auto ultimately
             have \{\bigcup T\}\cup(\bigcup T-(\bigcup T-((\bigcup MT)\cup(\bigcup T-B))))\in(\{one-point\ compactification\ density for the property of the prope
of}(CoFinite ([]T)))
                 unfolding OPCompactification_def using union_cocardinal unfold-
ing Cofinite_def by auto
             with eq have \{|T\}\cup((|T-B))\in(\{\text{one-point compactification}\})
of \(CoFinite(||T))) by auto
             moreover have AA:\{\bigcup T\}\cup((\bigcup MT)\cup(\bigcup T-B))=((\bigcup MT)\cup(\bigcup MK)) using MK(1)
by auto
             ultimately have AA2:(([MT)\cup([MK))\in(\{\text{one-point compactification}\})
of}(CoFinite ([]T))) by auto
             {
                 assume AS:(\bigcup MT)\cup(\bigcup MK)=\{\bigcup T\}
                 from MN have T:[ ]T∉[ ]MT using N by auto
                     fix x assume G:x\in JMT
                     then have x \in (\bigcup MT) \cup (\bigcup MK) by auto
                     with AS have x \in \{\bigcup T\} by auto
                     then have x=\bigcup T by auto
                     with T have False using G by auto
                 then have []MT=0 by auto
                 with AS have (\bigcup MK) = \{\bigcup T\} by auto
                 then have False using CO2 by auto
             with AA2 have (([]MT)\cup([]MK))\in(\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite)
(\bigcup T))-{{\bigcup T}} by auto
             with MM have []M \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite ([]T))) - \{\{[]T\}\}\}
```

```
by auto
                        ultimately
                        have | M \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(| T))) - \{\{|T\}\}) \cup T
by auto
            then have \forall M \in Pow(((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))-\{\{\bigcup T\}\})\cup T).
|M \in ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (|T))) - \{\{|T\}\}) \cup T
                        by auto moreover
                         \text{fix U V assume U} \in ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\cUT\}\}) \cup \text{TV} \in ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\cUT\}\}\}) \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\cUT\}\}\}) \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\cUT\}\}\} \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\cUT\}\}\} \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\cUT\}\}\} \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\cUT\}\}\} \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\cUT\}\}\} \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\cUT\}\}\} \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}\} \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}\} \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}\} \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}\} \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}\} \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}\} \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite (}\cUT))) - \{\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}\} \cup \text{TV} \in (\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\} \cap (\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\} \cap (\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\} \cap (\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\} \cap (\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\} - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\} \cap (\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\} \cap (\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\} \cap (\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\} \cap (\{\text{CoFinite (}\cUT\}\}) - \{\text{CoFinite (}
compactification of \{(CoFinite( | JT))\} - \{\{(JT)\}\} \cup T  moreover
                                    assume U \in TV \in T
                                    then have U \cap V \in T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def by
                                    then have U \cap V \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite (||T))) - \{\{|T\}\}\} \cup T
by auto
                        moreover
                                    assume UV:U \in ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\})V \in ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\})V \in ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\})V \in ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\})V \in ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\})V \in ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\})V \in ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\})V \in ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))) - \{\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)) - \{(one-point compactification of)) - \{(o
compactification of \{(CoFinite(\bigcup T))\}-\{\{\bigcup T\}\}\}
                                    then have 0:U\cap V\in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))
using topology0.op_comp_is_top[OF topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat]]
unfolding Cofinite_def
                                                IsATopology_def by auto
                                    then have | \ | \ T \cap (U \cap V) \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(|\ |\ T))) \}
to}[]T
                                                unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
                                    then have [\]T\cap(U\cap V)\in CoFinite\] using topology0.open_subspace(2)[OF
topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat]]
                                                union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
                                    from UV have U \neq \{\bigcup T\} V \neq \{\bigcup T\} \bigcup T \cap U \in (\{one-point compactification \})
of}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)){restricted to}\bigcup T \bigcup T \cap V \in (\{\text{one-point compactification }\})
of}(CoFinite (||T))){restricted to}||T
                                                unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
                                    then have R:U\neq\{\bigcup T\}V\neq\{\bigcup T\}\bigcup T\cap U\in CoFinite\bigcup T\bigcup T\cap V\in CoFinite\bigcup T
using topology0.open_subspace(2)[OF topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat]]
                                                union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
                                    from UV have U \subseteq \bigcup (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))V \subseteq \bigcup (\{\text{one-point point }\})
compactification of \{(CoFinite(\bigcup T))\} by auto
                                    then have U\subseteq \{\bigcup T\}\cup\bigcup TV\subseteq \{\bigcup T\}\cup\bigcup T using topology0.op_compact_total[OF]
topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat]]
                                                union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
                                    then have E:U=(\bigcup T\cap U)\cup(\{\bigcup T\}\cap U)V=(\bigcup T\cap V)\cup(\{\bigcup T\}\cap V)\cup(\{\bigcup T\}\cap U\cap V)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cap U\cap V)\cup(\{\bigcup T\}\cap U\cap V)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cap U\cap U\cap U\cap U)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cap U\cap U\cap U\cap U)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cap U\cap U\cap U\cap U)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cap U\cap U\cap U)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cap U\cap U\cap U)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cap U\cap U\cap U)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cap U)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cap U\cap U)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cap U)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cap U)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cup U)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cup U)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cup U)\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cup(\{\bigcup T}\cup U)\cup(\{\bigcup 
by auto
```

assume $Q:U\cap V=\{\bigcup T\}$

```
then have RR:\bigcup T \cap (U \cap V) = 0 using N by auto
             assume \bigcup T \cap U=0
             with E(1) have U=\{|\ \ \ \ \ \}\cap U by auto
             also have ...\subseteq\{\bigcup T\} by auto
             ultimately have U\subseteq \{\bigcup T\} by auto
             then have U=0 \lor U=\{\bigcup T\} by auto
             with R(1) have U=0 by auto
             then have U \cap V = 0 by auto
             then have False using Q by auto
           moreover
           {
             assume \bigcup T \cap V = 0
             with E(2) have V=\{\bigcup T\}\cap V by auto
             also have ...\subseteq\{\bigcup T\} by auto
             ultimately have V\subseteq\{\bigcup T\} by auto
             then have V=0 \lor V=\{\bigcup T\} by auto
             with R(2) have V=0 by auto
             then have U∩V=0 by auto
             then have False using Q by auto
           moreover
             assume [JT \cap U \neq 0]JT \cap V \neq 0
             with R(3,4) have (\bigcup T \cap U) \cap (\bigcup T \cap V) \neq 0 using Cofinite_nat_HConn[OF
assms(2)
                unfolding IsHConnected_def by auto
             then have |\mathsf{JT}\cap(\mathsf{U}\cap\mathsf{V})\neq \mathsf{0}| by auto
             then have False using RR by auto
           ultimately have False by auto
        with 0 have U \cap V \in ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}) \cup T
by auto
     }
     moreover
        assume UV: U \in TV \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite (||T))) - \{\{||T\}\}\}
        from UV(2) obtain B where V \in (CoFinite \bigcup T) \lor (V = \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T - B) \land B\{is\}\}
closed in \{(CoFinite(\bigcup T))\}\ unfolding OPCompactification\_def
           using union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
        with assms(1) have V \in T \lor (V = \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T - B) \land B \text{ is closed in }\} (CoFinite)
(∪T))) using T1_cocardinal_coarser by auto
        then have V \in T \lor (U \cap V = U \cap (\bigcup T - B) \land B\{\text{is closed in}\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))
using UV(1) N by auto
        then have V \in T \lor (U \cap V = U \cap (\bigcup T - B) \land (\bigcup T - B) \in (CoFinite (\bigcup T))) unfold-
ing IsClosed_def using union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
        then have V \in T \lor (U \cap V = U \cap (\bigcup T - B) \land (\bigcup JT - B) \in T) using assms(1) T1_cocardinal_coarser
```

```
by auto
       with UV(1) have U \cap V \in T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto
       then have U \cap V \in ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite (||T))) - \{\{|T\}\}) \cup T
by auto
     moreover
       assume UV:U \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}V \in T\}
       from UV(1) obtain B where U \in (CoFinite \bigcup T) \lor (U = \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T - B) \land B\{is\}\}
closed in}(CoFinite (||T))) unfolding OPCompactification_def
          using union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
       with assms(1) have U \in T \lor (U = \{ \bigcup T \} \cup (\bigcup J = B) \land B \} is closed in \{ CoFinite \} \}
([JT))) using T1_cocardinal_coarser by auto
       then have U \in T \lor (U \cap V = (| T - B) \cap V \land B \text{ is closed in } (CoFinite (| T)))
using UV(2) N by auto
       then have U \in T \lor (U \cap V = (\bigcup T - B) \cap V \land (\bigcup T - B) \in (CoFinite(\bigcup T))) unfold-
ing IsClosed_def using union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
       then have U \in T \lor (U \cap V = (\bigcup T - B) \cap V \land (\bigcup J T - B) \in T) using assms(1) T1_cocardinal_coarser
       with UV(2) have U∩V∈T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto
       then have U \cap V \in ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}) \cup T
by auto
     }
     ultimately
     have U \cap V \in ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite (||T))) - \{\{|T\}\}) \cup T
by auto
  ultimately show thesis unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
qed
The previous construction preserves anti-hyperconnectedness.
theorem (in topology0) COF_comp_antiHConn:
  assumes T{is anti-}IsHConnected ¬(( JT≺nat)
  shows ((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (|T\rangle))-{{|T\rangle}})\cupT)
{is anti-}IsHConnected
proof-
  have \mathbb{N}: \bigcup \mathbb{T} \notin (\bigcup \mathbb{T}) using mem_not_refl by auto
  from assms(1) have T1:T{is T1} using anti_HConn_imp_T1 by auto
  have tot1: []({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite ([]T)))={[]T}-[]T
using topology0.op_compact_total[OF topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat],
of [JT]
          union_cocardinal[of nat[]T] unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
  then have (| | (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (||T))) \cup ||T={||T}\cup ||T
by auto moreover
  have \bigcup ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(T)) \cup T) = (\bigcup T)
compactification of \{(CoFinite(\bigcup T))\}\cup\bigcup T
     by auto
```

```
ultimately have tot2: [ ](({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite ([ ]T)))∪T)={[ ]T}∪[ ]T
by auto
      have \{\bigcup T\}\cup\bigcup T\in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) us-
ing union_open[OF topology0.op_comp_is_top[OF topology0_CoCardinal[OF
InfCard_nat]], of {one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (| |T))]
             tot1 unfolding Cofinite_def by auto moreover
             assume []T=0
             with assms(2) have \neg(0 \prec nat) by auto
             then have False unfolding lesspoll_def using empty_lepollI eqpoll_0_is_0
                    eqpoll_sym by auto
      then have \bigcup T \neq 0 by auto
      with N have Not:\neg(\bigcup T \subseteq \{\bigcup T\}) by auto
             assume \{ | T \} \cup \{ T = \{ T \} \} moreover
             have \bigcup T \subseteq \{\bigcup T\} \cup \bigcup T by auto ultimately
             have  | JT \subseteq \{ | JT \}  by auto
             with Not have False by auto
      then have \{\bigcup T\} \cup \bigcup T \neq \{\bigcup T\} by auto ultimately
      have \{\bigcup T\} \cup \bigcup T \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\} (CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\}
      then have \{\bigcup T\}\cup\bigcup T\in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))-\{\{\bigcup T\}\}\cup T\}
by auto
      then have \{\bigcup T\} \cup \bigcup T \subseteq \bigcup ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\} \cup T\}
by auto moreover
      have ({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))-{{\bigcup T}\bigcup T\subseteq({one-point
compactification of \{(CoFinite(\bigcup T))\}\cup T by auto
      then have \bigcup ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\} \cup T\} \subseteq \bigcup ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\} \cup T\} \subseteq \bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\} \cup T\} \subseteq \bigcup \{\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\} \cup T\} \subseteq \bigcup \{\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\} \cup T\} \subseteq \bigcup \{\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\} \cup T\} \subseteq \bigcup \{\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)) - \{\{one-pointe (\bigcup T) - \{one-pointe (\bigcup T) - \{one-po
compactification of \{(CoFinite(|T))\}\cup T\} by auto
      with tot2 have [ ]((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite ([]T)))-\{\{[]T\}\}\cup T)\subseteq \{[]T\}\cup T
      ultimately have TOT: \bigcup (((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}) \cup T) = \{\bigcup T\}\}
by auto
             fix A assume AS:A\subseteq| ]T (((({one-point compactification of})(CoFinite
([]T))-\{\{[]T\}\}\cup T\} {restricted to}A) {is hyperconnected}
              from AS(1,2) have e0:((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite
(\bigcup T))-{\{\bigcup T\}\}}\cup T){restricted to}A=((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite
(\bigcup T)) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\cup T\} {restricted to}\bigcup T {restricted to}A
                    \mathbf{using} \ \mathbf{subspace\_of\_subspace[of} \ A \bigcup \mathtt{T(((\{one-point\ compactification\ and\ comp
of \{(CoFinite( \cup T))\} - \{\{(\cup T)\}\} \cup T\}\} TOT by auto
             have e1:(((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))-{{\bigcup T}})\cup T} {restricted
to\((\T))=(((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite ((\T)))-\{\(\DT)\})\{restricted
to\bigcup T)\cup(T{restricted to}\bigcup T)
                    unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
                    fix A assume A∈T{restricted to}(JT
```

```
then obtain B where B∈TA=B∩[]T unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
      then have A=B by auto
      with \langle B \in T \rangle have A \in T by auto
    then have T{restricted to} | JT⊆T by auto moreover
      fix A assume A \in T
      then have [\ ]T \cap A = A by auto
      with (A \in T) have A \in T{restricted to}\bigcup T unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
    }
    ultimately have T{restricted to}[]T=T by auto moreover
      fix A assume A \in ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (||JT))) - \{\{|JT\}\}\}){restricted}
to}[]T
      then obtain B where B \( \) {\( \) {\( \) {one-point compactification of } \( \) {\( \) {\( \) {orbitime}}}
(\bigcup T)) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\} \bigcup T \cap B = A \text{ unfolding RestrictedTo\_def by auto}
      then have B \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }([\]T)))[\]T \cap B=A
by auto
      then have A \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(|\ \ \ \ \ \ ))){restricted
to}[]T unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
      then have A∈(CoFinite (∪T)) using topology0.open_subspace(2)[OF
topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat]]
         union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
      with T1 have A∈T using T1_cocardinal_coarser by auto
    then have ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite (\{|T\}\})\{\text{restricted of}\}
to}| JT\subseteq T  by auto
    moreover note e1 ultimately
    have ((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite | JT)) - {{| JT}} \cup
T) {restricted to} (\bigcupT)) =T by auto
    with e0 have ((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (| JT)))-{{| JT}}}∪T){restricted
to}A=T{restricted to}A by auto
    with assms(1) AS have A{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected unfold-
ing antiProperty_def by auto
  then have reg: \forall A \in Pow([]T). ((((({one-point compactification of})(CoFinite
([]T))-\{\{[]T\}\}\cup T\} {restricted to}A) {is hyperconnected}) \longrightarrow (A{is in
the spectrum of \istConnected) by auto
  then have P:\bigcup T \in (((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}) \cup T)
by auto
  {
    fix B assume sub:B\inPow(\bigcup T \cup \{\bigcup T\}) and hyp:(((((one-point compactification
of}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))-{\{\bigcup T\}\})\cup T){restricted to}B) {is hyperconnected})
    from P have subop: \bigcup T \cap B \in (((\{one-point compactification of\}\}))
(\bigcup T)) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\cup T\} {restricted to}B) unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
    with hyp have hypSub:((((((one-point compactification of)(CoFinite
([\ ]T)))-\{\{[\ ]T\}\}\cup T\} {restricted to}B){restricted to}([\ ]T\cap B)){is hyperconnected}
```

```
using topology0.open_subspace_hyperconn
            topology0.Top_1_L4 COF_comp_is_top[OF T1 assms(2)] unfolding topology0_def
by auto
        from sub TOT have B \subseteq \bigcup (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\} (\text{CoFinite})
\bigcup T)) - {{\bigcup T}} \cup T) by auto
        then have (((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))-{{\bigcup T}})\cup T){restricted
to\}(\bigcup T \cap B)) = (((\{one-point\ compactification\ of\}(CoFinite\ (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}) \cup T)\{restricted\ restricted\ r
to}B){restricted to}(\bigcup T \cap B)
            using subspace_of_subspace[of \( \) T∩BB((({one-point compactification})
of}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))-{{\bigcup T}})\cup T)] by auto
        with hypSub have ((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite | JT))
- \{\{|T\}\} \cup T\} {restricted to} (|T \cap B)}{is hyperconnected} by auto
        with reg have ([]T\cap B){is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected by auto
        then have le: \bigcup T \cap B \lesssim 1 using HConn\_spectrum by auto
            fix x assume x:x\in |T\cap B|
            with le have sing: | JT \cap B = \{x\}  using lepoll_1_is_sing by auto
                fix y assume y:y∈B
                then have y \in \bigcup T \cup \{\bigcup T\} using sub by auto
                with y have y \in \bigcup T \cap B \lor y = \bigcup T by auto
                with sing have y=x \lor y=\bigcup T by auto
            then have B\subseteq\{x,\bigcup T\} by auto
            with x have disj:B=\{x\} \lor B=\{x, | T\} by auto
            {
                assume [ ] T∈B
                with disj have B:B=\{x,||T\} by auto
                from sing subop have singOp:\{x\}\in((((one-point compactification
of}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))-{\{\bigcup T\}})\cup T){restricted to}B)
                    by auto
                have {x}{is closed in}(CoFinite []T) using topology0.T1_iff_singleton_closed[OF
topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat]] cocardinal_is_T1[OF InfCard_nat]
                    x union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
                moreover
                have Finite({x}) by auto
                then have spec:{x}{is in the spectrum of} (\lambda T. ([]T) {is compact
in}T) using compact_spectrum by auto
                have ((CoFinite | |T){restricted to}{x}){is a topology}| |((CoFinite
|T|{restricted to}{x})={x}
                     using topology0.Top_1_L4[OF topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat]]
unfolding RestrictedTo_def Cofinite_def
                     using x union_cocardinal by auto
                with spec have \{x\} (is compact in)((CoFinite \bigcup T){restricted to}\{x\})
unfolding Spec_def
                    by auto
                then have {x}{is compact in}(CoFinite | | T) using compact_subspace_imp_compact
                     by auto moreover note x
                ultimately have \{ | T^{+}() = \text{ne-point compactification of} \}
```

```
([JT)) unfolding OPCompactification_def
           using union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def by auto more-
over
         {
           fix y assume P:y \in \bigcup T - \{x\}
              then have y \in \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T - \{x\}) by auto
              then have y = \bigcup T using A by auto
              with N P have False by auto
           then have \iint T-\{x\}=0 by auto
           with x have \bigcup T=\{x\} by auto
           then have | ∫T≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto moreover
           have 1-dat using n_lesspoll_nat by auto
           ultimately have []T<nat using eq_lesspoll_trans by auto
           then have False using assms(2) by auto
         ultimately have \{ | T \cup \{ | T - \{x\} \} \in \{ \text{one-point compactification of } \} 
(||T)) - \{\{||T\}\}  by auto
         then have \{\bigcup T\}\cup(\bigcup T-\{x\})\in(((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite))\}
(\bigcup T)) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}) \cup T) by auto
         then have B \cap (\{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T - \{x\})) \in (((\{one-point compactification \})))
of}(CoFinite (UT)))-{{UT}})∪T){restricted to}B) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
         moreover have B\cap(\{\bigcup T\}\cup(\bigcup T-\{x\}))=\{\bigcup T\} using B by auto
         ultimately have {| |T}∈(((({one-point compactification of})(CoFinite
([T]) - \{\{[T]\} \cup T\}  (restricted to B) by auto
         with singOp hyp N x have False unfolding IsHConnected_def by
auto
       with disj have B=\{x\} by auto
       then have B\approx 1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
       then have B\lesssip 1 using eqpoll_imp_lepoll by auto
    then have | T \cap B \neq 0 \longrightarrow B \le 1 by blast
    moreover
       assume \bigcup T \cap B=0
       with sub have B\subseteq\{\bigcup T\} by auto
       then have B \lesssim \{\bigcup T\} using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
       then have B\sin using singleton_eqpoll_1 lepoll_eq_trans by auto
    ultimately have B≲1 by auto
    then have B{is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected using HConn_spectrum
by auto
  then show thesis unfolding antiProperty_def using TOT by auto
qed
```

The previous construction, applied to a densely ordered topology, gives the desired counterexample. What happends is that every neighbourhood of $\bigcup T$ is dense; because there are no finite open sets, and hence meets every non-empty open set. In conclusion, $\bigcup T$ cannot be separated from other points by disjoint open sets.

Every open set that contains $\bigcup T$ is dense, when considering the order topology in a densely ordered set with more than two points.

```
theorem neigh_infPoint_dense:
     fixes T X r
     defines T_def:T ≡ (OrdTopology X r)
     assumes IsLinOrder(X,r) X{is dense with respect to}r
           l J⊺∈U
          V \in ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}) \cup T \ V \neq 0
     shows U \cap V \neq 0
proof
     have N:[]T∉([]T) using mem_not_refl by auto
     have tot1: | | ({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (| | T))) = {| | | T} \ull | | | T
using topology0.op_compact_total[OF topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat],
of UT]
                     union_cocardinal[of natUT] unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
     then have (\bigcup (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(\bigcup T)))) \cup \bigcup T=\{\bigcup T\} \cup \bigcup T
by auto moreover
     have \bigcup ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of)) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of)) = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of))
compactification of \{(CoFinite(|T))\}\cup \{T\}
          by auto
     by auto
     have \{ | JT \} \cup | JT \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\} (\text{CoFinite } (| JT ))) us-
ing union_open[OF topologyO.op_comp_is_top[OF topologyO_CoCardinal[OF
InfCard_nat]], of {one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (()T))]
          tot1 unfolding Cofinite_def by auto moreover
          assume | JT=0
          then have X=O unfolding T_def using union_ordtopology[OF assms(2)]
assms(4) by auto
          then have False using assms(4) by auto
     then have \bigcup T \neq 0 by auto
     with N have Not:\neg(\bigcup T\subseteq \{\bigcup T\}) by auto
          assume \{\bigcup T\} \cup \bigcup T = \{\bigcup T\} moreover
          have \bigcup T \subseteq \{\bigcup T\} \cup \bigcup T by auto ultimately
          have \bigcup T \subseteq \{\bigcup T\} by auto
          with Not have False by auto
     then have \{[\ ]T\}\cup [\ ]T\neq \{[\ ]T\} by auto ultimately
     have \{ | T \} \cup | T \in (\text{fone-point compactification of} (\text{CoFinite } (| T))) - \{ | T \} \}
```

```
by auto
     then have \{\bigcup T\} \cup \bigcup T \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\} (CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\} \cup T \in \{\{\text{one-point compactification of}\} (CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\} \cup T \in \{\{\text{one-point compactification of}\} (CoFinite (\bigcup T))) - \{\{\{\text{one-point compactification of}\} (CoFinite (\bigcup T))\} - \{\{(\text{one-point compactification of}\} (CoFinite (\bigcup T))\} - \{(\text{one-point compactification of})\} - \{(\text{one-p
by auto
      by auto moreover
      have ({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))-{{\bigcup T}\bigcup T\subseteq({one-point
compactification of \{(CoFinite(\bigcup T))\}\cup T by auto
      then have []((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite ([]T)))-\{\{[]T\}\}\cup T)\subseteq[]((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite ([]T)))-\{[]T\}\cup T)=\{[]T\}\cup T
compactification of}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))\cup T) by auto
      with tot2 have \bigcup ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))-\{\{\bigcup T\}\}\cup T\} \subseteq \{\bigcup T\}\cup \bigcup T
by auto
     ultimately have TOT: \bigcup ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(\bigcup T))) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}) \cup T) = \{\bigcup T\}\}
by auto
     assume A:U\cap V=0
      with assms(6) have NN: [ JT∉V by auto
      with assms(7) have V∈(CoFinite | JT)∪T unfolding OPCompactification_def
using union_cocardinal
            unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
      moreover have T{is T<sub>2</sub>} unfolding T_def using order_top_T2[OF assms(2)]
assms(4) by auto
      then have T1:T\{is\ T_1\}\ using\ T2\_is\_T1\ by\ auto
      ultimately have VopT:V∈T using topology0.T1_cocardinal_coarser[OF topology0_ordtopology(1
assms(2)]
            unfolding T_def by auto
      from A assms(7) have V \subseteq \bigcup ((\{one-point compactification of\}\{CoFinite\})
 ([]T)) - \{\{[]T\}\}) \cup T - U by auto
      then have V\subseteq (\{|T\}\cup |T)-U using TOT by auto
      then have V⊆([]T)-U using NN by auto
      from N have U∉T using assms(6) by auto
      then have U∉(CoFinite | JT)∪T using T1 topology0.T1_cocardinal_coarser[OF
topology0_ordtopology(1)[OF assms(2)]]
            unfolding T_def using union_cocardinal union_ordtopology[OF assms(2)]
assms(4) by auto
      with assms(5,6) obtain B where U:U=\{[\ ]T\}\cup\{[\ ]T-B\} B{is closed in}(CoFinite
[JT) B\neq[JT]
            unfolding OPCompactification_def using union_cocardinal unfolding
Cofinite_def by auto
      then have U=\{\{\bigcup T\}\cup (\bigcup JT-B)\mid B=\bigcup T\vee B \prec nat\mid B\neq \bigcup T\mid using closed\_sets\_cocardinal\}
unfolding Cofinite_def
            by auto
      then have U=\{\bigcup T\}\cup(\bigcup T-B)\ B\prec nat\ by\ auto
      with N have \bigcup T-U=\bigcup T-(\bigcup T-B) by auto
      then have UT-U=B using U(2) unfolding IsClosed_def using union_cocardinal
unfolding Cofinite_def
            by auto
      with ⟨B≺nat⟩ have Finite(∪T-U) using lesspoll_nat_is_Finite by auto
      with ⟨V⊆(| JT)-U⟩ have Finite(V) using subset_Finite by auto
      from assms(8) obtain v where v \in V by auto
      with VopT have \exists R \in \{IntervalX(X, r, b, c) : \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X\} \cup \{LeftRayX(X, r, b, c) : \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X\}
```

```
r, b) . b \in X \cup \{RightRayX(X, r, b) : b \in X\}. R \subseteq V \land v \in R using
    point_open_base_neigh[OF Ordtopology_is_a_topology(2)[OF assms(2)]]
unfolding T_def by auto
  then obtain R where R_{def}:R\in\{IntervalX(X, r, b, c) . \langle b,c\rangle \in X \times X\}
\cup {LeftRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X} \cup{RightRayX(X, r, b) . b \in X} R\subseteqV v\inR
by blast
  moreover
    assume R\in{IntervalX(X, r, b, c) . \langle b,c \rangle \in X \times X}
    then obtain b c where lim:b∈Xc∈XR=IntervalX(X, r, b, c) by auto
    with (v \in R) have \neg Finite(R) using dense_order_inf_intervals[OF assms(2)]
  _ _ assms(3)]
      by auto
    with (R\subseteq V) (Finite(V)) have False using subset_Finite by auto
  } moreover
    assume R \in \{LeftRayX(X, r, b) : b \in X\}
    then obtain b where lim:b∈XR=LeftRayX(X, r, b) by auto
    with \forall v \in \mathbb{R} have \neg Finite(R) using dense_order_inf_lrays[OF assms(2)]
_{\rm assms}(3)] by auto
    with (RCV) (Finite(V)) have False using subset_Finite by auto
  } moreover
    assume R \in \{RightRayX(X, r, b) : b \in X\}
    then obtain b where lim:bEXR=RightRayX(X, r, b) by auto
    with \langle v \in \mathbb{R} \rangle have \neg Finite(R) using dense_order_inf_rrays[OF assms(2)_
_ assms(3)] by auto
    with (RCV) (Finite(V)) have False using subset_Finite by auto
  } ultimately
  show False by auto
qed
A densely ordered set with more than one point gives an order topology.
Applying the previous construction to this topology we get a non locally-
Hausdorff space.
theorem OPComp_cofinite_dense_order_not_loc_T2:
  fixes T X r
  defines T_def:T ≡ (OrdTopology X r)
  assumes IsLinOrder(X,r) X{is dense with respect to}r
    \exists x y. x \neq y \land x \in X \land y \in X
  shows \neg(((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(||T)))-\{\{||T\}\}\cup T)\} (is
locally-T_2)
proof
  have N:[]T∉([]T) using mem_not_refl by auto
  have tot1: | | ({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (| | T))) = {| | T} \cup | | T
using topology0.op_compact_total[OF topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat],
of [JT]
         union_cocardinal[of nat[]T] unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
  then have ([]({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite ([]T))))\cup[]T={[]T}\cup[]T
```

```
by auto moreover
          have \bigcup ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(\bigcup T))) \cup T) = (\bigcup (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(T)) = (\bigcup (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(T))) = (\bigcup (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(T)) = (\bigcup (\{\text{one-point compactifi
compactification of \{(CoFinite(\bigcup T))\}\cup \bigcup T
          ultimately have tot2: | \int (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(| JT))) \cup T) = \{| JT\} \cup | JT = \{|
by auto
          have \{\bigcup T\}\cup\bigcup T\in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T))) us-
ing union_open[OF topology0.op_comp_is_top[OF topology0_CoCardinal[OF
InfCard_nat]], of {one-point compactification of}(CoFinite (\( \subseteq T \))]
                    tot1 unfolding Cofinite_def by auto moreover
                    assume []T=0
                    then have X=0 unfolding T_def using union_ordtopology[OF assms(2)]
assms(4) by auto
                    then have False using assms(4) by auto
          then have \bigcup T \neq 0 by auto
          with N have Not:\neg(\bigcup T \subseteq \{\bigcup T\}) by auto
                   assume \{|T\}\cup |T=\{|T\}\} moreover
                    have \bigcup T \subseteq \{\bigcup T\} \cup \bigcup T by auto ultimately
                    have \bigcup T \subseteq \{\bigcup T\} by auto
                    with Not have False by auto
         then have \{\bigcup T\} \cup \bigcup T \neq \{\bigcup T\} by auto ultimately
         then have {||T| \cup ||T| \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(||T|)) - \{\{||T|\}\} \cup T
by auto
         then have \{\bigcup T\}\cup\bigcup T\subseteq\bigcup ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (\bigcup T)))-\{\{\bigcup T\}\}\cup T\}
by auto moreover
         have (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (||T)))-\{\{||T\}\}\cup T\subseteq (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (||T)))-\{\{|T\}\}\cup T\subseteq (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (||T)))-\{\{|T\}\}\cup T\subseteq (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (||T)))-\{\{|T\}\}\cup T\subseteq (\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (|T)))-\{\{(one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (|T)))-\{\{(one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (|T)))-\{(one-point compactification of)(CoFinite (|T)))-\{(one-point compactification of)
compactification of \{(CoFinite(\bigcup T))\}\cup T by auto
         then have \bigcup ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(\bigcup T)))-\{\{\bigcup T\}\}\cup T)\subseteq \bigcup ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\})
compactification of \{(CoFinite(|T))\}\cup T\} by auto
          with tot2 have [ ]((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite ([]T)))-\{\{[]T\}\}\cup []T\}\cup []T
by auto
          ultimately have TOT:\{ ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(\{\}T))) - \{\{\{\}T\}\}) \cup T \} = \{\{\},\}\} 
          have T1:T{is T_1} using order_top_T2[OF assms(2,4)] T2_is_T1 unfold-
ing T_def by auto moreover
          from assms(4) obtain b c where B:b∈Xc∈Xb≠c by auto
          {
                    assume ⟨b,c⟩∉r
                    with assms(2) have \langle c,b \rangle \in r unfolding IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def us-
ing \langle b \in X \rangle \langle c \in X \rangle by auto
                    with assms(3) B obtain z where z \in X - \{b,c\} \langle c,z \rangle \in r \langle z,b \rangle \in r unfolding
IsDense_def by auto
                    then have IntervalX(X,r,c,b)≠0 unfolding IntervalX_def using Order_ZF_2_L1
```

```
by auto
    then have ¬(Finite(IntervalX(X,r,c,b))) using dense_order_inf_intervals[OF
assms(2) \_ \langle c \in X \rangle \langle b \in X \rangle assms(3)]
       by auto moreover
    have IntervalX(X,r,c,b)⊆X unfolding IntervalX_def by auto
    ultimately have ¬(Finite(X)) using subset_Finite by auto
     then have ¬(X≺nat) using lesspoll_nat_is_Finite by auto
  moreover
    assume \langle b, c \rangle \in r
    with assms(3) B obtain z where z \in X - \{b,c\} \langle b,z \rangle \in r \langle z,c \rangle \in r unfolding
IsDense_def by auto
    then have IntervalX(X,r,b,c) \neq 0 unfolding IntervalX_def using Order_ZF_2_L1
by auto
    then have ¬(Finite(IntervalX(X,r,b,c))) using dense_order_inf_intervals[OF
assms(2) \_ \langle b \in X \rangle \langle c \in X \rangle assms(3)]
       by auto moreover
    have IntervalX(X,r,b,c)⊆X unfolding IntervalX_def by auto
    ultimately have ¬(Finite(X)) using subset_Finite by auto
    then have ¬(X<nat) using lesspoll_nat_is_Finite by auto
  }
  ultimately have ¬(X<nat) by auto
  with T1 have top:((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(\bigcup T))\}-\{\{\bigcup T\}\}\cup T\}
assms(2)]] unfolding T_def
     using union_ordtopology[OF assms(2,4)] by auto
  assume ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (||T))-\{\{||T\}\}\cup T\}) is
locally-T<sub>2</sub>} moreover
  have \ | \ T \in \ | \ ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (|\ T))) - \{\{\|T\}\} \cup T\}
using TOT by auto
  moreover have \bigcup ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(\bigcup T)))-\{\{\bigcup T\}\}\cup T)\in ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(\bigcup T)))-\{\{\bigcup T\}\}\cup T)\in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite }(\bigcup T)))-\{\{\bigcup T\}\}\cup T\}
compactification of \{(CoFinite(\bigcup T))\} - \{\{\bigcup T\}\} \cup T\}
    using top unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
  ultimately have ∃c∈Pow([](({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite
([]T))-\{\{[]T\}\cup T)). []T \in Interior(c, (({one-point compactification of})(CoFinite))
[]T) - \{\{[]T\}\}\} \cup T) \wedge
               ((({one-point compactification of}CoFinite | JT) - {{| JT}}
∪ T) {restricted to} c) {is T<sub>2</sub>} unfolding IsLocallyT2_def IsLocally_def[OF
top] by auto
  then obtain C where C:C⊆∪(({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite
(\bigcup T))-\{\{\bigcup T\}\}\cup T\} \bigcup T\in Interior(C, ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite)))
(T)) - \{(T)\}) \cup T) and T2:((({one-point compactification of}CoFinite
\bigcup T) - {{\bigcup T}} \cup T) {restricted to} C) {is T_2}
    by auto
  have sub:Interior(C, (({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite | JT))
- {{( JT}}) ∪ T)⊆C using topology0.Top_2_L1
    top unfolding topology0_def by auto
  have (((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite | JT)) - {{| JT}}) <math>\cup
```

```
T){restricted to}C){restricted to}(Interior(C, (({one-point compactification
of
}(CoFinite \bigcupT)) - {{\bigcupT}}) \cup T))=((({one-point compactification of})(CoFinite
\bigcup T)) - {{\bigcup T}}) \cup T){restricted to}(Interior(C, (({one-point compactification
of \{(CoFinite \mid T)\} - \{\{(\mid T\}\}\} \cup T\}
     using subspace_of_subspace[OF sub C(1)] by auto moreover
  have ([]((\{one-point compactification of\}CoFinite | ]T) - \{\{|]T\}\} \cup
T) {restricted to} C))⊆C unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
  with C(1) have ([]((({one-point compactification of}CoFinite []T) -
\{\{\bigcup T\}\} \cup T\} {restricted to} C))=C unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
  with sub have pp:Interior(C, (({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite
\bigcup \texttt{T)) - \{\{\bigcup \texttt{T}\}\}) \ \cup \ \texttt{T}) \in \texttt{Pow}(\bigcup ((\{\texttt{one-point compactification of}\}\texttt{CoFinite})) + \{\{\bigcup \texttt{T}\}\}) \ \cup \ \texttt{T}) \in \texttt{Pow}(\bigcup \texttt{T}) \cap \texttt{T})
|T| - \{\{|T|\}\} \cup T\} {restricted to} C)) by auto
  ultimately have T2_2:(((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite | | T))
- {{| JT}}} ∪ T){restricted to}(Interior(C, (({one-point compactification
of \{(CoFinite \mid T)\} - \{\{(T)\}\} \cup T)\} (is T_2\}
     using T2_here[OF T2 pp] by auto
  have top2:(((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite |T|)) - {{|T|}})
\cup T){restricted to}(Interior(C, (({one-point compactification of})(CoFinite
[]T) - \{\{[]T\}\}\} \cup T))){is a topology}
     using topology0.Top_1_L4 top unfolding topology0_def by auto
  from C(2) pp have p1:\bigcup T \in \bigcup (((\{one-point compactification of\}\{CoFinite\}))
\bigcup T)) - {{\bigcup T}}) \cup T){restricted to}(Interior(C, (({one-point compactification
of \{(CoFinite \cup T)\} - \{\{(\bigcup T)\}\} \cup T\}\}
     unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
     from top topology0.Top_2_L2 have intOP:(Interior(C, (({one-point
compactification of
}(CoFinite \bigcup \texttt{T})) - {{\bigcup \texttt{T}}}) \ \cup \ \texttt{T})) \in ((\{\texttt{one-point compactification }
of}(CoFinite ( |T)) - {{| |T}}) ∪ T unfolding topology0_def by auto
  {
     fix x assume x \neq \bigcup T \in \bigcup (((\{one-point compactification of\}\{CoFinite\}))
[]T) - \{\{[]T\}\} \cup T){restricted to}(Interior(C, (({one-point compactification
of \{(CoFinite | JT)\} - \{\{(JT)\}\} \cup T\}\}
     with p1 have ∃U∈(((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite []T))
- \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\} \cup T){restricted to}(Interior(C, (({one-point compactification
of}(CoFinite \bigcup T)) - {{\bigcup T}}) \cup T))). \exists V \in (((\{\text{one-point compactification }
of}(CoFinite [ ]T)) - {{[ ]T}}) ∪ T){restricted to}(Interior(C, (({one-point
compactification of \{(CoFinite | T)\} - \{\{(T)\}\} \cup T)\}.
       x \in U \land \bigcup T \in V \land U \cap V = 0 using T2_2 unfolding isT2_def by auto
     then obtain U V where UV:U∈(((({one-point compactification of})(CoFinite
[]T)) - {{||T}}) ∪ T){restricted to}(Interior(C, (({one-point compactification
of \{(CoFinite \cup T)\} - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\} \cup T\}
       V \in (((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(\text{CoFinite } \bigcup T)) - \{\{\bigcup T\}\})
\cup T){restricted to}(Interior(C, (({one-point compactification of})(CoFinite
(\mathsf{JT})) - \{\{(\mathsf{JT}\}\}) \cup \mathsf{T})))
       U \neq 0 \bigcup T \in VU \cap V = 0 by auto
     from UV(1) obtain UC where U=(Interior(C, (({one-point compactification
of
}(CoFinite \bigcup \texttt{T})) - {{\bigcup \texttt{T}}}) \ \cup \ \texttt{T})) \cap \texttt{UCUC} \in ((((\{\texttt{one-point compactification }
of \{(CoFinite \cup T)\} - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\} \cup T\}
       unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
     with top intOP have Uop:U∈(({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite
```

```
(\ \ \ \ \ ) - \{\{(\ \ \ \ ) - \{\{(\ \ \ \ ) - \ \ \ \ \} unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
        from UV(2) obtain VC where V=(Interior(C, (({one-point compactification
of}(CoFinite \bigcup T)) - {{\bigcup T}}) \cup T))\capVCVC\in((({one-point compactification
of \{(CoFinite \mid T)\} - \{\{(T)\}\} \cup T\}
            unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
        with top intOP have V∈(({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite
[]T)) - {{[]T}}) ∪ T unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
        with UV(3-5) Uop neigh_infPoint_dense[OF assms(2-4),of VU] union_ordtopology[OF
assms(2,4)
            have False unfolding T_def by auto
   then have \](((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite \| \]T)) - {{\| T}})
\cup T){restricted to}(Interior(C, (({one-point compactification of})(CoFinite
[]T)) - \{\{[]T\}\}) \cup T))) \subseteq \{[]T\}
        by auto
    with p1 have | | (((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite | |T)) -
\{\{\bigcup T\}\}\cup T\} (restricted to \{Interior(C, ((\{one-point compactification for all the compact of the compact of
of \{(CoFinite \cup T)\} - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\} \cup T\} = \{\bigcup T\}
        by auto
    with top2 have {| |T}∈(((({one-point compactification of}(CoFinite | |T))
- {{∪T}}) ∪ T){restricted to}(Interior(C, (({one-point compactification
of \{(CoFinite \cup T)\} - \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\} \cup T\}
        unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
    then obtain W where \mathtt{UT}:\{\bigcup\mathtt{T}\}=(\mathtt{Interior}(\mathtt{C},\ ((\{\mathtt{one-point compactification}
of}(CoFinite \bigcup T)) - {{\bigcup T}}) \cup T))\capWW\in(({one-point compactification
of \{(CoFinite \mid T)\} - \{\{\mid T\}\}\} \cup T
        unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
    from this(2) have (Interior(C, (({one-point compactification of})(CoFinite
\bigcup T)) - {{\bigcup T}}) \cup T))\cap W \in ((\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}(CoFinite <math>\bigcup T))
- \{\{[]T\}\}\} \cup T using intOP
        top unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
    with UT(1) have { | | T} \in ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite \| \| \| T))
- \{\{\bigcup T\}\}\} \cup T by auto
   then have \{\bigcup T\}\in T by auto
    with N show False by auto
qed
This topology, from the previous result, gives a counter-example for anti-
hyperconnected implies locally-T_2.
theorem antiHConn_not_imp_loc_T2:
   fixes T X r
   defines T_def:T ≡ (OrdTopology X r)
    assumes IsLinOrder(X,r) X{is dense with respect to}r
        \exists x y. x \neq y \land x \in X \land y \in X
    shows \neg(((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (||JT)))-\{\{|JT\}\}\cup T\} (is
locally-T_2)
    and ((\{one-point compactification of\}(CoFinite (||T))-\{\{||T\}\}\cup T\} (is
anti-}IsHConnected
    using OPComp_cofinite_dense_order_not_loc_T2[OF assms(2-4)] dense_order_infinite[OF
```

```
assms(2-4)] union_ordtopology[OF assms(2,4)]
  topology0.COF_comp_antiHConn[OF topology0_ordtopology[OF assms(2)] topology0.T2_imp_anti_
topology0_ordtopology[OF assms(2)] order_top_T2[OF assms(2,4)]]]
  unfolding T_def by auto
Let's prove that T_2 spaces are locally-T_2, but that there are locally-T_2 spaces
which aren't T_2. In conclusion T_2 \Rightarrow \text{locally } -T_2 \Rightarrow \text{anti-hyperconnected}; all
implications proper.
theorem(in topology0) T2_imp_loc_T2:
  assumes T\{is T_2\}
  shows T\{is locally-T_2\}
proof-
    fix x assume x \in I T
       fix b assume b:b\in Tx\in b
       then have (T{\text{restricted to}}b){\text{is }}T_2} using T2\_here assms by auto
moreover
       from b have x \in int(b) using Top_2_L3 by auto
       ultimately have \exists c \in Pow(b). x \in int(c) \land (T\{restricted to\}c) \{ is T_2 \}
by auto
     then have \forall b \in T. x \in b \longrightarrow (\exists c \in Pow(b)). x \in int(c) \land (T\{restricted\ to\}c)\{is\}
T_2) by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsLocallyT2_def IsLocally_def[OF topSpaceAssum]
by auto
qed
If there is a closed singleton, then we can consider a topology that makes
this point doble.
theorem(in topology0) doble_point_top:
  assumes {m}{is closed in}T
  shows (T \cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle \in \{V\in T. m\in V\}\times T\}) {is a topology}
proof-
  {
     fix M assume M:M\subseteqT \cup{(U-{m})\cup{\bigcupT}\cupW. \langleU,W\ranglee{VeT. meV}\timesT}
    let MT = \{V \in M. V \in T\}
    let Mm={V \in M. V \notin T}
     have unm: \bigcup M = (\bigcup MT) \cup (\bigcup Mm) by auto
     have tt:∪MT∈T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
     {
       assume Mm=0
       then have \bigcup Mm=0 by auto
       with unm have \bigcup M=(\bigcup MT) by auto
       with tt have \ JM∈T by auto
       then have |M\in T\cup\{(U-\{m\})\cup\{|T\}\cup W. (U,W)\in\{V\in T. m\in V\}\times T\} by auto
     }
     moreover
```

```
{
         assume AS:Mm≠0
         then obtain V where V:V∈MV∉T by auto
         with M have V \in \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\} by blast
         then obtain U W where U:V=(U-\{m\})\cup\{[\ ]T\}\cup W\ U\in Tm\in U\ W\in T by auto
         let U=\{(V,W)\in T\times T. m\in V \land (V-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\}\cup W\in Mm\}
         let fU=\{fst(B). B\in U\}
         let sU=\{snd(B). B\in U\}
         have fU\subseteq TsU\subseteq T by auto
         then have P: \bigcup fU \in T \bigcup sU \in T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto moreover
         have \langle U, W \rangle \in U using U V by auto
         then have m∈ | JfU by auto
         ultimately have s:\langle \bigcup fU, \bigcup sU \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T by auto
         moreover have r: \forall S. \forall R. S \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \longrightarrow R \in T \longrightarrow (S - \{m\}) \cup \{\lfloor JT\} \cup R \in \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\lfloor JT\} \cup W. M \in T\} \}
\langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}
            by auto
         ultimately have (|\int U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup\bigcup\{\cup \{m\}\}\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle\in\{V\in T.
m \in V \times T  by auto
         {
            \mathbf{fix}\ \mathbf{v}\ \mathbf{assume}\ \mathbf{v}{\in}\bigcup\,\mathbf{Mm}
            then obtain V where v:v\in VV\in Mm by auto
            then have V:V∈MV∉T by auto
            with M have V={U - {m} \cup{\bigcupT}\cupW. \langleU,W\rangle={V=T. m=V}\timesT} by blast
            then obtain U W where U:V=(U-{m})\cup{\bigcupT}\cupW U\inTm\inU W\inT by auto
            with v(1) have v \in (U-\{m\}) \cup \{|JT\} \cup W by auto
            then have v \in U - \{m\} \lor v = \bigcup T \lor v \in W by auto
            then have (v \in U \land v \neq m) \lor v = \bigcup T \lor v \in W by auto
            moreover from U V have \langle U, W \rangle \in U by auto
            ultimately have v \in (( | fU) - \{m\}) \cup \{ | JT\} \cup (| JsU)  by auto
         then have []Mm\subseteq(([]fU)-\{m\})\cup\{[]T\}\cup([]sU) by blast moreover
            fix v assume v:v \in ((\bigcup fU)-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup sU)
               assume v=\ \]T
               then have v \in (U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W by auto
               with \langle \langle U, W \rangle \in U \rangle have v \in \bigcup Mm by auto
            moreover
               assume v≠UTv∉UsU
               with v have v \in ((\bigcup fU) - \{m\}) by auto
               then have (v \in \bigcup fU \land v \neq m) by auto
               then obtain W where (v \in W \land W \in fU \land v \neq m) by auto
               then have v \in (W-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \ W \in fU \ by \ auto
               then obtain B where fst(B)=W B\inU v\in(W-{m})\cup{| |T} by blast
                then have v∈ | JMm by auto
            }
```

```
ultimately have v∈[ ]Mm by auto
         then have ((\bigcup fU)-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup(\bigcup sU)\subseteq\bigcup Mm by auto
         ultimately have []Mm=(([]fU)-\{m\})\cup\{[]T\}\cup([]sU) by auto
         then have [M=(([JfU)-\{m\})\cup\{[JT\}\cup(([JSU)\cup([JMT))\}]\}] using unm by auto
         moreover from P tt have (\bigcup sU) \cup (\bigcup MT) \in T using topSpaceAssum
            union_open[OF topSpaceAssum, of \{\bigcup sU, \bigcup MT\}] by auto
         with s have \langle \bigcup fU, (\bigcup sU) \cup (\bigcup MT) \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T by auto
         then have ((\bigcup fU)-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup((\bigcup sU)\cup(\bigcup MT))\in\{(U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup W.\}
\langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\} using r
            by auto
         ultimately have [M\in \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{[T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle\in \{V\in T. m\in V\}\times T\}\}] by auto
         then have |M\in T\cup\{(U-\{m\})\cup\{|T\}\cup W. (U,W)\in\{V\in T. m\in V\}\times T\} by auto
      ultimately
      have | M \in T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{|T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\} by auto
  then have \forall M \in Pow(T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup JT\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}). \bigcup M \in T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup JT\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}).
\langle U,W\rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\} by auto
  moreover
      \label{eq:fix A B assume ass:A} fix A B assume ass:A \in T \cup \{(U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\} \\ B \in T
\cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\}\cup W. \ \langle U,W\rangle \in \{V\in T. \ m\in V\}\times T\}
      {
         assume A:A\in T
         {
            assume B \in T
            with A have A∩B∈T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto
         moreover
            assume B∉T
            with ass(2) have B \in \{(U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W : \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T : m \in V\} \times T\} by
auto
            then obtain U W where U:U\in Tm\in UW\in TB=(U-\{m\})\cup\{|T\}\cup W by auto
moreover
            from A mem_not_refl have | JT∉A by auto
            ultimately have A \cap B = A \cap ((U - \{m\}) \cup W) by auto
            then have eq:A \cap B = (A \cap (U - \{m\})) \cup (A \cap W) by auto
            have \bigcup T-{m}\in T using assms unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
            with U(1) have 0:U\cap(\bigcup T-\{m\})\in T using topSpaceAssum unfolding
IsATopology_def
               by auto
            have U \cap (\bigcup T - \{m\}) = U - \{m\} using U(1) by auto
            with O have U-\{m\}\in T by auto
            with A have (A \cap (U - \{m\})) \in T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
               by auto
            moreover
```

```
from A U(3) have A∩W∈T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
            by auto
          ultimately have (A \cap (U-\{m\})) \cup (A \cap W) \in T using
            union_open[OF topSpaceAssum, of \{A \cap (U-\{m\}), A \cap W\}] by auto
          with eq have A \cap B \in T by auto
       ultimately have A \cap B \in T by auto
     moreover
     {
       assume A∉T
       with ass(1) have A:A\in\{(U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle\in\{V\in T. m\in V\}\times T\} by
auto
          assume B:B\in T
          from A obtain U W where U:U\in Tm\in UW\in TA=(U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup W by auto
moreover
          from B mem_not_refl have [JT∉B by auto
          ultimately have A \cap B = ((U - \{m\}) \cup W) \cap B by auto
          then have eq:A \cap B = ((U - \{m\}) \cap B) \cup (W \cap B) by auto
          have \bigcup T-{m}\in T using assms unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
          with U(1) have 0:U\cap(\bigcup T-\{m\})\in T using topSpaceAssum unfolding
IsATopology_def
             by auto
          have U \cap (| JT - \{m\}) = U - \{m\} using U(1) by auto
          with 0 have U-\{m\}\in T by auto
          with B have ((U-{m})∩B)∈T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
             by auto
          moreover
          from B U(3) have W∩B∈T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
            by auto
          ultimately have ((U-\{m\})\cap B)\cup (W\cap B)\in T using
            union_open[OF topSpaceAssum, of \{((U-\{m\})\cap B),(W\cap B)\}] by auto
          with eq have A \cap B \in T by auto
       }
       moreover
          assume B∉T
          with ass(2) have B \in \{(U-\{m\}) \cup \{|T\} \cup W. \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\} by
auto
          then obtain U W where U:U\in Tm\in UW\in TB=(U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup W by auto
moreover
          from A obtain UA WA where UA:UA \in Tm \in UAWA \in TA = (UA - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup WA
by auto
          ultimately have A \cap B = (((UA - \{m\}) \cup WA) \cap ((U - \{m\}) \cup W)) \cup \{\bigcup T\} by auto
          then have eq:A\cap B=((UA-\{m\})\cap (U-\{m\}))\cup (WA\cap (U-\{m\}))\cup ((UA-\{m\})\cap W)\cup (WA\cap W)\cup \{\bigcup T\}
by auto
          have \bigcup T-\{m\}\in T using assms unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
          with U(1) UA(1) have 0:U\cap(\bigcup T-\{m\})\in TUA\cap(\bigcup T-\{m\})\in T using topSpaceAssum
```

```
unfolding IsATopology_def
                 by auto
              have U \cap (\bigcup T - \{m\}) = U - \{m\}UA \cap (\bigcup T - \{m\}) = UA - \{m\} \text{ using } U(1) \text{ UA}(1) \text{ by }
auto
              with 0 have 00:U-\{m\}\in TUA-\{m\}\in T by auto
              then have ((UA-\{m\})\cap(U-\{m\}))=UA\cap U-\{m\} by auto
              moreover
              have UA∩U∈Tm∈UA∩U using U(1,2) UA(1,2) topSpaceAssum unfold-
ing IsATopology_def
                 by auto
              moreover
              from 00 U(3) UA(3) have TT:WA\cap(U-{m})\inT(UA-{m})\capW\inTWA\capW\inT us-
ing topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
                 by auto
              from TT(2,3) have ((UA-\{m\})\cap W)\cup (WA\cap W)\in T using union_open[OF
topSpaceAssum,
                  of \{(UA-\{m\})\cap W, WA\cap W\}\} by auto
              with TT(1) have (WA \cap (U-\{m\})) \cup (((UA-\{m\}) \cap W) \cup (WA \cap W)) \in T using union_open[OF
topSpaceAssum,
                  of \{WA\cap(U-\{m\}),((UA-\{m\})\cap W)\cup(WA\cap W)\}\} by auto
              ultimately
              have A \cap B = (UA \cap U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup ((WA \cap (U - \{m\})) \cup (((UA - \{m\}) \cap W) \cup (WA \cap W)))
                  (\mathtt{WA}\cap (\mathtt{U}-\{\mathtt{m}\}))\cup (((\mathtt{UA}-\{\mathtt{m}\})\cap \mathtt{W})\cup (\mathtt{WA}\cap \mathtt{W}))\in \mathtt{T}\ \mathtt{UA}\cap \mathtt{U}\in \{\mathtt{V}\in \mathtt{T}.\ \mathtt{m}\in \mathtt{V}\}\ \mathbf{using}
eq by auto
              then have \exists W \in T. A \cap B = (UA \cap U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W UA \cap U \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} by
auto
              then have A \cap B \in \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{|JT\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\} by auto
          }
          ultimately
          have A\capB\inT \cup{(U-{m})\cup{[]T}\cupW. \langleU,W\rangle\in{V\inT. m\inV}\timesT} by auto
       ultimately have A \cap B \in T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{(JT\} \cup W, \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T, m \in V\} \times T\} by auto
   then have \forall A \in T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\lfloor JT\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}. \ \forall B \in T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\lfloor JT\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}. \ \forall B \in T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\lfloor JT\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}.
\langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}.
       A\cap B\in T\cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\}\cup W.\ \langle U,W\rangle \in \{V\in T.\ m\in V\}\times T\}\ \mathrm{by\ blast}
   ultimately show thesis unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
qed
The previous topology is defined over a set with one more point.
lemma(in topology0) union_doublepoint_top:
   assumes {m}{is closed in}T
   shows [ ](T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) = \bigcup T \cup \{\bigcup T\}
proof
      fix x assume x \in (J(T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{(JT\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}))
       then obtain R where x:x\in RR\in T\cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle\in \{V\in T. m\in V\}\times T\}
by blast
       {
```

```
assume R \in T
         with x(1) have x \in \bigcup T by auto
      }
      moreover
      {
         assume R∉T
         with x(2) have Re{(U-{m})\cup{\bigcup}T}\cupW. \langleU,W\ranglee{VeT. meV}\timesT} by auto
         then obtain U W where R=(U-\{m\})\cup\{|\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \}
         with x(1) have x=\bigcup T \lor x \in \bigcup T by auto
      ultimately have x \in \bigcup T \cup \{\bigcup T\} by auto
   }
   then show \bigcup (T \cup \{(U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \subseteq \bigcup T \cup \{\bigcup T\}
by auto
   {
      fix x assume x \in |T \cup \{|T\}|
      then have dis:x\in \bigcup T \lor x=\bigcup T by auto
         assume x \in JT
         then have x \in [ | (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{| \}T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\})  by auto
      }
      moreover
         assume x∉l JT
         with dis have x = \bigcup T by auto
         moreover from assms have []T-{m}-{Tm}-[]T unfolding IsClosed_def
         moreover have 0∈T using empty_open topSpaceAssum by auto
         ultimately have x \in (\bigcup T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup 0 \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup 0 \in \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W.
\langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}
             using union_open[OF topSpaceAssum] by auto
         then have x \in ([]T-\{m\}) \cup \{[]T\}\cup 0 ([]T-\{m\})\cup \{[]T\}\cup 0\in T\cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{[]T\}\cup W.
\langle U,W\rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}
             by auto
         then have x \in [\int (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\})] by blast
      ultimately have x \in [J(T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[JT\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\})] by
auto
   then show \bigcup T \cup \{\bigcup T\} \subseteq \bigcup (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\})
by auto
qed
In this topology, the previous topological space is an open subspace.
theorem(in topology0) open_subspace_double_point:
   assumes {m}{is closed in}T
   shows (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted to} \} \bigcup T = T
and \bigcup T \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\})
proof-
```

```
have N:[JT∉[JT using mem_not_refl by auto
             \label{eq:fix} \  \, \text{$x$ assume $x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})$} \\ \{\text{$r$ estricted } \  \, \text{$t \in T$ assume $x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})$} \\ \{\text{$r$ estricted } \  \, \text{$t \in T$ assume $x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})$} \\ \{\text{$r$ estricted } \  \, \text{$t \in T$ assume $x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})$} \\ \{\text{$t \in T$ assume $x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})$} \\ \{\text{$t \in T$ assume $x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})$} \\ \{\text{$t \in T$ assume $x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})$} \\ \{\text{$t \in T$ assume $x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})$} \\ \{\text{$t \in T$ assume $x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})$} \\ \{\text{$t \in T$ assume $x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})$} \\ \{\text{$t \in T$ assume $x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})$} \\ \{\text{$t \in T$ assume $x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})$} \\ \{\text{$t \in T$ assume $x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})$} \\ \{\text{$t \in T$ assume $x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{(U - \{m
to}[]T
              then obtain U where U:U\in (T\cup\{(U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup\}T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle\in\{V\in T. m\in V\}\times T\})x=\bigcup T\cap U
                     unfolding RestrictedTo_def by blast
                     assume U∉T
                     with U(1) have U \in \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\} by auto
                     then obtain V W where VW:U=(V-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup WV\in Tm\in VW\in T by auto
                     with N U(2) have x:x=(V-\{m\})\cup W by auto
                     have []T-{m}∈T using assms unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
                     then have V \cap ([]T-\{m\}) \in T using VW(2) topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
                            by auto moreover
                     have V-\{m\}=V\cap(\bigcup T-\{m\}) using VW(2,3) by auto ultimately
                     have V-\{m\}\in T by auto
                     with VW(4) have (V-{m})∪W∈T using union_open[OF topSpaceAssum,
of \{V-\{m\},W\}]
                            by auto
                     with x have x \in T by auto
              }
             moreover
                     assume A:U\in T
                     with U(2) have x=U by auto
                     with A have x \in T by auto
              ultimately have x \in T by auto
      then have (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted to} \} \bigcup T \subseteq T
by auto
      moreover
              fix x assume x:x\in T
              then have x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) by auto more-
              from x have \bigcup T \cap x = x by auto ultimately
              have \exists M \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}). \bigcup T \cap M = x by blast
              then have x \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{| \}T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted}\}
to}UT unfolding RestrictedTo_def
                     by auto
      ultimately show (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted}\}
to}\bigcup T=T by auto
      have P: \bigcup T \in T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
      then show \bigcup T \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) by auto
```

The previous topology construction applied to a T_2 non-discrite space topol-

```
If there is a singleton which is not open, but closed; then the construction
on that point is not T_2.
theorem(in topology0) loc_T2_imp_T2_counter_1:
       assumes {m}∉T {m}{is closed in}T
      shows \neg((T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \text{ (is } T_2\})
       assume ass: (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{| \}T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) {is T_2}
       then have tot1:\bigcup (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) = \bigcup T \cup \{\bigcup T\}
using union_doublepoint_top
              assms(2) by auto
       have m = | | T using mem_not_refl assms(2) unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
moreover
       from ass tot1 have \forall x y. x \in []T \cup \{[]T\} \land y \in []T \cup \{[]T\} \land x \neq y \longrightarrow (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W, x \neq y )) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W, x \neq y )) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W, x \neq y )) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W, x \neq y )) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W, x \neq y )) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W, x \neq y )) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W, x \neq y )) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W, x \neq y )) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W, x \neq y )) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W, x \neq y )) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W, x \neq y )) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W, x \neq y ))) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup W, x \neq y ))) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup W, x \neq y ))) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup W, x \neq y ))) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup W, x \neq y ))) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{(U - \{m\}) \cup W, x \neq y ))))) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{(U - \{m\}) \cup W, x \neq y ))))) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{(U - \{m\}) \cup W, x \neq y ))))) \cap (\exists \mathfrak{U} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{(U + \{(U - \{(U + \{(U - \{(U +
\langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T : m \in V\} \times T\}).
              \exists \mathfrak{V} \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}). \ x \in \mathfrak{U} \land y \in \mathfrak{V} \land \mathfrak{U} \cap \mathfrak{V} = 0)
unfolding isT2_def by auto
      moreover
       from assms(2) have m \in \{ \mid T \cup \{ \mid T \} \} unfolding IsClosed_def by auto more-
       have \bigcup T \in \bigcup T \cup \{\bigcup T\} by auto ultimately
      \mathbf{have} \ \exists \mathfrak{U} \in (\mathsf{T} \cup \{(\mathsf{U} - \{\mathsf{m}\}) \cup \{\bigcup \mathsf{T}\} \cup \mathsf{W}. \ \langle \mathsf{U}, \mathsf{W} \rangle \in \{\mathsf{V} \in \mathsf{T}. \ \mathsf{m} \in \mathsf{V}\} \times \mathsf{T}\}). \ \exists \, \mathfrak{V} \in (\mathsf{T} \cup \{(\mathsf{U} - \{\mathsf{m}\}) \cup \{\bigcup \mathsf{T}\} \cup \mathsf{W}. \ \langle \mathsf{U}, \mathsf{W} \rangle \in \{\mathsf{V} \in \mathsf{T}. \ \mathsf{m} \in \mathsf{V}\} \times \mathsf{T}\}).
 \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T : m \in V\} \times T\}). m \in \mathcal{U} \land \bigcup J \in \mathcal{V} \land \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V} = 0
              by auto
       then obtain \mathfrak U where \mathtt UV: \mathfrak U \in (\mathtt T \cup \{(\mathtt U - \{\mathtt m\}) \cup \{\bigcup \mathtt T\} \cup \mathtt W. \ \langle \mathtt U, \mathtt W \rangle \in \{\mathtt V \in \mathtt T. \ \mathtt m \in \mathtt V\} \times \mathtt T\})
              \mathfrak{V} {\in} (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle {\in} \{V {\in} T. \ m {\in} V\} \times T\}) \\ m {\in} U \bigcup T {\in} \mathfrak{VU} \cap \mathfrak{V} {=} 0 \ using
tot1 by blast
       then have []T∉U by auto
       with UV(1) have P: \mathfrak{U} \in T by auto
              assume \mathfrak{V} \in T
              then have \mathfrak{V}\subseteq\bigcup T by auto
              with UV(4) have [JT∈[JT using tot1 by auto
              then have False using mem_not_refl by auto
       with UV(2) have \mathfrak{V} \in \{(U-\{m\}) \cup \{( \}T\} \cup W. \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\} by auto
       then obtain U W where V:\mathfrak{V}=(U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup W U\in Tm\in UW\in T by auto
       from V(2,3) P have int:U \cap U \in Tm \in U \cap U using UV(3) topSpaceAssum
              unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
      have (U \cap \mathcal{U} - \{m\}) \subseteq \mathcal{U} (U \cap \mathcal{U} - \{m\}) \subseteq \mathcal{V} using V(1) by auto
      then have (U \cap \mathcal{U} - \{m\}) = 0 using UV(5) by auto
       with int(2) have U \cap U = \{m\} by auto
       with int(1) assms(1) show False by auto
qed
This topology is locally-T_2.
theorem(in topology0) loc_T2_imp_T2_counter_2:
```

ogy, gives a counter-example to: Every locally- T_2 space is T_2 .

assumes $\{m\}\notin T \ m\in \bigcup T \ T\{is \ T_2\}$

```
shows (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{( \}T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) {is locally-T<sub>2</sub>}
proof-
       from assms(3) have T\{is T_1\} using T2\_is\_T1 by auto
        with assms(2) have mc:{m}{is closed in}T using T1_iff_singleton_closed
by auto
       have N: UT∉UT using mem_not_refl by auto
       have res:(T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted to}\} \bigcup T = T \cap \{U \in T\} \cup \{U \in 
               and P:| T \in T and Q:| T \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{|T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\})
using open_subspace_double_point mc
               topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
               fix A assume ass: A \in \{ JT \cup \{ \{ JT \} \} \}
                {
                       assume A≠[JT
                       with ass have A∈ | JT by auto
                       with Q res assms(3) have \bigcup T \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \land U \in (U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \land U \in (U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \land U \in (U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \land U \in (U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \land U \in (U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \land U \in (U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \land U \in (U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}
A \in \{ \ | \ T \land (((T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\{\}T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}) \} \text{ frestricted to} \} \{ \ | \ T \cap \{(T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\{\}T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}) \} \} \} \}
T_2) by auto
                       then have \exists Z \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}). A \in Z \land (((T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. M \cap W\}))
\langle U,W\rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}){restricted to}Z){is T_2})
                               by blast
                }
               moreover
                {
                       assume A:A=| JT
                       have | JT∈Tm∈| JT0∈T using assms(2) empty_open[OF topSpaceAssum]
unfolding IsClosed_def using P by auto
                       then have ([]T-\{m\})\cup\{[]T\}\cup 0\in\{(U-\{m\})\cup\{[]T\}\cup W.\ \langle U,W\rangle\in\{V\in T.\ m\in V\}\times T\}
                       then have opp:([]T-\{m\})\cup\{[]T\}\in(T\cup\{(U-\{m\})\cup\{[]T\}\cup W.\ \langle U,W\rangle\in\{V\in T.\ f\in T\})
m \in V \times T) by auto
                       {
                               fix A1 A2 assume points: A1 \in (\bigcup T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\}A2 \in (\bigcup T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\}A1 \neq A2
                               from points(1,2) have notm:A1\neq mA2\neq m using assms(2) unfolding
IsClosed_def
                                       using mem_not_refl by auto
                                       assume or:A1\in JTA2\in JT
                                       with points(3) assms(3) obtain U V where UV:U∈TV∈TA1∈UA2∈V
                                               U∩V=0 unfolding isT2_def by blast
                                       from UV(1,2) have U\cap((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\})\in(T\cup\{(U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup W.
\langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\} \} {restricted to}((\bigcup T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\})
                                                V \cap ((\bigcup T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\}) \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}) \{ \text{restricted} \} 
to\((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\})
                                               unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto moreover
                                       then have U \cap (\bigcup T - \{m\}) = U \cap ((\bigcup T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\}) \ V \cap (\bigcup T - \{m\}) = V \cap ((\bigcup T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\})
using UV(1,2) mem_not_refl[of | ]T]
                                               by auto
                                       ultimately have opUV: U \cap (\bigcup T - \{m\}) \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T.\})
```

```
m \in V \times T) {restricted to}((\bigcup T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\})
                                               V \cap (\bigcup T - \{m\}) \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted}
to}((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}) by auto
                                       moreover have U \cap ([]T-\{m\}) \cap (V \cap ([]T-\{m\}))=0 using UV(5) by auto
moreover
                                       from UV(3) or(1) notm(1) have A1 \in U \cap (\bigcup T - \{m\}) by auto more-
over
                                      from UV(4) or(2) notm(2) have A2 \in V \cap (|T-\{m\}) by auto ulti-
mately
                                      have \exists V. V \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted}\}
to\}((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\})\wedge \ A1\in U\cap(\bigcup T-\{m\})\wedge A2\in V\wedge(U\cap(\bigcup T-\{m\}))\cap V=0 \ using \ exl[where])
to\}((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}) \land A1 \in (U\cap(\bigcup T-\{m\})) \land A2 \in W \land (U\cap(\bigcup T-\{m\}))\cap W=0]
                                               using opUV(2) by auto
                                       then have \exists U. U \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{|JT\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted}\}
 \mathsf{to}\}((||\mathsf{T}-\{\mathsf{m}\})\cup\{||\mathsf{T}\})\wedge(\exists\,\mathsf{V}.\ \mathsf{V}\in(\mathsf{T}\cup\{(\mathsf{U}-\{\mathsf{m}\})\cup\{||\mathsf{T}\}\cup\mathsf{W}.\ \langle\mathsf{U},\mathsf{W}\rangle\in\{\mathsf{V}\in\mathsf{T}.\ \mathsf{m}\in\mathsf{V}\}\times\mathsf{T}\}) \{\mathsf{restricted}\} 
to\((|T-\{m\})\cup\{|T\})\
                                               A1 \in U \land A2 \in V \land U \cap V=0) using exI[where x=U\cap (\left| T-\{m\}) and P=\lambda W.
 \forall \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{(\bigcup T\} \cup W. \forall U, W\} \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted to}\} ((\bigcup T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup JT\}) \land (\exists V. M \in V\} ) \} 
 V \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{|\ |\ T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}) \}  (restricted to \{((|\ |\ T - \{m\}) \cup \{|\ |\ T\}) \land (U, W \in T) \} \} 
A1 \in W \land A2 \in V \land W \cap V = 0)
                                               using opUV(1) by auto
                                       then have \exists U \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted}\}
 \mathsf{to}\}((\bigcup \mathsf{T}-\{\mathsf{m}\})\cup\{\bigcup \mathsf{T}\}) \ . \ (\exists \, \mathsf{V}. \ \mathsf{V}\in(\mathsf{T}\cup\{(\mathsf{U}-\{\mathsf{m}\})\cup\{\bigcup \mathsf{T}\}\cup \mathsf{W}. \ \langle \mathsf{U},\mathsf{W}\rangle\in\{\mathsf{V}\in\mathsf{T}. \ \mathsf{m}\in\mathsf{V}\}\times\mathsf{T}\}) \\ \{\mathsf{restricted} \ . \ \mathsf{To}\}((\bigcup \mathsf{T}-\{\mathsf{m}\})\cup\{\bigcup \mathsf{T}\}\cup \mathsf{W}) \ . \\ \mathsf{To}\}((\bigcup \mathsf{T}-\{\mathsf{m}\})\cup\{\bigcup \mathsf{T}\cup \mathsf{W}) \ . \\ \mathsf{To}\}((\bigcup \mathsf{T}-\{\mathsf{m}\})\cup\{\bigcup \mathsf{T}\cup \mathsf{T}\cup \mathsf{W}) \ . \\ \mathsf{To}\}((\bigcup \mathsf{T}-\{\mathsf{m}\})\cup\{\bigcup \mathsf{T}\cup \mathsf{T}\cup \mathsf{T}\cup \mathsf{W}) \ . \\ \mathsf{To}\}((\bigcup \mathsf{T}-\{\mathsf{m}\})\cup\{\bigcup \mathsf{T}\cup \mathsf{T}\cup
to}(([\]T-\{m\})\cup\{[\]T\})\landA1\inU\landA2\inV\landU\capV=0) by blast
                                       then have \exists U \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{||T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted}\}
 \text{to}\}((\{\mid T-\{m\})\cup \{\mid T\}). \ (\exists \ V\in (T\cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{\mid T\}\cup W. \ \langle U,W\rangle \in \{V\in T. \ m\in V\}\times T\}) \ \{\text{restricted} \ \}) 
to\((\big| \IT-\{m\})\\(\big| \IT\)\). A1\(\infty\A2\(\infty\)\U\\\U=0\) by blast
                               }
                               moreover
                                      assume A1∉l JT
                                      then have ig:A1=[]T using points(1) by auto
                                               assume A2∉[JT
                                               then have A2=[JT using points(2) by auto
                                               with points(3) ig have False by auto
                                       then have igA2:A2∈| |T by auto moreover
                                      have m∈[]T using assms(2) unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
                                       moreover note notm(2) assms(3) ultimately obtain U V where
UV:U\in TV\in T
                                               m∈UA2∈VU∩V=0 unfolding isT2_def by blast
                                       from UV(1,3) have U \in \{W \in T. m \in W\} by auto moreover
                                      have 0 \in T using empty_open topSpaceAssum by auto ultimately
                                      have (U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\in\{(U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup W.\ \langle U,W\rangle\in\{V\in T.\ m\in V\}\times T\} by
auto
                                       then have Uop: (U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \in (T \cup \{(U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T.\}\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T.\}\}
m \in V \times T) by auto
```

```
by auto
                               from UV(1-3,5) have sub:V\subseteq (\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\} ((U-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\})\subseteq (\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\}
by auto
                               from sub(1) have V=((||T-\{m\})\cup\{||T\})\cap V by auto
                               then have  \forall V: V \in (T \ \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}) \{ \text{restricted} \} 
to\((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup\(\bigcup T\)\) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
                                      using Vop by blast moreover
                                from sub(2) have ((U-\{m\})\cup\{[]T\})=(([]T-\{m\})\cup\{[]T\})\cap((U-\{m\})\cup\{[]T\})
by auto
                                then have UU:((U-\{m\})\cup\{[\ ]T\})\in(T\cup\{(U-\{m\})\cup\{[\ ]T\}\cup W.\ \langle U,W\rangle\in\{V\in T.\ )
m \in V \times T) {restricted to}(([]T-{m})) ([]T}) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
                                      using Uop by blast moreover
                               from UV(2) have ((U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\})\cap V=(U-\{m\})\cap V using mem_not_refl
by auto
                               then have ((U-\{m\})\cup\{|T\})\cap V=0 using UV(5) by auto
                                with UV(4) VV ig igA2 have \exists V \in (T \cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle \in \{V \in T.\}\})
m \in V \times T){restricted to}((\bigcup T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\}).
                                     A1 \in (U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \land A2 \in V \land ((U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\}) \cap V=0 \text{ by auto}
                                with UU ig have \exists U. U\in(T \cup{(U-{m})\cup{\bigcup T}\cupW. \langleU,W\rangle\in{V\inT. m\inV}\timesT}){restricted
 \text{to}\}(([]T-\{m\})\cup\{[]T\})\wedge \ (\exists\, V\in (T\,\cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{[]T\}\cup W.\ \langle U,W\rangle \in \{V\in T.\ m\in V\}\times T\}) \{\text{restricted}\}) 
to\((\bigcup T-\{m\})\\(\bigcup T\}).
                                      A1 \in U \land A2 \in V \land U \cap V=0) using exI[where x=((U-{m}))\(\big| T\)) and
P=\lambda U. \ \ U\in (T \cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\}\cup W. \ \ \langle U,W\rangle \in \{V\in T. \ m\in V\}\times T\}) \\ \{restricted\ to\}((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\})\wedge \{u\in V\}\times T\} \\ \{restricted\ to\}((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\})\wedge \{u\in V\}\times T\} \\ \{restricted\ to\}((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\}\cup \{U,W\}) \\ \{u\in V\}\times T\} \\ \{restricted\ to\}((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\}\cup \{U,W\}) \\ \{u\in V\}\times T\} \\ \{restricted\ to\}((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\}\cup \{U,W\}) \\ \{u\in V\}\times T\} 
(\exists V \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{|T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted to} \} ((|T - \{m\}) \cup \{|T\}).
                                      A1 \in U \land A2 \in V \land U \cap V = 0)] by auto
                                 \text{to}\}(([\ \ ] T-\{m\})\cup\{[\ \ ] T\}). \ (\exists \ V\in (T\ \cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup\{[\ \ ] T\}\cup W.\ \ \langle U,W\rangle \in \{V\in T.\ m\in V\}\times T\}) \\ \{\text{restricted}\} 
to\((\bigcup T-\{m\})\\(\bigcup T\}).
                                     A1\inU\landA2\inV\landU\capV=0) by blast
                         }
                         moreover
                               assume A2∉[JT
                               then have ig:A2=[]T using points(2) by auto
                                      assume A1∉| JT
                                      then have A1=[]T using points(1) by auto
                                      with points(3) ig have False by auto
                               then have igA2:A1∈∪T by auto moreover
                               have m∈∪T using assms(2) unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
                                moreover note notm(1) assms(3) ultimately obtain U V where
UV:U\in TV\in T
                                     m \in UA1 \in VU \cap V=0 unfolding isT2_def by blast
                                from UV(1,3) have U \in \{W \in T. m \in W\} by auto moreover
                                have 0 

T using empty_open topSpaceAssum by auto ultimately
                                have (U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\in\{(U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle\in\{V\in T.\ m\in V\}\times T\} by
auto
```

```
then have Uop: (U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \in (T \cup \{(U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T.\}\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T.\}\}
m \in V \times T) by auto
                  from UV(2) have Vop:V \in (T \cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle \in \{V\in T. m\in V\}\times T\})
by auto
                 from UV(1-3,5) have sub:V\subseteq ([]T-\{m\})\cup \{[]T\} ((U-\{m\})\cup \{[]T\})\subseteq ([]T-\{m\})\cup \{[]T\})
by auto
                 from sub(1) have V=((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\})\cap V by auto
                  then have VV: V \in (T \cup \{(U-\{m\}) \cup \{|JT\} \cup W. \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted}\}
to\((\big| \IT-\{m\})\(\big| \big| \IT\) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
                     using Vop by blast moreover
                 from sub(2) have ((U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\})=((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\})\cap((U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\})
by auto
                 then have UU:((U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\})\in (T\cup\{(U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle\in \{V\in T.\})
m \in V \times T) {restricted to}((( T - m) \cup \{ JT \}) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
                      using Uop by blast moreover
                  from UV(2) have V \cap ((U-\{m\}) \cup \{|T\}) = V \cap (U-\{m\}) using mem_not_refl
by auto
                 then have V \cap ((U-\{m\}) \cup \{(JT\})=0 using UV(5) by auto
                  with UU UV(4) ig igA2 have \exists U \in (T \cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T.\}\})
m \in V \times T){restricted to}((||T-{m})) \(-\{||T}\).
                     A1 \in V \land A2 \in U \land V \cap U=0 by auto
                  with VV igA2 have \existsU. U \in (T \cup \{(U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T.\}\})
m \in V \times T ) {restricted to}((\bigcup T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\}) \land (\exists V \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W).
\langle U,W\rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}){restricted to}((\bigcup T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\}).
                      A1 \in U \land A2 \in V \land U \cap V = 0) using exI[where x=V and P = \lambda U. U \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[], T\} \cup W.
\langle \mathtt{U}, \mathtt{W} \rangle \in \{\mathtt{V} \in \mathtt{T}. \ \mathtt{m} \in \mathtt{V}\} \times \mathtt{T}\}) \{\mathtt{restricted to}\} ((\bigcup \mathtt{T} - \{\mathtt{m}\}) \cup \{\bigcup \mathtt{T}\}) \wedge (\exists \mathtt{V} \in (\mathtt{T} \cup \{(\mathtt{U} - \{\mathtt{m}\}) \cup \{\bigcup \mathtt{T}\} \cup \mathtt{W}.
\langle U,W\rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}){restricted to}((\left| \int T-\{m\})\cup\{\left| \int T\}).
                     A1 \in U \land A2 \in V \land U \cap V=0)] by auto
                  then have \exists U \in (T \cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted}\}
to\ ((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}).
                     A1 \in U \land A2 \in V \land U \cap V = 0) by blast
              ultimately have \exists U \in (T \cup \{(U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted } \}
to\ ((||T-\{m\}|)\cup \{||T\}).
                     A1 \in U \land A2 \in V \land U \cap V=0) by blast
          then have \forall A1 \in ([JT-\{m\}) \cup \{[JT\}. \forall A2 \in ([JT-\{m\}) \cup \{[JT\}. A1 \neq A2 \longrightarrow [JT]\})
(\exists \, U \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup \, T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted to}\} ((\bigcup \, T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup \, T\}).
(\exists \, V \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup \, T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted to}\} ((\bigcup \, T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup \, T\}).
                      A1 \in U \land A2 \in V \land U \cap V=0) by auto moreover
          have []((T \cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup\{[]T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle\in\{V\in T. m\in V\}\times T\})] (restricted
 \text{to} \} ((\bigcup T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\})) = (\bigcup (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})) \cap ((\bigcup T - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\}) 
              unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
          then have \bigcup ((T \cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{\bigcup T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle \in \{V\in T. m\in V\}\times T\})\} (restricted
to\}((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}))=(\bigcup T\cup\{\bigcup T\})\cap((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}) \text{ using }
              union_doublepoint_top mc by auto
          then have \bigcup ((T \cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{[JT\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle \in \{V\in T. m\in V\}\times T\})\} (restricted
```

```
to\((\big| \IT-\{m\})\(-\{\big| \IT\}\))=(\big| \IT-\{m\})\(-\{\big| \IT\}\) by auto
               ultimately have \forall \text{A1} \in \bigcup ((\text{T} \cup \{(\text{U}-\{\text{m}\})\cup \{(\text{JT}\}\cup \text{W}. \langle \text{U}, \text{W}\}\in \{\text{V}\in \text{T}. \text{m}\in \text{V}\}\times \text{T}\}) \{\text{restricted}\}
 \text{to}\}((\bigcup T-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\})). \ \ \forall \ A2\in\bigcup \ ((T\ \cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup W.\ \ \langle U,W\rangle\in \{V\in T.\ m\in V\}\times T\}) \{\text{restricted } \}) 
\mathsf{to}\}((|\mathsf{JT}-\{\mathsf{m}\})\cup\{|\mathsf{JT}\})). \ \mathsf{A}1\neq \mathsf{A}2 \ \longrightarrow \ (\exists \ \mathsf{U}\in (\mathsf{T}\ \cup \{(\mathsf{U}-\{\mathsf{m}\})\cup \{|\mathsf{JT}\}\cup \mathsf{W}.\ \langle \mathsf{U},\mathsf{W}\rangle \in \{\mathsf{V}\in \mathsf{T}.\ \mathsf{A}\}))
m \in V \times T  {restricted to}((||T-{m})\(\( ||T\)). (\exists V \in (T \cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{|T\}\cup W.\})
\langle U,W\rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}){restricted to}((\| \| T - \{m}\))\( \| \| T\)).
                                A1 \in U \land A2 \in V \land U \cap V=0) by auto
               then have ((T \cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle\in \{V\in T. m\in V\}\times T\})\} restricted
to\((\bigcup T-\{m\})\(\big| \int T\)\)\(\int T_2\)\ unfolding isT2_def
                     by force
               with opp A have \exists Z \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}).
A \in Z \land (((T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{restricted to}\}Z) \{\text{is } \{V \in T, \ m \in V\} \times T\} \}
T_2
                     by blast
          ultimately
          \mathbf{have} \ \exists \ \mathbf{Z} \in (\mathbf{T} \cup \{(\mathbf{U} - \{\mathbf{m}\}) \cup \{|\ |\ \mathbf{T}\} \cup \mathbf{W}. \ \ \langle \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{W} \rangle \in \{\mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{T}. \ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{V}\} \times \mathbf{T}\}) \ . \ \ \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{Z} \wedge (((\mathbf{T} \cup \{(\mathbf{U} - \{\mathbf{m}\}) \cup \{|\ |\ \mathbf{T}\} \cup \mathbf{W}. \ \ \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W} ) \in \{\mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{T}. \ \mathbf{M} \in \mathbf{V}\} \times \mathbf{T}\}) \ . \ \ \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{Z} \wedge (((\mathbf{T} \cup \{(\mathbf{U} - \{\mathbf{m}\}) \cup \{|\ |\ \mathbf{T}\} \cup \mathbf{W}. \ \ \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W} ) \in \{\mathbf{W} \in \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W} \} \times \mathbf{W}\}) \ .
\langle U,W\rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}){restricted to}Z){is T_2})
                     by blast
     then have \forall A \in [ ](T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]\}T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}). \exists Z \in T \cup \{U, W, W, W, W, W\} \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\})
 \{ \texttt{U} \ - \ \{\texttt{m}\} \ \cup \ \{ \bigcup \texttt{T}\} \ \cup \ \texttt{W} \ . \ \langle \texttt{U}, \texttt{W} \rangle \ \in \ \{ \texttt{V} \ \in \ \texttt{T} \ . \ \texttt{m} \ \in \ \texttt{V} \} \ \times \ \texttt{T} \}. 
             A \in Z \wedge ((T \cup {U - {m} \cup {\bigcup T} \cup W . \langleU,W\rangle \in {V \in T . m \in V} \times
T}) {restricted to} Z) {is T_2}
             using union_doublepoint_top mc by auto
     with topology0.loc_T2 show (T \cup {U - {m} \cup {| JT} \cup W . \langleU,W\rangle \in {V \in
T . m \in V} \times T}){is locally-T<sub>2</sub>}
           unfolding topology0_def using doble_point_top mc by auto
qed
```

There can be considered many more local properties, which; as happens with locally- T_2 ; can distinguish between spaces other properties cannot.

end

73 Properties in Topology 3

```
theory Topology_ZF_properties_3 imports Topology_ZF_7 Finite_ZF_1 Topology_ZF_1b
Topology_ZF_9
   Topology_ZF_properties_2 FinOrd_ZF
begin
```

This theory file deals with more topological properties and the relation with the previous ones in other theory files.

73.1 More anti-properties

In this section we study more anti-properties.

73.2 First examples

A first example of an anti-compact space is the discrete space.

```
lemma pow_compact_imp_finite:
  assumes B{is compact in}Pow(A)
  shows Finite(B)
proof-
  from assms have B:B\subseteq A \ \forall M\in Pow(Pow(A)). \ B\subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists N\in FinPow(M). \ B\subseteq \bigcup N)
    unfolding IsCompact_def by auto
  from B(1) have \{\{x\}, x \in B\} \in Pow(Pow(A)) B \subseteq \bigcup \{\{x\}, x \in B\} by auto
  with B(2) have \exists N \in FinPow(\{\{x\}. x \in B\}). B \subseteq \bigcup N by auto
  then obtain N where NeFinPow(\{x\}. xeB}) BC| N by auto
  then have Finite(N) N\subseteq \{\{x\}.\ x\in B\} B\subseteq \bigcup N unfolding FinPow_def by auto
  then have Finite(N) \forall b \in \mathbb{N}. Finite(b) BC| N by auto
  then have BC[]N Finite([]N) using Finite_Union[of N] by auto
  then show Finite(B) using subset_Finite by auto
qed
theorem pow_anti_compact:
  shows Pow(A){is anti-compact}
proof-
  {
    fix B assume as:B⊆[ |Pow(A) ([ | (Pow(A){restricted to}B)){is compact
in}(Pow(A){restricted to}B)
    then have sub:B⊆A by auto
    then have Pow(B)=Pow(A){restricted to}B unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by blast
    with as(2) have ([]Pow(B)){is compact in}Pow(B) by auto
    then have B{is compact in}Pow(B) by auto
    then have Finite(B) using pow_compact_imp_finite by auto
    then have B{is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. ([]T){is compact in}T) us-
ing compact_spectrum by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsAntiComp_def antiProperty_def by auto
qed
```

In a previous file, Topology_ZF_5.thy, we proved that the spectrum of the lindelöf property depends on the axiom of countable choice on subsets of the power set of the natural number.

In this context, the examples depend on wether this choice principle holds or not. This is the reason that the examples of anti-lindeloef topologies are left for the next section.

73.3 Structural results

We first differenciate the spectrum of the lindeloef property depending on some axiom of choice.

```
lemma lindeloef_spec1:
  assumes {the axiom of} nat {choice holds for subsets}(Pow(nat))
  shows (A {is in the spectrum of} (\lambda T. ((\bigcup T){is lindeloef in}T))) \longleftrightarrow
  using compactK_spectrum[OF assms Card_nat] unfolding IsLindeloef_def.
lemma lindeloef_spec2:
  assumes ¬({the axiom of} nat {choice holds for subsets}(Pow(nat)))
  shows (A {is in the spectrum of} (\lambda T. ((\bigcup T){is lindeloef in}T))) \longleftrightarrow
Finite(A)
proof
  assume Finite(A)
  then have A:A{is in the spectrum of} (\lambda T. (([]T){is compact in}T))
using compact_spectrum by auto
  have s:nat csucc(nat) using le_imp_lesspoll[OF Card_csucc[OF Ord_nat]]
lt_csucc[OF Ord_nat] le_iff by auto
    fix T assume T{is a topology} ([]T){is compact in}T
    then have ([]T){is compact of cardinal}nat{in}T using Compact_is_card_nat
    then have (UT) is compact of cardinal csucc(nat) in T using s compact_greater_card
Card_csucc[OF Ord_nat] by auto
     then have (UT){is lindeloef in}T unfolding IsLindeloef_def by auto
  then have \forall T. T{is a topology} \longrightarrow ((\bigcup T){is compact in}T) \longrightarrow ((\bigcup T){is
lindeloef in T) by auto
  with A show A (is in the spectrum of) (\lambda T. ((||T)(is lindeloef in)T))
using P_imp_Q_spec_inv[
     where Q=\lambda T. ((\bigcup T){is compact in}T) and P=\lambda T. ((\bigcup T){is lindeloef
inT)] by auto
next
  assume A:A {is in the spectrum of} (\lambda T. ((\bigcup T){is lindeloef in}T))
  then have reg:\forall T. T{is a topology}\land \bigcup T \approx A \longrightarrow ((\bigcup T){is compact of
cardinal | csucc(nat){in}T) using Spec_def
    unfolding IsLindeloef_def by auto
  then have A{is compact of cardinal} csucc(nat) {in} Pow(A) using Pow_is_top[of
A] by auto
  then have \forall M \in Pow(Pow(A)). A \subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists M \in Pow(M)). A \subseteq \bigcup M \land M \prec csucc(nat)
unfolding IsCompactOfCard_def by auto
  moreover
  have \{\{x\}.\ x\in A\}\in Pow(Pow(A)) by auto
  moreover
  have A=\{\{x\}, x\in A\} by auto
  ultimately have \exists N \in Pow(\{\{x\}. x \in A\}). A \subseteq \bigcup N \land N \prec csucc(nat) by auto
  then obtain N where N \in Pow(\{\{x\}, x \in A\}) A \subseteq \bigcup N N \prec csucc(nat) by auto
  then have N\subseteq \{\{x\}.\ x\in A\}\ N\prec csucc(nat)\ A\subseteq \bigcup N\ using\ FinPow_def\ by\ auto
    fix t
    assume t \in \{\{x\}. x \in A\}
```

```
then obtain x where x \in At = \{x\} by auto
    with \langle A \subseteq \bigcup N \rangle have x \in \bigcup N by auto
    then obtain B where B \in Nx \in B by auto
    with (N\subseteq \{\{x\}, x\in A\}) have B=\{x\} by auto
    with \langle t=\{x\}\rangle\langle B\in \mathbb{N}\rangle have t\in \mathbb{N} by auto
  with (N\subseteq \{\{x\}, x\in A\}) have N=\{\{x\}, x\in A\} by auto
  let B=\{\langle x,\{x\}\rangle | x\in A\}
  from (N=\{\{x\}, x\in A\}) have B:A\to N unfolding Pi_def function_def by auto
  with N=\{\{x\}, x\in A\} have B:inj(A,N) unfolding inj_def using apply_equality
by auto
  then have ASN using lepoll_def by auto
  with \langle N \prec csucc(nat) \rangle have A \prec csucc(nat) using lesspoll_trans1 by auto
  then have A\sing Card_less_csucc_eq_le Card_nat by auto
  then have A≺nat∨A≈nat using lepoll_iff_leqpoll by auto moreover
    assume A≈nat
    then have nat≈A using eqpoll_sym by auto
    with A have nat {is in the spectrum of} (\lambda T. ((\bigcup T){is lindeloef
in}T)) using equipollent_spect[
      where P=(\lambda T. ((|T)\{is lindeloef in\}T))] by auto
    moreover
    have Pow(nat){is a topology} using Pow_is_top by auto
    moreover
    have | | Pow(nat) = nat by auto
    then have | Pow(nat)≈nat using eqpoll_refl by auto
    ultimately
    have nat {is compact of cardinal} csucc(nat){in}Pow(nat) using Spec_def
unfolding IsLindeloef_def by auto
    then have False using Q_disc_comp_csuccQ_eq_Q_choice_csuccQ[OF InfCard_nat]
assms by auto
  ultimately have A-nat by auto
  then show Finite(A) using lesspoll_nat_is_Finite by auto
qed
If the axiom of countable choice on subsets of the pow of the natural numbers
doesn't hold, then anti-lindeloef spaces are anti-compact.
theorem(in topology0) no_choice_imp_anti_lindeloef_is_anti_comp:
  assumes \neg({the axiom of} nat {choice holds for subsets}(Pow(nat)) )
T{is anti-lindeloef}
  shows T{is anti-compact}
  have s:nat \( \scrucc(nat) \) using le_imp_lesspoll[OF Card_csucc[OF Ord_nat]]
lt_csucc[OF Ord_nat] le_iff by auto
    fix T assume T{is a topology} (UT){is compact in}T
    then have (UT){is compact of cardinal}nat{in}T using Compact_is_card_nat
by auto
```

```
then have ([]T){is compact of cardinal}csucc(nat){in}T using s compact_greater_card
Card_csucc[OF Ord_nat] by auto
    then have ([]T){is lindeloef in}T unfolding IsLindeloef_def by auto
  then have \forall T. T{is a topology} \longrightarrow ((||T){is compact in}T) \longrightarrow ((||T){is
lindeloef in}T) by auto
  from eq_spect_rev_imp_anti[OF this] lindeloef_spec2[OF assms(1)] compact_spectrum
    show thesis using assms(2) unfolding IsAntiLin_def IsAntiComp_def
by auto
qed
If the axiom of countable choice holds for subsets of the power set of the
natural numbers, then there exists a topological space that is anti-lindeloef
but no anti-compact.
theorem no_choice_imp_anti_lindeloef_is_anti_comp:
  assumes ({the axiom of} nat {choice holds for subsets}(Pow(nat)))
  shows ({one-point compactification of}Pow(nat)){is anti-lindeloef}
proof-
  have t: ((one-point compactification of)Pow(nat))={nat}∪nat using topology0.op_compact_t
    unfolding topologyO_def using Pow_is_top by auto
 have {nat}≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
  then have {nat} < nat using n_lesspoll_nat eq_lesspoll_trans by auto
moreover
  have s:nat < csucc(nat) using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll[OF Card_csucc] lt_csucc[OF
Ord_nat] by auto
  ultimately have {nat} < csucc(nat) using lesspoll_trans by blast
  with s have {nat}\cup nat \prec csucc(nat) using less_less_imp_un_less[OF _ _
InfCard_csucc[OF InfCard_nat]]
    by auto
  then have {nat}Unat in using Card_less_csucc_eq_le[OF Card_nat] by
  with t have r: U({one-point compactification of}Pow(nat))≲nat by auto
  {
    fix A assume A:A∈Pow(∪({one-point compactification of}Pow(nat)))
(U(((one-point compactification of)Pow(nat))(restricted to)A))(is lindeloef
in}(({one-point compactification of}Pow(nat)){restricted to}A)
    from A(1) have A\subseteq\bigcup ({one-point compactification of}Pow(nat)) by auto
    with r have A≤nat using subset_imp_lepoll lepoll_trans by blast
    then have A{is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. ((\bigcup T){is lindeloef in}T))
using assms
      lindeloef_spec1 by auto
 then show thesis unfolding IsAntiLin_def antiProperty_def by auto
qed
theorem op_comp_pow_nat_no_anti_comp:
 shows ¬(({one-point compactification of}Pow(nat)){is anti-compact})
proof
 let T=({one-point compactification of}Pow(nat)){restricted to}({nat})
```

```
assume antiComp:({one-point compactification of}Pow(nat)){is anti-compact}
 have ({nat} \cup nat) (is compact in)({one-point compactification of}Pow(nat))
    using topologyO.compact_op[of Pow(nat)] Pow_is_top[of nat] unfold-
ing topology0_def
    by auto
  then have ({nat} ∪ nat){is compact in}T using compact_imp_compact_subspace
Compact_is_card_nat by auto
  moreover have \bigcup T = (\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}Pow(nat))) \cap (\{nat\})
∪ nat) unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
  then have []T={nat} ∪ nat using topology0.op_compact_total unfolding
topology0_def
    using Pow_is_top by auto
  ultimately have ([]T){is compact in}T by auto
  with antiComp have ({nat} \cup nat){is in the spectrum of}(\lambdaT. (||T){is
compact in}T) unfolding IsAntiComp_def
    antiProperty_def using topology0.op_compact_total unfolding topology0_def
using Pow_is_top by auto
 then have Finite({nat} ∪ nat) using compact_spectrum by auto
 then have Finite(nat) using subset_Finite by auto
  then show False using nat_not_Finite by auto
qed
In coclusion, we reached another equivalence of this choice principle.
The axiom of countable choice holds for subsets of the power set of the
natural numbers if and only if there exists a topological space which is anti-
lindeloef but not anti-compact; this space can be chosen as the one-point
compactification of the discrete topology on \mathbb{N}.
theorem acc_pow_nat_equiv1:
  shows ({the axiom of} nat {choice holds for subsets}(Pow(nat))) \longleftrightarrow
(({one-point compactification of}Pow(nat)){is anti-lindeloef})
  using op_comp_pow_nat_no_anti_comp no_choice_imp_anti_lindeloef_is_anti_comp
  topology0.no_choice_imp_anti_lindeloef_is_anti_comp topology0.op_comp_is_top
 Pow_is_top[of nat] unfolding topology0_def by auto
theorem acc_pow_nat_equiv2:
  shows ({the axiom of} nat {choice holds for subsets}(Pow(nat))) \longleftrightarrow
(\exists T. T\{is a topology\})
  \land (T{is anti-lindeloef}) \land \neg(T{is anti-compact}))
  using op_comp_pow_nat_no_anti_comp no_choice_imp_anti_lindeloef_is_anti_comp
  topology0.no_choice_imp_anti_lindeloef_is_anti_comp topology0.op_comp_is_top
 Pow_is_top[of nat] unfolding topology0_def by auto
```

In the file Topology_ZF_properties.thy, it is proven that \mathbb{N} is lindeloef if and only if the axiom of countable choice holds for subsets of $Pow(\mathbb{N})$. Now we

check that, in ZF, this space is always anti-lindeloef.

theorem nat_anti_lindeloef:

shows Pow(nat){is anti-lindeloef}

```
proof-
   fix A assume A:A\in Pow(\bigcup Pow(nat)) (\bigcup (Pow(nat)\{restricted\ to\}A))\{is
lindeloef in}(Pow(nat){restricted to}A)
    from A(1) have ACnat by auto
    then have Pow(nat){restricted to}A=Pow(A) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
    with A(2) have lin: A(is lindeloef in) Pow(A) using subset_imp_lepoll
by auto
    {
      fix T assume T:T{is a topology} | | T \approx A
      then have A≈[]T using eqpoll_sym by auto
      then obtain f where f:febij(A, | JT) unfolding eqpoll_def by auto
      then have f∈surj(A, | JT) unfolding bij_def by auto
      moreover then have IsContinuous(Pow(A),T,f) unfolding IsContinuous_def
        surj_def using func1_1_L3 by blast
      moreover have two_top_spaces0(Pow(A),T,f) unfolding two_top_spaces0_def
        using f T(1) Pow_is_top unfolding bij_def inj_def by auto
      ultimately have (|| T) {is lindeloef in} T using two_top_spaces0.cont_image_com
        lin unfolding IsLindeloef_def by auto
    then have A{is in the spectrum of} (\lambda T. ((\bigcup T){is lindeloef in}T))
unfolding Spec_def by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsAntiLin_def antiProperty_def by auto
qed
```

This result is interesting because depending on the different axioms we add to ZF, it means two different things:

- Every subspace of N is Lindeloef.
- Only the compact subspaces of N are Lindeloef.

Now, we could wonder if the class of compact spaces and the class of lindeloef spaces being equal is consistent in ZF. Let's find a topological space which is lindeloef and no compact without assuming any axiom of choice or any negation of one. This will prove that the class of lindeloef spaces and the class of compact spaces cannot be equal in any model of ZF.

