Biostatistics: P	roblem Set	3 – Introdu	ctory Statistics
------------------	------------	-------------	------------------

Name: Seamus O'Brien			Score = <u>22</u>	/25	
GitHub repo:	https:	//github.cor	n/swobri21/l	PS3-Applying-S	stats.git
Submitted on		(Y	ON		

Droinet alement	Value	Pts	Comments
Project element Successfully fork a GitHub repository and create a new RStudio project from fork Project called "Lastname-PS3"	Value 1	0.5	project not named correctly
Set up project and workspace, pull in and examine data, fix mistakes Lastname-PS3.qmd Use at least 2 functions Assign data types Error checking	2	2	good job error checking all of the data prior to analysis
Analyze Q1: Does body mass differ b/w these 5 species of bats, and if so, how does body mass differ b/w species? Nature of P and R vars Analysis method explained More polished figure Clear, written interpretation	4	3.5	Lines 249- 250 I'd like to know more about HOW to interpret the autoplot results. What is each plot telling you? "Nothing too out of the ordinary" is vague. Otherwise, a very nice analysis.
Analyze Q2: Does body length differ b/w species and, if so, how? Nature of P and R vars Analysis method explained More polished figure Clear, written interpretation	4	3.5	Similar to Q1.
Analyze Q3: Is the number of ticks found on the bats associated with their sex or age? Nature of P and R vars Analysis method explained More polished figure Clear, written interpretation	4	3	Lines 483-510: since we have a 2-way association, you should group by both fcts and then get one plot that includs sex and age. And run just one chi-square test, not 2. See key and book chapter for details.

Biostatistics: Problem Set 3 – Introductory Statistics

Analyze Q4: Disregarding species, is there a relationship in bats b/w tail length and body length? • Nature of P and R vars • Analysis method explained • More polished figure • Clear, written interpretation	4	3.5	This is a better job interpreting results of autoplot() than you had for Q1 or Q2. Explain how we interpret adj R2 of 0.8931.
Thought processes are well documented outside of code blocks, code is well commented, all steps prior to data analysis	4	4	good
Successfully open a pull request to add your changes to the forked repository Commit changes Open PR Link pasted in Canvas	1	1	
Code represents material we have covered in GSWR Chs 3-5 and not elsewhere	1	1	
Additional feedback			