

A Quick Summary: GloVe: Global Vectors for Word Representation

Original Paper: <https://nlp.stanford.edu/pubs/glove.pdf>

2 March 2019

1 Ideas:

- (a) An alternative formulation for continuous representations of word embeddings based on word counts.

2 Explanations:

- (a) The formulation for this is surprisingly elegant. Let X be the matrix of word-word-co-occurrence counts, whose entries X_{ij} are the number of times word j occurs in the context of word i . Let $P_{ij} = P(j|i) = \frac{X_{ij}}{X_i}$ be the probability that word j appears in the context of word i . For a word k related to word i but not to j , the ratio $\frac{P_{ik}}{P_{jk}}$ would be expected to be large.

We then see how the formulation occurs, from a most general approach that converges on the proposed model:

- i The most general model takes the form

$$F(w_i, w_j, \tilde{w}_k) = \frac{P_{ik}}{P_{jk}}$$

- ii Now, if we want to take into account the difference of the two target words, we have:

$$F(w_i - w_j, \tilde{w}_k) = \frac{P_{ik}}{P_{jk}}$$

- iii To explicitly preserve the linear structure, we write:

$$F((w_i - w_j)^T \tilde{w}_k) = \frac{P_{ik}}{P_{jk}}$$

- iv It would be elegant if F were to be a homomorphism between $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ and $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \times)$:

$$F((w_i - w_j)^T \tilde{w}_k) = \frac{F(w_i^T \tilde{w}_k)}{F(w_j^T \tilde{w}_k)}$$

- I This implies that

$$F(w_i^T \tilde{w}_k) = P_{ik} = \frac{X_{ij}}{X_i}$$

- II And that a possible solution for F is

$$F(y) = \exp(y)$$

- v Thus we have

$$w_i^T \tilde{w}_k = \log(X_{ik}) - \log(X_i)$$

- vi To preserve symmetry, we absorb $\log(X_i)$ into a bias b_i , and we introduce another bias \tilde{b}_k to restore the symmetry:

$$w_i^T \tilde{w}_k + b_i + \tilde{b}_k = \log(X_{ik})$$

- vii Thus, we obtain the objective function

$$J = \sum_{i,j=1}^V f(X_{ij})(w_i^T \tilde{w}_j + b_i + \tilde{b}_j - \log(X_{ij}))^2$$

where f is a weighing function.

3 Results:

- (a) Outperforms other models on word analogy, word similarity, and named entity recognition tasks.

4 Notes:

- (a) This model seems quite elegant, and it's one of the reasons why it does seem quite attractive.
- (b) Is there a way to build on this so as to take into account the ordering instead of just the context counts?
I do think that the symmetry of the formulation would not be able to be preserved in this case.