```
theorem lord_nat:
    shows (LOrdTopology nat Le)={LeftRayX(nat,Le,n). n∈nat} ∪{nat} ∪{0}
proof-
    {
        fix U assume U:U⊆{LeftRayX(nat,Le,n). n∈nat} ∪{nat} U≠0
        {
            assume nat∈U
            with U have ∪U=nat unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
            then have ∪U∈{LeftRayX(nat,Le,n). n∈nat} ∪{nat}∪{0} by auto
```

```
moreover
       assume nat∉U
       with U have UU:U\subseteq \{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n) : n\in nat\}\cup \{0\} by auto
          assume A:\exists i. i \in nat \land \bigcup U \subseteq LeftRayX(nat,Le,i)
          let M=\mu i. i \in nat \land \bigcup U \subseteq LeftRayX(nat,Le,i)
          from A have M:M\in nat \bigcup U\subseteq LeftRayX(nat,Le,M) using LeastI[OF _
nat_into_Ord, where P=\lambda i. i \in nat \land \bigcup U \subseteq LeftRayX(nat,Le,i)]
            by auto
            fix y assume V:y∈LeftRayX(nat,Le,M)
            then have y:y inat unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
               assume ∀V∈U. y∉V
               then have \forall m \in \{n \in \text{nat. LeftRayX}(nat, Le, n) \in U\}. y \notin \text{LeftRayX}(nat, Le, m)
using UU by auto
               then have \forall m \in \{n \in \text{nat. LeftRayX}(\text{nat,Le,n}) \in U\}. \langle y,m \rangle \notin \text{Le} \vee y = m
unfolding LeftRayX_def using y
                 by auto
               then have RR: \forall m \in \{n \in \text{nat}. \text{ LeftRayX}(\text{nat},\text{Le},n) \in U\}. \langle m,y \rangle \in \text{Le us-}
ing Le_directs_nat(1) y unfolding IsLinOrder_def IsTotal_def by blast
               {
                 fix rr V assume rr∈ UU
                 then obtain V where V:V∈U rr∈V by auto
                 with UU obtain m where m: V=LeftRayX(nat,Le,m) m∈nat by
auto
                 with V(1) RR have a:\langle m, y \rangle \in Le by auto
                 from V(2) m(1) have b:\langle rr,m\rangle\in Le\ rr\in nat-\{m\}\ unfolding\ LeftRayX_def
by auto
                 from a b(1) have \langle rr, y \rangle \in Le \text{ using Le_directs_nat(1) un-}
folding IsLinOrder_def
                   trans_def by blast moreover
                   assume rr=y
                   with a b have False using Le_directs_nat(1) unfolding
IsLinOrder_def antisym_def by blast
                 using b(2) by auto
               then have U⊆LeftRayX(nat,Le,y) by auto
               with y M(1) have \langle \texttt{M}, \texttt{y} \rangle {\in} \texttt{Le} using Least_le by auto
               with V have False unfolding LeftRayX_def using Le_directs_nat(1)
unfolding IsLinOrder_def antisym_def by blast
            then have y \in \bigcup U by auto
```

```
then have LeftRayX(nat,Le,M)⊆[ ]U by auto
                    with M(2) have \bigcup U=LeftRayX(nat,Le,M) by auto
                    with M(1) have \bigcup U \in \{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n) . n \in nat\} \cup \{nat\}  by auto
               moreover
               {
                    assume \neg(\exists i. i \in nat \land \bigcup U \subseteq LeftRayX(nat, Le, i))
                    then have A:\foralli. i\innat \longrightarrow \neg(\bigcup U \subseteq LeftRayX(nat, Le, i)) by auto
                         fix i assume i:i∈nat
                         with A have AA:\neg(\bigcup \mathtt{U} \subseteq \mathtt{LeftRayX}(\mathtt{nat}, \mathtt{Le}, \mathtt{i})) by auto
                         {
                              assume i∉UU
                              then have \forall V \in U. i \notin V by auto
                              Le, m) by auto
                              with i have \forall m \in \{n \in \text{nat. LeftRayX}(\text{nat, Le, n}) \in U\}. \langle i, m \rangle \notin \text{Le} \vee i = m
unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
                              with i have \forall m \in \{n \in \text{nat. LeftRayX}(nat, Le, n) \in U\}. \neg (i \le m) \lor i = m
unfolding Le_def by auto
                              then have \forall m \in \{n \in \text{nat. LeftRayX}(\text{nat, Le, n}) \in U\}. m < i \lor m = i \text{ us-}
ing not_le_iff_lt[OF nat_into_Ord[OF i]
                                   nat_into_Ord] by auto
                              then have M: \forall m \in \{n \in \text{nat. LeftRayX}(\text{nat, Le, n}) \in U\}. m \le i \text{ us-}
ing le_iff nat_into_Ord[OF i] by auto
                              {
                                   fix s assume s∈ \ JU
                                   then obtain n where n:n∈nat s∈LeftRayX(nat, Le, n) LeftRayX(nat,
Le, n)\inU
                                        using UU by auto
                                   with M have ni:n≤i by auto
                                   from n(2) have sn:s \le n \le n unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
                                   then have s \le i \ s \ne i \ using le_trans[OF sn(1) ni] le_anti_sym[OF 
sn(1)] ni by auto
                                   then have s∈LeftRayX(nat, Le, i) using i le_in_nat un-
folding LeftRayX_def by auto
                              with AA have False by auto
                         then have i \in \bigcup U by auto
                    then have nat⊆∪U by auto
                    then have | JU=nat using UU unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
                    then have \bigcup U \in \{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n) . n \in nat\} \cup \{nat\} \cup \{0\}  by auto
               ultimately have \bigcup U \in \{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n) . n \in nat\} \cup \{nat\} \cup \{0\}  by
auto
          ultimately have \bigcup U \in \{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n) : n \in nat\} \cup \{nat\} \cup \{0\}  by auto
```

```
}
       moreover
                fix U assume U=0
                then have \bigcup U \in \{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n) : n \in nat\} \cup \{nat\} \cup \{0\}  by auto
        ultimately have \forall U. U \subseteq \{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n). n \in nat\} \cup \{nat\} \longrightarrow \bigcup U \in \{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n). n \in nat\} \cup \{nat\} \longrightarrow \bigcup U \in \{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n). n \in nat\} \cup \{nat\} \longrightarrow \bigcup U \in \{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n). n \in nat\} \cup \{nat\} \longrightarrow \bigcup U \in \{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n). n \in nat\} \cup \{nat\} \longrightarrow \bigcup U \in \{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n). n \in nat\} \cup \{nat\} \longrightarrow \bigcup U \in \{nat\} \cup \{na
n \in nat \cup \{nat\} \cup \{0\}
                by auto
        then have \{LeftRayX(nat,Le,n). n\in nat\} \cup \{nat\} \cup \{0\}=\{\bigcup U. U\in Pow(\{LeftRayX(nat,Le,n). n\in nat\})\}
n \in nat \cup \{nat\}) by blast
        then show thesis using LOrdtopology_ROrdtopology_are_topologies(2)[OF
Le_directs_nat(1)]
                unfolding IsAbaseFor_def by auto
qed
lemma countable_lord_nat:
       shows {LeftRayX(nat,Le,n). n \in nat} \cup{nat} \cup{0}\preccsucc(nat)
proof-
        {
                fix e
                have {e}≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
                then have {e}≺nat using n_lesspoll_nat eq_lesspoll_trans by auto
moreover
                have s:nat < csucc(nat) using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll[OF Card_csucc] lt_csucc[OF
Ord_nat] by auto
                 ultimately have {e} \( \screen \) csucc(nat) using lesspoll_trans by blast
       then have \{nat\} \cup \{0\} \prec csucc(nat)  using less_less_imp_un_less[OF _ _
InfCard_csucc[OF InfCard_nat], of {nat} {0}]
                by auto moreover
        let FF=\{\langle n, LeftRayX(nat, Le, n) \rangle . n \in nat\}
       have \ ff: FF: nat \rightarrow \{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n) . \ n \in nat\} \ unfolding \ Pi\_def \ domain\_def \ and \ nather than the property of the property o
function_def by auto
       then have su:FF∈surj(nat,{LeftRayX(nat,Le,n). n∈nat}) unfolding surj_def
using apply_equality[
                OF _ ff] by auto
        then have {LeftRayX(nat,Le,n). n∈nat}≤nat using surj_fun_inv_2[OF su
lepoll_refl[of nat]] Ord_nat
                by auto
        then have {LeftRayX(nat,Le,n). n \in nat} \rightarrow csucc(nat) using Card_less_csucc_eq_le[OF
Card_nat] by auto
        ultimately have {LeftRayX(nat, Le, n) . n \in nat} \cup ({nat} \cup {0}) \prec
csucc(nat) using less_less_imp_un_less[OF _ _ InfCard_csucc[OF InfCard_nat]]
by auto
        Le, n) . n \in nat} \cup {nat} \cup {0} by auto
        ultimately show thesis by auto
qed
```

```
corollary lindelof_lord_nat:
     shows nat{is lindeloef in}(LOrdTopology nat Le)
     unfolding IsLindeloef_def using countable_lord_nat lord_nat card_top_comp[OF
Card_csucc[OF Ord_nat]]
          union_lordtopology_rordtopology(1)[OF Le_directs_nat(1)] by auto
theorem not_comp_lord_nat:
    shows ¬(nat{is compact in}(LOrdTopology nat Le))
proof
     assume nat{is compact in}(LOrdTopology nat Le)
     with lord_nat have nat{is compact in}({LeftRayX(nat,Le,n). n∈nat} ∪{nat}
\cup \{0\}) by auto
    then have \forall M \in Pow(\{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n). n \in nat\} \cup \{nat\} \cup \{0\}). nat \subseteq \bigcup M
\longrightarrow (\exists N \in FinPow(M). nat\subseteq \bigcup N)
          unfolding IsCompact_def by auto moreover
          fix n assume n:nenat
          then have n<succ(n) by auto
          then have \langle n, succ(n) \rangle \in Le \ n \neq succ(n) using n nat_succ_iff by auto
          then have n∈LeftRayX(nat,Le,succ(n)) unfolding LeftRayX_def using
n by auto
          then have n \in \bigcup (\{LeftRayX(nat, Le, n). n \in nat\}) using n nat_succ_iff
by auto
     }
    ultimately have ∃N∈FinPow({LeftRayX(nat,Le,n). n∈nat}). nat⊆[]N by
    then obtain N where N∈FinPow({LeftRayX(nat,Le,n). n∈nat}) nat⊆| JN
by auto
    then have N:N⊆{LeftRayX(nat,Le,n). n∈nat} Finite(N) nat⊆( JN unfold-
ing FinPow_def by auto
     let F=\{(n, LeftRayX(nat, Le, n)) : n \in \{m \in nat. LeftRayX(nat, Le, m) \in \mathbb{N}\}\}
    have ff:F:\{m \in nat. LeftRayX(nat,Le,m) \in N\} \rightarrow N unfolding Pi_def function_def
by auto
     then have F∈surj({m∈nat. LeftRayX(nat,Le,m)∈N}, N) unfolding surj_def
using N(1) apply_equality[
          OF _ ff] by blast moreover
          fix x y assume xyF:x\in \{m\in nat. LeftRayX(nat,Le,m)\in \mathbb{N}\}\ y\in \{m\in nat. LeftRayX(nat,LeftRayX(nat,LeftRayX(nat,LeftRayX(nat,LeftRayX(nat,LeftRayX(nat,LeftRayX(nat,LeftRayX(na
Fx=Fy
          then have Fx=LeftRayX(nat,Le,x) Fy=LeftRayX(nat,Le,y) using apply_equality[
               OF _ ff] by auto
          with xyF(3) have lxy:LeftRayX(nat,Le,x)=LeftRayX(nat,Le,y) by auto
          {
               fix r assume r<x
               then have r \le x r \ne x using leI by auto
               with xyF(1) have r∈LeftRayX(nat,Le,x) unfolding LeftRayX_def us-
ing le_in_nat by auto
               then have r∈LeftRayX(nat,Le,y) using lxy by auto
```

```
then have r \le y \neq y unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
       then have r<y using le_iff by auto
    then have \forall r. r < x \longrightarrow r < y by auto
    then have r:\neg(y<x) by auto
       fix r assume r<y
       then have r \le y r \ne y using leI by auto
       with xyF(2) have r∈LeftRayX(nat,Le,y) unfolding LeftRayX_def us-
ing le_in_nat by auto
       then have r∈LeftRayX(nat,Le,x) using lxy by auto
       then have r \le x \neq x unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
       then have r<x using le_iff by auto
    then have \neg(x < y) by auto
    with r have x=y using not_lt_iff_le[OF nat_into_Ord nat_into_Ord]
xyF(1,2)
       le_anti_sym by auto
  then have F∈inj({m∈nat. LeftRayX(nat,Le,m)∈N}, N) unfolding inj_def
using ff by auto
  ultimately have F∈bij({m∈nat. LeftRayX(nat,Le,m)∈N}, N) unfolding bij_def
by auto
  then have \{m \in nat. LeftRayX(nat, Le, m) \in N\} \approx N \text{ unfolding eqpoll_def by}
  with N(2) have fin:Finite({menat. LeftRayX(nat,Le,m)eN}) using lepoll_Finite
eqpoll_imp_lepoll
    by auto
  from N(3) have N\neq 0 by auto
  then have nE:\{m \in \text{nat. LeftRayX}(\text{nat,Le,m}) \in \mathbb{N}\} \neq 0 using N(1) by auto
  let M=Maximum(Le, \{m\in nat. LeftRayX(nat, Le, m)\in N\})
  have M:M\in nat LeftRayX(nat,Le,M)\in N \forall y\in \{m\in nat. LeftRayX(nat,Le,m)\in N\}.
\langle \mathfrak{r}, M \rangle \in \text{Le using fin linord_max_props(1,3)[OF Le_directs_nat(1) _ nE]}
    unfolding FinPow_def by auto
  {
    fix V \mathfrak{x} assume V:V\in \mathbb{N} \mathfrak{x}\in V
    then obtain m where m:V=LeftRayX(nat,Le,m) LeftRayX(nat,Le,m)∈N m∈nat
using N(1) by auto
    with V(2) have xx:\langle r,m\rangle\in Le\ r\neq m unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
    from m(2,3) have m \in \{m \in \text{nat. LeftRayX}(\text{nat,Le,m}) \in \mathbb{N}\} by auto
    then have mM:\langle m,M\rangle\in Le using M(3) by auto
    with xx(1) have \langle \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{M} \rangle \in \text{Le using le\_trans unfolding Le\_def by auto}
    moreover
     {
       assume \mathfrak{x}=M
       with xx mM have False using le_anti_sym by auto
    ultimately have r∈LeftRayX(nat,Le,M) unfolding LeftRayX_def by auto
  }
```

```
then have UN⊆LeftRayX(nat,Le,M) by auto
with M(2) have UN=LeftRayX(nat,Le,M) by auto
with N(3) have nat⊆LeftRayX(nat,Le,M) by auto
moreover from M(1) have succ(M)∈nat using nat_succI by auto
ultimately have succ(M)∈LeftRayX(nat,Le,M) by auto
then have ⟨succ(M),M⟩∈Le unfolding LeftRayX_def by blast
then show False by auto
qed
```

73.4 More Separation properties

In this section we study more separation properties.

73.5 Definitions

We start with a property that has already appeared in Topology_ZF_1b.thy. A KC-space is a space where compact sets are closed.

definition

```
IsKC (_ {is KC}) where T{is KC} \equiv \forall A \in Pow(\bigcup T). A{is compact in}T \longrightarrow A{is closed in}T
```

Another type of space is an US-space; those where sequences have at most one limit.

definition

```
IsUS (_{is US}) where T{is US} \equiv \forall N \times y. (N:nat\rightarrow \bigcup T) \land NetConvTop(\langle N, Le \rangle, x, T) \land NetConvTop(\langle N, Le \rangle, y, T) \longrightarrow y=x
```

73.6 First results

The proof in Topology_ZF_1b.thy shows that a Hausdorff space is KC.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \text{corollary(in topology0) T2\_imp\_KC:} \\ \text{assumes T{is } T_2$} \\ \text{shows T{is KC}} \\ \text{proof-} \\ \\ \{ \\ \text{fix A assume A{is compact in}T} \\ \text{then have A{is closed in}T using in\_t2\_compact\_is\_cl assms by auto} \\ \} \\ \text{then show thesis unfolding IsKC\_def by auto} \\ \text{qed} \\ \\ \text{From the spectrum of compactness, it follows that any KC-space is $T_1$.} \\ \\ \text{lemma(in topology0) KC\_imp\_T1:} \\ \end{array}
```

```
lemma(in topology0) KC_imp_T1
  assumes T{is KC}
  shows T{is T<sub>1</sub>}
proof-
```

```
fix x assume A:x\in \bigcup T
    have Finite({x}) by auto
    then have \{x\} (is in the spectrum of \{\lambda T. (|T)\} (is compact in T)
       using compact_spectrum by auto moreover
    have (T{restricted to}{x}){is a topology} using Top_1_L4 by auto
    moreover have \( \( \tag{T\{restricted to}\{x\} \) = \{x\} \) using A unfolding RestrictedTo_def
    ultimately have com:{x}{is compact in}(T{restricted to}{x}) unfold-
ing Spec_def
       by auto
    then have {x}{is compact in}T using compact_subspace_imp_compact
    then have {x}{is closed in}T using assms unfolding IsKC_def using
A by auto
  then show thesis using T1_iff_singleton_closed by auto
qed
Even more, if a space is KC, then it is US. We already know that for T_2
spaces, any net or filter has at most one limit; and that this property is
equivalent with T_2. The US property is much weaker because we don't
know what happends with other nets that are not directed by the order on
the natural numbers.
theorem(in topology0) KC_imp_US:
  assumes T{is KC}
  shows T{is US}
proof-
  {
    fix N x y assume A:N:nat\rightarrow[]T \langleN,Le\rangle\rightarrowN x \langleN,Le\rangle\rightarrowN y x\neqy
    have dir:Le directs nat using Le_directs_nat by auto moreover
    from A(1) have dom:domain(N)=nat using func1_1_L1 by auto
    moreover note A(1) ultimately have Net: \(\nabla, Le\) {is a net on} \( \nabla T \) un-
folding IsNet_def
      by auto
    from A(3) have y:y \in \bigcup T unfolding NetConverges_def[OF Net] by auto
    from A(2) have x:x\in\bigcup T unfolding NetConverges_def[OF Net] by auto
    from A(2) have o1:\forall U \in Pow([]T). x \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le
→ Ns∈U) unfolding NetConverges_def[OF Net]
        using dom by auto
       assume B:\exists n \in nat. \forall m \in nat. \langle n,m \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Nm=y
       have {y}{is closed in}T using y T1_iff_singleton_closed KC_imp_T1
assms by auto
       then have o2:| T-\{y\} \in T unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
       then have int([]T-{y})=[]T-{y} using Top_2_L3 by auto
       with A(4) x have o3:x\inint(\bigcup T-\{y\}) by auto
       from o2 have []T-\{y\}\in Pow([]T) by auto
       with o1 o3 obtain r where r:r\innat \foralls\innat. \langler,s\rangle\inLe \longrightarrow Ns\in[ ]T-{y}
```

```
by auto
         from B obtain n where n:n\innat \forallm\innat. \langlen,m\rangle\inLe \longrightarrow Nm=y by auto
         from dir r(1) n(1) obtain z where \langle r,z \rangle \in Le(n,z) \in Lez \in nat unfold-
ing IsDirectedSet_def by auto
         with r(2) n(2) have Nz \in \{ \int T - \{y\} \} Nz = y  by auto
         then have False by auto
      then have reg:\forall n \in nat. \exists m \in nat. \forall n \in \in \in Le by auto
      let NN={\langle n,N(\mu i. Ni\neq y \land \langle n,i\rangle \in Le) \rangle. n\in nat}
         fix x z assume A1:\langle x, z \rangle \in NN
         {
           fix y' assume A2:\langle x,y'\rangle \in NN
            with A1 have z=y' by auto
         then have \forall y'. \langle x,y' \rangle \in NN \longrightarrow z=y' by auto
      then have \forall x z. \langle x, z \rangle \in NN \longrightarrow (\forall y'. \langle x,y' \rangle \in NN \longrightarrow z=y') by auto
      moreover
         fix n assume as:n∈nat
         with reg obtain m where Nm \neq y \land (n,m) \in Le \ m \in nat \ by \ auto
         then have LI:N(\mu i. Ni\neqy \land \langlen,i\rangle\inLe)\neqy \langlen,\mu i. Ni\neqy \land \langlen,i\rangle\inLe\rangle\inLe
using LeastI[of \lambdam. Nm\neqy \wedge \langlen,m\rangle\inLe m]
            nat_into_Ord[of m] by auto
         then have (\mu \text{ i. } \text{Ni}\neq y \land \langle n,i\rangle \in \text{Le}) \in \text{nat by auto}
         then have N(\mu i. Ni \neq y \land (n,i) \in Le) \in [JT using apply_type[OF A(1)]]
         with as have \langle n, N(\mu i. Ni \neq y \land \langle n, i \rangle \in Le) \rangle \in nat \times \bigcup T by auto
      then have NN∈Pow(nat×[]T) by auto
      ultimately have NFun:NN:nat→[]T unfolding Pi_def function_def domain_def
by auto
         fix n assume as:n \in nat
         with reg obtain m where Nm \neq y \land \langle n,m \rangle \in Le \ m \in nat \ by \ auto
         then have LI:N(\mu i. Ni\neqy \land \langlen,i\rangle\inLe)\neqy \langlen,\mu i. Ni\neqy \land \langlen,i\rangle\inLe\rangle\inLe
using LeastI[of \lambdam. Nm\neqy \land \langlen,m\rangle\inLe m]
            nat_into_Ord[of m] by auto
         then have NNn \( \neq \) using apply_equality[OF _ NFun] by auto
      then have noy:\forall n \in nat. NNn \neq y by auto
      have dom2:domain(NN)=nat by auto
      then have net2: (NN,Le){is a net on}()T unfolding IsNet_def using NFun
dir by auto
      {
         fix U assume U \in Pow(\bigcup T) x \in int(U)
         then have (\exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Ns \in U) using of by auto
         then obtain r where r_def:r\innat \forall s\innat. \langler,s\rangle\inLe \longrightarrow Ns\inU by auto
```

```
fix s assume AA:\langle r,s\rangle \in Le
            with reg obtain m where Nm \neq y \langle s, m \rangle \in Le by auto
            then have \langle s, \mu i. \text{Ni} \neq y \land \langle s, i \rangle \in \text{Le} \in \text{Le using LeastI}[\text{of } \lambda \text{m. Nm} \neq y]
\land \langle s,m \rangle \in Le m]
               nat_into_Ord by auto
            with AA have \langle r, \mu \text{ i. } \text{Ni} \neq y \land \langle s, i \rangle \in \text{Le} \rangle \in \text{Le using le\_trans by auto}
            with r_def(2) have N(\mu i. Ni\neqy \land \langles,i\rangle\inLe)\inU by blast
            then have NNs∈U using apply_equality[OF _ NFun] AA by auto
         then have \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow NNs \in U by auto
         with r_def(1) have \exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow NNs \in U by auto
      then have conv2:\langle NN, Le \rangle \rightarrow_N x unfolding NetConverges_def[OF net2] us-
ing x dom2 by auto
      let A=\{x\}\cup NNnat
         fix M assume Acov:A\subseteq \bigcup M M\subseteq T
         then have x \in \bigcup M by auto
         then obtain U where U:x∈U U∈M by auto
         with Acov(2) have UT:U∈T by auto
         then have U=int(U) using Top_2_L3 by auto
         with U(1) have x \in int(U) by auto
         with conv2 obtain r where rr:r\innat \foralls\innat. \langler,s\rangle\inLe \longrightarrow NNs\inU
             unfolding NetConverges_def[OF net2] using dom2 UT by auto
         have NresFun:restrict(NN,\{n\in \text{nat}. \langle n,r\rangle\in Le\}):\{n\in \text{nat}. \langle n,r\rangle\in Le\}\rightarrow \{\ \}T
using restrict_fun
             [OF NFun, of \{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n,r \rangle \in \text{Le}\}] by auto
         then have restrict(NN, \{n \in nat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le\}) \in surj(\{n \in nat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le\}, range(restrict(NN, \{next, range)\}) \in surj(\{n \in nat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le\}, range)
\langle n,r \rangle \in Le\})))
            using fun_is_surj by auto moreover
         have \{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n,r \rangle \in \text{Le}\} \subseteq \text{nat by auto}
         then have \{n \in \text{nat. } (n,r) \in Le\} \lesssim \text{nat using subset_imp_lepoll by auto}
         ultimately have range(restrict(NN,{n\innat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le}))\lesssim{n\innat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le}
using surj_fun_inv_2 by auto
         moreover
         have \{n\in nat. \langle n,0\rangle\in Le\}=\{0\} by auto
         then have Finite(\{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n, 0 \rangle \in \text{Le}\}) by auto moreover
            fix j assume as:j \in nat Finite(\{n \in nat. \langle n, j \rangle \in Le\})
                fix t assume t \in \{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n, \text{succ(j)} \rangle \in Le\}
                then have t \in nat \langle t, succ(j) \rangle \in Le by auto
                then have t \le succ(j) by auto
                then have t⊆succ(j) using le_imp_subset by auto
                then have t\subseteq j \cup \{j\} using succ_explained by auto
                then have j \in t \lor t \subseteq j by auto
                then have j \in t \ \forall t \le j \ using \ subset_imp_le \ \langle t \in nat \rangle \ \langle j \in nat \rangle \ nat_into_Ord
by auto
```

```
then have j \cup \{j\} \subseteq t \lor t \le j \text{ using } (t \in nat) (j \in nat) \text{ nat\_into\_Ord un-}
folding Ord_def
                                           Transset_def by auto
                                    then have succ(j)\subseteq t \forall t \leq j using succ_{explained} by auto
                                    with \langle t \subseteq succ(j) \rangle have t = succ(j) \forall t \le j by auto
                                    with \langle t \in nat \rangle \langle j \in nat \rangle have t \in \{n \in nat. \langle n, j \rangle \in Le\} \cup \{succ(j)\} by
auto
                            then have \{n \in nat. \langle n, succ(j) \rangle \in Le\} \subseteq \{n \in nat. \langle n, j \rangle \in Le\} \cup \{succ(j)\}
by auto
                            moreover have Finite(\{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n, j \rangle \in \text{Le}\} \cup \{\text{succ}(j)\}) using as(2)
Finite_cons
                                   by auto
                            ultimately have Finite(\{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n, \text{succ(j)} \rangle \in \text{Le}\}) using subset_Finite
by auto
                     then have \forall j \in nat. \text{ Finite}(\{n \in nat. \langle n, j \rangle \in Le\}) \longrightarrow \text{Finite}(\{n \in nat. \})
\langle n, succ(j) \rangle \in Le \})
                            by auto
                     ultimately have Finite(range(restrict(NN, \{n \in \text{nat } . \langle n, r \rangle \in \text{Le}\})))
                            using lepoll_Finite[of range(restrict(NN, \{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in nat . \langle 
Le}))
                                    \{n \in \text{nat} : \langle n, r \rangle \in \text{Le}\}\] ind_on_nat[OF \langle r \in \text{nat} \rangle, where P = \lambda t.
Finite(\{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n, t \rangle \in Le\})] by auto
                     then have Finite((restrict(NN, \{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\}))\{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\})
 . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le \}) using range_image_domain[OF NresFun]
                     then have Finite(NN{n \in nat . \langlen, r\rangle \in Le\}) using restrict_image
by auto
                     then have (NN\{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\})\{is in the spectrum of\}(\lambda T.
((|T){is compact in}T) using compact_spectrum by auto
                     moreover have \bigcup T{\text{restricted to}} NN{n \in \text{nat} . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le} = \int T \cap NN{n \in Le}
\in nat . \langlen, r\rangle \in Le\}
                            unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto moreover
                     have \bigcup T \cap NN\{n \in nat : \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\} = NN\{n \in nat : \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\}
                            using func1_1_L6(2)[OF NFun] by blast
                     moreover have (T{restricted to}NN{n \in nat . \langlen, r\rangle \in Le}){is a
topology}
                            using Top_1_L4 by auto
                     ultimately have (NN{n \in nat . \langlen, r\rangle \in Le}){is compact in}(T{restricted})
to}NN{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le})
                            unfolding Spec_def by force
                     then have (NN\{n \in \text{nat } . \ \langle n, r \rangle \in \text{Le}\}) {is compact in}T using compact_subspace_imp_comp
                     moreover from Acov(1) have (NN{n \in nat . \langlen, r\rangle \in Le})\subseteq\bigcupM by
auto
                     moreover note Acov(2) ultimately
                     obtain \mathfrak{N} where \mathfrak{N}: \mathfrak{N} \in \text{FinPow}(\mathfrak{M}) (NN\{n \in \text{nat } . \langle n, r \rangle \in \text{Le}\})\subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{N}
```

unfolding IsCompact_def by blast

```
from \mathfrak{N}(1) have \mathfrak{N} \cup \{U\} \in \text{FinPow}(M) using U(2) unfolding \text{FinPow\_def}
by auto moreover
           fix s assume s:s∈A s∉U
           with U(1) have s∈NNnat by auto
           then have s \in \{NNn. n \in nat\} using func_imagedef[OF NFun] by auto
           then obtain n where n:nenat s=NNn by auto
             assume \langle r, n \rangle \in Le
             with rr have NNn∈U by auto
              with n(2) s(2) have False by auto
           then have \langle r, n \rangle \notin Le by auto
           with rr(1) n(1) have \neg(r \le n) by auto
           then have n \le r using Ord_linear_le[where thesis=\langle n,r \rangle \in Le] nat_into_Ord[OF]
rr(1)]
             nat_into_Ord[OF n(1)] by auto
           with rr(1) n(1) have \langle n,r \rangle \in Le by auto
           with n(2) have s \in \{NNt. t \in \{n \in nat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le\}\}\ by auto moreover
           have \{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n,r \rangle \in Le\} \subseteq \text{nat by auto}
           ultimately have s \in NN\{n \in nat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le\} using func_imagedef[OF NFun]
             by auto
           with \mathfrak{N}(2) have s \in \bigcup \mathfrak{N} by auto
        then have \mathtt{A} \subseteq \bigcup \, \mathfrak{N} \, \cup \, \mathtt{U} by auto
        then have A\subseteq (J(\mathfrak{N}\cup \{U\})) by auto ultimately
        have \exists \mathfrak{N} \in FinPow(M). A \subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{N} by auto
     then have \forall M \in Pow(T). A \subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists \mathfrak{N} \in FinPow(M). A \subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{N}) by auto more-
over
     have AC[]T using func1_1_L6(2)[OF NFun] x by blast ultimately
     have A{is compact in}T unfolding IsCompact_def by auto
     with assms have A{is closed in}T unfolding IsKC_def IsCompact_def
     then have | | T-A \in T unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
     then have | |T-A=int(| |T-A) using Top_2_L3 by auto moreover
     {
        assume y \in A
        with A(4) have y∈NNnat by auto
        then have y \in \{NNn. n \in nat\} using func_imagedef[OF NFun] by auto
        then obtain n where nenatNNn=y by auto
        with noy have False by auto
     }
     with y have y \in \bigcup T-A by force ultimately
     have y \in int(\bigcup T-A) \bigcup T-A \in Pow(\bigcup T) by auto moreover
     have (\forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). y \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists t \in nat. \forall m \in nat. \langle t, m \rangle \in Le
 \rightarrow N m \in U))
        using A(3) dom unfolding NetConverges_def[OF Net] by auto
     ultimately have \exists t \in nat. \forall m \in nat. \langle t, m \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow N m \in \bigcup T-A by
```

```
then obtain r where r_def:r\innat \forall s\innat. \langler,s\rangle\inLe \longrightarrow Ns\in\bigcup T-A by
auto
      {
           fix s assume AA:\langle r,s\rangle \in Le
           with reg obtain m where Nm \neq y \langle s, m \rangle \in Le by auto
           then have \langle s, \mu \text{ i. Ni} \neq y \land \langle s, i \rangle \in Le \rangle \in Le \text{ using LeastI[of } \lambda m. Nm \neq y
\land \langle s,m \rangle \in Le m]
              {\tt nat\_into\_Ord~by} auto
           with AA have \langle r, \mu \text{ i. Ni} \neq y \land \langle s, i \rangle \in Le \rangle \in Le \text{ using le_trans by auto}
           with r_def(2) have N(\mu i. Ni \neq y \land \langle s,i \rangle \in Le) \in \bigcup T-A by force
           then have NNs <- | JT-A using apply_equality[OF _ NFun] AA by auto
           moreover have NNs∈{NNt. t∈nat} using AA by auto
           then have NNs NNnat using func_imagedef[OF NFun] by auto
           then have NNs∈A by auto
           ultimately have False by auto
        moreover have r succ(r) using succ_explained by auto
        then have r\(\succ(r)\) using subset_imp_le nat_into_Ord \(\text{r}\in\text{nat}\) nat_succI
           by auto
        then have \langle r, succ(r) \rangle \in Le \ using \langle r \in nat \rangle \ nat\_succI \ by auto
        ultimately have False by auto
     then have \forall \, \mathbb{N} \, \, \mathbb{x} \, \, \mathbb{y}. (N:nat\rightarrow \bigcup \, \mathbb{T}) \land (\langle \mathbb{N}, \, \, \mathsf{Le} \rangle \, \to_N \, \, \mathbb{x} \, \, \{\mathsf{in}\} \, \, \mathbb{T}) \land (\langle \mathbb{N}, \, \, \mathsf{Le} \rangle

ightarrow_N y {in} T)
         \longrightarrow x=y by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsUS_def by auto
ged
US spaces are also T_1.
theorem (in topology0) US_imp_T1:
  assumes T{is US}
  shows T\{is T_1\}
proof-
   {
     fix x assume x:x\in \bigcup T
     then have \{x\}\subseteq\bigcup T by auto
        fix y assume y:y\neq x y\in cl(\{x\})
        then have r: \forall U \in T. y \in U \longrightarrow x \in U using cl_inter_neigh[OF \langle \{x\} \subseteq \bigcup T \rangle]
by auto
        let N=ConstantFunction(nat,x)
        have fun:N:nat→[]T using x func1_3_L1 by auto
        then have dom:domain(N)=nat using func1_1_L1 by auto
        with fun have Net: (N,Le) {is a net on} | JT using Le_directs_nat un-
folding IsNet_def
           by auto
           fix U assume U \in Pow(| JT) x \in int(U)
```

```
then have x∈U using Top_2_L1 by auto
           then have \forall n \in nat. Nn \in U using func1_3_L2 by auto
           then have \forall n \in nat. \langle 0, n \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Nn \in U by auto
           then have \exists r \in nat. \forall n \in nat. \langle r, n \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Nn \in U by auto
        then have \langle \mathtt{N,Le} \rangle \to_N \mathtt{x} unfolding NetConverges_def[OF Net] using
x dom by auto moreover
           fix U assume U \in Pow(\bigcup T) y \in int(U)
           then have x∈int(U) using r Top_2_L2 by auto
           then have x∈U using Top_2_L1 by auto
           then have ∀n∈nat. Nn∈U using func1_3_L2 by auto
           then have \forall n \in nat. \langle 0, n \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Nn \in U by auto
           then have \exists r \in nat. \ \forall n \in nat. \ \langle r, n \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Nn \in U \ by \ auto
        then have \langle N, Le \rangle \rightarrow_N y unfolding NetConverges_def[OF Net] using
y(2) dom
           Top_3_L11(1) [OF \langle \{x\} \subseteq [JT \rangle ] by auto
        ultimately have x=y using assms unfolding IsUS_def using fun by
auto
        with y(1) have False by auto
     then have cl(\{x\})\subseteq\{x\} by auto
     then have cl(\{x\})=\{x\} using cl\_contains\_set[OF (\{x\}\subseteq\bigcup T)] by auto
     then have {x}{is closed in}T using Top_3_L8 x by auto
  then show thesis using T1_iff_singleton_closed by auto
qed
```

73.7 Counter-examples

We need to find counter-examples that prove that this properties are new ones.

We know that $T_2 \Rightarrow loc.T_2 \Rightarrow$ anti-hyperconnected $\Rightarrow T_1$ and $T_2 \Rightarrow KC \Rightarrow US \Rightarrow T_1$. The question is: What is the relation between KC or US and, $loc.T_2$ or anti-hyperconnected?

In the file Topology_ZF_properties_2.thy we built a topological space which is locally- T_2 but no T_2 . It happends actually that this space is not even US given the appropriate topology T.

```
have \ |\ T\subseteq \ |\ T\cup \{(U-\{m\})\cup \{(\ T\}\cup W.\ \langle U,W\rangle\in \{V\in T.\ m\in V\}\times T\})\ using assms(2)
union_doublepoint_top
           by auto
      with N(1) have fun:N:nat\rightarrow[](T\cup{(U-{m})\cup{[]T}\cupW. \langleU,W\rangle\in{V∈T. m∈V}\timesT})
using func1_1_L1B by auto
     then have dom:domain(N)=nat using func1_1_L1 by auto
      with fun have Net:\langle N, Le \rangle {is a net on}\bigcup (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T.\}\})
m \in V \times T) unfolding
           IsNet_def using Le_directs_nat by auto
     from N(1) dom have Net2: (N,Le){is a net on} UT unfolding IsNet_def us-
ing Le_directs_nat by auto
     from N(2) have R:\forall U \in Pow([\ ]T). m \in int(U) \longrightarrow (\exists r \in nat. \ \forall s \in nat. \ \langle r, s \rangle \in Le

ightarrow Ns\inU)
           unfolding NetConverges_def[OF Net2] using dom by auto
           fix U assume U:U\inPow(\[ \] (T\cup{(U-{m})\cup{\[ \] T}\cupW. \( \U, W\)\in{V\inT. m\inV}\timesT}))
m \in Interior(U,T \cup \{(U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\})
          have I \in T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\} using topology0.Top_2_L2
assms(2) doble_point_top unfolding topology0_def by blast
           then have (\bigcup T) \cap I \in (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\}) {restricted
to}UT unfolding RestrictedTo_def by blast
           then have (\bigcup T) \cap I \in T using open_subspace_double_point(1) assms(2)
by auto moreover
           then have int(([T])\cap I)=([T])\cap I using Top_2_L3 by auto
           with U(2) assms(2) have m \in int((|T|) \cap I) unfolding IsClosed_def by
           moreover note R ultimately have \exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Ns \in ([\ \ ]T) \cap I
by blast
           then have \exists r \in nat. \ \forall s \in nat. \ \langle r,s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Ns \in I by blast
           then have \exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Ns \in U using topology0.Top_2_L1[of
T \cup \{(U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\} U\} \ doble\_point\_top \ assms(2)
                unfolding topology0_def by auto
     then have \forall U \in Pow([](T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\})). m \in Interior(U, T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup 
\langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \longrightarrow (\exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Ns \in U) by auto
     moreover have tt:topology0(T\cup\{(U-\{m\})\cup\{\bigcup T\}\cup W. \langle U,W\rangle\in\{V\in T. m\in V\}\times T\})
using doble_point_top[OF assms(2)] unfolding topology0_def.
     have m\in[ ](T\cup{(U-{m}))\cup{(]T}\cup{W}. (U,W)\in{V\in{T}. m\in{V}}\times{T})} using assms(2)
union_doublepoint_top unfolding IsClosed_def by auto ultimately
     \mathbf{have} \ \mathbf{con1:} (\langle \mathtt{N}, \mathtt{Le} \rangle \to_N \ \mathtt{m} \ \{\mathtt{in}\} \ (\mathtt{T} \cup \{(\mathtt{U} - \{\mathtt{m}\}) \cup \{\bigcup \mathtt{T}\} \cup \mathtt{W}. \ \langle \mathtt{U}, \mathtt{W} \rangle \in \{\mathtt{V} \in \mathtt{T}. \ \mathtt{m} \in \mathtt{V}\} \times \mathtt{T}\}))
unfolding topology0.NetConverges_def[OF tt Net]
           using dom by auto
            fix \ U \ assume \ U: U \in Pow(\bigcup (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})) 
\bigcup T \in Interior(U, T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\})
           let I=Interior(U,T\cup{(U-{m})\cup{\bigcup T}\cupW. \langleU,W\ranglee{VeT. meV}\timesT})
           have I \in T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \ \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. \ m \in V\} \times T\} using topology0.Top_2_L2
assms(2) doble_point_top unfolding topology0_def by blast
```

```
with U(2) mem_not_refl have I \in \{(U-\{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}
by auto
      then obtain V W where VW:I=(V-{m})\cup{\bigcupT}\cupW W\inT V\inT m\inV by auto
      from VW(3,4) have m∈int(V) using Top_2_L3 by auto moreover
      have V∈Pow([]T) using VW(3) by auto moreover
      note R ultimately
      have \exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Ns \in V by blast moreover
      from N(3) have \forall s \in \text{nat}. Ns \neq m using func_imagedef[OF N(1)] by auto
ultimately
      have \exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Ns \in V - \{m\} by blast
      then have \exists r \in nat. \ \forall s \in nat. \ \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Ns \in I using VW(1) by auto
      then have \exists r \in \text{nat}. \forall s \in \text{nat}. \langle r, s \rangle \in \text{Le} \longrightarrow \text{Ns} \in \text{U using topology0.Top}_2\_\text{L1}
assms(2) doble_point_top unfolding topology0_def by blast
   then have \forall U \in Pow([](T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\})). []T \in Interior(U, T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{[]T\} \cup W. M \in V\})]
\langle U,W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \longrightarrow (\exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r,s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Ns \in U) by auto
   moreover have \bigcup T \in \bigcup (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\bigcup T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) us-
ing assms(2) union_doublepoint_top by auto ultimately
   have (\langle N, Le \rangle \rightarrow_N | T \text{ in} (T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{\{T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}))
unfolding topology0.NetConverges_def[OF tt Net]
      using dom by auto
   with con1 fun show thesis by auto
qed
corollary (in topology0) locT2_not_US_2:
   assumes \{m\}\notin T \{m\} (is closed in)T \exists N \in nat \rightarrow \bigcup T. (\langle N, Le \rangle \rightarrow_N m) \land m \notin Nnat
   shows \neg((T \cup \{(U - \{m\}) \cup \{|T\} \cup W. \langle U, W \rangle \in \{V \in T. m \in V\} \times T\}) \{\text{is US}\})
proof-
   have m \neq UT using assms(2) mem_not_refl unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
   then show thesis using locT2_not_US_1 assms unfolding IsUS_def by blast
```

In particular, we also know that a locally- T_2 space doesn't need to be KC; since KC \Rightarrow US. Also we know that anti-hyperconnected spaces don't need

Let's find a KC space that is not T_2 , an US space which is not KC and a T_1 space which is not US.

First, let's prove some lemmas about what relation is there between this properties under the influence of other ones. This will help us to find counter-examples.

Anti-compactness ereases the differences between several properties.

```
\label{lemma:topology0} \begin{tabular}{ll} lemma & (in topology0) & anticompact_KC_equiv_T1: \\ assumes & T\{is anti-compact\} \\ shows & T\{is KC\}\longleftrightarrow T\{is T_1\} \\ proof \end{tabular}
```

to be KC or US, since locally- $T_2 \Rightarrow$ anti-hyperconnected.

```
assume T{is KC}
  then show T{is T_1} using KC_imp_T1 by auto
  assume AS:T{is T_1}
    fix A assume A:A{is compact in}T A∈Pow(∪T)
    then have A{is compact in}(T{restricted to}A) A∈Pow(∪T) using compact_imp_compact_subs
      Compact_is_card_nat by auto
    moreover then have [](T{restricted to}A)=A unfolding RestrictedTo_def
    ultimately have (\bigcup (T\{restricted\ to\}A))\{is\ compact\ in\}(T\{restricted\ to\}A)\}
to A \in Pow(JT) by auto
    with assms have Finite(A) unfolding IsAntiComp_def antiProperty_def
using compact_spectrum by auto
    then obtain n where n∈nat A≈n unfolding Finite_def by auto
    then have A-mat using eq_lesspoll_trans n_lesspoll_nat by auto more-
over
    have []T-([]T-A)=A using A(2) by auto
    ultimately have [\ ]T-([\ ]T-A)\prec nat by auto
    then have | |T-A∈CoFinite | |T unfolding Cofinite_def CoCardinal_def
    then have UT-A∈T using AS T1_cocardinal_coarser by auto
    with A(2) have A{is closed in}T unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
  then show T{is KC} unfolding IsKC_def by auto
qed
Then if we find an anti-compact and T_1 but no T_2 space, there is a counter-
example for KC \Rightarrow T_2. A counter-example for US doesn't need to be KC
mustn't be anti-compact.
The cocountable topology on csucc(nat) is such a topology.
The cocountable topology on \mathbb{N}^+ is hyperconnected.
lemma cocountable_in_csucc_nat_HConn:
 shows (CoCountable csucc(nat)){is hyperconnected}
proof-
  {
    fix U V assume as:U∈(CoCountable csucc(nat))V∈(CoCountable csucc(nat))U∩V=0
    then have csucc(nat)-U \prec csucc(nat) \lor U=0 csucc(nat)-V \prec csucc(nat) \lor V=0
      unfolding Cocountable_def CoCardinal_def by auto
    then have (csucc(nat)-U)\cup(csucc(nat)-V)\prec csucc(nat)\lor U=0\lor V=0 using
less_less_imp_un_less[
      OF _ _ InfCard_csucc[OF InfCard_nat]] by auto moreover
      assume (csucc(nat)-U)∪(csucc(nat)-V)≺csucc(nat) moreover
      have (csucc(nat)-U)\cup(csucc(nat)-V)=csucc(nat)-U\cap V by auto
      with as(3) have (csucc(nat)-U)\cup(csucc(nat)-V)=csucc(nat) by auto
      ultimately have csucc(nat) ≺csucc(nat) by auto
```

```
then have False by auto
    ultimately have U=0 \lor V=0 by auto
  then show (CoCountable csucc(nat)){is hyperconnected} unfolding IsHConnected_def
by auto
qed
The cocountable topology on \mathbb{N}^+ is not anti-hyperconnected.
corollary cocountable_in_csucc_nat_notAntiHConn:
 shows ¬((CoCountable csucc(nat)){is anti-}IsHConnected)
  assume as: (CoCountable csucc(nat)) { is anti-}IsHConnected
 have (CoCountable csucc(nat)){is hyperconnected} using cocountable_in_csucc_nat_HConn
by auto moreover
 have csucc(nat) \neq 0 using Ord_O_lt_csucc[OF Ord_nat] by auto
  then have uni: [](CoCountable csucc(nat))=csucc(nat) using union_cocardinal
unfolding Cocountable_def by auto
  have \forall A \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)). A \subseteq \bigcup (CoCountable csucc(nat)) by
fast
  with uni have \forall A \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)). A \subseteq csucc(nat) by auto
  then have \forall A \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)). csucc(nat)\cap A=A by auto
  ultimately have ((CoCountable csucc(nat)){restricted to}csucc(nat)){is
hyperconnected}
    unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
  with as have (csucc(nat)){is in the spectrum of}IsHConnected unfold-
ing antiProperty_def
    using uni by auto
  then have csucc(nat) $\leq 1$ using HConn_spectrum by auto
 then have csucc(nat) < nat using n_lesspoll_nat lesspoll_trans1 by auto
 then show False using lt_csucc[OF Ord_nat] lt_Card_imp_lesspol1[OF
Card_csucc[OF Ord_nat]]
    lesspoll_trans by auto
qed
The cocountable topology on \mathbb{N}^+ is not T_2.
theorem cocountable_in_csucc_nat_noT2:
 shows \neg(CoCountable csucc(nat))\{is T_2\}
proof
  assume (CoCountable csucc(nat)){is T_2}
  then have antiHC:(CoCountable csucc(nat)){is anti-}IsHConnected
    using topology0.T2_imp_anti_HConn[OF topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_csucc[OF
InfCard_nat]]]
    unfolding Cocountable_def by auto
  then show False using cocountable_in_csucc_nat_notAntiHConn by auto
qed
The cocountable topology on \mathbb{N}^+ is T_1.
theorem cocountable_in_csucc_nat_T1:
```

```
shows (CoCountable csucc(nat)){is T_1}
  using cocardinal_is_T1[OF InfCard_csucc[OF InfCard_nat]] unfolding Cocountable_def
by auto
The cocountable topology on \mathbb{N}^+ is anti-compact.
theorem cocountable_in_csucc_nat_antiCompact:
  shows (CoCountable csucc(nat)){is anti-compact}
proof-
 have noE:csucc(nat) \neq 0 using Ord_O_lt_csucc[OF Ord_nat] by auto
    fix A assume as:A⊆U(CoCountable csucc(nat)) (U((CoCountable csucc(nat)){restricted
to}A)){is compact in}((CoCountable csucc(nat)){restricted to}A)
    from as(1) have ass:A csucc(nat) using union_cocardinal[OF noE] un-
folding Cocountable_def by auto
    have ((CoCountable csucc(nat)){restricted to}A)=CoCountable (A∩csucc(nat))
using subspace_cocardinal
      unfolding Cocountable_def by auto moreover
    from ass have A∩csucc(nat)=A by auto
    ultimately have ((CoCountable csucc(nat)){restricted to}A)=CoCountable
A by auto
    with as(2) have comp:([](CoCountable A)){is compact in}(CoCountable
A) by auto
      assume as2:A≺csucc(nat) moreover
        fix t assume t:t\in A
        have A-{t}\subseteqA by auto
        then have A-\{t\} \lesssim A using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
        with as2 have A-{t}≺csucc(nat) using lesspoll_trans1 by auto
        ultimately have (A-{t}){is closed in}(CoCountable A) using closed_sets_cocardinal[o
csucc(nat)
          A-{t}A] unfolding Cocountable_def by auto
        then have A-(A-{t}) \in (CoCountable A) unfolding IsClosed_def us-
ing union_cocardinal[OF noE, of A]
          unfolding Cocountable_def by auto moreover
        from t have A-(A-\{t\})=\{t\} by auto ultimately
        have \{t\} \in (CoCountable A) by auto
      then have r: \forall t \in A. \{t\} \in (CoCountable A) by auto
        fix U assume U:U∈Pow(A)
          fix t assume t \in U
          with U r have t \in \{t\} \in (CoCountable A) by auto
          then have \exists V \in (CoCountable A). t \in V \land V \subseteq U by auto
        then have U∈(CoCountable A) using topology0.open_neigh_open[OF
```

topology0_CoCardinal[

```
OF InfCard_csucc[OF InfCard_nat]]] unfolding Cocountable_def
by auto
      then have Pow(A)⊆(CoCountable A) by auto moreover
        fix B assume B∈(CoCountable A)
        then have B∈Pow([](CoCountable A)) by auto
        then have B∈Pow(A) using union_cocardinal[OF noE] unfolding Cocountable_def
by auto
      ultimately have p:Pow(A)=(CoCountable A) by auto
      then have (CoCountable A) {is anti-compact} using pow_anti_compact[of
A] by auto moreover
      from p have [](CoCountable A)=[]Pow(A) by auto
      then have tot: | | (CoCountable A) = A by auto
      from comp have (| )((CoCountable A){restricted to}(| )(CoCountable
A)))){is compact in}((CoCountable A){restricted to}(||(CoCountable A)))
using compact_imp_compact_subspace
        Compact_is_card_nat tot unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
      ultimately have A{is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. (||T){is compact in}T)
        using comp tot unfolding IsAntiComp_def antiProperty_def by auto
    moreover
      assume as1:¬(A≺csucc(nat))
      from ass have A≲csucc(nat) using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
      with as1 have A≈csucc(nat) using lepoll_iff_leqpoll by auto
      then have csucc(nat)≈A using eqpoll_sym by auto
      then have nat-A using lesspoll_eq_trans lt_csucc[OF Ord_nat]
        lt_Card_imp_lesspoll[OF Card_csucc[OF Ord_nat]] by auto
      then have nat \( \lambda \) using lepoll_iff_leqpoll by auto
      then obtain f where f∈inj(nat,A) unfolding lepoll_def by auto
moreover
      then have fun:f:nat \( \to A \) unfolding inj_def by auto
      then have f∈surj(nat,range(f)) using fun_is_surj by auto
      ultimately have f \in bij(nat,range(f)) unfolding bij_def inj_def surj_def
by auto
      then have nat≈range(f) unfolding eqpoll_def by auto
      then have e:range(f)≈nat using eqpoll_sym by auto
      then have as2:range(f) < csucc(nat) using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll[OF
Card_csucc[OF Ord_nat]]
        {\tt lt\_csucc[OF\ Ord\_nat]\ eq\_lesspoll\_trans\ by\ auto}
      then have range(f){is closed in}(CoCountable A) using closed_sets_cocardinal[of
csucc(nat)
          range(f)A] unfolding Cocountable_def using func1_1_L5B[OF fun]
noE by auto
      then have (A∩range(f)){is compact in}(CoCountable A) using compact_closed
union_cocardinal[OF noE, of A]
        comp Compact_is_card_nat unfolding Cocountable_def by auto
```

```
moreover have int:A∩range(f)=range(f)range(f)∩A=range(f) using
func1_1_L5B[OF fun] by auto
      ultimately have range(f){is compact in}(CoCountable A) by auto
      then have range(f){is compact in}((CoCountable A){restricted to}range(f))
using compact_imp_compact_subspace
        Compact_is_card_nat by auto
      moreover have ((CoCountable A){restricted to}range(f))=CoCountable
(range(f) \cap A)
        using subspace_cocardinal unfolding Cocountable_def by auto
      with int(2) have ((CoCountable A){restricted to}range(f))=CoCountable
range(f) by auto
      ultimately have comp2:range(f){is compact in}(CoCountable range(f))
by auto
        fix t assume t:t∈range(f)
        have range(f)-\{t\}Crange(f) by auto
        then have range(f)-\{t\} \lesssim range(f) using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
        with as2 have range(f)-{t}-<csucc(nat) using lesspoll_trans1 by
auto moreover note noE
        ultimately have (range(f)-{t}){is closed in}(CoCountable range(f))
using closed_sets_cocardinal[of csucc(nat)
          range(f)-{t}range(f)] unfolding Cocountable_def by auto
        then have range(f)-(range(f)-\{t\})\in (CoCountable range(f)) un-
folding IsClosed_def using union_cocardinal[OF noE, of range(f)]
          unfolding Cocountable_def by auto moreover
        from t have range(f)-(range(f)-{t})={t} by auto ultimately
        have \{t\} \in (CoCountable range(f)) by auto
      then have r: \forall t \in range(f). \{t\} \in (CoCountable range(f)) by auto
        fix U assume U:U∈Pow(range(f))
          fix t assume t \in U
          with U r have t \in \{t\} \in U\{t\} \in (CoCountable range(f)) by auto
          then have \exists V \in (CoCountable range(f)). t \in V \land V \subseteq U by auto
        then have U∈(CoCountable range(f)) using topology0.open_neigh_open[OF
topology0_CoCardinal[
          OF InfCard_csucc[OF InfCard_nat]]] unfolding Cocountable_def
by auto
      then have Pow(range(f))\subseteq(CoCountable range(f)) by auto moreover
        fix B assume B∈(CoCountable range(f))
        then have B \in Pow(\bigcup (CoCountable range(f))) by auto
        then have B∈Pow(range(f)) using union_cocardinal[OF noE] un-
folding Cocountable_def by auto
      ultimately have p:Pow(range(f))=(CoCountable range(f)) by blast
```

```
then have (CoCountable range(f)){is anti-compact} using pow_anti_compact[of
range(f)] by auto moreover
      from p have \bigcup (CoCountable range(f)) = \bigcup Pow(range(f)) by auto
      then have tot: [](CoCountable range(f))=range(f) by auto
      from comp2 have ([]((CoCountable range(f)){restricted to}([](CoCountable
range(f))))){is compact in}((CoCountable range(f)){restricted to}([](CoCountable
range(f)))) using compact_imp_compact_subspace
        Compact_is_card_nat tot unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
      ultimately have range(f){is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. (||T){is compact
in}T)
        using comp tot unfolding IsAntiComp_def antiProperty_def by auto
      then have Finite(range(f)) using compact_spectrum by auto
      then have Finite(nat) using e eqpoll_imp_Finite_iff by auto
      then have False using nat_not_Finite by auto
    ultimately have A{is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. (||T){is compact in}T)
by auto
  then have \forall A \in Pow([\ ](CoCountable\ csucc(nat))). (([]((CoCountable\ csucc(nat)))
{restricted to} A)) {is compact in} ((CoCountable csucc(nat)) {restricted
    \longrightarrow (A{is in the spectrum of}(\lambdaT. (\bigcupT){is compact in}T)) by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsAntiComp_def antiProperty_def by auto
qed
In conclusion, the cocountable topology defined on csucc(nat) is KC but
not T_2. Also note that is KC but not anti-hyperconnected, hence KC or US
spaces need not to be sober.
The cofinite topology on the natural numbers is T_1, but not US.
theorem cofinite_not_US:
  shows ¬((CoFinite nat){is US})
proof
  assume A: (CoFinite nat) {is US}
 let N=id(nat)
 have f:N:nat→nat using id_type by auto
  then have fun:N:nat \rightarrow \bigcup (CoCardinal(nat,nat)) using union_cocardinal
unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
  then have dom:domain(N)=nat using func1_1_L1 by auto
  with fun have NET: \langle N, Le \rangle {is a net on} \bigcup (CoCardinal(nat,nat)) unfold-
ing IsNet_def
    using Le_directs_nat by auto
 have tot:[](CoCardinal(nat,nat))=nat using union_cocardinal by auto
    fix U n assume U:U∈Pow([](CoFinite nat)) n∈Interior(U,(CoFinite nat))
    have Interior(U,(CoFinite nat)) ∈ (CoFinite nat) using topology0.Top_2_L2
      topologyO_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat] unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
    then have nat-Interior(U,(CoFinite nat))≺nat using U(2) unfolding
Cofinite_def
```

```
CoCardinal_def by auto
     then have Finite(nat-Interior(U,(CoFinite nat))) using lesspoll_nat_is_Finite
by auto moreover
     have nat-U⊆nat-Interior(U,(CoFinite nat)) using topology0.Top_2_L1
        topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat] unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
     ultimately have fin:Finite(nat-U) using subset_Finite by auto
     moreover have lin:IsLinOrder(nat,Le) using Le_directs_nat(1) by auto
     then have IsLinOrder(nat-U,Le) using ord_linear_subset[of nat Le
nat-U] by auto
     ultimately have r:nat-U=0 \lor (\forallr\innat-U. \langler,Maximum(Le,nat-U)\rangle\inLe)
\mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{linord\_max\_props(3)[of} \ \mathtt{nat-ULenat-U]}
        unfolding FinPow_def by auto
     {
        assume reg:\forall s \in nat. \exists r \in nat. \langle s,r \rangle \in Le \land Nr \notin U
        with r have s:(\forallr\innat-U. \langler,Maximum(Le,nat-U)\rangle\inLe) nat-U\neq0 us-
ing apply_type[OF f] by auto
        have Maximum(Le,nat-U) \in nat using linord_max_props(2)[OF lin _ s(2)]
fin
           unfolding FinPow_def by auto
        then have succ(Maximum(Le,nat-U))∈nat using nat_succI by auto
        with reg have \exists r \in \text{nat.} \langle \text{succ}(\text{Maximum}(\text{Le,nat-U})), r \rangle \in \text{Le} \land \text{Nr} \notin U by
auto
        then obtain r where r_def:r\innat \langlesucc(Maximum(Le,nat-U)),r\rangle\inLe
Nr∉U by auto
        from r_def(1,3) have Nr\innat-U using apply_type[OF f] by auto
        with s(1) have \langle Nr, Maximum(Le, nat-U) \rangle \in Le by auto
        then have ⟨r,Maximum(Le,nat-U)⟩∈Le using id_conv r_def(1) by auto
        then have r<succ(Maximum(Le,nat-U)) by auto
        with r_def(2) have r<r using lt_trans2 by auto
        then have False by auto
     then have \exists s \in nat. \forall r \in nat. \langle s,r \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Nr \in U by auto
  then have \forall n \in \text{nat}. \forall U \in Pow(\bigcup (CoFinite nat)). n \in Interior(U, CoFinite
nat) \longrightarrow (\exists s \in nat. \forall r \in nat. \langle s,r \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Nr \in U) by auto
   with tot have \forall n \in [\ ] (CoCardinal(nat,nat)). \forall U \in Pow([\ ] (CoCardinal(nat,nat))).
\texttt{n} \in \texttt{Interior}(\texttt{U}, \texttt{CoCardinal}(\texttt{nat}, \texttt{nat})) \ \longrightarrow \ (\exists \, \texttt{s} \in \texttt{nat}. \ \forall \, \texttt{r} \in \texttt{nat}. \ \langle \, \texttt{s}, \texttt{r} \rangle \in \texttt{Le} \ \longrightarrow \ \texttt{Nr} \in \texttt{U})
     unfolding Cofinite_def by auto
  then have \forall n \in [\ ] (CoCardinal(nat,nat)). (\langle N, Le \rangle \rightarrow_N n \ \{in\} (CoCardinal(nat,nat)))
unfolding topology0.NetConverges_def[OF topology0_CoCardinal[OF InfCard_nat]
NET]
     using dom by auto
  with tot have \forall n \in \text{nat.} (\langle N, Le \rangle \rightarrow_N n \text{ (in)}(CoFinite nat)) unfolding Cofinite_def
  then have (\langle N, Le \rangle \rightarrow_N 0 \text{ (in)}(CoFinite nat)) \land (\langle N, Le \rangle \rightarrow_N 1 \text{ (in)}(CoFinite nat))
nat)) \land 0\neq1 by auto
  then show False using A unfolding IsUS_def using fun unfolding Cofinite_def
by auto
qed
```

To end, we need a space which is US but no KC. This example comes from the one point compactification of a T_2 , anti-compact and non discrete space. This T_2 , anti-compact and non discrete space comes from a construction over the cardinal \mathbb{N}^+ or csucc(nat).

```
theorem extension_pow_top:
  shows (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S\in(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}}){is
a topology}
proof-
  have noE:csucc(nat) \neq 0 using Ord_O_lt_csucc[OF Ord_nat] by auto
    fix M assume M:M\subseteq (Pow(csucc(nat))) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S\in (CoCountable Assume)\}
csucc(nat))-{0}})
    let MP={U \in M. U \in Pow(csucc(nat))}
    let MN={U∈M. U∉Pow(csucc(nat))}
    have unM:\bigcup M=(\bigcup MP)\cup(\bigcup MN) by auto
    have csucc(nat) ∉csucc(nat) using mem_not_refl by auto
    with M have MN:MN=\{U \in M. U \in \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}\}
by auto
    have unMP: [ ]MP∈Pow(csucc(nat)) by auto
    then have MN=0\longrightarrow\bigcupM\in(Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup {{csucc(nat)}}\cupS. S\in(CoCountable
csucc(nat))-{0}})
       using unM by auto moreover
       assume MN≠0
       with MN have \{U \in M. \ U \in \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. \ S \in (CoCountable \ csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}\} \neq 0
       then obtain U where U:U\in M U\in \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S.\ S\in (CoCountable\ csucc(nat))-\{0\}\}
by blast
       then obtain S where S:U={csucc(nat)}∪S S∈(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}
by auto
       with U MN have csucc(nat)∈U U∈MN by auto
       then have a1:csucc(nat)∈[ ]MN by auto
       let SC=\{S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)). \{csucc(nat)\} \cup S \in M\}
       have unSC: USC∈(CoCountable csucc(nat)) using CoCar_is_topology[OF
InfCard_csucc[OF InfCard_nat]]
         unfolding IsATopology_def unfolding Cocountable_def by blast
         fix s assume s \in \{csucc(nat)\} \cup \{JSC\}
         then have s=csucc(nat) \lor s \in \bigcup SC by auto
         then have s \in \bigcup MN \lor (\exists S \in SC. s \in S) using a1 by auto
         then have s \in \bigcup MN \lor (\exists S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)). \{csucc(nat)\} \cup S \in M
\land s\inS) by auto
         with MN have s \in JMN \lor (\exists S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat))). {csucc(nat)}\cup S \in MN
\land s\inS) by auto
         then have s \in \bigcup MN by blast
       then have \{csucc(nat)\}\cup \bigcup SC\subseteq \bigcup MN  by blast
       moreover
       {
```

```
fix s assume s∈ | JMN
         then obtain U where U:s∈U U∈M U∉Pow(csucc(nat)) by auto
         with M have U \in \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat))\}\ by
auto
         then obtain S where S:U={csucc(nat)}\cupS S\in(CoCountable csucc(nat))
by auto
         with U(1) have s=csucc(nat) \lor s \in S by auto
         with S U(2) have s=csucc(nat) \forall s \in \bigcup SC by auto
         then have s \in \{csucc(nat)\} \cup \bigcup SC by auto
      then have \bigcup MN\subseteq \{csucc(nat)\}\cup\bigcup SC by blast
      ultimately have unMN: [ ]MN={csucc(nat)}∪[ ]SC by auto
      from unSC have b1:csucc(nat)-[JSC≺csucc(nat)√[JSC=0 unfolding Cocountable_def
CoCardinal_def
         by auto
      {
         assume 0∈SC
         then have {csucc(nat)}∈M by auto
         then have \{csucc(nat)\}\in \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S\in (CoCountable csucc(nat))-\{0\}\}
using mem_not_refl
           M by auto
         then obtain S where S:S\in(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0} {csucc(nat)}={csucc(nat)}\cupS
by auto
           fix x assume x \in S
           then have x \in \{csucc(nat)\} \cup S by auto
           with S(2) have x \in \{csucc(nat)\}\ by auto
           then have x=csucc(nat) by auto
         then have S⊆{csucc(nat)} by auto
         with S(1) have S={csucc(nat)} by auto
         with S(1) have csucc(nat)-{csucc(nat)}-⟨csucc(nat) unfolding Cocountable_def
CoCardinal_def
           by auto moreover
         then have csucc(nat)-{csucc(nat)}=csucc(nat) using mem_not_refl[of
csucc(nat)] by force
         ultimately have False by auto
      then have 0∉SC by auto moreover
      from S U(1) have S∈SC by auto
      ultimately have S \subseteq \bigcup SC S \neq 0 by auto
      then have noe: \bigcup SC \neq 0 by auto
      with b1 have csucc(nat)-∪SC≺csucc(nat) by auto
      moreover have csucc(nat)-(\bigcup SC \cup \bigcup MP)\subseteq csucc(nat)-\bigcup SC by auto
      then have csucc(nat)-(\bigcup SC \cup \bigcup MP)\lesssim csucc(nat)-\bigcup SC using subset_imp_lepoll
by auto
      ultimately have csucc(nat)-([]SC ∪ []MP)≺csucc(nat) using lesspoll_trans1
by auto moreover
      have []SC⊆[](CoCountable csucc(nat)) using unSC by auto
```

```
then have []SCCcsucc(nat) using union_cocardinal[OF noE] unfold-
ing Cocountable_def by auto
       ultimately have (\bigcup SC \cup \bigcup MP) \in (CoCountable csucc(nat))
         using unMP unfolding Cocountable_def CoCardinal_def by auto
       then have \{csucc(nat)\}\cup ([]SC\cup []MP)\in (Pow(csucc(nat))\cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S.\}\}
S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\})
         using noe by auto moreover
       from unM unMN have | M=(\{csucc(nat)\} \cup | SC) \cup | MP by auto
       then have \bigcup M=\{csucc(nat)\}\cup(\bigcup SC \cup \bigcup MP) by auto
       ultimately have \bigcup M \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-\{0\}\}) by auto
    ultimately have \bigcup M \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}) by auto
  then have \forall M \in Pow(Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-\{0\}\}). [M\in(Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S\in(CoCountable A)\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}) by auto
  moreover
    fix U V assume UV:U \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
\verb|csucc(nat)| - \{0\}\}) \ \ \forall \in (\texttt{Pow}(\texttt{csucc(nat)}) \ \cup \ \{\{\texttt{csucc(nat)}\} \cup \texttt{S}. \ \ \texttt{S} \in (\texttt{CoCountable})\} )
csucc(nat))-{0}})
    {
       assume csucc(nat) ∉U∨csucc(nat) ∉V
       with UV have U∈Pow(csucc(nat)) ∨V∈Pow(csucc(nat)) by auto
       then have U \cap V \in Pow(csucc(nat)) by auto
       then have U \cap V \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}) by auto
    moreover
       assume csucc(nat) \in U \land csucc(nat) \in V
       then obtain SU SV where S:U={csucc(nat)}∪SU V={csucc(nat)}∪SV
SU∈(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}
         SV∈(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0} using UV mem_not_refl by auto
       from S(1,2) have U \cap V = \{csucc(nat)\} \cup (SU \cap SV) by auto moreover
       from S(3,4) have SU∩SV∈(CoCountable csucc(nat)) using CoCar_is_topology[OF
InfCard_csucc[OF InfCard_nat]] unfolding IsATopology_def
         unfolding Cocountable_def by blast moreover
       from S(3,4) have SU \cap SV \neq 0 using cocountable_in_csucc_nat_HConn
unfolding IsHConnected_def
         by auto ultimately
       have U \cap V \in \{ (csucc(nat)) \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\} \} by auto
       then have U \cap V \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}) by auto
    ultimately have U \cap V \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}) by auto
```

```
then have \forall U \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}\}.
\forall \, \mathtt{V} \in (\mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{csucc}(\mathtt{nat})) \, \cup \, \{ (\mathtt{csucc}(\mathtt{nat})) \cup \mathtt{S}. \, \, \mathtt{S} \in (\mathtt{CoCountable} \, \, \mathtt{csucc}(\mathtt{nat})) - \{ \mathtt{0} \} \}) \, .
U \cap V \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\})
     ultimately show thesis unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
qed
This topology is defined over \mathbb{N}^+ \cup \{\mathbb{N}^+\} or csucc(nat) \cup \{csucc(nat)\}.
lemma extension_pow_union:
    shows [](Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S\in (CoCountable csucc(nat))-\{0\}\}) = csucc(nat)\cup \{(csucc(nat))\cup S. S\in (CoCountable csucc(nat))-\{0\}\}
proof
    have noE:csucc(nat) \neq 0 using Ord_O_lt_csucc[OF Ord_nat] by auto
    \cup (| | {{csucc(nat)}\\ \ \ S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - {0}})
          by blast
    also have ...=csucc(nat) \cup ([]{{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S∈(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}})
     ultimately have A: [ ] (Pow(csucc(nat)) ∪ {{csucc(nat)}}∪S. S∈(CoCountable
\verb|csucc(nat)|-\{0\}\}| = \verb|csucc(nat)| \cup (\bigcup \{\{\verb|csucc(nat)|\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\})|
by auto
     have [](CoCountable csucc(nat)) \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) using CoCar_is_topology[OF
InfCard_csucc[OF InfCard_nat]]
           unfolding IsATopology_def Cocountable_def by auto
     then have csucc(nat) \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) using union_cocardinal[OF
noE] unfolding Cocountable_def
          by auto
     with noE have csucc(nat)∈(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0} by auto
     then have \{csucc(nat)\}\cup csucc(nat)\in \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S\in (CoCountable Annual Control Control
csucc(nat))-{0}} by auto
     then have \{csucc(nat)\}\cup csucc(nat)\subseteq \bigcup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S\in (CoCountable )\}
csucc(nat))-{0}} by blast
     with A show csucc(nat)) ∪ {csucc(nat)} ∪ {(succ(nat)) ∪ {(csucc(nat)) ∪ S.
S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\})
          by auto
          fix x assume x:x \in (\bigcup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\})
x \neq csucc(nat)
          then obtain U where U:U \in \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}
x \in U by blast
          then obtain S where S:U=\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat))-\{0\}
by auto
          with U(2) x(2) have x \in S by auto
          with S(2) have x \in [] (CoCountable csucc(nat)) by auto
          then have x∈csucc(nat) using union_cocardinal[OF noE] unfolding Cocountable_def
by auto
     then have (\bigcup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S.\ S\in (CoCountable\ csucc(nat))-\{0\}\})\subseteq csucc(nat)
```

 $\cup \{ csucc(nat) \}$ by blast

```
with A show \bigcup (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\} \} \subseteq csucc(nat)
    by blast
qed
This topology has a discrete open subspace.
lemma extension_pow_subspace:
  shows (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S\in(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}}){restricted
to \csucc(nat) = Pow(csucc(nat))
  and csucc(nat) \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-{0}})
proof
  show csucc(nat) \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}) by auto
    fix x assume x \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}){restricted to}csucc(nat)
    then obtain R where x=csucc(nat)\cap R R∈(Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup {{csucc(nat)}}\cupS.
S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
    then have x \in Pow(csucc(nat)) by auto
  then show (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S\in (CoCountable csucc(nat))-\{0\}\})\{restricted)
to}csucc(nat) \( Pow(csucc(nat)) \) by auto
  {
    fix x assume x:x∈Pow(csucc(nat))
    then have x=csucc(nat)\cap x by auto
    with x have x \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}){restricted to}csucc(nat)
       unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
  then show Pow(csucc(nat)) \subseteq (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable A) \} \cup S
csucc(nat))-{0}}){restricted to}csucc(nat) by auto
qed
This topology is Hausdorff.
theorem extension_pow_T2:
  shows (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S\in(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}}){is
T_2
proof-
  have noE:csucc(nat) \neq 0 using Ord_0_lt_csucc[OF Ord_nat] by auto
    fix A B assume A \in \bigcup (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-{0}})
       B \in \bigcup (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\})
    then have AB:A \in csucc(nat) \cup \{csucc(nat)\}\ B \in csucc(nat) \cup \{csucc(nat)\}\
A ≠ B using extension_pow_union by auto
       assume A≠csucc(nat) B≠csucc(nat)
```

```
then have A∈csucc(nat) B∈csucc(nat) using AB by auto
      then have sub:\{A\}\in Pow(csucc(nat))\ \{B\}\in Pow(csucc(nat))\ by auto
      then have \{A\} \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}){restricted to}csucc(nat)
        \{B\} \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}\}
to}csucc(nat) using extension_pow_subspace(1)
        by auto
      then obtain RA RB where {A}=csucc(nat)∩RA {B}=csucc(nat)∩RB RA∈(Pow(csucc(nat))
\cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S\in(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}})
        RB \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\})
unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
      then have \{A\} \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}) {B}\in(Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S\in(CoCountable
csucc(nat))-{0}})
        using extension_pow_subspace(2) extension_pow_top unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto
      moreover
      from AB(3) have \{A\} \cap \{B\} = 0 by auto ultimately
      have \exists U \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}\}.
\exists V \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}).
A \in U \land B \in V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
    }
    moreover
      assume A=csucc(nat)∨B=csucc(nat)
      with AB(3) have disj:(A=csucc(nat)\A\neqcsucc(nat))\(\)(B=csucc(nat)\A\neqcsucc(nat))
by auto
      {
        assume ass:A=csucc(nat)∧B≠csucc(nat)
        then have p:B∈csucc(nat) using AB(2) by auto
        have {B}≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
        then have {B}<nat using eq_lesspoll_trans n_lesspoll_nat by auto
        then have {B}\sinc nat using lesspoll_imp_lepoll by auto
        then have {B}<csucc(nat) using Card_less_csucc_eq_le[OF Card_nat]
by auto
        with p have {B}{is closed in}(CoCountable csucc(nat)) unfold-
ing Cocountable_def
           using closed_sets_cocardinal[OF noE] by auto
        then have csucc(nat)-{B}∈(CoCountable csucc(nat)) unfolding IsClosed_def
           Cocountable_def using union_cocardinal[OF noE] by auto more-
over
           assume csucc(nat)-{B}=0
           with p have csucc(nat)={B} by auto
           then have csucc(nat)≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
           then have csucc(nat) \( \sin \) nat using eq_lesspoll_trans n_lesspoll_nat
lesspoll_imp_lepoll by auto
           then have csucc(nat) \( \sing \) csucc(nat) using Card_less_csucc_eq_le[OF
Card_nat] by auto
```

```
then have False by auto
                          }
                          ultimately
                          have \{csucc(nat)\}\cup\{csucc(nat)-\{B\}\}\in\{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S.\ S\in\{cocountable\}\}
csucc(nat))-{0} by auto
                          then have U1:\{csucc(nat)\}\cup(csucc(nat)-\{B\})\in(Pow(csucc(nat))\cup
\{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S.\ S\in (CoCountable\ csucc(nat))-\{0\}\}\} by auto
                          have {B}∈Pow(csucc(nat)) using p by auto
                          then have \{B\} \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}){restricted to}csucc(nat)
                                 using extension_pow_subspace(1) by auto
                          then obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCount
csucc(nat))-\{0\}\} {B}=csucc(nat)\cap R
                                unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
                          then have U2:\{B\}\in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S\in (CoCountable Annie National Nation
csucc(nat))-{0}} using extension_pow_subspace(2)
                                 extension_pow_top unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
                          have (\{csucc(nat)\}\cup(csucc(nat)-\{B\}))\cap\{B\}=0 using p mem_not_refl[of
csucc(nat)] by auto
                          with U1 U2 have \exists U \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \}\}
csucc(nat))-\{0\}}. \exists V \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable S)\}
csucc(nat))-{0}.
                                 A \in U \land B \in V \land U \cap V = 0 using ass(1) by auto
                   moreover
                   {
                          assume ¬(A=csucc(nat)∧B≠csucc(nat))
                          then have ass:B = csucc(nat) \land A \neq csucc(nat) using disj by
auto
                          then have p:A∈csucc(nat) using AB(1) by auto
                          have {A}≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
                          then have {A}-<nat using eq_lesspoll_trans n_lesspoll_nat by auto
                          then have {A}\section nat using lesspoll_imp_lepoll by auto
                          then have {A}-<csucc(nat) using Card_less_csucc_eq_le[OF Card_nat]
by auto
                          with p have {A}{is closed in}(CoCountable csucc(nat)) unfold-
ing Cocountable_def
                                 using closed_sets_cocardinal[OF noE] by auto
                          then have csucc(nat)-{A} \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) unfolding IsClosed_def
                                 Cocountable_def using union_cocardinal[OF noE] by auto more-
over
                                assume csucc(nat)-{A}=0
                                 with p have csucc(nat)={A} by auto
                                 then have csucc(nat)≈1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
                                 then have csucc(nat) \( \sin \) nat using eq_lesspoll_trans n_lesspoll_nat
lesspoll_imp_lepoll by auto
                                 then have csucc(nat) \( \sing \) csucc(nat) using Card_less_csucc_eq_le[OF
Card_nat] by auto
```

```
then have False by auto
                   }
                   ultimately
                   have \{csucc(nat)\}\cup\{csucc(nat)-\{A\}\}\in\{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S.\ S\in\{coCountable\}\}
csucc(nat))-{0} by auto
                   then have U1:\{csucc(nat)\}\cup(csucc(nat)-\{A\})\in(Pow(csucc(nat))\cup
\{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S.\ S\in (CoCountable\ csucc(nat))-\{0\}\}\} by auto
                   have {A}∈Pow(csucc(nat)) using p by auto
                   then have \{A\} \in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \})\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}){restricted to}csucc(nat)
                        using extension_pow_subspace(1) by auto
                   then obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable obtain R where R \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCount
csucc(nat))-\{0\}\} {A}=csucc(nat)\cap R
                        unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
                   then have U2:\{A\}\in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S\in (CoCountable A)\}
csucc(nat))-{0}} using extension_pow_subspace(2)
                        extension_pow_top unfolding IsATopology_def by auto
                   have int:{A}\cap(\{csucc(nat)\}\cup(csucc(nat)-\{A\}))=0 using p mem_not_refl[of
csucc(nat)] by auto
                   have A \in \{A\} csucc(nat) \in (\{csucc(nat)\} \cup (csucc(nat)-\{A\})) by auto
                   with int U1 have \exists V \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \}\}
csucc(nat))-{0}.
                        A \in \{A\} \land csucc(nat) \in V \land \{A\} \cap V = 0 by auto
                   with U2 have \exists U \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable S)\}
csucc(nat))-\{0\}\}. \exists V \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable S)\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}.
                        A \in U \land csucc(nat) \in V \land U \cap V = 0 using exI[where P = \lambda U. U \in Pow(csucc(nat))]
\cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. Se(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}}\land(\existsVePow(csucc(nat))
\cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S\in(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}}.
                        A \in U \land csucc(nat) \in V \land U \cap V = 0) and x = \{A\}] unfolding Bex_def by auto
                   then have \exists U \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable)\}
csucc(nat))-\{0\}}. \exists V \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable S. S)\}
csucc(nat))-{0}.
                        A \in U \land B \in V \land U \cap V = 0 using ass by auto
              ultimately have \exists U \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \}\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}. \exists V \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable S. S)\}
csucc(nat))-\{0\}\}.
                        A \in U \land B \in V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
         ultimately have \exists U \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \}\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}. \exists V \in Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable S)\}
csucc(nat))-{0}.
                        A \in U \land B \in V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
    then show thesis unfolding isT2_def by auto
```

The topology we built is not discrete; i.e., not every set is open.

```
theorem extension_pow_notDiscrete:
  shows \{csucc(nat)\}\notin(Pow(csucc(nat))\cup\{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S.\ S\in(CoCountable)\}
csucc(nat))-{0}})
proof
  assume \{csucc(nat)\}\in (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S\in (CoCountable Assume \}\})
csucc(nat))-{0}})
  then have \{csucc(nat)\}\in \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S\in (CoCountable csucc(nat))-\{0\}\}
using mem_not_refl by auto
  then obtain S where S:S∈(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0} {csucc(nat)}={csucc(nat)}∪S
\mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} auto
  {
    fix x assume x \in S
    then have x \in \{csucc(nat)\} \cup S by auto
    with S(2) have x \in \{csucc(nat)\}\ by auto
    then have x=csucc(nat) by auto
  then have S⊆{csucc(nat)} by auto
  with S(1) have S={csucc(nat)} by auto
  with S(1) have csucc(nat)-{csucc(nat)} \rightarrow csucc(nat) unfolding Cocountable_def
CoCardinal_def
    by auto moreover
  then have csucc(nat)-{csucc(nat)}=csucc(nat) using mem_not_ref1[of
csucc(nat)] by force
  ultimately show False by auto
qed
The topology we built is anti-compact.
theorem extension_pow_antiCompact:
  shows (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S\in(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}}){is
anti-compact}
proof-
  have noE:csucc(nat) \neq 0 using Ord_O_lt_csucc[OF Ord_nat] by auto
  {
    fix K assume K:K\subseteq \bigcup (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S\in (CoCountable \}\}
csucc(nat))-{0}})
       ([]((Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup {\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}) \{restricted\}
csucc(nat))-{0}}){restricted to}K)
    \mathbf{from} \ \texttt{K(1)} \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{sub:} \texttt{K} \subseteq \texttt{csucc(nat)} \ \cup \{\texttt{csucc(nat)}\} \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{extension\_pow\_union}
by auto
    have (| \int ((Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{(csucc(nat)) \cup S. S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}) \{(restrict \cap S) \cup S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\} \}
toK)=(csucc(nat) \cup{csucc(nat)})\cap K
       using extension_pow_union unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto more-
over
    from sub have (csucc(nat) \cup \{csucc(nat)\}) \cap K = K by auto
    ultimately have (| \int ((Pow(csucc(nat))) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable )\} 
csucc(nat))-{0}}){restricted to}K))=K by auto
    with K(2) have K{is compact in}((Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup {{csucc(nat)}}\cupS.
```

 $S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\})$ {restricted to}K) by auto

```
then have comp:K\{is\ compact\ in\}(Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S.
S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}) using
      compact\_subspace\_imp\_compact\ by\ auto
      assume ss:K⊂csucc(nat)
      then have K{is compact in}((Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS.
S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}) {restricted to}csucc(nat))
        using compact_imp_compact_subspace comp Compact_is_card_nat by
auto
      then have K{is compact in}Pow(csucc(nat)) using extension_pow_subspace(1)
by auto
      then have K{is compact in}(Pow(csucc(nat)){restricted to}K) us-
ing compact_imp_compact_subspace
        Compact_is_card_nat by auto moreover
      have [](Pow(csucc(nat)){restricted to}K)=K using ss unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
      ultimately have (\bigcup (Pow(csucc(nat))\{restricted\ to\}K))\{is\ compact\}
in}(Pow(csucc(nat)){restricted to}K) by auto
      then have K{is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. ([]T){is compact in}T) us-
ing pow_anti_compact
        unfolding IsAntiComp_def antiProperty_def using ss by auto
    moreover
      assume ¬(K⊆csucc(nat))
      with sub have csucc(nat)∈K by auto
      with sub have ss:K-{csucc(nat)}⊆csucc(nat) by auto
        assume prec:K-{csucc(nat)}≺csucc(nat)
        then have (K-{csucc(nat)}){is closed in}(CoCountable csucc(nat))
          using closed_sets_cocardinal[OF noE] ss unfolding Cocountable_def
by auto
        then have csucc(nat)-(K-\{csucc(nat)\})\in(CoCountable\ csucc(nat))
unfolding IsClosed_def
          Cocountable_def using union_cocardinal[OF noE] by auto more-
over
          assume csucc(nat)-(K-{csucc(nat)})=0
           with ss have csucc(nat)=(K-{csucc(nat)}) by auto
           with prec have False by auto
        ultimately have \{csucc(nat)\} \cup (csucc(nat)-(K-\{csucc(nat)\})) \in \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S.\}
S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}
          by auto
        moreover have {csucc(nat)} \( \text{(csucc(nat)-(K-{csucc(nat)}))=({csucc(nat)})} \)
\cup csucc(nat))-(K-{csucc(nat)}) by blast
        ultimately have (\{csucc(nat)\} \cup csucc(nat)) - (K-\{csucc(nat)\}) \in \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S.
S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\} by auto
        then have (\{csucc(nat)\} \cup csucc(nat)\} - (K-\{csucc(nat)\}) \in (Pow(csucc(nat)))
```

```
\cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S\in(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}})
          by auto moreover
        have csucc(nat) \cup \{csucc(nat)\}=\{csucc(nat)\} \cup csucc(nat) by auto
        ultimately have (csucc(nat) ∪ {csucc(nat)})-(K-{csucc(nat)})∈(Pow(csucc(nat)))
\cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S\in(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}})
          by auto
        then have (| | (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup {(csucc(nat))} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable) |
csucc(nat))-\{0\}\}))-(K-\{csucc(nat)\})\in (Pow(csucc(nat)))\cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S.
S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\})
          using extension_pow_union by auto
        then have (K-\{csucc(nat)\})\{is\ closed\ in\}(Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S.
S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\})
          unfolding IsClosed_def using ss by auto
        with comp have (K∩(K-{csucc(nat)})){is compact in}(Pow(csucc(nat)))
\cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S\in(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}}) using compact_closed
          Compact_is_card_nat by auto
        moreover have K \cap (K - \{csucc(nat)\}) = (K - \{csucc(nat)\}) by auto
        ultimately have (K-{csucc(nat)}){is compact in}(Pow(csucc(nat))
\cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S\in(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}}) by auto
        with ss have (K-{csucc(nat)}){is compact in}((Pow(csucc(nat)))
U {{csucc(nat)}US. S∈(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}}){restricted to}csucc(nat))
          using compact_imp_compact_subspace comp Compact_is_card_nat
by auto
        then have (K-{csucc(nat)}){is compact in}(Pow(csucc(nat))) us-
ing extension_pow_subspace(1) by auto
        then have (K-{csucc(nat)}){is compact in}(Pow(csucc(nat)){restricted
to}(K-{csucc(nat)})) using compact_imp_compact_subspace
          Compact_is_card_nat by auto moreover
        have U(Pow(csucc(nat)){restricted to}(K-{csucc(nat)}))=(K-{csucc(nat)})
using ss unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
        ultimately have ([](Pow(csucc(nat)){restricted to}(K-{csucc(nat)}))){is
compact in (Pow(csucc(nat)) (restricted to)(K-{csucc(nat)})) by auto
        then have (K-\{csucc(nat)\})\{is in the spectrum of\}(\lambda T. (\bigcup T)\{is \})\}
compact in}T) using pow_anti_compact
          unfolding IsAntiComp_def antiProperty_def using ss by auto
        then have Finite(K-{csucc(nat)}) using compact_spectrum by auto
moreover
        have Finite({csucc(nat)}) by auto ultimately
        have Finite(K) using Diff_Finite[of {csucc(nat)} K] by auto
        then have K{is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. (| |T){is compact in}T)
using compact_spectrum by auto
      }
      moreover
        assume ¬(K-{csucc(nat)}≺csucc(nat))
        with ss have K-{csucc(nat)}≈csucc(nat) using lepoll_iff_leqpoll
subset_imp_lepoll[of K-{csucc(nat)}
          csucc(nat)] by auto
        then have csucc(nat)≈K-{csucc(nat)} using eqpoll_sym by auto
```

```
then have nat-K-{csucc(nat)} using lesspoll_eq_trans lt_csucc[OF
Ord_nat]
                   lt_Card_imp_lesspoll[OF Card_csucc[OF Ord_nat]] by auto
               then have nat < K-{csucc(nat)} using lepoll_iff_legpoll by auto
               then obtain f where f∈inj(nat,K-{csucc(nat)}) unfolding lepoll_def
by auto moreover
               then have fun:f:nat \rightarrow K-{csucc(nat)} unfolding inj_def by auto
               then have f ∈ surj(nat,range(f)) using fun_is_surj by auto
               ultimately have f∈bij(nat,range(f)) unfolding bij_def inj_def
surj_def by auto
               then have nat≈range(f) unfolding eqpoll_def by auto
               then have e:range(f)≈nat using eqpoll_sym by auto
               then have as2:range(f) < csucc(nat) using lt_Card_imp_lesspoll[OF
Card_csucc[OF Ord_nat]]
                   lt_csucc[OF Ord_nat] eq_lesspoll_trans by auto
               then have range(f){is closed in}(CoCountable csucc(nat)) using
closed_sets_cocardinal[of csucc(nat)
                       range(f)csucc(nat)] unfolding Cocountable_def using func1_1_L5B[OF
fun] ss noE by auto
               then have csucc(nat)-(range(f)) \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) un-
folding IsClosed_def
                   Cocountable_def using union_cocardinal[OF noE] by auto more-
over
               {
                   assume csucc(nat)-(range(f))=0
                   with ss func1_1_L5B[OF fun] have csucc(nat)=(range(f)) by blast
                   with as2 have False by auto
               ultimately have \{csucc(nat)\} \cup (csucc(nat)-(range(f))) \in \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S.\}
S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}
                   by auto
               moreover have {csucc(nat)} ∪(csucc(nat)-(range(f)))=({csucc(nat)}
∪ csucc(nat))-(range(f)) using func1_1_L5B[OF fun] by blast
               ultimately have (\{csucc(nat)\} \cup csucc(nat))-(range(f)) \in \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S.\}
S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\} by auto
               then have (\{csucc(nat)\} \cup csucc(nat)) - (range(f)) \in (Pow(csucc(nat)))
\cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S\in(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}})
                   by auto moreover
               have csucc(nat) \cup \{csucc(nat)\}=\{csucc(nat)\} \cup csucc(nat) by auto
               ultimately have (csucc(nat) \cup \{csucc(nat)\})-(range(f)) \in (Pow(csucc(nat)))
\cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S\in(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}})
               then have (|| (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable \}) || (CoCountable || (
\verb|csucc(nat)| - \{0\}\})) - (\verb|range(f)|) \in (\verb|Pow(csucc(nat)|) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\} \cup S. S \in (CoCountable)\} 
csucc(nat))-{0}})
                   using extension_pow_union by auto moreover
               have range(f) \subseteq | (Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup {{csucc(nat)}}\cupS. S\in (CoCountable
csucc(nat))-{0}}) using ss func1_1_L5B[OF fun] by auto
               ultimately have (range(f)){is closed in}(Pow(csucc(nat)) U {{csucc(nat)}}US.
```

```
S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\})
          unfolding IsClosed_def by blast
        with comp have (K∩(range(f))){is compact in}(Pow(csucc(nat))
\cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS. S∈(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}}) using compact_closed
          Compact_is_card_nat by auto
        moreover have K∩(range(f))=(range(f)) using func1_1_L5B[OF fun]
by auto
        ultimately have (range(f)){is compact in}(Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup {{csucc(nat)}\cupS.
S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}) by auto
        with ss func1_1_L5B[OF fun] have (range(f)){is compact in}((Pow(csucc(nat))
\cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S\in (CoCountable csucc(nat))-\{0\}\}\} \{restricted to\} csucc(nat)\}
          using compact_imp_compact_subspace[of range(f) nat Pow(csucc(nat))
U {{csucc(nat)}US. S∈(CoCountable csucc(nat))-{0}} csucc(nat)] comp Compact_is_card_nat
by auto
        then have (range(f)){is compact in}(Pow(csucc(nat))) using extension_pow_subspace(1
by auto
        then have (range(f)){is compact in}(Pow(csucc(nat)){restricted
to}(range(f))) using compact_imp_compact_subspace
          Compact_is_card_nat by auto moreover
        have [](Pow(csucc(nat)){restricted to}(range(f)))=(range(f)) us-
ing ss func1_1_L5B[OF fun] unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto
        ultimately have (U(Pow(csucc(nat)){restricted to}(range(f)))){is
compact in}(Pow(csucc(nat)){restricted to}(range(f))) by auto
        then have (range(f)){is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. (\bigcup T){is compact
in}T) using pow_anti_compact[of csucc(nat)]
          unfolding IsAntiComp_def antiProperty_def using ss func1_1_L5B[OF
fun] by auto
        then have Finite(range(f)) using compact_spectrum by auto more-
over
        then have Finite(nat) using e eqpoll_imp_Finite_iff by auto ul-
timately
        have False using nat_not_Finite by auto
      ultimately have K (is in the spectrum of) (\lambda T. ([]T) (is compact
in} T) by auto
    ultimately have K (is in the spectrum of)( \lambda T. ([]T) (is compact in)
T) by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsAntiComp_def antiProperty_def by auto
If a topological space is KC, then its one-point compactification is US.
theorem (in topology0) KC_imp_OP_comp_is_US:
  assumes T{is KC}
  shows ({one-point compactification of}T){is US}
proof-
  {
    fix N x y assume A:N:nat\rightarrow[]({one-point compactification of}T) \langleN,Le\rangle\rightarrowN
```

```
x{in}({\text{one-point compactification of}}T) \langle N, Le \rangle \rightarrow_N y{in}({\text{one-point compactification}}
of}T) x\neq y
     have dir:Le directs nat using Le_directs_nat(2).
      from A(1) have dom:domain(N)=nat using func1_1_L1 by auto
      with dir A(1) have NET: \(\nabla\), Le\(\{\) is a net on\(\) \(\) (\{\} one-point compactification
of}T) unfolding IsNet_def by auto
     have xy:x\in\bigcup ({one-point compactification of}T) y\in\bigcup ({one-point compactification
        using A(2,3) topology0.NetConverges_def[OF _ NET] unfolding topology0_def
using op_comp_is_top dom by auto
     then have pp:x\in\bigcup T \cup \{\bigcup T\} \ y\in\bigcup T \cup \{\bigcup T\} \ using op\_compact\_total by
     from A(2) have comp:\forall U \in Pow(\bigcup \{one-point compactification of\}T).
           x \in Interior(U, \{one-point compactification of\}T) \longrightarrow
           (\exists t \in \text{nat.} \ \forall m \in \text{nat.} \ \langle t, m \rangle \in \text{Le} \longrightarrow \text{N} \ m \in \text{U}) \text{ using topology0.NetConverges\_def[OF]}
_ NET, of x]
           unfolding topologyO_def using op_comp_is_top dom op_compact_total
by auto
     from A(3) have op2:\forall U \in Pow(\bigcup \{one-point compactification of\}T).
           y \in Interior(U, \{one-point compactification of\}T) \longrightarrow
           (\exists\, \texttt{t} \in \texttt{nat.} \  \, \forall\, \texttt{m} \in \texttt{nat.} \  \, \langle \texttt{t, m} \rangle \, \in \, \texttt{Le} \, \longrightarrow \, \texttt{N} \quad \texttt{m} \, \in \, \texttt{U}) \, \, \, \textbf{using topology0.NetConverges\_def[OF]}
_ NET, of y]
           unfolding topology0_def using op_comp_is_top dom op_compact_total
by auto
     {
        assume p:x\in JT y\in JT
        {
           assume B:\exists n \in nat. \forall m \in nat. \langle n,m \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Nm=\bigcup T
           have \bigcup T \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T) using open_subspace
by auto
           then have []T=Interior([]T,{one-point compactification of}T) us-
ing topology0.Top_2_L3
              unfolding topology0_def using op_comp_is_top by auto
           then have x \in Interior(\bigcup T, \{one-point compactification of\}T) us-
ing p(1) by auto moreover
           have | |TEPow(| | ({one-point compactification of}T)) using open_subspace(1)
by auto
           ultimately have \exists t \in domain(fst(\langle N, Le \rangle)). \forall m \in domain(fst(\langle N, Le \rangle)).
\langle t, m \rangle \in \operatorname{snd}(\langle N, Le \rangle) \longrightarrow \operatorname{fst}(\langle N, Le \rangle) \quad m \in \bigcup T \text{ using } A(2)
              using topology0.NetConverges_def[OF _ NET] op_comp_is_top un-
folding topology0_def by blast
           then have \exists t \in nat. \ \forall m \in nat. \ \langle t, m \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow N \ m \in \bigcup T \ using \ dom
by auto
           then obtain t where t:t\innat \forallm\innat. \langlet, m\rangle \in Le \longrightarrow N m \in \bigcupT
by auto
           from B obtain n where n:n\innat \forall m\innat. \langlen,m\rangle\inLe \longrightarrow Nm=\bigcupT by
auto
           from t(1) n(1) dir obtain z where z:z\innat \langle n,z\rangle\inLe \langle t,z\rangle\inLe un-
```

folding IsDirectedSet_def

```
by auto
                       from t(2) z(1,3) have Nz \in \bigcup T by auto moreover
                       from n(2) z(1,2) have Nz=\bigcup T by auto ultimately
                       have False using mem_not_refl by auto
                 then have reg:\forall n \in \text{nat}. \exists m \in \text{nat}. \text{Nm} \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n, m \rangle \in \text{Le by auto}
                 let NN=\{\langle n, N(\mu i. Ni \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n, i \rangle \in Le) \rangle. n \in nat\}
                       fix x z assume A1:\langle x, z \rangle \in NN
                            fix y' assume A2:\langle x,y' \rangle \in NN
                            with A1 have z=y' by auto
                       then have \forall y'. \langle x,y' \rangle \in NN \longrightarrow z=y' by auto
                 then have \forall x \ z. \ \langle x, z \rangle \in NN \longrightarrow (\forall y'. \langle x,y' \rangle \in NN \longrightarrow z=y') by auto
                 moreover
                 fix n assume as:n∈nat
                       with reg obtain m where Nm \neq [JT \land \langle n,m \rangle \in Le \ m \in nat \ by \ auto
                       then have LI:N(\mu i. Ni\neq \bigcupT \land \langlen,i\rangle \inLe)\neq \bigcupT \langlen,\mu i. Ni\neq \bigcupT \land
\langle n,i \rangle \in Le \rangle \in Le \text{ using LeastI[of } \lambda m. \text{Nm} \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n,m \rangle \in Le m]
                            nat_into_Ord[of m] by auto
                       then have (\mu i. Ni \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n,i \rangle \in Le \in M) auto
                       then have N(\mu \text{ i. } Ni \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n,i \rangle \in Le) \in \bigcup (\{\text{one-point compactification }\})
of}T) using apply_type[OF A(1)] op_compact_total by auto
                       with as have \langle n, N(\mu i. Ni \neq | JT \land \langle n, i \rangle \in Le) \rangle \in nat \times | J(\{one-point \}, \{one-point \}, \{one
compactification of \rightarrow T) by auto
                 then have NN \in Pow(nat \times \bigcup \{one-point compactification of\}T)\} by
auto
                 ultimately have NFun:NN:nat→()({one-point compactification of}T)
unfolding Pi_def function_def domain_def by auto
                       fix n assume as:n∈nat
                       with reg obtain m where Nm \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n,m \rangle \in Le \ m \in nat \ by \ auto
                       then have LI:N(\mu i. Ni\neq[]T \land \langlen,i\rangle\inLe)\neq[]T \langlen,\mu i. Ni\neq[]T \land
\langle n,i \rangle \in Le \rangle \in Le \text{ using LeastI[of } \lambda m. \text{ Nm} \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n,m \rangle \in Le \text{ m]}
                            nat_into_Ord[of m] by auto
                       then have NNn \( \begin{aligned} \text{JT using apply_equality[OF _ NFun] by auto} \end{aligned} \)
                 then have noy:\forall n \in nat. NNn \neq \bigcup T by auto
                 then have \forall n \in \text{nat}. NNn \in \bigcup T using apply_type[OF NFun] op_compact_total
by auto
                 then have R:NN:nat→∪T using func1_1_L1A[OF NFun] by auto
                 have dom2:domain(NN)=nat by auto
                 then have net2: (NN,Le){is a net on}[]T unfolding IsNet_def using
R dir by auto
                 {
```

```
fix U assume U:U\subseteq \bigcup T x\in int(U)
           have intT:int(U)∈T using Top_2_L2 by auto
           then have int(U) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) unfolding
OPCompactification_def
              by auto
           then have Interior(int(U), {one-point compactification of}T)=int(U)
using topology0.Top_2_L3
               unfolding topology0_def using op_comp_is_top by auto
           with U(2) have x \in Interior(int(U), \{one-point compactification\})
of}T) by auto
           with intT have (\exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Ns \in int(U)) us-
ing comp op_compact_total by auto
           then obtain r where r_def:r\innat \forall s\innat. \langler,s\rangle\inLe \longrightarrow Ns\inU us-
ing Top_2_L1 by auto
              fix s assume AA:\langle r,s\rangle \in Le
              with reg obtain m where Nm \neq (JT \langle s,m \rangle \in Le by auto
              then have \langle s, \mu \text{ i. } \text{Ni} \neq \text{IT } \wedge \langle s, i \rangle \in \text{Le} \rangle \in \text{Le using LeastI}[\text{of } \lambda m.
Nm \neq [JT \land \langle s,m \rangle \in Le m]
                 nat_into_Ord by auto
              with AA have \langle r, \mu \text{ i. } \text{Ni} \neq \bigcup T \land \langle s, i \rangle \in \text{Le} \rangle \in \text{Le using le_trans by}
auto
              with r_def(2) have N(\mu i. Ni \neq \bigcup T \land \langle s,i \rangle \in Le) \in U by blast
              then have NNs∈U using apply_equality[OF _ NFun] AA by auto
           then have \forall \, s \in \mathtt{nat.} \ \langle \mathtt{r}, \mathtt{s} \rangle \in \mathtt{Le} \ \longrightarrow \, \mathtt{NNs} \in \mathtt{U} \ \mathtt{by} auto
           with r_def(1) have \exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r,s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow NNs \in U by auto
        then have \forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(U)
             \rightarrow (\exists r \in \text{nat.} \ \forall s \in \text{nat.} \ \langle r, s \rangle \in \text{Le} \longrightarrow \text{NN} \ s \in \text{U}) by auto
        then have conx: \langle NN, Le \rangle \rightarrow_N x\{in\}T using NetConverges\_def[OF net2]
p(1) op_comp_is_top
           unfolding topology0_def using xy(1) dom2 by auto
           fix U assume U:U\subseteq\bigcup T y\in int(U)
           have intT:int(U)∈T using Top_2_L2 by auto
           then have int(U) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) unfolding
OPCompactification_def
               by auto
           then have Interior(int(U), {one-point compactification of}T)=int(U)
using topology0.Top_2_L3
              unfolding topology0_def using op_comp_is_top by auto
           with U(2) have y \in Interior(int(U), \{one-point compactification\})
of}T) by auto
           with intT have (\exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Ns \in int(U)) us-
ing op2 op_compact_total by auto
           then obtain r where r_def:r\innat \forall s\innat. \langler,s\rangle\inLe \longrightarrow Ns\inU us-
ing Top_2_L1 by auto
           {
```

```
fix s assume AA:\langle r,s\rangle \in Le
               with reg obtain m where Nm \neq \bigcup T (s,m) \in Le by auto
               then have \langle s, \mu \text{ i. } \text{Ni} \neq \bigcup T \land \langle s, i \rangle \in Le \rangle \in Le \text{ using LeastI[of } \lambda m.
Nm \neq [JT \land \langle s,m \rangle \in Le m]
                  nat_into_Ord by auto
               with AA have \langle r, \mu \text{ i. Ni} \neq \bigcup T \land \langle s, i \rangle \in Le \rangle \in Le \text{ using le_trans by}
auto
               with r_def(2) have N(\mu i. Ni \neq \bigcup T \land \langle s,i \rangle \in Le) \in U by blast
               then have NNs∈U using apply_equality[OF _ NFun] AA by auto
            then have \forall\, s{\in} \mathtt{nat.}\ \langle \mathtt{r},\mathtt{s}\rangle{\in} \mathtt{Le}\,\longrightarrow\,\mathtt{NNs}{\in} \mathtt{U} by auto
            with r_def(1) have \exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow NNs \in U by auto
         then have \forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). y \in int(U)
            \longrightarrow (\exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow NN s \in U) by auto
         then have cony: \langle NN, Le \rangle \rightarrow_N y \{in\}T using NetConverges_def[OF net2]
p(2) op_comp_is_top
            unfolding topology0_def using xy(2) dom2 by auto
         with conx assms have x=y using KC_imp_US unfolding IsUS_def us-
ing R by auto
         with A(4) have False by auto
      moreover
      {
         assume AAA:x∉[ JT∨y∉[ JT
         with pp have x=[]T\lor y=[]T by auto
         {
            assume x:x=[]T
            with A(4) have y:y\in\bigcup T using pp(2) by auto
               assume B:\exists n \in nat. \forall m \in nat. \langle n,m \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Nm=\bigcup T
              have []T∈({one-point compactification of}T) using open_subspace
by auto
              then have []T=Interior([]T,{one-point compactification of}T)
using topology0.Top_2_L3
                  unfolding topology0_def using op_comp_is_top by auto
              then have y∈Interior([]T,{one-point compactification of}T)
using y by auto moreover
              have | | T \in Pow(| | ({one-point compactification of}T)) using open_subspace(1)
by auto
               ultimately have \exists t \in domain(fst(\langle N, Le \rangle)). \forall m \in domain(fst(\langle N, Le \rangle))
Le\rangle)). \langle t, m \rangle \in \operatorname{snd}(\langle N, Le \rangle) \longrightarrow \operatorname{fst}(\langle N, Le \rangle) \quad m \in \bigcup T \text{ using A(3)}
                 using topology0.NetConverges_def[OF _ NET] op_comp_is_top
unfolding topology0\_def by blast
              then have \exists t \in nat. \forall m \in nat. \langle t, m \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow N m \in \bigcup T using
dom by auto
               then obtain t where t:t\innat \forall m\innat. \langlet, m\rangle \in Le \longrightarrow N m \in
[ ]T by auto
              from B obtain n where n:n\innat \forallm\innat. \langlen,m\rangle\inLe \longrightarrow Nm=[]T
```

```
by auto
                 from t(1) n(1) dir obtain z where z:z\in nat \langle n,z\rangle\in Le \langle t,z\rangle\in Le
unfolding IsDirectedSet_def
                   by auto
                from t(2) z(1,3) have Nz \in | JT  by auto moreover
                from n(2) z(1,2) have Nz=\bigcup T by auto ultimately
                have False using mem_not_refl by auto
             then have reg:\forall n \in \text{nat}. \exists m \in \text{nat}. \forall m \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n, m \rangle \in \text{Le by auto}
             let NN=\{\langle n, N(\mu i. Ni \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n, i \rangle \in Le) \rangle. n \in nat\}
                fix x z assume A1:\langle x, z \rangle \in NN
                   fix y' assume A2:\langle x,y'\rangle \in NN
                   with A1 have z=y' by auto
                then have \forall y'. \langle x,y' \rangle \in NN \longrightarrow z=y' by auto
             then have \forall x \ z. \ \langle x, z \rangle \in NN \longrightarrow (\forall y'. \ \langle x,y' \rangle \in NN \longrightarrow z=y') by
auto
             moreover
                 fix n assume as:n∈nat
                with reg obtain m where Nm\neq \bigcup T \land \langle n,m \rangle \in Le m \in nat by auto
                 then have LI:N(\mu i. Ni\neq\bigcupT \land \langlen,i\rangle\inLe)\neq\bigcupT \langlen,\mu i. Ni\neq\bigcupT
\land \ \langle \mathtt{n}, \mathtt{i} \rangle \in \mathtt{Le} \rangle \in \mathtt{Le} \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{LeastI} [\mathtt{of} \ \lambda \mathtt{m}. \ \mathtt{Nm} \neq \bigcup \mathtt{T} \ \land \ \langle \mathtt{n}, \mathtt{m} \rangle \in \mathtt{Le} \ \mathtt{m}]
                    nat_into_Ord[of m] by auto
                 then have (\mu \text{ i. Ni} \neq | \text{JT} \land \langle \text{n,i} \rangle \in \text{Le}) \in \text{nat by auto}
                then have N(\mu \text{ i. } Ni \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n,i \rangle \in Le) \in \bigcup (\{\text{one-point compactification }\})
of}T) using apply_type[OF A(1)] op_compact_total by auto
                with as have \langle n, N(\mu i. Ni \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n, i \rangle \in Le) \rangle \in nat \times \bigcup \{ (one-point \} \}
compactification of \rightarrow T) by auto
             then have NN∈Pow(nat×[]({one-point compactification of}T)) by
auto
             ultimately have NFun:NN:nat→ ( (one-point compactification of )T)
unfolding Pi_def function_def domain_def by auto
                 fix n assume as:n∈nat
                with reg obtain m where Nm \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n,m \rangle \in Le m \in nat by auto
                 then have LI:N(\mu i. Ni\neq \bigcupT \land \langlen,i\rangle \inLe)\neq \bigcupT \langlen,\mu i. Ni\neq \bigcupT
\land \langle n,i \rangle \in Le \rangle \in Le \text{ using LeastI[of } \lambda m. \text{ Nm} \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n,m \rangle \in Le \text{ m]}
                    nat_into_Ord[of m] by auto
                then have NNn \( \begin{aligned} \text{T using apply_equality[OF _ NFun] by auto} \end{aligned} \)
             then have noy:\forall n \in nat. NNn \neq \bigcup T by auto
             then have \forall n \in \text{nat. NNn} \in [\ ]T using apply_type[OF NFun] op_compact_total
by auto
             then have R:NN:nat→[]T using func1_1_L1A[OF NFun] by auto
```

```
have dom2:domain(NN)=nat by auto
           then have net2: (NN,Le){is a net on}()T unfolding IsNet_def us-
ing R dir by auto
              fix U assume U:U⊆[ ]T y∈int(U)
              have intT:int(U)∈T using Top_2_L2 by auto
              then have int(U) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) unfold-
ing OPCompactification_def
                 by auto
              then have Interior(int(U), {one-point compactification of}T)=int(U)
using topology0.Top_2_L3
                 unfolding topology0_def using op_comp_is_top by auto
              with U(2) have y \in Interior(int(U), \{one-point compactification\})
of}T) by auto
              with intT have (\exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r,s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Ns \in int(U)) us-
ing op2 op_compact_total by auto
              then obtain r where r_def:r\innat \forall s\innat. \langler,s\rangle\inLe \longrightarrow Ns\inU
using Top_2_L1 by auto
                 fix s assume AA:\langle r,s\rangle \in Le
                 with reg obtain m where Nm \neq \bigcup T (s,m) \in Le by auto
                 then have \langle s, \mu \text{ i. } \text{Ni} \neq \bigcup T \land \langle s, i \rangle \in Le \rangle \in Le \text{ using LeastI[of } \lambda m.
Nm \neq \bigcup T \land \langle s, m \rangle \in Le m
                   nat_into_Ord by auto
                 with AA have \langle r, \mu \text{ i. } \text{Ni} \neq \bigcup T \land \langle s, i \rangle \in \text{Le} \rangle \in \text{Le using le\_trans}
by auto
                 with r_def(2) have N(\mu i. Ni \neq | JT \land \langle s,i \rangle \in Le) \in U by blast
                 then have NNs∈U using apply_equality[OF _ NFun] AA by auto
              then have \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow NNs \in U by auto
              with r_def(1) have \exists r \in \text{nat.} \forall s \in \text{nat.} \langle r, s \rangle \in \text{Le} \longrightarrow \text{NNs} \in U by auto
           then have \forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). y \in int(U)
              \longrightarrow (\exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s\rangle \in Le \longrightarrow NN s \in U) by auto
           then have cony: \langle NN, Le \rangle \rightarrow_N y \{in\}T using NetConverges_def[OF net2]
y op_comp_is_top
              unfolding topology0_def using xy(2) dom2 by auto
           let A={y}∪NNnat
           {
              fix M assume Acov:A⊆UM M⊆T
              then have y \in \bigcup M by auto
              then obtain V where V:y\in V V\in M by auto
              with Acov(2) have VT:V∈T by auto
              then have V=int(V) using Top_2_L3 by auto
              with V(1) have y \in int(V) by auto
              with cony obtain r where rr:r\innat \forall s\innat. \langler,s\rangle\inLe \longrightarrow NNs\inV
                 unfolding NetConverges_def[OF net2, of y] using dom2 VT y
by auto
              have NresFun:restrict(NN, \{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n,r \rangle \in \text{Le}\}):\{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n,r \rangle \in \text{Le}\} \rightarrow \bigcup T
```

```
using restrict_fun
                                     [OF R, of \{n\in nat. \langle n,r\rangle\in Le\}] by auto
                              then have restrict(NN,\{n\in nat. \langle n,r\rangle\in Le\})\in surj(\{n\in nat. \langle n,r\rangle\in Le\}, range(restrict(NN), \{n\in nat. \langle n,r\rangle\in Le\})\}
\langle n,r \rangle \in Le\})))
                                     using fun_is_surj by auto moreover
                              have \{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n,r \rangle \in \text{Le}\} \subseteq \text{nat by auto}
                               then have \{n \in \text{nat. } (n,r) \in Le\} \leq \text{nat using subset_imp_lepoll by}
auto
                               ultimately have range(restrict(NN, \{n \in nat. \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\})) \leq \{n \in nat. \}
\langle n,r \rangle \in Le \} using surj_fun_inv_2 by auto
                              moreover
                              have \{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n, 0 \rangle \in Le\} = \{0\} by auto
                              then have Finite(\{n \in nat. \langle n, 0 \rangle \in Le\}) by auto moreover
                                    fix j assume as:j \in nat Finite(\{n \in nat. \langle n, j \rangle \in Le\})
                                           fix t assume t \in \{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n, \text{succ(j)} \rangle \in Le\}
                                           then have t \in nat \langle t, succ(j) \rangle \in Le by auto
                                           then have t≤succ(j) by auto
                                           then have t⊆succ(j) using le_imp_subset by auto
                                           then have t\subseteq j\ \cup \{j\} using succ_explained by auto
                                           then have j \in t \lor t \subseteq j by auto
                                           then have j \in t \lor t \le j using subset_imp_le \langle t \in nat \rangle \langle j \in nat \rangle nat_into_Ord
by auto
                                           then have j \cup \{j\} \subseteq t \lor t \le j \text{ using } (t \in nat) (j \in nat) \text{ nat_into_Ord}
unfolding Ord_def
                                                 Transset_def by auto
                                           then have succ(j)\subseteq t \forall t \leq j using succ\_explained by auto
                                           with \langle t \subseteq succ(j) \rangle have t = succ(j) \forall t \le j by auto
                                           with \langle t \in nat \rangle \langle j \in nat \rangle have t \in \{n \in nat. \langle n, j \rangle \in Le\} \cup \{succ(j)\}
by auto
                                     then have \{n \in nat. \langle n, succ(j) \rangle \in Le\} \subseteq \{n \in nat. \langle n, j \rangle \in Le\} \cup \{succ(j)\}
by auto
                                     moreover have Finite(\{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n,j \rangle \in \text{Le}\} \cup \{\text{succ}(j)\}) using
as(2) Finite_cons
                                           by auto
                                     ultimately have Finite({n∈nat. ⟨n,succ(j)⟩∈Le}) using subset_Finite
by auto
                              then have \forall j \in nat. \text{ Finite}(\{n \in nat. \langle n, j \rangle \in Le\}) \longrightarrow \text{Finite}(\{n \in nat. v 
\langle n, succ(j) \rangle \in Le \}
                                     by auto
                               ultimately have Finite(range(restrict(NN, \{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \}
\in Le\})))
                                     using lepoll_Finite[of range(restrict(NN, \{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \}
∈ Le}))
                                           \{n \in nat : \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\}\}\ ind\_on\_nat[OF \langle r \in nat \rangle, \ where \ P=\lambda t.
Finite(\{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n, t \rangle \in Le\})] by auto
```

```
then have Finite((restrict(NN, \{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\}))\{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\}
\in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le \}) using range_image_domain[OF NresFun]
                 by auto
              then have Finite(NN{n \in nat . \langlen, r\rangle \in Le\}) using restrict_image
by auto
              then have (NN{n \in nat . \langlen, r\rangle \in Le\}){is in the spectrum of}(\lambdaT.
(∪T){is compact in}T) using compact_spectrum by auto
              moreover have | \int (T{\text{restricted to}})NN{n \in \text{nat }. \langle n, r \rangle \in Le}) = \int T \cap NN{n \in Le}
\in \; \mathtt{nat} \; \; . \; \; \langle \mathtt{n} \text{, } \mathsf{r} \rangle \; \in \; \mathtt{Le} \rbrace
                 unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto moreover
              have \bigcup T \cap NN\{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\} = NN\{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\}
                 using func1_1_L6(2)[OF R] by blast
              moreover have (T{restricted to}NN{n \in nat . \langlen, r\rangle \in Le}){is
a topology}
                 using Top_1_L4 unfolding topology0_def by auto
              ultimately have (NN\{n \in \text{nat} . \langle n, r \rangle \in \text{Le}\}){is compact in}(T{restricted})
to}NN{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le})
                 unfolding Spec_def by force
              then have (NN\{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\})\{is compact in\}(T) us-
ing compact_subspace_imp_compact by auto
              moreover from Acov(1) have (NN{n \in nat . \langlen, r\rangle \in Le})\subseteq \bigcupM
by auto
              moreover note Acov(2) ultimately
              obtain \mathfrak{N} where \mathfrak{N}: \mathfrak{N} \in \text{FinPow}(M) (NN\{n \in \text{nat } . \langle n, r \rangle \in \text{Le}\})\subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{N}
                 unfolding IsCompact_def by blast
              from \mathfrak{N}(1) have \mathfrak{N} \cup \{V\} \in \text{FinPow}(M) using V(2) unfolding \text{FinPow\_def}
by auto moreover
              {
                 fix s assume s:s∈A s∉V
                 with V(1) have s∈NNnat by auto
                 then have s \in \{NNn. n \in nat\} using func_imagedef[OF NFun] by
auto
                 then obtain n where n:n∈nat s=NNn by auto
                   assume \langle r, n \rangle \in Le
                    with rr have NNn∈V by auto
                    with n(2) s(2) have False by auto
                 then have ⟨r,n⟩∉Le by auto
                 with rr(1) n(1) have \neg(r \le n) by auto
                 then have n \le r using Ord_linear_le[where thesis=\langle n,r \rangle \in Le]
nat_into_Ord[OF rr(1)]
                   nat_into_Ord[OF n(1)] by auto
                 with rr(1) n(1) have \langle n,r \rangle \in Le by auto
                 with n(2) have s \in \{NNt. t \in \{n \in nat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le\}\}\ by auto more-
over
                 have \{n \in \text{nat}. \langle n,r \rangle \in \text{Le}\} \subseteq \text{nat} by auto
                 ultimately have s \in NN\{n \in nat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le\} using func_imagedef[OF]
NFun1
```

```
by auto
                  with \mathfrak{N}(2) have s \in \bigcup \mathfrak{N} by auto
              then have A\subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{N} \cup V by auto
               then have A\subseteq \bigcup (\mathfrak{N} \cup \{V\}) by auto ultimately
              have \exists \mathfrak{N} \in FinPow(M). A \subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{N} by auto
            then have \forall M \in Pow(T). A \subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists \mathfrak{N} \in FinPow(M)). A \subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{N} by auto
moreover
            have ss:A\subseteq\bigcup (T) using func1_1_L6(2)[OF R] y by blast ultimately
            have A{is compact in}(T) unfolding IsCompact_def by auto more-
over
            with assms have A{is closed in}(T) unfolding IsKC_def IsCompact_def
by auto ultimately
            have A \in \{B \in Pow(| T) : B \text{ is compact in } (T) \land B \text{ is closed in } (T)\} us-
ing ss by auto
            then have \{ | T \} \cup (| T - A) \in (\{ \text{one-point compactification of} \} T)  un-
folding OPCompactification_def
              by auto
            then have \{[\ ]T\}\cup([\ ]T-A)=Interior(\{[\ ]T\}\cup([\ ]T-A),\{one-point\ compactification\ ]\}
of}T) using topology0.Top_2_L3 op_comp_is_top
               unfolding topology0_def by auto moreover
               assume x \in A
               with A(4) have x∈NNnat by auto
               then have x \in \{NNn. n \in nat\} using func_imagedef[OF NFun] by auto
              then obtain n where n∈natNNn=x by auto
               with noy x have False by auto
            with y have x \in \{ \bigcup T \} \cup (\bigcup T - A) \text{ using } x \text{ by force ultimately } \}
            have x \in Interior(\{[\ ]T\} \cup ([\ ]T-A), \{one-point compactification of\}T)
\{[]T\}\cup([]T-A)\in Pow([](\{one-point compactification of\}T))\}
               using op_compact_total by auto moreover
            have (\forall U \in Pow(\bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}T)). x \in Interior(U,\{one-point compactification of\}T))
compactification of}T) \longrightarrow (\existst\innat. \forallm\innat. \langlet, m\rangle \in Le \longrightarrow N m \in U))
               using A(2) dom topology0.NetConverges_def[OF _ NET] op_comp_is_top
unfolding topology0_def by auto
            ultimately have \exists \, t \in \text{nat.} \ \forall \, m \in \text{nat.} \ \langle \, t \,, \, m \rangle \in \text{Le} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \ m \in \{\bigcup \, T \} \cup (\bigcup \, T - A)
by blast
            then obtain r where r_def:r\innat \foralls\innat. \langler,s\rangle\inLe \longrightarrow Ns\in{\bigcupT}\cup(\bigcupT-A)
by auto
               fix s assume AA:\langle r,s\rangle \in Le
               with reg obtain m where Nm \neq \bigcup T \langle s,m \rangle \in Le by auto
               then have \langle s, \mu \text{ i. } \text{Ni} \neq \bigcup T \land \langle s, i \rangle \in Le \rangle \in Le \text{ using LeastI[of } \lambda m.
Nm \neq \bigcup T \land \langle s, m \rangle \in Le m
                  nat_into_Ord by auto
               with AA have \langle r, \mu \text{ i. } \text{Ni} \neq \bigcup T \land \langle s, i \rangle \in \text{Le} \rangle \in \text{Le using le_trans by}
auto
```

```
with r_def(2) have \mathbb{N}(\mu \text{ i. } \mathbb{N}i \neq \bigcup \mathbb{T} \land \langle s, i \rangle \in \mathbb{L}e) \in \{\bigcup \mathbb{T}\} \cup (\bigcup \mathbb{T}-\mathbb{A})\}
by auto
              then have NNs \in \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-A) using apply_equality[OF _ NFun]
AA by auto
              with noy have NNs∈(| JT-A) using AA by auto
              moreover have NNs∈{NNt. t∈nat} using AA by auto
              then have NNs 

NNnat using func_imagedef[OF NFun] by auto
              then have NNs∈A by auto
              ultimately have False by auto
           moreover have r succ(r) using succ_explained by auto
           then have r\(\succ(r)\) using subset_imp_le nat_into_Ord \(\ref{nat}\) nat_succI
              by auto
           then have \langle r, succ(r) \rangle \in Le using \langle r \in nat \rangle nat_succI by auto
           ultimately have False by auto
        then have x \neq \bigcup T by auto
        with xy(1) AAA have y\notin \bigcup T x\in \bigcup T using op_compact_total by auto
        with xy(2) have y:y=\bigcup T and x:x\in\bigcup T using op_compact_total by auto
           assume B:\exists n \in nat. \forall m \in nat. \langle n,m \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Nm=\bigcup T
           have \bigcup T \in (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T) using open_subspace
by auto
           then have \bigcup T=Interior(\bigcup T, \{one-point compactification of\}T) us-
ing topology0.Top_2_L3
              unfolding topology0_def using op_comp_is_top by auto
           then have x∈Interior([]T,{one-point compactification of}T) us-
ing x by auto moreover
           have UT∈Pow(U({one-point compactification of}T)) using open_subspace(1)
by auto
           ultimately have \exists t \in \text{domain}(\text{fst}(\langle N, Le \rangle)). \forall m \in \text{domain}(\text{fst}(\langle N, Le \rangle)).
\langle \mathsf{t}, \mathsf{m} \rangle \in \mathsf{snd}(\langle \mathsf{N}, \mathsf{Le} \rangle) \longrightarrow \mathsf{fst}(\langle \mathsf{N}, \mathsf{Le} \rangle) \quad \mathsf{m} \in \bigcup \mathsf{T} \text{ using A(2)}
              using topologyO.NetConverges_def[OF _ NET] op_comp_is_top un-
folding topology0_def by blast
           then have \exists t \in nat. \ \forall m \in nat. \ \langle t, m \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow N \ m \in \bigcup T \ using \ dom
by auto
           then obtain t where t:t\innat \forallm\innat. \langlet, m\rangle \in Le \longrightarrow N m \in \bigcupT
by auto
           from B obtain n where n:n\innat \forall m\innat. \langlen,m\rangle\inLe \longrightarrow Nm=[]T by
auto
           from t(1) n(1) dir obtain z where z:z\innat \langle n,z\rangle\inLe \langle t,z\rangle\inLe un-
folding IsDirectedSet_def
              by auto
           from t(2) z(1,3) have Nz \in \bigcup T by auto moreover
           from n(2) z(1,2) have Nz=\bigcup T by auto ultimately
           have False using mem_not_refl by auto
        then have reg:\forall n \in nat. \exists m \in nat. Nm \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n, m \rangle \in Le by auto
        let NN=\{\langle n, N(\mu i. Ni \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n, i \rangle \in Le) \rangle. n \in nat\}
```

```
fix x z assume A1:\langle x, z \rangle \in NN
               fix y' assume A2:\langle x,y'\rangle \in NN
               with A1 have z=y' by auto
            then have \forall y'. \langle x,y' \rangle \in NN \longrightarrow z=y' by auto
         then have \forall x z. \langle x, z \rangle \in NN \longrightarrow (\forall y'. \langle x,y' \rangle \in NN \longrightarrow z=y') by auto
         moreover
         {
            fix n assume as:n∈nat
            with reg obtain m where Nm \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n,m \rangle \in Le m \in nat by auto
            then have LI:N(\mu i. Ni\neq[]T \land (n,i)\inLe)\neq[]T \landn,\mu i. Ni\neq[]T \land
\label{eq:least} $$\langle \mathtt{n},\mathtt{i}\rangle\in \mathsf{Le}\rangle\in \mathsf{Le}$ using LeastI[of $\lambda\mathtt{m}$. $\mathsf{Nm}\neq\bigcup \mathtt{T} \ \land \ \langle\mathtt{n},\mathtt{m}\rangle\in \mathsf{Le}$ \mathtt{m}]$ }
               nat_into_Ord[of m] by auto
            then have (\mu i. \text{Ni} \neq (\text{JT} \land (\text{n,i}) \in \text{Le}) \in \text{nat by auto}
            then have N(\mu \text{ i. } Ni \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n,i \rangle \in Le) \in \bigcup (\{\text{one-point compactification } i)
of}T) using apply_type[OF A(1)] op_compact_total by auto
            with as have \langle n,N(\mu i. Ni \neq \bigcup T \land \langle n,i \rangle \in Le) \rangle \in nat \times \bigcup (\{one-point \}, \{i,j\})
compactification of \rightarrow T) by auto
         then have NN \in Pow(nat \times \bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}T)) by
auto
         ultimately have NFun:NN:nat→[]({one-point compactification of}T)
unfolding Pi_def function_def domain_def by auto
         {
            fix n assume as:n∈nat
            with reg obtain m where Nm\neq \bigcup T \land \langle n,m \rangle \in Le m \in nat by auto
            then have LI:N(\mu i. Ni\neq[]T \land (n,i)\inLe)\neq[]T \landn,\mu i. Ni\neq[]T \land
\langle n,i \rangle \in Le \rangle \in Le \ using \ LeastI[of \ \lambda m. \ Nm \neq [\ ]T \ \land \ \langle n,m \rangle \in Le \ m]
               nat_into_Ord[of m] by auto
            then have NNn \( \begin{aligned} \text{JT using apply_equality[OF _ NFun] by auto} \end{aligned} \)
         then have noy:\forall n \in nat. NNn \neq \bigcup T by auto
         then have \forall n \in \text{nat}. NNn \in \{ \text{JT using apply\_type} [\text{OF NFun}] \text{ op\_compact\_total } \}
by auto
         then have R:NN:nat→[]T using func1_1_L1A[OF NFun] by auto
         have dom2:domain(NN)=nat by auto
         then have net2: (NN,Le){is a net on}[]T unfolding IsNet_def using
R dir by auto
         {
            fix U assume U:U\subseteq \bigcup T x\in int(U)
            have intT:int(U)∈T using Top_2_L2 by auto
            then have int(U) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) unfolding
OPCompactification_def
               by auto
            then have Interior(int(U), {one-point compactification of}T)=int(U)
using topology0.Top_2_L3
```

```
with U(2) have x \in Interior(int(U), \{one-point compactification\})
of}T) by auto
            with intT have (\exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow Ns \in int(U)) us-
ing comp op_compact_total by auto
            then obtain r where r_def:r\innat \forall s\innat. \langle r,s \rangle \inLe \longrightarrow Ns\inU us-
ing Top_2_L1 by auto
               fix s assume AA:\langle r,s\rangle \in Le
               with reg obtain m where Nm \neq \bigcup T \langle s,m \rangle \in Le by auto
               then have \langle s, \mu \text{ i. } \text{Ni} \neq \bigcup T \land \langle s, i \rangle \in Le \rangle \in Le \text{ using LeastI[of } \lambda m.
Nm \neq [JT \land \langle s,m \rangle \in Le m]
                  nat_into_Ord by auto
               with AA have \langle r, \mu \text{ i. Ni} \neq | JT \land \langle s, i \rangle \in Le \rangle \in Le \text{ using le_trans by}
auto
               with r_def(2) have N(\mu i. Ni\neq \bigcupT \land \langle s,i \rangle \in Le) \in U by blast
               then have NNs∈U using apply_equality[OF _ NFun] AA by auto
            then have \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow NNs \in U by auto
            with r_def(1) have \exists r \in nat. \forall s \in nat. \langle r, s \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow NNs \in U by auto
         then have \forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). x \in int(U)
             \longrightarrow (\existsr\innat. \foralls\innat. \langler, s\rangle \in Le \longrightarrow NN s \in U) by auto
         then have cony:\langle NN, Le \rangle \rightarrow_N x\{in\}T using NetConverges\_def[OF net2]
x op_comp_is_top
            unfolding topology0_def using xy(2) dom2 by auto
         let A=\{x\}\cup NNnat
         {
            fix M assume Acov:A\subseteq\bigcup M M\subseteq T
            then have x \in \bigcup M by auto
            then obtain V where V:x\in V V\in M by auto
            with Acov(2) have VT:V∈T by auto
            then have V=int(V) using Top_2_L3 by auto
            with V(1) have x \in int(V) by auto
            with cony VT obtain r where rr:r\innat \forall s\innat. \langler,s\rangle\inLe \longrightarrow NNs\inV
               unfolding NetConverges_def[OF net2, of x] using dom2 y by auto
            have NresFun:restrict(NN,\{n\in nat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le\}):\{n\in nat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le\} \rightarrow \bigcup T
using restrict_fun
                [OF R, of \{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n,r \rangle \in \text{Le}\}] by auto
            then have restrict(NN, \{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n,r \rangle \in \text{Le}\}) \in \text{surj}(\{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n,r \rangle \in \text{Le}\}, range (restrict(NN,
\langle n,r \rangle \in Le\})))
               using fun_is_surj by auto moreover
            have \{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n,r \rangle \in Le\} \subseteq \text{nat by auto}
            then have \{n\in nat. \langle n,r\rangle\in Le\}\lesssim nat using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
            ultimately have range(restrict(NN,{n\innat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le \}))\lesssim{n\innat.
\langle n,r \rangle \in Le \} using surj_fun_inv_2 by auto
            moreover
```

unfolding topology0_def using op_comp_is_top by auto

then have Finite($\{n \in nat. \langle n, 0 \rangle \in Le\}$) by auto moreover

have $\{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n, 0 \rangle \in \text{Le} \} = \{0\}$ by auto

```
fix j assume as:j \in nat Finite(\{n \in nat. \langle n, j \rangle \in Le\})
                                          fix t assume t \in \{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n, \text{succ(j)} \rangle \in Le\}
                                          then have t \in nat \langle t, succ(j) \rangle \in Le by auto
                                           then have t≤succ(j) by auto
                                           then have t⊆succ(j) using le_imp_subset by auto
                                           then have t\subseteq j \cup \{j\} using succ_explained by auto
                                           then have j \in t \lor t \subseteq j by auto
                                           then have j \in t \lor t \le j using subset_imp_le \langle t \in nat \rangle \langle j \in nat \rangle nat_into_Ord
by auto
                                          then have j \cup \{j\} \subseteq t \lor t \le j \text{ using } (t \in nat) (j \in nat) \text{ nat_into_Ord}
unfolding Ord_def
                                                  Transset_def by auto
                                          then have succ(j)\subseteq t \forall t \leq j using succ_{explained} by auto
                                           with \langle t \subseteq succ(j) \rangle have t = succ(j) \forall t \le j by auto
                                           with \langle t \in \text{nat} \rangle \langle j \in \text{nat} \rangle have t \in \{n \in \text{nat}. \langle n, j \rangle \in \text{Le}\} \cup \{\text{succ}(j)\}
by auto
                                   then have \{n \in nat. \langle n, succ(j) \rangle \in Le\} \subseteq \{n \in nat. \langle n, j \rangle \in Le\} \cup \{succ(j)\}
by auto
                                   moreover have Finite(\{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n, j \rangle \in \text{Le}\} \cup \{\text{succ}(j)\}\) using as(2)
Finite_cons
                                          by auto
                                   ultimately have Finite(\{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n, \text{succ(j)} \rangle \in \text{Le}\}) using subset_Finite
by auto
                            then have \forall j \in \text{nat. Finite}(\{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n, j \rangle \in \text{Le}\}) \longrightarrow \text{Finite}(\{n \in \text{nat. } \})
\langle n, succ(j) \rangle \in Le \}
                                   by auto
                            ultimately have Finite(range(restrict(NN, \{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in nat . \langle 
Le})))
                                   using lepoll_Finite[of range(restrict(NN, \{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle\}
\in Le\}))
                                           \{n \in nat : \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\}\}\ ind\_on\_nat[OF \langle r \in nat \rangle, \ where \ P=\lambda t.
Finite(\{n \in \text{nat. } \langle n, t \rangle \in Le\})] by auto
                            then have Finite((restrict(NN, \{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\}))\{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\}
nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le \}) using range_image_domain[OF NresFun]
                                   by auto
                            then have Finite(NN\{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\}) using restrict_image
by auto
                            then have (NN\{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\})\{is in the spectrum of\}(\lambda T.
(UT){is compact in}T) using compact_spectrum by auto
                            \in nat . \langlen, r\rangle \in Le\}
                                   unfolding RestrictedTo_def by auto moreover
                            have | T \cap NN\{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\} = NN\{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\}
                                    using func1_1_L6(2)[OF R] by blast
                            moreover have (T{restricted to}NN{n \in nat . \langlen, r\rangle \in Le}){is
```

```
a topology}
              using Top_1_L4 unfolding topology0_def by auto
           ultimately have (NN{n \in nat . \langlen, r\rangle \in Le\}){is compact in}(T{restricted})
to}NN{n \in nat . \langlen, r\rangle \in Le})
              unfolding Spec_def by force
           then have (NN{n \in nat . \langlen, r\rangle \in Le}){is compact in}(T) using
compact_subspace_imp_compact by auto
           moreover from Acov(1) have (NN\{n \in nat . \langle n, r \rangle \in Le\}) \subseteq M by
auto
           moreover note Acov(2) ultimately
           obtain \mathfrak N where \mathfrak N: \mathfrak N \in \mathsf{FinPow}(\mathsf M) (NN\{\mathsf n \in \mathsf{nat} : \langle \mathsf n, \mathsf r \rangle \in \mathsf{Le}\})\subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak N
              unfolding IsCompact_def by blast
           from \mathfrak{N}(1) have \mathfrak{N} \cup \{V\} \in FinPow(M) using V(2) unfolding FinPow_def
by auto moreover
           {
             fix s assume s:s∈A s∉V
             with V(1) have s∈NNnat by auto
             then have s \in \{NNn. n \in nat\} using func_imagedef[OF NFun] by auto
             then obtain n where n:n∈nat s=NNn by auto
                assume \langle r, n \rangle \in Le
                with rr have NNn \in V by auto
                 with n(2) s(2) have False by auto
              then have ⟨r,n⟩∉Le by auto
              with rr(1) n(1) have \neg(r \le n) by auto
             then have n \le r using Ord_linear_le[where thesis=\langle n,r \rangle \in Le] nat_into_Ord[OF]
rr(1)]
                nat_into_Ord[OF n(1)] by auto
              with rr(1) n(1) have \langle n,r \rangle \in Le by auto
              with n(2) have s \in \{NNt. t \in \{n \in nat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le\}\}\ by auto more-
over
             have \{n \in nat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le\} \subseteq nat by auto
              ultimately have s \in NN\{n \in nat. \langle n,r \rangle \in Le\} using func_imagedef[OF]
NFun]
                by auto
             with \mathfrak{N}(2) have s \in \bigcup \mathfrak{N} by auto
           then have A\subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{N} \cup V by auto
           then have A\subseteq \bigcup (\mathfrak{N} \cup \{V\}) by auto ultimately
           have \exists \mathfrak{N} \in FinPow(M). A \subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{N} by auto
        then have \forall M \in Pow(T). A \subseteq \bigcup M \longrightarrow (\exists \mathfrak{N} \in FinPow(M)). A \subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{N} by auto
moreover
        have ss:A\subseteq\bigcup (T) using func1_1_L6(2)[OF R] x by blast ultimately
        have A{is compact in}(T) unfolding IsCompact_def by auto more-
over
        with assms have A{is closed in}(T) unfolding IsKC_def IsCompact_def
by auto ultimately
```

```
have A \in \{B \in Pow(\bigcup T) : B \text{ is compact in } (T) \land B \text{ is closed in } (T)\} us-
ing ss by auto
        then have \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-A) \in (\{one-point compactification of\}T) un-
folding OPCompactification_def
           by auto
        then have \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-A) = Interior(\{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-A), \{one-point compactification\}\}
of}T) using topology0.Top_2_L3 op_comp_is_top
           unfolding topology0_def by auto moreover
        {
           assume y \in A
           with A(4) have y∈NNnat by auto
           then have y \in \{NNn. n \in nat\} using func_imagedef[OF NFun] by auto
           then obtain n where nenatNNn=y by auto
           with noy y have False by auto
        with y have y \in \{ | T \cup (| T-A) \} by force ultimately
        have y \in Interior(\{[\ ]T\} \cup ([\ ]T-A), \{one-point compactification of\}T)
\{[T] \cup ([T-A] \in Pow([Gone-point compactification of]T))\}
           using op_compact_total by auto moreover
        have (\forall U \in Pow([](\{one-point compactification of\}T)). y \in Interior(U,\{one-point compactification of\}T))
compactification of}T) \longrightarrow (\existst\innat. \forallm\innat. \langlet, m\rangle \in Le \longrightarrow N m \in U))
           using A(3) dom topology0.NetConverges_def[OF _ NET] op_comp_is_top
unfolding topology0_def by auto
        ultimately have \exists t \in nat. \ \forall m \in nat. \ \langle t, m \rangle \in Le \longrightarrow N \ m \in \{\bigcup T\} \cup (\bigcup T-A)
by blast
        then obtain r where r_def:renat \forall s \in \text{nat}. \langle r, s \rangle \in \text{Le} \longrightarrow \text{Ns} \in \{[\ ]T\} \cup ([\ ]T-A)
by auto
        {
           fix s assume AA:\langle r,s\rangle \in Le
           with reg obtain m where Nm \neq (JT \langle s,m \rangle \in Le \ by \ auto
           then have \langle s, \mu \text{ i. } \text{Ni} \neq | \text{JT} \land \langle s, i \rangle \in \text{Le} \setminus \text{Le using LeastI} [\text{of } \lambda \text{m. } \text{Nm} \neq | \text{JT} 
\land \langle s,m \rangle \in Le m]
              nat_into_Ord by auto
           with AA have \langle r, \mu \text{ i. Ni} \neq \bigcup T \land \langle s, i \rangle \in Le \rangle \in Le \text{ using le_trans by}
auto
           with r_def(2) have N(\mu i. Ni \neq | JT \land \langle s, i \rangle \in Le) \in \{|JT\} \cup (|JT-A)\} by
auto
           then have NNs \in \{ \bigcup T \} \cup (\bigcup JT-A) \text{ using apply_equality} [OF \_ NFun] AA
by auto
           with noy have NNs \in (\bigcup T-A) using AA by auto
           moreover have NNs\in{NNt. t\innat} using AA by auto
           then have NNs 

NNnat using func_imagedef[OF NFun] by auto
           then have NNs∈A by auto
           ultimately have False by auto
        moreover have r\subseteq succ(r) using succ\_explained by auto
        then have r \( \succ(r) \) using subset_imp_le nat_into_Ord \( \text{r} \in \text{nat} \) nat_succI
           by auto
        then have \langle r, succ(r) \rangle \in Le \text{ using } \langle r \in nat \rangle \text{ nat\_succI by auto}
```

```
ultimately have False by auto
    ultimately have False by auto
  then have \forall N \times y. N:nat\rightarrow([]{one-point compactification of}T) \land (\langle N, Le \rangle \rightarrow_N
x{in}({one-point compactification of}T))
    \land (\langle \texttt{N,Le} \rangle \rightarrow_N \texttt{y\{in\}(\{one-point compactification of\}T))} \longrightarrow \texttt{x=y by} auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsUS_def by auto
ged
In the one-point compactification of an anti-compact space, ever subspace
that contains the infinite point is compact.
theorem (in topology0) anti_comp_imp_OP_inf_comp:
  assumes T{is anti-compact} A\subseteq\bigcup ({one-point compactification of}T) \bigcup T\inA
  shows A{is compact in}({one-point compactification of}T)
proof-
    fix M assume M:M\subseteq (\{one-point compactification of\}T) A\subseteq \bigcup M
    with assms(3) obtain U where U: []TeU UeM by auto
    with M(1) obtain K where K:K{is compact in}T K{is closed in}T U={| |T}∪(| |T-K)
      unfolding OPCompactification_def using mem_not_refl[of | ]T] by auto
    from K(1) have K{is compact in}(T{restricted to}K) using compact_imp_compact_subspace
Compact_is_card_nat
      by auto
    moreover have [](T{restricted to}K)=[]T∩K unfolding RestrictedTo_def
    with K(1) have [](T{restricted to}K)=K unfolding IsCompact_def by
auto ultimately
    have (U(T{restricted to}K)){is compact in}(T{restricted to}K) by
    with assms(1) have K{is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. (| |T){is compact
in}T) unfolding IsAntiComp_def
      antiProperty_def using K(1) unfolding IsCompact_def by auto
    then have finK:Finite(K) using compact_spectrum by auto
    from assms(2) have A-U\subseteq(\{JT\}) -U using op_compact_total by
auto
    with K(3) have A-U⊆K by auto
    with fink have Finite(A-U) using subset_Finite by auto
    then have (A-U){is in the spectrum of}(\lambda T. ([]T){is compact in}T)
using compact_spectrum by auto moreover
    have \iint (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T)\{\text{restricted to}\}(A-U)\}=A-U
unfolding RestrictedTo_def using assms(2) K(3)
      op_compact_total by auto moreover
    have ((\{one-point compactification of\}T)\{restricted to\}(A-U)\}\{is a
topology } using topology 0. Top_1_L4
      op_comp_is_top unfolding topology0_def by auto
    ultimately have (A-U) (is compact in) (((one-point compactification
of}T){restricted to}(A-U))
      unfolding Spec_def by auto
```

```
then have (A-U){is compact in}({one-point compactification of}T)
using compact_subspace_imp_compact by auto
         moreover have A-U\subseteq\bigcup M using M(2) by auto moreover
         note M(1) ultimately obtain N where N:N∈FinPow(M) A-U⊆[]N unfold-
ing IsCompact_def by blast
         from N(1) U(2) have N \cup{U}\inFinPow(M) unfolding FinPow_def by auto
moreover
         from N(2) have A\subseteq \bigcup (N \cup \{U\}) by auto
         ultimately have \exists R \in FinPow(M). A \subseteq \bigcup R by auto
    then show thesis using op_compact_total assms(2) unfolding IsCompact_def
by auto
qed
As a last result in this section, the one-point compactification of our topology
is not a KC space.
theorem extension_pow_OP_not_KC:
    shows \neg((\{one-point\ compactification\ of\}(Pow(csucc(nat))\ \cup\ \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S.
S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - \{0\}\}) (is KC)
proof
    have noE:csucc(nat) \neq 0 using Ord_O_lt_csucc[OF Ord_nat] by auto
    let T=(Pow(csucc(nat)) \cup \{\{csucc(nat)\}\cup S. S\in (CoCountable csucc(nat))-\{0\}\})
    assume ass:({one-point compactification of}T){is KC}
    from extension_pow_notDiscrete have {csucc(nat)} \notin (Pow(csucc(nat)))
\cup {{csucc(nat)}} \cup S . S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - {0}})
         by auto
    {
         assume csucc(nat)=csucc(nat)∪{csucc(nat)} moreover
         have csucc(nat) \in csucc(nat) \cup \{csucc(nat)\}\ by auto
         ultimately have csucc(nat)∈csucc(nat) by auto
         then have False using mem_not_refl by auto
    then have dist:csucc(nat)≠csucc(nat)∪{csucc(nat)} by blast
         assume {csucc(nat)} \in ({one-point compactification of} (Pow(csucc(nat)))
\cup {{csucc(nat)} \cup S . S \in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) - {0}}))
         then have \{csucc(nat)\}\in \{\{\bigcup T\}\cup ((\bigcup T)-K) : K\in \{B\in Pow(\bigcup T) : B\{is\ compact\}\}\}
in}T \land B{is closed in}T}}
             unfolding OPCompactification_def using extension_pow_notDiscrete
by auto
         then obtain K where \{csucc(nat)\}=\{\bigcup T\}\cup((\bigcup T)-K) by auto moreover
         have []T \in \{[]T\} \cup (([]T) - K) by auto
         ultimately have | |T∈{csucc(nat)} by auto
         with dist have False using extension_pow_union by auto
    then have {csucc(nat)}∉({one-point compactification of}T) by auto more-
    have \bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}T)-(\bigcup (\{one-point compactific
of}T)-{csucc(nat)})={csucc(nat)} using extension_pow_union
```

```
topology0.op_compact_total unfolding topology0_def using extension_pow_top
by auto ultimately
     have di: \bigcup (\{one-point compactification of\}T)-(\bigcup (\{one-point compact
of}T)-{csucc(nat)})∉({one-point compactification of}T) by auto
            assume (| | ({one-point compactification of}T)-{csucc(nat)}){is closed
in}({one-point compactification of}T)
            then have []({one-point compactification of}T)-([]({one-point compactification
of}T)-{csucc(nat)}) \in ({one-point compactification of}T) unfolding IsClosed_def
by auto
           with di have False by auto
     then have n:\neg(([](\{one-point compactification of\}T)-\{csucc(nat)\})\{is
closed in \( \{ \text{one-point compactification of } T \) ) by auto moreover
     from dist have \bigcup T \in (\bigcup (\{\text{one-point compactification of}\}T) - \{\text{csucc(nat)}\})
using topology0.op_compact_total unfolding topology0_def using extension_pow_top
            extension_pow_union by auto
     then have ([]({one-point compactification of}T)-{csucc(nat)}){is compact
in}({one-point compactification of}T) using topology0.anti_comp_imp_OP_inf_comp[of
            ([]({one-point compactification of}T)-{csucc(nat)})] unfolding topology0_def
using extension_pow_antiCompact extension_pow_top by auto
      with ass have (U({one-point compactification of}T)-{csucc(nat)}){is
closed in}({one-point compactification of}T) unfolding IsKC_def by auto
      with n show False by auto
qed
```

73.8 Other types of properties

In this section we will define new properties that aren't defined as antiproperties and that are not separation axioms. In some cases we will consider their anti-properties.

73.9 Definitions

In conclusion, $US \not\Rightarrow KC$.

A space is called perfect if it has no isolated points. This definition may vary in the literature to similar, but not equivalent definitions.

definition

```
IsPerf (_ {is perfect}) where T{is perfect} \equiv \forall x \in \bigcup T. \{x\} \notin T
```

An anti-perfect space is called scattered.

definition

```
IsScatt (\_ {is scattered}) where T{is scattered} \equiv T{is anti-}IsPerf
```

A topological space with two disjoint dense subspaces is called resolvable.

definition

```
IsRes (_ {is resolvable}) where T{is resolvable} \equiv \exists U \in Pow(\bigcup T). \exists V \in Pow(\bigcup T). Closure(U,T)=\bigcup T \land U \cap V = 0
```

A topological space where every dense subset is open is called submaximal.

definition

```
IsSubMax (_ {is submaximal}) where T{is submaximal} \equiv \forall U \in Pow(\bigcup T). Closure(U,T)=\bigcup T \longrightarrow U \in T
```

A subset of a topological space is nowhere-dense if the interior of its closure is empty.

definition

```
IsNowhereDense (_ {is nowhere dense in} _) where A{is nowhere dense in}T \equiv A\subseteq( )T \wedge Interior(Closure(A,T),T)=0
```

A topological space is then a Luzin space if every nowhere-dense subset is countable.

definition

```
IsLuzin (_ {is luzin}) where T{is luzin} \equiv \forall A \in Pow([]T). (A{is nowhere dense in}T) \longrightarrow A \le nat
```

An also useful property is local-connexion.

definition

```
IsLocConn (_{is locally-connected}) where T{is locally-connected} \equiv T{is locally}(\lambdaT. \lambdaB. ((T{restricted to}B){is connected}))
```

An SI-space is an anti-resolvable perfect space.

definition

```
IsAntiRes (_{is anti-resolvable}) where T{is anti-resolvable} \equiv T{is anti-}IsRes
```

definition

```
IsSI (_{is Strongly Irresolvable}) where T{is Strongly Irresolvable} \equiv (T{is anti-resolvable}) \land (T{is perfect})
```

73.10 First examples

Firstly, we need to compute the spectrum of the being perfect.

```
lemma spectrum_perfect:
```

```
shows (A{is in the spectrum of}IsPerf) \leftarrow A=0
proof
  assume A{is in the spectrum of}IsPerf
  then have Pow(A){is perfect} unfolding Spec_def using Pow_is_top by
auto
```

```
then have ∀b∈A. {b}∉Pow(A) unfolding IsPerf_def by auto
  then show A=0 by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume A:A=0
    fix T assume T:T{is a topology} | | JT≈A
    with T(2) A have | JT≈0 by auto
    then have []T=0 using eqpoll_0_is_0 by auto
    then have T{is perfect} unfolding IsPerf_def by auto
  then show A{is in the spectrum of}IsPerf unfolding Spec_def by auto
The discrete space is clearly scattered:
lemma pow_is_scattered:
  shows Pow(A){is scattered}
proof-
  {
    fix B assume B:B⊆[ JPow(A) (Pow(A){restricted to}B){is perfect}
    from B(1) have Pow(A){restricted to}B=Pow(B) unfolding RestrictedTo_def
    with B(2) have Pow(B) {is perfect} by auto
    then have ∀b∈B. {b}∉Pow(B) unfolding IsPerf_def by auto
    then have B=0 by auto
  then show thesis using spectrum_perfect unfolding IsScatt_def antiProperty_def
by auto
\mathbf{qed}
The trivial topology is perfect, if it is defined over a set with more than one
point.
lemma trivial_is_perfect:
  assumes \exists x y. x \in X \land y \in X \land x \neq y
  shows {0,X}{is perfect}
proof-
  {
    fix r assume \{r\} \in \{0,X\}
    then have X={r} by auto
    with assms have False by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsPerf_def by auto
The trivial topology is resolvable, if it is defined over a set with more than
one point.
lemma trivial_is_resolvable:
  assumes \exists x y. x \in X \land y \in X \land x \neq y
  shows {0,X}{is resolvable}
```

```
proof-
  from assms obtain x y where xy:x\in X y\in X x\neq y by auto
    fix A assume A:A{is closed in}\{0,X\} A\subseteq X
    then have X-A \in \{0, X\} unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
    then have X-A=0 \lor X-A=X by auto
    with A(2) have A=X\vee X-A=X by auto moreover
      assume X-A=X
      then have X-(X-A)=0 by auto
      with A(2) have A=0 by auto
    ultimately have A=XVA=0 by auto
    then have A=0 \lor A=X by auto
  then have c1: \forall A \in Pow(X). A{is closed in}{0,X} \longrightarrow A=0 \lor A=X by auto
 from xy(3) have \{x\}\cap\{y\}=0 by auto moreover
    have {X}{is a partition of}X using indiscrete_partition xy(1) by
    then have top:topology0(PTopology X {X}) using topology0_ptopology
by auto
    have X \neq 0 using xy(1) by auto
    then have (PTopology X {X})={0,X} using indiscrete_ptopology[of X]
    with top have top0:topology0({0,X}) by auto
    then have x∈Closure({x},{0,X}) using topology0.cl_contains_set xy(1)
by auto moreover
    have Closure({x},{0,X}) {is closed in}{0,X} using topology0.cl_is_closed
top0 xy(1) by auto
    moreover note cl
    moreover have Closure(\{x\},\{0,X\})\subseteq X using topology0.Top_3_L11(1)
top0 xy(1) by auto
    ultimately have Closure({x},{0,X})=X by auto
  }
 moreover
    have {X}{is a partition of}X using indiscrete_partition xy(1) by
    then have top:topology0(PTopology X {X}) using topology0_ptopology
by auto
    have X \neq 0 using xy(1) by auto
    then have (PTopology X {X})={0,X} using indiscrete_ptopology[of X]
by auto
    with top have top0:topology0({0,X}) by auto
    then have y∈Closure({y},{0,X}) using topology0.cl_contains_set xy(2)
by auto moreover
    have Closure({y},{0,X}) {is closed in}{0,X} using topology0.cl_is_closed
top0 xy(2) by auto
```

```
moreover note cl
    moreover have Closure({y},{0,X})⊆X using topology0.Top_3_L11(1)
top0 xy(2) by auto
    ultimately have Closure({y},{0,X})=X by auto
  ultimately show thesis using xy(1,2) unfolding IsRes_def by auto
qed
The spectrum of Luzin spaces is the class of countable sets, so there are lots
of examples of Luzin spaces.
lemma spectrum_Luzin:
  shows (A{is in the spectrum of}IsLuzin) \longleftrightarrow A\lenat
  assume A:A{is in the spectrum of}IsLuzin
    assume A=0
    then have A\lesssimnat using empty_lepollI by auto
  moreover
  {
    assume A≠0
    then obtain x where x:x\in A by auto
      fix M assume M\subseteq\{0,\{x\},A\}
      then have \bigcup M \in \{0, \{x\}, A\} using x by blast
    moreover
      fix U V assume U \in \{0, \{x\}, A\} V \in \{0, \{x\}, A\}
      then have U \cap V \in \{0, \{x\}, A\} by auto
    ultimately have top:{0,{x},A}{is a topology} unfolding IsATopology_def
    moreover have tot: \bigcup \{0, \{x\}, A\} = A using x by auto
    moreover note A ultimately have luz: {0,{x},A}{is luzin} unfolding
Spec_def by auto
    moreover have \{x\} \in \{0, \{x\}, A\} by auto
    then have ((\bigcup \{0,\{x\},A\})-\{x\})\{\text{is closed in}\}\{0,\{x\},A\} \text{ using topology0.Top_3_L9}
      unfolding topology0_def using top by blast
    then have (A-\{x\}) (is closed in)\{0,\{x\},A\} using tot by auto
    then have Closure(A-\{x\},\{0,\{x\},A\})=A-\{x\} using tot top topology0.Top_3_L8[of
\{0, \{x\}, 0\}
      unfolding topology0_def by auto
    then have B:Interior(Closure(A-\{x\}, \{0,\{x\},A\}), \{0,\{x\},A\})=Interior(A-\{x\}, \{0,\{x\},A\})
    then have C:Interior(Closure(A-\{x\},\{0,\{x\},A\}),\{0,\{x\},A\})\subseteq A-\{x\} us-
ing top topology0.Top_2_L1
      unfolding topology0\_def by auto
    then have D:Interior(Closure(A-\{x\},\{0,\{x\},A\}),\{0,\{x\},A\})\in \{0,\{x\},A\}
```

```
using topology0.Top_2_L2
      unfolding topology0_def using top by auto
    from x have \neg(A\subseteq A-\{x\}) by auto
    with C D have Interior(Closure(A-\{x\},\{0,\{x\},A\}),\{0,\{x\},A\})=0 by auto
    then have (A-{x}){is nowhere dense in}{0,{x},A} unfolding IsNowhereDense_def
using tot
      by auto
    with luz have A-{x}<nat unfolding IsLuzin_def using tot by auto
    then have U1:A-\{x\}\prec csucc(nat) using Card_less_csucc_eq_le[OF Card_nat]
    have \{x\}\approx 1 using singleton_eqpoll_1 by auto
    then have {x}-\tau using n_lesspoll_nat eq_lesspoll_trans by auto
    then have {x}\square nat using lesspoll_imp_lepoll by auto
    then have U2:{x}~csucc(nat) using Card_less_csucc_eq_le[OF Card_nat]
by auto
    with U1 have U:(A-\{x\})\cup\{x\}\prec csucc(nat) using less_less_imp_un_less[OF
_ _ InfCard_csucc[OF InfCard_nat]]
      by auto
    have (A-\{x\})\cup\{x\}=A using x by auto
    with U have A≺csucc(nat) by auto
    then have A\sing Card_less_csucc_eq_le[OF Card_nat] by auto
  }
  ultimately
  show A\( \simeq \text{nat by auto} \)
\mathbf{next}
  assume A:A\( \sin \alpha \)
  {
    fix T assume T:T{is a topology} | | JT≈A
      fix B assume B\subseteq \bigcup T B{is nowhere dense in}T
      then have BS[]T using subset_imp_lepoll by auto
      with T(2) have B\( \simeq \) using lepoll_eq_trans by auto
      with A have B\( \sin \) nat using lepoll_trans by blast
    then have \forall B \in Pow(\bigcup T). (B{is nowhere dense in}T) \longrightarrow B \leq nat by auto
    then have T{is luzin} unfolding IsLuzin_def by auto
  then show A{is in the spectrum of}IsLuzin unfolding Spec_def by auto
qed
         Structural results
73.11
Every resolvable space is also perfect.
theorem (in topology0) resolvable_imp_perfect:
  assumes T{is resolvable}
  shows T{is perfect}
proof-
  {
    assume ¬(T{is perfect})
```

```
then obtain x where x:x\in\bigcup T \{x\}\in T \text{ unfolding IsPerf_def by auto}
    then have cl:(\bigcup T-\{x\})\{is\ closed\ in\}T\ using\ Top_3_L9\ by\ auto
    from assms obtain U V where UV:U\subseteq \bigcup T V\subseteq \bigcup T cl(U)=\bigcup T cl(V)=\bigcup T U\capV=0
unfolding IsRes_def by auto
       fix W assume x \notin W W \subseteq \bigcup T
       then have W\subseteq\bigcup T-\{x\} by auto
       then have cl(W)\subseteq\bigcup T-\{x\} using cl Top_3_L13 by auto
       with x(1) have \neg(\bigcup T \subseteq cl(W)) by auto
       then have \neg(cl(W)=\bigcup T) by auto
    with UV have False by auto
  }
  then show thesis by auto
qed
The spectrum of being resolvable follows:
corollary spectrum_resolvable:
  shows (A{is in the spectrum of}IsRes) \longleftrightarrow A=0
proof
  assume A:A{is in the spectrum of}IsRes
  have \forall T. T{is a topology} \longrightarrow IsRes(T) \longrightarrow IsPerf(T) using topology0.resolvable_imp_perference.
    unfolding topology0_def by auto
  with A have A{is in the spectrum of}IsPerf using P_imp_Q_spec_inv[of
IsRes IsPerf] by auto
  then show A=O using spectrum_perfect by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume A:A=0
    fix T assume T:T{is a topology} \bigcup T \approx A
    with T(2) A have | JT≈0 by auto
    then have | |T=0 using eqpoll_0_is_0 by auto
    then have Closure(0,T)=[ ]T using topology0.Top_3_L2 T(1)
       topology0.Top_3_L8 unfolding topology0_def by auto
    then have T{is resolvable} unfolding IsRes_def by auto
  then show A{is in the spectrum of}IsRes unfolding Spec_def by auto
The cofinite space over \mathbb{N} is a T_1, perfect and luzin space.
theorem cofinite_nat_perfect:
  shows (CoFinite nat){is perfect}
proof-
    fix x assume x:x\in \bigcup (CoFinite nat) \{x\}\in (CoFinite nat)
    then have xn:x enat using union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def
    with x(2) have nat-\{x\}\precnat unfolding Cofinite_def CoCardinal_def
```

```
by auto
    moreover have Finite({x}) by auto
    then have {x}-dat unfolding Finite_def using n_lesspoll_nat eq_lesspoll_trans
    ultimately have (nat-\{x\})\cup\{x\}\prec nat using less_less_imp_un_less[OF]
_ _ InfCard_nat] by auto
    moreover have (nat-\{x\})\cup\{x\}=nat using xn by auto
    ultimately have False by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsPerf_def by auto
qed
theorem cofinite_nat_luzin:
 shows (CoFinite nat) {is luzin}
proof-
 have nat{is in the spectrum of}IsLuzin using spectrum_Luzin by auto
moreover
 have [](CoFinite nat)=nat using union_cocardinal unfolding Cofinite_def
by auto
  moreover have (CoFinite nat) {is a topology} unfolding Cofinite_def
using CoCar_is_topology[OF InfCard_nat]
    by auto
  ultimately show thesis unfolding Spec_def by auto
qed
The cocountable topology on \mathbb{N}^+ or csucc(nat) is also T_1, perfect and luzin;
but defined on a set not in the spectrum.
theorem cocountable_csucc_nat_perfect:
  shows (CoCountable csucc(nat)){is perfect}
proof-
 have noE:csucc(nat) \neq 0 using lt_csucc[OF Ord_nat] by auto
    fix x assume x:x\in \bigcup (CoCountable csucc(nat)) \{x\}\in (CoCountable csucc(nat))
    then have xn:xecsucc(nat) using union_cocardinal noE unfolding Cocountable_def
    with x(2) have csucc(nat)-{x}-csucc(nat) unfolding Cocountable_def
CoCardinal_def by auto
    moreover have Finite({x}) by auto
    then have {x}-dat unfolding Finite_def using n_lesspoll_nat eq_lesspoll_trans
    then have {x}\sing lesspoll_imp_lepoll by auto
    then have {x}-<csucc(nat) using Card_less_csucc_eq_le[OF Card_nat]
    ultimately have (csucc(nat)-{x})-{x}-csucc(nat) using less_less_imp_un_less[OF
_ _ InfCard_csucc[OF InfCard_nat]] by auto
    moreover have (csucc(nat)-\{x\})\cup\{x\}=csucc(nat) using xn by auto
    ultimately have False by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsPerf_def by auto
```

```
qed
theorem cocountable_csucc_nat_luzin:
 shows (CoCountable csucc(nat)){is luzin}
 have noE:csucc(nat) \neq 0 using lt_csucc[OF Ord_nat] by auto
    fix B assume B:B∈Pow(| | (CoCountable csucc(nat))) B{is nowhere dense
in (CoCountable csucc(nat)) ¬(B \( \sin \) nat)
    from B(1) have B⊆csucc(nat) using union_cocardinal noE unfolding
Cocountable_def by auto moreover
    from B(3) have ¬(B≺csucc(nat)) using Card_less_csucc_eq_le[OF Card_nat]
by auto ultimately
    have Closure(B,CoCountable csucc(nat))=csucc(nat) using closure_set_cocardinal
noE unfolding Cocountable_def by auto
    then have Interior(Closure(B,CoCountable csucc(nat)),CoCountable
csucc(nat))=Interior(csucc(nat), CoCountable csucc(nat)) by auto
    with B(2) have 0=Interior(csucc(nat),CoCountable csucc(nat)) un-
folding IsNowhereDense_def by auto moreover
    have csucc(nat)-csucc(nat)=0 by auto
    then have csucc(nat)-csucc(nat) \( \sing \) using empty_lepollI Card_less_csucc_eq_le[0
Card_nat] by auto
    then have Interior(csucc(nat),CoCountable csucc(nat))=csucc(nat)
using interior_set_cocardinal noE unfolding Cocountable_def by auto
    ultimately have False using noE by auto
 then have \forall B \in Pow([](CoCountable csucc(nat))). (B{is nowhere dense in}(CoCountable
csucc(nat))) \longrightarrow B \leq nat by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsLuzin_def by auto
qed
The existence of T_2, uncountable, perfect and luzin spaces is unprovable in
ZFC. It is related to the CH and Martin's axiom.
end
```

74 Uniform spaces

theory UniformSpace_ZF imports Topology_ZF_4a begin

This theory defines uniform spaces and proves their basic properties.

74.1 Definition and motivation

Just like a topological space constitutes the minimal setting in which one can speak of continuous functions, the notion of uniform spaces (commonly attributed to André Weil) captures the minimal setting in which one can

speak of uniformly continuous functions. In some sense this is a generalization of the notion of metric (or metrizable) spaces and topological groups.

There are several definitions of uniform spaces. The fact that these definitions are equivalent is far from obvious (some people call such phenomenon cryptomorphism). We will use the definition of the uniform structure (or "uniformity") based on entourages. This was the original definition by Weil and it seems to be the most commonly used. A uniformity consists of entourages that are binary relations between points of space X that satisfy a certain collection of conditions, specified below.

definition

```
IsUniformity (_ {is a uniformity on} _ 90) where \Phi {is a uniformity on} X \equiv (\Phi {is a filter on} (X×X)) \wedge (\forall U \in \Phi. id(X) \subseteq U \wedge (\exists V \in \Phi. V O V \subseteq U) \wedge converse(U) \in \Phi)
```

A Member of a uniformity on X is a reflexive relation on X.

```
lemma unif_props: assumes \Phi {is a uniformity on} X A\in\Phi shows A \subseteq X×X and id(X) \subseteq A using assms IsUniformity_def IsFilter_def by auto
```

The definition of uniformity states (among other things) that for every member U of uniformity Φ there is another one, say V such that $V \circ V \subseteq U$. Sometimes such V is said to be half the size of U. The next lemma states that V can be taken to be symmetric.

```
lemma half_size_symm: assumes \Phi {is a uniformity on} X U\in\Phi shows \exists W\in\Phi. W O W \subseteq U \land W=converse(W) proof - from assms obtain V where V\in\Phi and V O V \subseteq U unfolding IsUniformity_def by auto let W = V \cap converse(V) from assms(1) \land V\in\Phi have W <math>\in\Phi and W = converse(W) unfolding IsUniformity_def IsFilter_def by auto moreover from \land V O V \subseteq U have W O W \subseteq U by auto ultimately show thesis by blast qed
```

If Φ is a uniformity on X, then the every element V of Φ is a certain relation on X (a subset of $X \times X$) and is called an "entourage". For an $x \in X$ we call $V\{x\}$ a neighborhood of x. The first useful fact we will show is that neighborhoods are non-empty.

```
lemma neigh_not_empty: assumes \Phi {is a uniformity on} X V\in \Phi and x\inX shows V{x} \neq 0 and x \in V{x} proof - from assms(1,2) have id(X) \subseteq V using IsUniformity_def IsFilter_def by auto
```

```
with \langle x \in X \rangle show x \in V\{x\} and V\{x\} \neq 0 by auto qed
```

If Φ is a uniformity on X then every element of Φ is a subset of $X \times X$ whose domain is x.

```
lemma uni_domain:
   assumes Φ {is a uniformity on} X W∈Φ
   shows W ⊆ X×X and domain(W) = X
proof -
   from assms show W ⊆ X×X unfolding IsUniformity_def IsFilter_def
        by blast
   show domain(W) = X
   proof
      from assms show domain(W) ⊆ X unfolding IsUniformity_def IsFilter_def
        by auto
      from assms show X ⊆ domain(W) unfolding IsUniformity_def by blast
        qed
        qed
        qed
        qed
```

Uniformity Φ defines a natural topology on its space X via the neighborhood system that assigns the collection $\{V(\{x\}):V\in\Phi\}$ to every point $x\in X$. In the next lemma we show that if we define a function this way the values of that function are what they should be. This is only a technical fact which is useful to shorten the remaining proofs, usually treated as obvious in standard mathematics.

```
lemma neigh_filt_fun: assumes \Phi {is a uniformity on} X defines \mathcal{M} \equiv \{\langle x, \{V\{x\}, V \in \Phi\} \rangle, x \in X\} shows \mathcal{M}: X \to Pow(Pow(X)) and \forall x \in X. \mathcal{M}(x) = \{V\{x\}, V \in \Phi\} proof - from assms have \forall x \in X. \{V\{x\}, V \in \Phi\} \in Pow(Pow(X)) using IsUniformity_def IsFilter_def image_subset by auto with assms show \mathcal{M}: X \to Pow(Pow(X)) using ZF_fun_from_total by simp with assms show \forall x \in X. \mathcal{M}(x) = \{V\{x\}, V \in \Phi\} using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by simp qed
```

In the next lemma we show that the collection defined in lemma $neigh_filt_fun$ is a filter on X. The proof is kind of long, but it just checks that all filter conditions hold.

```
lemma filter_from_uniformity: assumes \Phi {is a uniformity on} X and x\inX defines \mathcal{M} \equiv \{\langle x, \{V\{x\}, V\in\Phi\}\rangle, x\in X\} shows \mathcal{M}(x) {is a filter on} X proof - from assms have PhiFilter: \Phi {is a filter on} (X\timesX) and \mathcal{M}:X\to Pow(Pow(X)) and \mathcal{M}(x) = \{V\{x\}, V\in\Phi\}
```

```
using IsUniformity_def neigh_filt_fun by auto
  have 0 \notin \mathcal{M}(x)
   proof -
      from assms (x \in X) have 0 \notin \{V\{x\}, V \in \Phi\} using neigh_not_empty by blast
      with \langle \mathcal{M}(x) = \{V\{x\}, V \in \Phi\} \rangle show 0 \notin \mathcal{M}(x) by simp
   qed
   moreover have X \in \mathcal{M}(x)
  proof -
      note \langle \mathcal{M}(x) = \{ V\{x\} . V \in \Phi \} \rangle
      moreover from assms have X	imesX \in \Phi unfolding IsUniformity_def IsFilter_def
         by blast
      hence (X \times X)\{x\} \in \{V\{x\}, V \in \Phi\} by auto
      moreover from \langle x \in X \rangle have (X \times X) \{x\} = X by auto
      ultimately show X \in \mathcal{M}(x) by simp
   qed
   moreover from \langle \mathcal{M}: X \rightarrow Pow(Pow(X)) \rangle \langle x \in X \rangle have \mathcal{M}(x) \subseteq Pow(X) using apply_funtype
      by blast
   moreover have LargerIn: \forall B \in \mathcal{M}(x). \forall C \in Pow(X). B \subseteq C \longrightarrow C \in \mathcal{M}(x)
  proof -
   { fix B assume B \in \mathcal{M}(x)
      fix C assume C \in Pow(X) and B\subseteqC
      from \langle \mathcal{M}(x) = \{ V\{x\} . V \in \Phi \} \rangle \langle B \in \mathcal{M}(x) \rangle obtain U where
              U \in \Phi and B = U\{x\} by auto
      let V = U \cup C \times C
      from assms \langle U \in \Phi \rangle \ \langle C \in Pow(X) \rangle have V \in Pow(X \times X) and U \subseteq V
         using IsUniformity_def IsFilter_def by auto
      with \langle \mathtt{U} \in \Phi \rangle PhiFilter have \mathtt{V} \in \Phi using IsFilter_def by simp
      moreover from assms (U \in \Phi) (x \in X) (B = U\{x\}) (B \subseteq C) have C = V\{x\}
         using neigh_not_empty image_greater_rel by simp
      ultimately have C \in \{V\{x\}, V \in \Phi\} by auto
      with \langle \mathcal{M}(x) = \{V\{x\}, V \in \Phi\} \rangle have C \in \mathcal{M}(x) by simp
   } thus thesis by blast
   qed
  moreover have \forall A \in \mathcal{M}(x) . \forall B \in \mathcal{M}(x) . A \cap B \in \mathcal{M}(x)
  proof -
   { fix A B assume A \in \mathcal{M}(x) and B \in \mathcal{M}(x)
      with \langle \mathcal{M}(x) = \{ V\{x\} . V \in \Phi \} \rangle obtain V_A V_B where
         A = V_A\{x\} B = V_B\{x\} \text{ and } V_A \in \Phi V_B \in \Phi
         by auto
      let C = V_A\{x\} \cap V_B\{x\}
      from assms \langle \mathtt{V}_A \in \Phi \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{V}_B \in \Phi \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{V}_A \cap \mathtt{V}_B \in \Phi \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{IsUniformity\_def}
IsFilter_def
         by simp
      with \langle \mathcal{M}(x) = \{ V\{x\} . V \in \Phi \} \rangle have (V_A \cap V_B)\{x\} \in \mathcal{M}(x) by auto
      moreover from PhiFilter \langle V_A \in \Phi \rangle \langle V_B \in \Phi \rangle have C \in Pow(X) unfold-
ing IsFilter_def
                   by auto
```

```
moreover have (V_A \cap V_B)\{x\} \subseteq C using image_Int_subset_left by simp
      moreover note LargerIn
      ultimately have C \in \mathcal{M}(x) by simp
      with \langle A = V_A \{x\} \rangle \langle B = V_B \{x\} \rangle have A \cap B \in \mathcal{M}(x) by blast
   } thus thesis by simp
   ged
   ultimately show thesis unfolding IsFilter_def by simp
The function defined in the premises of lemma neigh_filt_fun (or filter_from_uniformity)
is a neighborhood system. The proof uses the existence of the "half-the-
size" neighborhood condition (\exists V \in \Phi. V \cup V \subseteq U) of the uniformity defini-
tion, but not the converse(U) \in \Phi part.
theorem neigh_from_uniformity:
   assumes \Phi {is a uniformity on} X
   shows \{\langle x, \{V\{x\}, V \in \Phi\} \rangle, x \in X\} {is a neighborhood system on} X
proof -
   let \mathcal{M} = \{\langle x, \{V\{x\}, V \in \Phi\} \rangle, x \in X\}
   from assms have \mathcal{M}: X \to Pow(Pow(X)) and Mval: \forall x \in X. \mathcal{M}(x) = \{V\{x\}, V \in \Phi\}
      using IsUniformity_def neigh_filt_fun by auto
   moreover from assms have \forall x \in X. (\mathcal{M}(x) {is a filter on} X) using filter_from_uniformity
      by simp
   moreover
   { fix x assume x \in X
      have \forall N \in \mathcal{M}(x). x \in N \land (\exists U \in \mathcal{M}(x). \forall y \in U. (N \in \mathcal{M}(y)))
      proof -
         { fix N assume N \in \mathcal{M}(x)
             have x \in \mathbb{N} and \exists U \in \mathcal{M}(x) . \forall y \in U . (\mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{M}(y))
             proof -
                from \langle \mathcal{M}: X \rightarrow Pow(Pow(X)) \rangle Mval \langle x \in X \rangle \langle N \in \mathcal{M}(x) \rangle
                obtain U where U \in \Phi and N = U\{x\} by auto
                with assms (x \in X) show x \in N using neigh_not_empty by simp
                from assms \langle \mathtt{U} {\in} \Phi \rangle obtain \mathtt{V} where \mathtt{V} {\in} \Phi and \mathtt{V} O \mathtt{V} \subseteq \mathtt{U}
                   unfolding IsUniformity_def by auto
                let W = V\{x\}
                \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle \mathtt{V} \in \Phi \rangle \ \ \mathtt{Mval} \ \ \langle \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ \mathtt{W} \ \in \ \mathcal{M}(\mathtt{x}) \ \ \mathbf{by} \ \ \mathtt{auto}
                moreover have \forall y \in W. N \in \mathcal{M}(y)
                proof -
                   { fix y assume y \in W
                      with \langle \mathcal{M}: X \rightarrow Pow(Pow(X)) \rangle \langle x \in X \rangle \langle W \in \mathcal{M}(x) \rangle have y \in X
                          using apply_funtype by blast
                      with assms have \mathcal{M}(y) {is a filter on} X using filter_from_uniformity
                      moreover from assms \langle y \in X \rangle \langle V \in \Phi \rangle have V\{y\} \in \mathcal{M}(y)
                          using neigh_filt_fun by auto
                      moreover from \langle \mathcal{M}: X \rightarrow Pow(Pow(X)) \rangle \langle x \in X \rangle \langle N \in \mathcal{M}(x) \rangle have
N \in Pow(X)
                          using apply_funtype by blast
                      moreover from \langle V \cup V \subseteq U \rangle \langle y \in W \rangle have
```

```
 V\{y\}\subseteq (V\ 0\ V)\{x\}\ and\ (V\ 0\ V)\{x\}\subseteq U\{x\}  by auto  with\ (N=U\{x\})\ have\ V\{y\}\subseteq N\ by\ blast  ultimately have N\in \mathcal{M}(y) unfolding IsFilter_def by simp  \{y\in V(y)\} = \{y\in V(y)\}  thus thesis by simp qed  \{y\in V(y)\} = \{y\in V(y)\}  ultimately show \exists U\in \mathcal{M}(x). \forall y\in U. (N\in \mathcal{M}(y))  by auto qed  \{y\in V(y)\} = \{y\in V(y)\}  thus thesis by simp qed  \{y\in V(y)\} = \{y\in V(y)\}  ultimately show thesis unfolding IsNeighSystem_def by simp ned
```

When we have a uniformity Φ on X we can define a topology on X in a (relatively) natural way. We will call that topology the UniformTopology(Φ). The definition may be a bit cryptic but it just combines the construction of a neighborhood system from uniformity as in the assumptions of lemma filter_from_uniformity and the construction of topology from a neighborhood system from theorem topology_from_neighs. We could probably reformulate the definition to skip the X parameter because if Φ is a uniformity on X then X can be recovered from (is determined by) Φ .

definition

```
{\tt UniformTopology}(\Phi, X) \equiv \{ \mathtt{U} \in {\tt Pow}(\mathtt{X}). \ \forall \, \mathtt{x} {\in} \mathtt{U}. \ \mathtt{U} \in \{ \langle \mathtt{t}, \{ \mathtt{V} \{\mathtt{t}\}. \mathtt{V} {\in} \Phi \} \rangle. \mathtt{t} {\in} \mathtt{X} \}(\mathtt{x}) \}
```

The collection of sets constructed in the UniformTopology definition is indeed a topology on X.

```
theorem uniform_top_is_top: assumes \Phi {is a uniformity on} X shows UniformTopology(\Phi,X) {is a topology} and \bigcup UniformTopology(\Phi,X) = X using assms neigh_from_uniformity UniformTopology_def topology_from_neighs by auto
```

end

75 More on uniform spaces

theory UniformSpace_ZF_1 imports func_ZF_1 UniformSpace_ZF Topology_ZF_2 begin

This theory defines the maps to study in uniform spaces and proves their basic properties.

75.1 Uniformly continuous functions

Just as the the most general setting for continuity of functions is that of topological spaces, uniform spaces are the most general setting for the study of uniform continuity.

A map between 2 uniformities is uniformly continuous if it preserves the entourages:

```
definition
```

 \in U) by auto

```
IsUniformlyCont (_ {is uniformly continuous between} _ {and} _ 90) where
  f:X\to Y \Longrightarrow \Phi \text{ is a uniformity on}X \Longrightarrow \Gamma \text{ is a uniformity on}Y \Longrightarrow
  f {is uniformly continuous between} \Phi {and} \Gamma \equiv \forall V \in \Gamma. (ProdFunction(f,f)-V)\in \Phi
Any uniformly continuous function is continuous when considering the topolo-
gies on the uniformities.
lemma uniformly_cont_is_cont:
  assumes f:X->Y \Phi {is a uniformity on}X \Gamma {is a uniformity on}Y
     f {is uniformly continuous between} \Phi {and} \Gamma
  shows IsContinuous(UniformTopology(\Phi,X),UniformTopology(\Gamma,Y),f)
proof -
  \{ fix U assume op: U \in UniformTopology(\Gamma,Y)
     have f^-(U) \in UniformTopology(\Phi,X)
     proof -
       from assms(1) have f-(U) \subseteq X using func1_1_L3 by simp
       moreover
       { fix x xa assume as:\langle x, xa \rangle \in f xa \in U
          with assms(1) have x:x \in X unfolding Pi_def by auto
          from as(2) op have U:U \in \{\langle t, \{V\{t\}, V \in \Gamma\} \rangle, t \in Y\} \} (xa) unfolding UniformTopology_def
by auto
          from as(1) assms(1) have xa:xa \in Y unfolding Pi_def by auto
          have \{\langle t, \{V\{t\}, V \in \Gamma\} \rangle, t \in Y\} \in Pi(Y, t, \{\{V\{t\}, V \in \Gamma\}\}) \text{ unfolding }
Pi_def function_def
            by auto
          with U xa have U \in {V{xa}.V\in\Gamma} using apply_equality by auto
          then obtain V where V:U = V\{xa\} \ V \in \Gamma by auto
          with assms have ent: (ProdFunction(f,f)-(V))\in\Phi using IsUniformlyCont_def
by simp
          have \forall t. t \in (ProdFunction(f,f)-V)\{x\} <-> \langle x,t \rangle \in ProdFunction(f,f)-(V)
            using image_def by auto
          with assms(1) x have \forall t. t: (ProdFunction(f,f)-V)\{x\} \longleftrightarrow (t \in X)
\land \langle fx, ft \rangle \in V
            using prodFunVimage by auto
          with assms(1) as(1) have \forall t. t \in (ProdFunction(f,f)-V)\{x\} \longleftrightarrow
(t \in X \land \langle xa,ft \rangle : V)
            using apply_equality by auto
```

with V(1) have $\forall t. t \in (ProdFunction(f,f)-V)\{x\} \longleftrightarrow (t \in X \land f(t))$

```
with assms(1) U have \forall t. t \in (ProdFunction(f,f)-V)\{x\} \longleftrightarrow t
∈ f-U
            using func1_1_L15 by simp
          hence f-U = (ProdFunction(f,f)-V)\{x\} by blast
          with ent have f-(U) \in \{V\{x\} : V \in \Phi\} by auto
          have \{\langle t, \{V\{t\}, V \in \Phi\} \rangle, t \in X\} \in Pi(X, t, \{\{V\{t\}, V \in \Phi\}\}) \text{ unfolding }
Pi_def function_def
            by auto
          ultimately have f-(U) \in \{\langle t, \{V \mid \{t\} : V \in \Phi\} \rangle : t \in X\}(x) us-
ing x apply_equality
            by auto
       }
       ultimately show f-(U) ∈ UniformTopology(Φ,X) unfolding UniformTopology_def
by blast
  } then show thesis unfolding IsContinuous_def by simp
qed
end
```

76 Alternative definitions of uniformity

theory ${\tt UniformSpace_ZF_2}$ imports ${\tt UniformSpace_ZF}$ begin

The UniformSpace_ZF theory defines uniform spaces based on entourages (also called surroundings sometimes). In this theory we consider an alternative definition based of the notion of uniform covers.

76.1 Uniform covers

Given a set X we can consider collections of subsets of X whose unions are equal to X. Any such collection is called a cover of X. We can define relation on the set of covers of X, called "star refinement" (definition below). A collection of covers is a "family of uniform covers" if it is a filter with respect to the start refinement ordering. The members of such family are called a "uniform cover", but one has to remember that this notion has meaning only in the contexts a the whole family of uniform covers. Looking at a specific cover in isolation we can not say whether it is a uniform cover or not.

The set of all covers of X is called Covers(X).

definition

```
Covers(X) \equiv \{P \in Pow(Pow(X)). \bigcup P = X\}
```

A cover of a nonempty set must have a nonempty member.

```
lemma cover_nonempty: assumes X≠0 P ∈ Covers(X)
    shows ∃U∈P. U≠0
    using assms unfolding Covers_def by blast
```

A "star" of R with respect to \mathcal{R} is the union of all $S \in \mathcal{R}$ that intersect R.

definition

```
Star(U,P) \equiv \bigcup \{V \in P. V \cap U \neq 0\}
```

An element of \mathcal{R} is a subset of its star with respect to \mathcal{R} .

lemma element_subset_star: assumes $U \in P$ shows $U \subseteq Star(U,P)$ using assms unfolding $Star_def$ by auto

An alternative formula for star of a singleton.

```
lemma star_singleton: shows (\bigcup \{V \times V. V \in P\})\{x\} = Star(\{x\},P) unfolding Star_def by blast
```

Star of a larger set is larger.

```
lemma star_mono: assumes U\subseteq V shows Star(U,P)\subseteq Star(V,P) using assms unfolding Star\_def by blast
```

In particular, star of a set is larger than star of any singleton in that set.

```
corollary star_single_mono: assumes x \in U shows Star(\{x\},P) \subseteq Star(U,P) using assms star_mono by auto
```

A cover \mathcal{R} (of X) is said to be a "barycentric refinement" of a cover \mathcal{C} iff for every $x \in X$ the star of $\{x\}$ in \mathcal{R} is contained in some $C \in \mathcal{C}$.

definition

```
IsBarycentricRefinement (_ <^B _ 90) where P <^B Q \equiv \forall x\in UP.\exists U\inQ. Star({x},P) \subset U
```

A cover is a barycentric refinement of the collection of stars of the singletons $\{x\}$ as x ranges over X.

```
lemma singl_star_bary:
```

```
assumes P \in Covers(X) shows P < B \{Star(\{x\},P). x \in X\} using assms unfolding Covers_def IsBarycentricRefinement_def by blast
```

A cover \mathcal{R} is a "star refinement" of a cover \mathcal{C} iff for each $R \in \mathcal{R}$ there is a $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that the star of R with respect to \mathcal{R} is contained in C.

definition

```
IsStarRefinement (_ <* _ 90) where P <* Q \equiv \forall U \in P . \exists V \in Q. Star(U,P) \subseteq V
```

Every cover star-refines the trivial cover $\{X\}$.

```
 \begin{array}{ll} lemma \ cover\_stref\_triv: \ assumes \ P \in Covers(X) \ shows \ P <^* \ \{X\} \\ using \ assms \ unfolding \ Star\_def \ IsStarRefinement\_def \ Covers\_def \ by \ auto \\ \end{array}
```

Star refinement implies barycentric refinement.

```
lemma star_is_bary: assumes Q \in Covers(X) and Q <^* P
  shows Q <^B P
proof -
  from assms(1) have | |Q = X unfolding Covers_def by simp
  { fix x assume x \in X
     with \langle \bigcup Q = X \rangle obtain R where R \in Q and x \in R by auto
     with assms(2) obtain U where U \in P and Star(R,Q) \subseteq U
        unfolding IsStarRefinement_def by auto
     \mathbf{from} \  \, \langle \mathtt{x} {\in} \mathtt{R} \rangle \  \, \langle \mathtt{Star}(\mathtt{R}, \mathtt{Q}) \ \subseteq \  \, \mathtt{U} \rangle \  \, \mathbf{have} \  \, \mathtt{Star}(\{\mathtt{x}\}, \mathtt{Q}) \ \subseteq \  \, \mathtt{U}
        using star_single_mono by blast
     with \langle U \in P \rangle have \exists U \in P. Star({x},Q) \subseteq U by auto
 } with (| ]Q = X) show thesis unfolding IsBarycentricRefinement_def
     by simp
qed
Barycentric refinement of a barycentric refinement is a star refinement.
lemma bary_bary_star:
  shows P <* R
proof -
  { fix U assume U \in P
     \{ assume U = 0 \}
        then have Star(U,P) = 0 unfolding Star_def by simp
        from assms(6,3) obtain V where V∈R using cover_nonempty by auto
        with \langle \text{Star}(U,P) = 0 \rangle have \exists V \in R. \text{Star}(U,P) \subseteq V by auto
     moreover
     { assume U\neq 0
        then obtain x_0 where x_0 \in U by auto
        with assms(1,2,5) \langle U \in P \rangle obtain V where V \in \mathbb{R} and Star(\{x_0\}, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq V
           unfolding Covers_def IsBarycentricRefinement_def by auto
        have Star(U,P) \subseteq V
        proof -
           { fix W assume W \in P and W \cap U \neq 0
             from (W \cap U \neq 0) obtain x where x \in W \cap U by auto
             with assms(2) \langle U \in P \rangle have x \in \bigcup P by auto
             with assms(4) obtain C where C \in \mathbb{Q} and Star(\{x\},P) \subseteq C
                unfolding IsBarycentricRefinement_def by blast
             with \langle U \in P \rangle \langle W \in P \rangle \langle x \in W \cap U \rangle \langle x_0 \in U \rangle \langle Star(\{x_0\}, Q) \subseteq V \rangle have W \subseteq V
                unfolding Star_def by blast
           } then show Star(U,P) \subseteq V unfolding Star\_def by auto
        qed
        with (V \in R) have \exists V \in R. Star(U,P) \subseteq V by auto
     ultimately have \exists V \in \mathbb{R}. Star(U,P) \subseteq V by auto
  } then show P <* R unfolding IsStarRefinement_def by simp</pre>
qed
```

The notion of a filter defined in Topology_ZF_4 is not sufficiently general

to use it to define uniform covers, so we write the conditions directly. A nonempty collection Θ of covers of X is a family of uniform covers if

- a) if $\mathcal{R} \in \Theta$ and \mathcal{C} is any cover of X such that \mathcal{R} is a star refinement of \mathcal{C} , then $\mathcal{C} \in \Theta$.
- b) For any $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \Theta$ there is some $\mathcal{R} \in \Theta$ such that \mathcal{R} is a star refinement of both \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{R} .

This departs slightly from the definition in Wikipedia that requires that Θ contains the trivial cover $\{X\}$. As we show in lemma unicov_contains_trivial below we don't loose anything by weakening the definition this way.

```
definition
```

```
AreUniformCovers (_ {are uniform covers of} _ 90) where \Theta {are uniform covers of} X \equiv \Theta \subseteq \text{Covers}(X) \land \Theta \neq 0 \land (\forall \mathcal{R} \in \Theta . \forall \mathcal{C} \in \text{Covers}(X). ((\mathcal{R} <^* \mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \in \Theta)) \land (\forall \mathcal{C} \in \Theta . \forall \mathcal{D} \in \Theta . \exists \mathcal{R} \in \Theta . (\mathcal{R} <^* \mathcal{C}) \land (\mathcal{R} <^* \mathcal{D}))
```

A family of uniform covers contain the trivial cover $\{X\}$.

```
lemma unicov_contains_triv: assumes \Theta {are uniform covers of} X shows {X} \in \Theta proof - from assms obtain \mathcal R where \mathcal R \in \Theta unfolding AreUniformCovers_def by blast with assms show thesis using cover_stref_triv unfolding AreUniformCovers_def Covers_def by auto qed
```

If Θ are uniform covers of X then we can recover X from Θ by taking $\bigcup \bigcup \Theta$.

```
lemma space_from_unicov: assumes \Theta {are uniform covers of} X shows X = \bigcup \bigcup \Theta proof from assms show X \subseteq \bigcup \bigcup \Theta using unicov_contains_triv unfolding AreUniformCovers_def by auto from assms show \bigcup \bigcup \Theta \subseteq X unfolding AreUniformCovers_def Covers_def by auto qed
```

Every uniform cover has a star refinement.

```
lemma unicov_has_star_ref: assumes \Theta {are uniform covers of} X and P\in\Theta shows \exists \, \mathbb{Q} \in \Theta. (\mathbb{Q} <^* P) using assms unfolding AreUniformCovers_def by blast
```

In particular, every uniform cover has a barycentric refinement.

```
corollary unicov_has_bar_ref: assumes \Theta {are uniform covers of} X and P\in\Theta shows \exists Q \in \Theta. (Q <^B P) proof -
```

```
from assms obtain Q where \mathbb{Q} \in \Theta and \mathbb{Q} <^* P using unicov_has_star_ref by blast with assms show thesis unfolding AreUniformCovers_def using star_is_bary by blast qed
```

From the definition of uniform covers we know that if a uniform cover P is a star-refinement of a cover Q then Q is in a uniform cover. The next lemma shows that in order for Q to be a uniform cover it is sufficient that P is a barycentric refinement of Q.

```
lemma unicov_bary_cov: assumes \Theta {are uniform covers of} X P\in Q \in Covers(X) P < Q and X\neq0 shows Q\in \Theta proof - from assms(1,2) obtain R where R\in \Theta and R < P using unicov_has_bar_ref by blast from assms(1,2,3) \langleR\in \Theta\rangle have P \in Covers(X) Q \in Covers(X) R \in Covers(X) unfolding AreUniformCovers_def by auto with assms(1,3,4,5) \langleR\in \Theta\rangle \langleR < P \rangle show thesis using bary_bary_star unfolding AreUniformCovers_def by auto qed
```

A technical lemma to simplify proof of the uniformity_from_unicov theorem.

```
lemma star_ref_mem: assumes UEP P<*Q and \bigcup \{W \times W. W \in Q\} \subseteq A shows U \times U \subseteq A proof - from assms(1,2) obtain W where WEQ and \bigcup \{S \in P. S \cap U \neq 0\} \subseteq W unfolding IsStarRefinement_def Star_def by auto with assms(1,3) show U \times U \subseteq A by blast qed
```

An identity related to square (in the sense of composition) of a relation of the form $\bigcup \{U \times U : U \in P\}$. I am amazed that Isabelle can see that this is true without an explicit proof, I can't.

```
lemma rel_square_starr: shows (\bigcup \{U \times U. \ U \in P\}) \ 0 \ (\bigcup \{U \times U. \ U \in P\}) = \bigcup \{U \times Star(U,P). \ U \in P\} unfolding Star_def by blast
```

An identity similar to rel_square_starr but with Star on the left side of the Cartesian product:

```
lemma rel_square_starl: shows (\bigcup \{U \times U. \ U \in P\}) \ 0 \ (\bigcup \{U \times U. \ U \in P\}) = \bigcup \{Star(U,P) \times U. \ U \in P\} unfolding Star_def by blast
```

A somewhat technical identity about the square of a symmetric relation:

```
lemma rel_sq_image:
```

```
assumes W = converse(W) domain(W) \subset X
  shows Star(\{x\},\{W\{t\}, t\in X\}) = (W \cap W)\{x\}
proof
  have I: Star(\{x\}, \{W\{t\}, t \in X\}) = \{ \{S \in \{W\{t\}, t \in X\}, x \in S\} \}
     unfolding Star_def by auto
   { fix y assume y \in Star(\{x\}, \{W\{t\}, t \in X\})
     with I obtain S where y \in S x \in S S \in \{W\{t\}. t \in X\} by auto
     from \langle S \in \{W\{t\}, t \in X\} \rangle obtain t where t \in X and S = W\{t\}
        by auto
     with \langle x \in S \rangle \langle y \in S \rangle have \langle t, x \rangle \in W and \langle t, y \rangle \in W
        by auto
     from \langle \langle t, x \rangle \in W \rangle have \langle x, t \rangle \in converse(W) by auto
     with assms(1) \langle \langle t, y \rangle \in W \rangle have y \in (W \cup W)\{x\}
        using rel_compdef by auto
   } then show Star(\{x\},\{W\{t\}.\ t\in X\}) \subseteq (W O W)\{x\}
     by blast
   { fix y assume y \in (W \cup W)\{x\}
     then obtain t where \langle x,t \rangle \in W and \langle t,y \rangle \in W
        using rel_compdef by auto
     from assms(2) \langle \langle t, y \rangle \in W \rangle have t \in X by auto
     from \langle \langle x, t \rangle \in W \rangle have \langle t, x \rangle \in converse(W) by auto
     with assms(1) I \langle \langle t, y \rangle \in W \rangle \langle t \in X \rangle have y \in Star(\{x\}, \{W\{t\}, t \in X\})
        by auto
   } then show (W O W)\{x\} \subseteq Star(\{x\},\{W\{t\}, t\in X\})
     by blast
qed
Given a family of uniform covers of X we can create a uniformity on X by
taking the supersets of \bigcup \{A \times A : A \in P\} as P ranges over the uniform
covers. The next definition specifies the operation creating entourages from
uniform covers.
definition
  {\tt UniformityFromUniCov(X,\Theta)} \equiv {\tt Supersets(X\times X,\{\bigcup \{U\times U.\ U\in P\}.\ P\in\Theta\})}
For any member P of a cover \Theta the set \bigcup \{U \times U : U \in P\} is a member of
UniformityFromUniCov(X,\Theta).
lemma basic_unif: assumes \Theta \subseteq \text{Covers}(X) \ P \in \Theta
  shows \bigcup \{U \times U : U \in P\} \in UniformityFromUniCov(X,\Theta)
  using assms unfolding UniformityFromUniCov_def Supersets_def Covers_def
  by blast
If \Theta is a family of uniform covers of X then UniformityFromUniCov(X,\Theta) is
a uniformity on X
theorem uniformity_from_unicov:
  assumes \Theta {are uniform covers of} X X\neq0
  shows UniformityFromUniCov(X,\Theta) {is a uniformity on} X
```

let Φ = UniformityFromUniCov(X, Θ)

```
have \Phi {is a filter on} (X×X)
proof -
   have 0 \notin \Phi
   proof -
      { assume 0 \in \Phi
         then obtain P where P \in \Theta and 0 = \bigcup \{U \times U : U \in P\}
            unfolding UniformityFromUniCov_def Supersets_def by auto
         hence | P = 0  by auto
         with assms (P \in \Theta) have False unfolding AreUniformCovers_def Covers_def
            by auto
      } thus thesis by auto
   qed
   moreover have \mathtt{X} \times \mathtt{X} \in \Phi
   proof -
      from assms have X \times X \in \{ \bigcup \{U \times U. \ U \in P\}. \ P \in \Theta \}
         using unicov_contains_triv unfolding AreUniformCovers_def
         by auto
      then show thesis unfolding Supersets_def UniformityFromUniCov_def
         by blast
   qed
   moreover have \Phi \subseteq Pow(X \times X)
      unfolding UniformityFromUniCov_def Supersets_def by auto
   moreover have \forall A \in \Phi . \forall B \in \Phi. A \cap B \in \Phi
   proof -
      { fix A B assume A \in \Phi B \in \Phi
         then have A \cap B \subseteq X \times X unfolding UniformityFromUniCov_def Supersets_def
            by auto
         from \langle A \in \Phi \rangle \langle B \in \Phi \rangle obtain P_A P_B where
            P_A \in \Theta P_B \in \Theta and I: \bigcup \{U \times U. \ U \in P_A\} \subseteq A \bigcup \{U \times U. \ U \in P_B\} \subseteq B
            unfolding UniformityFromUniCov_def Supersets_def by auto
         from assms(1) \langle P_A \in \Theta \rangle \langle P_B \in \Theta \rangle obtain P
            where P \in \Theta and P < P_A and P < P_B
            unfolding AreUniformCovers_def by blast
         have \bigcup \{U \times U : U \in P\} \subseteq A \cap B
         proof -
            { fix U assume U∈P
               with \langle P < {}^*P_A \rangle \langle P < {}^*P_B \rangle I have U \times U \subseteq A and U \times U \subseteq B
                  using star_ref_mem by auto
            } thus thesis by blast
         \mathbf{qed}
         \mathbf{with} \ \ \langle \mathtt{A} \cap \mathtt{B} \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{X} \times \mathtt{X} \rangle \ \ \langle \mathtt{P} \in \Theta \rangle \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ \mathtt{A} \cap \mathtt{B} \ \in \ \Phi
            unfolding Supersets_def UniformityFromUniCov_def by auto
      } thus thesis by auto
   qed
   moreover have
      \forall B \in \Phi . \forall C \in Pow(X \times X) . B \subseteq C \longrightarrow C \in \Phi
   proof -
      { fix B C assume B \in \Phi C \in Pow(X \times X) B \subseteq C
```

```
from \langle B \in \Phi \rangle obtain P_B where \bigcup \{U \times U : U \in P_B\} \subseteq B P_B \in \Theta
               {\bf unfolding} \ {\tt UniformityFromUniCov\_def} \ {\tt Supersets\_def} \ {\bf by} \ {\tt auto}
            \mathbf{with} \ \langle \mathtt{C} {\in} \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{X} {\times} \mathtt{X}) \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{B} {\subseteq} \mathtt{C} \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{C} {\in} \Phi
               unfolding UniformityFromUniCov_def Supersets_def by blast
         } thus thesis by auto
      ultimately show thesis unfolding IsFilter_def by simp
   moreover have \forall A \in \Phi. id(X) \subseteq A \land (\exists B \in \Phi. B \cup B \subseteq A) \land converse(A)
\in \Phi
  proof
      fix A assume A \in \Phi
      then obtain P where \{J\{U\times U: U\in P\}\subseteq A P\in \Theta\}
         unfolding UniformityFromUniCov_def Supersets_def by auto
      have id(X)\subseteq A
      proof -
         from assms(1) \langle P \in \Theta \rangle have | P = X \text{ unfolding AreUniformCovers_def} |
Covers_def
         with \langle \bigcup \{U \times U : U \in P\} \subseteq A \rangle show thesis by auto
      moreover have \exists B \in \Phi. B O B \subseteq A
      proof -
         from assms(1) \langle P \in \Theta \rangle have \bigcup \{U \times U. \ U \in P\} \in \Phi
            {\bf unfolding} \ {\tt AreUniformCovers\_def} \ {\tt Covers\_def} \ {\tt UniformityFromUniCov\_def}
Supersets_def
         from assms(1) (P \in \Theta) obtain Q where Q \in \Theta and Q <^* P using unicov_has_star_ref
            by blast
         let B = \{ \bigcup \{U \times U : U \in Q\} \}
         from assms(1) \langle \mathbb{Q} \in \Theta \rangle have \mathbb{B} \in \Phi
            unfolding AreUniformCovers_def Covers_def UniformityFromUniCov_def
Supersets_def
            by auto
         moreover have B O B \subseteq A
         proof -
            have II: B O B = \bigcup \{U \times Star(U,Q) . U \in Q\} using rel_square_starr
                by simp
            have \forall U \in Q. \exists V \in P. U \times Star(U,Q) \subseteq V \times V
            proof
               fix U assume U \in Q
               with \langle Q \rangle <^* P \rangle obtain V where V \in P and Star(U,Q) \subseteq V
                   unfolding IsStarRefinement_def by blast
               with \langle U \in Q \rangle have V \in P and U \times Star(U,Q) \subseteq V \times V using element_subset_star
                   by auto
               thus \exists V \in P. U \times Star(U,Q) \subseteq V \times V by auto
            ged
            hence \bigcup \{U \times Star(U,Q) : U \in Q\} \subseteq \bigcup \{V \times V : V \in P\} by blast
```

```
with \langle\bigcup\{V\times V.\ V\in P\}\subseteq A\rangle have \bigcup\{U\times Star(U,Q).\ U\in Q\}\subseteq A by blast with II show thesis by simp qed ultimately show thesis by auto qed moreover from \langle A\in \Phi\rangle \langle P\in \Theta\rangle \langle\bigcup\{U\times U.\ U\in P\}\subseteq A\rangle have converse(A) \in unfolding AreUniformCovers_def UniformityFromUniCov_def Supersets_def by auto ultimately show id(X) \subseteq A \wedge (\existsB\in\Phi. B O B \subseteq A) \wedge converse(A) \in Φ by simp qed ultimately show \Phi {is a uniformity on} X unfolding IsUniformity_def by simp qed
```

Given a uniformity Φ on X we can create a family of uniform covers by taking the collection of covers P for which there exist an entourage $U \in \Phi$ such that for each $x \in X$, there is an $A \in P$ such that $U(\{x\}) \subseteq A$. The next definition specifies the operation of creating a family of uniform covers from a uniformity.

definition

```
\label{eq:UniCovFromUniformity} \begin{array}{ll} {\tt UniCovFromUniformity}({\tt X},\Phi) \ \equiv \ \{{\tt P}{\in}{\tt Covers}({\tt X}). \ \exists \, {\tt U}{\in}\Phi. \forall \, {\tt x}{\in}{\tt X}. \, \exists \, {\tt A}{\in}{\tt P}. \ {\tt U}(\{{\tt x}\}) \ \subseteq \ {\tt A}\} \end{array}
```

When we convert the quantifiers into unions and intersections in the definition of UniCovFromUniformity we get an alternative definition of the operation that creates a family of uniform covers from a uniformity. Just a curiosity, not used anywhere.

```
lemma UniCovFromUniformityDef: assumes X\neq 0 shows UniCovFromUniformity(X,\Phi) = (\bigcup U \in \Phi. \bigcap x \in X. \{P \in Covers(X). \exists A \in P. U(\{x\}) \subseteq A\}) proof - have \{P \in Covers(X). \exists U \in \Phi. \forall x \in X. \exists A \in P. U(\{x\}) \subseteq A\} = (\bigcup U \in \Phi. \bigcap x \in X. \{P \in Covers(X). \exists A \in P. U(\{x\}) \subseteq A\}) proof \{fix\ P\ assume\ P \in \{P \in Covers(X). \exists U \in \Phi. \forall x \in X. \exists A \in P. U(\{x\}) \subseteq A\} then have P \in Covers(X) and \exists U \in \Phi. \forall x \in X. \exists A \in P. U(\{x\}) \subseteq A by auto then obtain U where U \in \Phi and \forall x \in X. \exists A \in P. U(\{x\}) \subseteq A by auto with assms (P \in Covers(X)) have P \in (\bigcap x \in X. \{P \in Covers(X). \exists A \in P. U(\{x\}) \subseteq A\}) by auto with (U \in \Phi) have P \in (\bigcup U \in \Phi. \bigcap x \in X. \{P \in Covers(X). \exists A \in P. U(\{x\}) \subseteq A\}) by blast
```

```
} then show
      \{ \texttt{P} {\in} \texttt{Covers}(\texttt{X}) \,. \,\, \exists \, \texttt{U} {\in} \Phi \,. \, \forall \, \texttt{x} {\in} \texttt{X} \,. \, \exists \, \texttt{A} {\in} \texttt{P} \,. \,\, \texttt{U}(\{\texttt{x}\}) \,\,\subseteq\,\, \texttt{A} \} \,\,\subseteq\,\,
      (\bigcup U \in \Phi. \bigcap x \in X. \{P \in Covers(X). \exists A \in P. U(\{x\}) \subseteq A\})
      using subset_iff by simp
   { fix P assume P \in (\bigcup U \in \Phi. \bigcap x \in X. \{P \in Covers(X). \exists A \in P. U(\{x\}) \subseteq A\})
      then obtain U where U \in \Phi P \in (\bigcap x \in X. {P\inCovers(X). \existsA\inP. U({x})
\subseteq A
          by auto
      with assms have P \in Covers(X) and \forall x \in X. \exists A \in P. U(\{x\}) \subseteq A by auto
      with \langle U \in \Phi \rangle have P \in \{P \in Covers(X). \exists U \in \Phi. \forall x \in X. \exists A \in P. U(\{x\}) \subseteq A\}
          by auto
   \} then show (\bigcup U \in \Phi . \cap x \in X . \{P \in Covers(X) . \exists A \in P . U(\{x\}) \subseteq A\}) \subseteq A
      \{P \in Covers(X). \exists U \in \Phi. \forall x \in X. \exists A \in P. U(\{x\}) \subseteq A\} \text{ by auto}
   qed
   then show thesis unfolding UniCovFromUniformity_def by simp
qed
If \Phi is a (diagonal) uniformity on X, then covers of the form \{W\{x\}:x\in X\}
are members of UniCovFromUniformity(X, \Phi).
lemma cover_image:
   assumes \Phi {is a uniformity on} X W\in\Phi
   shows \{W\{x\}.\ x\in X\}\in UniCovFromUniformity(X,\Phi)
proof -
   let P = \{W\{x\}. x \in X\}
   have P ∈ Covers(X)
   proof -
      \mathbf{from} \ \mathbf{assms} \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{W} \subseteq \mathtt{X} {\times} \mathtt{X} \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathtt{P} \in \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{X}))
          using unif_props(1) by auto
      moreover have \bigcup P = X
      proof
          from \langle W \subseteq X \times X \rangle show \bigcup P \subseteq X by auto
          from assms show X \subseteq \bigcup P using neigh_not_empty(2) by auto
      qed
      ultimately show thesis unfolding Covers_def by simp
   moreover from assms(2) have \exists W \in \Phi. \forall x \in X. \exists A \in P. W\{x\} \subseteq A
   ultimately show thesis unfolding UniCovFromUniformity_def
      by simp
qed
If \Phi is a (diagonal) uniformity on X, then every two elements of UniCovFromUniformity (X,\Phi)
have a common barycentric refinement.
lemma common_bar_refinemnt:
   assumes
      \Phi {is a uniformity on} X
      \Theta = UniCovFromUniformity(X,\Phi)
      \mathcal{C} \in \Theta \ \mathcal{D} \in \Theta
   shows \exists \mathcal{R} \in \Theta . (\mathcal{R} <^B \mathcal{C}) \land (\mathcal{R} <^B \mathcal{D})
```

```
proof -
   from assms(2,3) obtain U where U \in \Phi and I: \forall x \in X. \exists C \in C. U\{x\} \subseteq C
      unfolding UniCovFromUniformity_def by auto
   from assms(2,4) obtain V where V \in \Phi and II: \forall x \in X. \exists D \in D. V\{x\} \subseteq D
      unfolding UniCovFromUniformity_def by auto
   from assms(1) \langle \mathtt{U} \in \Phi \rangle \langle \mathtt{V} \in \Phi \rangle have \mathtt{U} \cap \mathtt{V} \in \Phi
      unfolding IsUniformity_def IsFilter_def by auto
   with assms(1) obtain W where W \in \Phi and W O W \subseteq U\capV and W=converse(W)
      using half_size_symm by blast
   from assms(1) \langle \mathtt{W} \in \Phi \rangle have domain(W) \subseteq \mathtt{X}
      unfolding IsUniformity_def IsFilter_def by auto
   let P = \{W\{t\}. t \in X\}
   have P \in \Theta P < B C P < B D
   proof -
      from assms(1,2) \langle W \in \Phi \rangle show P \in \Theta using cover_image by simp
      with assms(2) have | | P = X unfolding UniCovFromUniformity_def Covers_def
         by simp
      { fix x assume x \in X
         \mathbf{from} \  \, \langle \mathtt{W} \texttt{=} \mathtt{converse}(\mathtt{W}) \rangle \  \, \langle \mathtt{domain}(\mathtt{W}) \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{X} \rangle \  \, \langle \mathtt{W} \  \, \mathtt{O} \  \, \mathtt{W} \  \, \subseteq \  \, \mathtt{U} \cap \mathtt{V} \rangle
         have Star(\{x\},P) \subseteq U\{x\} and Star(\{x\},P) \subseteq V\{x\}
             using rel_sq_image by auto
         from \langle x{\in}X\rangle I obtain C where C{\in}\mathcal{C} and U\{x\}\subseteq C
             by auto
         with \langle \text{Star}(\{x\},P) \subseteq U\{x\} \rangle \ \langle C \in C \rangle have \exists C \in C. \text{Star}(\{x\},P) \subseteq C
             by auto
         moreover
         from \langle x \in X \rangle II obtain D where D \in \mathcal{D} and V\{x\} \subseteq D
             by auto
         with \langle \text{Star}(\{x\},P) \subseteq V\{x\} \rangle \langle D \in \mathcal{D} \rangle have \exists D \in \mathcal{D}. \text{Star}(\{x\},P) \subseteq D
             by auto
         ultimately have \exists C \in C. Star(\{x\},P) \subseteq C and \exists D \in D. Star(\{x\},P) \subseteq
D
             by auto
      \} hence \forall x \in X. \exists C \in C. Star(\{x\},P) \subseteq C and \forall x \in X. \exists D \in D. Star(\{x\},P)
\subseteq \, \mathtt{D}
      with \langle | P = X \rangle show P <^B C and P <^B D
         unfolding IsBarycentricRefinement_def by auto
   thus thesis by auto
qed
If \Phi is a (diagonal) uniformity on X, then every element of UniCovFromUniformity (X,\Phi)
has a barycentric refinement there.
corollary bar_refinement_ex:
   assumes \Phi {is a uniformity on} X \Theta = UniCovFromUniformity(X,\Phi) \mathcal{C} \in
   shows \exists \mathcal{R} \in \Theta. (\mathcal{R} < \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C})
   using assms common_bar_refinemnt by blast
```

```
If \Phi is a (diagonal) uniformity on X, then UniCovFromUniformity(X,\Phi) is a
family of uniform covers.
theorem unicov_from_uniformity: assumes \Phi {is a uniformity on} X and
X \neq 0
   shows UniCovFromUniformity(X,\Phi) {are uniform covers of} X
proof -
   let \Theta = UniCovFromUniformity(X,\Phi)
   from assms(1) have \Theta \subseteq \mathsf{Covers}(\mathtt{X}) unfolding UniCovFromUniformity_def
       by auto
   moreover
   from assms(1) have \{X\} \in \Theta
       unfolding Covers_def IsUniformity_def IsFilter_def UniCovFromUniformity_def
       by auto
   hence \Theta \neq 0 by auto
   \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ \forall \, \mathcal{R} {\in} \Theta \,.\, \forall \, \mathcal{C} {\in} \mathtt{Covers}(\mathtt{X}) \,. \ ((\mathcal{R} \ {<^*} \ \mathcal{C}) \ \longrightarrow \ \mathcal{C} {\in} \Theta)
   proof -
       { fix \mathcal{R} \mathcal{C} assume \mathcal{R} \in \Theta \mathcal{C} \in \mathsf{Covers}(X) \mathcal{R} <* \mathcal{C}
           have C \in \Theta
           proof -
              from (\mathcal{R} \in \Theta) obtain U where U \in \Phi and I: \forall x \in X . \exists R \in \mathcal{R}. U(\{x\}) \subseteq \Phi
R
                  unfolding UniCovFromUniformity_def by auto
               { fix x assume x \in X
                  with I obtain R where R \in \mathcal{R} and U(\{x\}) \subseteq R by auto
                  \mathbf{from}\ \langle \mathtt{R} \in \mathcal{R} \rangle\ \langle \mathcal{R}\ \boldsymbol{<^*}\ \mathcal{C} \rangle\ \mathbf{obtain}\ \mathtt{C}\ \mathbf{where}\ \mathtt{C} \in \mathcal{C}\ \mathbf{and}\ \mathtt{Star}(\mathtt{R},\mathcal{R})\ \subseteq\ \mathtt{C}
                      unfolding IsStarRefinement_def by auto
                  with \langle U(\{x\}) \subseteq R \rangle \langle R \in \mathcal{R} \rangle have U(\{x\}) \subseteq C
                      using element_subset_star by blast
                  with \langle C \in C \rangle have \exists C \in C. U(\{x\}) \subseteq C by auto
               } hence \forall x \in X. \exists C \in C. U(\{x\}) \subseteq C by auto
              with \langle U \in \Phi \rangle \langle C \in Covers(X) \rangle show thesis unfolding UniCovFromUniformity_def
                  by auto
          qed
       } thus thesis by auto
   qed
   moreover have \forall C \in \Theta . \forall D \in \Theta . \exists R \in \Theta . (R <^* C) \land (R <^* D)
   proof -
       { fix \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} assume \mathcal{C} \in \Theta \mathcal{D} \in \Theta
           with assms(1) obtain P where Pe\Theta and P <^B C P <^B \mathcal D
              using common_bar_refinemnt by blast
           from assms(1) \langle P \in \Theta \rangle obtain \mathcal{R} where \mathcal{R} \in \Theta and \mathcal{R} < \mathcal{P}
              using bar_refinement_ex by blast
           from \langle \mathcal{R} \in \Theta \rangle \langle \mathcal{P} \in \Theta \rangle \langle \mathcal{C} \in \Theta \rangle \langle \mathcal{D} \in \Theta \rangle have
              {\tt P} \,\in\, {\tt Covers(X)} \,\,\, {\cal R} \,\in\, {\tt Covers(X)} \,\,\, {\cal C} \,\in\, {\tt Covers(X)} \,\,\, {\cal D} \,\in\, {\tt Covers(X)}
              unfolding UniCovFromUniformity_def by auto
           with assms(2) \langle \mathcal{R} | \mathsf{C} \rangle \langle \mathsf{P} | \mathsf{C} \rangle \langle \mathsf{P} | \mathsf{C} \rangle \langle \mathsf{P} | \mathsf{C} \rangle have \mathcal{R} <^* \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{R} <^* \mathcal{C}
\mathcal{D}
              using bary_bary_star by auto
```

```
with (\mathcal{R} \in \Theta) have \exists \mathcal{R} \in \Theta . (\mathcal{R} <^* \mathcal{C}) \land (\mathcal{R} <^* \mathcal{D}) by auto
     } thus thesis by simp
  qed
  ultimately show thesis unfolding AreUniformCovers_def by simp
ged
The UniCovFromUniformity operation is the inverse of UniformityFromUniCov.
theorem unicov_from_unif_inv: assumes \Theta {are uniform covers of} X X\neq0
  shows UniCovFromUniformity(X,UniformityFromUniCov(X,\Theta)) = \Theta
proof
  let \Phi = UniformityFromUniCov(X,\Theta)
  let L = UniCovFromUniformity(X, \Phi)
  from assms have I: \Phi {is a uniformity on} X
     using uniformity_from_unicov by simp
   with assms(2) have II: L {are uniform covers of} X
     using unicov_from_uniformity by simp
   \{ \text{ fix P assume P} \in L \}
     with I obtain Q where Q \in L and Q < B P
        using bar_refinement_ex by blast
     \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle Q{\in}L \rangle \ \mathbf{obtain} \ \ U \ \ \mathbf{where} \ \ U{\in}\Phi \ \ \mathbf{and} \ \ \mathbf{III:} \forall \ x{\in}X. \ \exists \ A{\in}Q. \ \ U\{x\} \ \subseteq \ A
        unfolding UniCovFromUniformity_def by auto
     from \langle U \in \Phi \rangle have U \in Supersets(X \times X, \{ | | \{U \times U. U \in P\} \}. P \in \Theta \})
        unfolding UniformityFromUniCov_def by simp
     then obtain B where B\subseteq X\times X B\subseteq U and \exists C\in\{\bigcup\{U\times U.\ U\in P\}.\ P\in\Theta\}.\ C\subseteq B
        unfolding Supersets_def by auto
      then obtain C where C \in \{\bigcup \{U \times U : U \in P\} : P \in \Theta\} and C \subseteq B by auto
      then obtain R where R \in \Theta and C = \bigcup \{V \times V. V \in R\} by auto
      with \langle C \subseteq B \rangle \langle B \subseteq U \rangle have \bigcup \{V \times V. V \in R\} \subseteq U by auto
     from assms(1) II \langle P \in L \rangle \langle Q \in L \rangle \langle R \in \Theta \rangle have
        IV: P∈Covers(X) Q∈Covers(X) R∈Covers(X)
        unfolding AreUniformCovers_def by auto
     have R <^B Q
      proof -
        { fix x assume x \in X
           with III obtain A where A \in Q and U\{x\} \subseteq A by auto
           with (\bigcup \{V \times V. V \in R\} \subseteq U) have (\bigcup \{V \times V. V \in R\})\{x\} \subseteq A
              by auto
           with (A \in \mathbb{Q}) have \exists A \in \mathbb{Q}. Star(\{x\}, R) \subseteq A using star_singleton by
auto
         } then have \forall x \in X. \exists A \in Q. Star(\{x\},R) \subseteq A by simp
        moreover from ⟨R∈Covers(X)⟩ have []R = X unfolding Covers_def
           by simp
        ultimately show thesis unfolding IsBarycentricRefinement_def
           by simp
     ged
      with assms(2) \langle Q \rangle \langle P \rangle IV have R \langle P \rangle using bary_bary_star by simp
      with assms(1) \langle R \in \Theta \rangle \langle P \in Covers(X) \rangle have P \in \Theta
        unfolding AreUniformCovers_def by simp
```

```
\{ \text{ fix P assume P} \in \Theta \}
     with assms(1) have P \in Covers(X)
        unfolding AreUniformCovers_def by auto
     from assms(1) \langle P \in \Theta \rangle obtain Q where Q \in \Theta and Q \langle P \rangle
        using unicov_has_bar_ref by blast
     let A = \bigcup \{V \times V : V \in Q\}
     have A \in \Phi
     proof -
        from assms(1) \langle Q \in \Theta \rangle have A \subseteq X \times X and A \in \{\bigcup \{V \times V. V \in Q\}. Q \in \Theta\}
           unfolding AreUniformCovers_def Covers_def by auto
        then show thesis
           using superset_gen unfolding UniformityFromUniCov_def
           by auto
     qed
     with I obtain B where B \in \Phi B O B \subset A and B=converse(B)
        using half_size_symm by blast
     let R = \{B\{x\}. x \in X\}
     from I II \langle B \in \Phi \rangle have R \in L and | R = X
        using cover_image unfolding UniCovFromUniformity_def Covers_def
        by auto
     have R < B P
     proof -
        { fix x assume x \in X
           from assms(1) \langle Q \in \Theta \rangle have \bigcup Q = X
              unfolding AreUniformCovers_def Covers_def by auto
           with \langle Q \rangle \langle E \rangle \langle x \in X \rangle obtain C where C \in P and Star(\{x\}, Q) \subseteq C
             unfolding IsBarycentricRefinement_def by auto
           from \langle B=converse(B) \rangle I \langle B \in \Phi \rangle have Star(\{x\},R) = (B \cup B)\{x\}
             using uni_domain rel_sq_image by auto
           moreover from \langle (B \ O \ B) \subseteq A \rangle have (B \ O \ B)\{x\} \subseteq A\{x\} by blast
           moreover have A\{x\} = Star(\{x\},Q) using star_singleton by simp
           ultimately have Star(\{x\},R) \subseteq Star(\{x\},Q) by auto
           with \langle \text{Star}(\{x\}, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \rangle \langle \mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{P} \rangle have \exists \mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{P}. \text{Star}(\{x\}, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}
        } with (| |R = X) show thesis unfolding IsBarycentricRefinement_def
           by auto
     with assms(2) II \langle P \in Covers(X) \rangle \langle R \in L \rangle \langle R \in P \rangle have P \in L
         using unicov_bary_cov by simp
  } thus \Theta \subseteq L by auto
qed
The UniformityFromUniCov operation is the inverse of UniCovFromUniformity.
theorem unif_from_unicov_inv: assumes \Phi {is a uniformity on} X X\neq0
  shows UniformityFromUniCov(X,UniCovFromUniformity(X,\Phi)) = \Phi
  let \Theta = UniCovFromUniformity(X,\Phi)
  let L = UniformityFromUniCov(X, \Theta)
```

} thus $L\subseteq\Theta$ by auto

```
from assms have I: \Theta {are uniform covers of} X
     \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{unicov\_from\_uniformity} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{simp}
  with assms have II: L {is a uniformity on} X
     using uniformity_from_unicov by simp
   { fix A assume A \in \Phi
     with assms(1) obtain B where B \in \Phi B O B \subseteq A and B = converse(B)
        using half_size_symm by blast
     from assms(1) (A \in \Phi) have A \subseteq X \times X using uni_domain(1)
        by simp
     let P = \{B\{x\}. x \in X\}
     from assms(1) \langle B \in \Phi \rangle have P \in \Theta using cover_image
        by simp
     let C = \{ \bigcup \{U \times U : U \in P\} \}
     from I \langle P \in \Theta \rangle have C \in L
        unfolding AreUniformCovers_def using basic_unif by blast
     from assms(1) \langle B \in \Phi \rangle \langle B = converse(B) \rangle \langle B \mid 0 \mid B \subseteq A \rangle have C \subseteq A
        using uni_domain(2) symm_sq_prod_image by simp
     with II (A \subseteq X \times X) (C \in L) have A \in L
        unfolding IsUniformity_def IsFilter_def by simp
   \} thus \Phi\subseteq L by auto
   { fix A assume A∈L
     with II have A \subseteq X \times X using unif_props(1) by simp
     from (A \in L) obtain P where P \in \Theta and \bigcup \{U \times U, U \in P\} \subseteq A
        unfolding UniformityFromUniCov_def Supersets_def by blast
     from \langle P \in \Theta \rangle obtain B where B \in \Phi and III: \forall x \in X. \exists V \in P. B\{x\} \subseteq V
        unfolding UniCovFromUniformity_def by auto
     have BCA
     proof -
        from assms(1) \langle B \in \Phi \rangle have B \subseteq \bigcup \{B\{x\} \times B\{x\}, x \in X\}
           using unif_props refl_union_singl_image by simp
        moreover have \bigcup \{B\{x\} \times B\{x\}, x \in X\} \subseteq A
        proof -
            { fix x assume x \in X
              with III obtain V where V \in P and B\{x\} \subseteq V by auto
              hence B\{x\}\times B\{x\}\subseteq \bigcup \{U\times U.\ U\in P\} by auto
           } hence \{ \{B\{x\} \times B\{x\}, x \in X\} \subseteq \{ \{U \times U, U \in P\} \} \} by blast
           with \langle \bigcup \{U \times U. \ U \in P\} \subseteq A \rangle show thesis by blast
        ultimately show thesis by auto
     with assms(1) \langle \mathtt{B} \in \Phi \rangle \langle \mathtt{A} \subseteq \mathtt{X} \times \mathtt{X} \rangle have \mathtt{A} \in \Phi
        unfolding IsUniformity_def IsFilter_def by simp
   } thus L\subseteq\Phi by auto
qed
end
```

77 Topological groups - introduction

theory TopologicalGroup_ZF imports Topology_ZF_3 Group_ZF_1 Semigroup_ZF

begin

This theory is about the first subject of algebraic topology: topological groups.

77.1 Topological group: definition and notation

Topological group is a group that is a topological space at the same time. This means that a topological group is a triple of sets, say (G, f, T) such that T is a topology on G, f is a group operation on G and both f and the operation of taking inverse in G are continuous. Since IsarMathLib defines topology without using the carrier, (see Topology_ZF), in our setup we just use $\bigcup T$ instead of G and say that the pair of sets $(\bigcup T, f)$ is a group. This way our definition of being a topological group is a statement about two sets: the topology T and the group operation f on $G = \bigcup T$. Since the domain of the group operation is $G \times G$, the pair of topologies in which f is supposed to be continuous is T and the product topology on $G \times G$ (which we will call τ below).

This way we arrive at the following definition of a predicate that states that pair of sets is a topological group.

definition

```
\label{eq:IsAtopologicalGroup} IsAtopologicalGroup(T,f) \equiv (T \text{ is a topology}) \land IsAgroup(\bigcup T,f) \land IsContinuous(ProductTopology(T,T),T,f) \land IsContinuous(T,T,GroupInv(\bigcup T,f))
```

We will inherit notation from the topology0 locale. That locale assumes that T is a topology. For convenience we will denote $G = \bigcup T$ and τ to be the product topology on $G \times G$. To that we add some notation specific to groups. We will use additive notation for the group operation, even though we don't assume that the group is abelian. The notation g+A will mean the left translation of the set A by element g, i.e. $g+A=\{g+a|a\in A\}$. The group operation G induces a natural operation on the subsets of G defined as $\langle A,B\rangle\mapsto \{x+y|x\in A,y\in B\}$. Such operation has been considered in func_ZF and called f "lifted to subsets of" G. We will denote the value of such operation on sets A,B as A+B. The set of neigboorhoods of zero (denoted \mathcal{N}_0) is the collection of (not necessarily open) sets whose interior contains the neutral element of the group.

```
locale topgroup = topology0 +
fixes G
defines G_def [simp]: G = []T
```

```
fixes prodtop (\tau)
defines prodtop_def [simp]: \tau \equiv \text{ProductTopology}(T,T)
fixes f
assumes Ggroup: IsAgroup(G,f)
assumes fcon: IsContinuous(\tau,T,f)
assumes inv_cont: IsContinuous(T,T,GroupInv(G,f))
fixes grop (infixl + 90)
defines grop_def [simp]: x+y \equiv f(x,y)
fixes grinv (- _ 89)
defines grinv_def [simp]: (-x) \equiv GroupInv(G,f)(x)
fixes grsub (infixl - 90)
defines grsub_def [simp]: x-y \equiv x+(-y)
fixes setinv (- _ 72)
defines setninv_def [simp]: -A \equiv GroupInv(G,f)(A)
fixes ltrans (infix + 73)
defines ltrans_def [simp]: x + A \equiv LeftTranslation(G,f,x)(A)
fixes rtrans (infix + 73)
defines rtrans_def [simp]: A + x \equiv RightTranslation(G,f,x)(A)
fixes setadd (infixl + 71)
defines setadd_def [simp]: A+B \equiv (f {lifted to subsets of} G)\langleA,B\rangle
fixes gzero (0)
defines gzero_def [simp]: 0 \equiv \text{TheNeutralElement}(G,f)
fixes zerohoods (\mathcal{N}_0)
\textbf{defines zerohoods\_def [simp]: } \mathcal{N}_0 \, \equiv \, \{ \texttt{A} \, \in \, \texttt{Pow(G). } \textbf{0} \, \in \, \texttt{int(A)} \}
fixes listsum (\sum _ 70) defines listsum_def[simp]: \sumk \equiv Fold1(f,k)
```

The first lemma states that we indeed talk about topological group in the context of topgroup locale.

```
lemma (in topgroup) topGroup: shows IsAtopologicalGroup(T,f)
  using topSpaceAssum Ggroup fcon inv_cont IsAtopologicalGroup_def
  by simp
```

If a pair of sets (T, f) forms a topological group, then all theorems proven

```
in the topgroup context are valid as applied to (T, f).
lemma topGroupLocale: assumes IsAtopologicalGroup(T,f)
  shows topgroup(T,f)
  using assms IsAtopologicalGroup_def topgroup_def
    topgroup_axioms.intro topology0_def by simp
We can use the group locale in the context of topgroup.
lemma (in topgroup) group0_valid_in_tgroup: shows group0(G,f)
  using Ggroup group0_def by simp
We can use the group locale in the context of topgroup.
sublocale topgroup < group0 G f gzero grop grinv
    unfolding group0_def gzero_def grop_def grinv_def using Ggroup by
auto
We can use semigro locale in the context of topgroup.
lemma (in topgroup) semigr0_valid_in_tgroup: shows semigr0(G,f)
  using Ggroup IsAgroup_def IsAmonoid_def semigr0_def by simp
We can use the prod_top_spaces0 locale in the context of topgroup.
lemma (in topgroup) prod_top_spaces0_valid: shows prod_top_spaces0(T,T,T)
  using topSpaceAssum prod_top_spaces0_def by simp
Negative of a group element is in group.
lemma (in topgroup) neg_in_tgroup: assumes g \in G shows (-g) \in G
  using assms inverse_in_group by simp
Sum of two group elements is in the group.
lemma \ (in \ topgroup) \ group\_op\_closed\_add\colon \ assumes \ x_1 \in \tt G \ x_2 \in \tt G
  shows x_1+x_2 \in G
  using assms group_op_closed by simp
Zero is in the group.
lemma (in topgroup) zero_in_tgroup: shows 0 \in G
  using group0_2_L2 by simp
Another lemma about canceling with two group elements written in additive
notation
lemma (in topgroup) inv_cancel_two_add:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathtt{x}_1\ \in\ \mathtt{G}\quad \mathtt{x}_2\ \in\ \mathtt{G}
  shows
    x_1 + (-x_2) + x_2 = x_1
    x_1 + x_2 + (-x_2) = x_1
    (-x_1)+(x_1+x_2) = x_2
    x_1 + ((-x_1) + x_2) = x_2
  using assms inv_cancel_two by auto
```

Useful identities proven in the Group_ZF theory, rewritten here in additive notation. Note since the group operation notation is left associative we don't really need the first set of parentheses in some cases.

```
\textbf{lemma (in topgroup) cancel\_middle\_add: assumes} \ x_1 \in \texttt{G} \quad x_2 \in \texttt{G} \quad x_3 \in \texttt{G}
  shows
     (x_1+(-x_2))+(x_2+(-x_3)) = x_1+(-x_3)
     ((-x_1)+x_2)+((-x_2)+x_3) = (-x_1)+x_3
     (-(x_1+x_2)) + (x_1+x_3) = (-x_2)+x_3
     (x_1+x_2) + (-(x_3+x_2)) = x_1 + (-x_3)
     (-x_1) + (x_1+x_2+x_3) + (-x_3) = x_2
proof -
  from assms have x_1 + (-x_3) = (x_1 + (-x_2)) + (x_2 + (-x_3))
     using group0_2_L14A(1) by blast
  thus (x_1+(-x_2))+(x_2+(-x_3)) = x_1+(-x_3) by simp
  from assms have (-x_1)+x_3 = ((-x_1)+x_2)+((-x_2)+x_3)
     using group0_2_L14A(2) by blast
  thus ((-x_1)+x_2)+((-x_2)+x_3) = (-x_1)+x_3 by simp
  from assms show (-(x_1+x_2)) + (x_1+x_3) = (-x_2)+x_3
     using cancel_middle(1) by simp
  from assms show (x_1+x_2) + (-(x_3+x_2)) = x_1 + (-x_3)
     using cancel_middle(2) by simp
  from assms show (-x_1) + (x_1+x_2+x_3) + (-x_3) = x_2
     using cancel_middle(3) by simp
qed
We can cancel an element on the right from both sides of an equation.
lemma (in topgroup) cancel_right_add:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathtt{x}_1 \ \in \ \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{x}_2 \ \in \ \mathtt{G} \quad \mathtt{x}_3 \ \in \ \mathtt{G} \ \mathtt{x}_1 \text{+} \mathtt{x}_2 \ = \ \mathtt{x}_3 \text{+} \mathtt{x}_2
  shows x_1 = x_3
  using assms cancel_right by simp
We can cancel an element on the left from both sides of an equation.
lemma (in topgroup) cancel_left_add:
  assumes x_1 \in G x_2 \in G x_3 \in G x_1+x_2 = x_1+x_3
  shows x_2 = x_3
  using assms cancel_left by simp
We can put an element on the other side of an equation.
lemma (in topgroup) put_on_the_other_side:
  \mathbf{assumes} \  \, \mathtt{x}_1 \ \in \  \, \mathtt{G} \quad \, \mathtt{x}_2 \ \in \  \, \mathtt{G} \  \, \mathtt{x}_3 \ = \  \, \mathtt{x}_1 \text{+} \mathtt{x}_2
  shows x_3+(-x_2) = x_1 and (-x_1)+x_3 = x_2
  using assms group0_2_L18 by auto
A simple equation from lemma simple_equation0 in Group_ZF in additive
notation
lemma (in topgroup) simple_equation0_add:
  assumes x_1 \in G x_2 \in G x_3 \in G x_1+(-x_2) = (-x_3)
```

```
shows x_3 = x_2 + (-x_1) using assms simple_equation0 by blast
```

A simple equation from lemma simple_equation1 in Group_ZF in additive notation

```
lemma (in topgroup) simple_equation1_add: assumes x_1 \in G x_2 \in G x_3 \in G (-x_1)+x_2 = (-x_3) shows x_3 = (-x_2) + x_1 using assms simple_equation1 by blast
```

The set comprehension form of negative of a set. The proof uses the ginv_image lemma from Group_ZF theory which states the same thing in multiplicative notation.

```
lemma (in topgroup) ginv_image_add: assumes V\subseteq G shows (-V)\subseteq G and (-V) = \{-x. x \in V\} using assms ginv_image by auto
```

The additive notation version of ginv_image_el lemma from Group_ZF theory

```
lemma (in topgroup) ginv_image_el_add: assumes V \subseteq G \ x \in (-V) shows (-x) \in V using assms ginv_image_el by simp
```

Of course the product topology is a topology (on $G \times G$).

```
lemma (in topgroup) prod_top_on_G:
shows \tau {is a topology} and \bigcup \tau = G \times G
using topSpaceAssum Top_1_4_T1 by auto
```

Let's recall that f is a binary operation on G in this context.

```
lemma (in topgroup) topgroup_f_binop: shows f : G \times G \to G using G group G group0_def group0.group_oper_fun by simp
```

A subgroup of a topological group is a topological group with relative topology and restricted operation. Relative topology is the same as T {restricted to} H which is defined to be $\{V \cap H : V \in T\}$ in ZF1 theory.

```
lemma (in topgroup) top_subgroup: assumes A1: IsAsubgroup(H,f) shows IsAtopologicalGroup(T {restricted to} H,restrict(f,H×H)) proof - let \tau_0 = T {restricted to} H let f_H = restrict(f,H×H) have \bigcup \tau_0 = G \cap H using union_restrict by simp also from A1 have ... = H using group0_3_L2 by blast finally have \bigcup \tau_0 = H by simp have \tau_0 {is a topology} using Top_1_L4 by simp moreover from A1 \langle\bigcup \tau_0 = H\rangle have IsAgroup(\bigcup \tau_0,f_H) using IsAsubgroup_def by simp moreover have IsContinuous(ProductTopology(\tau_0,\tau_0),\tau_0,f_H)
```

```
proof -
    have two_top_spaces0(\tau, T,f)
      using topSpaceAssum prod_top_on_G topgroup_f_binop prod_top_on_G
 two_top_spaces0_def by simp
    moreover
    from A1 have H \subseteq G using group0_3_L2 by simp
    then have \mathtt{H} \times \mathtt{H} \subseteq \bigcup \tau using \mathtt{prod\_top\_on\_G} by auto
    moreover have IsContinuous(\tau,T,f) using fcon by simp
    ultimately have
      IsContinuous(\tau {restricted to} H×H, T {restricted to} f_H(H×H),f_H)
using two_top_spaces0.restr_restr_image_cont
      by simp
    moreover have
      ProductTopology(\tau_0, \tau_0) = \tau {restricted to} H×H using topSpaceAssum
prod_top_restr_comm
      by simp
    moreover from A1 have f_H(H \times H) = H \text{ using image\_subgr\_op}
      by simp
    ultimately show thesis by simp
  moreover have IsContinuous(\tau_0, \tau_0, GroupInv(\bigcup \tau_0, f_H))
  proof -
    let g = restrict(GroupInv(G,f),H)
    have GroupInv(G,f) : G \rightarrow G
      using Ggroup group0_2_T2 by simp
    then have two_top_spacesO(T,T,GroupInv(G,f))
      using topSpaceAssum two_top_spacesO_def by simp
    moreover from A1 have H \subseteq \bigcup T using group0_3_L2 by simp
    ultimately have
      IsContinuous(\tau_0,T {restricted to} g(H),g)
      using inv_cont two_top_spaces0.restr_restr_image_cont
      by simp
    moreover from A1 have g(H) = H using restr_inv_onto by simp
    moreover
    from A1 have GroupInv(H, f_H) = g using group0_3_T1 by simp
    with \langle \bigcup \tau_0 = \mathbb{H} \rangle have g = GroupInv(\bigcup \tau_0, f_H) by simp
    ultimately show thesis by simp
  ultimately show thesis unfolding IsAtopologicalGroup_def by simp
qed
```

77.2 Interval arithmetic, translations and inverse of set

In this section we list some properties of operations of translating a set and reflecting it around the neutral element of the group. Many of the results are proven in other theories, here we just collect them and rewrite in notation specific to the topgroup context.

Different ways of looking at adding sets.

```
lemma (in topgroup) interval_add: assumes A\subseteq G B\subseteq G shows
  \texttt{A+B} \ \subseteq \ \texttt{G}
  A+B = f(A \times B)
  A+B = (\bigcup x \in A. x+B)
  A+B = \{x+y. \langle x,y \rangle \in A \times B\}
proof -
  from assms show A+B \subseteq G and A+B = f(A\timesB) and A+B = {x+y. \langle x,y \rangle \in
A \times B
     using topgroup_f_binop lift_subsets_explained by auto
  from assms show A+B = (\bigcup x \in A. x+B) using image_ltrans_union by simp
qed
If the neutral element is in a set, then it is in the sum of the sets.
lemma (in topgroup) interval_add_zero: assumes A\subseteqG 0\inA
  shows 0 \in A+A
proof -
  from assms have 0+0 \in A+A using interval_add(4) by auto
  then show 0 \in A+A using group0_2_L2 by auto
Some lemmas from Group_ZF_1 about images of set by translations written
in additive notation
lemma (in topgroup) lrtrans_image: assumes V \subseteq G x \in G
  shows
    x+V = \{x+v. v \in V\}
     V+x = \{v+x. v \in V\}
  using assms ltrans_image rtrans_image by auto
Right and left translations of a set are subsets of the group. This is of course
typically applied to the subsets of the group, but formally we don't need to
assume that.
lemma (in topgroup) lrtrans_in_group_add: assumes x \in G
  shows x+V \subseteq G and V+x \subseteq G
  using assms lrtrans_in_group by auto
A corollary from interval_add
corollary (in topgroup) elements_in_set_sum: assumes AGG BGG
  t \in A+B \text{ shows } \exists s \in A. \exists q \in B. t=s+q
  using assms interval_add(4) by auto
A corollary from lrtrans_image
corollary (in topgroup) elements_in_ltrans:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathtt{B} {\subseteq} \mathtt{G}\ \mathtt{g} {\in} \mathtt{G}\ \mathtt{t} \ \in \ \mathtt{g+B}
  shows \exists q \in B. t=g+q
  using assms lrtrans_image(1) by simp
Another corollary of lrtrans_image
corollary (in topgroup) elements_in_rtrans:
```

```
assumes B\subseteq G g\in G t\in B+g shows \exists q\in B. t=q+g
  using assms lrtrans_image(2) by simp
Another corollary from interval_add
corollary (in topgroup) elements_in_set_sum_inv:
  assumes A\subseteq G B\subseteq G t=s+q s\in A q\in B
  shows t \in A+B
  using assms interval_add by auto
Another corollary of lrtrans_image
corollary (in topgroup) elements_in_ltrans_inv: assumes B⊆G g∈G q∈B t=g+q
  shows t \in g+B
  using assms lrtrans_image(1) by auto
Another corollary of rtrans_image_add
lemma (in topgroup) elements_in_rtrans_inv:
  assumes B\subseteq G g\in G q\in B t=q+g
  shows t \in B+g
  using assms lrtrans_image(2) by auto
Right and left translations are continuous.
lemma (in topgroup) trans_cont: assumes g∈G shows
  IsContinuous(T,T,RightTranslation(G,f,g)) and
  IsContinuous(T,T,LeftTranslation(G,f,g))
using assms trans_eq_section topgroup_f_binop fcon prod_top_spaces0_valid
  prod_top_spaces0.fix_1st_var_cont prod_top_spaces0.fix_2nd_var_cont
  by auto
Left and right translations of an open set are open.
lemma (in topgroup) open_tr_open: assumes g \in G and V \in T
  shows g+V \in T and V+g \in T
  using assms neg_in_tgroup trans_cont IsContinuous_def trans_image_vimage
by auto
Right and left translations are homeomorphisms.
lemma (in topgroup) tr_homeo: assumes g∈G shows
  IsAhomeomorphism(T,T,RightTranslation(G,f,g)) and
  IsAhomeomorphism(T,T,LeftTranslation(G,f,g))
  using assms trans_bij trans_cont open_tr_open bij_cont_open_homeo
  by auto
Left translations preserve interior.
lemma (in topgroup) ltrans_interior: assumes A1: g \in G and A2: A \subseteq G
  shows g + int(A) = int(g+A)
proof -
  from assms have A \subseteq \bigcup T and IsAhomeomorphism(T,T,LeftTranslation(G,f,g))
using tr_homeo
```

```
by auto
  then show thesis using int_top_invariant by simp
qed
Right translations preserve interior.
lemma (in topgroup) rtrans_interior: assumes A1: g \in G and A2: A \subseteq G
 shows int(A) + g = int(A+g)
proof -
 from assms have A \subseteq \bigcup T and IsAhomeomorphism(T,T,RightTranslation(G,f,g))
using tr_homeo
    by auto
  then show thesis using int_top_invariant by simp
Translating by an inverse and then by an element cancels out.
lemma (in topgroup) trans_inverse_elem: assumes g \in G and A \subseteq G
 shows g+((-g)+A) = A
  using assms neg_in_tgroup trans_comp_image group0_2_L6 trans_neutral
image_id_same
 by simp
Inverse of an open set is open.
lemma (in topgroup) open_inv_open: assumes V \in T shows (-V) \in T
  using assms inv_image_vimage inv_cont IsContinuous_def by simp
Inverse is a homeomorphism.
lemma (in topgroup) inv_homeo: shows IsAhomeomorphism(T,T,GroupInv(G,f))
  using group_inv_bij inv_cont open_inv_open bij_cont_open_homeo by simp
Taking negative preserves interior.
lemma (in topgroup) int_inv_inv_int: assumes A ⊆ G
 shows int(-A) = -(int(A))
  using assms inv_homeo int_top_invariant by simp
```

77.3 Neighborhoods of zero

Zero neighborhoods are (not necessarily open) sets whose interior contains the neutral element of the group. In the topgroup locale the collection of neighborhoods of zero is denoted \mathcal{N}_0 .

The whole space is a neighborhood of zero.

```
lemma (in topgroup) zneigh_not_empty: shows G \in \mathcal{N}_0 using topSpaceAssum IsATopology_def Top_2_L3 zero_in_tgroup by simp
```

Any element that belongs to a subset of the group belongs to that subset with the interior of a neighborhood of zero added.

```
lemma (in topgroup) elem_in_int_sad: assumes A\subseteqG g\inA H \in \mathcal{N}_0
  shows g \in A+int(H)
proof -
  from assms(3) have 0 \in int(H) and int(H) \subseteq G using Top_2_L2 by auto
  with assms(1,2) have g+0 \in A+int(H) using elements_in_set_sum_inv
  with assms(1,2) show thesis using group0_2_L2 by auto
Any element belongs to the interior of any neighboorhood of zero left trans-
lated by that element.
lemma (in topgroup) elem_in_int_ltrans:
  assumes g \in G and H \in \mathcal{N}_0
  shows g \in int(g+H) and g \in int(g+H) + int(H)
proof -
  from assms(2) have 0 \in int(H) and int(H) \subseteq G using Top_2_L2 by auto
  with assms(1) have g \in g + int(H) using neut_trans_elem by simp
  with assms show g \in int(g+H) using ltrans_interior by simp
  from assms(1) have int(g+H) \subseteq G using lrtrans_in_group_add(1) Top_2_L1
    by blast
  with \langle g \in int(g+H) \rangle assms(2) show g \in int(g+H) + int(H)
    using elem_in_int_sad by simp
qed
Any element belongs to the interior of any neighboorhood of zero right
translated by that element.
lemma (in topgroup) elem_in_int_rtrans:
  assumes A1: g \in G and A2: H \in \mathcal{N}_0
  shows g \in int(H+g) and g \in int(H+g) + int(H)
proof -
  from A2 have 0 \in int(H) and int(H) \subseteq G using Top_2_L2 by auto
  with A1 have g ∈ int(H) + g using neut_trans_elem by simp
  with assms show g \in int(H+g) using rtrans_interior by simp
  from assms(1) have int(H+g) \subseteq G using lrtrans_in_group_add(2) Top_2_L1
    by blast
  with \langle g \in int(H+g) \rangle assms(2) show g \in int(H+g) + int(H)
    using elem_in_int_sad by simp
qed
Negative of a neighborhood of zero is a neighborhood of zero.
lemma (in topgroup) neg_neigh_neigh: assumes \mathtt{H} \in \mathcal{N}_0
  shows (-H) \in \mathcal{N}_0
proof -
  from assms have int(H) \subseteq G and 0 \in int(H) using Top_2_L1 by auto
  with assms have 0 \in int(-H) using neut_inv_neut int_inv_int by
simp
  moreover
  have GroupInv(G,f):G \rightarrow G using Ggroup group0_2_T2 by simp
```

```
then have (-H) \subseteq G using func1_1_L6 by simp ultimately show thesis by simp qed
```

Left translating an open set by a negative of a point that belongs to it makes it a neighboorhood of zero.

```
lemma (in topgroup) open_trans_neigh: assumes A1: U \in T and g \in U shows (-g)+U \in \mathcal{N}_0 proof - let H = (-g)+U from assms have g \in G by auto then have (-g) \in G using neg_in_tgroup by simp with A1 have H \in T using open_tr_open by simp hence H \subseteq G by auto moreover have 0 \in \text{int}(H) proof - from assms have U \subseteq G and g \in U by auto with (H \in T) show 0 \in \text{int}(H) using elem_trans_neut Top_2_L3 by auto qed ultimately show thesis by simp qed
```

Right translating an open set by a negative of a point that belongs to it makes it a neighboorhood of zero.

```
lemma (in topgroup) open_trans_neigh_2: assumes A1: U\in T and g\in U shows U+(-g) \in \mathcal{N}_0 proof - let H = U+(-g) from assms have geG by auto then have (-g) \in G using neg_in_tgroup by simp with A1 have HeT using open_tr_open by simp hence H \subseteq G by auto moreover have 0 \in int(H) proof - from assms have U\subseteqG and geU by auto with (HeT) show 0 \in int(H) using elem_trans_neut Top_2_L3 by auto qed ultimately show thesis by simp qed
```

Right and left translating an neighboorhood of zero by a point and its negative makes it back a neighboorhood of zero.

```
lemma (in topgroup) lrtrans_neigh: assumes \mathbb{W} \in \mathcal{N}_0 and \mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{G} shows \mathbb{X} + (\mathbb{W} + (-\mathbb{X})) \in \mathcal{N}_0 and (\mathbb{X} + \mathbb{W}) + (-\mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{N}_0 proof - from assms(2) have \mathbb{X} + (\mathbb{W} + (-\mathbb{X})) \subseteq \mathbb{G} using lrtrans_in_group_add(1) by simp moreover have 0 \in \operatorname{int}(\mathbb{X} + (\mathbb{W} + (-\mathbb{X})))
```

```
proof -
    from assms(2) have int(W+(-x)) \subseteq G
      using neg_in_tgroup lrtrans_in_group_add(2) Top_2_L1 by blast
    with assms(2) have (x+int((W+(-x)))) = \{x+y, y \in int(W+(-x))\}
      using lrtrans_image(1) by simp
    moreover from assms have (-x) \in int(W+(-x))
      using neg_in_tgroup elem_in_int_rtrans(1) by simp
    ultimately have x+(-x) \in x+int(W+(-x)) by auto
    with assms show thesis using group0_2_L6 neg_in_tgroup lrtrans_in_group_add(2)
ltrans_interior
      by simp
  ultimately show x+(W+(-x)) \in \mathcal{N}_0 by simp
  from assms(2) have (x+W)+(-x) \subseteq G using lrtrans_in_group_add(2) neg_in_tgroup
    by simp
  moreover have 0 \in int((x+W)+(-x))
  proof -
    from assms(2) have int((x+W)) ⊆ G using lrtrans_in_group_add(1) Top_2_L1
by blast
    with assms(2) have int(x+W) + (-x) = \{y+(-x).y \in int(x+W)\}
      using neg_in_tgroup lrtrans_image(2) by simp
    moreover from assms have x \in int(x+W) using elem_in_int_ltrans(1)
by simp
    ultimately have x+(-x) \in int(x+W) + (-x) by auto
    with assms(2) have 0 \in int(x+W) + (-x) using group0_2_L6 by simp
    with assms show thesis using group0_2_L6 neg_in_tgroup lrtrans_in_group_add(1)
rtrans_interior
      by auto
  qed
  ultimately show (x+W)+(-x) \in \mathcal{N}_0 by simp
If A is a subset of B translated by -x then its translation by x is a subset
of B.
lemma (in topgroup) trans_subset:
  assumes A \subseteq ((-x)+B)x \in G B \subseteq G
  shows x+A \subseteq B
proof-
  from assms(1) have x+A \subseteq (x+((-x)+B)) by auto
  with assms(2,3) show x+A \subseteq B
    using neg_in_tgroup trans_comp_image group0_2_L6 trans_neutral image_id_same
by simp
qed
Every neighborhood of zero has a symmetric subset that is a neighborhood
theorem (in topgroup) exists_sym_zerohood:
  assumes U \in \mathcal{N}_0
```

```
shows \exists V \in \mathcal{N}_0. (V \subseteq U \land (-V) = V)
proof
  let V = U \cap (-U)
  have UCG using assms unfolding zerohoods_def by auto
  then have VCG by auto
  have invg: GroupInv(G, f) \in G \rightarrow G using group0_2_T2 Ggroup by auto
  have invb:GroupInv(G, f) \int bij(G,G) using group_inv_bij(2) by auto
  have (-V)=GroupInv(G,f)-V unfolding setninv_def using inv_image_vimage
    by auto
  also have ...=(GroupInv(G,f)-U)∩(GroupInv(G,f)-(-U)) using invim_inter_inter_invim
invg
    by auto
  also have \dots = (-U) \cap (GroupInv(G,f) - (GroupInv(G,f)U))
    unfolding setninv_def using inv_image_vimage by auto
  also from ⟨U⊂G⟩ have ...=(-U)∩U using inj_vimage_image invb unfolding
bij_def
    by auto
  finally have (-V)=V by auto
  then show V \subseteq U \land (-V) = V by auto
  from assms have (-U)\in \mathcal{N}_0 using neg_neigh_neigh by auto
  with assms have 0 \in int(U) \cap int(-U) unfolding zerohoods_def by auto
  moreover have int(U)∩int(-U) = int(V) using int_inter_int by simp
  ultimately have 0 \in int(V) by (rule set_mem_eq)
  with \langle V \subseteq G \rangle show V \in \mathcal{N}_0 using zerohoods_def by auto
qed
We can say even more than in exists_sym_zerohood: every neighborhood of
zero U has a symmetric subset that is a neighborhood of zero and its set
double is contained in U.
theorem (in topgroup) exists_procls_zerohood:
  assumes U \in \mathcal{N}_0
  shows \exists V \in \mathcal{N}_0. (V \subseteq U \land (V+V) \subseteq U \land (-V)=V)
proof-
  have int(U) \in T using Top_2_L2 by auto
  then have f\text{-(int(U))} \in \tau using fcon IsContinuous_def by auto
  moreover have fne:f \langle 0, 0 \rangle = 0 using group0_2_L2 by auto
  moreover
  have 0 \in \text{int}(U) using assms unfolding zerohoods_def by auto
  then have f - {0}Cf-(int(U)) using func1_1_L8 vimage_def by auto
  then have GroupInv(G,f)Cf-(int(U)) using group0_2_T3 by auto
  then have (0,0) \in f-(int(U)) using fne zero_in_tgroup unfolding GroupInv_def
    by auto
  ultimately obtain W V where
    wop:W \in T and vop:V \in T and cartsub:W \times V \subseteq f - (int(U)) and zerhood:\langle 0, 0 \rangle \in W \times V
    using prod_top_point_neighb topSpaceAssum
    unfolding prodtop_def by force
  then have 0 \in \mathbb{V} and 0 \in \mathbb{V} by auto
```

```
then have 0 \in \mathbb{W} \cap \mathbb{V} by auto
  have sub:W\cap V\subseteq G using wop vop G_{def} by auto
  have assoc:f \in G \times G \rightarrow G using group_oper_fun by auto
      fix t s assume t \in W \cap V and s \in W \cap V
      then have t \in W and s \in V by auto
      then have \langle \texttt{t,s} \rangle {\in} \texttt{W}{\times} \texttt{V} by auto
      then have \langle t,s \rangle \in f-(int(U)) using cartsub by auto
      then have f(t,s)\in int(U) using func1_1_L15 assoc by auto
   } hence \{f(t,s). (t,s) \in (W \cap V) \times (W \cap V)\} \subseteq int(U) by auto
   then have (W \cap V) + (W \cap V) \subseteq int(U)
      unfolding setadd_def using lift_subsets_explained(4) assoc sub
      by auto
  then have (W \cap V) + (W \cap V) \subseteq U using Top_2_L1 by auto
  from topSpaceAssum have W∩V∈T using vop wop unfolding IsATopology_def
by auto
   then have int(W \cap V) = W \cap V using Top_2_L3 by auto
   with sub \langle 0 \in W \cap V \rangle have W \cap V \in \mathcal{N}_0 unfolding zerohoods_def by auto
  then obtain Q where Q \in \mathcal{N}_0 and Q \subseteq W \cap V and (-Q) = Q using exists_sym_zerohood
by blast
   then have \mathbb{Q} {\times} \mathbb{Q} {\subseteq} (\mathbb{W} {\cap} \mathbb{V}) {\times} (\mathbb{W} {\cap} \mathbb{V}) by auto
   moreover from \langle Q \subseteq W \cap V \rangle have W \cap V \subseteq G and Q \subseteq G using vop wop unfolding
G_def by auto
   ultimately have Q+Q\subseteq (W\cap V)+(W\cap V) using interval_add(2) func1_1_L8 by
   with \langle (W \cap V) + (W \cap V) \subseteq U \rangle have Q + Q \subseteq U by auto
   from \langle \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle have 0 \in \mathbb{Q} unfolding zerohoods_def using Top_2_L1 by auto
   with (Q+Q\subseteq U) (Q\subseteq G) have 0+Q\subseteq U using interval_add(3) by auto
   with (Q\subseteq G) have Q\subseteq U unfolding ltrans_def gzero_def using trans_neutral(2)
image_id_same
      by auto
   with \langle Q \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle \langle Q + Q \subseteq U \rangle \langle (-Q) = Q \rangle show thesis by auto
qed
```

77.4 Closure in topological groups

This section is devoted to a characterization of closure in topological groups.

Closure of a set is contained in the sum of the set and any neighboorhood of zero.

```
lemma (in topgroup) cl_contains_zneigh: assumes A1: A\subseteqG and A2: H \in \mathcal{N}_0 shows cl(A) \subseteq A+H proof fix x assume x \in cl(A) \subseteq G using Top_3_L11 by simp with \langle x \in cl(A)\rangle have x\inG by auto have int(H) \subseteq G using Top_2_L2 by auto let V = int(x + (-H))
```

```
proof -
     from A2 \langle x \in G \rangle have V = x + int(-H)
        using neg_neigh_neigh ltrans_interior by simp
     with A2 show thesis using int_inv_inv_int by simp
  ged
  have A \cap V \neq 0
  proof -
     from A2 \langle x \in G \rangle \langle x \in cl(A) \rangle have V \in T and x \in cl(A) \cap V
        using neg_neigh_neigh elem_in_int_ltrans(1) Top_2_L2 by auto
     with A1 show A\capV \neq 0 using cl_inter_neigh by simp
  then obtain y where y \in A and y \in V by auto
  with \langle V = x + (-int(H)) \rangle \langle int(H) \subseteq G \rangle \langle x \in G \rangle have x \in y + int(H)
     using ltrans_inv_in by simp
  with \langle y \in A \rangle have x \in ([]y \in A. y + H) using Top_2_L1 func1_1_L8 by auto
  with assms show x \in A+H using interval_add(3) by simp
qed
The next theorem provides a characterization of closure in topological groups
in terms of neighborhoods of zero.
theorem (in topgroup) cl_topgroup:
  assumes A\subseteq G shows cl(A) = (\bigcap H \in \mathcal{N}_0. A+H)
proof
  from assms show cl(A) \subseteq (\bigcap H\in\mathcal{N}_0. A+H)
     using zneigh_not_empty cl_contains_zneigh by auto
next
   \{ \text{ fix x assume x} \in (\bigcap H \in \mathcal{N}_0. A+H) \}
     then have x \in A+G using zneigh_not_empty by auto
     with assms have x \in G using interval_add by blast
     have \forall U \in T. x \in U \longrightarrow U \cap A \neq 0
     proof -
        { fix U assume U \in T and x \in U
          let H = -((-x)+U)
          from \langle U \in T \rangle and \langle x \in U \rangle have (-x) + U \subseteq G and H \in \mathcal{N}_0
             using open_trans_neigh neg_neigh_neigh by auto
          with \langle x \in (\bigcap H \in \mathcal{N}_0. A+H) \rangle have x \in A+H by auto
          with assms {\tt \langle H} \in \mathcal{N}_0{\tt \rangle} obtain y where y=A and x \in y+H
             using interval_add(3) by auto
          have y \in U
          proof -
             from assms \langle y \in A \rangle have y \in G by auto
             with \langle (-x) + U \subset G \rangle and \langle x \in y + H \rangle have y \in x + ((-x) + U)
                using ltrans_inv_in by simp
             with \langle U \in T \rangle \langle x \in G \rangle show y \in U
                using neg_in_tgroup trans_comp_image group0_2_L6 trans_neutral
image_id_same
                  by auto
          qed
```

have V = x + (-int(H))

77.5 Sums of sequences of elements and subsets

In this section we consider properties of the function $G^n \to G$, $x = (x_0, x_1, ..., x_{n-1}) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i$. We will model the cartesian product G^n by the space of sequences $n \to G$, where $n = \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ is a natural number. This space is equipped with a natural product topology defined in Topology_ZF_3.

Let's recall first that the sum of elements of a group is an element of the group.

In this context x+y is the same as the value of the group operation on the elements x and y. Normally we shouldn't need to state this a s separate lemma.

```
lemma (in topgroup) grop_def1: shows f(x,y) = x+y by simp
```

Another theorem from Semigroup_ZF theory that is useful to have in the additive notation.

```
lemma (in topgroup) shorter_set_add:
    assumes n \in \text{nat} and x: \text{succ}(\text{succ}(n)) \to G
    shows (\sum x) = (\sum \text{Init}(x)) + (x(\text{succ}(n)))

proof -
    from assms have semigrO(G,f) and n \in \text{nat} x: \text{succ}(\text{succ}(n)) \to G
    using semigrO_valid_in_tgroup by auto
    then have Fold1(f,x) = f\langle \text{Fold1}(f,\text{Init}(x)), x(\text{succ}(n)) \rangle
    by (rule semigrO.shorter_seq)
    thus thesis by simp

qed

Sum is a continuous function in the product topology.

theorem (in topgroup) sum_continuous: assumes n \in \text{nat}
```

 $\mathbf{shows} \ \, \mathbf{IsContinuous}(\mathbf{SeqProductTopology}(\mathbf{succ(n)}\,,\mathbf{T})\,,\mathbf{T},\{\langle\mathtt{x}\,,\,\sum\mathtt{x}\rangle\,.\,\mathtt{x}\in\mathbf{succ(n)}\,\rightarrow\mathbf{G}\})$

```
proof -
      \mathbf{note} \ \langle \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{nat} \rangle
      moreover have IsContinuous(SeqProductTopology(succ(0),T),T,\{\langle x, \sum x \rangle.x \in succ(0) \rightarrow G\})
         have \{\langle x, \sum x \rangle . x \in succ(0) \rightarrow G\} = \{\langle x, x(0) \rangle . x \in 1 \rightarrow G\}
            using semigr0_valid_in_tgroup semigr0.prod_of_1elem by simp
         moreover have
            Is A homeomorphism (SeqProductTopology(1,T),T,\{\langle x,x(0)\rangle: x\in 1\rightarrow \bigcup T\})
using topSpaceAssum singleton_prod_top1
               by simp
         ultimately show thesis using IsAhomeomorphism_def by simp
      moreover have \forall k \in nat.
         IsContinuous(SeqProductTopology(succ(k),T),T,\{\langle x, \sum x \rangle.x \in succ(k) \rightarrow G\})
         IsContinuous(SeqProductTopology(succ(succ(k)),T),T,\{\langle x, \sum x \rangle.x \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G\})
         proof -
            \{ \text{ fix k assume k} \in \text{nat} \}
               let s = \{\langle x, \sum x \rangle . x \in succ(k) \rightarrow G\}
               let g = \{\langle p, \langle s(fst(p)), snd(p) \rangle \rangle, p \in (succ(k) \rightarrow G) \times G\}
               let h = \{\langle x, \langle Init(x), x(succ(k)) \rangle \}. x \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G\}
               let \varphi = \text{SeqProductTopology(succ(k),T)}
               let \psi = SeqProductTopology(succ(succ(k)),T)
               assume IsContinuous(\varphi,T,s)
               from \langle k \in nat \rangle have s: (succ(k) \rightarrow G) \rightarrow G
                  using sum_list_in_group ZF_fun_from_total by simp
               have h: (\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{succ}(k)) \rightarrow G) \rightarrow (\operatorname{succ}(k) \rightarrow G) \times G
               proof -
                  { fix x assume x \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G
                     with \langle k \in nat \rangle have Init(x) \in (succ(k) \rightarrow G)
                        using init_props by simp
                     with \langle k \in nat \rangle \langle x : succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G \rangle
                        have \langle \text{Init}(x), x(\text{succ}(k)) \rangle \in (\text{succ}(k) \rightarrow G) \times G \text{ using apply\_funtype}
                            by blast
                 } then show thesis using ZF_fun_from_total by simp
               qed
               moreover have g:((succ(k)\rightarrow G)\times G)\rightarrow (G\times G)
               proof -
                  { fix p assume p \in (succ(k) \rightarrow G) \times G
                     hence fst(p): succ(k) \rightarrow G and snd(p) \in G by auto
                     with \langle s: (succ(k) \rightarrow G) \rightarrow G \rangle have \langle s(fst(p)), snd(p) \rangle \in G \times G
                        using apply_funtype by blast
                  \} then show g:((succ(k)\rightarrowG)\timesG)\rightarrow(G\timesG) using ZF_fun_from_total
                     by simp
               qed
               moreover have f: G \times G \rightarrow G using topgroup_f_binop by simp
               ultimately have f 0 g 0 h : (\operatorname{succ}(\operatorname{succ}(k)) \rightarrow G) \rightarrow G using comp_fun
                  by blast
               from \langle k \in nat \rangle have IsContinuous(\psi,ProductTopology(\varphi,T),h)
```

```
using topSpaceAssum finite_top_prod_homeo IsAhomeomorphism_def
   by simp
moreover have IsContinuous(ProductTopology(\varphi,T),\tau,g)
proof -
   from topSpaceAssum have
        T {is a topology} \varphi {is a topology} \bigcup \varphi = \operatorname{succ}(\mathtt{k}) \rightarrow \mathsf{G}
        using seq_prod_top_is_top by auto
   moreover from \langle \bigcup \varphi = \operatorname{succ}(\mathtt{k}) \rightarrow \mathsf{G} \rangle \ \langle \mathsf{s} \colon (\operatorname{succ}(\mathtt{k}) \rightarrow \mathsf{G}) \rightarrow \mathsf{G} \rangle
      have s: \bigcup \varphi \rightarrow \bigcup T by simp
   moreover note (IsContinuous(\varphi,T,s))
   moreover from \langle \bigcup \varphi = \operatorname{succ}(k) \rightarrow G \rangle
      have g = \{\langle p, \langle s(fst(p)), snd(p) \rangle \rangle, p \in \bigcup \varphi \times \bigcup T \}
      by simp
   ultimately have IsContinuous(ProductTopology(\varphi,T),ProductTopology(T,T),g)
      using cart_prod_cont1 by blast
   thus thesis by simp
qed
moreover have IsContinuous(\tau,T,f) using fcon by simp
moreover have \{\langle x, \sum x \rangle . x \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G\} = f \circ g \circ h
proof -
   let d = \{\langle x, \sum x \rangle . x \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G\}
   \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle \mathtt{k} \in \mathtt{nat} \rangle \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ \forall \ \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{succ}(\mathtt{k})) {\rightarrow} \mathtt{G}. \ \ (\sum \mathtt{x}) \ \in \ \mathtt{G}
       using sum_list_in_group by blast
   then have d:(succ(succ(k))\rightarrow G)\rightarrow G
      using sum_list_in_group ZF_fun_from_total by simp
   moreover note \langle f \ 0 \ g \ 0 \ h \ : (succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G) \rightarrow G \rangle
   moreover have \forall x \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G. d(x) = (f \ 0 \ g \ 0 \ h)(x)
   proof
      fix x assume x \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G
      then have I: h(x) = \langle Init(x), x(succ(k)) \rangle
          using ZF_fun_from_tot_val1 by simp
      moreover from \langle k \in nat \rangle \langle x \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G \rangle
         have Init(x): succ(k) \rightarrow G
          using init_props by simp
      moreover from \langle k \in nat \rangle \ \langle x : succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G \rangle
         have II: x(succ(k)) \in G
          using apply_funtype by blast
      ultimately have h(x) \in (succ(k) \rightarrow G) \times G by simp
      then have g(h(x)) = \langle s(fst(h(x))), snd(h(x)) \rangle
          using ZF_fun_from_tot_val1 by simp
      with I have g(h(x)) = \langle s(Init(x)), x(succ(k)) \rangle
      with \langle \text{Init}(x) : \text{succ}(k) \rightarrow G \rangle have g(h(x)) = \langle \sum \text{Init}(x), x(\text{succ}(k)) \rangle
          using ZF_fun_from_tot_val1 by simp
      \mathbf{with} \ \langle \mathtt{k} \in \mathtt{nat} \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{x:} \ \mathtt{succ(succ(k))} {\rightarrow} \mathtt{G} \rangle
         have f(g(h(x))) = (\sum x)
          using shorter_set_add by simp
      with \langle x \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G \rangle have f(g(h(x))) = d(x)
          \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{ZF\_fun\_from\_tot\_val1} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{simp}
```

```
moreover from
                         \langle h: (succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G) \rightarrow (succ(k) \rightarrow G) \times G \rangle
                         \langle g: ((succ(k) \rightarrow G) \times G) \rightarrow (G \times G) \rangle
                         \langle f: (G \times G) \rightarrow G \rangle \ \langle x \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G \rangle
                        have (f \ 0 \ g \ 0 \ h)(x) = f(g(h(x))) by (rule func1_1_L18)
                      ultimately show d(x) = (f \ 0 \ g \ 0 \ h)(x) by simp
                   ultimately show \{\langle x, \sum x \rangle . x \in succ(succ(k)) \rightarrow G\} = f \circ g \circ h
                      using func_eq by simp
               qed
               moreover note \langle IsContinuous(\tau,T,f) \rangle
               ultimately have IsContinuous(\psi,T,{\langle x, \sum x \rangle.x\insucc(succ(k))\rightarrowG})
                  using comp_cont3 by simp
            } thus thesis by simp
         qed
      ultimately show thesis by (rule ind_on_nat)
  qed
end
```

78 Topological groups 1

 $theory \ \, {\tt TopologicalGroup_ZF_1} \ \, {\tt imports} \ \, {\tt TopologicalGroup_ZF} \ \, {\tt Topology_ZF_properties_2} \\ {\tt begin}$

This theory deals with some topological properties of topological groups.

78.1 Separation properties of topological groups

The topological groups have very specific properties. For instance, G is T_0 iff it is T_3 .

```
then have {x}+H=x+ H using interval_add(3) assms
          by auto
        with \langle H \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle have x+ H \in \{\{x\} + H. H \in \mathcal{N}_0\} by auto
     then have \{x+H. H \in \mathcal{N}_0\} \subseteq \{\{x\}+H. H \in \mathcal{N}_0\} by auto
     ultimately have {{x}+H. H \in \mathcal{N}_0}={x+H. H \in \mathcal{N}_0} by auto
     then have (\bigcap H \in \mathcal{N}_0. \{x\} + H) = (\bigcap H \in \mathcal{N}_0. x + H) by auto
     with c show cl(\{x\})=(\bigcap H \in \mathcal{N}_0. x+H) by auto
qed
We prove the equivalence between T_0 and T_1 first.
theorem (in topgroup) neu_closed_imp_T1:
  assumes {0}{is closed in}T
  shows T\{is T_1\}
proof-
     fix x z assume xG:x\in G and zG:z\in G and dis:x\neq z
     then have clx:cl(\{x\})=(\bigcap H \in \mathcal{N}_0. x+H) using cl_point by auto
     {
        fix y
        assume y \in cl(\{x\})
        with clx have y \in (\bigcap H \in \mathcal{N}_0. x+H) by auto
        then have t: \forall H \in \mathcal{N}_0. y \in x+H by auto
        from \langle y \in cl(\{x\}) \rangle xG have yG: y \in G using Top_3_L11(1) G_def by auto
          fix H
          assume \mathtt{HNeig:} \mathtt{H} \in \mathcal{N}_0
          with t have y \in x+H by auto
          then obtain n where y=x+n and n∈H unfolding ltrans_def grop_def
LeftTranslation_def by auto
          with HNeig have nG:neG unfolding zerohoods_def by auto
          from (y=x+n) and (n\in H) have (-x)+y\in H using group0.group0_2_L18(2)
group0_valid_in_tgroup xG nG yG unfolding grinv_def grop_def
             by auto
        then have el:(-x)+y\in(\cap \mathcal{N}_0) using zneigh_not_empty by auto
        have cl(\{0\})=(\bigcap H \in \mathcal{N}_0. 0 + H) using cl_point zero_in_tgroup by auto
        moreover
          fix H assume H \in \mathcal{N}_0
          then have HGG unfolding zerohoods_def by auto
          then have 0+H=H using image_id_same group0.trans_neutral(2)
group0_valid_in_tgroup unfolding gzero_def ltrans_def
          with \langle H \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle have 0+H \in \mathcal{N}_0 H \in \{0+H. H \in \mathcal{N}_0\} by auto
        then have {0+H. H \in \mathcal{N}_0}=\mathcal{N}_0 by blast
        ultimately have cl(\{0\})=(\bigcap \mathcal{N}_0) by auto
```

```
with el have (-x)+y\in cl(\{0\}) by auto
       then have (-x)+y\in\{0\} using assms Top_3_L8 G_def zero_in_tgroup
by auto
       then have (-x)+y=0 by auto
       then have y=-(-x) using group0.group0_2_L9(2) group0_valid_in_tgroup
neg_in_tgroup xG yG unfolding grop_def grinv_def by auto
       then have y=x using group0.group_inv_of_inv group0_valid_in_tgroup
xG unfolding grinv_def by auto
     }
     then have cl({x})\subseteq{x} by auto
     then have cl({x})={x} using xG cl_contains_set G_def by blast
     then have {x}{is closed in}T using Top_3_L8 xG G_def by auto
     then have ([\ ]T)-\{x\}\in T using IsClosed_def by auto moreover
     from dis zG G_def have z \in (([]T)-\{x\}) \land x \notin (([]T)-\{x\}) by auto
     ultimately have \exists V \in T. z \in V \land x \notin V by (safe, auto)
  then show T{is T_1} using isT1_def by auto
qed
theorem (in topgroup) T0_imp_neu_closed:
  assumes T\{is T_0\}
  shows \{0\}\{\text{is closed in}\}T
proof-
  {
     fix x assume x \in cl(\{0\}) and x \neq 0
     have cl(\{0\})=(\bigcap H \in \mathcal{N}_0. 0+H) using cl_point zero_in_tgroup by auto
     moreover
     {
       fix H assume H \in \mathcal{N}_0
       then have HCG unfolding zerohoods_def by auto
       then have 0+H=H using image_id_same group0.trans_neutral(2) group0_valid_in_tgroup
unfolding gzero_def ltrans_def
          by auto
       with \langle H \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle have 0+H \in \mathcal{N}_0 H \in \{0+H. H \in \mathcal{N}_0\} by auto
     then have \{0+H. H \in \mathcal{N}_0\} = \mathcal{N}_0 by blast
     ultimately have cl(\{0\})=(\bigcap \mathcal{N}_0) by auto
     from \langle x \neq 0 \rangle and \langle x \in cl(\{0\}) \rangle obtain U where U of and (x \notin U \land 0 \in U) \lor (0 \notin U \land x \in U)
using assms Top_3_L11(1)
       zero_in_tgroup unfolding isTO_def G_def by blast moreover
     {
       assume 0 \in U
       with \langle U \in T \rangle have U \in \mathcal{N}_0 using zerohoods_def G_def Top_2_L3 by auto
       with \langle x \in cl(\{0\}) \rangle and \langle cl(\{0\}) = (\bigcap \mathcal{N}_0) \rangle have x \in U by auto
     ultimately have 0\notin U and x\in U by auto
     with \langle U \in T \rangle \langle x \in cl(\{0\}) \rangle have False using cl_inter_neigh zero_in_tgroup
unfolding G_def by blast
  }
```

```
then have cl(\{0\})\subseteq\{0\} by auto then have cl(\{0\})=\{0\} using zero_in_tgroup cl_contains_set G_def by blast then show thesis using Top_3_L8 zero_in_tgroup unfolding G_def by auto qed 78.2 \quad \text{Existence of nice neighbourhoods.} lemma (in topgroup) exist_basehoods_closed: assumes U\in\mathcal{N}_0
```

```
shows \exists V \in \mathcal{N}_0. cl(V) \subseteq U
proof-
  from assms obtain V where V \in \mathcal{N}_0 V \subseteq U (V+V) \subseteq U (-V)=V using exists_procls_zerohood
  have inv_fun:GroupInv(G,f)\in G\rightarrow G using group0_2_T2 Ggroup by auto
  have f_{\text{fun}}: f \in G \times G \rightarrow G using group0.group_oper_fun group0_valid_in_tgroup
by auto
     fix x assume x \in cl(V)
     with \forall V \in \mathcal{N}_0 have x \in \bigcup T V \subseteq \bigcup T using Top_3_L11(1) unfolding zerohoods_def
G_def by blast+
     with \langle V \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle have x \in \text{int}(x+V) using elem_in_int_ltrans G_def by auto
     with (V \subseteq \bigcup T \land (x \in cl(V))) have int(x+V) \cap V \neq 0 using cl_inter_neigh Top_2_L2
by blast
     then have (x+V)\cap V\neq 0 using Top_2_L1 by blast
     then obtain q where q \in (x+V) and q \in V by blast
     with \langle V \subseteq \bigcup T \rangle \langle x \in \bigcup T \rangle obtain v where q=x+v v \in V unfolding ltrans_def
grop_def using group0.ltrans_image
        group0_valid_in_tgroup unfolding G_def by auto
     from \langle V \subset | JT \rangle \langle v \in V \rangle \langle q \in V \rangle have v \in | JT q \in | JT by auto
     with \(q=x+v\)\(x\in \big[]T\) have q-v=x using group0.group0_2_L18(1) group0_valid_in_tgroup
unfolding G_def
           unfolding grsub_def grinv_def grop_def by auto moreover
     from \langle v \in V \rangle have (-v) \in (-V) unfolding setninv_def grinv_def using func_imagedef
inv_fun \langle V \subseteq \bigcup T \rangle G_def by auto
     then have (-v) \in V using ((-V) = V) by auto
     with \langle q \in V \rangle have \langle q, -v \rangle \in V \times V by auto
     then have f(q,-v) \in V+V using lift_subset_suff f_fun (V \subseteq |JT) unfold-
ing setadd_def by auto
     with ⟨V+V⊆U⟩ have q-v∈U unfolding grsub_def grop_def by auto
     with (q-v=x) have x \in U by auto
  then have cl(V)⊆U by auto
  with \langle V \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle show thesis by auto
```

78.3 Rest of separation axioms

```
theorem(in topgroup) T1_imp_T2: assumes T{is T_1}
```

```
shows T\{is T_2\}
proof-
  {
     fix x y assume ass:x \in | JT y \in | JT x \neq y
     {
        assume (-y)+x=0
        with ass(1,2) have y=x using group0.group0_2_L11[where a=y and
b=x] group0_valid_in_tgroup by auto
        with ass(3) have False by auto
     then have (-y)+x\neq 0 by auto
     then have 0 \neq (-y) + x by auto
     from \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle have (-y) \in \bigcup T using neg_in_tgroup G_def by auto
     with \langle x \in | JT \rangle have (-y)+x \in JT using group0.group_op_closed[where a=-y
and b=x] group0_valid_in_tgroup unfolding
        G_def by auto
     with assms (0 \neq (-y) + x) obtain U where U\inT and (-y) + x \notinU and 0 \inU un-
folding isT1_def using zero_in_tgroup
     then have U \in \mathcal{N}_0 unfolding zerohoods_def G_def using Top_2_L3 by auto
     then obtain Q where Q\in \mathcal{N}_0 Q\subseteqU (Q+Q)\subseteqU (-Q)=Q using exists_procls_zerohood
by blast
     with \langle (-y)+x\notin U \rangle have (-y)+x\notin Q by auto
     from \langle \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle have \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{G} unfolding zerohoods_def by auto
     {
        assume x \in y+Q
        with \langle Q \subseteq G \rangle \langle y \in [JT) \rangle obtain u where u \in Q and x = y + u unfolding ltrans_def
grop_def using group0.ltrans_image group0_valid_in_tgroup
          unfolding G_def by auto
        with (Q\subseteq G) have u\in \bigcup T unfolding G_{def} by auto
        with \langle x=y+u \rangle \langle y \in [\ ]T \rangle \langle x \in [\ ]T \rangle \langle Q \subseteq G \rangle have (-y)+x=u using group0.group0_2_L18(2)
group0_valid_in_tgroup unfolding G_def
          unfolding grsub_def grinv_def grop_def by auto
        with \langle u \in Q \rangle have (-y)+x \in Q by auto
        then have False using \langle (-y)+x\notin Q\rangle by auto
     then have x\notin y+Q by auto moreover
        assume y \in x+Q
        with \langle Q \subseteq G \rangle \langle x \in [JT) \rangle obtain u where u \in Q and y=x+u unfolding ltrans_def
grop_def using group0.ltrans_image group0_valid_in_tgroup
          unfolding G_def by auto
        with \langle Q \subseteq G \rangle have u \in \bigcup T unfolding G_def by auto
        with \langle y=x+u \rangle \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle \langle x \in \bigcup T \rangle \langle Q \subseteq G \rangle have (-x)+y=u using group0.group0_2_L18(2)
group0_valid_in_tgroup unfolding G_def
          unfolding grsub_def grinv_def grop_def by auto
         with \  \, \langle u \in \mathbb{Q} \rangle \  \, have \  \, (-y) + x = -u \  \, using \  \, group 0.group\_inv\_of\_two[OF \  \, group 0\_valid\_in\_tgroup] 
group0.inverse_in_group[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup,of x],of y]
          using <xe( )T> <ye( )T> using group0.group_inv_of_inv[0F group0_valid_in_tgroup]
```

```
unfolding G_def grinv_def grop_def by auto
        moreover from \langle u \in \mathbb{Q} \rangle have (-u) \in (-\mathbb{Q}) unfolding setninv_def grinv_def
using func_imagedef[OF group0_2_T2[OF Ggroup] (Q\subseteq G)] by auto
        ultimately have (-y)+x \in \mathbb{Q} using ((-y)+x \notin \mathbb{Q}) ((-\mathbb{Q})=\mathbb{Q}) unfolding setniny_def
grinv_def by auto
        then have False using \langle (-y) + x \notin \mathbb{Q} \rangle by auto
     then have y\notin x+Q by auto moreover
     {
        fix t
        assume t \in (x+Q) \cap (y+Q)
        then have t \in (x+Q) t \in (y+Q) by auto
        with \langle Q \subseteq G \rangle \langle x \in [\ ]T \rangle \langle y \in [\ ]T \rangle obtain u v where u\in Q v\in Q and t=x+u t=y+v
unfolding ltrans_def grop_def using group0.ltrans_image[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup]
           unfolding G_def by auto
        then have x+u=y+v by auto
        moreover from \langle u \in Q \rangle \ \langle V \in Q \rangle \ \langle Q \subseteq G \rangle have u \in \bigcup T \ v \in \bigcup T \ unfolding \ G_def
by auto
        moreover note \langle x \in | JT \rangle \langle y \in | JT \rangle
        ultimately have (-y)+(x+u)=v using group0.group0_2_L18(2)[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup,
of y v x+u] group0.group_op_closed[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup, of x u]
unfolding G_def
           unfolding grsub_def grinv_def grop_def by auto
        then have ((-y)+x)+u=v using group0.group_oper_assoc[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup]
            unfolding \ grop\_def \ using \ \langle x \in \bigcup T \rangle \ \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle \ \langle u \in \bigcup T \rangle \ using \ group 0.inverse\_in\_group [OF] 
group0_valid_in_tgroup] unfolding G_def
           by auto
        then have ((-y)+x)=v-u using group0.group0_2_L18(1)[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup,of
(-y)+x u v
           using \langle (-y)+x \in | JT \rangle \langle u \in | JT \rangle \langle v \in | JT \rangle unfolding G_def grsub_def grinv_def
grop_def by force
        moreover
        from \langle u \in Q \rangle have (-u) \in (-Q) unfolding setninv_def grinv_def using
\texttt{func\_imagedef[OF\ group0\_2\_T2[OF\ Ggroup]\ } \land \texttt{Q} \subseteq \texttt{G} ) ] \ by \ \texttt{auto}
        then have (-u)\in Q using ((-Q)=Q) by auto
        with \langle v \in Q \rangle have \langle v, -u \rangle \in Q \times Q by auto
        then have f(v,-u)\in Q+Q using lift_subset_suff[OF group0.group_oper_fun[OF
group0\_valid\_in\_tgroup] \langle Q \subseteq G \rangle \langle Q \subseteq G \rangle
           unfolding setadd_def by auto
        with \langle Q+Q\subseteq U\rangle have v-u\in U unfolding grsub_def grop_def by auto
        ultimately have (-y)+x\in U by auto
        with \langle (-y) + x \notin U \rangle have False by auto
     then have (x+Q)\cap(y+Q)=0 by auto
     moreover have x \in int(x+Q)y \in int(y+Q) using elem_in_int_ltrans \langle Q \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle
        \langle x \in \bigcup T \rangle \langle y \in \bigcup T \rangle unfolding G_def by auto moreover
     have int(x+Q)\subseteq(x+Q)int(y+Q)\subseteq(y+Q) using Top_2_L1 by auto
     moreover have int(x+Q)\in T int(y+Q)\in T using Top_2_L2 by auto
     ultimately have int(x+Q) \in T \land int(y+Q) \in T \land x \in int(x+Q) \land y \in int(y+Q)
```

```
\wedge int(x+Q) \cap int(y+Q) = 0
       by blast
    then have \exists U \in T. \exists V \in T. x \in U \land y \in V \land U \cap V = 0 by auto
  then show thesis using isT2_def by auto
qed
Here follow some auxiliary lemmas.
lemma (in topgroup) trans_closure:
  assumes x \in G A \subseteq G
  shows cl(x+A)=x+cl(A)
proof-
  have | |T-(| |T-(x+A))=(x+A) unfolding ltrans_def using group0.group0_5_L1(2)[0F
group0_valid_in_tgroup assms(1)]
    unfolding image_def range_def domain_def converse_def Pi_def by auto
  then have cl(x+A)=[JT-int(JT-(x+A)) using Top_3_L11(2)[of JT-(x+A)]
by auto moreover
  have x+G=G using surj_image_eq group0.trans_bij(2)[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup
assms(1)] bij_def by auto
  then have \bigcup T-(x+A)=x+(\bigcup T-A) using inj_image_dif[of LeftTranslation(G,
f, x)GG, OF \_assms(2)
    unfolding ltrans_def G_def using group0.trans_bij(2)[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup
assms(1)] bij_def by auto
  then have int([]T-(x+A))=int(x+([]T-A)) by auto
  then have int([]T-(x+A))=x+int([]T-A) using ltrans_interior[OF assms(1),of
[ ]T-A] unfolding G_def by force
  have \bigcup T-int(\bigcup T-A)=cl(\bigcup T-(\bigcup T-A)) using Top_3\_L11(2)[of \bigcup T-A] by
  have \bigcup T-(\bigcup T-A)=A using assms(2) G_def by auto
  with \langle | T - int(| T - A) = c1(| T - A|) \rangle have | T - int(| T - A|) = c1(A) by auto
  have \bigcup T-(\bigcup T-int(\bigcup T-A))=int(\bigcup T-A) using Top_2_L2 by auto
  with \langle | | T - int(| | T - A) = cl(A) \rangle have int(| | | T - A) = | | | T - cl(A) \rangle by auto
  with \langle int(|]T-(x+A)\rangle = x+int(|]T-A)\rangle have int(|]T-(x+A)\rangle = x+(|]T-c1(A)\rangle
  with (x+G=G) have int([\]T-(x+A))=[\]T-(x+c1(A)) using inj_image_dif[of
LeftTranslation(G, f, x)GGcl(A)]
    unfolding ltrans_def using group0.trans_bij(2)[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup
assms(1)] Top_3_L11(1) assms(2) unfolding bij_def G_def
    by auto
  then have \bigcup T\text{-int}(\bigcup T\text{-}(x+A))=\bigcup T\text{-}(\bigcup T\text{-}(x+cl(A))) by auto
  then have []T-int([]T-(x+A))=x+cl(A) unfolding ltrans_def using group0.group0_5_L1(2)[OF
group0_valid_in_tgroup assms(1)]
    unfolding image_def range_def domain_def converse_def Pi_def by auto
  with \langle cl(x+A)=||T-int(||T-(x+A))\rangle show thesis by auto
lemma (in topgroup) trans_interior2: assumes A1: g \in G and A2: A \subseteq G
  shows int(A)+g = int(A+g)
```

proof -

```
from assms have A \subseteq \bigcup T and IsAhomeomorphism(T,T,RightTranslation(G,f,g))
    using tr_homeo by auto
  then show thesis using int_top_invariant by simp
lemma (in topgroup) trans_closure2:
  assumes x \in G A \subseteq G
  shows cl(A+x)=cl(A)+x
proof-
  have UT-(UT-(A+x))=(A+x) unfolding ltrans_def using group0.group0_5_L1(1)[OF
group0_valid_in_tgroup assms(1)]
    unfolding image_def range_def domain_def converse_def Pi_def by auto
  then have cl(A+x)=[JT-int(JT-(A+x))] using Top_3_L11(2)[of JT-(A+x)]
by auto moreover
  have G+x=G using surj_image_eq groupO.trans_bij(1)[OF groupO_valid_in_tgroup
assms(1)] bij_def by auto
  f, x)GG, OF \_assms(2)
    unfolding rtrans_def G_def using group0.trans_bij(1)[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup
assms(1)] bij_def by auto
  then have int(\bigcup T-(A+x))=int((\bigcup T-A)+x) by auto
  then have int(\bigcup T-(A+x))=int(\bigcup T-A)+x using trans_interior2[OF assms(1),of
UT-A] unfolding G_def by force
  have \bigcup T-int(\bigcup T-A)=cl(\bigcup T-(\bigcup T-A)) using Top_3\_L11(2)[of \bigcup T-A] by
force
  have | |T-(||T-A)=A using assms(2) G_def by auto
  with \langle | T - int(| T - A) = cl(| T - (| T - A)) \rangle have | T - int(| T - A) = cl(A) by auto
  have []T-([]T-int([]T-A))=int([]T-A) using Top_2_L2 by auto
  with \langle \bigcup T - int(\bigcup T - A) = cl(A) \rangle have int(\bigcup T - A) = \bigcup T - cl(A) by auto
  with \langle int(\bigcup T-(A+x))=int(\bigcup T-A)+x \rangle have int(\bigcup T-(A+x))=(\bigcup T-cl(A))+x
by auto
  with \langle G+x=G \rangle have int([JT-(A+x)]=[JT-(c1(A)+x) using inj_image_dif[of
RightTranslation(G, f, x)GGcl(A)]
    unfolding rtrans_def using group0.trans_bij(1)[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup
assms(1)] Top_3_L11(1) assms(2) unfolding bij_def G_def
  then have []T-int([]T-(A+x))=[]T-([]T-(cl(A)+x)) by auto
  then have []T-int([]T-(A+x))=cl(A)+x unfolding ltrans_def using group0.group0_5_L1(1)[OF
group0_valid_in_tgroup assms(1)]
    unfolding image_def range_def domain_def converse_def Pi_def by auto
  with \langle cl(A+x)=\bigcup T-int(\bigcup T-(A+x))\rangle show thesis by auto
qed
lemma (in topgroup) trans_subset:
  assumes A\subseteq ((-x)+B)x\in GA\subseteq GB\subseteq G
  shows x+A\subseteq B
proof-
```

fix t assume $t \in x+A$

```
with \langle x \in G \rangle \langle A \subseteq G \rangle obtain u where u \in A t=x+u unfolding ltrans_def grop_def
using group0.ltrans_image[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup]
        unfolding G_def by auto
     with \langle x \in G \rangle \langle A \subseteq G \rangle \langle u \in A \rangle have (-x)+t=u using group0.group0_2_L18(2) [OF
group0_valid_in_tgroup, of xut]
        group0.group_op_closed[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup,of x u] unfold-
ing grop_def grinv_def by auto
     with \langle u \in A \rangle have (-x)+t \in A by auto
     with (A\subseteq (-x)+B) have (-x)+t\in (-x)+B by auto
     with \langle B \subseteq G \rangle obtain v where (-x)+t=(-x)+v v \in B unfolding ltrans_def
grop_def using neg_in_tgroup[OF (x \in G)] group0.ltrans_image[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup]
        unfolding G_def by auto
     have LeftTranslation(G,f,-x)∈inj(G,G) using group0.trans_bij(2)[OF
group0_valid_in_tgroup neg_in_tgroup[OF \langle x \in G \rangle]] bij_def by auto
     then have eq:\forall A \in G. \forall B \in G. LeftTranslation(G,f,-x)A=LeftTranslation(G,f,-x)B
 \rightarrow A=B unfolding inj_def by auto
        fix A B assume A \in GB \in G
        assume f(-x,A)=f(-x,B)
        then have LeftTranslation(G,f,-x)A=LeftTranslation(G,f,-x)B us-
ing group0.group0_5_L2(2)[0F group0_valid_in_tgroup neg_in_tgroup[0F (x \in G)]
          \langle A \in G \rangle \langle B \in G \rangle by auto
        with eq \langle A \in G \rangle \langle B \in G \rangle have A=B by auto
     then have eq1:\forall A \in G. \forall B \in G. f(-x,A) = f(-x,B) \longrightarrow A = B by auto
     from \langle A \subseteq G \rangle \langle u \in A \rangle have u \in G by auto
     with \langle v \in B \rangle \langle B \subseteq G \rangle \langle t = x + u \rangle have t \in G veg using group_op_closed[OF
group0\_valid\_in\_tgroup (x \in G), of u] unfolding grop\_def
        by auto
     with eq1 \langle (-x)+t=(-x)+v \rangle have t=v unfolding grop_def by auto
     with \langle v \in B \rangle have t \in B by auto
  then show thesis by auto
```

Every topological group is regular, and hence T_3 . The proof is in the next section, since it uses local properties.

78.4 Local properties

In a topological group, all local properties depend only on the neighbourhoods of the neutral element; when considering topological properties. The next result of regularity, will use this idea, since translations preserve closed sets

```
lemma (in topgroup) local_iff_neutral: assumes \forall U \in T \cap \mathcal{N}_0. \exists N \in \mathcal{N}_0. N \subseteq U \land P(N,T) \forall N \in Pow(G). \forall x \in G. P(N,T) \longrightarrow P(x+N,T) shows T\{\text{is locally}\}P
```

```
proof-
     fix x U assume x \in \bigcup TU \in Tx \in U
     then have (-x)+U\in T\cap \mathcal{N}_0 using open_tr_open(1) open_trans_neigh neg_in_tgroup
unfolding G_def
       by auto
     with assms(1) obtain N where N\subseteq ((-x)+U)P(N,T)N\in \mathcal{N}_0 by auto
     note \langle x \in | T \rangle \langle N \subseteq ((-x) + U) \rangle moreover
     from \langle U \in T \rangle have U \subseteq \bigcup T by auto moreover
     from \langle \mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle have \mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{G} unfolding zerohoods_def by auto
     ultimately have (x+N)\subseteq U using trans_subset unfolding G_def by auto
      from \ \langle \mathbb{N} \subseteq G \rangle \langle x \in [\ ] T \rangle \ assms(2) \ \langle \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{N},T) \rangle \ have \ \mathbb{P}((x+\mathbb{N}),T) \ unfolding \ G\_def 
by auto moreover
     from \langle N \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle \langle x \in J \rangle have x \in \text{int}(x+N) using elem_in_int_ltrans unfold-
ing G_def by auto
     ultimately have \exists N \in Pow(U). x \in int(N) \land P(N,T) by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsLocally_def[OF topSpaceAssum] by auto
qed
lemma (in topgroup) trans_closed:
  assumes A{is closed in}Tx \in G
  shows (x+A){is closed in}T
proof-
  from assms(1) have cl(A)=A using Top_3_L8 unfolding IsClosed_def by
  then have x+cl(A)=x+A by auto
  then have cl(x+A)=x+A using trans_closure assms unfolding IsClosed_def
by auto
  moreover have x+A G unfolding ltrans_def using group0.group0_5_L1(2)[OF
group0_valid_in_tgroup \langle x \in G \rangle
       unfolding image_def range_def domain_def converse_def Pi_def by
auto
  ultimately show thesis using Top_3_L8 unfolding G_def by auto
qed
As it is written in the previous section, every topological group is regular.
theorem (in topgroup) topgroup_reg:
  shows T{is regular}
proof-
     fix U assume U \in T \cap \mathcal{N}_0
     then obtain V where cl(V)\subseteq UV\in \mathcal{N}_0 using exist_basehoods_closed by
     then have V⊆cl(V) using cl_contains_set unfolding zerohoods_def G_def
     then have int(V)⊆int(cl(V)) using interior_mono by auto
     with (V \in \mathcal{N}_0) have cl(V) \in \mathcal{N}_0 unfolding zerohoods_def G_def using Top_3_L11(1)
```

```
by auto
    from ⟨V∈N₀⟩ have cl(V){is closed in}T using cl_is_closed unfold-
ing zerohoods_def G_def by auto
    with ⟨cl(V)∈N₀⟩⟨cl(V)⊆U⟩ have ∃N∈N₀. N⊆U∧N{is closed in}T by auto
}
then have ∀U∈T∩N₀. ∃N∈N₀. N⊆U∧N{is closed in}T by auto moreover
have ∀N∈Pow(G).(∀x∈G. (N{is closed in}T→(x+N){is closed in}T))
using trans_closed by auto
    ultimately have T{is locally-closed} using local_iff_neutral unfold-
ing IsLocallyClosed_def by auto
    then show T{is regular} using regular_locally_closed by auto
qed

The promised corollary follows:
corollary (in topgroup) T2_imp_T3:
    assumes T{is T₂}
    shows T{is T₃} using T2_is_T1 topgroup_reg isT3_def assms by auto
end
```

79 Topological groups - uniformity

theory TopologicalGroup_Uniformity_ZF imports TopologicalGroup_ZF UniformSpace_ZF_1

begin

Each topological group is a uniform space. This theory is about the unifomities that are naturally defined by a topological group structure.

79.1 Natural uniformities in topological groups: definitions and notation

There are two basic uniformities that can be defined on a topological group.

Definition of left uniformity

```
definition (in topgroup) leftUniformity where leftUniformity \equiv \{V \in Pow(G \times G) : \exists U \in \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : (-s) + t \in U\} \subseteq V\}
```

Definition of right uniformity

```
definition (in topgroup) rightUniformity where rightUniformity \equiv \{V \in Pow(G \times G) : \exists U \in \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : s+(-t) \in U\} \subseteq V\}
```

Right and left uniformities are indeed uniformities.

lemma (in topgroup) side_uniformities:

```
shows leftUniformity {is a uniformity on} G and rightUniformity {is
a uniformity on } G
proof-
     assume 0 \in leftUniformity
     then obtain U where U:U\in\mathcal{N}_0 {\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G. (-s)+t \in U}\subseteq 0 unfolding leftUniformity_def
       by auto
     have (0,0):G\times G using zero_in_tgroup by auto
     moreover have (-0)+0=0
       \mathbf{using}\ \mathtt{group0\_valid\_in\_tgroup}\ \mathtt{group0.group\_inv\_of\_one}\ \mathtt{group0.group0\_2\_L2}
zero_in_tgroup
       by auto
     moreover have 0 \in int(U) using U(1) by auto
     then have 0 \in U using Top_2_L1 by auto
     ultimately have (0,0) \in \{(s,t) \in G \times G. (-s) + t \in U\} by auto
     with U(2) have (0,0) \in 0 by blast
     hence False by auto
  hence 0∉leftUniformity by auto
  moreover have leftUniformity \subseteq Pow(G \times G) unfolding leftUniformity_def
by auto
  moreover
     have G \times G \in Pow(G \times G) by auto moreover
     have \{(s,t):G\times G. (-s)+t:G\}\subseteq G\times G by auto moreover
     note zneigh_not_empty
     ultimately have GxGeleftUniformity unfolding leftUniformity_def by
auto
  }
  moreover
     fix \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{B} \ assume \ as: \texttt{A} {\in} \texttt{leftUniformity} \ \texttt{B} {\in} \texttt{leftUniformity}
     from as(1) obtain AU where AU: AU\in \mathcal{N}_0 \{(s,t)\in G\times G. (-s)+t\in AU\}\subseteq A
A \in Pow(G \times G)
       unfolding leftUniformity_def by auto
     from as(2) obtain BU where BU:BU\in \mathcal{N}_0 \{(s,t)\in G\times G. (-s)+t\in BU\}\subseteq B
B \in Pow(G \times G)
        unfolding leftUniformity_def by auto
     from AU(1) BU(1) have 0 \in int(AU) \cap int(BU) by auto
     moreover from AU BU have op:int(AU)∩int(BU)∈T using Top_2_L2 topSpaceAssum
IsATopology_def
       by auto
     moreover
     have \operatorname{int}(AU)\cap\operatorname{int}(BU)\subseteq AU\cap BU using Top_2_L1 by auto
     with op have int(AU)∩int(BU)⊆int(AU∩BU) using Top_2_L5 by auto
     moreover note AU(1) BU(1)
     ultimately have AU\capBU: \mathcal{N}_0 unfolding zerohoods_def by auto
     moreover have \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. (-s)+t \in AU \cap BU\} \subseteq \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. (-s)+t \in AU\}
```

```
by auto
     with AU(2) BU(2) have \{\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G. (-s)+t\in AU\cap BU\}\subseteq A\cap B by auto
     ultimately have A \cap B \in \{V \in Pow(G \times G) : \exists U \in \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : (-s) + t \in U\}
        using AU(3) BU(3) by blast
     then have A \cap B \in leftUniformity unfolding leftUniformity_def by simp
  hence \forall A \in leftUniformity. \forall B \in leftUniformity. A \cap B \in leftUniformity
by auto
  moreover
   {
     \mathbf{fix} \ \mathtt{B} \ \mathtt{C} \ \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{as:B} {\in} \mathtt{leftUniformity} \ \mathtt{C} {\in} \mathtt{Pow}(\mathtt{G} \ \times \ \mathtt{G}) \ \mathtt{B} \subseteq \mathtt{C}
     from as(1) obtain BU where BU:BU\in \mathcal{N}_0 {\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. (-s)+t \in BU}\subseteq B
        unfolding leftUniformity_def by blast
     from as(3) BU(2) have \{(s,t)\in G\times G. (-s)+t\in BU\}\subseteq C by auto
     with as(2) BU(1) have C \in \{V \in Pow(G \times G) : \exists U \in \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : (-s) + t\}\}
\in U \subseteq V
        by auto
     then have C \in leftUniformity unfolding leftUniformity_def by auto
  then have \forall B \in leftUniformity. \forall C \in Pow(G \times G). B \subseteq C \longrightarrow C \in leftUniformity
by auto
   ultimately have leftFilter:leftUniformity {is a filter on} (G×G) un-
folding IsFilter_def
     by auto
   {
     assume 0∈rightUniformity
     then obtain U where U:U\in\mathcal{N}_0 {\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G. s+(-t)\in U}\subseteq 0 unfolding rightUniformity_def
        by auto
     have (0,0):G×G using zero_in_tgroup by auto
     moreover have 0+(-0) = 0
        using group0_valid_in_tgroup group0.group_inv_of_one group0.group0_2_L2
zero_in_tgroup
        by auto
     moreover
     have 0 \in int(U) using U(1) by auto
     then have 0 \in U using Top_2_L1 by auto
     ultimately have (0,0) \in \{(s,t) \in G \times G. s+(-t) \in U\} by auto
     with U(2) have (0,0) \in 0 by blast
     hence False by auto
  then have O∉rightUniformity by auto
  moreover have rightUniformity \subseteq Pow(G \times G) unfolding rightUniformity_def
by auto
  moreover
   {
```

```
have G \times G \in Pow(G \times G) by auto
             moreover have \{\langle s,t \rangle : G \times G. (-s) + t : G\} \subseteq G \times G by auto
             moreover note zneigh_not_empty
             ultimately have G \times G \in rightUniformity unfolding rightUniformity_def
by auto
       }
      moreover
             fix A B assume as:A∈rightUniformity B∈rightUniformity
             from as(1) obtain AU where AU:AU\in \mathcal{N}_0 \ \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. \ s+(-t) \in AU\} \subseteq A
A \in Pow(G \times G)
                    unfolding rightUniformity_def by auto
             from as(2) obtain BU where BU:BU\in \mathcal{N}_0 \ \{\langle \texttt{s}, \texttt{t} \rangle \in \texttt{G} \times \texttt{G}. \ \texttt{s+(-t)} \in \texttt{BU}\} \subseteq \texttt{B}
B \in Pow(G \times G)
                    unfolding rightUniformity_def by auto
             from AU(1) BU(1) have 0 \in int(AU) \cap int(BU) by auto
             moreover from AU BU have op:int(AU)∩int(BU)∈T
                    using Top_2_L2 topSpaceAssum IsATopology_def by auto
             have int(AU)\cap int(BU) \subseteq AU\cap BU using Top_2_L1 by auto
             with op have int(AU)∩int(BU)⊆int(AU∩BU) using Top_2_L5 by auto
             moreover note AU(1) BU(1)
             ultimately have AU\capBU: \mathcal{N}_0 unfolding zerohoods_def by auto
             moreover have \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. \ s+(-t) \in AU \cap BU\} \subseteq \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. \ s+(-t) \in AU\}
             with AU(2) BU(2) have \{\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ s+(-t)\in AU\cap BU\}\subseteq A\cap B by auto
             ultimately have A \cap B \in \{V \in Pow(G \times G) : \exists U \in \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G : s + (-t) \in U\} : \{\langle s, t \rangle 
٧}
                    using AU(3) BU(3) by blast
             then have A \cap B \in rightUniformity unfolding rightUniformity_def by
simp
      hence \forall A \in rightUniformity. \forall B \in rightUniformity. A \cap B \in rightUniformity
by auto
      moreover
             fix B C assume as:B\inrightUniformity C\inPow(G \times G) B \subseteq C
             from as(1) obtain BU where BU:BU\in \mathcal{N}_0 {\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. s + (-t) \in BU \} \subseteq B
                    unfolding rightUniformity_def by blast
             from as(3) BU(2) have \{(s,t)\in G\times G.\ s+(-t)\in BU\}\subseteq C by auto
             then have C ∈ rightUniformity using as(2) BU(1) unfolding rightUniformity_def
by auto
       }
      then have \forall B \in rightUniformity. \forall C \in Pow(G \times G). B \subseteq C \longrightarrow C \in rightUniformity
      ultimately have rightFilter:rightUniformity {is a filter on} (G×G)
unfolding IsFilter_def
             by auto
```

```
fix U assume as:U∈leftUniformity
     from as obtain V where V:V\in\mathcal{N}_0 {\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G. (-s)+t \in V} \subseteq U
       unfolding leftUniformity_def by auto
     then have V\subseteq G by auto
       fix x assume as2:x\in id(G)
       from as obtain V where V:V\in\mathcal{N}_0 {\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G. (-s)+t \in V} \subseteq U
          unfolding leftUniformity_def by auto
       from V(1) have 0 \in int(V) by auto
       then have V0:0\in V using Top_2_L1 by auto
       from as 2 obtain t where t:x=\langle t,t\rangle t:G by auto
       from t(2) have (-t)+t =0 using group0_valid_in_tgroup group0.group0_2_L6
          by auto
       with V0 t V(2) have x∈U by auto
     then have id(G)⊂U by auto
     moreover
     {
          fix x assume ass:x \in \{(s,t) \in G \times G. (-s) + t \in -V\}
          then obtain s t where as:s \in G t \in G (-s)+t \in V x = \langle s,t \rangle by force
          from as(3) (V\subseteq G) have (-s)+t\in{-q. q\inV} using ginv_image_add by
simp
          then obtain q where q: q \in V (-s)+t = -q by auto
          with \langle V \subseteq G \rangle have q \in G by auto
          with \langle s \in G \rangle \langle t \in G \rangle \langle (-s) + t = -q \rangle have q = (-t) + s
             using simple_equation1_add by blast
          with q(1) have (-t)+s \in V by auto
          with as(1,2) have \langle t,s \rangle \in U using V(2) by auto
          then have \langle s,t \rangle \in converse(U) by auto
          with as(4) have x \in converse(U) by auto
       then have \{(s,t)\in G\times G. (-s)+t\in -V\}\subseteq converse(U) by auto
       moreover have (-V):\mathcal{N}_0 using neg_neigh_neigh V(1) by auto
       moreover note as
       ultimately have converse(U) \in leftUniformity unfolding leftUniformity_def
by auto
     }
     moreover
     {
       from V(1) obtain W where W:W:\mathcal{N}_0 W + W \subseteqV using exists_procls_zerohood
by blast
          fix x assume as:x \in \{(s,t) \in G \times G. (-s) + t \in W\} 0 \{(s,t) \in G \times G. (-s) + t \in W\}
\in W
          then obtain x_1 x_2 x_3 where
            x: x_1 \in G \quad x_2 \in G \quad x_3 \in G \quad (-x_1) + x_2 \in W \quad (-x_2) + x_3 \in W \quad x = \langle x_1, x_3 \rangle
             unfolding comp_def by auto
```

```
from W(1) have W+W = f(W \times W) using interval_add(2) by auto
          moreover from W(1) have WW:W\times W\subseteq G\times G by auto
          moreover
          from x(4,5) have \langle (-x_1)+x_2, (-x_2)+x_3 \rangle : \mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{W} by auto
          with WW have f(\langle (-x_1)+x_2, (-x_2)+x_3 \rangle):f(W \times W)
            using func_imagedef topgroup_f_binop by auto
          ultimately have ((-x_1)+x_2)+((-x_2)+x_3) :W+W by auto
          moreover from x(1,2,3) have ((-x_1)+x_2)+((-x_2)+x_3) = (-x_1)+x_3
            using cancel_middle_add(2) by simp
          ultimately have (-x_1)+x_3\in W+W by auto
          with W(2) have (-x_1)+x_3 \in V by auto
          with x(1,3,6) have x:\{\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ (-s)+t\in V\} by auto
       }
       then have \{(s,t)\in G\times G. (-s)+t\in W\} 0 \{(s,t)\in G\times G. (-s)+t\in W\}\subseteq G\}
U
          using V(2) by auto moreover
       have \{(s,t)\in G\times G. (-s)+t\in W\}\in L^{\infty}
          unfolding leftUniformity_def using W(1) by auto
       ultimately have ∃Z∈leftUniformity. Z O Z⊆U by auto
     ultimately have id(G)\subseteq U \land (\exists Z \in leftUniformity, Z \cup Z \subseteq U) \land converse(U) \in leftUniformity
       by blast
  then have
    \forall U \in leftUniformity. id(G) \subseteq U \land (\exists Z \in leftUniformity. Z O Z \subseteq U) \land converse(U) \in leftUniformity
     by auto
  with leftFilter show leftUniformity {is a uniformity on} G unfold-
ing IsUniformity_def by auto
     fix U assume as:U∈rightUniformity
     from as obtain V where V:V\in\mathcal{N}_0 {\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ s+(-t)\in V} \subseteq U
       \mathbf{unfolding} \ \mathtt{rightUniformity\_def} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
     {
       fix x assume as2:x\in id(G)
       from as obtain V where V:V\in\mathcal{N}_0 {\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ s+(-t)\in V} \subseteq U
          unfolding rightUniformity_def by auto
       from V(1) have 0 \in int(V) by auto
       then have V0:0∈V using Top_2_L1 by auto
       from as 2 obtain t where t:x=\langle t,t\rangle t:G by auto
       from t(2) have t+(-t) =0 using group0_valid_in_tgroup group0.group0_2_L6
          by auto
       with V0 t V(2) have x \in U by auto
     then have id(G)⊆U by auto
     moreover
     {
```

```
fix x assume ass:x \in \{(s,t) \in G \times G. s+(-t) \in -V\}
           then obtain s t where as:s \in G t \in G s + (-t) \in -V x = \langle s, t \rangle
             by force
           from as(3) V(1) have s+(-t) \in \{-q, q \in V\}
             using ginv_image_add by simp
           then obtain q where q:q\in V s+(-t) = -q by auto
           with \langle V \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle have q \in G by auto
           with as(1,2) q(1,2) have t+(-s) \in V using simple_equation0_add
             by blast
           with as(1,2,4) V(2) have x \in converse(U) by auto
        then have \{(s,t)\in G\times G.\ s+(-t)\in -V\}\subseteq converse(U) by auto
        moreover from V(1) have (-V) \in \mathcal{N}_0 using neg_neigh_neigh by auto
        ultimately have converse(U) \in rightUniformity using as rightUniformity_def
           by auto
     moreover
        from V(1) obtain W where W:W:\mathcal{N}_0 W + W \subseteqV using exists_procls_zerohood
by blast
        {
           fix x assume as:x:\{\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ s+(-t)\in W\} 0 \{\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ s+(-t)\}
\in W
           then obtain x_1 x_2 x_3 where
             \texttt{x} : \texttt{x}_1 : \texttt{G} \ \texttt{x}_2 \in \texttt{G} \ \texttt{x}_3 \in \texttt{G} \ \texttt{x}_1 + (-\texttt{x}_2) \ \in \ \texttt{W} \ \texttt{x}_2 + (-\texttt{x}_3) \ \in \ \texttt{W} \ \texttt{x} = \langle \texttt{x}_1 \,, \texttt{x}_3 \rangle
             unfolding comp_def by auto
           from W(1) have W+W = f(W \times W) using interval_add(2) by auto
           moreover from W(1) have WW:W\times W\subseteq G\times G by auto
           moreover
           from x(4,5) have \langle x_1+(-x_2), x_2+(-x_3) \rangle \in W \times W by auto
           with WW have f(\langle x_1+(-x_2), x_2+(-x_3)\rangle) \in f(W \times W)
             using func_imagedef topgroup_f_binop by auto
           ultimately have (x_1+(-x_2))+(x_2+(-x_3)) \in W+W by auto
           moreover from x(1,2,3) have (x_1+(-x_2))+(x_2+(-x_3)) = x_1+(-x_3)
             using cancel_middle_add(1) by simp
           ultimately have x_1+(-x_3) \in W+W by auto
           with W(2) have x_1+(-x_3) \in V by auto
           then have x \in \{(s,t) \in G \times G. s+(-t) \in V\} using x(1,3,6) by auto
        with V(2) have \{\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ s+(-t)\in W\} 0 \{\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ s+(-t)\in W\}
W} ⊆ U
           by auto
        moreover from W(1) have \{(s,t)\in G\times G.\ s+(-t)\in W\}\in rightUniformity
           unfolding rightUniformity_def by auto
        ultimately have \exists Z \in rightUniformity. Z O Z\subseteq U by auto
```

```
\mathbf{ultimately\ have\ id}(\mathtt{G}) \subseteq \mathtt{U}\ \land\ (\exists\, \mathtt{Z} \in \mathtt{rightUniformity}.\ \mathtt{Z}\ \mathtt{O}\ \mathtt{Z} \subseteq \mathtt{U})\ \land\ \mathtt{converse}(\mathtt{U}) \in \mathtt{rightUniformity}
        by blast
  then have
     \forall U \in rightUniformity. id(G) \subseteq U \land (\exists Z \in rightUniformity. Z O Z \subseteq U) \land converse(U) \in rightUniformity
     by auto
  with rightFilter show rightUniformity {is a uniformity on} G unfold-
ing IsUniformity_def
     by auto
qed
The topologies generated by the right and left uniformities are the original
group topology.
lemma (in topgroup) top_generated_side_uniformities:
  shows UniformTopology(leftUniformity,G) = T and UniformTopology(rightUniformity,G)
= T
proof-
  let M = \{\langle t, \{V \mid \{t\} : V \in leftUniformity\} \rangle : t \in G\}
  IsNeighSystem_def
     by auto
  let N = \{\langle t, \{V \mid \{t\} : V \in rightUniformity\} \rangle : t \in G\}
  have funN:N:G→Pow(Pow(G)) using neigh_from_uniformity side_uniformities(2)
IsNeighSystem_def
     by auto
     fix U assume op:U \in T
     hence UGG by auto
        fix x assume x:x\in U
        with op have xg:x\in G and (-x)\in G using neg_in_tgroup by auto
        then have \langle x, \{V\{x\}, V \in leftUniformity\} \rangle \in \{\langle t, \{V\{t\}, V \in leftUniformity\} \rangle.
t \in G
           by auto
        with fun have app:M(x) = \{V\{x\}. V \in leftUniformity\} using ZF_fun_from_tot_val
           by auto
        have (-x)+U : \mathcal{N}_0 using open_trans_neigh op x by auto
        then have V:\{(s,t)\in G\times G. (-s)+t\in ((-x)+U)\}\in leftUniformity
           unfolding leftUniformity_def by auto
        with xg have
           \mathbb{N}: \forall \ t \in \mathbb{G}. \ \ t: \{\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} \rangle \in \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}. \ \ (-\mathbf{s}) + t \in ((-\mathbf{x}) + \mathbb{U})\} \{\mathbf{x}\} \ \longleftrightarrow \ \ (-\mathbf{x}) + t \in ((-\mathbf{x}) + \mathbb{U})\} \{\mathbf{x}\}
           using image_iff by auto
           fix t assume t:t\in G
           {
```

```
assume as:(-x)+t\in((-x)+U)
             then have (-x)+t\in LeftTranslation(G,f,-x)U by auto
             then obtain q where q:q\in U \langle q,(-x)+t\rangle\in LeftTranslation(G,f,-x)
                using image_iff by auto
             with op have q \in G by auto
             from q(2) have (-x)+q = (-x)+t unfolding LeftTranslation_def
             with \langle (-x) \in G \rangle \langle q \in G \rangle \langle t \in G \rangle have q = t using neg_in_tgroup cancel_left_add
                by blast
             with q(1) have t \in U by auto
           }
           moreover
             assume t:t∈U
             with \langle U \subseteq G \rangle \langle (-x) \in G \rangle have (-x)+t \in ((-x)+U)
                using lrtrans_image(1) by auto
           ultimately have (-x)+t \in ((-x)+U) \longleftrightarrow t:U by blast
        with N have \forall t \in G. t: \{(s,t) \in G \times G. (-s)+t \in ((-x)+U)\}\{x\} \longleftrightarrow t \in U
           by blast
        with op have \forall t. \ t: \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. \ (-s) + t \in ((-x) + U)\}\{x\} \longleftrightarrow t: U
           by auto
        hence U = \{(s,t) \in G \times G. (-s) + t \in ((-x) + U)\}\{x\} by auto
        with V have \exists V \in leftUniformity. U=V{x} by blast
        with app have U \in {\langle t, \{V \mid \{t\} \mid V \in leftUniformity\} \rangle . t \in G}(x)
by auto
        moreover from (x \in G) funN have app:N(x) = {V{x}. V \in rightUniformity}
           using ZF_fun_from_tot_val by simp
        moreover
        from x op have openTrans:U+(-x): \mathcal{N}_0 using open_trans_neigh_2 by
auto
        then have V:\{\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ s+(-t)\in (U+(-x))\}\in rightUniformity
           unfolding rightUniformity_def by auto
        with xg have
           \mathbb{N}: \forall t \in \mathbb{G}. \ t: \{\langle s, t \rangle \in \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}. \ s+(-t) \in (\mathbb{U}+(-x))\} - \{x\} \longleftrightarrow t+(-x) \in (\mathbb{U}+(-x))\}
           using \ vimage\_iff \ by \ auto
        moreover
        {
           fix t assume t:t\in G
             assume as:t+(-x)\in(U+(-x))
             hence t+(-x)\in RightTranslation(G,f,-x)U by auto
             then obtain q where q:q\in U \langle q,t+(-x)\rangle\in RightTranslation(G,f,-x)
                using image_iff by auto
```

```
with op have q \in G by auto
                 from q(2) have q+(-x) = t+(-x) unfolding RightTranslation_def
by auto
                 with \langle q \in G \rangle \langle (-x) \in G \rangle \langle t \in G \rangle have q = t using cancel_right_add
\mathbf{b}\mathbf{v} simp
                 with q(1) have t \in U by auto
              moreover
                 \mathbf{assume} \ \ \mathsf{t} {\in} \mathtt{U}
                 with ((-x) \in G) (U \subseteq G) have t+(-x) \in (U+(-x)) using lrtrans_image(2)
              } ultimately have t+(-x)\in(U+(-x))\longleftrightarrow t:U by blast
          } with N have \forall t \in G. t: \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G. s+(-t) \in (U+(-x))\} - \{x\} \longleftrightarrow t: U
          with op have \forall t. t: \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. s+(-t) \in (U+(-x))\} - \{x\} \longleftrightarrow t:U
              by auto
          hence \{\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ s+(-t)\in (U+(-x))\}-\{x\}=U by auto
          then have U = converse(\{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. s + (-t) \in (U + (-x))\})\{x\}
              unfolding vimage_def by simp
          with V app have U \in {\langle t, \{V \mid \{t\} \mid V \in rightUniformity\} \rangle \mid t \in V \in V
G}(x)
              using side_uniformities(2) IsUniformity_def by auto
          ultimately have
              U \in \{\langle t, \{V \mid \{t\} : V \in leftUniformity\} \rangle : t \in G\}(x) and
              U \in \{\langle t, \{V \mid \{t\} : V \in rightUniformity\} \rangle : t \in G\}(x)
              by auto
       }
      hence
          \begin{array}{l} \forall\,x{\in}U.\ U\,\in\,\{\langle t\text{, }\{\text{V}\quad\{t\}\text{ . }\text{V}\,\in\,\text{leftUniformity}\}\rangle\text{ . }t\,\in\,\text{G}\}\quad x\text{ and }\\ \forall\,x{\in}U.\ U\,\in\,\{\langle t\text{, }\{\text{V}\quad\{t\}\text{ . }\text{V}\,\in\,\text{rightUniformity}\}\rangle\text{ . }t\,\in\,\text{G}\}\quad x \end{array}
          by auto
   hence
      T \subseteq \{ \texttt{U} \in \texttt{Pow}(\texttt{G}) \ . \ \forall \, \texttt{x} \in \texttt{U}. \ \texttt{U} \in \{ \langle \texttt{t}, \, \{ \texttt{V} \quad \{\texttt{t}\} \ . \ \texttt{V} \in \texttt{leftUniformity} \} \rangle \ . \ \texttt{t}
\in G x} and
      T \subseteq \{U \in Pow(G) : \forall x \in U. \ U \in \{\langle t, \{V \mid \{t\} : V \in rightUniformity\} \rangle : \{v \in V\} \}
t \in G x}
       by auto
   moreover
       fix U assume as:U \in Pow(G) \forall x\inU. U \in {\langlet, {V } {t}} . V \in leftUniformity}\rangle
. t \in G(x)
       {
          fix x assume x:x\in U
          with as(1) have xg:x\in G by auto
          from x as(2) have U \in \{\langle t, \{V \mid \{t\} : V \in leftUniformity\} \rangle : t \in G\}(x)
by auto
```

```
with xg fun have U \in \{V \mid \{x\} \ . \ V \in leftUniformity\} using apply_equality
by auto
       then obtain V where V:U=V\{x\} V\inleftUniformity by auto
       from V(2) obtain W where W:W\in \mathcal{N}_0 \{(s,t): G \times G. (-s) + t:W\} \subseteq V
          unfolding leftUniformity_def by auto
       from W(2) have A:{\langle s,t \rangle:G×G. (-s)+t:W}{x}\subseteqV{x} by auto
       from xg have \forall q \in G. q \in (\{\langle s,t \rangle : G \times G. (-s)+t:W\}\{x\}) \longleftrightarrow (-x)+q:W
          using image_iff by auto
       hence B:\{\langle s,t\rangle:G\times G.\ (-s)+t:W\}\{x\} = \{t\in G.\ (-x)+t:W\} by auto
       from W(1) have WG:W\subseteq G by auto
       {
          fix t assume t:t \in x+W
          then have t \in LeftTranslation(G,f,x)W by auto
          then obtain s where s:s\in W \ \langle s,t\rangle \in LeftTranslation(G,f,x) using
image_iff by auto
          with (W\subseteq G) have s\in G by auto
          from s(2) have t=x+s t\in G unfolding LeftTranslation_def by auto
          with \langle x \in G \rangle \langle s \in G \rangle have (-x)+t = s using put_on_the_other_side(2)
by simp
          with s(1) have (-x)+t \in W by auto
          with \langle t \in G \rangle have t \in \{s \in G. (-x) + s: W\} by auto
       then have x+W \subseteq \{t\in G. (-x)+t\in W\} by auto
       with B have x + W \subseteq \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : (-s) + t \in W\} {x} by auto
       with A have x + W \subseteq V {x} by blast
       with V(1) have x + W \subseteq U by auto
       then have int(x + W) \subseteq U using Top_2_L1 by blast
       moreover from xg W(1) have x \in int(x + W) using elem_in_int_ltrans(1)
by auto
       moreover have int(x + W)∈T using Top_2_L2 by auto
       ultimately have \exists Y \in T. x \in Y \land Y \subseteq U by auto
     then have U \in T using open_neigh_open by auto
  } hence \{U \in Pow(G) : \forall x \in U. \ U \in \{\langle t, \{V \ \{t\} : V \in leftUniformity\} \}\}
. t \in G x \subseteq T
    by auto
  moreover
     fix U assume as:U \in Pow(G) \forallx\inU. U \in {\langlet, {V {t}} . V \in rightUniformity}\rangle
t \in G x
     {
       fix x assume x:x\in U
       with as(1) have xg:x\in G by auto
       from x as(2) have U \in {t} . V \in rightUniformity}\rangle . t \in
G} x by auto
       with xg funN have U \in \{V \mid \{x\} : V \in rightUniformity\} using apply_equality
          by auto
       then obtain V where V:U=V\{x\} V \in rightUniformity by auto
```

```
then have converse(V) \in rightUniformity using side_uniformities(2)
IsUniformity_def
          by auto
        then obtain W where W:W\in \mathcal{N}_0 \{(s,t): G \times G. s + (-t): W\} \subseteq converse(V)
          unfolding rightUniformity_def by auto
        from W(2) have A:\{\langle s,t\rangle:G\times G.\ s+(-t):W\}-\{x\}\subseteq V\{x\} by auto
        from xg have \forall q \in G. q \in (\{\langle s,t \rangle : G \times G. s+(-t) : W\}-\{x\}) \longleftrightarrow q+(-x) : W
          using image_iff by auto
        hence B:\{\langle s,t\rangle:G\times G.\ s+(-t):W\}-\{x\}=\{t\in G.\ t+(-x):W\} by auto
        from W(1) have WG:W\subseteq G by auto
          fix t assume t \in W+x
          with \langle x \in G \rangle \langle W \subseteq G \rangle obtain s where s \in W and t=s+x using lrtrans_image(2)
             by auto
          with (W\subseteq G) have s\in G by auto
          with \langle x \in G \rangle \langle t=s+x \rangle have t \in G using group_op_closed_add by simp
          from \langle x \in G \rangle \langle s \in G \rangle \langle t = s + x \rangle have t + (-x) = s using put_on_the_other_side
             by simp
          with \langle s \in W \rangle \langle t \in G \rangle have t \in \{s \in G. s + (-x) \in W\} by auto
        then have W+x \subseteq \{t:G. t+(-x):W\} by auto
        with B have W + x \subseteq {\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G \cdot s + (-t) \in W}-\{x\} by auto
        with A have W + x \subseteq V \{x\} by blast
        with V(1) have W + x \subseteq U by auto
        then have int(W + x) \subseteq U using Top_2_L1 by blast
        moreover
        from xg W(1) have x∈int(W + x) using elem_in_int_rtrans(1) by auto
        moreover have int(W + x) \in T using Top_2_L2 by auto
        ultimately have \exists Y \in T. x \in Y \land Y \subseteq U by auto
     then have UET using open_neigh_open by auto
  }
  ultimately have
  \{U \in Pow(G). \ \forall x \in U. \ U \in \{\langle t, \{V \in L\} \ . \ V \in L\}\}\}
  \{U \in Pow(G). \ \forall x \in U. \ U \in \{\langle t, \{V\{t\} \ . \ V \in rightUniformity\} \rangle. \ t \in G\}(x)\}
= T
     by auto
  then show UniformTopology(leftUniformity,G) = T and UniformTopology(rightUniformity,G)
     {\bf unfolding} UniformTopology_def {\bf by} auto
qed
```

The side uniformities are called this way because of how they affect left and right translations. In the next lemma we show that left translations are

```
uniformly continuous with respect to the left uniformity.
lemma (in topgroup) left_mult_uniformity: assumes x \in G
  shows
     LeftTranslation(G,f,x) {is uniformly continuous between} leftUniformity
{and} leftUniformity
proof -
  let P = ProdFunction(LeftTranslation(G, f, x), LeftTranslation(G, f,
  from assms have L: LeftTranslation(G,f,x):G \rightarrow G and leftUniformity {is
a uniformity on } G
     using group0_5_L1 side_uniformities(1) by auto
  moreover have \forall V \in leftUniformity. P-(V) \in leftUniformity
  proof -
     \{ 	ext{ fix V assume V} \in 	ext{leftUniformity} \}
        then obtain U where U \in \mathcal{N}_0 and \{\langle \mathtt{s}, \mathtt{t} \rangle \in \mathtt{G} \times \mathtt{G} : (\mathtt{-s}) + \mathtt{t} \in \mathtt{U}\}
\subseteq V
          unfolding leftUniformity_def by auto
        with \forall V \in leftUniformity  have
          as:V\subseteq G\times G U\in \mathcal{N}_0 \{\langle \mathtt{s},\mathtt{t}\rangle\in G\times G . (- s) + t \in \mathtt{U}\}\subseteq V
          unfolding leftUniformity_def by auto
        { fix z assume z:z \in \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : (-s) + t \in U\}
          then obtain s t where st:z=\langle s,t \rangle seG teG by auto
          from st(1) z have st2: (-s) + t \in U by auto
          from assms st have
             P(z) = \langle LeftTranslation(G, f, x)(s), LeftTranslation(G, f, x)(t) \rangle
             using prodFunctionApp group0_5_L1(2) by blast
          with assms st(2,3) have P(z) = \langle x+s, x+t \rangle using group0_5_L2(2)
             by auto
          moreover
          from \langle x \in G \rangle \langle s \in G \rangle \langle t \in G \rangle have (-(x+s)) + (x+t) = (-s)+t
             using cancel_middle_add(3) by simp
          with st2 have (-(x+s)) + (x+t) \in U by auto
          ultimately have P(z) \in \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : (-s) + t \in U\}
             using assms st(2,3) group_op_closed by auto
          with as(3) have P(z) \in V by force
          with L z have z \in P-(V) using prodFunction func1_1_L5A vimage_iff
             by blast
        with as(2) have \exists \, \mathtt{U} \in \mathcal{N}_0. \{\langle \mathtt{s}, \mathtt{t} \rangle \in \mathtt{G} \times \mathtt{G} : (\mathtt{-s}) + \mathtt{t} \in \mathtt{U}\} \subseteq \mathtt{P} \mathtt{-(V)}
          by blast
        with \langle LeftTranslation(G,f,x):G \rightarrow G \rangle \langle V \subseteq G \times G \rangle have P-(V) \in leftUniformity
          unfolding leftUniformity_def using prodFunction func1_1_L6A by
blast
     } thus thesis by simp
  qed
  ultimately show thesis using IsUniformlyCont_def by auto
qed
```

```
Right translations are uniformly continuous with respect to the right uni-
formity.
lemma (in topgroup) right_mult_uniformity: assumes x \in G
  shows
     RightTranslation(G,f,x) {is uniformly continuous between} rightUniformity
{and} rightUniformity
proof -
  let P = ProdFunction(RightTranslation(G, f, x), RightTranslation(G, f,
  from assms have R: RightTranslation(G,f,x):G \rightarrow G and rightUniformity
{is a uniformity on} G
     using group0_5_L1 side_uniformities(2) by auto
  moreover have \forall V \in rightUniformity. P-(V) \in rightUniformity
  proof -
     { fix V assume V ∈ rightUniformity
       then obtain U where U \in \mathcal{N}_0 and \{\langle \mathtt{s}, \mathtt{t} \rangle \in \mathtt{G} \times \mathtt{G} : \mathtt{s} + (\mathtt{-t}) \in \mathtt{U} \}
\subseteq V
          unfolding rightUniformity_def by auto
       \mathbf{with} \ \langle \mathtt{V} \in \mathtt{rightUniformity} \rangle \ \mathbf{have}
          as:V \subseteq G 	imes G U \in \mathcal{N}_0 {\langles,t\rangle \in G 	imes G \cdot s + (- t) \in U} \subseteq V
          unfolding rightUniformity_def by auto
        \{ \text{ fix z assume z:z} \in \{ \langle \texttt{s,t} \rangle \in \texttt{G} \times \texttt{G} \text{ . s + (-t)} \in \texttt{U} \}
          then obtain s t where st:z=\langle s,t\rangle seG teG by auto
          from st(1) z have st2: s + (-t) \in U by auto
          from assms st have P(z) = \( \text{RightTranslation(G, f, x)(s), RightTranslation(G, f) } \)
f, x)(t)
             using prodFunctionApp group0_5_L1(1) by blast
          with assms st(2,3) have P(z) = \langle s+x,t+x \rangle using group0_5_L2(1)
             by auto
          moreover
          from \langle x \in G \rangle \langle s \in G \rangle \langle t \in G \rangle have (s+x) + (-(t+x)) = s+ (-t)
             using cancel_middle_add(4) by simp
          with st2 have (s+x) + (-(t+x)) \in U by auto
          ultimately have P(z) \in \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\}
             using assms st(2,3) group_op_closed by auto
          with as(3) have P(z) \in V by force
          with R z have z \in P-(V) using prodFunction func1_1_L5A vimage_iff
             by blast
       with as(2) have \exists U \in \mathcal{N}_0. \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : s + (-t) \in U\} \subset P - (V)
          by blast
       with \langle RightTranslation(G,f,x):G \rightarrow G \rangle \langle V \subseteq G \times G \rangle have P-(V) \in rightUniformity
          unfolding rightUniformity_def using prodFunction func1_1_L6A by
blast
     } thus thesis by simp
  ultimately show thesis using IsUniformlyCont_def by auto
```

qed

```
mity of Roelcke.
definition(in topgroup) roelckeUniformity
   where roelckeUniformity \equiv \{V \in Pow(G \times G) : \exists U \in \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G : t \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_0 : \{\langle s,t \rangle \in (U+s) + U\}
٧}
The Roelcke uniformity is indeed a uniformity on the group.
lemma (in topgroup) roelcke_uniformity:
      shows roelckeUniformity {is a uniformity on} G
proof -
      let \Phi = roelckeUniformity
       have \forall \mathtt{U} \in \Phi. \mathtt{id}(\mathtt{G}) \subseteq \mathtt{U} \land (\exists \mathtt{V} \in \Phi). \mathtt{V} \cup \mathtt{U} \subseteq \mathtt{U} ) \land \mathtt{converse}(\mathtt{U}) \in \Phi
               fix U assume U:U ∈ roelckeUniformity
               then obtain V where V: \{(s,t) \in G \times G. \ t \in (V+s) + V\} \subseteq U \ V \in \mathcal{N}_0 \ U: Pow(G \times G)
                      unfolding roelckeUniformity_def by auto
               from V(2) have VG:V⊆G by auto
               have id(G) \subseteq U
               proof -
                      from V(2) have 0 \in int(V) by auto
                      then have V0:0∈V using Top_2_L1 by auto
                       { fix x assume x:x\in G
                              with (V \in \mathcal{N}_0) have x \in V+x using elem_in_int_rtrans(1) Top_2_L1
by blast
                              with \langle V \subseteq G \rangle \langle x \in G \rangle \langle 0 \in V \rangle have x+0 : (V+x)+V
                                     using lrtrans_in_group_add(2) interval_add(4) by auto
                              with \langle x \in G \rangle have x: (V+x)+V using group0_2_L2 by auto
                              with \langle x \in G \rangle have \langle x, x \rangle : \{\langle s, t \rangle \in G \times G. \ t \in (V+s) + V\} by auto
                              with V(1) have \langle x, x \rangle \in U by auto
                      \} thus id(G) \subseteq U by auto
               qed
               moreover have converse(U) \in \Phi
               proof -
                       { fix 1 assume 1 \in \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. \ t \in ((-V)+s)+(-V)\}
                              then obtain s t where st:seG teG t e((-V)+s)+(-V) l=\langle s,t \rangle
                                     by force
                              from \langle V \subseteq G \rangle have smG:(-V) \subseteq G using ginv_image_add(1) by simp
                              with (s \in G) have VxG: (-V)+s \subseteq G using lrtrans_in_group_add(2) by
simp
                              from (V \subseteq G) (t \in G) have VsG:V+t \subseteq G using lrtrans_in_group_add(2)
by simp
                              from st(3) VxG smG obtain x y where xy:t = x+y x \in (-V)+s y\in(-V)
                                     using elements_in_set_sum by blast
                              from xy(2) smG st(1) obtain z where z:x = z+s z∈(-V) using elements_in_rtrans
                                     by blast
```

The third uniformity important on topological groups is called the unifor-

with $\langle y \in (-V) \rangle \langle (-V) \subseteq G \rangle \langle s \in G \rangle \langle t = x+y \rangle$

```
have ts:(-z)+t+(-y) = s using cancel_middle_add(5) by blast
              fix u assume u \in (-V)
              with \langle V \subseteq G \rangle have (-u) \in V using ginv_image_el_add by simp
            } hence R: \forall u \in (-V).(-u) \in V by simp
           with z(2) xy(3) have zy:(-z)\in V (-y)\in V by auto
           from zy(1) VG st(2) have (-z)+t : V+t using lrtrans_image(2)
by auto
           with zy(2) VG VsG have (-z)+t+(-y) : (V+t)+V
              using interval_add(4) by auto
           with ts have s:(V+t)+V by auto
           with st(1,2) have \langle s,t \rangle \in \text{converse}(\{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. \ t \in (V+s)+V\})
              using converse_iff by auto
           with V(1) have \langle s,t \rangle \in \text{converse}(U) by auto
           with st(4) have 1 \in converse(U) by auto
         } then have \{(s,t)\in G\times G. t\in ((-V)+s)+(-V)\}\subseteq converse(U) by auto
        moreover from V(2) have (-V): \mathcal{N}_0 using neg_neigh_neigh by auto
        ultimately have \exists V \in \mathcal{N}_0. \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. t \in (V+s)+V\} \subseteq \text{converse}(U)
by auto
        moreover
        from V(3) have converse(U) \subseteq G\timesG unfolding converse_def by auto
        ultimately show converse(U) \in roelckeUniformity unfolding roelckeUniformity_def
by auto
     qed
     moreover have \exists \, \mathtt{Z} {\in} \Phi. \mathtt{Z} \, \mathtt{O} \, \mathtt{Z} \, \subseteq \, \mathtt{U}
     proof -
        from V(2) obtain W where W:W\in\mathcal{N}_0 W+W \subseteq V using exists_procls_zerohood
by blast
        then have WG:W\subseteq G by auto
        moreover
          \{ \text{ fix k assume as:k:} \{ \langle \mathsf{s}, \mathsf{t} \rangle \in \mathsf{G} \times \mathsf{G}. \ \mathsf{t} \in (\mathsf{W} + \mathsf{s}) + \mathsf{W} \} \ 0 \ \{ \langle \mathsf{s}, \mathsf{t} \rangle \in \mathsf{G} \times \mathsf{G}. \ \mathsf{t} \in (\mathsf{W} + \mathsf{s}) + \mathsf{W} \} 
           then obtain x_1 x_2 x_3 where
              x: x_1 \in G \quad x_2 \in G \quad x_3 \in G \quad x_2 \in (W+x_1)+W \quad x_3 \in (W+x_2)+W \quad k=\langle x_1, x_3 \rangle
              unfolding comp_def by auto
           \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle \mathtt{x}_1 {\in} \mathtt{G} \rangle \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ \mathtt{VsG:W+x}_1 \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{G} \ \ \mathbf{and} \ \ \mathtt{Vx1G:V+x}_1 \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{G}
              using lrtrans_in_group_add(2) by auto
           from x(4) VsG WG obtain x y where xy:x_2 = x+y x \in W+x_1 y\in W
              \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{elements\_in\_set\_sum} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{blast}
           from xy(2) WG x(1) obtain z where z:x = z+x_1 z\inW using elements_in_rtrans
              by blast
           from z(2) xy(3) WG have yzG:y\in G z\in G by auto
           from x(2) have VsG:W+x_2 \subseteq G using lrtrans_in_group_add by simp
           from x(5) VsG WG obtain x' y' where xy2:x_3 = x'+y' x' \in W+x_2 y' \in W
              using elements_in_set_sum by blast
           from xy2(2) WG x(2) obtain z' where z2:x' = z'+x_2 z' \in W using
elements_in_rtrans
              by blast
```

```
from z2(2) xy2(3) WG have yzG2:y'\in G z'\in G by auto
          from xy(1) z(1) xy2(1) z2(1) have x_3 = (z'+(z+x_1+y))+y' by auto
          with yzG yzG2 x(1) have x3:x_3 = ((z'+z)+x_1)+(y+y')
            using group_oper_assoc group_op_closed by simp
          from xy(3) z(2) xy2(3) z2(2) WG have z'+z \in W+Wy+y' \in W+W
            using interval_add(4) by auto
          with W(2) have yzV:z'+z \in V y+y' \in V by auto
          from yzV(1) VG x(1) have (z'+z)+x_1 \in V+x_1 using lrtrans_image(2)
by auto
          with yzV(2) Vx1G VG have ((z'+z)+x_1)+(y+y') \in (V+x_1)+V
            using interval_add(4) by auto
          with x3 have x_3 \in (V+x_1)+V by auto
          with x(1,3,6) have k:\{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. t \in (V+s)+V\} by auto
       with V(1) have \{\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ t\in (W+s)+W\} 0 \{\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ t\in (W+s)+W\}\subseteq U
          by auto
       moreover from W(1) have \{(s,t)\in G\times G.\ t\in (W+s)+W\}\in roelckeUniformity
          unfolding roelckeUniformity_def by auto
       ultimately show \exists \, \mathtt{Z} {\in} \mathtt{roelckeUniformity}. \; \mathtt{Z} \; \mathtt{O} \; \mathtt{Z} \subseteq \mathtt{U} \; \mathbf{by} auto
     ultimately show id(G)\subseteq U \land (\exists V \in \Phi. V \cup V \subseteq U) \land converse(U) \in \Phi
by simp
  qed
  moreover
  have roelckeUniformity {is a filter on} (G \times G)
  proof -
     {
       \mathbf{assume} \ \mathtt{0} \in \mathtt{roelckeUniformity}
       then obtain W where U:W\in\mathcal{N}_0 {\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G. t\in (W+s)+W}\subseteq 0
          unfolding roelckeUniformity_def by auto
       have (0,0):G×G using zero_in_tgroup by auto
       moreover have 0 = 0+0+0 using group0_2_L2 zero_in_tgroup by auto
       moreover
       from U(1) have 0 \in int(W) by auto
       then have 0 \in W using Top_2_L1 by auto
       with \langle W \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle have 0+0+0 \in (W+0)+W
          using group0_2_L2 group_op_closed trans_neutral_image interval_add_zero
          by auto
       ultimately have (0,0) \in \{(s,t) \in G \times G. t \in (W+s) + W\} by auto
       with U(2) have False by blast
     }
    moreover
       fix x xa assume as:x \in roelckeUniformity xa \in x
       have roelckeUniformity \subseteq Pow(G×G) unfolding roelckeUniformity_def
by auto
       with as have xa \in G \times G by auto
```

```
moreover
      {
        have G \times G \in Pow(G \times G) by auto
        moreover
        have \{(s,t):G\times G. t\in (G+s)+G\}\subseteq G\times G by auto
        moreover note zneigh_not_empty
        ultimately have GxGeroelckeUniformity unfolding roelckeUniformity_def
by auto
     }
     moreover
      {
        fix A B assume as:A∈roelckeUniformity B∈roelckeUniformity
        from as(1) obtain AU where
           AU:AU \in \mathcal{N}_0 \{(s,t) \in G \times G. t \in (AU+s) + AU\} \subseteq A A \in Pow(G \times G)
           unfolding roelckeUniformity_def by auto
        from as(2) obtain BU where
           BU:BU\in \mathcal{N}_0 \{(s,t)\in G\times G.\ t\in (BU+s)+BU\}\subseteq B\ B\in Pow(G\times G)
           unfolding roelckeUniformity_def by auto
        from AU(1) BU(1) have 0 \in int(AU) \cap int(BU) by auto
        moreover have op:int(AU)∩int(BU)∈T using Top_2_L2 topSpaceAssum
unfolding IsATopology_def
           by auto
        moreover
        have int(AU)\cap int(BU) \subseteq AU\cap BU using Top_2_L1 by auto
        with op have int(AU)∩int(BU)⊆int(AU∩BU) using Top_2_L5
           by auto
        moreover note AU(1) BU(1)
        ultimately have interNeigh: AU\capBU \in \mathcal{N}_0 unfolding zerohoods_def by
auto
        moreover
           fix z assume z \in \{(s,t)\in G\times G.\ t\in ((AU\cap BU)+s)+(AU\cap BU)\}
           then obtain s t where
              z:z=\langle s,t\rangle s\in G t\in G t\in ((AU\cap BU)+s)+(AU\cap BU)
           \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle \mathtt{AU} \cap \mathtt{BU} \ \in \ \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle \ \ \langle \mathtt{s} \in \mathtt{G} \rangle \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \ \mathtt{AU} \cap \mathtt{BU} \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{G} \ \ \mathbf{and} \ \ (\mathtt{AU} \cap \mathtt{BU}) + \mathtt{s} \ \subseteq \mathtt{G}
               using lrtrans_in_group_add(2) by auto
           with z(4) obtain x y where t:t=x+y x \in (AU \cap BU)+s y \in AU \cap BU
               using elements_in_set_sum by blast
           from t(2) z(2) interNeigh obtain q where x:x=q+s q \in AU\capBU us-
ing lrtrans_image(2)
              by auto
           with AU(1) BU(1) z(2) have x \in AU+s \ x \in BU+s \ using lrtrans_image(2)
by auto
           \mathbf{with} \  \, \langle \mathtt{y} \in \mathtt{AU} \cap \mathtt{BU} \rangle \  \, \langle \mathtt{AU} \in \  \, \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle \  \, \langle \mathtt{BU} \in \  \, \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle \  \, \langle \mathtt{s} \in \  \, \mathtt{G} \rangle \  \, \langle \mathtt{t=x+y} \rangle \  \, \mathbf{have}
              t \in (AU+s)+AU and t \in (BU+s)+BU
              using lrtrans_in_group_add(2) elements_in_set_sum_inv by auto
```

```
with z(1,2,3) have
            z \in \{(s,t) \in G \times G. \ t \in (AU+s) + AU\} \ and \ z \in \{(s,t) \in G \times G. \ t \in (BU+s) + BU\}
            by auto
       then have
          \{(s,t)\in G\times G.\ t\in ((AU\cap BU)+s)+(AU\cap BU)\}\subseteq G\times G.
          \{\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ t\in (AU+s)+AU\}\cap \{\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ t\in (BU+s)+BU\}
          by auto
       with AU(2) BU(2) have \{\langle s,t\rangle\in G\times G.\ t\in ((AU\cap BU)+s)+(AU\cap BU)\}\subseteq A\cap B
by blast
       ultimately have A∩B ∈ roelckeUniformity using AU(3) BU(3) unfold-
ing roelckeUniformity_def
          by blast
     }
     moreover
       fix B C assume as:B\inroelckeUniformity C\subseteq(G \times G) B \subseteq C
       from as(1) obtain BU where BU:BU\in \mathcal{N}_0 {\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. t \in (BU+s)+BU \}\subseteq B
          unfolding roelckeUniformity_def by blast
       from as(3) BU(2) have \{(s,t)\in G\times G.\ t\in (BU+s)+BU\}\subseteq C by auto
       then have C \in \text{roelckeUniformity using as}(2) BU(1) unfolding roelckeUniformity_def
          by auto
     }
     ultimately show thesis unfolding IsFilter_def by auto
  ultimately show thesis using IsUniformity_def by auto
The topology given by the roelcke uniformity is the original topology
lemma (in topgroup) top_generated_roelcke_uniformity:
  shows UniformTopology(roelckeUniformity,G) = T
proof -
  let M = \{\langle t, \{V \mid \{t\} : V \in roelckeUniformity\} \rangle : t \in G\}
  have fun:M:G->Pow(Pow(G)) using IsNeighSystem_def neigh_from_uniformity
roelcke_uniformity
     by auto
     fix U assume as:U \in \{U \in Pow(G). \ \forall x \in U. \ U \in Mx\}
     {
       fix x assume x:x\in U
       with as have xg:x\in G by auto
       from x as have U \in {\langle t, \{V \mid \{t\} \mid V \in roelckeUniformity\} \rangle . t \in
G}(x) by auto
       with fun (x \in G) have U \in \{V \mid \{x\} : V \in \text{roelckeUniformity}\} using ZF_fun_from_tot_val
          by simp
```

```
then obtain V where V:U=V\{x\} V \in roelckeUniformity by auto
       from V(2) obtain W where W:W\in \mathcal{N}_0 {\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. t\in (W+s)+W}\subseteqV
          unfolding roelckeUniformity_def by auto
       from W(1) have WG:W⊆G by auto
       from W(2) have A:\{(s,t):G\times G.\ t:(W+s)+W\}\{x\}\subseteq V\{x\} by auto
       have \{(s,t) \in G \times G. t \in (W+s)+W\}\{x\} = (W+x)+W
       proof -
          let A = \{\langle s,t \rangle : G \times G. t \in (W+s)+W\}
          from (W \subseteq G) (x \in G) have I: (W+x)+W \subseteq G
               using lrtrans_in_group_add interval_add(1) by auto
          have A{x} = {t\in G. \langle \texttt{x,t}\rangle \ \in \ \texttt{A}} \ \mathbf{by} blast
          moreover have \{t \in G : \langle x, t \rangle \in A\} \subseteq (W+x)+W by auto
          moreover from (W\subseteq G) (x\in G) I have (W+x)+W\subseteq \{t\in G, (x,t)\in A\}
by auto
          ultimately show thesis by auto
       qed
       with A V(1) have WU:(W+x)+W \subseteq U by auto
       have int(W)+x ⊆ W+x using image_mono Top_2_L1 by simp
       then have (int(W)+x)\times(int(W)) \subseteq (W+x)\times W using Top_2_L1 by auto
       then have f((int(W)+x)\times(int(W))) \subseteq f((W+x)\times W) using image_mono
by auto
       moreover
       from xg WG have
          \langle \text{int(W)+x,int(W)} \rangle \in \text{Pow(G)} \times \text{Pow(G)} \text{ and } \langle \text{(W+x),W} \rangle \in \text{Pow(G)} \times \text{Pow(G)}
          using Top_2_L2 lrtrans_in_group_add(2) by auto
       then have
          (int(W)+x)+(int(W)) = f((int(W)+x)\times(int(W))) and
          (W+x)+W = f((W+x)\times W)
          using interval_add(2) by auto
       ultimately have (int(W)+x)+(int(W)) \subseteq (W+x)+W by auto
       with xg WG have int(W+x)+(int(W)) \subseteq (W+x)+W using rtrans_interior
          by auto
       moreover
          have int(W+x)+(int(W)) = (\bigcup t \in int(W+x). t+(int(W)))
            using interval_add(3) Top_2_L2 by auto
          moreover have \forall t \in int(W+x). t+(int(W)) = int(t+W)
          proof -
            { fix t assume t \in int(W+x)
               from \langle x \in G \rangle have (W+x) \subseteq G using lrtrans_in_group_add(2) by
simp
               with \langle t \in int(W+x) \rangle have t \in G using Top_2_L2 by auto
               with \text{WGG} have t + int(\text{W}) = int(t+\text{W}) using ltrans_interior
by simp
             } thus thesis by simp
          qed
          ultimately have int(W+x)+(int(W)) = ([]t\in int(W+x). int(t+W))
```

```
by auto
          with topSpaceAssum have int(W+x)+(int(W)) \in T using Top_2_L2
union_open
             by auto
        }
        moreover from \langle x \in G \rangle \ \langle W \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle \ \text{have} \ x \in \text{int}(W+x)+(\text{int}(W))
          using elem_in_int_rtrans(2) by simp
        moreover note WU
        ultimately have \exists Y \in T. x \in Y \land Y \subseteq U by auto
     then have UET using open_neigh_open by auto
  }
  then have \{U \in Pow(G). \ \forall x \in U. \ U \in \{\langle t, \{V \ \{t\} \ . \ V \in roelckeUniformity\} \}
  t \in G(x) \subseteq T
     by auto
  moreover
     fix U assume op:U \in T
        fix x assume x:x\in U
        with op have xg:x\in G by auto
        have (-x)+U \in \mathcal{N}_0 using open_trans_neigh op x by auto
        then obtain W where W:W\in \mathcal{N}_0 W + W \subseteq (-x)+U using exists_procls_zerohood
          by blast
        let V = x+(W+(-x)) \cap W
        \mathbf{from} \ \ \forall \mathbf{W} \in \mathcal{N}_0 \ \ \ \ \ \ \mathbf{xec} \ \ \mathbf{have} \quad \ \ \mathbf{xWx:x+(W+(-x))} \ \ : \ \ \ \ \ \mathbf{No} \ \ \ \mathbf{using} \ \ \mathbf{lrtrans\_neigh}
          by simp
        from W(1) have WG:W\subseteq G by auto
        from xWx W(1) have 0 \in int(x+(W+(-x))) \cap int(W) by auto
        have int:int(x+(W+(-x)))\cap int(W)\in T
           using \ {\tt Top\_2\_L2} \ {\tt topSpaceAssum} \ \ unfolding \ {\tt IsATopology\_def} \ by \ {\tt auto} 
        have int(x+(W+(-x)))\cap int(W) \subseteq (x+(W+(-x)))\cap W using Top_2_L1
          by auto
        with int have int(x+(W+(-x)))\cap int(W)\subseteq int((x+(W+(-x)))\cap W)
          using Top_2_L5 by auto
        moreover note xWx W(1)
        ultimately have V_NEIG:V \in \mathcal{N}_0 unfolding zerohoods_def by auto
        {
          fix z assume z:z \in (V+x)+V
          from W(1) have VG:V\subseteq G by auto
          with \langle x \in G \rangle have \forall x \in G using lrtrans_in_group_add(2) by
simp
          from z VG VxG W(1) obtain a_1 b_1 where ab:z=a_1+b_1 a_1 \in V+x b_1
\in V
             using elements_in_set_sum by blast
          from ab(2) xg VG obtain c_1 where c:a_1=c_1+x c_1 \in V using elements_in_rtrans
             by blast
```

```
from ab(3) c(2) have bc:b_1\in W c_1\in x+(W+(-x)) by auto
             from \langle x \in G \rangle have x+(W+(-x)) = \{x+y. y \in (W+(-x))\}
                 using neg_in_tgroup lrtrans_in_group_add lrtrans_image by auto
             with (c_1 \in x+(W+(-x))) obtain d where d:c<sub>1</sub>=x+d d \in W+(-x)
                by auto
             from \langle x \in G \rangle \ \langle W \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle \ \langle d \in W + (-x) \rangle obtain e where e:d=e+(-x) e\in W
                 using neg_in_tgroup lrtrans_in_group lrtrans_image(2) by auto
             from e(2) WG have eG:e∈G by auto
             \mathbf{from} \ \langle e{\in} \mathtt{W} \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{W}{\subseteq} \mathtt{G} \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{b}_1{\in} \mathtt{W} \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ e{\in} \mathtt{G} \ \mathtt{b}_1{\in} \mathtt{G} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
             \mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{b}_1 \rangle \ \langle \mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{x} \rangle \ \langle \mathbf{c}_1 = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d} \rangle \ \langle \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{e} + (-\mathbf{x}) \rangle
             have z = x + (e+(-x)) + x + b_1 by simp
             with \langle x \in G \rangle \langle e \in G \rangle have z=(x+e)+b_1 using cancel_middle(4) by simp
             with \langle x \in G \rangle \langle e \in G \rangle \langle b_1 \in G \rangle have z = x + (e + b_1) using group_oper_assoc
by simp
             moreover from e(2) ab(3) WG have e+b_1 \in W+W using elements_in_set_sum_inv
                by auto
             moreover note xg WG
             ultimately have zex+(W+W) using elements_in_ltrans_inv interval_add(1)
                by auto
             moreover
             \mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{W} \subseteq \mathtt{G} \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{U} \in \mathtt{T} \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{W} \ + \ \mathtt{W} \ \subseteq \ \mathtt{G} \ \mathbf{and} \ \mathtt{U} \subseteq \mathtt{G} \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathtt{interval\_add(1)}
by auto
             with \langle W + W \subseteq (-x) + U \rangle \langle x \in G \rangle have x + (W + W) \subseteq U using trans_subset
                by simp
             ultimately have z \in U by auto
          then have sub:(V+x)+V \subseteq U by auto
          moreover from V_NEIG have unif:\{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G.\ t : (V+s)+V\} \in roelckeUniformity
             unfolding roelckeUniformity_def by auto
          moreover from xg have
             \forall q. q \in \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. t : (V+s)+V\}\{x\} \longleftrightarrow q \in ((V+x)+V) \cap G
             by auto
          then have \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. t \in (V+s)+V\}\{x\} = ((V+x)+V)\cap G
          ultimately have basic:\{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G.\ t : (V+s)+V\}\{x\} \subseteq U \text{ using op}
             by auto
          have add: (\{x\} \times U)\{x\} = U by auto
          from basic add have (\{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G. \ t \in (V+s)+V\} \cup (\{x\} \times U))\{x\} =
U
             by auto
          moreover have R: \forall B \in \text{roelckeUniformity}. (\forall C \in Pow(G \times G). B \subseteq C)
\longrightarrow C \in roelckeUniformity)
             using roelcke_uniformity unfolding IsUniformity_def IsFilter_def
```

```
by auto
         moreover from op xg have GG:(\{\langle s,t\rangle \in G\times G.\ t\in (V+s)+V\}\cup (\{x\}\times U)):Pow(G\times G)
by auto
         moreover have
            \{\langle \mathtt{s},\mathtt{t}\rangle \in \mathtt{G} \times \mathtt{G}. \ \mathtt{t} \in (\mathtt{V} + \mathtt{s}) + \mathtt{V}\} \subseteq (\{\langle \mathtt{s},\mathtt{t}\rangle \in \mathtt{G} \times \mathtt{G}. \ \mathtt{t} \in (\mathtt{V} + \mathtt{s}) + \mathtt{V}\} \cup (\{\mathtt{x}\} \times \mathtt{U}))
            by auto
         moreover from R unif GG have
            (\{\langle s,t\rangle \in G\times G.\ t\in (V+s)+V\}\cup (\{x\}\times U))\in \text{roelckeUniformity by}
auto
         ultimately have \exists V \in \text{roelckeUniformity}. V\{x\} = U by auto
         then have U \in \{V \mid \{x\} \mid V \in \text{roelckeUniformity}\} by auto
         with xg fun have U \in {\langle t, \{V \mid \{t\} \mid V \in FoelckeUniformity\} \rangle . t
\in G x
            using apply_equality by auto
      \} hence \forall x \in U. U \in \{\langle t, \{V \mid \{t\} \mid V \in roelckeUniformity\} \rangle . t \in G\}
x by auto
      with op have U:\{U \in Pow(G). \forall x \in U. U \in \{\langle t, \{V \mid \{t\} : V \in roelckeUniformity\}\}\}
t \in G(x)
         by auto
   } then have T \subseteq \{U \in Pow(G). \ \forall x \in U. \ U \in \{\langle t, \{V \ \{t\} \ . \ V \in roelckeUniformity\}\rangle\}\}
. t \in G(x)
      by auto
   ultimately have
      \{U \in Pow(G). \ \forall x \in U. \ U \in \{\langle t, \{V \ \{t\} \ . \ V \in roelckeUniformity\} \rangle \ . \ t\}
\in G{x)} = T by auto
   then show thesis unfolding UniformTopology_def by auto
qed
The inverse map is uniformly continuous in the Roelcke uniformity
theorem (in topgroup) inv_uniform_roelcke:
      GroupInv(G,f) {is uniformly continuous between} roelckeUniformity
{and} roelckeUniformity
proof -
  let P = ProdFunction(GroupInv(G,f), GroupInv(G,f))
  have L: GroupInv(G,f):G \rightarrow G and R:roelckeUniformity {is a uniformity
      using groupAssum groupO_2_T2 roelcke_uniformity by auto
  have \forall V \in \text{roelckeUniformity}. P-(V) \in \text{roelckeUniformity}
      fix V assume v:V∈ roelckeUniformity
      then obtain U where U \in \mathcal{N}_0 and \{\langle \mathtt{s}, \mathtt{t} \rangle \in \mathtt{G} \times \mathtt{G} : \mathtt{t} \in \mathtt{U} + \mathtt{s} + \mathtt{U}\}
\subset \Lambda
         unfolding roelckeUniformity_def by auto
      \mathbf{with} \ \langle \mathtt{V} \in \mathtt{roelckeUniformity} \rangle \ \mathbf{have}
         as:V \subseteq G 	imes G U \in \mathcal{N}_0 {\langles,t\rangle \in G 	imes G . t \in U + s + U} \subseteq V
         unfolding roelckeUniformity_def by auto
      from as(2) obtain W where w:W \in \mathcal{N}_0 W \subseteq U (-W) = W using exists_sym_zerohood
```

```
by blast
      from w(1) have wg:W\subseteq G by auto
          fix z assume z:z \in \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in W + s + W\}
          then obtain s t where st:z=\langle s,t \rangle seG teG by auto
          from st(1) z have st2: t \in W + s + W by auto
          with \langle W \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle st(2) obtain u v where uv:t=u+v u\in W+s v\in W
             using interval_add(4) lrtrans_in_group_add(2) by auto
          \mathbf{from} \ \ \langle \mathtt{W} \subseteq \mathtt{G} \rangle \ \ \langle \mathtt{u} \in \mathtt{W} + \mathtt{s} \rangle \ \ \mathbf{obtain} \ \ \mathtt{q} \ \ \mathbf{where} \ \ \mathtt{q} : \mathtt{q} \in \mathtt{W} \ \ \mathtt{u} = \mathtt{q} + \mathtt{s} \ \ \mathbf{using} \ \ \mathtt{elements\_in\_rtrans}
             by blast
          from w(2) as(2) q st(2) have u \in U+s using lrtrans_image(2) by auto
          with w(2) uv(1,3) as(2) st(2) have t \in U + s + U using interval_add(4)
             lrtrans_in_group_add(2) by auto
          with st have z \in \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in U + s + U\} by auto
      then have
          \verb"sub:\{\langle \verb"s,t"\rangle \in \texttt{G} \times \texttt{G} \text{ . } \texttt{t} \in \texttt{W} + \texttt{s} + \texttt{W}\} \subseteq \{\langle \verb"s,t"\rangle \in \texttt{G} \times \texttt{G} \text{ . } \texttt{t} \in \texttt{U} + \texttt{S}\}
s + U}
          by auto
          \mbox{fix z assume z:z} \in \{\langle {\tt s,t} \rangle \in {\tt G} \times {\tt G} \mbox{ . t} \in {\tt W} + {\tt s} + {\tt W}\}
          then obtain s t where st:z=\langle s,t \rangle seG teG by auto
          from st(1) z have st2: t \in W + s + W by auto
          with \langle W \in \mathcal{N}_0 \rangle obtain u v where uv:t=u+v u\in W+s v\in W
             using interval_add(4) lrtrans_in_group_add(2) st(2) by auto
           from \  \, \langle \mathbb{W} \subseteq \mathbb{G} \rangle \  \, \langle \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{G} \rangle \  \, \langle \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{W} + \mathbf{s} \rangle \  \, obtain \  \, \mathsf{q} \  \, \mathsf{where} \  \, \mathsf{q} : \mathsf{q} \in \mathbb{W} \  \, \mathsf{u} = \mathsf{q} + \mathsf{s} \  \, \mathsf{using} \  \, \mathsf{elements\_in\_rtrans} 
             by blast
          \mathbf{from} \ \langle \mathtt{W} \subseteq \mathtt{G} \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{q} \in \mathtt{W} \rangle \ \langle \mathtt{v} \in \mathtt{W} \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{q} \in \mathtt{G} \ \mathtt{v} \in \mathtt{G} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathtt{auto}
          with \langle q \in G \rangle \langle v \in G \rangle \langle u = q + s \rangle st(2) uv(1) q(2) have t=q+(s+v)
             using group_op_closed_add group_oper_assoc by auto
          with st(2) \langle q \in G \rangle \langle v \in G \rangle have minust: (-t) = (-v) + (-s) + (-q)
             using group_inv_of_two group_op_closed group_inv_of_two by auto
          from q(1) wg have (-q)∈-W using ginv_image_add(2) by auto
          with w(3) have minusq: (-q) \in W by auto
          from uv(3) wg have (-v)∈-W using ginv_image_add(2) by auto
          with w(3) have minusv: (-v) \in W by auto
          with st(2) wg have (-v)+(-s) \in W+(-s)
             using lrtrans_image(2) inverse_in_group by auto
          with minust minusq st(2) wg have (-t) \in (W+(-s))+W
             using interval_add(4) inverse_in_group lrtrans_in_group_add(2)
by auto
          moreover
          from st groupAssum have P(z) = \langle GroupInv(G,f)(s), GroupInv(G,f)(t) \rangle
             using prodFunctionApp group0_2_T2 by blast
          with st(2,3) have P(z) = \langle -s, -t \rangle by auto
          ultimately have P(z) \in \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in W + s + W\}
             using st(2,3) inverse_in_group by auto
```

```
with sub have P(z) \in \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in U + s + U\} by force with as(3) have P(z) \in V by force with z L have z \in P-(V) using prodFunction func1_1_L5A vimage_iff by blast } with w(1) have \exists U \in \mathcal{N}_0. \{\langle s,t \rangle \in G \times G : t \in U + s + U\} \subseteq P-(V) by blast with L show P-(V) \in roelckeUniformity unfolding roelckeUniformity_def using prodFunction func1_1_L6A by blast qed with L R show thesis using IsUniformlyCont_def by auto qed end
```

80 Topological groups 2

theory TopologicalGroup_ZF_2 imports Topology_ZF_8 TopologicalGroup_ZF
Group_ZF_2
begin

This theory deals with quotient topological groups.

80.1 Quotients of topological groups

The quotient topology given by the quotient group equivalent relation, has an open quotient map.

```
theorem(in topgroup) quotient_map_topgroup_open:
  assumes IsAsubgroup(H,f) A∈T
  defines r \equiv QuotientGroupRel(G,f,H)
  shows \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle\}. b\in \bigcup T\}A\in (T\{quotient\ by\}r)
proof-
  have eqT:equiv(\(\)T,r) and eqG:equiv(G,r) using group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L3
assms(1) unfolding r_def IsAnormalSubgroup_def
     using group0_valid_in_tgroup by auto
  have subA:A\subseteq G using assms(2) by auto
  have subH:H⊆G using group0.group0_3_L2[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup assms(1)].
  have A1:\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle . b\in \bigcup T\}-(\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle . b\in \bigcup T\}A)=H+A
  proof
        fix t assume t \in \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle . b \in \bigcup T\} - (\{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle . b \in \bigcup T\}A)
        then have \exists m \in (\{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in \bigcup T\}A), \langle t, m \rangle \in \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in \bigcup T\} using
vimage_iff by auto
        then obtain m where m \in (\{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in JT\}A) \langle t, m \rangle \in \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in JT\}
        then obtain b where b \in A(b,m) \in \{(b,r\{b\}) : b \in JT\} t \in G \text{ and } rel:r\{t\}=m
using image_iff by auto
```

```
then have r{b}=m by auto
         then have r\{t\}=r\{b\} using rel by auto
         with \langle b \in A \rangle subA have \langle t, b \rangle \in r using eq_equiv_class[OF _ eqT] by auto
         then have f⟨t,GroupInv(G,f)b⟩∈H unfolding r_def QuotientGroupRel_def
         then obtain h where h \in H and prd:f(t,GroupInv(G,f)b)=h by auto
         then have h \in G using subH by auto
         have b \in G using \langle b \in A \rangle \langle A \in T \rangle by auto
         then have (-b)∈G using neg_in_tgroup by auto
         from prd have h=t+(-b) by simp
         with \langle t \in G \rangle \langle b \in G \rangle have t = h+b using inv_cancel_two_add(1) by simp
         then have \langle \langle h, b \rangle, t \rangle \in f using apply_Pair[OF topgroup_f_binop] \langle h \in G \rangle \langle b \in G \rangle
by auto
         moreover from \langle h \in H \rangle \langle b \in A \rangle have \langle h, b \rangle \in H \times A by auto
         ultimately have t \in f(H \times A) using image_iff by auto
         with subA subH have t \in H+A using interval_add(2) by auto
      then show (\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in JT\}-(\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in JT\}A))\subseteq H+A by force
         fix t assume t∈H+A
         with subA subH have t \in f(H \times A) using interval_add(2) by auto
         then obtain ha where ha \in H \times A \ \langle ha, t \rangle \in f \ using image_iff by auto
         then obtain h as where ha=\h,as\h\epsilon\heat\epsilon Has\epsilon A by auto
         then have heGaaeG using subH subA by auto
         from \langle \langle ha, t \rangle \in f \rangle have t \in G using topgroup_f_binop unfolding Pi_def
         from \langle ha=\langle h,aa \rangle \rangle \langle \langle ha,t \rangle \in f \rangle have t=h+aa using apply_equality topgroup_f_binop
            by auto
         with \langle h \in G \rangle \langle aa \in G \rangle have t+(-aa) = h using inv_cancel_two_add(2) by
simp
         with \langle h \in H \rangle \langle t \in G \rangle \langle aa \in G \rangle have \langle t, aa \rangle \in r unfolding r_def QuotientGroupRel_def
by auto
         then have r{t}=r{aa} using eqT equiv_class_eq by auto
         with \langle aa \in G \rangle have \langle aa,r\{t\} \rangle \in \{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in \bigcup T\} by auto
         with \langle aa \in A \rangle have A1:r\{t\} \in (\{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in \bigcup T\}A) using image_iff by
auto
         from \langle t \in G \rangle have \langle t, r\{t\} \rangle \in \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in JT\} by auto
         with A1 have t \in \{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle . b \in \bigcup T\} - (\{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle . b \in \bigcup T\}A) using vimage_iff
by auto
      then show H+A\subseteq \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle \ b\in \bigcup T\}-(\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle \ b\in \bigcup T\}A) by auto
  have H+A=(\bigcup x\in H. x + A) using interval_add(3) subH subA by auto more-
   have \forall x \in H. x + A \in T using open_tr_open(1) assms(2) subH by blast
   then have \{x + A. x \in H\}\subseteq T by auto
   then have ([]x\in H. x + A)\in T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def
```

```
by auto
        ultimately have H+A \in T by auto
        with A1 have \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in\bigcup T\}-(\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in\bigcup T\}A)\in T by auto
        then have (\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in | JT\}A)\in \{\text{quotient topology in}\}((| JT)/r)\{by\}\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, r\{b\}\}\}
b \in |T| \{from\}T
                 using QuotientTop_def topSpaceAssum quotient_proj_surj using
                  func1_1_L6(2)[OF quotient_proj_fun] by auto
        then show (\{\langle b, r\{b\} \rangle, b \in | JT\}A) \in (T\{\text{quotient by}\}r) using EquivQuo_def[OF]
eqT] by auto
qed
A quotient of a topological group is just a quotient group with an appropriate
topology that makes product and inverse continuous.
theorem (in topgroup) quotient_top_group_F_cont:
        assumes IsAnormalSubgroup(G,f,H)
        defines r = QuotientGroupRel(G,f,H)
        \mathbf{defines} \ \mathtt{F} \ \equiv \ \mathtt{QuotientGroupOp}(\mathtt{G,f,H})
        shows IsContinuous(ProductTopology(T{quotient by}r,T{quotient by}r),T{quotient
by}r,F)
proof-
        have eqT:equiv([]T,r) and eqG:equiv(G,r) using group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L3
assms(1) unfolding r_def IsAnormalSubgroup_def
                  using group0_valid_in_tgroup by auto
        have fun: \{\langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \}. \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \} : G \times G \rightarrow (G//r) \times (G//r) us-
ing product_equiv_rel_fun unfolding G_def by auto
        have C:Congruent2(r,f) using Group_ZF_2_4_L5A[OF Ggroup assms(1)] un-
folding r_def.
         with eqT have IsContinuous(ProductTopology(T,T), ProductTopology(T{quotient
by\r,T\{quotient by\r),\{\langle\bar{b},c\rangle,\langle\rangle}. \langle\bar{b},c\rangle\bar{U}\times\T\\ T\})
                  using product_quo_fun by auto
        have tprod:topology0(ProductTopology(T,T)) unfolding topology0_def us-
ing Top_1_4_T1(1)[OF topSpaceAssum topSpaceAssum].
        have Hfun: \{\langle b, c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \}. \langle b, c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \} \in surj(\bigcup ProductTopology(T,T), \bigcup (( \{quotient \}, r\{c\} \}) \}
topology in \} ((\bigcup T)//r) \times ((\bigcup T)//r)) \{by\} \{ \langle (b,c), (r\{b\}, r\{c\}) \rangle . \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \} \{from\} (ProductTopology in \} ((\bigcup T)//r) \times ((\bigcup T)//r)) \{by\} \{ \langle (b,c), (r\{b\}, r\{c\}) \rangle . \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \} \{from\} (ProductTopology in \} ((\bigcup T)//r)) \{by\} \{ \langle (b,c), (r\{b\}, r\{c\}) \rangle . \langle (b,c), (r\{b\}, r\{c\}) \rangle .
using prod_equiv_rel_surj
                 total_quo_equi[OF eqT] topology0.total_quo_func[OF tprod prod_equiv_rel_surj]
{\bf unfolding} \ {\tt F\_def} \ {\tt QuotientGroupOp\_def} \ {\tt r\_def}
                 by auto
        have Ffun:F:\bigcup((\{quotient\ topology\ in\}(((\bigcup T)//r)\times((\bigcup T)//r))\{by\}\{\langle\langle b,c\rangle,\langle r\{b\},r\{c\}\rangle\rangle.
\label{eq:condition} $$\langle b,c\rangle\in [\ ]T\times [\ ]T\times [\ ]T\cap (ProductTopology(T,T))))\to U(T\{quotient\ by\}r)$
                 using EquivClass_1_T1[OF eqG C] using total_quo_equi[OF eqT] topologyO.total_quo_func[O
tprod prod_equiv_rel_surj] unfolding F_def QuotientGroupOp_def r_def
        \mathbf{have} \ \mathsf{cc:} (\texttt{F} \ \texttt{O} \ \{\langle \langle \texttt{b}, \texttt{c} \rangle, \langle \texttt{r} \{\texttt{b}\}, \texttt{r} \{\texttt{c}\} \rangle \rangle. \ \langle \texttt{b}, \texttt{c} \rangle \in \bigcup \texttt{T} \times \bigcup \texttt{T}\}) : \texttt{G} \times \texttt{G} \rightarrow \texttt{G}//\texttt{r} \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathsf{comp\_fun} [\texttt{OF}] = (\texttt{G} \times \texttt{G}) + (\texttt{G} 
fun EquivClass_1_T1[OF eqG C]]
                  unfolding F_def QuotientGroupOp_def r_def by auto
         then have (F 0 \{\langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \}. \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \}): \bigcup (ProductTopology(T,T)) \rightarrow \bigcup (T\{quotient f(a), b,c \})
by}r) using Top_1_4_T1(3)[OF topSpaceAssum topSpaceAssum]
                 total_quo_equi[OF eqT] by auto
```

```
then have two:two_top_spaces0(ProductTopology(T,T),T{quotient by}r,(F
0 \ \{\langle \langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \rangle. \ \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\})) \ unfolding \ two\_top\_spaces0\_def
           using Top_1_4_T1(1)[OF topSpaceAssum topSpaceAssum] equiv_quo_is_top[OF
eqT] by auto
     have IsContinuous(ProductTopology(T,T),T,f) using fcon prodtop_def by
auto moreover
     have IsContinuous(T,T{quotient by}r,\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in \bigcup T\}) using quotient_func_cont[OF
quotient_proj_surj]
           unfolding EquivQuo_def[OF eqT] by auto
      ultimately have cont:IsContinuous(ProductTopology(T,T),T{quotient by}r,{\bar{b}}.
b∈[ ]T} 0 f)
           using comp_cont by auto
           fix A assume A:A\in G\times G
           then obtain g1 g2 where A_def:A=\langle g1,g2\rangle g1\inGg2\inG by auto
           then have fA=g1+g2 and p:g1+g2∈[]T unfolding grop_def using
                 apply_type[OF topgroup_f_binop] by auto
           then have \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle | b\in JT\}(fA)=\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle | b\in JT\}(g1+g2) by auto
           with p have \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in JT\}(fA)=r\{g1+g2\} using apply_equality[OF
_ quotient_proj_fun]
                 by auto
           then have Pr1:(\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in\bigcup T\} \ 0 \ f)A=r\{g1+g2\} \ using \ comp_fun_apply[OF]
topgroup_f_binop A] by auto
           from A_def(2,3) have \langle g1,g2 \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T by auto
           then have \langle (g1,g2), (r\{g1\}, r\{g2\}) \rangle \in \{\langle (b,c), (r\{b\}, r\{c\}) \rangle : \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \}
by auto
           then have \{\langle (b,c), (r\{b\}, r\{c\}) \rangle : \langle b,c \rangle \in | JT \times | JT A = \langle r\{g1\}, r\{g2\} \rangle \text{ using }
A_def(1) apply_equality[OF _ product_equiv_rel_fun]
                 by auto
           then have F(\{\langle (b,c), (r\{b\}, r\{c\}) \rangle). \langle b,c \rangle \in (JT \times JT) = F(r\{g1\}, r\{g2\}) by
auto
           then have F(\{\langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle). \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\}A) = r(\{g1+g2\}) using
group0.Group_ZF_2_2_L2[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup eqG C
                 _ A_def(2,3)] unfolding F_def QuotientGroupOp_def r_def by auto
moreover
           note fun ultimately have (F 0 \{\langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \}. \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T\}) A=r(\{g1+g2\})
using comp_fun_apply[OF _ A] by auto
           then have (F \ 0 \ \{\langle \langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \rangle, \langle b,c \rangle \in [\ ]T \times [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r\} \rangle, b \in [\ ]T \}) A = (\{\langle b,r
O f) A using Pr1 by auto
     then have (F 0 \{\langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\}, r\{c\} \rangle \}. \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \} = (\{\langle b,r\{b\} \rangle, b \in \bigcup T \}
O f) using fun_extension[OF cc comp_fun[OF topgroup_f_binop quotient_proj_fun]]
           unfolding F_def QuotientGroupOp_def r_def by auto
      then have A:IsContinuous(ProductTopology(T,T),T{quotient by}r,F 0 \{\langle b,c \rangle, \langle r\{b\},r\{c\} \rangle \}.
\langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \}) using cont by auto
     have IsAsubgroup(H,f) using assms(1) unfolding IsAnormalSubgroup_def
     then have \forall A \in T. \{\langle b, r \{b\} \rangle : b \in \bigcup T\} A \in (\{quotient by\}r) using
quotient_map_topgroup_open unfolding r_def by auto
```

```
with eqT have ProductTopology({quotient by}r,{quotient by}r)=({quotient
topology in \} ((\bigcup T)//r) \times ((\bigcup T)//r)) \{by\} \{ \langle (b,c), (r\{b\}, r\{c\}) \rangle . \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \} \{from\} (ProductTopology in \} ((\bigcup T)//r) \times ((\bigcup T)//r)) \{by\} \{ \langle (b,c), (r\{b\}, r\{c\}) \rangle . \langle b,c \rangle \in \bigcup T \times \bigcup T \} \{from\} (ProductTopology in \} ((\bigcup T)//r)) \{by\} \{ \langle (b,c), (r\{b\}, r\{c\}) \rangle . \langle (b,c), (r\{b\}, r\{c\}) \rangle .
using prod_quotient
                by auto
        with A show IsContinuous(ProductTopology(T{quotient by}r,T{quotient
by}r),T{quotient by}r,F)
                using two_top_spaces0.cont_quotient_top[OF two Hfun Ffun] topology0.total_quo_func[OF
tprod prod_equiv_rel_surj] unfolding F_def QuotientGroupOp_def r_def
                by auto
qed
lemma (in group0) Group_ZF_2_4_L8:
        assumes IsAnormalSubgroup(G,P,H)
        defines r \equiv QuotientGroupRel(G,P,H)
       and F \equiv QuotientGroupOp(G,P,H)
        shows GroupInv(G//r,F):G//r \rightarrow G//r
        using group0_2_T2[OF Group_ZF_2_4_T1[OF _ assms(1)]] groupAssum us-
ing assms(2,3)
               by auto
theorem (in topgroup) quotient_top_group_INV_cont:
        assumes IsAnormalSubgroup(G,f,H)
        defines r = QuotientGroupRel(G,f,H)
        defines F = QuotientGroupOp(G,f,H)
       shows IsContinuous(T{quotient by}r,T{quotient by}r,GroupInv(G//r,F))
proof-
       have eqT:equiv([]T,r) and eqG:equiv(G,r) using group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L3
assms(1) unfolding r_def IsAnormalSubgroup_def
                using group0_valid_in_tgroup by auto
        have two:two_top_spaces0(T,T{quotient by}r,\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in G\}) unfold-
ing two_top_spaces0_def
                using topSpaceAssum equiv_quo_is_top[OF eqT] quotient_proj_fun total_quo_equi[OF
eqT] by auto
       have IsContinuous(T,T,GroupInv(G,f)) using inv_cont. moreover
                fix g assume G:g\in G
                then have GroupInv(G,f)g=-g using grinv_def by auto
                then have r({GroupInv(G,f)g})=GroupInv(G//r,F)(r{g}) using group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L7
                         [OF group0_valid_in_tgroup assms(1) G] unfolding r_def F_def by
auto
                then have \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle \ b\in G\} (GroupInv(G,f)g)=GroupInv(G//r,F)(\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle \ .
b∈G}g)
                        using apply_equality[OF _ quotient_proj_fun] G neg_in_tgroup un-
folding grinv_def
                        by auto
                then have (\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in G\} \cap GroupInv(G,f))g=(GroupInv(G//r,F) \cap \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, GroupInv(G,f)\} \cap GroupInv(G,f) \cap GroupInv(G,f
                        using comp_fun_apply[OF quotient_proj_fun G] comp_fun_apply[OF groupO_2_T2[OF
Ggroup] G] by auto
```

```
then have A1:\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle \ b\in G\}0 GroupInv(G,f)=GroupInv(G//r,F)0 \{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle \ .
begarded using fun_extension[
    OF comp_fun[OF quotient_proj_fun group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L8[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup
assms(1)
    comp_fun[OF group0_2_T2[OF Ggroup] quotient_proj_fun[of Gr]]] un-
folding r_def F_def by auto
  \mathbf{have} \  \, \mathsf{IsContinuous}(\mathtt{T},\mathtt{T}\{\mathsf{quotient} \  \, \mathsf{by}\}\mathtt{r},\{\langle \mathtt{b},\mathtt{r}\{\mathtt{b}\}\rangle. \  \, \mathsf{b} \in \bigcup \mathtt{T}\}) \  \, \mathbf{using} \  \, \mathsf{quotient\_func\_cont}[\mathtt{OF}]
quotient_proj_surj]
    unfolding EquivQuo_def[OF eqT] by auto
  ultimately have IsContinuous(T,T{quotient by}r,\{\langle b,r\{b\}\rangle, b\in \bigcup T\}0 GroupInv(G,f))
    using comp_cont by auto
  with A1 have IsContinuous(T,T{quotient by}r,GroupInv(G//r,F)0 {b}.
b \in G) by auto
  . b \in [T]{from}T,T{quotient by}r,GroupInv(G//r,F))
    using two_top_spaces0.cont_quotient_top[OF two quotient_proj_surj,
of GroupInv(G//r,F)r] group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L8[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup
assms(1)
    using total_quo_equi[OF eqT] unfolding r_def F_def by auto
  then show thesis unfolding EquivQuo_def[OF eqT].
qed
Finally we can prove that quotient groups of topological groups are topo-
logical groups.
theorem(in topgroup) quotient_top_group:
  assumes IsAnormalSubgroup(G,f,H)
  defines r = QuotientGroupRel(G,f,H)
  defines F = QuotientGroupOp(G,f,H)
  shows IsAtopologicalGroup({quotient by}r,F)
    unfolding IsAtopologicalGroup_def using total_quo_equi equiv_quo_is_top
    Group_ZF_2_4_T1 Ggroup assms(1) quotient_top_group_INV_cont quotient_top_group_F_cont
    group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L3 group0_valid_in_tgroup assms(1) unfolding r_def
F_def IsAnormalSubgroup_def
    by auto
```

end

81 Topological groups 3

```
theory TopologicalGroup_ZF_3 imports Topology_ZF_10 TopologicalGroup_ZF_2
TopologicalGroup_ZF_1
Group_ZF_4
```

begin

This theory deals with topological properties of subgroups, quotient groups and relations between group theorical properties and topological properties.

81.1 Subgroups topologies

```
The closure of a subgroup is a subgroup.
theorem (in topgroup) closure_subgroup:
  assumes IsAsubgroup(H,f)
  shows IsAsubgroup(cl(H),f)
proof-
  have two:two_top_spaces0(ProductTopology(T,T),T,f) unfolding two_top_spaces0_def
using
    topSpaceAssum Top_1_4_T1(1,3) topgroup_f_binop by auto
  from fcon have cont:IsContinuous(ProductTopology(T,T),T,f) by auto
  then have closed:\forall D. D{is closed in}T \longrightarrow f-D{is closed in}\tau using
two_top_spaces0.TopZF_2_1_L1
    two by auto
  then have closure: \forall A \in Pow(\lfloor \rfloor \tau). f(Closure(A, \tau)) \subseteq cl(fA) using two_top_spaces0.Top_ZF_2_1_I
    two by force
  have sub1:HGG using group0.group0_3_L2 group0_valid_in_tgroup assms
by force
  then have sub:(H)\times(H)\subseteq\bigcup \tau using prod_top_on_G(2) by auto
  from sub1 have clHG:cl(H)⊆G using Top_3_L11(1) by auto
  then have clHsub1:cl(H)\timescl(H)\subseteqG\timesG by auto
  have Closure(H×H, ProductTopology(T,T))=cl(H) xcl(H) using cl_product
    topSpaceAssum group0.group0_3_L2 group0_valid_in_tgroup assms by auto
  then have f(Closure(H \times H, ProductTopology(T,T))) = f(cl(H) \times cl(H)) by auto
  with closure sub have clcl:f(cl(H)\times cl(H))\subseteq cl(f(H\times H)) by force
  from assms have fun:restrict(f,H×H):H×H→H unfolding IsAsubgroup_def
using
    group0.group_oper_fun unfolding group0_def by auto
  then have restrict(f,H×H)(H×H)=f(H×H) using restrict_image by auto
  moreover from fun have restrict(f,H×H)(H×H)⊆H using func1_1_L6(2)
by blast
  ultimately have f(H \times H) \subseteq H by auto
  with sub1 have f(H \times H) \subseteq Hf(H \times H) \subseteq GH \subseteq G by auto
  then have cl(f(H×H)) \( \scirc\) using top_closure_mono by auto
  with clcl have img:f(cl(H)\times cl(H))\subseteq cl(H) by auto
    fix x y assume x \in cl(H)y \in cl(H)
    then have \langle x,y \rangle \in cl(H) \times cl(H) by auto moreover
    have f(cl(H) \times cl(H)) = \{ft. t \in cl(H) \times cl(H)\} using func_imagedef topgroup_f_binop
      clHsub1 by auto ultimately
    have f(x,y) \in f(cl(H) \times cl(H)) by auto
    with img have f(x,y) \in cl(H) by auto
  then have A1:cl(H){is closed under} f unfolding IsOpClosed_def by auto
  have two:two_top_spaces0(T,T,GroupInv(G,f)) unfolding two_top_spaces0_def
    topSpaceAssum Ggroup group0_2_T2 by auto
  from inv_cont have cont:IsContinuous(T,T,GroupInv(G,f)) by auto
```

```
then have closed:\forall D. D(is closed in)T \longrightarrow GroupInv(G,f)-D(is closed
in}T using two_top_spaces0.TopZF_2_1_L1
    two by auto
  then have closure: \forall A \in Pow([]T). GroupInv(G,f)(cl(A)) \subseteq cl(GroupInv(G,f)A)
using two_top_spaces0.Top_ZF_2_1_L2
    two by force
  with sub1 have Inv:GroupInv(G,f)(cl(H))⊆cl(GroupInv(G,f)H) by auto
moreover
  have GroupInv(H,restrict(f,H×H)):H→H using assms unfolding IsAsubgroup_def
using group0_2_T2 by auto then
  have GroupInv(H,restrict(f,H×H))H⊆H using func1_1_L6(2) by auto
  then have restrict(GroupInv(G,f),H)H⊆H using group0.group0_3_T1 assms
group0_valid_in_tgroup by auto
  then have sss:GroupInv(G,f)H⊆H using restrict_image by auto
 then have HCG GroupInv(G,f)HCG using sub1 by auto
  with sub1 sss have cl(GroupInv(G,f)H) Ccl(H) using top_closure_mono
by auto ultimately
 have img:GroupInv(G,f)(cl(H))\subseteq cl(H) by auto
    fix x assume x \in cl(H) moreover
    have GroupInv(G,f)(cl(H))=\{GroupInv(G,f)t.\ t\in cl(H)\}\ using func_imagedef
Ggroup group0_2_T2
      clHG by force ultimately
    have GroupInv(G,f)x \in GroupInv(G,f)(cl(H)) by auto
    with img have GroupInv(G,f)x∈cl(H) by auto
 then have A2: \forall x \in cl(H). GroupInv(G,f)x\in cl(H) by auto
  from assms have H≠0 using group0.group0_3_L5 group0_valid_in_tgroup
by auto moreover
 have HCcl(H) using cl_contains_set sub1 by auto ultimately
 have cl(H) \neq 0 by auto
  with clHG A2 A1 show thesis using group0.group0_3_T3 group0_valid_in_tgroup
by auto
qed
The closure of a normal subgroup is normal.
theorem (in topgroup) normal_subg:
  assumes IsAnormalSubgroup(G,f,H)
 shows IsAnormalSubgroup(G,f,cl(H))
proof-
 have A:IsAsubgroup(cl(H),f) using closure_subgroup assms unfolding IsAnormalSubgroup_def
by auto
 have sub1:HCG using group0.group0_3_L2 group0_valid_in_tgroup assms
unfolding IsAnormalSubgroup_def by auto
  then have sub2:cl(H)⊆G using Top_3_L11(1) by auto
    fix g assume g:g∈G
    then have cl1:cl(g+H)=g+cl(H) using trans_closure sub1 by auto
    have ss:g+cl(H) G unfolding ltrans_def LeftTranslation_def by auto
```

```
have g+H⊆G unfolding ltrans_def LeftTranslation_def by auto
    moreover from g have (-g)\in G using neg_in_tgroup by auto
    ultimately have cl2:cl((g+H)+(-g))=cl(g+H)+(-g) using trans_closure2
    with cl1 have clcon:cl((g+H)+(-g))=(g+(cl(H)))+(-g) by auto
       fix r assume r \in (g+H)+(-g)
       then obtain q where q:q∈g+H r=q+(-g) unfolding rtrans_def RightTranslation_def
         by force
       from q(1) obtain h where heH q=g+h unfolding ltrans_def LeftTranslation_def
by auto
       with q(2) have r=(g+h)+(-g) by auto
       with \langle h \in H \rangle \langle g \in G \rangle \langle (-g) \in G \rangle have reH using assms unfolding IsAnormalSubgroup_def
         grinv_def grop_def by auto
    then have (g+H)+(-g)\subseteq H by auto
    moreover then have (g+H)+(-g) GHGG using sub1 by auto ultimately
    have cl((g+H)+(-g))\subseteq cl(H) using top_closure_mono by auto
    with clcon have (g+(cl(H)))+(-g)\subseteq cl(H) by auto moreover
       fix b assume b \in \{g+(d-g), d \in cl(H)\}
       then obtain d where d:d\in cl(H) b=g+(d-g) by auto moreover
       then have d∈G using sub2 by auto
       then have g+d∈G using group0.group_op_closed[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup
(g \in G)] by auto
       from \ d(2) \ have \ b:b=(g+d)-g \ using \ group0.group\_oper\_assoc[OF \ group0\_valid\_in\_tgroup]
\langle g \in G \rangle \langle d \in G \rangle \langle (-g) \in G \rangle
         {\bf unfolding} \ {\tt grsub\_def} \ {\tt grop\_def} \ {\tt grinv\_def} \ {\tt by} \ {\tt blast}
       have (g+d)=LeftTranslation(G,f,g)d using group0.group0_5_L2(2)[OF
group0_valid_in_tgroup]
         \langle g \in G \rangle \langle d \in G \rangle by auto
       with <decl(H)> have g+deg+cl(H) unfolding ltrans_def using func_imagedef[OF
group0.group0_5_L1(2)[
         OF group0_valid_in_tgroup \langle g \in G \rangle] sub2] by auto
       moreover from b have b=RightTranslation(G,f,-g)(g+d) using group0.group0_5_L2(1)[0F
group0_valid_in_tgroup]
         \langle (-g) \in G \rangle \langle g+d \in G \rangle by auto
       ultimately have be(g+cl(H))+(-g) unfolding rtrans_def using func_imagedef[OF
group0.group0_5_L1(1)[
         OF groupO_valid_in_tgroup \langle (-g) \in G \rangle] ss] by force
    ultimately have \{g+(d-g): d\in cl(H)\}\subseteq cl(H) by force
  then show thesis using A groupO.cont_conj_is_normal[OF groupO_valid_in_tgroup,
of cl(H)]
    unfolding grsub_def grinv_def grop_def by auto
ged
```

Every open subgroup is also closed.

```
theorem (in topgroup) open_subgroup_closed:
  assumes IsAsubgroup(H,f) H \in T
  shows H{is closed in}T
proof-
  from assms(1) have sub:H⊆G using group0.group0_3_L2 group0_valid_in_tgroup
by force
    fix t assume t \in G-H
    then have tnH:t∉H and tG:t∈G by auto
    from assms(1) have sub:HGG using group0.group0_3_L2 group0_valid_in_tgroup
by force
    from assms(1) have nSubG:0∈H using group0.group0_3_L5 group0_valid_in_tgroup
by auto
    from assms(2) tG have P:t+H∈T using open_tr_open(1) by auto
    from nSubG sub tG have tp:tet+H using group0_valid_in_tgroup group0.neut_trans_elem
      by auto
    {
      fix x assume x \in (t+H) \cap H
      then obtain u where x=t+u u∈H x∈H unfolding ltrans_def LeftTranslation_def
      then have u \in Gx \in Gt \in G using sub tG by auto
      with (x=t+u) have x+(-u)=t using group0.group0_2_L18(1) group0_valid_in_tgroup
        unfolding grop_def grinv_def by auto
      from \langle u \in H \rangle have (-u)\in H unfolding grinv_def using assms(1) group0.group0_3_T3A
group0_valid_in_tgroup
        by auto
      with \langle x \in H \rangle have x + (-u) \in H unfolding grop_def using assms(1) group0.group0_3_L6
group0_valid_in_tgroup
        by auto
      with \langle x+(-u)=t \rangle have False using tnH by auto
    then have (t+H)\cap H=0 by auto moreover
    have t+HCG unfolding ltrans_def LeftTranslation_def by auto ulti-
    have (t+H)\subseteq G-H by auto
    with tp P have \exists V \in T. t \in V \land V \subseteq G-H unfolding Bex_def by auto
  then have \forall t \in G-H. \exists V \in T. t \in V \land V \subseteq G-H by auto
  then have G-H∈T using open_neigh_open by auto
  then show thesis unfolding IsClosed_def using sub by auto
qed
Any subgroup with non-empty interior is open.
theorem (in topgroup) clopen_or_emptyInt:
  assumes IsAsubgroup(H,f) int(H)\neq0
  shows H \in T
proof-
  from assms(1) have sub:H⊆G using group0.group0_3_L2 group0_valid_in_tgroup
by force
```

```
fix h assume h \in H
    have intsub:int(H)⊆H using Top_2_L1 by auto
    from assms(2) obtain u where u∈int(H) by auto
    with intsub have u∈H by auto
    then have (-u) EH unfolding grinv_def using assms(1) group0.group0_3_T3A
group0_valid_in_tgroup
      by auto
    with \(h \in H\) have h-u \(i H\) unfolding grop_def using assms(1) group0.group0_3_L6
group0_valid_in_tgroup
      by auto
      fix t assume t \in (h-u)+(int(H))
      then obtain r where r∈int(H)t=(h-u)+r unfolding grsub_def grinv_def
grop_def
         ltrans_def LeftTranslation_def by auto
      then have r \in H using intsub by auto
      with (h-u∈H) have (h-u)+r∈H unfolding grop_def using assms(1) group0.group0_3_L6
group0_valid_in_tgroup
        by auto
      with \langle t=(h-u)+r \rangle have t \in H by auto
    then have ss:(h-u)+(int(H))\subseteq H by auto
    have P:(h-u)+(int(H))\in T using open_tr_open(1) \langle h-u\in H\rangle Top_2_L2 sub
by blast
    from (h-u \in H)(u \in H)(h \in H) sub have (h-u) \in G u \in Gh \in G by auto
    have int(H)⊆G using sub intsub by auto moreover
    have LeftTranslation(G,f,(h-u))∈G→G using group0.group0_5_L1(2) group0_valid_in_tgroup
\langle (h-u) \in G \rangle
      by auto ultimately
    have LeftTranslation(G,f,(h-u))(int(H))={LeftTranslation(G,f,(h-u))r.
      using func_imagedef by auto moreover
    from ((h-u) \in G) (u \in G) have LeftTranslation(G,f,(h-u))u=(h-u)+u using
group0.group0_5_L2(2) group0_valid_in_tgroup
      by auto
    with \langle u \in int(H) \rangle have (h-u)+u \in \{LeftTranslation(G,f,(h-u))r. r \in int(H)\}
by force ultimately
    have (h-u)+u∈(h-u)+(int(H)) unfolding ltrans_def by auto moreover
    have (h-u)+u=h using group0.inv_cancel_two(1) group0_valid_in_tgroup
      \langle u \in G \rangle \langle h \in G \rangle by auto ultimately
    have h \in (h-u)+(int(H)) by auto
    with P ss have \exists V \in T. h \in V \land V \subseteq H unfolding Bex_def by auto
  then show thesis using open_neigh_open by auto
qed
In conclusion, a subgroup is either open or has empty interior.
corollary(in topgroup) emptyInterior_xor_op:
```

```
assumes IsAsubgroup(H,f)
 shows (int(H)=0) Xor (H\inT)
 unfolding Xor_def using clopen_or_emptyInt assms Top_2_L3
  group0.group0_3_L5 group0_valid_in_tgroup by force
Then no connected topological groups has proper subgroups with non-empty
interior.
corollary(in topgroup) connected_emptyInterior:
  assumes IsAsubgroup(H,f) T{is connected}
 shows (int(H)=0) Xor (H=G)
proof-
 have (int(H)=0) Xor (H∈T) using emptyInterior_xor_op assms(1) by auto
moreover
    assume H \in T moreover
    then have H{is closed in}T using open_subgroup_closed assms(1) by
auto ultimately
    have H=0VH=G using assms(2) unfolding IsConnected_def by auto
    then have H=G using group0.group0_3_L5 group0_valid_in_tgroup assms(1)
by auto
  } moreover
  have G \in T using topSpaceAssum unfolding IsATopology_def G_def by auto
 ultimately show thesis unfolding Xor_def by auto
Every locally-compact subgroup of a T_0 group is closed.
theorem (in topgroup) loc_compact_TO_closed:
  assumes IsAsubgroup(H,f) (T{restricted to}H){is locally-compact} T{is
T_0
 shows H{is closed in}T
proof-
 from assms(1) have clsub:IsAsubgroup(cl(H),f) using closure_subgroup
 then have subcl:cl(H) G using group0.group0_3_L2 group0_valid_in_tgroup
by force
 from assms(1) have sub:HGG using group0.group0_3_L2 group0_valid_in_tgroup
by force
  from assms(3) have T{is T2} using T1_imp_T2 neu_closed_imp_T1 T0_imp_neu_closed
  then have (T{restricted to}H){is T_2} using T2_here sub by auto
  have tot: U(T{restricted to}H)=H using sub unfolding RestrictedTo_def
by auto
  with assms(2) have \forall x \in H. \exists A \in Pow(H). A {is compact in} (T{restricted})
to}H) \land x \in Interior(A, (T{restricted to}H)) using
    topology0.locally_compact_exist_compact_neig[of T{restricted to}H]
Top_1_L4 unfolding topology0_def
    by auto
  then obtain K where K:K\subseteqH K{is compact in} (T{restricted to}H)0\inInterior(K,(T{restricted to}H)0\inInterior(K,)
to}H))
```

```
using group0.group0_3_L5 group0_valid_in_tgroup assms(1) unfolding
gzero_def by force
  from K(1,2) have K{is compact in} T using compact_subspace_imp_compact
  with (T{is T<sub>2</sub>}) have Kcl:K{is closed in}T using in_t2_compact_is_cl
by auto
  have Interior(K,(T{restricted to}H))∈(T{restricted to}H) using topology0.Top_2_L2
unfolding topology0_def
    using Top_1_L4 by auto
  then obtain U where U:U∈TInterior(K,(T{restricted to}H))=H∩U unfold-
ing RestrictedTo_def by auto
  then have H∩U⊆K using topology0.Top_2_L1[of T{restricted to}H] un-
folding topology0_def using Top_1_L4 by force
  moreover have U2:U\subseteq U\cup K by auto
  have ksub:KCH using tot K(2) unfolding IsCompact_def by auto
  ultimately have int:H \cap (U \cup K) = K by auto
  from U(2) K(3) have 0 \in U by auto
  with U(1) U2 have 0 \in \text{int}(U \cup K) using Top_2_L6 by auto
  then have U \cup K \in \mathcal{N}_0 unfolding zerohoods_def using U(1) ksub sub by auto
  then obtain V where V:V\subseteq U\cup K V\in \mathcal{N}_0 V+V\subseteq U\cup K (-V)=V using exists_procls_zerohood[of
U \cup K
    by auto
    fix h assume AS:h∈cl(H)
    with clsub have (-h) \in cl(H) using group0.group0_3_T3A group0_valid_in_tgroup
by auto moreover
    then have (-h)∈G using subcl by auto
    with V(2) have (-h)∈int((-h)+V) using elem_in_int_ltrans by auto
ultimately
    have (-h) \in (cl(H)) \cap (int((-h)+V)) by auto moreover
    have int((-h)+V)∈T using Top_2_L2 by auto moreover
    note sub ultimately
    have H \cap (int((-h)+V)) \neq 0 using cl_inter_neigh by auto moreover
    from ((-h) \in G) \lor (2) have int((-h) + V) = (-h) + int(V) unfolding zerohoods_def
      using ltrans_interior by force
    ultimately have H \cap ((-h)+int(V)) \neq 0 by auto
    then obtain y where y:y\in H y\in (-h)+int(V) by blast
    then obtain v where v:v∈int(V) y=(-h)+v unfolding ltrans_def LeftTranslation_def
    with \langle (-h) \in G \rangle V(2) y(1) sub have v \in G(-h) \in Gy \in G using Top_2_L1[of V]
unfolding zerohoods_def by auto
    with v(2) have (-(-h))+y=v using group0.group0_2_L18(2) group0_valid_in_tgroup
      unfolding grop_def grinv_def by auto moreover
    have h \in G using AS subcl by auto
    then have (-(-h))=h using group0.group_inv_of_inv group0_valid_in_tgroup
by auto ultimately
    have h+y=v by auto
    with v(1) have hyV:h+y∈int(V) by auto
```

```
have y \in cl_contains_set sub by auto
    with AS have hycl:h+ yecl(H) using clsub group0.group0_3_L6 group0_valid_in_tgroup
by auto
    {
      fix W assume W:W∈Th+v∈W
      with hyV have h+y∈int(V)∩W by auto moreover
      from W(1) have int(V)∩W∈T using Top_2_L2 topSpaceAssum unfold-
ing IsATopology_def by auto moreover
      note hycl sub
      ultimately have (int(V)\cap W)\cap H\neq 0 using cl_inter_neigh[of Hint(V)\cap Wh+y]
by auto
      then have V \cap W \cap H \neq 0 using Top_2_L1 by auto
      with V(1) have (U \cup K) \cap W \cap H \neq 0 by auto
      then have (H \cap (U \cup K)) \cap W \neq 0 by auto
      with int have K \cap W \neq 0 by auto
    then have \forall W \in T. h+y \in W \longrightarrow K \cap W \neq 0 by auto moreover
    have KCG h+yeG using ksub sub hycl subcl by auto ultimately
    have h+y \in cl(K) using inter_neigh_cl[of Kh+y] unfolding G_def by force
    then have h+y \in K using Kcl Top_3_L8 \langle K \subseteq G \rangle by auto
    with ksub have h+y\inH by auto
    moreover from y(1) have (-y)∈H using group0.group0_3_T3A assms(1)
group0_valid_in_tgroup
      by auto
    ultimately have (h+y)-y∈H unfolding grsub_def using group0.group0_3_L6
group0_valid_in_tgroup
      assms(1) by auto
    moreover
    have (-y)\in G using ((-y)\in H) sub by auto
    then have h+(y-y)=(h+y)-y using \langle y \in G \rangle \langle h \in G \rangle group0.group_oper_assoc
      group0_valid_in_tgroup unfolding grsub_def by auto
    then have h+0=(h+y)-y using group0.group0_2_L6 group0_valid_in_tgroup
\langle y \in G \rangle
      unfolding grsub_def grinv_def grop_def gzero_def by auto
    then have h=(h+y)-y using group0.group0_2_L2 group0_valid_in_tgroup
       \langle h \in G \rangle unfolding gzero_def by auto
    ultimately have h∈H by auto
  then have cl(H)⊆H by auto
  then have H=cl(H) using cl_contains_set sub by auto
  then show thesis using Top_3_L8 sub by auto
qed
We can always consider a factor group which is T_2.
theorem(in topgroup) factor_haus:
  shows (T{\text{quotient by}}\text{QuotientGroupRel}(G,f,cl({0}))){\text{is }}T_2}
proof-
  let \ \texttt{r=QuotientGroupRel}(\texttt{G},\texttt{f},\texttt{cl}(\{0\}))
  let f=QuotientGroupOp(G,f,cl({0}))
```

```
let i=GroupInv(G//r,f)
  have IsAnormalSubgroup(G,f,{0}) using group0.trivial_normal_subgroup
Ggroup unfolding group0_def
    by auto
  then have normal:IsAnormalSubgroup(G,f,cl({0})) using normal_subg by
  then have eq:equiv(\(\int T,r\) using group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L3[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup]
    unfolding IsAnormalSubgroup_def by auto
  then have tot: [](T{quotient by}r)=G//r using total_quo_equi by auto
  have neu:r{0}=TheNeutralElement(G//r,f) using Group_ZF_2_4_L5B[0F Ggroup
normal] by auto
  then have r{0}∈G//r using group0.group0_2_L2 Group_ZF_2_4_T1[OF Ggroup
normal] unfolding group0_def by auto
  then have sub1:\{r\{0\}\}\subseteq G//r by auto
  then have sub:\{r\{0\}\}\subseteq \bigcup (T\{quotient by\}r) using tot by auto
  have zG:0∈[]T using group0.group0_2_L2[0F group0_valid_in_tgroup] by
  from zG have cla:r{0}∈G//r unfolding quotient_def by auto
  let x=G//r-\{r\{0\}\}
    fix s assume A:s \in \bigcup (G//r-\{r\{0\}\})
    then obtain U where s \in U \in G//r-\{r\{0\}\}\ by auto
    then have U \in G//r \ U \neq r\{0\} \ s \in U by auto
    then have U \in G//r s \in U s \notin r\{0\} using cla quotient_disj[OF eq] by auto
    then have s \in [ (G//r) - r\{0\} ] by auto
  moreover
    fix s assume A:s \in \bigcup (G//r)-r\{0\}
    then obtain U where s \in U \ U \in G//r \ s \notin r\{0\} by auto
    then have s \in U \cup G//r - \{r\{0\}\}\ by auto
    then have s \in (J(G//r-\{r\{0\}\})) by auto
  ultimately have \iint (G//r-\{r\{0\}\})=\iint (G//r)-r\{0\} by auto
  then have A:\bigcup (G//r-\{r\{0\}\})=G-r\{0\} using Union_quotient eq by auto
    fix s assume A:s \in r\{0\}
    then have (0,s) \in r by auto
    then have \langle s, 0 \rangle \in r using eq unfolding equiv_def sym_def by auto
    then have secl({0}) using group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L5C[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup]
{\bf unfolding} \ {\tt QuotientGroupRel\_def} \ {\bf by} \ {\tt auto}
  }
  moreover
    fix s assume A:s\in cl(\{0\})
    then have s \in G using Top_3_L11(1) zG by auto
    then have \langle s, 0 \rangle \in r using group0.Group_ZF_2_4_L5C[OF group0_valid_in_tgroup]
A by auto
    then have (0,s) \in r using eq unfolding equiv_def sym_def by auto
```

```
then have s \in r\{0\} by auto
  }
  ultimately have r\{0\}=cl(\{0\}) by blast
  with A have \left( \left( \frac{G}{r-\{r\{0\}\}} \right) = G-cl(\{0\}) \right) by auto
  moreover have cl({0}) (is closed in)T using cl_is_closed zG by auto
  ultimately have \bigcup (G//r-\{r\{0\}\})\in T unfolding IsClosed_def by auto
  then have (G//r-{r{0}}) \in \{quotient by\}r using quotient_equiv_rel eq
  then have (\bigcup (T{\text{quotient by}}r)-\{r\{0\}\})\in \{\text{quotient by}\}r \text{ using total\_quo\_equi}[0F]
eq] by auto
  moreover from sub1 have {r{0}}⊆(| |(T{quotient by}r)) using total_quo_equi[OF
eq] by auto
  ultimately have {r{0}}{is closed in}(T{quotient by}r) unfolding IsClosed_def
by auto
  then have {TheNeutralElement(G//r,f)}{is closed in}(T{quotient by}r)
using neu by auto
  then have (T{\text{quotient by}}r){\text{is }}T_1} using topgroup.neu_closed_imp_T1[OF
topGroupLocale[OF quotient_top_group[OF normal]]]
    total_quo_equi[OF eq] by auto
  then show thesis using topgroup.T1_imp_T2[OF topGroupLocale[OF quotient_top_group[OF
normal]]] by auto
qed
```

end

82 Metamath introduction

theory MMI_prelude imports Order_ZF_1

begin

Metamath's set.mm features a large (over 8000) collection of theorems proven in the ZFC set theory. This theory is part of an attempt to translate those theorems to Isar so that they are available for Isabelle/ZF users. A total of about 1200 assertions have been translated, 600 of that with proofs (the rest was proven automatically by Isabelle). The translation was done with the support of the mmisar tool, whose source is included in the IsarMathLib distributions prior to version 1.6.4. The translation tool was doing about 99 percent of work involved, with the rest mostly related to the difference between Isabelle/ZF and Metamath metalogics. Metamath uses Tarski-Megill metalogic that does not have a notion of bound variables (see http://planetx.cc.vt.edu/AsteroidMeta/Distinctors_vs_binders for details and discussion). The translation project is closed now as I decided that it was too boring and tedious even with the support of mmisar software. Also, the translated proofs are not as readable as native Isar proofs which goes against IsarMathLib philosophy.

82.1 Importing from Metamath - how is it done

We are interested in importing the theorems about complex numbers that start from the "recnt" theorem on. This is done mostly automatically by the mmisar tool that is included in the IsarMathLib distributions prior to version 1.6.4. The tool works as follows:

First it reads the list of (Metamath) names of theorems that are already imported to IsarMathlib ("known theorems") and the list of theorems that are intended to be imported in this session ("new theorems"). The new theorems are consecutive theorems about complex numbers as they appear in the Metamath database. Then mmisar creates a "Metamath script" that contains Metamath commands that open a log file and put the statements and proofs of the new theorems in that file in a readable format. The tool writes this script to a disk file and executes metamath with standard input redirected from that file. Then the log file is read and its contents converted to the Isar format. In Metamath, the proofs of theorems about complex numbers depend only on 28 axioms of complex numbers and some basic logic and set theory theorems. The tool finds which of these dependencies are not known yet and repeats the process of getting their statements from Metamath as with the new theorems. As a result of this process mmisar creates files new_theorems.thy, new_deps.thy and new_known_theorems.txt. The file new_theorems.thy contains the theorems (with proofs) imported from Metamath in this session. These theorems are added (by hand) to the current MMI_Complex_ZF_x.thy file. The file new_deps.thy contains the statements of new dependencies with generic proofs "by auto". These are added to the MMI_logic_and_sets.thy. Most of the dependencies can be proven automatically by Isabelle. However, some manual work has to be done for the dependencies that Isabelle can not prove by itself and to correct problems related to the fact that Metamath uses a metalogic based on distinct variable constraints (Tarski-Megill metalogic), rather than an explicit notion of free and bound variables.

The old list of known theorems is replaced by the new list and mmisar is ready to convert the next batch of new theorems. Of course this rarely works in practice without tweaking the mmisar source files every time a new batch is processed.

82.2 The context for Metamath theorems

We list the Metamth's axioms of complex numbers and define notation here.

The next definition is what Metamath $X \in V$ is translated to. I am not sure why it works, probably because Isabelle does a type inference and the "=" sign indicates that both sides are sets.

definition

```
IsASet :: i\Rightarrow o (_ isASet [90] 90) where IsASet_def[simp]: X isASet \equiv X = X
```

The next locale sets up the context to which Metamath theorems about complex numbers are imported. It assumes the axioms of complex numbers and defines the notation used for complex numbers.

One of the problems with importing theorems from Metamath is that Metamath allows direct infix notation for binary operations so that the notation afb is allowed where f is a function (that is, a set of pairs). To my knowledge, Isar allows only notation f(a,b) with a possibility of defining a syntax say a + b to mean the same as f(a,b) (please correct me if I am wrong here). This is why we have two objects for addition: one called caddset that represents the binary function, and the second one called ca which defines the a + b notation for caddset(a,b). The same applies to multiplication of real numbers.

Another difficulty is that Metamath allows to define sets with syntax $\{x|p\}$ where p is some formula that (usually) depends on x. Isabelle allows the set comprehension like this only as a subset of another set i.e. $\{x \in A.p(x)\}$. This forces us to have a sligtly different definition of (complex) natural numbers, requiring explicitly that natural numbers is a subset of reals. Because of that, the proofs of Metamath theorems that reference the definition directly can not be imported.

```
locale MMIsar0 =
  fixes real (\mathbb{R})
  fixes complex (C)
  fixes one (1)
  fixes zero (0)
  fixes iunit (i)
  fixes caddset (+)
  fixes cmulset (\cdot)
  fixes lessrrel (<_{\mathbb{R}})
  fixes ca (infixl + 69)
  defines ca_def: a + b \equiv +\langle a, b \rangle
  fixes cm (infixl · 71)
  defines cm_def: a \cdot b \equiv \langle a, b \rangle
  fixes sub (infixl - 69)
  defines sub_def: a - b \equiv \bigcup \{ x \in \mathbb{C}. b + x = a \}
  fixes cneg (-_ 95)
  defines cneg\_def: -a \equiv 0 - a
  fixes cdiv (infixl / 70)
  defines cdiv_def: a / b \equiv \bigcup { x \in \mathbb{C}. b \cdot x = a }
  fixes cpnf (+\infty)
  defines cpnf_def: +\infty \equiv \mathbb{C}
  fixes cmnf (-\infty)
```

```
defines cmnf_def: -\infty \equiv \{\mathbb{C}\}\
fixes cxr (\mathbb{R}^*)
defines cxr_def: \mathbb{R}^* \equiv \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}
fixes cxn(N)
\mathbf{defines} \ \mathsf{cxn\_def} \colon \ \mathbb{N} \ \equiv \ \bigcap \ \ \{ \mathbb{N} \ \in \ \mathsf{Pow}(\mathbb{R}) \, . \ \ 1 \ \in \ \mathbb{N} \ \land \ (\forall \, \mathsf{n}. \ \ \mathsf{n} \in \mathbb{N} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathsf{n} + 1 \ \in \ \mathbb{N}) \}
fixes lessr (infix <_{\mathbb{R}} 68)
defines lessr_def: a <_{\mathbb{R}} b \equiv \langlea,b\rangle \in <_{\mathbb{R}}
fixes cltrrset (<)</pre>
defines cltrrset_def:
< \equiv (<_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) \cup \{\langle -\infty, +\infty \rangle\} \cup
(\mathbb{R} \times \{+\infty\}) \cup (\{-\infty\} \times \mathbb{R})
fixes cltrr (infix < 68)
defines cltrr_def: a < b \equiv \langle a,b \rangle \in \langle a,b \rangle \in \langle a,b \rangle \in \langle a,b \rangle
fixes convcltrr (infix > 68)
defines convcltrr_def: a > b \equiv \langle a,b \rangle \in converse(<)
fixes lsq (infix < 68)
defines lsq_def: a \le b \equiv \neg (b < a)
fixes two (2)
defines two_def: 2 \equiv 1+1
fixes three (3)
defines three_def: 3 \equiv 2+1
fixes four (4)
defines four_def: 4 \equiv 3+1
fixes five (5)
defines five_def: 5 \equiv 4+1
fixes six (6)
defines six_def: 6 \equiv 5+1
fixes seven (7)
defines seven_def: 7 \equiv 6 \text{+} 1
fixes eight (8)
defines eight_def: 8 \equiv 7+1
fixes nine (9)
defines nine_def: 9 \equiv 8 + 1
assumes MMI_pre_axlttri:
\mathtt{A} \,\in\, \mathrm{I\!R} \,\wedge\, \mathtt{B} \,\in\, \mathrm{I\!R} \,\longrightarrow\, (\mathtt{A} <_{\mathbb{R}} \mathtt{B} \,\longleftrightarrow\, \lnot(\mathtt{A=B} \,\vee\, \mathtt{B} <_{\mathbb{R}} \mathtt{A}))
assumes MMI_pre_axlttrn:
\mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{R} \, \wedge \, \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{R} \, \wedge \, \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{R} \, \longrightarrow \, ((\mathtt{A} <_{\mathbb{R}} \mathtt{B} \, \wedge \, \mathtt{B} <_{\mathbb{R}} \mathtt{C}) \, \longrightarrow \, \mathtt{A} <_{\mathbb{R}} \mathtt{C})
assumes MMI_pre_axltadd:
\mathtt{A} \,\in\, \mathrm{I\!R} \,\wedge\, \mathtt{B} \,\in\, \mathrm{I\!R} \,\wedge\, \mathtt{C} \,\in\, \mathrm{I\!R} \,\longrightarrow\, (\mathtt{A} \,<_{\mathbb{R}} \,\mathtt{B} \,\longrightarrow\, \mathtt{C+A} \,<_{\mathbb{R}} \,\mathtt{C+B})
assumes MMI_pre_axmulgt0:
\mathtt{A} \,\in\, \mathrm{I\!R} \,\wedge\, \mathtt{B} \,\in\, \mathrm{I\!R} \,\longrightarrow\, (\ \mathbf{0} \,<_{\mathbb{R}} \,\mathtt{A} \,\wedge\, \mathbf{0} \,<_{\mathbb{R}} \,\mathtt{B} \,\longrightarrow\, \mathbf{0} \,<_{\mathbb{R}} \,\mathtt{A}\cdot\mathtt{B})
assumes MMI_pre_axsup:
\mathtt{A} \subseteq \mathrm{I\!R} \ \land \ \mathtt{A} \neq \mathtt{0} \ \land \ (\exists \, \mathtt{x} {\in} \mathrm{I\!R}. \ \forall \, \mathtt{y} {\in} \mathtt{A}. \ \mathtt{y} <_{\mathbb{R}} \ \mathtt{x}) \ \longrightarrow
(\exists \, x \in \! \mathrm{I\!R}. \ (\forall \, y \in \! \mathtt{A}. \ \neg (x <_{\mathbb{R}} \, y)) \ \land \ (\forall \, y \in \! \mathrm{I\!R}. \ (y <_{\mathbb{R}} \, x \, \longrightarrow \, (\exists \, z \in \! \mathtt{A}. \, y <_{\mathbb{R}} \, z))))
assumes MMI_axresscn: \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}
assumes MMI_ax1ne0: 1 \neq 0
assumes MMI_axcnex: \mathbb C is ASet
assumes MMI_axaddopr: + : ( \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} ) 	o \mathbb{C}
```

```
assumes MMI_axmulopr: \cdot : ( \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} ) 	o \mathbb{C}
\mathbf{assumes} \ \mathtt{MMI\_axmulcom} \colon \ \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{A} \ \cdot \ \mathtt{B} \ \texttt{=} \ \mathtt{B} \ \cdot \ \mathtt{A}
assumes MMI_axaddcl: A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow A + B \in \mathbb{C}
\mathbf{assumes} \ \mathtt{MMI\_axmulcl:} \ \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{A} \ \cdot \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C}
assumes MMI_axdistr:
A \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ B \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ C \in \mathbb{C} \ \longrightarrow \ A \cdot (B + C) = A \cdot B + A \cdot C
assumes MMI_axaddcom: A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow A + B = B + A
assumes MMI_axaddass:
A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow A + B + C = A + (B + C)
assumes MMI_axmulass:
A \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ B \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ C \in \mathbb{C} \ \longrightarrow \ A \cdot B \cdot C = A \cdot (B \cdot C)
assumes MMI_ax1re: 1 \in \mathbb{R}
assumes MMI_axi2m1: i \cdot i + 1 = 0
assumes MMI_axOid: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow A + \mathbf{0} = A
assumes MMI_axicn: i \in \mathbb{C}
assumes MMI_axnegex: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (\exists x \in \mathbb{C}. (A + x) = 0)
assumes MMI_axrecex: A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C}. A \cdot x = 1)
assumes MMI_ax1id: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathtt{A} \cdot \mathtt{1} = A
assumes MMI_axaddrcl: A \in \mathbb{R} \land B \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow A + B \in \mathbb{R}
assumes MMI_axmulrcl: A \in \mathbb{R} \land B \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow A \cdot B \in \mathbb{R}
assumes MMI_axrnegex: A \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow ( \exists x \in \mathbb{R}. A + x = 0 )
assumes MMI_axrrecex: A \in \mathbb{R} \wedge A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( \exists x \in \mathbb{R}. A \cdot x = 1 )
```

end

83 Logic and sets in Metamatah

theory MMI_logic_and_sets imports MMI_prelude
begin

83.1 Basic Metamath theorems

This section contains Metamath theorems that the more advanced theorems from MMIsar.thy depend on. Most of these theorems are proven automatically by Isabelle, some have to be proven by hand and some have to be modified to convert from Tarski-Megill metalogic used by Metamath to one based on explicit notion of free and bound variables.

```
lemma MMI_ax_mp: assumes \varphi and \varphi \longrightarrow \psi shows \psi using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sseli: assumes A1: A \subseteq B shows C \in A \longrightarrow C \in B using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sselii: assumes A1: A \subseteq B and A2: C \in A
```

```
\mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{C} \in \mathtt{B}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ps and
     A2: ps \longrightarrow ch
    \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{ch}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_elimhyp: assumes A1: A = if ( \varphi , A , B ) \longrightarrow ( \varphi\longleftrightarrow\psi )
and
      A2: B = if ( \varphi , A , B ) \longrightarrow ( ch \longleftrightarrow \psi ) and
     A3: ch
    shows \psi
proof -
   \{ assume \varphi \}
      with A1 have \psi by simp }
  moreover
   { assume \neg \varphi
      with A2 A3 have \psi by simp }
  ultimately show \psi by auto
qed
lemma MMI_neeq1:
    shows A = B \longrightarrow ( A \neq C \longleftrightarrow B \neq C )
  by auto
lemma MMI_mp2: assumes A1: \varphi and
     A2: \psi and
     A3: arphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow chi )
    shows chi
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_xpex: assumes A1: A isASet and
     A2: B isASet
    {f shows} ( {f A} 	imes {f B} ) is ASet
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_fex:
    shows
  {\tt A} \in {\tt C} \longrightarrow ({\tt F} : {\tt A} \to {\tt B} \longrightarrow {\tt F} \; {\tt isASet} \; )
  A isASet \longrightarrow ( F : A \rightarrow B \longrightarrow F isASet )
  by auto
lemma MMI_3eqtr4d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow {\tt C} = {\tt A} and
     A3: \varphi \longrightarrow D = B
    \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{C} \ = \ \mathtt{D}
    using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_3coml: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge chi ) \longrightarrow th
    {\bf shows} ( \psi \wedge {\tt chi} \wedge \varphi ) \longrightarrow {\tt th}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylan: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow chi and
     A2: th \longrightarrow \varphi
    shows ( th \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow chi
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3impa: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge chi ) \longrightarrow th
    shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge {\tt chi} ) \longrightarrow {\tt th}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3adant2: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow chi
    shows ( \varphi \wedge \operatorname{th} \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow chi
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3adant1: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow chi
    shows ( th \land \varphi \land \psi ) \longrightarrow chi
    using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_opreq12d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
     A2: \varphi \longrightarrow C = D
    shows
  \varphi \longrightarrow ( A + C ) = ( B + D )
  \varphi \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) = ( B \cdot D )
   \varphi \longrightarrow ( A - C ) = ( B - D )
  \varphi \longrightarrow ( A / C ) = ( B / D )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mp2an: assumes A1: \varphi and
     A2: \psi and
     A3: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow chi
    shows chi
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mp3an: assumes A1: \varphi and
     A2: \psi and
     A3: ch and
     A4: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
    shows \vartheta
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqeltrr: assumes A1: A = B and
     A2: A \in C
    \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{B} \ \in \ \mathtt{C}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqtr: assumes A1: A = B and
```

```
shows A = C
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_impbi: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
     A2: \psi \longrightarrow \varphi
    shows \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi
proof
  assume \varphi with A1 show \psi by simp
  assume \psi with A2 show \varphi by simp
qed
lemma MMI_mp3an3: assumes A1: ch and
     A2: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
    shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqeq12d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
     A2: \varphi \longrightarrow C = D
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( A = C \longleftrightarrow B = D )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpan2: assumes A1: \psi and
     A2: (\varphi \wedge \psi) \longrightarrow ch
    \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{ch}
    using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_opreq2:
    shows
  A = B \longrightarrow (C + A) = (C + B)
  A = B \longrightarrow (C \cdot A) = (C \cdot B)
  A = B \longrightarrow (C - A) = (C - B)
  A = B \longrightarrow (C / A) = (C / B)
  by auto
lemma MMI_syl5bir: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
     A2: \vartheta \longrightarrow ch
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longrightarrow \psi )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_adantr: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
    \mathbf{shows} ( \varphi \wedge \mathbf{ch} ) \longrightarrow \psi
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpan: assumes A1: \varphi and
     A2: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
```

A2: B = C

```
\mathbf{shows}\ \psi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{ch}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqeq1d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( A = C \longleftrightarrow B = C )
    using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_opreq1:
    shows
   A = B \longrightarrow (A \cdot C) = (B \cdot C)
   A = B \longrightarrow (A + C) = (B + C)
   A = B \longrightarrow (A - C) = (B - C)
   A = B \longrightarrow (A / C) = (B / C)
   by auto
lemma MMI_syl6eq: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
      A2: B = C
    \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{A} \ = \ \mathtt{C}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl6bi: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: ch \longrightarrow \vartheta
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow \vartheta )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_imp: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch )
    shows (\varphi \wedge \psi) \longrightarrow ch
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylibd: assumes A1: arphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta )
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\psi \longrightarrow \vartheta)
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ex: assumes A1: ( arphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\psi \longrightarrow \text{ch})
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_r19_23aiv: assumes A1: \forall x. (x \in A \longrightarrow (\varphi(x) \longrightarrow \psi ))
    shows ( \exists \ \mathtt{x} \in \mathtt{A} \ . \ \varphi(\mathtt{x}) \ ) \ \longrightarrow \ \psi
   using assms by auto
lemma MMI_bitr: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi and
      A2: \psi \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
    \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longleftrightarrow\ \mathbf{ch}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqeq12i: assumes A1: A = B and
      A2: C = D
```

```
shows A = C \longleftrightarrow B = D
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_dedth3h:
   assumes A1: A = if ( \varphi , A , D ) \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longleftrightarrow ta ) and
      A2: B = if ( \psi , B , R ) \longrightarrow ( ta \longleftrightarrow et ) and
      A3: C = if ( ch , C , S ) \longrightarrow ( et \longleftrightarrow ze ) and
    \mathbf{shows} ( \varphi \ \wedge \ \psi \ \wedge \ \mathbf{ch} ) \longrightarrow \ \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_bibi1d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta ) \longleftrightarrow ( ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqeq1:
     shows A = B \longrightarrow (A = C \longleftrightarrow B = C)
   by auto
lemma MMI_bibi12d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longleftrightarrow ta )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta ) \longleftrightarrow ( ch \longleftrightarrow ta ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqeq2d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( C = A \longleftrightarrow C = B )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqeq2:
     shows A = B \longrightarrow (C = A \longleftrightarrow C = B)
   by auto
\textbf{lemma MMI\_elimel: assumes A1: B} \in \texttt{C}
    \mathbf{shows} if ( A \in C , A , B ) \in C
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3adant3: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \vartheta ) \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_bitr3d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow (\psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta)
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3eqtr3d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow A = C and
```

```
A3: \varphi \longrightarrow B = D
     \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{C}\ \mathtt{=}\ \mathtt{D}
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_opreq1d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B
   \varphi \longrightarrow ( A + C ) = ( B + C )
   \varphi \longrightarrow ( A - C ) = ( B - C )
   \varphi \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) = ( B \cdot C )
   \varphi \longrightarrow ( A / C ) = ( B / C )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3com12: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( \psi \wedge \varphi \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_opreq2d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B
    shows
   \varphi \longrightarrow ( C + A ) = ( C + B )
   \varphi \longrightarrow (C - A) = (C - B)
   \varphi \longrightarrow (C \cdot A) = (C \cdot B)
   \varphi \longrightarrow ( C / A ) = ( C / B )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3com23: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \operatorname{ch} \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3expa: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge {
m ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_adantrr: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
     shows ( \varphi \wedge ( \psi \wedge \vartheta ) ) \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3expb: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge {\rm ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( \varphi \wedge ( \psi \wedge ch ) ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_an4s: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ( ch \wedge \vartheta ) ) \longrightarrow 	au
     shows ( ( \varphi \wedge \text{ch} ) \wedge ( \psi \wedge \vartheta ) ) \longrightarrow \tau
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqtrd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow B = C
     \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{A} \ = \ \mathtt{C}
     using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_ad2ant21: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
    shows ( ( \vartheta \wedge \varphi ) \wedge ( \tau \wedge \psi ) ) \longrightarrow ch
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm3_2i: assumes A1: \varphi and
     A2: \psi
    shows \varphi \wedge \psi
    using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_opreq2i: assumes A1: A = B
    shows
   (C + A) = (C + B)
   (C - A) = (C - B)
   (C \cdot A) = (C \cdot B)
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpbir2an: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow ( \psi \land ch ) and
     A2: \psi and
     A3: ch
    shows \varphi
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_reu4: assumes A1: \forall x \ y. \ x = y \longrightarrow (\varphi(x) \longleftrightarrow \psi(y))
    shows ( \exists ! x . x \in A \land \varphi(x) ) \longleftrightarrow
   ( ( \exists x \in A . \varphi(x) ) \land ( \forall x \in A . \forall y \in A .
   ( ( \varphi(x) \land \psi(y) ) \longrightarrow x = y ) )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_risset:
    shows A \in B \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in B . x = A)
  by auto
lemma MMI_sylib: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
     A2: \psi \longleftrightarrow ch
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow \operatorname{ch}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mp3an13: assumes A1: \varphi and
     A2: ch and
     A3: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
    shows \psi \longrightarrow \vartheta
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqcomd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B
    \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{B} \ = \ \mathtt{A}
    using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_sylan9eqr: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
      A2: \psi \longrightarrow B = C
     shows ( \psi \wedge \varphi ) \longrightarrow A = C
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_exp32: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge ( \psi \wedge ch ) ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \vartheta ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_impcom: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch )
     shows ( \psi \wedge \varphi ) \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_a1d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \psi )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_r19_21aiv: assumes A1: \forall x. \varphi \longrightarrow ( x \in A \longrightarrow \psi(x) )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\forall x \in A . \psi(x))
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_r19_22:
    shows ( \forall \ {\tt x} \in {\tt A} . ( \varphi({\tt x}) \,\longrightarrow\, \psi({\tt x}) ) ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( \exists x \in A . \varphi(x) ) \longrightarrow ( \exists x \in A . \psi(x) ) )
   by auto
lemma MMI_syl6: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: ch \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\psi \longrightarrow \vartheta)
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpid: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \operatorname{ch} and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \vartheta ) )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow \vartheta )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqtr3t:
    \mathbf{shows} ( A = C \wedge B = C ) \longrightarrow A = B
   by auto
lemma MMI_syl5bi: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \vartheta \longrightarrow \psi
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\vartheta \longrightarrow \operatorname{ch})
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mp3an1: assumes A1: \varphi and
      A2: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( \psi \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_rgen2: assumes A1: \forallx y. ( x \in A \land y \in A ) \longrightarrow \varphi(x,y)
     shows \forall x \in A . \forall y \in A . \varphi(x,y)
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ax_17: shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\forall x. \varphi) by simp
lemma MMI_3eqtr4g: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
      A2: C = A and
      A3: D = B
     \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{C} \ = \ \mathtt{D}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3imtr4: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
      A2: ch \longleftrightarrow \varphi and
      A3: \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \psi
     \mathbf{shows} \ \mathsf{ch} \ \longrightarrow \ \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eleq2i: assumes A1: A = B
     \mathbf{shows}\ \mathtt{C}\ \in\ \mathtt{A}\ \longleftrightarrow\ \mathtt{C}\ \in\ \mathtt{B}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_albii: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi
     shows ( \forall x . \varphi ) \longleftrightarrow ( \forall x . \psi )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_reucl:
    shows ( \exists\, !\ x\ .\ x\in \mathtt{A}\ \land\ \varphi(\mathtt{x})\ )\ \longrightarrow\ \bigcup\ \{\ x\in \mathtt{A}\ .\ \varphi(\mathtt{x})\ \}\in \mathtt{A}
   assume A1: \exists! x . x \in A \land \varphi(x)
   then obtain a where I: a \in A and \varphi(a) by auto
   with A1 have { \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{A} . \varphi(\mathbf{x}) } = {a} by blast
   with I show \bigcup { x \in A . \varphi(x) } \in A by simp
lemma MMI_dedth2h: assumes A1: A = if ( \varphi , A , C ) \longrightarrow ( ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
) and
      A2: B = if ( \psi , B , D ) \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \tau ) and
      A3: \tau
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
```

```
using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eleq1d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( A \in C \longleftrightarrow B \in C )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl5eqel: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \mathtt{A} \in \mathtt{B} and
    \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{C} \ \in \ \mathtt{B}
    using assms by auto
lemma IML_eeuni: assumes A1: x \in A and A2: \exists ! t . t \in A \land \varphi(t)
  shows \varphi(x) \longleftrightarrow \bigcup \{ x \in A : \varphi(x) \} = x
proof
  assume \varphi(x)
   with A1 A2 show \bigcup { x \in A . \varphi(x) } = x by auto
from A2 obtain y where y \in A and I: \varphi(y) by auto
  with A2 A3 have x = y by auto
   with I show \varphi(x) by simp
qed
lemma MMI_reuuni1:
    shows ( x \in A \land (\exists! x . x \in A \land \varphi(x)) ) \longrightarrow
   (\varphi(x) \longleftrightarrow \bigcup \{x \in A : \varphi(x)\} = x)
   using IML_eeuni by simp
lemma MMI_eqeq1i: assumes A1: A = B
    shows A = C \longleftrightarrow B = C
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl6rbbr: assumes A1: \forall x. \varphi(x) \longrightarrow ( \psi(x) \longleftrightarrow ch(x) ) and
     A2: \forall x. \ \vartheta(x) \longleftrightarrow ch(x)
    shows \forall x. \varphi(x) \longrightarrow (\vartheta(x) \longleftrightarrow \psi(x))
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl6rbbrA: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
     A2: \vartheta \longleftrightarrow ch
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\vartheta \longleftrightarrow \psi)
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_vtoclga: assumes A1: \forall x. x = A \longrightarrow ( \varphi(x) \longleftrightarrow \psi) and
     A2: \forall x. x \in B \longrightarrow \varphi(x)
    \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{A} \, \in \, \mathtt{B} \, \longrightarrow \, \psi
    using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_3bitr4: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi and
      A2: ch \longleftrightarrow \varphi and
      A3: \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \psi
    shows ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpbii: assumes Amin: \psi and
      Amaj: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow \operatorname{ch}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqid:
    shows A = A
   by auto
lemma MMI_pm3_27:
    shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow \psi
   by auto
lemma MMI_pm3_26:
    shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow \varphi
   by auto
lemma MMI_ancoms: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
    shows ( \psi \wedge \varphi ) \longrightarrow ch
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl3anc: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \text{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta and
      A2: \tau \longrightarrow \varphi and
      \text{A3: }\tau \,\longrightarrow\, \psi \,\text{ and }
      A4: 	au \longrightarrow ch
    shows \tau \longrightarrow \vartheta
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl5eq: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
      A2: C = A
    \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{C} \ = \ \mathtt{B}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqcomi: assumes A1: A = B
    shows B = A
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3eqtr: assumes A1: A = B and
      A2: B = C and
      A3: C = D
```

```
shows A = D
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpbir: assumes Amin: \psi and
     Amaj: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi
    shows \varphi
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl3an3: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge {
m ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta and
     A2: \tau \longrightarrow ch
    shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \tau ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3eqtrd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C} and
     A3: \varphi \longrightarrow C = D
    \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{A} \ = \ \mathtt{D}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl5: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \vartheta \longrightarrow \psi
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longrightarrow ch )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_exp3a: assumes A1: arphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta )
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \vartheta ) )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_com12: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch )
    shows \psi \longrightarrow ( \varphi \longrightarrow ch )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3imp: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \vartheta ) )
    shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3eqtr3: assumes A1: A = B and
      A2: A = C and
     A3: B = D
    shows C = D
    using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_opreq1i: assumes A1: A = B
    shows
   (A + C) = (B + C)
   (A - C) = (B - C)
   (A / C) = (B / C)
```

```
(A \cdot C) = (B \cdot C)
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqtr3: assumes A1: A = B and
     A2: A = C
    shows B = C
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_dedth: assumes A1: A = if ( \varphi , A , B ) \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
and
     A2: ch
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_id:
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow \varphi
  by auto
lemma MMI_eqtr3d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
     A2: \varphi \longrightarrow A = C
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow B = C
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylan2: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch and
     A2: \vartheta \longrightarrow \psi
    {f shows} ( arphi \wedge artheta ) \longrightarrow {f ch}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_adantl: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
    shows ( ch \wedge \varphi ) \longrightarrow \psi
    using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_opreq12:
   shows
   ( A = B \land C = D ) \longrightarrow ( A + C ) = ( B + D )
   (A = B \land C = D) \longrightarrow (A - C) = (B - D)
   ( A = B \land C = D ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) = ( B \cdot D )
   ( A = B \wedge C = D ) \longrightarrow ( A / C ) = ( B / D )
  by auto
lemma MMI_anidms: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \varphi ) \longrightarrow \psi
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_anabsan2: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge ( \psi \wedge \psi ) ) \longrightarrow ch
    shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
    using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_3simp2:
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} ) \longrightarrow \psi
   by auto
lemma MMI_3simp3:
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) \longrightarrow ch
   by auto
lemma MMI_sylbir: assumes A1: \psi \longleftrightarrow \varphi and
       A2: \psi \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3eqtr3g: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
       A2: A = C and
       A3: B = D
     \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{C}\ \mathtt{=}\ \mathtt{D}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3bitr: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi and
       A2: \psi \longleftrightarrow ch and
       A3: ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3bitr3: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi and
       A2: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch} and
       A3: \psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqcom:
     shows A = B \longleftrightarrow B = A
   by auto
lemma MMI_syl6bb: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
       A2: ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3bitr3d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
       A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta ) and
       A3: \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longleftrightarrow \tau )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \tau )
     using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_syl3an2: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta and
      A2: \tau \longrightarrow \psi
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \tau \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_df_rex:
     shows ( \exists x \in A . \varphi(x) ) \longleftrightarrow ( \exists x . ( x \in A \land \varphi(x) ) )
   by auto
lemma MMI_mpbi: assumes Amin: \varphi and
      \mathtt{Amaj}\colon\thinspace\varphi\longleftrightarrow\psi
     shows \psi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mp3an12: assumes A1: \varphi and
      A2: \psi and
      A3: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ch \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl5bb: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      \mathtt{A2}\colon\ \vartheta\ \longleftrightarrow\ \psi
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longleftrightarrow ch )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eleq1a:
     \mathbf{shows}\ \mathtt{A}\ \in\ \mathtt{B}\ \longrightarrow\ (\ \mathtt{C}\ \mathtt{=}\ \mathtt{A}\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{C}\ \in\ \mathtt{B}\ )
   by auto
lemma MMI_sylbird: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longleftrightarrow \psi ) and
      A2: arphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow artheta )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow \vartheta )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_19_23aiv: assumes A1: \forallx. \varphi(x) \longrightarrow \psi
     shows (\exists x . \varphi(x)) \longrightarrow \psi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqeltrrd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
      \mathtt{A2:}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{A}\ \in\ \mathtt{C}
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow B \in C
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl2an: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch and
      A2: \vartheta \longrightarrow \varphi and
       A3: \tau \longrightarrow \psi
     shows ( \vartheta \wedge \tau ) \longrightarrow ch
```

using assms by auto

```
lemma MMI_adantrl: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
     shows ( \varphi \wedge ( \vartheta \wedge \psi ) ) \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ad2ant2r: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
     shows ( ( \varphi \ \wedge \ \vartheta ) \wedge ( \psi \ \wedge \ \tau ) ) \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_adantll: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
     shows ( ( \vartheta \wedge \varphi ) \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_anandirs: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge ch ) \wedge ( \psi \wedge ch ) ) \longrightarrow 	au
     shows ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \tau
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_adantlr: assumes A1: ( \varphi \ \wedge \ \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
     \mathbf{shows} ( ( \varphi \ \land \ \vartheta ) \land \ \psi ) \longrightarrow \mathsf{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_an42s: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ( ch \wedge \vartheta ) ) \longrightarrow \tau
     shows ( ( \varphi \wedge ch ) \wedge ( \vartheta \wedge \psi ) ) \longrightarrow \tau
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mp3an2: assumes A1: \psi and
      A2: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( \varphi \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3simp1:
    shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge {\tt ch} ) \longrightarrow \varphi
   by auto
lemma MMI_3impb: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge ( \psi \wedge ch ) ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpbird: assumes Amin: \varphi \longrightarrow ch and
      Amaj: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
     using assms by auto
```

```
A2: C = D
   shows
   (A + C) = (B + D)
   (A \cdot C) = (B \cdot D)
   (A - C) = (B - D)
   using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3eqtr4: assumes A1: A = B and
   A2: C = A and
   A3: D = B
   shows C = D
   using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqtr4d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
     A2: \varphi \longrightarrow C = B
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow A = C
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3eqtr3rd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
     A2: \varphi \longrightarrow A = C and
     A3: \varphi \longrightarrow B = D
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow D = C
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylanc: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch and
     A2: \vartheta \longrightarrow \varphi and
     A3: \vartheta \longrightarrow \psi
    \mathbf{shows}\ \vartheta\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{ch}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_anim12i: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
      A2: ch \longrightarrow \vartheta
    shows ( \varphi \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow ( \psi \wedge \vartheta )
    using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_opreqan12d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
     A2: \psi \longrightarrow C = D
    shows
   ( \varphi \, \wedge \, \psi ) \longrightarrow ( A + C ) = ( B + D )
   ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ( A - C ) = ( B - D )
   ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) = ( B \cdot D )
```

lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_opreq12i: assumes A1: A = B and

```
using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylanr2: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge ( \psi \wedge ch ) ) \longrightarrow \vartheta and
      A2: \tau \longrightarrow ch
     shows (\varphi \wedge (\psi \wedge \tau)) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylanl2: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta and
      A2: \tau \longrightarrow \psi
     shows ( ( \varphi \ \wedge \ \tau ) \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \ \vartheta
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ancom2s: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge ( \psi \wedge ch ) ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( \varphi \wedge ( ch \wedge \psi ) ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_anandis: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ( \varphi \wedge ch ) ) \longrightarrow 	au
     shows ( \varphi \wedge ( \psi \wedge ch ) ) \longrightarrow \tau
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylan9eq: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
      A2: \psi \longrightarrow B = C
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow A = C
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_keephyp: assumes A1: A = if ( \varphi , A , B ) \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta )
and
      A2: B = if ( \varphi , A , B ) \longrightarrow ( ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta ) and
      A3: \psi and
      A4: ch
     shows \vartheta
proof -
   \{ assume \varphi \}
      with A1 A3 have \vartheta by simp }
   moreover
   { assume \neg \varphi
      with A2 A4 have \vartheta by simp }
   ultimately show \vartheta by auto
qed
lemma MMI_eleq1:
    \mathbf{shows}\ \mathtt{A}\ \mathtt{=}\ \mathtt{B}\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{(}\ \mathtt{A}\ \in\ \mathtt{C}\ \longleftrightarrow\ \mathtt{B}\ \in\ \mathtt{C}\ \mathtt{)}
   by auto
lemma MMI_pm4_2i:
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow \psi )
   by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_3anbi123d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \tau ) and
      A3: \varphi \longrightarrow (\eta \longleftrightarrow \zeta)
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \wedge \vartheta \wedge \eta ) \longleftrightarrow ( ch \wedge \tau \wedge \zeta ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_imbi12d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \tau )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \longrightarrow \vartheta ) \longleftrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \tau ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_bitrd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\psi \longleftrightarrow \theta)
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_df_ne:
    shows ( A \neq B \longleftrightarrow \neg ( A = B ) )
   by auto
lemma MMI_3pm3_2i: assumes A1: \varphi and
      A2: \psi and
      A3: ch
     shows \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqeq2i: assumes A1: A = B
     shows C = A \longleftrightarrow C = B
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl5bbr: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longleftrightarrow ch )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_biimpd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_orrd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \neg ( \psi ) \longrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \vee ch )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_jaoi: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
      A2: ch \longrightarrow \psi
     shows ( \varphi \vee ch ) \longrightarrow \psi
     using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_oridm:
     shows ( \varphi \lor \varphi ) \longleftrightarrow \varphi
   by auto
lemma MMI_orbi1d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \lor \vartheta ) \longleftrightarrow ( ch \lor \vartheta ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_orbi2d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \vartheta \lor \psi ) \longleftrightarrow ( \vartheta \lor ch ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3bitr4g: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \psi and
      A3: \tau \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \tau )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_negbid: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \neg ( \psi ) \longleftrightarrow \neg ( ch ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ioran:
    shows \neg ( ( \varphi \lor \psi ) ) \longleftrightarrow
  (\neg (\varphi) \land \neg (\psi))
   by auto
lemma MMI_syl6rbb: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\vartheta \longleftrightarrow \psi)
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_anbi12i: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi and
      A2: ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \operatorname{ch} ) \longleftrightarrow ( \psi \wedge \vartheta )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_keepel: assumes A1: A \in C and
      A2: B \in C
     shows if ( \varphi , A , B ) \in C
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_imbi2d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \vartheta \longrightarrow \psi ) \longleftrightarrow ( \vartheta \longrightarrow ch ) )
```

using assms by auto

```
lemma MMI_eqeltr: assumes A = B and B \in C
   shows A \in C using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3impia: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \vartheta )
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqneqd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( A = B \longleftrightarrow C = D )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( A \neq B \longleftrightarrow C \neq D )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3ad2ant2: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ch
     \mathbf{shows} ( \psi \, \wedge \, \varphi \, \wedge \, \vartheta ) \longrightarrow \, \mathtt{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mp3anl3: assumes A1: ch and
      A2: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) \wedge \vartheta ) \longrightarrow \tau
     shows ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge \vartheta ) \longrightarrow \tau
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_bitr4d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_neeq1d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( A \neq C \longleftrightarrow B \neq C )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3anim123i: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
      A2: ch \longrightarrow \vartheta and
      A3: \tau \longrightarrow \eta
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \operatorname{ch} \wedge \tau ) \longrightarrow ( \psi \wedge \vartheta \wedge \eta )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3exp: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \vartheta ) )
     using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_exp4a: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ( ( ch \wedge \vartheta ) \longrightarrow 	au ) )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longrightarrow 	au ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3imp1: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longrightarrow 	au ) )
     shows ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) \wedge \vartheta ) \longrightarrow \tau
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_anim1i: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \operatorname{ch} ) \longrightarrow ( \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3adantl1: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( ( \tau \land \varphi \land \psi ) \land ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3adantl2: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( ( \varphi \wedge \tau \wedge \psi ) \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3comr: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( ch \land \varphi \land \psi ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_bitr3: assumes A1: \psi \longleftrightarrow \varphi and
      A2: \psi \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
     shows \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_anbi12d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow (\vartheta \longleftrightarrow \tau)
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \wedge \vartheta ) \longleftrightarrow ( ch \wedge \tau ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm3_26i: assumes A1: \varphi \wedge \psi
     shows \varphi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm3_27i: assumes A1: \varphi \wedge \psi
     shows \psi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_anabsan: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge \varphi ) \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
```

```
using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3eqtr4rd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow C = A and
      A3: \varphi \longrightarrow D = B
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow D = C
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl3an1: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta and
      A2: \tau \longrightarrow \varphi
     shows ( \tau \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl3anl2: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \text{ch} ) \wedge \vartheta ) \longrightarrow \tau and
      A2: \eta \longrightarrow \psi
     shows ( ( \varphi \ \wedge \ \eta \ \wedge \ \mathrm{ch} ) \wedge \ \vartheta ) \longrightarrow \tau
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_jca: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \wedge ch )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3ad2ant3: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow {\tt ch}
     shows ( \psi \wedge \vartheta \wedge \varphi ) \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_anim2i: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
     shows ( ch \wedge \varphi ) \longrightarrow ( ch \wedge \psi )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ancom:
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longleftrightarrow ( \psi \wedge \varphi )
   by auto
lemma MMI_anbi1i: assumes Aaa: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \operatorname{ch} ) \longleftrightarrow ( \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_an42:
     shows ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ( ch \wedge \vartheta ) ) \longleftrightarrow
  ( ( \varphi \wedge ch ) \wedge ( \vartheta \wedge \psi ) )
   by auto
lemma MMI_sylanb: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch and
      A2: \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \varphi
```

```
shows ( \vartheta \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_an4:
     shows ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ( ch \wedge \vartheta ) ) \longleftrightarrow
  ( ( \varphi \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) \wedge ( \psi \wedge \vartheta ) )
   by auto
lemma MMI_syl2anb: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch and
      A2: \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \varphi and
      \text{A3: }\tau\longleftrightarrow\psi
     shows ( \vartheta \wedge \tau ) \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqtr2d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow B = C
     \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{C} \ = \ \mathtt{A}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylbid: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \vartheta )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow \vartheta )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylanl1: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta and
      A2: \tau \longrightarrow \varphi
     shows ( ( \tau \wedge \psi ) \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylan2b: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch and
      A2: \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \psi
     {f shows} ( arphi \wedge artheta ) \longrightarrow {f ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm3_22:
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ( \psi \wedge \varphi )
   by auto
lemma MMI_ancli: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \varphi \wedge \psi )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ad2antlr: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
     shows ( ( ch \wedge \varphi ) \wedge \vartheta ) \longrightarrow \psi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_biimpa: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_sylan2i: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta ) and
      A2: \tau \longrightarrow ch
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \wedge \tau ) \longrightarrow \vartheta )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3jca: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow \operatorname{ch} \operatorname{and}
      A3: \varphi \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \wedge ch \wedge \vartheta )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_com34: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longrightarrow 	au ) )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \tau ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_imp43: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longrightarrow 	au ) )
     shows ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ( ch \wedge \vartheta ) ) \longrightarrow \tau
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3anass:
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) \longleftrightarrow ( \varphi \wedge ( \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) )
   by auto
lemma MMI_3eqtr4r: assumes A1: A = B and
      A2: C = A and
      A3: D = B
     shows D = C
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_jctl: assumes A1: \psi
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\psi \wedge \varphi)
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylibr: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
      A2: ch \longleftrightarrow \psi
    \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathsf{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpanl1: assumes A1: \varphi and
      A2: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( \psi \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_a1i: assumes A1: \varphi
```

```
shows \psi \longrightarrow \varphi
    using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_opreqan12rd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
     A2: \psi \longrightarrow C = D
    shows
   ( \psi \wedge \varphi ) \longrightarrow ( A + C ) = ( B + D )
   ( \psi \wedge \varphi ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) = ( B \cdot D )
   ( \psi \wedge \varphi ) \longrightarrow ( A - C ) = ( B - D )
   ( \psi \wedge \varphi ) \longrightarrow ( A / C ) = ( B / D )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3adantl3: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
    shows ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \tau ) \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylbi: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi and
     A2: \psi \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow \operatorname{ch}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eirr:
    {f shows} \lnot ( {f A} \in {f A} )
  by (rule mem_not_refl)
lemma MMI_eleq1i: assumes A1: A = B
    \mathbf{shows}\ \mathtt{A}\ \in\ \mathtt{C}\ \longleftrightarrow\ \mathtt{B}\ \in\ \mathtt{C}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mtbir: assumes A1: \neg ( \psi ) and
     A2: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi
    shows \neg ( \varphi )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mto: assumes A1: \neg ( \psi ) and
     A2: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
    shows \neg ( \varphi )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_df_nel:
    shows ( A \notin B \longleftrightarrow \neg ( A \in B ) )
  by auto
lemma MMI_snid: assumes A1: A isASet
    shows A \in \{A\}
    using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_en2lp:
    \mathbf{shows}\,\,\neg\, ( \mathtt{A}\,\in\,\mathtt{B}\,\wedge\,\mathtt{B}\,\in\,\mathtt{A} )
proof
  assume A1: A \in B \land B \in A
  then have A \in B by simp
  moreover
   \{ assume \neg (\neg (A \in B \land B \in A)) \}
      then have B \in A by auto}
  ultimately have \neg( A \in B \land B \in A)
      by (rule mem_asym)
  with A1 show False by simp
qed
lemma MMI_imnan:
    shows ( \varphi \longrightarrow \neg ( \psi ) ) \longleftrightarrow \neg ( ( \varphi \land \psi ) )
  by auto
lemma MMI_sseqtr4: assumes A1: A \subseteq B and
      A2: C = B
    \mathbf{shows}\ \mathtt{A}\ \subseteq\ \mathtt{C}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ssun1:
    \mathbf{shows}\ \mathtt{A}\ \subseteq\ (\ \mathtt{A}\ \cup\ \mathtt{B}\ )
  by auto
lemma MMI_ibar:
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) )
  by auto
lemma MMI_mtbiri: assumes Amin: \neg ( ch ) and
     Amaj: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow \neg ( \psi )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_con2i: assumes Aa: arphi \longrightarrow \neg ( \psi )
    shows \psi \longrightarrow \neg (\varphi)
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_intnand: assumes A1: arphi \longrightarrow \neg ( \psi )
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow \neg ( ( ch \wedge \psi ) )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_intnanrd: assumes A1: arphi \longrightarrow \neg ( \psi )
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow \neg ( ( \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) )
    using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_biorf:
     shows \neg ( \varphi ) \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ( \varphi \lor \psi ) )
   by auto
lemma MMI_bitr2d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
       A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \psi )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_orass:
     shows ( ( \varphi \lor \psi ) \lor ch ) \longleftrightarrow ( \varphi \lor ( \psi \lor ch ) )
   by auto
lemma MMI_orcom:
     shows ( \varphi \lor \psi ) \longleftrightarrow ( \psi \lor \varphi )
   by auto
lemma MMI_3bitr4d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
       A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \psi ) and
       A3: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \tau \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \tau )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3imtr4d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch ) and
       A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \psi ) and
       A3: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \tau \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\vartheta \longrightarrow \tau)
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3impdi: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ( \varphi \wedge ch ) ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_bi2anan9: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
       A2: \vartheta \longrightarrow ( \tau \longleftrightarrow \eta )
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \vartheta ) \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \wedge \tau ) \longleftrightarrow ( ch \wedge \eta ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ssel2:
     \mathbf{shows} ( ( \mathtt{A} \subseteq \mathtt{B} \, \wedge \, \mathtt{C} \, \in \, \mathtt{A} ) \, \longrightarrow \, \mathtt{C} \, \in \, \mathtt{B} )
   by auto
lemma MMI_an1rs: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( ( \varphi \wedge \operatorname{ch} ) \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
```

```
lemma MMI_ralbidva: assumes A1: \forall x. ( \varphi \land x \in A ) \longrightarrow ( \psi(x) \longleftrightarrow ch(x)
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \forall x \in A . \psi(x) ) \longleftrightarrow ( \forall x \in A . ch(x) ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_rexbidva: assumes A1: \forallx. ( \varphi \land x \in A ) \longrightarrow ( \psi(x) \longleftrightarrow ch(x)
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \exists x \in A . \psi(x) ) \longleftrightarrow ( \exists x \in A . ch(x) ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_con2bid: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow \lnot ( ch ) )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longleftrightarrow \neg ( \psi ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_so: assumes
   A1: \forallx y z. ( x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge z \in A ) \longrightarrow
    ( ( \langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}\rangle \in \mathtt{R} \longleftrightarrow \neg ( ( \mathtt{x} = y \lor \langle \mathtt{y}, \mathtt{x}\rangle \in \mathtt{R} ) ) \land
    ( ( \langle \mathtt{x}\text{, }\mathtt{y}\rangle \,\in\, \mathtt{R} \,\,\wedge\,\,\,\langle \mathtt{y}\text{, }\mathtt{z}\rangle \,\in\, \mathtt{R} ) \,\longrightarrow\,\,\langle \mathtt{x}\text{, }\mathtt{z}\rangle \,\in\, \mathtt{R} ) )
   shows R Orders A
   using assms StrictOrder_def by auto
lemma MMI_con1bid: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \neg ( \psi ) \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \neg ( ch ) \longleftrightarrow \psi )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sotrieq:
   {f shows} ( (R Orders A) \wedge ( B \in A \wedge C \in A ) ) \longrightarrow
    ( B = C \longleftrightarrow \neg ( ( \langle B,C \rangle \in R \lor \langle C, B \rangle \in R ) )
    { assume A1: R Orders A and A2: B \in A \land C \in A
       from A1 have \forall x y z. (x \in A \land y \in A \land z \in A) \longrightarrow
           (\langle x,y \rangle \in R \longleftrightarrow \neg(x=y \lor \langle y,x \rangle \in R)) \land
           (\langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}\rangle \ \in \ \mathtt{R} \ \land \ \langle \mathtt{y},\mathtt{z}\rangle \ \in \ \mathtt{R} \ \longrightarrow \ \langle \mathtt{x},\mathtt{z}\rangle \ \in \ \mathtt{R})
           by (unfold StrictOrder_def)
       then have
           \forall x y. x \in A \land y \in A \longrightarrow (\langle x,y \rangle \in R \longleftrightarrow \neg(x=y \lor \langle y,x \rangle \in R))
           by auto
       with A2 have I: \langle B,C \rangle \in R \longleftrightarrow \neg (B=C \lor \langle C,B \rangle \in R)
           by blast
       then have B = C \longleftrightarrow \neg (\langle B,C \rangle \in R \lor \langle C,B \rangle \in R)
           by auto
    } then show ( (R Orders A) \wedge ( B \in A \wedge C \in A ) ) \longrightarrow
```

```
( B = C \longleftrightarrow \neg ( ( \langle \texttt{B}, \texttt{C} \rangle \in \texttt{R} \lor \langle \texttt{C}, \texttt{B} \rangle \in \texttt{R} ) ) by simp
qed
lemma MMI_bicomd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longleftrightarrow \psi )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sotrieq2:
   \mathbf{shows} ( R Orders A \land ( B \in A \land C \in A ) ) \longrightarrow
   ( B = C \longleftrightarrow ( \neg ( \langle \texttt{B, C} \rangle \in R ) \land \neg ( \langle \texttt{C, B} \rangle \in R ) )
   using MMI_sotrieq by auto
lemma MMI_orc:
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \varphi \lor \psi )
   by auto
lemma MMI_syl6bbr: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \vartheta \longleftrightarrow ch
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\psi \longleftrightarrow \theta)
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_orbi1i: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi
     shows ( \varphi \vee ch ) \longleftrightarrow ( \psi \vee ch )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl5rbbr: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_anbi2d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \vartheta \wedge \psi ) \longleftrightarrow ( \vartheta \wedge ch ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ord: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \lor ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \neg ( \psi ) \longrightarrow ch )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_impbid: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \psi )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\psi \longleftrightarrow ch)
     using assms by blast
lemma MMI_jcad: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow \vartheta )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ( ch \wedge \vartheta ) )
     using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_ax_1:
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow \varphi )
   by auto
lemma MMI_pm2_24:
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \neg ( \varphi ) \longrightarrow \psi )
   by auto
lemma MMI_imp3a: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \vartheta ) )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta )
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_breq1:
    shows
   A = B \longrightarrow ( A \leq C \longleftrightarrow B \leq C )
   A = B \longrightarrow (A < C \longleftrightarrow B < C)
   by auto
lemma MMI_biimprd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \psi )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_jaod: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch ) and
       A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \vartheta \longrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \lor \vartheta ) \longrightarrow ch )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_com23: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \vartheta ) )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow \vartheta ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_breq2:
    shows
   A = B \longrightarrow (C \le A \longleftrightarrow C \le B)
   A = B \longrightarrow (C < A \longleftrightarrow C < B)
   by auto
lemma MMI_syld: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( ch \longrightarrow \vartheta )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow \vartheta )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_biimpcd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \psi \longrightarrow ( \varphi \longrightarrow ch )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mp2and: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow \operatorname{ch} \operatorname{and}
```

```
A3: arphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta )
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow \vartheta
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sonr:
    {f shows} ( R Orders A \wedge B \in A ) \longrightarrow \neg ( \langle {f B},{f B} 
angle \in R )
   unfolding StrictOrder_def by auto
lemma MMI_orri: assumes A1: \neg ( \varphi ) \longrightarrow \psi
    shows \varphi \vee \psi
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpbiri: assumes Amin: ch and
     Amaj: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm2_46:
    shows \neg ( ( \varphi \lor \psi ) ) \longrightarrow \neg ( \psi )
  by auto
lemma MMI_elun:
    \mathbf{shows}\ \mathtt{A}\ \in\ \mathtt{(}\ \mathtt{B}\ \cup\ \mathtt{C}\ \mathtt{)}\ \longleftrightarrow\ \mathtt{(}\ \mathtt{A}\ \in\ \mathtt{B}\ \lor\ \mathtt{A}\ \in\ \mathtt{C}\ \mathtt{)}
  by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_pnfxr:
    shows +\infty \in \mathbb{R}^*
   using cxr_def by simp
lemma MMI_elisseti: assumes A1: A \in B
    shows A isASet
    using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mnfxr:
    shows -\infty \in \mathbb{R}^*
   using cxr_def by simp
lemma MMI_elpr2: assumes A1: B isASet and
     A2: C isASet
    shows A \in { B , C } \longleftrightarrow ( A = B \lor A = C )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_orbi2i: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi
    shows ( ch \vee \varphi ) \longleftrightarrow ( ch \vee \psi )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3orass:
```

```
shows ( \varphi \lor \psi \lor ch ) \longleftrightarrow ( \varphi \lor ( \psi \lor ch ) )
  by auto
lemma MMI_bitr4: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi and
     A2: ch \longleftrightarrow \psi
    \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longleftrightarrow\ \mathsf{ch}
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eleq2:
    shows A = B \longrightarrow ( C \in A \longleftrightarrow C \in B )
  by auto
lemma MMI_nelneq:
    shows ( A \in C \land \neg ( B \in C ) ) \longrightarrow \neg ( A = B )
  by auto
lemma MMI_df_pr:
    shows { A , B } = ( { A } \cup { B } )
  by auto
lemma MMI_ineq2i: assumes A1: A = B
    shows ( C \cap A ) = ( C \cap B )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mt2: assumes A1: \psi and
     A2: \varphi \longrightarrow \neg ( \psi )
    shows \neg ( \varphi )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_disjsn:
    \mathbf{shows} ( A \cap { B } ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow \neg ( B \in A )
  by auto
lemma MMI_undisj2:
    {f shows} ( ( {f A} \cap {f B} ) =
 0 \wedge (A \cap C) =
 0) \longleftrightarrow (A \cap (B \cup C) ) = 0
  by auto
lemma MMI_disjssun:
    shows ( ( A \cap B ) = 0 \longrightarrow ( A \subseteq ( B \cup C ) \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq C ) )
  by auto
lemma MMI_uncom:
    shows ( A \cup B ) = ( B \cup A )
  by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_sseq2i: assumes A1: A = B
    \mathbf{shows} ( \mathtt{C} \subseteq \mathtt{A} \longleftrightarrow \mathtt{C} \subseteq \mathtt{B} )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_disj:
    shows ( A \cap B ) =
 0 \longleftrightarrow ( \forall x \in A . \neg ( x \in B ) )
  by auto
lemma MMI_syl5ibr: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch ) and
     A2: \psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\vartheta \longrightarrow \mathsf{ch})
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_con3d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch )
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \lnot ( ch ) \longrightarrow \lnot ( \psi ) )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_dfrex2:
  shows ( \exists x \in A . \varphi(x) ) \longleftrightarrow \neg ( ( \forall x \in A . \neg \varphi(x) ) )
  by auto
lemma MMI_visset:
    shows x isASet
  by auto
lemma MMI_elpr: assumes A1: A isASet
    shows A \in { B , C } \longleftrightarrow ( A = B \lor A = C )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_rexbii: assumes A1: \forall x. \varphi(x) \longleftrightarrow \psi(x)
    shows ( \exists x \in A . \varphi(x) ) \longleftrightarrow ( \exists x \in A . \psi(x) )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_r19_43:
    shows ( \exists x \in A . ( \varphi(x) \vee \psi(x) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( \exists x \in A . \varphi(x) \lor ( \exists x \in A . \psi(x) ) )
  by auto
lemma MMI_exancom:
    shows (\exists x . (\varphi(x) \land \psi(x) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( \exists \ \mathbf{x} . ( \psi(\mathbf{x}) \ \land \ \varphi(\mathbf{x}) ) )
  by auto
lemma MMI_ceqsexv: assumes A1: A isASet and
     A2: \forall x. x = A \longrightarrow (\varphi(x) \longleftrightarrow \psi(x))
    shows ( \exists x . ( x = A \land \varphi(x) ) ) \longleftrightarrow \psi(A)
```

```
using assms by auto
lemma MMI_orbi12i_orig: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi and
     A2: ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
    shows (\varphi \lor ch) \longleftrightarrow (\psi \lor \vartheta)
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_orbi12i: assumes A1: (\exists x. \varphi(x)) \longleftrightarrow \psi and
      A2: (\exists x. ch(x)) \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
    shows ( \existsx. \varphi(x) ) \vee (\existsx. ch(x) ) \longleftrightarrow ( \psi \vee \vartheta )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl6ib: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch ) and
     A2: ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\psi \longrightarrow \theta)
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_intnan: assumes A1: \neg ( \varphi )
    shows \neg ( ( \psi \land \varphi ) )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_intnanr: assumes A1: \neg ( \varphi )
    shows \neg ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) )
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm3_2ni: assumes A1: \neg ( \varphi ) and
     A2: \neg ( \psi )
    shows \neg ( ( \varphi \lor \psi ) )
    using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_breq12:
   ( A = B \wedge C = D ) \longrightarrow ( A < C \longleftrightarrow B < D )
   ( A = B \wedge C = D ) \longrightarrow ( A \leq C \longleftrightarrow B \leq D )
  by auto
lemma MMI_necom:
    \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{A} \neq \mathtt{B} \longleftrightarrow \mathtt{B} \neq \mathtt{A}
  by auto
lemma MMI_3jaoi: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
      A2: ch \longrightarrow \psi and
      A3: \vartheta \longrightarrow \psi
    shows ( \varphi \vee ch \vee \vartheta ) \longrightarrow \psi
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_jctr: assumes A1: \psi
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \varphi \wedge \psi )
    using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_olc:
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \vee \varphi )
   by auto
lemma MMI_3syl: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
      A2: \psi \longrightarrow \operatorname{ch} \operatorname{and}
      A3: ch \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mtbird: assumes Amin: \varphi \longrightarrow \neg ( ch ) and
      Amaj: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow \neg (\psi)
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm2_21d: assumes A1: arphi \longrightarrow \neg ( \psi )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3jaodan: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch and
      A2: ( \varphi \wedge \vartheta ) \longrightarrow ch and
      A3: ( \varphi \wedge \tau ) \longrightarrow ch
     shows ( \varphi \wedge ( \psi \vee \vartheta \vee \tau ) ) \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylan2br: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch and
      A2: \psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \vartheta ) \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3jaoian: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch and
      A2: ( \vartheta \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch and
      A3: ( \tau \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
     shows ( ( \varphi \lor \vartheta \lor \tau ) \land \psi ) \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mtbid: assumes Amin: \varphi \longrightarrow \neg ( \psi ) and
      Amaj: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow \neg ( ch )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_con1d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \neg ( \psi ) \longrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \neg ( ch ) \longrightarrow \psi )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm2_21nd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
```

```
shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( \neg ( \psi ) \longrightarrow ch )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl3an1b: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \text{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta and
      A2: \tau \longleftrightarrow \varphi
     shows ( \tau \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_adantld: assumes A1: arphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \vartheta \wedge \psi ) \longrightarrow ch )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_adantrd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longrightarrow ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \wedge \vartheta ) \longrightarrow ch )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_anasss: assumes A1: ( ( \varphi \wedge \psi ) \wedge ch ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ( \varphi \wedge ( \psi \wedge ch ) ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl3an3b: assumes A1: ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta and
      A2: \tau \longleftrightarrow ch
     shows ( \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \tau ) \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpbid: assumes Amin: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
       Amaj: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_orbi12d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch ) and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow (\vartheta \longleftrightarrow \tau)
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \lor \vartheta ) \longleftrightarrow ( ch \lor \tau ) )
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ianor:
     shows \neg ( \varphi \land \psi ) \longleftrightarrow \neg \varphi \lor \neg \psi
   by auto
lemma MMI_bitr2: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi and
      A2: \psi \longleftrightarrow ch
     \mathbf{shows} \ \mathsf{ch} \, \longleftrightarrow \, \varphi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_biimp: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
```

```
using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpan2d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ch and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow ( ( \psi \wedge \text{ch} ) \longrightarrow \vartheta )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow (\psi \longrightarrow \theta)
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ad2antrr: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
     shows ( ( \varphi \wedge ch ) \wedge \vartheta ) \longrightarrow \psi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_biimpac: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow ( \psi \longleftrightarrow ch )
     {\bf shows} ( \psi \, \wedge \, \varphi ) \longrightarrow \, {\tt ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_con2bii: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \neg ( \psi )
     shows \psi \longleftrightarrow \neg (\varphi)
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm3_26bd: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow ( \psi \wedge ch )
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_biimpr: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi
     shows \psi \longrightarrow \varphi
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_3brtr3g: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A < B and
      A2: A = C and
      A3: B = D
     \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{C}\ \mathtt{<\ D}
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_breq12i: assumes A1: A = B and
      A2: C = D
    shows
   \texttt{A} \; \mathrel{<} \; \texttt{C} \; \longleftrightarrow \; \texttt{B} \; \mathrel{<} \; \texttt{D}
   A \leq C \longleftrightarrow B \leq D
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_negbii: assumes Aa: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi
     shows \neg \varphi \longleftrightarrow \neg \psi
     using assms by auto
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_breq1i: assumes A1: A = B
     shows
   A < C \longleftrightarrow B < C
   \mathtt{A} \; \leq \; \mathtt{C} \; \longleftrightarrow \; \mathtt{B} \; \leq \; \mathtt{C}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl5eqr: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
       A2: A = C
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow C = B
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_breq2d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B
     shows
     \varphi \,\,\longrightarrow\,\, {\tt C} \,\, <\,\, {\tt A} \,\,\longleftrightarrow\,\, {\tt C} \,\, <\,\, {\tt B}
     \varphi \, \longrightarrow \, \mathtt{C} \, \leq \, \mathtt{A} \, \longleftrightarrow \, \mathtt{C} \, \leq \, \mathtt{B}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ccase: assumes A1: \varphi \wedge \psi \longrightarrow \tau and
       A2: ch \wedge \psi \longrightarrow \tau and
       A3: \varphi \wedge \vartheta \longrightarrow \tau and
       A4: ch \wedge \vartheta \longrightarrow \tau
     shows (\varphi \lor ch) \land (\psi \lor \vartheta) \longrightarrow \tau
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm3_27bd: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi \land ch
     \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_nsyl3: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \neg \psi and
       A2: ch \longrightarrow \psi
     shows ch \longrightarrow \neg \varphi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_jctild: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi \longrightarrow ch and
       A2: \varphi \longrightarrow \vartheta
     \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ 
     \psi \longrightarrow \vartheta \wedge \mathrm{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_jctird: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi \longrightarrow ch and
       A2: \varphi \longrightarrow \vartheta
     \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ 
     \psi \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch} \wedge \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ccase2: assumes A1: \varphi \wedge \psi \longrightarrow \tau and
```

```
A2: ch \longrightarrow \tau and
       \text{A3: }\vartheta \ \longrightarrow \ \tau
     shows (\varphi \lor ch) \land (\psi \lor \vartheta) \longrightarrow \tau
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3bitr3r: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi and
       A2: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch} and
       \mathsf{A3}\colon\;\psi\;\longleftrightarrow\;\vartheta
     \mathbf{shows}\ \vartheta\ \longleftrightarrow\ \mathtt{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_syl6breq: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A < B and
       A2: B = C
     shows
   \varphi \, \longrightarrow \, {\tt A} \, < \, {\tt C}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm2_61i: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
       A2: \neg \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
     shows \psi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl6req: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
       A2: B = C
     \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{C} \ = \ \mathtt{A}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm2_61d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi \longrightarrow ch and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow
     \neg\psi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathrm{ch}
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow \operatorname{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_orim1d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi \longrightarrow ch
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow
     \psi \ \lor \ \vartheta \ \longrightarrow \ \mathrm{ch} \ \lor \ \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_breq1d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B
     shows
   \varphi \,\,\longrightarrow\,\, {\tt A}\,\, <\, {\tt C}\,\,\longleftrightarrow\,\, {\tt B}\,\, <\,\, {\tt C}
   \varphi \, \longrightarrow \, \mathtt{A} \, \le \, \mathtt{C} \, \longleftrightarrow \, \mathtt{B} \, \le \, \mathtt{C}
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_breq12d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
```

```
A2: \varphi \longrightarrow C = D
      shows
    \varphi \; \longrightarrow \; \mathtt{A} \; \mathrel{<} \; \mathtt{C} \; \longleftrightarrow \; \mathtt{B} \; \mathrel{<} \; \mathtt{D}
    \varphi \, \longrightarrow \, \mathtt{A} \, \leq \, \mathtt{C} \, \longleftrightarrow \, \mathtt{B} \, \leq \, \mathtt{D}
       using assms by auto
lemma MMI_bibi2d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
       \psi \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
       shows \varphi \longrightarrow
       (\vartheta \longleftrightarrow \psi) \longleftrightarrow
      \vartheta \; \longleftrightarrow \; \mathrm{ch}
       using assms by auto
lemma MMI_con4bid: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \neg\psi\,\longleftrightarrow\,\neg\mathrm{ch}
       shows \varphi \longrightarrow
       \psi \,\longleftrightarrow\, {\rm ch}
       using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3com13: assumes A1: \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
       shows ch \wedge \psi \wedge \varphi \longrightarrow \vartheta
       using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3bitr3rd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
       \psi \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch} \ \mathrm{and}
        A2: \varphi \longrightarrow
       \psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta and
        \text{A3: }\varphi\longrightarrow
       \mathtt{ch} \;\longleftrightarrow\; \tau
       shows \varphi \longrightarrow
       \tau \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
       using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3imtr4g: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi \longrightarrow ch and
        A2: \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \psi and
        A3: \tau \longleftrightarrow ch
      \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ 
       \vartheta \ \longrightarrow \ \tau
       using assms by auto
lemma MMI_expcom: assumes A1: \varphi \wedge \psi \longrightarrow ch
       \mathbf{shows}\ \psi\ \longrightarrow\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathsf{ch}
       using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_breq2i: assumes A1: A = B
       shows
```

```
\texttt{C} \; \mathrel{<} \; \texttt{A} \; \longleftrightarrow \; \texttt{C} \; \mathrel{<} \; \texttt{B}
    \mathtt{C} \; \leq \; \mathtt{A} \; \longleftrightarrow \; \mathtt{C} \; \leq \; \mathtt{B}
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3bitr2r: assumes A1: \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi and
        A2: ch \longleftrightarrow \psi and
        A3: ch \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
      shows \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \varphi
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_dedth4h: assumes A1: A = if(\varphi, A, R) \longrightarrow
      \tau \longleftrightarrow \eta and
       A2: B = if(\psi, B, S) \longrightarrow
      \eta \longleftrightarrow \zeta and
        A3: C = if(ch, C, F) \longrightarrow
      \zeta \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{si} \operatorname{and}
        A4: D = if(\theta, D, G) \longrightarrow si \longleftrightarrow rh and
        A5: rh
      shows (\varphi \wedge \psi) \wedge \operatorname{ch} \wedge \vartheta \longrightarrow \tau
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_anbi1d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \,\longleftrightarrow\, {\rm ch}
      shows \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \wedge \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch} \wedge \vartheta
      using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_breqtrrd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A < B and
        A2: \varphi \longrightarrow C = B
      \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{A} \ \lessdot \ \mathtt{C}
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl3an: assumes A1: \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta and
        A2: \tau \longrightarrow \varphi and
        A3: \eta \longrightarrow \psi and
        A4: \zeta \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
      shows \tau \wedge \eta \wedge \zeta \longrightarrow \vartheta
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3bitrd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch} \ \mathrm{and}
        A2: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \mathtt{ch} \ \longleftrightarrow \ \vartheta \ \mathbf{and}
        \text{A3: }\varphi \longrightarrow
```

```
\vartheta \longleftrightarrow \tau
     \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow
     \psi \longleftrightarrow \tau
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_breqtr: assumes A1: A < B and</pre>
      A2: B = C
     shows A < C
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpi: assumes A1: \psi and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
     \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqtr2: assumes A1: A = B and
      A2: B = C
     shows C = A
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqneqi: assumes A1: A = B \longleftrightarrow C = D
     \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{A} \, \neq \, \mathtt{B} \, \longleftrightarrow \, \mathtt{C} \, \neq \, \mathtt{D}
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_eqbrtrrd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow A < C
     \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{B} \ \lessdot \ \mathtt{C}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow \operatorname{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpdan: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
      A2: \varphi \wedge \psi \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
     \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_breqtrd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A < B and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow B = C
     \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{A} \ \lessdot \ \mathtt{C}
     using assms by auto
```

```
lemma MMI_mpand: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
       A2: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
      shows \varphi \longrightarrow \operatorname{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_imbi1d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
      \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ 
      (\psi \longrightarrow \vartheta) \longleftrightarrow
      (ch \longrightarrow \vartheta)
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mtbii: assumes Amin: \neg \psi and
       Amaj: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \;\longleftrightarrow\; {\tt ch}
      \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \neg\mathtt{ch}
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylan2d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \wedge ch \longrightarrow \vartheta and
       A2: \varphi \longrightarrow \tau \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
      \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ 
      \psi \wedge \tau \longrightarrow \vartheta
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_imp32: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
      shows \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
      using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_breqan12d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
       A2: \psi \longrightarrow C = D
      shows
    \varphi \wedge \psi \longrightarrow A < C \longleftrightarrow B < D
    \varphi \wedge \psi \longrightarrow A \leq C \longleftrightarrow B \leq D
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_a1dd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi \longrightarrow ch
      \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ 
      \psi \; \longrightarrow \; \vartheta \; \longrightarrow \; \mathrm{ch}
      using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_3brtr3d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A \leq B and
       A2: \varphi \longrightarrow A = C and
```

```
A3: \varphi \longrightarrow B = D
      \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{C}\ \le\ \mathtt{D}
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ad2antll: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
      shows ch \wedge \vartheta \wedge \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_adantrrl: assumes A1: \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
      shows \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \tau \wedge \operatorname{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl2ani: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \wedge ch \longrightarrow \vartheta and
       A2: \tau \longrightarrow \psi and
       A3: \eta \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
      shows \varphi \longrightarrow
     \tau \ \wedge \ \eta \ \longrightarrow \ \vartheta
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_im2anan9: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi \longrightarrow ch and
       A2: \vartheta \longrightarrow
     \tau \longrightarrow \eta
      shows \varphi \wedge \vartheta \longrightarrow
      \psi \wedge \tau \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch} \wedge \eta
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ancomsd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \wedge ch \longrightarrow \vartheta
     \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ 
      \mathtt{ch} \ \land \ \psi \ \longrightarrow \ \vartheta
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpani: assumes A1: \psi and
       A2: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
      \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathsf{ch}\ \longrightarrow\ \vartheta
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syldan: assumes A1: \varphi \wedge \psi \longrightarrow ch and
       A2: \varphi \wedge \operatorname{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
      shows \varphi \wedge \psi \longrightarrow \vartheta
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mp3anl1: assumes A1: \varphi and
       A2: (\varphi \wedge \psi \wedge ch) \wedge \vartheta \longrightarrow \tau
      shows (\psi \land ch) \land \vartheta \longrightarrow \tau
      using assms by auto
```

```
\mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \wedge\ \psi\ \wedge\ \vartheta\ \longrightarrow\ \mathsf{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm3_2:
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow
     \psi \, \longrightarrow \, \varphi \, \wedge \, \psi
   by auto
lemma MMI_pm2_43i: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
     \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_jctil: assumes A1: \varphi\,\longrightarrow\,\psi and
       A2: ch
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow \operatorname{ch} \wedge \psi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpanl12: assumes A1: \varphi and
       A2: \psi and
       A3: (\varphi \wedge \psi) \wedge \operatorname{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows ch \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpanr1: assumes A1: \psi and
       A2: \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \wedge \operatorname{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ad2antrl: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
     shows ch \wedge \varphi \wedge \vartheta \longrightarrow \psi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3adant3r: assumes A1: \varphi \ \land \ \psi \ \land \ \operatorname{ch} \ \longrightarrow \ \vartheta
     shows \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} \wedge \tau \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3adant11: assumes A1: \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows (\tau \wedge \varphi) \wedge \psi \wedge ch \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3adant2r: assumes A1: \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \wedge (\psi \wedge \tau) \wedge \operatorname{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
```

lemma MMI_3ad2ant1: assumes A1: $\varphi \longrightarrow$ ch

```
lemma MMI_3bitr4rd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch} \ \mathrm{and}
       A2: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \psi and
       \text{A3: }\varphi\longrightarrow
      \tau \;\longleftrightarrow\; \mathrm{ch}
      shows \varphi \longrightarrow
      \tau \longleftrightarrow \vartheta
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3anrev:
      shows \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{ch} \wedge \psi \wedge \varphi
    by auto
lemma MMI_eqtr4: assumes A1: A = B and
        A2: C = B
      shows A = C
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_anidm:
      shows \varphi \wedge \varphi \longleftrightarrow \varphi
    by auto
lemma MMI_bi2anan9r: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \longleftrightarrow {\operatorname{ch}} \ {\operatorname{and}}
       A2: \vartheta \longrightarrow
      \tau \longleftrightarrow \eta
      shows \vartheta \land \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \wedge \tau \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch} \wedge \eta
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3imtr3g: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi \longrightarrow ch and
        A2: \psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta and
        A3: ch \longleftrightarrow \tau
      shows \varphi \longrightarrow
      \vartheta \ \longrightarrow \ \tau
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_a3d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \neg\psi\ \longrightarrow\ \neg{\tt ch}
      \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{ch}\ \longrightarrow\ \psi
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylan9bbr: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{ch} \operatorname{and}
        A2: \vartheta \longrightarrow
```

```
\mathtt{ch} \; \longleftrightarrow \; \tau
      shows \vartheta \land \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \longleftrightarrow \tau
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_sylan9bb: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch} \ \mathrm{and}
        A2: \vartheta \longrightarrow
      \mathtt{ch} \; \longleftrightarrow \; \tau
      shows \varphi \wedge \vartheta \longrightarrow
      \psi \longleftrightarrow \tau
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_3bitr3g: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{ch} \ \mathrm{and}
        A2: \psi \longleftrightarrow \vartheta and
        A3: ch \longleftrightarrow \tau
      \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ 
      \vartheta \longleftrightarrow \tau
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm5_21:
      shows \neg \varphi \land \neg \psi \longrightarrow
      \varphi \longleftrightarrow \psi
    by auto
lemma MMI_an6:
      shows (\varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \text{ch}) \wedge \vartheta \wedge \tau \wedge \eta \longleftrightarrow
      (\varphi \wedge \vartheta) \wedge (\psi \wedge \tau) \wedge \operatorname{ch} \wedge \eta
    by auto
lemma MMI_syl3anl1: assumes A1: (\varphi \wedge \psi \wedge ch) \wedge \vartheta \longrightarrow \tau and
        A2: \eta \longrightarrow \varphi
      shows (\eta \wedge \psi \wedge ch) \wedge \vartheta \longrightarrow \tau
      using assms by auto
lemma MMI_imp4a: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \; \longrightarrow \;
      \mathtt{ch} \; \longrightarrow \;
      \vartheta \ \longrightarrow \ \tau
      shows \varphi \longrightarrow
      \psi \longrightarrow
      \mathtt{ch} \ \wedge \ \vartheta \ \longrightarrow \ \tau
      using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_breqan12rd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B and
        A2: \psi \longrightarrow C = D
```

```
\psi \ \land \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \mathbf{A} \ \lessdot \mathbf{C} \ \longleftrightarrow \ \mathbf{B} \ \lessdot \ \mathbf{D}
   \psi \ \land \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ \ \mathtt{A} \ \leq \ \mathtt{C} \ \longleftrightarrow \ \mathtt{B} \ \leq \ \mathtt{D}
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_3brtr4d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A < B and
       A2: \varphi \longrightarrow C = A and
       A3: \varphi \longrightarrow D = B
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow C < D
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_adantrrr: assumes A1: \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \operatorname{ch} \wedge \tau \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_adantrlr: assumes A1: \varphi \wedge \psi \wedge \mathrm{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \wedge (\psi \wedge \tau) \wedge \operatorname{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_imdistani: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi \longrightarrow ch
     shows \varphi \wedge \psi \longrightarrow \varphi \wedge ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_anabss3: assumes A1: (\varphi \wedge \psi) \wedge \psi \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
     shows \varphi \wedge \psi \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mp3anl2: assumes A1: \psi and
       A2: (\varphi \wedge \psi \wedge ch) \wedge \vartheta \longrightarrow \tau
     shows (\varphi \land ch) \land \vartheta \longrightarrow \tau
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpanl2: assumes A1: \psi and
       A2: (\varphi \wedge \psi) \wedge \operatorname{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
     shows \varphi \wedge \operatorname{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_mpancom: assumes A1: \psi \longrightarrow \varphi and
       A2: \varphi \wedge \psi \longrightarrow ch
     \mathbf{shows}\ \psi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_or12:
     \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \lor\ \psi\ \lor\ \mathsf{ch}\ \longleftrightarrow\ \psi\ \lor\ \varphi\ \lor\ \mathsf{ch}
   by auto
```

shows

```
lemma MMI_rcla4ev: assumes A1: \forall x. x = A \longrightarrow \varphi(x) \longleftrightarrow \psi
     shows A \in B \land \psi \longrightarrow (\exists x \in B. \varphi(x))
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_jctir: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi and
      A2: ch
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow \psi \wedge ch
    using assms by auto
lemma MMI_iffalse:
    shows \neg \varphi \longrightarrow \text{if}(\varphi, A, B) = B
   by auto
lemma MMI_iftrue:
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow \text{if}(\varphi, A, B) = A
   by auto
lemma MMI_pm2_61d2: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
     \neg \psi \longrightarrow \text{ch and}
      A2: \psi \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
     \mathbf{shows}\ \varphi\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{ch}
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_pm2_61dan: assumes A1: \varphi \wedge \psi \longrightarrow ch and
      A2: \varphi \wedge \neg \psi \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
     shows \varphi \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_orcanai: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi \lor ch
     shows \varphi \wedge \neg \psi \longrightarrow ch
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ifcl:
    shows A \in C \land B \in C \longrightarrow if(\varphi, A, B) \in C
   by auto
lemma MMI_imim2i: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow \psi
     shows (ch \longrightarrow \varphi) \longrightarrow ch \longrightarrow \psi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_com13: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
     \psi \longrightarrow \operatorname{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta
     \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{ch} \ \longrightarrow
     \psi \longrightarrow
     \varphi \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_rcla4v: assumes A1: \forallx. x = A \longrightarrow \varphi(x) \longleftrightarrow \psi
```

```
shows A \in B \longrightarrow (\forall x \in B. \varphi(x)) \longrightarrow \psi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_syl5d: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow
     \psi \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch} \longrightarrow \vartheta and
      A2: \varphi \longrightarrow \tau \longrightarrow \mathrm{ch}
    \mathbf{shows} \ \varphi \ \longrightarrow \ 
     \psi \longrightarrow
     \tau \longrightarrow \vartheta
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_eqcoms: assumes A1: A = B \longrightarrow \varphi
     \mathbf{shows} \ \mathtt{B} \ \texttt{=} \ \mathtt{A} \ \longrightarrow \ \varphi
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_rgen: assumes A1: \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow \varphi(x)
     shows \forall x \in A. \varphi(x)
     using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_reex:
     shows \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}
   by auto
lemma MMI_sstri: assumes A1: A \subseteqB and
      A2: B ⊆C
     shows A \subseteqC
     using assms by auto
lemma MMI_ssexi: assumes A1: B = B and
      A2: A \subseteqB
     shows A = A
     using assms by auto
```

end

84 Complex numbers in Metamatah - introduction

theory MMI_Complex_ZF imports MMI_logic_and_sets

begin

This theory contains theorems (with proofs) about complex numbers imported from the Metamath's set.mm database. The original Metamath proofs were mostly written by Norman Megill, see the Metamath Proof

```
Explorer pages for full atribution. This theory contains about 200 theorems from "recnt" to "div11t".
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_recnt:
    \mathbf{shows}\ \mathtt{A}\ \in\ \mathbb{R}\ \longrightarrow\ \mathtt{A}\ \in\ \mathbb{C}
proof -
    have S1: \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axresscn)
    from S1 show A \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_sseli)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_recn: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{R}
    shows A \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
    have S1: \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axresscn)
    from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{R}.
    from S1 S2 show A \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_sselii)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_recnd: assumes A1: arphi \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb{R}
    shows \varphi \longrightarrow \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
    from A1 have S1: \varphi \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb{R}.
    have S2: A \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_recnt)
    from S1 S2 show \varphi \longrightarrow \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_syl)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_elimne0:
    shows if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) 
eq 0
proof -
    have S1: A = if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
       ( A 
eq 0 \longleftrightarrow if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    have S2: 1 = if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
       ( 1 \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow if ( \texttt{A} \neq 0 , \texttt{A} , \texttt{1} ) \neq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    have S3: 1 \neq 0 by (rule MMI_ax1ne0)
    from S1 S2 S3 show if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \neq 0 by (rule MMI_elimhyp)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addex:
    shows + isASet
proof -
    have S1: C isASet by (rule MMI_axcnex)
    have S2: C isASet by (rule MMI_axcnex)
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} ) isASet by (rule MMI_xpex)
    have S4: + : ( \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} ) \to \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axaddopr)
    have S5: ( \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} ) isASet \longrightarrow
       ( + : ( \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} ) 	o \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow + isASet ) by (rule MMI_fex)
    from S3 S4 S5 show + isASet by (rule MMI_mp2)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulex:
```

```
shows · isASet
proof -
    have S1: \mathbb C is ASet by (rule MMI_axcnex)
    have S2: C isASet by (rule MMI_axcnex)
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} ) isASet by (rule MMI_xpex)
    have S4: \cdot : ( \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} ) \to \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axmulopr)
    have S5: ( \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} ) isASet \longrightarrow
       ( \cdot : ( \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} ) 	o \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \cdot isASet ) by (rule MMI_fex)
    from S3 S4 S5 show · isASet by (rule MMI_mp2)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_adddirt:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
   ((A + B) \cdot C) = ((A \cdot C) + (B \cdot C))
proof -
    have S1: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       (C \cdot (A + B)) = ((C \cdot A) + (C \cdot B))
       by (rule MMI_axdistr)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       (C \cdot (A + B)) = ((C \cdot A) + (C \cdot B)) by (rule MMI_3coml)
    have S3: ( ( A + B ) \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( A + B ) \cdot C ) = ( C \cdot (A + B) ) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A + B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
    from S3 S4 have S5: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( A + B ) \cdot C ) = ( C \cdot ( A + B ) ) by (rule MMI_sylan)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) \cdot C) = (C \cdot (A + B)) by (rule MMI_3impa)
    have S7: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) = ( C \cdot A )
       by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S7 have S8: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) = ( C \cdot
A )
       by (rule MMI_3adant2)
    have S9: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = ( C \cdot B )
       by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S9 have S10: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = ( C
       by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S8 S10 have S11: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((A \cdot C) + (B \cdot C)) = ((C \cdot A) + (C \cdot B))
       by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
    from S2 S6 S11 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) \cdot C) = ((A \cdot C) + (B \cdot C))
       by (rule MMI_3eqtr4d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addcl: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( A + B ) \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
```

```
from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A + B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
    from S1 S2 S3 show ( A + B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_mp2an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulcl: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
    \mathbf{shows} ( \mathtt{A} \cdot \mathtt{B} ) \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
    from S1 S2 S3 show ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_mp2an)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addcom: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
    shows (A + B) = (B + A)
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + B ) = ( B + A )
      by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
    from S1 S2 S3 show ( A + B ) = ( B + A ) by (rule MMI_mp2an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulcom: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
    shows (A \cdot B) = (B \cdot A)
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) = ( B \cdot A )
      by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S1 S2 S3 show ( A \cdot B ) = ( B \cdot A ) by (rule MMI_mp2an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addass: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( (A + B) + C ) = (A + (B + C))
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) + C ) =
      ( A + (B + C) ) by (rule MMI_axaddass)
    from S1 S2 S3 S4 show ( ( A + B ) + C ) =
```

```
(A + (B + C)) by (rule MMI_mp3an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulass: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( ( A \cdot B ) \cdot C ) = ( A \cdot (B \cdot C) )
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) \cdot C ) =
      ( A \cdot ( B \cdot C ) ) by (rule MMI_axmulass)
    from S1 S2 S3 S4 show ( ( A \cdot B ) \cdot C ) = ( A \cdot (B \cdot C) )
      by (rule MMI_mp3an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_adddi: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows (A \cdot (B + C)) = ((A \cdot B) + (A \cdot C))
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot ( B + C ) ) =
      ((A \cdot B) + (A \cdot C)) by (rule MMI_axdistr)
    from S1 S2 S3 S4 show ( A \cdot (B + C) ) =
      ( ( A \cdot B ) + ( A \cdot C ) ) by (rule MMI_mp3an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_adddir: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( ( A + B ) \cdot C ) = ( ( A \cdot C ) + ( B \cdot C ) )
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) \cdot C ) =
      ( ( A \cdot C ) + ( B \cdot C ) ) by (rule MMI_adddirt)
    from S1 S2 S3 S4 show ( ( A + B ) \cdot C ) =
      ((A \cdot C) + (B \cdot C)) by (rule MMI_mp3an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_1cn:
    shows 1 \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
    have S1: 1 \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_ax1re)
```

```
from S1 show 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_recn)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_Ocn:
   shows 0 \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
   have S1: ((i \cdot i) + 1) = 0 by (rule MMI_axi2m1)
   have S2: i \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axicn)
   have S3: i \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axicn)
   from S2 S3 have S4: ( i \cdot i ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_mulcl)
   have S5: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
   from S4 S5 have S6: ( ( i \cdot i ) + 1 ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_addcl)
   from S1 S6 show 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_eqeltrr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addid1: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
   shows (A + 0) = A
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S2: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (A + 0) = A by (rule MMI_ax0id)
   from S1 S2 show ( A + 0 ) = A by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addid2: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
   shows (0 + A) = A
proof -
   have S1: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 S2 have S3: (0 + A) = (A + 0) by (rule MMI_addcom)
   from A1 have S4: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S4 have S5: (A + 0) = A by (rule MMI_addid1)
   from S3 S5 show (0 + A) = A by (rule MMI_eqtr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulid1: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
   shows (A \cdot 1) = A
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S2: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A \cdot 1 ) = A by (rule MMI_ax1id)
   from S1 S2 show ( A \cdot 1 ) = A by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulid2: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
   shows (1 \cdot A) = A
proof -
   have S1: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
```

```
from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( 1 \cdot A ) = ( A \cdot 1 ) by (rule MMI_mulcom)
    from A1 have S4: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S4 have S5: (A \cdot 1) = A by (rule MMI_mulid1)
    from S3 S5 show (1 \cdot A) = A by (rule MMI_eqtr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negex: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
    shows \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . (A + x) = 0
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S2: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( \exists \ \mathtt{x} \in \mathbb{C} . ( A + \mathtt{x} ) = 0 ) by (rule MMI_axnegex)
    from S1 S2 show \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . ( A + x ) = 0 by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_recex: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: A \neq 0
    shows \exists \ \mathtt{x} \in \mathbb{C} . ( \mathtt{A} \cdot \mathtt{x} ) = 1
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: A \neq 0.
   have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . ( A \cdot x ) = 1 )
      by (rule MMI_axrecex)
    from S1 S2 S3 show \exists x \in \mathbb C . ( A \cdot x ) = 1 by (rule MMI_mp2an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_readdcl: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{R} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{R}
    {f shows} ( {f A} + {f B} ) \in {
m I\!R}
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{R}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{R}.
    have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{R} \land B \in \mathbb{R} ) \longrightarrow ( A + B ) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_axaddrcl)
    from S1 S2 S3 show ( A + B ) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_mp2an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_remulcl: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{R} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{R}
    {f shows} ( {f A}\cdot{f B} ) \in{
m I\!R}
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{R}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{R}.
    have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{R} \land B \in \mathbb{R} ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_axmulrcl)
    from S1 S2 S3 show ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_mp2an)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addcan: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
```

```
shows (A + B) = (A + C) \longleftrightarrow B = C
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 have S2: \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . (A + x) = 0 by (rule MMI_negex)
   from A1 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S4: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   { fix x
      have S5: ( x \in \mathbb{C} \land A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( x + A ) + B ) =
         (x + (A + B)) by (rule MMI_axaddass)
      from S4 S5 have S6: ( x \in \mathbb{C} \land A \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( x + A ) + B ) =
         (x + (A + B)) by (rule MMI_mp3an3)
      from A3 have S7: C \in \mathbb{C}.
      have S8: ( x \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ A \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( x + A ) + C ) =
         ( x + (A + C) ) by (rule MMI_axaddass)
      from S7 S8 have S9: ( x \in \mathbb{C} \land A \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( x + A ) + C ) =
         (x + (A + C)) by (rule MMI_mp3an3)
      from S6 S9 have S10: ( x \in \mathbb{C} \land A \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
         (((x + A) + B) = ((x + A) + C) \longleftrightarrow
         (x + (A + B)) = (x + (A + C))
        by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
      from S3 S10 have S11: x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ((x + A) + B) =
         ((x + A) + C) \longleftrightarrow (x + (A + B)) =
         (x + (A + C)) by (rule MMI_mpan2)
      have S12: ( A + B ) = ( A + C ) \longrightarrow ( x + ( A + B ) ) =
         (x + (A + C)) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
      from S11 S12 have S13: x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) = ( A + C ) \longrightarrow
         ((x + A) + B) = ((x + A) + C)
        by (rule MMI_syl5bir)
      from S13 have S14: ( x \in \mathbb{C} \land (A + x) = 0 ) \longrightarrow ( (A + B) =
         (A + C) \longrightarrow ((x + A) + B) =
         ((x + A) + C) by (rule MMI_adantr)
      from A1 have S15: A \in \mathbb{C}.
      have S16: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land x \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + x ) = ( x + A )
        by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
      from S15 S16 have S17: x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (A + x) = (x + A)
        by (rule MMI_mpan)
      from S17 have S18: x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A + x ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow
         (x + A) = 0) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
      have S19: (x + A) = 0 \longrightarrow ((x + A) + B) =
         ( 0 + B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
      from A2 have S20: B \in \mathbb{C}.
      from S20 have S21: ( 0 + B ) = B by (rule MMI_addid2)
      from S19 S21 have S22: ( x + A ) = 0 \longrightarrow
         ((x + A) + B) = B by (rule MMI_syl6eq)
      have S23: ( x + A ) = 0 \longrightarrow ( ( x + A ) + C ) =
         (0 + C) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
```

```
from A3 have S24: C \in \mathbb{C}.
      from S24 have S25: (0 + C) = C by (rule MMI_addid2)
      from S23 S25 have S26: ( x + A ) = 0 \longrightarrow
         ((x + A) + C) = C by (rule MMI_syl6eq)
      from S22 S26 have S27: ( x + A ) = 0 \longrightarrow
         (\ (\ (\ x\ +\ A\ )\ +\ B\ )\ =\ (\ (\ x\ +\ A\ )\ +\ C\ )\ \longleftrightarrow\ B\ =\ C\ )
        by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
      from S18 S27 have S28: x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A + x ) = 0 \longrightarrow
         (((x+A)+B)=((x+A)+C)\longleftrightarrow B=C))
        by (rule MMI_syl6bi)
      from S28 have S29: ( x \in \mathbb{C} \land (A + x) = 0 ) \longrightarrow
         (((x+A)+B)=((x+A)+C)\longleftrightarrow B=C)
        by (rule MMI_imp)
      from S14 S29 have S30: ( x \in \mathbb{C} \wedge (A + x) = 0 ) \longrightarrow
         ((A + B) = (A + C) \longrightarrow B = C) by (rule MMI_sylibd)
      from S30 have x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A + x ) = 0 \longrightarrow
         ( ( A + B ) = ( A + C ) \longrightarrow B = C ) ) by (rule MMI_ex)
    } then have S31: \forall x. (x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A + x ) = 0 \longrightarrow
         ( ( A + B ) = ( A + C ) \longrightarrow B = C ) )) by auto
   from S31 have S32: ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . ( \texttt{A} + \texttt{x} ) = 0 ) \longrightarrow
      ( ( A + B ) = ( A + C ) \longrightarrow B = C ) by (rule MMI_r19_23aiv)
   from S2 S32 have S33: ( A + B ) = ( A + C ) \longrightarrow B = C
      by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
   have S34: B = C \longrightarrow ( A + B ) = ( A + C ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
   from S33 S34 show ( A + B ) = ( A + C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C
      by (rule MMI_impbi)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addcan2: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
   shows (A + C) = (B + C) \longleftrightarrow A = B
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S2: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 S2 have S3: ( A + C ) = ( C + A ) by (rule MMI_addcom)
   from A2 have S4: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S5: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S4 S5 have S6: ( B + C ) = ( C + B ) by (rule MMI_addcom)
   from S3 S6 have S7: ( A + C ) = ( B + C ) \longleftrightarrow
      (C + A) = (C + B) by (rule MMI_eqeq12i)
   from A3 have S8: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S9: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S10: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S8 S9 S10 have S11: ( C + A ) = ( C + B ) \longleftrightarrow A = B
      by (rule MMI_addcan)
   from S7 S11 show ( A + C ) = ( B + C ) \longleftrightarrow A = B by (rule MMI_bitr)
qed
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addcant:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
   ( (A + B) = (A + C) \longleftrightarrow B = C)
proof -
    have S1: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A + B ) = ( if ( A \in \mathbb C ,
A , 0 ) + B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    have S2: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
        ( A + C ) = ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S1 S2 have S3: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
        ( (A + B) = (A + C) \longleftrightarrow
        ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 0 ) + B ) = ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 0 ) + C ) )
        by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    from S3 have S4: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
        ( ( ( A + B ) = ( A + C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C ) \longleftrightarrow
        ( ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) + B ) = ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) + C )
        \longleftrightarrow B = C ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi1d)
    have S5: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
        ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + B ) =
        ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) + if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , \mathtt{0} ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S5 have S6: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
        ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 0 ) + B ) = ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 0 ) + C )
        \longleftrightarrow ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
        ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + \mathbf C ) ) \mathbf b \mathbf y (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    have S7: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( B = C \longleftrightarrow
        if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) = \mathbf C ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1)
    from S6 S7 have S8: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
        ( ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 0 ) + B ) =
        ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + \mathbf C ) \longleftrightarrow B = \mathbf C ) \longleftrightarrow
        ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
        ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + C ) \longleftrightarrow if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) = C ) )
        by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    have S9: C = if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , 0 ) \longrightarrow ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) + C
) =
        ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) )
        by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S9 have S10: C = if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
        ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
        ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + \mathbf C ) \longleftrightarrow
        ( if ( {\tt A} \in \mathbb{C} , {\tt A} , {\tt O} ) + if ( {\tt B} \in \mathbb{C} , {\tt B} , {\tt O} ) ) =
        ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) )
        by (rule MMI_eqeq2d)
    have S11: C = if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) = C
        if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) = if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq2)
    from S10 S11 have S12: C = if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , 0 ) \longrightarrow
        ( ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
        ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + C ) \longleftrightarrow if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) = C ) \longleftrightarrow
        ( ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) + if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , \mathtt{0} ) ) =
```

```
( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) \longleftrightarrow
       if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) = if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    have S13: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S13 have S14: if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S15: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S15 have S16: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S17: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S17 have S18: if ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{C} , \mathtt{O} ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule <code>MMI_elimel</code>)
    from S14 S16 S18 have S19:
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) \longleftrightarrow
       if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) = if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) \mathbf b\mathbf y (rule MMI_addcan)
    from S4 S8 S12 S19 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( A + B ) = ( A + C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C ) by (rule MMI_dedth3h)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addcan2t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + C ) = ( B + C ) \longleftrightarrow
   A = B)
proof -
    have S1: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( C + A ) = ( A + C )
       by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
    from S1 have S2: ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( C + A ) =
       ( A + C ) by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    have S3: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( C + B ) = ( B + C )
       by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
    from S3 have S4: ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( C + B ) =
       ( B + C ) by (rule MMI_3adant2)
    from S2 S4 have S5: ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((C + A) = (C + B) \longleftrightarrow (A + C) = (B + C))
       by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    have S6: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( C + A ) =
       (C + B) \longleftrightarrow A = B) by (rule MMI_addcant)
    from S5 S6 have S7: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \land A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + C ) =
       ( B + C ) \longleftrightarrow A = B ) by (rule MMI_bitr3d)
    from S7 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + C ) =
        (B + C) \longleftrightarrow A = B) by (rule MMI_3coml)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_add12t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( B + C ) ) =
   (B + (A + C))
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + B ) = ( B + A )
       by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
```

```
from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) + C ) =
       ( ( B + A ) + C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) + C) = ((B + A) + C)
      by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) + C ) =
       ( A + ( B + C ) ) by (rule MMI_axaddass)
    have S5: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( B + A ) + C ) =
       ( B + (A + C) ) by (rule MMI_axaddass)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((B + A) + C) = (B + (A + C)) by (rule MMI_3com12)
    from S3 S4 S6 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       (A + (B + C)) = (B + (A + C))
      by (rule MMI_3eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_add23t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) + C ) =
   ((A + C) + B)
proof -
    have S1: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B + C ) = ( C + B )
      by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
    from S1 have S2: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( B + C ) ) =
       ( A + (C + B) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       (A + (B + C)) = (A + (C + B))
      by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) + C ) =
       ( A + (B + C) ) by (rule MMI_axaddass)
    have S5: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + C ) + B ) =
       ( A + ( C + B ) ) by (rule MMI_axaddass)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + C) + B) = (A + (C + B)) by (rule MMI_3com23)
    from S3 S4 S6 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) + C) = ((A + C) + B)
      by (rule MMI_3eqtr4d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_add4t:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   ((A + B) + (C + D)) = ((A + C) + (B + D))
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) + C) = ((A + C) + B) by (rule MMI_add23t)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       (((A + B) + C) + D) =
       (((A + C) + B) + D) by (rule MMI_opreg1d)
    from S2 have S3: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       (((A + B) + C) + D) =
```

```
(((A + C) + B) + D) by (rule MMI_3expa)
    from S3 have S4: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
       (((A + B) + C) + D) =
       (((A + C) + B) + D) by (rule MMI_adantrr)
    have S5: ( ( A + B ) \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( ( A + B ) + C ) + D ) =
       ((A + B) + (C + D)) by (rule MMI_axaddass)
    from S5 have S6: ( ( A + B ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
       (((A + B) + C) + D) =
       ((A + B) + (C + D)) by (rule MMI_3expb)
    have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A + B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
    from S6 S7 have S8: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) )
       (((A + B) + C) + D) =
       ((A + B) + (C + D)) by (rule MMI_sylan)
    have S9: ( ( A + C ) \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
      (((A + C) + B) + D) =
       ( ( A + C ) + ( B + D ) ) by (rule MMI_axaddass)
    from S9 have S10: ( ( A + C ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
       (((A + C) + B) + D) =
       ( ( A + C ) + ( B + D ) ) by (rule MMI_3expb)
    have S11: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A + C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
    from S10 S11 have S12: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C )
       (((A + C) + B) + D) =
       ((A + C) + (B + D)) by (rule MMI_sylan)
    from S12 have S13: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( ( A + C ) + B ) + D ) =
       ((A + C) + (B + D)) by (rule MMI_an4s)
    from S4 S8 S13 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) )
       ((A + B) + (C + D)) =
       ((A + C) + (B + D)) by (rule MMI_3eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_add42t:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   ((A + B) + (C + D)) = ((A + C) + (D + B))
proof -
    have S1: ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \land ( C \in \mathbb{C} \land D \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) + (C + D)) =
       ( ( A + C ) + ( B + D ) ) by (rule MMI_add4t)
    have S2: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B + D ) =
       ( D + B ) by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
    from S2 have S3: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
       (B + D) = (D + B) by (rule MMI_ad2ant21)
```

```
from S3 have S4: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
      ((A + C) + (B + D)) =
      ((A + C) + (D + B)) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
   from S1 S4 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
      ((A + B) + (C + D)) =
      ((A + C) + (D + B)) by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_add12: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
   shows (A + (B + C)) = (B + (A + C))
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( B + C ) ) =
      (B + (A + C)) by (rule MMI_add12t)
   from S1 S2 S3 S4 show ( A + (B + C) ) =
      ( B + (A + C) ) by (rule MMI_mp3an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_add23: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ((A + B) + C) = ((A + C) + B)
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
      ((A + B) + C) = ((A + C) + B) by (rule MMI_add23t)
   from S1 S2 S3 S4 show ( ( A + B ) + C ) =
      ((A + C) + B) by (rule MMI_mp3an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_add4: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
    A3: C \in \mathbb{C} and
    A4: D \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ((A + B) + (C + D)) =
  ((A + C) + (B + D))
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 S2 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ B \in \mathbb{C} \  by (rule MMI_pm3_2i)
   from A3 have S4: C \in \mathbb{C}.
```

```
from A4 have S5: D \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S4 S5 have S6: C \in C \wedge D \in C by (rule MMI_pm3_2i)
   have S7: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
      ((A + B) + (C + D)) =
      ((A + C) + (B + D)) by (rule MMI_add4t)
   from S3 S6 S7 show ( ( A + B ) + ( C + D ) ) =
      ((A + C) + (B + D)) by (rule MMI_mp2an)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_add42: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
    A3: C \in \mathbb{C} and
     A4: D \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ( (A + B) + (C + D) ) =
  ((A + C) + (D + B))
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A4 have S4: D \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 S2 S3 S4 have S5: ( ( A + B ) + ( C + D ) ) =
      ( ( A + C ) + ( B + D ) ) by (rule MMI_add4)
   from A2 have S6: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A4 have S7: D \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S6 S7 have S8: ( B + D ) = ( D + B ) by (rule MMI_addcom)
   from S8 have S9: ((A + C) + (B + D)) =
      ((A + C) + (D + B)) by (rule MMI_opreq2i)
   from S5 S9 show ( ( A + B ) + ( C + D ) ) =
      ((A + C) + (D + B)) by (rule MMI_eqtr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addid2t:
   shows A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( 0 + A ) = A
proof -
   have S1: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   have S2: ( 0 \in \mathbb{C} \land A \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( 0 + A ) = ( A + 0 )
      by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
   from S1 S2 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( 0 + A ) = ( A + 0 )
      by (rule MMI_mpan)
   have S4: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A + \mathbf{0} ) = A by (rule MMI_ax0id)
   from S3 S4 show A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( 0 + A ) = A by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_peano2cn:
   shows A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A + 1 ) \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
   have S1: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
   have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge 1 \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A + 1 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
   from S1 S2 show A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A + 1 ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_mpan2)
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_peano2re:
    shows A \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow ( A + 1 ) \in \mathbb{R}
proof -
    have S1: 1 \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_ax1re)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb{R} \land 1 \in \mathbb{R} ) \longrightarrow ( A + 1 ) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_axaddrcl)
    from S1 S2 show A \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow ( A + 1 ) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_mpan2)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negeu: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
    shows \exists! x . x \in \mathbb{C} \land (A + x) = B
proof -
    { fix x y
      have S1: x = y \longrightarrow ( A + x ) = ( A + y ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
      from S1 have x = y \longrightarrow ((A + x) = B \longleftrightarrow (A + y) = B)
         by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    } then have S2: \forall x y. x = y \longrightarrow ( (A + x) = B \longleftrightarrow
         (A + y) = B) by simp
    from S2 have S3: ( \exists ! x . x \in \mathbb{C} \land ( A + x ) = B ) \longleftrightarrow
       ( ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . ( A + x ) = B ) \land
       ( \forall x \in \mathbb{C} . \forall y \in \mathbb{C} . ( ( ( A + x ) = B \land ( A + y ) = B ) \longrightarrow
      x = y ) ) by (rule MMI_reu4)
    from A1 have S4: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S4 have S5: \exists y \in \mathbb{C} . ( A + y ) = 0 by (rule MMI_negex)
    from A2 have S6: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    { fix y
      have S7: ( y \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( y + B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
      from S6 S7 have S8: y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( y + B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_mpan2)
      have S9: ( y + B ) \in \mathbb{C} \longleftrightarrow ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . x = (y + B) )
         by (rule MMI_risset)
      from S8 S9 have S10: y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . x = ( y + B ) )
         by (rule MMI_sylib)
       { fix x
         have S11: x = (y + B) \longrightarrow (A + x) =
   ( A + (y + B) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
         from A1 have S12: A \in \mathbb{C}.
         from A2 have S13: B \in \mathbb{C}.
         have S14: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge y \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
  ((A + y) + B) = (A + (y + B))
  by (rule MMI_axaddass)
         from S12 S13 S14 have S15: y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A + y ) + B ) =
   (A + (y + B)) by (rule MMI_mp3an13)
         from S15 have S16: y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (A + (y + B)) =
   ((A + y) + B) by (rule MMI_eqcomd)
```

```
from S11 S16 have S17: ( y \in \mathbb{C} \wedge x = ( y + B ) )
\longrightarrow ( A + x ) = ( ( A + y ) + B ) by (rule MMI_sylan9eqr)
      have S18: ( A + y ) = 0 \longrightarrow
((A + y) + B) = (0 + B) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
       from A2 have S19: B \in \mathbb{C}.
       from S19 have S20: (0 + B) = B by (rule MMI_addid2)
       from S18 S20 have S21: ( A + y ) = 0 \longrightarrow
((A + y) + B) = B by (rule MMI_syl6eq)
       from S17 S21 have S22: ( ( A + y ) = 0 \wedge ( y \in \mathbb{C} \wedge x =
(y + B)) \longrightarrow (A + x) = B by (rule MMI_sylan9eqr)
       from S22 have S23: ( A + y ) = 0 \longrightarrow
(y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (x = (y + B) \longrightarrow (A + x) = B))
by (rule MMI_exp32)
      from S23 have S24: ( y \in \mathbb{C} \land (A + y) = 0 ) \longrightarrow
(x = (y + B) \longrightarrow (A + x) = B) by (rule MMI_impcom)
      from S24 have ( y \in \mathbb{C} \land (A + y) = 0 ) \longrightarrow
(x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (x = (y + B) \longrightarrow (A + x) = B))
by (rule MMI_a1d)
    } then have S25: \forall x. ( y \in \mathbb{C} \land ( A + y ) = 0 ) \longrightarrow
( x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (x = (y + B) \longrightarrow (A + x) = B) ) by auto
    from S25 have S26: ( y \in \mathbb{C} \land (A + y) = 0 ) \longrightarrow
       ( \forall x \in \mathbb{C} . ( x = ( y + B ) \longrightarrow ( A + x ) = B ) )
       by (rule MMI_r19_21aiv)
    from S26 have S27: y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A + y ) = 0 \longrightarrow
       ( \forall x \in \mathbb{C} . ( x = ( y + B ) \longrightarrow ( A + x ) = B ) ) )
       by (rule MMI_ex)
    have S28: ( \forall x \in \mathbb{C} . ( x = (y + B) \longrightarrow (A + x) = B )
       \longrightarrow ( ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . x = ( y + B ) ) \longrightarrow
       ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . ( A + x ) = B ) ) by (rule MMI_r19_22)
    from S27 S28 have S29: y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A + y ) = 0 \longrightarrow
       ( ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . x = ( y + B ) ) \longrightarrow
       ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . ( A + x ) = B ) ) by (rule MMI_syl6)
    from S10 S29 have y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A + y ) = 0 \longrightarrow
       ( \exists \ x \in \mathbb{C} . ( A + x ) = B ) ) by (rule MMI_mpid)
 } then have S30: \forall y. y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A + y ) = 0 \longrightarrow
       ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . ( A + x ) = B ) ) by simp
 from S30 have S31: ( \exists y \in \mathbb C . ( A + y ) = \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
    ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . ( A + x ) = B ) by (rule MMI_r19_23aiv)
 from S5 S31 have S32: \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . ( A + x ) = B by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
 from A1 have S33: A \in \mathbb{C}.
 { fix x y
    have S34: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge x \in \mathbb{C} \wedge y \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + x) = (A + y) \longleftrightarrow x = y) by (rule MMI_addcant)
    have S35: ( ( A + x ) = B \wedge ( A + y ) = B ) \longrightarrow
       (A + x) = (A + y) by (rule MMI_eqtr3t)
    from S34 S35 have S36: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge x \in \mathbb{C} \wedge y \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( ( A + x ) = B \wedge (A + y) = B ) \longrightarrow x = y )
      by (rule MMI_syl5bi)
    from S33 S36 have ( x \in \mathbb{C} \land y \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
```

```
( ( ( A + x ) = B \land (A + y) = B ) \longrightarrow x = y )
        by (rule MMI_mp3an1)
   } then have S37: \forall\,x\,\,y\, . 
 ( x\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,y\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\, ) \,\longrightarrow\,
        ( ( ( A + x ) = B \wedge ( A + y ) = B ) \longrightarrow x = y ) by auto
   from S37 have S38: \forall x \in \mathbb C . \forall y \in \mathbb C . ( ( A + x ) = B \land
      ( A + y ) = B ) \longrightarrow x = y ) by (rule MMI_rgen2)
   from S3 S32 S38 show \exists ! x . x \in \mathbb{C} \land ( A + x ) = B
      by (rule MMI_mpbir2an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subval: assumes A \in \mathbb{C} B \in \mathbb{C}
  shows A - B = \{ \} \{ x \in \mathbb{C} : B + x = A \}
  using sub_def by simp
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_df_neg: shows (- A) = 0 - A
  using cneg_def by simp
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negeq:
   shows A = B \longrightarrow (-A) = (-B)
proof -
   have S1: A = B \longrightarrow ( 0 - A ) = ( 0 - B ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
   have S2: (-A) = (0 - A) by (rule MMI_df_neg)
   have S3: (-B) = (0 - B) by (rule MMI_df_neg)
   from S1 S2 S3 show A = B \longrightarrow (-A) = (-B) by (rule MMI_3eqtr4g)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negeqi: assumes A1: A = B
   shows (-A) = (-B)
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A = B.
   have S2: A = B \longrightarrow (-A) = (-B) by (rule MMI_negeq)
   from S1 S2 show (-A) = (-B) by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negeqd: assumes A1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B
   shows \varphi \longrightarrow (-A) = (-B)
proof -
   from A1 have S1: \varphi \longrightarrow A = B.
   have S2: A = B \longrightarrow (-A) = (-B) by (rule MMI_negeq)
   from S1 S2 show \varphi \longrightarrow (-A) = (-B) by (rule MMI_syl)
qed
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_hbneg: assumes A1: y \in A \longrightarrow ( \forall x . y \in A )
    shows y \in ((-A)) \longrightarrow (\forall x . (y \in ((-A))))
  using assms by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_minusex:
    shows ((- A)) isASet by auto
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subcl: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( A - B ) \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( A - B ) = \{ x \in \mathbb{C} : (B + x) = A \}
       by (rule MMI_subval)
    from A2 have S4: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S4 S5 have S6: \exists ! x . x \in \mathbb{C} \land ( B + x ) = A by (rule MMI_negeu)
    have S7: ( \exists! x . x \in \mathbb{C} \land ( B + x ) = A ) \longrightarrow
       \bigcup { x \in \mathbb{C} . ( B + x ) = A } \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_reucl)
    from S6 S7 have S8: \bigcup { x \in \mathbb{C} . ( B + x ) = A } \in \mathbb{C}
       by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
    from S3 S8 show ( A - B ) \in \mathbb{C} by simp
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subclt:
    shows ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( \mathtt{A} - \mathtt{B} ) \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
    have S1: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A - B ) =
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S1 have S2: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( ( A - B ) \in \mathbb C \longleftrightarrow
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) - B ) \in \mathbb C ) by (rule MMI_eleq1d)
    have S3: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B
) =
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S3 have S4: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B ) \in \mathbb C \longleftrightarrow
       ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) – if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , \mathtt{0} ) ) \in \mathbb{C} )
       by (rule MMI_eleq1d)
    have S5: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S5 have S6: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S7: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S7 have S8: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S6 S8 have S9:
```

```
( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) \in \mathbb C
      by (rule MMI_subcl)
    from S2 S4 S9 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A - B ) \in \mathbb C
      by (rule MMI_dedth2h)
ged
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negclt:
    shows \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ((-\mathtt{A})) \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
    have S1: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    have S2: ( 0\in\mathbb{C} \wedge A \in\mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( 0 - A ) \in\mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_subclt)
    from S1 S2 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (0 - A) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_mpan)
    have S4: ((-A)) = (0 - A) by (rule MMI_df_neg)
    from S3 S4 show A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_syl5eqel)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negcl: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S2: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
    from S1 S2 show ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subadd: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows (A - B) = C \longleftrightarrow (B + C) = A
proof -
    from A3 have S1: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    { fix x
       have S2: x = C \longrightarrow ((A - B) = x \longleftrightarrow (A - B) = C)
         by (rule MMI_eqeq2)
      have S3: x = C \longrightarrow (B + x) = (B + C) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
      from S3 have S4: x = C \longrightarrow ((B + x) = A \longleftrightarrow (B + C) = A)
          by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
      from S2 S4 have x = C \longrightarrow ((A - B) = x \longleftrightarrow
          (\ B\ +\ x\ )\ =\ A\ )\ \longleftrightarrow\ (\ (\ A\ -\ B\ )\ =\ C\ \longleftrightarrow\ (\ B\ +\ C\ )\ =\ A\ )\ )
          by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    } then have S5: \forall x. x = C \longrightarrow ( ( A - B ) = x \longleftrightarrow
          (\ B\ +\ x\ )\ =\ A\ )\ \longleftrightarrow\ (\ (\ A\ -\ B\ )\ =\ C\ \longleftrightarrow
          (B + C) = A) by simp
    from A2 have S6: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A1 have S7: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S6 S7 have S8: \exists ! x . x \in \mathbb{C} \land ( B + x ) = A by (rule MMI_negeu)
    { fix x
      have S9: ( x \in \mathbb{C} \land (\exists ! x . x \in \mathbb{C} \land (B + x) = A) \longrightarrow
          (\ (\ B+x\ )=A\ )\longleftrightarrow\bigcup\ \{\ x\in\mathbb{C}\ .\ (\ B+x\ )=A\ \}=x\ )
         by (rule MMI_reuuni1)
```

```
from S8 S9 have x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( B + x ) = A \longleftrightarrow
         \bigcup \{ x \in \mathbb{C} : (B + x) = A \} = x \} by (rule MMI_mpan2)
    } then have S10: \forall x. x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( B + x ) = A \longleftrightarrow
         \{ x \in \mathbb{C} : (B + x) = A \} = x \} by blast
    from A1 have S11: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S12: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S11 S12 have S13: ( A - B ) = \{ x \in \mathbb{C} : (B + x) = A \}
      by (rule MMI_subval)
    from S13 have S14: \forall x. ( A - B ) = x \longleftrightarrow
      \bigcup \{ x \in \mathbb{C} : (B + x) = A \} = x \text{ by simp}
    from S10 S14 have S15: \forall x.\ x\in\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A - B ) = x\longleftrightarrow
      (B + x) = A) by (rule MMI_syl6rbbr)
    from S5 S15 have S16: C \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A - B ) = C \longleftrightarrow
      (B + C) = A) by (rule MMI_vtoclga)
    from S1 S16 show ( A - B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B + C ) = A
      by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subsub23: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( A - B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( A - C ) = B
proof -
    from A2 have S1: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S2: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( B + C ) = ( C + B ) by (rule MMI_addcom)
    from S3 have S4: ( B + C ) = A \longleftrightarrow ( C + B ) = A
      by (rule MMI_eqeq1i)
    from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S6: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S7: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S5 S6 S7 have S8: ( A - B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B + C ) = A
      by (rule MMI_subadd)
    from A1 have S9: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S10: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S11: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S9 S10 S11 have S12: ( A - C ) = B \longleftrightarrow ( C + B ) = A
      by (rule MMI_subadd)
    from S4 S8 S12 show ( A - B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( A - C ) = B
      by (rule MMI_3bitr4)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subaddt:
   shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A - B ) = C \longleftrightarrow
  (B + C) = A)
proof -
```

```
have S1: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A - B ) =
   ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
from S1 have S2: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( ( A - B ) = C \longleftrightarrow
   ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B ) = C ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
have S3: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( ( B + \mathbf C ) = A \longleftrightarrow
   ( B + C ) = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq2)
from S2 S3 have S4: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( ( A - B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B + C ) = A ) \longleftrightarrow
   ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B + C ) =
   if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
have S5: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
   ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B ) =
   ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
from S5 have S6: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B ) = C \longleftrightarrow
   ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) ) = \mathbb C )
  by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
have S7: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( B + \mathbf C ) =
   ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) + \mathbf C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
from S7 have S8: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( B + C ) = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longleftrightarrow
   ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) + C ) = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) )
   by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
from S6 S8 have S9: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) - B ) = C \longleftrightarrow
   (B + C) = if (A \in \mathbb{C}, A, 0) \longleftrightarrow
   ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) – if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) ) = C \longleftrightarrow
   ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) + \mathbf C ) = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) )
  by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
have S10: C = if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , 0 ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) – if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) ) = C \longleftrightarrow
   ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) – if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
   if ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{C} , \mathtt{O} ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq2)
have S11: C = if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
   ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) + \mathbf C ) =
   ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
from S11 have S12: C = if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , 0 ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) + \mathbf C ) = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longleftrightarrow
   ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
   if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
from S10 S12 have S13: C = if ( C \in C , C , 0 ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) – if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , O ) ) = C \longleftrightarrow
   ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) + \mathbf C ) = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \longleftrightarrow
   ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) – if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
   if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{0} ) \longleftrightarrow
   ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
   if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
have S14: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
```

```
from S14 have S15: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S16: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S16 have S17: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S18: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S18 have S19: if ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{C} , \mathtt{C} , \mathtt{O} ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S15 S17 S19 have S20:
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) – if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
       if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{0} ) \longleftrightarrow
       ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
       if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) \mathtt{by} (rule MMI_subadd)
    from S4 S9 S13 S20 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((A - B) = C \longleftrightarrow (B + C) = A) by (rule MMI_dedth3h)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_pncan3t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( B - A ) ) = B
proof -
    have S1: (B - A) = (B - A) by (rule MMI_eqid)
    have S2: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( B - A ) \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((B-A)=(B-A)\longleftrightarrow(A+(B-A))=B)
       by (rule MMI_subaddt)
    have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow B \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_pm3_27)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_pm3_26)
    have S5: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge A \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B - A ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_subclt)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B - A ) \in \mathbb C
       by (rule MMI_ancoms)
    from S2 S3 S4 S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( B - A ) =
       (B - A) \longleftrightarrow (A + (B - A)) = B) by (rule MMI_syl3anc)
    from S1 S7 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( B - A ) ) = B
       by (rule MMI_mpbii)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_pncan3: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
    shows (A + (B - A) = B
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( B - A ) ) = B
       by (rule MMI_pncan3t)
    from S1 S2 S3 show ( A + ( B - A ) ) = B by (rule MMI_mp2an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negidt:
    shows A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A + ( (- A) ) ) = 0
proof -
    have S1: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge 0 \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( 0 - A ) ) = 0
       by (rule MMI_pncan3t)
```

```
from S1 S2 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (A + (0 - A)) = 0
     by (rule MMI_mpan2)
   have S4: ((-A)) = (0 - A) by (rule MMI_df_neg)
   from S4 have S5: (A + ((-A))) = (A + (0 - A))
     by (rule MMI_opreg2i)
   from S3 S5 show A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A + ( (- A) ) ) = 0 by (rule MMI_syl5eq)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negid: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
   shows (A + ((-A))) = 0
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S2: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A + ( (- A) ) ) = 0 by (rule MMI_negidt)
   from S1 S2 show ( A + ( (- A) ) ) = 0 by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negsub: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
   shows (A + ((-B))) = (A - B)
proof -
   from A2 have S1: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S3: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S3 have S4: ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negcl)
   from S2 S4 have S5: ( A + ( (- B) ) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_addcl)
   from S1 S5 have S6: ( B + ( A + ( (- B) ) ) ) =
     ((A + ((-B))) + B) by (rule MMI_addcom)
   from A1 have S7: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S4 have S8: ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{C} .
   from A2 have S9: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S7 S8 S9 have S10: ((A + ((-B))) + B) =
     (A + ((B)) + B)) by (rule MMI_addass)
   from S4 have S11: ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{C} .
   from A2 have S12: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S11 S12 have S13: ((-B)) + B) = (B + (-B))
     by (rule MMI_addcom)
   from A2 have S14: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S14 have S15: ( B + ( (- B) ) ) = 0 by (rule MMI_negid)
   from S13 S15 have S16: ((-B)) + B = 0 by (rule MMI_eqtr)
   from S16 have S17: ( A + ( ( ( - B) ) + B ) ) = ( A + 0 )
     by (rule MMI_opreq2i)
   from A1 have S18: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S18 have S19: ( A + O ) = A by (rule MMI_addid1)
   from S10 S17 S19 have S20: ((A + ((-B))) + B) = A
     by (rule MMI_3eqtr)
   from S6 S20 have S21: ( B + (A + (-B)) ) ) = A
     by (rule MMI_eqtr)
   from A1 have S22: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S23: B \in \mathbb{C}.
```

```
from S5 have S24: ( A + ( (- B) ) ) \in \mathbb{C} .
    from S22 S23 S24 have S25: ( A - B ) = ( A + ( (- B) ) ) \longleftrightarrow
       (B + (A + (-B))) = A by (rule MMI_subadd)
    from S21 S25 have S26: (A - B) = (A + ((- B)))
      by (rule MMI_mpbir)
    from S26 show ( A + ( (- B) ) ) = ( A - B ) by (rule MMI_eqcomi)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negsubt:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( (- B) ) ) = ( A - B )
proof -
    have S1: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( (- B) ) ) =
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + ( (- B) ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    have S2: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A - B ) =
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S1 S2 have S3: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
       ( (A + ( (-B) ) ) = (A - B ) \longleftrightarrow
       ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) + ( (- \mathtt{B}) ) =
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    have S4: B = if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
       ( (- B) ) = ( - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_negeq)
    from S4 have S5: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + ( (- B) ) ) =
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + ( - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) )
      by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    have S6: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B
) =
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) )
      by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S5 S6 have S7: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + ( (- B) ) ) =
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B ) \longleftrightarrow
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + ( - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) ) =
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) )
      by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    have S8: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S8 have S9: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S10: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S10 have S11: if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S9 S11 have S12:
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + ( - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) ) =
       ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) - if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , \mathtt{0} ) )
      by (rule MMI_negsub)
    from S3 S7 S12 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( (- B) ) ) =
       ( A - B ) by (rule MMI_dedth2h)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addsubasst:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) - C ) =
```

```
(A + (B - C))
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge ( - \mathbb C ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) + (-C)) =
       (A + (B + (-C))) by (rule MMI_axaddass)
    have S2: C \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( - C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) + (-C)) =
       (A + (B + (-C))) by (rule MMI_syl3an3)
    have S4: ( ( A + B ) \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) + (-C)) = ((A + B) - C)
      by (rule MMI_negsubt)
    have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A + B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
    from S4 S5 have S6: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) + (-C)) = ((A + B) - C)
       by (rule MMI_sylan)
    from S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) + (-C)) = ((A + B) - C)
      by (rule MMI_3impa)
    have S8: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( B + ( - C ) ) = ( B - C )
       by (rule MMI_negsubt)
    from S8 have S9: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       (B + (-C)) = (B - C) by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S9 have S10: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       (A + (B + (-C))) = (A + (B - C))
      by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    from S3 S7 S10 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) - C) = (A + (B - C))
      by (rule MMI_3eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addsubt:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) - C ) =
   ((A - C) + B)
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + B ) = ( B + A )
      by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) - C ) =
       ((B + A) - C) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) - C) = ((B + A) - C)
      by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    have S4: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \land A \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( B + A ) - C ) =
       ( B + ( A - C ) ) by (rule MMI_addsubasst)
    from S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((B + A) - C) = (B + (A - C)) by (rule MMI_3com12)
    have S6: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( A - C ) \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( B + ( A - C ) ) =
       ( ( A - C ) + B ) by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
    from S6 have S7: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A - \mathbb{C} ) \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
```

```
(B + (A - C)) = ((A - C) + B)) by (rule MMI_ex)
    have S8: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A - C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_subclt)
    from S7 S8 have S9: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       (B + (A - C)) = ((A - C) + B)) by (rule MMI_syl5)
    from S9 have S10: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( C \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
       (B + (A - C)) = ((A - C) + B))
      by (rule MMI_exp3a)
    from S10 have S11: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( C \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
       (B + (A - C)) = ((A - C) + B))
      by (rule MMI_com12)
    from S11 have S12: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       (B + (A - C)) = ((A - C) + B) by (rule MMI_3imp)
    from S3 S5 S12 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) - C) = ((A - C) + B) by (rule MMI_3eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addsub12t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( B - C ) ) =
   (B + (A - C))
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + B ) = ( B + A )
       by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) - C ) =
       ((B + A) - C) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) - C) = ((B + A) - C)
      by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) - C ) =
       ( A + ( B - C ) ) by (rule MMI_addsubasst)
    have S5: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( B + A ) - C ) =
       ( B + (A - C) ) by (rule MMI_addsubasst)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((B + A) - C) = (B + (A - C)) by (rule MMI_3com12)
    from S3 S4 S6 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       (A + (B - C)) = (B + (A - C))
      by (rule MMI_3eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addsubass: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ((A + B) - C) = (A + (B - C))
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) - C ) =
```

```
( A + ( B - C ) ) by (rule MMI_addsubasst)
    from S1 S2 S3 S4 show ( ( A + B ) - C ) =
      ( A + (B - C) ) by (rule MMI_mp3an)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_addsub: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( (A + B) - C ) = ((A - C) + B)
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) - C ) =
      ( ( A - C ) + B ) by (rule MMI_addsubt)
    from S1 S2 S3 S4 show ( (A + B) - C ) =
      ((A - C) + B) by (rule MMI_mp3an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_2addsubt:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
  (((A + B) + C) - D) = (((A + C) - D) + B)
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) + C ) =
      ( ( A + C ) + B ) by (rule MMI_add23t)
    from S1 have S2: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
      ((A + B) + C) = ((A + C) + B) by (rule MMI_3expa)
    from S2 have S3: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
      ((A + B) + C) = ((A + C) + B)
      by (rule MMI_adantrr)
    from S3 have S4: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
      (((A + B) + C) - D) =
      ( ( ( A + C ) + B ) - D ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    have S5: ( ( A + C ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
      (((A + C) + B) - D) =
       ( ( ( A + C ) - D ) + B ) by (rule MMI_addsubt)
    from S5 have S6: ( ( A + C ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
      ( ( ( A + C ) + B ) - D ) =
      ( ( ( A + C ) - D ) + B ) by (rule MMI_3expb)
    have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A + C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
    from S6 S7 have S8: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) )
      ( ( ( A + C ) + B ) - D ) =
      (((A + C) - D) + B) by (rule MMI_sylan)
    from S8 have S9: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
      (((A + C) + B) - D) =
```

```
( ( ( A + C ) - D ) + B ) by (rule MMI_an4s)
   from S4 S9 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
     (((A + B) + C) - D) =
     (((A + C) - D) + B) by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negneg: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ( - ( (- A) ) ) = A
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 have S2: ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negcl)
   from S2 have S3: ( ( (-A) ) + (-(A) ) ) = 0
     by (rule MMI_negid)
   from S3 have S4: ( A + ( ( (- A) ) + ( - ( (- A) ) ) ) =
     ( A + 0 ) by (rule MMI_opreq2i)
   from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S5 have S6: ( A + ( (- A) ) ) = 0 by (rule MMI_negid)
   from S6 have S7: ( ( A + ( (- A) ) ) + ( - ( (- A) ) ) ) =
     ( 0 + ( - ( (- A) ) ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq1i)
   from A1 have S8: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S2 have S9: ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C} .
   from S2 have S10: ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C} .
   from S10 have S11: ( - ( (- A) ) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negcl)
   from S8 S9 S11 have S12:
     ( (A + ((-A))) + (-((-A))) =
     (A + (( (-A) ) + (-( (-A) ))))
     by (rule MMI_addass)
   from S11 have S13: ( - ( (- A) ) ) \in \mathbb{C} .
   from S13 have S14: (0 + (-(-A)))
     ( - ( (- A) ) ) by (rule MMI_addid2)
   from S7 S12 S14 have S15:
     (A + (( - A) + ( - ( - A) ))) =
     ( - ( (- A) ) ) by (rule MMI_3eqtr3)
   from A1 have S16: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S16 have S17: ( A + 0 ) = A by (rule MMI_addid1)
   from S4 S15 S17 show ( - ( (- A) ) ) = A by (rule MMI_3eqtr3)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subid: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
   shows (A - A) = 0
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 S2 have S3: (A + ((-A))) = (A - A)
     by (rule MMI_negsub)
   from A1 have S4: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S4 have S5: ( A + ((-A)) = 0 by (rule MMI_negid)
   from S3 S5 show ( A - A ) = 0 by (rule MMI_eqtr3)
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subid1: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ( A - 0 ) = A
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 have S2: (0 + A) = A by (rule MMI_addid2)
   from A1 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S4: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S3 S4 S5 have S6: ( A - 0 ) = A \longleftrightarrow ( 0 + A ) = A
      by (rule MMI_subadd)
   from S2 S6 show ( A - 0 ) = A by (rule MMI_mpbir)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negnegt:
   shows A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (-(-A)) = A
proof -
   have S1: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( (- A) ) =
      ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_negeq)
   from S1 have S2: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \longrightarrow ( - ( (- A) ) ) =
      ( - ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_negeqd)
   have S3: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 )
      by (rule MMI_id)
   from S2 S3 have S4: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
      ( (-(-A))) = A \longleftrightarrow
      ( - ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) )
      by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
   have S5: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   from S5 have S6: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
   from S6 have S7: ( - ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) ) ) =
      if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) by (rule MMI_negneg)
   from S4 S7 show A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( - ( (- A) ) ) = A by (rule MMI_dedth)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subnegt:
   shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A - ( (- B) ) ) = ( A + B )
proof -
   have S1: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
      (A + (-((-B)))) = (A - ((-B)))
      by (rule MMI_negsubt)
   have S2: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ((-B)) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
   from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
      (A + (-((-B)))) = (A - ((-B)))
      by (rule MMI_sylan2)
   have S4: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( - ( (- B) ) ) = B by (rule MMI_negnegt)
   from S4 have S5: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A + ( - ( (- B) ) ) ) =
      ( A + B ) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
```

```
from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       (A + (-(B))) = (A + B) by (rule MMI_adantl)
    from S3 S6 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A - ( (- B) ) ) =
       ( A + B ) by (rule MMI_eqtr3d)
ged
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subidt:
    shows A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (A - A) = 0
proof -
    have S1: ( A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \wedge A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) )
       (A - A) = (if (A \in \mathbb{C}, A, 0) - if (A \in \mathbb{C}, A, 0))
       by (rule MMI_opreq12)
    from S1 have S2: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
       ( A - A ) = ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) )
       by (rule MMI_anidms)
    from S2 have S3: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
       ( (A - A) = 0 \longleftrightarrow
       ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) - if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) ) = \mathtt{0} )
       by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    have S4: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S4 have S5: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S5 have S6:
       ( if ( {\tt A} \in \mathbb{C} , {\tt A} , {\tt O} ) – if ( {\tt A} \in \mathbb{C} , {\tt A} , {\tt O} ) ) = {\tt O}
       by (rule MMI_subid)
    from S3 S6 show A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A - A ) = 0 by (rule MMI_dedth)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subid1t:
    shows A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (A - 0) = A
proof -
    have S1: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A - \mathbf 0 ) =
       ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) - 0 ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    have S2: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
       A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) by (rule MMI_id)
    from S1 S2 have S3: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( A - 0 ) = A \longleftrightarrow ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) - 0 ) =
       if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    have S4: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S4 have S5: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S5 have S6: ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) - \mathtt{0} ) =
       if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) by (rule MMI_subid1)
    from S3 S6 show A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A - 0 ) = A by (rule MMI_dedth)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_pncant:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) - B ) = A
proof -
```

```
have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) - B ) =
       ( A + ( B - B ) ) by (rule MMI_addsubasst)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) - B) = (A + (B - B)) by (rule MMI_3expb)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) - B ) =
       ( A + (B - B) ) by (rule MMI_anabsan2)
    have S4: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( B - B ) = 0 by (rule MMI_subidt)
    from S4 have S5: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (A + (B - B)) = (A + 0)
       by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    have S6: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (A + 0) = A by (rule MMI_axOid)
    from S5 S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( B - B ) ) = A
      by (rule MMI_sylan9eqr)
    from S3 S7 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) - B ) = A
      by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_pncan2t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) - A ) = B
proof -
    have S1: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( B + A ) = ( A + B )
      by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
    from S1 have S2: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge A \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( B + A ) - A ) =
       ((A + B) - A) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    have S3: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( B + A ) - A ) = B
      by (rule MMI_pncant)
    from S2 S3 have S4: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \land A \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) - A) = B by (rule MMI_eqtr3d)
    from S4 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) - A ) = B
      by (rule MMI_ancoms)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_npcant:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A - B ) + B ) = A
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) - B) = ((A - B) + B)
      by (rule MMI_addsubt)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) - B) = ((A - B) + B) by (rule MMI_3expb)
    from S2 have S3: ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \wedge \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + B) - B) = ((A - B) + B)
      by (rule MMI_anabsan2)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) - B ) = A
      by (rule MMI_pncant)
    from S3 S4 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A - B ) + B ) = A
      by (rule MMI_eqtr3d)
qed
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_npncant:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
   ((A - B) + (B - C)) = (A - C)
proof -
    have S1: ( ( A - B ) \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       (((A - B) + B) - C) =
       ( ( A - B ) + ( B - C ) ) by (rule MMI_addsubasst)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A - B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_subclt)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ( A - B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow B \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_3simp2)
    have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow C \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_3simp3)
    from S1 S3 S4 S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       (((A - B) + B) - C) =
       ( ( A - B ) + ( B - C ) ) by (rule MMI_syl3anc)
    have S7: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A - B ) + B ) = A
       by (rule MMI_npcant)
    from S7 have S8: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       (((A - B) + B) - C) = (A - C)
       by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    from S8 have S9: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       (((A - B) + B) - C) = (A - C)
       by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    from S6 S9 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((A - B) + (B - C)) = (A - C)
       by (rule MMI_eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_nppcant:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
   (((A - B) + C) + B) = (A + C)
proof -
    have S1: ( ( A - B ) \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       (((A - B) + C) + B) =
       (((A - B) + B) + C) by (rule MMI_add23t)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A - B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_subclt)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A - B ) \in \mathbb C
       by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow C \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_3simp3)
    have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow B \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_3simp2)
    from S1 S3 S4 S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ B \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       (((A - B) + C) + B) =
       (((A-B)+B)+C) by (rule MMI_syl3anc)
    have S7: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A - B ) + B ) = A
       by (rule MMI_npcant)
    from S7 have S8: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       (((A - B) + B) + C) = (A + C)
       by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    from S8 have S9: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
```

```
(((A - B) + B) + C) = (A + C)
     by (rule MMI_3adant3)
   from S6 S9 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
     (((A-B)+C)+B)=(A+C) by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
ged
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subneg: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
   shows (A - ((-B))) = (A + B)
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A - ( (- B) ) ) = ( A + B )
     by (rule MMI_subnegt)
   from S1 S2 S3 show ( A - ( (- B) ) ) = ( A + B )
     by (rule MMI_mp2an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subeq0: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ( A - B ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow A = B
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 S2 have S3: (A + ((-B))) = (A - B)
     by (rule MMI_negsub)
   from S3 have S4: ( A + ( (- B) ) ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow ( A - B ) = 0
     by (rule MMI_eqeq1i)
   have S5: ( A + ( (- B) ) ) = \mathbf{0} \longrightarrow
     ((A + ((-B))) + B) = (0 + B) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
   from S4 S5 have S6: ( A - B ) = 0 \longrightarrow
     ((A + ((-B))) + B) = (0 + B) by (rule MMI_sylbir)
   from A1 have S7: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S8: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S8 have S9: ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negcl)
   from A2 have S10: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S7 S9 S10 have S11: ( ( A + ( (- B) ) ) + B ) =
      ((A + B) + ((-B))) by (rule MMI_add23)
   from A1 have S12: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S13: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S9 have S14: ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{C} .
   from S12 S13 S14 have S15: ( ( A + B ) + ( (-B) ) ) =
     (A + (B + (G - B))) by (rule MMI_addass)
   from A2 have S16: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S16 have S17: ( B + ( (- B) ) ) = 0 by (rule MMI_negid)
   from S17 have S18: ( A + (B + (G - B)) ) ) = ( A + O )
     by (rule MMI_opreg2i)
   from A1 have S19: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S19 have S20: ( A + 0 ) = A by (rule MMI_addid1)
```

```
from S18 S20 have S21: (A + (B + (-B))) = A
     by (rule MMI_eqtr)
   from S11 S15 S21 have S22: ( ( A + ( - B) ) + B ) = A
     by (rule MMI_3eqtr)
   from A2 have S23: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S23 have S24: ( 0 + B ) = B by (rule MMI_addid2)
   from S6 S22 S24 have S25: ( A - B ) = 0 \longrightarrow A = B
     by (rule MMI_3eqtr3g)
   have S26: A = B \longrightarrow ( A - B ) = ( B - B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
   from A2 have S27: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S27 have S28: ( B - B ) = 0 by (rule MMI_subid)
   from S26 S28 have S29: A = B \longrightarrow ( A - B ) = 0 by (rule MMI_syl6eq)
   from S25 S29 show ( A - B ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow A = B by (rule MMI_impbi)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_neg11: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ( (- A) ) = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow A = B
   have S1: ((-A)) = (0 - A) by (rule MMI_df_neg)
   have S2: ( (- B) ) = ( 0 - B ) by (rule MMI_df_neg)
   from S1 S2 have S3: ( (- A) ) = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow ( 0 - A ) =
     (0 - B) by (rule MMI_eqeq12i)
   have S4: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S6: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   from A2 have S7: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S6 S7 have S8: ( 0 - B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_subcl)
   from S4 S5 S8 have S9: ( 0 - A ) = ( 0 - B ) \longleftrightarrow
     (A + (O - B)) = O by (rule MMI_subadd)
   from S2 have S10: ( (- B) ) = ( 0 - B ).
   from S10 have S11: (A + ((-B))) = (A + (0 - B))
     by (rule MMI_opreq2i)
   from A1 have S12: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S13: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S12 S13 have S14: (A + ((-B))) = (A - B)
     by (rule MMI_negsub)
   from S11 S14 have S15: (A + (O - B)) = (A - B)
     by (rule MMI_eqtr3)
   from S15 have S16: ( A + ( 0 - B ) ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow ( A - B ) = 0
     by (rule MMI_eqeq1i)
   from A1 have S17: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S18: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S17 S18 have S19: ( A - B ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow A = B by (rule MMI_subeq0)
   from S16 S19 have S20: ( A + ( 0 - B ) ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow A = B
     by (rule MMI_bitr)
   from S3 S9 S20 show ( (-A) ) = ( (-B) ) \longleftrightarrow A = B by (rule MMI_3bitr)
qed
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negcon1: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb C and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( (- A) ) = B \longleftrightarrow ( (- B) ) = A
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S1 have S2: ( - ( (- A) ) ) = A by (rule MMI_negneg)
    from S2 have S3: ( - ( (- A) ) ) = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow A = ( (- B) )
      by (rule MMI_eqeq1i)
    from A1 have S4: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S4 have S5: ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negcl)
    from A2 have S6: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S5 S6 have S7: ( - ( (- A) ) ) =
      ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow ( (- A) ) = B by (rule MMI_neg11)
    have S8: A = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow ( (- B) ) = A by (rule MMI_eqcom)
    from S3 S7 S8 show ( (- A) ) = B \longleftrightarrow ( (- B) ) = A by (rule MMI_3bitr3)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negcon2: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
    shows A = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow B = ( (- A) )
proof -
    from A2 have S1: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( (- B) ) = A \longleftrightarrow ( (- A) ) = B
      by (rule MMI_negcon1)
    have S4: A = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow ( (- B) ) = A by (rule MMI_eqcom)
    have S5: B = ((-A)) \longleftrightarrow ((-A)) = B by (rule MMI_eqcom)
    from S3 S4 S5 show A = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow B = ( (- A) ) by (rule MMI_3bitr4)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_neg11t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( (- A) ) = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow A = B
proof -
    have S1: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( (- A) ) =
      ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_negeq)
    from S1 have S2: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( ( (- A) ) =
      ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) ) = ( (- B) ) )
      by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    have S3: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A = B \longleftrightarrow
      if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) = \mathtt{B} ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1)
    from S2 S3 have S4: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
      ( ( ( ( - A) ) = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow A = B ) \longleftrightarrow
      ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow
      if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) = B ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    have S5: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( (- B) ) =
```

```
( - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_negeq)
    from S5 have S6: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow
       (-if(A \in \mathbb{C}, A, 0)) = (-if(B \in \mathbb{C}, B, 0))
       by (rule MMI_eqeq2d)
    have S7: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) = B
       if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq2)
    from S6 S7 have S8: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow
       if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) = \mathtt{B} ) \longleftrightarrow ( ( - if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) ) =
       ( - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) \longleftrightarrow if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) =
       if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    have S9: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S9 have S10: if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 0 ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S11: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S11 have S12: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S10 S12 have S13: ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) ) =
       ( - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) \longleftrightarrow if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) =
       if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) by (rule MMI_neg11)
    from S4 S8 S13 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( (- A) ) =
       ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow A = B ) by (rule MMI_dedth2h)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negcon1t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( (- A) ) = B \longleftrightarrow ( (- B) ) = A
proof -
    have S1: ( ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( - ( (- A) ) ) =
       ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow ( (- A) ) = B ) by (rule MMI_neg11t)
    have S2: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( - ( (- A) ) ) =
       ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow ( (- A) ) = B ) by (rule MMI_sylan)
    have S4: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( - ( (- A) ) ) = A by (rule MMI_negnegt)
    from S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( - ( (- A) ) ) = A
       by (rule MMI_adantr)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( - ( (- A) ) ) =
       ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow A = ( (- B) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    from S3 S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( (- A) ) = B \longleftrightarrow A
       ((- B)) by (rule MMI_bitr3d)
    have S8: A = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow ( (- B) ) = A by (rule MMI_eqcom)
    from S7 S8 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( (- A) ) = B \longleftrightarrow
       ( (-B) ) = A ) by (rule MMI_syl6bb)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negcon2t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow B = ( (- A) )
```

```
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( (- A) ) = B \longleftrightarrow ( (- B) ) =
A )
      by (rule MMI_negcon1t)
    have S2: A = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow ( (- B) ) = A by (rule MMI_eqcom)
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow
       ((-A)) = B) by (rule MMI_syl6rbbrA)
    have S4: ( (- A) ) = B \longleftrightarrow B = ( (- A) ) by (rule MMI_eqcom)
    from S3 S4 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A = ( (- B) ) \longleftrightarrow B =
       ( (- A) ) by (rule MMI_syl6bb)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subcant:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A - B ) =
   (A - C) \longleftrightarrow B = C)
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( - C ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ( (A + ( - B) )) = (A + ( - C )) \longleftrightarrow
       ((-B)) = (-C) by (rule MMI_addcant)
    have S2: C \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (-C) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( A + ( (- B) ) ) = ( A + ( - C ) ) \longleftrightarrow
       ( (- B) ) = (-C) ) by (rule MMI_syl3an3)
    have S4: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
    from S3 S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + ((-B))) = (A + (-C)) \longleftrightarrow
       ( (-B) ) = (-C) ) by (rule MMI_syl3an2)
    have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( (- B) ) ) = ( A - B )
      by (rule MMI_negsubt)
    from S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       (A + ( (-B) )) = (A - B) by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    have S8: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( - C ) ) = ( A - C )
      by (rule MMI_negsubt)
    from S8 have S9: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       (A + (-C)) = (A - C) by (rule MMI_3adant2)
    from S7 S9 have S10: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( A + ( (- B) ) ) = ( A + ( - C ) ) \longleftrightarrow
       (A - B) = (A - C) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    have S11: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( (- B) ) = ( - C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C
)
      by (rule MMI_neg11t)
    from S11 have S12: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       (((B)) = (C) \longleftrightarrow B = C) by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S5 S10 S12 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( A - B ) = ( A - C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C ) by (rule MMI_3bitr3d)
ged
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subcan2t:
```

```
shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
   ( (A - C) = (B - C) \longleftrightarrow A = B)
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( -C ) ) = ( A - C )
       by (rule MMI_negsubt)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       (A + (-C)) = (A - C) by (rule MMI_3adant2)
    have S3: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( B + ( - C ) ) = ( B - C )
       by (rule MMI_negsubt)
    from S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       (B + (-C)) = (B - C) by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S2 S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ( (A + (-C)) = (B + (-C)) \longleftrightarrow (A - C) =
       (B-C)) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( - C ) \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((A + (-C)) = (B + (-C)) \longleftrightarrow A = B)
       by (rule MMI_addcan2t)
    have S7: C \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( - C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
    from S6 S7 have S8: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ( (A + (-C)) = (B + (-C)) \longleftrightarrow A = B)
       by (rule MMI_syl3an3)
    from S5 S8 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( A - C ) = ( B - C ) \longleftrightarrow A = B ) by (rule MMI_bitr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subcan: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( A - B ) = ( A - C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( A - B ) = ( A - C ) \longleftrightarrow
B = C ) by (rule MMI_subcant)
    from S1 S2 S3 S4 show ( A - B ) = ( A - C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C
       by (rule MMI_mp3an)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subcan2: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( A - C ) = ( B - C ) \longleftrightarrow A = B
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( A - C ) = ( B - C ) \longleftrightarrow A = B ) by (rule MMI_subcan2t)
```

```
from S1 S2 S3 S4 show ( A - C ) = ( B - C ) \longleftrightarrow A = B
       by (rule MMI_mp3an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subeq0t:
    shows ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( \mathtt{A} - \mathtt{B} ) = \mathtt{0} \longleftrightarrow \mathtt{A} = \mathtt{B} )
proof -
    have S1: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A - B ) =
        ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S1 have S2: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( ( A - B ) = \mathbf 0 \longleftrightarrow
        ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) - \mathtt{B} ) = \mathtt{0} ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    have S3: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A = B \longleftrightarrow
        if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) = B ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1)
    from S2 S3 have S4: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
        ( ( ( A - B ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow A = B ) \longleftrightarrow
        ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B ) = \mathbf 0 \longleftrightarrow
        if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) = B ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    have S5: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
        ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) - B ) =
        ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) )
       by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S5 have S6: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
        ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) – B ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow
        ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) ) = 0 )
       by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    have S7: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) = B
        if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq2)
    from S6 S7 have S8: B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
        ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - B ) = \mathbf 0 \longleftrightarrow
        if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) = B ) \longleftrightarrow
        ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) = \mathbf 0 \longleftrightarrow
        if ( {\tt A} \in \mathbb{C} , {\tt A} , {\tt O} ) = if ( {\tt B} \in \mathbb{C} , {\tt B} , {\tt O} ) )
       by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    have S9: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S9 have S10: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S11: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S11 have S12: if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from $10 $12 have $13:
        ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) = \mathbf 0 \longleftrightarrow
        if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) = if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , O )
       by (rule MMI_subeq0)
    from S4 S8 S13 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
        ( ( A - B ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow A = B ) by (rule MMI_dedth2h)
qed
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_neg0:
   shows (-0) = 0
proof -
   have S1: (-0) = (0-0) by (rule MMI_df_neg)
   have S2: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   from S2 have S3: (0 - 0) = 0 by (rule MMI_subid)
   from S1 S3 show (-0) = 0 by (rule MMI_eqtr)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_renegcl: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{R}
   shows ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{R}
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{R}.
   have S2: A \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow (\exists x \in \mathbb{R} . (A + x) = 0) by (rule MMI_axrnegex)
   from S1 S2 have S3: \exists x \in \mathbb{R} . (A + x) = 0 by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
   have S4: (\exists x \in \mathbb{R} . (A + x) = 0) \longleftrightarrow
      ( \exists x . ( x \in \mathbb{R} \land ( A + x ) = 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_df_rex)
   from S3 S4 have S5: \exists x . ( x \in \mathbb{R} \land ( A + x ) = 0 )
      by (rule MMI_mpbi)
    { fix x
      have S6: x \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow x \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_recnt)
      have S7: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
      from A1 have S8: A \in \mathbb{R}.
      from S8 have S9: A \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_recn)
      have S10: ( 0\in\mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge x \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( 0 - A ) = x \longleftrightarrow
         (A + x) = 0) by (rule MMI_subaddt)
      from S7 S9 S10 have S11: x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ((0 - A) = x \longleftrightarrow
         (A + x) = 0) by (rule MMI_mp3an12)
      from S6 S11 have S12: x \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow ( ( 0 - A ) = x \longleftrightarrow
         (A + x) = 0) by (rule MMI_syl)
      have S13: ((-A)) = (0 - A) by (rule MMI_df_neg)
      from S13 have S14: ( (- A) ) = x \longleftrightarrow ( 0 - A ) = x
         by (rule MMI_eqeq1i)
      from S12 S14 have S15: x \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow ( ( (- A) ) = x \longleftrightarrow
         (A + x) = 0) by (rule MMI_syl5bb)
      have S16: x \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow ( ( (- A) ) = x \longrightarrow ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{R} )
         by (rule MMI_eleq1a)
      from S15 S16 have S17: x \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow ( ( A + x ) = 0 \longrightarrow
         ((-A)) \in \mathbb{R} ) by (rule MMI_sylbird)
      from S17 have ( x \in \mathbb{R} \land ( A + x ) = 0 ) \longrightarrow ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{R}
         by (rule MMI_imp)
      } then have S18:
  \forall x . ( x \in \mathbb{R} \wedge ( A + x ) = 0 ) \longrightarrow ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{R}
         by auto
   from S18 have S19: ( \exists x . ( x \in \mathbb{R} \land ( A + x ) = 0 ) ) \longrightarrow
      ((-A)) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_19_23aiv)
   from S5 S19 show ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
qed
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_renegclt:
    shows A \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{R}
proof -
    have S1: A = if ( A \in \mathbb{R} , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow ( (- A) ) =
       ( - if ( A \in \mathbb{R} , A , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_negeq)
    from S1 have S2: A = if ( A \in \mathbb{R} , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow ( ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{R} \longleftrightarrow
       ( - if ( A \in \mathbb{R} , A , 1 ) ) \in \mathbb{R} ) \mathrm{by} (rule MMI_eleq1d)
    have S3: 1 \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_ax1re)
    from S3 have S4: if ( A \in \mathbb{R} , A , 1 ) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S4 have S5: ( - if ( A \in \mathbb{R} , A , 1 ) ) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_renegcl)
    from S2 S5 show A \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_dedth)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_resubclt:
    shows ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{R} \land \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{R} ) \longrightarrow ( \mathtt{A} - \mathtt{B} ) \in \mathbb{R}
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( (- B) ) ) = ( A - B )
       by (rule MMI_negsubt)
    have S2: A \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_recnt)
    have S3: B \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow B \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_recnt)
    from S1 S2 S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{R} \land B \in \mathbb{R} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( (- B) ) )
       ( A - B ) by (rule MMI_syl2an)
    have S5: ( A \in \mathbb{R} \land ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{R} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( (- B) ) ) \in \mathbb{R}
       by (rule MMI_axaddrcl)
    have S6: B \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_renegclt)
    from S5 S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb{R} \wedge B \in \mathbb{R} ) \longrightarrow ( A + ( (- B) ) ) \in \mathbb{R}
       by (rule MMI_sylan2)
    from S4 S7 show ( A \in \mathbb{R} \wedge B \in \mathbb{R} ) \longrightarrow ( A - B ) \in \mathbb{R}
       by (rule MMI_eqeltrrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_resubcl: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{R} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{R}
    shows ( A - B ) \in {\rm I\!R}
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{R}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{R}.
    have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{R} \land B \in \mathbb{R} ) \longrightarrow ( A - B ) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_resubclt)
    from S1 S2 S3 show ( A - B ) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_mp2an)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_Ore:
    shows 0 \in \mathbb{R}
proof -
    have S1: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from S1 have S2: (1 - 1) = 0 by (rule MMI_subid)
```

```
have S3: 1 \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_ax1re)
    have S4: 1 \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_ax1re)
    from S3 S4 have S5: ( 1 - 1 ) \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_resubcl)
    from S2 S5 show 0 \in \mathbb{R} by (rule MMI_eqeltrr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulid2t:
    shows A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( 1 \cdot A ) = A
proof -
    have S1: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    have S2: ( 1\in\mathbb{C} \wedge A \in\mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( 1\cdot A ) = ( A \cdot 1 )
       by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S1 S2 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( 1 \cdot A ) = ( A \cdot 1 ) by (rule MMI_mpan)
    have S4: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A \cdot 1 ) = A by (rule MMI_ax1id)
    from S3 S4 show A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( 1 \cdot A ) = A by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mul12t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot ( B \cdot C ) ) =
   ( B · ( A · C ) )
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) = ( B \cdot A )
       by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((A \cdot B) \cdot C) = ((B \cdot A) \cdot C) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( A \cdot B ) \cdot C ) = ( ( B \cdot A ) \cdot C ) by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((A \cdot B) \cdot C) = (A \cdot (B \cdot C)) by (rule MMI_axmulass)
    have S5: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ( ( B \cdot A ) \cdot C ) = ( B \cdot (A \cdot C) ) by (rule MMI_axmulass)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
       ((B \cdot A) \cdot C) = (B \cdot (A \cdot C)) by (rule MMI_3com12)
    from S3 S4 S6 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
        (A \cdot (B \cdot C)) = (B \cdot (A \cdot C)) by (rule MMI_3eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mul23t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) \cdot C ) =
   ((A \cdot C) \cdot B)
proof -
    have S1: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = ( C \cdot B )
       by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S1 have S2: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot ( B \cdot C ) ) =
       ( A \cdot ( C \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot ( B \cdot C ) )
```

```
( A \cdot (C \cdot B) ) by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) \cdot C ) =
        ( A \cdot ( B \cdot C ) ) by (rule MMI_axmulass)
    have S5: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot C ) \cdot B ) =
        ( A \cdot (C \cdot B) ) by (rule MMI_axmulass)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
        ((A \cdot C) \cdot B) = (A \cdot (C \cdot B)) by (rule MMI_3com23)
    from S3 S4 S6 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
        ( ( A \cdot B ) \cdot C ) = ( ( A \cdot C ) \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_3eqtr4d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mul4t:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   ((A \cdot B) \cdot (C \cdot D)) = ((A \cdot C) \cdot (B \cdot D))
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
        ((A \cdot B) \cdot C) = ((A \cdot C) \cdot B) by (rule MMI_mul23t)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
        (((A \cdot B) \cdot C) \cdot D) = (((A \cdot C) \cdot B) \cdot D)
       by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    from S2 have S3: ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
        ( ( ( A \cdot B ) \cdot C ) \cdot D ) = ( ( ( A \cdot C ) \cdot B ) \cdot D )
       by (rule MMI_3expa)
    from S3 have S4: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
        (((A \cdot B) \cdot C) \cdot D) = (((A \cdot C) \cdot B) \cdot D)
       by (rule MMI_adantrr)
    have S5: ( ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
        (\ (\ (\ A\ \cdot\ B\ )\ \cdot\ C\ )\ \cdot\ D\ )\ =\ (\ (\ A\ \cdot\ B\ )\ \cdot\ (\ C\ \cdot\ D\ )\ )
       by (rule MMI_axmulass)
    from S5 have S6: ( ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
        (((A \cdot B) \cdot C) \cdot D) = ((A \cdot B) \cdot (C \cdot D)) by (rule MMI_3expb)
    have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
    from S6 S7 have S8: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) )
        (((A \cdot B) \cdot C) \cdot D) = ((A \cdot B) \cdot (C \cdot D)) by (rule MMI_sylan)
    have S9: ( ( A \cdot C ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
        (((A \cdot C) \cdot B) \cdot D) = ((A \cdot C) \cdot (B \cdot D))
        by (rule MMI_axmulass)
    from S9 have S10: ( ( 	exttt{A} \cdot 	exttt{C} ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( 	exttt{B} \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
        ( ( ( A \cdot C ) \cdot B ) \cdot D ) = ( ( A \cdot C ) \cdot ( B \cdot D ) )
       by (rule MMI_3expb)
    have S11: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
    from S10 S11 have S12: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C )
        ( ( ( A \cdot C ) \cdot B ) \cdot D ) = ( ( A \cdot C ) \cdot ( B \cdot D ) )
       by (rule MMI_sylan)
    from S12 have S13: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
```

```
(((A \cdot C) \cdot B) \cdot D) = ((A \cdot C) \cdot (B \cdot D))
       by (rule MMI_an4s)
    from S4 S8 S13 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) )
        ((A \cdot B) \cdot (C \cdot D)) = ((A \cdot C) \cdot (B \cdot D))
       by (rule MMI_3eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_muladdt:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   ((A + B) \cdot (C + D)) =
   (((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) + ((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B)))
proof -
    have S1: ( ( A + B ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
        ((A + B) \cdot (C + D)) =
        (((A + B) \cdot C) + ((A + B) \cdot D))
       by (rule MMI_axdistr)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A + B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
    from S2 have S3: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
        (A + B) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_adantr)
    have S4: ( C \in C \wedge D \in C ) \longrightarrow C \in C by (rule MMI_pm3_26)
    from S4 have S5: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
\mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C}
       by (rule MMI_adantl)
    have S6: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \land D \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow D \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_pm3_27)
    from S6 have S7: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
\mathtt{D} \in \mathbb{C}
       by (rule MMI_adantl)
    from S1 S3 S5 S7 have S8:
        ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \land ( C \in \mathbb{C} \land D \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
        ((A + B) \cdot (C + D)) =
       ( ( ( A + B ) · C ) + ( ( A + B ) · D ) )
       by (rule MMI_syl3anc)
    have S9: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
        ((A + B) \cdot C) = ((A \cdot C) + (B \cdot C))
       by (rule MMI_adddirt)
    from S9 have S10: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
        ((A + B) \cdot C) = ((A \cdot C) + (B \cdot C))
       by (rule MMI_3expa)
    from S10 have S11: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
        ((A + B) \cdot C) = ((A \cdot C) + (B \cdot C))
       by (rule MMI_adantrr)
    have S12: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
        ((A + B) \cdot D) = ((A \cdot D) + (B \cdot D))
       by (rule MMI_adddirt)
    from S12 have S13: ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ B \in \mathbb{C} ) \land \ D \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
        ((A + B) \cdot D) = ((A \cdot D) + (B \cdot D))
```

```
by (rule MMI_3expa)
from S13 have S14: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   ((A + B) \cdot D) = ((A \cdot D) + (B \cdot D))
  by (rule MMI_adantrl)
from S11 S14 have S15: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C )
   (((A + B) \cdot C) + ((A + B) \cdot D)) =
   (((A \cdot C) + (B \cdot C)) + ((A \cdot D) + (B \cdot D)))
  by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
have S16:
   ( ( A \cdot C ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( B \cdot C ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge
   ( ( A \cdot D ) + ( B \cdot D ) ) \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
   (((A \cdot C) + (B \cdot C)) + ((A \cdot D) + (B \cdot D))) =
   ( ( ( A \cdot C ) + ( ( A \cdot D ) + ( B \cdot D ) ) ) + ( B \cdot C ) )
  by (rule MMI_add23t)
have S17: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
from S17 have S18: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   (A \cdot C) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_ad2ant2r)
have S19: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
from S19 have S20: ( B\in\mathbb{C}\ \wedge\ (\ C\in\mathbb{C}\ \wedge\ D\in\mathbb{C}\ ) ) \longrightarrow
   ( B \cdot C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_adantrr)
from S20 have S21: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   (B \cdot C) \in C by (rule MMI_adantll)
have S22: ( ( A \cdot D ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge (B \cdot D) \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( A \cdot D ) + ( B \cdot D ) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
have S23: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot D ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
have S24: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B \cdot D ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
from S22 S23 S24 have S25:
   ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land D \in \mathbb{C} ) \land ( B \in \mathbb{C} \land D \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( A \cdot D ) + ( B \cdot D ) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_syl2an)
from S25 have S26: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( A \cdot D ) + ( B \cdot D ) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_anandirs)
from S26 have S27: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( A \cdot D ) + ( B \cdot D ) ) \in \mathbb C \mathbf{by} (rule MMI_adantrl)
from S16 S18 S21 S27 have S28:
   ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   (((A \cdot C) + (B \cdot C)) + ((A \cdot D) + (B \cdot D))) =
   (((A \cdot C) + ((A \cdot D) + (B \cdot D))) + (B \cdot C))
  by (rule MMI_syl3anc)
have S29: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( B \cdot D ) = ( D \cdot B )
  \mathbf{b}\mathbf{y} (rule MMI_axmulcom)
from S29 have S30: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   (B \cdot D) = (D \cdot B) by (rule MMI_ad2ant21)
from S30 have S31: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
```

```
(((A \cdot C) + (A \cdot D)) + (B \cdot D)) =
   ( ( ( A \cdot C ) + ( A \cdot D ) ) + ( D \cdot B ) )
  by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
have S32: ( ( A \cdot C ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( A \cdot D ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B \cdot D ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
   (((A \cdot C) + (A \cdot D)) + (B \cdot D)) =
   ( ( A · C ) + ( ( A · D ) + ( B · D ) ) )
  by (rule MMI_axaddass)
from S18 have S33:
   ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) \in \mathbb C .
from S23 have S34: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot D ) \in \mathbb C .
from S34 have S35: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   ( A \cdot D ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_adantrl)
from S35 have S36: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   (A \cdot D) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_adantlr)
from S24 have S37: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B \cdot D ) \in \mathbb C .
from S37 have S38: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   (B \cdot D) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_ad2ant21)
from S32 S33 S36 S38 have S39:
   ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
   (((A \cdot C) + (A \cdot D)) + (B \cdot D)) =
   ( ( A \cdot C ) + ( ( A \cdot D ) + ( B \cdot D ) ) by (rule MMI_syl3anc)
have S40: ( ( A \cdot C ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( A \cdot D ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( D \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
   (((A \cdot C) + (A \cdot D)) + (D \cdot B)) =
   (((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) + (A \cdot D)) by (rule MMI_add23t)
from S18 have S41:
   ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) \in \mathbb C .
from S36 have S42: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   (A \cdot D) \in \mathbb{C} .
have S43: ( D \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( D \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
from S43 have S44: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( D \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C
  by (rule MMI_ancoms)
from S44 have S45: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   ( D \cdot B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_ad2ant21)
from S40 S41 S42 S45 have S46:
   ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
   (((A \cdot C) + (A \cdot D)) + (D \cdot B)) =
   ( ( ( A \cdot C ) + ( D \cdot B ) ) + ( A \cdot D ) ) by (rule MMI_syl3anc)
from S31 S39 S46 have S47:
   ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \land ( C \in \mathbb{C} \land D \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
   ((A \cdot C) + ((A \cdot D) + (B \cdot D))) =
   ( ( ( A \cdot C ) + ( D \cdot B ) ) + ( A \cdot D ) ) by (rule MMI_3eqtr3d)
have S48: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = ( C \cdot B )
  by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
from S48 have S49: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
```

```
(B \cdot C) = (C \cdot B) by (rule MMI_adantl)
from S49 have S50: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   (B \cdot C) = (C \cdot B) by (rule MMI_an42s)
from S47 S50 have S51: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C )
   (((A \cdot C) + ((A \cdot D) + (B \cdot D))) + (B \cdot C)) =
   ((((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) + (A \cdot D)) + (C \cdot B))
  by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
have S52:
   (\ (\ (\ A \cdot C\ )\ +\ (\ D \cdot B\ )\ )\in \mathbb{C}\ \land\ (\ A \cdot D\ )\in \mathbb{C}\ \land
   ( C \cdot B ) \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
   ((((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) + (A \cdot D)) + (C \cdot B)) =
   (((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) + ((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B)))
  by (rule MMI_axaddass)
have S53: ( ( A \cdot C ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( D \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( A \cdot C ) + ( D \cdot B ) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
from S17 have S54: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) \in \mathbb C .
from S44 have S55: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( D \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C .
from S53 S54 S55 have S56:
   ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( A \cdot C ) + ( D \cdot B ) ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_syl2an)
from S56 have S57: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( A \cdot C ) + ( D \cdot B ) ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_an4s)
from S36 have S58: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   ( A \cdot D ) \in \mathbb{C} .
have S59: ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( C \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
from S59 have S60: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( C \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C
  by (rule MMI_ancoms)
from S60 have S61: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   (C \cdot B) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_adantl)
from S61 have S62: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   (C \cdot B) \in C by (rule MMI_an42s)
from S52 S57 S58 S62 have S63:
   ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
   ((((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) + (A \cdot D)) + (C \cdot B)) =
   (((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) + ((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B)))
  by (rule MMI_syl3anc)
from S28 S51 S63 have S64:
   ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
   (((A \cdot C) + (B \cdot C)) + ((A \cdot D) + (B \cdot D))) =
   (((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) + ((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B)))
  by (rule MMI_3eqtrd)
from S8 S15 S64 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) )
```

```
((A + B) \cdot (C + D)) =
       ( ( ( A · C ) + ( D · B ) ) + ( ( A · D ) + ( C · B ) ) )
       by (rule MMI_3eqtrd)
ged
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_muladd11t:
    shows ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \land \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( \mathtt{1} + \mathtt{A} ) \cdot ( \mathtt{1} + \mathtt{B} ) ) =
   ((1 + A) + (B + (A \cdot B)))
proof -
    have S1: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    have S2: ( ( 1 + A ) \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ 1 \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathsf{B} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((1 + A) \cdot (1 + B)) =
       (((1 + A) \cdot 1) + ((1 + A) \cdot B))
       by (rule MMI_axdistr)
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( ( 1 + A ) \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((1 + A) \cdot (1 + B)) =
       (((1 + A) \cdot 1) + ((1 + A) \cdot B))
       by (rule MMI_mp3an2)
    have S4: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    have S5: ( 1\in\mathbb{C} \wedge A \in\mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( 1 + A ) \in\mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
    from S4 S5 have S6: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( 1 + A ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_mpan)
    from S3 S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((1 + A) \cdot (1 + B)) =
       (((1 + A) \cdot 1) + ((1 + A) \cdot B)) by (rule MMI_sylan)
    from S6 have S8: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( 1 + A ) \in \mathbb{C} .
    have S9: ( 1 + A ) \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( 1 + A ) \cdot 1 ) = ( 1 + A )
       by (rule MMI_ax1id)
    from S8 S9 have S10: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ((1 + A) \cdot 1) = (1 + A)
       by (rule MMI_syl)
    from S10 have S11: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
       ((1 + A) \cdot 1) = (1 + A) by (rule MMI_adantr)
    have S12: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    have S13: ( 1\in\mathbb{C} \wedge A\in\mathbb{C} \wedge B\in\mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( 1 + A ) \cdot B ) =
       ( ( 1 \cdot B ) + ( A \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_adddirt)
    from S12 S13 have S14: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( 1 + A ) \cdot B ) =
( (1 \cdot B) + (A \cdot B) ) by (rule MMI_mp3an1)
    have S15: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( 1 \cdot B ) = B by (rule MMI_mulid2t)
    from S15 have S16: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( 1 \cdot B ) = B
       by (rule MMI_adantl)
    from S16 have S17:
       ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \land \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( \mathtt{1} \cdot \mathtt{B} ) + ( \mathtt{A} \cdot \mathtt{B} ) ) =
       ( B + (A \cdot B) ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    from $14 $17 have $18:
       ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \, \wedge \, \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( \mathtt{1} + \mathtt{A} ) \cdot \, \mathtt{B} ) =
       ( B + ( A \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
    from S11 S18 have S19: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
```

```
(((1 + A) \cdot 1) + ((1 + A) \cdot B)) =
      ( ( 1 + A ) + ( B + ( A \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
   from S7 S19 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
      ((1 + A) \cdot (1 + B)) =
      ((1 + A) + (B + (A \cdot B)))
      by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mul12: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ( A \cdot (B \cdot C) ) = ( B \cdot (A \cdot C) )
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \cdot B ) = ( B \cdot A ) by (rule MMI_mulcom)
   from S3 have S4: ((A \cdot B) \cdot C) = ((B \cdot A) \cdot C)
      by (rule MMI_opreq1i)
   from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S6: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S7: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S5 S6 S7 have S8: ( ( A \cdot B ) \cdot C ) = ( A \cdot (B \cdot C) )
      by (rule MMI_mulass)
   from A2 have S9: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S10: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S11: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S9 S10 S11 have S12: ( ( B \cdot A ) \cdot C ) = ( B \cdot (A \cdot C) )
      by (rule MMI_mulass)
   from S4 S8 S12 show ( A \cdot ( B \cdot C ) ) = ( B \cdot ( A \cdot C ) )
      by (rule MMI_3eqtr3)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mul23: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ( (A \cdot B) \cdot C ) = ( (A \cdot C) \cdot B )
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) \cdot C ) =
      ( ( A \cdot C ) \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_mul23t)
   from S1 S2 S3 S4 show ( ( A \cdot B ) \cdot C ) = ( ( A \cdot C ) \cdot B )
      by (rule MMI_mp3an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mul4: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C} and
```

```
A4: D \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( ( A \cdot B ) \cdot ( C \cdot D ) ) = ( ( A \cdot C ) \cdot ( B \cdot D ) )
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S1 S2 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ B \in \mathbb{C} \  by (rule MMI_pm3_2i)
    from A3 have S4: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A4 have S5: D \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S4 S5 have S6: C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_pm3_2i)
    have S7: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
       ((A \cdot B) \cdot (C \cdot D)) = ((A \cdot C) \cdot (B \cdot D))
      by (rule MMI_mul4t)
    from S3 S6 S7 show ( ( A \cdot B ) \cdot ( C \cdot D ) ) = ( ( A \cdot C ) \cdot ( B \cdot D
      by (rule MMI_mp2an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_muladd: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C} and
     A4: D \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( ( A + B ) · ( C + D ) ) =
   (((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) + ((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B)))
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S1 S2 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_pm3_2i)
    from A3 have S4: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A4 have S5: D \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S4 S5 have S6: C \in C \wedge D \in C by (rule MMI_pm3_2i)
    have S7: ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \land ( C \in \mathbb{C} \land D \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
      ((A + B) \cdot (C + D)) =
      (((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) + ((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B)))
      by (rule MMI_muladdt)
    from S3 S6 S7 show
       ((A + B) \cdot (C + D)) =
       (((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) + ((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B)))
      by (rule MMI_mp2an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subdit:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (B - C)) = ((A \cdot B) - (A \cdot C))
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B - C ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (C + (B - C))) =
      ( ( A \cdot C ) + ( A \cdot (B - C) ) ) by (rule MMI_axdistr)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_3simp1)
```

```
have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow C \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_3simp3)
    have S4: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B - C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_subclt)
    from S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B - C ) \in \mathbb C
       by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S1 S2 S3 S5 have S6: ( A\in\mathbb{C}\ \land\ B\in\mathbb{C}\ \land\ C\in\mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (C + (B - C))) =
        ( ( A \cdot C ) + ( A \cdot ( B - C ) ) ) by (rule MMI_syl3anc)
    have S7: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( C + ( B - C ) ) = B by (rule MMI_pncan3t)
    from S7 have S8: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( C + ( B - C ) ) = B by
(rule MMI_ancoms)
    from S8 have S9: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( C + ( B - C )
) = B by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S9 have S10: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (C + (B - C))) = (A \cdot B) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    from S6 S10 have S11: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot C) + (A \cdot (B - C))) = (A \cdot B) by (rule MMI_eqtr3d)
    have S12: ( ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( A \cdot C ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( A \cdot ( B - C ) ) \in \mathbb C
 ( ( ( A \cdot B ) - ( A \cdot C ) ) = ( A \cdot ( B - C ) ) \longleftrightarrow
        ((A \cdot C) + (A \cdot (B - C))) = (A \cdot B)) by (rule MMI_subaddt)
    have S13: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
    from S13 have S14: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C
       by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    have S15: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
    from S15 have S16: ( A\in\mathbb{C}\ \land\ B\in\mathbb{C}\ \land\ C\in\mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A\cdot C ) \in\mathbb{C}
       by (rule MMI_3adant2)
    have S17: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B - C ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot ( B - C ) ) \in \mathbb C
       by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
    from S4 have S18: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( B - C ) \in \mathbb{C} .
    from S17 S18 have S19: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) ) –
        ( A \cdot ( B - C ) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_sylan2)
    from S19 have S20: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
        ( A \cdot ( B - C ) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3impb)
    from S12 S14 S16 S20 have S21: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
  (((A \cdot B) - (A \cdot C)) = (A \cdot (B - C)) \longleftrightarrow
        ((A \cdot C) + (A \cdot (B - C))) = (A \cdot B)) by (rule MMI_syl3anc)
    from S11 S21 have S22: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
  ( ( A \cdot B ) - ( A \cdot C ) ) = ( A \cdot (B - C) ) by (rule MMI_mpbird)
    from S22 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (B - C)) = ((A \cdot B) - (A \cdot C)) by (rule MMI_eqcomd)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subdirt:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) \cdot C) = ((A \cdot C) - (B \cdot C))
proof -
    have S1: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
```

```
(C \cdot (A - B)) = ((C \cdot A) - (C \cdot B)) by (rule MMI_subdit)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( C \cdot (A - B) ) = ( ( C \cdot A ) - ( C \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_3coml)
    have S3: ( ( A - B ) \in \mathbb{C} \land \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) \cdot C) = (C \cdot (A - B)) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A - B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_subclt)
    from S3 S4 have S5: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) \cdot C) = (C \cdot (A - B)) by (rule MMI_sylan)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A - B ) \cdot C ) = ( C \cdot ( A - B ) ) by (rule MMI_3impa)
    have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) = ( C \cdot A ) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S7 have S8: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) = ( C \cdot
A )
       by (rule MMI_3adant2)
    have S9: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = ( C \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S9 have S10: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = ( C
       by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S8 S10 have S11: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot C) - (B \cdot C)) = ((C \cdot A) - (C \cdot B))
       by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
    from S2 S6 S11 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A - B ) \cdot C ) = ( ( A \cdot C ) - ( B \cdot C ) ) by (rule MMI_3eqtr4d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subdi: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows (A \cdot (B - C)) = ((A \cdot B) - (A \cdot C))
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (B - C)) = ((A \cdot B) - (A \cdot C)) by (rule MMI_subdit)
    from S1 S2 S3 S4 show (A \cdot (B - C)) = ((A \cdot B) - (A \cdot C))
       by (rule MMI_mp3an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subdir: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( ( A - B ) \cdot C ) = ( ( A \cdot C ) - ( B \cdot C ) )
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
```

```
( ( A - B ) \cdot C ) = ( ( A \cdot C ) - ( B \cdot C ) ) by (rule MMI_subdirt)
   from S1 S2 S3 S4 show ( ( A - B ) \cdot C ) = ( ( A \cdot C ) - ( B \cdot C ) )
     by (rule MMI_mp3an)
ged
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mul01: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
   shows (A \cdot 0) = 0
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S2: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   have S3: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   from S1 S2 S3 have S4: ( A \cdot (0 - 0) ) = ( ( A \cdot 0 ) - ( A \cdot 0 )
     by (rule MMI_subdi)
   have S5: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   from S5 have S6: (0 - 0) = 0 by (rule MMI_subid)
   from S6 have S7: ( A \cdot ( 0 - 0 ) ) = ( A \cdot 0 ) by (rule MMI_opreq2i)
   from A1 have S8: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S9: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   from S8 S9 have S10: ( A \cdot 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_mulcl)
   from S10 have S11: ( ( \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{0} ) - ( \texttt{A} \cdot \texttt{0} ) ) = 0 by (rule MMI_subid)
   from S4 S7 S11 show ( A \cdot 0 ) = 0 by (rule MMI_3eqtr3)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mul02: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
   shows (0 \cdot A) = 0
proof -
   have S1: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 S2 have S3: (0 \cdot A) = (A \cdot 0) by (rule MMI_mulcom)
   from A1 have S4: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S4 have S5: ( A \cdot 0 ) = 0 by (rule MMI_mul01)
   from S3 S5 show (0 \cdot A) = 0 by (rule MMI_eqtr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_1p1times: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ( (1 + 1) \cdot A ) = (A + A)
proof -
   have S1: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
   have S2: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
   from A1 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 S2 S3 have S4: ((1 + 1) \cdot A) = ((1 \cdot A) + (1 \cdot A)
     by (rule MMI_adddir)
   from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S5 have S6: (1 \cdot A) = A by (rule MMI_mulid2)
   from S6 have S7: (1 \cdot A) = A.
   from S6 S7 have S8: ( ( 1 \cdot A ) + ( 1 \cdot A ) ) = ( A + A )
```

```
by (rule MMI_opreq12i)
   from S4 S8 show ( ( 1 + 1 ) \cdot A ) = ( A + A )
      by (rule MMI_eqtr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mul01t:
   shows A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A \cdot 0 ) = 0
proof -
   have S1: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A \cdot 0 ) = ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \cdot 0 ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
   from S1 have S2: A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \cdot 0 ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 0 ) \cdot 0 ) = 0 ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
   have S3: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   from S3 have S4: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
   from S4 have S5: ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \cdot 0 ) = 0 by (rule MMI_mul01)
   from S2 S5 show A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A \cdot 0 ) = 0 by (rule MMI_dedth)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mul02t:
   shows A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (0 \cdot A) = 0
proof -
   have S1: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   have S2: ( 0\in\mathbb{C} \wedge A \in\mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( 0\cdot A ) = ( A \cdot 0 ) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
   from S1 S2 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( 0 \cdot A ) = ( A \cdot 0 ) by (rule MMI_mpan)
   have S4: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A \cdot 0 ) = 0 by (rule MMI_mul01t)
   from S3 S4 show A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (0 \cdot A) = 0 by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulneg1: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ( ( (-A) ) \cdot B ) = ( -(A \cdot B) )
proof -
   from A2 have S1: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 have S2: (B \cdot 0) = 0 by (rule MMI_mul01)
   from A2 have S3: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S4: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S3 S4 have S5: ( B \cdot A ) = ( A \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_mulcom)
   from S2 S5 have S6: ((B \cdot 0) - (B \cdot A)) = (0 - (A \cdot B))
      by (rule MMI_opreq12i)
   have S7: ( (- A) ) = ( 0 - A ) by (rule MMI_df_neg)
   from S7 have S8: (( (-A) ) \cdot B) = ((0 - A) \cdot B)
      by (rule MMI_opreq1i)
   have S9: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_0cn)
   from A1 have S10: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S9 S10 have S11: ( 0 - A ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_subcl)
   from A2 have S12: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S11 S12 have S13: ((0 - A) \cdot B) = (B \cdot (0 - A))
      by (rule MMI_mulcom)
   from A2 have S14: B \in \mathbb{C}.
```

```
have S15: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
   from A1 have S16: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S14 S15 S16 have
     S17: (B \cdot (0 - A)) = ((B \cdot 0) - (B \cdot A))
     by (rule MMI_subdi)
   from S8 S13 S17 have
     S18: ( ( (- A) ) \cdot B ) = ( ( B \cdot 0 ) - ( B \cdot A ) ) by (rule MMI_3eqtr)
   have S19: ( - ( A \cdot B ) ) = ( 0 - ( A \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_df_neg)
   from S6 S18 S19 show ( ( (- A) ) \cdot B ) = ( - ( A \cdot B ) )
     by (rule MMI_3eqtr4)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulneg2: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ( A \cdot ( (-B) ) ) =
 ( - ( A · B ) )
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S2 have S3: ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negcl)
   from S1 S3 have S4: ( A \cdot ( (- B) ) ) =
 ( ( (-B) ) · A ) by (rule MMI_mulcom)
   from A2 have S5: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S6: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S5 S6 have S7: ((-B)) \cdot A) =
 ( - ( B · A ) ) by (rule MMI_mulneg1)
   from A2 have S8: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S9: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S8 S9 have S10: (B \cdot A) = (A \cdot B) by (rule MMI_mulcom)
   from S10 have S11: ( - ( B \cdot A ) ) =
 ( - ( A \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_negeqi)
   from S4 S7 S11 show ( A \cdot ( (- B) ) ) =
 (-(A \cdot B)) by (rule MMI_3eqtr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mul2neg: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ( ( (-A) ) · ( (-B) ) =
 ( A · B )
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S2 have S3: ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negcl)
   from S1 S3 have S4: ( ( (- A) ) · ( (- B) ) ) =
 ( - ( A · ( (- B) ) ) ) by (rule MMI_mulneg1)
   from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S3 have S6: ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{C} .
```

```
from S5 S6 have S7: ( A \cdot ( (- B) ) ) =
 ( ( (- B) ) \cdot A ) by (rule MMI_mulcom)
   from A2 have S8: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S9: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S8 S9 have S10: ((-B)) \cdot A) =
 ( - ( B · A ) ) by (rule MMI_mulneg1)
   from S7 S10 have S11: ( A \cdot ( (-B) ) ) =
 ( - ( B · A ) ) by (rule MMI_eqtr)
   from S11 have S12: ( - ( A \cdot ( (- B) ) ) =
 (-(-(B \cdot A))) by (rule MMI_negeqi)
   from A2 have S13: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S14: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S13 S14 have S15: ( B \cdot A ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_mulcl)
   from S15 have S16: ( - ( - ( B \cdot A ) ) =
 (B · A) by (rule MMI_negneg)
   from S4 S12 S16 have S17: (((-A)) \cdot ((-B))) =
 (B \cdot A) by (rule MMI_3eqtr)
   from A2 have S18: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S19: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S18 S19 have S20: (B \cdot A) = (A \cdot B) by (rule MMI_mulcom)
   from S17 S20 show ( ( (- A) ) \cdot ( (- B) ) ) =
 ( A \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_eqtr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negdi: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ( - ( A + B ) ) =
 (((A)) + ((B)))
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 S2 have S3: ( A + B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_addcl)
   from S3 have S4: ( 1 \cdot (A + B) ) =
 ( A + B ) by (rule MMI_mulid2)
   from S4 have S5: (-(1 \cdot (A + B))) =
 ( - ( A + B ) ) by (rule MMI_negeqi)
   have S6: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
   from S6 have S7: ( - 1 ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negcl)
   from A1 have S8: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S9: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S7 S8 S9 have S10: ((-1) \cdot (A + B)) =
 (((-1)\cdot A)+((-1)\cdot B)) by (rule MMI_adddi)
   have S11: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
   from S3 have S12: ( A + B ) \in \mathbb{C} .
   from S11 S12 have S13: ( (-1) \cdot (A+B) ) =
 (-(1 \cdot (A + B))) by (rule MMI_mulneg1)
   have S14: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
   from A1 have S15: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S14 S15 have S16: ((-1) \cdot A) =
```

```
(-(1 \cdot A)) by (rule MMI_mulneg1)
   from A1 have S17: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S17 have S18: ( 1 \cdot A ) = A by (rule MMI_mulid2)
   from S18 have S19: ( - ( 1 \cdot A ) ) = ( ( - A ) ) by (rule MMI_negeqi)
   from S16 S19 have S20: ( (-1) \cdot A ) = ( (-A) ) by (rule MMI_eqtr)
   have S21: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
   from A2 have S22: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S21 S22 have S23: ((-1) \cdot B) =
 ( - ( 1 \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_mulneg1)
   from A2 have S24: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S24 have S25: (1 \cdot B) = B by (rule MMI_mulid2)
   from S25 have S26: (-(1 \cdot B)) = ((-B)) by (rule MMI_negeqi)
   from S23 S26 have S27: ((-1) \cdot B) = ((-B)) by (rule MMI_eqtr)
   from S20 S27 have S28: ( ( ( -1 ) \cdot A ) + ( -1 ) \cdot B ) ) =
 ( ( (- A) ) + ( (- B) ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq12i)
   from S10 S13 S28 have S29: ( - ( 1 \cdot ( A + B ) ) ) =
 ( ( (- A) ) + ( (- B) ) ) by (rule MMI_3eqtr3)
   from S5 S29 show ( - ( A + B ) ) =
 ( ( (- A) ) + ( (- B) ) ) by (rule MMI_eqtr3)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negsubdi: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ( - ( A - B ) ) =
 ( ( ( - A) ) + B )
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S2 have S3: ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negcl)
   from S1 S3 have S4: ( - ( A + ( (- B) ) ) ) =
 ( ( (- A) ) + ( - ( (- B) ) ) by (rule MMI_negdi)
   from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S6: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S5 S6 have S7: ( A + ( (- B) ) ) = ( A - B ) by (rule MMI_negsub)
   from S7 have S8: ( - ( A + ( (- B) ) ) ) =
 ( - ( A - B ) ) by (rule MMI_negeqi)
   from A2 have S9: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S9 have S10: ( - ( (- B) ) ) = B by (rule MMI_negneg)
   from S10 have S11: ( ( (- A) ) + ( - ( (- B) ) ) ) =
 ( ( (- A) ) + B ) by (rule MMI_opreq2i)
   from S4 S8 S11 show ( - ( A - B ) ) =
 ( ( (- A) ) + B ) by (rule MMI_3eqtr3)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negsubdi2: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ( - ( A - B ) ) = ( B - A )
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
```

```
from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( - ( A - B ) ) =
 ( ( (- A) ) + B ) by (rule MMI_negsubdi)
    from A1 have S4: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S4 have S5: ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negcl)
    from A2 have S6: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S5 S6 have S7: ( ( (-A) ) + B ) =
 (B+((-A))) by (rule MMI_addcom)
    from A2 have S8: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A1 have S9: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S8 S9 have S10: ( B + ( (- A) ) ) = ( B - A ) by (rule MMI_negsub)
    from S3 S7 S10 show ( - ( A - B ) ) = ( B - A ) by (rule MMI_3eqtr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulneg1t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( - A) ) \cdot B ) =
 ( - ( A · B ) )
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( (- A) ) =
 ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_negeq)
    from S1 have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( - A) ) \cdot B ) =
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
   have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot B) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
   from S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A \cdot B ) ) =
 ( - ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_negeqd)
    from S2 S4 have S5: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((((A)) \cdot B) =
 ( - ( A \cdot B ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) ) \cdot B ) =
 ( - ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    have S6: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 0 ) ) \cdot B ) =
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
   have S7: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
```

```
from S7 have S8: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) ) =
 ( - ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) \cdot if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , \mathtt{0} ) ) by (rule <code>MMI_negeqd</code>)
    from S6 S8 have S9: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot B ) =
 ( - ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 ( - ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    have S10: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S10 have S11: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S12: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S12 have S13: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S11 S13 have S14: ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C
, B , 0 ) =
 ( - ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_mulneg1)
    from S5 S9 S14 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( - A) ) \cdot B ) =
 ( - ( A · B ) ) by (rule MMI_dedth2h)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulneg2t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (-B)) =
 ( - ( A · B ) )
proof -
    have S1: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( - B) ) \cdot A ) =
 ( - ( B \cdot A ) ) by (rule MMI_mulneg1t)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( - B) ) \cdot A ) =
 ( - ( B \cdot A ) ) by (rule MMI_ancoms)
    have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (-B)) =
 (((B)) \cdot A) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    have S4: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
    from S3 S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (-B)) =
 ( ( (- B) ) \cdot A ) by (rule MMI_sylan2)
    have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot B) = (B \cdot A) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A \cdot B ) ) =
 ( - ( B \cdot A ) ) by (rule MMI_negeqd)
    from S2 S5 S7 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (-B)) =
 ( - ( A \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_3eqtr4d)
qed
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulneg12t:
    \mathbf{shows} \ \ (\ \mathtt{A} \,\in\, \mathbb{C} \ \land\, \mathtt{B} \,\in\, \mathbb{C} \ ) \,\longrightarrow\,
 ( ( ( - A) ) \cdot B ) =
 ( A · ( (- B) ) )
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (((A)) \cdot B) =
 ( - ( A \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_mulneg1t)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (-B)) =
 (-(A·B)) by (rule MMI_mulneg2t)
    from S1 S2 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (((A)) \cdot B) =
 ( A \cdot ( (- B) ) ) by (rule MMI_eqtr4d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mul2negt:
    shows ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \land \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( - A) ) \cdot ( - B) ) =
 (A \cdot B)
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A)) =
 ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_negeq)
    from S1 have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( - A) ) \cdot ( - B) ) =
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) ) \cdot ( (- B) ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot B) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) \mathbf b \mathbf y (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S2 S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ((((A)) \cdot ((A)) \cdot ((A))) =
 (A \cdot B) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot ( (- B) ) ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    have S5: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( (- B) ) =
 ( - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_negeq)
    from S5 have S6: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot ( (- B) ) ) =
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot ( - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule
MMI_opreq2d)
```

```
have S7: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) =
 ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) \cdot if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , \mathtt{0} ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S6 S7 have S8: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot ( (- B) ) ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot ( - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    have S9: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S9 have S10: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S11: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S11 have S12: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S10 S12 have S13: ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) ) \cdot ( - if ( B \in
\mathbb{C} , B , \mathbb{O} ) ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_mul2neg)
    from S4 S8 S13 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( - A) ) \cdot ( - B) ) =
 ( A \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_dedth2h)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negdit:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A + B ) ) =
 (((A)) + ((B)))
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (A + B) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) + B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S1 have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A + B ) ) =
 ( - ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + B ) ) by (rule MMI_negeqd)
    have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( (- A) ) =
 ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_negeq)
    from S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (((-A))+((-B)))=
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) ) + ( (- B) ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    from S2 S4 have S5: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( - ( A + B ) ) =
 ( ( (- A) ) + ( (- B) ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( - ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + B ) ) =
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) + ( (- B) ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
```

```
have S6: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( {\tt A} \in \mathbb{C} , {\tt A} , {\tt O} ) + B ) =
 ( if ( \texttt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \texttt{A} , \texttt{0} ) + if ( \texttt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \texttt{B} , \texttt{0} ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S6 have S7: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + B ) ) =
 ( - ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) ) by (rule MMI_negeqd)
    have S8: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( (- B) ) =
 ( - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_negeq)
    from S8 have S9: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) + ( (- B) ) ) =
 ( ( - if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) ) + ( - if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , \mathtt{0} ) ) by (rule
MMI_opreq2d)
    from S7 S9 have S10: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( - ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 0 ) + B ) ) =
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) + ( (- B) ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( - ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 ( ( - if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) ) + ( - if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , \mathtt{0} ) ) ) by (rule
MMI_eqeq12d)
    have S11: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S11 have S12: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S13: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S13 have S14: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S12 S14 have S15: ( - ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb{C} ,
B , 0 ) ) =
 ( ( - if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) + ( - if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule
MMI_negdi)
    from S5 S10 S15 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A + B ) ) =
 (((A)) + ((B))) by (rule MMI_dedth2h)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negdi2t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A + B ) ) = ( ( ( - A) ) - B )
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A + B ) ) =
 ( ( (- A) ) + ( (- B) ) ) by (rule MMI_negdit)
    have S2: ( ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
  (((A)) + ((B)) =
 ( ( (- A) ) - B ) by (rule MMI_negsubt)
```

```
have S3: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
   from S2 S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (((A)) + ((B)) =
 ( ( (- A) ) - B ) by (rule MMI_sylan)
   from S1 S4 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A + B ) ) = ( ( ( - A) ) - B )
 by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negsubdit:
   shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (-(A-B)) = ((-A)) + B)
proof -
   have S1: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A + ( (- B) ) ) ) =
 ( ( ( - A) ) + ( - ( (- B) ) ) ) by (rule MMI_negdit)
   have S2: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
   from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A + ( (- B) ) ) ) =
 ( ( (- A) ) + ( - ( (- B) ) ) by (rule MMI_sylan2)
   have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A + ((-B))) = (A - B) by (rule MMI_negsubt)
   from S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A + ( (- B) ) ) ) =
 ( - ( A - B ) ) by (rule MMI_negeqd)
   have S6: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( - ( (- B) ) ) = B by (rule MMI_negnegt)
   from S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( - ( (- B) ) ) = B
      by (rule MMI_adantl)
   from S7 have S8: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (((A)) + (-(A)) =
 ( ( (- A) ) + B ) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
   from S3 S5 S8 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A - B ) ) = ( ( ( - A) ) + B )
 by (rule MMI_3eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_negsubdi2t:
   shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A - B ) ) = ( B - A )
proof -
   have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (-(A-B)) = ((-A)) + B) by (rule MMI_negsubdit)
   have S2: ( ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (((-A)) + B) = (B + ((-A))) by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
   have S3: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( (- A) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
   from S2 S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (((A)) + B) = (B + ((A))) by (rule MMI_sylan)
   have S5: ( B\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,A\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\, ) \,\longrightarrow\,
 (B + ((-A))) = (B - A) by (rule MMI_negsubt)
```

```
from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( B + ( (- A) ) ) = ( B - A ) by (rule MMI_ancoms)
    from S1 S4 S6 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A - B ) ) = ( B - A )
 by (rule MMI_3eqtrd)
ged
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subsub2t:
    shows ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A - (B - C)) = (A + (C - B))
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( B - \mathbb{C} ) \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A + (-(B - C))) =
 ( A - ( B - C ) ) by (rule MMI_negsubt)
    have S2: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B - C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_subclt)
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
 (A + (-(B - C))) =
 (A - (B - C)) by (rule MMI_sylan2)
    from S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A + (-(B - C))) =
 (A - (B - C)) by (rule MMI_3impb)
    have S5: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (-(B-C)) = (C-B) by (rule MMI_negsubdi2t)
    from S5 have S6: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A + (-(B - C))) =
 ( A + ( C - B ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    from S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A + (-(B - C))) =
 (A + (C - B)) by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S4 S7 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A - (B - C)) = (A + (C - B))
 by (rule MMI_eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subsubt:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A - (B - C)) = ((A - B) + C)
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A - (B - C)) = (A + (C - B)) by (rule MMI_subsub2t)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + C) - B) = (A + (C - B)) by (rule MMI_addsubasst)
    have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + C) - B) = ((A - B) + C) by (rule MMI_addsubt)
    from S2 S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A + (C - B)) = ((A - B) + C) by (rule MMI_eqtr3d)
    from S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A + (C - B)) = ((A - B) + C) by (rule MMI_3com23)
    from S1 S5 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
```

```
(A - (B - C)) = ((A - B) + C)
 by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subsub3t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A - (B - C)) = ((A + C) - B)
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A - (B - C)) = (A + (C - B)) by (rule MMI_subsub2t)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + C) - B) = (A + (C - B)) by (rule MMI_addsubasst)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + C) - B) = (A + (C - B)) by (rule MMI_3com23)
    from S1 S3 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A - (B - C)) = ((A + C) - B)
 by (rule MMI_eqtr4d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_subsub4t:
    shows ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) - C) = (A - (B + C))
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge ( - \mathbb C ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A - (B - (-C))) =
 ((A - B) + (-C)) by (rule MMI_subsubt)
    have S2: C \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( - C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A - (B - (-C))) =
 ((A - B) + (-C)) by (rule MMI_syl3an3)
    have S4: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (B - (-C)) = (B + C) by (rule MMI_subnegt)
    from S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (B - (-C)) = (B + C) by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A - (B - (-C))) =
 ( A - (B + C) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    have S7: ( ( A - B ) \in \mathbb{C} \land \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) + (-C)) =
 ( ( A - B ) - C ) by (rule MMI_negsubt)
    have S8: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A - B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_subclt)
    from S7 S8 have S9: ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) + (-C)) =
 ( ( A - B ) - C ) by (rule MMI_sylan)
    from S9 have S10: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) + (-C)) =
 ((A - B) - C) by (rule MMI_3impa)
    from S3 S6 S10 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) - C) = (A - (B + C))
```

```
by (rule MMI_3eqtr3rd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_sub23t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) - C) = ((A - C) - B)
proof -
    have S1: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (B + C) = (C + B) by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (B + C) = (C + B) by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A - (B + C)) = (A - (C + B)) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) - C) = (A - (B + C)) by (rule MMI_subsub4t)
    have S5: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - C) - B) = (A - (C + B)) by (rule MMI_subsub4t)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - C) - B) = (A - (C + B)) by (rule MMI_3com23)
    from S3 S4 S6 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) - C) = ((A - C) - B)
 by (rule MMI_3eqtr4d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_nnncant:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - (B - C)) - C) = (A - B)
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B - \mathbb C ) \in \mathbb C \wedge \mathbb C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - (B - C)) - C) =
 ( A - ((B - C) + C)) by (rule MMI_subsub4t)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_3simp1)
    have S3: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B \neg C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_subclt)
    from S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( B - C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    have S5: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow C \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3simp3)
    from S1 S2 S4 S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - (B - C)) - C) =
 (A - ((B - C) + C)) by (rule MMI_syl3anc)
    have S7: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((B - C) + C) = B by (rule MMI_npcant)
    from S7 have S8: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A - ((B - C) + C)) = (A - B) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    from S8 have S9: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A - ((B - C) + C)) = (A - B) by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S6 S9 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - (B - C)) - C) = (A - B)
 by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_nnncan1t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) - (A - C)) = (C - B)
proof -
    have S1: ( ( A - B ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( A - C ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) + (-(A - C)) =
 ((A - B) - (A - C)) by (rule MMI_negsubt)
    have S2: ( ( A - B ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( - ( A - C ) ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) + (-(A - C))) =
 ( ( - ( A - C ) ) + ( A - B ) ) by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
    have S3: ( A - C ) \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( - ( A - C ) ) \in \mathbb{C}
      by (rule MMI_negclt)
    from S2 S3 have S4: ( ( A - B ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( A - C ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) + (-(A - C))) =
 ((-(A-C))+(A-B)) by (rule MMI_sylan2)
    from S1 S4 have S5: ( ( A - B ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( A - C ) \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) - (A - C)) =
 ((-(A-C))+(A-B)) by (rule MMI_eqtr3d)
    have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A - B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_subclt)
    from S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( A - B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    have S8: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A - C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_subclt)
    from S8 have S9: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( A - C ) \in C by (rule MMI_3adant2)
    from S5 S7 S9 have S10: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) - (A - C)) =
 ((-(A-C))+(A-B)) by (rule MMI_sylanc)
    have S11: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (-(A-C)) = (C-A) by (rule MMI_negsubdi2t)
    from S11 have S12: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A - C ) ) = ( C - A ) by (rule MMI_3adant2)
    from S12 have S13: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( - ( A - C ) ) + ( A - B ) ) =
 ((C - A) + (A - B)) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    have S14: ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} \land \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \land \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((C - A) + (A - B)) = (C - B) by (rule MMI_npncant)
    from S14 have S15: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((C - A) + (A - B)) = (C - B) by (rule MMI_3coml)
    from S10 S13 S15 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) - (A - C)) = (C - B)
 by (rule MMI_3eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_nnncan2t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - C) - (B - C)) = (A - B)
```

```
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B - C ) \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - (B - C)) - C) =
 ((A - C) - (B - C)) by (rule MMI_sub23t)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3simp1)
    have S3: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B - C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_subclt)
    from S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (B-C) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow C \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_3simp3)
    from S1 S2 S4 S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ B \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - (B - C)) - C) =
 ((A-C)-(B-C)) by (rule MMI_syl3anc)
    have S7: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - (B - C)) - C) = (A - B) by (rule MMI_nnncant)
    from S6 S7 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - C) - (B - C)) = (A - B) by (rule MMI_eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_nncant:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A - (A - B)) = B
proof -
    have S1: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge 0 \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - 0) - (A - B)) = (B - 0) by (rule MMI_nnncan1t)
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - 0) - (A - B)) = (B - 0) by (rule MMI_mp3an2)
    have S4: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A - 0 ) = A by (rule MMI_subid1t)
    from S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A - \mathbf 0 ) = A
       by (rule MMI_adantr)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - 0) - (A - B)) =
 ( A - ( A - B ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    have S7: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( B - \mathbf{0} ) = B by (rule MMI_subid1t)
    from S7 have S8: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B - \mathbf 0 ) = B
       by (rule MMI_adantl)
    from S3 S6 S8 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A - (A - B)) = B by (rule MMI_3eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_nppcan2t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - (B + C)) + C) = (A - B)
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B + C ) \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A - ((B + C) - C)) =
 ((A - (B + C)) + C) by (rule MMI_subsubt)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_3simp1)
    have S3: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B + C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
```

```
from S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( B + C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow C \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_3simp3)
    from S1 S2 S4 S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A - ((B + C) - C)) =
 ( ( A - (B + C) ) + C ) by (rule MMI_syl3anc)
    have S7: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((B + C) - C) = B by (rule MMI_pncant)
    from S7 have S8: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((B + C) - C) = B by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S8 have S9: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A - ((B + C) - C)) = (A - B) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    from S6 S9 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - (B + C)) + C) = (A - B) by (rule MMI_eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulm1t:
    shows A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( - 1 ) \cdot A ) = ( (- A) )
    have S1: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    have S2: ( 1\in\mathbb{C} \wedge A \in\mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((-1)\cdot A) = (-(1\cdot A)) by (rule MMI_mulneg1t)
    from S1 S2 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
 ( ( - 1 ) \cdot A ) = ( - ( 1 \cdot A ) ) by (rule MMI_mpan)
    have S4: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( 1 \cdot A ) = A by (rule MMI_mulid2t)
    from S4 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( - ( 1 \cdot A ) ) = ( (- A) )
      by (rule MMI_negeqd)
    from S3 S5 show A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( - 1 ) \cdot A ) = ( (- A) )
      by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulm1: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ((-1) \cdot A) = ((-A))
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S2: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( - 1 ) \cdot A ) = ( (- A) ) by (rule MMI_mulm1t)
    from S1 S2 show ( (-1) \cdot A ) = ((-A)) by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_sub4t:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (C + D)) =
 ((A - C) + (B - D))
proof -
    have S1: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( C + D ) ) =
 ( ( - C ) + ( - D ) ) by (rule MMI_negdit)
    from S1 have S2: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
```

```
( - ( C + D ) ) =
( ( - C ) + ( - D ) ) by (rule MMI_adantl)
  from S2 have S3: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
((A + B) + (-(C + D))) =
( ( A + B ) + ( ( - C ) + ( - D ) ) )
     by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
  have S4:
     ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \wedge ( ( - C ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( - D ) \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
((A + B) + ((-C) + (-D))) =
((A + (-C)) + (B + (-D))) by (rule MMI_add4t)
  have S5: C \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (-C) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
  have S6: D \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( - D ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
  from S5 S6 have S7: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
( ( - C ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( - D ) \in \mathbb{C} ) by (rule MMI_anim12i)
  from S4 S7 have S8: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) )
((A + B) + ((-C) + (-D))) =
( ( A + ( - C ) ) + ( B + ( - D ) ) ) by (rule MMI_sylan2)
  from S3 S8 have S9: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) )
((A + B) + (-(C + D))) =
((A + (-C)) + (B + (-D))) by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
  have S10: ( ( A + B ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( C + D ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
((A + B) + (-(C + D))) =
((A + B) - (C + D)) by (rule MMI_negsubt)
  have S11: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A + B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
  have S12: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( C + D ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
  from S10 S11 S12 have S13:
     ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
((A + B) + (-(C + D))) =
( ( A + B ) - ( C + D ) ) by (rule MMI_syl2an)
  have S14: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
(A + (-C)) = (A - C) by (rule MMI_negsubt)
  from S14 have S15: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
(A + (-C)) = (A - C) by (rule MMI_ad2ant2r)
  have S16: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
(B + (-D)) = (B - D) by (rule MMI_negsubt)
  from S16 have S17: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
(B + (-D)) = (B - D) by (rule MMI_ad2ant21)
  from S15 S17 have S18: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C )
((A + (-C)) + (B + (-D))) =
( ( A - C ) + ( B - D ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
  from S9 S13 S18 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) )
((A + B) - (C + D)) =
```

```
((A - C) + (B - D)) by (rule MMI_3eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_sub4: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C} and
     A4: D \in \mathbb{C}
   shows ((A + B) - (C + D)) =
 ( ( A - C ) + ( B - D ) )
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 S2 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_pm3_2i)
   from A3 have S4: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A4 have S5: D \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S4 S5 have S6: C \in \mathbb{C} \land D \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_pm3_2i)
   have S7: ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \land ( C \in \mathbb{C} \land D \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (C + D)) =
 ( ( A - C ) + ( B - D ) ) by (rule MMI_sub4t)
   from S3 S6 S7 show ( (A + B) - (C + D) ) =
 ((A - C) + (B - D)) by (rule MMI_mp2an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulsubt:
   shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) \cdot (C - D)) =
 (((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) - ((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B)))
proof -
   have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A + ((-B))) = (A - B) by (rule MMI_negsubt)
   have S2: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (C + (-D)) = (C - D) by (rule MMI_negsubt)
   from S1 S2 have S3: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) )
 ((A + ((-B))) \cdot (C + (-D))) =
 ((A - B) \cdot (C - D)) by (rule MMI_opregan12d)
   have S4: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge ( - D ) \in \mathbb C )
 ((A + ((-B))) \cdot (C + (-D))) =
 (((A \cdot C) + ((-D) \cdot ((-B)))) + ((A \cdot (-D)) + (C \cdot
( (- B) ) ) ) by (rule MMI_muladdt)
   have S5: D \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( - D ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
   from S4 S5 have S6: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D
\in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + ((-B))) \cdot (C + (-D))) =
 ( ( ( A · C ) + ( ( - D ) · ( (- B) ) ) ) +
      ( ( A \cdot ( - D ) ) + ( C \cdot ( (- B) ) ) ) by (rule MMI_sylanr2)
   have S7: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( (- B) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
   from S6 S7 have S8: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) )
```

```
((A + ((-B))) \cdot (C + (-D))) =
 ( ( ( A · C ) + ( ( - D ) · ( (- B) ) ) )
      + ( ( A · ( - D ) ) + ( C · ( (- B) ) ) )
      by (rule MMI_sylan12)
    have S9: ( D \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((D \cdot D) \cdot ((D \cdot B))) = (D \cdot B) by (rule MMI_mul2negt)
    from S9 have S10: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \land D \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((D \cdot D) \cdot ((D \cdot B))) = (D \cdot B) by (rule MMI_ancoms)
    from S10 have S11: ( B\in\mathbb{C}\ \wedge\ D\in\mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot C) + ((-D) \cdot ((-B)))) =
 ((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    from S11 have S12: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot C) + ((-D) \cdot ((-B)))) =
 ((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) by (rule MMI_ad2ant21)
    have S13: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (-D)) = (-(A \cdot D)) by (rule MMI_mulneg2t)
    have S14: ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} \wedge \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (C \cdot ((-B))) = (-(C \cdot B)) by (rule MMI_mulneg2t)
    from S13 S14 have S15: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C )
\longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot (-D)) + (C \cdot ((-B))) =
 ( ( - ( A \cdot D ) ) + ( - ( C \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_opreqan12d)
    have S16: ( ( A \cdot D ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( C \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( ( A \cdot D ) + ( C \cdot B ) ) ) =
 ((-(A \cdot D)) + (-(C \cdot B))) by (rule MMI_negdit)
    have S17: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land D \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot D ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
    have S18: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( C \cdot B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
    from $16 $17 $18 have $19:
       ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land D \in \mathbb{C} ) \land ( C \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( ( A \cdot D ) + ( C \cdot B ) ) ) =
 ( ( - ( A \cdot D ) ) + ( - ( C \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_syl2an)
   from S15 S19 have S20: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C )
) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot (-D)) + (C \cdot ((-B))) =
 (-((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B))) by (rule MMI_eqtr4d)
    from S20 have S21: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot (-D)) + (C \cdot ((-B))) =
 (-((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B))) by (rule MMI_ancom2s)
    from S21 have S22: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot (-D)) + (C \cdot (-B))) =
 ( - ( ( A \cdot D ) + ( C \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_an42s)
   from S12 S22 have S23: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C )
 ( ( ( A · C ) + ( ( - D ) · ( (- B) ) ) ) +
       ((A \cdot (-D)) + (C \cdot ((-B)))) =
```

```
(((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) + (-((A \cdot D) +
      ( C \cdot B ) ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
  have S24: ( ( ( A \cdot C ) + ( D \cdot B ) ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( ( A \cdot D ) +
      ( C \cdot B ) ) \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
(((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) + (-((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B))) =
(((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) - ((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B)))
     by (rule MMI_negsubt)
  have S25: ( ( A \cdot C ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( D \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
( ( A \cdot C ) + ( D \cdot B ) ) \in \mathbb C \mathbf{by} (rule MMI_axaddcl)
  have S26: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
  have S27: ( D \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( D \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
  from S27 have S28: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( D \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C
     by (rule MMI_ancoms)
  from S25 S26 S28 have S29:
      ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_syl2an)
  from S29 have S30: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
( ( A \cdot C ) + ( D \cdot B ) ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_an4s)
  have S31: ( ( A \cdot D ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( C \cdot B ) \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
( ( A \cdot D ) + ( C \cdot B ) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
  from S17 have S32: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot D ) \in \mathbb C .
  from S18 have S33: ( \mathtt{C}\in\mathbb{C}\ \wedge\ \mathtt{B}\in\mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( \mathtt{C}\cdot\mathtt{B} ) \in\mathbb{C} .
  from S33 have S34: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( C \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C
     by (rule MMI_ancoms)
  from S31 S32 S34 have S35:
      ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land D \in \mathbb{C} ) \land ( B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
( ( A \cdot D ) + ( C \cdot B ) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_syl2an)
  from S35 have S36: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
( ( A \cdot D ) + ( C \cdot B ) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_an42s)
  from S24 S30 S36 have S37:
      ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge D \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
(((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) + (-((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B)))) =
(((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) - ((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B)))
     by (rule MMI_sylanc)
  from S8 S23 S37 have S38: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C
((A + ((-B))) \cdot (C + (-D))) =
(((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) - ((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B)))
     by (rule MMI_3eqtrd)
  from S3 S38 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge D \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
((A - B) \cdot (C - D)) =
(((A \cdot C) + (D \cdot B)) - ((A \cdot D) + (C \cdot B)))
     by (rule MMI_eqtr3d)
```

lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_pnpcant:

```
shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (A + C)) = (B - C)
proof -
    have S1: ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \land ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (A + C)) =
 ( ( A - A ) + ( B - C ) ) by (rule MMI_sub4t)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (A + C)) =
 ( ( A - A ) + ( B - C ) ) {
m by} (rule MMI_anandis)
    have S3: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A - A ) = 0 by (rule MMI_subidt)
    from S3 have S4: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
 ((A - A) + (B - C)) =
 ( 0 + (B - C) ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    have S5: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( B - C ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_subclt)
    have S6: ( B - C ) \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
 (0 + (B - C)) = (B - C) by (rule MMI_addid2t)
    from S5 S6 have S7: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (0 + (B - C)) = (B - C) by (rule MMI_syl)
    from S4 S7 have S8: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land (B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - A) + (B - C)) = (B - C) by (rule MMI_sylan9eq)
    from S2 S8 have S9: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (A + C)) = (B - C) by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
    from S9 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (A + C)) = (B - C) by (rule MMI_3impb)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_pnpcan2t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + C) - (B + C)) = (A - B)
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A + C) = (C + A) by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A + C) = (C + A) by (rule MMI_3adant2)
    have S3: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (B + C) = (C + B) by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
    from S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (B + C) = (C + B) by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S2 S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + C) - (B + C)) =
 ((C + A) - (C + B)) by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
    have S6: ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} \land \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \land \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((C + A) - (C + B)) = (A - B) by (rule MMI_pnpcant)
    from S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((C + A) - (C + B)) = (A - B) by (rule MMI_3coml)
    from S5 S7 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + C) - (B + C)) = (A - B) by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_pnncant:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (A - C)) = (B + C)
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge ( - \mathbb C ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (A + (-C))) =
 ( B - ( - C ) ) by (rule MMI_pnpcant)
    have S2: C \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( - C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (A + (-C))) =
 ( B - ( - C ) ) by (rule MMI_syl3an3)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A + (-C)) = (A - C) by (rule MMI_negsubt)
    from S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A + (-C)) = (A - C) by (rule MMI_3adant2)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (A + (-C))) =
 ((A + B) - (A - C)) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    have S7: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (B - (-C)) = (B + C) by (rule MMI_subnegt)
    from S7 have S8: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (B - (-C)) = (B + C) by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S3 S6 S8 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (A - C)) = (B + C) by (rule MMI_3eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_ppncant:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) + (C - B)) = (A + C)
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A + B) = (B + A) by (rule MMI_axaddcom)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A + B) = (B + A) by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (B - C)) =
 ( ( B + A ) - ( B - C ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    have S4: ( ( A + B ) \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ B \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (B - C)) =
 ((A + B) + (C - B)) by (rule MMI_subsub2t)
    have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A + B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axaddcl)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( A + B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow B \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_3simp2)
    have S8: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow C \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_3simp3)
    from S4 S6 S7 S8 have S9: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (B - C)) =
 ((A + B) + (C - B)) by (rule MMI_syl3anc)
```

```
have S10: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((B + A) - (B - C)) = (A + C) by (rule MMI_pnncant)
    from S10 have S11: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((B + A) - (B - C)) = (A + C) by (rule MMI_3com12)
    from S3 S9 S11 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) + (C - B)) = (A + C) by (rule MMI_3eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_pnncan: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ((A + B) - (A - C)) = (B + C)
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) - (A - C)) = (B + C) by (rule MMI_pnncant)
    from S1 S2 S3 S4 show ( ( A + B ) - ( A - C ) ) = ( B + C ) by (rule
MMI_mp3an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulcan: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb C and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C} and
     A4: A \neq 0
    shows ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A4 have S2: A \neq 0.
    from S1 S2 have S3: \exists x \in \mathbb C . ( A \cdot x ) = 1 by (rule MMI_recex)
    from A1 have S4: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S5: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    { fix x
       have S6: ( \mathtt{x} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
          ((x \cdot A) \cdot B) = (x \cdot (A \cdot B)) by (rule MMI_axmulass)
       from S5 S6 have S7: ( x \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ A \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
          ( ( x \cdot A ) \cdot B ) = ( x \cdot (A \cdot B) ) by (rule MMI_mp3an3)
       from A3 have S8: C \in \mathbb{C}.
       have S9: ( x \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ A \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
          ( ( x \cdot A ) \cdot C ) = ( x \cdot (A \cdot C) ) by (rule MMI_axmulass)
       from S8 S9 have S10: ( x \in \mathbb{C} \land A \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
          ((x \cdot A) \cdot C) = (x \cdot (A \cdot C)) by (rule MMI_mp3an3)
       from S7 S10 have S11: ( x \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ A \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
          (((x \cdot A) \cdot B) =
          ( ( x \cdot A ) \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow
          (x \cdot (A \cdot B)) =
          ( x \cdot (A \cdot C) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
       from S4 S11 have S12: x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
```

```
(((x \cdot A) \cdot B) =
   ( ( x \cdot A ) \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow
   (x \cdot (A \cdot B)) =
   (x \cdot (A \cdot C)) by (rule MMI_mpan2)
have S13:
   (A \cdot B) = (A \cdot C) \longrightarrow
   (x \cdot (A \cdot B)) = (x \cdot (A \cdot C)) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
from S12 S13 have S14: x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
   ( ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) \longrightarrow ( ( x \cdot A ) \cdot B ) =
   ( ( x \cdot A ) \cdot C ) ) by (rule MMI_syl5bir)
from S14 have S15:
   (x \in \mathbb{C} \land (A \cdot x) = 1) \longrightarrow ((A \cdot B) =
   (A \cdot C) \longrightarrow ((x \cdot A) \cdot B) =
   ( ( x \cdot A ) \cdot C ) ) by (rule MMI_adantr)
from A1 have S16: A \in \mathbb{C}.
have S17: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land x \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
   (A \cdot x) = (x \cdot A) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
from S16 S17 have S18: x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (A \cdot x) = (x \cdot A)
  by (rule MMI_mpan)
from S18 have S19: x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
   ( ( A \cdot x ) = 1 \longleftrightarrow ( x \cdot A ) = 1 ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
have S20: (x \cdot A) =
   1 \longrightarrow ( ( x \cdot A ) \cdot B ) = ( 1 \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
from A2 have S21: B \in \mathbb{C}.
from S21 have S22: (1 \cdot B) = B by (rule MMI_mulid2)
from S20 S22 have S23: ( x \cdot A ) = 1 \longrightarrow ( ( x \cdot A ) \cdot B ) = B
   by (rule MMI_syl6eq)
have S24: (x \cdot A) =
   1 \longrightarrow ( ( x \cdot A ) \cdot C ) = ( 1 \cdot C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
from A3 have S25: C \in \mathbb{C}.
from S25 have S26: (1 \cdot C) = C by (rule MMI_mulid2)
from S24 S26 have S27: ( x \cdot A ) = 1 \longrightarrow ( ( x \cdot A ) \cdot C ) = C
  by (rule MMI_syl6eq)
from S23 S27 have S28: ( x \cdot A ) = 1 \longrightarrow
   (((x \cdot A) \cdot B) =
   ( ( x \cdot A ) \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
from S19 S28 have S29: x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
   ( ( A \cdot x ) = 1 \longrightarrow
   (((x \cdot A) \cdot B) =
   ( ( x \cdot A ) \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C ) ) by (rule MMI_syl6bi)
from S29 have S30:
   ( x \in \mathbb{C} \land (A \cdot x) = 1 ) \longrightarrow
   (((x \cdot A) \cdot B) =
   ((x \cdot A) \cdot C) \longleftrightarrow B = C) by (rule MMI_imp)
from S15 S30 have S31:
   ( x \in \mathbb{C} \land (A \cdot x) = 1 ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) \longrightarrow B = C ) by (rule MMI_sylibd)
from S31 have x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
   ( ( A \cdot x ) = 1 \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) \longrightarrow B = C ) )
```

```
by (rule MMI_ex)
       } then have S32: \forall x. x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
          ( ( A \cdot x ) = 1 \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) \longrightarrow B = C ) )
       from S32 have S33: ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . ( A \cdot x ) = 1 ) \longrightarrow
          ( ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) \longrightarrow B = C ) by (rule MMI_r19_23aiv)
       from S3 S33 have S34: ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) \longrightarrow B = C
          by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
       have S35: B = C \longrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
       from S34 S35 show ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C by (rule MMI_impbi)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulcant2: assumes A1: A \neq 0
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( (A \cdot B) = (A \cdot C) \longleftrightarrow B = C)
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot B) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot C) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S1 S2 have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) =
 ( A \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot B ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot \mathbb C ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    from S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot B ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 1 ) \cdot \mathbf C ) \longleftrightarrow
 B = C ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi1d)
    have S5: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{1} ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 1 ) \cdot B ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S5 have S6: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 1 ) \cdot B ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot \mathbb C ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot \mathbb C ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    have S7: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( B = C \longleftrightarrow if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) = C ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1)
```

```
from S6 S7 have S8: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot B ) = ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow
B = C \rightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) = C ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    have S9: C =
 if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{1} ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 1 ) \cdot \mathbf C ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S9 have S10: C =
 if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{1} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 1 ) \cdot \mathbf C ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq2d)
    have S11: C =
 if ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{C} , \mathtt{1} ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , 1 ) =
 \texttt{C} \; \longleftrightarrow \;
 if ( {\tt B}\,\in\,{\mathbb C} , {\tt B} , 1 ) =
 if ( \texttt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \texttt{C} , \texttt{I} ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq2)
    from $10 $11 have $12: C =
 if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{1} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) ) = ( if ( A \in \mathbb C
, A , 1 ) \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , 1 ) = \mathtt{C} ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 1 ) \cdot if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 1 ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) =
 if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    have S13: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from S13 have S14: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S15: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from S15 have S16: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S17: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from S17 have S18: if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , 1 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S19: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A 
eq 0 \longleftrightarrow if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    have S20: 1 =
 if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{1} ) \longrightarrow
 ( 1 \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow if ( \texttt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \texttt{A} , \texttt{1} ) \neq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    from A1 have S21: A \neq 0.
    have S22: 1 \neq 0 by (rule MMI_ax1ne0)
    from S19 S20 S21 S22 have S23: if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 1 ) 
eq 0 by (rule
MMI_keephyp)
    from S14 S16 S18 S23 have S24: ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C
, B , 1 ) =
```

```
( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \cdot if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , 1 ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 1 ) =
 if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{1} ) by (rule MMI_mulcan)
    from S4 S8 S12 S24 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C ) by (rule MMI_dedth3h)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulcant:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge A \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( (A \cdot B) = (A \cdot C) \longleftrightarrow B = C)
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A \in \mathbb{C} \longleftrightarrow if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \in \mathbb{C} ) by (rule MMI_eleq1)
    have S2: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( B \in \mathbb{C} \longleftrightarrow B \in \mathbb{C} ) by (rule MMI_pm4_2i)
    have S3: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( C \in \mathbb{C} \longleftrightarrow C \in \mathbb{C} ) by (rule MMI_pm4_2i)
    from S1 S2 S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) ) by (rule MMI_3anbi123d)
    have S5: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot B) =
 ( if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    have S6: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot C) =
 ( if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S5 S6 have S7: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) =
 ( A \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot B ) =
 ( if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot C ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    from S7 have S8: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( if ( \mathtt{A} \neq \mathbf{0} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{1} ) \cdot \mathtt{B} ) =
 ( if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow
 B = C ) ) \mathbf{by} (rule MMI_bibi1d)
    from S4 S8 have S9: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow B =
C ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
```

```
( ( if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot B ) =
 ( if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow
 B = C ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi12d)
    have S10: if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \neq 0 by (rule MMI_elimne0)
    from S10 have S11: ( if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} )
 ( ( if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot B ) =
 ( if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C ) \mathbf{by} (rule MMI_mulcant2)
    from S9 S11 have S12: A \neq 0 \longrightarrow
  ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C ) ) by (rule MMI_dedth)
    from S12 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge A \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow B = C ) by (rule MMI_impcom)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulcan2t:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( (A \cdot C) = (B \cdot C) \longleftrightarrow A = B)
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot C) = (C \cdot A) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
  (A \cdot C) = (C \cdot A) by (rule MMI_3adant2)
    have S3: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( B \cdot C ) = ( C \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
  (B \cdot C) = (C \cdot B) by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S2 S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
  ((A \cdot C) =
 ( B \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow ( C \cdot A ) = ( C \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    from S5 have S6: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( (A \cdot C) =
 ( B \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow ( C \cdot A ) = ( C \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_adantr)
    have S7: ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( C \cdot A ) = ( C \cdot B ) \longleftrightarrow A = B ) by (rule MMI_mulcant)
    from S7 have S8: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \land A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( C \neq 0 \longrightarrow
  ((C \cdot A) = (C \cdot B) \longleftrightarrow A = B) by (rule MMI_ex)
    from S8 have S9: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( C \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( C \cdot A ) = ( C \cdot B ) \longleftrightarrow A = B ) ) by (rule MMI_3coml)
    from S9 have S10: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
  ((C \cdot A) = (C \cdot B) \longleftrightarrow A = B) by (rule MMI_imp)
    from S6 S10 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \cdot C ) = ( B \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow A = B ) by (rule MMI_bitrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mul0or: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
```

A2: B \in \mathbb{C}

```
shows (A · B) = 0 \longleftrightarrow (A = 0 \lor B = 0)
proof -
    have S1: A \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow \neg ( A = 0 ) by (rule MMI_df_ne)
    from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S3: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S4: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S2 S3 S4 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3pm3_2i)
    have S6: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge 0 \in \mathbb C ) \wedge A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot 0 ) \longleftrightarrow B = 0 ) by (rule MMI_mulcant)
    from S5 S6 have S7: A \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) = (A \cdot 0) \longleftrightarrow B = 0) by (rule MMI_mpan)
    from A1 have S8: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S8 have S9: ( A \cdot 0 ) = 0 by (rule MMI_mul01)
    from S9 have S10: ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot 0 ) \longleftrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) = 0 by (rule
MMI_eqeq2i)
    from S7 S10 have S11: A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow B = 0 ) by (rule
MMI_syl5bbr)
    from S11 have S12: A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) = 0 \longrightarrow B = 0 ) by (rule
MMI_biimpd)
    from S1 S12 have S13: \neg ( A =
 0 ) \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) = 0 \longrightarrow B = 0 ) by (rule MMI_sylbir)
    from S13 have S14: (A \cdot B) =
 0 \longrightarrow ( \neg ( A = 0 ) \longrightarrow B = 0 ) by (rule MMI_com12)
    from S14 have S15: ( A \cdot B ) = 0 \longrightarrow ( A = 0 \vee B = 0 ) by (rule MMI_orrd)
    have S16: A = 0 \longrightarrow (A \cdot B) = (0 \cdot B) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from A2 have S17: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S17 have S18: (0 \cdot B) = 0 by (rule MMI_mul02)
    from S16 S18 have S19: A = 0 \longrightarrow (A \cdot B) = 0 by (rule MMI_syl6eq)
    have S20: B = 0 \longrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot 0 ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S9 have S21: (A \cdot 0) = 0.
    from S20 S21 have S22: B = 0 \longrightarrow (A \cdot B) = 0 by (rule MMI_syl6eq)
    from S19 S22 have S23: ( A = 0 \lor B = 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) = 0 by (rule
MMI_jaoi)
    from S15 S23 show ( A \cdot B ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow ( A = 0 \lor B = 0 ) by (rule MMI_impbi)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_msq0: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( A \cdot A ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow A = 0
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \cdot A ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow ( A = 0 \vee A = 0 ) by (rule
MMI_mul0or)
    have S4: ( A = 0 \lor A = 0 ) \longleftrightarrow A = 0 by (rule MMI_oridm)
    from S3 S4 show ( A \cdot A ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow A = 0 by (rule MMI_bitr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mul0ort:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
```

```
( (A \cdot B) = 0 \longleftrightarrow (A = 0 \lor B = 0))
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot B) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S1 have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) =
 0 \longleftrightarrow ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \cdot B ) = 0 ) by (rule MMI_eqq1d)
    have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( A = 0 \longleftrightarrow if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) = \mathbf 0 ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1)
    from S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A = 0 \lor B = 0 ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) = 0 \vee B = 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_orbi1d)
    from S2 S4 have S5: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( A \cdot B ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow ( A = 0 \lor B = 0 ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) \cdot B ) =
 0 \, \longleftrightarrow \,
 ( if ( {\tt A}\,\in\,{\mathbb C} , {\tt A} , {\tt O} ) =
 0 \lor B = 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    have S6: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) \cdot B ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S6 have S7: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) \cdot B ) =
 0 \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 0 ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    have S8: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( B = \mathbf{0} \longleftrightarrow \mathtt{if} ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) = \mathbf{0} ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1)
    from S8 have S9: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) = 0 \vee B = 0 ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) =
 0 \lor if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , 0 ) = 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_orbi2d)
    from S7 S9 have S10: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \cdot B ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) = 0
\vee B = \mathbf{0} ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 0 \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) =
```

```
0 \lor if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , 0 ) = 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    have S11: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S11 have S12: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S13: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_0cn)
    from S13 have S14: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S12 S14 have S15: ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B ,
0 ) =
 0 \, \longleftrightarrow \,
 ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) =
 0 \lor if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) = 0 ) by (rule MMI_mul0or)
    from S5 S10 S15 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \cdot B ) = O \longleftrightarrow ( A = O \lor B = O ) ) by (rule MMI_dedth2h)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_mulnObt:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \neq 0 \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longleftrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) \neq 0 )
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \cdot B ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow ( A = 0 \vee B = 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_mul0ort)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( \neg ( ( A \cdot B ) = 0 ) \longleftrightarrow
 \neg ( ( A = 0 \lor B = 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_negbid)
    have S3: \neg ( ( A = 0 \lor B = 0 ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 (\neg (A = 0) \land \neg (B = 0) ) by (rule MMI_ioran)
    from S2 S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( \neg ( A = 0 ) \land \neg ( B = 0 ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 \neg ( ( A · B ) = 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_syl6rbb)
    have S5: A \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow \neg ( A = 0 ) by (rule MMI_df_ne)
    have S6: B \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow \neg ( B = 0 ) by (rule MMI_df_ne)
    from S5 S6 have S7: ( A \neq 0 \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( \neg ( A = 0 ) \land \neg ( B = 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_anbi12i)
    have S8: ( A \cdot B ) \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow \neg ( ( A \cdot B ) = 0 ) by (rule MMI_df_ne)
    from S4 S7 S8 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A 
eq 0 \land B \neq 0 ) \longleftrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_3bitr4g)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_muln0: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: A \neq 0 and
     A4: B \neq 0
    shows ( A \cdot B ) \neq 0
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: A \neq 0.
    from A4 have S4: B \neq 0.
```

```
from S3 S4 have S5: A \neq 0 \wedge B \neq 0 by (rule MMI_pm3_2i)
    have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \neq 0 \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longleftrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) \neq 0 ) by (rule MMI_mulnObt)
    from S5 S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) \neq 0 by (rule
MMI_mpbii)
    from S1 S2 S7 show ( A \cdot B ) \neq 0 by (rule MMI_mp2an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_receu: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: A \neq 0
    shows \exists! x . x \in \mathbb{C} \land ( A \cdot x ) = B
proof -
   { fix x y
     have S1: x = y \longrightarrow (A \cdot x) = (A \cdot y) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
     from S1 have S2: x = y \longrightarrow ((A \cdot x) = B \longleftrightarrow (A \cdot y) = B)
        by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
   } then have S2: \forall x y. x = y \longrightarrow ( ( A · x ) = B \longleftrightarrow ( A · y ) = B
     by simp
     from S2 have S3:
         ( \exists ! x . x \in \mathbb{C} \land ( A \cdot x ) = B ) \longleftrightarrow
        ( ( \exists \ x \in \mathbb{C} . ( \mathtt{A} \cdot \mathtt{x} ) = B ) \land
        ( \forall x \in \mathbb{C} . \forall y \in \mathbb{C} . ( ( ( A \cdot x ) = B \wedge ( A \cdot y ) = B ) \longrightarrow
x = y)))
        by (rule MMI_reu4)
     from A1 have S4: A \in \mathbb{C}.
     from A3 have S5: A \neq 0.
    from S4 S5 have S6: \exists \ y \in \mathbb{C} . ( A \cdot y ) = 1 by (rule MMI_recex)
    from A2 have S7: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    { fix y
       have S8: ( y \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow ( y \cdot B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
       from S7 S8 have S9: y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (y \cdot B) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_mpan2)
       have S10: ( y \cdot B ) \in \mathbb{C} \longleftrightarrow
          ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . x = ( y \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_risset)
       from S9 S10 have S11: y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . x = (y \cdot B) )
          by (rule MMI_sylib)
       { fix x
          have S12: x = (y \cdot B) \longrightarrow
   ( A \cdot x ) = ( A \cdot (y \cdot B) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
          from A1 have S13: A \in \mathbb{C}.
          from A2 have S14: B \in \mathbb{C}.
          have S15: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land y \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( A \cdot y ) \cdot B ) = ( A \cdot (y \cdot B) ) by (rule MMI_axmulass)
          from S13 S14 S15 have S16: y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
   ((A \cdot y) \cdot B) = (A \cdot (y \cdot B)) by (rule MMI_mp3an13)
          from S16 have S17: y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
   (A \cdot (y \cdot B)) = ((A \cdot y) \cdot B) by (rule MMI_eqcomd)
          from S12 S17 have S18: ( y \in \mathbb{C} \land x =
```

```
(y \cdot B) \longrightarrow
   ( A \cdot x ) = ( ( A \cdot y ) · B ) by (rule MMI_sylan9eqr)
          have S19: ( A \cdot y ) =
   1 \longrightarrow ((A \cdot y) \cdot B) = (1 \cdot B) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
          from A2 have S20: B \in \mathbb{C}.
          from S20 have S21: (1 \cdot B) = B by (rule MMI_mulid2)
          from S19 S21 have S22: ( A \cdot y ) = 1 \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot y ) \cdot B ) = B
   by (rule MMI_syl6eq)
          from S18 S22 have S23:
   ( ( A \cdot y ) = 1 \wedge ( y \in \mathbb{C} \wedge x =
   ( y \cdot B ) ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot x ) = B by (rule MMI_sylan9eqr)
          from S23 have S24:
   ( A \cdot y ) = 1 \longrightarrow ( y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
   ( x = ( y \cdot B ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot x ) = B ) ) by (rule MMI_exp32)
          from S24 have S25: ( y \in \mathbb{C} \wedge (A \cdot y) =
   (x = (y \cdot B) \longrightarrow (A \cdot x) = B) by (rule MMI_impcom)
          from S25 have
   ( y \in \mathbb{C} \land ( A \cdot y ) = 1 ) \longrightarrow ( x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
   ( x = (y \cdot B) \longrightarrow (A \cdot x) = B ) by (rule MMI_a1d)
          } then have S26:
   \forall x . ( y \in \mathbb{C} \land ( A \cdot y ) = 1 ) \longrightarrow ( x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
   ( x = ( y \cdot B ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot x ) = B ) ) by simp
          from S26 have S27:
   ( y \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( A \cdot y ) = 1 ) \longrightarrow
   ( \forall x \in \mathbb C . ( x = ( y \cdot B ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot x ) = B ) ) by (rule MMI_r19_21aiv)
          from S27 have S28: y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
   ( ( A \cdot y ) = 1 \longrightarrow
   ( \forall x \in \mathbb{C} . ( x = ( y \cdot B ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot x ) = B ) ) by (rule MMI_ex)
          have S29: ( \forall x \in \mathbb C . ( x = ( y \cdot B ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot x ) = B ) ) \longrightarrow
   ( ( \exists \ x \in \mathbb{C} .   
x = ( y \cdot B ) ) \longrightarrow
   ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . ( A \cdot x ) = B ) ) by (rule MMI_r19_22)
          from S28 S29 have S30:
  y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ((A \cdot y) = 1 \longrightarrow
   ( ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . x = ( y \cdot B ) ) \longrightarrow
   ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . ( A \cdot x ) = B ) ) by (rule MMI_syl6)
          from S11 S30 have
   y\in\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( A\cdot y ) = 1 \longrightarrow ( \exists x\in\mathbb{C} . ( A\cdot x ) = B ) )
   by (rule MMI_mpid)
          } then have S31:
      \forall y . y \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( \texttt{A} · y ) = \texttt{1} \longrightarrow ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C} . ( \texttt{A} · x ) = \texttt{B}
) )
  by simp
          from S31 have S32: ( \exists \ y \in \mathbb{C} . ( \mathtt{A} \cdot \mathtt{y} ) =
   1 ) \longrightarrow ( \exists x \in \mathbb C . ( A \cdot x ) = B ) by (rule MMI_r19_23aiv)
          from S6 S32 have S33: \exists \ x \in \mathbb{C} . ( A \cdot x ) = B by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
          from A1 have S34: A \in \mathbb{C}.
          from A3 have S35: A \neq 0.
```

```
{ fix x y
  from S35 have S36: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge x \in \mathbb C \wedge y \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
      ( ( A \cdot x ) = ( A \cdot y ) \longleftrightarrow x = y ) by (rule MMI_mulcant2)
  have S37:
      ((A \cdot x) = B \wedge (A \cdot y) =
     B ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot x ) = ( A \cdot y ) by (rule MMI_eqtr3t)
  from S36 S37 have S38: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge x \in \mathbb C \wedge y \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
      ( ( ( A \cdot x ) = B \wedge (A \cdot y ) = B ) \longrightarrow
     x = y ) by (rule MMI_syl5bi)
  from S34 S38 have ( x \in \mathbb{C} \wedge y \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
     ( ( ( A \cdot x ) = B \wedge ( A \cdot y ) = B ) \longrightarrow
     x = y ) by (rule MMI_mp3an1)
          } then have S39: \forall x y. ( x \in \mathbb{C} \land y \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
      ( ( ( A \cdot x ) = B \wedge ( A \cdot y ) = B ) \longrightarrow
     x = y) by auto
          from S39 have S40:
  \forall \ x \in \mathbb{C} \ . \ \forall \ y \in \mathbb{C} \ . \ \text{( ( ( A \cdot x ) = B \land ( A \cdot y ) = B )} \longrightarrow
  x = y ) by (rule MMI_rgen2)
          from S3 S33 S40 show \exists! x . x \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( A \cdot x ) = B by (rule MMI_mpbir2an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divval: assumes A \in \mathbb C B \in \mathbb C B \neq \mathbf 0
  shows A / B = \bigcup { x \in \mathbb{C} . B \cdot x = A }
  using cdiv_def by simp
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divmul: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C} and
     A4: B \neq 0
    shows ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = A
proof -
    from A3 have S1: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    { fix x
       have S2: x =
          C \longrightarrow ((A / B) = x \longleftrightarrow (A / B) = C) by (rule MMI_eqeq2)
       have S3: x = C \longrightarrow (B \cdot x) = (B \cdot C) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
       from S3 have S4: x =
          C \longrightarrow ((B \cdot x) = A \longleftrightarrow (B \cdot C) = A) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
       from S2 S4 have
          x = C \longrightarrow
          ( ( ( A / B ) = x \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot x ) = A ) \longleftrightarrow
          ( ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = A ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    } then have S5: \forall x. x = C \longrightarrow
          ( ( ( A / B ) = x \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot x ) = A ) \longleftrightarrow
```

```
( ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = A ) )
       by simp
    from A2 have S6: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A1 have S7: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A4 have S8: B \neq 0.
    from S6 S7 S8 have S9: \exists ! x . x \in \mathbb{C} \land (B \cdot x ) = A by (rule MMI_receu)
    { fix x
       have S10: ( x \in \mathbb{C} \land (\exists ! x . x \in \mathbb{C} \land (B \cdot x) = A) ) \longrightarrow
          ((B \cdot x) =
          \mathtt{A}\longleftrightarrow\bigcup { \mathtt{x}\in\mathbb{C} . ( \mathtt{B}\cdot\mathtt{x} ) = \mathtt{A} } = \mathtt{x} ) by (rule MMI_reuuni1)
       from S9 S10 have
          x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ((B \cdot x) = A \longleftrightarrow \{\} \{x \in \mathbb{C} : (B \cdot x) = A\} =
x )
          by (rule MMI_mpan2)
    } then have S11:
         \forall x. x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( B \cdot x ) = A \longleftrightarrow \bigcup { x \in \mathbb{C} . ( B \cdot x ) = A
} = x
       by blast
    from A1 have S12: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S13: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A4 have S14: B \neq 0.
    from S12 S13 S14 have S15: ( A / B ) =
       \bigcup { x \in \mathbb{C} . ( B \cdot x ) = A } by (rule MMI_divval)
    from S15 have S16: \forall x. ( A / B ) =
       x \longleftrightarrow \bigcup \{ x \in \mathbb{C} : (B \cdot x) = A \} = x \text{ by simp}
    from S11 S16 have S17: \forall x. x \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
       ((A / B) = x \longleftrightarrow (B \cdot x) = A) by (rule MMI_syl6rbbr)
    from S5 S17 have S18: C \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
       ( ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = A ) by (rule MMI_vtoclga)
    from S1 S18 show ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = A by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divmulz: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows B \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = A )
proof -
    have S1: B =
 if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (A/B)=
 ( A / if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S1 have S2: B =
 if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A/B)=
 C \longleftrightarrow ( A / if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) ) = C ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    have S3: B =
 if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (B \cdot C) =
```

```
( if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) \cdot C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S3 have S4: B =
 if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (B \cdot C) =
 A \longleftrightarrow ( if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) \cdot C ) = A ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    from S2 S4 have S5: B =
 if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (\ (\ (\ A\ /\ B\ )\ =\ C\ \longleftrightarrow\ (\ B\ \cdot\ C\ )\ =\ A\ )\ \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( A / if ( B \neq 0 , B , 1 ) ) =
 C \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( B \neq 0 , B , 1 ) \cdot C ) = A ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    from A1 have S6: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S7: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S8: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from S7 S8 have S9: if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_keepel)
    from A3 have S10: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S11: if ( B \neq 0 , B , 1 ) \neq 0 by (rule MMI_elimne0)
    from S6 S9 S10 S11 have S12: ( A / if ( B \neq 0 , B , 1 ) ) =
 	extsf{C} \longleftrightarrow 	extsf{(if (B <math>\neq 0 \text{, B , 1)} \cdot \text{C)}} = 	extsf{A by (rule MMI_divmul)}
    from S5 S12 show B \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = A ) by (rule MMI_dedth)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divmult:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( (A / B) = C \longleftrightarrow (B \cdot C) = A)
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (A/B)=
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S1 have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A/B)=
 	exttt{C} \longleftrightarrow 	exttt{( if ( A <math>\in 	exttt{C} 	ext{, A , 0 ) / B ) = C ) by (rule MMI\_eqeq1d)}}
    have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (B \cdot C) =
 A \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq2)
    from S2 S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = A ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) / B ) =
 C \longleftrightarrow
 ( B \cdot C ) = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    from S4 have S5: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( B \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = A ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( B \neq 0 \longrightarrow
```

```
( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) / B ) =
\texttt{C} \; \longleftrightarrow \;
 ( B \cdot C ) = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi2d)
    have S6: B =
if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( B 
eq 0 \longleftrightarrow if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    have S7: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / B ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S7 have S8: B =
if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( {\tt A} \in {\mathbb C} , {\tt A} , {\tt O} ) / {\tt B} ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
C ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    have S9: B =
if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (B \cdot C) =
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) \cdot \mathbb C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S9 have S10: B =
if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( B \cdot C ) =
if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot \mathbf C ) =
if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    from S8 S10 have S11: B =
if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A
, \mathbf{0} ) \longleftrightarrow
( ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) / if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , \mathtt{0} ) ) =
{\tt C} \; \longleftrightarrow \;
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot \mathbf C ) =
if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    from S6 S11 have S12: B =
if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
( ( B 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = if
( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) ) \longleftrightarrow
( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) ) =
C \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot \mathbf C ) =
if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi12d)
    have S13: C =
if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
if ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{C} , \mathtt{O} ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq2)
```

```
have S14: C =
 if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot \mathbf C ) =
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
     from S14 have S15: C =
 if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot \mathbf C ) =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
     from S13 S15 have S16: C =
 if ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{C} , \mathtt{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( if (
{	t B} \in {\mathbb C} , {	t B} , {	t O} ) \cdot {	t C} ) = if ( {	t A} \in {\mathbb C} , {	t A} , {	t O} ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{0} ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
     from S16 have S17: C =
 if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B
\in \mathbb{C} , B , 0 ) ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , 0 ) \cdot C ) = if ( A \in \mathbb{C} ,
A , \mathbf{0} ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{0} ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi2d)
     have S18: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
     from S18 have S19: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
     have S20: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
     from S20 have S21: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
     have S22: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
     from S22 have S23: if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
     from S19 S21 S23 have S24: if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , 0 ) \neq 0 \longrightarrow
  ( ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) / if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , \mathtt{0} ) ) =
 if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{0} ) \longleftrightarrow
  ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_divmulz)
     from S5 S12 S17 S24 have S25: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( B \neq 0 \longrightarrow
  ( ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = A ) ) by (rule MMI_dedth3h)
     from S25 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = A ) by (rule MMI_imp)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divmul2t:
     shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow A = ( B \cdot C ) )
```

```
proof -
    have S1: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot C ) = A ) by (rule MMI_divmult)
    have S2: ( B \cdot C ) = A \longleftrightarrow A = ( B \cdot C ) by (rule MMI_eqcom)
    from S1 S2 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow A = ( B \cdot C ) ) by (rule MMI_syl6bb)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divmul3t:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B 
eq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( (A / B) = C \longleftrightarrow A = (C \cdot B) )
proof -
    have S1: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow A = ( B \cdot C ) ) by (rule MMI_divmul2t)
    have S2: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (B \cdot C) = (C \cdot B) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S2 have S3: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( A = ( B \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow A = ( C \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq2d)
    from S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( A = (B \cdot C) \longleftrightarrow A = (C \cdot B) ) by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S4 have S5: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A = ( B \cdot C ) \longleftrightarrow A = ( C \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_adantr)
    from S1 S5 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / B ) = C \longleftrightarrow A = ( C \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_bitrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divcl: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
      A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
      A3: B \neq 0
    shows ( A / B ) \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: B \neq 0.
    from S1 S2 S3 have S4: (A/B) =
 \{ x \in \mathbb{C} : (B \cdot x) = A \} by (rule MMI_divval)
    from A2 have S5: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A1 have S6: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S7: B \neq 0.
    from S5 S6 S7 have S8: \exists ! x . x \in \mathbb{C} \land ( B \cdot x ) = A by (rule MMI_receu)
    have S9: ( \exists\, !\ \mathtt{x}\ .\ \mathtt{x}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\ \wedge ( \mathtt{B}\,\cdot\,\mathtt{x} ) =
 A ) \longrightarrow \bigcup { x \in \mathbb{C} . ( B \cdot x ) = A } \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_reucl)
    from S8 S9 have S10: \bigcup { x \in \mathbb{C} . ( B \cdot x ) = A } \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
    from S4 S10 show ( A / B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_eqeltr)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divclz: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
      A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
    shows B 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( A / B ) \in \mathbb C
```

```
proof -
    have S1: B =
 if ( B \neq 0 , B , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (A/B)=
 ( A / if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S1 have S2: B =
 if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / B ) \in \mathbb{C} \longleftrightarrow
 ( A / if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) ) \in \mathbb C ) by (rule MMI_eleq1d)
    from A1 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S4: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S5: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from S4 S5 have S6: if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_keepel)
    have S7: if ( B \neq 0 , B , 1 ) \neq 0 by (rule MMI_elimne0)
    from S3 S6 S7 have S8: ( A / if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) ) \in \mathbb C by (rule
MMI_divcl)
    from S2 S8 show B 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( A / B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_dedth)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divclt:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( A / B ) \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A/B)=
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S1 have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / B ) \in \mathbb{C} \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / B ) \in \mathbb C ) by (rule MMI_eleq1d)
    from S2 have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( B 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( A 
eg B ) \in \mathbb{C} ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( B \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / B ) \in \mathbb C ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi2d)
    have S4: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( B 
eq 0 \longleftrightarrow 	ext{if} ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , 0 ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    have S5: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / B ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S5 have S6: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / B ) \in \mathbb C \longleftrightarrow
```

```
( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) \in \mathbb C ) \mathbf b \mathbf y (rule MMI_eleq1d)
    from S4 S6 have S7: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( B 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / B ) \in \mathbb C ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) \in \mathbb C ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi12d)
    have S8: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S8 have S9: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S10: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S10 have S11: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S9 S11 have S12: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) 
eq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_divclz)
    from S3 S7 S12 have S13: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( B 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( A / B ) \in \mathbb C ) by (rule MMI_dedth2h)
    from S13 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A / B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3impia)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_reccl: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: A \neq 0
    shows ( 1 / \texttt{A} ) \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
    have S1: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S3: A \neq 0.
    from S1 S2 S3 show ( 1 / A ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_divcl)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_recclz: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
    shows A 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( 1 / A ) \in \mathbb C
proof -
    have S1: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S1 S2 show A 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( 1 / A ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_divclz)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_recclt:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A 
eq 0 ) \longrightarrow ( 1 / A ) \in \mathbb{C}
proof -
    have S1: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    have S2: ( 1\in\mathbb{C} \wedge A \in\mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( 1 / A ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_divclt)
    from S1 S2 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A 
eq 0 ) \longrightarrow ( 1 / A ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_mp3an1)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divcan2: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: A \neq 0
    shows ( A \cdot (B / A) ) = B
```

```
proof -
   have S1: ( B / A ) = ( B / A ) by (rule MMI_eqid)
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S4: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S6: A \neq 0.
   from S4 S5 S6 have S7: ( B / A ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_divcl)
   from A3 have S8: A \neq 0.
   from S2 S3 S7 S8 have S9: ( B / A ) =
 ( B / A ) \longleftrightarrow ( A \cdot ( B / A ) ) = B by (rule MMI_divmul)
   from S1 S9 show ( A \cdot (B / A) ) = B \text{ by (rule MMI_mpbi)}
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divcan1: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
    A3: A \neq 0
   shows ( ( B / A ) \cdot A ) = B
proof -
   from A2 have S1: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S3: A \neq 0.
   from S1 S2 S3 have S4: ( B / A ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_divcl)
   from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S4 S5 have S6: ((B/A)·A) = (A·(B/A)) by (rule
MMI_mulcom)
   from A1 have S7: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S8: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S9: A \neq 0.
   from S7 S8 S9 have S10: ( A \cdot (B / A) ) = B by (rule MMI_divcan2)
   from S6 S10 show ( ( B / A ) \cdot A ) = B by (rule MMI_eqtr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divcan1z: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
   shows A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( B / A ) \cdot A ) = B
proof -
   have S1: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (B/A) =
 ( B / if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
   have S2: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 A = if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) by (rule MMI_id)
   from S1 S2 have S3: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ((B/A)\cdot A) =
 ( ( B / if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) ) \cdot if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
   from S3 have S4: A =
```

```
if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( B / A ) \cdot A ) =
 B \;\longleftrightarrow\;
 ((B/if(A\neq0,A,1))·if(A\neq0,A,1)) =
 B ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
   from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S6: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
   from S5 S6 have S7: if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_keepel)
   from A2 have S8: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S9: if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \neq 0 by (rule MMI_elimneO)
   from S7 S8 S9 have S10: ( ( B / if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) ) \cdot if ( A 
eq
0 , A , 1 ) =
 B by (rule MMI_divcan1)
   from S4 S10 show A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( B / A ) \cdot A ) = B by (rule MMI_dedth)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divcan2z: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
   shows A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( A \cdot ( B / A ) ) = B
proof -
   have S1: A =
 if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 A = if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) by (rule MMI_id)
   have S2: A =
 if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (B/A)=
 ( B / if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
   from S1 S2 have S3: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (B / A)) =
 ( if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot ( B / if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
   from S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot (B / A)) =
 \mathsf{B} \; \longleftrightarrow \;
 ( if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot ( B 
eq if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) ) =
 B ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
   from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S6: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
   from S5 S6 have S7: if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_keepel)
   from A2 have S8: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S9: if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) 
eq 0 by (rule MMI_elimne0)
   from S7 S8 S9 have S10: ( if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot ( B / if ( A 
eq 0
, A , 1 ) ) =
B by (rule MMI_divcan2)
   from S4 S10 show A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( A \cdot ( B / A ) ) = B by (rule MMI_dedth)
```

lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divcan1t:

```
shows ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{A} \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ((B/A)\cdot A) = B
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( A 
eq 0 \longleftrightarrow if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (B/A) =
 ( B / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) by (rule MMI_id)
    from S2 S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((B/A)\cdot A) =
 ( ( B / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
    from S4 have S5: A =
 if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ((B/A)\cdot A) =
 B \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( B / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 B ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    from S1 S5 have S6: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( B / A ) \cdot A ) = B ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \neq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( B / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 B ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi12d)
    have S7: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( B / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) =
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S7 have S8: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( B / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 ( ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot if ( A \in \mathbb C , A
, \mathbf{0} ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    have S9: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) by (rule MMI_id)
    from S8 S9 have S10: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( B / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 ( ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot if ( A \in \mathbb C , A
, 0 ) =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    from S10 have S11: B =
```

```
if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , oldsymbol{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow ( ( B / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot if
( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) = B ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) \cdot if ( A \in \mathbb C , A
, 0 ) =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi2d)
    have S12: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S12 have S13: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S14: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S14 have S15: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S13 S15 have S16: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) 
eq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , 0 ) / if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 0 ) ) \cdot if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A
, 0 ) ) =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) by (rule MMI_divcan1z)
    from S6 S11 S16 have S17: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( B / A ) \cdot A ) = B ) by (rule MMI_dedth2h)
    from S17 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((B/A) \cdot A) = B \text{ by (rule MMI_3impia)}
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divcan2t:
    shows ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{A} \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (B / A)) = B
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( A 
eq 0 \longleftrightarrow if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) by (rule MMI_id)
    have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (B/A)=
 ( B / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S2 S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (B / A)) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( B / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
    from S4 have S5: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot (B / A)) =
 {\tt B} \; \longleftrightarrow \;
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( B / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 B ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    from S1 S5 have S6: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( A \cdot ( B / A ) ) = B ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow
```

```
( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( B / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) ) =
 B ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi12d)
    have S7: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( B / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
     from S7 have S8: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( {\tt A} \in {\mathbb C} , {\tt A} , {\tt O} ) \cdot ( {\tt B} / if ( {\tt A} \in {\mathbb C} , {\tt A} , {\tt O} ) ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A ,
0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
     have S9: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) by (rule MMI_id)
    from S8 S9 have S10: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) -
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( B / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) ) =
 {\tt B} \; \longleftrightarrow \;
 ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) \cdot ( if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , \mathtt{0} ) / if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} ,
0 ) ) =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
     from S10 have S11: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( B / if
( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) ) = B ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow
 ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) \cdot ( if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , \mathtt{0} ) / if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} ,
0 ) ) =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi2d)
     have S12: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
     from S12 have S13: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
     have S14: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
     from S14 have S15: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
     from S13 S15 have S16: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A ,
(0)) =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) by (rule MMI_divcan2z)
     from S6 S11 S16 have S17: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( A \cdot ( B / A ) ) = B ) by (rule MMI_dedth2h)
     from S17 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
  (A \cdot (B / A)) = B by (rule MMI_3impia)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divneObt:
     shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( A \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow ( A / B ) \neq 0 )
proof -
```

```
have S1: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (B \cdot 0) = 0 by (rule MMI_mul01t)
    from S1 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( ( B \cdot 0 ) = A \longleftrightarrow 0 = A ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    have S3: A = 0 \longleftrightarrow 0 = A by (rule MMI_eqcom)
    from S2 S3 have S4: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A = 0 \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot 0 ) = A ) by (rule
MMI_syl6rbbrA)
    from S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A = 0 \longleftrightarrow (B \cdot 0) = A ) by (rule MMI_3ad2ant2)
    have S6: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    have S7: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge 0 \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / B ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot 0 ) = A ) by (rule MMI_divmult)
    from S6 S7 have S8: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A / B) = 0 \longleftrightarrow (B \cdot 0) = A) by (rule MMI_mp3anl3)
    from S8 have S9: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / B ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow ( B \cdot 0 ) = A ) by (rule MMI_3impa)
    from S5 S9 have S10: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A = 0 \longleftrightarrow ( A / B ) = 0 ) by (rule MMI_bitr4d)
    from S10 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( A 
eq 0 \longleftrightarrow ( A / B ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_eqneqd)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divne0: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: A \neq 0 and
     A4: B \neq 0
    shows ( A / B ) \neq 0
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A4 have S3: B \neq 0.
    from A3 have S4: A \neq 0.
    have S5: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow ( A / B ) \neq 0 ) by (rule MMI_divneObt)
    from S4 S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A / B ) \neq 0 by (rule MMI_mpbii)
    from S1 S2 S3 S6 show ( A / B ) \neq 0 by (rule MMI_mp3an)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_recne0z: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
    shows A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( 1 / A ) \neq 0
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (1 / A) =
 ( 1 / if ( \texttt{A} \neq \mathbf{0} , \texttt{A} , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S1 have S2: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( 1 / A ) \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow
 ( 1 / if ( \mathtt{A} \neq \mathbf{0} , \mathtt{A} , 1 ) ) \neq \mathbf{0} ) by (rule MMI_neeq1d)
    have S3: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
```

```
from A1 have S4: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S5: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from S4 S5 have S6: if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_keepel)
    have S7: 1 \neq 0 by (rule MMI_ax1ne0)
    have S8: if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) 
eq 0 by (rule MMI_elimne0)
    from S3 S6 S7 S8 have S9: ( 1 / if ( \texttt{A} \neq \texttt{0} , \texttt{A} , \texttt{1} ) ) \neq \texttt{0} by (rule
MMI_divne0)
    from S2 S9 show A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( 1 / A ) \neq 0 by (rule MMI_dedth)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_recneOt:
    shows ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{A} \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow ( \mathtt{1} \ / \ \mathtt{A} ) \neq \mathbf{0}
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A 
eq 0 \longleftrightarrow if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 0 ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (1 / A) =
 ( 1 / if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S2 have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (\ (\ 1\ /\ \mathtt{A}\ )\ \neq\ 0\ \longleftrightarrow
 ( 1 / if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , 0 ) ) \neq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1d)
    from S1 S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( 1 / A ) 
eq 0 ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow
 ( 1 / if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , 0 ) ) \neq 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi12d)
    have S5: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S5 have S6: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S6 have S7: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( 1 / if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , 0 ) ) 
eq 0 by (rule MMI_recneOz)
    from S4 S7 have S8: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( 1 / A ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule
MMI_dedth)
    from S8 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \, \wedge \, \text{A} \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow ( 1 / A ) \neq 0 by (rule MMI_imp)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_recid: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: A \neq 0
    shows ( A \cdot (1 / A) ) = 1
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S2: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from A2 have S3: A \neq 0.
    from S1 S2 S3 show ( A \cdot (1 / A) ) = 1 by (rule MMI_divcan2)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_recidz: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
```

```
shows A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( A \cdot ( 1 / A ) ) = 1
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S2: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from S1 S2 show A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( A \cdot ( 1 / A ) ) = 1 by (rule MMI_divcan2z)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_recidt:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A 
eq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (1 / A)) = 1
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( A 
eq 0 \longleftrightarrow 	ext{if} ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 0 ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) by (rule MMI_id)
    have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (1 / A) =
 ( 1 / if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S2 S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (1 / A)) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( \mathbf 1 / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
    from S4 have S5: A =
 if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot (1 / A)) =
 \mathbf{1} \,\longleftrightarrow\,
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( \mathbf 1 / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 1 ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    from S1 S5 have S6: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( A \cdot ( 1 / A ) ) = 1 ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( \mathbf 1 / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) ) =
 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi12d)
    have S7: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S7 have S8: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S8 have S9: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow
 ( if ( {\tt A} \in \mathbb{C} , {\tt A} , {\tt O} ) \cdot ( 1 / if ( {\tt A} \in \mathbb{C} , {\tt A} , {\tt O} ) ) =
 1 by (rule MMI_recidz)
    from S6 S9 have S10: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
 ( A 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( A \cdot ( 1 / A ) ) = 1 ) by (rule MMI_dedth)
    from S10 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A 
eq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (1 / A)) = 1 \text{ by (rule MMI_imp)}
```

lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_recid2t:

```
shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A 
eq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((1 / A) \cdot A) = 1
proof -
   have S1: ( ( 1 / A ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((1/A)\cdot A) = (A\cdot (1/A)) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
   have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge A \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow ( 1 / A ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_recclt)
   have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_pm3_26)
   from S1 S2 S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( 1 / A ) \cdot A ) = ( A \cdot ( 1 / A ) ) by (rule MMI_sylanc)
   have S5: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (1 / A)) = 1 by (rule MMI_recidt)
   from S4 S5 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ((1/A) \cdot A) = 1 by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divrec: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
    A3: B \neq 0
   shows ( A / B ) = ( A \cdot (1 / B) )
   from A2 have S1: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S3: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S4: B \neq 0.
   from S3 S4 have S5: ( 1 / B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_reccl)
   from S2 S5 have S6: ( A \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_mulcl)
   from S1 S6 have S7: ( B \cdot (A \cdot (1/B)) ) =
 ( ( A \cdot (1 / B) ) \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_mulcom)
   from A1 have S8: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S5 have S9: ( 1 / B ) \in \mathbb{C} .
   from A2 have S10: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S8 S9 S10 have S11: ( ( A \cdot (1 / B) ) \cdot B ) =
 ( A \cdot ( ( 1 / B ) \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_mulass)
   from A2 have S12: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S13: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
   from A3 have S14: B \neq 0.
   from S12 S13 S14 have S15: ( ( 1 / B ) \cdot B ) = 1 by (rule MMI_divcan1)
   from S15 have S16: ( A \cdot ((1 / B) \cdot B)) = (A \cdot 1) by (rule MMI_opreq2i)
   from A1 have S17: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S17 have S18: ( A \cdot 1 ) = A by (rule MMI_mulid1)
   from S16 S18 have S19: ( A \cdot ( (1 / B) \cdot B ) ) = A by (rule MMI_eqtr)
   from S7 S11 S19 have S20: ( B \cdot (A \cdot (1 / B)) ) = A by (rule MMI_3eqtr)
   from A1 have S21: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S22: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S6 have S23: ( A \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) \in \mathbb{C} .
   from A3 have S24: B \neq 0.
   from S21 S22 S23 S24 have S25: ( A / B ) =
 ( A \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( B \cdot ( A \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) ) = A by (rule MMI_divmul)
```

```
from S20 S25 show ( A / B ) = ( A \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) by (rule MMI_mpbir)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divrecz: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
   shows B \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( A / B ) = ( A \cdot ( 1 / B ) )
proof -
   have S1: B =
 if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (A/B)=
 ( A / if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
   have S2: B =
 if ( B \neq 0 , B , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (1/B) =
 ( 1 / if ( B \neq 0 , B , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
   from S2 have S3: B =
 if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (1 / B)) =
 ( A \cdot ( 1 / if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
   from S1 S3 have S4: B =
 if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A/B)=
 ( A \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( A / if ( B \neq 0 , B , 1 ) ) =
 ( A \cdot ( 1 / if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
   from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S6: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S7: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
   from S6 S7 have S8: if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_keepel)
   have S9: if ( B \neq 0 , B , 1 ) \neq 0 by (rule MMI_elimneO)
   from S5 S8 S9 have S10: ( A / if ( B \neq 0 , B , 1 ) ) =
 ( A \cdot ( 1 / if ( B 
eq 0 , B , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_divrec)
   from S4 S10 show B \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( A / B ) = ( A \cdot ( 1 / B ) )
      by (rule MMI_dedth)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divrect:
   shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (A/B) = (A \cdot (1/B))
proof -
   have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A/B)=
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
   have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (1 / B)) =
```

```
( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S1 S2 have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A/B) =
 ( A \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( {\tt A} \in \mathbb{C} , {\tt A} , {\tt O} ) / {\tt B} ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    from S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( B 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( A / B ) = ( A \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( B \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) / B ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi2d)
    have S5: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( B 
eq 0 \longleftrightarrow if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    have S6: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / B ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    have S7: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (1/B) =
 ( 1 / if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S7 have S8: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( \mathbf 1 / B ) ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( \mathbf 1 / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    from S6 S8 have S9: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / B ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( \mathbf 1 / B ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( 1 / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) ) by (rule
MMI_eqeq12d)
    from S5 S9 have S10: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( B 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / B ) = ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 )
\cdot ( 1 / B ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \neq \mathbf{0} \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot ( 1 / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) ) ) by (rule
MMI_imbi12d)
    have S11: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S11 have S12: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S13: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S13 have S14: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S12 S14 have S15: if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , 0 ) \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
```

```
( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \cdot ( 1 / if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) ) ) by (rule MMI_divrecz)
    from S4 S10 S15 have S16: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( B \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 (A/B) = (A \cdot (1/B)) by (rule MMI_dedth2h)
    from S16 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (A/B) = (A \cdot (1/B)) by (rule MMI_3impia)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divrec2t:
    shows ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (A/B) = ((1/B) \cdot A)
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A/B) = (A \cdot (1/B)) by (rule MMI_divrect)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( 1 / B ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (1 / B)) = ((1 / B) \cdot A) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_3simp1)
    have S4: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow ( \mathbf{1} / B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_recclt)
    from S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land B \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (1 / B) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    from S2 S3 S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) = ( ( 1 / B ) \cdot A ) by (rule MMI_sylanc)
    from S1 S6 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
  ( A / B ) = ( ( 1 / B ) · A ) by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divasst:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) / C) = (A \cdot (B / C))
proof -
    have S1: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_id)
    have S2: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow B \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_id)
    have S3: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow ( 1 / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_recclt)
    from S1 S2 S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) ) \longrightarrow
 ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( 1 / C ) \in \mathbb{C} ) by (rule MMI_3anim123i)
    from S4 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
 ( B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
 ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( 1 / C ) \in \mathbb{C} ) ) by (rule MMI_3exp)
    from S5 have S6: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
 ( B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
 ( C \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
 ( C \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( 1 / \mathbb{C} ) \in \mathbb{C} ) ) ) by (rule MMI_exp4a)
    from S6 have S7: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( 1 / C ) \in \mathbb{C} ) by (rule MMI_3imp1)
    have S8: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge ( 1 / \mathbb C ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) \cdot (1 / C)) =
```

```
( A \cdot ( B \cdot ( 1 / C ) ) by (rule MMI_axmulass)
    from S7 S8 have S9: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) \cdot (1 / C)) =
 ( A \cdot ( B \cdot ( 1 / C ) ) by (rule MMI_syl)
    have S10: ( ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
  ((A \cdot B) / C) =
 ( ( A \cdot B ) \cdot ( 1 / C ) ) by (rule MMI_divrect)
    from S10 have S11: ( ( ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
  ((A \cdot B) / C) =
 ((A \cdot B) \cdot (1 / C)) by (rule MMI_3expa)
    have S12: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_axmulcl)
    from S12 have S13: ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ B \in \mathbb{C} ) \land \ C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) by (rule MMI_anim1i)
    from S13 have S14: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \cdot B ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) by (rule MMI_3impa)
    from S11 S14 have S15: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq f 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) / C) =
 ((A \cdot B) \cdot (1 / C)) by (rule MMI_sylan)
    have S16: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( B / C ) = ( B \cdot ( 1 / C ) ) by (rule MMI_divrect)
    from S16 have S17: ( ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( B / C ) = ( B \cdot ( 1 / C ) ) by (rule MMI_3expa)
    from S17 have S18: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (B/C) = (B \cdot (1/C)) by (rule MMI_3adantl1)
    from S18 have S19: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C 
eq 
eq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (B / C)) =
 ( A \cdot ( B \cdot ( 1 / C ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    from S9 S15 S19 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) / C) = (A \cdot (B / C)) by (rule MMI_3eqtr4d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_div23t:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) / C) = ((A / C) \cdot B)
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot B) = (B \cdot A) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
  (A \cdot B) = (B \cdot A) by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    from S2 have S3: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot B) = (B \cdot A) by (rule MMI_adantr)
    from S3 have S4: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \cdot B ) / C ) = ( ( B \cdot A ) / C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    have S5: ( ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( B \cdot A ) / C ) = ( B \cdot (A / C) ) by (rule MMI_divasst)
    from S5 have S6: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
```

```
( C \neq \mathbf{0} \longrightarrow
  ((B \cdot A) / C) =
 ( B \cdot ( A / C ) ) by (rule MMI_ex)
    from S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( C \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ((B \cdot A) / C) =
 ( B \cdot ( A / C ) ) by (rule MMI_3com12)
    from S7 have S8: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((B \cdot A) / C) = (B \cdot (A / C)) by (rule MMI_imp)
    have S9: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( A / \mathbb{C} ) \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (B \cdot (A / C)) = ((A / C) \cdot B) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    have S10: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow B \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3simp2)
    from S10 have S11: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} \ \mathbf{by} \ (\mathtt{rule} \ \mathtt{MMI\_adantr})
    have S12: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_divclt)
    from S12 have S13: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3expa)
    from S13 have S14: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
  (A / C) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3adant12)
    from S9 S11 S14 have S15: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0
 ( B \cdot ( A / C ) ) = ( ( A / C ) \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_sylanc)
    from S4 S8 S15 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) / C) = ((A / C) \cdot B) by (rule MMI_3eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_div13t:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
  ((A/B) \cdot C) = ((C/B) \cdot A)
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot C) = (C \cdot A) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
  ((A \cdot C) / B) = ((C \cdot A) / B) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
  ((A \cdot C) / B) = ((C \cdot A) / B) by (rule MMI_3adant2)
    from S3 have S4: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
  ((A \cdot C) / B) = ((C \cdot A) / B) by (rule MMI_adantr)
    have S5: ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} ) \land B \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
  ( ( A \cdot C ) / B ) = ( ( A / B ) \cdot C ) by (rule MMI_div23t)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( B \neq 0 \longrightarrow
  ((A \cdot C) / B) =
 ( ( A / B ) \cdot C ) ) by (rule MMI_ex)
    from S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( B \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot C) / B) =
```

```
( ( A / B ) \cdot C ) ) by (rule MMI_3com23)
    from S7 have S8: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot C) / B) = ((A / B) \cdot C) by (rule MMI_imp)
    have S9: ( ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((C \cdot A) / B) = ((C / B) \cdot A) by (rule MMI_div23t)
    from S9 have S10: ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( B \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ((C \cdot A) / B) =
 ( ( C / B ) \cdot A ) ) by (rule MMI_ex)
    from S10 have S11: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( B \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ((C \cdot A) / B) =
 ( ( C / B ) \cdot A ) ) by (rule MMI_3coml)
    from S11 have S12: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((C \cdot A) / B) = ((C / B) \cdot A) by (rule MMI_imp)
    from S4 S8 S12 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge B \neq f 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A/B) \cdot C) = ((C/B) \cdot A) by (rule MMI_3eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_div12t:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
  (A \cdot (B / C)) = (B \cdot (A / C))
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B / \mathbb C ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( A \cdot (B / C) ) = ( ( B / C ) · A ) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    have S2: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3simp1)
    from S2 have S3: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \ \mathrm{by} \ (\mathtt{rule} \ \mathtt{MMI\_adantr})
    have S4: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( B / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_divclt)
    from S4 have S5: ( ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( B / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3expa)
    from S5 have S6: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
  ( B / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3adantl1)
    from S1 S3 S6 have S7: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq f 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (B / C)) = ((B / C) \cdot A) by (rule MMI_sylanc)
    have S8: ( ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C \wedge A \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
  ( ( B / C ) \cdot A ) = ( ( A / C ) \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_div13t)
    from S8 have S9: ( B\in\mathbb{C}\ \wedge\ C\in\mathbb{C}\ \wedge\ A\in\mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( C \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ((B/C)\cdot A) =
 ( ( A / C ) \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_ex)
    from S9 have S10: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( C \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ((B/C)\cdot A) =
 ( ( A / C ) \cdot B ) ) by (rule MMI_3comr)
    from S10 have S11: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
```

```
((B/C)\cdot A) = ((A/C)\cdot B) by (rule MMI_imp)
    have S12: ( ( A / C ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A / C) \cdot B) = (B \cdot (A / C)) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    have S13: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_divclt)
    from S13 have S14: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3expa)
    from S14 have S15: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3adant12)
    have S16: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow B \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_3simp2)
    from S16 have S17: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 B \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_adantr)
    from S12 S15 S17 have S18: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0
 ((A/C)\cdot B) = (B\cdot (A/C)) by (rule MMI_sylanc)
    from S7 S11 S18 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq oldsymbol{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot (B / C)) = (B \cdot (A / C)) by (rule MMI_3eqtrd)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divassz: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
    shows C \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) / C) = (A \cdot (B / C))
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S1 S2 S3 have S4: A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3pm3_2i)
    have S5: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) / C) = (A \cdot (B / C)) by (rule MMI_divasst)
    from S4 S5 show C \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) / C) = (A \cdot (B / C)) by (rule MMI_mpan)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divass: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C} and
     A4: C \neq 0
    shows ( ( A \cdot B ) / C ) = ( A \cdot (B / C) )
proof -
    from A4 have S1: C \neq 0.
    from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S3: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S4: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S2 S3 S4 have S5: C \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \cdot B ) / C ) = ( A \cdot (B / C) ) by (rule MMI_divassz)
    from S1 S5 show ( ( A \cdot B ) / C ) = ( A \cdot (B / C) ) by (rule MMI_ax_mp)
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divdir: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
    A3: C \in \mathbb{C} and
    A4: C \neq 0
   shows ( ( A + B ) / C ) =
 ( ( A / C ) + ( B / C ) )
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A4 have S4: C \neq 0.
   from S3 S4 have S5: ( 1 / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_reccl)
   from S1 S2 S5 have S6: ( ( A + B ) \cdot ( 1 / C ) ) =
 ( ( A \cdot ( 1 / C ) ) + ( B \cdot ( 1 / C ) ) by (rule MMI_adddir)
   from A1 have S7: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S8: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S7 S8 have S9: ( A + B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_addcl)
   from A3 have S10: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A4 have S11: C \neq 0.
   from S9 S10 S11 have S12: ( ( A + B ) / C ) =
 ( ( A + B ) \cdot ( 1 / C ) ) by (rule MMI_divrec)
   from A1 have S13: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S14: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A4 have S15: C \neq 0.
   from S13 S14 S15 have S16: ( A / C ) = ( A \cdot ( 1 / C ) ) by (rule
MMI_divrec)
   from A2 have S17: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S18: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A4 have S19: C \neq 0.
   from S17 S18 S19 have S20: ( B / C ) = ( B \cdot ( 1 / C ) ) by (rule
MMI_divrec)
   from S16 S20 have S21: ( ( A / C ) + ( B / C ) ) = \,
 ( ( A \cdot (1 / C) ) + ( B \cdot (1 / C) ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq12i)
   from S6 S12 S21 show ( ( A + B ) / C ) =
 ((A/C)+(B/C)) by (rule MMI_3eqtr4)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_div23: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
    A3: C \in \mathbb{C} and
    A4: C \neq 0
   shows ( ( A \cdot B ) / C ) = ( ( A / C ) \cdot B )
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \cdot B ) = ( B \cdot A ) by (rule MMI_mulcom)
   from S3 have S4: ( ( A \cdot B ) / C ) = ( ( B \cdot A ) / C )
```

```
by (rule MMI_opreq1i)
   from A2 have S5: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S6: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S7: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A4 have S8: C \neq 0.
   from S5 S6 S7 S8 have
     S9: ( ( B \cdot A ) / C ) = ( B \cdot (A / C) ) by (rule MMI_divass)
   from A2 have S10: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S11: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S12: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A4 have S13: C \neq 0.
   from S11 S12 S13 have S14: ( A / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_divcl)
   from S10 S14 have S15: ( B \cdot (A / C) ) = ( (A / C) \cdot B )
     by (rule MMI_mulcom)
   from S4 S9 S15 show ( ( A \cdot B ) / C ) = ( ( A / C ) \cdot B )
     by (rule MMI_3eqtr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divdirz: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
    A3: C \in \mathbb{C}
   shows C \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( (A + B) / C) =
 ( ( A / C ) + ( B / C ) )
proof -
   have S1: C =
 if ( C \neq 0 , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) / C) =
 ( ( A + B ) / if ( C 
eq 0 , C , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
   have S2: C =
 if ( C 
eq 0 , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (A/C) =
 ( A / if ( C 
eq 0 , C , 1 ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
   have S3: C =
 if ( C 
eq 0 , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (B/C) =
 ( B / if ( C 
eq 0 , C , 1 ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
   from S2 S3 have S4: C =
 if ( C \neq 0 , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A/C)+(B/C))=
 ( ( A / if ( C 
eq 0 , C , 1 ) ) + ( B / if ( C 
eq 0 , C , 1 ) ) by
(rule MMI_opreq12d)
   from S1 S4 have S5: C =
 if ( C \neq 0 , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( A + B ) / C ) =
 ( ( A / C ) + ( B / C ) ) \longleftrightarrow
```

```
( ( A + B ) / if ( C \neq 0 , C , 1 ) ) =
 ( ( A / if ( C 
eq 0 , C , 1 ) ) + ( B / if ( C 
eq 0 , C , 1 ) ) ) by
(rule MMI_eqeq12d)
   from A1 have S6: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S7: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S8: C \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S9: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
   from S8 S9 have S10: if ( C \neq 0 , C , 1 ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_keepel)
   have S11: if ( C \neq 0 , C , 1 ) \neq 0 by (rule MMI_elimneO)
   from S6 S7 S10 S11 have S12: ( ( A + B ) / if ( C 
eq 0 , C , 1 ) )
 ((A/if(C\neq0,C,1))+(B/if(C\neq0,C,1))) by
(rule MMI_divdir)
   from S5 S12 show C \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) / C) =
 ((A/C)+(B/C)) by (rule MMI_dedth)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divdirt:
   shows ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \land C \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) / C) =
 ( ( A / C ) + ( B / C ) )
proof -
   have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A + B) =
 ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) + B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
   from S1 have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) / C) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + B ) / \mathbf C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
   have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A/C) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / \mathbb C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
   from S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / C ) + ( B / C ) ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / \mathbb C ) + ( B / \mathbb C ) ) \mathbf b \mathbf y (rule MMI_opreq1d)
   from S2 S4 have S5: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( A + B ) / C ) =
 ( (A / C) + (B / C)) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) + B ) / C ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / \mathbb C ) + ( B / \mathbb C ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
   from S5 have S6: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( C \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( A + B ) / C ) = ( ( A / C ) + ( B / C ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( C \neq 0 \longrightarrow
```

```
( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) + B ) / C ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / \mathbf C ) + ( B / \mathbf C ) ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi2d)
    have S7: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + B ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S7 have S8: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) + B ) / C ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) / \mathbf C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    have S9: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , oldsymbol{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (B/C) =
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) / \mathbf C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S9 have S10: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) -
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / \mathbf C ) + ( B / \mathbf C ) ) =
 ( ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) / \mathtt{C} ) + ( if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , \mathtt{0} ) / \mathtt{C} ) ) by
(rule MMI_opreq2d)
    from S8 S10 have S11: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) + B ) / C ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / \mathbf C ) + ( B / \mathbf C ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) / \mathbf C ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / \mathbb C ) + ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) / \mathbb C ) ) by
(rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    from S11 have S12: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( C 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) + B ) / C ) = ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C
, A , \mathbf{0} ) / \mathbf{C} ) + ( \mathbf{B} / \mathbf{C} ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( C \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( {\tt A} \in \mathbb{C} , {\tt A} , {\tt O} ) + if ( {\tt B} \in \mathbb{C} , {\tt B} , {\tt O} ) ) / C ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / \mathbf C ) + ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) / \mathbf C ) ) )
by (rule MMI_imbi2d)
    have S13: C =
 if ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{C} , \mathtt{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( C 
eq 0 \longleftrightarrow if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , 0 ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    have S14: C =
 if ( {\tt C} \,\in\, \mathbb{C} , {\tt C} , {\tt O} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) ) / C ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) / if ( C \in \mathbb C , C
, 0 ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    have S15: C =
 if ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{C} , \mathtt{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( {\tt A} \in {\mathbb C} , {\tt A} , {\tt O} ) / C ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    have S16: C =
 if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) / \mathbf C ) =
```

```
( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S15 S16 have S17: C =
 if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / C ) + ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) / C ) ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) + ( if ( B \in \mathbb C ,
B , 0 ) / if ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{C} , \mathtt{O} ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
    from S14 S17 have S18: C =
 if ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{C} , \mathtt{O} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) / \mathbf C ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / \mathbf C ) + ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) / \mathbf C ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) + if ( \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{B} , \mathtt{0} ) ) / if ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{C}
, 0 ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) / if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) ) + ( if ( B \in \mathbb C ,
B , 0 ) / if ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{C} , 0 ) ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    from S13 S18 have S19: C =
 if ( C \in \mathbb{C} , C , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( C 
eq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) ) / C
) = ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) / C ) + ( if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , O ) / C ) )
) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) / if ( C \in \mathbb C , C
, 0 ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , 0 ) ) + ( if ( B \in \mathbb C ,
B , 0 ) / if ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{C} , \mathtt{O} ) ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi12d)
    have S20: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S20 have S21: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S22: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S22 have S23: if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S24: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S24 have S25: if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S21 S23 S25 have S26: if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , 0 ) \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) + if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) / if ( C \in \mathbb C , C
, 0 ) ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) / if ( C \in \mathbb C , C , 0 ) ) + ( if ( B \in \mathbb C ,
B , \mathbf{0} ) / if ( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{C} , \mathtt{O} ) ) by (rule MMI_divdirz)
    from S6 S12 S19 S26 have S27: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ B \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( C \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) / C) =
 ((A/C)+(B/C)) by (rule MMI_dedth3h)
    from S27 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + B) / C) =
 ((A/C)+(B/C)) by (rule MMI_imp)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divcan3: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
      A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
      A3: A \neq 0
    shows ( ( A \cdot B ) / A ) = B
```

```
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S4: A \neq 0.
   from S1 S2 S3 S4 have S5: ( ( A \cdot B ) / A ) = ( A \cdot ( B / A ) ) by
(rule MMI_divass)
   from A1 have S6: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S7: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S8: A \neq 0.
   from S6 S7 S8 have S9: ( A \cdot (B / A) ) = B \ by \ (rule \ MMI_divcan2)
   from S5 S9 show ( ( A \cdot B ) / A ) = B by (rule MMI_eqtr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divcan4: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
    A3: A \neq 0
   shows ( ( B \cdot A ) / A ) = B
proof -
   from A2 have S1: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S1 S2 have S3: ( B \cdot A ) = ( A \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_mulcom)
   from S3 have S4: ( ( B \cdot A ) / A ) = ( ( A \cdot B ) / A ) by (rule MMI_opreq1i)
   from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S6: B \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A3 have S7: A \neq 0.
   from S5 S6 S7 have S8: ( (A \cdot B) / A) = B by (rule MMI_divcan3)
   from S4 S8 show ( ( B \cdot A ) / A ) = B by (rule MMI_eqtr)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divcan3z: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
   shows A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) / A ) = B
proof -
   have S1: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot B) =
 ( if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
   have S2: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 A = if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) by (rule MMI_id)
   from S1 S2 have S3: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( (A \cdot B) / A) =
 ( ( if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot B ) / if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
   from S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) / A) =
 {\tt B} \; \longleftrightarrow \;
```

```
( ( if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot B ) / if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) ) =
 B ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S6: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from S5 S6 have S7: if ( A 
eq 0 , A , 1 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_keepel)
    from A2 have S8: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S9: if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \neq 0 by (rule MMI_elimne0)
    from S7 S8 S9 have S10: ( ( if ( A \neq 0 , A , 1 ) \cdot B ) / if ( A \neq
0 , A , 1 ) =
 B \mathbf{by} (rule MMI_divcan3)
    from S4 S10 show A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) / A ) = B by (rule MMI_dedth)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divcan4z: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C}
    shows A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ((B \cdot A) / A) = B
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S1 S2 have S3: A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) / A ) = B by (rule MMI_divcan3z)
    from A2 have S4: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S4 S5 have S6: (B \cdot A) = (A \cdot B) by (rule MMI_mulcom)
    from S6 have S7: ( ( B \cdot A ) / A ) = ( ( A \cdot B ) / A ) by (rule MMI_opreq1i)
    from S3 S7 show A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( B \cdot A ) / A ) = B by (rule MMI_syl5eq)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divcan3t:
    shows ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{A} \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) / A) = B
proof -
   have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( A 
eq 0 \longleftrightarrow if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot B) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) by (rule MMI_id)
    from S2 S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( (A \cdot B) / A) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq12d)
    from S4 have S5: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( A \cdot B ) / A ) =
 {\tt B} \; \longleftrightarrow \;
```

```
( ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) \cdot \mathtt{B} ) / if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{0} ) ) =
 B ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    from S1 S5 have S6: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) / A ) = B ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 B ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi12d)
    have S7: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) \cdot B ) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) \mathbf b \mathbf y (rule MMI_opreq2)
    from S7 have S8: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 0 ) ) / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A
, 0 ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    have S9: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 B = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) by (rule MMI_id)
    from S8 S9 have S10: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) \cdot B ) / if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , O ) ) =
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A
, 0 ) =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , O ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    from S10 have S11: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot B ) / if
( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{0} ) ) = B ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) 
eq \mathbf 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A
, 0 ) =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) ) by (rule MMI_imbi2d)
    have S12: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S12 have S13: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S14: \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    from S14 have S15: if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S13 S15 have S16: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 0 ) \neq 0 \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 0 ) \cdot if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 0 ) ) / if ( A \in \mathbb C , A
, 0 ) =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{0} ) by (rule MMI_divcan3z)
    from S6 S11 S16 have S17: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ( A \neq 0 \longrightarrow ( ( A \cdot B ) / A ) = B ) by (rule MMI_dedth2h)
    from S17 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) / A) = B by (rule MMI_3impia)
qed
```

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divcan4t:
    \mathbf{shows} \ \ (\ \mathtt{A} \ \in \ \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \ \in \ \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{A} \ \neq \ \mathbf{0} \ ) \ \longrightarrow
 ((B \cdot A) / A) = B
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A \cdot B) = (B \cdot A) by (rule MMI_axmulcom)
    from S1 have S2: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) / A) = ((B \cdot A) / A) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) / A) = ((B \cdot A) / A) by (rule MMI_3adant3)
   have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A \cdot B) / A) = B by (rule MMI_divcan3t)
    from S3 S4 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((B \cdot A) / A) = B by (rule MMI_eqtr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_div11: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
     A2: B \in \mathbb{C} and
     A3: C \in \mathbb{C} and
     A4: C \neq 0
    shows ( A / C ) = ( B / C ) \longleftrightarrow A = B
proof -
    from A3 have S1: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S3: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A4 have S4: C \neq 0.
    from S2 S3 S4 have S5: ( A / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_divcl)
    from A2 have S6: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A3 have S7: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A4 have S8: C \neq 0.
    from S6 S7 S8 have S9: ( B / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_divcl)
    from A4 have S10: C \neq 0.
    from S1 S5 S9 S10 have S11: (C \cdot (A / C)) =
 ( C \cdot ( B / C ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 (A/C) = (B/C) by (rule MMI_mulcan)
    from A3 have S12: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A1 have S13: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A4 have S14: C \neq 0.
    from S12 S13 S14 have S15: ( C · ( A / C ) ) = A by (rule MMI_divcan2)
    from A3 have S16: C \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S17: B \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A4 have S18: C \neq 0.
    from S16 S17 S18 have S19: ( C · ( B / C ) ) = B by (rule MMI_divcan2)
    from S15 S19 have S20: (C \cdot (A / C)) =
 ( C \cdot ( B / C ) ) \longleftrightarrow A = B by (rule MMI_eqeq12i)
    from S11 S20 show ( A / C ) = ( B / C ) \longleftrightarrow A = B by (rule MMI_bitr3)
```

lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_div11t:

```
shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq \mathbf{0} ) ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / C ) = ( B / C ) \longleftrightarrow A = B )
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (A/C) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) / \mathbb C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S1 have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A/C) =
 (B / C) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 1 ) / C ) =
 ( B / C ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1d)
    have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A = B \longleftrightarrow if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) = B ) by (rule MMI_eqeq1)
    from S2 S3 have S4: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (\ (\ (\ A\ /\ C\ )\ =\ (\ B\ /\ C\ )\ \longleftrightarrow\ A\ =\ B\ )\ \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 1 ) / C ) =
 ( B / C ) \longleftrightarrow
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) = B ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    have S5: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (B/C)=
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) / \mathbb C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
    from S5 have S6: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{1} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) / C ) =
 ( B / C ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) / C ) =
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) / \mathbb C ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq2d)
    have S7: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{1} ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{1} ) =
 {\tt B} \; \longleftrightarrow \;
 if ( {\tt A} \,\in\, {\mathbb C} , {\tt A} , {\tt 1} ) =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq2)
    from S6 S7 have S8: B =
 if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , \mathbf{1} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) / C ) = ( B / C ) \longleftrightarrow if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1
) = B ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 1 ) / C ) =
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 1 ) / \mathbf C ) \longleftrightarrow
 if ( {\tt A}\,\in\,{\mathbb C} , {\tt A} , {\tt 1} ) =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi12d)
    have S9: C =
 if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) / \mathbb C ) =
```

```
( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) / if ( ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) ) by (rule
MMI_opreq2)
    have S10: C =
 if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) / C ) =
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) / if ( ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge C \neq 0 ) , C , 1 ) ) by (rule
MMI_opreq2)
    from S9 S10 have S11: C =
 if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 1 ) / C ) =
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , \mathbf 1 ) / \mathbf C ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) / if ( ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge C \neq 0 ) , C , 1 ) ) =
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) / if ( ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge C \neq 0 ) , C , 1 ) ) by (rule
MMI_eqeq12d)
    from S11 have S12: C =
 if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) / C ) = ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) / C ) \longleftrightarrow
if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) = if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( ( if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , 1 ) / if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \land C \neq 0 ) , C , 1 ) ) =
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) / if ( ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 if ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} , \mathtt{A} , \mathtt{1} ) =
 if ( {\tt B} \in \mathbb{C} , B , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_bibi1d)
    have S13: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from S13 have S14: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S15: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from S15 have S16: if ( B \in \mathbb{C} , B , 1 ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_elimel)
    have S17: C =
 if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( C \in \mathbb{C} \longleftrightarrow
 if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \in \mathbb{C} ) by (rule MMI_eleq1)
    have S18: C =
 if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( C \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow
 if ( ( C \in C \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    from S17 S18 have S19: C =
 if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq \mathbf{0} ) , C , \mathbf{1} ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq \mathbf{0} ) ,
C , 1 ) \neq 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_anbi12d)
    have S20: 1 =
 if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (1 \in \mathbb{C} \longleftrightarrow
 if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \in \mathbb{C} ) by (rule MMI_eleq1)
    have S21: 1 =
 if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( 1 \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow
 if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) 
eq 0 ) by (rule MMI_neeq1)
    from S20 S21 have S22: 1 =
 if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \longrightarrow
```

```
( ( \mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longleftrightarrow
 ( if ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge if ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) ,
C , 1 ) \neq 0 ) ) by (rule MMI_anbi12d)
    have S23: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    have S24: 1 \neq 0 by (rule MMI_ax1ne0)
    from S23 S24 have S25: 1 \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ 1 \neq 0 by (rule MMI_pm3_2i)
    from S19 S22 S25 have S26: if ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \in \mathbb{C}
\wedge if ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) 
eq 0 by (rule MMI_elimhyp)
    from S26 have S27: if ( ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge C \neq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule
MMI_pm3_26i)
    from S26 have S28: if ( ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge C \neq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \in \mathbb C \wedge if (
( \mathtt{C} \in \mathbb{C} \wedge \mathtt{C} \neq \mathbf{0} ) , \mathtt{C} , \mathtt{1} ) \neq \mathbf{0} .
    from S28 have S29: if ( ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) , C , 1 ) \neq 0 by (rule
MMI_pm3_27i)
    from S14 S16 S27 S29 have S30: ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) / if ( C
\in \mathbb{C} \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) 
eq
 ( if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) / if ( ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge C 
eq 0 ) , C , 1 ) ) \longleftrightarrow
 if ( {\tt A}\,\in\,{\mathbb C} , {\tt A} , {\tt 1} ) =
 if ( B \in \mathbb C , B , 1 ) by (rule MMI_div11)
    from S4 S8 S12 S30 show ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) )
 ( ( A / C ) = ( B / C ) \longleftrightarrow A = B ) by (rule MMI_dedth3h)
qed
```

 \mathbf{end}

85 Metamath examples

 ${\bf theory}~{\tt MMI_examples}~{\bf imports}~{\tt MMI_Complex_ZF}$

begin

This theory contains 10 theorems translated from Metamath (with proofs). It is included in the proof document as an illustration of how a translated Metamath proof looks like. The "known_theorems.txt" file included in the IsarMathLib distribution provides a list of all translated facts.

```
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_dividt: shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A / A ) = 1 proof - have S1: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land A \in \mathbb{C} \land A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A / A ) = ( A \cdot (1 / A) ) by (rule MMI_divrect) from S1 have S2: ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land A \in \mathbb{C} ) \land A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A / A ) = ( A \cdot (1 / A) ) by (rule MMI_3expa) from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A / A ) = ( A \cdot (1 / A) ) by (rule MMI_anabsan) have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A \cdot (1 / A) ) = 1 by (rule MMI_recidt)
```

```
from S3 S4 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A 
eq 0 ) \longrightarrow ( A 
eq A ) = 1 by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_div0t:
    shows ( \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{A} \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow ( \mathtt{0} / \mathtt{A} ) = \mathtt{0}
proof -
    have S1: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    have S2: ( \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C} \wedge \mathtt{A} \in \mathbb{C} \wedge \mathtt{A} \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 (0 / A) = (0 \cdot (1 / A)) by (rule MMI_divrect)
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (0 / A) = (0 \cdot (1 / A)) by (rule MMI_mp3an1)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow ( \mathbf{1} / A ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_recclt)
    have S5: ( 1 / A ) \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( 0 \cdot ( 1 / A ) ) = 0
       by (rule MMI_mul02t)
    from S4 S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (0 \cdot (1 / A)) = 0 by (rule MMI_syl)
    from S3 S6 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A 
eq 0 ) \longrightarrow ( 0 / A ) = 0 by (rule MMI_eqtrd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_diveq0t:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( (A / C) = 0 \longleftrightarrow A = 0 )
proof -
    have S1: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow ( \mathbf{0} / \mathbf{C} ) = \mathbf{0} by (rule MMI_divOt)
    from S1 have S2: ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( (A / C) =
 (0 / C) \longleftrightarrow (A / C) = 0) by (rule MMI_eqeq2d)
    from S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( (A / C) =
 ( 0 / C ) \longleftrightarrow ( A / C ) = 0 ) by (rule MMI_3adant1)
    have S4: 0 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_Ocn)
    have S5: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge 0 \in \mathbb{C} \wedge ( C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / C ) = ( 0 / C ) \longleftrightarrow A = 0 ) by (rule MMI_div11t)
    from S4 S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( C \in \mathbb C \wedge C \neq 0 ) ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / C ) = ( 0 / C ) \longleftrightarrow A = 0 ) by (rule MMI_mp3an2)
    from S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / C ) = ( 0 / C ) \longleftrightarrow A = 0 ) by (rule MMI_3impb)
    from S3 S7 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / C ) = 0 \longleftrightarrow A = 0 ) by (rule MMI_bitr3d)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_recrec: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb C and
     A2: A \neq 0
    shows (1 / (1 / A)) = A
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: A \neq 0.
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( 1 / A ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_reccl)
    have S4: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
```

```
from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   have S6: 1 \neq 0 by (rule MMI_ax1ne0)
   from A2 have S7: A \neq 0.
   from S4 S5 S6 S7 have S8: (1 / A) \neq 0 by (rule MMI_divne0)
   from S3 S8 have S9: ((1/A) \cdot (1/(1/A))) = 1
     by (rule MMI_recid)
   from S9 have S10: ( A \cdot ((1 / A) \cdot (1 / (1 / A))) ) =
 ( A · 1 ) by (rule MMI_opreq2i)
   from A1 have S11: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S12: A \neq 0.
   from S11 S12 have S13: ( A \cdot (1 / A) ) = 1 by (rule MMI_recid)
   from S13 have S14: ((A \cdot (1/A)) \cdot (1/(1/A))) =
 ( 1 · ( 1 / ( 1 / A ) ) by (rule MMI_opreq1i)
   from A1 have S15: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S3 have S16: ( 1 / A ) \in \mathbb{C} .
   from S3 have S17: ( 1 / A ) \in \mathbb{C} .
   from S8 have S18: ( 1 / A ) \neq 0 .
   from S17 S18 have S19: ( 1 / ( 1 / A ) ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_reccl)
   from S15 S16 S19 have S20:
     ((A \cdot (1/A)) \cdot (1/(1/A))) =
 ( A \cdot ( ( 1 / A ) \cdot ( 1 / ( 1 / A ) ) ) by (rule MMI_mulass)
   from S19 have S21: ( 1 / ( 1 / \texttt{A} ) ) \in \mathbb{C} .
   from S21 have S22: ( 1 \cdot (1 / (1 / A)) ) =
 ( 1 / ( 1 / A ) ) by (rule MMI_mulid2)
   from S14 S20 S22 have S23:
     (A \cdot ((1/A) \cdot (1/(1/A))) =
 ( 1 / ( 1 / A ) ) by (rule MMI_3eqtr3)
   from A1 have S24: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from S24 have S25: ( A \cdot 1 ) = A by (rule MMI_mulid1)
   from S10 S23 S25 show ( 1 / ( 1 / A ) ) = A by (rule MMI_3eqtr3)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divid: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: A \neq 0
   shows ( A / A ) = 1
proof -
   from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A1 have S2: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S3: A \neq 0.
   from S1 S2 S3 have S4: ( A / A ) = ( A \cdot ( 1 / A ) ) by (rule MMI_divrec)
   from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
   from A2 have S6: A \neq 0.
   from S5 S6 have S7: ( A \cdot (1 / A) ) = 1 by (rule MMI_recid)
   from S4 S7 show ( A / A ) = 1 by (rule MMI_eqtr)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_div0: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C} and
    A2: A \neq 0
   shows (0 / A) = 0
```

```
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from A2 have S2: A \neq 0.
    have S3: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge A \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow ( 0 / A ) = 0 by (rule MMI_divOt)
    from S1 S2 S3 show (0 / A) = 0 by (rule MMI_mp2an)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_div1: assumes A1: A \in \mathbb{C}
    shows ( A / 1 ) = A
proof -
    from A1 have S1: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    from S1 have S2: (1 \cdot A) = A by (rule MMI_mulid2)
    from A1 have S3: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S4: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from A1 have S5: A \in \mathbb{C}.
    have S6: 1 \neq 0 by (rule MMI_ax1ne0)
    from S3 S4 S5 S6 have S7: ( A / 1 ) = A \longleftrightarrow ( 1 \cdot A ) = A
      by (rule MMI_divmul)
    from S2 S7 show ( A / I ) = A by (rule MMI_mpbir)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_div1t:
    shows A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A / 1 ) = A
proof -
    have S1: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 1 ) \longrightarrow
 (A / 1) =
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 1 ) / \mathbf 1 ) by (rule MMI_opreq1)
   have S2: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb{C} , A , \mathbf{1} ) \longrightarrow
 A = if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 1 ) by (rule MMI_id)
   from S1 S2 have S3: A =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 1 ) \longrightarrow
 ( (A / 1) =
 {\tt A} \; \longleftrightarrow \;
 ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) / 1 ) =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) ) by (rule MMI_eqeq12d)
    have S4: 1 \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_1cn)
    from S4 have S5: if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_elimel)
    from S5 have S6: ( if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , 1 ) / 1 ) =
 if ( A \in \mathbb C , A , \mathbf 1 ) by (rule MMI_div1)
    from S3 S6 show A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( A / 1 ) = A by (rule MMI_dedth)
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divnegt:
    shows ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A / B ) ) = ( ( - A ) / B )
proof -
    have S1: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( 1 / B ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
```

```
( ( - A ) \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) =
 ( - ( A \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) ) by (rule MMI_mulneg1t)
    have S2: ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge B 
eq 0 ) \longrightarrow ( 1 / B ) \in \mathbb C by (rule MMI_recclt)
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B \in \mathbb C \wedge B \neq \mathbf 0 ) ) \longrightarrow
 ((-A) \cdot (1/B)) =
 ( - ( A \cdot (1 / B) ) ) by (rule MMI_sylan2)
    from S3 have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( - A ) \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) =
 ( - ( A \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) by (rule MMI_3impb)
    have S5: ( ( - A ) \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( - A ) / B ) =
 ((-A) \cdot (1/B)) by (rule MMI_divrect)
    have S6: A \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( - A ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
    from S5 S6 have S7: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( - A ) / B ) =
 ((-A) \cdot (1/B)) by (rule MMI_syl3an1)
    have S8: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (A/B) = (A \cdot (1/B)) by (rule MMI_divrect)
    from S8 have S9: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( A / B ) ) =
 ( - ( A \cdot ( 1 / B ) ) ) by (rule MMI_negeqd)
    from S4 S7 S9 show ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (-(A/B)) = ((-A)/B) by (rule MMI_3eqtr4rd)
qed
lemma (in MMIsar0) MMI_divsubdirt:
    shows ( ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \land C \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) / C) =
 ( ( A / C ) - ( B / C ) )
proof -
    have S1: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge ( - B ) \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + (-B))/C) =
 ((A/C)+((-B)/C)) by (rule MMI_divdirt)
    have S2: B \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow ( - B ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_negclt)
    from S1 S2 have S3: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + (-B))/C) =
 ((A / C) + ((-B) / C)) by (rule MMI_syl3an12)
    have S4: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge B \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 (A + (-B)) = (A - B) by (rule MMI_negsubt)
    from S4 have S5: ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 (A + (-B)) = (A - B) by (rule MMI_3adant3)
    from S5 have S6: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \land B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + (-B))/C) =
 ( ( A - B ) / C ) by (rule MMI_opreq1d)
    from S6 have S7: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A + (-B))/C) =
 ( ( A - B ) / C ) by (rule MMI_adantr)
    have S8: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
```

```
(-(B/C)) = ((-B)/C) by (rule MMI_divnegt)
    from S8 have S9: ( ( B \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \texttt{C} \in \mathbb{C} ) \land \ \texttt{C} \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( - ( B / C ) ) = ( ( - B ) / C ) by (rule MMI_3expa)
    from S9 have S10: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 (-(B/C)) = ((-B)/C) by (rule MMI_3adant11)
    from S10 have S11: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / C ) + ( - ( B / C ) ) ) =
 ((A/C)+((-B)/C)) by (rule MMI_opreq2d)
    have S12: ( ( A / C ) \in \mathbb C \wedge ( B / C ) \in \mathbb C ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / C ) + ( - ( B / C ) ) ) =
 ( ( A / C ) - ( B / C ) ) by (rule MMI_negsubt)
    have S13: ( A \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_divclt)
    from S13 have S14: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A / C ) \in C by (rule MMI_3expa)
    from S14 have S15: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( A / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3adant12)
    have S16: ( B \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \in \mathbb{C} \wedge C \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( B / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_divclt)
    from S16 have S17: ( ( B \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} ) \land C \neq \mathbf{0} ) \longrightarrow
 ( B / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3expa)
    from S17 have S18: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( B / C ) \in \mathbb{C} by (rule MMI_3adantl1)
    from S12 S15 S18 have S19: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq 0
 ( ( A / C ) + ( - ( B / C ) ) ) =
 ((A/C)-(B/C)) by (rule MMI_sylanc)
    from S11 S19 have S20: ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ( ( A / C ) + ( ( - B ) / C ) ) =
 ( ( A / C ) - ( B / C ) ) by (rule MMI_eqtr3d)
    from S3 S7 S20 show ( ( A \in \mathbb C \wedge B \in \mathbb C \wedge C \in \mathbb C ) \wedge C \neq \mathbf 0 ) \longrightarrow
 ((A - B) / C) =
 ( ( A / C ) - ( B / C ) ) by (rule MMI_3eqtr3d)
qed
```

end

86 Metamath interface

theory Metamath_Interface imports Complex_ZF MMI_prelude

begin

This theory contains some lemmas that make it possible to use the theorems translated from Metamath in a the complex0 context.

86.1 MMisar0 and complex0 contexts.

In the section we show a lemma that the assumptions in complex0 context imply the assumptions of the MMIsarO context. The Metamath_sampler theory provides examples how this lemma can be used.

The next lemma states that we can use the theorems proven in the MMIsarO context in the complexO context. Unfortunately we have to use low level Isabelle methods "rule" and "unfold" in the proof, simp and blast fail on the order axioms.

```
lemma (in complex0) MMIsar_valid:
   shows MMIsar0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, 1, 0, i, CplxAdd(\mathbb{R}, A), CplxMul(\mathbb{R}, A, M),
   StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r)))
proof -
   let real = \mathbb{R}
   let complex = \mathbb{C}
   let zero = 0
   let one = 1
   let iunit = i
   let caddset = CplxAdd(R,A)
   let cmulset = CplxMul(R,A,M)
   let lessrrel = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
   have (\forall a \ b. \ a \in real \land b \in real \longrightarrow
       \langle a, b \rangle \in lessrrel \longleftrightarrow \neg (a = b \lor \langle b, a \rangle \in lessrrel))
   proof -
       have I:
           \forall a \ b. \ a \in \mathbb{R} \ \land \ b \in \mathbb{R} \ \longrightarrow \ (a <_{\mathbb{R}} \ b \longleftrightarrow \neg (a=b \ \lor \ b <_{\mathbb{R}} \ a))
           using pre_axlttri by blast
       { fix a b assume a \in real \land b \in real
           with I have (a <_{\mathbb{R}} b \longleftrightarrow \neg(a=b \lor b <_{\mathbb{R}} a))
  by blast
           hence
  \langle a, b \rangle \in lessrrel \longleftrightarrow \neg (a = b \lor \langle b, a \rangle \in lessrrel)
 by simp
       \} thus (\forall a \ b. \ a \in real \land b \in real \longrightarrow real )
  (\langle a, b \rangle \in lessrrel \longleftrightarrow \neg (a = b \lor \langle b, a \rangle \in lessrrel)))
           by blast
   qed
   moreover
   have (\forall a b c.
       \mathtt{a} \,\in\, \mathtt{real} \,\wedge\, \mathtt{b} \,\in\, \mathtt{real} \,\wedge\, \mathtt{c} \,\in\, \mathtt{real} \,\longrightarrow\,
       \langle a, b \rangle \in lessrrel \land \langle b, c \rangle \in lessrrel \longrightarrow \langle a, c \rangle \in lessrrel)
       \mathbf{have} \ \mathtt{II:} \ \forall \, \mathtt{a} \ \mathtt{b} \ \mathtt{c}. \quad \mathtt{a} \in \mathbb{R} \ \land \ \mathtt{b} \in \mathbb{R} \ \land \ \mathtt{c} \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow
           ((\mathsf{a} <_{\mathbb{R}} \mathsf{b} \wedge \mathsf{b} <_{\mathbb{R}} \mathsf{c}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{a} <_{\mathbb{R}} \mathsf{c})
           using pre_axlttrn by blast
       \{ fix \ a \ b \ c \ assume \ a \in real \ \land \ b \in real \ \land \ c \in real \ 
           with II have (a <_{\mathbb{R}} b \wedge b <_{\mathbb{R}} c) \longrightarrow a <_{\mathbb{R}} c
 by blast
```

```
\langle a, b \rangle \in lessrrel \land \langle b, c \rangle \in lessrrel \longrightarrow \langle a, c \rangle \in lessrrel
by simp
      } thus (\forall a b c.
a \in real \land b \in real \land c \in real \longrightarrow
\langle a, b \rangle \in lessrrel \land \langle b, c \rangle \in lessrrel \longrightarrow \langle a, c \rangle \in lessrrel)
          by blast
  moreover have (\forall A B C.
      {\tt A} \,\in\, {\tt real} \,\wedge\, {\tt B} \,\in\, {\tt real} \,\wedge\, {\tt C} \,\in\, {\tt real} \,\longrightarrow\,
      \langle A, B \rangle \in lessrrel \longrightarrow
      \langle caddset \langle C, A \rangle, caddset \langle C, B \rangle \rangle \in lessrrel)
      using pre_axltadd by simp
 \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ (\forall \, \mathtt{A} \ \mathtt{B}. \ \mathtt{A} \in \mathtt{real} \ \land \ \mathtt{B} \in \mathtt{real} \ \longrightarrow
      \langle {\tt zero}, \ {\tt A} 
angle \in {\tt lessrrel} \ \land \ \langle {\tt zero}, \ {\tt B} 
angle \in {\tt lessrrel} \ \longrightarrow
      \langle \text{zero, cmulset} \langle A, B \rangle \rangle \in \text{lessrrel})
      using pre_axmulgt0 by simp
 moreover have
      (\forall S. S \subseteq real \land S \neq 0 \land (\exists x \in real. \forall y \in S. \langle y, x \rangle \in lessrrel) \longrightarrow
      (\exists x \in real.
      (\forall y \in S. \langle x, y \rangle \notin lessrrel) \land
      (\forall\, y{\in}\mathsf{real.}\ \langle y\text{, }x\rangle\,\in\, \mathsf{lessrrel}\,\longrightarrow\, (\exists\, z{\in}\mathsf{S.}\ \langle y\text{, }z\rangle\,\in\, \mathsf{lessrrel))))
      using pre_axsup by simp
  moreover have \mathbb{R}\subseteq\mathbb{C} using axresscn by simp
 moreover have 1 \neq 0 using ax1ne0 by simp
 moreover have \mathbb C is ASet by simp
  moreover have \mathsf{CplxAdd}(\mathtt{R},\mathtt{A}): \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}
      using axaddopr by simp
  moreover have CplxMul(R,A,M) : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}
      using axmulopr by simp
  moreover have
      \forall \mathtt{a} \ \mathtt{b}. \ \mathtt{a} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{b} \in \mathbb{C} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{a} \cdot \ \mathtt{b} = \mathtt{b} \ \cdot \ \mathtt{a}
      using axmulcom by simp
 hence (\forall a b. a \in \mathbb{C} \land b \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
                   cmulset \langle a, b \rangle = cmulset \langle b, a \rangle
      ) by simp
 moreover have \forall \, \mathtt{a} \, \, \mathtt{b}. \, \, \mathtt{a} \in \mathbb{C} \, \wedge \, \mathtt{b} \in \mathbb{C} \, \longrightarrow \mathtt{a} \, + \, \mathtt{b} \in \mathbb{C}
      using axaddcl by simp
  hence (\foralla b. a \in \mathbb{C} \land b \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
                   caddset \langle \mathtt{a}, \mathtt{b} \rangle \in \mathbb{C}
          ) by simp
 moreover have \forall \mathtt{a} \ \mathtt{b}. \ \mathtt{a} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{b} \in \mathbb{C} \ \longrightarrow \mathtt{a} \cdot \mathtt{b} \in \mathbb{C}
      using axmulcl by simp
 hence (\forall a \ b. \ a \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ b \in \mathbb{C} \ \longrightarrow
      cmulset \langle \mathtt{a},\ \mathtt{b} 
angle \in \mathbb{C} ) by simp
  moreover have
      \forall a \ b \ C. \ a \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ b \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ C \in \mathbb{C} \ \longrightarrow
      a \cdot (b + C) = a \cdot b + a \cdot C
      using axdistr by simp
```

```
hence \forall a b C.
                 \mathtt{a}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{b}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{C}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\longrightarrow\,\,
                 cmulset \langle a, caddset \langle b, C \rangle \rangle =
                 \langle \text{cmulset} \langle \text{a, b} \rangle, \text{cmulset} \langle \text{a, C} \rangle \rangle
      by simp
  moreover have \forall a \ b. \ a \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ b \in \mathbb{C} \ \longrightarrow
                a + b = b + a
      using axaddcom by simp
 hence \forall a b.
                  \mathtt{a} \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ \mathtt{b} \in \mathbb{C} \ \longrightarrow
                  caddset \langle a, b \rangle = caddset \langle b, a \rangle by simp
 moreover have \forall a b C. a \in \mathbb{C} \land b \in \mathbb{C} \land C \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
          a + b + C = a + (b + C)
      using axaddass by simp
  hence \forall a b C.
                  \mathtt{a}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{b}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{C}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\longrightarrow\,\,
                  caddset \langle caddset \langle a, b \rangle, C \rangle =
                  caddset \langle a, caddset \langle b, C \rangle \rangle by simp
  moreover have
      \forall \, a \, \, b \, \, c. \, \, a \in \, \mathbb{C} \, \, \wedge \, \, b \in \, \mathbb{C} \, \, \wedge \, \, c \in \, \mathbb{C} \, \longrightarrow \, a \cdot b \cdot c \, = \, a \cdot (b \cdot c)
      using axmulass by simp
  hence \forall a b C.
                  \mathtt{a}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{b}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{C}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\longrightarrow\,\,
                  cmulset \langle cmulset \langle a, b \rangle, C \rangle =
                   cmulset \langle a, cmulset \langle b, C \rangle \rangle by simp
  moreover have 1 \in \mathbb{R} using ax1re by simp
  moreover have i \cdot i + 1 = 0
      using axi2m1 by simp
 hence caddset \mbox{\em \langle cmulset} \mbox{\em \langle i,\ i\rangle,\ 1\rangle} = 0 by simp
 moreover have \forall \, \mathtt{a}. \, \, \mathtt{a} \, \in \, \mathbb{C} \, \longrightarrow \, \mathtt{a} \, + \, \mathtt{0} \, = \, \mathtt{a}
      using ax0id by simp
 moreover have i \in \mathbb{C} using axicn by simp
 moreover have \forall a. \ a \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (\exists x \in \mathbb{C}. \ a + x = 0)
      using axnegex by simp
 hence \forall a. a \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
      (\exists x \in \mathbb{C} \text{. caddset } \langle a, x \rangle = 0) \text{ by simp}
  moreover have \forall a. \ a \in \mathbb{C} \ \land \ a \neq 0 \longrightarrow (\exists x \in \mathbb{C}. \ a \cdot x = 1)
      using axrecex by simp
 hence \forall a. a \in \mathbb{C} \land a \neq 0 \longrightarrow
           (\exists x \in \mathbb{C}. cmulset \langle a, x \rangle = 1) by simp
  moreover have \forall \, \mathtt{a}. \, \mathtt{a} \in \mathbb{C} \, \longrightarrow \, \mathtt{a} \cdot \mathtt{1} = \mathtt{a}
      using ax1id by simp
\mathbf{hence} \quad \forall \, \mathtt{a.} \ \mathtt{a} \, \in \, \mathbb{C} \, \longrightarrow \,
              cmulset \langle a, 1 \rangle = a by simp
moreover have \forall a \ b. \ a \in \mathbb{R} \ \land \ b \in \mathbb{R} \ \longrightarrow \ a + b \in \mathbb{R}
    using axaddrcl by simp
hence \forall \, \mathtt{a} \, \, \mathtt{b}. \, \, \mathtt{a} \in \mathbb{R} \, \wedge \, \mathtt{b} \in \mathbb{R} \, \longrightarrow \,
```

```
caddset \langle \mathtt{a}, \mathtt{b} \rangle \in {\rm I\!R} \ \mathtt{by} \ \mathtt{simp}
  moreover have \forall a \ b. \ a \in \mathbb{R} \ \land \ b \in \mathbb{R} \ \longrightarrow \ a \cdot b \in \mathbb{R}
      using axmulrcl by simp
  hence \forall a \ b. \ a \in \mathbb{R} \ \land \ b \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow
           cmulset \langle a, b \rangle \in \mathbb{R} by simp
  moreover have \forall a. a \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow (\exists x \in \mathbb{R}. a + x = 0)
      using axrnegex by simp
  hence \forall a. a \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow
       (\exists x \in \mathbb{R}. caddset \langle a, x \rangle = 0) by simp
  moreover have \forall a. a \in \mathbb{R} \land a \neq 0 \longrightarrow (\exists x \in \mathbb{R}. a \cdot x = 1)
      using axrrecex by simp
  hence \forall a. a \in \mathbb{R} \land a \neq 0 \longrightarrow
       (\exists x \in \mathbb{R}. cmulset \langle a, x \rangle = 1) by simp
    ultimately have
(
       (
              (
                     (\foralla b.
                         \mathtt{a} \in {\rm I\!R} \, \wedge \, \mathtt{b} \in {\rm I\!R} \, \longrightarrow \,
                          \langle a, b \rangle \in lessrrel \longleftrightarrow
                         \neg (a = b \lor \langleb, a\rangle \in lessrrel)
                     ) \
                     (\foralla b C.
                         \mathtt{a} \,\in\, \mathrm{I\!R} \,\wedge\, \mathtt{b} \,\in\, \mathrm{I\!R} \,\wedge\, \mathtt{C} \,\in\, \mathrm{I\!R} \,\longrightarrow\,
                          \langle a, b \rangle \in lessrrel \land
                          \langle \mathtt{b}, \mathtt{C} \rangle \in \mathtt{lessrrel} \longrightarrow
                          \langle a, C \rangle \in lessrrel
                     ) \
                     (\forall a b C.
                         \mathtt{a} \,\in\, \mathrm{I\!R} \,\wedge\, \mathtt{b} \,\in\, \mathrm{I\!R} \,\wedge\, \mathtt{C} \,\in\, \mathrm{I\!R} \,\longrightarrow\,
                          \langle a, b \rangle \in lessrrel \longrightarrow
                          \langle caddset \langle C, a \rangle, caddset \langle C, b \rangle \rangle \in
                         lessrrel
                    )
              ) ^
              (
                     ( ∀a b.
                         \mathtt{a}\,\in\,{\rm I\!R}\,\wedge\,\mathtt{b}\,\in\,{\rm I\!R}\,\longrightarrow\,
                          \langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \in \mathsf{lessrrel} \wedge
                          \langle \mathbf{0}, \ \mathsf{b} 
angle \in \mathtt{lessrrel} \longrightarrow
                          \langle \mathbf{0}, cmulset \langle \mathtt{a}, \mathtt{b} 
angle 
angle \in
                         lessrrel
                     ( \forall S. S \subseteq {\rm I\!R} \wedge S \neq 0 \wedge
```

```
( \exists \, x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall \, y \in S. \langle y, x \rangle \in lessrrel
                                   ) \longrightarrow
                                   ( \exists x \in \mathbb{R}.
                                           ( \forall y \in S. \langle x, y \rangle \notin lessrrel
                                           ) \
                                           ( \forall\, y{\in}{\rm I\!R}.\ \langle y\,,\ x
angle\,\in\,{\rm lessrrel}\,\longrightarrow\,
                                                   ( \exists z \in S. \langle y, z \rangle \in lessrrel
                                           )
                                   )
                       )
                ) \
               \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \wedge
               1 \neq 0
        ) \
        ( \mathbb C isASet \wedge caddset \in \mathbb C \times \mathbb C \rightarrow \mathbb C \wedge
          \mathtt{cmulset} \, \in \, \mathbb{C} \, \times \, \mathbb{C} \, \to \, \mathbb{C}
        ) \
        (
                (∀a b.
                           \mathtt{a}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{b}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\longrightarrow\,\,
                           cmulset \langle a, b \rangle = cmulset \langle b, a \rangle
                ) \
                (\forall \, \mathtt{a} \, \, \mathtt{b}. \, \, \mathtt{a} \, \in \, \mathbb{C} \, \wedge \, \mathtt{b} \, \in \, \mathbb{C} \, \longrightarrow \,
                           \texttt{caddset} \quad \langle \texttt{a, b} \rangle \, \in \, \mathbb{C}
        ) \
        (\foralla b. a \in \mathbb{C} \wedge b \in \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow
                cmulset \langle \mathtt{a},\ \mathtt{b} 
angle \in \mathbb{C}
        ) \
        (\forall a b C.
                        \mathtt{a}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{b}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{C}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\longrightarrow\,\,
                        cmulset \langle a, caddset \langle b, C \rangle \rangle =
                        caddset
                        \langle cmulset \langle a, b \rangle, cmulset \langle a, C \rangle \rangle
       )
) \
                (∀a b.
```

```
\mathtt{a}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{b}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\longrightarrow\,\,
                               caddset \langle a, b \rangle = caddset \langle b, a \rangle
                   ) \
                   (∀a b C.
                               \mathtt{a}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{b}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{C}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\longrightarrow\,\,
                               \begin{array}{lll} \text{caddset} & \langle \text{caddset} & \langle \text{a, b} \rangle, \ \text{C} \rangle = \\ \text{caddset} & \langle \text{a, caddset} & \langle \text{b, C} \rangle \rangle \end{array}
                   ) \
                   (\forall a b C.
                               \mathtt{a}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{b}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\wedge\,\,\mathtt{C}\,\in\,\mathbb{C}\,\,\longrightarrow\,\,
                               cmulset \langle cmulset \langle a, b \rangle, C \rangle =
                               cmulset \langle a, cmulset \langle b, C \rangle \rangle
                  )
          ) ^
          (1 \in \mathbb{R} \wedge
           caddset \langle \text{cmulset } \langle \text{i, i} \rangle, 1 \rangle = 0
          (\forall \mathtt{a.\ a} \in \mathbb{C} \ \longrightarrow \mathtt{caddset} \ \langle \mathtt{a},\ \mathbf{0} \rangle = \mathtt{a}
          ) \
      i\,\in\,\mathbb{C}
) \
          (\forall \, \mathtt{a.} \; \mathtt{a} \in \mathbb{C} \; \longrightarrow \;
                (\exists \, x \in \mathbb{C} \, . \, \, \mathsf{caddset} \, \, \, \langle \mathsf{a}, \, \, \mathsf{x} \rangle \, = \, \mathbf{0}
          ) \
          ( \forall \, \mathtt{a.\ a} \, \in \, \mathbb{C} \, \wedge \, \mathtt{a} \, \neq \, \mathbf{0} \, \longrightarrow \,
                 ( \exists x \in \mathbb{C}. cmulset \langle a, x \rangle = 1
                  )
          ) \
          ( \forall \, \mathtt{a.} \; \mathtt{a} \, \in \, \mathbb{C} \, \longrightarrow \,
                        cmulset \langle a, 1 \rangle = a
        )
) \
          ( \forall a b. a \in {\rm I\!R} \wedge b \in {\rm I\!R} \longrightarrow
             caddset \langle \mathtt{a},\ \mathtt{b} 
angle \in {
m I\!R}
          ) \
```

```
(\ \forall a\ b.\ a\in\mathbb{R}\ \land\ b\in\mathbb{R}\ \longrightarrow\  \  \, \text{cmulset}\ \langle a,\ b\rangle\in\mathbb{R} ) ) 
 (\ \forall a.\ a\in\mathbb{R}\ \longrightarrow\  \  \, (\ \exists x\in\mathbb{R}.\ caddset\ \langle a,\ x\rangle=0 ) ) 
 (\ \forall a.\ a\in\mathbb{R}\ \land\ a\neq0\ \longrightarrow\  \  \, (\ \exists x\in\mathbb{R}.\ cmulset\ \langle a,\ x\rangle=1 ) by blast then show MMIsarO(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C},1,0,i,CplxAdd(\mathbb{R},A),CplxMul(\mathbb{R},A,M), StrictVersion(CplxROrder(\mathbb{R},A,r))) unfolding MMIsarO_def by blast qed
```

end

87 Metamath sampler

theory Metamath_Sampler imports Metamath_Interface MMI_Complex_ZF_2

begin

The theorems translated from Metamath reside in the MMI_Complex_ZF, MMI_Complex_ZF_1 and MMI_Complex_ZF_2 theories. The proofs of these theorems are very verbose and for this reason the theories are not shown in the proof document or the FormaMath.org site. This theory file contains some examples of theorems translated from Metamath and formulated in the complex0 context. This serves two purposes: to give an overview of the material covered in the translated theorems and to provide examples of how to take a translated theorem (proven in the MMIsarO context) and transfer it to the complexO context. The typical procedure for moving a theorem from MMIsar0 to complex0 is as follows: First we define certain aliases that map names defined in the complex0 to their corresponding names in the MMIsar0 context. This makes it easy to copy and paste the statement of the theorem as displayed with ProofGeneral. Then we run the Isabelle from ProofGeneral up to the theorem we want to move. When the theorem is verified ProofGeneral displays the statement in the raw set theory notation, stripped from any notation defined in the MMIsarO locale. This is what we copy to the proof in the complex0 locale. After that we just can write "then have ?thesis by simp" and the simplifier translates the raw set theory notation to the one used in complex0.

87.1 Extended reals and order

In this section we import a couple of theorems about the extended real line and the linear order on it.

Metamath uses the set of real numbers extended with $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. The $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ symbols are defined quite arbitrarily as \mathbb{C} and $\{\mathbb{C}\}$, respectively. The next lemma that corresponds to Metamath's renfdisj states that $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are not elements of \mathbb{R} .

```
lemma (in complex0) renfdisj: shows \mathbb{R} \cap \{+\infty, -\infty\} = 0
proof -
  let real = \mathbb{R}
  let complex = \mathbb{C}
  let one = 1
  let zero = 0
  let iunit = i
  let caddset = CplxAdd(R,A)
  let cmulset = CplxMul(R,A,M)
  let lessrrel = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
  have MMIsar0
    (real, complex, one, zero, iunit, caddset, cmulset, lessrrel)
    using MMIsar_valid by simp
  then have real \cap {complex, {complex}} = 0
    by (rule MMIsar0.MMI_renfdisj)
  thus \mathbb{R} \cap \{+\infty, -\infty\} = 0 by simp
qed
```

The order relation used most often in Metamath is defined on the set of complex reals extended with $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. The next lemma allows to use Metamath's xrltso that states that the < relations is a strict linear order on the extended set.

```
lemma (in complex0) xrltso: shows < Orders \mathbb{R}^*
proof -
  let real = \mathbb{R}
  let complex = \mathbb{C}
  let one = 1
  let zero = 0
  let iunit = i
  let caddset = CplxAdd(R,A)
  let cmulset = CplxMul(R,A,M)
  let lessrrel = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
     (real, complex, one, zero, iunit, caddset, cmulset, lessrrel)
    using MMIsar_valid by simp
  then have
     (lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup
     \{\langle \{complex\}, complex\}\} \cup real \times \{complex\} \cup
       \{\{\text{complex}\}\} \times \text{real}\}  Orders (real \cup \{\text{complex}\}\})
```

```
moreover have lessrrel \cap real \times real = lessrrel
                                 using cplx_strict_ord_on_cplx_reals by auto
                ultimately show < Orders \mathbb{R}^* by simp
ged
Metamath defines the usual < and \le ordering relations for the extended
real line, including +\infty and -\infty.
lemma (in complex0) xrrebndt: assumes A1: x \in \mathbb{R}^*
               shows x \in \mathbb{R} \longleftrightarrow (-\infty < x \land x < +\infty)
proof -
               let real = \mathbb{R}
               let complex = \mathbb{C}
               let one = 1
               let zero = 0
               let iunit = i
               let caddset = CplxAdd(R,A)
               let cmulset = CplxMul(R,A,M)
               let lessrrel = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
               have MMIsar0
                                  (real, complex, one, zero, iunit, caddset, cmulset, lessrrel)
                                 using MMIsar_valid by simp
                then have x \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\mathbb{C}, \{\mathbb{C}\}\} \longrightarrow
                                 x \in \mathbb{R} \longleftrightarrow \langle \{\mathbb{C}\}, x \rangle \in \text{lessrrel} \cap \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \cup \{\langle \{\mathbb{C}\}, \mathbb{C}\rangle\} \cup \{(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})\} \cup \{(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})\}
                                 {\rm I\!R} \; \times \; \{{\rm C\!\!\!\!C}\} \; \cup \; \{\{{\rm C\!\!\!\!\!C}\}\} \; \times \; {\rm I\!\!\!\!\!R} \; \; \wedge \;
                                  \langle x, \mathbb{C} \rangle \in \text{lessrrel} \cap \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \cup \{\langle \{\mathbb{C}\}, \mathbb{C} \rangle\} \cup \{\langle \mathbb{C}\}, \mathbb{C} \rangle \cup \{\langle \mathbb{C}\}, \mathbb{C} \rangle\} \cup \{\langle \mathbb{C}\}, \mathbb{C} \rangle \cup \{\langle \mathbb{C}\}, \mathbb{C} \rangle \cup \{\langle \mathbb{C}\}, \mathbb{C} \rangle\} \cup \{\langle \mathbb{C}\}, \mathbb{C} \rangle \cup \{\langle \mathbb{C}\}, \mathbb{C} \rangle\} \cup \{\langle \mathbb{C}\}, \mathbb{C} \rangle \cup \{\langle \mathbb{C}\}, \mathbb{C
                                 \mathbb{R} \times \{\mathbb{C}\} \cup \{\{\mathbb{C}\}\} \times \mathbb{R}
                                 by (rule MMIsar0.MMI_xrrebndt)
                then have \mathtt{x} \in \mathbb{R}^* \longrightarrow ( \mathtt{x} \in \mathbb{R} \longleftrightarrow ( -\infty < \mathtt{x} \land \mathtt{x} < +\infty ) )
                                 by simp
                 with A1 show thesis by simp
A quite involved inequality.
lemma (in complex0) lt2mul2divt:
               assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} c \in \mathbb{R} d \in \mathbb{R} and
                A2: 0 < b 0 < d
               shows a·b < c·d \longleftrightarrow a/d < c/b
proof -
               let real = \mathbb{R}
               let complex = \mathbb{C}
               let one = 1
               let zero = 0
               let iunit = i
               let caddset = CplxAdd(R,A)
               let cmulset = CplxMul(R,A,M)
               let lessrrel = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
               have MMIsar0
                                  (real, complex, one, zero, iunit, caddset, cmulset, lessrrel)
```

by (rule MMIsar0.MMI_xrltso)

```
using MMIsar_valid by simp
   then have
       (a \in real \land b \in real) \land
       (c \in real \land d \in real) \land
       \langle \mathtt{zero}, \ \mathsf{b} \rangle \in \mathtt{lessrrel} \ \cap \ \mathtt{real} \ \times \ \mathtt{real} \ \cup
       \{\langle \{complex\}, complex \rangle\} \cup real \times \{complex\} \cup \{\{complex\}\} \times real \wedge \{complex\}\} 
       \langle {\sf zero}, \; {\sf d} \rangle \in {\sf lessrrel} \; \cap \; {\sf real} \; 	imes \; {\sf real} \; \cup \;
       \{\langle \{\texttt{complex}\}, \ \texttt{complex}\rangle\} \ \cup \ \texttt{real} \ \times \ \{\texttt{complex}\} \ \cup \ \{\{\texttt{complex}\}\} \ \times \ \texttt{real} \ \longrightarrow \ \{\texttt{complex}\} \ \times \ \texttt{real} \ \longrightarrow \ \{\texttt{complex}\} \ \times \ \texttt{real} \ \longrightarrow \ \{\texttt{complex}\} \ \times \ \texttt{real} \ \longrightarrow \ \texttt{complex}\}
       \langle cmulset \langle a, b \rangle, cmulset \langle c, d \rangle \rangle \in
       lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup {\langle \{complex\}, complex \rangle \} \cup
       \texttt{real} \; \times \; \{\texttt{complex}\} \; \cup \; \{\{\texttt{complex}\}\} \; \times \; \texttt{real} \; \longleftrightarrow \;
       \langle \bigcup \{x \in \text{complex . cmulset } \langle d, x \rangle = a \},
       \bigcup \{x \in complex : cmulset \langle b, x \rangle = c\} \rangle \in
       lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup {\langle \{complex\}, complex \rangle \} \cup
       real \times \{complex\} \cup \{\{complex\}\} \times real
       by (rule MMIsar0.MMI_lt2mul2divt)
    with A1 A2 show thesis by simp
qed
A real number is smaller than its half iff it is positive.
lemma (in complex0) halfpos: assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R}
   shows 0 < a \longleftrightarrow a/2 < a
proof -
   let real = \mathbb{R}
   let complex = \mathbb{C}
   let one = 1
   let zero = 0
   let iunit = i
   let caddset = CplxAdd(R,A)
   let cmulset = CplxMul(R,A,M)
   let lessrrel = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
   from A1 have MMIsar0
       (real, complex, one, zero, iunit, caddset, cmulset, lessrrel)
       and a \in real
       using MMIsar_valid by auto
   then have
       \langle {\sf zero, a} \rangle \in
       lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup {\langle \{complex\}, complex \rangle \} \cup
       \texttt{real} \; \times \; \{\texttt{complex}\} \; \cup \; \{\{\texttt{complex}\}\} \; \times \; \texttt{real} \; \longleftrightarrow \;
       \langle \bigcup \{x \in \text{complex} : \text{cmulset} \ \langle \text{caddset} \ \langle \text{one, one} \rangle, \ x \rangle = a \}, \ a \rangle \in
       \texttt{lessrrel} \ \cap \ \texttt{real} \ \times \ \texttt{real} \ \cup \\
       \{\langle \{complex\}, complex \rangle\} \cup real \times \{complex\} \cup \{\{complex\}\} \times real \}
       by (rule MMIsar0.MMI_halfpos)
   then show thesis by simp
One more inequality.
lemma (in complex0) ledivp1t:
   assumes A1: a \in \mathbb{R} b \in \mathbb{R} and
```

```
A2: 0 \le a \quad 0 \le b
         shows (a/(b + 1)) \cdot b \le a
proof -
         let real = \mathbb{R}
         let complex = \mathbb{C}
         let one = 1
         let zero = 0
         let iunit = i
         let caddset = CplxAdd(R,A)
         let cmulset = CplxMul(R,A,M)
         let lessrrel = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
                      (real, complex, one, zero, iunit, caddset, cmulset, lessrrel)
                     using MMIsar_valid by simp
         then have
                      (a \in real \land \langle a, zero \rangle \notin
                     lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup {\langle \{complex\}, complex \rangle \} \cup
                    \texttt{real} \ \times \ \{\texttt{complex}\} \ \cup \ \{\{\texttt{complex}\}\} \ \times \ \texttt{real}) \ \land
                     b \in real \land \langle b, zero \rangle \notin lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup
                      \{\langle \{complex\}, complex \rangle\} \cup real \times \{complex\} \cup \{compl
                     \{\{\text{complex}\}\}\ 	imes\ \text{real}\ \longrightarrow
                      \langle a, cmulset \langle \bigcup \{x \in complex . cmulset \langle caddset \langle b, one \rangle, x \rangle = a\}, b \rangle \rangle \notin
                     lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup {\langle \{complex\}, complex \rangle \} \cup
                     \texttt{real} \; \times \; \{\texttt{complex}\} \; \cup \; \{\{\texttt{complex}\}\} \; \times \; \texttt{real}
                     by (rule MMIsar0.MMI_ledivp1t)
           with A1 A2 show thesis by simp
qed
```

87.2 Natural real numbers

In standard mathematics natural numbers are treated as a subset of real numbers. From the set theory point of view however those are quite different objects. In this section we talk about "real natural" numbers i.e. the conterpart of natural numbers that is a subset of the reals.

Two ways of saying that there are no natural numbers between n and n+1.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{lemma} & (\textbf{in complex0}) & \textbf{no_nats_between:} \\ & \textbf{assumes A1: } n \in \mathbb{N} & \textbf{k} \in \mathbb{N} \\ & \textbf{shows} \\ & \textbf{n \leq k} \longleftrightarrow \textbf{n} < \textbf{k+1} \\ & \textbf{n} < \textbf{k} \longleftrightarrow \textbf{n} + 1 \leq \textbf{k} \\ & \textbf{proof -} \\ & \textbf{let real = } \mathbb{R} \\ & \textbf{let complex = } \mathbb{C} \\ & \textbf{let one = 1} \\ & \textbf{let zero = 0} \\ & \textbf{let iunit = i} \end{array}$

let caddset = CplxAdd(R,A)
let cmulset = CplxMul(R,A,M)

```
let lessrrel = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
    have I: MMIsar0
         (real, complex, one, zero, iunit, caddset, cmulset, lessrrel)
        using MMIsar_valid by simp
    then have
        {\tt n} \, \in \, \bigcap \, \{ {\tt N} \, \in \, {\tt Pow(real)} \, \; . \; \; {\tt one} \, \in \, {\tt N} \, \; \land \; \;
         \mbox{($\forall$ n. $n \in \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow caddset} \quad \mbox{\langle$n$, one}\mbox{\rangle} \in \mathbb{N})} \ \land \\
        k \in \bigcap \{N \in Pow(real) : one \in N \land \}
         (\forall n. n \in \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \text{caddset} \langle n, \text{one} \rangle \in \mathbb{N}) \} \longrightarrow
        \langle k, n \rangle \notin
        \texttt{lessrrel} \ \cap \ \texttt{real} \ \times \ \texttt{real} \ \cup \ \{\langle \{\texttt{complex}\}, \ \texttt{complex}\rangle \} \ \cup \ \texttt{real} \ \times \ \{\texttt{complex}\}
U
        \{\{\texttt{complex}\}\} \; \times \; \texttt{real} \; \longleftrightarrow \;
         \langle n, caddset \langle k, one \rangle \rangle \in
        lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup {\langle{complex}}, complex\rangle} \cup real \times {complex}
        \{\{complex\}\}\ 	imes real \ by \ (rule \ MMIsar0.MMI_nnleltp1t)
    then have {\tt n} \in \mathbb{N} \, \wedge \, {\tt k} \in \mathbb{N} \, \longrightarrow \, {\tt n} \, \leq \, {\tt k} \, \longleftrightarrow \, {\tt n} \, \prec \, {\tt k} \, + \, 1
        by simp
    with A1 show n \le k \longleftrightarrow n < k+1 by simp
    from I have
        n \in \bigcap \{N \in Pow(real) : one \in N \land \}
         (\forall n. n \in \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow caddset \langle n, one \rangle \in \mathbb{N}) \} \land
        {\tt k}\,\in\,\bigcap\,\{{\tt N}\,\in\,{\tt Pow(real)}\, . one \in\,{\tt N}\,\wedge\,
         (\forall \mathtt{n.} \ \mathtt{n} \in \mathtt{N} \longrightarrow \mathtt{caddset} \ \langle \mathtt{n, one} \rangle \in \mathtt{N}) \} \longrightarrow
        \langle n, k \rangle \in
        \texttt{lessrrel} \ \cap \ \texttt{real} \ \times \ \texttt{real} \ \cup \\
         \{\langle \{\text{complex}\}, \text{ complex} \rangle\} \ \cup \ \text{real} \ \times \ \{\text{complex}\} \ \cup
         \{\{\text{complex}\}\} \times \text{real} \longleftrightarrow \langle \text{k, caddset} \langle \text{n, one} \rangle \rangle \notin
        lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup {(\text{complex}), complex}} \cup real \times {complex}
        \{\{complex\}\}\ 	imes real \ by \ (rule \ MMIsar0.MMI_nnltp1let)
    then have \mathtt{n} \in \mathbb{N} \ \land \ \mathtt{k} \in \mathbb{N} \ \longrightarrow \ \mathtt{n} \ \lessdot \ \mathtt{k} \ \longleftrightarrow \ \mathtt{n} \ + \ 1 \ \leq \ \mathtt{k}
        by simp
    with A1 show n < k \longleftrightarrow n + 1 \le k by simp
below.
```

Metamath has some very complicated and general version of induction on (complex) natural numbers that I can't even understand. As an exercise I derived a more standard version that is imported to the complex0 context

```
lemma (in complex0) cplx_nat_ind: assumes A1: \psi(1) and
  A2: \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \ \psi(k) \longrightarrow \psi(k+1) and
  A3: n \in \mathbb{N}
  shows \psi(n)
proof -
  let real = \mathbb{R}
  \mathbf{let} complex = \mathbb{C}
  let one = 1
```

```
let zero = 0
  let iunit = i
  let caddset = CplxAdd(R,A)
  let cmulset = CplxMul(R,A,M)
  let lessrrel = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
  have I: MMIsar0
     (real, complex, one, zero, iunit, caddset, cmulset, lessrrel)
     using MMIsar_valid by simp
  moreover from A1 A2 A3 have
     \psi(one)
     \forall k \in \bigcap \{N \in Pow(real) : one \in N \land A\}
     (\forall n. n \in \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \text{caddset} \langle n, \text{one} \rangle \in \mathbb{N})\}.
     \psi(k) \longrightarrow \psi(caddset \langle k, one \rangle)
     \mathtt{n} \in \bigcap \{\mathtt{N} \in \mathtt{Pow(real)} \ . \ \mathtt{one} \in \mathtt{N} \ \land
     (\forall n. n \in \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow caddset \langle n, one \rangle \in \mathbb{N})
     by auto
  ultimately show \psi(n) by (rule MMIsar0.nnind1)
qed
Some simple arithmetics.
lemma (in complex0) arith: shows
  2 + 2 = 4
  2 \cdot 2 = 4
  3.2 = 6
  3.3 = 9
proof -
  let real = \mathbb{R}
  let complex = \mathbb{C}
  let one = 1
  let zero = 0
  let iunit = i
  let caddset = CplxAdd(R,A)
  let cmulset = CplxMul(R,A,M)
  let lessrrel = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
  have I: MMIsar0
     (real, complex, one, zero, iunit, caddset, cmulset, lessrrel)
     using MMIsar_valid by simp
  then have
     caddset \langle caddset \langle one, one \rangle, caddset \langle one, one \rangle \rangle =
     caddset \langle caddset \langle caddset \langle one, one \rangle, one \rangle, one
     by (rule MMIsar0.MMI_2p2e4)
  thus 2 + 2 = 4 by simp
  from I have
     cmulset\langle caddset\langle one, one \rangle, caddset\langle one, one \rangle \rangle =
     caddset(caddset(one, one), one), one)
     by (rule MMIsar0.MMI_2t2e4)
  thus 2 \cdot 2 = 4 by simp
  from I have
     cmulset\langle caddset\langle caddset\langle one, one \rangle, one \rangle, caddset\langle one, one \rangle \rangle =
```

```
caddset \( \text{caddset} \( \text{caddset} \( \text{caddset} \) \( \text{cand} \), \( \text{one} \), \( \text{caddset} \) \( \text{caddset} \( \text{c
```

87.3 Infimum and supremum in real numbers

Real numbers form a complete ordered field. Here we import a couple of Metamath theorems about supremu and infimum.

If a set S has a smallest element, then the infimum of S belongs to it.

```
lemma (in complex0) lbinfmcl: assumes A1: S \subseteq \mathbb{R} and
  A2: \exists x \in S. \forall y \in S. x \leq y
  shows Infim(S,\mathbb{R},<) \in S
proof -
  let real = \mathbb{R}
  let complex = \mathbb{C}
  let one = 1
  let zero = 0
  let iunit = i
  let caddset = CplxAdd(R,A)
  let cmulset = CplxMul(R,A,M)
  let lessrrel = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
  have I: MMIsar0
     (real, complex, one, zero, iunit, caddset, cmulset, lessrrel)
     using MMIsar_valid by simp
  then have
     S \subseteq real \land (\exists x \in S. \forall y \in S. \langle y, x \rangle \notin
     lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup {\langle \{complex\}, complex \rangle \} \cup
     real \times \{complex\} \cup \{\{complex\}\} \times real) \longrightarrow
     Sup(S, real,
     converse(lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup
     \{\langle \{complex\}, complex\}\} \cup real \times \{complex\} \cup
     \{\{\text{complex}\}\} \times \text{real})) \in S
     by (rule MMIsar0.MMI_lbinfmcl)
  then have
     S \subseteq \mathbb{R} \land (\exists x \in S. \forall y \in S. x \leq y) \longrightarrow
     Sup(S,\mathbb{R},converse(<)) \in S by simp
  with A1 A2 show thesis using Infim_def by simp
qed
```

```
Supremum of any subset of reals that is bounded above is real.
```

```
lemma (in complex0) sup_is_real:
            assumes A\subseteq \mathbb{R} and A\neq 0 and \exists\,x{\in}\mathbb{R}.\ \forall\,y{\in}A.\ y\leq x
           shows Sup(A, \mathbb{R}, <) \in \mathbb{R}
proof -
           let real = \mathbb{R}
           let complex = \mathbb{C}
           let one = 1
           let zero = 0
           let iunit = i
           let caddset = CplxAdd(R,A)
           let cmulset = CplxMul(R,A,M)
           let lessrrel = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
           have MMIsar0
                        (real, complex, one, zero, iunit, caddset, cmulset, lessrrel)
                        using MMIsar_valid by simp
                        A \subseteq \text{real} \land A \neq 0 \land (\exists x \in \text{real}. \forall y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \notin A. \langle x, y \rangle \otimes A. \langle x, y \rangle 
                        lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup {\langle \{complex\}, complex \rangle \} \cup
                        \texttt{real} \; \times \; \{\texttt{complex}\} \; \cup \; \{\{\texttt{complex}\}\} \; \times \; \texttt{real}) \; \longrightarrow \;
                        Sup(A, real,
                        lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup {\langle \{complex\}, complex \rangle \} \cup
                        real \times \{complex\} \cup \{\{complex\}\} \times real) \in real
                        by (rule MMIsar0.MMI_suprcl)
            with assms show thesis by simp
If a real number is smaller that the supremum of A, then we can find an
element of A greater than it.
lemma (in complex0) suprlub:
            assumes A \subseteq \mathbb{R} and A \neq 0 and \exists x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in A. y \leq x
           and B \in \mathbb{R} and B < Sup(A, \mathbb{R}, <)
           shows \exists z \in A. B < z
proof -
           let real = \mathbb{R}
           let complex = \mathbb{C}
           let one = 1
           let zero = 0
           let iunit = i
```

(real, complex, one, zero, iunit, caddset, cmulset, lessrrel)

then have $(A \subseteq real \land A \neq 0 \land (\exists x \in real. \forall y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \notin A)$ lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup { $\langle \{complex\}, complex \rangle \}$ \cup

 $\{\{\text{complex}\}\} \times \text{real})) \land B \in \text{real} \land \langle B, \text{Sup}(A, \text{real}, A) \rangle$

let caddset = CplxAdd(R,A) let cmulset = CplxMul(R,A,M)

 $\texttt{real} \; \times \; \{\texttt{complex}\} \; \cup \;$

using MMIsar_valid by simp

have MMIsar0

let lessrrel = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))

```
lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup {\langle \{\text{complex}\}, \text{ complex}\rangle \} \cup \text{real } \times \{\text{complex}\} \cup \{\{\text{complex}\}\} \times \text{real}) \rangle \in \text{lessrrel } \cap \text{ real } \times \text{ real } \cup \{\{\{\text{complex}\}, \text{ complex}\}\} \cup \text{ real } \times \{\text{complex}\} \cup \{\{\text{complex}\}\} \times \text{ real } \longrightarrow (\exists z \in A. \langle B, z \rangle \in \text{lessrrel } \cap \text{ real } \times \text{ real } \cup \{\{\text{complex}\}, \text{ complex}\}\} \cup \text{ real } \times \{\text{complex}\} \cup \{\{\text{complex}\}\} \times \text{ real}) by (rule MMIsarO.MMI_suprlub) with assms show thesis by simp qed
```

Something a bit more interesting: infimum of a set that is bounded below is real and equal to the minus supremum of the set flipped around zero.

```
lemma (in complex0) infmsup:
   assumes A \subseteq \mathbb{R} and A \neq 0 and \exists x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in A. x \leq y
  shows
   Infim(A, \mathbb{R}, <) \in \mathbb{R}
   \text{Infim}(\texttt{A}, \mathbb{R}, \boldsymbol{<}) \ = \ ( \ -\text{Sup}(\{z \in \mathbb{R}. \ (-z) \in \texttt{A} \ \}, \mathbb{R}, \boldsymbol{<}) \ )
proof -
  let real = \mathbb{R}
  let complex = \mathbb{C}
  let one = 1
  let zero = 0
  let iunit = i
  let caddset = CplxAdd(R,A)
  let cmulset = CplxMul(R,A,M)
  let lessrrel = StrictVersion(CplxROrder(R,A,r))
  have I: MMIsar0
      (real, complex, one, zero, iunit, caddset, cmulset, lessrrel)
      using MMIsar_valid by simp
      A \subseteq \text{real} \land A \neq 0 \land (\exists x \in \text{real}. \forall y \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \notin A
      lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup {\langle{complex}}, complex\rangle} \cup
      real \times \{complex\} \cup
      \{\{\text{complex}\}\} \times \text{real}) \longrightarrow \text{Sup}(A, \text{real}, \text{converse})
      (lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup {\langle {complex}\rangle} \cup
      real \times \{complex\} \cup
      \{\{\text{complex}\}\} \times \text{real})) =
      \bigcup \{x \in complex : caddset \}
      \langle Sup(\{z \in real : \bigcup \{x \in complex : caddset \langle z, x \rangle = zero\} \in A\}, real,
      lessrrel \cap real \times real \cup {\langle \{complex\}, complex \rangle \} \cup
      real \times {complex} \cup {{complex}} \times real), x = zero}
      by (rule MMIsar0.MMI_infmsup)
   then have A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \land \neg (A = 0) \land (\exists x \in \mathbb{R}. \forall y \in A. x \leq y) \longrightarrow
      Sup(A,\mathbb{R},converse(<)) = (-Sup(\{z \in \mathbb{R}. (-z) \in A\},\mathbb{R},<))
      by simp
   with assms show
      Infim(A,R,<) = (-Sup(\{z \in \mathbb{R}. (-z) \in A \},R,<))
```

```
using Infim_def by simp from I have A\subseteq \text{real} \ \land \ A\neq 0 \ \land \ (\exists \ x\in \text{real}. \ \forall \ y\in A. \ \langle \ y, \ x\rangle \notin \\ \text{lessrrel} \ \cap \ \text{real} \ \times \ \text{real} \ \cup \ \{\langle \{\text{complex}\}, \ \text{complex}\rangle\} \ \cup \\ \text{real} \ \times \ \{\text{complex}\} \ \times \ \text{real}) \ \longrightarrow \ \text{Sup}(A, \ \text{real}, \ \text{converse} \\ \text{(lessrrel} \ \cap \ \text{real} \ \times \ \text{real}) \ \cup \ \{\langle \{\text{complex}\}, \ \text{complex}\rangle\} \ \cup \\ \text{real} \ \times \ \{\text{complex}\} \ \cup \ \{\{\text{complex}\}\} \ \times \ \text{real})) \ \in \ \text{real} \\ \text{by (rule MMIsar0.MMI_infmrcl)} \\ \text{with assms show Infim}(A,\mathbb{R},<) \ \in \ \mathbb{R} \\ \text{using Infim_def by simp} \\ \text{qed}
```

 \mathbf{end}

References

- [1] N. A'Campo. A natural construction for the real numbers. 2003.
- [2] R. D. Arthan. The Eudoxus Real Numbers. 2004.
- [3] R. Street at al. The Efficient Real Numbers. 2003.
- [4] Strecker G.E. Herrlich H. When is N lindelöf? Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 1997.
- [5] I. L. Reilly and M. K. Vamanamurthy. Some topological anti-properties. *Illinois J. Math.*, 24:382–389, 1980.
- [6] D. Zelinski. On Ordered Loops. Amer. J. Math., 70(4):681–697, Oct. 1948.